Diploid chromosome-scale assembly of the *Muscadinia rotundifolia* genome supports chromosome fusion and disease resistance gene expansion during *Vitis* and *Muscadinia* divergence

Noé Cochetel[*][†], Andrea Minio[*][†], Mélanie Massonnet[*], Amanda M. Vondras[*], Rosa Figueroa-Balderas[*], and Dario Cantu[*][‡]

[†] Equal contributors
[*] Department of Viticulture and Enology, University of California Davis, Davis, California, USA
[‡] Corresponding author

Running title (35 char. max): The genome of *Muscadinia rotundifolia*

Keywords: Muscadine grapes, Vitaceae evolution, Optical maps, Hybrid genome assembly, Full-length cDNA sequencing, Disease resistance, Powdery mildew

Corresponding author: Dario Cantu, University of California, Davis, 95616 CA. Tel.: (530) 752-2929. Email: dacantu@ucdavis.edu

ABSTRACT (250 words max)

Muscadinia rotundifolia, the muscadine grape, has been cultivated for centuries in the southeastern United States. *M. rotundifolia* is resistant to many of the pathogens that detrimentally affect *Vitis vinifera,* the grape species commonly used for winemaking. For this reason, *M. rotundifolia* is a valuable genetic resource for breeding. Single-molecule real-time reads were combined with optical maps to reconstruct the two haplotypes of each of the 20 *M. rotundifolia* cv. Trayshed chromosomes. The completeness and accuracy of the assembly were confirmed using a high-density linkage map of *M. rotundifolia*. Protein-coding genes were annotated using an integrated and comprehensive approach. This included using Full-length cDNA sequencing (Iso-Seq) to improve gene structure and hypothetical spliced variant predictions. Our data strongly support that *Muscadinia* chromosomes 7 and 20 are fused in *Vitis* and pinpoint the location of the fusion in Cabernet Sauvignon and PN40024 chromosome 7. Disease-related gene numbers in Trayshed and Cabernet Sauvignon were similar, but their clustering locations were different. A dramatic expansion of the Toll/Interleukin-1 Receptor-like Nucleotide-Binding Site Leucine-Rich Repeat (TIR-NBS-LRR) class was detected on Trayshed chromosome 12 at the *Resistance to Uncinula necator 1* (*RUN1*)/ *Resistance to Plasmopara viticola 1* (*RPV1*) locus, which confers strong dominant resistance to powdery and downy mildews. A genome browser for Trayshed, its annotation, and an associated Blast tool are available at www.grapegenomics.com.

INTRODUCTION

Viticulture and winemaking typically make use of *Vitis vinifera* fruit. Though *V. vinifera* wines have desirable organoleptic properties, *V. vinifera* is extremely sensitive to diverse pathogens. In contrast, *Muscadinia* is a genus closely related to *Vitis* (Small 1913; Bouquet 1978; Olmo 1986; Olien 1990) that is resistant to diverse biotic and abiotic stresses. *Muscadinia rotundifolia* is the foundation of the muscadine grape industry in the United States of America (USA), where its fruit, juice, and wine are produced (Olien 1990). *M. rotundifolia* is native to the warm and humid southeastern USA. Its natural habitat includes the states bordering the Gulf of Mexico and extends from northern Arkansas to Delaware (Bouquet 1978; Olmo 1986; Olien 1990; Heinitz *et al.* 2019).

Vitis and *Muscadinia* belong to Vitaceae, a family that contains 16 other genera and approximately 950 species (Wen *et al.* 2018). These genera are estimated to have diverged between 18 and 47 million years ago (Ma) (Wan *et al.* 2013; Liu *et al.* 2016; Ma *et al.* 2018), a process that involved several chromosome rearrangements (Karkamkar *et al.* 2010; Wen *et al.* 2018). *Vitis* has 19 chromosomes (2n = 38) (Olmo 1937), but like other genera in Vitaceae, including *Ampelopsis*, *Parthenocissus,* and *Ampelocissus, Muscadinia* has 20 chromosomes (2n = 40) (Branas 1932; Patil and Patil 1992; Karkamkar *et al.* 2010; Chu *et al.* 2018). Though successful crosses yielding fertile hybrids have been obtained, early attempts at producing hybrids of *Vitis* and *Muscadinia* often resulted in sterile progeny; this and the graft incompatibility observed between the two genera (Patel and Olmo 1955) is thought to be caused by their difference in chromosome number (Ravaz 1902; Dearing 1917; Patel and Olmo 1955; Olmo 1971; Bouquet 1980; Walker *et al.* 1991). SSR markers and genetic maps revealed that linkage groups LG7 and LG20 in *Muscadinia* correspond to the proximal and distal regions of chromosome 7 in *Vitis* (Blanc *et al.* 2012; Delame *et al.* 2019; Lewter *et al.* 2019). Chromosome 7 in *Vitis* may be derived from the fusion of its ancestor's chromosomes 7 and 20. The presence of residual telomeric repeats in chromosome 7 of *Vitis* (Lewter *et al.* 2019) could provide additional support for this hypothesis, insight into the evolutionary history of Vitaceae, and understanding of the structure and function of the *Muscadinia* genome.

M. rotundifolia is a desirable partner with which to hybridize because it is resistant to many stresses, pests, and diseases that adversely affect *V. vinifera* and cause substantial crop loss (Olmo 1971). *M. rotundifolia* is resistant to Pierce's disease (*Xylella fastidiosa*) (Ruel and Walker 2006), phylloxera (*Daktulosphaira vitifolia*) (Ravaz 1902; Davidis and Olmo 1964; Firoozabady and Olmo 1982), downy mildew (*Plasmopara viticola*) (Olmo 1971; Staudt and Kassemeyer 1995), powdery mildew (*Erysiphe necator* syn. *Uncinula necator*) (Olmo 1986; Merdinoglu *et al.* 2018), and other diseases and pests (Ravaz 1902; Olmo 1971; Walker *et al.* 2014). Several loci associated with resistance to pathogens affecting *V. vinifera* were identified in *M. rotundifolia,* including *Resistance to Uncinula necator 1* (*RUN1*) (Pauquet *et al.* 2001), *RUN2* (Riaz *et al.* 2011), *Resistance to Erysiphe Necator 5* (*REN5*) (Blanc *et al.* 2012), *Resistance to Plasmopara viticola 1* (*RPV1*) (Merdinoglu *et al.* 2003), and *RPV2* (Merdinoglu *et al.* 2018). However, the lack of a *M. rotundifolia* reference sequence and gene annotation limits gene discovery and the characterization of resistance loci.

A draft assembly of the *M. rotundifolia* cv. Trayshed (Trayshed, hereafter) genome using Single-Molecule Real-Time (SMRT) sequencing was instrumental in resolving the genetic basis of sex determination in grapes (Massonnet *et al.*, 2020). Here, we report the phased, chromosome-scale

assembly of Trayshed, which was produced improving the previous SMRT assembly with the
introduction of the optical maps in a hybrid scaffolding approach and consensus genetic map from
multiple wild and cultivated grape species. Full-length cDNA isoforms (Iso-Seq) were also
sequenced and used as transcriptional evidence for the annotation of protein-coding genes. This
assembly and its annotation were used to identify where *M. rotundifolia* chromosomes 7 and 20
fused to create *V. vinifera* chromosome 7 but also to identify genes at the *RUN1/RPV1* locus.
93
MATERIALS AND METHODS
Trayshed chromosome construction
A Saphyr Genome Imaging Instrument was used to generate a Bionano Next-Generation Mapping
(NGM) of Trayshed. Ultra-high molecular weight DNA (> 500 kbp) was extracted from young
leaves by Amplicon Express (Pullman, WA). DNA was then labeled with a DLE-1 non-nicking
enzyme (CTTAAG) and stained according to the Bionano Prep™ Direct Label and Stain (DLS)
Kit (Bionano Genomics, San Diego, CA) instructions. Labeled DNA was loaded onto the
SaphyrChip nanochannel array for imaging on the Saphyr system (Bionano Genomics, San Diego,
CA). Imaged molecules longer than 150 kbp were kept. These molecules were then assembled
using Bionano Solve v.3.3 (Lam *et al.* 2012) with the following parameters "haplotype", "noES",
and "noCut", significance cutoffs were adopted $P < 1e-10$ to generate draft consensus contigs, P
$< 1e-11$ for draft consensus contig extension, $P < 1e-15$ for the final merging of the draft consensus
contigs. The complete configuration file used for the assembly is available as **Supplemental File**
S1. This assembly procedure produced a 1.18 Gbp consensus genome map with an N50 of 5.6
Mbp.
109
PacBio contigs generated with FALCON-Unzip as described in Massonnet *et al.* (2020) were
scaffolded with the genome maps using HybridScaffold v.04122018 (Lam *et al.* 2012) with options
"-B2 -N1" for conflict resolution. The complete parameter configuration is available as
Supplemental File S2. The ploidy state of the 2,000 rhAmpseq markers conserved across wild
and domesticated grapes (Zou *et al*. 2020) was evaluated using DISPR
(https://github.com/douglasgscofield/dispr) to keep the ones found in a maximum of two copies
across different sequences. Hybrid scaffolds were then pre-processed to prevent marker
duplication and wrong primary contigs/haplotigs co-placement. Two sets of chromosome-scale
pseudomolecules were reconstructed using the pre-processed hybrid scaffolds and HaploSync v.1
tool suite (https://github.com/andreaminio/HaploSync). The hybrid scaffolds were sorted using the
curated rhAmpseq markers (Zou *et al*. 2020). Sequences were treated independently from
FALCON Unzip's classification as primary contigs or haplotigs but, the relationship information
between the primary contigs and the corresponding alternate haplotigs was conserved during the
process. Then, the two haplotypes of each chromosome were compared to identify and correct
assembly errors and fill gaps.
125
cDNA library preparation and sequencing
Total RNA from Trayshed leaves were isolated using a Cetyltrimethyl Ammonium Bromide
(CTAB)-based extraction protocol as described in Blanco-Ulate *et al.* (2013). RNA purity was
evaluated with a Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Hanover Park, IL), RNA
quantity with a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer and a broad range RNA kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad,

CA), and RNA integrity by electrophoresis and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent
Technologies, CA). Total RNA (300 ng, RNA Integrity Number > 8.0) were used for cDNA
synthesis and library construction. A cDNA SMRTbell library was prepared. First-strand synthesis
and cDNA amplification were accomplished using the NEB Next Single Cell/Low Input cDNA
Synthesis & Amplification Module (New England, Ipswich, MA, US). The cDNAs were
subsequently purified with ProNex magnetic beads (Promega, WI) following the instructions in
the Iso-Seq Express Template Preparation for Sequel and Sequel II Systems protocol (Pacific
Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA). ProNex magnetic beads (86 µL) were used to select amplified
cDNA >= 2 kbp. At least 80 ng of the size-selected, amplified cDNA were used to prepare the
cDNA SMRTbell library. This was followed by DNA damage repair and SMRTbell ligation using
the SMRTbell Express Template Prep Kit 2.0 (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA) following
the manufacturer's protocol. One SMRT cell was sequenced on the PacBio Sequel I platform (DNA
Technology Core Facility, University of California, Davis). IsoSeq reads were extracted using
IsoSeq v3 protocol with default parameters and low-quality isoform polished wit LSC v.2.0 (Au
et al., 2012). A cDNA library was also prepared using the Illumina TruSeq RNA sample
preparation kit v.2 (Illumina, CA, USA) following Illumina's low-throughput protocol. This
library was evaluated for quantity and quality with the High Sensitivity chip in an Agilent 2100
Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, CA) and sequenced in 100 bp paired-end reads using an
Illumina HiSeq4000 sequencer (DNA Technology Core Facility, University of California, Davis).
150
Genome annotation
The completeness of the gene space in the hybrid assembly was estimated using BUSCO v.3.0.2
(Waterhouse *et al*., 2018) with the embryophyta_odb9 conserved gene set and by aligning the
PN40024 V1 CDS against the Trayshed assembly using BLAT v.36x2 with default parameters
(Kent 2002). PN40024 CDS were filtered before the alignment and included only single-copy
genes (*i.e*., with unique mapping on its genome). The structural annotation of the *M. rotundifolia*
cv. Trayshed genome was performed with a modified version of the pipeline used for the *Vitis*
vinifera cv. Zinfandel genome (Vondras *et al.* 2019) and fully described here:
https://github.com/andreaminio/AnnotationPipeline-EVM_based-DClab. Briefly, high-quality
Iso-Seq Trayshed data were used to produce high-quality gene models for training gene predictors
in PASA v.2.3.3 (Haas 2003) along with transcript evidence obtained from RNAseq data by
performing transcriptome assemblies using Stringtie v.1.3.4d (Pertea *et al.* 2015) and Trinity
v.2.6.5 (Grabherr *et al.* 2011) and from external databases. Public databases, transcriptome
assemblies, and the Iso-Seq data described above were used as transcript and protein evidence.
They were mapped on the genome using PASA v.2.3.3 (Haas 2003), MagicBLAST v.1.4.0
(Boratyn *et al.* 2019), and Exonerate v.2.2.0 (Slater and Birney 2005). *Ab initio* predictions were
generated using BUSCO v.3.0.2 (Waterhouse *et al*., 2018), Augustus v.3.0.3 (Stanke *et al.* 2006),
GeneMark v.3.47 (Lomsadze 2005), and SNAP v.2006-07-28 (Korf 2004). Repeats were
annotated using RepeatMasker v.open-4.0.6 (Smit *et al.* 2013). Next, EvidenceModeler v.1.1.1
(Haas *et al.* 2008) used these predictions to generate consensus gene models. The final functional
annotation was produced combining blastp v.2.2.28 (Camacho *et al.* 2009) hits against the Refseq
plant protein database (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq, retrieved January 17th, 2019) and
InterProScan v.5.28-67.0 (Jones *et al.* 2014) outputs using Blast2GO v.4.1.9 (Gotz *et al.* 2008).
To evaluate hemizygosity, CDS from each haplotype were aligned on the opposite haplotype using
GMAP v. 2019-09-12 (Wu and Watanabe 2005) and alignments with at least 80% identity and
coverage were considered valid. To prevent over-estimation of the gene content diversity between

the two haplotypes due to pseudomolecule reconstruction partiality, unplaced sequences were also
searched for copies of the CDS missing in the alternative haplotype.
179
Genome size quantification by flow cytometry
DNA content was estimated using flow cytometry (n = 3 individual leaves).
Lycopersicon esculentum cv. Stupické polní tyčkové rané DNA was selected as the internal
reference standard with a genome size of 2C = 1.96 pg; 1C = 958 Mbp (Doležel *et al.* 1992). Nuclei
extraction was performed using the Cystain PI absolute P kit (Sysmex America Inc).
Approximately 5 mg (0.7 cm^2) of young healthy leaves from grapevine and tomato were finely cut
with a razor blade in a Petri dish containing 500 µL of extraction buffer. The nuclei suspension
was filtered through a 50 µm filter (CellTrics, Sysmex America Inc) and 2 mL of a propidium
iodide staining solution was added (Doležel and Bartoš 2005; Bertier *et al.* 2013). Measurements
were acquired using a Becton Dickinson FACScan (Franklin Lakes, New Jersey) equipped with a
488 nm laser. The data were analyzed using FlowJo v.10
(https://www.flowjo.com/solutions/flowjo). DNA content was inferred by linear regression using
the tomato DNA reference standard.
193
Comparison of genome assembly and synteny analysis
The Trayshed and Cabernet Sauvignon (Massonnet *et al.*, 2020) genomes were compared with
NUCmer from the MUMmer v.4.0.0beta5 tool suite (Marçais *et al.* 2018), and the "--mum"
parameter set. Descriptive statistics of the alignment were obtained using the MUMmer script
dnadiff. For visualization purposes, alignments with at least 90% identity and 7500 bp long were
kept. Blastp v.2.2.28 (Camacho *et al.* 2009) was used to align the annotated proteins of Trayshed
and Cabernet Sauvignon. Alignments with at least 80% reciprocal identity and coverage were
retained. Pairwise protein information was associated with genes and processed with McScanX
v.11.Nov.2013 (Wang *et al.* 2012) with default parameters to identify syntenic regions.
203
Localization of *Muscadinia* SNP markers
To localize the Trayshed genomic sequences the corresponding position of each marker identified
from *Muscadinia* populations in Lewter *et al* 2019, the sequence surrounding each of them was
extracted from PN40024 V0 (Lewter *et al.,* 2019) keeping five hundred base-pair of overhang
upstream and downstream of the SNP position. Sequences were then aligned with BLAT v.36x2
(Kent 2002) over the Trayshed chromosomes to recover the marker position. Alignments with at
least 90% identity for both query and reference, 90% of coverage on the query, and a maximum
of 50-bp-long gaps were considered as hits. They were then filtered for uniqueness based on the
number of bases matching. The previously published consensus map of *M. rotundifolia* was used
to assess the completeness of the chromosome reconstruction (Lewter *et al.* 2019). Sliding
windows (window size = 20 markers, sliding = 10 markers) were designed to move across the
uniquely mapped markers on the genome. The percentage of relative marker positions consistent
with their genetic position on the high-density genetic map was calculated per window.
217
Telomeric repeats analysis
Telomeric repeats of "CCCTAA" and its reverse complement were searched along chromosome 7
of Cabernet Sauvignon and PN40024 V2 (Canaguier *et al.* 2017) using vmatchPattern from the R
package Biostrings v.2.56.0 (Pagès *et al.* 2019). After peaks in the distribution of telomeric repeats
were identified in both genomes, genomic regions of Cabernet Sauvignon (chr7: 18,675,000-

18,677,000 bp) and PN40024 (chr7: 17,572,000 - 17,574,000bp) were extracted. Motif enrichment
analysis was performed using MEME v.5.1.0 (Bailey and Elkan 1994) and reported as "logo"
using the ggseqlogo R package v.0.1 (Wagih 2017).
226
Identification of NBS-LRR genes
Predicted Trayshed and Cabernet Sauvignon proteins were scanned using hmmsearch from
HMMER v.3.3 (http://hmmer.org/) with a significance threshold (sequence E-value) of 0.001 and
Hidden Markov Models (HMM) corresponding to the following different Pfam (El-Gebali *et al.*
2019) domains: NB-ARC (Pfam PF00931), TIR (PF01582) and LRR (PF00560, PF07723,
PF07725, and PF12799). The proteome was also scanned with NLR-annotator (Steuernagel *et al.*,
2020). Coiled-coil (CC) domain-containing proteins were identified by COILS (Lupas *et al.* 1991)
during the InterProScan annotation. The identified proteins were then divided into six protein
classes according to their domain composition: CC-NBS-LRR, CC-NBS, TIR-NBS-LRR, TIR-
NBS, NBS-LRR, and NBS. To capture the largest number of potential NBS-LRR related genes,
genes lacking the NB-ARC domain but with "NBS-LRR" functional annotations were also
selected and divided into two classes: TIR-X and CC-X. For these eight protein classes, Multiple
EM for Motif Elicitation (MEME) analysis was performed with the flags "-mod anr -nmotifs 20"
to identify conserved domains for each class. Then, FIMO v.5.1.0 (Find Individual Motif
Occurrences) (Grant *et al.* 2011) was run on each protein class using the corresponding MEME
results; proteins with at least five conserved domains were kept. NBS-LRR gene clusters were
defined as groups of at least two NBS-LRR genes, each separated by no more than eight non-NBS-
LRR genes (Richly *et al.* 2002) in a region spanning a maximum of 200 kbp (Holub 2001).
245
***RUN1/RPV1* locus analysis and NBS-LRR genes phylogeny**
Boundaries of the *RUN1/RPV1* locus were defined by mapping two SSR markers, VMC4f3.1 and
VMC8g9 (Barker *et al.* 2005), on Trayshed and Cabernet Sauvignon haplotypes using GMAP v.
2019-09-12 (Wu and Watanabe 2005). Trayshed chromosome 12 was split into 50-kbp blocks and
queried with the genomic region corresponding to the locus in Cabernet Sauvignon using tblastx
(BLAST v.2.2.29) (Camacho *et al.* 2009). Four-way pairwise comparisons between haplotypes
were performed, results were filtered keeping alignments with at least 90% of identity, at least 100
bp long, and located within the boundaries of the resistance locus in the query and the reference.
Hits overlapping annotated LTR retrotransposons were discarded. Proteins corresponding to the
first alternative spliced variant of each NBS-LRR gene at the *RUN1/RPV1* locus were aligned
using MUSCLE v.3.8.31 (Edgar 2004) with default parameters and by including *GAPDH*
(*VIT_17s0000g10430*) ortholog sequences as an outgroup. Distances between the alignments were
extracted using the R package seqinr v.4.2.4 (Charif and Lobry 2007). The estimation of the
corresponding neighbor-joining tree, its rooting, and bootstrapping (1000 replicates) were
performed using the R package ape v.5.4.1 (Paradis and Schliep 2019). The tree was drawn using
ggtree v.2.2.4 (Yu *et al.* 2017). Species-specific clusters were identified as five or more grouped
proteins from the same NBS-LRR class resulting from the phylogenetic analysis with a maximum
of one protein coming from the other species in the same tree branch. Coding sequences of the
Trayshed-specific protein cluster were aligned per class using MACSE v.2.03 (Ranwez *et al.* 2018)
and trimmed using the option "min_percent_NT_at_ends=0.80". Synonymous substitution rates
(dS) were obtained using yn00 from PAML v.4.9f (Yang 2007).
267
268 **Data analysis and visualization**

269 Data were parsed with R v.4.0.3 (R Core Team 2020) in RStudio v.1.4.869 (RStudio Team 2020)
270 using tidyverse v.1.3.0 (Wickham *et al.* 2019), GenomicFeatures v.1.40.1 for genomic ranges
271 manipulation (Lawrence *et al.* 2013), and rtracklayer for GFF files v.1.48.0 (Lawrence *et al.* 2009).
272
Data availability
Sequencing data are accessible at the NCBI repository under the accessions PRJNA635946 and
PRJNA593045. Raw optical maps are available at Zenodo under the DOI
10.5281/zenodo.3866087. The supplemental files are available at Figshare. The pipeline for the
gene annotation is available at https://github.com/andreaminio/AnnotationPipeline-EVM_based-
DClab. Assembly and annotation files are available at www.grapegenomics.com, which also hosts
a genome browser and a blast tool for Trayshed.
280
281 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
282
283 **Construction of the twenty phased *Muscadinia rotundifolia* cv. Trayshed chromosomes**
284 The haploid genome size of *M. rotundifolia* cv. Trayshed evaluated by flow cytometry was
285 estimated at 483.4 ± 3.1 Mbp, a size comparable to PN40024 (487 Mbp; Jaillon et al. 2007), but
286 smaller than Cabernet Sauvignon (557.0 ± 2.4 Mbp; **Supplemental Figure S1**).
287
Optical maps were generated using the BioNano Genomics technology at 2,057x coverage to
further scaffold the Trayshed genome assembly produced previously from Single-Molecule Real-
Time (SMRT; Pacific Biosciences) long reads (N50 = 1,479,367 bp; Massonnet *et al.* 2020). The
BioNano consensus genome map was combined with the contigs to produce an 896.0 Mbp hybrid
assembly nearly twice the expected haploid genome size of Trayshed determined by flow
cytometry. Genome sizes estimated by sequencing are known to be underestimates, while the flow
cytometry approach tends to produce overestimates (Elliott and Gregory, 2015). In the hybrid
assembly, ~ 70% of the complete BUSCO genes were duplicated and, 2.16 ± 1.07 copies of each
PN40024 gene were present. Altogether, the size of the assembly, the amount of duplicated
BUSCO genes (**Supplemental Table S1**), and the doubled representation of PN20024 genes are
strong evidence that the draft assembly represents the diploid genome of Trayshed. Finally, we
reconstructed two sets of phased chromosome-scale pseudomolecules (Haplotype 1, Hap1, and
Haplotype 2, Hap2; **Table 1**) using a consensus grape genetic map as a guide (Zou *et al.* 2020).
The chromosome-scale assemblies were 400.4 Mbp for Hap1 and 369.9 Mbp for Hap2. Based on
the flow cytometry data, the whole assembly represents 86.2% of the estimated diploid genome
size (2x 483.4 Mbp). On average, chromosome size was 89.09 ± 8.87 % conserved between the
two haplotypes (**Supplemental Table S2**). It was not possible to recover the positional information
for regions lacking genetic markers, which were therefore excluded from the pseudomolecule
assembly (**Table 1**). The unplaced scaffolds represented only 7.5% of the genome assembly, which
is much less than in PN40024 V1 (12.4%; Jaillon et al., 2007) and similar to that of the V2
assembly (6%; Canaguier *et al.*, 2017). The unplaced scaffolds were significantly more repetitive
than the rest of the genome (52% *vs.* 42%) and carried about 7% of the total protein-coding genes
(3,564 genes). The Trayshed assembly also showed a lower level of gaps overall (~ 1 Mbp per
haplotype) than PN40024 (~10 Mbp).
312
313 The Trayshed assembly structure was confirmed by comparing positions along each chromosome
314 with their linkage group positions within a high-density *M. rotundifolia* genetic map (Lewter *et al.*

315 2019) (**Supplemental Figures S2**, **S3**, and **S4**). More than 80% of markers' positions were
316 consistent between the genetic and physical maps, with strong overall correlation ($R > 0.90$) along
317 all chromosomes for both haplotypes.
318
Analysis of chromosome structure supports a fusion of chromosomes 7 and 20 in *Vitis*
Each set of Trayshed's twenty chromosomes was compared with the chromosome-scale Cabernet
Sauvignon assembly (Massonnet *et al.*, 2020), which is the most complete and contiguous diploid
genome assembly of grapevine currently available. The two genomes were highly syntenic (**Figure
1A**, **Supplemental Figure S5**). Based on the four haplotype comparisons, colinear regions were
2,433 ± 28 bp long and shared 92.19 ± 0.37% identity (**Supplementary Table S3**). On average,
short insertions (<50bp) were 40-bp long, long insertions were 1,501-bp long, short deletions were
40-bp, and long deletions were 998-bp. The longest deletion (~50 kbp) was detected on Cabernet
Sauvignon chromosome 8 and the longest insertion (~79 kbp) was observed on chromosome 2
(**Supplemental Table S4**).
329
Trayshed chromosomes 7 and 20 aligned at the beginning and end of Cabernet Sauvignon
chromosome 7, respectively. This supports the fusion of these two chromosomes in *Vitis* reported
previously using genetic maps (Blanc *et al.* 2012; Lewter *et al.* 2019) (**Figure 1A**). The marker-
containing regions used for Trayshed chromosome structure validation also support this
hypothesis. Markers on Trayshed LG 7 and 20 were associated with *V. vinifera* chromosome 7
(**Figure 1B**). Telomeric repeats can be used as indicators of chromosome number reduction
rearrangements (Sousa and Renner, 2015). Enrichment of these sequences is expected in a genomic
region where a chromosome fusion occurred. To determine the position of chromosome fusion in
Cabernet Sauvignon, we searched for telomeric repeats in the region of chromosome 7 that should
contain the fusion point based on whole-genome alignments. We examined the genomic region of
Cabernet Sauvignon chromosome 7 that spanned Trayshed chromosomes 7 and 20 (chr 7:
18,661,816 - 18,740,440 bp; **Figure 1C**, top panel) and found an enrichment of telomeric repeats
in this region (**Figure 1C**, bottom panel). A similar pattern was detected in the hypothetical fusion
region of PN40024 (chr 7: 17,392,916 – 17,636,181 bp; **Figure 1C**). Together, these data support
a chromosome number reduction by fusion in *V. vinifera* when compared with *M. rotundifolia*.
345
Full-length cDNA sequencing and annotation of the protein-coding genes
Together with the public data and RNA-Seq data already available, high-quality full-length cDNA
sequences (Iso-Seq; Pacific Biosciences, **Supplemental Figure S6**) were generated as
transcriptional evidence to support the gene model predictions. From leaf tissues, 336,932 raw
reads were sequenced, clustered, and error corrected with LSC (Au *et al.* 2012) to generate 34,558
high-quality isoforms, 260 low-quality isoforms, and 111,672 singletons (**Supplemental Figure
S6**). After obtaining consensus gene models, alternative splice variants were predicted if supported
by Iso-Seq data, with 2.87 transcripts per gene on average (**Supplemental Figure S6**). BUSCO
genes (Hap1: 92.3%, Hap2: 85.2%) were well-represented in the diploid Trayshed transcriptome.
The structural annotation included 52,943 protein-coding gene loci (**Table 1**) and 83,873 proteins
(including the alternative forms). There were 25,706 and 23,673 genes on Hap1 and Hap2,
respectively (**Table 1**). The repetitive content composed 42.54 and 42.35% of Hap1 and Hap2,
respectively, and was higher in the unplaced sequences (51.99%). The coding sequences of each
haplotype were aligned on its alternative to evaluate hemizygosity. We found 2,586 and 1,034
hemizygous genes on Hap1 (10.06%) and Hap2 (4.37%), respectively. These results are consistent

with *V. vinifera* cv. Zinfandel (4.56%; Vondras *et al.*, 2019) but lower than the levels found in *V.*
vinifera cv. Chardonnay (14.6%; Zhou *et al.*, 2019).
363
Based on blastp results (80% identity, 80% coverage), 33,822 (63.88%) Trayshed genes are
homologous with 31,471 (54.83%) genes of Cabernet Sauvignon. The 22,755 proteins that did not
have a potential ortholog in Cabernet Sauvignon were significantly enriched in functional
categories related to cell communication, signal transduction, signaling, regulation of biological
and cellular processes, and cellular responses to stimulus and biological regulation (P <0.01;
Fisher's exact test). On average, colinear blocks of homologous genes were ~152 genes long (block
extension interrupted with more than 25 unmatched genes in a row, **Supplemental Table S5**)
based on the synteny results with Cabernet Sauvignon. The longest block of colinear genes was
found on chromosome 14 and contained 613 genes (**Supplemental Table S5**).
373
NBS-LRR intracellular receptors encoded in the Trayshed genome
Trayshed is attractive to grape breeding programs because it is resistant to pathogens that affect *V.*
vinifera. In plants, resistance to pathogens is primarily attributed to the activity of resistance genes
(R genes). Nucleotide-binding site leucine-rich repeat (NBS-LRR) genes constitute the largest
family of R genes. NBS-LRR genes are associated with resistance to powdery and downy mildew
pathogens in several species, including *Arabidopsis* (Warren *et al.* 1998), wheat (He *et al.* 2018),
barley (Wei *et al.* 1999; Zhou *et al.* 2001), pepper (Jo *et al.* 2017), and grapes (Riaz *et al.* 2011;
Zini *et al.* 2019). We divided the NBS-LRR genes into eight different classes depending on the
domains detected in the proteins: CC-NBS-LRR, CC-NBS, CC-X, TIR-NBS-LRR, TIR-NBS,
TIR-X, NBS-LRR, NBS (called hereafter NBS-LRR when described as a whole). Overall, the
Trayshed genome shows a slightly higher number of NBS-LRR genes (1,158) than Cabernet
Sauvignon (1,013). Both species showed the highest number of NBS-LRR localized on
chromosomes 9, 12, 13, and 18 (**Figure 2A**, **Supplementary Table S6**). NBS-LRR genes can
occur in clusters that could be critical to their function as resistance loci (Hulbert *et al.* 2001;
Meyers *et al.* 2003). The average number of clusters detected in Trayshed per haplotype (122) was
similar to Cabernet Sauvignon (120), but their arrangement in the genome varied substantially
(**Supplemental Figure S7**). Among the haplotypes, in Cabernet Sauvignon, NBS-LRR clusters
accumulated the most on chromosome 18 Hap1 while the highest number was observed on
chromosome 12 in Trayshed Hap1. These differences could plausibly contribute to disparities in
disease resistance.
394
Expansion of NBS-LRR genes at the *RUN1/RPV1* locus in Trayshed
The clusters of NBS-LRR genes on chromosome 12 in Trayshed are fewer and scattered in
Cabernet Sauvignon (**Figures 2A** and **2B**). This chromosome contains a known powdery mildew
resistance locus, *RUN1/RPV1* (Pauquet *et al.* 2001), with boundaries defined by the VMC4f3.1
and VMC8g9 SSR markers (Barker *et al.* 2005). This region was studied in Trayshed (Hap1 -
chr12: 11,270,914 - 17,943,644 bp; Hap2 – chr12: 11,341,795 - 15,346,610 bp) and Cabernet
Sauvignon (Hap1 - chr12: 18,746,148 - 23,727,933 bp; Hap2 – chr12: 15,055,893 - 21,663,411);
it included 321 and 201 annotated genes in Trayshed Hap1 and Hap2, respectively, and 287 and
312 genes in Cabernet Sauvignon haplotypes. Within *RUN1/RPV1*, 52 and 33 NBS-LRR were
identified in Trayshed Hap1 and Hap2, respectively. For Cabernet Sauvignon, 33 and 40 NBS-
LRR were identified in Hap1 and Hap2, respectively.

Notably, most of the NBS-LRR classes are present for both species in this region. A phylogenetic analysis of the NBS-LRR proteins at *RUN1/RPV1* identified a protein cluster specific to Trayshed Hap1 (**Figure 2C**, **Supplemental Figure S8**), absent from the Hap2 (**Figure 2D**). After aligning the coding sequences of those proteins, the synonymous mutation rate was calculated. We estimated that TIR-NBS-LRR genes diverged at nearly 19 Ma (**Figure 2E**). This is one of the latest estimates of the divergence between the *Muscadinia* and *Vitis* genera found in the literature [~18–47 Ma] (Wan *et al.* 2013; Liu *et al.* 2016; Ma *et al.* 2018). Thus, a recent expansion of TIR-NBS-LRR genes might have occurred in only *Muscadinia* and other classes may have expanded prior. To characterize gene duplication in the *RUN1/RPV1* alleles, Cabernet Sauvignon haplotypes were aligned on Trayshed haplotypes to evaluate how many homologous regions are detected using tblastx. Within the resistance locus boundaries of both genomes (and excluding LTR retrotransposons), the highest number of alignments was observed when Trayshed Hap1 was used as the target (**Figure 3**, **Supplemental Figure S9**). There were 10,270 regions aligned with Cabernet Sauvignon Hap1 (**Figure 3A**) and 8,372 alignments between Hap2 (**Figure 3B**). On average, one region in Cabernet Sauvignon Hap1 had 1.75 hits in Trayshed Hap1 and 1.18 hits in Hap2. For the most duplicated region, a single sequence from Cabernet Sauvignon Hap1 matched up to 16 different regions in Trayshed Hap1 (**Figure 3A**). The increased number of hits per window that was observed in Trayshed Hap1 evidenced the expansion of the locus through an increase of the copy number of homologous regions. The regions in Trayshed Hap1 with the highest number of homologs (with at least 10 hits matching a single Cabernet Sauvignon region) were positioned between ~11.83 Mbp and 14.24 Mbp on chromosome 12 (**Figure 3A**) and is similar to the genomic region identified by Feechan *et al.* (2013). It includes UDP-glucosyltransferase-coding genes followed by R gene analogs. In Cabernet Sauvignon, highly duplicated regions were mostly composed of kinetochore NDC80 complex genes; these matched genes with similar or NBS-LRR functions in Trayshed. It is important to note that the assembly of the two *RUN1/RPV1* loci of Trayshed was complex. Despite the currently available long-read sequencing technologies, the highly duplicated content at *RUN1/RPV1* still presents several technical limitations.

Between Trayshed and Cabernet Sauvignon, functionally annotated disease-related genes were differently clustered along the chromosomes. An expansion of TIR-NBS-LRR was identified, which likely occurred after the divergence of their genera. Similar research could be undertaken to characterize the genes or features at other known resistance loci in *M. rotundifolia*, like *RUN2* and *REN5* (Riaz *et al.* 2011; Blanc *et al.* 2012). Acquiring this understanding could be expedited with the availability of high-quality reference sequences for resistant selections, like Trayshed, and susceptible cultivars, like Cabernet Sauvignon and others (Massonnet *et al.*, 2020).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
This work was funded by the NSF grant #1741627 and partially supported by funds to D.C. from the E. & J. Gallo Winery and the Louis P. Martini Endowment in Viticulture.

445   **TABLES AND FIGURES**

447   **Table 1. Genome assembly statistics**

	Haplotype 1	Haplotype 2	Unplaced
Assembly length (bp)	400,450,509	369,985,741	62,961,436
Number of scaffolds	20	20	1,690
Average length (bp)	20,022,525	18,499,287	37,255
Maximum length (bp)	34,098,201	26,289,366	1,487,392
N50 length (bp)	20,338,664	18,578,327	46,805
Total gap length (bp)	645,752	1,182,032	125,041
Repetitive content (%)	42.54	42.35	51.99
Number of protein-coding genes	25,706	23,673	3,564
Complete BUSCO copies (%)	90.6	86.2	9.6

Figure 1: Chromosome fusion localization in *Vitis vinifera*
A. Whole-genome alignment of Trayshed Hap1 (y-axis) on Cabernet Sauvignon Hap1 (x-axis).
The putative fusion of Trayshed chromosomes 7 and 20 (green) and Cabernet Sauvignon
chromosome 7 (purple) is inset. The percentage identity (% id) between the alignments is displayed
as a color gradient. **B**. The positions of markers on Trayshed chromosomes 7 and 20 (green) and
their corresponding location on PN40024 V0 chromosome 7. **C**. The distribution of telomeric
repeats in 1 Mbp containing the expected chromosome fusion in Cabernet Sauvignon (left panel)
and PN40024 (right panel). The frequency of telomere repeats is represented as a color gradient.
The most enriched motif in the 2-kbp region surrounding the peak of telomeric repeats is inset for
each genotype.

Figure 2: NBS-LRR genes in Trayshed and Cabernet Sauvignon *RUN1/RPV1* loci
Location of the different classes of NBS-LRR along the different chromosomes of the two
haplotypes (Hap1: dark grey, Hap2: light grey) in Trayshed (**A**) and Cabernet Sauvignon (**B**). The
locations of *RUN1/RPV1* are indicated with an orange box. Phylogenetic trees of NBS-LRR
proteins at *RUN1/RPV1* locus in Trayshed and Cabernet Sauvignon, Hap1 (**C**) and Hap2 (**D**).
GAPDH was used to root the tree and is colored in grey. **E.** The boxplot represents an
approximation of the expansion time of the protein cluster highlighted in orange in panel **C**. The
approximation of the divergence time between *Vitis* and *Muscadinia* genera is highlighted in grey.
The same color coding is used throughout the figure to distinguish the NBS-LRR classes.

Figure 3: Synteny between the *RUN1/RPV1* alleles in Trayshed and Cabernet Sauvignon
Chromosome 12 of Trayshed (left, target) and Cabernet Sauvignon (right, query) Hap1 (**A**) or
Hap2 (**B**) are compared with tblastx of 50Kbp blocks. The *RUN1/RPV1* resistance locus is
highlighted with an orange box. Syntenic gene content within the locus is represented as lines in
the center of each panel. Duplications of Cabernet Sauvignon sequences are shown using a color
gradient. Highly duplicated sequences in Trayshed are dark purple. The functional annotations
depicted include categories represented by at least 5 genes. Categories represented by less than 10
genes and not related to disease resistance genes are included in the category, "other".

LITERATURE CITED

Au, K. F., J. G. Underwood, L. Lee, and W. H. Wong, 2012 Improving PacBio Long Read
Accuracy by Short Read Alignment (Y. Xing, Ed.). PLoS ONE 7: e46679.

Bailey, T. L., and C. Elkan, 1994 Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to
discover motifs in biopolymers. Proc Int Conf Intell Syst Mol Biol 2: 28–36.

Barker, C. L., T. Donald, J. Pauquet, M. B. Ratnaparkhe, A. Bouquet *et al.*, 2005 Genetic and
physical mapping of the grapevine powdery mildew resistance gene, Run1, using a
bacterial artificial chromosome library. Theor Appl Genet 111: 370–377.

Bertier, L., L. Leus, L. D'hondt, A. W. A. M. de Cock, and M. Höfte, 2013 Host adaptation and
speciation through hybridization and polyploidy in *Phytophthora*. PLOS ONE 8: e85385.

Blanc, S., S. Wiedemann-Merdinoglu, V. Dumas, P. Mestre, and D. Merdinoglu, 2012 A
reference genetic map of *Muscadinia rotundifolia* and identification of *Ren5*, a new
major locus for resistance to grapevine powdery mildew. Theor Appl Genet 125: 1663–
1675.

Blanco-Ulate, B., E. Vincenti, A. L. T. Powell, and D. Cantu, 2013 Tomato transcriptome and
mutant analyses suggest a role for plant stress hormones in the interaction between fruit
and *Botrytis cinerea*. Front. Plant Sci. 4: 142.

Boratyn, G. M., J. Thierry-Mieg, D. Thierry-Mieg, B. Busby, and T. L. Madden, 2019 Magic-
BLAST, an accurate RNA-seq aligner for long and short reads. BMC Bioinformatics 20:
405.

Bouquet, A., 1978 La muscadine (Vitis rotundifolia michx) et sa culture aux Etats-Unis. OENO
One 12: 1–20.

Bouquet, A., 1980 *Vitis* x *Muscadinia* hybridization: A new way in grape breeding for disease
resistance in France, pp. 42–61 in *Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on
Grape Breeding*, University of California, Davis, Department of Viticulture and Enology.

Branas, M. M., 1932 Sur la caryologie des Ampélidées. C R Acad Sci Paris 194: 121–123.

Camacho, C., G. Coulouris, V. Avagyan, N. Ma, J. Papadopoulos *et al.*, 2009 BLAST+:
architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10: 421.

Canaguier, A., J. Grimplet, G. Di Gaspero, S. Scalabrin, E. Duchene *et al.*, 2017 A new version
of the grapevine reference genome assembly (12X.v2) and of its annotation (VCost.v3).
Genom Data 14: 56–62.

Charif, D., and J. R. Lobry, 2007 SeqinR 1.0-2: A Contributed Package to the R Project for
Statistical Computing Devoted to Biological Sequences Retrieval and Analysis, pp. 207–
232 in *Structural Approaches to Sequence Evolution: Molecules, Networks, Populations*,
edited by U. Bastolla, M. Porto, H. E. Roman, and M. Vendruscolo. Springer Berlin
Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Chu, Z.-F., J. Wen, Y.-P. Yang, Z.-L. Nie, and Y. Meng, 2018 Genome size variation and
evolution in the grape family Vitaceae: Genome size variation in Vitaceae. Jnl of
Sytematics Evolution 56: 273–282.

Davidis, U. X., and H. P. Olmo, 1964 The Vitis vinifera x V. rotundifolia hybrids as phylloxera
resistant rootstocks. Vitis 4: 129–143.

Dearing, C., 1917 Muscadine grape breeding. J. Hered. 8: 409–424.

Delame, M., E. Prado, S. Blanc, G. Robert-Siegwald, C. Schneider *et al.*, 2019 Introgression
reshapes recombination distribution in grapevine interspecific hybrids. Theor Appl Genet
132: 1073–1087.

Doležel, J., and J. Bartoš, 2005 Plant DNA Flow Cytometry and Estimation of Nuclear Genome
Size. Ann. Bot. 95: 99–110.
Doležel, J., S. Sgorbati, and S. Lucretti, 1992 Comparison of three DNA fluorochromes for flow
cytometric estimation of nuclear DNA content in plants. Physiol. Plant. 85: 625–631.
Edgar, R. C., 2004 MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32: 1792–1797.
Elliott, T. A., and T. R. Gregory, 2015 What's in a genome? The C-value enigma and the
evolution of eukaryotic genome content. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 370:
20140331.
El-Gebali, S., J. Mistry, A. Bateman, S. R. Eddy, A. Luciani *et al.*, 2019 The Pfam protein
families database in 2019. Nucleic Acids Res. 47: D427–D432.
Feechan, A., C. Anderson, L. Torregrosa, A. Jermakow, P. Mestre *et al.*, 2013 Genetic dissection
of a TIR-NB-LRR locus from the wild North American grapevine species *Muscadinia*
rotundifolia identifies paralogous genes conferring resistance to major fungal and
oomycete pathogens in cultivated grapevine. Plant J 76: 661–674.
Firoozabady, E., and H. P. Olmo, 1982 Resistance to grape phylloxera in Vitis vinifera x V.
rotundifolia grape hybrids. Vitis 21: 1–4.
Gotz, S., J. M. Garcia-Gomez, J. Terol, T. D. Williams, S. H. Nagaraj *et al.*, 2008 High-
throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. Nucleic Acids
Res. 36: 3420–3435.
Grabherr, M. G., B. J. Haas, M. Yassour, J. Z. Levin, D. A. Thompson *et al.*, 2011 Full-length
transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. Nat.
Biotechnol. 29: 644–652.
Grant, C. E., T. L. Bailey, and W. S. Noble, 2011 FIMO: scanning for occurrences of a given
motif. Bioinformatics 27: 1017–1018.
Haas, B. J., 2003 Improving the Arabidopsis genome annotation using maximal transcript
alignment assemblies. Nucleic Acids Res. 31: 5654–5666.
Haas, B. J., S. L. Salzberg, W. Zhu, M. Pertea, J. E. Allen *et al.*, 2008 Automated eukaryotic
gene structure annotation using EVidenceModeler and the Program to Assemble Spliced
Alignments. Genome Biol 9: R7.
He, H., S. Zhu, R. Zhao, Z. Jiang, Y. Ji *et al.*, 2018 Pm21, Encoding a Typical CC-NBS-LRR
Protein, Confers Broad-Spectrum Resistance to Wheat Powdery Mildew Disease. Mol.
Plant 11: 879–882.
Heinitz, C. C., J. Uretsky, J. C. Dodson Peterson, K. G. Huerta-Acosta, and M. A. Walker, 2019
Crop Wild Relatives of Grape (Vitis vinifera L.) Throughout North America, pp. 329–
351 in *North American Crop Wild Relatives, Volume 2: Important Species*, edited by S.
L. Greene, K. A. Williams, C. K. Khoury, M. B. Kantar, and L. F. Marek. Springer
International Publishing, Cham.
Holub, E. B., 2001 The arms race is ancient history in Arabidopsis, the wildflower. Nat Rev
Genet 2: 516–527.
Hulbert, S. H., C. A. Webb, S. M. Smith, and Q. Sun, 2001 RESISTANCE GENE
COMPLEXES: Evolution and Utilization. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 39: 285–312.
Jaillon, O., J. M. Aury, B. Noel, A. Policriti, C. Clepet *et al.*, 2007 The grapevine genome
sequence suggests ancestral hexaploidization in major angiosperm phyla. Nature 449:
463–468.

Jo, J., J. Venkatesh, K. Han, H.-Y. Lee, G. J. Choi *et al.*, 2017 Molecular Mapping of PMR1, a
Novel Locus Conferring Resistance to Powdery Mildew in Pepper (Capsicum annuum).
Front. Plant Sci. 8: 2090.
Jones, P., D. Binns, H.-Y. Chang, M. Fraser, W. Li *et al.*, 2014 InterProScan 5: genome-scale
protein function classification. Bioinformatics 30: 1236–1240.
Karkamkar, S. P., S. G. Patil, and S. C. Misra, 2010 Cyto–morphological studies and their
significance in evolution of family Vitaceae. Nucleus 53: 37–43.
Kent, W. J., 2002 BLAT : The Blast-Like Alignment Tool. Genome Res. 12: 656–664.
Korf, I., 2004 Gene finding in novel genomes. BMC Bioinformatics 5: 59.
Lam, E. T., A. Hastie, C. Lin, D. Ehrlich, S. K. Das *et al.*, 2012 Genome mapping on
nanochannel arrays for structural variation analysis and sequence assembly. Nat
Biotechnol 30: 771–776.
Lawrence, M., R. Gentleman, and V. Carey, 2009 rtracklayer: an R package for interfacing with
genome browsers. Bioinformatics 25: 1841–1842.
Lawrence, M., W. Huber, H. Pagès, P. Aboyoun, M. Carlson *et al.*, 2013 Software for
Computing and Annotating Genomic Ranges (A. Prlic, Ed.). PLoS Comput Biol 9:
e1003118.
Lewter, J., M. L. Worthington, J. R. Clark, A. V. Varanasi, L. Nelson *et al.*, 2019 High-density
linkage maps and loci for berry color and flower sex in muscadine grape (Vitis
rotundifolia). Theor Appl Genet 132: 1571–1585.
Liu, X.-Q., S. M. Ickert-Bond, Z.-L. Nie, Z. Zhou, L.-Q. Chen *et al.*, 2016 Phylogeny of the
Ampelocissus–Vitis clade in Vitaceae supports the New World origin of the grape genus.
Mol Phylogenet Evol 95: 217–228.
Lomsadze, A., 2005 Gene identification in novel eukaryotic genomes by self-training algorithm.
Nucleic Acids Res. 33: 6494–6506.
Lupas, A., M. Van Dyke, and J. Stock, 1991 Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences.
Science 252: 1162–1164.
Ma, Z.-Y., J. Wen, S. M. Ickert-Bond, Z.-L. Nie, L.-Q. Chen *et al.*, 2018 Phylogenomics,
biogeography, and adaptive radiation of grapes. Mol Phylogenet Evol 129: 258–267.
Marçais, G., A. L. Delcher, A. M. Phillippy, R. Coston, S. L. Salzberg *et al.*, 2018 MUMmer4: A
fast and versatile genome alignment system (A. E. Darling, Ed.). PLoS Comput Biol 14:
e1005944.
Massonnet, M., N. Cochetel, A. Minio, A. M. Vondras, J. Lin *et al.*, 2020 The genetic basis of
sex determination in grapes. Nat. Commun. 11: 2902.
Merdinoglu, D., C. Schneider, E. Prado, S. Wiedemann-Merdinoglu, and P. Mestre, 2018
Breeding for durable resistance to downy and powdery mildew in grapevine. OENO One
52: 203–209.
Merdinoglu, D., S. Wiedeman-Merdinoglu, P. Coste, V. Dumas, S. Haetty *et al.*, 2003 Genetic
analysis of downy mildew resistance derived from Muscadinia rotundifolia. Acta Hortic.
603: 451–456.
Meyers, B. C., A. Kozik, A. Griego, H. Kuang, and R. W. Michelmore, 2003 Genome-Wide
Analysis of NBS-LRR–Encoding Genes in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 15: 809–834.
Olien, W. C., 1990 The Muscadine Grape: Botany, Viticulture, History, and Current Industry.
HortSci 25: 732–739.
Olmo, H. P., 1937 Chromosome Numbers in the European Grape (*Vitis vinifera*). CYTOLOGIA
Fujii Jubilae: 606–613.

Olmo, H. P., 1986 The potential role of (vinifera x rotundifolia) hybrids in grape variety improvement. Experientia 42: 921–926.

Olmo, H. P., 1971 Vinifera Rotundifolia Hybrids as Wine Grapes. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 22: 87–91.

Pagès, H., P. Aboyoun, R. Gentleman, and S. DebRoy, 2019 Biostrings: Efficient manipulation of biological strings.

Paradis, E., and K. Schliep, 2019 ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R (R. Schwartz, Ed.). Bioinformatics 35: 526–528.

Patel, G. I., and H. P. Olmo, 1955 Cytogenetics of Vitis: I. The hybrid V. vinifera x V. rotundifolia. Am. J. Bot. 42: 141–159.

Patil, S. G., and V. P. Patil, 1992 Karyomorphology of Vitis vinifera, V. rotundifolia and their hybrid. Cytologia 57: 91–95.

Pauquet, J., A. Bouquet, P. This, and A.-F. Adam-Blondon, 2001 Establishment of a local map of AFLP markers around the powdery mildew resistance gene Run1 in grapevine and assessment of their usefulness for marker assisted selection. Theor Appl Genet 103: 1201–1210.

Pertea, M., G. M. Pertea, C. M. Antonescu, T.-C. Chang, J. T. Mendell *et al.*, 2015 StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. Nat Biotechnol 33: 290–295.

R Core Team, 2020 *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Ranwez, V., E. J. P. Douzery, C. Cambon, N. Chantret, and F. Delsuc, 2018 MACSE v2: Toolkit for the Alignment of Coding Sequences Accounting for Frameshifts and Stop Codons (C. Wilke, Ed.). Mol Biol Evol 35: 2582–2584.

Ravaz, L., 1902 *Les Vignes américaines. Porte greffes et producteurs directs, caractères, aptitudes*.

Riaz, S., A. C. Tenscher, D. W. Ramming, and M. A. Walker, 2011 Using a limited mapping strategy to identify major QTLs for resistance to grapevine powdery mildew (Erysiphe necator) and their use in marker-assisted breeding. Theor Appl Genet 122: 1059–1073.

Richly, E., J. Kurth, and D. Leister, 2002 Mode of Amplification and Reorganization of Resistance Genes During Recent Arabidopsis thaliana Evolution. Mol Biol Evol 19: 76–84.

RStudio Team, 2020 *RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R*. RStudio, Inc., Boston, MA.

Ruel, J. J., and M. A. Walker, 2006 Resistance to Pierce's Disease in Muscadinia rotundifolia and Other Native Grape Species. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 57: 158–165.

Slater, G., and E. Birney, 2005 Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence comparison. BMC Bioinformatics 6: 31.

Small, J. K., 1913 *Flora of the southeastern United States: being descriptions of the seed-plants, ferns and fern-allies growing naturally in North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana and in Oklahoma and Texas east of the one hundredth meridian*. J.K. Small, New York.

Smit, A., R. Hubley, and P. Green, 2013 *RepeatMasker Open-4.0*.

Sousa, A., and S. S. Renner, 2015 Interstitial telomere-like repeats in the monocot family Araceae: Chromosome Evolution in the Araceae. Bot J Linn Soc 177: 15–26.

Stanke, M., O. Keller, I. Gunduz, A. Hayes, S. Waack *et al.*, 2006 AUGUSTUS: ab initio prediction of alternative transcripts. Nucleic Acids Res. 34: W435–W439.

Staudt, G., and H. H. Kassemeyer, 1995 Evaluation of downy mildew resistance in various
accessions of wild Vitis species. Vitis 34: 225–228.
Steuernagel, B., K. Witek, S. G. Krattinger, R. H. Ramirez-Gonzalez, H. Schoonbeek *et al.*, 2020
The NLR-Annotator Tool Enables Annotation of the Intracellular Immune Receptor
Repertoire. Plant Physiol. 183: 468–482.
Vondras, A. M., A. Minio, B. Blanco-Ulate, R. Figueroa-Balderas, M. A. Penn *et al.*, 2019 The
genomic diversification of grapevine clones. BMC Genomics 20: 972.
Wagih, O., 2017 *ggseqlogo: A "ggplot2" Extension for Drawing Publication-Ready Sequence.*
Walker, M. A., L. A. Lider, A. C. Goheen, and H. P. Olmo, 1991 VR 039-16 Grape Rootstock.
HortSci 26: 1224–1225.
Walker, M. A., K. Lund, C. Agüero, S. Riaz, K. Fort *et al.*, 2014 Breeding grape rootstocks for
resistance to phylloxera and nematodes - it's not always easy. Acta Hortic. 1045: 89–97.
Wan, Y., H. R. Schwaninger, A. M. Baldo, J. A. Labate, G.-Y. Zhong *et al.*, 2013 A
phylogenetic analysis of the grape genus (Vitis L.) reveals broad reticulation and
concurrent diversification during neogene and quaternary climate change. BMC Evol
Biol 13: 141.
Wang, Y., H. Tang, J. D. DeBarry, X. Tan, J. Li *et al.*, 2012 MCScanX: a toolkit for detection
and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. Nucleic Acids Res. 40: e49.
Warren, R. F., A. Henk, P. Mowery, E. Holub, and R. W. Innes, 1998 A Mutation within the
Leucine-Rich Repeat Domain of the Arabidopsis Disease Resistance Gene RPS5 Partially
Suppresses Multiple Bacterial and Downy Mildew Resistance Genes. Plant Cell 10:
1439–1452.
Waterhouse, R. M., M. Seppey, F. A. Simão, M. Manni, P. Ioannidis et al., 2018 BUSCO
Applications from Quality Assessments to Gene Prediction and Phylogenomics. Mol.
Biol. Evol. 35: 543–548.
Wei, F., K. Gobelman-Werner, S. M. Morroll, J. Kurth, and L. Mao, 1999 The Mla (Powdery
Mildew) Resistance Cluster Is Associated With Three NBS-LRR Gene Families and
Suppressed Recombination Within a 240-kb DNA Interval on Chromosome 5S (1HS) of
Barley. Genetics 153: 1929–1948.
Wen, J., L.-M. Lu, Z.-L. Nie, X.-Q. Liu, N. Zhang *et al.*, 2018 A new phylogenetic tribal
classification of the grape family (Vitaceae): Tribal classification of Vitaceae. Jnl of
Sytematics Evolution 56: 262–272.
Wickham, H., M. Averick, J. Bryan, W. Chang, L. McGowan *et al.*, 2019 Welcome to the
Tidyverse. Journal of Open Source Software 4: 1686.
Wu, T. D., and C. K. Watanabe, 2005 GMAP: a genomic mapping and alignment program for
mRNA and EST sequences. Bioinformatics 21: 1859–1875.
Yang, Z., 2007 PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. Mol Biol Evol 24:
1586–1591.
Yu, G., D. K. Smith, H. Zhu, Y. Guan, and T. T.-Y. Lam, 2017 ggtree: an r package for
visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other
associated data. Methods Ecol. Evol. 8: 28–36.
Zhou, F., J. Kurth, F. Wei, C. Elliott, G. Valè *et al.*, 2001 Cell-Autonomous Expression of Barley
Mla1 Confers Race-Specific Resistance to the Powdery Mildew Fungus via a Rar1-
Independent Signaling Pathway. Plant Cell 13: 337–350.
Zhou, Y., A. Minio, M. Massonnet, E. Solares, Y. Lv et al., 2019 The population genetics of
structural variants in grapevine domestication. Nat. Plants 5: 965–979.

Zini, E., C. Dolzani, M. Stefanini, V. Gratl, P. Bettinelli *et al.*, 2019 R-Loci Arrangement Versus
Downy and Powdery Mildew Resistance Level: A Vitis Hybrid Survey. IJMS 20: 3526.
Zou, C., A. Karn, B. Reisch, A. Nguyen, Y. Sun et al., 2020 Haplotyping the Vitis collinear core
genome with rhAmpSeq improves marker transferability in a diverse genus. Nat.
Commun. 11: 413.
711

A Trayshed

B Cabernet Sauvignon

Hap1
Hap2

RUN1/RPV1

Position (Mbp)

C 0.05

▲ Trayshed
● Cabernet Sauvignon

E

Time (Ma)

CC–NBS–LRR   TIR–NBS–LRR   NBS–LRR
CC–NBS   TIR–NBS   NBS
CC–X   TIR–X

D