TITLE

Genome-wide analysis in *Escherichia coli* unravels an unprecedented level of genetic

homoplasy associated with cefotaxime resistance.

Jordy P.M. Coolen[1#], Evert P.M. den Drijver[2,3#], Jaco J. Verweij[3], Jodie A. Schildkraut[1], Kornelia

Neveling[4], Willem J.G. Melchers[1], Eva Kolwijck[1], Heiman F.L. Wertheim[1^], Jan A.J.W.

Kluytmans[2,5,6^], Martijn A. Huynen[7]

[1] Department of Medical Microbiology and Radboudumc Center for Infectious Diseases, Radboud University

Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

[2] Department of Infection Control, Amphia Ziekenhuis, Breda, The Netherlands.

[3] Laboratory for Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Elisabeth-Tweesteden Hospital, Tilburg, The Netherlands.

[4] Department of Human Genetics, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

[5] Laboratory for Microbiology, Microvida, Location Breda, The Netherlands.

[6] Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, UMCU, Utrecht, The Netherlands.

[7] Centre for Molecular and Biomolecular Informatics, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The

Netherlands.

[#] These authors share first authorship

^These authors contributed equally

ABSTRACT (word limit: 250) (currently 242)

Cefotaxime (CTX) is a commonly used third-generation cephalosporin (3GC) to treat

infections caused by *Escherichia coli*. Two genetic mechanisms have been associated with 3GC

resistance in *E. coli*. The first is the conjugative transfer of a plasmid harboring antibiotic

resistance genes. The second is the introduction of mutations in the promoter region of the

ampC β-lactamase gene that cause chromosomal-encoded β-lactamase hyperproduction. A

wide variety of promoter mutations related to AmpC hyperproduction have been described.

However, their link to a specific 3GC such as CTX resistance has not been reported. To study

this, we measured CTX MICs in 172 cefoxitin resistant *E. coli* isolates and performed genome-

wide analysis of homoplastic mutations associated with CTX resistance by comparing Illumina

whole-genome sequencing data of all isolates to a PacBio tailored-made reference

chromosome. We mapped the mutations on the reference chromosome and determined their

occurrence in the phylogeny, revealing extreme homoplasy at the -42 position of the *ampC*

promoter. The 24 occurrences of a "T" at the -42 position rather than the wild type "C",

resulted from 18 independent C>T mutations in 5 phylogroups. The association of the -42 C>T

mutation with CTX resistance was confirmed to be significant (FDR < 0.05). Furthermore, this

mutation was only observed in *E. coli* lacking a plasmid-encoded *ampC* gene. Our findings

support that CTX resistance in *E. coli* is caused by recurrent mutations in the *ampC* promoter

region or by obtaining a plasmid that harbors *ampC* β-lactamase genes.

IMPORTANCE (word limit: 150) (currently 144)

In the past decades, the worldwide spread of extended spectrum beta-lactamases

(ESBLs) has led to a substantial increase in the prevalence of resistant common pathogens,

thereby restricting available treatment options. Although acquired resistance genes, e.g. ESBLs,

get most attention, chromosome-encoded resistance mechanisms may play an important role

as well. In *E. coli* chromosome-encoded β-lactam resistance can be caused by alterations in the

promoter region of the *ampC* gene. To improve our understanding of how frequently these

alterations occur, a comprehensive interpretation of the evolution of these mutations is

essential. This study is the first to apply genome-wide homoplasy analysis to better perceive

adaptation of the *E. coli* genome to antibiotics. Thereby, this study grants insights into how

chromosomal-encoded antibiotic resistance evolves and, by combining genome-wide

association studies with homoplasy analyses, provides potential strategies for future

association studies into the causes of antibiotics resistance.

INTRODUCTION

Escherichia coli is an important pathogen in both community and healthcare-associated

infections (1, 2). In the past decades, a substantial increase in resistance to third-generation

cephalosporin (3GC) antibiotics in *E. coli* has been observed worldwide, mainly caused by the

production of extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL) and AmpC β-lactamases, restricting

available treatment options for common infections (3). AmpC β-lactamases differ from ESBL as

they not only hydrolyze broad-spectrum penicillins and cephalosporins, but also cephamycins.

Moreover, AmpC β-lactamases are not inhibited by ESBL-inhibitors like clavulanic acid (3),

limiting antibiotic treatment options even further. A widely used method to screen for AmpC

production is the use of cefoxitin (FOX), a member of the cephamycins (4).

Although *ampC* β-lactamase genes can be plasmid-encoded (*pampC*), they are also

encoded on the chromosomes of numerous Enterobacterales. *E. coli* naturally carries a

chromosomal-mediated *ampC* (*campC*) gene, but unlike most other Enterobacterales this gene

is non-inducible due to the absence of the *ampR* regulator gene (3). Consequently,

chromosomal AmpC production in *E. coli* is exclusively regulated by promoter and attenuator

mechanisms. This results in constitutive low-level c*ampC* expression that still allows the use of

3GC antibiotics, such as cefotaxime (CTX), to treat *E. coli* infections (3). However, various

mutations in the promoter/attenuator region of *E. coli* may cause constitutive hyperexpression

of *campC* (5, 6), thereby increasing the MICs for broad-spectrum penicillins and cephalosporins

and limiting appropriate treatment options.

A wide variety of promoter and attenuator mutations have been related to AmpC

hyperproduction (6). AmpC hyperproduction is primarily caused by alterations of the *ampC*

promoter region, leading to a promoter sequence that more closely resembles the *E. coli*

consensus sigma 70 promoter with a TTGACA -35 box separated by 17 bp from a TATAAT -10

box. These alterations can be divided into different variants associated with e.g. an alternate

displaced promoter box, a promotor box mutation or an alternate spacer length due to

insertions (6). Furthermore, mutations of the attenuator sequence can lead to changes in the

hairpin structure that strengthen the effect of promoter alterations on AmpC hyperproduction.

In a study on cefoxitin-resistant *E. coli* isolated from Canadian hospitals, Tracz *et al.* described

52 variants of the promoter and attenuator region (6). In this study a two-step quantitative

reverse transcriptase (qRT-)PCR was used to determine the effect of promoter/attenuator

variants on *ampC* expression. Various mutations were related to different delta–delta cycle

threshold values in the RT-PCR and corresponding variations in FOX resistance. An interesting

observation that emerged from this study was that the -32T>A and the -42C>T mutation were

the major alterations that strengthened the *ampC* promoter. Both result in a consensus -35

box. Although it is known that AmpC production leads to FOX resistance as studied by Tracz *et*

al. the effect of various mutations on resistance to a 3GC antibiotic such as CTX have not been

explored. This is relevant because CTX is commonly used in the treatment of patients with

severe *E. coli* infections, often in combination with selective digestive tract decontamination in

Intensive Care Units (7, 8).

While previous research mainly focused on the chromosomal AmpC resistance

mechanism and the impact of AmpC hyperproduction, there is a lack in knowledge and

understanding of the evolutionary origin of these promoter/attenuator variants. Notably, it is

unexplored how the two most prominent promoter mutations -32T>A and -42C>T are

distributed over the *E. coli* phylogeny and therewith how often they occur. More precisely,

literature shows selective pressure can lead to convergent evolution that results in the

reoccurrence of a mutation in multiple isolates independently and in separate lineages (9). This

phenomenon is named homoplasy (10). A Consistency Index can be calculated to quantify

homoplasy by dividing the minimum number of changes on the phylogeny by the number of

different nucleotides observed at that site minus one (11), effectively quantifying how often the

same mutation occurred in a phylogenetic tree. One can use the Consistency Index to recognize

genomic locations subjected to homoplasy, and relate the single-nucleotide polymorphic (SNP)

positions that are inconsistent with the phylogeny to antibiotic resistance, as has e.g. been

done in multiple studies on *Mycobacteria* spp (12–15)*.*

In the present study, we hypothesize that some of the mutations in the *ampC*

promoter/attenuator region are homoplastic and are associated with CTX resistance. To test

our hypothesis, we performed genome-wide homoplasy analysis and combined it with a

genome-wide analysis of polymorphisms associated with CTX resistance by constructing a

tailored *E. coli* reference chromosome and combining it with WGS data of 172 FOX resistant *E.*

coli isolates previously collected by our research group (16).

RESULTS

118   **A short description of the *E. coli* collection used in this study.** To study genetic

119   homoplasy events in suspected AmpC producing *Escherichia coli,* FOX MIC > 8 ug/ml and ESBL

120   phenotype negative *E. coli* isolates (*n*=172) were selected as previously described by Coolen *et*

121   *al.* (16) (see Table S1 in supplemental material). The entire collection was subjected to whole-

122   genome sequencing followed by *de novo* assembly of the sequence reads to obtain contigs.

123   **Description of MLST and phylogroup variants within the *E. coli* collection.** To access

the genetic diversity of our *E. coli* collection, we identified both multi-locus sequence typing

(MLST) and phylogroup variants of each of the 172 *E. coli* isolates. Seventy-five different

sequence types (STs) were identified, of which ST 131 (8.1% *n*=14), ST 38 (7.0% *n*=12), and ST 73

(7.0% *n*=12) were the most prevalent. The sequence types of 13 isolates are unknown.

Phylogroup stratification revealed that the isolates belonged to eight different phylogroups

(Table 1). Phylogroup B1, B2, and D were the most prevalent. One isolate belonged to

Escherichia clade IV (st. no. ampC_0128).

131   **Detection and description of variants in the *ampC* promoter and attenuator.** We

examined the whole *E. coli* genome. However, we firstly focused on mutations in the *ampC*

promoter and attenuator region. Previously described mutations in the *ampC* promoter region

that according to Tracz *et al.* lead to "hyperproduction" of AmpC were detected in 59 (34.4%)

of the isolates (6). These isolates were therefore labelled as hyperproducers. Analysis of the

promotor area (-42 to -8) resulted in 21 different variants and the wild type (see Table 1). In the

attenuator region (+17 to +37), 18 different variants were identified (see Table 1). One isolate

(ampC_0128) showed an unusual promoter variant, a four-nucleotide deletion (-45_-

42delATCC). Moreover, an insertion (21_22insG) of unknown function was detected in the

attenuator (see Table S1 in supplemental material).

Detection and description of Plasmid-mediated *ampC* variants. As we aim to associate

chromosomal mutations with CTX resistance, differentiation of *pampC* harboring isolates from

non-*pampC* harboring isolates was required. Genomic analysis showed that 90 (52.3%) of the

isolates harbored a *pampC* gene of which bla_{CMY-2} was the most prevalent (*n*=78). One isolate

harbored two different *pampC* genes (bla_{CMY-4} and bla_{DHA-1}) (ampC_0119). One isolate

contained a $bla_{CTX-M-27}$ gene combined with a bla_{CMY-2} gene (ampC_0114) but was ESBL disc test

negative (see Table S1 in supplemental material). In 23 (13.4%) of the isolates neither *pampC*

nor described mutations related to AmpC hyperproduction were detected and are noted as

low-level AmpC producers.

Creating a tailored reference chromosome using Illumina and PacBio SMRT

sequencing. To be able to reconstruct an accurate phylogeny we selected *E. coli* isolate

ampC_0069, one of the strains of the study, to use as reference chromosome for SNP calling.

The tailored reference chromosome was constructed through a hybrid assembly of *n*=4,423,109

2x 150 bp Illumina NextSeq 500 paired-end reads together with *n*=218,475 PacBio Sequel SMRT

subreads (median 5,640 bp). This resulted in a high-quality full circular chromosome of *E. coli*

isolate ampC_0069, with a size of 5,056,572 bp. This isolate belongs to ST648 and contains a

plasmid-encoded bla_{CMY-42}. The full circular chromosome was uploaded to GenBank accession

no. CP046396 and was used for further analysis. Genome annotation with the NCBI Prokaryotic

Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) identified 4,720 Coding Sequences.

SNP calling of *E. coli* isolates to reference chromosome. To be able to reconstruct the

phylogeny and obtain SNP positions, we mapped reads of all isolates to the reference

chromosome *E. coli* ampC_0069, (accession no. CP046396) resulting in a coreSNP alignment

containing 314,200 variable core SNP positions. For further details per isolate see Table S2 in

supplemental material. To validate our SNP calling method we compared the ampC_0069

Illumina NextSeq 500 paired-end reads to the reference chromosome of ampC_0069, resulting

in 0 SNPs detected, supporting that the SNP calling data and method produce no false positives.

Inferring a phylogenetic tree based on core SNP positions. The coreSNP alignment was

used for further analysis. Figure 2 illustrates the approximately maximum-likelihood

phylogenetic tree of all 172 isolates based on the coreSNP alignment. The tree has a robust

topology as indicated by computerized adaptive testing (CAT) likelihood calculations, resulting

in only three positions with a value ≤60% (17). When focusing on the *ampC* promoter

mutations, they were most prevalent in phylogroups B1, B2, and C, although they were present

in all phylogroups except phylogroup E that lacked mutations in either the promoter or

attenuator region. Interestingly, two positions previously highlighted by Tracz *et al.,* -42 and -

32, are only mutated in the absence of a *pampC* gene, even in isolates with a similar MLST

(ST12, ST88, and ST131). The -42C>T mutation, which results in an alternate displaced promoter

box and therefore leads to increased resistance (6), is present in 24 isolates in 5 distinct

phylogroups and in 17 separate phylogenetic branches, indicating that this mutation is

homoplastic. Additionally, the -32T>A mutation in the promoter, previously also associated to

resistance (6), is present in 20 isolates in 3 distinct phylogroups and in 14 separate phylogenetic

branches. To quantify the level of homoplasy we calculated the Consistency Index.

Computing the Consistency Index to detect genomic homoplastic mutations. We

calculated the Consistency Index for all positions on the *E. coli* reference chromosome. A low

Consistency Index value for a position indicates a high degree of inconsistency with the

chromosomal phylogeny and can be calculated by HomoplasyFinder as described in earlier

studies (10, 18, 19). As can be observed in Figure 3, results clearly indicate that notwithstanding

multiple other low scoring Consistency Index positions in the promoter and attenuator, position

-42 (4,470,140) and -32 (4,470,150) are the lowest scoring, respectively 0.05882353 and

0.07142857 (see also Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). To access how extreme these

Consistency Index values are, we calculated the Consistency Index for all positions in the

chromosome (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). All Consistency Indexes < 1.0 are

plotted in the outer ring (ring A) of Figure 4. Results show that only 9,640 out of 5,056,572

positions (0.19%) had a Consistency Index ≤ 0.07142857 (see Figure 4 ring A, cutoff is indicated

by black circle). This clearly indicates that positions with low Consistency Indexes are rare, but

not unique. Although these 9,640 positions have a low Consistency Index, we do not yet know

their relation to CTX resistance.

CTX resistance measurements. Cefotaxime MIC measurements from Coolen *et al.* in

relation to the genotype of the *E. coli* isolates are shown in supplementary table 1. Eighty-four

of ninety (93.3%) *pampC* harboring *E. coli* were CTX resistant (MIC >2 mg/L) based on EUCAST

clinical breakpoints. Twenty-one of fifty-nine (35.6%) isolates categorized as hyperproducers

based on Trazc *et al.* were CTX resistant, primarily isolates with the -42 (*n*=15) or -32 mutation

(*n*=2). The *pampC* genes never occurred simultaneously with the -42 or -32 mutations in any of

these isolates. One of twenty-three (4.3%) isolates categorized as a low-level AmpC producer

(no *pampC* gene and no known ampC promoter mutation) tested CTX resistant and contained

an insertion in the spacer region (-16_-15insT), which has not been described by Tracz *et al* (6).

As depicted in Figure 2 the non-*pampC* strains with a phenotype of CTX > 2 mg/L were present

in all phylogroups, although CTX resistant isolates with the -42 or -32 mutation were

predominantly present in phylogroups B1, B2, and C.

Association of SNPs with CTX resistance. To be able to link *E. coli* chromosomal

mutations to CTX resistance we excluded all *E. coli* isolates with a plasmid containing an *ampC*

β-lactamase gene. The association of SNPs to CTX resistance phenotype (MIC > 2 mg/L) was

tested in the remaining 82 isolates using Fisher's Exact Test. After FDR correction to 0.05,

45,998 significant positions were found (see Figure 4 ring B). Mutation C>T on position -42 of

the *ampC* promoter was found to be significantly associated to CTX resistance (FDR = 0.034).

However, position -32 A>T was not significantly associated to CTX resistance (FDR = 1).

Homoplasy-based association analysis. Combining the outcome of the homoplasy

analysis with the significant CTX resistance associated positions results in genomic positions

associated to CTX resistance that have evolved multiple times independently. After selecting

the lowest scoring Consistency Index positions, ≤ 0.05882353, 24 relevant genomic positions

were identified that had both a low Consistency Index and a significant association with CTX

resistance. Most notably, one of these 24 positions is position -42. Only two mutations of those

24 that were located in genes were non-synonymous: a (conservative) missense mutation in the

type II secretion system protein L (*gspL*) gene leading to Ser330Thr alteration and a mutation in

the hydroxyethylthiazole kinase (*thiM*) gene resulting in a Thr122Ala alteration according to the

annotation of *E. coli* strain ampC_0069 (accession no. CP046396). In addition to the non-

synonymous mutation found on the *gspL* gene, eight synonymous mutations are also located in

genes annotated as being part of the type II secretion system. A complete overview is

presented in Table 2.

Recombination analysis. To verify if the level of homoplasy could be a result of

recombination, we used Genealogies Unbiased By recomBinations In Nucleotide Sequences

(Gubbins) algorithm to predict recombination events in our isolate collection (20). This analysis

showed frequent recombination events in our 172 *E. coli* isolates (see Fig. S3 in the

supplemental material). Results illustrate that recombination blocks cover the region of the

gspL and the *thiM* gene and their high homoplasy levels could thus be due to recurrent

recombination rather than independent mutations. Nonetheless, position -42 in the *ampC*

promoter is not located in a region effected by recombination as shown in Fig. S3. Moreover,

when inferring the phylogenetic tree corrected for recombination events as obtained from the

Gubbins analysis, the -42C>T mutation actually occurred, in 18 independent branches rather

than the 17 branches in the uncorrected tree. This supports our previous results that this

mutation is homoplastic, and not the results of a recurrent recombination event.

DISCUSSION

We present a genome-wide analysis in which homoplastic mutations are associated with

antibiotic resistance in *E. coli*. By comparing whole-genome sequencing data of 172 *E. coli*

isolates to a tailored reference chromosome we were able to reconstruct the evolution of the

genomes and therewith map recurrent events, allowing us to detect homoplasy associated to

CTX resistance.

Our foremost finding is the significant association of the -42C>T mutation, in the *ampC*

promoter, to CTX resistance that evolved independently at least 17 times in 5 distinct

phylogroups. The -42C>T mutation has been confirmed in former studies to result in AmpC

hyperproduction in *E. coli*. Nelson *et al.* demonstrated an 8 to 18 times increase in activity of

AmpC when cloning the promoter upstream a *lac* operon (21). Vice versa, Caroff *et al.* found a

decrease in expression of AmpC when cloning the promoter with a -42T>C mutation in a

pKK232-8 reporter plasmid with chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) gene (22). Tracz *et al*.

confirmed that the -42C>T mutation has the strongest effect on the *ampC* promoter, resulting

in a high expression of the *ampC* gene as detected by RT-qPCR (6). Despite the fact that the -

42C>T mutation has such a strong effect on AmpC production the effect of the mutation on CTX

MICs had not been confirmed. Moreover, the contribution of convergent evolution on this

position relative to the role of the expansion of a clone with a beneficial mutation at this

position has not been determined. That being the case, this study provides evidence that this -

42 C>T mutation is not a result of a recombination event and most likely evolved many times

independently. Remarkably, we observed that the -42C>T mutation never occurs in the

presence of a *pampC* gene (in zero out of twenty-four cases). This was even noticed in isolates

with the same MLST, i.e. ST88 -42C>T (*n*=3) and *pampC* (*n*=1), suggesting preferred exclusivity

for one of the resistance mechanisms. One study mentioned the co-occurrence of the -42C>T

mutation and a *pampC* gene in only one out of thirty-six strains (23). One could speculate that

the exclusivity is a matter of what arrives first, the plasmid or the mutation, after which there is

no selective advantage for the second mechanism, or that there is actually a fitness cost to

having both the mutation and the plasmid relative to having only the mutation or the plasmid.

The study performed by Tracz *et al.* showed that position -32T>A on the promotor of

ampC associates with AmpC hyperproduction that results in elevated MIC levels for FOX (6).

Surprisingly, in the current study no significant association of -32T>A with CTX resistance was

noticed despite its low Consistency Index. Only two out of twenty isolates with the -32T>A were

CTX resistant, four out of twenty showed an intermediate elevated CTX MIC, and fourteen were

susceptible for CTX. Although we do not know under which conditions this mutation did arise, it

can be speculated that the high level of homoplasy at the -32 position is associated with a

different trait, e.g. resistance against another antibiotic. Moreover, other resistance

mechanisms, as for instance differences in porin expression (24) or variants in AmpC protein

composition (25) could have an effect on 3GC susceptibility.

Prior studies discovered the importance of mutations in the promoter elements.

Random sequences can even evolve expression comparable to the wild-type promoter

elements after only a single mutation (26). Furthermore, these promotor elements evolve to

only a few forms indicating convergent evolution (27), as also observed in the present study. All

encountered variants seem to result in a sequence that resembles the *E. coli* consensus sigma

70 promoter more than the wild type sequence they are derived from (6).

Next to the -42C>T promoter mutation we detected twenty-three other positions in our

analysis that are associated with CTX resistance and have extreme high levels of homoplasy.

Most of these are synonymous mutations, with only two missense mutations (*thiM* and *gspL*)

found. It is remarkable that one missense mutation (p.Ser330Thr) is located in *gspL* that

encodes for a protein of the type II secretion system. The type II secretion system is used by

many gram-negative bacteria to translocate folded proteins from the periplasm, through the

outer membrane, into the extracellular milieu (28). The system is composed of 12–15 different

general secretory pathway (Gsp) proteins and is related to virulence of various pathogenic *E.*

coli, e.g. EHEC and UPEC (29–31). It could be that in our selection of mainly clinical samples a

certain predilection has occurred towards isolates with particular virulence traits. The *gspL*

gene has been described as being part of the accessory genome of *E. coli* (32). Our study

supports that this finding as some strains did not harbor this gene. Additionally, we found

evidence that recombination events in the type II secretion system could be the underlying

cause of the extreme homoplasy levels. Still, it is remarkable that missense mutation

p.Ser330Thr in the *gspL* gene correlates with the CTX resistance trait even though it is most

likely caused by a recombination event. To the best of our knowledge no relationship between

type II secretion system and CTX resistance has been observed before. One could hypothesize

that the mutation is a secondary adaptation needed to cope with the elevated AmpC

production, as the peptidoglycan (PG) layer is effected by AmpC hyperproduction and the type

II secretion system contains proteins that are partly localized in the periplasm (33, 34).

The use of genomic data to detect homoplasy events is not an uncommon scientific

technique (35–37). In *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, it is a well-known method to identify

advantageous mutations, as they are likely to be associated with phenotypes such as drug

resistance, heightened transmissibility, or host adaptation (12–15). A similar approach was

taken recently by Benjak *et al.* to screen for highly polymorphic genes and genomic regions of

Mycobacterium leprae (38). Homoplasy-based association analysis limits phylogenetic bias by

correcting for genetic relatedness of strains with the same phenotype, thereby increasing

statistical power to find true associations (14). Taking this into account, the use of homoplasy-

based association analysis seems viable to relate polymorphic sites to phenotypic traits in

bacteria. Still, studies on other genera than mycobacteria are scarce. To our knowledge, no

homoplasy studies have used this method on *E. coli.*

The increase of 3GC resistance imposes a clinical threat by restricting treatment options

and it is essential to understand the underlying resistance mechanisms. To be able to explore

these mechanisms we selected primarily clinical *E. coli* strains. The current study is directed on

exploring AmpC mediated CTX resistance. Therefore, we included isolates that are already

suspected for increased AmpC production based on elevated FOX resistance. Since a random

sample of *E. coli* would limit finding homoplasy-based associated promoter mutations with CTX

resistance. A downside of these selection criteria might be that we over-estimated certain

genetic variants associated with the trait, as we do not know the frequency of these variants in

the general population. Despite the fact that the spontaneous mutation rate in *E. coli* is

relatively low (39), it is still likely that this particular mutation occurs frequently in the general

population, given the vast amounts of *E. coli* in the environment (40), providing ample

opportunities for adaptation to antibiotics and arguing for antibiotics of which genomic

adaptation requires multiple mutations in order to develop resistance.

336   Findings of this study have a number of implications for future practice. This study not

only grants insights into how chromosomal-encoded antibiotic resistance evolves, but also

provides potential strategies for future homoplasy-based association studies. Furthermore, the

use of genome-wide homoplasy-based analysis could be applied to optimize outbreak analysis.

Prior studies have optimized outbreak analysis by removing recombinant regions (41, 42).

Homoplasy events disturbs the true phylogeny, hence, removing genomic positions which are

heavily affected by homoplasy could improve tree topology, thereby refining outbreak analysis,

although this strategy is still under debate (43).

345   To conclude, our method demonstrates extreme levels of homoplasy in *E. coli* that are

significantly associated with CTX resistance. Greater access to WGS data provides new

opportunities to perform large-scale genome-wide analysis. Homoplasy-based methods can

have a potential role in future studies as it is an effective strategy to relate phenotypic traits to

variable genomic positions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Isolate selection, DNA isolation, library preparation and DNA sequencing. One

hundred seventy-two *Escherichia coli* isolates previously used by our study group (16) were

selected in the present study (see Table S1 in supplemental material). To summarize the

method; DNA isolation was performed as previously described (16), library preparations were

performed using Illumina Nextera XT library preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA), and

DNA sequencing was performed using an Illumina NextSeq 500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) to

generate 2x 150bp paired-end reads or 2x 300 bp reads on an Illumina MiSeq (Illumina, San

Diego, CA, USA). *De novo* assembly was also performed identical to the method as described in

Coolen *et al.* 2019 (16) using SPAdes version 3.11.1 (44).

Phylogroup and MLST. Phylogroup stratification was performed using ClermonTyping

version 1.4.0 (45). MLST STs were derived from the contigs using mlst version 2.5 pubMLST, 31

October 2017 (46, 47).

Obtaining the *ampC* promoter/attenuator region. To detect the promoter/attenuator

region a custom blast database (48) was created using the 271 bp fragment as described by

Peter-Getzlaff *et al.*(49) using *Escherichia coli* K-12 strain ER3413 (accession: CP009789.1)

ABRicate version 0.8.9 (50) was used to locate matching regions per sample and were extracted

and converted into multi-fasta format using a custom python script. Strains were labelled AmpC

hyperproducer when promoter mutations were found, as reported by Caroff *et al.* (22) and

Tracz *et al.* (6).

Plasmid-mediated ampC detection. Detection of *pampC* genes was performed by using

ABRicate version 0.5 (50) and ResFinder database (2018-02-16) as described by Coolen *et al.*

(16).

PacBio single molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing of *E. coli* isolate. For PacBio SMRT

sequencing, genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted using the Bacterial gDNA Isolation Kit (Norgen

Biotek Corp., CAN, ON, Thorold). A single *E. coli* isolate was subjected for DNA shearing using

Covaris g-TUBEs (Covaris Inc, US, MA, Woburn) for 30 seconds on 11,000 RPM (*g*). Each DNA

sample was separated into two aliquots. Size selection was performed using a 0.75% agarose

cassette and marker S1 on the BluePippin (Sage Science Inc, US, MA, Beverly) to obtain either 4-

8 kb or 4-12 kb DNA fragments. This size selection was chosen to maintain all DNA fragments

including these originating from plasmids (data not used in this study). Library preparation was

performed using the SMRTbell Template prep kit 1.0 (Pacific Biosciences, US, CA, Menlo Park).

For cost-effectiveness, samples were barcoded and pooled with other samples that are not

relevant for this study. Sequencing was conducted using the PacBio Sequel I (Pacific

Biosciences, US, CA, Menlo Park) on a Sequel SMRT Cell 1M v2 (Pacific Biosciences, US, CA,

Menlo Park) with a movie time of 10 h (and 186 min pre-extension time). Subreads per sample

were obtained by extracting the bam files using SMRT Link version 5.1.0.26412 (Pacific

Biosciences, US, CA, Menlo Park).

Chromosomal reconstruction using *de novo* hybrid assembly. To obtain a full-length

chromosome, Unicycler version 0.4.7 (51) (settings: --mode bold) was used, combining Illumina

NextSeq 500 2x 150 bp paired-end reads with PacBio Sequel SMRT subreads. Because unicycler

requires fasta reads as input, the subreads in bam format were converted to fasta by using

bam2fasta version 1.1.1 from pbbioconda (https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/pbbioconda)

prior to *de novo* hybrid assembly. The full circular chromosome was uploaded to NCBI and

annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) version 4.10 (52,

53).

SNP analysis using *E. coli* reference ampC_0069. Alignment of Illumina reads and SNP

calling was performed for all isolates to the reference chromosome of *E. coli* isolate ampC_0069

using Snippy version 4.3.6 (https://github.com/tseemann/snippy). A full-length alignment

(fullSNP) and a coreSNP alignment containing SNP positions shared among all isolates were

generated by using snippy-core version 4.3.6 (https://github.com/tseemann/snippy).

Inferring of phylogeny. A phylogenetic tree was inferred by using the coreSNP

alignment as input for FastTree(MP) version 2.1.3 SSE3 (settings: -nt -gtr) (17).

Detection of Homoplasy. The Consistency Index for all nucleotide positions on the

chromosome was calculated using HomoplasyFinder version 0.0.0.9000 (10). The coreSNP

phylogeny was used as true phylogeny and the Consistency Index was calculated using the

multiple sequence alignments fullSNP alignment as input.

Relate mutations to CTX resistance. To assess if certain mutations were linked to CTX

resistance all non-plasmid harboring *ampC E. coli* isolates were used. CTX resistance was

defined using EUCAST guidelines standards of CTX MIC > 2 mg/L (54). CTX MIC results were

obtained from our previous study (16). For each nucleotide position on the reference

chromosome the number of Resistant and Sensitive isolates were counted and tested for

adenine vs all other nucleotides, thymine vs all other nucleotides, cytosine vs all other

nucleotides, and guanine vs all other nucleotides creating a contingency table and performing a

Fisher Exact Test in R 3.6.1 (55). To correct for multiple testing, *P* values were adjusted using

FDR (56).

Selection of genomic positions of interest. By combining previous metrics most

relevant genomic positions were selected. Criteria for selection are, FDR <= 0.05 to CTX and

Consistency Index of <= 0.05882353. Annotation of mutation positions was obtained by using

the genome annotation of reference chromosome (GenBank accession no. CP046396) and

applying snpEff (version 4.3t) (57). The EnteroBase core-genome MLST and whole-genome

MLST schemes were used to distinguish core and accessory genes (58).

Recombination analysis. Gubbins version 2.4.1. (settings: -f 30) was used to detect

recombination regions with coreSNP alignment and tree as input (20).

Visualization of data. The interactive Tree of Life web-based tool iTOL version 5.3 was

used to visualize the phylogenetic tree (59). Information about CTX resistance, presence of the

pampC gene, *campC* hyperproduction as defined, MLST and phylogroup, as well as alignments

of promoter and attenuator region were incorporated into visualization. The sequence logo of

the promoter and the attenuator alignment were generated using the web-based application

Weblogo version 3.7 (60) (http://weblogo.threeplusone.com). A chromosome ideogram of the

E. coli isolate ampC_0069 reference chromosome was visualized using CIRCOS software

package version 0.69-8 (61). Consistency Index scores and significant mutations associated with

CTX resistance were plotted in the ideogram. Gubbins results were displayed by using

phandango (62).

Overview of method. A workflow graph of the methods is visualized in Fig 1 using the

web-based   application   yEd   Live   version   4.4.2   (https://www.yworks.com/yed-live/).

Data availability. Raw Illumina sequencing data of 171 *E. coli* isolates in this study are

available from the Sequence Read Archive database under accession no. SAMN15052485 to

SAMN15052655. Full reference chromosome of ampC_0069 is available via GenBank accession

no. CP046396.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Special thanks to A. C. J. Soer (Department of Medical Microbiology and Radboudumc Center

for Infectious Diseases, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, the Netherlands), B. A. Lamberts (Department

of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Rijnstate, Arnhem, the Netherlands) and C. Verhulst

(Department of Infection Control, Amphia Ziekenhuis, Breda, The Netherlands and Laboratory

for Microbiology, Microvida, Location Breda, The Netherlands) for handling the samples on the

lab and creating the Illumina sequence libraries.

M. P. Kwint and R. Derks (Department of Human Genetics, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, the

Netherlands) for helping with SMRT sequencing on the PacBio Sequel I.

Many thanks to M. Janssens (Laboratory for Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Elisabeth-

Tweesteden Hospital, Tilburg, the Netherlands), S. Van Leest (Laboratory for Microbiology,

Microvida, location Bravis Hospital, the Netherlands), K. T. Veldman and D. J. Mevius

(department of Bacteriology and Epidemiology, Wageningen Bioveterinary Research, Lelystad,

the Netherlands, E.A. Reuland (Medical Microbiology and Infection Control, Amsterdam UMC

location VUmc, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), W. H. F. Goessens (Erasmus University Medical

Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands), R. W. Bosboom (Department of Medical Microbiology and

Immunology, Rijnstate, Arnhem, the Netherlands) and P. Vos (Check-Points, Wageningen, the

Netherlands) for providing samples of which some are included in this study.

REFERENCES

1.   Weinstein RA, Gaynes R, Edwards JR. 2005. Overview of Nosocomial Infections Caused by

Gram-Negative Bacilli. Clin Infect Dis 41:848–854.

2.   Pitout JDD. 2012. Extraintestinal pathogenic Escherichia coli: A combination of virulence

with antibiotic resistance. Front Microbiol.

3.   Jacoby GA. 2009. AmpC B-Lactamases. Clin Microbiol Rev 22:161–182.

4.   Martinez- L, Simonsen GS. 2017. EUCAST_detection_of_resistance_mechanisms_170711

1–43.

5.   Tracz DM, Boyd DA, Bryden L, Hizon R, Giercke S, Caeseele P V., Mulvey MR. 2005.

Increase in ampC promoter strength due to mutations and deletion of the attenuator in a

clinical isolate of cefoxitin-resistant Escherichia coli as determined by RT-PCR. J

Antimicrob Chemother 55:768–772.

6.   Tracz DM, Boyd DA, Hizon R, Bryce E, McGeer A, Ofner-Agostini M, Simor AE, Paton S,

Mulvey MR, Conly J, Dow G, Embil J, Embree J, Frenette C, Gardam M, Gourdeau M, John

M, Henderson BA, Hutchinson J, Ishak M, Khan A, Kibsey P, Johnston L, Langley J, Loeb M,

Matlow A, Michaud S, Miller M, Moore D, Roth V, Suh K, Taylor G, Thomas E, Tremblay C,

Vearncombe M, Weiss K, Wong A, Zoutman D. 2007. ampC gene expression in promoter

mutants of cefoxitin-resistant Escherichia coli clinical isolates. FEMS Microbiol Lett

270:265–271.

7.   De Smet AMGA, Kluytmans JAJW, Cooper BS, Mascini EM, Benus RFJ, Van Der Werf TS,

Van Der Hoeven JG, Pickkers P, Bogaers-Hofman D, Van Der Meer NJM, Bernards AT,

Kuijper EJ, Joore JCA, Leverstein-van Hall MA, Bindels AJGH, Jansz AR, Wesselink RMJ, De

Jongh BM, Dennesen PJW, Van Asselt GJ, Velde LFT, Frenay IHME, Kaasjager K, Bosch FH,

Van Iterson M, Thijsen SFT, Kluge GH, Pauw W, De Vries JW, Kaan JA, Arends JP, Aarts

LPHJ, Sturm PDJ, Harinck HIJ, Voss A, Uijtendaal E V., Pharm D, Blok HEM, Groen EST,

Pouw ME, Kalkman CJ, Bonten MJM. 2009. Decontamination of the digestive tract and

oropharynx in ICU patients. N Engl J Med 360:20–31.

8.   Aardema H, Bult W, Van Hateren K, Dieperink W, Touw DJ, Alffenaar JWC, Zijlstra JG.

2020. Continuous versus intermittent infusion of cefotaxime in critically ill patients: A

randomized controlled trial comparing plasma concentrations. J Antimicrob Chemother

75:441–448.

9.   Wake DB. 1991. Homoplasy: the result of natural selection, or evidence of design

limitations? Am Nat 138:543–567.

10.   Crispell J, Balaz D, Gordon SV. 2019. Homoplasyfinder: A simple tool to identify

homoplasies on a phylogeny. Microb Genomics 5.

11.   Kluge AG, Farris JS. 1969. Quantitative phyletics and the evolution of anurans. Syst Biol

18:1–32.

12.   Farhat MR, Shapiro BJ, Kieser KJ, Sultana R, Jacobson KR, Victor TC, Warren RM, Streicher

EM, Calver A, Sloutsky A, Kaur D, Posey JE, Plikaytis B, Oggioni MR, Gardy JL, Johnston JC,

Rodrigues M, Tang PKC, Kato-Maeda M, Borowsky ML, Muddukrishna B, Kreiswirth BN,

Kurepina N, Galagan J, Gagneux S, Birren B, Rubin EJ, Lander ES, Sabeti PC, Murray M.

2013. Genomic analysis identifies targets of convergent positive selection in drug-

resistant Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nat Genet 45:1183–1189.

13.   Mortimer TD, Weber AM, Pepperell CS. 2018. Signatures of Selection at Drug Resistance

Loci in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. mSystems 3:1–9.

14.   Ruesen C, Chaidir L, van Laarhoven A, Dian S, Ganiem AR, Nebenzahl-Guimaraes H,

Huynen MA, Alisjahbana B, Dutilh BE, van Crevel R. 2018. Large-scale genomic analysis

shows association between homoplastic genetic variation in Mycobacterium tuberculosis

genes and meningeal or pulmonary tuberculosis. BMC Genomics 19.

15.   Miotto P, Cabibbe AM, Feuerriegel S, Casali N, Drobniewski F, Rodionova Y, Bakonyte D,

Stakenas P, Pimkina E, Augustynowicz-Kopeć E, Degano M, Ambrosi A, Hoffner S, Mansjö

M, Werngren J, Rüsch-Gerdes S, Niemann S, Cirillo DM. 2014. Mycobacterium

tuberculosis pyrazinamide resistance determinants: A multicenter study. MBio 5:1–10.

16.   Coolen JPM, Den Drijver EPM, Kluytmans JAJW, Verweij JJ, Lamberts BA, Soer JACJ,

Verhulst C, Wertheim HFL, Kolwijck E. 2019. Development of an algorithm to discriminate

between plasmid- and chromosomal-mediated AmpC β-lactamase production in

Escherichia coli by elaborate phenotypic and genotypic characterization. J Antimicrob

Chemother 74:3481–3488.

17.   Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. 2010. FastTree 2 - Approximately maximum-likelihood

trees for large alignments. PLoS One 5:e9490.

18.   Crispell J, Benton CH, Balaz D, De Maio N, Ahkmetova A, Allen A, Biek R, Presho EL, Dale J,

Hewinson G, Lycett SJ, Nunez-Garcia J, Skuce RA, Trewby H, Wilson DJ, Zadoks RN,

Delahay RJ, Kao RR. 2019. Combining genomics and epidemiology to analyse bi-

directional transmission of mycobacterium bovis in a multi-host system. Elife 8:1–36.

19.   Van Dorp L, Gelabert P, Rieux A, De Manuel M, De-Dios T, Gopalakrishnan S, Carøe C,

Sandoval-Velasco M, Fregel R, Olalde I, Escosa R, Aranda C, Huijben S, Mueller I,

Marquès-Bonet T, Balloux F, Gilbert MTP, Lalueza-Fox C, Shapiro B. 2020. Plasmodium

vivax Malaria Viewed through the Lens of an Eradicated European Strain. Mol Biol Evol

37:773–785.

20.   Croucher NJ, Page AJ, Connor TR, Delaney AJ, Keane JA, Bentley SD, Parkhill J, Harris SR.

2015. Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole

genome sequences using Gubbins. Nucleic Acids Res 43:e15.

21.   Nelson EC, Gay Elisha B. 1999. Molecular basis of ampC hyperproduction in clinical

isolates of Escherichia coliAntimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy.

22.   Caroff N, Espaze E, Gautreau D, Richet H, Reynaud A. 2000. Analysis of the effects of -42

and -32 ampC promoter mutations in clinical isolates of Escherichia coli hyperproducing

AmpC. J Antimicrob Chemother 45:783–788.

23.   Mulvey MR, Bryce E, Boyd DA, Ofner-Agostini M, Land AM, Simor AE, Paton S. 2005.

Molecular characterization of cefoxitin-resistant Escherichia coli from Canadian hospitals.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother 49:358–365.

24.   Martínez-Martínez L. 2008. Extended-spectrum β-lactamases and the permeability

barrier. Clin Microbiol Infect 14:82–89.

25.   Nordmann P, Mammeri H. 2007. Extended-spectrum cephalosporinases: Structure,

detection and epidemiology. Future Microbiol 2:297–307.

26.   Yona AH, Alm EJ, Gore J. 2018. Random sequences rapidly evolve into de novo

promoters. Nat Commun 9:1530.

27.   Liu S, Libchaber A. 2006. Some aspects of E. coli promoter evolution observed in a

molecular evolution experiment. J Mol Evol 62:536–550.

28.   Korotkov K V., Sandkvist M, Hol WGJ. 2012. The type II secretion system: Biogenesis,

552   molecular architecture and mechanism. Nat Rev Microbiol.

553   29.   Ho TD, Davis BM, Ritchie JM, Waldor MK. 2008. Type 2 secretion promotes

554   enterohemorrhagic Escherichia coli adherence and intestinal colonization. Infect Immun

555   76:1858–1865.

556   30.   Baldi DL, Higginson EE, Hocking DM, Praszkier J, Cavaliere R, James CE, Bennett-Wood V,

557   Azzopardi KI, Turnbull L, Lithgow T, Robins-Browne RM, Whitchurch CB, Tauschek M.

558   2012. The type II secretion system and its ubiquitous lipoprotein substrate, SslE, are

559   required for biofilm formation and virulence of enteropathogenic Escherichia coli. Infect

560   Immun 80:2042–2052.

561   31.   Kulkarni R, Dhakal BK, Slechta ES, Kurtz Z, Mulvey MA, Thanassi DG. 2009. Roles of

562   putative type II secretion and type IV pilus systems in the virulence of uropathogenic

563   Escherichia coli. PLoS One 4.

564   32.   Dunne KA, Chaudhuri RR, Rossiter AE, Beriotto I, Browning DF, Squire D, Cunningham AF,

565   Cole JA, Loman N, Henderson IR. 2017. Sequencing a piece of history: Complete genome

566   sequence of the original Escherichia coli strain. Microb Genomics 3.

567   33.   Vanderlinde EM, Strozen TG, Hernández SB, Cava F, Howard SP. 2017. Alterations in

568   peptidoglycan cross-linking suppress the secretin assembly defect caused by mutation of

569   GspA in the type II secretion system. J Bacteriol 199.

570   34.   Juan C, Torrens G, Barceló IM, Oliver A. 2018. Interplay between Peptidoglycan Biology

571   and Virulence in Gram-Negative Pathogens. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 82.

35.   Read TD, Massey RC. 2014. Characterizing the genetic basis of bacterial phenotypes using

genome-wide association studies: A new direction for bacteriology. Genome Med.

BioMed Central Ltd.

36. Chen PE, Shapiro BJ. 2015. The advent of genome-wide association studies for bacteria.

Curr Opin Microbiol. Elsevier Ltd.

37. Shapiro BJ, David LA, Friedman J, Alm EJ. 2009. Looking for Darwin's footprints in the

microbial world. Trends Microbiol 17:196–204.

38. Benjak A, Avanzi C, Singh P, Loiseau C, Girma S, Busso P, Fontes ANB, Miyamoto Y,

Namisato M, Bobosha K, Salgado CG, Da Silva MB, Bouth RC, Frade MAC, Filho FB,

Barreto JG, Nery JAC, Bührer-Sékula S, Lupien A, Al-Samie AR, Al-Qubati Y, Alkubati AS,

Bretzel G, Vera-Cabrera L, Sakho F, Johnson CR, Kodio M, Fomba A, Sow SO, Gado M,

Konaté O, Stefani MMA, Penna GO, Suffys PN, Sarno EN, Moraes MO, Rosa PS, Baptista

IMFDi, Spencer JS, Aseffa A, Matsuoka M, Kai M, Cole ST. 2018. Phylogenomics and

antimicrobial resistance of the leprosy bacillus Mycobacterium leprae. Nat Commun 9.

39. Lee H, Popodi E, Tang H, Foster PL. 2012. Rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous

mutations in the bacterium Escherichia coli as determined by whole-genome sequencing.

Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 109.

40. Tenaillon O, Skurnik D, Picard B, Denamur E. 2010. The population genetics of

commensal Escherichia coli. Nat Rev Microbiol 8:207–217.

41. Escobar-Páramo P, Sabbagh A, Darlu P, Pradillon O, Vaury C, Denamur E, Lecointre G.

2004. Decreasing the effects of horizontal gene transfer on bacterial phylogeny: The

Escherichia coli case study. Mol Phylogenet Evol 30:243–250.

42. Price LB, Johnson JR, Aziz M, Clabots C, Johnston B, Tchesnokova V, Nordstrom L, Billig M,

Chattopadhyay S, Stegger M, Andersen PS, Pearson T, Riddell K, Rogers P, Scholes D, Kahl

B, Keim P, Sokurenko E V. 2013. The epidemic of extended-spectrum-β-lactamase-

producing Escherichia coli ST131 is driven by a single highly pathogenic subclone, H30-Rx.

MBio 4:1–10.

43.   Hedge J, Wilson DJ. 2014. Bacterial phylogenetic reconstruction from whole genomes is

robust to recombination but demographic inference is not. MBio 5.

44.   Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko

SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin A V., Sirotkin A V., Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA,

Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to

single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477.

45.   Beghain J, Bridier-Nahmias A, Nagard H Le, Denamur E, Clermont O. 2018.

ClermonTyping: An easy-to-use and accurate in silico method for Escherichia genus strain

phylotyping. Microb Genomics 4:1–8.

46.   Seemann T. mlst. Github https://github.com/tseemann/mlst.

47.   Jolley KA, Maiden MCJ. 2010. BIGSdb: Scalable analysis of bacterial genome variation at

the population level. BMC Bioinformatics 11.

48.   Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search

tool. J Mol Biol 215:403–410.

49.   Peter-Getzlaff S, Polsfuss S, Poledica M, Hombach M, Giger J, Böttger EC, Zbinden R,

Bloemberg G V. 2011. Detection of AmpC beta-lactamase in Escherichia coli: Comparison

of three phenotypic confirmation assays and genetic analysis. J Clin Microbiol 49:2924–

2932.

50.   Seemann T. Abricate. Github.

51.   Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome

assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Comput Biol 13:e1005595.

52.   Tatusova T, Dicuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze

A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline.

Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624.

53.   Haft DH, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Brover V, Chetvernin V, O'Neill K, Li W, Chitsaz F,

Derbyshire MK, Gonzales NR, Gwadz M, Lu F, Marchler GH, Song JS, Thanki N, Yamashita

RA, Zheng C, Thibaud-Nissen F, Geer LY, Marchler-Bauer A, Pruitt KD. 2018. RefSeq: An

update on prokaryotic genome annotation and curation. Nucleic Acids Res 46:D851–

D860.

54.   European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST). 2020. The

European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Breakpoint tables for

interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 10.0, 2020.

55.   Mehta CR, Patel NR. 1983. A network algorithm for performing fisher's exact test in r × c

contingency tables. J Am Stat Assoc 78:427–434.

56.   Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. <Benjamini&Hochberg1995_FDR.pdf>. J R Stat Soc Ser B

57:289–300.

57.   Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang LL, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, Land SJ, Lu X, Ruden DM.

2012. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide

polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118;

iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin) 6:80–92.

58. Zhou Z, Alikhan NF, Mohamed K, Fan Y, Achtman M. 2020. The EnteroBase user's guide,

with case studies on Salmonella transmissions, Yersinia pestis phylogeny, and Escherichia

core genomic diversity. Genome Res 30:138–152.

59. Letunic I, Bork P. 2019. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v4: Recent updates and new

developments. Nucleic Acids Res 47:W256–W259.

60. Crooks GE, Hon G, Chandonia JM, Brenner SE. 2004. WebLogo: A sequence logo

generator. Genome Res 14:1188–1190.

61. Connors J, Krzywinski M, Schein J, Gascoyne R, Horsman D, Jones SJ, Marra MA. 2009.

Circos : An information aesthetic for comparative genomics. Genome Res 19:1639–1645.

62. Hadfield J, Croucher NJ, Goater RJ, Abudahab K, Aanensen DM, Harris SR. 2018.

Phandango: An interactive viewer for bacterial population genomics. Bioinformatics

34:292–293.

CAPTIONS

FIG 1 Schematic of workflow used to perform the homoplasy-based association analysis.

Starting from the top A) the *de novo* assembly of the NextSeq/MiSeq reads and B) the hybrid

assembly of the reference chromosome ampC_069. On the left side C) the alignment of

promoter/attenuator region. In the middle D) the coreSNP analysis for the phylogeny used in E)

the homoplasy analysis combined with F) the fullSNP data on the right, which was also used for

G) the statistics (Fisher Exact & FDR) to relate cefotaxime (CTX) resistance to SNP positions. H)

Inferring recombination events using Gubbins.

FIG 2　Approximately maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of all 172 *E. coli* isolates based on

the coreSNP alignment with the resistance for cefotaxime (CTX), *pampC* gene presence, MLSTs,

phylogroups, and the alignments of the promoter and the attenuator region. Positions with a

CAT likelihood score ≤60% are indicated as red dots.

FIG 3　Sequence logo with probability score for the promoter and the attenuator region. The

Consistency Index and the minimum number of changes on the tree per position are

represented below the sequence logos.

FIG 4　Circos plot for the full chromosome of ampC_0069 (accession no. CP046396) with per

position the various metrics used.　A) The blue colored ring represents the Consistency Index

results per genomic position. The two red dots indicate the -42 and -32 position on the

promoter. The black circle line indicates the 0.07142857 Consistency Index value. B) The ring

with a red background shows all positions that were significantly associated to cefotaxime (CTX)

resistance in all non-*pampC* harboring *E. coli* isolates. Larger bars pointing outwards indicate

multiple significant associated positions in a small genomic region. C) The ring with the green

background shows all 24 positions that have a low Consistency Index of ≤ 0.05882353 and are

significantly associated with CTX resistance in all non-*pampC* harboring *E. coli* isolates.

TABLE 1　　Table of the distribution of AmpC promoter and attenuator variants as well as

the amount of different MLST and phylogroups per grouped genotype (*pampC*, hyperproducers

and low-level AmpC producers).

TABLE 2   The *n*=24 positions with a significant association with cefotaxime resistance

(FDR ≤0.05) and with a consistency index ≤ 0.05882353.

FIG S1 Violin plots of the log10 Consistency Indexes of the promoter and attenuator.

FIG S2 Distribution of the log10 Consistency Indexes of all genomic position based on the *E. coli*

ampC_0069 reference chromosome, compared to the log10 Consistency Indexes of the

promoter and attenuator region.

FIG S3 Recombination events inferred from all 172 *E. coli* isolates by Gubbins displayed along

the approximately maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree based on the coreSNP alignment.

Phylogroups are depicted as in FIG 2. Gubbins blocks are colored red if they are ancestral, and

blue if they only affect one isolate. The line graph represents the recombination prevalence

along the sequence. The 24 positions with a significant association with cefotaxime resistance

(FDR ≤0.05) and a consistency index ≤ 0.05882353 are indicated on the top of the figure. The

two missense mutations and *ampC* promoter region are displayed in blue.

Table S1   Classification of n=172 *E. coli* isolates in the three genotypes (*pampC*,

hyperproducer, low-level AmpC producers), with the results of the MLST and phylogroups

stratification and the different mutations in the promoter and attenuator per isolate. Isolates

with a CTX MIC >2 mg/L without a confirmed *pampC* gene are depicted in **bold**.

Table S2   SNP analysis for n=172 *E. coli* isolates according to snippy statistics.

FIG 1 Schematic of workflow used to perform the homoplasy-based association analysis. Starting from the top A) the *de novo* assembly of the NextSeq/MiSeq reads and B) the hybrid assembly of the reference chromosome ampC_069. On the left side C) the alignment of promoter/attenuator region. In the middle D) the coreSNP analysis for the phylogeny used in E) the homoplasy analysis combined with F) the fullSNP data on the right, which was also used for G) the statistics (Fisher Exact & FDR) to relate cefotaxime (CTX) resistance to SNP positions. H) Inferring recombination events using Gubbins.

FIG 2 Approximately maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of all 172 *E. coli* isolates based on the coreSNP alignment with the resistance for cefotaxime (CTX), *pampC* gene presence, MLSTs, phylogroups, and the alignments of the promoter and the attenuator region. Positions with a CAT likelihood score ≤60% are indicated as red dots.

FIG 3 Sequencelogo with probability score for the promoter and the attenuator region. The Consistency Index and the minimum number of changes on the tree per position are represented below the sequence logos.

FIG 4 Circos plot for the full chromosome of ampC_0069 (accession no. CP046396) with per position the various metrics used. A) The blue colored ring represents the Consistency Index results per genomic position. The two red dots indicate the -42 and -32 position on the promoter. The black circle line indicates the 0.07142857 Consistency Index value. B) The ring with a red background shows all positions that were significantly associated to cefotaxime (CTX) resistance in all non-*pampC* harboring *E. coli* isolates. Larger bars pointing outwards indicate multiple significant associated positions in a small genomic region. C) The ring with the green background shows all 24 positions that have a low Consistency Index of ≤ 0.05882353 and are significantly associated with CTX resistance in all non-*pampC* harboring *E. coli* isolates.

Legend

C) Final 24 positions

B) FDR CTX resistance positions

A) Consistency Index

0.07142857

TABLE 1

Table of the distribution of AmpC promoter and attenuator variants as well as the amount of different MLST and phylogroups per grouped genotype (*pampC*, hyperproducers and low-level AmpC producers)

	Isolates	Promoter variants	Attenuator variants	MLST	Phylogroups
pampC	*n*=90	*n*=3	*n*=6	44 STs & 4 unknown	A (11.1%), B1 (13.3%), B2 (27.8%), C (2.2%), D (31.1%), E (3.3%), F (11.1%)
Hyperproducers	*n*=59	*n*=12	*n*=14	30 STs & 5 unknown	A (1.7%), B1 (30.5%), B2 (50.9%), C (8.5%), D (5.1%), F (1.7%), clade IV (1.7%)
Low-level AmpC producers	*n*=23	*n*=10	*n*=5	14 STs & 4 unknown	A (4.4%), B1 (13.0%), B2 (39.1%), C (8.7%), D (30.4%), E (4.4%)
Total	*n*=172	*n*=22	*n*=18	75 STs and 13 unknown	A (7.0%), B1 (19.2%), B2 (37.2%), C (5.2%), D (22.1%), E (2.3%), F (6.4%), clade IV (0.6%)

TABLE 2 The *n*=24 positions with a significant association with cefotaxime resistance (FDR ≤0.05) and with a consistency index ≤ 0.05882353.

Genomic position	Consistency Index	A FDR	C FDR	G FDR	T FDR	Counts ACGT	# strains	Min No Changes on Tree	Gene name	Enterobase core / accessory gene	Variant	HGVS annotation	Genomic start position	Genomic stop position	Product	Locus_tag
810581	0.05555556	0.06837015	1.00000000	0.03945241	1.00000000	41:0:122:0	163	18	*glcE*	accessory	synonymous		810312	811364	Glycolate oxidase subunit glcE	GNX12_03825
810791	0.05882353	1.00000000	1.00000000	0.04099492	0.03471297	0:0:54:109	163	17	*glcE*	accessory	synonymous		810312	811364	Glycolate oxidase subunit glcE	GNX12_03825
815680	0.05555556	1.00000000	0.14069566	1.00000000	0.04226791	0:69:0:93	162	18	*glcA*	not available	synonymous		815555	817237	Glycolate permease glcA	GNX12_03845
824522	0.05555556	1.00000000	0.03648231	1.00000000	0.14069566	0:82:0:73	155	18	*gspC*	accessory	synonymous		823719	824678	Type II secretion system protein gspC	GNX12_03865
828695	0.05555556	0.04226791	1.00000000	0.03394030	1.00000000	79:0:74:0	153	18	*gspF*	accessory	synonymous		828261	829484	Type II secretion system protein gspF	GNX12_03880
830684	0.05555556	1.00000000	0.03394030	1.00000000	0.03648231	0:80:0:73	153	18	*gspI*	accessory	synonymous		830520	830891	Type II secretion system protein gspI	GNX12_03895
830708	0.05263158	0.04099492	1.00000000	0.03648231	1.00000000	68:0:85:0	153	19	*gspI*	accessory	synonymous		830520	830891	Type II secretion system protein gspI	GNX12_03895
830732	0.05882353	1.00000000	0.03648231	1.00000000	0.04099492	0:82:0:71	153	17	*gspI*	accessory	synonymous		830520	830891	Type II secretion system protein gspI	GNX12_03895
831564	0.05882353	0.03648231	1.00000000	0.08198761	1.00000000	71:0:83:0	154	17	*gspK*	accessory	synonymous		831490	832467	General secretion pathway protein gspK	GNX12_03905
832152	0.05555556	1.00000000	0.03394030	1.00000000	0.05525251	0:86:0:72	158	18	*gspK*	accessory	synonymous		831490	832467	General secretion pathway protein gspK	GNX12_03905
832287	0.05882353	0.05525251	1.00000000	1.00000000	0.03394030	81:0:0:79	160	17	*gspK*	accessory	synonymous		831490	832467	General secretion pathway protein gspK	GNX12_03905
833451	0.05000000	0.04099492	1.00000000	1.00000000	0.03394030	64:0:0:98	162	20	*gspL*	accessory	missense	p.Ser330Thr	832464	833642	Type II secretion system protein gspL	GNX12_03910
843887	0.05263158	0.03648231	1.00000000	1.00000000	1.00000000	64:0:40:0	104	19	unnamed	accessory	synonymous		842822	844849	Capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis protein	GNX12_03950
1863004	0.05555556	0.03648231	1.00000000	0.03648231	1.00000000	126:0:46:0	172	18	*thiM*	core	missense	p.Thr122Ala	1862641	1863429	Hydroxyethylthiazole kinase	GNX12_08695
1911551	0.05882353	1.00000000	0.03648231	1.00000000	0.45554848	0:116:0:44	160	17	unnamed	accessory	synonymous		1910691	1911830	Polysaccharide export protein Wza	GNX12_08905
1946016	0.04347826	0.67473250	1.00000000	0.04099492	1.00000000	58:0:91:0	149	23	*ugd*	accessory	synonymous		1944883	1946049	UDP-glucose 6-dehydrogenase	GNX12_09060
1946067	0.04545455	1.00000000	1.00000000	1.00000000	0.04962540	0:0:65:84	149	22	non-coding region	not available	upstream		1946049	1946196	None	GNX12_09065
1946072	0.04545455	0.04962540	1.00000000	1.00000000	1.00000000	84:0:0:65	149	22	non-coding region	not available	upstream		1946049	1946196	None	GNX12_09065
1952745	0.03571429	0.05907658	1.00000000	0.03732584	1.00000000	131:0:40:0	171	28	*hisD*	accessory	synonymous		1952169	1953473	Histidinol dehydrogenase	GNX12_09100
2051214	0.05263158	0.04099492	1.00000000	1.00000000	0.09170046	137:0:0:34	171	19	unnamed	accessory	synonymous		2050846	2052204	putative sensor-like heavy metal sensor histidine kinase	GNX12_09550
2051220	0.05263158	0.04099492	1.00000000	1.00000000	0.09170046	137:0:0:34	171	19	unnamed	accessory	synonymous		2050846	2052204	heavy metal sensor histidine kinase	GNX12_09550
2057518	0.05263158	0.04099492	1.00000000	0.03648231	1.00000000	111:0:60:0	171	19	*dcm*	core	synonymous		2056604	2058022	DNA-cytosine methyltransferase	GNX12_09575
2068593	0.05882353	0.03732584	1.00000000	0.11254604	1.00000000	45:0:123:0	168	17	*fliM*	accessory	synonymous		2067810	2068814	Flagellar motor switch protein fliM	GNX12_09650
4470140	0.05882353	1.00000000	0.03394030	1.00000000	0.03394030	0:147:0:24	171	17	*ampC* promoter	core	upstream		4470140	4470174	None	GNX12_21360