1 **Spatially displaced excitation contributes to the encoding of interrupted motion**
2 **by the retinal direction-selective circuit**
3

4 Jennifer Ding[1,2], Albert Chen[3], Janet Chung[2], Hector Acaron Ledesma[4], Mofei Wu[2],
5 David M. Berson[5], Stephanie E. Palmer[1,3,6]*, Wei Wei[1,2,6]*
6
1. Committee on Neurobiology Graduate Program, The University of Chicago
2. Department of Neurobiology, The University of Chicago
3. Department of Organismal Biology, The University of Chicago
4. Graduate Program in Biophysical Sciences, The University of Chicago
5. Department of Neuroscience and Carney Institute for Brain Science, Brown
University
6. Grossman Institute for Neuroscience, Quantitative Biology and Human
Behavior, The University of Chicago
*Co-corresponding authors: weiw@uchicago.edu; sepalmer@uchicago.edu

16
17
18 **Abstract**
19

Spatially distributed excitation and inhibition collectively shape a visual neuron's
receptive field (RF) properties. In the direction-selective circuit of the mammalian retina,
the role of strong null-direction inhibition of On-Off direction-selective ganglion cells
(ON-OFF DSGCs) on their direction selectivity is well-studied. However, how excitatory
inputs influence the On-Off DSGC's visual response is underexplored. Here, we report
that On-Off DSGCs have a spatially displaced glutamatergic receptive field along their
preferred-null motion axis. This displaced receptive field contributes to DSGC null-
direction spiking during interrupted motion trajectories. Theoretical analyses indicate
that population responses during interrupted motion may help populations of On-Off
DSGCs signal the spatial location of moving objects in complex, naturalistic visual
environments. Our study highlights that the direction-selective circuit exploits separate
sets of mechanisms under different stimulus conditions, and these mechanisms may
help encode multiple visual features.

Introduction

How do sensory systems convert sensory inputs into behaviorally relevant neural signals? This question has been extensively investigated in the early visual system, where a neuron's responses to a set of parameterizable visual stimuli can be systematically probed to reveal a cell's receptive field (RF) properties in space and time. It has been increasingly appreciated that different visual stimuli can engage different mechanisms to shape the neuronal RF even at the earliest stage of visual processing in the retina. The transformation from the visual input to a retinal ganglion cell's spiking output is influenced by spatiotemporal patterns of stimuli in both the RF center and surround regions, highlighting the necessity of using diverse, ethologically relevant visual stimuli for delineating RF properties and for ultimately understanding neural coding of the animal's natural environment (Chiao and Masland, 2003; Demb et al., 1999; Deny et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2019; Ölveczky et al., 2003; Takeshita and Gollisch, 2014; Turner et al., 2018).

Direction-selective ganglion cells (DSGCs) in the mammalian retina are well-studied for their motion direction selectivity. A DSGC fires maximally to visual stimuli moving across its RF in its preferred direction and is inhibited from firing by stimuli moving in the opposite, null direction (Barlow and Hill, 1963; Barlow and Levick, 1965). The direction-selective spiking is largely attributed to the GABAergic input from the starburst amacrine cell (SAC). SAC dendrites are inherently direction-selective, as they are activated by centrifugal motion, or motion from soma to dendritic tip (Euler et al., 2002). Additionally, only SAC dendrites that extend along the null direction of the DSGC selectively make GABAergic synapses with the DSGC (Briggman et al., 2011; Fried et al., 2002; Lee and Zhou, 2010; Wei et al., 2011; Yonehara et al., 2011). Both the intrinsic properties of the SAC and the 'antiparallel' wiring patterns between the SAC and the DSGC are necessary for a strong null-direction inhibition onto the DSGC. The asymmetry of inhibition evoked by motion in the preferred and null directions is important for the DSGC's direction selectivity (Pei et al., 2015; Taylor and Vaney, 2002). The most well-studied DSGC type, the On-Off DSGC, prefers motion in one of the four cardinal directions (Oyster and Barlow, 1967; Sabbah et al., 2017). They have bistratified dendritic arbors in the On and Off sublamina of the inner plexiform layer (IPL) to extract motion directions of bright and dark signals, respectively (**Figure 1A**) (Famiglietti 1983; He and Masland, 1998; Kittila and Massey, 1997).

The RF of On-Off DSGCs has been studied with conventional visual stimuli such as stationary and moving spots, bars, and gratings. For motion stimuli that traverse across the entire RF, On-Off DSGC responses remain direction-selective over a broad range of contrast, luminance, speed, and background noise levels (Barlow and Levick, 1965; Chen et al., 2016; Grzywacz and Amthor, 2007; Lipin et al., 2015;

Sethuramanujam et al., 2016; Sivyer et al., 2010). However, motion stimuli restricted to
the distal RF subregion on the preferred side (defined as the side from which the
preferred-direction moving stimulus approaches the RF) can elicit non-directional firing
(He et al., 1999; He and Masland, 1998; Rivlin-Etzion et al., 2011; Trenholm et al.,
2011). Based on the responses to moving stimuli presented to different subregions of
the DSGC RF, the cell's RF structure can be viewed as consisting of multiple 'DS
subunits' and a 'non-DS zone' at the edge of the preferred side. However, the neural
mechanisms underlying the modular and heterogenous RF subunits of On-Off DSGCs
have not been elucidated. Furthermore, the functional significance of this fine RF
structure is not clear.
93
In this study, we investigated the spatial RF structure of the mouse On-Off DSGC
subtype that prefers motion in the posterior direction of the visual field (pDSGC). We
found that the pDSGC spiking RF is skewed towards the preferred side of the cell for
both stationary and moving stimuli, even in the absence of SAC-mediated inhibition.
Combining anatomical and functional analyses, we found a spatially non-uniform
glutamatergic excitatory conductance that contributes to this spatial displacement. As a
result of the displaced RF, moving stimuli that only activate the preferred side of the
pDSGC RF trigger robust firing during both preferred and null direction motion.
Theoretical analyses of the On-Off DSGC population response allow us to speculate
about the ethological relevance of the displaced RF in processing complex natural
scenes, suggesting that it can allow for better estimation of object location when a
moving object emerges from behind an occluder. We term this type of motion
'interrupted motion' to distinguish it from more standard smooth motion stimuli. This
phenomenon might also allow synchronous firing from different subtypes of DSGCs to
serve as a useful alarm signal in complex scenes.

Results
110
111　**Glutamatergic excitation of the On-Off pDSGC is spatially asymmetric relative to**
112　**the soma**
113
To investigate the spatial distribution of excitatory inputs to On-Off pDSGCs, we
targeted pDSGCs in the Drd4-GFP transgenic mouse line. Bright stationary spots were
presented to the periphery of the DSCF RF and the spiking responses were recorded.
These spots were 110 μm in diameter and centered 165 μm from the soma of the
recorded DSGC (**Figure 1B**, schematic). Measurement of the average radius of pDSGC
On and Off dendrites (On: 88.1, STDEV 13.9 μm, Off: 75.9, STDEV 13.7μm, from 25
cells, see Methods) indicates that the spot mostly covered areas beyond the dendritic
span of these cells. For each cell tested, we also presented a moving bar stimulus to
confirm its directional tuning to posterior-direction motion in the visual field.

The spot stimulus delivered to the pDSGC RF periphery uncovered an
asymmetric RF organization where some spots evoked maximal spiking (Max) and
other spots presented to the opposite regions (Opp) across the dendritic span evoked
minimal responses (**Figures 1B, 1C, S1A, and S1B).** The Max-Opp axis largely
corresponds with the preferred-null motion axis, which is shown by the polar plots in
Figure 1 where the preferred side is aligned to the top. The preferred side is the side
where the preferred direction of motion originates. The majority of the maximum
responses to the peripheral spots occurs within 67.5 degrees from the preferred
direction of the DSGC and half occur within 22.5 degrees of the preferred direction
(**Figures 1B, 1C "Control", and S1A**).

133
134

135
136

Figure 1. Drd4-GFP labeled pDSGCs have spatially asymmetric glutamatergic receptive fields.

(A) Schematic showing types of presynaptic neurons to an On-Off DSGC and the neurotransmitters they use. **(B)** Top: Example On spiking responses of a pDSGC to four different peripheral spots presented around dendritic span. Bottom: Individual (gray) and mean (black) pDSGC On spike counts evoked by spots presented at different locations (25 cells). **(C)** Top left: Pairwise comparison of mean spike counts in regions evoking the maximum number of On spikes (Max) and the opposite region (Opp) in the control condition (25 cells). Top right: Polar histogram of Max region locations aligned to the preferred-null motion axis. Radius indicates number of cells. Bottom: Same as in top but experiments performed in DHβE + Gabazine (18 cells). **(D)** Left: Example On EPSC responses to spots shown in the regions evoking the strongest EPSCs (Max) and the opposite region (Opp) in control (top) and in DHβE + Gabazine (bottom). Middle: Pairwise comparisons of EPSC amplitude and charge transfer to spots presented in the Max region and Opp region (Control: 15 cells; DHβE + Gabazine: 24 cells). Right: Polar histograms of Max region locations determined by EPSC charge transfer aligned to the preferred-null motion axis. Radius indicates number of cells. Summary statistics are mean ± SEM, ***$p < 0.001$.

Because a well-documented asymmetry in the direction-selective circuit is the asymmetric inhibition from SACs to DSGCs, we next tested whether the displacement of the pDSGC spiking RF is eliminated by blocking SAC inputs. We perfused the retina with the nicotinic antagonist DHβE and the GABA$_A$ receptor antagonist gabazine to block these inputs. Under this condition, we still observed a spatial asymmetry in pDSGC spiking activity evoked by the flashing spots (**Figures 1C, S1A and S1B**, "DHβE and Gabazine"), indicating a spatial displacement of glutamatergic excitation of the pDSGC in the absence of SAC influence.

To directly measure the strength of excitatory inputs to pDSGCs at different stimulus locations, we performed whole-cell voltage clamp recordings of excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) evoked by peripheral flashing spots. Consistent with the pattern of pDSGC spiking activity, spot-evoked EPSCs show a spatial bias towards the preferred side (**Figures 1D, S1C and S1F**, "Control"). Isolation of the glutamatergic component of the EPSC by the addition of DHβE and gabazine confirms the persistence of the spatial asymmetry, indicating that the glutamatergic excitation of the pDSGC is not isotropic but is spatially displaced relative to the soma (**Figures 1D and S1C – G**, "DHβE and Gabazine"). We noted that gabazine and DHβE reduced the rise and decay time of the EPSC waveform compared to that in the control condition (**Figure S1E**), presumably due to the removal of the cholinergic component of the EPSC. Ablating the GABAergic contribution pharmacologically also rules out the possibility that a strong null-direction GABAergic inhibition is contaminating and artificially reducing the EPSCs on the null side, which is a potential confound during voltage clamp recordings due to imperfect control of membrane potential in distal dendrites (Poleg-Polsky and Diamond, 2011).

Non-uniform excitatory conductance across the preferred-null motion axis contributes to the asymmetric glutamatergic RF

An asymmetric excitatory RF in a retinal neuron may result from asymmetric dendritic arbors and/or an asymmetric distribution of excitatory synaptic inputs across its dendritic field. We performed two-photon imaging of dye-filled dendritic arbors after recording glutamatergic EPSCs evoked by the peripheral flashing spot stimulus described above (**Figures 2A and 2B**). Consistent with previous studies, dendritic arbors of pDSGCs do not exhibit a salient or consistent bias relative to the cell's preferred motion direction. The total dendritic length or the number of dendritic

Figure 2. pDSGC dendritic morphology does not show a spatial bias towards the preferred side.
(A) Traced On layer dendritic morphologies of pDSGCs aligned to their preferred-direction motion.
(B) Example On morphology of a pDSGC cell divided into eight sectors for calculating normalized dendritic length vector. **(C)** Left: Normalized vector sum of On dendritic length aligned to pDSGCs' preferred direction motion. Right: Pairwise comparison of dendritic length on the preferred vs null

198   sides of each cell (26 cells, p = 0.51). Blue represents example cell in **B**. **(D)** Left: Normalized
199   vector sum of On branch points aligned to pDSGCs' preferred direction motion. Right: Pairwise
200   comparison of branch points on the preferred vs null side (26 cells, p = 0.68). Blue represents
201   example cell in **B**. Summary statistics are mean ± SEM.
202
branching points does not significantly differ between the preferred and null sides of the
pDSGC dendritic field (**Figures 2C, 2D and S2A – C**). This apparent randomness of
dendritic arbor distribution relative to the cell's preferred motion direction contrasts with
the previously reported mouse On-Off DSGC subtype preferring motion in the superior
direction that have dendritic arbors strongly displaced to the null side of the soma (El-
Quessny et al., 2020; Kay et al., 2011; Trenholm et al., 2011).
209
The preferred motion direction of the pDSGC spiking activity is shaped by the
interaction of its excitatory and inhibitory inputs. Next, we examined the relationship
between pDSGC dendritic morphology and its glutamatergic inputs. We found a non-
random skew of the pDSGC dendritic length and number of branch points towards the
regions corresponding to spots evoking the strongest glutamatergic EPSCs (**Figure
S2D and S2E**). However, the extent of the dendritic bias is not correlated with the
extent of the bias in EPSC strength (**Figure S2F**), suggesting that dendritic arbor
density alone cannot fully explain the displacement of the pDSGC glutamatergic RF.
218
To further explore the relationship between the pDSGC glutamatergic RF and its
dendritic distribution, we performed another set of experiments to obtain a more
complete RF map. First, the preferred direction of each cell's spiking activity was
determined by loose cell-attached recordings using a moving bar stimulus with no
synaptic blockers. Next, peripheral flashing spots were used to estimate the spatial
displacement of the pDSGC glutamatergic RF in the presence of nicotinic and
GABAergic receptor antagonists (DHβE + Gabazine) as described above. Then, a
smaller, 20 μm diameter bright stationary spot was repeatedly flashed at random
locations within a 11-by-11 220 μm square grid centered on the pDSGC soma. A
heatmap of glutamatergic EPSC charge transfer evoked by the small flashing spot was
generated and overlaid with the reconstructed dendritic arbors for each pDSGC (**Figure
3A**).
231
RF mapping with small flashing spots revealed a spatial displacement of
glutamatergic EPSC distribution that aligns well with the displacement determined by
larger spots presented to the pDSGC periphery (**Figure 3B**). Similar to the
displacement pattern revealed by stimulation of the RF periphery, the strongest
glutamatergic EPSCs are preferentially located on the preferred side of pDSGC somas
(**Figure 3C**). Notably, for most cells, the center of the glutamatergic EPSC RF is
displaced from the center of the dendritic field (**Figure S3A and S3B**), indicating

additional mechanisms underlying the glutamatergic RF displacement apart from the dendritic arbor distribution.

We further examined the strength of the glutamatergic input across the pDSGC dendritic field (**Figure 3D**). We normalized the EPSC charge transfer by the total

Figure 3. Non-uniform glutamatergic synaptic excitation across pDSGC dendritic span contributes to skewed excitatory receptive field.

(A) Left: Schematic for small spot RF mapping experiment in DHβE + Gabazine. Middle: Example heat map. Right: Preferred motion direction of the example cell in relation to the preferred side and the null side of the cell's RF. **(B)** Vector sum plot of On EPSC charge transfer center of mass determined by small spot RF mapping aligned to the region where a peripheral spot evokes the maximum glutamatergic EPSC (upward arrow). **(C)** Spatial locations showing centers of mass of glutamatergic excitatory On charge transfer obtained from experiments illustrated in **A** aligned to each cell's preferred motion direction (15 cells). **(D)** Example On EPSC responses to spots presented to a pDSGC along the maximum-opposite axis of glutamatergic RF displacement. **(E)** Left: On "EPSC current density" (i.e. ratio of charge transfer per dendritic length) versus distance from soma along the maximum-opposite axis of glutamatergic RF displacement (16 cells, ***p < 0.001) as well as along the orthogonal axis. Right: Summary plot of glutamatergic charge transfer as a function of total dendritic length centered around the flashing spot for spots shown more than 50 μm away from the soma (16 cells, ***p < 0.001). **(F)** Same as **E** but along the preferred-null motion axis (15 cells, charge transfer/dendritic length vs distance from soma **p = 0.0026, charge transfer vs dendritic length ***p < 0.001). Summary statistics are mean ± SEM.

dendritic length in a circle with a 60 μm diameter centered on each small flashing spot.
This provides an estimate of the strength of glutamatergic inputs per unit dendritic
length, or "EPSC density", at each stimulus location. When we calculated the density
along the axis of maximal glutamatergic RF displacement, we found that the EPSC
density on the displaced side of the dendritic field is larger than the corresponding
region on the opposite side (**Figures 3E**, left, and **S3E**). From 50 μm away from the
soma, spots on the side corresponding to the maximum displacement (Maximum) yield
stronger EPSCs than spots on the opposite side (Opposite), while controlling for the
same dendritic length (**Figures 3E,** right, and **S3E**). This heterogeneity in EPSC density
across the dendritic span persists when comparing EPSC responses along the
preferred-direction motion axis of the cell (**Figures 3F and S3F**). Analysis of the peak
amplitudes showed similar results as charge transfer (**Figures S3C and S3D**).
279
Next, we asked what mechanisms could underlie the non-uniform excitatory
conductance across the dendritic span. Because bipolar cells provide the dominant
excitatory input to DSGCs, the enhanced excitation on the preferred side of the
receptive field could stem from increased density or strength of bipolar synapses. Using
a published serial block-face scanning electron microscopic (SBEM) dataset of the adult
mouse retina (Ding et al., 2016), we reconstructed large numbers of ON starburst
amacrine cells and On-OFF DSGCs (**Figures 4A**). The preferred direction of the traced
DSGCs was inferred from the mean orientation of starburst dendrites making
'wraparound' synapses onto the DSGCs, following the previous work of Briggman et al.
(Briggman et al., 2011) (**Figure 4B**). Among all DSGCs, four preferred directions were
seen roughly 90 degrees apart, as expected for most retinal locations (Sabbah et al.,
2017). We selected three On-Off DSGCs for which the inferred preferred direction of
motion fell on the horizontal axis like the cells we studied electrophysiologically, two with
one motion preference, and the other with the opposite preference. Technical
constraints prevented us from saying which cells preferred posterior motion and which
cells preferred anterior motion (see Methods). We then mapped the distribution of
bipolar ribbon synapses onto the dendrites of these three cells. We found no marked
gradient in ribbon density across the preferred-null motion axis of the three cells
(**Figures 4D – 4F, S4A – S4B),** suggesting that the gradient in EPSC density across
this axis is not determined by the density of bipolar inputs.
300
In addition to ribbon density, we also examined the time course of glutamatergic
EPSCs in the presence of DHβE and gabazine along the axis of maximal glutamatergic
RF displacement and along the preferred-null motion axis. We found no significant
differences in the latency, rise and decay times in EPSC waveforms across the axis of
maximal glutamatergic RF displacement in response to the small flashing spots
(**Figures 4G – 4I,** left panels). In addition, we did not detect a monotonic change in
glutamatergic EPSC kinetics along the preferred-null motion axis in DHβE and

Figure 4. Neither the density of bipolar ribbon inputs nor the kinetics of glutamatergic EPSCs varies systematically along the axis of RF displacement.

(A) Top: Distribution of ribbon synaptic input to one of the three reconstructed On-Off DSGCs. **(B)** Ultrastructure of the synapse indicated by the red arrow in **A**, as visualized by serial block-face electron microscopy. The presynaptic bipolar cell (green) belongs to Type 5t. Red arrow marks the ribbon. The magenta profile belongs to the On-Off DSGC. The other postsynaptic partner at this dyad synapse was another ganglion cell (yellow). Though only a fragment was included in the volume, it was presumably a DSGC, since it costratified entirely with the inner dendrites of the On-Off DSGC (**A**; black dendrites). **(C)** SAC inputs onto example On-Off DSGC. Red arrows indicate the direction and location of the SAC inputs on the DSGC dendrites. **(D)** Density map of bipolar ribbon synapses for 3 example On-Off DSGCs with estimated preferred directions along the posterior-anterior axis (see Methods). The soma location is indicated by the white spot in the center. **(E)** Bipolar ribbon heat map for the 3 example cells, respectively. The number of ribbons in each square are indicated. **(F)** Quantification of ribbon density across the preferred-null axis, respectively. The soma location is at 0.

(N.S. = null side, P.S. = preferred side). **(G)** Left: Summary of latency of glutamatergic EPSC
responses along the maximum-opposite axis (Max = maximum glutamatergic EPSC region, Opp =
opposite region)(16 cells, p = 0.75). Right: Summary of latency along the preferred-null motion axis
(N.S. = null side, P.S. = preferred side)(16 cells, p = 0.88). **(H)** Same as in **G**, except for rise time (10%
- 90%). (Left: p = 0.12, right: p = 0.21, 16 cells). **(I)** Same as in **G**, except for decay time (90% - 30%).
(Left: p = 0.25, right: p = 0.26, 16 cells). Summary statistics are mean ± SEM.

gabazine (**Figures 4G – 4I,** right panels) or in DHβE only (**Figures S4C and S4D**). That
we find no differences in the kinetics of EPSC waveforms across the pDSGC dendritic
field contrasts with a previous study of On DSGCs reporting a gradient of EPSC kinetics
from slow/sustained to fast/transient along the preferred-null motion axis (Matsumoto et
al., 2019). This gradient in On DSGC EPSC kinetics is thought to arise from different
bipolar cell subtypes, and may implement a Hassenstein-Reichardt-Detector-like
mechanism for the On DSGCs' direction selectivity. Thus, our data suggest that there are
no marked differences in the subtypes of bipolar inputs along the preferred-null axis of
On-Off pDSGCs.

Null-direction response emerges during partial activation of the displaced pDSGC
RF

Because On-Off DSGCs are thought to be dedicated to encoding object motion,
we next asked how the displaced excitatory RF of the pDSGC revealed by the
stationary flashing stimuli contributes to motion processing. We reasoned that because
conventional simple motion stimuli such as moving bars and drifting gratings traverse
the entire RF of the pDSGC, SAC-mediated inhibition would exert a dominant influence
on pDSGC spiking by strongly suppressing the pDSGC null-direction response.
However, when motion trajectories are more complex, as often occurs in the natural
environment, the displaced excitatory pDSGC RF may confer additional characteristics
to the cell's motion encoding. Therefore, we investigated how the direction-selective
circuit would process interrupted motion because moving objects in the natural
environment often pass behind occluders or start moving from behind other objects.

To examine if the displaced excitatory RF of the pDSGC plays a role in encoding
interrupted motion, we created an occluded motion stimulus where a moving bar
disappeared behind a central occluder 220 μm in diameter (**Figure 5A**). The occluder
covered the dendritic span of the pDSGC and a substantial portion of its RF center. In
contrast to the full-field motion stimuli, the occluded motion stimulus caused both
preferred and null-direction spiking responses (**Figures 5B, S5A – S5C**). These
responses are only evoked when the bar travels in the preferred side, which
corresponds to the displaced side of the pDSGC RF (**Figure 5B**, middle row, **5C, S5B**

and S5C). In contrast, no spiking response is evoked when the bar moves across to the

null side beyond the occluder (**Figures 5B,** bottom row, **5C, S5B and S5C**). To test

whether this regional difference is due to the asymmetric wiring between SACs and

DSGCs, we blocked the cholinergic and GABAergic transmission with DHβE and

gabazine and saw that the regional difference persisted (**Figures 5C and S5B**).

Consistent with the spiking pattern, the EPSC responses also reflected this regional

asymmetry (**Figure 5D**). In contrast, IPSC responses to the occluded bar stimulus are

displaced to the opposite side compared to EPSC responses (**Figure S5D**), consistent

with the asymmetric wiring pattern from SACs from the null side to the DSGC (Briggman

et al., 2011; Fried et al., 2002; Lee and Zhou, 2010; Wei et al., 2011; Yonehara et al.,

2011).

377
378
Figure 5. Displaced excitatory receptive field contributes to null-direction responses in the
preferred region.
(A) Full-field moving bar and occluded bar stimuli. **(B)** Example On spiking responses of a pDSGC
to full-field bar (top) and occluded bar stimulus (middle, bottom) moving in the preferred (red) and
null (black) directions. **(C)** Top: Mean spike counts of pDSGCs to the full-field moving bar (full-
field) and the occluded moving bar on the preferred side and null side (48 cells). Bottom: Mean

spike counts in DHβE + Gabazine to the occluded bar stimulus in the region evoking the maximum
spiking (Max) and the opposite region (Opp) (13 cells). **(D)** Mean pDSGC EPSC peak amplitude
and charge transfer in the region evoking the maximum response (Max) and the opposite region
(Opp) in the control (top, 19 cells) and DHβE + Gabazine (bottom, 13 cells) conditions. (In DHβE
+ Gabazine: Pref Dir – Max vs Pref Dir – Opp amplitude **p = 0.0028, Pref Dir – Max vs Pref Dir
– Opp charge transfer **p = 0.0012). **(E)** Mean On and Off spiking responses to occluded bar
stimulus at different contrast configurations. Top left: On: 11 cells, Max null dir. Vs Opp null dir.
**p = 0.008. Off: 10 cells. Bottom left: On: 10 cells. Off: 8 cells. Top right: On: 9 cells, Max pref.
dir. Vs. Opp pref. dir. **p = 0.003. Off: 8 cells, Max pref. dir. Vs Opp. Pref. dir. *p = 0.034, Max
null dir. Vs Opp null dir. *p = 0.02. Bottom right: On: 8 cells, Max null dir vs Opp null dir. *p =
0.014. Off: 9 cells, Max pref. dir. Vs Opp pref. dir. **p = 0.004, Max null dir. Vs Opp null dir. **p =
0.002. **(F)** Top: Example directional tuning curve of GCaMP6 signal of a pDSGC. Bottom:
Example tuning curve of an aDSGC. **(G)** Top left: Example GCaMP6 fluorescence traces of a
pDSGC for the full-field moving bar in the preferred (red) and null (black) directions. Bottom left:
Example GCaMP6 traces of the cell for the occluded bar stimulus. Shaded areas represent SEM.
Top right: Normalized amplitude of the posterior-preferring cell null-direction response during the
full-field bar and the occluded bar (12 cells). Bottom right: Normalized area of the null-direction
response during the full-field bar and the occluded bar (12 cells, **p = 0.0035). **(H)** same as in **G**,
except for aDSGCs. (12 cells, normalized amplitude *p = 0.013, normalized area *p = 0.019).
Summary statistics are mean ± SEM, ***p < 0.001 except where specified otherwise.
405
Moreover, we blocked GABA$_C$ receptor activity, glycine receptor activity, and gap-
junction coupling with TPMPA, strychnine, MFA, and Carbenoxolone, respectively, and
found that the spatial asymmetry of the spike response remains during the occluded
motion stimulus (**Figures S5H – S5K**). Based on these pharmacology results, we
conclude that the asymmetric glutamatergic RF of the pDSGC contributes to robust
spiking in both null and preferred directions when the preferred side of the RF is
activated by the occluded motion stimulus.
413
Since there are two On-Off DSGC subtypes that are tuned to the opposite
directions along the posterior-anterior axis, we investigated if the anterior-direction-
selective DSGC (aDSGC) exhibits the same null-direction response pattern to the
occluded motion stimulus as the pDSGC. To identify both DSGC subtypes, we
performed calcium imaging of GCaMP6-expressing RGCs in another transgenic mouse
line carrying Vglut2-IRES-Cre and floxed GCaMP6f (**Figure S6A**) during the full-field
moving bar stimulus. We then used an online analysis to identify aDSGCs and pDSGCs
based on the directional tuning of their calcium signals to the anterior and posterior
motion directions (**Figures 5F and S6B – C**). Next, we centered the occluded motion
stimulus on individual aDSGC and pDSGC somas and performed calcium imaging
during the occluded motion stimulus. Consistent with pDSGC spiking activity, a
significant null-direction calcium response of the pDSGCs was evoked when the
occluded moving bar traveled across the receptive field to the preferred side of the RF

(**Figures 5G and S6D**). Notably, aDSGCs also exhibited a null-direction response to the
occluded motion stimulus that is similar to that of pDSGCs (**Figure 5H and S6D**),
indicating that aDSGC RFs are also displaced to the preferred side.
430
The above results show that continuous motion interrupted by a stationary
occluder in the center of the pDSGC's RF causes unexpected null-direction spiking as
the bar emerges from behind the occluder into the preferred side of the pDSGC RF.
However, this occluded motion stimulus cannot distinguish whether the interruption itself
or only the start position of the emerging bar was necessary for the null-direction
response. Therefore, we created a stimulus where a bar emerges at different locations
along the preferred-null motion axis of the cell. The start motion of the bar activates
different parts of the DSGC's receptive field (Figure 6A). For the null-direction moving
bar, there is an increase in both the spike number and the firing rate as the starting
position of the moving bar is located past the soma on the preferred side of the pDSGC
RF (**Figures 6A – 6C**). Thus, the emergent growing edge caused null-direction spiking
of pDSGCs in a similar pattern as the moving bar emerging behind the central occluder.
These results illustrate that the null-direction response of the pDSGC during the
occluded motion stimulus is dependent on the position in the receptive field from which
the moving edge emerges, not the previous motion approaching the occluder.
446

447
448
Figure 6. Null-direction response is dependent on start position of emerging bar.
(A) Schematic of moving bars emerging from different locations along the DSGC's preferred-null
motion axis and example spiking responses. The soma location is at 0. Vertical dashed lines on
the schematic indicate the positions of the emerging leading edge of the moving bar. **(B)** Mean
spike counts (null direction: -330 vs 55 *p = 0.012, -330 vs 110 **p = 0.0088) and **(C)** firing rates

(null direction: -330 vs 0 *p = 0.014) to bars emerging from different locations along the preferred-
null motion axis (10 cells). Summary statistics are mean ± SEM, ***p < 0.001 except where
specified otherwise.
457
458
459   **Null-direction responses of DSGCs during partial activation of their RFs can be**
460   **useful for decoding object location**
461
We explored how the displaced RFs could functionally benefit the computations
performed by pDSGCs. First, we considered whether a displaced excitatory RF could
benefit the direction-selective mechanisms during full-field smooth motion. By
comparing the onset times of EPSCs and IPSCs to preferred-direction motion, we found
that cells with more spatially separated excitatory and inhibitory receptive fields were
more direction-selective (**Supplemental Figure 7M**).
468
Next, we considered scenarios when the displaced RF is partially activated by
moving stimuli. Our experimental results show that pDSGCs generate robust spiking
activity in both the preferred and null directions when a moving object appears and
starts moving on the preferred side of the cell's RF, as when an object emerges from
behind an occluder positioned over the cell's soma. We asked whether this prima facie
aberrant signaling can have a functional role relevant to the behavioral goals of the
organism.
We hypothesize that partial RF activation of a DSGC at a narrow region in visual
space may provide precise information about the spatial position of a moving object
along an interrupted motion trajectory. In particular, if a moving object emerging from
behind an occluder activates the preferred side of an On-Off DSGC's RF, this DSGC
would generate a null-direction spiking response together with the preferred-direction
response of a nearby On-Off DSGC subtype preferring the opposite motion direction
(**Figure 7A**). Such synchronous spiking activity between DSGCs of opposite preferred
directions at the location of the occluder could yield a stronger and more localized
spatial signal at the population level in response to interrupted motion.
485
To test how the null-direction response during interrupted motion might enhance
downstream estimation of stimulus position, we simulated the spiking responses of
pDSGCs in a population model consisting of On-Off DSGC subtypes that prefer
opposite motion directions on the posterior-anterior axis (aDSGCs and pDSGCs, **Figure**
7A). One thousand cells of each subtype were arranged in a two-dimensional array with
biologically realistic positional jitter, such that the spatial positions of the two subtypes
were uncorrelated. We simulated a bright edge moving along the posterior-anterior axis
in a single direction at a constant velocity under both uninterrupted and interrupted
motion conditions and analyzed the On response to the edge. The mean spiking

response of each DSGC was modeled as a rectified sine wave with parameters

obtained from our experimental data, and sub-Poisson trial-to-trial variability was

introduced to the mean spiking response on each trial (**Figure S7**). The spatial positions

of the DSGCs were shuffled in each simulation block to allow us to sample different

spatial arrangements of the RFs.

Using a labeled-line decoder (see Methods), we compared the scenario in which

none of the DSGCs were occluded (full-field) with the scenario in which a single

occluder was placed near the center of the population. Our modeling results show that

the null-direction response degrades the population estimate of the motion direction as

would be expected (**Figure 7D**), but that the coincidence of the null-direction response

from pDSGCs and the preferred-direction response of neighboring aDSGCs when the

bar exits the occlusion substantially improves the estimation of bar position (**Figure 7C**).

For a bar traveling at the speed of 330 μm /sec (the lowest speed in our experiments

and simulations), the synchronous firing between pDSGCs and the neighboring

aDSGCs reduces the error in the population estimate of the bar edge's spatial position

by over 80 percent. This reduction in error is present, albeit smaller, even at higher

speeds. At the highest speed of 2640 μm /sec, there was still around a 40 percent

decrease in position estimation error during the occlusion trials. The absolute position

error decrease was around 3 degrees of visual angle across bar speeds in models with

low levels of background noise.

Because the displaced excitatory receptive field also induced differential firing

responses to stationary spots presented outside of the cell's dendritic field (**Figure 1**),

we asked whether the displacement was useful for detecting non-directional contrast

changes for a small stationary spot. Receptive field mapping experiments showed that

the spiking receptive field is displaced towards the preferred side. However, the total

diameter of the asymmetric receptive field is around 220 μm, which is larger than the

average dendritic span of pDSGCs (**Figure S1H**). We analyzed whether a displaced

receptive field would benefit the position estimation using non-directional contrast

change signals. We found that the accuracy of estimating position from contrast

changes is the same for populations with asymmetric receptive fields and populations

with symmetric receptive fields. The detection of the contrast change would only

improve if the receptive field size decreased (data not shown). Directed motion is

required for an improvement in position estimation because a null-direction signal can

only occur in a small region on the preferred side. Therefore, the synchrony of the null-

direction and preferred-direction signals within the population yields a more spatially

constrained signal necessary for fine spatial discrimination of moving stimuli.

The synchronous firing may, itself, also be a useful alarm signal that triggers

processing downstream of the retina, independent of the precise position information it

might additionally convey. To investigate whether the synchronous firing during
occlusion trials can be read out from a population response, we utilized a coincidence
decoder to determine whether full-field motion trials can be distinguished from occluded
motion trials. A filter was fit to the null-direction spiking response from the occlusion
trials (**Figure 7E**), and when the convolution of the firing rate with the filter rose above a
threshold level **(Figure 7F)**, the detector would identify an occlusion trial.
541
Evaluating the decoder performance showed that the decoder is highly
successful at identifying occlusion trials in conditions with low background firing rates. In
conditions with background firing rates of 10 Hz or less, the decoder correctly identified
occlusion trials with more than 99% accuracy (**Figure 7G**). This coincidence detection
model suggests that the synchrony of preferred-direction responses from one DSGC
subtype and the null-direction responses from the opposite DSGC subtype during
interrupted motion conditions can be easily detected. This salient synchrony signal can
potentially inform downstream visual areas of the type of motion that is occurring or
provide an alarm signal to the animal that there is an unexpected change in their
environment.
552

553
554
Figure 7. Null-direction spiking of On-Off DSGCs during the occluded motion stimulus
improves position estimation.
(A) Schematic of the DSGC population model along with example spike trains for two pDSGCs
(red trace showing their preferred-direction responses) and an aDSGC (black trace showing its
null-direction response). An occluder 220 µm wide (shaded circle) was placed near the middle of
the population. **(B)** Example root-mean-square error in estimating the position of the light bar's
leading edge for full-field (black) and occluded (blue) motion across different bar speeds. **(C)** Left:
Position error percent decrease across speeds. Right: Absolute error decrease across bar speeds.
(D) Same as in **C**, but for direction estimation error. **(E)** Temporal filter for detecting occlusion
response. **(F)** Convolution of firing rate from the temporal filter. Dashed line represents example
threshold. **(G)** ROC curve with different levels of baseline firing for a bar of speed 330 µm/sec.
Legend shows performance level of decoder for each level of baseline firing.

Discussion

Our study reveals a new form of asymmetry in the direction-selective circuit: a spatial displacement of glutamatergic inputs to the preferred side of the On-Off pDSGCs due to a non-uniform distribution of synaptic conductances across the pDSGC dendritic span. The impact of this displaced excitation on DSGC spiking is demonstrated by using moving stimuli with interrupted trajectories, a feature abundant in natural scenes. In contrast to full-field continuous motion which maximizes the contribution of SAC-mediated null-direction inhibition, occluded motion stimuli reduce the contribution of SAC-mediated inputs to allow the excitatory receptive field to dominate the spiking response in a non-directional manner when only part of the RF is stimulated. Therefore, an On-Off DSGC's response to occluded motion stimuli is determined both by how much of the receptive field is activated and where that activation occurs.

The non-isotropic excitation of the pDSGC alludes to a more sophisticated set of wiring and signaling mechanisms from the bipolar cell population (Franke et al., 2017). A detailed explanation of the glutamatergic RF displacement awaits future studies. The gradient of glutamatergic current density across the pDSGC dendritic span may arise from a number of possible scenarios including varying strengths of individual glutamatergic synapses, heterogeneous membrane properties across pDSGC dendritic field, and contribution from Vglut3+ amacrine cells (Kim et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2016).

It is intriguing to speculate how the displaced excitatory receptive field properties of On-Off DSGCs can influence downstream computation. Previous theoretical analysis has addressed the encoding of motion direction by DSGCs at the population level (Fiscella et al., 2015; Zylberberg et al., 2016). In this study, we explored a hypothesis that On-Off DSGC population activity contains information about both the direction and the location of a moving object. When motion trajectories are not continuous, the null-direction responses from cells near the occlusion edge improves the encoding of location at the expense of direction encoding. We speculate that the trade-off between positional and directional encoding, which occurs when an object emerges from behind anther object, may reflect the animal's greater need for positional information of the emerging object than the direction in which it is moving. Additionally, the synchronous response of null-direction and preferred-direction spiking can potentially provide a salient alarm signal for discontinuous motion, which may help the animal quickly attend to the site of the change. Considering the population activity of multiple subtypes of On-Off DSGCs after an interruption in the motion allows for the encoding of more information than motion direction. Our findings that the population activity across cell types can help resolve ambiguities in single cell responses share a common theme with previous modeling experiments from Kühn and Gollisch (2019), which show that multiple DSGCs subtypes with different motion direction tuning in the salamander retina are needed to isolate motion-related information from confounding contrast signals under complex texture motion. Our current investigation adds to the accumulating

evidence that retinal population activity across multiple subtypes enhances decoding of
visual features from ambiguous, multiplexed signals.

In contrast to the On DSGC that encodes global motion during optic flow and
participates in the optokinetic reflex, On-Off DSGCs are considered encoders of local
motion, and project to the superficial layer of the superior colliculus (SC) and the shell
region of the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (dLGN) (Cruz- Martín et al., 2014;
Huberman et al., 2009; Rivlin-Etzion et al., 2011). In the SC, On-Off DSGC inputs give
rise to the direction selectivity of postsynaptic collicular neurons (Shi et al., 2017),
indicating that these collicular neurons do not receive retinal inputs from a broad range
of RGC types, but specifically from On-Off DSGCs. Since the superficial layer of the SC
is well recognized for its roles in encoding spatial locations and instructing stimulus-
directed defensive and prey behaviors (Basso et al., 2021), the encoding of the spatial
location of an emerging moving object by On-Off DSGCs may benefit rapid
sensorimotor decisions that involve collicular circuitry.

It is likely that other ganglion cell types also participate in fine spatial
discrimination. For example, certain types of small receptive field RGCs such as W3
RGCs and HD-RGCs may also be well-suited encode object location (Jacoby et al.,
2017; Kim et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012). It is worth noting that W3 RGCs are
activated only in specific instances where the background is completely uniform,
whereas On-Off DSGCs can be activated in a wide range of visual environments,
including environments with noisy backgrounds (Chen et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2020).
HD-RGCs also have small receptive fields, and computational modeling experiments
have shown that the errors in object location between On-Off DSGCs after motion
interruption and HD-RGCs are very similar in scale (Jacoby et al., 2017). However,
given the divergent and type-specific central projection patterns of mouse ganglion cell
types (Martersteck et al., 2017), the position information encoded by other position
encoders such as W3 and HD-RGCs may not be available to the specific downstream
circuits that receive On-Off DSGC inputs. Our modeling study suggests that the
population response of On-Off DSGCs after a motion interruption helps On-Off RGCs
achieve the same performance as other ganglion cell populations implicated in fine
spatial discrimination.

Given the many outstanding questions on the retinorecipient circuitry, On-Off
DSGCs may participate in different visual processing tasks compared to other ganglion
cell types by projecting to different areas for implementing different responses (Kay et
al., 2011; Sanes and Masland, 2015). Or, they could provide complementary
information about the spatial location of moving objects when considered with other
RGC populations. In either scenario, our theoretical analysis indicates that the
information of spatial location is contained within the On-Off DSGC population
response, and that it is possible that higher visual centers stand to benefit from this
information. Ultimately, elucidating the roles of diverse RGC types in motion encoding
requires a thorough understanding of visual signal transformations along the processing
pathways and how the visual system instructs visually guided behavior.

Acknowledgements

We thank Chen Zhang for managing the mouse colony and Dr. John Maunsell, Dr. Siwei Wang, Mathew Summers, Malak El-Quessny, and Benjamin Hoshal for advice on the manuscript. This work was supported by NIH R01 EY024016 and the McKnight Scholarship Award to W. W., the NSF GRFP DGE-1746045 to J.D., NIH F31 EY029156 to H.E.A., the NSF Career Award 1652617 and the Physics of Biological Function PHY-1734030 to S.E.P., and NIH RO1 EY012793 to D.B.

Competing Interests

All authors would like to report no competing interests.

Methods

Animals

Chat-IRES-Cre mice (129S6-Chat$^{tm2(cre)Lowl}$/J) and floxed *tdTomato* mice (129S6-Gt(ROSA)26Sor$^{tm9(CAG-tdTomato)Hze}$/J) were acquired from the Jackson Laboratory. *Drd4-GFP* mice were originally developed by MMRRC (http://www.mmrrc.org/strains/231/0231.html) and were backcrossed to the C57BL/6 background. These lines were crossed so that both pDSGCs and SACs were labeled. *Vglut2-IRES-Cre* mice and floxed *Ai95(RCL-GCaMP6f)-D* mice were acquired from Jackson Laboratory and were crossed and used for calcium imaging experiments. Mice of ages P21 – P60 of either sex were used. All procedures regarding the use of mice were in accordance with the University of Chicago Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and with the NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and the Public Health Service Policy.

Whole-mount retina preparation

Mice were dark adapted for 1 hour and then were anesthetized with isoflurane and euthanized by decapitation. Retinas were isolated at room temperature in oxygenated Ames' medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) under infrared illumination. The retinas were separated into dorsal and ventral halves and were mounted ganglion-cell-layer up on top of a ~1.5 mm^2 hole in a small piece of filter paper (Millipore, Billerica, MA). The orientation of the posterior, anterior, inferior, and superior directions were noted for each piece. During the experimental day, the mounted retinas were kept in darkness at room temperature in Ames' medium bubbled with 95% O_2/ 5% CO_2 until use (0 – 8h).

Visual stimulation

A white organic light-emitting display (OLEDXL, eMagin, Bellevue, WA; 800 X 600 pixel resolution, 60 Hz refresh rate) was controlled by an Intel Core Duo computer with a Windows 7 operating system and was presented to the retina at a resolution of 1.1

695   µm/pixel. Visual stimuli were generated using MATLAB and the Psychophysics Toolbox,
696   and were projected through the condenser lens of the two-photon microscope focused
697   on the photoreceptor layer.
698
699   For the peripheral spot experiments, stationary spots of size 110 µm diameter were
700   presented 165 µm away from the soma of the recorded pDGSC for 2 seconds. At this
701   distance, these spots were presented outside of the dendritic span of the majority of
702   recorded pDSGCs. These spots were presented in 8 different locations
703   pseudorandomized around the recorded pDSGC, with 3 repetitions each.
704
For moving bar experiments, a bright moving bar with dimensions 110 µm (width) X 880
µm (length) and speed 330 µm/s moved in 8 different pseudorandomized directions
across the receptive field of the pDSGC, with 3 – 6 repetitions each. The occluded bar
stimulus contains a moving bar of the same dimensions which moves into and out of a
central occluder with a diameter size of 220 µm. The size of the occluder was large
enough to obscure the entire dendritic span for the majority of recorded pDSGCs.
Occluded bar stimuli of different contrasts were used. The population vector model in
Figure 7 required the use of bar and occluded bar stimuli at speeds of 330 µm/s, 660
µm/s, 1320 µm/s, 1980 µm/s, and 2640 µm/s.
714
715   For the emergent moving bar experiments where the bar emerged from different
716   locations of the receptive field, a bright moving bar with dimensions 110 µm (width) X
717   440 µm (length) and speed 330 µm/s could start moving pseudorandomly from 13
718   different locations across the receptive field (Figure 5). The bar could move in the
719   preferred or null direction of the recorded cell.
720
For the receptive field mapping experiments measuring spiking activity, bright spots with
a 60 µm diameter were presented across the receptive field of the recorded pDSGC.
They were shown for 3 – 4 repetitions with a duration of 400 ms in a random sequence
within an 11 X 11 grid, covering a total area of 660 X 660 µm^2. For the receptive field
mapping experiments measuring EPSC activity, bright spots with a 20 µm diameter
were presented across the pDSGC and were shown for 3 – 4 repetitions with a duration
of 400 ms in a random sequence within an 11 X 11 grid, covering a total area of 220 X
220 µm^2. To examine the kinetics of EPSCs, bright spots with either a 20 µm diameter
(for DHβE and gabazine experiments) or a 60 µm diameter (for DHβE experiments)
were shown across the receptive field in a random sequence within an 11 X 11 grid for
3 – 4 repetitions. Spots were shown with a duration of 400 ms.
732
733   The light intensity for bright peripheral spot experiments, the moving bar and occlusion
734   experiments, and the receptive field mapping experiments was ~1.6 X 10^4 R*/rod/s.

Targeting cells for electrophysiology

Cells were visualized with infrared light (>900 nm) and an IR-sensitive video camera (Watec). DSGCs were targeted with the aid of two-photon microscopy in *Drd4-GFP* mice. Cell identity was confirmed physiologically by extracellular recordings of responses to moving bars and/or by filling the cell with 25 µM Alexa 594 (Life Technologies) to show bistratified dendritic morphology. Because the tissue was aligned on the filter paper, we confirmed that the preferred direction of the spiking responses to moving bar aligned well with the anatomical posterior (visual field coordinate) or nasal (retinal coordinate) direction.

Quantification of dendritic morphology

The On and Off layers of each cell was isolated from a z-stacked image in ImageJ. To calculate the radius, a contour of the dendritic span was made by drawing a boundary around the dendritic tips, and the area within the contour and resulting equivalent radius was calculated. For calculating dendritic length, the On layer from the z-stack projection was traced in NeuronStudio. The two-dimensional trace was then exported to MATLAB. Dendritic length was calculated in MATLAB using a custom-written code. We subdivided the cell into eight sectors for analysis. Sectors extended out from the soma and were aligned to the spot stimulus such that each spot was at the center between each sector's radial boundaries. When computing normalized vector sums (Figures 2B – C, S2A – S2B), total dendritic length in each sector was normalized by the total dendritic length of the cell.

Serial electron-microscopic analysis

We reconstructed DSGCs and starburst amacrine cells from a previously published SBEM dataset (Ding et al., 2016). These cell types were easily recognizable from their characteristic dendritic arbors and patterns of stratification. We inferred the preferred direction of motion of the DSGCs, relative to the boundaries of the volume from the orientation of SAC dendritic inputs onto the DSGC dendrites, as in Briggman et al. (2011). SAC dendrites preferentially form GABAergic synapses with DSGCs if their orientation, from soma to dendritic tip, corresponds to the null direction of the DSGC. Neither the eye of origin nor the retinal location of the sample was recorded when this sample was acquired, but it was possible to infer the ventral direction within the volume from reconstructions of multiple members of several other RGC types with strong dendritic asymmetries along the dorsoventral axis, including Jam-B RGCs (Kay et al., 2011) and F-RGCs (Rousso et al., 2016). One of the four On-Off DSGC types had an inferred preference for the ventral direction. Thus, we infer that the two types with preferred directions 90 degrees away from this ventral-motion-preferring DSGC type must therefore have been tuned to the horizontal motion axis. We could think of no way

774 to distinguish which of these types prefers anterior motion and which prefers posterior
775 motion.
776
777 Ribbon synapses from On bipolar cells onto the dendrites of these three DSGCs were
778 mapped manually. The branching patterns of all cells were reconstructed using
779 skeletons and synapses were marked with single nodes using the Knossos software
780 package (https://knossostool.org/).
781
To measure local ribbon density across the DSGC dendritic field, the dendritic span was
divided into individual squares with 10 x 10 µm (Figure S4) or 20 x 20 µm (Figure 4)
dimensions. Total dendritic length in each square was calculated in Matlab with a
custom-written code. The total number of ribbon synapses in each square was also
quantified. Ribbon density was calculated by dividing the ribbon synapse number by the
total dendritic length in the appropriate squares.
788
Electrophysiology recordings
Recording electrodes of 3 – 5 MΩ were filled with a cesium-based internal solution
containing 110 mM CsMeSO4, 2.8 mM NaCl, 4 mM EGTA, 5 mM TEA-Cl, 4 mM
adenosine 5′-triphosphate (magnesium salt), 0.3 mM guanosine 5′-triphosphate
(trisodium salt), 20 mM HEPES, 10 mM phosphocreatine (disodium salt), 5 mM N-
Ethyllidocaine chloride (QX314),filled with a cesium-based internal solution containing
110 mM CsMeSO4, 2.8 mM NaCl, 4 mM EGTA, 5 mM TEA-Cl, 4 mM adenosine 5′-
triphosphate (magnesium salt), 0.3 mM guanosine 5′-triphosphate (trisodium salt), 20
mM HEPES, 10 mM phosphocreatine (disodium salt), 5 mM N-Ethyllidocaine chloride
(QX314), and 0.025 mM Alexa 594, pH 7.25. Retinas were kept in oxygenated Ames'
medium with a bath temperature of 32 – 34 °C.
800
801 Data were acquired using PCLAMP 10 and a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular
802 Devices, Sunnyvale, CA), low-pass filtered at 4 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz. Light
803 evoked EPSCs were isolated by holding cells at -60 mV after correction for the liquid
804 junction potential (~10 mV).
805
To isolate the contribution of synaptic inputs, a host of pharmacological agents were
perfused in the bath during electrophysiology recordings. 8 µM Dihydro-b-erythroidine
hydrobromide (DHβE; Tocris, Cat#2349); 10 µM SR 9551 hydrobromide (gabazine;
Tocris, Cat #1262); 100 µM Meclofenamic acid sodium salt (MFA; Sigma-Aldrich.
Cat#M4531); 1 µM Strychnine (Sigma-Aldrich, Cat#S0532); 50 µM TPMPA (Tocris,
Cat#1040; 50 µM Carbenoxolone disodium (Tocris, Cat#3096).
812
813 **Data analysis of electrophysiological recordings**

Spiking data from loose-patch recordings were analyzed using custom protocols in MATLAB. The number of spikes evoked by the response to the peripheral spots were quantified in MATLAB and averaged across 3 repetitions in 8 spatial locations. Light-evoked EPSC responses to peripheral spots were obtained as well, and 3 repetitions of EPSC traces were averaged to obtain the mean peak amplitude and charge transfer response to each condition. The RSI is determined by (Max - Opp)/(Max + Opp) where Max is the number of spikes, peak amplitude or charge transfer in the region of maximal activation using the peripheral spot stimulus, and Opp is the response in the region directly opposite to the Max region. EPSC parameters of latency, rise time (10 - 90%), and decay time (90 - 10%) were quantified to compare the whole cell kinetics after administration of DHβE and gabazine to the control condition (Figure S1E).

For the receptive field mapping experiments, the light-evoked EPSCs in response to spots sized either 20 μm or 60 μm in diameter were obtained and averaged across 3 – 4 repetitions. The displacement of the spiking RF was determined by using 60 μm spots. The distance from the soma to the edges of the receptive field on the preferred side versus the null side were determined (Figure S1H).

For the receptive field mapping EPSC experiments using 20 μm spots, the center of mass of the receptive field was determined using the EPSC charge transfer or amplitude at each square in the 11 X 11 grid. The dendritic length was calculated at each point on the RF stimulus grid by summing the dendritic length in a circle 60 μm in diameter centered on the square of interest. For maximum vs. opposite region analysis and the preferred side vs null side analysis (Figures 3E and 3F), we considered the EPSCs in two 5 X 5 subsets of the total grid located opposite of each other. The preferred-null side of each cell was determined by spiking responses to the full-field moving bar, and the maximum-opposite axis was determined by EPSC charge transfer responses to the peripheral spot experiment performed in DHβE and gabazine. The distance from soma at each grid location was defined as the distance between the center of the square and the soma center.

To estimate the spatiotemporal profile across the maximum-opposite or the preferred-null axis for cells in DHβE and gabazine, the light-evoked EPSCs in response to small spots sized 20 μm in diameter were obtained and averaged across 3 – 4 repetitions (Figures 4F – 4H). To estimate the spatiotemporal profile across the preferred-null axis for cells in DHβE only, the light-evoked EPSCs in response to small spots sized 60 μm in diameter were obtained and averaged across 3 repetitions (Figures S4C –D). For both experiments, EPSC parameters of latency, rise time (10 - 90%), and decay time (90 - 30%) were quantified. The preferred-null axis of each cell was determined by spiking responses to the full-field moving bar, and the maximum-opposite axis was

854 determined by EPSC charge transfer responses to the peripheral spot experiment
855 performed in DHβE and gabazine. The parameters of latency, rise time, and decay time
856 were averaged across the squares at equal distances along the cell's preferred-null axis
857 or maximum-opposite axis.
858
859 Spiking data evoked by the moving bar and occlusion stimuli were quantified in
860 MATLAB using 3 – 6 repetitions in 8 different directions. Spiking data evoked by the bar
861 starting in different positions were quantified in MATLAB across 3 repetitions in the
862 preferred and null directions.
863
864
Calcium imaging in posterior- and anterior-preferring On-Off DSGCs
Genetically encoded calcium indicator GCaMP6f was expressed in all RGCs by
crossing *Vglut2-IRES-Cre* mice (JAX 016963-*Slc17a6$^{tm2(cre)Lowl}$*) and floxed *Ai95(RCL-*
GCaMP6f)-D mice (JAX 028865-*Gt(ROSA)26Sor$^{tm95.1(CAG-GCaMP6f)Hze}$*/J). GCaMP6f
fluorescence from isolated retinas was imaged in a customized two-photon laser
scanning fluorescence microscope (Bruker Nano Surfaces Division). GCaMP6 was
excited by a Ti:sapphire laser (Coherent, Chameleon Ultra II, Santa Clara, CA) tuned to
920 nm, and the laser power was adjusted to avoid saturation of the fluorescent signal.
Onset of laser scanning induces a transient response in RGCs that adapts to the
baseline in ~3 s. Therefore, to ensure the complete adaptation of this laser-induced
response and a stable baseline, visual stimuli were given after 10 s of continuous laser
scanning. To separate the visual stimulus from GCaMP6 fluorescence, a band-pass
filter (Semrock, Rochester, MA) was placed on the OLED to pass blue light peaked at
470 nm, while two notched filters (Bruker Nano Surfaces Division) were placed before
the photomultiplier tubes to block light of the same wavelength. The objective was a
water immersion objective (60x, Olympus LUMPlanFI/IR). Time series of fluorescence
were collected at 15–30 Hz.
882
883 We performed an initial direction selectivity test to identify posterior- and anterior-
preferring On-Off DSGCs. We recorded GCaMP6f fluorescence from RGC somas within
a 75-μm X 75-μm field of view while presenting a full-field moving bar visual stimulus (a
bright moving bar 110 μm (width) X 880 μm (length) moving at 330 μm/s across a 660
μm circular mask diameter along 8 different directions). At the onset of each moving bar
sweep, a TTL pulse was triggered by the visual stimulus computer and recorded by the
imaging software to correlate GCaMP6f signals with the direction of each moving bar.
Immediately following acquisition of each time series stack, custom-written MATLAB
scripts were used to extract fluorescence over time data from time-series images and
sort calcium transient by direction of the moving bar. For each RGC soma, raw
GCaMP6f fluorescence traces and tuning curves were plotted. On-Off DSGCs were
identified by their characteristic singular-lobe directional tuning curves, DSI values ≥ 0.3,

and two fluorescence peaks time-locked to the leading (On) and trailing edge (Off) of
the moving bar. On-Off DSGCs with preferred directions along the posterior-anterior
axis were then selected for further imaging.

Once an On-Off DSGC of interest was identified, the visual stimulus was centered to the
soma of that cell and a new field of view was drawn to enclose this cell and some
background with no GCaMP6f fluorescence. Full-field and occlusion moving bar visual
stimulus were presented to the cells as described above (8 directions, 3-4 repetitions).
Time series data was collected and subjected to offline analysis.

Imaging analysis for calcium imaging
Analysis was performed using ImageJ and MATLAB. Regions of interest (ROIs)
corresponding to DSGC soma and background were manually selected in ImageJ. The
fluorescent time course of each ROI was determined by averaging all pixels within the
ROI for each frame. The fluorescence of the background region was subtracted from
the raw fluorescent signal of the soma ROIs at each time frame. The visual stimulus
included a 3 – 4 second intersweep interval between the end of one sweep and the start
of another. Fluorescence intensities during these intersweep intervals were used to
create a baseline (F0) trace for each ROI by fitting either a single- or two-term
exponential decay function. Fluorescence measurements were then converted to ΔF/F0
values by calculating ΔF=(F−F0)/F0 for every datapoint. The transformed traces were
then smoothed using an average sliding window of 4 datapoints. ΔF/F0 traces were
clipped, sorted by visual stimulus direction (0, 45, 90, 135, 180, 225, 270, and 315
degrees), and averaged over 3-4 trials. Prior to further analysis, ROIs were subjected
to a response quality test QI = Var[Avg. Resp]/Avg(Var[R(t)]) ≥ 0.45 to ensure
consistency across trials. Responses to the full-field and occlusion moving bars were
broken up into On and Off components according to the circular mask entrance and exit
times of the leading and trailing edge, respectively. Peak, area ΔF/F0, and time of peak
values were calculated for On, Off, and the full trace along all 8 directions. Direction
selectivity index (DSI), vector sum, and preferred direction were calculated for both On
and Off components.
923
924 **Statistical analysis**
925 Grouped data are presented as mean ± SEM. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used
926 to test data for normality. Student's t-test was used for statistical comparisons of paired
927 samples in Figures 1 and 2. One-way analysis of variance was performed on grouped
928 data in Figures 5 and 6 and subjected to Bonferroni correction.
929
For the EPSC/dendritic length vs distance from soma experiments, we performed linear
regression analysis using an additional categorical predictor variable indicating the
maximum-opposite or the preferred-null side. The p-value associated with interaction

term (distance*region) in the resulting model was used to determine whether the slopes
are significantly different between the two regions. For the EPSC vs dendritic length
experiments, we again performed linear regression with an additional categorical
predictor variable indicating the maximum-opposite or preferred-null region. The p-value
associated with the categorical predictor in the resulting model was used to determine
whether the y-intercepts were significantly different between the two regions. The p-
value associated with the categorical predictor was used to determine whether the y-
intercepts were significantly different between regions. The number of branches in each
square of the grid was determined by a custom MATLAB code.
942
943 For the kinetic analyses of EPSC parameters in the receptive field mapping experiments
944 of latency, rise time, and decay time, we performed linear regression analysis to
945 determine whether a statistically significant linear relationship exists between the
946 distance from the soma and each EPSC parameter.
947
948 For all data sets, $p < 0.05$ was considered significant. *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p < 0.001$.
949
Experimental parameters for population model
Experimental data for the spiking response to full-field (73 cells) and occlusion (69 cells)
stimuli moving with a constant speed of 330 μm/second were obtained. 3 – 6 repetitions
were obtained for each full-field or occlusion protocol. The baseline firing rate for each
repetition was obtained by binning the spiking response in 25-ms time bins and taking
the maximum firing rate during a silent period where no stimulus was displayed, and the
baseline firing rate was averaged across all repetitions.
957
To model the spiking response of the pDSGCs, we binned the spikes evoked by the On
response to the motion stimulus in 25-ms time bins and plotted the PSTHs for all 8
motion directions. Then, we fit a rectified sine wave to the PSTH of each pDSGC. We
defined the threshold for above-baseline firing to be 4 SD above the baseline firing rate.
The onset of the spiking response was determined by the time bin at which the firing
rate exceeded the threshold and was immediately followed by a second above-
threshold bin (Rate change method; Levakova et al., 2015). We inspected the spiking
response onset times returned by our detection algorithm and manually adjusted the
spiking response onset times for 3 out of 91 pDSGCs to match the experimental data.
967
Onset times of spiking responses to the full-field bar moving in the preferred direction
± 45 degrees were similar to those of the preferred-direction response (Figure S7A,
left). Likewise, onset times of spiking responses to the occlusion stimulus moving in the
null direction ± 45 degrees were similar to those of the null-direction response (Figure
S7A, right). Therefore, we included onset times of spiking responses to motions in the

directions ± 45 degrees from the preferred-null motion axis in our analysis. Four-
parameter beta distributions were fit to histograms of spiking response onset times for
preferred- and null-direction motions (Figure S7F). The four parameters included two
shape parameters and two parameters that specify the minimum and maximum of the
distribution's range.
978
To determine the offset of the spiking response, we used the algorithm for identifying
spiking response onset. Unlike the protocol for determining spiking response onset,
however, the detection algorithm started from the most recent time bin and traversed
backwards in time. The offset of the spiking response was defined to be the time bin at
which the firing rate exceeded the threshold in two out of three consecutive time bins.
For each pDSGC, we calculated the spiking response duration by finding the difference
between the spiking response onset and offset times. Furthermore, we calculated the
linear correlation between spiking response duration and onset (Figure S7G). Figure
S7B shows that using only spiking responses to motion along the preferred-null motion
axis and using spiking responses to motion along the preferred-null motion axis as well
as motion in the directions 45 degrees away from the preferred-null motion axis yielded
consistent results. We also calculated the linear correlation between peak firing rate and
spiking response onset, but the correlation was not significant for the full-field protocol
(Figure S7C).
993
Motion direction tuning curves
We computed motion direction tuning curves for all pDSGCs exposed to the full-field
moving bar stimulus (73 cells) using the CircStat toolbox in MATLAB developed by P.
Berens (2009). The height of each tuning curve was given by the total spike count
evoked during the presentation of the full-field moving bar stimulus. We fit a Gaussian
function to the histogram of the tuning curve widths (Figure S7H). Figure S7I shows all
the normalized motion direction tuning curves. The heights of the tuning curves were
rescaled by dividing by their peaks and the widths of the tuning curves were rescaled by
dividing by their angular deviation, which is the square-root of twice the circular
variance. The normalized tuning curves were fit to a one-term Gaussian model (Figure
S7I).
1005
Speed Tuning Analysis
To investigate whether the null-direction response remains robust at higher stimulus
speeds, experimental data for the spiking response to full-field and occlusion stimuli
moving at 660, 1320, 1980, and 2640 μm/s were further collected. We analyzed how the
spike count, onset, and duration of the null-direction response changed across bar
speeds (Figure S7J–L). Spiking response onset and offset were calculated using the
same method as before. Linear fits were performed on spiking response onset data

across speeds for both full-field and occlusion protocols (Figure S7K). Spiking response
durations were first normalized by the response duration when the bar speed was at
330 µm/s and then fit to power-law functions (Figure S7L). Simulation parameters for
stimulus speeds higher than 330 µm/s were adjusted according to the fit functions in
Figure S7K and L.
1018
Two-dimensional population model
In our computational model, we arranged two populations (left motion-preferring and
right motion-preferring) of DSGCs in a two-dimensional array. Each population had
1,000 cells. Within each population, horizontal and vertical distances between nearest
neighbors were Gaussian distributed, with a mean of 39 µm and a SD of 16 µm
(Huberman et al., 2009). The spatial positions of the DSGCs between the two
populations were uncorrelated.
1026
Each DSGC's mean spiking response to the moving edge was modeled as a rectified
sine wave. The amplitude of the sine wave was given by the peak firing rate, while the
period and phase were determined by the spiking response duration and onset time,
respectively. For each spatial arrangement of DSGCs, we sampled peak firing rates
directly from our experimental data. We sampled spiking response onset times from our
four-parameter beta distributions (Figure S7F) and determined the spiking response
durations by finding the linear correlation between the two (Figure S7G). For simulations
with bar stimuli moving at speeds higher than 330 µm/s, spiking response onset times
and durations were modified according to the speed of the bar (Figure S7K and L).
1036
1037 Noise was introduced into the preferred directions of the DSGCs so that they were not
1038 all perfectly aligned with the left/right motion axis. To determine the degree of jitter in a
1039 DSGC's preferred direction, we sampled from a uniform distribution ranging from -14.1
1040 degrees to +14.1 degrees, where 0 degrees represented a preference for motion
1041 directly along the left/right motion axis (Fiscella et al., 2015).
1042
To determine the motion direction tuning width of each simulated DSGC, we sampled
circular variances from a Gaussian distribution fit to the histogram of circular variance of
the tuning curves from our experimental data (Figure S7H). We scaled the collapsed
tuning curve (Figure S7I) by the sampled tuning width and peak firing rate to obtain the
tuning curve. We used the motion direction tuning curve to adjust the peak firing rate of
the spiking response according to the jitter in the preferred direction alignment.
1049
We simulated the DSGC population response to a moving edge traveling from left to
right at a constant speed. To simulate the occlusion protocol, we introduced an
occlusion 220 µm in diameter whose position in space was fixed at 1800 µm along the

horizontal axis and 800 µm along the vertical axis (approximately in the center of the
two-dimensional array). For each spatial arrangement of DSGCs, the simulation was
repeated 10 times. The spiking response of each DSGC was discretized in time.
1056
At each 10-ms time bin, the firing rate given by the rectified sine wave fit was converted
to a mean spike count. The number of spikes generated by a DSGC was obtained by
sampling from a Gaussian distribution with this mean and a sub-Poisson, constant
variance of 0.4. The sub-Poisson noise was determined from our experimental data by
analyzing the variance of the spiking responses to 6 repetitions of the full-field moving
bar (10 cells) and the occlusion stimulus (9 cells) (Figure S7D). The spatial positions of
the DSGCs were shuffled in each simulation block for a total of 100 blocks with 10
repetitions in each block.
1065
1066 **Position decoding**
1067 The spatial position of the moving bar's leading edge was estimated via a labeled-line
1068 decoder (Dayan and Abbott, 2001). At each time point, the position estimate $\hat{x}$ was
1069 given by the weighted average of the DSGCs' RF center positions
1070
1071

1072
$$\hat{x} = \frac{\sum_i r_i \tilde{x}_i / w_i^2}{\sum_i r_i / w_i^2}$$

1073
where r_i is the firing rate of the ith cell and $\tilde{x}_i \sim \mathcal{N}(x_i, w_i^2)$ where x_i is the RF center
position and w_i the RF width (radius) of the ith cell. The RF width was taken to be the 1
SD boundary of the Gaussian center profile (Chichilnisky and Kalmar, 2002). RF widths
were obtained by scaling the dendritic field radii by 1.25. Dendritic field radii were
obtained by sampling from Gaussian distribution with µ = 88 µm and σ = 14.8 µm.
Position labels of the cells were determined by considering the extent of spatial
displacement on the preferred side. Errors are reported as the root mean-square-error
in the position estimate (Figure 7B).
1082
Coincidence detection
To assess the salience of the synchronous firing between two oppositely tuned
subtypes of DSGCs, we constructed a coincidence decoder that consisted of a
convolution with a temporal filter and a threshold operation, similar to Schwartz et al.,
2007. At each time point, a difference-of-Gaussian temporal filter (Figure 7E) was
convolved with the simulated DSGC population firing-rate activity. When the output of
the convolution exceeded the threshold, the decoder determined that a coincidence of
spiking activity between DSGC subtypes has occurred. For correct detections, the
output must exceed the threshold during a time window of 125 ms around the occlusion

event when the bar emerges from behind the occluder (Figure 7F). All above-threshold
outputs outside of the time window were marked as false alarms. By varying the
threshold across multiple simulations, we computed the receiver operator characteristic
(ROC) (Green and Swets, 1966). We quantified the performance of the decoder under
different levels of background firing noise using the area under the ROC curve (Figure
7G).
1098
1099 **<u>References</u>**
1100

1101 Barlow, H. B. and Hill, R. M. (1963). Selective sensitivity to direction of movement in
1102 ganglion cells of rabbit retina. *Science* **139**, 412–414.
1103
1104 Barlow, H.B., and Levick, W.R. (1965). The mechanism of directionally selective units in
1105 rabbit's retina. *J. Physiol.* **178**, 477–504.
1106
1107 Basso, M.A., Bickford, M.E., and Cang, J. Unraveling circuits of visual perception and
1108 cognition through the superior colliculus. *Neuron* **109**,
1109 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2021.01.013
1110
1111 Briggman, K.L., Helmstaedter, M., and Denk, W. (2011). Wiring specificity in the
1112 direction-selectivity circuit of the retina. *Nature* **471**, 183–188.
1113
1114 Chen, Q., Pei, Z., Koren, D., and Wei, W. (2016). Stimulus-dependent recruitment of
1115 lateral inhibition underlies retinal direction selectivity. *Elife* **5**, e21053.
1116
1117 Chen, Q., Smith, R.G., Huang, X., and Wei, W. (2020). Preserving inhibition with a
1118 disinhibitory microcircuit in the retina. *Elife* **9**, e62618.
1119
1120 Chiao, C.C., and Masland, R.H. (2003). Contextual tuning of direction-selective retinal
1121 ganglion cells. *Nat. Neurosci.* **6**, 1251–1252.
1122
1123 Chichilnisky, E.J. and Kalmar, R.S. (2002). Functional asymmetries in ON and OFF
1124 ganglion cells of primate retina. *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 2737–2747.
1125
1126 Cruz-Martín, A., El-Danaf, R.N., Osakada, F., Sriram, B., Dhande, O.S., Nguyen, P.L.,
1127 Callaway, E.M., Ghosh, A., and Huberman, A.D. (2014). A dedicated circuit links
1128 direction-selective retinal ganglion cells to the primary visual cortex. *Nature* **507**, 358–
1129 361.
1130

Dayan, P. and Abbott, L. (2001). Theoretical neuroscience - computational and mathematical modeling of neural systems. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.

Demb, J. B., Haarsma, L., Freed, M. A. and Sterling, P. (1999). Functional circuitry of the retinal ganglion cell's nonlinear receptive field. *J. Neurosci.* **19**, 9756–9767.

Deny, S., Ferrari, U., Macé, E., Yger, P., Caplette, R., Picaud, S., Tkačik, G., and Marre, O. (2017). Multiplexed computations in retinal ganglion cells of a single type. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1964.

Dhande, O.S., Stafford, B.K., Lim, J.-H.A., and Huberman, A.D. (2015). Contributions of retinal ganglion cells to subcortical visual processing and behaviors. *Annu. Rev. Vis. Sci.* **1**, 291–328.

Ding, H., Smith, R.G., Poleg-Polsky, A., Diamond, J.S., and Briggman, K.L. (2016). Species-specific wiring for direction selectivity in the mammalian retina. *Nature* **535**, 105–110.

Ellis, E.M., Gauvain, G., Sivyer, B., and Murphy, G.J. (2016). Shared and distinct retinal input to the mouse superior colliculus and dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus. *J. Neurophysiol.* **116**, 602–610.

El-Quessney, M., Maanum, K., and Feller, M.B. (2020). Visual experience influences dendritic orientation but is not required for asymmetric wiring of the retinal direction selective circuit. *Cell Rep.* **31**, 107844.

Euler, T., Detwiler, P. B. and Denk, W. (2002). Directionally selective calcium signals in dendrites of starburst amacrine cells. *Nature* **418**, 845–852.

Famiglietti, E. V. (1983). "Starburst" amacrine cells and cholinergic neurons: mirror-symmetric ON and OFF amacrine cells of rabbit retina. *Brain Res.* **261**, 138–144.

Fiscella, M., Franke, F., Farrow, K., Müller, J., Roska, B., da Silveira, R.A., and Hierlemann, A. (2015). Visual coding with a population of direction-selective neurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* **114**, 2485–2499.

Franke, K., Berens, P., Schubert, T., Bethge, M., Euler, T., and Baden, T. (2017). Inhibition decorrelates visual feature representations in the inner retina. *Nature* **542**, 439–444.

1171 Fried, S.I., Münch, T.A., and Werblin, F.S. (2002). Mechanisms and circuitry underlying
1172 directional selectivity in the retina. *Nature* **420**, 411–414.
1173
1174 Green, D. M., and Swets, J. A. (1966). *Signal detection theory and psychophysics.* John
1175 Wiley.
1176
1177 Grzywacz, N.M., and Amthor, F.R. (2007). Robust directional computation in on-off
1178 directionally selective ganglion cells of rabbit retina. *Vis. Neurosci.* **24**, 647–661.
1179
1180 He, S., Jin, Z.F., and Masland, R.H. (1999). The nondiscriminating zone of directionally
1181 selective retinal ganglion cells: comparison with dendritic structure and implications for
1182 mechanism. *J. Neurosci.* **19**, 8049–8056.
1183
1184 He, S., and Masland, R.H. (1998). ON direction-selective ganglion cells in the rabbit
1185 retina: Dendritic morphology and pattern of fasciculation. *Vis. Neurosci.* **15**, 369–375.
1186
1187 Huang, X., Rangel, M., Briggman, K.L., and Wei, W. (2019). Neural mechanisms of
1188 contextual modulation in the retinal direction selective circuit. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2431.
1189
1190 Huberman, A.D., Wei, W., Elstrott, J., Stafford, B.K., Feller, M.B., and Barres, B.A.
1191 (2009). Genetic identification of an On-Off direction- selective retinal ganglion cell
1192 subtype reveals a layer-specific subcortical map of posterior motion. *Neuron* **62**, 327–
1193 334.
1194
1195 Ishikane, H., Gangi, M., Honda, S., Tachibana, M. (2005). Synchronized retinal
1196 oscillations encode essential information for escape behavior in frogs. *Nat.
1197 Neurosci.* **8**, 1087–1095.
1198
1199 Ito, S., and Feldheim, D.A. (2018). The mouse superior colliculus: An emerging model
1200 for studying circuit formation and function. *Front. Neural Circuits* **12**, 10.
1201
1202 Jacoby, J. and Schwartz, G.W. (2017). Three small-receptive-field ganglion cells in the
1203 mouse retina are distinctly tuned to size, speed, and object motion. *J Neurosci.* **37**, 610–625.
1204
1205 Kay, J.N., de la Huerta, I., Kim, I.J., Zhang, Y., Yamagata, M., Chu, M.W., Meister, M.,
1206 and Sanes, J.R. (2011). Retinal ganglion cells with distinct directional preferences differ
1207 in molecular identity, structure, and central projections. *J. Neurosci.* **31**, 7753–7762.
1208

Kim., I.J., Zhang, Y., Meister, M., and Sanes, J.R. (2010). Laminar restriction of retinal
ganglion cell dendrites and axons: subtype-specific development patterns revealed with
transgenic markers. *J. Neurosci* **30**, 1452–1462.

Kim, T., Soto, F., and Kerschensteiner, D. (2015). An excitatory amacrine cell detects
object motion and provides feature-selective input to ganglion cells in the mouse retina.
Elife **4**, e08025.

Kittila, C.A., and Massey, S.C. (1997). Pharmacology of directionally selective ganglion
cells in the rabbit retina. *J. Neurophysiol.* **77**, 675–689.

Kühn, N.K., and Gollisch, T. (2019). Activity correlations between direction-selective
retinal ganglion cells synergistically enhance motion decoding from complex visual
scenes. *Neuron* **101**, 963–976.

Lee, S., Kim, K., and Zhou, Z.J. (2010). Role of ACh-GABA cotransmission in detecting
image motion and motion direction. *Neuron* **51**, 787–799.

Lee, S., Zhang, Y., Chen, M., and Zhou, Z.J. (2016). Segregated glycine-glutamate co-
transmission from vGluT3 amacrine cells to contrast-suppressed and contrast-
enhanced retinal circuits. *Neuron* **90**, 27–34.

Levakova, M., Tamborrino, M., Ditlevsen, S., and Lansky, P. (2015). A review of the
methods for neuronal response latency estimation. *Biosystems* **136**, 23–34.

Lipin, M.Y., Rowl Taylor, W., and Smith, R.G. (2015). Inhibitory input to the direction-
selective ganglion cell is saturated at low contrast. *J. Neurophysiol.* **114**, 927–941.

Marre, O., Botella-Soler, V., Simmons, K.D., Mora, T., Tkačik, G., and Berry, M.J.
(2015). High accuracy decoding of dynamical motion from a large retinal population.
PLoS Comput. Biol. **11**, e1004304.

Matsumoto, A., Briggman, K.L., and Yonehara, K. (2019). Spatiotemporally asymmetric
excitation supports mammalian retinal motion sensitivity. *Curr. Biol.* **29**, 3277–3288.

Ölveczky, B. P., Baccus, S. A. and Meister, M. (2003). Segregation of object and
background motion in the retina. *Nature* **423**, 401–408.

Oyster, C.W., (1968). The analysis of image-motion by the rabbit retina. *J Physiol.* **199**,
613-635.

Oyster, C.W., and Barlow, H.B. (1967). Direction-selective units in rabbit retina: Distribution of preferred directions. *Science* **155**, 841–842.

Rivlin-Etzion M., Zhou K., Wei W., Elstrott J., Nguyen P.L., Barres B.A., Huberman A.D., and Feller M.B. (2011). Transgenic mice reveal unexpected diversity of On-Off direction-selective retinal ganglion cell subtypes and brain structures involved in motion processing. *J Neurosci.* **31**, 8760–8769.

Rousso, D.L.L., Qiao, M., Kagan, R.D.D., Yamagata, M., Palmiter, R.D.D., and Sanes, J.R.R. (2016). Two pairs of ON and OFF retinal ganglion cells are defined by intersectional patterns of transcription factor expression. *Cell Rep.* **15**, 1930–1944.

Sabbah, S., Gemmer, J.A., Bhatia-Lin, A., Manoff, G., Castro, G., Siegel, J.K., Jeffery, N., and Berson, D.M. (2017). A retinal code for motion along the gravitational and body axes. *Nature* **546**, 492–497.

Sanes, J.R. and Masland R.H. (2015). The types of retinal ganglion cells: current Status and implications for neuronal classification. *Ann. Rev. Neurosci.* **38**, 221–246.

Schwartz, G., Taylor, S., Fisher, C., Harris, R., and Berry, M.J., II (2007). Synchronized firing among retinal ganglion cells signals motion reversal. *Neuron* **55**, 958–969.

Sethuramanujam, S., McLaughlin, A.J., deRosenroll, G., Hoggarth, A., Schwab, D.J., and Awatramani, G.B. (2016). A central role for mixed acetylcholine/GABA transmission in direction coding in the retina. *Neuron* **90**, 1243–1256.

Simpson, JI., Leonard, C.S., and Soodak, R.E. (1984). The accessory optic system. *Ann. Rev. Neurosci*. **7**, 13-41.

Sivyer, B., van Wyk, M., Vaney, D.I., and Taylor, W.R. (2010). Synaptic inputs and timing underlying the velocity tuning of direction-selective ganglion cells in rabbit retina. *J. Physiol.* **588**, 3243–3253.

Shang, C., Liu, L., Chen, Z., Shi, Y., Wang, Q., Liu, S., Li, D., and Cao, P. (2015). A parvalbumin-positive excitatory visual pathway to trigger fear responses in mice. Science **348**, 1472–1477.

Shi, X., Barchini, J., Ledesma, H.A., Koren, D., Jin, Y., Liu, X., Wei, W., and Cang, J. (2017). Retinal origin of direction selectivity in the superior colliculus. *Nat. Neurosci.* **20**, 550–558.

Spruston, N. (2008). Pyramidal neurons: dendritic structure and synaptic integration. *Nat. Neurosci. Reviews* **9**, 206–221.

Takeshita, D., and Gollisch, T. (2014). Nonlinear spatial integration in the receptive field surround of retinal ganglion cells. *J. Neurosci.* **34**, 7548–7561.

Taylor, W.R., and Vaney, D.I. (2002). Diverse synaptic mechanisms generate direction selectivity in the rabbit retina. *J. Neurosci.* **22**, 7712–7720.

Trenholm, S., Johnson, K., Li, X., Smith, R.G., and Awatramani, G.B. (2011). Parallel mechanisms encode direction in the retina. *Neuron* **71**, 683–694.

Turner, M.H., Schwartz, G.W., and Rieke, F. (2018). Receptive field center-surround interactions mediate context-dependent spatial contrast encoding in the retina. *Elife* **7**, e38841.

Wei, W., Hamby, A.M., Zhou, K., and Feller, M.B. (2011). Development of asymmetric inhibition underlying direction selectivity in the retina. *Nature* **469**, 402–406.

Wyatt, H.J., and Daw, N.W. (1975). Directionally sensitive ganglion cells in the rabbit retina: specificity for stimulus direction, size and speed. *J. Neurophysiol.* **38**, 613–626.

Yonehara, K., Balint, K., Noda, M., Nagel, G., Bamberg, E., and Roska, B. (2011). Spatially asymmetric reorganization of inhibition establishes a motion-sensitive circuit. *Nature* **469**, 407–410.

Zhang, Y., Kim, I.J., Sanes, J.R., and Meister, M. (2012). The most numerous ganglion cell type of the mouse retina is a selective feature detector. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **109**, E2391–E21398.

Zylberberg, J., Cafaro, J., Turner, M.H., Shea-Brown, E., and Rieke, F. (2016). Direction-selective circuits shape noise to ensure a precise population code. *Neuron* **89**, 369–383.