# TsaP binds PilQ and is involved in cyclic di-GMP signaling

Matthew McCallum[1,2, †, ‡], Stephanie Tammam[1,†,], John L. Rubinstein[1,2,3,*], Lori L. Burrows[4,*], P. Lynne Howell[1,2,*]

[1]Program in Molecular Structure & Function, Peter Gilgan Centre for Research and Learning, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON M5G 0A4, Canada.
[2]Department of Biochemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 1A8, Canada
[3]Department of Medical Biophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5G 1l7, Canada.
[4]Department of Biochemistry and Biomedical Sciences and the Michael G. DeGroote Institute for Infectious Disease Research, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON L8S 4K1, Canada.

[*]To whom correspondence should be addressed:
howell@sickkids.ca, lori.burrows@mcmaster.ca, john.rubinstein@utoronto.ca

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work
[‡]Current address: Department of Biochemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-7350, USA.

**ABSTRACT**

The type IV pilus machinery is a multi-protein complex that polymerizes and depolymerizes a pilus fibre used for attachment, twitching motility, phage adsorption, natural competence, protein secretion, and surface-sensing. An outer membrane secretin pore is required for passage of the pilus fibre out of the cell. Herein, the structure of the tetradecameric secretin, PilQ, from the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type IVa pilus was determined to 4.3 Å resolution in the presence and absence of $C_7$ symmetric spokes. The heptameric spokes were found to be the two tandem C-terminal domains of TsaP. TsaP forms a belt around PilQ and while the protein is not essential for PilQ assembly, over-expression of TsaP triggers the surface-sensing signal cascade upstream of PilY1, leading to cyclic di-GMP up-regulation. These results resolve the identity of the spokes identified with Proteobacterial PilQ and may reveal a new component of the surface-sensing cyclic di-GMP signal cascade.

**INTRODUCTION**

The type IVa pilus (T4aP) facilitates many functions including attachment, twitching motility, phage adsorption, natural competence, protein secretion, and surface-sensing[1,2]. The surface-sensing role of T4aP is of particular interest due to its involvement in the up-regulation of cyclic di-GMP – the master regulator of biofilm formation[3,4]. Biofilms are of critical medical and industrial importance, as they increase bacterial tolerance of otherwise uninhabitable conditions, antibiotics, and the immune system[5]. The exact mechanism of T4aP-mediated surface sensing remains ambiguous, in part due to a lack of understanding in how the T4aP could transduce signals.

The T4aP machinery comprises an inner- and outer-membrane spanning multi-protein complex. This machinery polymerizes long thin fibres that attach to surfaces and retract to pull bacteria towards the point of attachment[1,2]. The pilus is a polymer mainly composed of the major subunit, PilA. At the pilus base, the inner membrane protein PilC is rotated by cytoplasmic ATPase motors, PilB and PilT, to catalyze polymerization and depolymerization, respectively[6,7]. Minor pilins and PilY1 prime pilus polymerization and are thus thought to localize to the pilus tip[8,9]. The pilus exits the periplasm through an outer membrane secretin, PilQ. Secretins often require chaperone proteins – known as pilotins – to shuttle secretin monomers from the inner membrane to the outer membrane and to facilitate secretin oligomerization[10]. PilF is the pilotin

for PilQ[11]. PilQ is aligned with the motors by a subcomplex of PilM, PilN, PilO, and PilP[12,13].

PilMNOP, PilY1, and PilT are all required for the T4aP surface sensing mechanism[14,15]. It is

unclear whether other proteins that are part of the T4aP apparatus that interface with PilM, PilN,

PilO, and PilP – such as PilQ – are also needed for surface sensing.

PilQ secretins from Proteobacteria, structurally characterized at low resolution, show

radial spokes emerging from a central barrel[16-19]. The identity of these spokes remains unknown,

although the spokes were suggested to be the peptidoglycan-binding component TsaP, shown to

be important for secretin spoke formation in *Neisseria sp.* and *Myxococcus xanthus*[16,19]. The

structures of the related type II secretion system (T2SS) secretins also have radial spokes[20,21].

These structures have been determined at 3-4 Å resolution, permitting modeling of the T2SS

pilotin spoke protein[20,21]. This finding suggests that the spokes in the T4aP structures could be the

T4aP pilotin, PilF, although PilF is structurally unrelated to the T2SS pilotin.

Secretins have a unique double-layered β-barrel architecture[22,23]. The outer β-barrel forms

a large vestibule that passes though the outer membrane[23]. The inner β-barrel curves inwards to

form a gate at the midway point of the outer β-barrel[23]. N1 and then N0 domains form rings

below the β-barrel[23]. In some secretins, additional N1-like domains form rings located between

the N1 ring and N0 ring[24], though PilQ from Proteobacteria typically lack these additional rings.

Instead, two or more septal peptidoglycan-binding AMI_N domains precede the N0 ring in

Proteobacterial PilQ[18,25]. Most secretins have a C-terminal S-domain following the β-barrel

region, though PilQ lacks this segment[26].

Recently models of PilQ from *Thermus thermophilus* (PilQ[Tt]) and *Vibrio cholerae*

(PilQ[Vc]) competence pilus systems were built into 7 and 2.7 Å resolution electron

cryomicroscopy (cryoEM) maps, respectively [27,28]. However, competence pilus PilQ secretins are

divergent from Proteobacterial T4aP PilQ secretins. Specifically, these secretins lack the lip

subdomain and the radial spokes. Instead, in the case of PilQ[Tt], extra density was identified where

the lip subdomains would be located[28].

We previously resolved a 7.4 Å map of PilQ from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (PilQ[Pa]) with

presumed tetradecameric stoichiometry and $C_7$ symmetric spoke density[18]. Although at the time

this was the highest resolution structure of a secretin, it was not sufficient for model building.

Herein, our reprocessing of those micrographs with currently available image analysis and

model-building algorithms, yielded three distinct structures of PilQ[Pa]. These maps were of

sufficient resolution to enable building of an atomic model. Mass spectrometry revealed the

identity of the protein bound in the spoke density to be TsaP, allowing a model to be built into the

spoke density. Functional characterization of the spoke protein supports a role for TsaP in the

surface-sensing signal cascade leading to cyclic di-GMP up-regulation.

**RESULTS**

**PilQ[Pa] forms tetradecameric and pentadecameric barrels**

We previously resolved a 7.4 Å resolution PilQ[Pa] cryoEM map[18]. Using the template-

based automatic particle selection algorithm implemented in cryoSPARC v.2[29], eight times more

particles were selected automatically from the original micrographs, where particle selection was

performed manually (**Supplementary Table 1**). Ab initio classification followed by

heterogeneous particle refinement in 3D revealed three unique classes, with 44 % of particle

images classified as $C_{14}$ symmetric barrels with $C_7$ symmetric radial spokes (**Figure 1A**), 48 % of

particle images classifying as $C_{14}$ symmetric barrels without spokes (**Figure 1B**), and 8 % as $C_{15}$

symmetric barrels without spokes (**Figure 1C**). Refining the three classes yielded maps at 4.3,

4.3, and 7.3 Å resolution, respectively. The distinct $C_{14}$ and $C_{15}$ symmetric maps identified are

reminiscent of the finding that the T2SS secretin can have more than one symmetry[24].

The map of the $C_{14}$ symmetric barrel with $C_7$ symmetric radial spokes had the best overall

map quality, with local resolution in the barrel estimated to be 3.5 Å. Secondary structure and

large side chains are visible, enabling model building of 14 PilQ[Pa] N1 and secretin domains

(**Figure 1A**). Although we verified that the AMI_N and N0 domains of PilQ were present using

mass spectrometry analyses (**Supplementary Figure 1**), they could not be modeled, consistent

with those domains adopting random orientations relative to the secretin barrel in the absence of

the peptidoglycan and the rest of the T4aP apparatus. Protomers of the barrel structure from the

spoked barrel map were fit into the maps of the $C_{14}$ and $C_{15}$ barrels without spokes and refined.

The final models were compared to co-evolved residues within PilQ[Pa] homologs as

identified by the EVcouplings webserver[30] (**Figure 1D**). There is a correlation between the co-

evolved residues and the N1 and secretin domains, validating these structures. The sequence of

the lip subdomain is not well conserved in PilQ (**Figure 1D**) consistent with the extracellular

exposure of this element. Consequently, poor sequence alignment in this region yields fewer co-

evolved residues in the lip subdomain. In the tetradecameric PilQ[Pa] maps (**Figure 1A-B**), the lip

subdomain appears folded open compared to lip subdomains from T2SS secretin structures[24,31].
Density for the lip in the C15 PilQ$^{Pa}$ barrel is absent (**Figure 1C**) suggesting it is disordered
relative to the secretin in this map.
115

**Co-evolved residues are consistent with secretin gate and barrel dynamics**

There are also co-evolved residues not directly supported by the model of PilQ$^{Pa}$. These
residues suggest dynamics not visualized in the cryoEM maps. There are co-evolved residues
between the secretin gate and the outer secretin barrel (**Figure 1E**). These co-evolved residues
are consistent with a model of secretin gate opening, as demonstrated recently for the secretin
from the type III secretion injectisome, InvG$^{32}$. Co-evolved residues are also identified at the top
of the outer secretin barrel and near the bottom of the outer secretin barrel adjacent to the secretin
gate (**Figure 1F**). These co-evolved residues may be consistent with the outer secretin barrel
folding such that the top of the outer secretin barrel covers the gate-adjacent segment of the
secretin. The top of the secretin is hydrophobic (**Figure 1G**), like other secretin structures,
consistent with this region being the site for outer membrane insertion. Thus, one explanation for
these co-evolved residues may be that the outer secretin barrel forms a folding intermediate that
masks these sites prior to membrane insertion (**Figure 1F**). The gate-adjacent section of the outer
secretin barrel is highly conserved (**Figure 1G**) and provides the interface for the spoke density.
Thus, the spoke protein could bind this region to stabilize PilQ after outer-membrane insertion.
131

**Dynamics in the secretin gates visualized**

The conformations of the secretin gates in the $C_{15}$, $C_{14}$, and spoked $C_{14}$ PilQ$^{Pa}$ barrels are
different. While the secretin gate in the $C_{15}$ barrels is perpendicular to the walls of the barrel, the
secretin gate in the $C_{14}$ barrel invaginates towards the periplasm. Comparing the $C_{15}$ and $C_{14}$
barrels without spokes, the residues at the center of the secretin gate are displaced by 4 Å (**Figure
1B-C, Animation 1**). This invagination is likely the result of the 5 Å reduction in diameter of the
tetradecameric barrel relative to the pentadecameric form, leaving less room for the gate to
extend perpendicularly from the walls of the barrel.
Comparing the $C_{14}$ and spoked $C_{14}$ barrels reveals that the secretin gate invaginates by an
additional 3 Å in the spoked $C_{14}$ barrel (**Figure 1A-B**). A smaller barrel diameter cannot explain
this movement, as the diameters of the $C_{14}$ barrel and spoked $C_{14}$ barrels are identical. Comparing

the $C_{15}$, $C_{14}$, and spoked $C_{14}$ barrels reveals a pivot point for gate invagination in the outer barrel

adjacent to the secretin gate and the spokes (**Figure 1H-I and Animation 1**). This finding is

consistent with the molecules bound at the spokes promoting secretin gate invagination.

**TsaP co-purifies with PilQ**

There are two features in the maps of PilQ[Pa] that cannot be explained by models of PilQ[Pa]

alone. First are the $C_7$ symmetric spokes. The spoke density appears to be comprised of 14

structurally similar β-roll protein structures that form a belt around PilQ. There are differences in

every other β-roll that give the spokes $C_7$ symmetry (**Figure 1A**). There is also extra density

beneath the secretin gate that plugs the small opening in the center of both tetradecameric PilQ

barrels – the density is more pronounced in the spoked tetradecameric barrel map (**Figure 1A-B**).

The local quality of the map beneath the secretin gate is too poor to identify distinct structural

elements. Curiously, there is no evidence of $C_{15}$ barrels with spokes or extra density beneath the

secretin gates, hinting at stoichiometry-dependent interactions.

To identify the protein(s) that constitute the extra densities, mass spectrometry was

performed on the protein sample used for cryoEM grid preparation. Aside from PilQ[Pa], the most

abundant components are PrpL, PA5122, and TsaP (**Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary**

**Figure 2**). TsaP is the protein most likely responsible for the spoke density as it has been shown

to be critical for PilQ spoke visualization in *Neisseria* sp. and *M. xanthus*[16,19]. PrpL is an

extracellular protease secreted by the T2SS[33,34] and thus unlikely to constitute these densities.

PA5122 is an uncharacterized protein with a secretion signal sequence.

**Model building of TsaP reveals unique heptamer structure**

The structure of TsaP is not known; however, TsaP is predicted to have an N-terminal

peptidoglycan-binding LysM domain linked by unstructured residues to a C-terminal domain.

The C-terminal domain of TsaP, henceforth referred to as the CII domain, is predicted to be

similar to the C-domain of the flagellar ring-forming component, FlgT[19]. The C-domain of FlgT

has a β-roll structure with an extruding finger subdomain[35]. The Phyre[2] predicted structure

prediction of TsaP from *P. aeruginosa* is consistent with this prediction (**Figure 2A**). To validate

this prediction, TsaP co-evolved residues identified by the EVcouplings webserver[30] were

compared with the predicted structure of the TsaP CII domain (**Figure 2B**). The correlations

between co-evolved residues and residues close to one another in the CII domain structure

prediction provide support for similarity of the predicted structure to the FlgT C-domain.

Inspection of TsaP co-evolved residues revealed a duplicate pattern of co-evolved residues

preceding the CII domain (**Figure 2B**), consistent with a second domain with a similar structure,

henceforth referred to as the CI domain. When the structure of the CI domain segment was

predicted[36,37] we found that it was also similar to the FlgT C-domain (**Figure 2A**). This is distinct

from previous predictions that have only identified a single C-domain in TsaP[19].

The β-roll structure and extruding finger subdomain in the CI and CII models can be

clearly seen in the spoke density (**Figure 2C**). There is also density consistent with linker

residues preceding the CI domain and between the CI and CII domains. In contrast, Phyre$^2$

predicted structures of PrpL and PA5122 do not fit the size or shape of the spokes. The C-

terminal 224 residues of TsaP encompassing the CI and CII domains were therefore modeled and

refined into the map. This model reveals that TsaP forms a heptameric ring with $C_7$ symmetry

around PilQ$^{Pa}$ at the midpoint of the barrel where the internal gate is positioned (**Figure 2D**).

Each finger subdomain contacts the adjacent C domain to mediate intramolecular CI$^n$-CII$^n$

interactions and intermolecular CII$^n$-CI$^{n+1}$ interactions. These finger subdomain interactions

correlate with co-evolved residue pairs in TsaP (**Figure 2B**), further validating the model of TsaP

built into this map.

Each C domain contacts a unique PilQ protomer. The buried surface area of the TsaP-

PilQ interaction is 2924 Å$^2$ per TsaP protomer, or 20468 Å$^2$ for the entire 7:14 TsaP-PilQ

interaction. The buried surface area of the TsaP-TsaP oligomeric interface is 913 Å$^2$. The larger

buried surface area of the TsaP-PilQ interface compared with the TsaP-TsaP oligomeric interface

hints that the PilQ interaction may help facilitate TsaP oligomerization.

**Overexpression of TsaP triggers cyclic di-GMP signalling and biofilm formation**

We previously found that TsaP is not required for PilQ oligomerization, suggesting that

TsaP is not a pilotin[18]. *tsaP* mutants have a modest 40 % defect in twitching motility, which can

be complemented *in trans* with *tsaP* from an arabinose-inducible promotor without the addition

of arabinose (**Figure 3A**). Compared to the vector control, a 66 % defect in twitching motility is

observed in wild-type *P. aeruginosa* or the *tsaP* mutant complemented with *tsaP* when induced

with 0.1 % (w/v) arabinose – henceforth referred to as over-expressed TsaP. Intriguingly, when

TsaP is over-expressed there is qualitatively less crystal violet staining of adhered bacteria to the polystyrene plates used in these twitching motility assays (**Figure 3B**).

As induction of cyclic di-GMP in *P. aeruginosa* yields biofilm matrixes with altered adhesion properties[38], we hypothesised that TsaP overexpression may be altering cyclic di-GMP levels. We therefore assessed the propensity of TsaP-overexpressing *P. aeruginosa* to form biofilms using a Congo red dye uptake assay. Congo red binds to the Pel polysaccharide in *P. aeruginosa* biofilms, leading to red colonies and a small clear halo around the colonies where the dye has been taken up[39,40]. We found that the *tsaP* deletion mutant is indistinguishable from wild-type *P. aeruginosa* (**Figure 3B**). However, TsaP overexpression in wild type or the *tsaP* mutant lead to red colonies surrounded by pronounced clearing (**Figure 3B**), consistent with the over-expression of TsaP leading to increased biofilm formation. Cyclic di-GMP induction has also been implicated in reducing extracellular protease secretion[41,42]. We therefore assessed the propensity of TsaP overexpressing *P. aeruginosa* to secrete proteases using a casein proteolysis assay. Hydrolysis of casein by extracelluar proteases leads to clear halos around protease-secreting colonies on solid skim milk media[43]. Clear halos form around wild-type *P. aeruginosa* and the *tsaP* deletion mutant; however, no halos form around TsaP over-expressing colonies (**Figure 3B**), consistent with TsaP overexpression leading to increased cyclic di-GMP and decreased protease secretion. Finally, measurement of luciferase expression from a cyclic di-GMP sensitive promotor verified that TsaP overexpression increases cellular cyclic di-GMP concentrations (**Figure 3C**). Growth curves confirmed that increased luciferase expression is not a result of increased cell growth: the cell density during the stationary phase of growth of bacteria over-expressing TsaP is lower than wild type, consistent with resources being used for biofilm formation instead of replication (**Figure 3C**). These results are consistent with TsaP being involved with cyclic di-GMP signal modulation.

**TsaP signalling occurs upstream of PilY1**

Deletion of PilQ or the PilQ pilotin, PilF, does not prevent the over-expression of TsaP from increasing Congo-red dye uptake (**Figure 3D**). This indicates that PilQ is not downstream of TsaP in this signal cascade. PilY1 over-expression in *trans* can also trigger increased cyclic di-GMP production[14]. To test whether TsaP over-expression triggers the signal cascade upstream of PilY1, TsaP was over-expressed in a PilY1 deletion mutant. In this mutant, there is decreased

Congo-red dye uptake, consistent with TsaP over-expression inducing a signal cascade that

proceeds through PilY1 (**Figure 3D**). PilY1 induces a signalling cascade that proceeds through

PilM, PilN, PilO, and/or PilP, as deleting the *pilMNOP* genes blocks cyclic di-GMP induction

upon PilY1 over-expression[14]. Therefore, if TsaP over-expression indeed triggers the cyclic di-

GMP signal cascade upstream of PilY1, mutations in the *pilMNOP* genes should reduce cyclic

di-GMP signalling caused by TsaP over-expression. We found that TsaP over-expression in *pilN*,

*pilO*, or *pilP* mutants resulted in less Congo red dye uptake (**Figure 3D**), consistent with TsaP

triggering the same signal cascade as PilY1 to induce cyclic di-GMP.

**DISCUSSION**

We have determined the structure of PilQ alone and bound to TsaP at 4.3 Å resolution,

and demonstrated a role for TsaP in cyclic di-GMP signalling. Our results resolve the identity of

the spokes identified in Proteobacterial PilQ cryoEM densities and may reveal a new component

of the surface-sensing cyclic di-GMP signal cascade.

While most secretins are bound by their cognate pilotin around the periphery of the

secretin barrel adjacent to the secretin gate, we found PilQ^Pa is bound by TsaP. Unlike the pilotin

PilF, TsaP is not essential for PilQ assembly or twitching motility[18]. Where and how PilF

interacts with PilQ remains an open question, although one possibility is that TsaP replaces PilF

after insertion of PilQ into the outer membrane (**Figure 4A**). We identified co-evolved residues

that are consistent with the TsaP-binding region of PilQ interacting with the hydrophobic

membrane-interacting interface. This hints at a model of PilQ membrane insertion in which

secretin monomers initially fold onto themselves with the TsaP-binding site occluding the

hydrophobic membrane insertion site. Binding by pilotins to the TsaP-binding site might then

stabilize membrane-embedded PilQ, rationalizing the necessity of pilotins for secretin assembly

and localization. If this were the case, after outer-membrane insertion of PilQ, PilF would be

replaced with TsaP in *P. aeruginosa* (**Figure 4A**).

The co-structure of TsaP and PilQ provides hints about the functional role of TsaP. The

structure of PilQ was determined in two distinct stoichiometries. Interestingly, only the

tetradecameric form is bound by TsaP and the unidentified secretin gate plug. Likewise, the 2:1

PilQ:TsaP configuration necessitates binding of only even-numbered PilQ stoichiometries. It may

be TsaP recognizes or selects for specific secretin stoichiometries. Selecting particular secretin

stoichiometries may improve the efficiency of T4aP function, consistent with the moderate

twitching motility defect of the TsaP-deletion mutant.

Alternatively, TsaP binding PilQ could alter the tertiary structure of PilQ. Comparing the

different PilQ structures reveals a pivot point for secretin gate invagination adjacent to the

spokes, consistent with TsaP binding influencing this process (**Figure 4B**). Thus, a possible role

for TsaP may be in modifying secretin-gate invagination. TsaP could also be involved with much

larger-scale secretin movements. For example, PilQ adopts distinct states depending on whether

the pilus fibre occupies the central channel the T4aP[19,27]. Compared to T4aP maps obtained by

electron cryotomography in which the pilus fibre does not occupy the T4aP, the OM in the

piliated state has moved 20Å away from PilP and the peptidoglycan[19]. Although the secretin

domain is embedded in the OM, the AMI_N and N0 domains of PilQ bind peptidoglycan and

PilP, respectively[25]. This suggests that PilQ must extend and contract when going between the

piliated and non-piliated states. As TsaP binds to both the peptidoglycan and secretin domain of

PilQ, TsaP binding could prevent secretins from adopting an open state (**Figure 4C**). In other

words, TsaP could act as an elastic band between the peptidoglycan and outer-membrane

components of PilQ, keeping PilQ contracted and the T4aP closed in the absence of an assembled

pilus. The predicted disordered residues between the LysM and CI/CII domains could afford

TsaP the elasticity required for this action.

Altered conformation of PilQ might be sensed by other PilQ-binding proteins, such as

PilP binding to the N0 domain of PilQ or the plug protein located beneath the secretin gates.

However, it appears that PilQ is not downstream of TsaP cyclic di-GMP signalling, as deletion of

PilQ does not interrupt the increased Congo Red dye binding upon TsaP overexpression. This is

curious as PilQ provides the only known physical contact between TsaP and PilN, PilO, and PilP

– which are important for inducing cyclic di-GMP production. This suggests there is a PilQ-

independent signal transduction pathway from TsaP to PilY1 and PilNOP. It is worth noting that

PilN, PilO, and PilP are mutually dependent on each other for stability[44], so deleting one gene

can reduce expression of all three. Therefore, it may be that the signal transduction pathway

proceeds through only one of PilN, PilO, or PilP.

It seems likely that TsaP and PilQ are tightly associated, as the buried surface area of the

TsaP-PilQ interaction is enormous (20468 Å$^2$) and stable in detergent. It is nonetheless possible

that some of the TsaP was removed during the purification as only about half of the purified PilQ

particles have TsaP associated with them. Alternatively, there may be a population of free PilQ

and TsaP in the cell. These unbound proteins may be an intermediate state between PilQ-PilF and

PilQ-TsaP complexes. Given that TsaP over-expression triggers cyclic di-GMP induction

independent of PilQ, the unbound population of TsaP may trigger signalling. Alternatively, TsaP

could be downstream of PilQ in the cyclic di-GMP signal cascade. For example, under native

expression conditions conformational changes in PilQ could trigger TsaP binding and nucleate

TsaP oligomerization, and this oligomerization could be the trigger for cyclic di-GMP signalling.

In this case, over-expressed TsaP could signal for increased cyclic di-GMP if TsaP spontaneously

oligomerizes when over-expressed (**Figure 4D**). At present, the exact mechanism of how TsaP

initiates and relays a signal through PilY1 and PilNOP for cyclic di-GMP remains a tantalizing

mystery.

**METHODS**

**Cloning and mutagenesis**

TsaP from *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 was PCR amplified from genomic DNA using primers

TsaP_fwd and TsaP_rev (**Supplementary Table 3**), digested with KpnI and XbaI, and cloned into

pBADGr to create pBADGr:*tsaP* for arabinose inducible expression of TsaP. Plasmid sequences

were verified by TCAG sequencing facilities (The Hospital for Sick Children, Canada).

**TsaP Deletion**

A gene deletion allele was ordered from BioBasic and subcloned into pEX18Gm at the

BamHI site producing pEX18Gm_*tsaP*. Clones were confirmed by digest and DNA sequencing

(TCAG, The Hospital for Sick Children). The pEX18Gm_*tsaP* construct was transformed into

chemically competent SM10 *E. coli* cells, colonies containing pEX18Gm_*tsaP* were mated with

mPAO1 using a method described elsewhere[49]. Briefly, after mating *P. aeruginosa* was selected

for by growth on LB agar plates containing 25 μg/ml triclosan (Sigma) followed by selection of

the merodiploid strains on VBMM agar containing 30 μg/ml gentamicin. Counter selection to

resolve the merodiploids was performed on 1.5 % agar plates with 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast

extract, and 15% (wt/vol) sucrose. The deletion was verified by the absence of a PCR product using

primers TsaP_fwd and TsaP_rev.

**Phenotypic characterizations**

*P. aeruginosa* PAO1 with and without deletion mutations of *tsaP*, *pilN*, *pilO*, *pilP*, *pilQ*, *pilY1* were electroporated with pBADGr or pBADGr:tsaP. *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 with and without deletion mutations of *tsaP* were also electroporated with pBADGr:tsaP.

Twitching assays were performed as described previously[50,51] except that assays were performed in 150 mm by 15 mm petri polystyrene dishes (Fisher Scientific) with 30 µg/ml gentamicin for 18 h at 37 °C. After this incubation, the agar was then carefully discarded and the adherent bacteria were stained with 1 % (w/v) crystal violet dye, followed by washing with deionized water to remove unbound dye. Twitching zone areas were measured using ImageJ software[52]. Twitching motility assays were performed in six replicates.

For Congo-red dye binding assays, cells were grown in 0.5 mL of lysogeny broth (LB) with 30 µg/ml gentamicin for 16 h at 37 °C while shaking. Cultures were normalized to an OD at 600 nm of 0.1 and then 3 µl of culture was spotted onto Congo red plates (1% (wt/vol) Tryptone, 1% (wt/vol) agar, 0.004% (wt/vol) congo red, and 0.0015% (wt/vol) Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250, and either 0, 0.01, or 0.1% L-arabinose) with the appropriate antibiotic, and grown for 24-36 h at 37 °C.

Extracellular proteases were assayed using skim milk plates (1% wt/vol agar, 1% wt/vol skim milk powder, and either 0, 0.01, or 0.1% L-arabinose) with the appropriate antibiotic, using the same normalized cultures used for the Congo red binding assays. 3 µl of culture was spotted on a plate and incubated for 16 hrs at 37 °C. All plates were scanned using an Epson Perfection 4990 scanner and Epson Scan software.

For luciferase expression, cells previously electroporated with pBADGr, pBADGr::tsaP and pBADGr::tsaP mutant constructs were electroporated with pMS402:PcdrA. Cells were grown in 0.5 mL of lysogeny broth (LB) with 30 µg/ml gentamicin and 150 µg/ml kanamycin for 16 h at 37 °C while shaking. Cultures were normalized to OD600 of 0.1 and diluted 10x into fresh LB broth containing 30 µg/ml gentamicin, 105 µg/ml kanamycin and either 0, 0.01% or 0.1% L-arabinose in a 384 well plate (Corning, 3821). All samples were done in triplicate. Growth and luciferase activity were monitored over a 16-hour period in a Synergy Neo2 plate reader (BioTek Instruments). Data was analyzed and plotted using Prism 8 (Graph Pad).

**Plotting the residues at the packing unit interface**

CMview[53] was used to identify residues that are near one another. The contact type was set

to 'ALL' (i.e. every atom available in the structure) and the distance cut-off was set to 8 Å. To

identify residues that are near one another in PilQ monomers, the CI domain of TsaP (residues 126-

211), or the CII domain of TsaP (residues 235-324), these structure segments were loaded

separately. To identify intra-molecular CI to CII residues that are near each other in TsaP, full-

length TsaP was loaded. To identify inter-molecular residues that are near one another, two

adjacent PilQ protomers were loaded together, or the CI domain and CII domains from two adjacent

protomers were loaded together.

**Identifying evolutionarily-coupled residues**

The TsaP and PilQ[Pa] amino acid sequences were analyzed using the EVcouplings

webserver[30] with default parameters. Homologs were identified, aligned, and analyzed – in total,

2642 and 11302 for TsaP and PilQ, respectively. There was poor alignment quality in the lip

subdomain of PilQ (residues 481-531) so few evolutionarily-coupled residue pairs were discovered

in the lip subdomain. Only residue pairs with a probability score greater than 0.845 or 0.985 were

included in subsequent analysis, for TsaP and PilQ, respectively. To better understand the

significance of these evolutionarily coupled residues, they were compared to the residues that are

near one another identified in CMview.

**CryoEM analysis**

As previously described for this dataset[18], cryoEM data was collected as movies (30 frames

over 15 sec) with a FEI Tecnai F20 electron microscope operating at 200 kV and equipped with a

Gatan K2 Summit direct detector camera. The exposure rate was 5 electrons/pixel/s with a

calibrated pixel size of 1.45 Å/pixel. Movie frames were aligned with an implementation of

alignframes_lmbfgs[54] within cryoSPARC v2.8.0. All subsequent image processing was performed

in cryoSPARC v2.8.0[29] (**Supplementary Table 1**). CTF parameters were estimated from the

average of aligned frames with CTFFIND4[55] within cryoSPARC and individual particle motion

correction and exposure weighting was done with an implementation of alignparts_lmbfgs[54] within

cryoSPARC. Initial 2D class averages were generated with manually selected particle images;

these class averages were then used to select more particle images. Particle images were extracted

in 256×256 pixel boxes then subjected to 2D classification. For the purposes of 3D classification,

particle images contributing to 2D classes without high-resolution features were removed. *Ab initio*

reconstruction was performed using two classes, revealing barrels and spoked-barrels. The particles

contributing to the barrels without spokes were used for an additional round of *ab initio*

reconstruction with two classes, revealing an additional class with wider barrels. The spoked

barrels, the barrels without spokes, and the wider barrels without spokes were then used as initial

models for heterogeneous refinement; particles from 3D classes that did not converge at this stage

were removed. Particles from distinct 3D classes were then subjected to non-uniform refinement[56].

A molecular model could be built using Coot[57] into the spoked barrel map using the

structures of FlgT and GspD[24,35] as guides for TsaP and PilQ, respectively. Molecular models for

the maps of barrels without spokes were built by fitting the PilQ protomers built into the spoked

barrel map in Coot[57]. These models were refined against the maps in Phenix-Refine[58] with

reference model restraints to the spoked barrel PilQ structures. While side-chains were used to

ensure the models made biological sense during model building, they were ultimately removed as

the map quality did not justify them being modeled.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by a grant from the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (CIHR) MOP

93585 to L.L.B. and P.L.H. M.M. was supported by a CIHR Doctoral Studentship and Ontario

Graduate Scholarship during these studies. P.L.H. and J.L.R. are recipients of Canada Research

Chairs. The graphics programs used in this study were accessed using SBGrid.

**REFERENCES**

1.    McCallum, M., Burrows, L.L. & Howell, P.L. The Dynamic Structures of the Type IV
Pilus. *Microbiol Spectrum* **7**(2019).
2.    Craig, L., Forest, K.T. & Maier, B. Type IV pili: dynamics, biophysics and functional
consequences. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **17**, 429-440 (2019).
3.    Chang, C.Y. Surface Sensing for Biofilm Formation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Front*
*Microbiol* **8**, 2671 (2017).
4.    Valentini, M. & Filloux, A. Biofilms and Cyclic di-GMP (c-di-GMP) Signaling: Lessons
from Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Other Bacteria. *J Biol Chem* **291**, 12547-55 (2016).
5.    Mah, T.F. & O'Toole, G.A. Mechanisms of biofilm resistance to antimicrobial agents.
*Trends Microbiol* **9**, 34-9 (2001).

6.  McCallum, M., Tammam, S., Khan, A., Burrows, L.L. & Howell, P.L. The molecular mechanism of the type IVa pilus motors. *Nat Commun* **8**, 15091 (2017).

7.  McCallum, M. et al. Multiple conformations facilitate PilT function in the type IV pilus. *Nat Commun* **10**, 5198 (2019).

8.  Marko, V.A., Kilmury, S.L.N., MacNeil, L.T. & Burrows, L.L. Pseudomonas aeruginosa type IV minor pilins and PilY1 regulate virulence by modulating FimS-AlgR activity. *PLoS Pathog* **14**, e1007074 (2018).

9.  Nguyen, Y. et al. Pseudomonas aeruginosa minor pilins prime type IVa pilus assembly and promote surface display of the PilY1 adhesin. *J Biol Chem* **290**, 601-11 (2015).

10. Koo, J., Burrows, L.L. & Howell, P.L. Decoding the roles of pilotins and accessory proteins in secretin escort services. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **328**, 1-12 (2012).

11. Koo, J. et al. PilF is an outer membrane lipoprotein required for multimerization and localization of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa Type IV pilus secretin. *J Bacteriol* **190**, 6961-9 (2008).

12. McCallum, M. et al. PilN Binding Modulates the Structure and Binding Partners of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa Type IVa Pilus Protein PilM. *J Biol Chem* **291**, 11003-15 (2016).

13. Tammam, S. et al. PilMNOPQ from the Pseudomonas aeruginosa type IV pilus system form a transenvelope protein interaction network that interacts with PilA. *J Bacteriol* **195**, 2126-35 (2013).

14. Luo, Y. et al. A hierarchical cascade of second messengers regulates Pseudomonas aeruginosa surface behaviors. *mBio* **6**(2015).

15. Persat, A., Inclan, Y.F., Engel, J.N., Stone, H.A. & Gitai, Z. Type IV pili mechanochemically regulate virulence factors in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 7563-8 (2015).

16. Siewering, K. et al. Peptidoglycan-binding protein TsaP functions in surface assembly of type IV pili. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **111**, E953-61 (2014).

17. Collins, R.F. et al. Structure of the Neisseria meningitidis outer membrane PilQ secretin complex at 12 A resolution. *J Biol Chem* **279**, 39750-6 (2004).

18. Koo, J., Lamers, R.P., Rubinstein, J.L., Burrows, L.L. & Howell, P.L. Structure of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa Type IVa Pilus Secretin at 7.4 A. *Structure* **24**, 1778-1787 (2016).

19. Chang, Y.W. et al. Architecture of the type IVa pilus machine. *Science* **351**, aad2001 (2016).

20. Yin, M., Yan, Z. & Li, X. Structural insight into the assembly of the type II secretion system pilotin-secretin complex from enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli. *Nat Microbiol* **3**, 581-587 (2018).

21. Chernyatina, A.A. & Low, H.H. Core architecture of a bacterial type II secretion system. *Nat Commun* **10**, 5437 (2019).

22. Filloux, A. & Voulhoux, R. Multiple Structures Disclose the Secretins' Secrets. *J Bacteriol* **200**(2018).

23. Worrall, L.J. et al. Near-atomic-resolution cryo-EM analysis of the Salmonella T3S injectisome basal body. *Nature* **540**, 597-601 (2016).

24. Yan, Z., Yin, M., Xu, D., Zhu, Y. & Li, X. Structural insights into the secretin translocation channel in the type II secretion system. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **24**, 177-183 (2017).

25.   Berry, J.L. et al. Structure and assembly of a trans-periplasmic channel for type IV pili in
Neisseria meningitidis. *PLoS Pathog* **8**, e1002923 (2012).
26.   Majewski, D.D., Worrall, L.J. & Strynadka, N.C. Secretins revealed: structural insights
into the giant gated outer membrane portals of bacteria. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* **51**, 61-72
(2018).
27.   Weaver, S.J., Sazinsky, M.H., Dalia, T.N., Dalia, A.B. & Jensen, G.J. CryoEM structure
of the Vibrio cholerae Type IV competence pilus secretin PilQ. *bioRxiv* (2020).
28.   D'Imprima, E. et al. Cryo-EM structure of the bifunctional secretin complex of Thermus
thermophilus. *Elife* **6**, e30483 (2017).
29.   Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J.L., Fleet, D.J. & Brubaker, M.A. cryoSPARC: algorithms for
rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* **14**, 290-296 (2017).
30.   Marks, D.S. et al. Protein 3D structure computed from evolutionary sequence variation.
*PLoS One* **6**, e28766 (2011).
31.   Hay, I.D., Belousoff, M.J. & Lithgow, T. Structural Basis of Type 2 Secretion System
Engagement between the Inner and Outer Bacterial Membranes. *MBio* **8**, e01344-17
(2017).
32.   Hu, J. et al. Cryo-EM analysis of the T3S injectisome reveals the structure of the needle
and open secretin. *Nat Commun* **9**, 3840 (2018).
33.   Wilderman, P.J. et al. Characterization of an endoprotease (PrpL) encoded by a PvdS-
regulated gene in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Infect Immun* **69**, 5385-94 (2001).
34.   Michel, G.P., Durand, E. & Filloux, A. XphA/XqhA, a novel GspCD subunit for type II
secretion in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Bacteriol* **189**, 3776-83 (2007).
35.   Terashima, H. et al. Insight into the assembly mechanism in the supramolecular rings of
the sodium-driven Vibrio flagellar motor from the structure of FlgT. *Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A* **110**, 6133-8 (2013).
36.   Kelley, L.A., Mezulis, S., Yates, C.M., Wass, M.N. & Sternberg, M.J. The Phyre2 web
portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat Protoc* **10**, 845-58 (2015).
37.   Yang, J. et al. The I-TASSER Suite: protein structure and function prediction. *Nat
Methods* **12**, 7-8 (2015).
38.   Ha, D.G. & O'Toole, G.A. c-di-GMP and its Effects on Biofilm Formation and
Dispersion: a Pseudomonas Aeruginosa Review. *Microbiol Spectr* **3**, MB-0003-2014
(2015).
39.   Jones, C.J. & Wozniak, D.J. Congo Red Stain Identifies Matrix Overproduction and Is an
Indirect Measurement for c-di-GMP in Many Species of Bacteria. *Methods Mol Biol*
**1657**, 147-156 (2017).
40.   Vasseur, P., Vallet-Gely, I., Soscia, C., Genin, S. & Filloux, A. The pel genes of the
Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAK strain are involved at early and late stages of biofilm
formation. *Microbiology* **151**, 985-997 (2005).
41.   Ravichandran, A., Ramachandran, M., Suriyanarayanan, T., Wong, C.C. & Swarup, S.
Global Regulator MorA Affects Virulence-Associated Protease Secretion in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa PAO1. *PLoS One* **10**, e0123805 (2015).
42.   Lopez-Baena, F.J., Vinardell, J.M. & Medina, C. Regulation of Protein Secretion Systems
Mediated by Cyclic Diguanylate in Plant-Interacting Bacteria. *Front Microbiol* **10**, 1289
(2019).
43.   Sokol, P.A., Ohman, D.E. & Iglewski, B.H. A more sensitive plate assay for detection of
protease production by Pseudomanas aeruginosa. *J Clin Microbiol* **9**, 538-40 (1979).

| 517 | 44. | Ayers, M. et al. PilM/N/O/P proteins form an inner membrane complex that affects the |
| 518 | | stability of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa type IV pilus secretin. *J Mol Biol* **394**, 128-42 |
| 519 | | (2009). |
| 520 | 45. | Chen, V.B. et al. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular |
| 521 | | crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **66**, 12-21 (2010). |
| 522 | 46. | Jacobs, M.A. et al. Comprehensive transposon mutant library of Pseudomonas |
| 523 | | aeruginosa. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **100**, 14339-44 (2003). |
| 524 | 47. | Koo, J. et al. Functional mapping of PilF and PilQ in the Pseudomonas aeruginosa type |
| 525 | | IV pilus system. *Biochemistry* **52**, 2914-23 (2013). |
| 526 | 48. | Asikyan, M.L., Kus, J.V. & Burrows, L.L. Novel proteins that modulate type IV pilus |
| 527 | | retraction dynamics in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J Bacteriol* **190**, 7022-34 (2008). |
| 528 | 49. | de Lorenzo, V. & Timmis, K.N. Analysis and construction of stable phenotypes in gram- |
| 529 | | negative bacteria with Tn5- and Tn10-derived minitransposons. *Methods Enzymol* **235**, |
| 530 | | 386-405 (1994). |
| 531 | 50. | Ha, D.G., Kuchma, S.L. & O'Toole, G.A. Plate-based assay for swarming motility in |
| 532 | | Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Methods Mol Biol* **1149**, 67-72 (2014). |
| 533 | 51. | Turnbull, L. & Whitchurch, C.B. Motility assay: twitching motility. *Methods Mol Biol* |
| 534 | | **1149**, 73-86 (2014). |
| 535 | 52. | Schneider, C.A., Rasband, W.S. & Eliceiri, K.W. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of |
| 536 | | image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**, 671-5 (2012). |
| 537 | 53. | Vehlow, C. et al. CMView: interactive contact map visualization and analysis. |
| 538 | | *Bioinformatics* **27**, 1573-4 (2011). |
| 539 | 54. | Rubinstein, J.L. & Brubaker, M.A. Alignment of cryo-EM movies of individual particles |
| 540 | | by optimization of image translations. *J Struct Biol* **192**, 188-95 (2015). |
| 541 | 55. | Rohou, A. & Grigorieff, N. CTFFIND4: Fast and accurate defocus estimation from |
| 542 | | electron micrographs. *J Struct Biol* **192**, 216-21 (2015). |
| 543 | 56. | Punjani, A., Zhang, H., Fleet D. J. Non-uniform refinement: Adaptive regularization |
| 544 | | improves single particle cryo-EM reconstruction. *bioRxiv* (2019). |
| 545 | 57. | Casanal, A., Lohkamp, B. & Emsley, P. Current Developments in Coot for |
| 546 | | Macromolecular Model Building of Electron Cryo-microscopy and Crystallographic |
| 547 | | Data. *Protein Sci* (2019). |
| 548 | 58. | Afonine, P.V. et al. Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. |
| 549 | | *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **74**, 531-544 (2018). |

**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1. Electron cryomicroscopy of PilQ from *P. aeruginosa* reveals multiple conformers.**

**A-C,** Map (upper left) and model (upper right) of PilQ[Pa] (coloured) with additional spoke and

plug density (black). Top (top), side (middle), and cross section (bottom) views are shown. **A,**

Map and model of spoked tetradecameric PilQ[Pa] (purple). **B,** Map and model of tetradecameric

PilQ[Pa] without spokes (teal). **C,** Map and model of pentadecameric PilQ[Pa] (orange). **D,**

Evolutionarily coupled residues in PilQ (gray) are compared with the structure of PilQ[Pa]. Residue

pairs that are within 8 Å of each other in the tertiary structure of PilQ$^{Pa}$ are shown (black).

Residue pairs that are within 8 Å of each other between PilQ$^{Pa}$ protomers are also shown (gold).

Specific evolutionarily coupled residues that are not supported by the PilQ$^{Pa}$ structure are noted

with coloured arrows: G554-P635 (yellow), E663-A624 (red), T538-E652 (green), L545-T653

(magenta), and L546-V457 (blue). **E,** Location of the G554-P635 and E663-A624 residue pairs

on a cross section of PilQ$^{Pa}$ (left) and a model for secretin gate opening consistent with these co-

evolved residues. **F,** Location of the T538-E652, L545-T653, and L546-V457 residue pairs on

PilQ$^{Pa}$ (left) and a model for outer-barrel folding consistent with these co-evolved residues. **G,**

Surface representation of conserved residues (top) and electrostatic potential (bottom) in PilQ$^{Pa}$.

**H,** Structure alignment of monomers from the spoked tetradecameric PilQ$^{Pa}$ (purple),

tetradecameric PilQ$^{Pa}$ with no spokes (teal), and pentadecameric PilQ$^{Pa}$ (orange) models. Grey

square with black PilQ cartoon has a dotted red box highlighting area of PilQ shown. Structural

differences are highlighted (arrows) and the pivot point for these differences is noted (black

circle). **I,** Cartoon model summarizing the differences between the three PilQ$^{Pa}$ structures

determined. Pentadecameric PilQ$^{Pa}$ (orange) is wider and has more horizontal secretin gates

compared to the other structures. Tetradecameric PilQ$^{Pa}$ (teal) has invaginated secretin gates and

partial plug density (black triangle). Spoked tetradecameric PilQ$^{Pa}$ (purple) has further

invaginated secretin gates, plug density (black triangle), and spokes (black circles).

**Figure 2. TsaP can be modeled in the PilQ spoke density as a heptameric ring. A,** TsaP

domain model predictions: the I-Tasser prediction of the CI domain (residues 126-211)[37] and the

Phyre$^2$ prediction of the CII domain (residues 235-324)[36]. **B,** Evolutionarily coupled residues in

TsaP (gray) are compared with the structure of the C-terminal 224 residues of TsaP. Residue

pairs that are within 8 Å of each other are shown from the CI domain (yellow), the CII domain

(wheat-yellow), and the linkers preceding and following the CI domain (brown). Intramolecular

and intermolecular contacts in the TsaP structure between the CI and CII domains are also shown

(blue and red, respectively). **C-D,** Map (top), model (middle), and cartoon (bottom) summarizing

the structure of TsaP. Zoomed-in (**C**) and zoomed-out (**D**) views are shown. TsaP is coloured as

in **B**; PilQ is shown in grey (**C**) or purple (**D**).

**Figure 3. TsaP over-expression induces cyclic di-GMP up-regulation.** Wild-type *P. aeruginosa*
(left), the *tsaP* mutant (center left), *P. aeruginosa* expressing TsaP (center right), and the *tsaP*
mutant expressing TsaP (right). **A,** Twitching motility was assayed by crystal violet staining and
the areas traversed by the bacteria were measured (violin plot, n = 6). Mean twitching areas were
compared to wild type plus the control vector with the two-way ANOVA test; reported p-values
were less than 0.01 (*) or 0.0001 (***). **B**, Representative (?) Crystal violet stained twitching
motility zones (top), Congo-red agar colonies (middle), and skim milk agar colonies (bottom) are
shown for 0 % arabinose induction (-) or 0.1% arabinose induction (++). **C**, Luminescence over
time relative to uninduced control without arabinose (top), with luciferase expression under the
control of the cyclic di-GMP inducible *cdrA* promotor. Mean luminescence relative to a matched
uninduced control (n=3) is shown for 0.1% arabinose induction (orange, ++) or 0.01% arabinose
induction (beige, +) as a filled in line with the standard error of the mean (SEM) (dotted lines).
Growth measured by absorbance at 600nm over time of liquid cultures (bottom).  Mean growth
(n=3) is shown for 0 % arabinose induction (gray, -), 0.01% arabinose induction (beige, +), or 0.1
% arabinose induction (orange, ++) with the SEM shown (dotted lines). **D**, Congo red dye uptake
assay of PAO1 (wild-type), PAO1::*pilN*, PAO1::*pilO*, PAO1::*pilP*, PAO1::*pilQ*, PAO1::*pilA*,
PAO1::*pilF* and PAO1::*pilY1* with (++) and without (-) TsaP overexpression.

**Figure 4. Potential molecular functions of TsaP. A,** The outer-barrel of PilQ (purple) adjacent
to the secretin gate may conceal the hydrophobic membrane proximal section until membrane
insertion. After membrane insertion, PilF (pink) may bind and stabilize the outer-barrel of PilQ
adjacent to the secretin gate, helping to facilitate secretin oligomerization and transporting PilQ
to the outer membrane (OM). TsaP (yellow and brown) might then replace PilF, playing a non-
essential accessory role in PilQ assembly. **B,** TsaP binding may cause secretin gate invagination.
**C,** TsaP binding to the secretin domain of PilQ and the peptidoglycan could put tension on PilQ
stretching. After the pilus has polymerized and then depolymerized, extended PilQ could be
contracted by TsaP. **D,** At native expression levels it is not clear what role TsaP plays in the
cyclic di-GMP signal cascade. However, over-expressed TsaP triggers the surface sensing cyclic
di-GMP signal cascade independent of PilQ.

**A** Spoked Tetradecameric PilQ

**B** Tetradecameric PilQ

**C** Pentadecameric PilQ

Cross Section — 103 Å

Cross Section — 103 Å

Cross Section — 108 Å

**D**

Secretin / Lip / N0

Residue 400 500 600 700

N0 / Lip / Secretin

Co-evolved
3° structure
4° structure

**E** Cross Section

G554
P635
E663
A624

Co-evolved residues →

**F** Side View

T538
L545
L547
V457
T653
E652

Outer membrane

Co-evolved residues →

**G**

Conserved
Variable

+5 kT/e
Neutral
-5 kT/e

**H** Pivot Point

**I**

**A**

finger

β-roll

CI Prediction

finger

β-roll

CII Prediction

**B**

CII

CI

LysM

Co-evolved Residues
Intramolecular CI-CII Contacts
Intermolecular CII-CI Contacts

Residue 0        100        200        300

LysM        CI        CII

**C**

CI    CII    CI

N        N

**D**

**A** — TsaP replaces PilF?
- Folded-over PilQ?
- Membrane insertion
- OM Transport of PilQ
- Oligomerization of PilQ
- TsaP switches for PilF?

PilQ · PilF · TsaP · OM · PG · IM

**B** — PilQ gate invaginates?
- PilQ not bound by TsaP
- TsaP binds PilQ
- TsaP helps PilQ gate invaginate?

TsaP · OM · PG

**C** — TsaP helps close T4aP?
- PilQ Contracted
- PilQ Extended
- PilQ Extended
- TsaP helps PilQ Contract?

PilQ · TsaP · OM · Polymerization · Depolymerization

**D** — Excess TsaP signals for c-di-GMP
- At native expression levels it is not clear if TsaP triggers or relays cyclic di-GMP signalling
- TsaP overexpression triggers cyclic di-GMP signaling independent of PilQ

TsaP · OM · PG · PilY1 · PilNOP · cyclic di-GMP