**Natural variation in insect egg-induced cell death uncovers a role for L-type LECTIN**

**RECEPTOR KINASE-I.1 in Arabidopsis**

Raphaël Groux[1], Caroline Gouhier-Darimont[1], Envel Kerdaffrec[2] and Philippe Reymond[1]

[1]Department of Plant Molecular Biology, University of Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne,

Switzerland; [2]Department of Biology, University of Fribourg, CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

Author for correspondence:

Philippe Reymond

Tel: +41 21 692 41 90

Email: philippe.reymond@unil.ch

**Abstract**

In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, a hypersensitive-like response (HR-like) is triggered underneath the

eggs of the large white butterfly *Pieris brassicae*, and this response is dependent on salicylic

acid (SA) accumulation and signaling. Previous reports indicate that the clade I L-type lectin

receptor kinase LecRK-I.8 is involved in early steps of egg recognition. A genome-wide

association study (GWAS) was used to better characterize the genetic structure of HR-like and

discover loci that contribute to this response. We report here the identification of LecRK-I.1, a

close homolog of LecRK-I.8, and show that two main haplotypes that explain part of the

variation in HR-like segregate amongst natural Arabidopsis accessions. In addition, signatures

of balancing selection at this locus suggest that it may be ecologically important. Disruption of

LecRK-I.1 resulted in decreased HR-like and SA signaling, indicating that this protein is

important for the observed responses. Furthermore, we provide evidence that LecRK-I.1

functions in the same signaling pathway as LecRK-I.8. Altogether, our results show that the

response to eggs of *P. brassicae* is controlled by LecRKs that operate at various steps of the

signaling pathway.

Key words: Arabidopsis, genome-wide association study, hypersensitive-like response, insect

eggs, LecRK-I.1, *Pieris brassicae*

## Introduction

Although eggs of herbivorous insects deposited on plant leaves are immobile and inert structures, they represent a future threat when hatching larvae start to feed. Plants are able to detect the presence of eggs and respond by triggering direct and indirect defenses (Reymond, 2013; Hilker & Fatouros, 2015). Direct defenses such as tissue growth and localized cell death lead to reduced egg hatching, egg crushing by surrounding tissues, or egg desiccation and drop off (Shapiro & DeVay, 1987; Doss *et al.,* 2000; Garza *et al.,* 2001; Desurmont & Weston, 2011; Petzold-Maxwell *et al.,* 2011; Fatouros *et al.,* 2014). The production of ovicidal benzyl cyanide at the oviposition site was reported in *Oryza sativa* (Suzuki *et al.,* 1996; Yamasaki *et al.,* 2003). For indirect defenses, a release of volatiles or changes in leaf surface chemistry attract natural egg predators (Hilker & Meiners, 2006; Fatouros *et al.,* 2012). While these defense mechanisms impact egg mortality individually, some studies found that the co-induction of both direct and indirect defense strategies synergistically impact egg survival (Fatouros *et al.,* 2014). Even though such defenses can efficiently reduce insect pressure before damage occurs, one study indicates that these traits may get lost during domestication (Tamiru *et al.,* 2015), similar to what is observed for other defense-related traits (Chen *et al.,* 2015). Introgression of egg-killing traits in cultivated varieties has been reported in *O. sativa* (Suzuki *et al.,* 1996; Yamasaki *et al.,* 2003; Yang *et al.,* 2014), however it is still a mostly unexploited strategy due to a lack of mechanistic understanding of these responses at molecular and cellular levels (Reymond, 2013; Fatouros *et al.,* 2016).

Plants from the Brassicales and Solanales orders respond to oviposition through the induction of cell death (Petzold-Maxwell *et al.,* 2011; Fatouros *et al.,* 2014, 2016; Kalske *et al.,* 2014), a process that resembles the hypersensitive response (HR) triggered by certain adapted pathogens. Based on this intriguing similarity, this type of insect egg-triggered response is referred to as hypersensitive-like response (HR-like) (Reymond, 2013; Fatouros *et al.,* 2014). Recent progress in the study of HR-like in response to insect eggs revealed that reactive oxygen species (ROS) and salicylic acid (SA), two major signaling molecules regulating plant immunity, accumulate at oviposition sites (Little *et al.,* 2007; Bruessow *et al.,* 2010; Geuss *et al.,* 2017; Bittner *et al.,* 2017; Bonnet *et al.,* 2017). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, cell death induction upon crude egg extract (EE) treatment was reduced in mutants impaired in SA biosynthesis and signaling (Gouhier-Darimont *et al.,* 2013). In *Brassica nigra*, only plants expressing HR-like symptoms displayed elevated *PR1* transcript levels, a widely used SA marker gene, again suggesting that SA accumulation is required for HR-like induction (Fatouros *et al.,* 2014). These results indicate that the response to insect eggs is similar to pathogen-triggered immunity

(PTI), as demonstrated in Arabidopsis (Gouhier-Darimont *et al.,* 2013). However, knowledge

of elicitor-receptor pairs involved in plant response to eggs is lacking. A recent report identified

the Arabidopsis L-type LecRK-I.8 as an early signaling component of *Pieris brassicae* egg-

induced responses, thereby constituting a potential candidate for the perception of egg-derived

elicitors (Gouhier-Darimont *et al.,* 2019). Lectin-like receptor kinases (LecRKs) have been

implicated in a myriad of immune-related processes such as PTI (Takahashi *et al.,* 2007), chitin

perception (Miya *et al.,* 2007), e-(extracellular) ATP and eNAD$^+$/eNADP$^+$ perception (Choi *et*

*al.,* 2014; Wang *et al.,* 2019; Pham *et al.,* 2020), bacterial short chain 3OH-FA perception

(Kutschera *et al.,* 2019), and insect resistance (Gilardoni *et al.,* 2011; Liu *et al.,* 2015).

Although insect eggs trigger a PTI-like response in Arabidopsis, identification of early

and late components is still needed. Transcriptome changes after *P. brassicae* oviposition and

pathogen infection are similar but not identical, suggesting some level of specificity (Little *et*

*al.,* 2007). In support of this hypothesis, ROS production following *P. brassicae* EE treatment

was independent on the NADPH oxidases RBOHD/F (Gouhier-Darimont *et al.,* 2013), while

this pathway is crucial for pathogen-triggered ROS accumulation (Torres *et al.,* 2002; Morales

*et al.,* 2016). Moreover, a *lecrk-I.8* mutant plant did not show altered resistance to a bacterial

pathogen (Gouhier-Darimont *et al.,* 2019), suggesting that LecRK-I.8 may be specifically

involved in egg signaling responses. To get more molecular insight in insect-egg induced

response, we used the existing natural variation in EE-triggered HR-like in Arabidopsis and

performed a genome-wide association study (GWAS) using a set of 295 natural accessions. We

found a peak of association on chromosome 3 encompassing the clade I *L-type LecRK-I.*1, a

close homolog of *LecRK-I.8*. Subsequent experimental validation showed that LecRK-I.1 is

specifically involved in the regulation of cell death following insect egg perception, hence

providing a novel component of this immune response.

**Materials and Methods**

*Plant materials and growth conditions*

All experiments described here were carried out in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plants were grown in

growth chambers in short day conditions (10 h light, 22°C, 65% relative humidity, 100 μmol

m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and were 4 to 5 weeks old at the time of treatment. Larvae, eggs and butterflies of the

Large White butterfly *Pieris brassicae* came from a population maintained on *Brassica*

*oleracea* in a greenhouse (Reymond *et al.,* 2000).

Accessions used for GWAS mapping were obtained from the NASC stock center and
are listed in Supporting Information Table S1. T-DNA insertion lines for *lecrk-I.1*
(SALK_052123), *lecrk-I.2* (SAIL_847_F07), *lecrk-I.3* (SALK_087804C), *lecrk-I.4*
(SALK_091901), *lecrk-I.5* (GABI_777H06), *lecrk-I.6* (GABI_353G10) and *lecrk-I.8*
(SALK_066416) were described previously (Gouhier-Darimont *et al.,* 2019). Primers for
genotyping insertion lines were designed with the SIGNAL T-DNA verification tool for all
lines used in this study.

A dual sgRNA CRISPR Cas9 approach (Pauwels *et al.,* 2018) was used to create a 33
kb deletion on chromosome 3 between *LecRK-I.1* (At3g45330) and *LecRK-I.6* (At3g45440),
generating the sextuple mutant *ccI.1-I.6*. sgRNAs specific for *LecRK-I.1* and *LecRK-I.6* were
selected using CRISPR-P (http://crispr.hzau.edu.cn/CRISPR2/). Complementary oligos with 4
bp overhangs were annealed and inserted in the Gateway ENTRY sgRNA shuttle vectors
(Pauwels *et al.,* 2018) pMR217 (L1-R5) for sgRNA-LecRK-I.1 and pMR218 (L5-L2) for
sgRNA-LecRK-I.6 via a cut-ligation reaction with BbsI (New England Biolabs) and T4 DNA
ligase (New England Biolabs), generating two sgRNA modules pMR217-sgRNA-LecRK-I.1
and pMR218-sgRNA-LecRK-I.6. Using a Gateway Multisite LR reaction (Thermofisher), the
two sgRNA modules were combined with pDE-Cas9Km (Pauwels *et al.,* 2018) to get the final
expression clone that was inserted in *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 for
transformation of Arabidopsis Col-0 by floral dipping. Seeds were selected on ½ MS with 50
μg/ml kanamycin under continuous day conditions for 12 days. Presence of a deletion was
tested by PCR, using specific primers CC-LecRK-I.1-Rv and CC-LecRK-I.6-Fw flanking the
deletion and generating a 465 bp fragment (Figure S1).

*Genome-wide association study and haplotype analysis*
For GWAS analysis, a set of 295 accessions from the RegMap panel (Horton *et al.,* 2012) were
used (Table S1). Pools of 30 accessions were phenotyped every week over 2 days. For each
accession, 3 leaves from 3 to 6 plants were treated with egg-extract diluted 1:1 with deionized
water leading to a total of 9 to 18 treated leaves. Treated plants were left in the growth chamber
for an additional 5 days until phenotyping. After 5 days, treated leaves were removed with
forceps, symptoms were scored and pictures were taken as described below.

Mapping was performed locally using custom-made R scripts (R-Core Development
Team, 2005; Kerdaffrec *et al.*, 2016) with a linear mixed model that accounts for population
structure by computing a population-wide kinship matrix. Full imputed genotypes for 2029
lines (Togninalli *et al.*, 2018) were used and only SNPs with a minor-allele frequency (MAF)

> 0.05 were considered for analysis. After filtering, a total of 1'769'548 SNP were used for

mapping. To correct for genome-wide multiple testing, a Bonferroni corrected threshold of

significance was computed by dividing $\alpha = 0.05$ by the number of SNPs used in the analysis.

The percentage of variance explained by the top SNP (Chr. 3, 16633422) of *LecRK1-1* was

calculated using the residual sum of squares (RSS) extracted from the GWA model.

Haplotype analysis was based on the most significantly associated SNPs ($-\log_{10} P > 4$)

using data extracted from the genotype matrix. Presence/absence of premature stop codons in

sequenced accessions (Table S1) was recovered from the POLYMORPH1001 Variant browser

(https://tools.1001genomes.org/polymorph/). Both sets of data were used to explore phenotypic

data.

The population-wide cladogram was built by calculating the Euclidian distance between

accessions from the kinship matrix, and clustering was subsequently performed using the

"ward.d2" algorithm in R. Gene sequences in Fasta format from 125 accessions were obtained

from SALK 1001 genome browser (http://signal.salk.edu/atg1001/3.0/gebrowser.php) and

sequences were aligned using MAFFT for further analysis.

Sliding windows analyses of Tajima's D, Fu and Li's D / F on the LecRK-I.1 locus were

performed using DnaSP (version 6.12.03) with sliding windows of 200 variant sites and a step

size of 25 sites, and significance threshold was set according to a 95% confidence limit

published before (Tajima, 1989; Fu & Li, 1993). Homologous gene sequences from *AtLecRK-*

*I.1* in *Arabidopsis lyrata* were identified by BLAST, and the best hit was used as outgroup

sequence for Fu and Li's statistics. 50 kb sliding-window analysis of Tajima's D was computed

using VCF genotype file obtained from the 1001 Genome API

(https://tools.1001genomes.org/api/index.html). Tajima's D was computed on sliding windows

of 200 bp with a step size of 25 bp using custom-made R scripts.

*Broad sense heritability and variance analysis*

For each phenotype, broad sense heritability ($H^2$) was calculated using the following equations:

$H^2 = V_g/V_p$ and $V_p = V_g + V_e$, where $V_g$, $V_p$ and $V_e$ stand for genetic, phenotypic and

environmental variance respectively. Since accessions are homozygous, $V_e$ was estimated as

the average intra-accession variance and $V_p$ was the variance of the phenotype in the population.

*Oviposition and treatment with EE*

For experiments with natural oviposition, plants were placed in a tent containing approximately

20 *P. brassicae* butterflies for a maximum of 8 h. Afterwards, plants were kept in a growth

chamber in plastic boxes until hatching of the eggs. Control plants were kept in the same

conditions without butterflies.

*P. brassicae* eggs were collected and crushed with a pestle in Eppendorf tubes. After

centrifugation (15'000 g, 3 min), the supernatant ('egg extract', EE) was collected and stored at

-20°C. Plants were 4-5 weeks old at the time of treatment. For each plant, each of two leaves

were treated with 2 µl of EE. This amount corresponds to one egg batch of ca. 20 eggs. A total

of four plants were used for each experiment. After the appropriate time, EE was gently

removed with a scalpel blade and treated leaves were stored in liquid nitrogen. Untreated plants

were used as controls.

For GWAS, a large amount of EE was prepared as described and aliquots were stored

under $N_2$ at -80°C in order to ensure homogenous treatments during the entire experiment.

*Symptom scoring*

Symptoms were scored visually from the adaxial side of the leaves and were classified into the

following categories: no symptom (leaf treated area is still lush green, score = 0), small

chlorosis (<50% of the treated area, score = 1), large chlorosis (> 50%, score = 2), small necrosis

(brown spots or transparent membrane on < 50% of the treated area, score = 3), large necrosis

(> 50 %, score = 4) and spreading necrosis (necrosis not confined to the treated area, score =

5).

*Histochemical staining*

For visualization of cell death, EE was gently removed and leaves were submerged in

lactophenol trypan blue solution (5 ml of lactic acid, 10 ml of 50% glycerol, 1 mg of trypan

blue (Sigma), and 5 ml of phenol) at 28°C for 2–3 h. After staining, leaves were destained for

10 min in boiling 95% ethanol. Microscope images were saved as TIFF files and processed for

densitometric quantification with ImageJ (version 1.48).

*Salicylic acid quantifications*

SA quantifications were performed using the bacterial biosensor *Acinetobacter sp.* ADPWH

(Huang *et al.,* 2005; Huang *et al.,* 2006) according to (DeFraia *et al.,* 2008; Zvereva *et al.,*

2016). Briefly, 6 leaf discs (0.7 cm, ~20 mg) were ground in liquid nitrogen and extracted in

0.1M sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.6). Extracts were then centrifuged at 4°C for 15 min at 16'000

g. 50 µL of extract were incubated with 5 µL of β-Glucosidase from almonds (0.5 U/µl in

acetate buffer, Sigma-Aldrich) during 90 min at 37°C to release SA from SA-glucoside (SAG).

20 µL of extract was then mixed with 60 µL of LB and 50 µL of a culture of *Acinetobacter* sp.

ADPWH_lux ($OD_{600}$ = 0.4), and incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Finally, luminescence was

integrated using a 485 ± 10 nm filter for 1 s. A SA standard curve diluted in untreated *sid2-1*

extract amounts ranging from 0 to 60 ng was read in parallel to allow quantification. SA

amounts in samples were estimated by fitting a 3$^{rd}$ order polynomial regression on the standards.

*Gene expression analysis*

Analysis of gene expression by quantitative real-time PCR was described previously (Bruessow

*et al.*, 2010; Gouhier-Darimont *et al.*, 2013). Briefly, tissue samples were ground in liquid

nitrogen, and total RNA was extracted using ReliaPrep™ RNA Tissue Miniprep (Promega)

according to the manufacturer's instructions. Afterwards, cDNA was synthesized from 500 ng

of total RNA using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (ThermoFisher) and subsequently diluted

eightfold with water. Reactions were performed using Brilliant III Fast SYBR-Green QPCR

Master Mix (Agilent) on a QuantStudio 3 real-time PCR instrument (ThermoFisher). Values

were normalized to the housekeeping gene *SAND* (At2g28390). Primer efficiencies were

evaluated by five-step dilution regression. For each experiment, three biological replicates were

analyzed. A list of all primers used in experiments can be found in Table S2.

Publically available RNA-seq data (GSE80744) from 728 accessions were recovered

from the Gene Expression Omnibus repository (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/GEO). Expression

data were then filtered to extract only values for accessions used in the study.

*Statistical analyses*

GWAS and subsequent analysis of the data obtained was performed with R software version

3.6. For boxplots, the thick line indicates the median, box edges represent 1st and 3rd quartile

respectively, whiskers cover 1.5 times the interquartile space and dots represent extreme values.

Boxplot width is proportional to the number of sample. When displayed, notches indicate an

approximate confidence interval for median values. All other analyses using mutant lines were

analyzed using GraphPad Prism 8.0.1.

**Results**

*Arabidopsis accessions display natural variation in response to P. brassicae eggs*

We observed that Arabidopsis accessions display varying degrees of HR-like symptoms after

5 days of treatment with *P. brassicae* egg extract (EE) (Fig. 1a), corresponding to the hatching

time of real eggs. The existence of natural variation for this defense-related trait suggests that

it is under genetic control, consistent with previous reports (Gouhier-Darimont *et al.,* 2013,
2019). While most leaves exhibited some degree of chlorosis, symptoms usually ranged from
no visible symptom to the formation of large patches of cell death (Fig. 1b). Moreover,
symptoms were most of the time restricted to the area of egg application, but could
exceptionally grow larger for both chlorosis and necrosis. However, because of the low
frequency of such events, we did not quantify those separately. This scoring scheme allows an
easy quantification of the HR-like (Fig. 1c). To verify that application of *P. brassicae* EE
mimics HR-like responses induced by real eggs, we tested whether naturally oviposited eggs
could also induce some degree of variation in HR-like responses. In several accessions, we
observed the formation of chlorotic or necrotic tissue localized around and underneath the egg
clutches after 5 days (Fig. 1d) and this correlated with the severity of symptoms observed after
EE treatment. This response was however more variable, suggesting that EE treatment might
be more consistent than real eggs where the protective shell may slow down the release of
elicitors. We thus set conditions for a GWAS using EE treatment and performed initial tests on
three selected accessions, using Col-0 as a control. Based on these experiments, we used short-
day grown plants (10L:14D), treated three leaves per plant with EE diluted 1:1 with deionized
water, and scored symptoms after five days (Fig. S2a-c). Because such experiment requires a
large amount of EE, this allowed to maximize the overall number of plant treated per accession
and per block, thereby improving power, even though the use of undiluted EE and/or long days
conditions tend to increase symptom strength (Fig. S2a-c).

*Identification of a genetic locus associated with P. brassicae egg-induced symptoms*
Broad sense heritability ($H^2$), which estimates the amount of phenotypic variance that is
genetically encoded, was high for symptom score ($H^2 = 0.56$). This finding is consistent with
previously reported $H^2$ values for defense phenotypes (Yang *et al.*, 2017). GWAS using 295
accessions and a fully imputed genotype matrix (Togninalli *et al.*, 2018) revealed the existence
of two loci associated with the degree of cell death induced by EE (Fig. 2a). One peak of
association was found on chromosome 2 ($-\log_{10} P = 15.96$) and constitutes the basis for another
study (Groux *et al.*, in preparation). Besides this peak, we observed the existence of another
significantly associated marker ($-\log_{10} P = 7.59$, position 16633422) on chromosome 3 (Fig.
2a), which explains a total of 9.56% of the phenotypic variance. By taking a closer look at this
genomic region, we found that this marker is located in the coding sequence of the *L-TYPE*
*LECTIN RECEPTOR KINASE-I.1* (At3g45330) (Fig. 2b). Interestingly, this genomic locus
encompasses 5 other closely related L-type clade I *LecRK* genes, *LecRK-I.2* to *LecRK-I.6*. We

found that high linkage disequilibrium (LD) with other surrounding markers was only observed for SNPs found in the gene sequence of *LecRK-I.1* (Fig. 2b), suggesting that this gene may be causal for the differences in HR-like symptoms observed. We then determined whether this locus was previously identified in other publicly available GWAS. According to the araGWAS database (Togninalli *et al.,* 2018), markers at the *LecRK-I.1* locus are not associated with any of the defense-related or developmental phenotypes available, suggesting that it may play a specific role during *P. brassicae* egg-induced response (Fig. S3).

*LecRK-I.1 is involved in EE-induced cell death*

In order to test whether clade I LecRKs found in this region could be involved in the response to *P. brassicae* EE, we tested whether the lack of a single LecRK using T-DNA insertion lines leads to alterations in different egg-induced responses. Additionally, we used CRISPR-Cas9 technology to delete the entire cluster of *LecRK-I.1* to *LecRK-I.6* (hereafter named *ccI.1-I.6*) in order to explore whether redundancy among these genes exists (Supporting Information Fig. S1). Symptom score was found to be significantly reduced in *lecrk-I.1* mutant but not in knock-out lines from surrounding homologs (Fig. 3a). Consistently, deletion of the gene cluster lead to symptom reduction similar to that observed in *lecrk-I*. We then quantified cell death at the site of EE application by trypan blue staining and observed a similar pattern (Fig. 3b). These results clearly show that *LecRK-I.1*, but not other homologs from the genomic cluster, is involved in the induction of HR-like in response to EE treatment.

Because altered SA signaling in the *lecrk-I.1* mutant could account for the reduction in cell death, we next quantified SA levels in plant treated with EE for 3 days. Total SA levels were reduced in *lecrk-I.1* after 3 days of treatment compared to wild-type plants, however this trend was not statistically significant (Fig. 3c). Interestingly, we observed a similar result in the *lecrk-I.6* mutant and a significant reduction in *lecrk-I.3*, indicating that multiple LecRKs may regulate SA accumulation. Removal of all six *LecRKs* in the *ccI.1-I.6* line resulted in significantly reduced SA levels after EE treatment (Fig. 3c), further supporting that one or more clade I LecRKs may participate in EE-induced SA accumulation. Altogether, these results demonstrate a role for LecRK-I.1 in the regulation of cell death after insect egg perception, while different clade I LecRKs regulate SA levels during this response.

*LecRK-I.1 haplotypes correlate with egg-induced symptoms*

The finding that natural variation in *LecRK-I.1* is associated with the inducibility of HR-like responses among Arabidopsis accessions indicates that variants of this gene may alter protein

function(s) or gene expression. As mentioned, we observed that a single marker reached
genome-wide significance (SNP3; Chr. 3, 16633422) while four other SNPs had intermediate
$P$-values (-log$_{10}$ $P$ > 4), all within the coding sequence of *LecRK-I.1* (Fig. 4a,b). Interestingly,
three out of five SNPs result in amino acid changes: one (SNP5) in the putative carbohydrate-
binding lectin-like domain (I228R), and two in the kinase domain (R356K, SNP3; I602V,
SNP1), while the two other associated markers lead to silent mutations (Fig. 4b). Additionally,
linkage analysis revealed that all markers are in moderate to strong LD with SNP3 (Fig. 4a).
Since we do not know which marker might be causal, we considered haplotypes defined by
SNP1-5. We next determined that at least 5 haplotypes are present in the population used for
GWAS mapping (Fig. 4c), with two of them being present at rather high frequency compared
to the other three (H2, 30.51%; H5, 58.64%; H1, H3 and H4 <7%). We observed that the
distribution of symptom score was not different between haplotypes having variants in the lectin
domain, suggesting that they might not impact this response. However, non Col-0 alleles for
markers in the kinase and lectin domains together are associated with significantly lower
symptoms (H2 vs H5, Fig. 4c). Together with the previous finding, these results potentially
indicate that differential kinase function may underlie natural variation in EE-induced cell
death. To explore this possibility, we examined whether these variants are found in functional
subdomains of the kinase. Based on annotation from the UNIPROT database, we found that
R356K lies in the predicted ATP binding site. We then performed homology-based modelling
using SWISSMODEL (https://swissmodel.expasy.org) to predict the 3D topology of the
LecRK-I.1 kinase domain in order to assess whether this amino acid change could potentially
alter kinase function. We found that even though R356 is indeed proximal to the ATP binding
site, the residue's side chain was not within 4Å of the ATP molecule and is exposed to the
solvent in the model obtained (P. Jimenez Sandoval, results not shown). It is thus unlikely to
interact with ATP within the active site. Moreover, we found that this position does not seem
to be conserved in the structure of immunity-related plant kinases such as BAK1, and in all
cases side chains were exposed to the solvent. Finally, the predicted amino acid change (R to
K) does not lead to significant steric or charge modification at this site. This was also true for
the other kinase variant, and the lectin variants appeared to be outside of the canonical lectin-
binding or multimerization interfaces and are also solvent exposed (P. Jimenez Sandoval,
results not shown). These results suggest that the identified variant may not be involved in
canonical kinase or receptor function based on knowledge obtained from homologous proteins.
We also determined whether multiple independent frameshifts and/or premature stop codon
may be present in natural variants at this locus, potentially leading to the production of a

truncated protein. We found that 18% of the sequenced accessions (23/125) possessed such variants (Fig. S4a), yet this does not correlate with any difference in symptom distribution independently of the haplotype considered (Fig. S4b). Moreover, premature STOP codons occurred within the first 20 first amino acid of the sequence. This suggests that these frameshifts and premature stops may not necessarily lead to the production of non-functional proteins, possibly through the existence of alternative start sites. Collectively, our results point to a role for variation in the *LecRK-I.1* gene sequence in modulating HR-like symptoms triggered by *P. brassicae* EE. However, the link between variation in the coding of this gene and the resulting phenotype is still unclear.

*LecRK-I.1 expression is haplotype-specific but does not correlate with symptom severity*

The absence of any obvious impact of the variation identified within the *LecRK-I.1* coding sequence may indicate that an alternative process is involved. We determined whether differences in gene expression may correlate with haplotype identity and HR-like symptoms by measuring *LecRK-I.1* transcript levels following EE treatment in 40 accessions with high or low symptoms. Gene expression was highly variable in the accessions surveyed, and expression was barely detectable in certain lines (Fig. S5). We found that the two most frequent haplotypes were not associated with differential *LecRK-I.1* expression after EE treatment, although one of the minor haplotype displayed comparatively lower transcript levels (Fig 4). Despite this haplotype-specific expression pattern, we found no correlation between symptom score and *LecRK-I.1* expression levels in the surveyed accessions (Fig. 5a,b). Analysis of publicly available RNA-seq data from different accessions (see methods) allowed for a similar analysis using SNP3 to split the data. Consistent with our experiments, none of the alleles at this position was associated with altered *LecRK-I.1* transcript levels (Fig. S6). Altogether these results suggest that variation in *LecRK-I.1* expression does not account for the variation in HR-like observed between accessions.

*Signatures of selection at the LecRK-I.1 locus*

Based on the haplotype analysis, we found that only five *LecRK-I.1* haplotypes segregate in the mapping population used in this study. To get a closer look, we calculated haplotype frequencies using SNP1-5 described earlier. As mentioned before, two haplotypes appear to be present at high frequencies (H2, 30.51%; H5, 58.64%), while the three remaining haplotypes are much less frequent (< 7%, Fig. 6a). This could indicate that haplotypes may be distributed in two subpopulations due to adaptation to a type of environment for example, or that selection

is acting at this loci and is maintaining variation. To disentangle these hypotheses, we
constructed a cladogram representing genetic distance by using the kinship matrix used during
the GWA mapping and superimposed haplotype identity for each accession (Fig. 6b). While it
does appear that some low frequency haplotypes could be specific to certain geographical
locations, the two major haplotypes appear to have a very broad distribution in the entire
Arabidopsis population used for mapping (Fig. S7). The absence of any obvious link with
phylogenetical or geographical history suggests that selection may be acting at this locus. To
test the hypothesis that the *LecRK-I.1* gene sequence does not evolve neutrally, we measured
Tajima's D statistic along the coding sequence by using a sliding window (Fig. 6c). Tajima's
D compares the observed frequency of variants to those expected for a similar sequence
evolving neutrally (Tajima, 1989), thereby indicating any departure from neutrality. We found
that Tajima's D was mainly positive along the gene sequence of *LecRK-I.1*, and two short
stretches were found to be significantly positive (Fig. 6c). This result is indicative that *LecRK-*
*I.1* might not evolve neutrally. In addition, we found that nearly all genes surrounding *LecRK-*
*I.1* displayed negative Tajima's D values when computed over a 50 kb region (Fig. S8). Because
Tajima's D is sensitive to demographic history, we also performed a sliding window analysis
of Fu and Li's D and F statistics, which considers the species history by including an outgroup
(*Arabidopsis lyrata*). We observed that the pattern and sign of Fu and Li's statistics were very
similar to Tajima's D (Fig. 6c), further supporting the hypothesis that this locus is not evolving
neutrally.
397
*LecRK-I.1 and LecRK-I.8 function in the same pathway*
Based on the previously reported identification of LecRK-I.8, a close homolog of LecRK-I.1
(Bouwmeester and Govers, 2009; Hofberger *et al.,* 2015), as an early component of egg-
triggered signaling (Gouhier-Darimont *et al.,* 2019), we wondered whether both genes may
function in the same signaling cascade or if they are involved in parallel pathways. We crossed
*lecrk-I.1* with *lecrk-I.8* and measured EE-induced responses in the single and double mutants.
Both single mutants displayed a similar reduction of HR-like symptoms, *PR1* expression and
SA accumulation after EE treatment. However, the double mutant did not show an enhanced
reduction of these responses, strongly suggesting that LecRK-I.1 and LecRK-I.8 act in the same
pathway (Fig. 7a-d).
408
409  **Discussion**

The recent description of LecRK-I.8 as an upstream regulator of insect egg-triggered signaling opened the exciting possibility that it could be involved in egg perception (Gouhier-Darimont *et al.,* 2019). However, several phenotypes including cell death were not completely abolished in the *lecrk-I.8* mutant, indicating a potential redundancy with other homologs or that LecRK-I.8 works as a modulator of the response. Indeed, expression all other clade I *L-type LecRKs* is also induced following EE treatment (Gouhier-Darimont *et al.,* 2019). We describe here the identification of LecRK-I.1 as a component of the insect egg-triggered signaling pathway in Arabidopsis that specifically affects the induction of HR-like during this interaction. We show that a knock-out mutant of *LecRK-I.1* leads to reduced HR-like symptoms and cell death following *P. brassicae* EE treatment, while SA accumulation is reduced. Moreover, double knock-out of both *LecRK-I.1* and *LecRK-I.8* did not result in a further reduction of HR-like symptoms, *PR1* expression and SA accumulation, suggesting that both genes function in the same transduction pathway or are part of the same complex. Other clade I *LecRK* mutants showed reduced SA levels and this was further confirmed by deleting all six genes clustered in the same locus. These results indicate that several clade I LecRKs are involved in the response to eggs and control different aspects of this pathway. It is noteworthy that only LecRK-I.1 and LecRK-I.8 affect the induction of cell death following egg perception, whereas only the *LecRK-I.1* locus displays natural variation.

Despite the absence of known ligands for LecRK-I.1 and most other clade I L-type LecRKs, it has been reported that LecRK-I.1 possesses a putative RGD-binding motif and that it may participate in plasma membrane-cell wall interactions (Gouget *et al.,* 2006). Whether insect eggs trigger changes in cell wall properties is not known, but epicuticular wax patterns were shown to be altered upon *P. brassicae* oviposition on Arabidopsis (Blenn *et al.,* 2012). In contrast to lectins, LecRKs show poorly conserved sugar-binding residues and it is therefore unlikely that they bind carbohydrates (Bouwmeester & Govers, 2009). However, the conserved hydrophobicity of the resulting cavity could recognize more hydrophobic ligands. Given the lipidic nature of egg-derived inducing compounds (Bruessow *et al.,* 2010; Gouhier-Darimont *et al.,* 2019), this would be consistent with a role for L-type LecRKs in egg perception. Recently, the G-type LecRK LORE was found to bind to medium chain 3-OH fatty acids that trigger LORE-dependent immunity (Kutschera *et al.,* 2019), further supporting the hypothesis that some LecRKs may recognize hydrophobic ligands from insect eggs. Alternatively, the identified LecRKs could also be involved in the perception of secondary signals such as DAMPs as suggested by the identification of LecRK-I.8 and LecRK-VI.2 as potential receptors for eNAD$^+$ and eNADP$^+$ (Wang *et al.,* 2017; Wang *et al.,* 2019), and LecRK-I.9 and LecRK-

I.5 as eATP receptors (Choi *et al.*, 2014; Pham *et al.*, 2020). Here, we provide evidence that

LecRK-I.1 and LecRK-I.8 function as components of the same pathway/complex. This suggests

that LecRK-I.1 might serve as a secondary signaling hub that controls LecRK-I.8-dependent

cell death. Whether LecRK-I.1 binds egg-derived elicitors alone or in association with LecRK-

I.8 is an intriguing hypothesis that deserves further research. A more detailed evaluation of egg-

triggered phenotypes in single and double mutants will help address this hypothesis.

We found that natural variation in the *LecRK-I.1* gene sequence was associated with

HR-like symptoms, consistent with the phenotype observed in the *lecrk-I.1* mutant. Two main

haplotypes of this gene segregate at the population level and we found that one variant, in

particular (SNP3), located in the kinase domain was significantly associated with HR-like

symptoms. Evaluation of *LecRK-I.1* expression in accessions indicates that transcript levels are

not associated with symptom severity, therefore suggesting that functional variation in the

LecRK-I.1 protein sequence modulates HR-like. Unfortunately, our analysis suggests that none

of the identified variants would significantly affect the lectin binding pocket or the kinase

domain. *In vitro* kinase assay using different variants of the kinase domain may help address

this question directly. Recently, LecRK-VI.2 was shown to interact with the immune co-

receptor BAK1 (Huang *et al.,* 2014; Wang *et al.,* 2019) and both proteins were found to be

necessary for eNAD$^+$- and eNADP$^+$-induced signaling. Given the critical nature of such

interactions for signal transduction, solvent-exposed natural variants associated with reduced

HR-like symptoms could affect the binding of putative co-receptors and partners of LecRK-I.1.

Moreover, LecRK homo- and heterodimerization was reported (Bellande *et al.,* 2017; Wang &

Bouwmeester, 2017), suggesting that a similar process might underlie LecRK-I.1 function and

such interaction may be affected in the natural variants identified.

At the genomic level, *L-type LecRKs* are organized in clusters of tandem repeats that

arose through local and whole genome duplication events (Hofberger *et al.,* 2015). Clade I *L-

type LecRK* genes appear to be mostly Brassicaceae-specific (Hofberger *et al.,* 2015; Wang &

Bouwmeester, 2017) and most of them have been involved in immunity. In particular, clade I

*L-type LecRKs* originated following the At-α whole genome duplication event (50 Mya), and

LecRKs that were duplicated during this period show signs of positive selection (Hofberger *et

al.,* 2015). Consistent with this study, we found that the two major *LecRK-I.1* haplotypes

segregate at intermediate to high frequencies, independently of any obvious geographical or

phylogenetical pattern. The co-occurrence of such diverged polymorphisms indicate that they

could be ancient and may have been maintained by selection. Consistent with the latter

hypothesis, we found that the *LecRK-I.1* locus does not seem to evolve neutrally, as indicated

by positive Tajima's D as well as Fu and Li's D/F statistics. Positive values for these statistics
are considered as signatures of balancing selection, a type of selection that is observed in
immunity-related or resistance genes for instance (Bakker *et al.,* 2006; Vila-Aiub *et al.,* 2011;
Huard-Chauveau *et al.,* 2013; van Velzen & Etienne, 2015; Ariga *et al.,* 2017). Balancing
selection collectively refers to processes by which genetic variation is maintained in a
population, as opposed to purifying and positive selection that reduces variation (Delph &
Kelly, 2014; Wu *et al.,* 2017). Different selective processes can ultimately lead to the
maintenance of genetic variation such as overdominance (or heterozygote advantage),
frequency-dependent selection or environmental heterogeneity (spatially varying selection).
Identification of the exact mode of balancing selection acting at this locus deserves further
investigation. Nevertheless, the fact that *LecRK-I.1* displays signatures of balancing selection
highlights the potential ecological importance of this gene in natural Arabidopsis populations.

While the results presented here allow to move forward in the mechanistic
understanding of the induction of cell death response in Arabidopsis, it is not known whether
this gene may also play a role in crop species such as cabbage or canola. Interestingly, induction
of HR-like in *B. nigra* also correlates with higher egg parasitism, indicating that both defense
mechanisms may be under a similar regulation (Fatouros *et al.,* 2014). Further study of this
region in other Brassicaceae and a screen of available germplasms for existing variation may
allow to demonstrate a role for clade I LecRKs in sister species.

**Acknowledgements**
We would like to thank Esteban Alfonso for technical help and Blaise Tissot for maintenace of
the plants. We thank Dany Buffat for his technical assistance during the screening. We are also
grateful to Nicolas Salamin and Anna Marcionetti for advices regarding the selection analyses.
This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant no 31003A_169278
to P.R.) and EMBO (long term fellowship ALTF 248-2018 to E.K.).

**Author Contribution**
RG and PR conceived and designed the research. RG and CG-D performed experiments. RG,
EK and PR analyzed the data. RG and PR and wrote the manuscript.

**References**

**Ariga H, Katori T, Tsuchimatsu T, Hirase T, Tajima Y, Parker JE, Alcázar R, Koornneef**
**M, Hoekenga O, Lipka AE, *et al.* 2017**. NLR locus-mediated trade-off between abiotic
and biotic stress adaptation in Arabidopsis. *Nature Plants* **3**: 17072.

**Bakker EG, Toomajian C, Kreitman, M, Bergelson J. 2006**. A genome-wide survey of R
gene polymorphisms in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **18**: 1803–1818.

**Bellande K, Bono JJ, Savelli B, Jame E, Canut H. 2017**. Plant lectins and lectin receptor-
like kinases: How do they sense the outside? *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*
**18**: 1164.

**Bittner N, Trauer-Kizilelma U, Hilker M. 2017**. Early plant defence against insect attack:
involvement of reactive oxygen species in plant responses to insect egg deposition. *Planta*
**245**: 993–1007.

**Blenn B, Bandoly M, Küffner A, Otte T, Geiselhardt S, Fatouros NE, Hilker, M. 2012**.
Insect egg deposition induces indirect defense and epicuticular wax changes in *Arabidopsis*
*thaliana*. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **38**: 882–92.

**Bonnet C, Lassueur S, Ponzio C, Gols R, Dicke M, Reymond P. 2017**. Combined biotic
stresses trigger similar transcriptomic responses but contrasting resistance against a
chewing herbivore in *Brassica nigra*. *BMC Plant Biology* **17**: 127.

**Bouwmeester K, Govers F. 2009**. Arabidopsis L-type lectin receptor kinases: Phylogeny,
classification, and expression profiles. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **60**: 4383–4396.

**Bruessow F, Gouhier-Darimont C, Bruchala A, Metraux J-P, Reymond, P. 2010**. Insect
eggs suppress plant defence against chewing herbivores. *Plant Journal* **62**: 876–885.

**Chen YH, Gols R, Benrey B. 2015**. Crop domestication and its impact on naturally selected
trophic interactions. *Annual Review of Entomology* **60**: 35–58.

**Choi J, Tanaka K, Cao Y, Qi Y, Qiu J, Lian Y, Lee SY, Stacey G. 2014**. Identification of a
plant receptor for extracellular ATP. *Science* **343**: 290–294.

**DeFraia CT, Schmelz EA, Mou Z. 2008**. A rapid biosensor-based method for quantification
of free and glucose-conjugated salicylic acid. *Plant Methods* **4**: 28.

**Delph LF, Kelly JK. 2014**. On the importance of balancing selection in plants. *New Phytologist*
**201**: 45–56.

**Desurmont GA, Weston PA. 2011**. Aggregative oviposition of a phytophagous beetle
overcomes egg-crushing plant defences. *Ecological Entomology* **36**: 335–343.

**Doss RP, Oliver JE, Proebsting WM, Potter SW, Kuy S, Clement SL, Williamson RT,**
**Carney JR, DeVilbiss ED. 2000**. Bruchins: Insect-derived plant regulators that stimulate

neoplasm formation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* **97**: 6218–
6223.

**Fatouros NE, Cusumano A, Danchin EGJ, Colazza S 2016**. Prospects of herbivore egg-
killing plant defenses for sustainable crop protection. *Ecology and Evolution* **6**: 6906–
6918.

**Fatouros NE, Lucas-Barbosa D, Weldegergis BT, Pashalidou FG, van Loon JJA, Dicke**
**M, Harvey JA, Gols R, Huigens ME. 2012**. Plant volatiles induced by herbivore egg
deposition affect insects of different trophic levels. *PLoS One* **7**: e43607.

**Fatouros NE, Pineda,A, Huigens ME, Broekgaarden C, Shimwela MM, Candia IAF,**
**Verbaarschot P, Bukovinszky T. 2014**. Synergistic effects of direct and indirect defences
on herbivore egg survival in a wild crucifer. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological*
*Sciences* **281**: 20141254.

**Fu YX, Li WH. 1993**. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations. *Genetics* **133**: 693–709.

**Garza R, Vera J, Cardona C, Barcenas N, Singh SP. 2001**. Hypersensitive response of beans
to *Apion godmani* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* **94**: 958–
962.

**Geuss D, Stelzer S, Lortzing T, Steppuhn A. 2017**. *Solanum dulcamara*'s response to eggs
of an insect herbivore comprises ovicidal hydrogen peroxide production. *Plant, Cell &*
*Environment* **40**: 2663–2677.

**Gilardoni PA, Hettenhausen C, Baldwin IT, Bonaventure G. 2011**. *Nicotiana attenuata*
lectin receptor kinase1 suppresses the insect-mediated inhibition of induced defense
responses during *Manduca sexta* herbivory. *Plant Cell* **23**: 3512–3532.

**Gouget A, Senchou V, Govers F, Sanson A, Barre A, Rougé P, Pont-Lezica R, Canut H.**
**2006**. Lectin receptor kinases participate in protein-protein interactions to mediate plasma
membrane-cell wall adhesions in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **140**: 81–90.

**Gouhier-Darimont C, Schmiesing, A, Bonnet C, Lassueur S, Reymond P. 2013**. Signalling
of *Arabidopsis thaliana* response to *Pieris brassicae* eggs shares similarities with PAMP-
triggered immunity. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **64**: 665–74.

**Gouhier-Darimont C, Stahl E, Glauser G, Reymond P. 2019**. The Arabidopsis lectin
receptor kinase LecRK-I.8 is involved in insect egg perception. *Frontiers in Plant Science*
**10**: 623.

**Hilker M, Fatouros NE. 2015**. Plant responses to insect egg deposition. *Annual Review of*
*Entomology* **60**: 493–515.

**Hilker M, Meiners T. 2006**. Early herbivore alert: insect eggs induce plant defense. *Journal*
*od Chemical Ecology* **32**: 1379–97.

**Hofberger JA, Nsibo DL, Govers F, Bouwmeester K, Schranz ME. 2015**. A complex
interplay of tandem- and whole-genome duplication drives expansion of the L-type lectin
receptor kinase gene family in the Brassicaceae. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **7**: 720–
734.

**Horton MW, Hancock AM, Huang YS, Toomajian C, Atwell S, Auton A, Muliyati NW,**
**Platt A, Sperone FG, Vilhjálmsson BJ, *et al*. 2012**. Genome-wide patterns of genetic
variation in worldwide *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions from the RegMap panel. *Nature*
*Genetics* **44**: 212–216.

**Huang WE, Huang L, Preston M, Naylor, M, Carr JP, Li Y, Singer AC, Whiteley AS,**
**Wang H. 2006**. Quantitative in situ assay of salicylic acid in tobacco leaves using a
genetically modified biosensor strain of *Acinetobacter* sp. ADP1. *Plant Journal* **46**: 1073–
1083.

**Huang WE, Wang H, Zheng H, Huang L, Singer AC, Thompson I, Whiteley AS. 2005**.
Chromosomally located gene fusions constructed in *Acinetobacter* sp. ADP1 for the
detection of salicylate. *Environmental Microbiology* **7**: 1339–1348.

**Huang PY, Yeh YH, Liu AC, Cheng CP, Zimmerli L. 2014**. The Arabidopsis LecRK-VI.2
associates with the pattern-recognition receptor FLS2 and primes *Nicotiana benthamiana*
pattern-triggered immunity. *Plant Journal* **79**: 243–255.

**Huard-Chauveau C, Perchepied L, Debieu M, Rivas S, Kroj T, Kars I, Bergelson J, Roux**
**F, Roby D. 2013**. An atypical kinase under balancing selection confers broad-spectrum
disease resistance in Arabidopsis. *PLoS Genetics* **9**: e1003766.

**Kalske A, Muola A, Mutikainen P, Leimu R. 2014**. Preference for outbred host plants and
positive effects of inbreeding on egg survival in a specialist herbivore. *Proceedings of the*
*Royal Society B Biological Sciences* **281**: 20141421.

**Kerdaffrec E, Filiault DL, Korte A, Sasaki E, Nizhynska V, Seren Ü, Nordborg M. 2016**.
Multiple alleles at a single locus control seed dormancy in Swedish Arabidopsis. *eLife* **5**:
1–24.

**Kutschera A, Dawid C, Gisch N, Schmid C, Raasch L, Gerster T, Schäffer M,**
**Smakowska-Luzan E, Belkhadir Y, Vlot AC, *et al*. 2019**. Bacterial medium-chain 3-
hydroxy fatty acid metabolites trigger immunity in Arabidopsis plants. *Science* **181**: 178–
181.

**Little D, Gouhier-Darimont C, Bruessow F, Reymond P. 2007**. Oviposition by pierid
butterflies triggers defense responses in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **143**: 784–800.

**Liu Y, Wu H, Chen H, Liu Y, He J, Kang H, Sun Z, Pan G, Wang Q, Hu J, *et al.* 2015**. A
gene cluster encoding lectin receptor kinases confers broad-spectrum and durable insect
resistance in rice. *Nature Biotechnology* **33**: 301–305.

**Miya A, Albert P, Shinya T, Desaki Y, Ichimura K, Shirasu K, Narusaka Y, Kawakami**
**N, Kaku H, Shibuya N. 2007**. CERK1, a LysM receptor kinase, is essential for chitin
elicitor signaling in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*
**104**: 19613–19618.

**Morales J, Kadota Y, Zipfel C, Molina A, Torres M. 2016**. The Arabidopsis NADPH
oxidases *RbohD* and *RbohF* display differential expression patterns and contributions
during plant immunity. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **67**: 1663-76.

**Pauwels L, De Clerck R, Goossens J, Iñigo S, Williams C, Ron M, Britt A, Goossens A.**
**2018**. A dual sgRNA approach for functional genomics in *Arabidopsis thaliana. Genes*
*Genomes Genetics* **8**: 2603-2615.

**Petzold-Maxwell J, Wong S, Arellano C, Gould F. 2011**. Host plant direct defence against
eggs of its specialist herbivore, *Heliothis subflexa. Ecology and Entomology* **36**: 700–708.

**Pham AQ, Cho SW, Nguyen CT, Stacey G. 2020**. Arabidopsis Lectin Receptor Kinase P2K2
is a second plant receptor for extracellular ATP and contributes to innate immunity. *Plant*
*Physiology* doi: 10.1104/pp.19.01265.

**R Development Core Team. 2005**. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.
R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, URL:
http://www.R-project.org.

**Reymond P. 2013**. Perception, signaling and molecular basis of oviposition-mediated plant
responses. *Planta* **238**: 247–58.

**Reymond P, Weber H, Damond M, Farmer EE. 2000**. Differential gene expression in
response to mechanical wounding and insect feeding in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **12**: 707-
720.

**Shapiro AM, DeVay JE. 1987**. Hypersensitivity reaction of *Brassica nigra* L. (Cruciferae)
kills eggs of *Pieris* butterflies (Lepidoptera: Pieridae). *Oecologia* **71**: 631–632.

**Suzuki Y, Sogawa K, Seino Y. 1996**. Ovicidal reaction of rice plants against the whitebacked
planthopper, *Sogatella furcifera* HORVATH (Homoptera: Delphacidae). *Applied*
*Entomology and Zoology* **31**: 111–118.

**Tajima F. 1989**. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA
polymorphism. *Genetics* **123**: 585–595.

**Takahashi F, Yoshida R, Ichimur K, Mizoguchi, T, Seo S, Yonezawa,M, Maruyama K,**
**Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K. 2007**. The mitogen-activated protein kinase
cascade MKK3–MPK6 is an important part of the jasmonate signal transduction pathway
in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **19**: 805–818.

**Tamiru A, Khan ZR, Bruce TJ. 2015**. New directions for improving crop resistance to insects
by breeding for egg induced defence. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* **9**: 51-55.

**Togninalli M, Seren Ü, Meng D, Fitz J, Nordborg M, Weigel D, Borgwardt K, Korte A,**
**Grimm DG. 2018**. The AraGWAS Catalog: a curated and standardized *Arabidopsis*
*thaliana* GWAS catalog. *Nucleic Acids Research* **46**: D1150–D1156.

**Torres MA, Dangl JL, Jones JDG. 2002**. Arabidopsis gp91$^{phox}$ homologues *AtrbohD* and
*AtrbohF* are required for accumulation of reactive oxygen intermediates in the plant
defense response. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* **99**: 517–522.

**van Velzen E, Etienne RS. 2015**. The importance of ecological costs for the evolution of plant
defense against herbivory. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **372**: 89–99.

**Vila-Aiub MM, Neve P, Roux F. 2011**. A unified approach to the estimation and interpretation
of resistance costs in plants. *Heredity* **107**: 386–394.

**Wang C, Huang X, Li Q, Zhang Y, Li JL, Mou Z. 2019**. Extracellular pyridine nucleotides
trigger plant systemic immunity through a lectin receptor kinase/BAK1 complex. *Nature*
*Communications* **10**: 4810.

**Wang C, Zhou M, Zhang X, Yao J, Zhang Y, Mou Z. 2017**. A lectin receptor kinase as a
potential sensor for extracellular nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide in *Arabidopsis*
*thaliana*. *eLife* **6**: e25474.

**Wang Y, Bouwmeester K. 2017**. L-type lectin receptor kinases: New forces in plant immunity.
*PLoS Pathogens* **13**: e1006433.

**Wu Q, Han TS, Chen X, Chen JF, Zou YP, Li ZW, Xu YC, Guo YL. 2017**. Long-term
balancing selection contributes to adaptation in Arabidopsis and its relatives. *Genome*
*Biology* **18**: 1–15.

**Yamasaki M, Yoshimura A, Yasui H. 2003**. Genetic basis of ovicidal response to
whitebacked planthopper (*Sogatella furcifera* Horváth) in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Molecular*
*Breeding* **12**: 133–143.

**Yang Y, Xu J, Leng Y, Xiong G, Hu J, Zhang G, Huang L, Wang L, Guo L, Li J, *et al.***
**2014.** Quantitative trait loci identification, fine mapping and gene expression profiling for

ovicidal response to whitebacked planthopper (*Sogatella furcifera* Horvath) in rice (*Oryza*

*sativa* L.). *BMC Plant Biol* **14**: 145.

**Yang R. 2017**. Genome-wide estimation of heritability and its functional components for

flowering, defense, ionomics, and developmental traits in a geographically diverse

population of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genome* **60**: 572–580.

**Zvereva AS, Golyaev V, Turco S, Gubaeva EG, Rajeswaran R, Schepetilnikov MV, Srour**

**O, Ryabova LA, Boller T, Pooggin MM. 2016**. Viral protein suppresses oxidative burst

and salicylic acid-dependent autophagy and facilitates bacterial growth on virus-infected

plants. *New Phytologist* **211**: 1020–1034.

**Fig. 1** Insect egg-induced HR-like varies among natural Arabidopsis accessions. (a) Representative picture of accessions (two leaves per accession) displaying varying phenotypes after treatment with *P. brassicae* egg extract. (b) Representative pictures of symptoms used for scoring in experiments. (c) Proportion of each symptom class developed by some accessions shown in panel (a) as visualized from the adaxial side. (d) Variation in HR-like triggered after natural oviposition by *P. brassicae* butterflies. In all experiments shown here, duration of treatment was 5 days as this corresponds to the hatching time of naturally oviposited *P. brassicae* eggs. The dashed circles indicate the site of treatment on the abaxial side of the leaf.

**Fig. 2** Genome-wide mapping of insect-egg induced HR-like symptoms. (a) Manhattan plot of the GWAS for symptom score after 5 days of *P. brassicae* EE treatment using a linear mixed-model. Full imputed genotypes (1'769'548 SNPs) for all 295 accessions were used for mapping. Chromosomes are displayed in different colors and the dashed line indicates a significance level of 0.05 after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. (b) Local association plot of a 50 kb region surrounding the most significant marker. The x-axis represents the genomic position on chromosome 3 and color boxes indicate genes. LD to the most significant SNP (SNP3) is indicated by a color scale.

**Fig. 3** LecRK-I.1 plays a role in the induction of HR-like symptoms following insect egg
perception. (a) Average symptom scores as visualized from the adaxial side after 5 days of
treatment with EE. Means ± SE from three independent experiments are shown (n= 12-23 for
each experiment). (b) Cell death as quantified by trypan blue staining after 3 days of EE
treatment. Untreated leaves were used as controls. Means ± SE from 8-20 leaves are shown.
This experiment was repeated once with similar results. (c) Total salicylic acid (SA + SAG)
after 3 days of EE treatment. Measurements were done on 4 plants per treatment using a
bacterial biosensor, untreated plants were used as control. Means ± SE of three independent
experiments are shown (n= 12). Stars indicate significant differences with Col-0 (ANOVA
followed by Dunnet's test). *, $P<0.05$; **, $P<0.01$; ***, $P<0.001$.

**Fig. 4** Local association and haplotype analysis of the *LecRK-I.1* locus. (a) Local association plot of the *LecRK-I.1* locus. The x-axis represents the genomic position on chromosome 3. LD of markers (-$\log_{10} P$>4) to the most significant SNP (SNP3) is indicated by a color scale. The dashed line indicates the Bonferroni corrected significance threshold at α=0.05. (b) Gene and protein domain organization according to UNIPROT. (c) Haplotype analysis using the five most significant SNPs in the *LecRK-I.1* gene. Mean symptom score ± SE is shown and n indicates the number of accessions carrying each haplotype. Different letters indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05 (ANOVA, followed by Tukey's HSD for multiple comparison). The coordinates on chromosome 3 of SNP1-5 displayed in panel (a) are the following: SNP1: 16632685; SNP2: 16632698; SNP3: 16633422; SNP4: 16633802; SNP5: 16633802.

**Fig. 5** Natural *LecRK-I.1* haplotypes are not differentially expressed upon EE treatment and expression does not correlate with symptom score. (a) *LecRK-I.1* expression in 40 different accessions with low and high symptoms after 72 h of EE treatment. Transcript levels were plotted according to haplotypes defined in Fig. 4. Different letters indicate significant difference at *P*<0.05 (ANOVA, followed by Tukey's HSD for multiple comparison) (b) *LecRK-I.1* expression in accessions with low or high symptoms does not correlate with symptom scores of the respective accessions. Gene expression was monitored by qPCR and target gene transcript level was normalized to the reference gene *SAND*. Means of three technical replicates are shown. Expression data were corrected by adding half the smallest non-zero value in order to avoid zero values, and $\log_{10}$-transformed prior to analysis. ns, not significant (ANOVA, *P*>0.05). Pearson correlation coefficient (r) and corresponding P value (*P*) between *LecRK-I.1* transcript level and symptom score are shown.

**Fig. 6** Signatures of selection at the *LecRK-I.1* locus. (a) Frequency of the different haplotypes in the accession panel used for GWAS mapping. (b) Cladogram constructed from a genome-wide kinship matrix of the 295 accessions used for GWAS. The outermost circle indicates the haplotype carried by a given accession. (c) Sliding window analysis of Tajima's D, and Fu and Li's D and F statistics along the *LecRK-I.1* coding region using a window size of 200 variant sites and a step size of 25 sites. A subset of 125 accessions with available full genome sequences was used for this analysis. The red dashed line indicates significance threshold at *P*<0.05. The gene structure of *LecRK-I.1* is shown below.

**Fig. 7** *LecRK-I.1* genetically interacts with *LecRK-I.8*. (a) Col-0, single and double *lecrk* mutants were treated with EE. Average symptom score was visualized from the adaxial side after 5 days of EE treatment. Mean ± SE from three independent experiment is shown (n=12-23 for each experiment). (b) Cell death as quantified by trypan blue staining after 3 days of EE treatment. Untreated leaves were used as controls. Means ± SE from 8-20 leaves are shown. This experiment was repeated once with similar results. (c) Expression of the marker gene *PR1* after 3 days of EE treatment. Transcript levels were monitored by qPCR and normalized to the reference gene *SAND*. Means ± SE of three technical replicates are shown. This experiment was repeated twice with similar results (d) Total salicylic acid (SA + SAG) after 3 days of EE treatment. Measurements were done on 4 plants per treatment using a bacterial biosensor, untreated plants were used as control. Means ± SE of three independent experiments are shown (n=12). Different letters indicate significant difference at $P < 0.05$ (ANOVA followed by Tukey's HSD test for multiple comparison).