Establishment of quantitative RNAi-based forward genetics in *Entamoeba histolytica* and

identification of genes required for growth

Akhila Bettadapur[1], Samuel S. Hunter[2], Charles G. Barbieri[3], Matthew L. Settles[2], and Katherine

S. Ralston[1*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Davis, USA

[2]Genome Center, University of California, Davis, USA

[3]SeqMatic, LLC, Fremont, California, USA

Running Head: Forward genetics and identification of genes required for *Entamoeba*

*histolytica* growth

[*]Address correspondence to Katherine S. Ralston, ksralston@ucdavis.edu

**Abstract**

*Entamoeba histolytica* is a globally important pathogen that is dramatically understudied. Its challenging genome has limited tractability. To enable forward genetics, we constructed the first genome-wide *E. histolytica* RNAi knockdown mutant library. The library is designed to enable deep sequencing analysis for quantitative identification of mutants after selection. We developed a novel analysis pipeline to precisely define and quantify full-length gene fragments inferred from read mapping. We performed the first *E. histolytica* RNAi screen and identified slow growth mutants. Growth phenotypes were reproducible in independently generated mutants. Some of the genes targeted in slow growth mutants had annotated functions consistent with roles in growth or metabolism. Some targeted genes lacked annotation, supporting the power of forward genetics in uncovering gene function. This work opens up the possibility of applying genetics to improve understanding of this important pathogen. Moreover, the strategies behind this RNAi library, and its analysis, are novel, and can be applied to other organisms.

**Introduction**

*Entamoeba histolytica* is the causative agent of amoebiasis in humans. *E. histolytica*

is common in developing nations and is responsible for ~50 million infections/year [1].

Children under five are particularly vulnerable to diarrheal infections including amoebiasis,

which are responsible for close to half a million deaths annually [2]. In the first two years of

life, approximately 80% of infants in an urban slum in Dhaka, Bangladesh become infected

with *E. histolytica* [3]. Malnutrition and stunting are associated with childhood *E. histolytica*

infections [4]. Among children aged 12 – 23 months enrolled in the in the Global Enteric

Multicenter Study, *E. histolytica* infection was associated with the highest risk of death (5%)

between enrollment and follow up [5]. Invasive infections result in an estimated 15,500

deaths/year in children and 67,900 deaths/year among people of all ages [2]. There is no

vaccine and therapy is limited to nitroimidazoles [6]. The continued morbidity and mortality

indicate that current treatment and prevention approaches are insufficient.

Infection can be asymptomatic, or can lead to diarrhea, bloody diarrhea with

intestinal ulceration, and fatal abscesses outside of the intestine. Fitting with its capacity to

cause ulcers and abscesses, *E. histolytica* was named for its ability to lyse tissue (*histo-:*

tissue; *lytic-:* dissolving). Despite the name-giving property, it is not known how amoebic

trophozoites ("amoebae") damage tissue [7]. The major known virulence factors are the

surface Gal/GalNAc lectin [8, 9], which mediates attachment to human cells, and the

cysteine proteases, which cleave human substrates [10, 11]. It was recently shown that

amoebae kill human cells by "nibbling" them, in a process termed trogocytosis [12].

Amoebic trogocytosis contributes to tissue damage, and warrants further study for its

potential relevance to invasive disease [12]. However, trogocytosis is not yet well-defined at

the molecular level [13].

Despite its impact on human health, *E. histolytica* is dramatically understudied

relative to other parasites. Given the limitations of current therapies and the limitations of

our current understanding of pathogenesis, new tools are needed to study this infection.

Although the genome has been sequenced, it is difficult to identify genes relevant to

pathogenesis from sequence information alone. The genome is relatively difficult to

manipulate experimentally. *E. histolytica* is thought to be tetraploid [14]. The genome

contains a very large number of expanded gene families, with 897 protein families that

contain 4,564 genes, and represent 56% of the proteome [15, 16]. The nucleotide

composition of the *E. histolytica* genome is ~75% A + T, which creates challenges for

genomic approaches and has contributed to the relatively poor draft genome quality. The

genome is ~20 Mb with ~8,000 genes [15, 17, 18]. For one third of genes, no homologues

have been identified [17] and less than half of genes have been assigned gene ontology

(GO) terms [15]. Thus, with limited sequence homology information and a difficult genome,

functional approaches such as forward genetics are needed to identify genes that are

relevant to pathogenesis.

Historically, the genetic tractability of *E. histolytica* has been limited. CRISPR/Cas9

has not yet been applied for use in *E. histolytica.* Previous efforts to integrate sequences

into the genome or to genetically manipulate endogenous loci have been unsuccessful.

Stable transfection with episomal plasmids has been used in order to overexpress tagged

proteins or dominant negative mutants [19, 20]. Forward genetics have not yet been

established in *E. histolytica*, outside of two studies that used a plasmid-based

overexpression library to screen for phenotypes [21, 22]. Relatively recently, the

endogenous RNAi pathway in *E. histolytica* has been characterized, and exploited as a useful

tool for targeted gene knockdown.

The existence of an RNAi pathway in *E. histolytica* that could be exploited for gene

knockdown was implied by studies that successfully knocked down expression of the

amoebapore A gene [23]. Amoebae were stably transfected with a plasmid containing a

fragment of the amoebapore A promoter together with a segment of a retrotransposon and

a short T-rich stretch [23]. While this led to permanent silencing of amoebapore A, the

mechanism of action was unclear, and the expression of off-target genes was affected [24,

25]. It was possible to silence other genes, but only in amoebae that already contained the

amoebapore A silencing plasmid. A clonal line of stable transfectants carrying the

amoebapore A plasmid was named the "G3" strain, and was subsequently used to

knockdown additional genes in the context of an amoebapore A knockdown mutant

background [25]. Other strategies for gene knockdown have included expression of genes in

the antisense orientation [26], expression of long double stranded RNA [27], phagocytosis

of bacteria expressing dsRNA [28], and expression of short hairpin RNA [29]. These

techniques have been useful for reverse genetic studies, but not robust enough to be

effective for every gene of interest.

After it had already been exploited for targeted gene knockdown, the *E. histolytica*

RNAi pathway was characterized in detail. Small RNAs were found to associate with a Piwi-

related protein [30]. Small RNAs were 27nt in length, 5' polyphosphorylated, and mapped

antisense to genes [30]. Thus far, 5' polyphosphorylated siRNAs have only been seen in *E.*

*histolytica* and *Caenorhabditis elegans* [31]. The level of *E. histolytica* small RNAs was

inversely correlated with gene expression [30]. Supporting that these small RNAs are

relevant to previous approaches that exploited gene silencing in *E. histolytica,* in the G3

strain, 27nt small RNAs mapped to amoebapore A, and when additional genes were

silenced in this background, new small RNAs mapped to the newly silenced gene [32]. There

is no obvious Dicer homologue, but a potential noncanonical Dicer and Argonaute

homologues have been characterized [33, 34].

Because endogenous small RNAs are polyphosphorylated, it was initially a challenge

to determine how to effectively exploit this pathway for gene knockdown. A major

breakthrough was the discovery that RNAi knockdown could be induced by spreading of

silencing [35]. A new "trigger" plasmid approach was established, which relies on

endogenous small RNAs directed to a 132 bp fragment of an endogenously-silenced gene

(called the trigger) to drive spreading of silencing to a fragment of gene of interest that is

adjacent to the trigger [35]. This leads to long-term silencing of the gene of interest, even

after the trigger plasmid is removed, which may be due to the deposition of the repressive

histone mark H3K27 at the chromosomal copy of the silenced gene [36]. This trigger

plasmid approach has been successfully applied to knockdown many genes, and has been

adapted for use in *E. invadens* [37-40].

In other parasites, RNAi knockdown has been successfully adapted for forward

genetic approaches, and this has been very effective in uncovering genes relevant to

parasite metabolism [41, 42], DNA repair [43], virulence [44], transmission [45, 46], and

drug therapies [47-50]. Initially, these approaches relied on the generation of clonal lines

after selection, and Sanger sequencing analysis of individual clones to identify the knocked

down gene [41, 42]. Later approaches have used next generation sequencing on non-clonal

mutant populations in order to identify mutants, and their relative abundance [43-51]. The

latter approaches are useful because they can quantitatively identify mutants that are

enriched by selection, and mutants that are less abundant or are lost after selection.

123            To enable forward genetics, we constructed and validated the first genome-wide *E.*

*histolytica* RNAi knockdown mutant plasmid library. Its design allows for Illumina deep

sequencing, and our novel analysis pipeline allows for quantitative identification of plasmids

that are enriched or depleted after selection. Using a pilot version of the library, we

performed the first RNAi screen in *E. histolytica* and identified 12 slow growth mutants.

Genes that were knocked down in slow growing mutants included genes for which existing

annotation provided no clues on function. Independent knockdown mutants phenocopied

the growth defects seen in the library mutants. In the course of this work, new details of the

*E. histolytica* RNAi pathway were revealed, as we found that both frame and directionality

of the gene of interest are dispensable for silencing. These studies establish the first RNAi

screen in *E. histolytica*, thereby dramatically improving the tractability of this pathogen.

**Results**

**Approach for quantitative RNAi-based forward genetics**

We devised an overall strategy for RNAi-based forward genetics in *E. histolytica* (Fig. 1). For this approach, a library of genes would be introduced into the *E. histolytica* "trigger" RNAi plasmid (pTrigger) [35], to create an RNAi library (Fig. 1a). Amoebae would then be stably-transfected with the library, and exposed to a selective pressure or screening strategy. Illumina deep sequencing analysis would then be used to sequence the inserts and to compare the selected mutants to control, non-selected amoebae, in order to identify mutants that are quantitatively enriched or depleted. We empirically determined that the stable transfection efficiency with the backbone of pTrigger is ~1/1000 amoebae. This relatively high stable transfection efficiency suggested that transfecting enough amoebae to achieve genome-wide RNAi knockdown would be feasible.

The Illumina priming sequences that are necessary for sequencing are typically added to samples by using a nested strategy with two sequential PCR reactions. We modified the trigger plasmid in order to flank the insert with Illumina adaptor sequences, creating pTriggerAdaptor, so that after extracting amoebic genomic DNA (gDNA), only a single PCR step would be needed to generate DNA ready for sequencing (Fig. 1b). Using fewer PCR reactions is expected to reduce the potential for PCR bias that can change the representation of sequences. An additional benefit of this modification is that the original sequences flanking the insert were A/T rich and were not optimal for primer design. The insertion of these Illumina sequences into the knockdown plasmid did not change knockdown efficacy (Fig. 1c).

**Construction of a plasmid RNAi library**

Building on these findings, we devised a strategy to construct a genome-wide

plasmid RNAi library (Fig. 2a). We reasoned that since ~50% of the genome is coding,

constructing a gDNA library would be a practical approach. To generate the plasmid library,

random fragments of gDNA were inserted into the pTriggerAdaptor plasmid. Library

construction was initially done on a small scale, to create a "pilot" library, where plasmids

were harvested from a limited number of bacterial colonies. Building on the results of the

pilot, a second library was constructed on a larger scale, to make a "final" library that was

expected to have genome-wide coverage.

Previous studies defined that an insert size ≥ 500 bp is sufficient for knockdown [35,

52]. Larger insert sizes are likely to lead to more efficient knockdown, but fragments > 1 kb

are problematic for Illumina sequencing. The pilot library was constructed using gDNA

fragments between ~400 – 600 bp. Building on the robust Illumina sequencing results from

the pilot library (described in detail below), we constructed the final library, where gDNA

fragments were larger (between ~500 – 900 bp) in order to maximize the efficacy of RNAi

knockdown.

To make the RNAi library, high quality, RNA-free, gDNA (Fig. S1a – b) was sonicated

and size-selected (Fig. S1c). Y-shaped adaptors were ligated to the fragmented DNA to

ensure that each fragment was flanked by sequences matching the trigger plasmid

upstream and downstream of the cloning site, in order to enable subsequent Gibson

cloning. Adaptor ligation was successful, with an appropriate size shift seen using

bioanalyzer analysis (Fig. 2b – c). Gibson cloning and plasmid preps were done *en masse*

with pooled plasmids. To check cloning efficiency, individual colonies were obtained and

analyzed. Restriction analysis demonstrated that the ligation efficiency was very high, since

63 out of 67 plasmids examined contained an insert (Fig. 2d).

**The RNAi library has genome-wide coverage**

182           Illumina sequencing was used to analyze the pilot and final libraries, and the

fragmented gDNA that was used to construct each library. Notably, our approach for

analysis of the sequencing data is novel and differs from previous approaches for analysis of

RNAi screens [43-51], since all results presented here are based on full-length DNA-

fragments inferred from read mapping results (Fig. S2), rather than on more traditional read

mapping coverage or CDS overlap counting strategies. Our approach was designed to better

represent the actual DNA fragments present in the plasmid library, instead of just the short

ends of these fragments that were sequenced on the Illumina platform. This strategy

allowed us to precisely define whether a fragment might target one or multiple genes, and

enabled a clearer view of fragment based genome coverage. This approach will also allow

us to readily quantify the representation of gene fragments in future RNAi screen

experiments, in order to identify specific fragments that are particularly impactful in a

particular screen.

There did not appear to be bias in gDNA fragmentation or construction of the

library, since the nucleotide and dinucleotide frequencies of the fragmented gDNA and the

plasmids were the same as the genome (Fig. 3a and S3a). Rarefaction curves and read

fragment analysis showed that sequencing was performed to a sufficient depth to identify

all unique fragments within the plasmid samples, but the same depth of sequencing was not

deep enough to identify all unique fragments within the fragmented gDNA samples (Fig. S3b

– c).

Illumina sequencing analysis was performed on separate batches of the plasmid

library that had been cloned on different days, and on technical sequencing replicates.

Similar read counts per fragment were obtained from each batch and each sequencing

replicate, showing that cloning and sequencing were reproducible and there was no

evidence for bias within these steps (Fig. S4a – b, Table S1). Analysis of the sequencing

results showed that the gDNA fragments that were used to create the pilot library had a

mean size of 421 bp, and the fragments in the pilot plasmid library had a mean size of 427

bp (Fig. 3b). The gDNA fragments that were used to create the final library had a mean size

of 540 bp, and the fragments in the pilot plasmid library had a mean size of 555 bp (Fig. 3b).

The nearly identical size ranges of the gDNA fragments and cloned fragments further

support that there was no bias during library construction.

Genome coverage plots of the final plasmid library over the 5 largest contigs in the

genome visually showed that coverage of these contigs was complete, and the depth of

coverage was consistent (Fig. 3c). The breadth and depth of coverage over a zoomed in

region of the largest contig is represented in Fig. 3d. On a genome-wide level, the genome

was well covered by fragments that were cloned into the library in both the forward and

reverse orientation relative to the orientation of coding sequences (Fig. 4, Table 1).

Supporting that the final library contained genome-wide coverage, 97.24% of the genome

was represented (Table 1). 8307 of 8333 annotated genes were represented, and the

majority (8301 genes) were represented by more than one unique fragment. Of the 26

genes that are missing, 22 are likely to be misannotated in the genome, since they were not

seen in any sample, including fragmented gDNA (Fig. S5). Additionally, for the majority of

these genes, there was no empirical evidence for gene expression in prior RNAseq or

proteomic studies (Tables S2 and S3). From these findings, we concluded that the final

plasmid library has genome-wide coverage. We named the final library pEhKDL, since it

represents an *E. histolytica* k̲nock̲d̲own l̲ibrary.

**Forward genetic isolation of slow growing mutants**

While the final library was under construction, *E. histolytica* was stably transfected

with the pilot library. To determine if the RNAi library could be used to identify genes that

contribute to a phenotype, we screened for mutants with abnormal growth. To first ask

how many different plasmids were present per stably transfected amoeba, clonal lines were

obtained using limiting dilution. Sanger sequencing analysis of clonal lines was consistent

with only one unique plasmid (*i.e.,* one unique insert) per transfected amoeba (Fig. S6).

To screen for growth phenotypes, growth rates were measured for a selected

number of clonal lines. S̲low G̲rowth mutants 1 (SG1) and 2 (SG2) were isolated first and

chosen for further characterization. These mutants had inserts corresponding to

EHI_194310 and EHI_007010, which are annotated as a putative amino acid transporter and

a hypothetical protein, respectively (Table 2). These mutants had a statistically significant

growth defect (Fig. 5a). We have previously knocked down superoxide dismutase

(EHI_159160) and determined that this results in a growth defect; thus, superoxide

dismutase RNAi was used as a control. RT-PCR analysis showed that EHI_194310 and

EHI_007010 were knocked down in the corresponding mutants (Fig. 5b).

244   To determine if knockdown mutant phenotypes were reproducible, Gibson cloning

245 was used to generate independent knockdown plasmids that contained a fragment of either

246 EHI_194310 or EHI_007010, which differed from the fragment in the library mutants. *E.*

247 *histolytica* was stably transfected with each of these new plasmids to create the

248 independent knockdown mutants, SG1i and SG2i. Growth of heterogeneous, stable

249 transfectants was measured, and these mutants had statistically significant growth defects

250 (Fig. 5c). RT-PCR analysis demonstrated that the corresponding target genes were knocked

251 down (Fig. 5d). The library mutants and independently generated mutants each exhibited

252 statistically significant growth defects (Fig. 5e). Thus, the phenotypes seen in library

253 mutants were reproduced in independently generated mutants.

254 **Bioinformatic analysis of slow growing mutants**

255   From the pilot RNAi library transfection, ~64 additional clonal lines were obtained

256 and 10 additional clonal lines with statistically significant growth defects were identified

257 (Fig. S7; Table 2). The insert present in each clonal line was identified by Sanger sequencing,

258 and the results were again consistent with one unique plasmid per transfected amoeba.

259 Inserts ranged in size from 322 to 555 bp. In previous studies that used pTrigger for gene

260 silencing, the gene of interest for knockdown was in the forward orientation and in frame

261 with the trigger [35, 37-40, 52]. In the slow growth mutants, inserts were in the forward or

262 reverse orientation, and in and out of frame (Table 2). Inserts that were in the reverse

263 orientation and out of frame, like SG1, nonetheless led to measurable knockdown (Fig. 5b).

264 Both the directionality of the gene of interest, and the frame, are therefore dispensable for

265 knockdown.

Nine of the twelve slow growth mutants contained an insert that mapped to a gene

(Table 2). The three mutants with inserts that did not clearly map to an annotated gene

(SG3, SG9 and SG12) did map to potentially unannotated ORFs, and also partially mapped

(*i.e.,* contained stretches of homology) to annotated genes. BLAST was used to search for

homologs of the ORFs that mapped to SG3, SG9, and SG12, and homologs were found in *E.*

*dispar* for each ORF (Expect values of 4e -31, 1e -10, 1e -9, respectively), suggesting that

these ORFs are genes that were missed in the annotation of the *E. histolytica* genome. The

*E. histolytica* genome contains a very large number of gene families [15, 16], evidencing a

high level of functional redundancy. One mutant, SG5, contained an insert that mapped to

more than one gene (Table 2). Due to the level of identity between the multiple hits,

multiple related genes may be knocked down in this mutant.

Several mutants contained inserts that mapped to genes with annotated functions

consistent with roles necessary for cellular growth, such as an amino acid transporter, a

major facilitator transporter, and a splicing factor (Table 2). Three mutants contained

inserts with predicted roles in metabolic pathways, based on data from KEGG Metabolic

Pathways (https://www.kegg.jp), the MetaCyc Metabolic Pathway Database [53], and

Chemical Entities of Biological Interest (ChEBI) database [54] (Table 2). Several mutants

contained inserts that mapped to genes annotated as hypothetical, or that lacked

annotated domains (Table 2). Thus, in addition to validating the RNAi library approach, the

12 slow growth mutants that were uncovered shed light on genes that are required for *E.*

*histolytica* growth and emphasize how forward genetics can be used to assign functional

information to hypothetical proteins.

**Discussion**

We constructed and validated the first genome-wide *E. histolytica* RNAi knockdown

mutant library. We performed a screen for slow growth mutants and identified 12 new

mutants, providing a proof-of-concept that demonstrates the library can be used to identify

mutant phenotypes. Independent knockdown mutants phenocopied the growth defects

seen in the library mutants, showing that library mutant phenotypes are reproducible. Thus,

our studies both identify genes that are critical for growth, and establish RNAi-based

forward genetics in *E. histolytica* for the first time. The library represents a valuable new

tool for *E. histolytica*, that can now be applied towards the study of many processes.

Slow growth mutants were identified among clonal knockdown mutant lines. 12

mutants were identified among ~80 total clonal lines. Among the identified genes targeted

in these mutants, many genes had annotated functions consistent with roles in cellular

growth, and/or had predicted roles in metabolic pathways, based on available metabolic

pathway databases (https://www.kegg.jp/) [53, 54]. No genes were identified for which a

role in cellular growth would be implausible. Several of the identified genes were annotated

as hypothetical, or lacked annotated domains. All of the gene hits in our screen had

previous evidence of gene expression in RNAseq analyses [55, 56]. Thus, the slow growth

phenotype begins to provide functional information to genes for which existing annotation

does not inform understanding of protein function. All of the annotated gene hits in our

screen had homologues in the related human-infectious *Entamoeba* species *E. dispar, E.*

*nuttali* and *E. moshkovskii.* Thus, this type of screen may be informative in identifying

potential drug targets that are conserved among human-infectious *Entamoeba* species.

The *E. histolytica* genome contains numerous gene families [15, 16]. An advantage of

RNAi *vs.* alternative approaches (*e.g.,* gene knockouts) is the potential to simultaneously

interfere with multiple gene family members. RNAi is similarly advantageous for genomes

that are polyploid, which is also relevant to the potentially tetraploid [14] *E. histolytica*

genome. We identified one mutant that contained an insert that mapped to more than one

gene. With the high levels of sequence identity, it is likely that multiple genes are knocked

down in this mutant. We were able to identify a slow growth phenotype in this mutant,

which suggests that the RNAi library may be effective in identifying mutant phenotypes

even in the context of high levels of functional redundancy.

We have used pTrigger to knockdown many genes in our laboratory, and, in general,

have been able to recover knockdown mutants for essentially every targeted gene.

Compared to clonal lines, heterogeneous transfectants have typically had more variable

levels of knockdown, and sometimes appear to have lower levels of knockdown

(unpublished data). Among clonal lines obtained from heterogeneous transfectants, we

have seen wide variation, including clones with high levels of knockdown and clones that

lack knockdown. The SG1 and SG2 clonal lines initially obtained from the library appeared to

have an equal or higher level of knockdown than the heterogeneous independent SG1i and

SG2i mutants that targeted the same gene. The heterogeneous independent knockdown

mutant SG1i had a less severe growth phenotype than the clonal library mutant SG1, which

may be due to variation in knockdown in the heterogeneous population. Loss of gene

silencing (and loss of a growth phenotype) over time was noted in the heterogeneous SG2i

mutants (data not shown), consistent with the selective disadvantage of the growth

phenotype. Related to this point, when a selection is applied to a library mutant population,

it will be important to compare selected and non-selected controls, in order to differentiate

mutants that are lost due to a growth disadvantage *vs.* mutants that are lost due to

selection.

The library was designed to facilitate Illumina deep sequencing analysis in order to

quantitatively identify mutants that are enriched or lost after selection. We constructed

pilot and final versions of the library. Multiple lines of evidence supported that there was no

bias in library construction, including nucleotide and dinucleotide frequencies, and size

comparisons between the gDNA fragments and fragments in the final plasmids. Libraries

were prepared in batches that were cloned on different days. Similar read counts per

fragment were obtained from batches, again supporting that there was no bias in cloning or

sequencing analysis. The majority of the genome (97.24%) was covered in the final plasmid

library, and the majority of genes were represented (8307 out of 8333 annotated genes). 26

annotated genes are not represented. Of these, 22 genes were not seen in any sample,

including gDNA, suggesting that they represent errors in annotation. Consistent with this,

there was no published evidence for gene expression for the majority of these genes. The 4

remaining genes that were not seen in the final library had some of the lowest sequencing

coverage in the fragmented gDNA sample, suggesting that they were missed due to lack of

abundance in the fragmented gDNA that was used for library construction. Thus, with 8307

out of 8311 correctly annotated genes represented, and 97.24% of the genome, we

concluded that the library represented full genome coverage.

A future variation on this approach would be to make a library using fragmented

cDNA instead of gDNA. We reasoned that since ~50% of the genome is coding, gDNA is a

reasonable approach, since ~50% of the plasmids in the library would lead to gene silencing.

A limitation of a gDNA library is that some sequences such as rRNA and tRNA, which are

abundant in the genome, are represented in the library, and are not useful for identification

of mutant phenotypes. A cDNA library would remove these sequences, but would carry

other limitations. The most highly expressed genes would be overrepresented in a cDNA

library, and genes that are not expressed in the conditions in which cDNA was obtained

would not be represented in the library. The lower abundance of material for fragmentation

and cloning is also a consideration. mRNA is a very small proportion of total RNA, and in our

initial attempts to prepare cDNA for library construction, insufficient material was

recovered. With more initial total RNA, a cDNA library could be constructed in the future,

and would be complementary to the gDNA library that was constructed here.

We asked whether the frame or the directionality of the insert was critical for

knockdown using the trigger plasmid. In previous studies, the gene of interest for

knockdown was in the forward orientation and in frame with the trigger [35, 37-40, 52];

thus, the frame and orientation requirements had not been tested experimentally. Among

the slow growth mutants that we identified, inserts were in the forward or reverse

orientation, and in and out of frame. Note that the adaptor sequence that was inserted

between the trigger and the insert does not change the frame. Inserts that were in the

reverse orientation and out of frame still led to knockdown. Both the directionality of the

gene of interest, and the frame, are therefore dispensable for knockdown. Since the RNAi

pathway in *E. histolytica* is unusual and mechanistic details are still coming into focus, our

results add to understanding of this pathway. The lack of orientation and frame

requirements for knockdown is useful, since gDNA fragments are random and thus are

ligated into the library plasmid in either orientation and in any frame. Previous studies had

defined that a gene fragment ≥ 500 bp is sufficient for knockdown [35, 52]. We found that

fragments as small as 322 bp were sufficient to result in slow growth phenotypes,

suggesting that efficient knockdown is possible with smaller fragments.

*E. histolytica* is dramatically understudied relative to other parasites, even though it

heavily impacts human health, causing significant morbidity and mortality. The RNAi library

that we developed dramatically improves the tractability of *E. histolytica.* In other parasites,

RNAi screens have uncovered genes relevant to molecular and cellular biology, virulence

and therapeutics. We look forward to the new information about *E. histolytica* that can be

gleaned by applying RNAi screens in the future. Since the design of this RNAi library allows

for quantitative identification of mutants that are enriched or depleted after selection, we

expect that this library can be used to identify genes involved in a wide variety of processes,

including essential genes that are relevant to the development of new therapies.

**Materials and Methods**

*Cell culture*

**Materials and Methods**

*Cell culture*

*E. histolytica* HM1:IMSS (ATCC 30459) trophozoites were cultured in TYI-S-33 media,

supplemented with 15% heat inactivated Adult Bovine Serum (Gemini Bio Products), 80

units/ml penicillin and streptomycin (Gibco), and 2.3% Diamond Vitamin solution 80 Tween 40x

(Sigma Aldrich), at 35°C. Amoebae were harvested when flasks were approximately 80%

confluent.

*gDNA Extraction*

*E. histolytica* gDNA was harvested using the Quick-DNA miniprep kit (Zymo), cetyl

trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction, or the Wizard gDNA extraction kit (Promega).

The Quick-DNA miniprep kit (Zymo) was used according to manufacturer's instructions for cell

suspension samples. For CTAB extraction, cells were washed and then lysed at 55°C for 1 hour

in a solution of 0.1 M EDTA with 0.25% SDS and 0.1 mg/ml proteinase K. NaCl was added to a

final concentration of 0.7 M, pre-warmed CTAB was added to a final concentration of 1%, and

samples were incubated for 20 minutes at 65°C. An equal volume of chloroform was added,

and samples were centrifuged at 20,600 x *g* for 10 minutes. Supernatants were transferred into

fresh tubes, an equal volume of phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1) was added, and

samples were centrifuged at 20,600 x *g* for 10 minutes. Supernatants were transferred into

fresh tubes, DNA was precipitated with 2.5 volumes of 100% ethanol at room temperature for 5

minutes, and samples were centrifuged at 20,600 x *g* for 10 minutes. DNA pellets were washed

in 70% ethanol. DNA was left to dry at room temperature and dissolved in water. For DNA

harvested using the Quick-DNA miniprep kit or CTAB extraction, RNAseIF (NEB) was used to

remove RNA contamination, according to manufacturer's instructions. The Wizard Genomic

DNA Purification kit (Promega) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions for the

tissue culture cells protocol. Because DNA harvested using the Wizard Genomic DNA

Purification kit was of higher quality, gDNA for plasmid library construction was prepared using

this kit.

*Plasmids and cloning*

The trigger plasmid [35] contains 132 base pairs of the trigger gene (EHI_048600) in the

pKT3M expression plasmid backbone [57]. Q5 Site Directed Mutagenesis (NEB) was used to

insert a SmaI restriction enzyme site after the trigger for cloning purposes, to create "pTrigger".

To create knockdown plasmids to target individual genes, pTrigger was linearized using SmaI

(NEB) and dephosphorylated using rSAP (NEB). A fragment of the gene of interest was amplified

from gDNA using PCR primers that contained homology to pTrigger, and the PCR product was

then cloned into the backbone using the Gibson Assembly Ultra kit (Synthetic Genomics Inc.),

following the manufacturer's instructions. See Table S4 for the sequences of primers that were

used during plasmid construction.

To test if the Illumina adaptor sequences interfere with knockdown, pTrigger plasmids

were created with and without adaptors. First, a pTrigger plasmid targeting a BAR/SH3 domain-

containing protein was created by inserting 812 bp of EHI_091500 (147 − 958). This plasmid was

further modified using Q5 Site Directed Mutagenesis (NEB) to add the custom adaptors

ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT and GATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCAC,

which are compatible with Illumina TruSeq sequencing primers, upstream and downstream of

the insert, respectively. After determining that the presence of the Illumina adaptors did not

interfere with knockdown, this construct was modified to remove the BAR/SH3 domain-

containing protein and replace it with a SmaI restriction site for further cloning purposes, to

create pTriggerAdaptor. pTriggerAdaptor was used as the backbone for plasmid library

generation.

Superoxide dismutase knockdown mutants were generated by inserting 597 bp of

EHI_159160 (1 − 597) into pTrigger. Independent knockdown mutants (SG1i) of SG1 were

generated by inserting 525 bp of EHI_194310 (32 − 556) into pTrigger. Independent knockdown

mutants (SG2i) of SG2 were generated by inserting 487 bp of EHI_007010 (59 − 545) into

pTrigger.

*Plasmid library construction*

*E. histolytica* gDNA was harvested using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification kit

(Promega), following the manufacturer's instructions for the tissue culture cells protocol.

RNAse treatment is included in this protocol. Quality and size of gDNA was verified by Qubit

and gel electrophoresis. gDNA was further purified and concentrated using TailorMag

Purification beads (SeqMatic), and then sheared using a Covaris M220 sonicator. For size

selection, sheared gDNA was loaded into three lanes on a 6% TBE gel. The gel was stained using

SYBR Safe DNA Gel Stain (Invitrogen), and three bands, covering from ~400 − ~600 bp (for the

pilot library) and ~500 − ~900 bp (for the final library) were extracted from the gel using the

TailorCut Gel Cutter and Gel Breaker system (SeqMatic). gDNA was purified using TailorMag

Purification beads. The sizing and concentration of the purified material was determined by

TapeStation (Agilent). Purified gDNA corresponding to the second and third gel slices from each

lane was combined. gDNA was further purified and concentrated using TailorMag Purification

beads, and then processed using a TruSeq XT library prep kit (Illumina). All steps followed the

manufacturer's protocol except: 1. Ligation time was increased to 180 minutes, 2. Custom

adaptors were used instead of Illumina TruSeq adaptors. The adaptors that were ligated had

the following sequences: Adaptor 1: ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCCCC*T and

Adaptor 2: /5'Phos/GGGGATCGGGAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCTAGTCAC. After adaptor ligation,

the gDNA fragments were amplified using 5 rounds of PCR with KAPA HiFi 2X master mix (KAPA

Biosystems). See Table S4 for primer sequences. After PCR, fragmented gDNA was purified and

concentrated using TailorMag Purification beads.

pTriggerAdaptor was linearized using SmaI (NEB) and dephosphorylated using rSAP

(NEB). Linearization was verified using gel electrophoresis. 25 ng of fragmented gDNA with

ligated adaptors was combined with 25 ng of linearized pTriggerAdaptor. The Gibson Assembly

Ultra kit (Synthetic Genomics Inc.) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. High

Efficiency 5-alpha Competent *E. coli* (NEB) were transformed with 3 µl of the Gibson mix and

plated on four LB plates containing ampicillin (Sigma). We determined that the Gibson cloning

efficiency with pTriggerAdaptor was ~1/250, which was used to calculate the amount of insert

needed to generate a library at a given target level of coverage. With an average insert size of

~550 bp, a minimum of ~36,354 plasmids would be needed to fully cover the genome at 1X

coverage. For the pilot library, one *E. coli* transformation reaction was performed and 4 plates

of bacteria were harvested into 200 ml of LB liquid broth containing ampicillin, and incubated at

37°C for 12-18 hours, shaking. For the final library, Gibson cloning, *E. coli* transformation, and

plasmid preparations were performed over four separate days to create four batches of final

library plasmids. The final plasmid library represents the combined total of the four batches.

For the final library, 25 *E. coli* transformation reactions were performed and 100 plates of

bacteria were harvested into LB liquid broth containing ampicillin, at a concentration of ~1,000

colonies per 200 ml. Plasmids were harvested using the GenElute HP plasmid maxiprep kit

(Sigma), using 200 ml of culture per plasmid purification column, and ethanol precipitated

according to the manufacturer's instructions.

*Illumina sequencing of gDNA fragments and plasmid samples*

Fragmented gDNA (with ligated custom adaptors; see 'plasmid library construction'), or

plasmid inserts were amplified with KAPA PCR (Kapa Biosystems) using full length TruSeq

Illumina primers, as follows: Primer 1:

GATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCAC[i7]ATCTCGTATGCCGTCTTCTGCTTG and Primer 2:

AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACAC[i5]ACACTCTTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTTCCGATCT. Three

technical replicates were sequenced for each sample. Samples were sequenced on an Illumina

MiSeq at either the DNA Technologies and Expression Analysis Core (University of California,

Davis), or on an Illumina MiSeq at SeqMatic, LLC. Illumina sequencing data analysis was

performed at the Bioinformatics Core (University of California, Davis).

*Illumina sequencing analysis*

Illumina sequencing data was demultiplexed using barcode sequences added during

library preparation with Illumina demultiplexing software. All reads were sequenced as PE

151bp runs. The resulting paired reads were then cleaned using HTStream

(https://github.com/ibest/HTStream) to 1) remove reads containing PhiX Control Library

sequences, 2) trim Illumina sequencing adaptors, and 3) discard primer dimers and other reads

where adaptor trimming was unsuccessful.

Cleaned reads were mapped against the *E. histolytica* release 46 genome assembly

(downloaded from https://amoebadb.org/common/downloads/release-

46/EhistolyticaHM1IMSS/fasta/data/AmoebaDB-46_EhistolyticaHM1IMSS_Genome.fasta) using

bwa mem v2.0pre1 [58]. Output from bwa was passed to samtools v1.10 [59] for sorting and

indexing, and also to extract reads from for visualization of specific loci. Results from mapping

and subsequent analysis were visualized and reviewed in Geneious Prime 2020.0.3

(https://www.geneious.com). Identifying unique fragments and counting their frequency was

done using a custom Python script. Briefly, the script iterates over read-sorted BAM records.

Read pairs that were mapped to the same contig with proper orientation, a minimum of 146

matched bases, and no more than four bases soft clipped from the 5' end of either read pair

were used to identify fragments. Read mapping orientation was used to infer fragment

orientation. A counter for each unique fragment was incremented for additional reads

supporting the fragment. Reads that were mapped in proper orientation but that did not meet

other criteria were compared against the fragment intervals with an incremented count

assigned to the highest scoring overlap. As a last step, the script generates summary statistics,

an interval table with counts for all samples, and SAF and gff annotation files for each sample.

Output from the Python script was then loaded into R v3.6.1 [60] for further analysis

and to generate figures. Briefly, data.table [61] was used to load the full set of intervals, order

them by genomic position, and to compute summaries by sample group. Tidyr [62]was used for

reformatting data, and most plots were generated with ggplot2 [63]. The vegan package [64]

was used for calculating saturation curve values. The GenomicRanges package [65] was used in

combination with gene annotation (downloaded from

https://amoebadb.org/common/downloads/release-

46/EhistolyticaHM1IMSS/gff/data/AmoebaDB-46_EhistolyticaHM1IMSS.gff) to determine and

count fragments targeting genes, all reported counts used a minimum overlap of 27bp.

Genome coverage plots were generated with karyoploteR [66] and heatmaps were plotted

using pheatmap [67]. Scripts and more details about the analysis are available at the GitHub

repository associated with this publication: https://github.com/samhunter/Bettadapur-et-al-

Entamoeba-histolytica-RNAi-library.

All sequencing data from this project have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive

(SRA) database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra), under project number SUB7459667.

*Transfection and clonal lines*

Library plasmids were transfected into amoebae using Attractene transfection reagent

(Qiagen) [12, 68]. 50 ml M199 (Gibco medium M199 with Earle's salts, L-glutamine, and 2.2

g/liter sodium bicarbonate, without phenol red), was supplemented with 0.045 g L-Cysteine,

0.3 g HEPES, 0.005 g ascorbic acid (all from Sigma) and the pH was adjusted to 6.8. To 42.5 ml of

this medium, 7.5 ml of heat inactivated Adult Bovine Serum (Gemini bio-products) was added

to make transfection medium. Amoebae were resuspended in transfection medium at a

concentration of $2.5 \times 10^5$ cells/ml, and then 1.8 ml of amoebae, 10 μg plasmid DNA (in 200 μl of

transfection medium), and 37.5 μl Attractene reagent (Qiagen) were combined into a 2.0 ml

screw-cap cryotube. Cryotubes were incubated horizontally at 35°C for 3 hours. Two cryotubes

per transfection were then added to a T25 flask containing 66 ml of fresh TYI media. 24 hours

later, 6 μg/ml geneticin (Invitrogen) was added. Stable transfectants were selected and

maintained with geneticin at 6 μg/ml.

The efficiency of stable transfection was determined by performing limiting dilution.

Three independent experiments were performed. Amoebae were transfected using the process

described above, except that 24 hours after transfection, amoebae were harvested and

resuspended in TYI at a concentration of $2x10^6$ cells/ml. Amoebae were then serially diluted

into 96-well plates, in the presence or absence of 6 μg/ml geneticin. Plates were incubated in

GasPak EZ pouch (BD Biosciences) and growth was monitored daily. The plates without

Geneticin were used to calculate the plating efficiency. In the plates without geneticin, growth

was seen in wells corresponding to approximately 2.5 cells on average. In the plates containing

geneticin, growth was seen in wells containing 781 to 3125 amoebae. Taken together, the

corrected stable transfection efficiency was ~1/1000 amoebae.

For generation of clonal mutant lines for phenotypic characterization, limiting dilution

was performed in 96-well plates in a GasPak EZ pouch (BD Biosciences). For identification of

mutants with growth defects, clonal lines that visually appeared to have slow growth were

selected for further analysis. RNA was extracted using the Direct-zol RNA kit (Zymo). gDNA

(which contains exogeneous plasmids) was extracted using the Quick-DNA miniprep kit (Zymo).

Sanger sequencing was used to identify the library insert associated with each clonal line.

Sequencing was carried out by Genewiz. To identify the gene targeted for knockdown in each

clonal line, the insert sequence was used to search the reference genome. Genes with at least

50nt of continuous sequence identity with the insert were considered hits. See Table S4 for

sequencing primer information.

*Growth analysis*

Clonal stable transfectants were resuspended to $8x10^3$ cells/ml and 1 ml of cells was

added to three tubes containing 13 ml fresh TYI with geneticin. Cells were incubated at 35°C. At

days 2, 4, and 6, one tube of cells was harvested per day and counted using a TC20 Automatic

cell counter (BioRad). Vector control transfected amoebae (containing pTriggerAdaptor) were

used as a negative control, and superoxide dismutase heterogeneous knockdown mutants were

used as a positive control for slow growth. Two technical replicates were performed per

experiment and three independent growth curve experiments were performed for each

mutant.

*RT-PCR*

RT-PCR was used to assess knockdown in transfectants. RNA was extracted using the

Direct-zol RNA kit (Zymo). DNAseI (Turbo) treatment and SuperScript Reverse Transcription II

(Invitrogen) was performed as per manufacturer instructions to obtain cDNA. RT-PCR was

performed on cDNA harvested from transfectants containing the following constructs: BAR/SH3

domain-containing protein with and without Illumina adaptors, Superoxide Dismutase;

SG1/SG1 independent knock down; and SG2/SG2 independent knockdown. GAPDH

(EHI_187020) RT-PCR was used as a positive control. See Table S4 for RT-PCR primer sequences.

*Statistical analysis*

GraphPad Prism was used for student's unpaired *t* test statistical analysis and

normalization (baseline removal). Mean values and standard deviations are shown in the

figures, with *t* test values reported as follows: ns = $p > 0.05$, * = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.01$, *** = $p <$

$0.001$, **** = $p < 0.0001$.

**Acknowledgements**

We thank Hannah Miller, Rene Suleiman, Scott Dawson and Su-Ju Lin for helpful

discussions. We thank Lutz Froenicke for helpful advice on designing the library to enable

Illumina sequencing. DNA sequencing was carried out by the DNA Technologies and

Expression Analysis Cores at the UC Davis Genome Center, supported by NIH Shared

Instrumentation Grant 1S10OD010786-01. A.B. was supported by a fellowship from the UC

Davis Training Program in Biomolecular Technology. This work was funded by NIH grant

1R01AI146914 and a Pew Scholarship awarded to K.S.R.

**Author Contributions**

596           A.B. designed and performed all of the experiments, except fragmentation of gDNA.

C.G.B. fragmented gDNA and processed the fragments to enable subsequent plasmid library

construction. S.S.H. designed the analysis strategy for Illumina sequencing data, and

analyzed all Illumina sequencing data. M.L.S. contributed to the overall strategy for

constructing, sequencing and analyzing the plasmid library, and oversaw the Illumina

analysis approach. K.S.R. conceived of the overall approach and oversaw the design and

analysis of the experiments. A.B., S.S.H., and K.S.R. wrote the manuscript.

**Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**References**

1.   *WHO/PAHO/UNESCO report. A consultation with experts on amoebiasis. Mexico City,*
*Mexico 28-29 January, 1997.* Epidemiol Bull, 1997. **18**(1): p. 13-4.
2.   *GBD 2015 Mortality and Causes of Death Collaborators. (2016). Global, regional, and*
*national life expectancy, all-cause mortality, and cause-specific mortality for 249 causes*
*of death, 1980-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015,*
in *Lancet (London, England).* Elsevier. p. 1459-1544.
3.   Gilchrist, C.A., et al., *Role of the Gut Microbiota of Children in Diarrhea Due to the*
*Protozoan Parasite Entamoeba histolytica.* The Journal of infectious diseases, 2016.
**213**(10): p. 1579-1585.
4.   Mondal, D., et al., *Entamoeba histolytica-associated diarrheal illness is negatively*
*associated with the growth of preschool children: evidence from a prospective study.*
Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg, 2006. **100**(11): p. 1032-8.
5.   Kotloff, K.L., et al., *Burden and aetiology of diarrhoeal disease in infants and young*
*children in developing countries (the Global Enteric Multicenter Study, GEMS): a*
*prospective, case-control study.* The Lancet, 2013. **382**(9888): p. 209-222.
6.   Gonzales, M.L.M., L.F. Dans, and J. Sio-Aguilar, *Antiamoebic drugs for treating amoebic*
*colitis.* The Cochrane database of systematic reviews, 2019. **1**(1): p. CD006085-
CD006085.
7.   Ralston, K.S. and W.A. Petri, Jr *Tissue destruction and invasion by Entamoeba histolytica.*
Trends Parasitol, 2011. **27**(6): p. 254-63.
8.   Ravdin, J.I. and R.L. Guerrant, *Role of adherence in cytopathogenic mechanisms of*
*Entamoeba histolytica. Study with mammalian tissue culture cells and human*
*erythrocytes.* J Clin Invest, 1981. **68**(5): p. 1305-13.
9.   Saffer, L.D. and W.A. Petri, Jr., *Role of the galactose lectin of Entamoeba histolytica in*
*adherence-dependent killing of mammalian cells.* Infect Immun, 1991. **59**(12): p. 4681-3.
10.  Keene, W.E., et al., *The major neutral proteinase of Entamoeba histolytica.* J Exp Med,
1986. **163**(3): p. 536-49.
11.  Lidell, M.E., et al., *Entamoeba histolytica cysteine proteases cleave the MUC2 mucin in*
*its C-terminal domain and dissolve the protective colonic mucus gel.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A, 2006. **103**(24): p. 9298-303.
12.  Ralston, K.S., et al., *Trogocytosis by Entamoeba histolytica contributes to cell killing and*
*tissue invasion.* Nature, 2014. **508**(7497): p. 526-30.
13.  Bettadapur, A., H.W. Miller, and K.S. Ralston, *Biting off what can be chewed:*
*Trogocytosis in health, infection and disease.* Infection and Immunity., 2020. **In Press**.
14.  Willhoeft, U. and E. Tannich, *The electrophoretic karyotype of Entamoeba histolytica.*
Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology, 1999. **99**(1).
15.  Lorenzi, H.A., et al., *New Assembly, Reannotation and Analysis of the Entamoeba*
*histolytica Genome Reveal New Genomic Features and Protein Content Information.*
PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases, 2010. **4**(6): p. e716.
16.  Wilson, I.W., et al., *Genetic Diversity and Gene Family Expansions in Members of the*
*Genus Entamoeba.* Genome biology and evolution, 2019. **11**(3): p. 688-705.

17.    Loftus, B., et al., *The genome of the protist parasite Entamoeba histolytica.* Nature,
648              2005. **433**(7028): p. 865-8.

649    18.    Lorenzi, H.A., et al., *New assembly, reannotation and analysis of the Entamoeba*
650              *histolytica genome reveal new genomic features and protein content information.* PLoS
651              neglected tropical diseases, 2010. **4**(6): p. e716-e716.

652    19.    Hamann, L., R. Nickel, and E. Tannich, *Transfection and continuous expression of*
653              *heterologous genes in the protozoan parasite Entamoeba histolytica.* Proceedings of the
654              National Academy of Sciences, 1995. **92**(19): p. 8975-8979.

655    20.    Hamann, L., H. Buß, and E. Tannich, *Tetracycline-controlled gene expression in*
656              *Entamoeba histolytica.* Molecular and Biochemical Parasitology, 1997. **84**(1): p. 83-91.

657    21.    King, A.V., et al., *A genome-wide over-expression screen identifies genes involved in*
658              *phagocytosis in the human protozoan parasite, Entamoeba histolytica.* PloS one, 2012.
659              **7**(8): p. e43025-e43025.

660    22.    Koushik, A.B., et al., *A genomewide overexpression screen identifies genes involved in*
661              *the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase pathway in the human protozoan parasite Entamoeba*
662              *histolytica.* Eukaryotic cell, 2014. **13**(3): p. 401-411.

663    23.    Bracha, R., et al., *Antisense inhibition of amoebapore expression in Entamoeba*
664              *histolytica causes a decrease in amoebic virulence.* Mol Microbiol, 1999. **34**(3): p. 463-
665              72.

666    24.    Bracha, R., Y. Nuchamowitz, and D. Mirelman, *Transcriptional silencing of an*
667              *amoebapore gene in Entamoeba histolytica: molecular analysis and effect on*
668              *pathogenicity.* Eukaryotic cell, 2003. **2**(2): p. 295-305.

669    25.    Bracha, R., et al., *Transcriptional silencing of multiple genes in trophozoites of*
670              *Entamoeba histolytica.* PLoS Pathog, 2006. **2**(5): p. e48.

671    26.    Stock, R.P., et al., *Inhibition of gene expression in Entamoeba histolytica with antisense*
672              *peptide nucleic acid oligomers.* Nature Biotechnology, 2001. **19**(3): p. 231-234.

673    27.    Kaur, G. and A. Lohia, *Inhibition of gene expression with double strand RNA interference*
674              *in Entamoeba histolytica.* Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 2004.
675              **320**(4): p. 1118-1122.

676    28.    Solis, C.F., et al., *Use of bacterially expressed dsRNA to downregulate Entamoeba*
677              *histolytica gene expression.* PloS one, 2009. **4**(12): p. e8424-e8424.

678    29.    Linford, A.S., et al., *Short hairpin RNA-mediated knockdown of protein expression in*
679              *Entamoeba histolytica.* BMC microbiology, 2009. **9**: p. 38-38.

680    30.    Zhang, H., et al., *Small RNAs with 5□-Polyphosphate Termini Associate with a Piwi-*
681              *Related Protein and Regulate Gene Expression in the Single-Celled Eukaryote Entamoeba*
682              *histolytica.* PLOS Pathogens, 2008. **4**(11): p. e1000219.

683    31.    Sijen, T., et al., *Secondary siRNAs Result from Unprimed RNA Synthesis and Form a*
684              *Distinct Class.* Science, 2007. **315**(5809): p. 244.

685    32.    Zhang, H., et al., *Nucleus-localized antisense small RNAs with 5'-polyphosphate termini*
686              *regulate long term transcriptional gene silencing in Entamoeba histolytica G3 strain.* J
687              Biol Chem, 2011. **286**(52): p. 44467-79.

688    33.    Pompey, J.M., B. Foda, and U. Singh, *A Single RNaseIII Domain Protein from Entamoeba*
689              *histolytica Has dsRNA Cleavage Activity and Can Help Mediate RNAi Gene Silencing in a*
690              *Heterologous System.* PloS one, 2015. **10**(7): p. e0133740-e0133740.

691     34.     Zhang, H., et al., *Functional Characterization of Entamoeba histolytica Argonaute*
692             *Proteins Reveals a Repetitive DR-Rich Motif Region That Controls Nuclear Localization.*
693             mSphere, 2019. **4**(5): p. e00580-19.
694     35.     Morf, L., et al., *Robust gene silencing mediated by antisense small RNAs in the*
695             *pathogenic protist Entamoeba histolytica.* Nucleic acids research, 2013. **41**(20): p. 9424-
696             9437.
697     36.     Foda, B.M. and U. Singh, *Dimethylated H3K27 Is a Repressive Epigenetic Histone Mark in*
698             *the Protist Entamoeba histolytica and Is Significantly Enriched in Genes Silenced via the*
699             *RNAi Pathway.* The Journal of biological chemistry, 2015. **290**(34): p. 21114-21130.
700     37.     Pompey, J.M., L. Morf, and U. Singh, *RNAi pathway genes are resistant to small RNA*
701             *mediated gene silencing in the protozoan parasite Entamoeba histolytica.* PLoS One,
702             2014. **9**(9): p. e106477.
703     38.     Manna, D., et al., *An NAD(+)-dependent novel transcription factor controls stage*
704             *conversion in Entamoeba.* Elife, 2018. **7**.
705     39.     Rastew, E., L. Morf, and U. Singh, *Entamoeba histolytica rhomboid protease 1 has a role*
706             *in migration and motility as validated by two independent genetic approaches.* Exp
707             Parasitol, 2015. **154**: p. 33-42.
708     40.     Suresh, S., et al., *Development of RNA Interference Trigger-Mediated Gene Silencing in*
709             *Entamoeba invadens.* Infect Immun, 2016. **84**(4): p. 964-975.
710     41.     Morris, J.C., et al., *Glycolysis modulates trypanosome glycoprotein expression as*
711             *revealed by an RNAi library.* The EMBO journal, 2002. **21**(17): p. 4429-4438.
712     42.     Drew, M.E., et al., *The adenosine analog tubercidin inhibits glycolysis in Trypanosoma*
713             *brucei as revealed by an RNA interference library.* J Biol Chem, 2003. **278**(47): p. 46596-
714             600.
715     43.     Stortz, J.A., et al., *Genome-wide and protein kinase-focused RNAi screens reveal*
716             *conserved and novel damage response pathways in Trypanosoma brucei.* PLoS Pathog,
717             2017. **13**(7): p. e1006477.
718     44.     Glover, L., et al., *VEX1 controls the allelic exclusion required for antigenic variation in*
719             *trypanosomes.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2016. **113**(26): p. 7225-30.
720     45.     Rico, E., et al., *Genome-wide RNAi selection identifies a regulator of transmission stage-*
721             *enriched gene families and cell-type differentiation in Trypanosoma brucei.* PLoS
722             pathogens, 2017. **13**(3): p. e1006279-e1006279.
723     46.     Mony, B.M., et al., *Genome-wide dissection of the quorum sensing signalling pathway in*
724             *Trypanosoma brucei.* Nature, 2014. **505**(7485): p. 681-685.
725     47.     Alsford, S., et al., *High-throughput decoding of antitrypanosomal drug efficacy and*
726             *resistance.* Nature, 2012. **482**(7384): p. 232-236.
727     48.     Collett, C.F., et al., *Chemogenomic Profiling of Antileishmanial Efficacy and Resistance in*
728             *the Related Kinetoplastid Parasite Trypanosoma brucei.* Antimicrob Agents Chemother,
729             2019. **63**(8).
730     49.     Trenaman, A., et al., *A post-transcriptional respiratome regulon in trypanosomes.*
731             Nucleic Acids Res, 2019. **47**(13): p. 7063-7077.
732     50.     Zhang, N., et al., *Host-parasite co-metabolic activation of antitrypanosomal*
733             *aminomethyl-benzoxaboroles.* PLoS Pathog, 2018. **14**(2): p. e1006850.

51. Alsford, S., et al., *High-throughput phenotyping using parallel sequencing of RNA*
*interference targets in the African trypanosome.* Genome research, 2011. **21**(6): p. 915-
924.
52. Khalil, M.I., et al., *Technical advances in trigger-induced RNA interference gene silencing*
*in the parasite Entamoeba histolytica.* Int J Parasitol, 2016. **46**(3): p. 205-212.
53. Caspi, R., et al., *The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes and the*
*BioCyc collection of pathway/genome databases.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2016. **44**(D1): p.
D471-80.
54. Hastings, J., et al., *The ChEBI reference database and ontology for biologically relevant*
*chemistry: enhancements for 2013.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2013. **41**(Database issue): p.
D456-63.
55. Hon, C.C., et al., *Quantification of stochastic noise of splicing and polyadenylation in*
*Entamoeba histolytica.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2013. **41**(3): p. 1936-52.
56. Shabardina, V., et al., *Environmental adaptation of Acanthamoeba castellanii and*
*Entamoeba histolytica at genome level as seen by comparative genomic analysis.* Int J
Biol Sci, 2018. **14**(3): p. 306-320.
57. Saito-Nakano, Y., et al., *Rab5-associated vacuoles play a unique role in phagocytosis of*
*the enteric protozoan parasite Entamoeba histolytica.* J Biol Chem, 2004. **279**(47): p.
49497-507.
58. Li, H., *Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.*
arXiv e-prints, 2013.
59. Li, H., et al., *The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.* Bioinformatics, 2009.
**25**(16): p. 2078-9.
60. team, R.c., *R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for*
*Statistical Computing.* 2019: Vienna, Austria.
61. Dowle, M. and A. Srinivasan, *data.table: Extension of `data.frame`. R package version*
*1.12.8.* 2019.
62. Wickham, H. and L. Henry, *tidyr: Tidy Messy Data. R package version 1.0.2.* 2020.
63. Wickham, H., *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis.* 2016: Springer-Verlag, New
York.
64. Oksanen, J., et al., *vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-6. .* 2019.
65. Lawrence, M., et al., *Software for Computing and Annotating Genomic Ranges.* PLOS
Computational Biology, 2013. **9**(8): p. e1003118.
66. Gel, B. and E. Serra, *karyoploteR: an R/Bioconductor package to plot customizable*
*genomes displaying arbitrary data.* Bioinformatics (Oxford, England), 2017. **33**(19): p.
3088-3090.
67. Kolde, R., *pheatmap: Pretty Heatmaps. R package version 1.0.12.* 2019.
68. Miller, H.W., R.L. Suleiman, and K.S. Ralston, *Trogocytosis by Entamoeba histolytica*
*Mediates Acquisition and Display of Human Cell Membrane Proteins and Evasion of Lysis*
*by Human Serum.* mBio, 2019. **10**(2).
774
775

 **Figure Legends**

**Figure 1. RNAi library strategy.**

**a,** The plasmid RNAi library contains random fragments of gDNA cloned into the trigger

RNAi plasmid (pTriggerAdaptor). Different plasmid inserts are represented by different

colors in this schematic. A heterogeneous population of stably transfected RNAi knockdown

mutants can be exposed to selection or screening. To identify mutants that are represented

in the population after selection/screening, gDNA is extracted for analysis. gDNA extracted

from non-selected amoebae is used as a control. **b,** Schematic representation of the plasmid

RNAi library. The trigger plasmid is shown, with different inserts represented by different

colors. The "trigger" is a 132 bp fragment of EHI_048600. pTrigger was modified to create

pTriggerAdaptor, containing custom Illumina TruSeq adaptor sequences (IA) upstream and

downstream of the cloning site to facilitate amplicon sequencing. A single round of PCR is

needed to add the remaining Illumina sequences (shown in dark gray) and barcodes

necessary for Illumina deep sequencing analysis. **c,** An 812 bp fragment of a BAR/SH3

domain-containing protein (EHI_091530) was inserted into pTrigger with or without custom

Illumina TruSeq adaptors flanking the cloning site. The BAR/SH3 domain-containing protein

was in the forward orientation and in frame relative to the trigger sequence. Stably

transfected heterogeneous mutants were assessed for knockdown of the targeted gene

using RT-PCR, with (+) or without (-) reverse transcriptase. GAPDH (EHI_187020) was used

as a loading control.

**Fig. 2. RNAi library construction.**

**a,** Steps to create the library. *E. histolytica* gDNA was extracted and treated with RNAse.

Sonication, followed by gel purification, was used to isolate gDNA fragments. Fragments

were end-repaired, A-tailed, and ligated to Y-shaped adaptors. The adaptors contain

sequences corresponding to the region upstream and downstream of the cloning site in

pTriggerAdaptor. The Y-shaped design ensures that, after PCR to make these sequences

double-stranded, each gDNA fragment will be flanked by both the upstream/IA plasmid

sequence (represented in black), and the downstream/IA sequence (represented in gray).

Finally, the adaptor-ligated gDNA fragments were cloned into pTriggerAdaptor using Gibson

cloning. **b – c,** Bioanalyzer traces show appropriate size shift after adaptor ligation,

supporting that Y-shaped adaptors were successfully ligated. Example data are shown from

optimization experiments that were performed to establish the protocols for library

construction. **d,** Gibson cloning and plasmid preps were done *en masse* with pooled

plasmids. To check cloning efficiency, individual colonies were obtained and analyzed.

Shown are plasmid samples and restriction analysis from the pilot plasmid library

preparation. Restriction analysis was performed with restriction enzymes that flank the

cloning site (U, uncut; D, digested). Essentially all plasmids that were analyzed contained an

insert of the appropriate size range.

**Figure 3. The final RNAi library has genome-wide coverage.**

**a,** Nucleotide frequency analysis of the HM1:IMSS reference genome, the pilot and final

gDNA fragments, and the pilot and final plasmid libraries. Stacked bar plots show the

percentage of each base. The nucleotide composition of the gDNA fragments and the final

plasmid library is the same as the genome. **b,** Histograms showing the distribution of

fragments sizes for the unique fragments in the pilot and final gDNA fragments, and the

pilot and final plasmid libraries. Size in nucleotides is plotted on the X axis, and probability

distribution density is plotted on the Y axis. **c,** Genome coverage plots of the final library,

over the five largest contigs in the reference genome. The positions of unique fragments

and the depth in fragments overlapping each genomic position are shown. The positions of

genes are shown with black and green bars. Fragments that are in the same orientation as

genes that are in the forward orientation in the reference genome are shown in black, and

fragments that are in the same orientation as genes that are in the reverse orientation in

the reference genome are shown in green. **d,** Visual representation of fragment coverage in

the final library, over 100 kb of contig DS571145.

**Figure 4. Fragment directionality and coverage analysis.**

Heat map showing the number of unique fragments per gene in the pilot and final gDNA

fragments, and the pilot and final plasmid libraries. The number of unique fragments ($Log_2$) for

each gene are plotted (color value, see legend). Stranded fragments are in the same orientation

as genes. Unstranded fragments are the total number of fragments, both in the same

orientation as genes and in the opposite orientation as genes.

**Figure 5. Identification of slow growth mutants, with phenotypes that are reproducible in**

**independently-generated knockdown mutants.**

**a,** Growth analysis of clonal knockdown mutant lines obtained from screening the

heterogeneous library transfectants for growth defects. Amoebae transfected with

pTriggerAdaptor (vector control) were used as a negative control, and superoxide dismutase

(EHI_159160) knockdown mutants were used as a positive control for slow growth. The

library mutants SG1 and SG2 each exhibited a significant growth defect when compared to

vector control transfectants. **b,** RT-PCR analysis demonstrates that the genes corresponding

to the plasmid inserts in SG1 and SG2 (EHI_194310 and EHI_007010, respectively), were

knocked down. **c,** Knockdown mutants were independently generated by using fragments of

these genes that differed from the fragments in the library plasmids. These independent

mutants are referred to as SG1i and SG2i. Growth analysis was performed using

heterogeneous stable transfectants, and growth mutants exhibited a significant growth

defect when compared to vector control. **d,** RT-PCR analysis demonstrates that EHI_194310

and EHI_007010 were knocked down. **e,** Comparison of growth phenotypes in SG1, SG2,

SG1i and SG2i. Values were normalized to vector control transfectants. Mutants exhibited

statistically significant growth defects relative to vector control transfectants.

**Table Legends**

**Table 1. Library coverage analysis.**

Analysis of coverage in the pilot and final gDNA fragments, and the pilot and final plasmid

libraries. Genomic coverage (reported as bp covered, forward, reverse, and unstranded)

was calculated using fragments of the appropriate orientation, inferred from mapped reads

and the *coverage* function in the GenomicRanges R/Bioconductor package. The total

number of covered bp are indicated, and the corresponding percentage of the HM1:IMSS

reference genome that this represents. Forward fragments are in the same orientation as

genes that are in the forward orientation in the reference genome. Reverse fragments are

in the same orientation as genes that are in the reverse orientation in the reference

genome. Stranded fragments are in the same orientation as genes, and are the combined

total of both forward and reverse fragments. Unstranded fragments are the total number of

fragments, both in the same orientation as genes in the reference genome and in the

opposite orientation as genes. Genes that are targeted are those with at least one unique

fragment with greater than or equal to 27nt that overlaps the gene. Genes that are missed

are those that do not fit the criteria for targeted genes. Genes that are targeted by multiple

fragments are those with two or more unique fragments. Counts were calculated using the

countOverlaps function in the GenomicRanges package and annotation from AmoebaDB.

The number of unique fragments is the total number of unique fragments in each sample.

**Table 2. Identified slow growth mutants.**

Summary of growth phenotypes of SG1 – SG12 slow growth mutants, and analysis of the

sequences present in each corresponding library plasmid. Growth values represent cell

numbers normalized to vector control transfectants on day 4 of growth assays. The

corresponding raw data growth assay data are found in Fig. 5a and Fig. S5a, c, e). Mutants

exhibited statistically significant growth defects relative to vector control transfectants; *p*-

values from day 4 are shown. The insert sizes are shown in bp. Insert directionality relative

to the corresponding coding sequence in the annotated genome is shown. Insert frame

relative to the trigger sequence in the pTriggerAdaptor is shown. The accession numbers for

coding sequences that inserts correspond to are indicated, with available annotation

information and GO terms for each gene (NA, not applicable). Predicted roles in metabolic

pathways are shown; genes that are predicted to function in more than one metabolic

pathway are indicated as "several." These predictions are based on data from KEGG

Metabolic Pathways (https://www.kegg.jp), the MetaCyc Metabolic Pathway Database [53],

and Chemical Entities of Biological Interest (ChEBI) database [54].

**Supplementary Figure Legends**

**Figure S1. Preparation of RNA-free gDNA from *E. histolytica.***

Gel electrophoresis analysis of gDNA extracted using the Wizard gDNA extraction kit (Promega),

Quick-DNA miniprep kit (Zymo), and CTAB extraction. **a,** gDNA isolated using the Wizard kit had

no apparent RNA contamination, and the gDNA appeared to be of high quality. **b,** gDNA

isolated using the Quick-DNA kit or CTAB was contaminated with RNA. RNA contamination was

removed by treatment with RNAseIF and subsequent purification. **c,** Bioanalyzer traces of pilot

and final gDNA samples, after fragmentation and size selection.

**Figure S2. Fragment Mapping Analysis.**

Example view of mapped genomic fragments ("intervals") represented in the final plasmid

library. For comparison, the mapped paired end reads that give rise to each fragment are

shown below each fragment. Shown are results from final plasmid batch two, sequencing

replicate one, mapped to contig DS571153.

**Figure S3. Appropriate sequencing depth and lack of bias during library construction.**

**a,** Dinucleotide frequency analysis of the HM1:IMSS reference genome, the pilot and final gDNA

fragments, and the pilot and final plasmid libraries. Stacked bar plots show the percentage of

each dinucleotide. The dinucleotide composition of the gDNA fragments and the final plasmid

library is the same as the genome. **b – c,** Rarefaction curves for pilot (panel b) and final (panel c)

samples. gDNA fragment samples are shown in grey and plasmid samples are shown in orange.

The number of fragments detected with increasing sequence reads shows if sequencing was

performed to saturation. Three sequencing replicates are shown for pilot gDNA samples and

three sequencing replicates are shown for pilot plasmid samples. Three sequencing replicates

are shown for final gDNA samples and three sequencing replicates are shown for each of four

batches of final plasmid samples.

**Figure S4. Reproducible fragment and read counts from biological and technical replicates.**

"Batches" of the final plasmid library were cloned on different days, and represent biological

replicates. "Reps" correspond to independently prepared sequencing preparations, and

represent technical replicates. **a,** Box plot of read counts per fragment in plasmid samples, with

pilot plasmids in orange and final plasmids in grey. $Log_2$ read counts are shown; outliers

(outside of $2^{nd}$ and $98^{th}$ percentile) are plotted as points, and the box represents the $25^{th}$, and

$75^{th}$ percentile with median indicated as a bold line. Most fragments were represented by

multiple sequenced reads. **b,** Stacked bar plots of the total number of fragments detected per

sample shows that replicates are similar to each other in the number of fragments identified

and that roughly equal numbers of forward and reverse fragments were produced consistently.

Forward fragments are in the same orientation as genes that are in the forward orientation in

the reference genome. Reverse fragments are in the same orientation as genes that are in the

reverse orientation in the reference genome.

**Figure S5. Annotated genes that were missed or were least covered by sequencing reads.**

Heat map showing the number of unique fragments per gene, for the 50 least covered genes in

the pilot and final gDNA fragments, and the pilot and final plasmid libraries. The color intensity

indicates $Log_2$ fragment coverage, with dark blue indicating no coverage. Stranded fragments

are in the same orientation as genes. Unstranded fragments are the total number of fragments,

both in the same orientation as genes and in the opposite orientation as genes.

**Figure S6. Clonal transfectants contain only one library plasmid.**

SG1 gDNA was extracted using the Quick-DNA miniprep kit and PCR amplified using the CS5'

and CS3' primers that sit in the pTriggerAdaptor backbone. Sanger sequencing was performed

using the RNAi Sequencing Library F primer. Adaptor 2 starts at the "GGGG" series of

nucleotides, and upstream of this is the SG1 (EHI_194310) sequence. Noncompeting peaks in

the chromatogram suggest that there is one unique genome fragment present in this mutant.

**Figure S7. Identification of slow growing RNAi knockdown mutants.**

Growth analysis of mutants SG1 – SG12. **a, c, e,** Growth analysis demonstrates that selected

clonal knockdown mutant lines have significant growth defects compared to vector control

transfectants. **b, d, f**, Growth was normalized and compared to vector control transfectants

on each day. Mutants exhibited statistically significant growth defects relative to vector

control transfectants.

**Supplementary Table Legends**

**Table S1. Sequencing and fragment count statistics.**

Total number of paired reads mapping to the same contig (mappedPairs) and the number of

fragments inferred from these mapped pairs for each sample. Reads were mapped with bwa

mem, and processed from the resulting SAM formatted file using a custom Python script.

Three sequencing replicates were performed for pilot gDNA samples and three sequencing

replicates were performed for pilot plasmid samples. Three sequencing replicates were

performed for final gDNA samples and three sequencing replicates were performed for each of

four batches of final plasmid samples.

**Table S2. Expression data for annotated genes that were missing in the final plasmid library.**

Available empirical expression data for genes that were missing in the final plasmid library

(genes that are represented in blue in Fig. S3). Accession numbers, annotation information, and

the number of paralogs in the reference genome are indicated. Presence (+) or absence (-) in

gDNA and plasmid datasets is shown. Gene expression from available RNAseq datasets [55, 56]

is indicated, as the FPKM + 1 value. The highest value for FPKM + 1 for each gene is shown. The

total number of spectra that have been identified in proteomics studies is indicated.

**Table S3. Expression data for representative genes present in the final plasmid library.**

To provide a frame of reference for the expression data in Table S2, shown are the available

empirical expression data for 10 random, representative genes that were present in the final

plasmid library. Accession numbers, annotation information, and the number of paralogs in the

reference genome are indicated. Presence (+) or absence (-) in gDNA and plasmid datasets is

shown. Gene expression from available RNAseq datasets [55, 56] is indicated, as the FPKM + 1

value. The highest value for FPKM + 1 for each gene is shown. The total number of spectra that

have been identified in proteomics studies is indicated.

**Table S4. Primer sequences.**

Sequences of the primers used to generate and sequence plasmids, perform RT-PCR, and

create the plasmid RNAi library.

# Figure 1

**a**

RNAi library

Selection

Extract DNA for deep sequencing

*E. histolytica*

Reference (no selection)

**b**

PCR using Illumina adaptor (IA) sequences to add full Illumina sequences and barcodes

Deep sequencing to quantitatively identify mutants

**c**

# Figure 2

# Figure 3

# Figure 4

# Figure 5

# Table 1

| | Pilot | | Final | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | **gDNA** | **Plasmid** | **gDNA** | **Plasmid** |
| **Covered bp, forward** | 19961090 | 93257 | 20500538 | 19730276 |
| **Covered %, forward** | 96.0 | 0.5 | 98.6 | 94.9 |
| **Covered bp, reverse** | 19949512 | 99274 | 20499865 | 19734222 |
| **Covered %, reverse** | 96.0 | 0.5 | 98.6 | 94.9 |
| **Covered bp, unstranded** | 20401392 | 191674 | 20571421 | 20225883 |
| **Covered %, unstranded** | 98.1 | 0.9 | 98.9 | 97.2 |
| **Genes targeted, stranded** | 8299 | 152 | 8310 | 8302 |
| **Genes missed, stranded** | 34 | 8181 | 23 | 31 |
| **Mean fragments per gene, stranded** | 21 | 0 | 59.2 | 23.3 |
| **Genes targeted by multiple fragments, stranded** | 8270 | 54 | 8308 | 8276 |
| **Genes targeted, unstranded** | 8310 | 305 | 8310 | 8307 |
| **Genes missed, unstranded** | 23 | 8028 | 23 | 26 |
| **Mean fragments per gene, unstranded** | 42.9 | 0.1 | 119.9 | 47.2 |
| **Genes targeted by multiple fragments, unstranded** | 8307 | 111 | 8310 | 8301 |
| **Number of unique fragments** | 537066 | 621 | 1509696 | 489981 |

# Table 2

| Name | Normalized growth | *p*-value | Insert size (bp) | Insert Direction | Insert Frame | Accession | Annotation | Annotated Domains | GO term | Metabolic Pathways |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| SG1 | 16.74 +/- 2.17 | ** | 451 | Reverse | Out | EHI_194310 | Putative amino acid transporter | Transmembrane amino acid transporter protein | NA | NA |
| SG2 | 22.58 +/- 4.10 | ** | 544 | Forward | In | EHI_007010 | Hypothetical protein | Prokaryotic membrane lipoprotein lipid attachment site profile | NA | NA |
| SG3 | 72.87 +/- 6.54 | * | 403 | Forward | Out | DS571580 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SG4 | 9.45 +/- 0.78 | *** | 351 | Reverse | Out | EHI_110390 | Transporter, major facilitator family | MFS general substrate transporter | NA | NA |
| SG5 | 14.50 +/- 0.91 | *** | 463 | Forward | In | EHI_024220 | Hypothetical protein | ARM repeat | binding | NA |
|  |  |  |  | Forward | In | EHI_160920 | Hypothetical protein | ARM repeat | binding | NA |
|  |  |  |  | Forward | In | EHI_171760 | Hypothetical protein | ARM repeat | Obsolete protein import into nucleus; Docking | NA |
| SG6 | 15.19 +/- 2.23 | **** | 380 | Reverse | In | EHI_093960 | Putative pre-mRNA splicing factor | PRP1 splicing factor, N-terminal | mRNA splicing, *via* spliceosome | Several |
| SG7 | 19.59 +/- 1.89 | *** | 555 | Reverse | In | EHI_009580 | Putative tyrosine kinase | Protein tyrosine kinase | Protein phosphorylation | Several |
| SG8 | 65.35 +/- 5.05 | *** | 370 | Forward | In | EHI_148960 | Hypothetical protein | NA | NA | NA |
| SG9 | 11.08 +/- 0.96 | *** | 352 | Forward | In | DS571952 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| SG10 | 11.45 +/- 1.29 | *** | 425 | Forward | In | EHI_069320 | C2 domain containing protein | C2 domain (Calcium/lipid-binding domain, CaLB) | NA | Several |
| SG11 | 40.47 +/- 6.77 | *** | 408 | Reverse | Out | EHI_098820 | Hypothetical protein | RhoGEF domain; DBL homology domain | Regulation of Rho protein signal transduction | NA |
| SG12 | 18.09 +/- 1.83 | *** | 322 | Reverse | In | DS571214 | NA | NA | NA | NA |