Diverse viruses in deep-sea hydrothermal vent fluids have restricted dispersal across ocean

basins

Elaina Thomas[†1,2], Rika Anderson[†1], Viola Li[1], Jenni Rogan[1], Julie A. Huber[3]*

Affiliations:

[1] Biology Department, Carleton College. Northfield, MN

[2] Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Cambridge, MA

[3] Marine Chemistry & Geochemistry, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution. Woods Hole, MA

[*]To whom correspondence should be directed. randerson@carleton.edu

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Running title: Viruses in deep-sea hydrothermal vents

Abstract

In the ocean, viruses impact microbial mortality, regulate biogeochemical cycling, and alter

the metabolic potential of microbial lineages. At deep-sea hydrothermal vents, abundant viruses

infect a wide range of hosts among the archaea and bacteria that inhabit these dynamic habitats.

However, little is known about viral diversity, host range, and biogeography across different vent

ecosystems, which has important implications for how viruses manipulate microbial function and

evolution. Here, we examined viral diversity, viral and host distribution, and viral-host interactions in

microbial metagenomes generated from venting fluids from several vent sites within three different

geochemically and geographically distinct hydrothermal systems: Piccard and Von Damm vent fields

at the Mid-Cayman Rise in the Caribbean Sea, and at several vent sites within Axial Seamount in the

Pacific Ocean. Analysis of viral sequences and Clustered Regularly InterSpaced Palindromic Repeats

(CRISPR) spacers revealed highly diverse viral assemblages and evidence of active infection.

Network analysis revealed that viral host range was relatively narrow, with very few viruses infecting

multiple microbial lineages. Viruses were largely endemic to individual vent sites, indicating

restricted dispersal, and in some cases viral assemblages persisted over time. Thus, we show that

hydrothermal vent fluids are home to novel, diverse viral assemblages that are highly localized to

specific regions and taxa.

Importance

Viruses play important roles in manipulating microbial communities and their evolution in the

ocean, yet not much is known about viruses in deep-sea hydrothermal vents. However, viral ecology

and evolution are of particular interest in hydrothermal vent habitats because of their unique nature:

previous studies have indicated that most viruses in hydrothermal vents are temperate rather than

lytic, and it has been established that rates of horizontal gene transfer (HGT) are particularly high

among thermophilic vent microbes, and viruses are common vectors for HGT. If viruses have

broad host range or are widespread across vent sites, they have increased potential to act as gene-

sharing "highways" between vent sites. By examining viral diversity, distribution, and infection

networks across disparate vent sites, this study provides the opportunity to better characterize and

constrain the viral impact on hydrothermal vent microbial communities. We show that viruses in

hydrothermal vents are diverse and apparently active, but most have restricted host range and are

not widely distributed among vent sites. Thus, the impacts of viral infection are likely to be highly

localized and constrained to specific taxa in these habitats.

Introduction

Deep-sea hydrothermal vents are regions on the seafloor where high-temperature hydrothermal vent fluid is created from water-rock reactions deep within the oceanic crust, mixing with seawater beneath and at the seafloor to create a dynamic, gradient-dominated habitat that supports diverse microbial communities. These low-temperature diffuse vent fluids are hotspots of primary production in the deep ocean, dominated by chemolithoautotrophic bacteria and archaea carrying out a variety of metabolisms utilizing hydrogen, sulfur compounds, nitrate, and methane (1–7). An important, though understudied, driver of microbial diversity and evolution in hydrothermal systems is viruses. Viruses are major sources of microbial mortality in marine systems and play key roles in mediating biogeochemical cycling and shaping microbial community structure (8–11). At deep-sea hydrothermal vents, viruses are abundant (12) and infect a wide range of microbial hosts (13). Temperate viruses, which often integrate into the genomes of their microbial hosts, are particularly abundant in hydrothermal fluids compared to other marine habitats (14, 15). The high abundance of temperate viruses suggests that there are unique attributes to the vent environment that influence viral infection strategies. Viruses can "metabolically reprogram" their microbial hosts via the introduction and expression of auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs) (16), which has profound impacts on the ecology and evolution of microbial populations. Like horizontally transferred genes, integrated prophage with AMGs can alter the functional potential of a given organism, allowing it to adapt to changing conditions or expand to new ecological niches. Viruses in hydrothermal habitats have been found to encode AMGs (17–19), and thus can manipulate the metabolic potential of microbial populations in hydrothermal vents.

Viruses also function as vectors of horizontal gene transfer via transduction. Previous work has suggested that horizontal gene transfer is particularly prevalent among microbes inhabiting high-temperature environments (20–22). Transposases, which catalyze the movement of mobile genetic elements within and between genomes (23, 24), are abundant in hydrothermal vent sites (18, 25). Given the abundance of viruses and the inferred high rates of transduction at deep-sea vents, it is likely that viruses are an important vector for horizontal gene transfer in these dynamic systems. Therefore, a clear understanding of which viruses infect which hosts, and what genes those viruses carry, can provide insight into highways of gene sharing in the deep sea.

One large gap in our understanding of eco-evolutionary dynamics in deep-sea hydrothermal

vents is how broadly viruses are distributed within and between vent systems, and how viral

interactions shift across hydrothermal vent types. Previous work has shown that microbial

communities exhibit high endemism locally (4, 7, 26, 27), but some cosmopolitan species are

distributed globally (28–30). However, less is known about the geographic distribution of viral

populations. If viruses exhibit a restricted distribution, this would limit their role as vectors of gene

flow across and between hydrothermal systems. Microbial populations and their viruses are also

limited by environmental conditions: hydrothermal systems hosted in basalt rocks are characterized

by metal-enriched, low pH fluids up to 400°C (31). In contrast, hydrothermal systems hosted in

peridotite are influenced by serpentinization and feature organic carbon-enriched, high pH fluids

with slightly lower temperature (32). Microbial populations in basalt-hosted and peridotite-hosted

vents exhibit distinct patterns of genomic variation (33), suggesting that microbes are subject to

different selection pressures depending on the vent type, but we know little about the role viruses

play in driving those differences, nor how viral diversity varies across hydrothermal systems.

To gain further insight into viral diversity, distribution, and host range across hydrothermal

systems, we compared viral sequences recovered from microbial metagenomes collected from two

hydrothermal regions in two distinct ocean basins: Axial Seamount, a submarine volcano located on

the Juan de Fuca Ridge in the Pacific Ocean at ~1520 meters depth, and the Mid-Cayman Rise, an

ultraslow spreading ridge in the Caribbean Sea. Axial Seamount is a basalt-hosted, magma-driven

system with fluids that are low in pH and high in carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide (34).

Microbial communities at Axial are spatially restricted but temporally stable at individual vent sites

over time (4, 27). In contrast, the Mid-Cayman Rise hosts two geologically and geochemically

distinct hydrothermal vent fields in close proximity to each other: Piccard hydrothermal field,

located in basalt rocks along the ridge axis, is the deepest hydrothermal vent field discovered to date

(~4950 meters depth) and is characterized by fluids that are acidic with high hydrogen and hydrogen

sulfide content, whereas the Von Damm vent field, located approximately 20 km away on a nearby

massif at ~2350 meters depth, is influenced by serpentinization and is characterized by fluids that

are high in hydrogen, methane, and small carbon compounds (35–38). Previous work found distinct

community composition but similar functional potential in the microbial communities at Piccard and

Von Damm (7, 27, 33, 39, 40) . Here, we compare viral sequences in venting fluids from Von

Damm vent field, Piccard vent field, and Axial Seamount in a comparative survey of viral diversity

and gene content across geographically and geochemically distinct hydrothermal vent sites. By

examining viruses from the microbial fraction (0.22 μm), we are closely examining the relationship

between prophage, actively infecting viruses, and their hosts. We examined viral sequences identified

in the metagenomes as well as CRISPR sequences, which provide context for historical viral

infections within each of the communities, and provide a means to match viruses with putative

hosts. We show that viral populations have high diversity but restricted distribution and host range

across hydrothermal systems, limiting the capacity of viruses to act as vectors of gene flow between

disparate hosts and vent locations.

Methods

Sample collection and DNA preparation and sequencing

Low-temperature diffuse flow fluid samples were collected from the vent fields Piccard and

Von Damm at the Mid-Cayman Rise in January 2012 and June 2013 during research cruises on the

R/V Atlantis and R/V Falkor, respectively. We analyzed a total of 11 metagenomes from 8 different

vents at Von Damm vent field and 4 metagenomes from 4 different vents at Piccard vent field.

Sample locations, depth, and metagenomic data are described in Supplementary Table 1. The ROV

Jason II and Mat Sampler were used to collect the 2012 Mid-Cayman Rise samples, as previously

described in (41). The 2013 Mid-Cayman Rise samples were collected using the SUPR version 2

sampler and HROV Nereus (42). For microbial DNA collection, approximately 3 to 6 L of diffuse

flow fluid were pumped through 0.22 μm Sterivex filters (Millipore). Shipboard, the filters were

flooded with RNALater (Ambion), sealed with Luer Caps, stored in sterile Falcon tubes, and frozen

at −80 °C. Sample collection and preservation are further described in Anderson et al. (33) and

Reveillaud et al. (40). Genomic DNA was extracted and metagenomic libraries constructed as

described in Anderson et al. (33). Sequencing was done on an Illumina Hi Seq 1000 at the W.M.

Keck Facility in the Josephine Bay Paul Center at the Marine Biological Laboratory.

Diffuse flow fluid samples were collected from Axial Seamount in September 2013, August

2014, and August 2015 (approximately five months after the eruption of Axial Seamount) during

research cruises on the R/V Falkor and R/V Thompson in 2013, R/V Brown in 2014, and R/V

Thompson in 2015 (Supplementary Table 1). Diffuse flow samples were collected from four

different vent fields within Axial Seamount (ASHES, International District, North Rift Zone, and

Dependable) using the ROVs ROPOS and Jason. We analyzed a total of 16 metagenomes from 10

different vents, 1 plume, and 2 deep seawater samples at Axial Seamount. For collection of microbial

DNA, 3 L of diffuse fluid was pumped through 0.22 μm, 47 mm GWSP filters (Millipore) and the

filters were flooded with RNALater (Ambion) on the seafloor, as described in Fortunato et al. (4).

Fluids from a hydrothermal plume above Anemone and background seawater were collected using a

Seabird SBE911 CTD and 10 L Niskin bottles and 3 L of the plume and seawater fluid was filtered

through 0.22 μm Sterivex filters (Millipore). DNA was extracted from the filters using a phenol-

chloroform method adapted from Crump et al. (43) and Zhou et al. (44). The Ovation Ultralow

Library DR multiplex system (Nugen) was used to prepare metagenomic libraries following the

manufacturer instructions. DNA extraction and metagenomic library construction is further

described in Fortunato and Huber (45). The 2013 and 2014 samples were sequenced on an Illumina

HiSeq 1000 and the 2015 samples on a NextSeq 500. Sequencing was done at the W.M. Keck

sequencing facility at the Marine Biological Laboratory.

For all metagenomes, paired-end partially overlapping reads were merged and quality filtered

using the illumina-utils package (46) using the iu-quality-filter-minoche flag, then assembled using

idba-ud (47) v1.1.2 with default settings. All data from both sites is available in the European

Nucleotide Archives archives under study accession number PRJEB15541 for the Mid-Cayman Rise

and under study accession numbers PRJEB7866, PRJEB12000, and PRJEB19456 for Axial samples

in the years 2013, 2014 and 2015 respectively (Supplementary Table 1).

Identification of CRISPR loci, viral populations, and spacer assemblages

Crass (48) v1.0.1 was used to identify CRISPR loci (i.e., unique direct repeat types) and

CRISPR spacers in the metagenomic reads. Viral contigs in the metagenomic assemblies were

identified with VirFinder (48, 49) v1.1 using a p-value threshold of 0.05. Given that viruses from

hydrothermal systems are not well-represented in sequence databases, we elected to use VirFinder

for identification of viral contigs for diversity and abundance analysis because VirFinder is a k-mer

frequency-based method that avoids gene-based similarity searches, and thus has higher potential to

identify novel viruses. We used ClusterGenomes (https://bitbucket.org/MAVERICLab/stampede-

clustergenomes) v1.1.3 (95% identity, 80% coverage) to identify viral clusters (or viral operational

taxonomic units, vOTUs) of viral contigs. To cluster the CRISPR spacers, we performed an all-v-all

blast using BLASTn v2.5.0 (E-value threshold of 10^{-08}) and then clustered using Markov Cluster

Algorithm (MCL) (50) v14-137 (inflation 1.2 and scheme 7) based on bitscore.

Recovery of MAGs

All metagenome assembled genomes (MAGs) were recovered from metagenomic assemblies

using anvi'o (51). Supervised clustering was used to recover bins from Piccard and Von Damm

contigs using anvi'o v2.1.0 (33). MAGs from Axial Seamount assemblies were recovered using

unsupervised binning with CONCOCT (50, 52, 53) within the anvi'o v4.0 pipeline, followed by

manual refinement within anvi'o (39). For all analyses, only bins with >=70% completeness and

<=10% redundancy were retained as MAGs for this analysis. The MAGs were assigned taxonomies

using PhyloSift (50, 52) v1.0.1 as described in Anderson et al. (33). Only MAGs for which

taxonomies could be identified were used in analyses. MAG coverage was normalized by the

number of merged reads in the metagenome. All of the Mid-Cayman Rise MAGs were previously

described in Anderson et al. (33).

Taxonomic identification of microbial and viral reads

The reads from all metagenomes were mapped to the Silva SSU and LSU Parc databases (54,

55) (release 132) with bowtie2 (56) v2.2.9 using default settings and local alignment. Mapped reads

were assigned taxonomies using the classify.seqs function in mothur (57, 58) v1.38.1 with the Silva

16S rRNA database (release 119) and a cutoff of 50. Reads mapping to 16S rRNA gene sequences

that were classified as eukaryotes were excluded from analyses.

In order to identify the viral taxa at Von Damm, Piccard, and Axial Seamount, we conducted

a blast of the representative sequence of each vOTU against all of the viral genomic sequences in

RefSeq (downloaded on September 16th, 2020; E-value <=10-5). The best match for each vOTU

representative sequence was selected based on E-value and percent identity. The taxonomy of the

RefSeq viral sequence with the best alignment was assigned to the given vOTU. To quantify the

relative abundance of viral families in each sample, the reads that mapped to vOTUs of a viral family

were divided by the total number of reads in the sample.

Viral, spacer, and host diversity

Rarefaction curves for vOTUs, CRISPR spacer clusters, and reads matching 16S rRNA

genes categorized at the class level were created using the Vegan R package (59) v2.4-5. The number

of vOTUs per contig and spacer clusters per read (paired reads) were used as proxies for viral

diversity, and the number of different taxa at the class level matching 16S rRNA genes reflected

microbial diversity, calculated on a per read basis (merged reads).

Viral, spacer, and CRISPR relative abundance

To calculate relative viral abundance, the reads in each of the metagenomes were mapped

against all of the viral contigs from the corresponding geographic region using bowtie2 (56) v2.2.9.

The reads from each sample were mapped against all of the viral contigs from the corresponding

geographic region rather than solely the viral contigs in the sample because there were viral reads in

samples that did not assemble into viral contigs. This method therefore allowed the identification of

more viral sequences. The number of reads that mapped to viral contigs was normalized by the

number of merged reads as a measure of relative viral abundance. This measure of relative viral

abundance reflects only the proportion of viruses that were retained on the filter as viral capsids or

prophages. We used the number of spacers per read and CRISPR direct repeat types per read as

measures of spacer and CRISPR relative abundance, respectively. Paired rather than merged reads

were used for these analyses. Relative abundance and diversity of viruses, microbes, CRISPR spacers

and CRISPR loci were visualized using the Seaborn library within Python (60).

Relative compositions and abundances of viral populations, spacer assemblages, and hosts

The relative compositions of the microbial community, the viral assemblage, and CRISPR

spacers were compared between vent sites within Von Damm, Piccard, and Axial Seamount. To

calculate the relative abundance of each vOTU in each sample, the number of reads in the sample

that mapped to the viral contigs in the vOTU was determined using bowtie2 (56) v2.2.9. The

number of reads in each metagenome that mapped to the vOTU was normalized by the total length

of the viral contigs in the vOTU and the number of merged reads in the metagenome. We defined

the most common vOTUs as the six clusters with the highest relative abundance in each sample.

The relative abundance of each CRISPR spacer cluster in each sample was calculated as the percent

of spacers in the sample that were part of the spacer cluster. For spacer clusters, we defined the

most common as the three clusters with the highest relative abundance in each sample. To compare

the compositions of viral contigs and CRISPR spacers, all of the spacers were blasted against all of

the viral contigs using blastn (E-value $<=10^{-05}$, $<=1$ mismatch, as per Emerson et al. (61). The

relative abundance of each microbial host was measured as the number of reads that mapped to 16S

rRNA gene sequences of the given taxon, normalized by the number of 16S rRNA gene reads in the

sample. Analyses of microbial taxa were done at either the class level or the lowest taxonomic level

available, depending on the analysis.

247   Microbiome datasets are compositional in nature because sequencing instruments impose an

arbitrary total (62). Therefore, to conduct hierarchical clustering of samples based on viral, spacer,

and host composition, we used the protocol outlined by Gloor et al. (62) and Gloor and Reid (63)

for computing distances between samples containing compositional data. For hierarchical clustering,

we did not normalize; we performed analyses on the number of reads that mapped to each vOTU,

the number of CRISPR spacers in each spacer cluster, and the number of reads that mapped to 16S

rRNA gene sequences of each microbial host in each sample; for microbial hosts, we did not include

reads that mapped to unclassified sequences or sequences classified as eukaryotes. We replaced zero

counts with estimates using the count zero multiplicative method for vOTUs and hosts and the

Bayes-Laplace Bayesian multiplicative method for spacer clusters via the zCompositions R package

(64) v1.2.0. Using the CoDaSeq R package (https://github.com/ggloor/CoDaSeq) (62) v0.99.3, we

applied a centered log-ratio (clr) transformation to the count data (that lacked zero counts), thereby

capturing the ratios between parts. To calculate distances between samples for hierarchical

clustering, we used the ward.D2 method on the transformed counts.

Networks of viral infection

263   Infection networks of viral-host interactions were created using CRISPR sequences to

connect microbial hosts with clusters of viral contigs, adapted from the methods used by Daly et al.

(65) and Emerson et al. (61). First, MAGs were connected to CRISPR direct repeat types within

each sample using BLASTn v2.5.0 (E-value $<=10^{-10}$, 100% nucleotide identity, as per Emerson et al.

(61). Then, each CRISPR direct repeat type was matched to a set of CRISPR spacers as identified by

Crass (Skennerton et al. 2013) v1.0.1. Finally, the CRISPR spacers in each sample were matched to

viral contigs in the corresponding region using BLASTn v2.5.0 with an E-value cutoff of 10^{-05} and a

maximum of one mismatch, as per Emerson et al. (61). Only one mismatch was allowed because

resistance has been found to be lost by single nucleotide differences between bacterial spacers and

target phage sequences (66). In contrast, resistance by archaeal CRISPR systems can still be provided

when there are up to three mismatches between spacers and target phage sequences (67, 68). We did

not allow for more mismatches between archaeal spacers and phage sequences because resistance is

weakened by more mismatches (68). Each direct repeat type provided by Crass v1.0.1 does not

necessarily represent an individual CRISPR locus (48).

Data analysis software

The majority of analyses were conducted in RStudio (69) v1.0.136. R packages used were

readr (70) v1.1.1, readxl (71) v1.0.0, tidyr (72) v0.7.2, stringr (73) v1.3.0, dplyr (74) v0.7.4, ggnetwork

(75) v0.5.1, statnet (76) v2016.9, ggpubr (77) v0.1.7, ggplot2 (78) v2.2.1, and svglite (79) v1.2.1.

Results

Identification of putative viral contigs

We used VirFinder to identify putative viral contigs because it is a k-mer frequency-based

method that has higher potential to identify novel viruses (Ren *et al.*, 2017). However, this method

allows for the possibility of false positives. VirFinder assigns scores between 0-1 to indicate the

likelihood that a contig is viral, with higher values reflecting a higher likelihood that the sequence is

viral. Almost all VirFinder sequences from Axial Seamount (99.96%), Piccard (98.54%), and Von

Damm (98.96%) were assigned scores greater than 0.7. 47.35% of Axial Seamount, 44.21% of

Piccard, and 37.92% of Von Damm VirFinder sequences had scores greater than 0.9. The mean

score of VirFinder sequences was 0.89, 0.88 and 0.87 for Axial Seamount, Piccard, and Von Damm,

respectively. Supplementary Figure 1a shows the distribution of scores for the contigs identified with

VirFinder at the Axial Seamount, Piccard, and Von Damm vent fields. Similarly, the majority of

contigs identified as putatively viral were short, with shorter average contigs at Axial Seamount (625

bp) than those at Piccard (2172 bp) or Von Damm (2037 bp). The VirFinder score did not increase

with sequence length (Supplementary Figure 1b).

Taxonomy of viruses and hosts

All analyses were carried out from diffuse flow fluids sampled directly from the vent orifice, as

well as vent plume waters, which were sampled up to 100m above the vent orifice and had much

lower temperatures (Supplementary Table 1). It is possible to examine viruses in 0.22 μm-filtered

fluids because this fraction includes integrated prophage, lytic infections in progress, and free viral

particles captured on filters. However, our analysis misses free viral particles that were not retained

on the filters, and we are only capturing the viral diversity and variation across sites based on the
viral sequences identified in the metagenomes.
The viral sequences identified at Axial Seamount, Von Damm, and Piccard vents represent 28
families of viruses (Figure 1). 13 of the viral families were present at all three vent fields. 14 viral
families were present at both of the Mid-Cayman Rise vent fields, Von Damm and Piccard, while
Axial Seamount shared 13 viral families with each of the Mid-Cayman Rise vent fields. We observed
a distinct difference in viral taxonomic groups between the vent fields: whereas the *Myoviridae* viral
family had the highest relative abundance in 12 of the 16 Axial Seamount samples, the *Myoviridae*
were in much lower abundance at Von Damm and Piccard, which had higher abundances of reads
matching the *Podoviridae* family of viruses and the *Guttaviridae.*
316
Relative abundance of viral and microbial reads
In order to examine the distribution and abundance of viruses across individual vent sites,
vent fields, and regions, we quantified the relative abundance of viral sequences, CRISPRs, and
spacers in each of the metagenomes (Figure 2, samples from background seawater and plumes are
excluded; Supplementary Table 2). We also quantified the diversity and abundance of loci and
spacers within CRISPRs each of the metagenomes. CRISPR loci are a microbial immune system
found in archaeal and bacterial genomes, consisting of direct repeats interspersed by "spacers,"
which match foreign DNA (predominantly viruses, but also including plasmids and other forms of
foreign DNA) that the cell has been exposed to previously. The relative abundance of CRISPR loci
serves as an indication of how many microbial lineages use CRISPR as a mechanism for viral
immunity. It is also important to note that CRISPR loci vary in number across microbial genomes,
and we did not distinguish between CRISPR loci with the same direct repeat type. Therefore, our
measure of CRISPR relative abundance is not a direct proxy for the abundance of viruses. Instead, it
gives an indication of how commonly CRISPR loci are used as an antiviral mechanism within the
community. Moreover, CRISPRs serve as a record of past infections, and thus while viral diversity
reflects the diversity of viral particles sequenced at the time of sampling, CRISPR spacer diversity
reflects the diversity of past viral infections.
Our results indicate that the relative abundance of viral sequences (Figure 2A) was similar
both across and within each of the hydrothermal vent regions we studied ($p = 0.42$, t-test). There
was a higher relative abundance of CRISPR loci in samples collected at Axial Seamount compared to
both Piccard and Von Damm vent fields (Figure 2B; $p = 1.49e-05$, t-test). However, there was no

difference in the relative abundance of spacers within CRISPR loci per read (Figure 2C; p = 0.10, t-
test). Within the Mid-Cayman Rise, we compared samples from mafic-hosted (Piccard) versus
ultramafic-hosted (Von Damm) hydrothermal systems. We did not observe significant differences in
the relative abundance of viral sequences, CRISPR loci, or CRISPR spacers between Piccard and
Von Damm (viral sequences: p = 0.66, t-test, CRISPR loci: 0.40, t-test, spacers: 0.37, t-test) (Figure
2A-C). Similar results emerged from comparisons among vent fields within Axial Seamount: we did
not observe significant differences in the relative abundance of viral sequences, CRISPR loci, or
CRISPR spacers between vent fields at Axial Seamount (viral sequences: p = 0.17, t-test, CRISPR
loci: 0.41, t-test, spacers: 0.11, t-test). Finally, within Axial Seamount, we also compared the relative
abundance of viruses in samples taken from plume and diffuse flow hydrothermal fluid at Anemone
vent. The Anemone diffuse flow samples had a higher relative abundance of CRISPR spacers and
CRISPR loci compared to the Anemone plume sample, which was sampled above the vent.
However, the relative abundance of viral sequences did not differ between the Anemone plume and
diffuse flow samples (Supplementary Table 2).
352
Diversity of viral assemblage and microbial community
Overall, viral diversity analyses revealed that the viral assemblages within these vents had high
richness and were not dominated by specific viral strains. With the exception of a few samples, the
rarefaction curves for the viruses and microbes did not reach saturation (Supplementary Fig. 2),
indicating that the vOTUs recovered in this study did not capture the total diversity in the samples.
In each sample, there were no dominant viral or spacer clusters, and each of the viral and spacer
clusters present was relatively rare (Supplementary Figs. 3-4). Moreover, the viral and spacer clusters
with the highest coverage did not correspond across samples: in both Piccard and Axial Seamount,
one of the most common spacer clusters matched with one of the most common vOTUs by
BLAST, while none of the most common spacer clusters and vOTUs aligned in Von Damm. Thus,
there were no dominant viral sequences that were consistently found across all samples.
364
We observed a more diverse viral assemblage at Axial Seamount compared to the Mid-
Cayman Rise. We observed higher richness of both viruses (Figure 2D; p = 0.015, t-test) and their
microbial hosts (Figure 2E; p = 0.0056, t-test) in samples from vents at Axial Seamount compared
to samples from Von Damm and Piccard vent fields. However, we did not observe meaningful
correlations between vOTU, spacer cluster, or host diversity. Moreover, we did not observe

significant differences in either viral or host diversity between the Piccard and Von Damm vent
fields at the Mid-Cayman Rise (viral diversity: $p = 0.053$, t-test, host diversity: $p = 0.66$, t-test). We
also examined the relative diversity of CRISPR spacers, which provides an indication of past viral
infections rather than the current virus pool. We did not observe a significant difference in the
diversity of CRISPR spacers between samples from Piccard, Von Damm, and Axial Seamount
(Figure 2F, $p = 0.093$, t-test).
376
We observed significant differences in viral diversity between vent sites within Axial Seamount
($p = 0.022$, t-test). However, no significant differences emerged in terms of the diversity of
microbial hosts and CRISPR spacers (host diversity: $p = 0.74$, t-test, spacer diversity: $p = 0.11$, t-
test). At Anemone vent, the diffuse flow samples had higher CRISPR spacer and microbial diversity
than the plume sample, but viral diversity did not differ between these samples (Supplementary
Table 2).
383
Viral distribution across vent sites
In order to characterize viral distribution and community similarity across hydrothermal vent
fluids, we evaluated the extent to which viral sequences and CRISPR spacers were distributed across
samples, then compared these results to the host microbial community. As before, we clustered
sequences based on similarity to compare across all diffuse flow samples, excluding background
seawater and plume samples. On the whole, viral sequences and CRISPR spacers had fairly limited
distributions. Only a few vOTUs (0.02%) were present at all vent sites (Von Damm, Piccard, and
Axial Seamount), and no CRISPR spacer clusters were present in all three regions (Table 1). The
most cosmopolitan viruses and CRISPR spacers did not match each other: at Von Damm, two of
the 100 most widely distributed vOTUs matched with three of the 100 most widely distributed
CRISPR spacer clusters according to BLAST. At Piccard, one of the most widely distributed vOTUs
aligned to one of the most widely distributed spacer clusters. At Axial Seamount, one of the 100
most widely distributed vOTUs matched with two of the 100 most widely distributed CRISPR
spacer clusters. In contrast, microbial taxa were much more cosmopolitan, with ~17% of taxa
shared between Von Damm, Piccard, and Axial Seamount according to 16S rRNA gene
classification at the lowest taxonomic level available (Table 1). Viral and CRISPR spacer clusters
were shared more widely among vent sites within Von Damm and Piccard compared to Axial

Seamount, but microbial lineages were shared more widely among vent sites at Axial Seamount

(Table 1).

We created hierarchical dendrograms to assess the similarity of samples based on their viral

content. Viral assemblages in samples from the Mid-Cayman Rise and Axial Seamount grouped

separately (Figure 3A). Within Axial Seamount, samples taken in successive years from the same

vent tended to have similar viral assemblage compositions (Figure 3A). In contrast, at the Mid-

Cayman Rise, samples taken from the same site in two different years did not cluster together, and

we observed weak clustering of samples by location (Figure 3A). Samples from Piccard vent field

and Von Damm vent field did not cluster separately. Grouping of microbial communities based on

classification of 16S rRNA reads in the metagenomes showed similar patterns to the viral

assemblages. Samples collected from vents at Axial and the Mid-Cayman Rise grouped separately

from each other, with only some clustering of samples at Piccard and Von Damm. Similarly, we

observed stronger temporal clustering among samples at Axial Seamount than at Von Damm

(Figure 3B).

In contrast to the viral and microbial assemblages, hierarchical dendrograms based on

CRISPR spacer compositions showed little clustering by location (Figure 3C). Based on spacer

assemblages, samples from either Axial Seamount or the Mid-Cayman Rise did not cluster together,

and samples taken from the same vent sites in different years did not cluster together. Very few

CRISPR spacer clusters were found at multiple vent sites (Table 1).

Networks of viral infection

Networks of viral infection generated from viral sequences, CRISPRs, and MAGs were used

to examine the distribution and host specificity of vent viruses. These virus networks show

connections between CRISPR spacers, which represent a record of previous viral infection in the

host, and viral sequences recovered from the metagenome, which represent viral sequences present

in the community at the time of sampling. Virus-host networks made for Axial Seamount (Figure

4A), Von Damm (Figure 4B), and Piccard (Figure 4C) indicate that vent viruses are restricted in

terms of both host range and geographic distribution. We did not observe any virus-host

connections shared between Piccard, Von Damm, or Axial Seamount. Within Axial Seamount, there

were 89 vOTUs linked to 18 MAGs, and 105 connections between distinct pairs of vOTUs and

MAGs (Figure 4A). We did not observe any connections between MAGs and vOTUs in any of the

non-diffuse flow samples (Background, CTD1200 and the Anemone plume sample). The number of

viral connections appeared to be related to, but was not significantly correlated with, the microbial

hosts' relative abundance (Supplementary Table 3): for example, a Clostridia MAG in the 2015

North Rift Zone sample (Clostridia_35) had high normalized coverage and was linked to nine

vOTUs. We observed a high number of viruses shared among *Aquificae* MAGs sampled from the

Anemone vent in 2013 and 2014 (Figure 4a). Finally, we observed only a single vOTU that was

linked to MAGs of different taxonomic classes from different vent sites, with a vOTU connected to

an *Ignavibacteria* MAG (Ignavibacteria_15) in the 2014 Marker 33 sample and an *Aquificae* MAG

(Aquificae_43) in the 2013 Anemone sample (Figure 4A).

The virus infection networks reflected the geographic separation observed in the cluster

dendrograms, and also revealed fairly narrow virus-host ranges for the viral sequences observed in

our datasets. Within the Von Damm vent field in the Mid-Cayman Rise, there were 62 vOTUs

linked to nine MAGs, with 66 connections between distinct pairs of vOTUs and MAGs (Figure 4B).

The size of the viral infection network was similar in Piccard, where 48 vOTUs were linked to seven

MAGs and there were 64 connections between distinct pairs of vOTUs and MAGs (Figure 4C). All

of the vOTUs in the Von Damm and Piccard networks represented relatively rare viruses with the

exception of one. This vOTU was present in seven of the Von Damm vents and all of the Piccard

vents, was among the top six most abundant viruses in both the X-19 and Shrimp Gully #2 vents

within Piccard, and was linked to a Campylobacterales and a Desulfobacterales MAG, both from

Shrimp Gully #2. This was the only vOTU with high relative abundance in at least one sample that

was present in the Axial Seamount, Von Damm, and Piccard viral infection networks (Figure 4).

Some MAGs had more viral connections than others: for example, a *Methanomicrobia* MAG

(Methanomicrobia_41) from the 2012 sample from Shrimp Hole within Von Damm was linked to

35 vOTUs (Figure 4B). However, this is not a direct indication of the number of different viruses

infecting a specific strain because some MAGs had more CRISPR spacers than others, increasing

the possibility of finding a viral connection. As with Axial Seamount, there were instances of highly

abundant MAGs with many viral connections (Supplementary Table 3): a *Sulfurovum* MAG

(Sulfurovum_99) in the 2012 sample from X-19 within Piccard had the highest normalized coverage

across Von Damm and Piccard and was linked to 18 vOTUs, making it the MAG with the third

highest number of viral connections within either network. Another *Sulfurovum* MAG

(Sulfurovum_37) had a high relative abundance in the 2012 sample from Shrimp Gulley #2 within

Piccard, and had two viral connections. Within Von Damm, Piccard, and Axial Seamount, we

observed several cases in which viruses were connected to multiple microbial hosts within the

network (Figure 4). However, in some cases the MAGs were linked to these shared vOTUs through

the same CRISPR direct repeat type and were in the same sample, suggesting that these specific

connections may have been due to matching CRISPR direct repeat types rather than true cross-

infection. These have been indicated in Figure 4 (red lines).

Finally, an additional analysis of virus-host interaction was conducted by identifying prophages

and auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs) in MAGs. However, the fragmented nature of the assemblies

and the absence of clear viral hallmark genes next to putative AMGs did not allow us to reach strong

conclusions regarding the prevalence of lysogeny and AMGs in these hydrothermal vent samples.

These analyses are described in the Supplementary Materials.

Discussion

Viruses are important drivers of microbial mortality, ecology and evolution in the ocean, but

studies of their distribution and impact in the deep sea are lacking. Our results indicate that viral

diversity is high in venting fluids from hydrothermal systems, and the viruses we analyzed have

restricted geographic distributions and host ranges. This implies that viruses do not spread widely

between vent sites and that the viral role in mediating horizontal gene transfer across taxa and

between vent sites is relatively restricted. However, the high abundance and diversity of viral

sequences as well the large number of virus-host CRISPR connections implies rapid viral mutation

and ongoing viral infection, indicating active and ongoing interactions between viruses and their

microbial hosts in venting fluids from the hydrothermal vents examined here.

Viral assemblages are taxonomically distinct and spatially restricted across vent sites

Previous studies of viruses in marine systems have observed viral populations to be

commonly found across multiple samples (80), whereas others have found that most viruses are

biogeographically restricted, with only a few cosmopolitan groups (81, 82). In hydrothermal vents at

Von Damm, Piccard and Axial Seamount, we found high viral diversity with a limited distribution,

potentially indicating rapid diversification in vents. Most vOTUs we observed were found only at

individual vent sites, with very few cosmopolitan viruses. Previous work examining microbial

distribution in hydrothermal systems through fine-scale 16S rRNA gene analyses indicates that while

some microbial lineages are endemic to individual vent sites, others are widespread across vent fields

(28, 40). Our work revealed that viral biogeographic patterns roughly corresponded to those of their

microbial hosts. Taxonomic analysis revealed that there are distinct differences in viral taxonomy

between vent sites-- most notably, we observed a high abundance of *Myoviridae* at Axial Seamount

that was not found at either Piccard or Von Damm. These observations indicate either restricted

gene flow or strong environmental selection for specific groups within each site. Our analysis of

viral sequence similarity using hierarchical clustering revealed similar results: there were some

similarities between the viral assemblages in vents at Piccard and Von Damm, which are located

approximately 20km apart in the Caribbean Sea, but the viral assemblages from Axial Seamount in

the Pacific were very different from those at Piccard and Von Damm, despite the fact that vents at

Piccard and Axial are both hosted in basalt and have metal-enriched, low pH fluids. Previous work

on microbial community similarity and distribution in hydrothermal systems has shown that vents in

close proximity are often more similar to one another in terms of microbial community structure

than to geographically distant vents (4, 27, 40, 83). This may result from subseafloor plumbing that

restricts fluid flux between sites, creating "islands" of microbial diversity that are distinct from one

vent site to the next (26). Here, we show that these barriers to dispersal apply to viruses as well, and

that viruses may be even more spatially restricted than their microbial hosts. Microbial lineages that

spread between vent sites may face infection from novel viral strains not found in other vent sites.

These endemic viral populations thus further shape distinct microbial community structure at

individual vent sites.

Although the viral assemblages at hydrothermal vents had high diversity and restricted

dispersal between vent sites and vent fields, our results show that the viral assemblages in vents

persist over time, particularly at Axial Seamount where the same vents were sampled over a 3-year

period. Viral assemblages from samples from the same vent sites at Axial across three years clustered

together in the hierarchical dendrograms (Figure 3A), and our viral infection networks revealed that

a number of viruses at Axial Seamount were linked to specific microbial hosts at the same vent over

multiple years (Figure 4A). These patterns match those observed in the microbial communities

(Figure 3B) and are consistent with previous work showing spatially restricted but temporally stable

microbial communities over time at Axial Seamount (4, 27). Our work extends this to the viral world

at Axial Seamount, indicating that viral assemblages follow the same temporal patterns as their

microbial hosts, and that virus-host relationships persist over time. However, temporal stability in

the viral and microbial community was generally not preserved at the Von Damm vent field for sites

sampled in both 2012 and 2013. There were fewer sites and time points sampled at Von Damm

compared to Axial Seamount, so it is unclear whether this results from a true biological signal or

insufficient data.

Vents host diverse and active assemblages of viruses with restricted host range

The viral infection networks show diverse microbial lineages across several samples infected

by many different viruses at the time of sampling. Although individual microbial lineages could be

targeted by multiple viral strains, the viruses we identified in these hydrothermal habitats had fairly

restricted host ranges, infecting specific individual microbial strains. The only clear example of viral

infection across microbial taxa emerged at Axial Seamount, where viral sequences associated with

Ignavibacteria were also linked to Aquificales (Figure 4A). All other examples of viral infection

across microbial taxa may either be true examples of viral-host cross-infection or may instead result

from shared direct repeats. The limited host range of viruses from hydrothermal systems is

consistent with previous work indicating that viruses tend to be host-specific (82), and we found

very little evidence for generalist viruses, despite the fact that this has been reported previously (82,

84). Furthermore, we identified many viral connections to Epsilonbacteraeota, confirming that these

highly successful microbial groups in hydrothermal vent diffuse flow fluids are susceptible to viral

infection (3, 39, 85–87).

Matches between CRISPR loci and viruses provide an indication of which viruses are being

targeted by the CRISPR immune response. We found that while some CRISPR spacers target

relatively abundant viruses, most CRISPRs target relatively rare viruses in these systems. This is

consistent with previous observations in an archaea-dominated hypersaline lake (88), where the vast

majority of CRISPRs were found to target viruses with populations too small to allow for the

assembly of contigs. The relative scarcity of viruses targeted by CRISPRs may result from an

evolutionary arms race: CRISPRs limit the abundance of the viral populations they target, while

concurrently, viruses undergo mutations, limiting the ability of CRISPR spacers to target them.

Alternatively, these observations could result from an abundance of inactive spacers inherited over

multiple generations. However, we do not believe this to be the case because CRISPR spacer

clusters were infrequently present across multiple samples, suggesting that spacers were integrated

on sub-generational timescales.

Patterns recorded in microbial CRISPR loci do not reflect the contemporary viral assemblage

In contrast to the vOTUs, the CRISPR spacers did not demonstrate any clear biogeographic

patterns. We expected CRISPR spacers to be more widespread than viruses since viral composition

represents the virus community at the time of sampling, while spacers represent a history of viral

infection. In contrast to our predictions, while both had limited distributions, we found viruses to be

more widespread than CRISPR spacers at all scales examined (Table 1). These results are in contrast

to previous studies of CRISPR spacer biogeography in terrestrial hot springs, where both viral and

CRISPR spacers showed clear biogeographic structure (89). This suggests that there is selective

pressure for CRISPR spacer composition to evolve more rapidly than viral sequences via the loss or

mutation of CRISPR spacers. However, it is also possible that we found viruses to be more

widespread because of undersampling of CRISPR spacers, or our use of different clustering

algorithms for viruses and spacers: spacers may have been clustered at a finer resolution, resulting in

a narrower distribution for each spacer.

Given that CRISPR spacers provide a history of infection, comparing the record of past viral

infections via CRISPR arrays with viral sequences in the metagenomes can provide insights into

whether CRISPR arrays provide an accurate representation of the viral assemblage at the time of

sampling, as well as the rate at which CRISPR spacers are accumulated. We did not find that the

most common or cosmopolitan viruses and CRISPR spacers matched each other. This may arise

from a temporal mismatch: it takes time for spacers to be incorporated into and lost from CRISPR

loci as virus abundances shift and viruses evolve. Additionally, just one SNP (which we allowed for

when aligning spacers to viruses) can prevent a CRISPR spacer from providing resistance against a

virus (66). This could explain the discrepancy between viruses and spacers: once resistance of a

spacer to a particular virus is suppressed, the population of the virus is freed to shift independently

from the spacer in the host population. The discrepancy we observed between viruses and spacers is

important to note when attempting to use CRISPR spacers to study viral populations or vice versa.

Examination of the prophage abundance in MAGs revealed a relatively high abundance of

prophage encoded in each MAG, confirming previous results indicating that lysogeny is a common

lifestyle in hydrothermal systems (14, 15). However, while examination of the gene content of viral

contigs revealed several ORFs encoding a range of functions including cell membrane function and

energy production, it was difficult to prove conclusively that these genes were viral-encoded AMGs

rather than potential cellular contamination, and thus no concrete conclusions were provided here

(see Supplementary Materials). Previous research has suggested that vent viruses encode AMGs (18,

19), and thus it is likely that many of these genes represent viral-encoded AMGs, but further

research is necessary to determine AMG diversity and prevalence across vent systems.

Taken together, our results show that hydrothermal vent viruses are active, abundant, and

diverse. These viruses are restricted in their host range and biogeographic extent, but their

interactions with hosts persist over time. Thus, while viruses in venting fluids from deep-sea

hydrothermal systems have the capacity to play an important role in driving the evolution and

ecology of microbial communities, their influence appears to be highly localized to specific regions

and taxa. Future work examining viral diversity and distribution across higher resolution transects

over space and time should reveal further insights into the extent of the viral influence in deep-sea

hydrothermal vents.

Acknowledgements

We thank Julie Reveillaud, Caroline Fortunato, and Emily Reddington for support in the

collection and generation of metagenomic data, and Chip Breier, David Butterfield, Bill Chadwick,

Chris German, Jim Holden, Jill McDermott, and Jeff Seewald for sample collection support at sea.

Funding for ET was provided by Carleton College. REA was supported by a NASA Postdoctoral

Fellowship with the NASA Astrobiology Institute. This work was supported by a NASA Exobiology

grant number 80NSSC18K1076 to REA and JAH; a NASA Astrobiology Science and Technology

for Exploring Planets (ASTEP) grant NNX-327 09AB75G and a grant from Deep Carbon

Observatory's Deep Life Initiative to JAH; the NSF Science and Technology Center for Dark

Energy Biosphere Investigations (C-DEBI) to JAH; and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation

Grant GBMF3297 to JAH. Samples were collected from the Mid-Cayman Rise with the assistance

of the captains and crew of the R/V Atlantis and R/V Falkor as well as ROVs Jason and Nereus.

For Mid-Cayman Rise, ship and vehicle time in 2012 were supported by the NSF-OCE great OCE-

1061863 to Chris German and Jeff Seewald and in 2013 by the Schmidt Ocean Institute during

cruise FX008-2013 aboard the R/V Falkor. Samples collected from Axial Seamount were collected

with the assistance of the captains and crew of the R/V Falkor, R/V Thompson, and R/V Brown as

well as the ROV ROPOS and Jason groups, and in 2013 the Schmidt Ocean Institute during cruise

FK010-2013 aboard the R/V Falkor. This is C-DEBI Contribution number [number to be

determined].

References

1.   Jannasch HW, Mottl MJ. 1985. Geomicrobiology of Deep-Sea Hydrothermal Vents. Science.

2.   Huber JA, Mark Welch DB, Morrison HG, Huse SM, Neal PR, Butterfield DA, Sogin ML. 2007.
Microbial population structures in the deep marine biosphere. Science 318:97–100.

3.   Meier DV, Pjevac P, Bach W, Hourdez S, Girguis PR, Vidoudez C, Amann R, Meyerdierks A. 2017.
Niche partitioning of diverse sulfur-oxidizing bacteria at hydrothermal vents. ISME J 11:1545–1558.

4.   Fortunato CS, Larson B, Butterfield DA, Huber JA. 2018. Spatially distinct, temporally stable microbial
populations mediate biogeochemical cycling at and below the seafloor in hydrothermal vent fluids.
Environ Microbiol 20:769–784.

5.   McNichol J, Stryhanyuk H, Sylva SP, Thomas F, Musat N, Seewald JS, Sievert SM. 2018. Primary
productivity below the seafloor at deep-sea hot springs. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 115:6756–6761.

6.   Trembath-Reichert E, Butterfield DA, Huber JA. 2019. Active subseafloor microbial communities from
Mariana back-arc venting fluids share metabolic strategies across different thermal niches and taxa. The
ISME Journal.

7.   Galambos D, Anderson RE, Reveillaud J, Huber JA. 2019. Genome-resolved metagenomics and
metatranscriptomics reveal niche differentiation in functionally redundant microbial communities at
deep-sea hydrothermal vents. Environ Microbiol 21:4395–4410.

8.   Suttle CA. 2005. Viruses in the sea. Nature 437:356–361.

9.   Suttle CA. 2007. Marine viruses--major players in the global ecosystem. Nat Rev Microbiol 5:801–812.

10.   Chow C-ET, Suttle CA. 2015. Biogeography of Viruses in the Sea. Annu Rev Virol 2:41–66.

11.   Weinbauer MG, Rassoulzadegan F. 2004. Are viruses driving microbial diversification and diversity?
Environ Microbiol 6:1–11.

12.   Ortmann AC, Suttle CA. 2005. High abundances of viruses in a deep-sea hydrothermal vent system
indicates viral mediated microbial mortality. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers.

13.   Anderson RE, Brazelton WJ, Baross JA. 2011. Using CRISPRs as a metagenomic tool to identify
microbial hosts of a diffuse flow hydrothermal vent viral assemblage. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 77:120–133.

14. Williamson SJ, Cary SC, Williamson KE, Helton RR, Bench SR, Winget D, Wommack KE. 2008.
Lysogenic virus-host interactions predominate at deep-sea diffuse-flow hydrothermal vents. ISME J
2:1112–1121.

15. Labonté JM, Pachiadaki M, Fergusson E, McNichol J, Grosche A, Gulmann LK, Vetriani C, Sievert SM,
Stepanauskas R. 2019. Single Cell Genomics-Based Analysis of Gene Content and Expression of
Prophages in a Diffuse-Flow Deep-Sea Hydrothermal System. Frontiers in Microbiology.

16. Breitbart M, Bonnain C, Malki K, Sawaya NA. 2018. Phage puppet masters of the marine microbial
realm. Nat Microbiol 3:754–766.

17. He T, Li H, Zhang X. 2017. Deep-Sea Hydrothermal Vent Viruses Compensate for Microbial
Metabolism in Virus-Host Interactions. MBio 8.

18. Anderson RE, Sogin ML, Baross JA. 2014. Evolutionary strategies of viruses, bacteria and archaea in
hydrothermal vent ecosystems revealed through metagenomics. PLoS One 9:e109696.

19. Anantharaman K, Duhaime MB, Breier JA, Wendt KA, Toner BM, Dick GJ. 2014. Sulfur oxidation
genes in diverse deep-sea viruses. Science 344:757–760.

20. Koonin EV, Makarova KS, Aravind L. 2001. Horizontal gene transfer in prokaryotes: quantification and
classification. Annu Rev Microbiol 55:709–742.

21. Beiko RG, Harlow TJ, Ragan MA. 2005. Highways of gene sharing in prokaryotes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U
S A 102:14332–14337.

22. Fuchsman CA, Collins RE, Rocap G, Brazelton WJ. 2017. Effect of the environment on horizontal gene
transfer between bacteria and archaea. PeerJ 5:e3865.

23. Ochman H, Lawrence JG, Groisman EA. 2000. Lateral gene transfer and the nature of bacterial
innovation. Nature 405:299–304.

24. Frost LS, Leplae R, Summers AO, Toussaint A. 2005. Mobile genetic elements: the agents of open
source evolution. Nat Rev Microbiol 3:722–732.

25. Brazelton WJ, Baross JA. 2009. Abundant transposases encoded by the metagenome of a hydrothermal
chimney biofilm. ISME J 3:1420–1424.

26. Stewart LC, Algar CK, Fortunato CS, Larson BI, Vallino JJ, Huber JA, Butterfield DA, Holden JF. 2019.
Fluid geochemistry, local hydrology, and metabolic activity define methanogen community size and

composition in deep-sea hydrothermal vents. ISME J 13:1711–1721.

27. Opatkiewicz AD, Butterfield DA, Baross JA. 2009. Individual hydrothermal vents at Axial Seamount
harbor distinct subseafloor microbial communities. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 70:413–424.

28. Anderson RE, Sogin ML, Baross JA. 2015. Biogeography and ecology of the rare and abundant
microbial lineages in deep-sea hydrothermal vents. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 91:1–11.

29. Han Y, Perner M. 2015. The globally widespread genus Sulfurimonas: versatile energy metabolisms and
adaptations to redox clines. Front Microbiol 6:989.

30. Dick GJ. 2019. The microbiomes of deep-sea hydrothermal vents: distributed globally, shaped locally.
Nat Rev Microbiol 17:271–283.

31. Damm KV, Von Damm K. 1990. Seafloor Hydrothermal Activity: Black Smoker Chemistry And
Chimneys. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences.

32. Kelley DS. 2005. A Serpentinite-Hosted Ecosystem: The Lost City Hydrothermal Field. Science.

33. Anderson RE, Reveillaud J, Reddington E, Delmont TO, Eren AM, McDermott JM, Seewald JS, Huber
JA. 2017. Genomic variation in microbial populations inhabiting the marine subseafloor at deep-sea
hydrothermal vents. Nat Commun 8:1114.

34. Butterfield DA, Roe KK, Lilley MD, Huber JA, Baross JA, Embley RW, Massoth GJ. 2004. Mixing,
reaction and microbial activity in the sub-seafloor revealed by temporal and spatial variation in diffuse
flow vents at axial volcano. The Subseafloor Biosphere at Mid-Ocean Ridges.

35. McDermott JM, Seewald JS, German CR, Sylva SP. 2015. Pathways for abiotic organic synthesis at
submarine hydrothermal fields. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 112:7668–7672.

36. German CR, Bowen A, Coleman ML, Honig DL, Huber JA, Jakuba MV, Kinsey JC, Kurz MD, Leroy S,
McDermott JM, de Lépinay BM, Nakamura K, Seewald JS, Smith JL, Sylva SP, Van Dover CL,
Whitcomb LL, Yoerger DR. 2010. Diverse styles of submarine venting on the ultraslow spreading Mid-
Cayman Rise. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:14020–14025.

37. McDermott JM. 2015. Geochemistry of deep-sea hydrothermal vent fluids from the Mid-Cayman Rise,
Caribbean Sea.

38. McDermott JM, Sylva SP, Ono S, German CR, Seewald JS. 2018. Geochemistry of fluids from Earth's
deepest ridge-crest hot-springs: Piccard hydrothermal field, Mid-Cayman Rise. Geochimica et

704   Cosmochimica Acta.

705 39. Moulana A, Anderson RE, Fortunato CS, Huber JA. 2020. Selection Is a Significant Driver of Gene

706   Gain and Loss in the Pangenome of the Bacterial Genus in Geographically Distinct Deep-Sea

707   Hydrothermal Vents. mSystems 5.

708 40. Reveillaud J, Reddington E, McDermott J, Algar C, Meyer JL, Sylva S, Seewald J, German CR, Huber

709   JA. 2016. Subseafloor microbial communities in hydrogen-rich vent fluids from hydrothermal systems

710   along the Mid-Cayman Rise. Environ Microbiol 18:1970–1987.

711 41. Breier JA, Gomez-Ibanez D, Reddington E, Huber JA, Emerson D. 2012. A precision multi-sampler for

712   deep-sea hydrothermal microbial mat studies. Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research

713   Papers.

714 42. Breier JA, Rauch CG, McCartney K, Toner BM, Fakra SC, White SN, German CR. 2009. A suspended-

715   particle rosette multi-sampler for discrete biogeochemical sampling in low-particle-density waters. Deep

716   Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers.

717 43. Crump BC, Hopkinson CS, Sogin ML, Hobbie JE. 2004. Microbial biogeography along an estuarine

718   salinity gradient: combined influences of bacterial growth and residence time. Appl Environ Microbiol

719   70:1494–1505.

720 44. Zhou J, Bruns MA, Tiedje JM. 1996. DNA recovery from soils of diverse composition. Appl Environ

721   Microbiol 62:316–322.

722 45. Fortunato CS, Huber JA. 2016. Coupled RNA-SIP and metatranscriptomics of active

723   chemolithoautotrophic communities at a deep-sea hydrothermal vent. ISME J 10:1925–1938.

724 46. Eren AM, Vineis JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML. 2013. A filtering method to generate high quality short

725   reads using illumina paired-end technology. PLoS One 8:e66643.

726 47. Peng Y, Leung HCM, Yiu SM, Chin FYL. 2012. IDBA-UD: a de novo assembler for single-cell and

727   metagenomic sequencing data with highly uneven depth. Bioinformatics 28:1420–1428.

728 48. Skennerton CT, Imelfort M, Tyson GW. 2013. Crass: identification and reconstruction of CRISPR from

729   unassembled metagenomic data. Nucleic Acids Res 41:e105.

730 49. Ren J, Ahlgren NA, Lu YY, Fuhrman JA, Sun F. 2017. VirFinder: a novel k-mer based tool for

731   identifying viral sequences from assembled metagenomic data. Microbiome 5:69.

732   50.   van Dongen SM. 2000. Graph Clustering by Flow Simulation.

733   51.   Eren AM, Esen ÖC, Quince C, Vineis JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, Delmont TO. 2015. Anvi'o: an
734   advanced analysis and visualization platform for 'omics data. PeerJ 3:e1319.

735   52.   Darling AE, Jospin G, Lowe E, Matsen FA 4th, Bik HM, Eisen JA. 2014. PhyloSift: phylogenetic
736   analysis of genomes and metagenomes. PeerJ 2:e243.

737   53.   Alneberg J, Bjarnason BS, de Bruijn I, Schirmer M, Quick J, Ijaz UZ, Lahti L, Loman NJ, Andersson
738   AF, Quince C. 2014. Binning metagenomic contigs by coverage and composition. Nat Methods
739   11:1144–1146.

740   54.   Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. 2007. SILVA: a
741   comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data
742   compatible with ARB. Nucleic Acids Res 35:7188–7196.

743   55.   Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. 2013. The SILVA
744   ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids
745   Res 41:D590–6.

746   56.   Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359.

747   57.   DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, Huber T, Dalevi D, Hu P,
748   Andersen GL. 2006. Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench
749   compatible with ARB. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:5069–5072.

750   58.   Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA, Oakley BB,
751   Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ, Weber CF. 2009. Introducing
752   mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and
753   comparing microbial communities. Appl Environ Microbiol 75:7537–7541.

754   59.   Dixon P. 2003. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. Journal of Vegetation
755   Science.

756   60.   Waskom M, Botvinnik O, O'Kane D, Hobson P, Lukauskas S, Gemperline DC, Augspurger T,
757   Halchenko Y, Cole JB, Warmenhoven J, de Ruiter J, Pye C, Hoyer S, Vanderplas J, Villalba S, Kunter G,
758   Quintero E, Bachant P, Martin M, Meyer K, Miles A, Ram Y, Yarkoni T, Williams ML, Evans C,
759   Fitzgerald C, Brian, Fonnesbeck C, Lee A, Qalieh A. 2017. mwaskom/seaborn: v0.8.1. Zenodo
760   https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.883859.

61.   Emerson JB, Roux S, Brum JR, Bolduc B, Woodcroft BJ, Jang HB, Singleton CM, Solden LM, Naas
AE, Boyd JA, Hodgkins SB, Wilson RM, Trubl G, Li C, Frolking S, Pope PB, Wrighton KC, Crill PM,
Chanton JP, Saleska SR, Tyson GW, Rich VI, Sullivan MB. 2018. Host-linked soil viral ecology along a
permafrost thaw gradient. Nat Microbiol 3:870–880.

62.   Gloor GB, Macklaim JM, Pawlowsky-Glahn V, Egozcue JJ. 2017. Microbiome Datasets Are
Compositional: And This Is Not Optional. Front Microbiol 8:2224.

63.   Gloor GB, Reid G. 2016. Compositional analysis: a valid approach to analyze microbiome high-
throughput sequencing data. Can J Microbiol 62:692–703.

64.   Palarea-Albaladejo J, Martín-Fernández JA. 2015. zCompositions — R package for multivariate
imputation of left-censored data under a compositional approach. Chemometrics and Intelligent
Laboratory Systems.

65.   Daly RA, Roux S, Borton MA, Morgan DM, Johnston MD, Booker AE, Hoyt DW, Meulia T, Wolfe
RA, Hanson AJ, Mouser PJ, Moore JD, Wunch K, Sullivan MB, Wrighton KC, Wilkins MJ. 2019.
Viruses control dominant bacteria colonizing the terrestrial deep biosphere after hydraulic fracturing.
Nat Microbiol 4:352–361.

66.   Barrangou R, Fremaux C, Deveau H, Richards M, Boyaval P, Moineau S, Romero DA, Horvath P. 2007.
CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. Science 315:1709–1712.

67.   Garrett RA, Vestergaard G, Shah SA. 2011. Archaeal CRISPR-based immune systems: exchangeable
functional modules. Trends Microbiol 19:549–556.

68.   Manica A, Zebec Z, Teichmann D, Schleper C. 2011. In vivo activity of CRISPR-mediated virus defence
in a hyperthermophilic archaeon. Mol Microbiol 80:481–491.

69.   Team R, Others. 2015. RStudio: integrated development for R. RStudio, Inc , Boston, MA URL
http://www rstudio com 42:14.

70.   Wickham H, Hester J, Francois R. 2017. Readr: Read rectangular text data. R package version 1.

71.   Wickham H, Bryan J. 2017. readxl: Read Excel files. R package version 1.

72.   Wickham H, Henry L, Others. 2018. tidyr: Easily Tidy Data with "spread ()"and "gather ()"Functions. R
package version 0 8 2.

73.   Wickham H. 2017. stringr: Simple, consistent wrappers for common string operations. R package

789   version 1.

790 74. Wickham H, Francois R, Henry L, Müller K, Others. 2015. dplyr: A grammar of data manipulation. R
791   package version 0 4 3.

792 75. Briatte F. 2016. ggnetwork: Geometries to Plot Networks with'ggplot2'. R package version 0 5 1.

793 76. Handcock MS, Hunter DR, Butts CT, Goodreau SM, Morris M. 2008. statnet: Software tools for the
794   representation, visualization, analysis and simulation of network data. J Stat Softw 24:1548.

795 77. Kassambara A. 2017. ggpubr:"ggplot2" based publication ready plots. R package version 0 1 6.

796 78. Wickham H. 2011. ggplot2. WIREs Comp Stat 3:180–185.

797 79. Luciani TJ, Decorde M, Lise V. 2009. RSvgDevice: An R SVG graphics device. R package version 0 6 4.

798 80. Brum JR, Ignacio-Espinoza JC, Roux S, Doulcier G, Acinas SG, Alberti A, Chaffron S, Cruaud C, de
799   Vargas C, Gasol JM, Gorsky G, Gregory AC, Guidi L, Hingamp P, Iudicone D, Not F, Ogata H, Pesant
800   S, Poulos BT, Schwenck SM, Speich S, Dimier C, Kandels-Lewis S, Picheral M, Searson S, Tara Oceans
801   Coordinators, Bork P, Bowler C, Sunagawa S, Wincker P, Karsenti E, Sullivan MB. 2015. Ocean
802   plankton. Patterns and ecological drivers of ocean viral communities. Science 348:1261498.

803 81. Roux S, Brum JR, Dutilh BE, Sunagawa S, Duhaime MB, Loy A, Poulos BT, Solonenko N, Lara E,
804   Poulain J, Pesant S, Kandels-Lewis S, Dimier C, Picheral M, Searson S, Cruaud C, Alberti A, Duarte
805   CM, Gasol JM, Vaqué D, Tara Oceans Coordinators, Bork P, Acinas SG, Wincker P, Sullivan MB. 2016.
806   Ecogenomics and potential biogeochemical impacts of globally abundant ocean viruses. Nature
807   537:689–693.

808 82. Paez-Espino D, Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Pavlopoulos GA, Thomas AD, Huntemann M, Mikhailova N, Rubin
809   E, Ivanova NN, Kyrpides NC. 2016. Uncovering Earth's virome. Nature 536:425–430.

810 83. Flores GE, Campbell JH, Kirshtein JD, Meneghin J, Podar M, Steinberg JI, Seewald JS, Tivey MK,
811   Voytek MA, Yang ZK, Reysenbach A-L. 2011. Microbial community structure of hydrothermal deposits
812   from geochemically different vent fields along the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Environ Microbiol 13:2158–2171.

813 84. Peters DL, Lynch KH, Stothard P, Dennis JJ. 2015. The isolation and characterization of two
814   Stenotrophomonas maltophilia bacteriophages capable of cross-taxonomic order infectivity. BMC
815   Genomics 16:664.

816 85. Akerman NH, Butterfield DA, Huber JA. 2013. Phylogenetic diversity and functional gene patterns of

sulfur-oxidizing subseafloor Epsilonproteobacteria in diffuse hydrothermal vent fluids. Front Microbiol

4:185.

86.   Meyer JL, Huber JA. 2014. Strain-level genomic variation in natural populations of Lebetimonas from an

erupting deep-sea volcano. ISME J 8:867–880.

87.   Yoshida-Takashima Y, Takaki Y, Shimamura S, Nunoura T, Takai K. 2013. Genome sequence of a

novel deep-sea vent epsilonproteobacterial phage provides new insight into the co-evolution of

Epsilonproteobacteria and their phages. Extremophiles 17:405–419.

88.   Emerson JB, Andrade K, Thomas BC, Norman A, Allen EE, Heidelberg KB, Banfield JF. 2013. Virus-

host and CRISPR dynamics in Archaea-dominated hypersaline Lake Tyrrell, Victoria, Australia. Archaea

2013:370871.

89.   Held NL, Whitaker RJ. 2009. Viral biogeography revealed by signatures in Sulfolobus islandicus

genomes. Environ Microbiol 11:457–466.

Tables

Table 1. Distribution of vOTUs, CRISPR spacer clusters, and microbial hosts (classified at the lowest

taxonomic level available based on 16S rRNA genes) across vent sites and vent fields at Piccard, Von Damm,

and Axial Seamount. The Background and CTD1200 samples were excluded. Reads that mapped to 16S

rRNA sequences that were classified as eukaryotes were excluded.

Vent field	Type	Percent in more than one vent site	Percent in all vent sites in region
Von Damm	vOTUs	13.71	0
Von Damm	Spacer clusters	5.1	0
Von Damm	16S rRNA host	46.15	1.44
Piccard	vOTUs	10.78	0
Piccard	Spacer clusters	9.13	0
Piccard	16S rRNA host	46.09	11.72
Axial Seamount	vOTUs	8.88	0.05
Axial Seamount	Spacer clusters	1.01	0
Axial Seamount	16S rRNA host	54.55	2.36
Type	**Percent found in all three regions (Axial Seamount, Von Damm, and Piccard)**		
Viral OTUs	0.02		
Spacer clusters	0		
16S rRNA host	16.62		

Figures

Figure 1. Taxonomy of A) viral and B) microbial reads in **the** Von Damm, Piccard, and Axial Seamount

vent fields. In A) the y-axis represents the number of reads that mapped to VirFinder contigs of each viral

taxonomy normalized by the number of reads in each sample. Rare viral groups represent viral taxonomies

that comprised less than 5% of reads that mapped to viral contigs in a given sample. In B) the y-axis

represents the number of reads that mapped to 16S rRNA gene sequences of each host normalized by the

number of reads in each sample. Reads that mapped to 16S gene rRNA sequences that were unclassified or

849   classified as eukaryotes were excluded. Rare taxonomic groups represent taxonomies that comprised less than

850   1% of reads that mapped to 16S rRNA gene sequences in a given sample.

Figure 2. Abundance and diversity of viral sequences, CRISPR spacers, CRISPR loci, and microbes
in diffuse flow samples from the Von Damm vent field (blue), Piccard vent field (orange), and Axial
Seamount (green). Relative abundance reflects the relative number of reads mapping to viral contigs within

each region, normalized by read abundance (see Methods). Diversity reflects the number of clusters per read,

normalized by read abundance (see Methods). Note that the y-axis values are different for each variable.

Values for individual samples are indicated with black dots. Violins represent the kernel density estimation of

the underlying data distribution. Variables with significant differences between Von Damm, Piccard, and

Axial Seamount are indicated with asterisks (based on ANOVA test). For these comparisons, samples from

background seawater and plumes were excluded.

A.

B.

C.

Figure 3. Hierarchical clustering of Von Damm (black) Piccard (blue) and Axial Seamount (red)

diffuse flow samples based on A) viral assemblage, B) microbial host composition, and C) CRISPR

spacer composition. For viral composition, the number of reads in each sample that mapped to each vOTU

was calculated. For the host composition, the number of reads that mapped to 16S rRNA gene sequences of

each host (classified at the lowest taxonomic level available) in each sample was calculated. Reads that

mapped to 16S rRNA gene sequences that were either unclassified or classified as eukaryotes were excluded.

For CRISPR composition, the number of CRISPR spacers in each sample in each spacer cluster was

calculated. For all samples, the zero counts were replaced with estimates and a centered log-ratio (clr)

transformation was applied. The y-axes indicate distance between samples as calculated by the ward.D2

method based on the transformed counts. Grey values at each edge are p-values (%). Red values are

approximately unbiased (AU) p-values, computed by multiscale bootstrap resampling, and green values are

bootstrap probability (BP) p-values, which are computed by normal bootstrap resampling. All uncertainty

metrics in the dendrogram were calculated using the R package pvclust.

Figure 4. Infection network showing the links between viral clusters (vOTUs**) and MAGs at A) Axial**

Seamount, B) Von Damm and C) Piccard. vOTUs were linked to MAGs via the spacers and direct

repeats in CRISPR loci. Edges are colored red when a vOTU is linked to multiple MAGs in the same sample

through the same direct repeat type. Due to the Crass algorithm, when the same direct repeat type is found in

multiple MAGs in the same sample, it cannot be determined which MAG the spacers associated with direct

repeat type came from. Therefore, the red edges are links between vOTUs and MAGs that could not be

definitely proven. vOTUs with high relative abundance (top six most abundant) in at least one sample are

outlined in black.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Viruses and prophages in MAGS and viral and prophage genes

In order to identify viral genes and putative auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs), VirSorter (69) v1.0.3 was used to extract putative viral and prophage sequences from metagenomic assemblies and from individual MAGs. In the case of identifying putative auxiliary metabolic genes, we chose to maximize accuracy rather than recovery. Therefore, we used VirSorter for prophage and AMG identification because VirSorter relies on known viral hallmark genes for viral identification and thus is a more conservative tool for positive viral identification. Category one, category two, category four (prophage), and category five (prophage) VirSorter sequences were used in analyses. Putative viral and prophage sequences from all assembled contigs were annotated via Prokka (69, 70) v1.14 with the taxonomic identifier set to "bacteria" as well as to "viruses." We assigned categories to the ORFs that had been identified with the "bacteria" taxonomic identifier using the Clusters of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COG) database (71, 72). We conducted a second round of annotation for ORFs identified by Prokka using the "viruses" taxonomic identifier using VirSorter; we classified these ORFs as having viral function if they were annotated with terms that included "capsid," "tail," "spike," "terminase large subunit," "portal," and "coat."

Of the 74 Mid-Cayman Rise MAGs, 28 (38%) had at least one putative prophage sequence counting VirSorter categories one, two, four, or five, and 6 (8%) had a putative prophage counting only VirSorter categories four and five (Supplementary Table 4). Of the 98 Axial Seamount MAGs, 41 (42%) had at least one putative viral or prophage sequence counting VirSorter categories one, two, four, or five, and 6 (6%) had a putative prophage sequence counting VirSorter categories four and five (Supplementary Table 4). Given the uncertainties associated with binning viral contigs into MAGs, the true number of prophage in hydrothermal vent microbial genomes is likely between these endmember values. VirSorter has not been verified in hydrothermal systems; however, it is commonly used in other non-surface ocean systems (61, 84, 85).

To determine whether viruses and prophage in these samples carried auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs), we annotated and characterized viral and prophage gene content in the viral sequences recovered from the metagenomes. The vast majority of genes within the putative viral and prophage sequences were annotated as belonging to the COG categories replication and repair; nucleotide metabolism and transport; and post-translational modification, protein turnover, chaperone functions. Many genes were also annotated as belonging to the cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis category. Within the Mid-Cayman Rise, a total of 106 ORFs within putative viral or prophage sequences identified in 10 different metagenomes fell within the broad COG category of "metabolism" (Supplementary Fig. 5). This included genes categorized as energy production and conversion, amino acid transport and metabolism, nucleotide transport and metabolism, carbohydrate transport and metabolism, coenzyme transport and metabolism, lipid transport and metabolism, and inorganic ion transport and metabolism. Samples taken from X-19 and Shrimp Hole (2012) had a high number of metabolism genes (30 and 28, respectively) on putative viral or prophage sequences compared to the other Mid-Cayman Rise samples. A higher proportion of genes on putative viral or prophage sequences were related to metabolism at the Mid-Cayman Rise than Axial Seamount (Supplementary Fig. 5). At Axial Seamount, we identified a total of 185 ORFs on putative viral or prophage sequences from 14 different metagenomes that fell within the broad COG category of "metabolism." However, it is important to note that none of the observed metabolism genes were surrounded by confirmed virus genes (i.e., known viral ORFs both upstream

943 and downstream of the metabolic ORF), and therefore we cannot rule out the possibility that some
944 of these genes were microbial in origin.
945
Auxiliary metabolic genes and the role of virus-driven horizontal gene transfer in these systems
Previous work has suggested that viruses in hydrothermal systems have the genomic capacity
to alter their hosts' microbial metabolism through harboring auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs) (17–
19). We found a higher percentage of microbial genomes with putative viral sequences (40%) than
has been previously reported for single-cell genomes (SAGs, 10%) in diffuse flow hydrothermal
fluids (15). The high abundance of prophage identified here is consistent with previous work
documenting a high incidence of prophage in diffuse flow systems compared to deep seawater (14).
The ORFs on the viral contigs identified in these hydrothermal vent metagenomes encoded
a wide range of functions, some of which may function as auxiliary metabolic genes. Many viral
contigs contained genes related to cell wall or membrane proteins. The function of these genes is
unknown; it is possible that these genes are involved in the synthesis of membranes surrounding
viral capsids. Genes related to outer membrane proteins and protein glycosylation are commonly
observed as variable genes within microbial pangenomes (94–100), possibly as a means to vary
membrane proteins to evade viral infection. Viruses often act as a source of genes for horizontal
gene transfer via transduction, and it is possible that the introduction of these novel genes may
enable some microbial strains to avoid infection by other viruses. We also observed several genes
with functions related to energy metabolism, inorganic ion transport, and signal transduction.
Previous work has found that genes related to inorganic ion transport are differentially distributed in
the *Sulfurovum* pangenome according to nutrient availability (39) and further work on viral sequences
across vent sites is needed to determine whether these genes are carried by viruses to benefit their
hosts. Moreover, work on viruses in hydrothermal plumes (19) and in diffuse flow vent fluid (18)
has indicated that viruses in these systems encode energy-metabolizing AMGs, potentially to
supplement the host's ability to generate sufficient energy for cellular processes during the course of
infection. The abundance and diversity of metabolic genes observed on these contigs provide
further evidence that viral-encoded AMGs are widespread and diverse within hydrothermal vent
ecosystems. These genes also have the potential to be horizontally transferred via viral transduction.
However, our analyses of viral biogeography in hydrothermal vents has revealed virus-host
interactions to have limited distributions because viruses are spatially restricted and highly host-
specific. Thus, the potential for viruses to act as mechanisms for horizontal gene transfer between
spatially and phylogenetically distant hosts is likely limited.

 SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

988
989 **Supplementary Figure 1**. Distributions of the VirFinder score of putatively viral sequences identified
990 at the Axial Seamount, Piccard, and Von Damm vent fields. The majority of VirFinder sequences are
991 assigned scores above 0.8 at all three vent fields.
992

993
994 **Supplementary Figure 2**. VirFinder sequence score vs. length at the Axial Seamount, Piccard, and Von
995 Damm vent fields. The VirFinder score does not increase with sequence length for the majority of
996 sequences.
997
998
999 **A)**

1000
1001 **B)**

1002
1003 **C)**

1004
1005
1006 **Supplementary Figure 3. Rarefaction curves for viral OTUs, spacer clusters, and 16S**
1007 **rRNA host classes from samples collected at A) Von Damm, B) Piccard and C) Axial**
1008 **Seamount.** There is no viral rarefaction curve for Old Man Tree, 2012 because there were no
1009 viral OTUs in this sample.
1010
1011
1012

1013
1014 C.

1015
1016
1017 **Supplementary Figure 4. Rank abundance curves of vOTUs at A)** Von Damm, B) Piccard
1018 and C) Axial Seamount. The y-axis represents the number of reads that mapped to each vOTU
1019 divided by the total length of the viral contigs in the cluster and the number of reads in the
1020 sample. The x-axis represents the vOTUs ordered according to relative abundance, with the most
1021 abundant vOTUs at the left. For each vent field, the 1000 most abundant viral OTUs are shown.
1022
1023

1024
1025
1026 C.

1027
1028
1029 **Supplementary Figure 5. Rank abundance curves of CRISPR spacer clusters in A) Von**
1030 **Damm, B) Piccard and C) Axial Seamount.** The y-axis represents the percent of all CRISPR
1031 spacers in a sample falling into that cluster. The x-axis represents the CRISPR spacer clusters
1032 ordered according to relative abundance, with the most abundant clusters at the left.
1033
1034
1035
1036

1037
1038
Supplementary Figure 6. COG categories assigned to ORFs on contigs identified as being derived from viruses or prophage by VirSorter. A) Samples from Von Damm; B) Samples from Piccard; C) Samples from Axial Seamount.

Supplementary Tables are provided in Excel format.