Diverse viruses in deep-sea hydrothermal vent fluids have restricted dispersal across ocean

basins

Elaina Thomas[‡1,2], Rika Anderson[‡1], Viola Li[1], Jenni Rogan[1], Julie A. Huber[3]*

Affiliations:

[1] Biology Department, Carleton College. Northfield, MN

[2] Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Cambridge, MA

[3] Marine Chemistry & Geochemistry, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. Woods Hole, MA

[*]To whom correspondence should be directed. randerson@carleton.edu

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Running title: Viruses in deep-sea hydrothermal vents

Abstract

In the ocean, viruses impact microbial mortality, regulate biogeochemical cycling, and alter

the metabolic potential of microbial lineages. At deep-sea hydrothermal vents, abundant viruses

infect a wide range of hosts among the archaea and bacteria that inhabit these dynamic habitats.

However, little is known about viral diversity, host range, and biogeography across different vent

ecosystems, which has important implications for how viruses manipulate microbial function and

evolution. Here, we examined viral diversity, viral and host distribution, and viral-host interactions in

microbial metagenomes generated from venting fluids venting fluids from several vent sites within

three different geochemically and geographically distinct hydrothermal systems: Piccard and Von

Damm vent fields at the Mid-Cayman Rise in the Caribbean Sea, and at several vent sites within

Axial Seamount in the Pacific Ocean. Analysis of viral sequences and CRISPR spacers revealed

highly diverse viral assemblages and evidence of active infection. Network analysis revealed that viral

host range was relatively narrow, with very few viruses infecting multiple microbial lineages. Viruses

were largely endemic to individual vent sites, indicating restricted dispersal, and in some cases viral

assemblages persisted over time. Thus, we show that hydrothermal vent fluids are home to novel,

diverse viral assemblages that are highly localized to specific regions and taxa.

Importance

Viruses play important roles in manipulating microbial ecology and evolution in the ocean, yet

not much is known about viruses in deep-sea hydrothermal vents. However, viral ecology and

evolution are of particular interest in hydrothermal vent habitats because of their unique nature:

previous studies have indicated that most viruses in hydrothermal vents are lysogenic rather than

lytic, and it has been established that rates of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) are particularly high

among thermophilic vent microbes, and viruses are common vectors for HGT. If viruses have

broad host range or are widespread across vent sites, they have increased potential to act as gene-

sharing "highways" between vent sites. By examining viral diversity, distribution, and infection

networks across disparate vent sites, this study provides the opportunity to better characterize and

constrain the viral impact on hydrothermal vent microbial communities. We show that viruses in

vent sites are diverse and apparently active, but have restricted host range and are not widely

distributed among vent sites. Thus, the impacts of viral infection are likely to be highly localized and

constrained to specific taxa in these habitats.

Introduction

Deep-sea hydrothermal vents are regions on the seafloor where high-temperature hydrothermal vent fluid is created from water-rock reactions deep within the oceanic crust, mixing with seawater beneath and at the seafloor to create a dynamic, gradient-dominated habitat that supports diverse microbial communities. These low-temperature diffuse vent fluids are hotspots of primary production in the deep ocean, dominated by diverse chemolithoautotrophic bacteria and archaea carrying out a variety of metabolisms utilizing hydrogen, sulfur compounds, nitrate, and methane (1–7). An important, though understudied, driver of microbial diversity and evolution in hydrothermal systems is viruses. Viruses are major sources of microbial mortality in marine systems and play key roles in mediating biogeochemical cycling and shaping microbial community structure (8–11). At deep-sea hydrothermal vents, viruses are abundant (12) and infect a wide range of microbial hosts (13). Lysogenic viruses, which integrate into the genomes of their microbial hosts, are particularly abundant in hydrothermal fluids compared to other marine habitats (14, 15). The high abundance of lysogenic viruses suggests that there are unique attributes to the vent environment that influence viral infection strategies. Viruses can "metabolically reprogram" their microbial hosts via the introduction and expression of auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs) (16), which has profound impacts on the ecology and evolution of microbial populations. Like horizontally transferred genes, integrated prophage with AMGs can alter the functional potential of a given organism, allowing it to adapt to changing conditions or expand to new ecological niches. Viruses in hydrothermal habitats have been found to encode AMGs (17–19), and thus can manipulate the metabolic potential of microbial populations in hydrothermal vents.

Viruses also function as vectors of horizontal gene transfer via transduction. Previous work has suggested that horizontal gene transfer is particularly prevalent among microbes inhabiting high-temperature environments (20–22). Transposases, which catalyze the movement of mobile genetic elements within and between genomes (23, 24), are abundant in hydrothermal vent sites (18, 25) Given the abundance of viruses and the inferred high rates of transduction at deep-sea vents, it is likely that viruses are an important vector for horizontal gene transfer in these dynamic systems. Therefore, a clear understanding of which viruses infect which hosts, and what genes those viruses carry, can provide insight into highways of gene sharing in the deep sea.

One large gap in our understanding of eco-evolutionary dynamics in the deep sea is how

broadly viruses are distributed within and between vent systems, and how viral interactions shift

across hydrothermal vent types. Previous work has shown that microbial communities exhibit high

endemism locally (4, 7, 26, 27), but some cosmopolitan species are distributed globally (28–30).

However, less is known about the geographic distribution of viral populations. If viruses exhibit a

restricted distribution, this would limit their role as vectors of gene flow across and between

hydrothermal systems. Microbial populations and their viruses are also limited by environmental

conditions: hydrothermal systems hosted in basalt rocks are characterized by metal-enriched, low pH

fluids up to 400°C (31). In contrast, hydrothermal systems hosted in peridotite are influenced by

serpentinization and feature organic carbon-enriched, high pH fluids with slightly lower temperature

(32). Microbial populations in basalt-hosted and peridotite-hosted vents exhibit distinct patterns of

genomic variation (33), suggesting that microbes are subject to different selection pressures

depending on the vent type, but we know little about the role viruses play in driving those

differences, nor how viral diversity varies across hydrothermal systems.

To gain further insight into viral diversity, distribution, and host range across hydrothermal

systems, we compared viral sequences recovered from microbial metagenomes collected from two

hydrothermal regions in two distinct ocean basins: Axial Seamount, a submarine volcano located on

the Juan de Fuca Ridge in the Pacific Ocean at ~1520 meters depth, and the Mid-Cayman Rise, an

ultraslow spreading ridge in the Caribbean Sea. Axial Seamount is a basalt-hosted, magma-driven

system with fluids that are low in pH and high in carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide (34).

Microbial communities at Axial are spatially restricted but temporally stable at individual vent sites

over time (4, 27). In contrast, the Mid-Cayman Rise hosts two geologically and geochemically

distinct hydrothermal vent fields in close proximity to each other: Piccard hydrothermal field,

located in basalt rocks along the ridge axis, is the deepest hydrothermal field discovered to date

(~4950 meters depth) and is characterized by fluids that are acidic with high hydrogen and hydrogen

sulfide content, whereas Von Damm vent field, located approximately 20 km away on a nearby

massif at ~2350 meters depth, is influenced by serpentinization and is characterized by fluids that

are high in hydrogen, methane, and small carbon compounds (35–38). Previous work found distinct

community composition but similar functional potential in the microbial communities at Piccard and

Von Damm (7, 27, 33, 39, 40) . Here, we compare viral sequences in venting fluids from Von

Damm vent field, Piccard vent field, and Axial Seamount in a comparative survey of viral diversity

and gene content across geographically and geochemically distinct hydrothermal vent sites. By

examining viruses from the microbial fraction (0.22 μm), we are closely examining the relationship

between prophage, actively infecting viruses, and their hosts. We examined viral sequences identified

in the metagenomes as well as CRISPR sequences, which provide context for historical viral

infections within each of the communities, and provide a means to match viruses with putative

hosts. We show that viral populations have high diversity but restricted distribution and host range

across hydrothermal systems, limiting the capacity of viruses to act as vectors of gene flow between

disparate hosts and vent locations.

Methods

Sample collection and DNA preparation and sequencing

Low-temperature diffuse flow fluid samples were collected from the vent fields Piccard and

Von Damm at the Mid-Cayman Rise in January 2012 and June 2013 during research cruises on the

R/V Atlantis and R/V Falkor, respectively. We analyzed a total of 11 metagenomes from 8 different

vents at Von Damm vent field and 4 metagenomes from 4 different vents at Piccard vent field.

Sample locations, depth, and metagenomic data are described in Supplementary Table 1. The ROV

Jason II and Mat Sampler were used to collect the 2012 Mid-Cayman Rise samples, as previously

described in (41). The 2013 Mid-Cayman Rise samples were collected using the SUPR version 2

sampler and HROV Nereus (42). For microbial DNA collection, approximately 3 to 6 L of diffuse

flow fluid were pumped through 0.22 μm Sterivex filters (Millipore). Shipboard, the filters were

flooded with RNALater (Ambion), sealed with Luer Caps, stored in sterile Falcon tubes, and frozen

at −80 °C. Sample collection and preservation are further described in Anderson et al. (33) and

Reveillaud et al. (40). Genomic DNA was extracted and metagenomic libraries constructed as

described in Anderson et al. (33). Sequencing was done on an Illumina Hi Seq 1000 at the W.M.

Keck Facility in the Josephine Bay Paul Center at the Marine Biological Laboratory.

Diffuse flow fluid samples were collected from Axial Seamount in September 2013, August

2014, and August 2015 (approximately five months after the eruption of Axial Seamount) during

research cruises on the R/V Falkor and R/V Thompson in 2013, R/V Brown in 2014, and R/V

Thompson in 2015 (Supplementary Table 1). Diffuse flow samples were collected from four

different vent fields within Axial Seamount (ASHES, International District, North Rift Zone, and

Dependable) using the ROVs ROPOS and Jason. We analyzed a total of 16 metagenomes from 10

different vents, 1 plume, and 2 deep seawater samples at Axial Seamount. For collection of microbial

DNA, 3 L of diffuse fluid was pumped through 0.22 μm, 47 mm GWSP filters (Millipore) and the

filters were flooded with RNALater (Ambion) on the seafloor, as described in Fortunato et al. (4).

Fluids from a hydrothermal plume above Anemone and background seawater were collected using a

Seabird SBE911 CTD and 10 L Niskin bottles and 3 L of the plume and seawater fluid was filtered

through 0.22 μm Sterivex filters (Millipore). DNA was extracted from the filters using a phenol-

chloroform method adapted from Crump et al. (43) and Zhou et al. (44). The Ovation Ultralow

Library DR multiplex system (Nugen) was used to prepare metagenomic libraries following the

manufacturer instructions. DNA extraction and metagenomic library construction is further

described in Fortunato and Huber (45). The 2013 and 2014 samples were sequenced on an Illumina

HiSeq 1000 and the 2015 samples on a NextSeq 500. Sequencing was done at the W.M. Keck

sequencing facility at the Marine Biological Laboratory.

For all metagenomes, paired-end partially overlapping reads were merged and quality filtered

using the illumina-utils package (46) using the iu-quality-filter-minoche flag, then assembled using

idba-ud (47) v1.1.2 with default settings. All data from both sites is available in the European

Nucleotide Archives archives under study accession number PRJEB15541 for the Mid-Cayman Rise

and under study accession numbers PRJEB7866, PRJEB12000, and PRJEB19456 for Axial samples

in the years 2013, 2014 and 2015 respectively (Supplementary Table 1).

Identification of CRISPR loci, viral clusters, and spacer clusters

Crass (48) v1.0.1 was used to identify CRISPR loci (i.e., unique direct repeat types) and

CRISPR spacers in the metagenomic reads. Viral contigs in the metagenomic assemblies were

identified with VirFinder (48, 49) v1.1 using a p-value threshold of 0.05. Given that viruses from

hydrothermal systems are not well-represented in sequence databases, we elected to use VirFinder

for identification of viral contigs for diversity and abundance analysis because VirFinder is a k-mer

frequency-based method that avoids gene-based similarity searches, and thus has higher potential to

identify novel viruses. To cluster the CRISPR spacers, we performed an all-v-all blast using

BLASTn v2.5.0 (E-value threshold of 10^{-08}) and then clustered using Markov Cluster Algorithm

(MCL) (50) v14-137 (inflation 1.2 and scheme 7) based on bitscore. We used ClusterGenomes

(https://bitbucket.org/MAVERICLab/stampede-clustergenomes) v1.1.3 (95% identity, 80%

coverage) to cluster viral contigs.

Recovery of MAGs

All metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) were recovered from metagenomic assemblies

using anvi'o (51). Supervised clustering was used to recover bins from Piccard and Von Damm

contigs using anvi'o v2.1.0 (33). MAGs from Axial Seamount assemblies were recovered using

unsupervised binning with CONCOCT (50, 52, 53) within the anvi'o v4.0 pipeline, followed by

manual refinement within anvi'o (39). For all analyses, only bins with >=70% completeness and

<=10% redundancy were retained as MAGs for this analysis. The MAGs were assigned taxonomies

using PhyloSift (50, 52) v1.0.1 as described in Anderson et al. (33). Only MAGs for which

taxonomies could be identified were used in analyses. MAG coverage was normalized by the

number of merged reads in the metagenome. All of the Mid-Cayman Rise MAGs were previously

described in Anderson et al. (33).

Taxonomic identification of microbial and viral reads

The reads from all metagenomes were mapped to the Silva SSU and LSU Parc databases (54,

55) (release 132) with bowtie2 (56) v2.2.9 using default settings and local alignment. Mapped reads

were assigned taxonomies using the classify.seqs function in mothur (57, 58) v1.38.1 with the Silva

16S rRNA database (release 119) and a cutoff of 50. Reads mapping to 16S rRNA gene sequences

that were classified as eukaryotes were excluded from analyses.

In order to identify the viral taxa at Von Damm, Piccard, and Axial Seamount, we conducted

a blast of the representative sequence of each viral cluster against all of the viral genomic sequences

in RefSeq (downloaded on September 16th, 2020; E-value <=10-5). The best match for each viral

cluster representative sequence was selected based on E-value and percent identity. The taxonomy

of the RefSeq viral sequence with the best alignment was assigned to the given viral cluster. To

quantify the relative abundance of viral families in each sample, the reads that mapped to viral

clusters of a viral family were divided by the total number of reads in the sample.

Viral, spacer, and host diversity

Rarefaction curves for viral clusters, CRISPR spacer clusters, and reads matching 16S rRNA

genes categorized at the class level were created using the Vegan R package (59) v2.4-5. The number

of viral clusters per contig and spacer clusters per read (paired reads) were used as proxies for viral

diversity, and the number of different taxa at the class level matching 16S rRNA genes reflected

microbial diversity, calculated on a per read basis (merged reads).

Viral, spacer, and CRISPR relative abundance

To calculate relative viral abundance, the reads in each of the metagenomes were mapped

against all of the viral contigs from the corresponding geographic region using bowtie2 (56) v2.2.9.

The reads from each sample were mapped against all of the viral contigs from the corresponding

geographic region rather than solely the viral contigs in the sample because there were viral reads in

samples that did not assemble into viral contigs. This method therefore allowed the identification of

more viral sequences. The number of reads that mapped to viral contigs was normalized by the

number of merged reads as a measure of relative viral abundance. This measure of relative viral

abundance reflects only the proportion of viruses that were retained on the filter as viral capsids or

prophages. We used the number of spacers per read and CRISPR direct repeat types per read as

measures of spacer and CRISPR relative abundance, respectively. Paired rather than merged reads

were used for these analyses. Relative abundance and diversity of viruses, microbes, CRISPR spacers

and CRISPR loci were visualized using the Seaborn library within Python (60).

Relative compositions and abundances of viral clusters, spacer clusters, and hosts

The relative compositions of the microbial community, the viral assemblage, and CRISPR

spacers were compared between vent sites within Von Damm, Piccard, and Axial Seamount. To

calculate the relative abundance of each viral cluster in each sample, the number of reads in the

sample that mapped to the viral contigs in the viral cluster was determined using bowtie2 (56) v2.2.9.

The number of reads in each metagenome that mapped to the viral cluster was normalized by the

total length of the viral contigs in the viral cluster and the number of merged reads in the

metagenome. We defined the most common viral clusters as the six clusters with the highest relative

abundance in each sample. The relative abundance of each CRISPR spacer cluster in each sample

was calculated as the percent of spacers in the sample that were part of the spacer cluster. For spacer

clusters, we defined the most common as the three clusters with the highest relative abundance in

each sample. To compare the compositions of viral contigs and CRISPR spacers, all of the spacers

were blasted against all of the viral contigs using blastn (E-value $<=10^{-05}$, $<=1$ mismatch, as per

Emerson et al. (61). The relative abundance of each microbial host was measured as the number of

reads that mapped to 16S rRNA gene sequences of the given taxon, normalized by the number of

16S rRNA gene reads in the sample. Analyses of microbial taxa were done at either the class level or

the lowest taxonomic level available, depending on the analysis.

Microbiome datasets are compositional in nature because sequencing instruments impose an

arbitrary total (62). Therefore, to conduct hierarchical clustering of samples based on viral, spacer,

and host composition, we used the protocol outlined by Gloor et al. (62) and Gloor and Reid (63)

for computing distances between samples containing compositional data. For hierarchical clustering,

we did not normalize; we performed analyses on the number of reads that mapped to each viral

cluster, the number of CRISPR spacers in each spacer cluster, and the number of reads that mapped

to 16S rRNA gene sequences of each microbial host in each sample; for microbial hosts, we did not

include reads that mapped to unclassified sequences or sequences classified as eukaryotes. We

replaced zero counts with estimates using the count zero multiplicative method for viral clusters and

hosts and the Bayes-Laplace Bayesian multiplicative method for spacer clusters via the

zCompositions R package (64) v1.2.0. Using the CoDaSeq R package

(https://github.com/ggloor/CoDaSeq) (62) v0.99.3, we applied a centered log-ratio (clr)

transformation to the count data (that lacked zero counts), thereby capturing the ratios between

parts. To calculate distances between samples for hierarchical clustering, we used the ward.D2

method on the transformed counts.

Networks of viral infection

Infection networks of viral-host interactions were created using CRISPR sequences to

connect microbial hosts with clusters of viral contigs, adapted from the methods used by Daly et al.

(65) and Emerson et al. (61). First, MAGs were connected to CRISPR direct repeat types within

each sample using BLASTn v2.5.0 (E-value $<=10^{-10}$, 100% nucleotide identity, as per Emerson et al.

(61). Then, each CRISPR direct repeat type was matched to a set of CRISPR spacers as identified by

Crass (Skennerton et al. 2013) v1.0.1. Finally, the CRISPR spacers in each sample were matched to

viral contigs in the corresponding region using BLASTn v2.5.0 with an E-value cutoff of 10^{-05} and a

maximum of one mismatch, as per Emerson et al. (61). Only one mismatch was allowed because

resistance has been found to be lost by single nucleotide differences between bacterial spacers and

target phage sequences (66). In contrast, resistance by archaeal CRISPR systems can still be provided

when there are up to three mismatches between spacers and target phage sequences (67, 68). We did

not allow for more mismatches between archaeal spacers and phage sequences because resistance is
weakened by more mismatches (68). Each direct repeat type provided by Crass v1.0.1 does not
necessarily represent an individual CRISPR locus (48).
277
278 *Data analysis software*
279   The majority of analyses were conducted in RStudio (69) v1.0.136. R packages used were
280 readr (70) v1.1.1, readxl (71) v1.0.0, tidyr (72) v0.7.2, stringr (73) v1.3.0, dplyr (74) v0.7.4, ggnetwork
281 (75) v0.5.1, statnet (76) v2016.9, ggpubr (77) v0.1.7, ggplot2 (78) v2.2.1, and svglite (79) v1.2.1.
282
283 **Results**
284
Taxonomy of viruses and hosts
All analyses were carried out from diffuse flow fluids sampled directly from the vent orifice, as
well as vent plume waters, which were sampled up to 100m above the vent orifice and had much
lower temperatures (Supplementary Table 1). It is possible to examine viruses in 0.22 μm-filtered
fluids because this fraction includes integrated prophage, lytic infections in progress, and free viral
particles captured on filters. However, our analysis misses free viral particles that were not retained
on the filters, and we are only capturing the viral diversity and variation across sites based on the
viral sequences identified in the metagenomes.
The viral sequences identified at Axial Seamount, Von Damm, and Piccard vents represent 28
families of viruses (Figure 1). 13 of the viral families were present at all three vent fields. 14 viral
families were present at both of the Mid-Cayman Rise vent fields, Von Damm and Piccard, while
Axial Seamount shared 13 viral families with each of the Mid-Cayman Rise vent fields. We observed
a distinct difference in viral taxonomic groups between the vent fields: whereas the *Myoviridae* viral
family had the highest relative abundance in 12 of the 16 Axial Seamount samples, the *Myoviridae*
were in much lower abundance at Von Damm and Piccard, which had higher abundances of reads
matching the *Podoviridae* family of viruses and the the *Guttaviridae*.
301
Relative abundance of viral and microbial reads
In order to examine the distribution and abundance of viruses across individual vent sites,
vent fields, and regions, we quantified the relative abundance of viral sequences, CRISPRs, and
spacers in each of the metagenomes (Figure 2, samples from background seawater and plumes are

excluded; Supplementary Table 2). We also quantified the diversity and abundance of loci and

spacers of Clustered Regularly InterSpaced Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) found in each of the

metagenomes. CRISPR loci are a microbial immune system found in archaeal and bacterial genomes,

consisting of direct repeats interspersed by "spacers," which match foreign DNA (predominantly

viruses, but also including plasmids and other forms of foreign DNA) that the cell has been exposed

to previously. The relative abundance of CRISPR loci serves as an indication of how many microbial

lineages use CRISPR as a mechanism for viral immunity. It is also important to note that CRISPR

loci vary in number across microbial genomes, and we did not distinguish between CRISPR loci

with the same direct repeat type. Therefore, our measure of CRISPR relative abundance is not a

direct proxy for the abundance of viruses. Instead, it gives an indication of how commonly CRISPR

loci are used as an antiviral mechanism within the community. Moreover, CRISPRs serve as a record

of past infections, and thus while viral diversity reflects the diversity of viral particles sequenced at

the time of sampling, CRISPR spacer diversity reflects the diversity of past viral infections.

Our results indicate that the relative abundance of viral sequences (Figure 2A) was similar

both across and within each of the hydrothermal vent regions we studied ($p = 0.416$, t-test). There

was a higher relative abundance of CRISPR loci in samples collected at Axial Seamount compared to

both Piccard and Von Damm vent fields (Figure 2B; $p = 1.49e\text{-}05$, t-test). However, there was no

difference in the relative abundance of spacers within CRISPR loci per read (Figure 2C; $p = 0.101$, t-

test). Within the Mid-Cayman Rise, we compared samples from mafic-hosted (Piccard) versus

ultramafic-hosted (Von Damm) hydrothermal systems. We did not observe significant differences in

the relative abundance of viral sequences, CRISPR loci, or CRISPR spacers between Piccard and

Von Damm (viral sequences: $p = 0.66$, t-test, CRISPR loci: 0.40, t-test, spacers: 0.37, t-test) (Figure

2A-C). Similar results emerged from comparisons among vent fields within Axial Seamount: we did

not observe significant differences in the relative abundance of viral sequences, CRISPR loci, or

CRISPR spacers between vent fields at Axial Seamount (viral sequences: $p = 0.17$, t-test, CRISPR

loci: 0.41, spacers: 0.11, t-test). Finally, within Axial Seamount, we also compared the relative

abundance of viruses in samples taken from plume and diffuse flow hydrothermal fluid at Anemone

vent. The Anemone diffuse flow samples had a higher relative abundance of CRISPR spacers and

CRISPR loci compared to the Anemone plume sample, which was sampled above the vent.

However, the relative abundance of viral sequences did not differ between the Anemone plume and

diffuse flow samples (Supplementary Table 2).

Diversity of viral assemblage and microbial community

Overall, viral diversity analyses revealed that the viral assemblages within these vents had high

richness and were not dominated by specific viral strains. With the exception of a few samples, the

rarefaction curves for the viruses and microbes did not reach saturation (Supplementary Fig. 1),

indicating that the viral clusters recovered in this study did not capture the total diversity in the

samples. In each sample, there were no dominant viral or spacer clusters, and each of the viral and

spacer clusters present was relatively rare (Supplementary Figs. 2-3). Moreover, the viral and spacer

clusters with the highest coverage did not correspond across samples: in both Piccard and Axial

Seamount, one of the most common spacer clusters matched with one of the most common viral

clusters by BLAST, while none of the most common spacer and viral clusters aligned in Von

Damm. Thus, there were no dominant viral sequences that were consistently found across all

samples.

We observed a more diverse viral assemblage at Axial Seamount compared to the Mid-

Cayman Rise. We observed higher richness of both viruses (Figure 2D; $p = 0.0148$, t-test) and their

microbial hosts (Figure 2E; $p = 0.00563$, t-test) in samples from vents at Axial Seamount compared

to samples from Von Damm and Piccard vent fields. However, we did not observe meaningful

correlations between viral cluster diversity, spacer cluster diversity, or host diversity. Moreover, we

did not observe significant differences in either viral or host diversity between the Piccard and Von

Damm vent fields at the Mid-Cayman Rise (viral diversity: $p = 0.05306071$, t-test, host diversity: $p = $

0.6648, t-test). We also examined the relative diversity of CRISPR spacers, which provides an

indication of past viral infections rather than the current virus pool. We did not observe a significant

difference in the diversity of CRISPR spacers between samples from Piccard, Von Damm, and Axial

Seamount (Figure 2F, $p = 0.0927$, t-test).

We observed significant differences in viral diversity between vent sites within Axial Seamount

($p = 0.02165$, t-test). However, no significant differences emerged in terms of the diversity of

microbial hosts and CRISPR spacers (host diversity: $p = 0.7444$, t-test, spacer diversity: $p = 0.1081$,

t-test). At Anemone vent, the diffuse flow samples had higher CRISPR spacer and microbial

diversity than the plume sample, but viral diversity did not differ between these samples

(Supplementary Table 2).

Viral distribution across vent sites

In order to characterize viral distribution and community similarity across hydrothermal vent

fluids, we evaluated the extent to which viral sequences and CRISPR spacers were distributed across

samples, then compared these results to the host microbial community. As before, we clustered

sequences based on similarity to compare across all diffuse flow samples, excluding background

seawater and plume samples. On the whole, viral sequences and CRISPR spacers had fairly limited

distributions. Only a few viral clusters (0.02%) were present at all vent sites (Von Damm, Piccard,

and Axial Seamount), and no CRISPR spacer clusters were present in all three regions (Table 1). The

most cosmopolitan viruses and CRISPR spacers did not match each other: at Von Damm, two of

the 100 most widely distributed viral clusters matched with three of the 100 most widely distributed

CRISPR spacer clusters according to BLAST. At Piccard, one of the most widely distributed viral

clusters aligned to one of the most widely distributed spacer clusters. At Axial Seamount, one of the

100 most widely distributed viral clusters matched with two of the 100 most widely distributed

CRISPR spacer clusters. In contrast, microbial taxa were much more cosmopolitan, with ~17% of

taxa shared between Von Damm, Piccard, and Axial Seamount according to 16S rRNA gene

classification at the lowest taxonomic level available (Table 1). Viral and CRISPR spacer clusters

were shared more widely among vent sites within Von Damm and Piccard compared to Axial

Seamount, but microbial lineages were shared more widely among vent sites at Axial Seamount

(Table 1).

We created hierarchical dendrograms to assess the similarity of samples based on their viral

content. Viral assemblages in samples from the Mid-Cayman Rise and Axial Seamount grouped

separately (Figure 3A). Within Axial Seamount, samples taken in successive years from the same

vent tended to have similar viral assemblage compositions (Figure 3A). In contrast, at the Mid-

Cayman Rise, samples taken from the same site in two different years did not cluster together, and

we observed weak clustering of samples by location (Figure 3A). Samples from Piccard vent field

and Von Damm vent field did not cluster separately. Grouping of microbial communities based on

classification of 16S rRNA reads in the metagenomes showed similar patterns to the viral

assemblages. Samples collected from vents at Axial and the Mid-Cayman Rise grouped separately

from each other, with only some clustering of samples at Piccard and Von Damm. Similarly, we

observed stronger temporal clustering among samples at Axial Seamount than at Von Damm

(Figure 3B).

In contrast to the viral and microbial assemblages, hierarchical dendrograms based on

CRISPR spacer compositions showed little clustering by location (Figure 3C). Based on spacer

assemblages, samples from either Axial Seamount or the Mid-Cayman Rise did not cluster together,

and samples taken from the same vent sites in different years did not cluster together. Very few

CRISPR spacer clusters were found at multiple vent sites (Table 1).

Networks of viral infection

Networks of viral infection generated from viral sequences, CRISPRs, and MAGs were used

to examine the distribution and host specificity of vent viruses. These virus networks show

connections between CRISPR spacers, which represent a record of previous viral infection in the

host, and viral sequences recovered from the metagenome, which represent viral sequences present

in the community at the time of sampling. Virus-host networks made for Axial Seamount (Figure

4A), Von Damm (Figure 4B), and Piccard (Figure 4C) indicate that vent viruses are restricted in

terms of both host range and geographic distribution. We did not observe any virus-host

connections shared between Piccard, Von Damm, or Axial Seamount. Within Axial Seamount, there

were 89 viral clusters linked to 18 MAGs, and 105 connections between distinct pairs of viral

clusters and MAGs (Figure 4A). We did not observe any connections between MAGs and viral

clusters in any of the non-diffuse flow samples (Background, CTD1200 and the Anemone plume

sample). The number of viral connections appeared to be related to, but was not significantly

correlated with, the microbial hosts' relative abundance (Supplementary Table 3): for example, a

Clostridia MAG in the 2015 North Rift Zone sample (Clostridia_35) had high normalized coverage

and was linked to nine viral clusters. We observed a high number of viruses shared among *Aquificae*

MAGs sampled from the Anemone vent in 2013 and 2014 (Figure 4a). Finally, we observed only a

single viral cluster that was linked to MAGs of different taxonomic classes from different vent sites,

with a viral cluster connected to an *Ignavibacteria* MAG (Ignavibacteria_15) in the 2014 Marker 33

sample and an *Aquificae* MAG (Aquificae_43) in the 2013 Anemone sample (Figure 4A).

The virus infection networks reflected the geographic separation observed in the cluster

dendrograms, and also revealed fairly narrow virus-host ranges for the viral sequences observed in

our datasets. Within the Von Damm vent field in the Mid-Cayman Rise, there were 62 viral clusters

linked to nine MAGs, with 66 connections between distinct pairs of viral clusters and MAGs (Figure

4B). The size of the viral infection network was similar in Piccard, where 48 viral clusters were

linked to seven MAGs and there were 64 connections between distinct pairs of viral clusters and

MAGs (Figure 4C). All of the viral clusters in the Von Damm and Piccard networks represented

relatively rare viruses with the exception of one. This viral cluster was present in seven of the Von

Damm vents and all of the Piccard vents, was among the top six most abundant viruses in both the

X-19 and Shrimp Gully #2 vents within Piccard, and was linked to a Campylobacterales and a

Desulfobacterales MAG, both from Shrimp Gully #2. This was the only viral cluster with high

relative abundance in at least one sample that was present in the Axial Seamount, Von Damm, and

Piccard viral infection networks (Figure 4). Some MAGs had more viral connections than others: for

example, a *Methanomicrobia* MAG (Methanomicrobia_41) from the 2012 sample from Shrimp Hole

within Von Damm was linked to 35 viral clusters (Figure 4B). However, this is not a direct

indication of the number of different viruses infecting a specific strain because some MAGs had

more CRISPR spacers than others, increasing the possibility of finding a viral connection. As with

Axial Seamount, there were instances of highly abundant MAGs with many viral connections

(Supplementary Table 3): a *Sulfurovum* MAG (Sulfurovum_99) in the 2012 sample from X-19 within

Piccard had the highest normalized coverage across Von Damm and Piccard and was linked to 18

viral clusters, making it the MAG with the third highest number of viral connections within either

network. Another *Sulfurovum* MAG (Sulfurovum_37) had a high relative abundance in the 2012

sample from Shrimp Gulley #2 within Piccard, and had two viral connections. Within Von Damm,

Piccard, and Axial Seamount, we observed several cases in which viruses were connected to multiple

microbial hosts within the network (Figure 4). However, in some cases the MAGs were linked to

these shared viral clusters through the same CRISPR direct repeat type and were in the same sample,

suggesting that these specific connections may have been due to matching CRISPR direct repeat

types rather than true cross-infection. These have been indicated in Figure 4 (red lines).

Identification of integrated prophage and AMGs in MAGs was conducted using VirSorter and are

described in the Supplementary Materials.

Discussion

Viruses are important drivers of microbial mortality, ecology and evolution in the ocean, but

studies of their distribution and impact in the deep sea are lacking. Our results indicate that viral

diversity is high in venting fluids from hydrothermal systems, and the viruses we analyzed have

restricted geographic distributions and host ranges. This implies that viruses do not spread widely

between vent sites and that the viral role in mediating horizontal gene transfer across taxa and

between vent sites is relatively restricted. However, the high abundance and diversity of viral

sequences as well the large number of virus-host CRISPR connections implies rapid viral mutation

and ongoing viral infection, indicating active and ongoing interactions between viruses and their

microbial hosts in venting fluids from the hydrothermal vents examined here.

Viral assemblages are taxonomically distinct and spatially restricted across vent sites

472   Previous studies of viruses in marine systems have observed viral populations to be

commonly found across multiple samples (80), whereas others have found that most viruses are

biogeographically restricted, with only a few cosmopolitan groups (81, 82). In hydrothermal vents at

Von Damm, Piccard and Axial Seamount, we found high viral diversity with a limited distribution,

potentially indicating rapid diversification in vents. Most viral clusters we observed were found only

at individual vent sites, with very few cosmopolitan viruses. Previous work examining microbial

distribution in hydrothermal systems through fine-scale 16S rRNA gene analyses indicates that while

some microbial lineages are endemic to individual vent sites, others are widespread across vent fields

(28, 40). Our work revealed that viral biogeographic patterns roughly corresponded to those of their

microbial hosts. Taxonomic analysis revealed that there are distinct differences in viral taxonomy

between vent sites-- most notably, we observed a high abundance of *Myoviridae* at Axial Seamount

that was not found at either Piccard or Von Damm. These observations indicate either restricted

gene flow or strong environmental selection for specific groups within each site. Our analysis of

viral sequence similarity using hierarchical clustering revealed similar results: there were some

similarities between the viral assemblages in vents at Piccard and Von Damm, which are located

approximately 20km apart in the Caribbean Sea, but the viral assemblages from Axial Seamount in

the Pacific were very different from those at Piccard and Von Damm, despite the fact that vents at

Piccard are geochemically more similar to those at Axial than at Von Damm. Previous work on

microbial community similarity and distribution in hydrothermal systems has shown that vents in

close proximity are often more similar to one another in terms of microbial community structure

than to geographically distant vents (4, 27, 40, 83). This may result from subseafloor plumbing that

restricts fluid flux between sites, creating "islands" of microbial diversity that are distinct from one

vent site to the next (26). Here, we show that these barriers to dispersal apply to viruses as well, and

that viruses may be even more spatially restricted than their microbial hosts. Microbial lineages that

spread between vent sites may face infection from novel viral strains not found in other vent sites.

These endemic viral populations thus further shape distinct microbial community structure at
individual vent sites.
Although the viral assemblages at hydrothermal vents had high diversity and restricted
dispersal between vent sites and vent fields, our results show that the viral assemblages in vents
persist over time, particularly at Axial Seamount where the same vents were sampled over a 3-year
period. Viral assemblages from samples from the same vent sites at Axial across three years clustered
together in the hierarchical dendrograms (Figure 3A), and our viral infection networks revealed that
a number of viruses at Axial Seamount were linked to specific microbial hosts at the same vent over
multiple years (Figure 4A). These patterns match those observed in the microbial communities
(Figure 3B) and are consistent with previous work showing spatially restricted but temporally stable
microbial communities over time at Axial Seamount (4, 27). Our work extends this to the viral world
at Axial Seamount, indicating that viral assemblages follow the same temporal patterns as their
microbial hosts, and that virus-host relationships persist over time. However, temporal stability in
the viral and microbial community was generally not preserved at the Von Damm vent field for sites
sampled in both 2012 and 2013. However, there were fewer sites and time points sampled at Von
Damm compared to Axial Seamount, so it is unclear whether this results from a true biological
signal or insufficient data.
514
Vents host diverse and active assemblages of viruses with restricted host range
The viral infection networks show diverse microbial lineages across several samples infected
by many different viruses at the time of sampling. Although individual microbial lineages could be
targeted by multiple viral strains, the viruses we identified in these hydrothermal habitats had fairly
restricted host ranges, infecting specific individual microbial strains. The only clear example of viral
infection across microbial taxa emerged at Axial Seamount, where viral sequences associated with
Ignavibacteria were also linked to Aquificales (Figure 4A). All other examples of viral infection
across microbial taxa may either be true examples of viral-host cross-infection or may instead result
from shared direct repeats. The limited host range of viruses from hydrothermal systems is
consistent with previous work indicating that viruses generally tend to be host-specific (82), and we
found very little evidence for generalist viruses, despite the fact that this has been reported
previously (82, 84). Furthermore, we identified many viral connections to Epsilonbacteraeota,
confirming that these highly successful microbial groups in hydrothermal vent diffuse flow fluids are
susceptible to viral infection (3, 39, 85–87).

Matches between CRISPR loci and viruses provide an indication of which viruses are being

targeted by the CRISPR immune response. We found that while some CRISPR spacers target

relatively abundant viruses, most CRISPRs target relatively rare viruses in these systems. This is

consistent with previous observations in an archaea-dominated hypersaline lake (88), where the vast

majority of CRISPRs were found to target viruses with populations too small to allow for the

assembly of contigs. The relative scarcity of viruses targeted by CRISPRs may result from an

evolutionary arms race: CRISPRs limit the abundance of the viral populations they target, while

concurrently, viruses undergo mutations, limiting the ability of CRISPR spacers to target them.

Alternatively, these observations could result from an abundance of inactive spacers inherited over

multiple generations. However, we do not believe this to be the case because CRISPR spacer

clusters were infrequently present across multiple samples, suggesting that spacers were integrated

on subgenerational timescales.

Patterns recorded in microbial CRISPR loci do not reflect the contemporary viral assemblage

In contrast to the viral clusters, the CRISPR spacers did not demonstrate any clear

biogeographic patterns. We expected CRISPR spacers to be more widespread than viruses since viral

composition represents the virus community at the time of sampling, while spacers represent a

history of viral infection. In contrast to our predictions, while both had limited distributions, we

found viruses to be more widespread than CRISPR spacers at all scales examined (Table 1). These

results are in contrast to previous studies of CRISPR spacer biogeography in terrestrial hot springs,

where both viral and CRISPR spacers showed clear biogeographic structure (89). This suggests that

there is selective pressure for CRISPR spacer composition to evolve more rapidly than viral

sequences via the loss or mutation of CRISPR spacers. However, it is also possible that we found

viruses to be more widespread because of undersampling of CRISPR spacers, or our use of different

clustering algorithms for viruses and spacers: spacers may have been clustered at a finer resolution,

resulting in a more narrow distribution for each spacer.

Given that CRISPR spacers provide a history of infection, comparing the record of past viral

infections via CRISPR arrays with viral sequences in the metagenomes can provide insights into

whether CRISPR arrays provide an accurate representation of the viral assemblage at the time of

sampling, as well as the rate at which CRISPR spacers are accumulated. We did not find that the

most common or cosmopolitan viruses and CRISPR spacers matched each other. This may arise

from a temporal mismatch: it takes time for spacers to be incorporated into and lost from CRISPR

loci as virus abundances shift and viruses evolve. Additionally, just one SNP (which we allowed for when aligning spacers to viruses) can prevent a CRISPR spacer from providing resistance against a virus (66). This could explain the discrepancy between viruses and spacers: once resistance of a spacer to a particular virus is suppressed, the population of the virus is freed to shift independently from the spacer in the host population. The discrepancy we observed between viruses and spacers is important to note when attempting to use CRISPR spacers to study viral populations or vice versa.

Examination of the prophage abundance in MAGs revealed a relatively high abundance of prophage encoded in each MAG, confirming previous results indicating that lysogeny is a common lifestyle in hydrothermal systems (14, 15). However, while examination of the gene content of viral contigs revealed several ORFs encoding a range of functions including cell membrane function and energy production, it was difficult to prove conclusively that these genes were viral-encoded AMGs rather than potential cellular contamination, and thus no concrete conclusions were provided here (see Supplementary Materials). Previous research has suggested that vent viruses encode AMGs (18, 19) and thus it is likely that many of these genes represent viral-encoded AMGs, but further research is necessary to determine AMG diversity and prevalence across vent systems.

Taken together, our results show that hydrothermal vent viruses are active, abundant, and diverse. These viruses are restricted in their host range and biogeographic extent, but their interactions with hosts persist over time. Thus, while viruses in venting fluids from deep-sea hydrothermal systems have the capacity to play an important role in driving the evolution and ecology of microbial communities, their influence appears to be highly localized to specific regions and taxa. Future work examining viral diversity and distribution across higher resolution transects over space and time should reveal further insights into the extent of the viral influence in deep-sea hydrothermal vents.

Acknowledgements

We thank Julie Reveillaud, Caroline Fortunato, and Emily Reddington for support in the collection and generation of metagenomic data, and Chip Breier, David Butterfield, Bill Chadwick, Chris German, Jim Holden, Jill McDermott, and Jeff Seewald for sample collection support at sea. Funding for ET was provided by Carleton College. REA was supported by a NASA Postdoctoral Fellowship with the NASA Astrobiology Institute. This work was supported by a NASA Exobiology grant number 80NSSC18K1076 to REA and JAH; a NASA Astrobiology Science and Technology for Exploring Planets (ASTEP) grant NNX-327 09AB75G and a grant from Deep Carbon

Observatory's Deep Life Initiative to JAH; the NSF Science and Technology Center for Dark

Energy Biosphere Investigations (C-DEBI) to JAH; and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation

Grant GBMF3297 to JAH. Samples were collected from the Mid-Cayman Rise with the assistance

of the captains and crew of the R/V Atlantis and R/V Falkor as well as ROVs Jason and Nereus.

For Mid-Cayman Rise, ship and vehicle time in 2012 were supported by the NSF-OCE great OCE-

1061863 to Chris German and Jeff Seewald and in 2013 by the Schmidt Ocean Institute during

cruise FX008-2013 aboard the R/V Falkor. Samples collected from Axial Seamount were collected

with the assistance of the captains and crew of the R/V Falkor, R/V Thompson, and R/V Brown as

well as the ROV ROPOS and Jason groups, and in 2013 the Schmidt Ocean Institute during cruise

FK010-2013 aboard the R/V Falkor. This is C-DEBI Contribution number [number to be

determined].

References

1.   Jannasch HW, Mottl MJ. 1985. Geomicrobiology of Deep-Sea Hydrothermal Vents. Science.

2.   Huber JA, Mark Welch DB, Morrison HG, Huse SM, Neal PR, Butterfield DA, Sogin ML. 2007.
Microbial population structures in the deep marine biosphere. Science 318:97–100.

3.   Meier DV, Pjevac P, Bach W, Hourdez S, Girguis PR, Vidoudez C, Amann R, Meyerdierks A. 2017.
Niche partitioning of diverse sulfur-oxidizing bacteria at hydrothermal vents. ISME J 11:1545–1558.

4.   Fortunato CS, Larson B, Butterfield DA, Huber JA. 2018. Spatially distinct, temporally stable microbial
populations mediate biogeochemical cycling at and below the seafloor in hydrothermal vent fluids.
Environ Microbiol 20:769–784.

5.   McNichol J, Stryhanyuk H, Sylva SP, Thomas F, Musat N, Seewald JS, Sievert SM. 2018. Primary
productivity below the seafloor at deep-sea hot springs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:6756–6761.

6.   Trembath-Reichert E, Butterfield DA, Huber JA. 2019. Active subseafloor microbial communities from
Mariana back-arc venting fluids share metabolic strategies across different thermal niches and taxa. The
ISME Journal.

7.   Galambos D, Anderson RE, Reveillaud J, Huber JA. 2019. Genome-resolved metagenomics and
metatranscriptomics reveal niche differentiation in functionally redundant microbial communities at

deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Environ Microbiol 21:4395–4410.

8.   Suttle CA. 2005. Viruses in the sea. Nature 437:356–361.

9.   Suttle CA. 2007. Marine viruses--major players in the global ecosystem. Nat Rev Microbiol 5:801–812.

10.   Chow C-ET, Suttle CA. 2015. Biogeography of Viruses in the Sea. Annu Rev Virol 2:41–66.

11.   Weinbauer MG, Rassoulzadegan F. 2004. Are viruses driving microbial diversification and diversity?
Environ Microbiol 6:1–11.

12.   Ortmann AC, Suttle CA. 2005. High abundances of viruses in a deep-sea hydrothermal vent system
indicates viral mediated microbial mortality. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers.

13.   Anderson RE, Brazelton WJ, Baross JA. 2011. Using CRISPRs as a metagenomic tool to identify
microbial hosts of a diffuse flow hydrothermal vent viral assemblage. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 77:120–133.

14.   Williamson SJ, Cary SC, Williamson KE, Helton RR, Bench SR, Winget D, Wommack KE. 2008.
Lysogenic virus-host interactions predominate at deep-sea diffuse-flow hydrothermal vents. ISME J
2:1112–1121.

15.   Labonté JM, Pachiadaki M, Fergusson E, McNichol J, Grosche A, Gulmann LK, Vetriani C, Sievert SM,
Stepanauskas R. 2019. Single Cell Genomics-Based Analysis of Gene Content and Expression of
Prophages in a Diffuse-Flow Deep-Sea Hydrothermal System. Frontiers in Microbiology.

16.   Breitbart M, Bonnain C, Malki K, Sawaya NA. 2018. Phage puppet masters of the marine microbial
realm. Nat Microbiol 3:754–766.

17.   He T, Li H, Zhang X. 2017. Deep-Sea Hydrothermal Vent Viruses Compensate for Microbial
Metabolism in Virus-Host Interactions. MBio 8.

18.   Anderson RE, Sogin ML, Baross JA. 2014. Evolutionary strategies of viruses, bacteria and archaea in
hydrothermal vent ecosystems revealed through metagenomics. PLoS One 9:e109696.

19.   Anantharaman K, Duhaime MB, Breier JA, Wendt KA, Toner BM, Dick GJ. 2014. Sulfur oxidation
genes in diverse deep-sea viruses. Science 344:757–760.

20.   Koonin EV, Makarova KS, Aravind L. 2001. Horizontal gene transfer in prokaryotes: quantification and
classification. Annu Rev Microbiol 55:709–742.

21.   Beiko RG, Harlow TJ, Ragan MA. 2005. Highways of gene sharing in prokaryotes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A 102:14332–14337.

22.   Fuchsman CA, Collins RE, Rocap G, Brazelton WJ. 2017. Effect of the environment on horizontal gene
transfer between bacteria and archaea. PeerJ 5:e3865.

23.   Ochman H, Lawrence JG, Groisman EA. 2000. Lateral gene transfer and the nature of bacterial
innovation. Nature 405:299–304.

24.   Frost LS, Leplae R, Summers AO, Toussaint A. 2005. Mobile genetic elements: the agents of open
source evolution. Nat Rev Microbiol 3:722–732.

25.   Brazelton WJ, Baross JA. 2009. Abundant transposases encoded by the metagenome of a hydrothermal
chimney biofilm. ISME J 3:1420–1424.

26.   Stewart LC, Algar CK, Fortunato CS, Larson BI, Vallino JJ, Huber JA, Butterfield DA, Holden JF. 2019.
Fluid geochemistry, local hydrology, and metabolic activity define methanogen community size and
composition in deep-sea hydrothermal vents. ISME J 13:1711–1721.

27.   Opatkiewicz AD, Butterfield DA, Baross JA. 2009. Individual hydrothermal vents at Axial Seamount
harbor distinct subseafloor microbial communities. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 70:413–424.

28.   Anderson RE, Sogin ML, Baross JA. 2015. Biogeography and ecology of the rare and abundant
microbial lineages in deep-sea hydrothermal vents. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 91:1–11.

29.   Han Y, Perner M. 2015. The globally widespread genus Sulfurimonas: versatile energy metabolisms and
adaptations to redox clines. Front Microbiol 6:989.

30.   Dick GJ. 2019. The microbiomes of deep-sea hydrothermal vents: distributed globally, shaped locally.
Nat Rev Microbiol 17:271–283.

31.   Damm KV, Von Damm K. 1990. Seafloor Hydrothermal Activity: Black Smoker Chemistry And
Chimneys. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences.

32.   Kelley DS. 2005. A Serpentinite-Hosted Ecosystem: The Lost City Hydrothermal Field. Science.

33.   Anderson RE, Reveillaud J, Reddington E, Delmont TO, Eren AM, McDermott JM, Seewald JS, Huber
JA. 2017. Genomic variation in microbial populations inhabiting the marine subseafloor at deep-sea
hydrothermal vents. Nat Commun 8:1114.

34. Butterfield DA, Roe KK, Lilley MD, Huber JA, Baross JA, Embley RW, Massoth GJ. 2004. Mixing,
reaction and microbial activity in the sub-seafloor revealed by temporal and spatial variation in diffuse
flow vents at axial volcano. The Subseafloor Biosphere at Mid-Ocean Ridges.

35. McDermott JM, Seewald JS, German CR, Sylva SP. 2015. Pathways for abiotic organic synthesis at
submarine hydrothermal fields. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:7668–7672.

36. German CR, Bowen A, Coleman ML, Honig DL, Huber JA, Jakuba MV, Kinsey JC, Kurz MD, Leroy S,
McDermott JM, de Lépinay BM, Nakamura K, Seewald JS, Smith JL, Sylva SP, Van Dover CL,
Whitcomb LL, Yoerger DR. 2010. Diverse styles of submarine venting on the ultraslow spreading Mid-
Cayman Rise. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:14020–14025.

37. McDermott JM. 2015. Geochemistry of deep-sea hydrothermal vent fluids from the Mid-Cayman Rise,
Caribbean Sea.

38. McDermott JM, Sylva SP, Ono S, German CR, Seewald JS. 2018. Geochemistry of fluids from Earth's
deepest ridge-crest hot-springs: Piccard hydrothermal field, Mid-Cayman Rise. Geochimica et
Cosmochimica Acta.

39. Moulana A, Anderson RE, Fortunato CS, Huber JA. 2020. Selection Is a Significant Driver of Gene
Gain and Loss in the Pangenome of the Bacterial Genus in Geographically Distinct Deep-Sea
Hydrothermal Vents. mSystems 5.

40. Reveillaud J, Reddington E, McDermott J, Algar C, Meyer JL, Sylva S, Seewald J, German CR, Huber
JA. 2016. Subseafloor microbial communities in hydrogen-rich vent fluids from hydrothermal systems
along the Mid-Cayman Rise. Environ Microbiol 18:1970–1987.

41. Breier JA, Gomez-Ibanez D, Reddington E, Huber JA, Emerson D. 2012. A precision multi-sampler for
deep-sea hydrothermal microbial mat studies. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research
Papers.

42. Breier JA, Rauch CG, McCartney K, Toner BM, Fakra SC, White SN, German CR. 2009. A suspended-
particle rosette multi-sampler for discrete biogeochemical sampling in low-particle-density waters. Deep
Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers.

43. Crump BC, Hopkinson CS, Sogin ML, Hobbie JE. 2004. Microbial biogeography along an estuarine
salinity gradient: combined influences of bacterial growth and residence time. Appl Environ Microbiol
70:1494–1505.

44.   Zhou J, Bruns MA, Tiedje JM. 1996. DNA recovery from soils of diverse composition. Appl Environ
705   Microbiol 62:316–322.

706   45.   Fortunato CS, Huber JA. 2016. Coupled RNA-SIP and metatranscriptomics of active
707   chemolithoautotrophic communities at a deep-sea hydrothermal vent. ISME J 10:1925–1938.

708   46.   Eren AM, Vineis JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML. 2013. A filtering method to generate high quality short
709   reads using illumina paired-end technology. PLoS One 8:e66643.

710   47.   Peng Y, Leung HCM, Yiu SM, Chin FYL. 2012. IDBA-UD: a de novo assembler for single-cell and
711   metagenomic sequencing data with highly uneven depth. Bioinformatics 28:1420–1428.

712   48.   Skennerton CT, Imelfort M, Tyson GW. 2013. Crass: identification and reconstruction of CRISPR from
713   unassembled metagenomic data. Nucleic Acids Res 41:e105.

714   49.   Ren J, Ahlgren NA, Lu YY, Fuhrman JA, Sun F. 2017. VirFinder: a novel k-mer based tool for
715   identifying viral sequences from assembled metagenomic data. Microbiome 5:69.

716   50.   van Dongen SM. 2000. Graph Clustering by Flow Simulation.

717   51.   Eren AM, Esen ÖC, Quince C, Vineis JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, Delmont TO. 2015. Anvi'o: an
718   advanced analysis and visualization platform for 'omics data. PeerJ 3:e1319.

719   52.   Darling AE, Jospin G, Lowe E, Matsen FA 4th, Bik HM, Eisen JA. 2014. PhyloSift: phylogenetic
720   analysis of genomes and metagenomes. PeerJ 2:e243.

721   53.   Alneberg J, Bjarnason BS, de Bruijn I, Schirmer M, Quick J, Ijaz UZ, Lahti L, Loman NJ, Andersson
722   AF, Quince C. 2014. Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and composition. Nat Methods
723   11:1144–1146.

724   54.   Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. 2007. SILVA: a
725   comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data
726   compatible with ARB. Nucleic Acids Res 35:7188–7196.

727   55.   Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. 2013. The SILVA
728   ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids
729   Res 41:D590–6.

730   56.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359.

731 57. DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, Huber T, Dalevi D, Hu P,
732   Andersen GL. 2006. Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench
733   compatible with ARB. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:5069–5072.

734 58. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB,
735   Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ, Weber CF. 2009. Introducing
736   mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and
737   comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:7537–7541.

738 59. Dixon P. 2003. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. Journal of Vegetation
739   Science.

740 60. Waskom M, Botvinnik O, O'Kane D, Hobson P, Lukauskas S, Gemperline DC, Augspurger T,
741   Halchenko Y, Cole JB, Warmenhoven J, de Ruiter J, Pye C, Hoyer S, Vanderplas J, Villalba S, Kunter G,
742   Quintero E, Bachant P, Martin M, Meyer K, Miles A, Ram Y, Yarkoni T, Williams ML, Evans C,
743   Fitzgerald C, Brian, Fonnesbeck C, Lee A, Qalieh A. 2017. mwaskom/seaborn: v0.8.1. Zenodo
744   https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.883859.

745 61. Emerson JB, Roux S, Brum JR, Bolduc B, Woodcroft BJ, Jang HB, Singleton CM, Solden LM, Naas
746   AE, Boyd JA, Hodgkins SB, Wilson RM, Trubl G, Li C, Frolking S, Pope PB, Wrighton KC, Crill PM,
747   Chanton JP, Saleska SR, Tyson GW, Rich VI, Sullivan MB. 2018. Host-linked soil viral ecology along a
748   permafrost thaw gradient. Nat Microbiol 3:870–880.

749 62. Gloor GB, Macklaim JM, Pawlowsky-Glahn V, Egozcue JJ. 2017. Microbiome Datasets Are
750   Compositional: And This Is Not Optional. Front Microbiol 8:2224.

751 63. Gloor GB, Reid G. 2016. Compositional analysis: a valid approach to analyze microbiome high-
752   throughput sequencing data. Can J Microbiol 62:692–703.

753 64. Palarea-Albaladejo J, Martín-Fernández JA. 2015. zCompositions — R package for multivariate
754   imputation of left-censored data under a compositional approach. Chemometrics and Intelligent
755   Laboratory Systems.

756 65. Daly RA, Roux S, Borton MA, Morgan DM, Johnston MD, Booker AE, Hoyt DW, Meulia T, Wolfe
757   RA, Hanson AJ, Mouser PJ, Moore JD, Wunch K, Sullivan MB, Wrighton KC, Wilkins MJ. 2019.
758   Viruses control dominant bacteria colonizing the terrestrial deep biosphere after hydraulic fracturing.
759   Nat Microbiol 4:352–361.

66. Barrangou R, Fremaux C, Deveau H, Richards M, Boyaval P, Moineau S, Romero DA, Horvath P. 2007.
CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. Science 315:1709–1712.

67. Garrett RA, Vestergaard G, Shah SA. 2011. Archaeal CRISPR-based immune systems: exchangeable
functional modules. Trends Microbiol 19:549–556.

68. Manica A, Zebec Z, Teichmann D, Schleper C. 2011. In vivo activity of CRISPR-mediated virus defence
in a hyperthermophilic archaeon. Mol Microbiol 80:481–491.

69. Team R, Others. 2015. RStudio: integrated development for R. RStudio, Inc , Boston, MA URL
http://www rstudio com 42:14.

70. Wickham H, Hester J, Francois R. 2017. Readr: Read rectangular text data. R package version 1.

71. Wickham H, Bryan J. 2017. readxl: Read Excel files. R package version 1.

72. Wickham H, Henry L, Others. 2018. tidyr: Easily Tidy Data with "spread ()"and "gather ()"Functions. R
package version 0 8 2.

73. Wickham H. 2017. stringr: Simple, consistent wrappers for common string operations. R package
version 1.

74. Wickham H, Francois R, Henry L, Müller K, Others. 2015. dplyr: A grammar of data manipulation. R
package version 0 4 3.

75. Briatte F. 2016. ggnetwork: Geometries to Plot Networks with'ggplot2'. R package version 0 5 1.

76. Handcock MS, Hunter DR, Butts CT, Goodreau SM, Morris M. 2008. statnet: Software tools for the
representation, visualization, analysis and simulation of network data. J Stat Softw 24:1548.

77. Kassambara A. 2017. ggpubr:"ggplot2" based publication ready plots. R package version 0 1 6.

78. Wickham H. 2011. ggplot2. WIREs Comp Stat 3:180–185.

79. Luciani TJ, Decorde M, Lise V. 2009. RSvgDevice: An R SVG graphics device. R package version 0 6 4.

80. Brum JR, Ignacio-Espinoza JC, Roux S, Doulcier G, Acinas SG, Alberti A, Chaffron S, Cruaud C, de
Vargas C, Gasol JM, Gorsky G, Gregory AC, Guidi L, Hingamp P, Iudicone D, Not F, Ogata H, Pesant
S, Poulos BT, Schwenck SM, Speich S, Dimier C, Kandels-Lewis S, Picheral M, Searson S, Tara Oceans
Coordinators, Bork P, Bowler C, Sunagawa S, Wincker P, Karsenti E, Sullivan MB. 2015. Ocean

plankton. Patterns and ecological drivers of ocean viral communities. Science 348:1261498.

81. Roux S, Brum JR, Dutilh BE, Sunagawa S, Duhaime MB, Loy A, Poulos BT, Solonenko N, Lara E,
Poulain J, Pesant S, Kandels-Lewis S, Dimier C, Picheral M, Searson S, Cruaud C, Alberti A, Duarte
CM, Gasol JM, Vaqué D, Tara Oceans Coordinators, Bork P, Acinas SG, Wincker P, Sullivan MB. 2016.
Ecogenomics and potential biogeochemical impacts of globally abundant ocean viruses. Nature
537:689–693.

82. Paez-Espino D, Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Pavlopoulos GA, Thomas AD, Huntemann M, Mikhailova N, Rubin
E, Ivanova NN, Kyrpides NC. 2016. Uncovering Earth's virome. Nature 536:425–430.

83. Flores GE, Campbell JH, Kirshtein JD, Meneghin J, Podar M, Steinberg JI, Seewald JS, Tivey MK,
Voytek MA, Yang ZK, Reysenbach A-L. 2011. Microbial community structure of hydrothermal deposits
from geochemically different vent fields along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Environ Microbiol 13:2158–2171.

84. Peters DL, Lynch KH, Stothard P, Dennis JJ. 2015. The isolation and characterization of two
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia bacteriophages capable of cross-taxonomic order infectivity. BMC
Genomics 16:664.

85. Akerman NH, Butterfield DA, Huber JA. 2013. Phylogenetic diversity and functional gene patterns of
sulfur-oxidizing subseafloor Epsilonproteobacteria in diffuse hydrothermal vent fluids. Front Microbiol
4:185.

86. Meyer JL, Huber JA. 2014. Strain-level genomic variation in natural populations of Lebetimonas from an
erupting deep-sea volcano. ISME J 8:867–880.

87. Yoshida-Takashima Y, Takaki Y, Shimamura S, Nunoura T, Takai K. 2013. Genome sequence of a
novel deep-sea vent epsilonproteobacterial phage provides new insight into the co-evolution of
Epsilonproteobacteria and their phages. Extremophiles 17:405–419.

88. Emerson JB, Andrade K, Thomas BC, Norman A, Allen EE, Heidelberg KB, Banfield JF. 2013. Virus-
host and CRISPR dynamics in Archaea-dominated hypersaline Lake Tyrrell, Victoria, Australia. Archaea
2013:370871.

89. Held NL, Whitaker RJ. 2009. Viral biogeography revealed by signatures in Sulfolobus islandicus
genomes. Environ Microbiol 11:457–466.

Tables

 Table 1. Distribution of viral clusters, CRISPR spacer clusters, and microbial hosts (classified at the lowest

 taxonomic level available based on 16S rRNA genes) across vent sites and vent fields at Piccard, Von Damm,

 and Axial Seamount. The Background and CTD1200 samples were excluded. Reads that mapped to 16S

 rRNA sequences that were classified as eukaryotes were excluded.

Vent field	Type	Percent in more than one vent site	Percent in all vent sites in region
Von Damm	Viral clusters	13.71	0
Von Damm	Spacer clusters	5.1	0
Von Damm	16S rRNA host	46.15	1.44
Piccard	Viral clusters	10.78	0
Piccard	Spacer clusters	9.13	0
Piccard	16S rRNA host	46.09	11.72
Axial Seamount	Viral clusters	8.88	0.05
Axial Seamount	Spacer clusters	1.01	0
Axial Seamount	16S rRNA host	54.55	2.36
Type	**Percent found in all three regions (Axial Seamount, Von Damm, and Piccard)**		
Viral clusters	0.02		
Spacer clusters	0		
16S rRNA host	16.62		

Figures

Figure 1. Taxonomy of A) microbial and B) viral reads in Von Damm vent field, Piccard vent field, and

Axial Seamount. In A) the y-axis represents the number of reads that mapped to 16S rRNA sequences of

each host normalized by the number of reads in each sample. Reads that mapped to 16S rRNA sequences

that were unclassified or classified as eukaryotes were excluded. Rare taxonomic groups represent taxonomies

that comprised less than 1% of reads that mapped to 16S rRNA sequences in a given sample. In B), the y-axis

represents the number of reads that mapped to VirFinder contigs of each viral taxonomy normalized by the

number of reads in each sample. Rare viral groups represent viral taxonomies that comprised less than 5% of

reads that mapped to viral contigs in a given sample.

Figure 2. Abundance and diversity of viral sequences, CRISPR spacers, CRISPR loci, and microbes

in diffuse flow samples from the Von Damm vent field (blue), Piccard vent field (orange), and Axial

Seamount (green). Relative abundance reflects the relative number of reads mapping to viral contigs within

each region, normalized by read abundance (see Methods). Diversity reflects the number of clusters per read,

normalized by read abundance (see Methods). Note that the y-axis values are different for each variable.

Values for individual samples are indicated with black dots. Violins represent the kernel density estimation of

the underlying data distribution. Variables with significant differences between Von Damm, Piccard, and

Axial Seamount are indicated with asterisks. For these comparisons, samples from background seawater and

plumes were excluded.

Figure 3. Hierarchical clustering of Von Damm (black) Piccard (blue) and Axial Seamount (red)
diffuse flow samples based on A) viral assemblage, B) microbial host composition, and C) CRISPR
spacer composition. For viral composition, the number of reads in each sample that mapped to each viral
cluster was calculated. For the host composition, the number of reads that mapped to 16S rRNA sequences
of each host (classified at the lowest taxonomic level available) in each sample was calculated. Reads that
mapped to 16S rRNA sequences that were either unclassified or classified as eukaryotes were excluded. For
CRISPR composition, the number of CRISPR spacers in each sample in each spacer cluster was calculated.
For all samples, the zero counts were replaced with estimates and a centered log-ratio (clr) transformation was

861   applied. The y-axes indicate distance between samples as calculated by the ward.D2 method based on the

862   transformed counts.

Figure 4. Infection network showing the links between viral clusters and MAGs at A) Axial

Seamount, B) Von Damm and C) Piccard. Viral clusters were linked to MAGs via the spacers and direct

repeats in CRISPR loci. Edges are colored red when a viral cluster is linked to multiple MAGs in the same

sample through the same direct repeat type. Due to the Crass algorithm, when the same direct repeat type is

found in multiple MAGs in the same sample, it cannot be determined which MAG the spacers associated

with direct repeat type came from. Therefore, the red edges are links between viral clusters and MAGs that

could not be definitely proven. Viral clusters with high relative abundance (top six most abundant) in at least

one sample are outlined in black.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Viruses and prophages in MAGS and viral and prophage genes

In order to identify viral genes and putative auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs), VirSorter (69) v1.0.3 was used to extract putative viral and prophage sequences from metagenomic assemblies and from individual MAGs. In the case of identifying putative auxiliary metabolic genes, we chose to maximize accuracy rather than recovery. Therefore, we used VirSorter for prophage and AMG identification because VirSorter relies on known viral hallmark genes for viral identification and thus is a more conservative tool for positive viral identification. Category one, category two, category four (prophage), and category five (prophage) VirSorter sequences were used in analyses. Putative viral and prophage sequences from all assembled contigs were annotated via Prokka (69, 70) v1.14 with the taxonomic identifier set to "bacteria" as well as to "viruses." We assigned categories to the ORFs that had been identified with the "bacteria" taxonomic identifier using the Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COG) database (71, 72). We conducted a second round of annotation for ORFs identified by Prokka using the "viruses" taxonomic identifier using VirSorter; we classified these ORFs as having viral function if they were annotated with terms that included "capsid," "tail," "spike," "terminase large subunit," "portal," and "coat."

Of the 74 Mid-Cayman Rise MAGs, 28 (38%) had at least one putative prophage sequence counting VirSorter categories one, two, four, or five, and 6 (8%) had a putative prophage counting only VirSorter categories four and five (Supplementary Table 4). Of the 98 Axial Seamount MAGs, 41 (42%) had at least one putative viral or prophage sequence counting VirSorter categories one, two, four, or five, and 6 (6%) had a putative prophage sequence counting VirSorter categories four and five (Supplementary Table 4). Given the uncertainties associated with binning viral contigs into MAGs, the true number of prophage in hydrothermal vent microbial genomes is likely between these endmember values. VirSorter has not been verified in hydrothermal systems; however, it is an excellent tool that has proved useful results and is commonly used in other non-surface ocean systems (61, 84, 85).

To determine whether viruses and prophage in these samples carried auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs), we annotated and characterized viral and prophage gene content in the viral sequences recovered from the metagenomes. The vast majority of genes within the putative viral and prophage sequences were annotated as belonging to the COG categories replication and repair; nucleotide metabolism and transport; and post-translational modification, protein turnover, chaperone functions. Many genes were also annotated as belonging to the cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis category. Within the Mid-Cayman Rise, a total of 106 ORFs within putative viral or prophage sequences identified in 10 different metagenomes fell within the broad COG category of "metabolism" (Supplementary Fig. 4). This included genes categorized as energy production and conversion, amino acid transport and metabolism, nucleotide transport and metabolism, carbohydrate transport and metabolism, coenzyme transport and metabolism, lipid transport and metabolism, and inorganic ion transport and metabolism. Samples taken from X-19 and Shrimp Hole (2012) had a high number of metabolism genes (30 and 28, respectively) on putative viral or prophage sequences compared to the other Mid-Cayman Rise samples. A higher proportion of genes on putative viral or prophage sequences were related to metabolism at the Mid-Cayman Rise than Axial Seamount (Supplementary Fig. 4). At Axial Seamount, we identified a total of 185 ORFs on putative viral or prophage sequences from 14 different metagenomes that fell within the broad COG category of "metabolism." However, it is important to note that none of the observed metabolism genes were surrounded by confirmed virus genes (i.e., known viral ORFs both upstream

926 and downstream of the metabolic ORF), and therefore we cannot rule out the possibility that some
927 of these genes were microbial in origin.
928
Auxiliary metabolic genes and the role of virus-driven horizontal gene transfer in these systems
Previous work has suggested that viruses in hydrothermal systems have the genomic capacity
to alter their hosts' microbial metabolism through harboring auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs) (17–
19). We found a higher percentage of microbial genomes with putative viral sequences (40%) than
has been previously reported for single-cell genomes (SAGs, 10%) in diffuse flow hydrothermal
fluids (15). The high abundance of prophage identified here is consistent with previous work
documenting a high incidence of prophage in diffuse flow systems compared to deep seawater (14).
The ORFs on the viral contigs identified in these hydrothermal vent metagenomes encoded
a wide range of functions, some of which may function as auxiliary metabolic genes. Many viral
contigs contained genes related to cell wall or membrane proteins. The function of these genes is
unknown; it is possible that these genes are involved in the synthesis of membranes surrounding
viral capsids. Genes related to outer membrane proteins and protein glycosylation are commonly
observed as variable genes within microbial pangenomes (94–100), possibly as a means to vary
membrane proteins to evade viral infection. Viruses often act as a source of genes for horizontal
gene transfer via transduction, and it is possible that the introduction of these novel genes may
enable some microbial strains to avoid infection by other viruses. We also observed several genes
with functions related to energy metabolism, inorganic ion transport, and signal transduction.
Previous work has found that genes related to inorganic ion transport are differentially distributed in
the *Sulfurovum* pangenome according to nutrient availability (39) and further work on viral sequences
across vent sites is needed to determine whether these genes are carried by viruses to benefit their
hosts. Moreover, work on viruses in hydrothermal plumes (19) and in diffuse flow vent fluid (18)
has indicated that viruses in these systems encode energy-metabolizing AMGs, potentially to
supplement the host's ability to generate sufficient energy for cellular processes during the course of
infection. The abundance and diversity of metabolic genes observed on these contigs provide
further evidence that viral-encoded AMGs are widespread and diverse within hydrothermal vent
ecosystems. These genes also have the potential to be horizontally transferred via viral transduction.
However, our analyses of viral biogeography in hydrothermal vents has revealed virus-host
interactions to have limited distributions because viruses are spatially restricted and highly host-
specific. Thus, the potential for viruses to act as mechanisms for horizontal gene transfer between
spatially and phylogenetically distant hosts is likely limited.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

A)

B)

C)

Supplementary Figure 1. Rarefaction curves for viral clusters, spacer clusters, and 16S
rRNA host classes from samples collected at A)Von Damm, B) Piccard and C) Axial
Seamount. There is no viral rarefaction curve for Old Man Tree, 2012 because there were no
viral clusters in this sample.

A.

985
986   C.

987
988
Supplementary Figure 2. Rank abundance curves of viral clusters at A) Von Damm, B)
Piccard and C) Axial Seamount. The y-axis represents the number of reads that mapped to each
viral cluster divided by the total length of the viral contigs in the cluster and the number of reads
in the sample. The x-axis represents the viral clusters ordered according to relative abundance,
with the most abundant viral clusters at the left. The Axial viral clusters in all cases were too rare
to be seen on the graphs.
995
996

A.

B.

C.

1002 **Supplementary Figure 3. Rank abundance curves of CRISPR spacer clusters in A) Von**
1003 **Damm, B) Piccard and C) Axial Seamount.** The y-axis represents the percent of all CRISPR
1004 spacers in a sample falling into that cluster. The x-axis represents the CRISPR spacer clusters
1005 ordered according to relative abundance, with the most abundant clusters at the left.

1006
1007
1008
1009

1010
1011
1012 **Supplementary Figure 4. COG categories assigned to ORFs on contigs identified as being**
1013 **derived from viruses or prophage by VirSorter**. A) Samples from Von Damm; B) Samples
1014 from Piccard; C) Samples from Axial Seamount.
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019 **Supplementary Tables are provided in Excel format.**