Schizosaccharomyces pombe KAT5 contributes to resection and repair of a DNA double strand break

Tingting Li[1], Ruben C. Petreaca[1&2] and Susan L. Forsburg[1&]*

[1]Program of Molecular and Computational Biology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles CA 90089-2910

[2]Department of Molecular Genetics, Ohio State University, Marion OH 43302

*Corresponding author at Forsburg@usc.edu

Keywords: fission yeast, DSB repair, KAT5, Mst1, resection

Abstract

Chromatin remodeling is essential for effective repair of a DNA double strand break. KAT5 (*S. pombe* Mst1, human TIP60) is a MYST family histone acetyltransferase conserved from yeast to humans that coordinates various DNA damage response activities at a DSB, including histone remodeling and activation of the DNA damage checkpoint. In *S. pombe*, mutations in *mst1+* causes sensitivity to DNA damaging drugs. Here we show that Mst1 is recruited to DSBs. Mutation of *mst1+* disrupts recruitment of repair proteins and delays resection. These defects are partially rescued by deletion of *pku70*, which has been previously shown to antagonize repair by homologous recombination. These phenotypes of *mst1* are similar to *pht1-4KR*, a non-acetylatable form of histone variant H2A.Z, which has been proposed to affect resection. These data suggest that Mst1 functions to direct repair of DSBs towards homologous recombination pathways by modulating resection at the double strand break.

Introduction

The DNA damage response choreographs multiple cellular activities such as lesion detection, activation of the DNA damage checkpoint, break repair, and recovery (Langerak and Russell 2011). Chromatin remodeling is central to the faithful execution of these processes, requiring the coordination of histone modifiers, remodelers, and chromatin assembly factors (rev. in (Bakkenist and Kastan 2015; Miller and Jackson 2012; Price and D'Andrea 2013; Ransom, Dennehey, and Tyler 2010; Rossetto et al. 2010). Acetylation of histone H4 is one marker associated with chromatin remodeling at a double strand break (DSB), a potentially lethal form of DNA damage. There is good evidence that histone H4 acetylation is linked to radioresistance and the repair response, possibly by facilitating chromatin mobilization (e.g., (Bird et al. 2002; Downs et al. 2004; Murr et al. 2005; Song, McCann, and Brown 2008; Tamburini and Tyler 2005; Xu et al. 2012)).

The MYST family of histone acetyltransferases (HATs) is defined by a distinct catalytic domain, and its members are implicated in histone acetylation at DSBs (Thomas and Voss 2007; Utley and Côté 2003). KAT5 is

the most highly conserved HAT of this family, known as Mst1 in *S. pombe,* Esa1 in *S. cerevisiae*, and TIP60 in mammals (reviewed in (Avvakumov and Côté 2007; Pillus 2008)). The gene is essential for viability in all species, because in addition to its role in DSB repair it performs other functions such as transcriptional regulation, heterochromatin assembly and centromere assembly (Doyon and Côté 2004; Ghobashi and Kamel 2018; Gómez et al. 2008; Nugent et al. 2010; Pillus 2008). In humans, TIP60 has also been identified as a tumor suppressor (rev. (Sapountzi, Logan, and Robson 2006; Voss and Thomas 2009) and is a therapeutic target (rev. in (Judes et al. 2015).

KAT5 contains a chromodomain in addition to its HAT domain, which suggests that it binds methylated histones (rev. in (De La Cruz et al. 2005; Utley and Côté 2003)). KAT5 functions in the context of a large protein complex called NuA4, and acetylates numerous substrates including histones H2A, H3, and H4, and the histone variants H2A.X and H2A.Z (Allard et al. 1999; Babiarz, Halley, and Rine 2006; Galarneau et al. 2000; Keogh et al. 2006; Kimura and Horikoshi 1998; Smith et al. 1998). Evidence from human cells has shown that KAT5 can acetylate non-histone proteins as well, including the checkpoint kinase ATM and the tumor suppressor TP53 (X. Jiang et al. 2006; M.-Y. Kim et al. 2007; Leduc et al. 2006; Naidu, Lakhter, and Androphy 2012; Ortega-Atienza et al. 2016; Sun et al. 2005; Sykes et al. 2006; Y. Tang et al. 2006). Importantly, NuA4 also associates with the Swr1 chromatin remodeler that is required for exchange of histone H2A with the variant H2A.Z (Keogh et al. 2006; Kobor et al. 2004; Krogan et al. 2004; Zhou et al. 2010).

Evidence from both yeast and humans shows that the NuA4 complex is recruited to DSBs by multiple binding partners including phosphorylated H2A(X) (Downs et al. 2004), methylated histone H4K20 (Jacquet et al. 2016), methylated histone H3K36 (Li and Wang 2017), methylated histone H3K9 via the KAT5 chromodomain (Sun et al. 2005; Sun et al. 2009, Ayrapetov et al. 2014), and interaction with Nbs1, a component of the end-binding resection complex MRN (Xue Cheng et al. 2018). In fission yeast, physical association between KAT5/SpMst1 and the homologous recombination protein Rad52 has also been demonstrated (Gómez et al. 2008). However, how these recruiting partners cooperate or compete with each other is unknown.

Recruitment of the NuA4 complex to the sites of DSBs has several outcomes. There is evidence that the recruitment of variant H2A.Z and its subsequent acetylation by KAT5 are an essential early step in the DNA damage response (Gursoy-Yuzugullu, Ayrapetov, and Price 2015; Morillo-huesca et al. 2010; Papamichos-Chronakis et al. 2011; Xu et al. 2012). H2A.Z must be acetylated and then removed so that KAT5 can acetylate H4 (Bird et al. 2002; Clarke et al. 2017; Downs et al. 2004; Doyon et al. 2004; Murr et al. 2005). Acetylation of the damage specific phosophorylated histone variant γ-H2A(X) promotes its turnover (Ikura et al. 2007; Jha, Shibata, and Dutta 2008; Sharma et al. 2010; Soria, Polo, and Almouzni 2012). There is also evidence that NuA4 acetylates the ssDNA binding protein RPA, to regulate resection (Cheng et al. 2018; Kobayashi et al. 2010; Xu et al. 2010). This activity may be important to activate the homologous recombination pathway as opposed to

other types of repair (Jacquet et al. 2016; Renaud, Barascu, and Rosselli 2015).

In fission yeast, Mst1 has multiple activities in chromosome structure, heterochromatin assembly,

centromere function, and transcription (Gómez et al. 2008; H. S. Kim et al. 2009; Minoda et al. 2005; Nugent et

al. 2010; Xhemalce and Kouzarides 2010). Previously, we showed that a temperature sensitive mutation *mst1ts*

(*mst1Δ nmt:mst1-L344S*) results in sensitivity to DNA damaging agents even at permissive temperatures (Gómez

et al. 2008; Gómez, Espinosa, and Forsburg 2005). Although we showed that *mst1+* affects transcription of a

variety of genes, changes in expression do not appear sufficient to account for its DNA damage sensitivity (Nugent

et al. 2010). Here, we present evidence that *mst1+* affects DSB repair in fission yeast. We show that Mst1 is

recruited to DNA double strand breaks. *mst1-L344S* cells show defects in recruiting downstream factors including

Rad52 and RPA. Interestingly, this phenotype is partially rescued by deletion of the end-binding protein pKu70,

normally required for NHEJ repair. Our data suggest that Mst1 contributes to efficient resection. Finally, a non-

acetylatable H2A.Z mutant (*pht1-4KR*) phenocopies *mst1-L344S*, indicating that H2A.Z may be an important

substrate for this effect.

Materials and methods

Strains and Media

Fission yeast cells were grown in YES (yeast extract with supplements) or PMG (pombe minimal

glutamate) with appropriate supplements (Sabatinos and Forsburg 2010). Yeast strains used in this research are

listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material.

Serial Dilution plating

Yeast cell cultures were grown at 25ºC in YES for two days. Cultures were diluted in YES to equal

concentrations. Five-fold serial dilutions of the cultures were then spotted onto YES plates containing different

concentrations of drugs. Plates were incubated at 25ºC or 32ºC for the time indicated in each figure legend.

Determination of DSB-induced recombination rates

DSB-induced recombination outcomes were determined using the strain *ade6-M26*

int::pUC8/ura4+/MATa/ade6-L469 described in (Fortunato, Osman, and Subramani 1996; Schuchert and Kohli

1988). The *S. cerevisiae* homothallic endonuclease (HO) was expressed on a plasmid from the *nmt1* promoter.

Cells were first grown up in PMG (pombe minimal glutamate) liquid media lacking uracil and thiamine (PMG -

ura -thia) for two days. Cell cultures were then plated onto PMG -ura -leu +thia agar media for five days at 25ºC.

After the five-day incubation, colonies were then streaked onto PMG -leu -thia agar media and incubated at 25ºC

for seven days (HO expression induced). Three colonies from each induced-break plate were then selected and

serially diluted 1:100 in sterile MilliQ H2O. 100μl of the diluted cultures was plated onto PMG low ade -leu -thia

agar media. Agar plates were incubated at 25ºC. After the 14-day incubation, red, white and red/white half

sectored colonies were counted and marked. Plates were then replica plated onto PMG -leu -ade -thia and PMG -ade, -ura -thia and allowed to grow for another six days at 25ºC before counting colonies with each phenotype. At least three biological replicates were performed. About 8% are likely "complex" rearrangement, for example colonies that were red/white half sectored on PMG low ade -leu -thia agar media. These colonies arise from recombination intermediates that were not repaired before replication (Fortunato, Osman, and Subramani 1996).

Live-cell imaging and Quantitative Measurements

Live cells imaging was performed as described in (Green, Sabatinos, and Forsburg 2015). Yeast cell cultures were grown at 25ºC in YES overnight. Cells were transferred into PMG + HULAA (Histidine, Uracil, Leucine, Adenine, Arginine) liquid cultures at 25ºC for 16 hours before treating with the indicated drugs for four hours. Cells were collected at OD_{595} 0.3 -0.6, concentrated by centrifuging 1ml at 1500g for 1min and resuspended in 40μl. Concentrated cells were placed on a thin-film pad of 2% agarose in PMG+HULAA on a glass slide. A coverslip was added. Live cells were imaged on DeltaVision Core microscope with softWoRx v4.1 (GE, Issaquah, WA), using a 60X lens, and then deconvolved and projected in softWoRx software. Images were acquired in 13 0.2μm z-sections, then deconvolved and Maximum Intensity Projected (softWoRx, default settings). Two separate fields were imaged in each experiment, and 2 to 4 biological replicates were assessed for consistency. Images for publication were contrast adjusted using an equivalent histogram stretch on all samples. Color balance was adjusted, and scale bars were added in Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012). Significance was calculated using the Mann-Whitney U test.

LacO LacI-mCherry DSB array colocalization with CFP-tagged Rad52

Co-localization was performed as described in (Yu et al. 2013). Cells were cultured at 25ºC in PMG + HULAA (Histidine, Uracil, Leucine, Adenine, Arginine) +Thiamine liquid media to OD_{595} of 0.4-0.6. Cells were then washed twice with PMG +HULAA medium and incubated at 25ºC for 19 hours to induce HO-driven DSB break. Following induction, cells were collected at OD_{595} of 0.3 to 0.6, and were processed and imaged as described above.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed as in (Du, Nakamura, and Russell 2006). Cells were grown in EMM+HULA+Thiamine overnight to OD_{595}~0.6. Cells were washed 3 times with EMM+HULA and released in EMM+HULA-Thiamine at 32ºC with shaking. Samples were taken after 14hrs (T=0), 20hrs (T=6), 22hrs(T=8), 24hrs (T=10), 26hrs (T=12) and 28hrs (T=14). All samples were fixed with 1% formaldehyde for 20min followed by 5 minutes quenching with Glycine. Cells were lysed in standard ChIP buffer and immunoprecipitations were set up using antibodies against GFP (Abcam290), V5(Abcam15828), and Myc (Abcam9132). DNA was column purified using a Qiagen PCR purification kit and multiplex PCR was done as

described previously (Du et al 2006). PCR gels were scanned on the BioRad FX scanner and quantified using the Quantity One software.

Western Blots

For the time course experiment, cells were grown at 32_oC in YES to $OD_{595} \sim 0.6$ then treated with 40μM camptothecin for 4hrs. Cells were washed three times with YES, then released in YES without drug. Time points were taken at T=0 (before addition of drug), T=4 (after 4hrs of drug treatment), and T=6, 8 (two and four hours after removal of drug). Cells were lysed in 20% TCA. Antibodies against phosphorylated H2A (Abcam 17353) and control PCNA (Santa Cruz-56 PC10) were used. For the Chk1-HA phosphorylation experiment, cells were grown at 32_oC in YES to $OD_{595} \sim 0.6$ then treated for 4hrs with 40μM camptothecin, 15mM HU or 0.01%MMS then cells were processed as described above. An anti-HA antibody (12CA5 Abcam16918) was used.

Results

Mst1 is important for double-strand break repair in _S. pombe_

Mst1 homologs in mammalian cells (TIP60) and _S. cerevisiae_ (_ESA1_) are known to be important for DSB repair (Bird et al. 2002, Sun et al. 2005, Ikura et al. 2007, Tang et al. 2006, rev. Ghobashi and Kamel 2018). We have previously shown that a _S. pombe mst1+_ temperature sensitive allele (_mst1-L344S_) renders cells sensitive to various DNA damaging drugs (Gomez _et al_ 2008). Here we expand these studies to further dissect the role of _mst1+_ in DSB repair.

Our original _mst1-L344S_ construct is expressed from the _nmt1_ promoter inserted at the _leu1+_ locus in a deletion background (_mst1Δ nmt:mst1-L344S_) Gómez et al. 2008) but more recently, the same allele was been engineered at the native locus under control of the endogenous _mst1+_ promoter (_mst1-L344S_) (Garabedian et al. 2012). We tested the sensitivity of both versions to bleomycin, which creates single and double strand DNA breaks (Stubbe and Kozarich 1987; Burger 1998). Both these strains were modestly sensitive to the drug at 25ºC (Figure 1; Gómez et al. 2008), consistent with a requirement for Mst1 in DSB repair. Because the newer _mst1-L344S_ allele does not generate autofluorescence to the same extent as our original allele, we employed it here for visual assays.

We investigated the role of _mst1+_ in repair of a single DSB break. We first utilized a strain that uses the homothallic switching endonuclease (HO) to induce a single double strand break between two non-tandem repeats as originally described by (Schuchert and Kohli 1988) and modified by (Osman, Adriance, and McCready 2000) (Figure 2A). In this strain, a functional _ura4+_ gene is flanked by two full length non-functional _ade6_ alleles, each containing a different point mutation. The HO endonuclease makes a DSB at _MATa_ site adjacent to _ura4+_. The repair outcomes can be determined by selecting Ade+ cells and screening for Ura+ (Ahn, Osman, and Whitby 2005; Fortunato, Osman, and Subramani 1996; Osman, Adriance, and McCready 2000; Osman, Fortunato, and

Subramani 1996). Uracil auxotrophic colonies ("deletion type", whether Ade+ or Ade-) result from deletion and rearrangement, in which cells repaired the lesion by Single Strand Annealing (SSA), Non-Homologous End-Joining (NHEJ), or more complex rearrangements. In contrast, Ade+ colonies that retain Ura+ ("conversion type") are presumed to derive from gene conversion via homologous recombination.

Following induction of the DSB, we observed that the survival of *mst1-L344S* was much lower than the survival in wild-type cells (Figure 2B), consistent with a repair defect. In wild-type cells, about 55.64% of colonies are deletion type (Ade+/Ade- Ura-) while 36.70% of colonies were conversion type (Ade+ Ura+) (Figure 3C). In the surviving *mst1-L344S* cells, we observed a significantly higher percentage of colonies showing deletion-type repair (75.3%) than in wild type (Figure 2C). These data suggest that wild type *mst1+* biases repair towards conversion-type, error-free recombination.

To examine whether Mst1 physically localizes to DSB breaks as has been reported for *Hs*TIP60 and *Sc*Esa1 (Downs and Jackson 2004; Sun et al. 2009), we employed a strain previously engineered to test recruitment of proteins by ChIP to one single double strand break (Figure 2D) (Du, Nakamura, and Russell 2006). This assay can monitor both temporal and spatial recruitment of proteins to a single DSB. Mst1-V5 appears to arrive at the break before Mre11-myc and Rad52-YFP. By 12h post-induction, Mre11 becomes concentrated at the break (compare 0.2Kb oh with 12h). We also find that Mst1 and Rad52 spread away from the break while Mre11 remains localized.

Mst1 contributes to recruitment of repair factors to DSBs

We examined the recruitment of homologous recombination proteins in *mst1-L344S* cells using a visual screen. First, we looked at the localization of Rad52 and RPA proteins in response to phleomycin (an analog of bleomycin; Belenguer et al. 1995) using live-cell imaging (Figure 3A, Supplementary Figure S1). We observed that phleomycin treatment generates a lower percentage of *mst1-L344S* cells with repair foci compared to wild-type. We also imaged cells with GFP-tagged Rad54, a recombination protein that is downstream of Rad52 (Figure 3B; Onaka et al. 2016). Similar to RPA and Rad52, fewer *mst1-L344S* cells showed Rad54 foci (Figure 3B). These observations suggest that Mst1 is required for the normal recruitment of downstream HR factors.

Next, we examined the recruitment of Rad52 at a specific DSB site. We utilized a strain that contains a mCherry-LacI marked HO-induced DSB and CFP-tagged Rad52 (Yu et al. 2013). We imaged both wild-type and *mst1-L344S* cells over a four-hour period. In wild-type cells, we observed that 75% of total Rad52 foci co-localize with mCherry-DSB foci until 100 minutes (Figure 3C top). After 100 minutes, the percentage of colocalization dropped in wild type, because the mCherry-LacI signal disappears (Figure 3C bottom and Figure 3D). This loss of mCherry-lacI presumably reflects resection at DSBs that eliminates the LacO target (Leland et al. 2018; Yu et

al. 2013). Consistent with prior reports, we observed that the mCherry-DSB foci dropped to 25% by the end of four-hour time-course (Figure 3D, Yu et al. 2013). In contrast, in *mst1-L344S* cells, fewer than 40% of total Rad52 foci colocalize with mCherry-DSB foci across the four-hour time-course (Figure 3C top). Strikingly, however, over 90% of mCherry-DSB signal remained even at the end of the time-course (Figure 3C bottom and Figure 3D). This suggests that in the absence of functional Mst1, resection is inhibited.

Processing of DSBs and a role for Ku

The heterodimeric Ku complex is very abundant and binds rapidly and immediately to DSBs (Fell and Schild-Poulter 2015; Shibata, Jeggo, and Löbrich 2018). Ku inhibits initial resection driven by MRN, while MRN removes Ku to promote proper resection and facilitate homologous recombination (HR) (Langerak et al. 2011; Myler et al. 2017; Shao et al. 2012; Shibata, Jeggo, and Löbrich 2018; Tomita et al. 2003). Unexpectedly, we found that *pku70Δ* partially rescues the bleomycin sensitivity of *mst1-L344S* (Figure 1).

We wondered whether pKu70 also affects the recruitment of repair proteins in *mst1-L344S*. Therefore, we imaged fluorescently tagged RPA under phleomycin treatment and observed that the percentage of cells with RPA foci in *pku70Δ* and *pku70Δ mst1-L344S* is higher than in *mst1-L344S*, although the percentage is still significantly lower than that in wild-type cells (Figure 3A). We also observed a higher fraction of *pku70Δ mst1-L344S* cells with Rad52 foci in phleomycin treatment than either *mst1-L344S* or *pku70Δ* single mutants (Figure 3A). The percentage of cells with Rad54 foci increases in *mst1-L344S pku70Δ* compared to *mst1-L344S* and is similar to that in wild-type (Figure 3B).

Next, we used the mCherry-LacI marked HO-induced DSB system to examine the apparent resection of DSB in *pku70Δ* mutants (Figure 3D). We find that *pku70Δ* single mutant cells do show a reduction in mCherry-DSB foci over time, but this effect is far more modest than that in wild-type. At the end of the time-course, just under 70% of the *pku70Δ* cells still contain mCherry-DSB foci. We observed a similar phenotype in *pku70Δ mst1-L344S* cells, indicating that the loss of *pku70* is epistatic to the *mst1* phenotype (Figure 3D).

Long range resection is initiated by MRN and extended by the exonuclease Exo1, as well as other proteins (Langerak et al. 2011; Zhu et al. 2008). We observed that mCherry-LacI marked DSBs do not disappear over the time-course in *exo1Δ*, in contrast to wild-type (Figure 3D), and consistent with a failure in resection. We observed that *exo1Δ, mst1-L344S,* and the double mutant *exo1Δ mst1-L344S* all show a similar persistence of the mCherry signal. Additionally, *exo1Δ mst1-L344S* has similar sensitivity to bleomycin as the *mst1-L344S* single mutant and this sensitivity is rescued by *pku70Δ* (Figure 1). These data suggest that *mst1+* and *exo1+* are in the same epistatic pathway (Figure 1).

Finally, we observed that percentage of cells with repair foci in *mst1-L344S pku70Δ* double mutant is higher even in untreated cells, compared to wild-type or *mst1-L344S* single mutants (Figure 3). This suggests that there is an intrinsic genome instability in the double mutant, although cell growth and cell length at septation were not significantly affected (Figure 1; Supplementary Figure S2).

Is H2A.Z a target of Mst1 to regulate DSB repair?

It is known in other organisms that the presence and turnover of the histone variant H2A.Z (*S. pombe* Pht1), a KAT5 substrate, is important for the proper repair of DSBs by regulating resection (Gursoy-Yuzugullu, Ayrapetov, and Price 2015; Y. Jiang et al. 2015; Xu et al. 2012). In human cells, H2A.Z depletion leads to higher ssDNA accumulation and lower DSB recovery from HR (Y. Jiang et al. 2015; Xu et al. 2012). Failure to remove H2A.Z at DSBs in human cells leads to higher RPA binding and higher recovery from HR (Gursoy-Yuzugullu, Ayrapetov, and Price 2015). In fission yeast, H2A.Z is a known substrate of Mst1, and a mutant *pht1-4KR* that disrupts the Mst1 acetylation sites causes genome instability and anaphase defects (H. S. Kim et al. 2009). To investigate the role of H2A.Z acetylation in repair, we compared the phenotype of *pht1-4KR* to *mst1-L344S*. We observed significant reduction in RPA and Rad52 foci in *pht1-4KR* at 25ºC similar to that observed with *mst1-L344S* cells (Figure 3A). However, the sensitivity to bleomycin we observe in *pht1-4KR* is not rescued by *pku70Δ* (Figure 1).

We also compared the phenotypes of *pht1Δ* and *pht1-4KR* cells. *pht1Δ* showed fewer cells with RPA foci compared to wild type under phleomycin treatment (Figure 3A). Rad52 foci were also reduced in *pht1Δ* compared to wild type under phleomycin treatment (Figure 3A). However, the effect of *pht1-4KR* cells was more dramatic than *pht1Δ,* and much closer to the observations in *mst1-L344S.* (Figure 3A). We also examined the mCherry-DSB reporter system to identify resection. As with *mst1-L344S* and *exo1Δ*, *pht1-4KR* cells showed a persistent mCherry-DSB signal, consistent with a failure to resect (Figure 3D).

The damage checkpoint is normal in *mst1-L344S*

Previously, we showed that the temperature-sensitive allele *mst1Δ leu1+::nmt-mst1-L344S* is sensitive to S-phase specific genotoxins including methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), which causes alkylation damage that inhibits replication, and camptothecin (CPT), a topoisomerase-I inhibitor that causes lesions during S-phase (Frampton et al. 2006; Fung et al. 2002; Morishita et al. 2003; Wan, Capasso, and Walworth 1999). In contrast to our observations with phleomycin, we observed that the percentage of cells with RPA and Rad52 foci was higher in *mst1-L344S* cells treated with these S-phase specific genotoxins than that in wild-type (Figure 4A). This is consistent with different repair pathways being activated by these S–phase specific lesions.

We examined genetic interactions between *mst1-L344S* and mutants that affect checkpoint and repair

responses under treatment with a variety of S-phase specific genome stressors (Supplementary Figure S3). A modest growth defect in untreated cells was observed for the double mutant *mst1-L344S rad3Δ* but not for others. In cells treated with UV or MMS at 25°C, we observed a striking negative interaction between *mst1-L344S* and damage checkpoint mutants *chk1Δ* or *crb2Δ* at 25°C, suggesting a requirement for an active damage checkpoint response under these treatments. We treated cells with MMS, CPT or HU and performed western blots against HA tagged Chk1. In wild-type, Chk1 is phosphorylated in cells treated with four-hour CPT or MMS, but not in untreated cells or cells treated with HU (Figure 4B; Walworth and Bernards 1996). We observed a similar phosphorylation pattern in *mst1-L344S* mutant (Figure 4B). H2A phosphorylation, one of the first sensors of DNA damage and double strand breaks, was also present in *mst1-L344S* cells after 4-hour CPT treatment as in wild-type cells and diminished after removing drugs from cell culture (Figure 4C). Mst1 is not required for checkpoint activation in response to S-phase specific lesions but depends on that response for viability.

Discussion

The MYST protein Mst1 (hTIP60; ScEsa1; KAT5) is the catalytic subunit of the NuA4 histone acetyltransferase complex, and makes pleiotropic contributions to genome stability (rev. in (Lafon et al. 2007; Pillus 2008)). Multiple studies indicate that hTIP60 and ScEsa1 contribute to DSB repair through acetylation (rev. in (Ghobashi and Kamel 2018)), and show that the acetyltransferase binds near DSB regions (Cheng et al. 2018; Li and Wang 2017; Sun et al. 2009; Xu et al. 2010). The budding yeast ScEsa1 acetylates ssDNA binding protein RPA at DSBs (Xue; Cheng et al. 2018) and in mammalian cells, Tip60 promotes HR localization of recombination protein Rad51 (Murr et al. 2005).

Previously we showed that Mst1 is *S. pombe* is essential for viability and we demonstrated the sensitivity of *mst1Δ nmt:mst1-L344S* to a number of genotoxins (Gómez et al. 2008; Gómez, Espinosa, and Forsburg 2005). However, the autofluorescence of this particular construct precluded analysis of repair markers *in vivo*. A different version of the same *mst1-L344S* allele integrated at the endogenous locus has reduced viability at the semi-permissive 32°C compared to *mst1Δ nmt:mst1-L344S* (Garabedian et al. 2012), but showed a similar range of sensitivities (Figure 1) and no autofluorescence. In this paper, we have further investigated Mst1's role in DNA damage response and its function in DSB repair using this version of the conditional allele.

We confirmed that Mst1 in *S. pombe* is important for DSB repair because both temperature sensitive alleles are sensitive to a DSB-inducing environment including treatment phleomycin or bleomycin, and constitutive HO-nuclease expression (Figure 1, 2B and 3). Additionally, our data indicate that Mst1 directly localizes to DSBs (Figure 2D). We observed that that even under permissive growth conditions, *mst1-L344S* shifts the balance of repair away from HR towards SSA or NHEJ (Figure 2C). We also observed a lower percentage of

mst1-L344S cells compared to wild type show visible foci of HR proteins, including RPA, Rad52 and Rad54.

These observations suggest that Mst1 facilitates homologous recombination to repair DSBs, and in its absence,

HR is impaired (Figure 5).

There are conflicting data as to whether Kat5/NuA4 influences non-homologous end joining (NHEJ),

which is typically the alternative pathway for repair if HR is inhibited (Chang et al. 2017). In budding yeast,

ScEsa1 has been reported to be essential for NHEJ at DSBs (Bird et al. 2002). However, studies in mammalian

cells suggest hTIP60 instead inhibits NHEJ by acetylating H2A and H4; this reduces loading of 53BP1 protein

that promotes NHEJ (Jacquet et al. 2016; Renaud, Barascu, and Rosselli 2015; J. Tang et al. 2013). Consistent

with this, in, budding yeast deletion of the 53BP1 orthologue Sc*rad9* increases resection efficiency (Bonetti et al.

2015) and similarly, fission yeast *crb2Δ* mutants have increased resection (Leland et al. 2018). We did not

specifically examine efficiency of NHEJ, but our data suggest that Mst1 affects homologous recombination, and

that long-range resection is defective in a *mst1* mutant (Figure 3 and Figure 5).

To monitor resection, we used a visual assay in which a lacO array marked by lacI-mCherry is adjacent

to an induced double strand break. Previous studies have shown that loss of the lacO array adjacent to a break

correlates with resection (Leland et al. 2018; Yu et al. 2013). Indeed, we find that the mCherry signal declines

over time in a wild type in the presence of the induced break, but not in an *exo1Δ* mutant that lacks the long-range

exonuclease. We observe that *mst1-L344S* resembles *exo1Δ* in this assay. The data from this, as well as sensitivity

to DSB-inducing drugs, suggest that *mst1* and *exo1* are in a common epistasis group (Figure 5).

The end-binding protein complex Ku inhibits resection and thus, homologous recombination (rev. in

Shibata, Jeggo, and Löbrich 2018). Its activity is coordinated with the Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 (MRN) complex

associated with early steps in resection (rev. in Shibata, Jeggo, and Löbrich 2018; Syed and Tainer 2018). While

Ku inhibits resection driven by MRN, MRN removes Ku to facilitate homologous recombination (HR) over NHEJ

(Langerak and Russell 2011; Myler et al. 2017; Shao et al. 2012; Shibata, Jeggo, and Löbrich 2018). Previous

work has shown that *pku70Δ* rescues resection in the absence of the MRN complex (Langerak et al. 2011;

Teixeira-Silva et al. 2017; Tomita et al. 2003). Consistent with this, we found that deletion of pKu70 partially

rescues *mst1* and *mst1 exo1Δ* sensitivity to DSB-inducing agents, and partly restores recruitment of HR proteins.

The *pku70Δ mst1-L344S* cells show similar percentage of RPA foci as in *pku70Δ* single mutant in phleomycin,

but a higher percentage of cells with Rad52 than either *pku70Δ* or *mst1-L344S* alone. We also observe an

intermediate effect of pKu70 on resection in our visual assay. These observations suggest that Mst1 activity is

required to antagonize a Ku-associated function that inhibits HR (Figure 5).

Multiple studies show the presence and the turnover of the histone variant H2A.Z (SpPht1) is required

for DSB repair (Gursoy-Yuzugullu, Ayrapetov, and Price 2015; Y. Jiang et al. 2015; Kalocsay, Hiller, and Jentsch

2009; Xu et al. 2012). In mammalian cells, the absence of H2A.Z leads to more ssDNA accumulation and reduced

pKu70 localization (Gursoy-Yuzugullu, Ayrapetov, and Price 2015; Xu et al. 2012). We observed fewer cells

with RPA foci in *pht1Δ*. Indeed, the loss of resection in *mst1* mutants can be partially rescued by deletion of

pku70, similar to the rescue of MRN mutants by Ku. Again, this places *mst1* genetically in a pathway leading to

resection (Figure 5).

Exchange of H2A.Z is required for H4 acetylation by hTip60, which creates open chromatin (Downs et

al. 2004; Gursoy-Yuzugullu, Ayrapetov, and Price 2015; Murr et al. 2006; Sun et al. 2009; Xu et al. 2010, 2012).

Several acetyltransferases have been implicated in H2A.Z acetylation including Kat5 (Mst1) and Kat2A (Gcn5)

(Babiarz, Halley, and Rine 2006; Keogh et al. 2006; H. S. Kim et al. 2009; Kusch et al. 2004; Millar et al. 2006;

Semer et al. 2019; Zlatanova and Thakar 2008). In mammalian cells, the deposition of H2A.Z precedes its

acetylation (Xu et al. 2012), while in Drosophila, the acetylation of H2A.Z homolog H2Av stimulates its removal

after DNA damage (Kusch et al. 2004). Blocking Tip60 prevents both H2A.Z exchange and H4 acetylation

(Morillo-huesca et al. 2010; Papamichos-Chronakis et al. 2011; Shastri et al. 2018; Xu et al. 2012).

To investigate whether H2A.Z acetylation by Mst1 influences repair, we used a non-acetylable mutant of

H2A.Z, *pht1-4KR*. This mutation has previously been shown to disrupt Mst1 acetylation sites and leads to

chromosome segregation errors (H. S. Kim et al. 2009). We saw a similar percentage of *pht1-4KR* cells with RPA

and Rad52 foci as that in *mst1-L344S* cells, both significantly lower than that in wild type. Additionally, mCherry-

DSB foci in *pht1-4KR* did not disappear across the time-course, and the percentage of mCherry-DSB persistence

was similar to that in *mst1-L344S* (Figure 3D). This suggests that *pht1-4KR* and *mst1-344S* are in a common

epistasis group. We propose that acetylation of H2A.Z by Mst1 facilitates the subsequent removal of H2A.Z at

DSBs. We suggest that *pht1-4KR* once inserted cannot be efficiently removed, and prevents H4 acetylation and

chromatin decompaction essentially locking the chromatin in a form refractory to long range resection. Consistent

with this model, the sensitivity of *pht1-4KR* to DSBs is more severe than that of *pht1Δ,* suggesting that an

immobile H2A.Z is more damaging than no H2A.Z at all. Since *pht1-4KR* sensitivity to bleomycin is not rescued

by *pku70Δ* (Figure 1)*,* we propose that H2A.Z binds to DSBs after pKu70 is removed, and therefore removing

pKu70 cannot rescue the immobilization of H2A.Z.

Finally, we show that the temperature sensitive allele *mst1Δ leu1+::nmt:mst1-L344S* is sensitive to S phase

specific genotoxins including methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) and camptothecin (CPT) (Gómez et al. 2008).

Studies in *S. cerevisiae* also showed Esa1's importance to MMS-induced damage repair (Bird et al. 2002; Renaud-

Young et al. 2015). This is consistent with a recent study showing that Mst1 in *S. pombe* is important for CPT-

induced damage repair (Noguchi et al. 2019).

Compromised DNA damage repair could be the result of defective damage checkpoint activation. In

mammalian cells, TIP60 is known to activate signaling pathway by acetylating ATM and p53 (Sun et al. 2005;

Sykes et al. 2006; Y. Tang et al. 2006). However, we find that the temperature sensitive allele *mst1Δ mst1-L344S*

does not affect activation DNA damage checkpoint Chk1 or phosphorylation of H2A(X).

Taken together, our data suggest that SpMst1contributes to repair of a variety of DNA lesions. As in other

organisms, our data show that Mst1 acetyltransferase has a particular role in double strand break repair, where it

facilitates homologous recombination by promoting long range resection and antagonizing NHEJ-promoting

factors such as Ku (Figure 5). Our data suggest that this depends on acetylation of H2A.Z. The conservation of

function of this acetyltransferase across eukaryotes indicates the fundamental nature of chromatin modification

in DNA repair transactions.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Li-Lin Du, Michael Keogh, Takuro Nakagawa, Eishi Noguchi, Mathew

O'Connell, and Simon Whitehall for strains. We thank Ji-Ping Yuan and Amanda Jensen for assistance and

technical support. We are grateful to current members of the Forsburg lab for many helpful comments and

discussions throughout the course of the study. This work was supported by

References

Ahn, Jong Sook, Fekret Osman, and Matthew C. Whitby. 2005. "Replication Fork Blockage by RTS1 at an

Ectopic Site Promotes Recombination in Fission Yeast." *EMBO Journal* 24(11): 2011–23.

Allard, Stéphane et al. 1999. "The NuA4 Transcription Activation/Histone H4 Acetyltransferase Complex

Contains the Essential Esa1 Protein as the Catalytic Subunit and the Essential ATM-Related Cofactor

Tra1p." *Biochemistry and Cell Biology* 77(4): 5108–19.

http://www.nrcresearchpress.com/doi/10.1139/o99-903.

Avvakumov, N.;, and J. Côté. 2007. "The MYST Family of Histone Acetyltransferases and Their Intimate

Links to Cancer." *Oncogene* 26(37): 5395–5407.

Ayrapetov, M. K. et al. 2014. "DNA Double-Strand Breaks Promote Methylation of Histone H3 on Lysine 9

and Transient Formation of Repressive Chromatin." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

111(25): 9169–74. http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1403565111.

Babiarz, Joshua E., Jeffrey E. Halley, and Jasper Rine. 2006. "Telomeric Heterochromatin Boundaries Require

NuA4-Dependent Acetylation of Histone Variant H2A.Z in Saccharomyces Cerevisiae." *Genes and*

Development.

Bakkenist, Christopher J., and Michael B. Kastan. 2015. "Chromatin Perturbations during the DNA Damage

Response in Higher Eukaryotes." *DNA Repair* 36: 8–12.

Belenguer, P., M.-L. Oustrin, G. Tiraby, and B. Ducommun. 1995. "Effects of Phleomycin-Induced DNA

Damage on the Fission Yeast Schizosaccharomyces Pombe Cell Cycle." *Yeast* 11(3): 225–31.

Bird, A W; et al. 2002. "Acetylation of Histone H4 by Esa1 Is Required for DNA Double-Strand Break Repair."

Nature 419(September): 411–15. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12353039.

Bonetti, Diego et al. 2015. " Escape of Sgs1 from Rad9 Inhibition Reduces the Requirement for Sae2 and

Functional MRX in DNA End Resection ." *EMBO reports* 16(3): 351–61.

Burger, Richard M. 1998. "Cleavage of Nucleic Acids by Bleomycin." 2665(96): 16–19.

Chang, Howard H.Y., Nicholas R. Pannunzio, Noritaka Adachi, and Michael R. Lieber. 2017. "Non-

Homologous DNA End Joining and Alternative Pathways to Double-Strand Break Repair." *Nature*

Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 18(8): 495–506. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.48.

Cheng, Xue; et al. 2018. "Phospho-Dependent Recruitment of NuA4 by MRX at DNA Breaks Regulates RPA

Dynamics during Resection." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*

America 6(Suppl 1): P93. http://www.pnas.org/content/pnas/early/2018/09/13/1806513115.full.pdf.

Cheng, Xue et al. 2018. "Phospho-Dependent Recruitment of the Yeast NuA4 Acetyltransferase Complex by

MRX at DNA Breaks Regulates RPA Dynamics during Resection." *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences of the United States of America 115(40): 10028–33.

Clarke, Thomas L.; et al. 2017. "PRMT5-Dependent Methylation of the TIP60 Coactivator RUVBL1 Is a Key Regulator of Homologous Recombination." *Molecular Cell* 65(5): 900–916. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2017.01.019.

Downs, Jessica A. et al. 2004. "Binding of Chromatin-Modifying Activities to Phosphorylated Histone H2A at DNA Damage Sites." *Molecular Cell* 16(6): 979–90.

Downs, Jessica A., and Stephen P. Jackson. 2004. "A Means to a DNA End: The Many Roles of Ku." *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 5(5): 367–78.

Doyon, Yannick et al. 2004. "Structural and Functional Conservation of the NuA4 Histone Acetyltransferase Complex from Yeast to Humans." *Molecular and cellular biology* 24(5): 1884–96. http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=350560&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract .

Doyon, Yannick, and Jacques Côté. 2004. "The Highly Conserved and Multifunctional NuA4 HAT Complex." *2* 14(2): 147–54.

Du, Li Lin, Toru M. Nakamura, and Paul Russell. 2006. "Histone Modification-Dependent and -Independent Pathways for Recruitment of Checkpoint Protein Crb2 to Double-Strand Breaks." *Genes and Development* 20(12): 1583–96.

Fell, Victoria L., and Caroline Schild-Poulter. 2015. "The Ku Heterodimer: Function in DNA Repair and Beyond." *Mutation Research - Reviews in Mutation Research* 763: 15–29. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2014.06.002.

Fortunato, Elizabeth A., Fekret Osman, and Suresh Subramani. 1996. "Analysis of Spontaneous and Double-Strand Break-Induced Recombination in Rad Mutants of S. Pombe." *Mutation Research - DNA Repair* 364(3): 147–60.

Frampton, Jonathan et al. 2006. "Postreplication Repair and PCNA Modification in Schizosaccharomyces Pombe Jonathan." *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 17(April): 2976–85.

Fung, A. D., J. Ou, S. Bueler, and G. W. Brown. 2002. "A Conserved Domain of Schizosaccharomyces Pombe Dfp1+ Is Uniquely Required for Chromosome Stability Following Alkylation Damage during S Phase." *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 22(13): 4477–90.

Galarneau, Luc et al. 2000. "Multiple Links between the NuA4 Histone Acetyltransferase Complex and Epigenetic Control of Transcription." *Molecular Cell*.

Garabedian, Mikael V. et al. 2012. "The Double-Bromodomain Proteins Bdf1 and Bdf2 Modulate Chromatin Structure to Regulate S-Phase Stress Response in Schizosaccharomyces Pombe." *Genetics* 190(2): 487–500.

Ghobashi, Ahmed H, and Maher A Kamel. 2018. "Tip60 : Updates." 2: 161–68.

Gómez, Eliana B., Rebecca L. Nugent, Sebastián Laria, and Susan L. Forsburg. 2008. "Schizosaccharomyces Pombe Histone Acetyltransferase Mst1 (KAT5) Is an Essential Protein Required for Damage Response and Chromosome Segregation." *Genetics* 179(2): 757–71.

Gómez, Eliana B, Joaquín M Espinosa, and Susan L Forsburg. 2005. "Schizosaccharomyces Pombe Mst2+ Encodes a MYST Family Histone Acetyltransferase That Negatively Regulates Telomere Silencing." *Molecular and cellular biology* 25(20): 8887–8903.

Green, Marc D.;, Sarah A.; Sabatinos, and Susan L.; Forsburg. 2015. "Microscopy Techniques to Examine DNA Replication in Fission Yeast." *Methods Mol Biol* 1300: 13–41.

Gursoy-Yuzugullu, Ozge;, Marina K.; Ayrapetov, and Brendan D.; Price. 2015. "Histone Chaperone Anp32e Removes H2A.Z from DNA Double-Strand Breaks and Promotes Nucleosome Reorganization and DNA Repair." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 112(24): 7507–12. http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1504868112.

Ikura, T. et al. 2007. "DNA Damage-Dependent Acetylation and Ubiquitination of H2AX Enhances Chromatin Dynamics." *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 27(20): 7028–40. http://mcb.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/MCB.00579-07.

Jacquet, Karine et al. 2016. "The TIP60 Complex Regulates Bivalent Chromatin Recognition by 53BP1 through Direct H4K20me Binding and H2AK15 Acetylation." *Molecular Cell* 62(3): 409–21.

Jha, S., E. Shibata, and A. Dutta. 2008. "Human Rvb1/Tip49 Is Required for the Histone Acetyltransferase Activity of Tip60/NuA4 and for the Downregulation of Phosphorylation on H2AX after DNA Damage." *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 28(8): 2690–2700. http://mcb.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/MCB.01983-07.

Jiang, Xiaofeng et al. 2006. "The FATC Domains of PIKK Proteins Are Functionally Equivalent and Participate in the Tip60-Dependent Activation of DNA-PKcs and ATM." *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 281(23): 15741–46.

Jiang, Yuhui et al. 2015. "Local Generation of Fumarate Promotes DNA Repair through Inhibition of Histone H3 Demethylation." *Nature Cell Biology* 17(9): 1158–68.

Judes, Gaëlle et al. 2015. "A Bivalent Role of TIP60 Histone Acetyl Transferase in Human Cancer." *Epigenomics*.

Kalocsay, Marian, Natalie Jasmin Hiller, and Stefan Jentsch. 2009. "Chromosome-Wide Rad51 Spreading and SUMO-H2A.Z-Dependent Chromosome Fixation in Response to a Persistent DNA Double-Strand Break." *Molecular Cell* 33(3): 335–43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2009.01.016.

Keogh, Michael-christopher; et al. 2006. "The Saccharomyces Cerevisiae Histone H2A Variant Htz1 Is Acetylated by NuA4 The Saccharomyces Cerevisiae Histone H2A Variant Htz1 Is Acetylated by NuA4." *Genes & development* 20(6): 660–65.

Kim, Hyun Soo; et al. 2009. "An Acetylated Form of Histone H2A.Z Regulates Chromosome Architecture in Schizosaccharomyces Pombe." *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology* 16(12): 1286–93. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1688.

Kim, M.-Y. et al. 2007. "Tip60 Histone Acetyltransferase Acts as a Negative Regulator of Notch1 Signaling by Means of Acetylation." *Molecular and Cellular Biology*.

Kimura, Akatsuki;, and Masami Horikoshi. 1998. "Tip60 Acetylates Six Lysines of a Specific Class in Core Histones in Vitro." *Genes to Cells* 3(12): 789–800.

Kobayashi, Junya et al. 2010. "Bisbenzamidine Derivative, Pentamidine Represses DNA Damage Response through Inhibition of Histone H2A Acetylation." *Molecular Cancer* 9: 1–11.

Kobor, Michael S et al. 2004. "A Protein Complex Containing the Conserved Swi2/Snf2-Related ATPase Swr1p Deposits Histone Variant H2A.Z into Euchromatin." *PLoS biology* 2(5): 4. http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=374244&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract .

Krogan, Nevan J.; et al. 2004. "Regulation of Chromosome Stability by the Histone H2A Variant Htz1, the Swr1 Chromatin Remodeling Complex, and the Histone Acetyltransferase NuA4." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 101(37): 13513–18.

Kusch, Thomas et al. 2004. "Acetylation by Tip60 Is Required for Selective Histone Variant Exchange at DNA Lesions." *Science* 306(5704): 2084–87.

De La Cruz, Xavier, Sergio Lois, Sara Sánchez-Molina, and Marian A. Martínez-Balbás. 2005. "Do Protein Motifs Read the Histone Code?" *BioEssays*.

Lafon, A. et al. 2007. "MYST Opportunities for Growth Control: Yeast Genes Illuminate Human Cancer Gene Functions." *Oncogene* 26(37): 5373–84.

Langerak, Petra, Eva Mejia-Ramirez, Oliver Limbo, and Paul Russell. 2011. "Release of Ku and MRN from DNA Ends by Mre11 Nuclease Activity and Ctp1 Is Required for Homologous Recombination Repair of Double-Strand Breaks." *PLoS Genetics* 7(9).

Langerak, Petra, and Paul Russell. 2011. "Regulatory Networks Integrating Cell Cycle Control with DNA Damage Checkpoints and Double-Strand Break Repair." *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 366(1584): 3562–71.

Leduc, C. et al. 2006. "P14ARF Promotes RB Accumulation through Inhibition of Its Tip60-Dependent Acetylation." *Oncogene*.

Leland, Bryan A. et al. 2018. "Rev7 and 53BP1/CRB2 Prevent RecQ Helicase-Dependent Hyper-Resection of DNA Double-Strand Breaks." *eLife* 7: 1–14.

Li, Lin, and Yinsheng Wang. 2017. "Cross-Talk between the H3K36me3 and H4K16ac Histone Epigenetic

Marks in DNA Double-Strand Break Repair." *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 292(28): 11951–59.

Millar, Catherine B.;, Feng; Xu, Kangling; Zhang, and Michael Grunstein. 2006. "Acetylation of H2AZ Lys 14 Is Associated with Genome-Wide Gene Activity in Yeast." *Genes and Development* 20(6): 711–22.

Miller, Kyle M., and Stephen P. Jackson. 2012. "Histone Marks: Repairing DNA Breaks within the Context of Chromatin." *Biochemical Society Transactions* 40(2): 370–76.

Minoda, Aki, Shigeaki Saitoh, Kohta Takahashi, and Takashi Toda. 2005. "BAF53/Arp4 Homolog Alp5 in Fission Yeast Is Required for Histone H4 Acetylation, Kinetochore-Spindle Attachment, and Gene Silencing at Centromere." *Molecular biology of the cell* 16(1): 316–27.

Morillo-huesca, Macarena;, Marta; Clemente-ruiz, Eloisa; Andujar, and Félix Prado. 2010. "The SWR1 Histone Replacement Complex Causes Genetic Instability and Genome-Wide Transcription Misregulation in the Absence of H2A . Z." *PLoS ONE* 5(8).

Morishita, T., Y. Tsutsui, H. Iwasaki, and H. Shinagawa. 2003. "The Schizosaccharomyces Pombe Rad60 Gene Is Essential for Repairing Double-Strand DNA Breaks Spontaneously Occurring during Replication and Induced by DNA-Damaging Agents." *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 23(9): 3373–3373.

Murr, Rabih et al. 2005. "Histone Acetylation by Trrap-Tip60 Modulates Loading of Repair Proteins and Repair of DNA Double-Strand Breaks." *Nature Cell Biology* 8(1): 91–99.

———. 2006. "Histone Acetylation by Trrap-Tip60 Modulates Loading of Repair Proteins and Repair of DNA Double-Strand Breaks." *Nature Cell Biology* 8(1): 91–99.

Myler, Logan R et al. 2017. "Single-Molecule Imaging Reveals How Mre11-Rad50-Nbs1 Initiates DNA Break Repair." *Molecular Cell* 67(5): 891–98.

Naidu, Samisubbu R., Alexander J. Lakhter, and Elliot J. Androphy. 2012. "PIASy-Mediated Tip60 Sumoylation Regulates P53-Induced Autophagy." *Cell Cycle* 11(14): 2717–28.

Noguchi, Chiaki et al. 2019. "The NuA4 Acetyltransferase and Histone H4 Acetylation Promote Replication Recovery after Topoisomerase I-Poisoning." *Epigenetics and Chromatin* 12(1): 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13072-019-0271-z.

Nugent, Rebecca L. et al. 2010. "Expression Profiling of S. Pombe Acetyltransferase Mutants Identifies Redundant Pathways of Gene Regulation." *BMC Genomics* 11(1).

Onaka, Atsushi T. et al. 2016. "Rad51 and Rad54 Promote Noncrossover Recombination between Centromere Repeats on the Same Chromatid to Prevent Isochromosome Formation." *Nucleic Acids Research* 44(22): 10744–57.

Ortega-Atienza, Sara et al. 2016. "ATM and KAT5 Safeguard Replicating Chromatin against Formaldehyde Damage." *Nucleic Acids Research* 44(1): 198–209.

Osman, Fekret, Melissa Adriance, and Shirley McCready. 2000. "The Genetic Control of Spontaneous and UV-

Induced Mitotic Intrachromosomal Recombination in the Fission Yeast Schizosaccharomyces Pombe." *Current Genetics* 38(3): 113–25.

Osman, Fekret, Elizabeth A. Fortunato, and Suresh Subramani. 1996. "Double-Strand Break-Induced Mitotic Intrachromosomal Recombination in the Fission Yeast Schizosaccharomyces Pombe." *Genetics* 142(2): 341–57.

Papamichos-Chronakis, Manolis, Shinya Watanabe, Oliver J. Rando, and Craig L. Peterson. 2011. "Global Regulation of H2A.Z Localization by the INO80 Chromatin-Remodeling Enzyme Is Essential for Genome Integrity." *Cell* 144(2): 200–213. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2010.12.021.

Pillus, Lorraine. 2008. "MYSTs Mark Chromatin for Chromosomal Functions." *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* 20(3): 326–33.

Price, Brendan D., and Alan D. D'Andrea. 2013. "Chromatin Remodeling at DNA Double-Strand Breaks." *Cell* 152(6): 1344–54. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.011.

Ransom, Monica, Briana K. Dennehey, and Jessica K. Tyler. 2010. "Chaperoning Histones during DNA Replication and Repair." *Cell* 140(2): 183–95.

Renaud-Young, Margaret et al. 2015. "The NuA4 Complex Promotes Translesion Synthesis (TLS)-Mediated DNA Damage Tolerance." *Genetics* 199(4): 1065–76.

Renaud, Emilie, Aurelia Barascu, and Filippo Rosselli. 2015. "Impaired TIP60-Mediated H4K16 Acetylation Accounts for the Aberrant Chromatin Accumulation of 53BP1 and RAP80 in Fanconi Anemia Pathway-Deficient Cells." *Nucleic Acids Research* 44(2): 648–56.

Rossetto, Dorine, Andrew W. Truman, Stephen J. Kron, and Jacques Côté. 2010. "Epigenetic Modifications in Double-Strand Break DNA Damage Signaling and Repair." *Clinical Cancer Research*.

Sabatinos, Sarah A., and Susan L. Forsburg. 2010. "Molecular Genetics of Schizosaccharomyces Pombe." *Methods in Enzymology* 470(C): 759–95.

Sapountzi, Vasileia, Ian R. Logan, and Craig N. Robson. 2006. "Cellular Functions of TIP60." *International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology* 38(9): 1496–1509.

Schindelin, Johannes et al. 2012. "Fiji: An Open-Source Platform for Biological-Image Analysis." *Nature Methods*.

Schuchert, Peter, and Jurg Kohli. 1988. "The Ade6-M26 Mutation of Schizosaccharomyces Pombe Increases the Frequency of Crossing Over." *Genetics* 119: 507–15.

Semer, M. et al. 2019. "DNA Repair Complex Licenses Acetylation of H2A.Z.1 by KAT2A during Transcription." *Nature Chemical Biology* 15(10): 992–1000. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41589-019-0354-y.

Shao, Zhengping et al. 2012. "Persistently Bound Ku at DNA Ends Attenuates DNA End Resection and Homologous Recombination." *DNA Repair* 11(3): 310–16. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2011.12.007.

Sharma, G. G. et al. 2010. "MOF and Histone H4 Acetylation at Lysine 16 Are Critical for DNA Damage Response and Double-Strand Break Repair." *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 30(14): 3582–95.

Shastri, Nishita et al. 2018. "Genome-Wide Identification of Structure-Forming Repeats as Principal Sites of Fork Collapse upon ATR Inhibition." *Molecular Cell* 72(2): 222-238.e11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.08.047.

Shibata, Atsushi, Penny Jeggo, and Markus Löbrich. 2018. "The Pendulum of the Ku-Ku Clock." *DNA Repair* 71(August): 164–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2018.08.020.

Smith, Edwin R. et al. 1998. "ESA1 Is a Histone Acetyltransferase That Is Essential for Growth in Yeast." *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 95(March): 3561–65.

Song, Suisui, Kelly E. McCann, and J. Martin Brown. 2008. "Radiosensitization of Yeast Cells by Inhibition of Histone H4 Acetylation." *Radiation Research*.

Soria, Gaston, Sophie E. Polo, and Geneviève Almouzni. 2012. "Prime, Repair, Restore: The Active Role of Chromatin in the DNA Damage Response." *Molecular Cell* 46(6): 722–34.

Stubbe, Joanne, and John W. Kozarich. 1987. "Mechanisms of Bleomycin-Induced DNA Degradation." *Chemical Reviews* 87(5): 1107–36.

Sun, Yingli et al. 2005. "A Role for the Tip60 Histone Acetyltransferase in the Acetylation and Activation of ATM." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102(37): 13182–87. http://www.pnas.org/content/102/37/13182.full.

———. 2009. "Histone H3 Methylation Links DNA Damage Detection to Activation of the Tumour Suppressor Tip60." *Nature Cell Biology* 11(11): 1376–82.

Syed, Aleem, and John A. Tainer. 2018. "The MRE11–RAD50–NBS1 Complex Conducts the Orchestration of Damage Signaling and Outcomes to Stress in DNA Replication and Repair." *Annual Review of Biochemistry* 87(1): 263–94.

Sykes, Stephen M. et al. 2006. "Acetylation of the P53 DNA-Binding Domain Regulates Apoptosis Induction." *Molecular Cell* 24(6): 841–51.

Tamburini, B. A., and J. K. Tyler. 2005. "Localized Histone Acetylation and Deacetylation Triggered by the Homologous Recombination Pathway of Double-Strand DNA Repair." *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 25(12): 4903–13. http://mcb.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/MCB.25.12.4903-4913.2005.

Tang, Jiangbo et al. 2013. "Acetylation Limits 53BP1 Association with Damaged Chromatin to Promote Homologous Recombination." *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology* 20(3): 317–25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2499.

Tang, Yi, Jianyuan Luo, Wenzhu Zhang, and Wei Gu. 2006. "Tip60-Dependent Acetylation of P53 Modulates the Decision between Cell-Cycle Arrest and Apoptosis." *Molecular Cell* 24(6): 827–39.

Teixeira-Silva, Ana et al. 2017. "The End-Joining Factor Ku Acts in the End-Resection of Double Strand Break-Free Arrested Replication Forks." *Nature Communications* 8(1). http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02144-5.

Thomas, Tim, and Anne K. Voss. 2007. "The Diverse Biological Roles of MYST Histone Acetyltransferase Family Proteins." *Cell Cycle*.

Tomita, K. et al. 2003. "Competition between the Rad50 Complex and the Ku Heterodimer Reveals a Role for Exol in Processing Double-Strand Break." *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 23(15): 5186–97.

Utley, R. T., and J. Côté. 2003. "The MYST Family of Histone Acetyltransferases." *Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology*.

Voss, Anne K., and Tim Thomas. 2009. "MYST Family Histone Acetyltransferases Take Center Stage in Stem Cells and Development." *BioEssays*.

Walworth, Nancy C, and Rene Bernards. 1996. "Rad-Dependent Response of the Chk1-Encoded Protein Kinase at the DNA Damage Checkpoint." *Science* 271(5247): 353–56.

Wan, S, H Capasso, and N C Walworth. 1999. "The Topoisomerase I Poison Camptothecin Generates a Chk1-Dependent DNA Damage Checkpoint Signal in Fission Yeast." *Yeast (Chichester, England)* 15(10A): 821–28.

Xhemalce, Blerta, and Tony Kouzarides. 2010. "A Chromodomain Switch Mediated by Histone H3 Lys 4 Acetylation Regulates Heterochromatin Assembly." *Genes and Development* 24(7): 647–52.

Xu, Ye et al. 2010. "The P400 ATPase Regulates Nucleosome Stability and Chromatin Ubiquitination during DNA Repair." *Journal of Cell Biology* 191(1): 31–43.

———. 2012. "Histone H2A.Z Controls a Critical Chromatin Remodeling Step Required for DNA Double-Strand Break Repair." *Molecular Cell* 48(5): 723–33.

Yu, Yang et al. 2013. "A Proteome-Wide Visual Screen Identifies Fission Yeast Proteins Localizing to DNA Double-Strand Breaks." *DNA Repair* 12(6): 433–43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2013.04.001.

Zhou, B. O. et al. 2010. "SWR1 Complex Poises Heterochromatin Boundaries for Antisilencing Activity Propagation." *Molecular and Cellular Biology* 30(10): 2391–2400. http://mcb.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/MCB.01106-09.

Zhu, Zhu et al. 2008. "Sgs1 Helicase and Two Nucleases Dna2 and Exo1 Resect DNA Double-Strand Break Ends." *Cell* 134(6): 981–94.

Zlatanova, Jordanka, and Amit Thakar. 2008. "H2A.Z: View from the Top." *Structure* 16(2): 166–79.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. *mst1-L344S* mutants are sensitive to the DSB-inducing agent bleomycin. Cells were grown in YES media overnight at 25ºC then 5X serial dilutions were spotted onto YES plates or YES plates containing bleomycin. Plates were incubated at 25ºC and photographed after 4 days. 1 unit of bleomycin = 1 mg (by potency)

Figure 2. The *mst1-L344S* allele affects DSB repair. A. An assay to test chromosomal instability from (Osman, Fortunato, and Subramani 1996). Two non-functional *ade6* alleles flank the functional *ura4+* gene. *the ade6-L469* has a mutation at the C terminus while the *ade6-M26* allele has a mutation at the N-terminus (indicated by the vertical lines). Several recombination outcomes are possible and are described in results and methods. **B.** Cells were grown in YES media overnight at 25ºC then 5X serial dilutions were spotted onto YES (*HO* repressed) or PMG without thiamine (*HO* induced). Plates were incubated at 25ºC and photographed after 5 days. **C**. Percent of deletion, conversion and complex rearrangements after double strand break induction in WT and *mst1-L344S* using the assay in **A**. The bold line in each box represents median and the filled circles represent outliers. Asterisks indicate that recombination percentage is significantly different than WT ($p<0.05$, Mann-Whitney Test). **D**. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay to test recruitment of Mre11-myc, Rad52-YFP and Mst1-V5 to a single DNA double strand break. Using a construct from (Du, Nakamura, and Russell 2006) one single double strand break can be made with the *HO* endonuclease and protein interaction with chromatin can be monitored at 0.2, 2, 9 and 16Kb from the break. Following break induction, time points were taken at 0, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 14 hours. Multiplex PCR was done with primers for all four positions from the break and with *act1+* primers (control). Inset: Agarose gel electrophoresis showing banding patterns of PCR reactions. The intensity of each band was normalized as (IP/Input)/(IP/Input actin) and graphed.

Figure 3. The *mst1-L344S* mutant affects recruitment of repair proteins at 25ºC A. Top: Percentage of cells with RPA (Rad11) and Rad52 foci in WT, *mst1-L344S*, *pht1-4KR*, *pht1Δ*, *ku70Δ* and *ku70Δ mst1-L344S*. The bold line in each box represents median and the filled circles represent outliers. Asterisks represent percentage of cells with foci is significantly different than that in WT (*: $p<0.05$, **: $p<0.01$, Mann-Whitney Test) **Bottom:** Examples of WT and *mst1-L344S* cells with Rad11 and Rad52 foci. Scale bar is 10µm. Rad11-CFP is colored magenta for visibility. **B. Top:** Percentage of cells with Rad54 foci in WT and *mst1-L344S, pku70Δ and pku70Δ mst1-L344S*. The bold line in each box represents median and the filled circles represent outliers. **Bottom:** Examples of WT and *mst1-L344S* cells with Rad54 foci under phleomycin treatment. Scale bar is 10µm. **C. Left:** Percentage of cells with colocalized Rad52-CFP and HO-induced mCherry foci over 240 minutes. **Right:** Representatives of WT and *mst1-L344S* cells with Rad52-CFP and HO-induced mCherry foci over time. Rad52-CFP is colored green for visibility. **D.** Percentage of cells with mCherry-LacI signal over 240 minutes. Image was taken every 8 min in wild-type and *mst1-L344S*, and every 48 min in other mutants.

Figure 4. Mst1 affects DNA lesion repair but does not affect checkpoint activation. A. Left: Percentage of cells with RPA (Rad11) and Rad52 foci in WT and *mst1-L344S* after CPT and MMS treatments. The bold line in each box represents median and the filled circles represent outliers. **Right:** examples of WT and *mst1-L344S* cells with RPA and Rad52 foci after drug treatment. Scale bar is 10µm. Rad11-CFP is colored magenta for visibility. **B**. Phosphorylation of Chk1-HA is not affected in *mst1-L344S* after treatment of cells with three types of DNA damaging agents at 32°C. cells were treated for 4 hours with 0.01% MMS, 15mM HU or 40µM CPT and the and the Chk1-HA phosphorylation shift band was detected by Western blotting with 12CA5 anti-HA antibody. **C**. H2A phosphorylation dynamics are not affected in *mst1-L344S* when cells are treated with 40µM CPT. Western Blot with an anti-phosphorylated H2A antibody showing that phosphorylation of H2A peaks 4 hours after treatment with drug then the signal is down regulated 4 hours post drug removal. *hta1-S129A:* control non-phosphorylatable form of H2A; α-PCNA: control antibody.

Figure 5. Model for the function of Mst1 in DSB repair. Mst1 facilitates HR by promoting long-range resection and inhibiting Ku70-associated mechanism that inhibits HR. Such function is accomplished by acetylating H2A.Z and thus promoting the turnover of H2A.Z at the DSB. Therefore, the histone variant can no longer block the break site. The removal of H2A.Z allows Exo1 to access the break and thus promotes downstream recombination-mediated repair.

Supplementary Figure S1. **Examples of cells with RPA (Rad11), Rad52, Rad54, Mre11 and pKu70 foci after treatment with phleomycin at 25ºC**. **A.** Rad11-CFP and Rad52-YFP foci in *pku70Δ* and *pku70Δmst1-L344S*. **B.** Rad11-CFP and Rad52-YFP foci in *pht1-4KR* and *pku70Δ*. **C.** Rad54-GFP foci in *pku70Δ* and *pku70Δmst1-L344S*. **D.** pKu70-YFP foci in pht1-4KR and *pht1Δ*. No drug = untreated controls.

Supplementary Figure S2. *pku70Δ* **does not affect cell length at septation in** *mst1-L344S* **at 25ºC.** Length at septation at 25ºC of WT, *mst1-L344S*, *pku70Δ*, and *pku70Δ mst1-L334S* cells. The bold line in each box represents median and the filled circles represent outliers.

Supplementary Figure S3. *mst1-L344S* **interacts genetically with genes in the checkpoint pathways.** Cells were grown in YES media overnight at 32ºC then 5X serial dilutions were spotted onto YES plate or YES plates containing the indicated drugs. Plates were incubated at 32ºC and photographed after 4 days.

Figure 1. *mst1-L344S* mutants are sensitive to the DSB-inducing agent bleomycin.

Figure 2. The *mst1-L344S* allele affects DSB repair.

Figure 3. The *mst1-L344S* mutant affects recruitment of repair proteins.

Figure 4. Mst1 affects DNA lesion repair but does not affect checkpoint activation.

A

B

C

Figure 5. Model for the function of Mst1

700