When to be a male? Role of resource-limitation and pollinators in determining floral sex in an

andromonoecious spiderwort.

Asawari Albal [1,2,3,4], Azad G[1], Saket Shrotri[1], Vinita Gowda[1,2]

[1] Tropical Ecology and Evolution (TrEE) Lab, Department of Biological Sciences, Indian

Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, Bhopal, 462066, India

[2] Corresponding author: Asawari Albal, asawarialbal@gmail.com, Vinita Gowda,

gowdav@iiserb.ac.in

Present address:

[3] Department of Biology, University of Toronto Mississauga, Mississauga, ON L5L 1C6, Canada

[4] Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 2Z9, Canada

We show how resource limitations can alter sex of a flower in natural conditions. The study highlights the need for behavioral studies in plants and is the first of its kind from the Indian tropics.

Author contributions: VG conceived the idea, AA, AG, and VG designed the experiments. AA and AG conducted the fieldwork with help from SS. AA performed the statistical analyses with inputs from SS and VG. AA and VG wrote the manuscript and AG and SS helped with edits. All authors read and approved the final draft of the manuscript.

Abstract

The evolution and maintenance of sexual systems in plants is often driven by resource allocation and pollinator preferences, and very little is known about their role in determining floral sex expression in plants. In annual, entomophilous plants three major constraints can be identified towards optimal reproduction: 1) nutrient resources available from the environment, 2) nutrient resources allocated towards reproduction, i.e., fruits vs. flowers, and 3) pollinator visitations.

Andromonoecy is a sexual system where plants bear both staminate and hermaphrodite flowers on the same inflorescence. The optimal resource allocation hypothesis suggests that under nutrient constraints, plants will produce more male flowers since they are energetically cheaper to produce over the more expensive hermaphrodite flowers. We test this hypothesis in the andromonoecious *Murdannia simplex* (Commelinaceae) by quantifying male and hermaphrodite flowers in a natural population and contrasting the distribution of the two sexes in plants from two resource conditions (stream population vs. plateau population). We next carried out choice experiments to test pollinator preference towards a specific sex.

We found that in *M. simplex*, production of hermaphrodite flowers is resource-dependent and under resource constraints fewer numbers of flowers were produced and most of them were males. We failed to observe pollinator preference towards either sex but *Amegilla spp.* and *Apis cerana* showed higher visitation towards the most abundant sex within a trial, suggesting frequency-dependent visitation. Thus, we conclude that environmentally driven resource constraints play a bigger role in driving floral sex expression in *Murdannia* over direct pollinator-driven constraints.

Keywords

Commelinaceae, *Murdannia simplex*, resource limitation, pollinator preference, Western Ghats

Introduction

Andromonoecy refers to the sexual system where both staminate (male) flowers and perfect (hermaphrodite) flowers are present on the same plant (Bertin 1982a, Miller and Diggle 2003, Vallejo-Marín and Rausher 2007a, 2007b). In andromonoecious plants, the hermaphrodite flower fulfills the female function in the absence of a true 'female' flower, and it has been suggested that andromonoecy probably evolved from hermaphroditism by the loss of female reproductive structure (Lloyd 1980, Bertin 1982a). One of the most widely accepted hypotheses on the evolution of andromonoecy is the optimal resource allocation hypothesis which suggests that under resource limitation, male flowers will be produced instead of hermaphrodite flowers. This hypothesis rests upon the premise that male flowers are energetically cheaper (or economical) to produce because reproductive allocation towards them ends with pollen production (Anderson and Symon 1989, Narbona et al. 2002, Verdú et al. 2007), while females are expensive because fruit development and seed germination are energy-intensive physiological processes (Bertin 1982a, Kaul et al. 2002, Obeso 2002, Verdú et al. 2007).

Examples of reallocation of resources to male flowers (i.e. male bias) have been shown in several plant species where staminate flowers are produced when nutrient resources become scarce due to its utilization by the costlier gynoecia towards fruit production (Bertin 1982a, 1982b, May and Eugene Spears Jr 1988, Miller and Diggle 2003, Venkatesan 2017). A well-studied species is *Solanum hirtum* (Solanaceae) in which it has been shown that as a result of successful pollination, as the ovary develops, resources are relocated within an inflorescence to the male flowers, thus influencing the sex of successive flowers and their distribution (Diggle 1993, Miller and Diggle 2003). Another example comes from *Raphanus raphanistrum*, where Stanton et al. (1987) showed that in plants which were heavily pollinated, the number of ovules

per flower decreased while unpollinated plants did not show any significant decrease in number

of ovules. This suggests that plants can modify their reproductive output to adjust for the

resource limitations faced by them.

In plants, resource limitation or nutrient limitation that can affect sex of flowers can be

identified as: a) environmental nutrient limitation (Charnov and Bull 1977, Primack and Lloyd

1980a, Stephenson 1981, Bertin 1982a, Solomon 1985, Diggle 1993), and/or b) within-plant

nutrient limitation (Stephenson 1981, May and Eugene Spears Jr 1988, Diggle 1993, Miller and

Diggle 2003, Ortiz et al. 2003, Vallejo-Marín and Rausher 2007a). In *Aesculus californica*, *A.*

pavia, *Leptospermum scoparium* and *Passiflora incarnata*, phenotypic sex expression

(hermaphroditic inflorescences or hermaphroditic flowers) has been shown to vary as a response

to environmental conditions or status of the nutrient resources, especially during fruit

development when resources are limited (Benseler 1975, Primack and Lloyd 1980b, May and

Eugene Spears Jr 1988). Further, it has been shown that the amount of resources that a plant

acquires in the proximal/distal ends of the inflorescence can also vary. The proximal/ basal end

of the inflorescence has more resources than its distal end, which results in more hermaphrodite

flowers to be present at basal ranks of an inflorescence, while male flowers are relegated to the

distal end (Diggle 1994, 1997, Lewis and Gibbs 1999, Ashman and Hitchens 2000, Miller and

Diggle 2003, Kaul and Koul 2008).

Bateman's principle (Wilson et al. 1994) asserts that- "Fitness gain through male function

is limited primarily by mating opportunity, while fitness gain through female function is limited

primarily by resource availability for offspring production". A similar idea was proposed in the

sex allocation theory which predicted that attracting pollinators that promote outcrossing by

improving floral attractiveness (e.g., large petals, nectar availability) results in a higher fitness

gain through the male function than female function (Elle and Meagher 2000). This is because

male success is correlated with mating opportunities, while one or a few visits by pollinators can

adequately fertilize all ovules. To explain the role of male flowers in andromonoecious plants,

two not-mutually exclusive hypotheses have been proposed (Zhang and Tan 2009): i) Pollen

donor hypothesis which emphasizes the function and reproductive success of male flowers. It

predicts that in a resource-limited condition the wastage of nutrient resources by investment in

the female reproductive structure is reduced by the production of only male flowers. ii)

Pollinator attractor hypothesis which emphasizes the function and reproductive success of female

flowers. It predicts that male flowers increase the floral display and attract more pollinators at a

lower cost thereby increasing female reproductive success (of the hermaphrodite flowers) at

lower resource investment.

In entomophilous plants, pollinator dependence and pollination success is often driven by

floral characteristics (Armbruster 2001, Fenster et al. 2004). . Other studies have also shown that

several characteristics of an inflorescence such as number of flowers, size of flowers, and reward

of flowers play a critical role in attracting pollinators to the plant (Ortiz et al. 2003, Christopher

et al. 2019). All of the above examples are from hermaphroditic reproductive systems, and the

role of specific floral sex, and its contribution to reproductive output in an andromonoecious

systems are not widely studied (although see Diggle 1993, Ashman et al. 2000, Ashman and

Morgan 2004).

Previous studies in sexually dimorphic plants have shown that pollinators typically show

a preference for the functionally male flowers over the functionally female flowers owing to

different floral traits like petal length (Ashman et al. 2000), levels of pollen production

(Bieżychudek 1987, Eckhart 1991) and/or floral scents (Ashman et al. 2005), where these

111 preferences are learned through foraging experience (Cresswell and Galen 1991). Zhang and Tan

112 (Zhang and Tan 2009) were the first to examine the function of male flowers in the

113 andromonoecious *Capparis spinosa* where they showed that the pollinators did not discriminate

114 between the two sexes, despite morphological dimorphism. However, similar studies

115 investigating sex-specific pollinator preferences and more specifically sex-specific pollinator

116 preferences in sexually dimorphic plants that have near-identical morphological features are not

117 known.

118  In this study, we explore the effect of nutrient resources as well as pollinator preference

119 in determining the sex of flowers in a wild population of the andromonoecious *Murdannia*

120 *simplex* (Commelinaceae, Spiderworts). The pantropical plant family Commelinaceae

121 (Spiderworts) is known to have several genera that display andromonoecy. Despite the

122 dominance of andromonoecy in this family, to date, most pollination studies within this family

123 have focused only on identifying pollinators (Kaul et al. 2002, Williams and Walker 2003,

124 Oziegbe et al. 2013, Sigrist and Sazima 2015), and only one study has explored the role of floral

125 guides in pollinator attraction (Ushimaru et al. 2007). Based on predictions from the optimal

126 resource allocation hypothesis and pollinator attractor hypothesis we address the following

127 questions:

128 A) Does the proportion of male and hermaphroditic flowers in a population represent resource

129 limitation?

130 B) Do pollinators show preference to male or hermaphrodite flowers?

131 C) Do male flowers improve floral display to maintain visitation rate and function as a cheaper

132 source of outcrossing pollen?

Materials and methods

Materials and methods

Murdannia simplex (Vahl) Brenan (Commelinaceae) is a mass-flowering, sub-erect, annual,

andromonoecious herb which is widely distributed in moist deciduous forests and grasslands of

the Western Ghats of India (Nandikar and Gurav 2015; Fig. 1a). The plant is seasonal, 40-65 cm

tall, and the shoot emerges once monsoonal rains begin. Flowering lasts from September to

November (Nandikar and Gurav 2015) and flowers are borne on a cymose inflorescence. The

inflorescence bears 5-20 purple-colored, three-petaled male and hermaphrodite flowers, and each

flower measures ~15-25 mm in diameter. The hermaphrodite flowers are characterized by the

presence of a lateral pistil, with two upper fertile stamens, curved downwards and a third lower

sterile stamen. Filaments have long purple, bearded hair. The flower also bears three trilobed

staminodes with glabrous filaments. The male flowers are characterized only by the lack of a

pistil (Fig. 1b - f). The flowers are defined by a short floral anthesis time, from 12:30 hrs. to

16:00 hrs., with the principal pollinator reward being pollen grains only (Faden 2000, Nandikar

and Gurav 2015).

Study site

Western Ghats is one of the four biodiversity 'hotspots' in India. The northern part of Western

Ghats is famous for high elevation laterite plateaus and slopes, one of them being Kaas plateau

(17° 43′ 12″ N, 73° 49′ 22″ E), which is located at an altitude of ~1225 m above sea level near

the city of Satara, Maharashtra and covers an area of ~10 km^2(Fig. 1a). Kaas plateau was

declared as a UNESCO World Heritage site in 2012 due to its highly endemic floral diversity,

which is most abundant in the months of August to November.

Capped with red lateritic crusts, the upper Kaas Plateau is an arid habitat except during

the monsoon season (Fig. 1a). It receives over 2500 mm of rain every year, mainly during the

monsoon months (June to September), and the daily mean temperatures are over 22°C. Floral

diversity on the Kaas plateau is a model representation of flora that is associated with seasonal

monsoonal rains in the Western Ghats, as well as the flora of lateritic plateaus of the Western

Ghats. All field experiments and population-level sex ratio measurements were carried out across

three consecutive years - 2017 to 2019, between 15th September and 5th November. The first two

years focused on the study of plant-pollinator interactions and studies on the effect of nutrient

resources on sex of the flowers was carried out in the third year.

Population-level sex ratios

To determine the extent of sexual dimorphism we compared flower sizes of the two sexes. Pairs

of male and hermaphrodite flowers were photographed from 15 random inflorescences on a

laminated graph sheet, and their petal length and width were measured manually (Online

Resource 1: Fig. 1).

In order to identify the distribution pattern (ratios) of the two sexes within a plant and

within an inflorescence, a multi-year (2018 and 2019) census was conducted. All census were

carried out at an interval of seven days, across 4 weeks (spanning over one and a half months)

covering the peak flowering season of *M. simplex*. Floral sex was determined between 12:30 hrs.

to 16:00 hrs. (anthesis time of *M. simplex* flowers), on marked inflorescences (N = 30). Since a

single individual can bear 2-5 inflorescences, a pooled census was taken from all the

inflorescences of a plant. To identify if there was a positional-bias in the floral sex i.e. from basal

to apical position within an inflorescence (see Stephenson 1981, Diggle 1997, Cuevas and Polito

2004), the positions of each flower and its sex was recorded throughout the census duratio. The

positions within an inflorescence were divided into three categories- apical, middle, and basal as

shown in Fig. 1c.

To test the effect of nutrient resources on floral sex expression, we counted male and

hermaphrodite flowers in two populations that differed in the availability of water and other

resources (presence of a stream and a deep soil substrate), which we predicted to be primary

limiting resources on an otherwise homogeneous plateau. The first population is henceforth

referred to as the plateau population - 'Plateau_pop', while the second population is referred to

as the stream population - 'Stream_pop'. Plateau_pop was selected as the resource-poor

population whereas Stream_pop was selected as the resource-rich population. The two locations

were separated by ~576 meters and other than the presence of a stream and a deep soil substrate,

can be viewed as a single population. The census was carried out in October 2019 on randomly

selected individuals within these two populations (N = 100 per location).

Pollination biology

Pollen is the only pollinator reward in the genus *Murdannia* (Faden 2000, Nandikar and Gurav

2015). To identify any quantifiable difference in the pollen or paternal contribution between the

male and hermaphroditic flowers, the amount of pollen per anther in virgin flowers of *M.*

simplex was quantified using a hemocytometer.

Pollinator visitations on *M. simplex* were quantified by observing pollinators in 2 ft. x 2

ft. observation arenas (N = 49) in multiple intervals of 15 minutes between 12:30 hrs. to 16:00

hrs. (anthesis time of *M. simplex*). Each arena consisted of 4-10 inflorescences with a total of 15-

30 flowers. Visitation by a pollinator was recorded when a pollinator landed on the flower and

actively collected pollen. In each observation interval, we recorded the total number of flowers

present, type of pollinator, and number of pollinator visits. Pollinator visitation rate was then

calculated as the total number of visits per flower per hour. At the end of the flowering season,

fruitset was quantified by counting the total number of fruits in randomly selected inflorescences

from the study population (N = 26 in 2017 and N = 40 in 2019).

Sex-specific pollinator preference experiment

Manipulated choice experiments were designed and conducted in the wild to test a) presence of

visitation bias by pollinators towards male flowers (M) and/or hermaphrodite flowers (H), and b)

presence of floral-density bias by pollinators. It was hypothesized that if the pollinator has an

inherent preference for any sex, the density of a specific sex in our experimental set up will not

affect the pollinator's visitation rate. Floral distributions within an inflorescence were defined to

have binary outcomes i.e., male abundant or hermaphrodite abundant, or equally male and

hermaphroditic. The experimental setup consisted of two pots that were kept one foot apart with

a total of 5-15 flowers in each pot and pollinator visits were quantified by eye between 12:30 hrs.

to 16:00 hrs. Three sex-specific floral-density treatments were designed: H < M (T1), H = M

(T2) and H > M (T3) were tested. The density of flowers of the two sexes in each experimental

set up was manually controlled and each treatment was repeated for a total of eight trials and a

total observation time of ~21.5 hours (Online Resource 1: Fig. 2). Unmanipulated, wild set up

observations served as the control. The mean visitation rate per flower to male flowers and

hermaphrodite flowers were calculated for each pollinator type, by dividing the total number of

visits by a specific pollinator with the total number of flowers present in the experimental set up.

Pollinator visitation rate was calculated as the total number of visits per flower per hour.

The visitation rates in the control treatments (natural visitations) were calculated and

compared with the visitation rates obtained from the choice experiments (H < M, H = M and H >

M). The mean visitation rates across all three treatments were also compared with the total

number of flowers present in the trial to identify any effect of floral display size.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed in R (R Core Team 2019) and raw data was tested for normality

before proceeding with statistical analysis using Shapiro-Wilk's method. When Gaussian type

distribution could not be assumed, non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum tests were run using

the dplyr package (Wickham et al. 2020). The floral diameters and pollen densities per flower

between male and hermaphrodite flowers were compared using unpaired two-sample t-test. The

variation in proportion of male and hermaphrodite flowers was quantified using Kruskal-Wallis

test, and post hoc Dunn's test (Dinno 2017) was used to identify proportional difference between

the two sexes across the four weeks. Simple linear regression was used to check the effect of

mean number of male flowers, hermaphrodite flowers and total flowers on the fruit set

respectively, and the effect of total floral display size on the mean visitation rate across the three

treatments in the choice experiments. The alpha was set at 0.5 (P<0.025) for all statistical

analysis. All graphical plots were produced using the ggplot2 package in R (Wickham 2016).

Results

Population-level sex ratios

Due to the small size and fragile nature of the flower, floral size was measured as the widths of

the flowers (widest diameter). There was no significant difference between floral size of the male

and hermaphrodite flowers (t = 0.11072, df = 28, p-value = 0.9126; Online Resource 1: Fig. 1).

Throughout the flowering season, male flowers were present in higher proportions than the

hermaphrodite flowers in all the study plots by at least 70-80% (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared =

38.707, df = 7, p-value = 2.223[e-06]; Fig. 2a; Online Resource 1: Table 1). A slight decrease in the

proportion of male flowers was observed only in week 4 (Mean±SE: Week 1 = 1.33±0.31, Week

2 = 1.6±0.29, Week 3 = 1.73±0.35, Week 4 = 0.87±0.22; Fig. 2a; Online Resource 1: Table 1).

In contrast, the number of hermaphrodite flowers remained similar across all four weeks of

observation (Mean±SE: Week 1 = 0.60±0.21 , Week 2 = 0.33±0.12 , Week 3 = 0.50±0.27 , Week

4 = 0.40±0.22 ; Fig. 2a; Online Resource 1: Table 1) and only plants that were closer to the water

source i.e. stream population, had a significantly higher number of hermaphrodite flowers

(Mean±SE: Plateau_pop H = 0.43±0.07 vs. Stream_pop H = 1.75±0.14; p-values = 0.0000; Fig.

3; Online Resource 1: Table 2). Overall, the mean number of total flowers remained consistent

for the first two weeks (Mean±SE: Week 1 = 1.93±0.39, Week 2 = 1.93±0.37 flowers; Fig. 2a

inset), increased in week 3 (2.23±0.41 flowers) and then reduced in week 4 (1.26±0.28 flowers;

Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 3.2847, df = 3, p-value = 0.3498; Online Resource 1: Table 1).

Within an inflorescence, male and hermaphrodite flowers were present in all the three

positions i.e. apical, middle and basal positions (Fig. 1c), and the mean number of male flowers

varied across all these three positions during our four-week observation period. The number of

male flowers were relatively consistent in the basal position throughout the plants' flowering

season than in the middle position or apical position (Fig. 2 b - e; Online Resource 1: Table 3, 4).

We documented the highest number of male flowers in the middle position of the inflorescence,

whereas the number of hermaphrodite flowers was consistent at all positions over the flowering

season (Fig. 2 b - e; Online Resource 1: Table 3, 4).

The total number of flowers were significantly higher in the stream population than in the

plateau population (Mean±SE: Plateau_pop = 2.78±0.12 vs. Stream_pop = 3.32±0.17; t = -

2.5646, df = 176.65, p-value = 0.0116; Fig. 3 inset) and the proportion of male:hermaphrodite

flowers were similar in the stream population (Mean±SE: Stream_pop H = 1.75±0.14 vs.

Stream_pop M = 1.57±0.14; p-value = 0.1614; Fig. 3). Number of male flowers was significantly

higher in the plateau population over the stream population (Mean±SE: Plateau_pop M =

2.35±0.12 vs. Stream_pop M = 1.57±0.14; p-value = 0.0000; Fig. 3; Online Resource 1: Table

2).

The mean fruit set per plant showed no effect with the total number of male,

hermaphrodite, or total number of flowers present per plant, respectively in the plateau_pop

(hermaphrodite: r^2 = -0.0457, df = 18, p-value = 0.6852; Online Resource 1: Fig. 3a; male: r^2 = -

0.04999, df = 18, p-value = 0.761; Online Resource 1: Fig. 3b; total flowers: r^2 = -0.04254, df =

18, p-value = 0.6412; Online Resource 1: Fig. 3c), and in the stream_pop (hermaphrodite: r^2 = -

0.0.05543, df = 18, p-value = 0.9637; Online Resource 1: Fig. 3d; male: r^2 = 0.1125 , df = 18, p-

value = 0.08134; Online Resource 1: Fig. 3e; total flowers: r^2 = 0.04828, df = 18, p-value =

0.1781; Online Resource 1: Fig. 3f), respectively.

Pollination biology

Flowers of both the sexes opened between 12:30 hrs. to 16:00 hrs., and their mean pollen count

ranged from 5000-10000 pollen grains per anther and no significant difference in the pollen

quantity between the two sexes was observed (t = -0.67913, df = 60, p-value = 0.4997; Azad

2018, Albal 2019, Nawge 2019). The major pollinators to *M. simplex*, in the order of visitation

rates from highest to lowest were *Apis dorsata* (Mean number of visits per flower per hour±SE =

1.01±0.18), *Apis cerana* (0.86±0.10)*, Apis florea* (0.76±0.22), and *Amegilla spp. (*Zonamegilla,

0.3±0.09) respectively. *Amegilla spp.* showed significantly lower visitation than all the other

pollinators (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 6.5702, df = 3, p-value = 0.09; p-values: *Amegilla spp.*

vs *A. cerana* = 0.0074, *Amegilla spp.* vs *A. dorsata* = 0.0058, *Amegilla spp.* vs *A. florea* =

0.0469), whereas the visitation rates among *A. cerana, A. florea* and *A. dorsata* were not

significantly different (*p*-values: *A. cerana* vs *A. dorsata* = 0.3822, *A. cerana* vs *A. florea* =

0.4278, *A. dorsata* vs *A. florea* = 0.3748; Azad 2018). Other pollinators observed were *Paragus*

spp. (Hoverfly), *Lasioglossum spp.*, *Pseudapis spp.* and one species that we could not identify

which will henceforth be referred to as UnID sp. (Online Resource 1: Fig. 4). Mean pollinator

visitation rates were observed to be high at the beginning of the anthesis (1.74-1.94 flowers from

13:00 hrs. to 15:00 hrs.) and it decreased with time (0.94-1.32 flowers from 15:00 hrs. till

anthesis end; Azad 2018).

Sex-specific pollinator preference experiment

In all the experimental setups in the manipulated choice experiment, pollinator visitations were

highest to the most abundant sex (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 65.3103, df = 7, *p*-value =

1.303^{e-11}; Fig. 4; Online Resource 1: Table 5). Pollinator visitation rates were significantly

different between hermaphrodite flowers in the unmanipulated, wild set up (control) and

hermaphrodite flowers in all the experimental setups (Fig. 4; Online Resource 1: Table 5).

However, the visitation rates to the male flowers in the control were not different from those to

male flowers in all the experimental setup (Fig. 4; Online Resource 1: Table 5). Display size

(total number of flowers per trial) had no effect on the visitation rates in any of the manipulated

treatments (r^2 = -0.03765, df = 21, *p*-value = 0.6309; Online Resource 1: Fig. 5).

Quantification of pollinator-wise visitation rates in the manipulated experiments show

that visitations by *Apis cerana, Amegilla spp.* and UnID sp. were higher to male flowers in

treatment 1 where male flowers were more abundant than hermaphrodite flowers (Mean

visitation rate per flower per hr±SE:- H < M: *A. cerana* = 3.29±1.11, *Amegilla spp.* = 1.81±0.91,

UnID sp. = 2.49±1.89 flowers; Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 13.8517, df = 9, *p*-value = 0.1277;

Fig. 5a; Online Resource 1: Table 6). In treatment 3, *Apis cerana*, *Amegilla spp.*, and UnID sp.

showed high visitation to hermaphrodite flowers (H > M: *A. cerana* = 2.76±0.74, *Amegilla spp.*

= 0.89±0.61, UnID sp. = 0.66±0.56 flowers; Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 9.2848, df = 5, *p*-

value = 0.09823; Fig. 5c; Online Resource 1: Table 6). We observed visitation by hoverflies only

in treatment 2 (H = M: Visitation rate per hermaphrodite flower = 0.56, visitation rate per male

flower = 0.75; Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 15.605, df = 11, *p*-value = 0.1565; Fig. 5b; Online

Resource 1: Table 6).

320   Discussion

321   Population-level sex ratios

The optimal allocation hypothesis proposes that between a costly and a cheaper sex, in a

resource-limited environment, the sex which requires the least resources will be preferred. From

a plant's perspective, the male flowers are considered a cheaper sex to produce because there is

no investment towards fruit development, unlike the hermaphrodite flowers which produces both

viable pollen and ovules (Bertin 1982a, Anderson and Symon 1989, Kaul et al. 2002, Narbona et

al. 2002, Obeso 2002, Verdú et al. 2007, Zhang and Tan 2009). Here, we show that the *M.*

simplex population on Kaas plateau has a higher density of male flowers and a slight reduction in

the number of male flowers and an increase in hermaphrodite flowers were observed only among

the individuals present along the stream. We consider the stream population of *M. simplex* to be

growing in better resource conditions than the plateau population because of the presence of

water, possible higher nutrient availability due to dissolved/associated nutrients in the water, and

deeper soil substrate for the plants (Thorpe et al. 2018). This suggests that although the general

tendency of *M. simplex* is to produce more male flowers over hermaphrodites, water and water-

dissolved minerals may be major limiting resources and in the absence of resource constraints

the plants can produce not only more flowers but also higher number of hermaphrodite flowers.

337   Temporal shift in floral sex

Resources available and utilized by plants often fluctuate with time and age of the plant

(Stephenson 1982, Solomon 1985). We observed an initial increase in the number of flowers

followed by a decreasing trend in the total number of flowers being produced per plant

throughout its flowering period (Fig. 2a inset). Within this trend, male flowers were always

produced in higher proportions than the hermaphrodite flowers and interestingly, in the end of

the flowering season (i.e. fourth week) we observed a reduction in the number of male flowers

instead of the number of hermaphrodite flowers (Fig. 2a). Based on these observations we

conclude that although resource constraints negatively affect the total production of flowers, the

reduction in flowers was heavily biased against male flowers, while the number of

hermaphroditic flowers did not decrease with time in *M. simplex*.. Contrary to our observation, it

has been shown that under declining resource levels plants may opt to increase their fitness by

producing the relatively inexpensive male flowers over the expensive female flowers (May and

Eugene Spears Jr 1988). However, in *C. benghalensis* it has been reported that a plant will opt to

drop a flower rather than drop a fruit, under resource limiting conditions, because the nutrient

resources that would get committed to fruits that are aborted would be saved halfway (Kaul and

Koul 2008). We propose that a similar strategy may be operational in *M. simplex* wherein under

availability of resources *M. simplex* produces an excess of male flowers, which are the first ones

to be sacrificed when the plants face resource limitation as the flowering season progresses (Fig.

2a). The lack of change in the number of hermaphrodite flowers in different stages of plant's

flowering phenology also suggests that the hermaphrodite flowers may already be produced at an

optimal number, and hence their numbers do not decline despite declining resources (Fig. 2a).

Distribution of the two sexes within an inflorescence has been shown to be dependent on

resource allocation (Diggle 1997, Miller and Diggle 2003), and it has been observed that the

differential positioning of the sexes within an inflorescence can affect outcrossing rates (Orth

and Waddington 1997, Lin and Forrest 2019). Studies in *Solanum* (Diggle 1994, 1997, Miller

and Diggle 2003), *Caesalpinia* (Lewis and Gibbs 1999), *F. virginiana* (Ashman and Hitchens

2000) and in other Commelinaceae genera (Kaul et al. 2002, Kaul and Koul 2008) have shown

that higher accessibility to nutrient resources at the base of an inflorescence (than at the distal

end) can result in the presence of higher resource-demanding hermaphrodite flowers in the basal

position while males are relegated to the middle and apical positions of an inflorescence. We

found a similar pattern in *M. simplex,* where a greater number of male flowers were produced at

the middle and the apical positions on an inflorescence. However, unlike other plants where

hermaphrodites exclusively occupy the basal positions in an inflorescence, in *M. simplex*

hermaphrodites were also present in the apical position. In fact, the distribution of hermaphrodite

flowers was not significantly different across all the three positions, over the course of the plant's

flowering season. This implies that any manipulation in display size is carried out by increasing

or decreasing the male flowers thus regulating the floral display at a much lesser cost (as

proposed in *Solanum* by Anderson and Symon 1989).

Pollination biology

Pollinators have been shown to display higher preference to larger inflorescences, ultimately

resulting in higher reproductive success (Stephenson 1981, Harder and Barrett 1995, Ohashi and

Yahara 2001). Hence the disproportionate distribution of male and hermaphrodite flowers within

the inflorescence of an andromonoecious species may be a result of indirect pollinator selection

on inflorescence size: that is larger inflorescences are better at attracting pollinators but under

nutrient resource limitation, this can be achieved only by producing more of the

cheaper/economical male flowers (Sandring and Ågren 2009). Thus our results support the

pollinator attractor hypothesis as proposed by Zhang and Tan (2009) and sex allocation theory as

proposed by Elle and Meagher (2000) where they predicted that male flowers can increase the

floral display and their attractiveness to pollinators at a lower cost through male function than

female function. The comparable fruit set values between the plateau and the stream population

of *M. simplex* also suggests that reproductive costs were not compromised while decreasing male

flowers due to resource constraints in the plateau population.

The dominant pollinator assemblage visiting *M. simplex* consisted mainly of different

species of bees from the two genera common in the Indian tropics - *Apis* and *Amegilla*. All

pollinators that visit *M. simplex* are generalists and are known to be common pollinators in

grassland habitats (Corlett 2011, Dhargalkar 2019, Nawge 2019). There are at least 68 plants co-

flowering with *M. simplex* on the Kaas plateau (Dhargalkar 2019) and parallel studies on the

pollination of plants in the Kaas community showed that both *Apis cerana* and *Apis florea* switch

to *M. simplex* from *M. lanuginosa* (anthesis time 10.30 hrs.-13.00 hrs.) and various *Impatiens*

species as soon as the flowers of *M. simplex* open i.e. at 12:30 hrs. up to 16:00 hrs. (Albal 2019).

This suggests that although the pollinators are generalists, these pollinators may be displaying

temporal specialization towards *M. simplex*. Future studies will focus on temporal specialization

of the bee communities on Kaas plateau, which will provide insight on pollinator preference

towards *M. simplex* in a multi-species environment.

Sex-specific pollinator preference

Several studies have shown that pollinators avoid functionally female flowers because of

decreased pollen or absence of pollen (Bieżychudek 1987, Eckhart 1991), floral size (Ashman et

al. 2000), floral scents (Ashman et al. 2005) and pollen-pistil interference (Solomon 1985, Elle

and Meagher 2000). However, in *M. simplex* both male and hermaphrodite flowers showed

comparable pollen production. This has been shown in other andromonoecious systems as well

such as in *Solanum carolinense* (Solomon 1986), *Olea europaea* (Cuevas and Polito 2004) and

Dichorisandra spp. (Sigrist and Sazima 2015). As previously discussed, we observed that

nutrient resources affected the number of male flowers and not the number of hermaphrodite

flowers, and the rate of fruit sets were observed to be similar between the plateau and the stream

populations (Mean±SE: Plateau_pop = 3.22±0.23 vs. Stream_pop = 3.55±0.21). This suggests

that reproductive success is limited by the availability of hermaphrodite flowers and not

pollinator visitations. The lack of preference for either of the sexes by the pollinators on Kaas

plateau is further supported by our observations from the manipulative experiment where all

pollinators except *A. cerana* displayed lack of preference towards either of the floral sexes.

Further, this also fails to support the hypothesis that male-dominant inflorescences of *M. simplex*

may be under pollinator-mediated selection due to direct pollinator preference for any sex (see

Solomon 1985, Eckhart 1991, Elle and Meagher 2000). However, since pollinator visitations

were observed to be density dependent (i.e. floral density, compare treatment 3 with treatment 1,

Fig. 5c) it is possible that there is an indirect pollinator-mediated selection on male flowers

through preference for larger inflorescence sizes.

Our understanding of pollinator services by bees primarily come from *Bombus* species

(Chittka et al. 1997) and *Apis mellifera* (Martin 2004) and studies on other bees from India are

very rare (see Somanathan and Borges 2001). At least five species of bees visited *M. simplex* and

in the choice experiment, we observed inter-species behavioral differences among bees both in

their floral preferences and visitation patterns, respectively. Almost all bees displayed higher

visitation to any sex which was present in greater density, suggesting that pollinator visitations

are predominantly sex-nonspecific and density-dependent. A slight preference for sex was only

observed in *A. cerana* and *Amegilla* in treatment 2 (H = M) where male flowers were preferred

over hermaphrodite flowers (Fig. 5b). Bees are known for both their short-term and long-term

memory retention and they can show learning through foraging experience (Cresswell and Galen

1991, Schiestl and Johnson 2013) and we propose that the higher visitation to male flowers

in treatment 2 (Fig. 5b) may be a result of short-term memories and habituation in bees since in

natural conditions bees may encounter more male flowers than hermaphrodites.

We conclude that in *M. simplex* environmentally driven resource constraints play a bigger

role than pollinator preference towards a specific sex in determining floral sex expression.

However, we cannot dismiss an indirect role of pollinator-driven constraints which may occur

through selection on the display size as proposed in the pollinator attractor hypothesis (Zhang

and Tan 2009). In a resource-limited environment such as the one observed at Kaas plateau, *M.*

simplex can thus increase its display size by producing the less expensive male flowers over

hermaphrodite flowers.

Energy constraints will have a direct cost on floral production if the two sexes require

differential resource allocation. As a result, it can be expected that the sex of the flowers will be

selected accordingly. Using the *M. simplex* system, we show that floral sex expression is directly

influenced by the availability of nutrient resources in the plants' environment and indirectly

affected by the pollinators' preference for larger display sizes of the inflorescence. Thus, a

combination of both environmental factors as well as ecological factors may be responsible for

the evolution and maintenance of andromonoecy in mass-flowering plants such as *M. simplex* in

the tropics.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Ministry of Human Resource Development, India (MHRD) and the

National Geographic Society for research funds to VG, IISER Bhopal for the infrastructure, DST

for INSPIRE fellowship to AA, AG, and SS. We thank the PCCF of Maharashtra Forest

Department, DFO of Satara Division (Forest Department) and the Joint Forest Management

Committee (JFMC), Kaas, Satara for permitting our fieldwork. We also thank Dr. Natapot Warrit

(Chulalongkorn University, Thailand) and Dr. Ximo Mengual (Research Museum Alexander

Koenig, Germany) for their help with taxonomic identification of the pollinators. Finally, we

thank all fellow TrEE lab members for their advice, discussion, and support.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest

Authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Statement of human and animal rights

This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of

the authors.

References

Albal A (2019) When does a flower become male? Exploring role of ecological factors and

energy resources in defining gender expression in sympatric plants. Master's thesis, IISER

Bhopal, India R00529:14030

Anderson GJ, Symon DE (1989) Functional dioecy and andromonoecy in *Solanum*. Evolution (N

Y) 43:204

Armbruster WS (2001) Evolution of floral form : Electrostatic forces , pollination, and adaptive

compromise. New Phytol 152:181–186

Ashman TL, Bradburn M, Cole DH, et al (2005) The scent of a male: The role of floral volatiles

in pollination of a gender dimorphic plant. Ecology 86:2099–2105

Ashman TL, Hitchens MS (2000) Dissecting the causes of variation in intra-inflorescence

allocation in a sexually polymorphic species, *Fragaria virginiana* (Rosaceae). Am J Bot

87:197–204

Ashman TL, Morgan MT (2004) Explaining phenotypic selection on plant attractive characters:

Male function, gender balance or ecological context? Proc R Soc B Biol Sci 271:553–559

Ashman TL, Swetz J, Shivitz S (2000) Understanding the basis of pollinator selectivity in

sexually dimorphic *Fragaria virginiana*. Oikos 90:347–356

Azad G (2018) Resource allocation and pollinator preference : role of male flowers in the

andromonoecious herb *Murdannia simplex* (Commelinaceae). Master's thesis, IISER

Bhopal, India R00335:13035

Benseler RW (1975) Floral biology of California buckeye. Madroño 23:41–53

Bertin RI (1982a) The evolution and maintenance of andromonoecy. Evol Theory 6:25–32

Bertin RI (1982b) The ecology of sex expression in red buckeye. Ecology 63:445–456

Bierzychudek P (1987) Pollinators increase the cost of sex by avoiding female flowers. Ecology

68:444–447

Charnov E, Bull J (1977) When is sex environmentally determined? Nature 266:828–832

Chittka L, Gumbert A, Kunze J (1997) Foraging dynamics of bumble bees: Correlates of

movements within and between plant species. Behav Ecol 8:239–249

Christopher DA, Mitchell RJ, Trapnell DW, et al (2019) Hermaphroditism promotes mate

diversity in flowering plants. Am J Bot 106:1131–1136

Corlett RT (2011) Honeybees in natural ecosystems. In: Hepburn H and Radloff SE (Eds)

Honeybees of Asia. Springer- Verlag Berlin Heidelberg Pages 215-225

Cresswell JE, Galen C (1991) Frequency-dependent selection and adaptive surfaces for floral

character combinations : The pollination of *Polemonium viscosum*. Am Nat 138:1342–1353

Cuevas J, Polito VS (2004) The role of staminate flowers in the breeding system of *Olea*

europaea (Oleaceae): An andromonoecious, wind-pollinated taxon. Ann Bot 93:547–553

Dhargalkar RK (2019) A study of the temporal patterns in flowering phenology and plant-

pollinator network of a grassland community in the northern Western Ghats , Maharashtra.

Master's thesis, IISER Bhopal, India R00534:14054

Diggle PK (1993) Developmental plasticity , genetic variation , and the evolution of

andromonoecy in *Solanum hirtum* (Solanaceae). Am J Bot 80:967–973

Diggle PK (1994) The expression of andromonoecy in *Solanum hirtum* (Solanaceae): Phenotypic

plasticity and ontogenetic contingency. Am J Bot 81:1354–1365

Diggle PK (1997) Ontogenetic contingency and floral morphology : The effects of architecture

and resource limitation. Int J Plant Sci 158:99–107

Dinno A (2017) dunn.test: Dunn's test of Multiple comparisons using rank sums.

Eckhart VM (1991) The effects of floral display on pollinator visitation vary among populations

of *Phacelia linearis* (Hydrophyllaceae). Evol Ecol 5:370–384

Elle E, Meagher TR (2000) Sex allocation and reproductive success in the andromonoecious

perennial *Solanum carolinense* (Solanaceae). II. Paternity and Functional Gender. Am Nat

156:622

Faden RB (2000) Floral biology of Commelinaceae. In: Monocots: Systematics and Evolution.

pp 309–317

Fenster CB, Armbruster WS, Wilson P, et al (2004) Pollination syndromes and floral

specialization. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 35:375–403

Harder LD, Barrett SCH (1995) Mating costs of large floral displays in hermaphrodite plants.

Nature 373:512–515

Kaul V, Koul AK (2008) Floral phenology in relation to pollination and reproductive output in

Commelina caroliniana (Commelinaceae). Aust J Bot 56:59–66

Kaul V, Sharma N, Koul AK (2002) Reproductive effort and sex allocation strategy in

Commelina benghalensis L., a common monsoon weed. Bot J Linn Soc 140:403–413

Lewis G, Gibbs P (1999) Reproductive biology of *Caesalpinia calycina* and *C . pluviosa*

(Leguminosae) of the Caatinga of north-western Brazil. Plant Syst Evol 217:43–53

Lin S, Forrest JRK (2019) The function of floral orientation in bluebells : interactions with

pollinators and rain in two species of *Mertensia* (Boraginaceae). J Plant Ecol 12:113–123

Lloyd DG (1980) Sexual strategies in Plants: I. An hypothesis of serial adjustment of maternal

investment during one reproductive session. New Phytol 86:69–79

Martin NH (2004) Flower size preferences of the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) foraging on *Mimulus*

guttatus (Scrophulariaceae). Evol Ecol Res 6:777–782

May PG, Eugene Spears Jr E (1988) Andromonoecy and variation in phenotypic gender of

Passiflora incarnata (Passifloraceae). Am J Bot 75:1830–1841

Miller JS, Diggle PK (2003) Diversification of andromonoecy in *Solanum* section *Lasiocarpa*

(Solanaceae): The roles of phenotypic plasticity and architecture. Am J Bot 90:707–715

Nandikar MD, Gurav R V. (2015) Revision of the genus *Murdannia* (Commelinaceae) in India.

Phytodiversity 2:56–112

Narbona E, Ortiz PL, Arista M (2002) Functional andromonoecy in *Euphorbia* (Euphorbiaceae).

Ann Bot 89:571–577

Nawge VV (2019) Understanding pollination networks on Kaas plateau. Master's thesis, IISER

Bhopal, India R00543:14097

Obeso JR (2002) The costs of reproduction in plants. New Phytol 155:321–348

Ohashi K, Yahara T (2001) Behavioural responses of pollinators to variation in floral display

size and their influences on the evolution of floral traits. In: Chittka L, Thomson JD

Cognitive Ecology of Pollination- Animal behavior and floral evolution. Cambridge

University Press pp 274-296

Orth AI, Waddington KD (1997) The movement patterns of carpenter bees *Xylocopa micans* and

bumblebees *Bombus pennsylvanicus* on *Pontederia cordata* inflorescences. J Insect Behav

10:79–86

Ortiz PL, Arista M, Oliveira PE, Talavera S (2003) Pattern of flower and fruit production in

Stryphnodendron adstringens, an andromonoecious legume tree of Central Brazil. Plant

Biol 5:592–599

Oziegbe M, Akinlua IO, Olalekan AA (2013) Comparative pollination role of stamens and

breeding system in three species of *Commelina* (Commelinaceae) in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Acta

Bot Brasilica 27:543–550

Primack RB, Lloyd DG (1980a) Andromonoecy in the New Zealand montane shrub Manuka,

Leptospermum scoparium (Myrtaceae). Am J Bot 67:361–368

Primack RB, Lloyd DG (1980b) Sexual strategies in plants IV. The distributions of gender in

two monomorphic shrub populations. New Zeal J Bot 18:109–114

R Team C (2019) R: A language and environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for

Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.r-project.org/

Sandring S, Ågren J (2009) Pollinator-mediated selection on floral display and flowering time in

the perennial herb *Arabidopsis lyrata*. Evolution (N Y) 63:1292–1300

Schiestl FP, Johnson SD (2013) Pollinator-mediated evolution of floral signals. Trends Ecol Evol

28:307–315

Sigrist MR, Sazima M (2015) Phenology, reproductive biology and diversity of buzzing bees of

sympatric *Dichorisandra* species (Commelinaceae): breeding system and performance of

pollinators. Plant Syst Evol 301:1005–1015

Solomon BP (1985) Environmentally influenced changes in sex expression in an

andromonoecious plant. Ecology 66:1321–1332

Solomon BP (1986) Sexual allocation and andromonoecy: Resource investment in male and

hermaphrodite flowers of *Solanum carolinense* (Solanaceae). Am J Bot 73:1215–1221

Somanathan H, Borges RM (2001) Nocturnal pollination by the carpenter bee *Xylocopa*

tenuiscapa (Apidae) and the effect of floral display on fruit set of *Heterophragma*

quadriloculare (Bignoniaceae) in India. Biotropica 33:78–89

Stanton ML, Bereczky JK, Hasbrouck HD (1987) Pollination thoroughness and maternal yield

regulation in wild radish, *Raphanus raphanistrum* (Brassicaceae). Oecologia 74:68–76

Stephenson AG (1981) Flower and fruit abortion: proximate causes and ultimate functions. Annu

Rev Ecol Syst 12:253–279

Stephenson AG (1982) When does outcrossing occur in a mass-flowering plant? Evolution (N Y)

36:762–767

Thorpe CJ, Lewis TR, Kulkarni S, et al (2018) Micro-habitat distribution drives patch quality for

sub-tropical rocky plateau amphibians in the northern Western Ghats, India. PLoS One

13:1–20

Ushimaru A, Watanabe T, Nakata K (2007) Colored floral organs influence pollinator behavior

and pollen transfer in *Commelina communis* (Commelinaceae). Am J Bot 94:249–258

Vallejo-Marín M, Rausher MD (2007a) The role of male flowers in andromonoecious species:

Energetic costs and siring success in *Solanum carolinense* L. Evolution (N Y) 61:404–412

Vallejo-Marín M, Rausher MD (2007b) Selection through female fitness helps to explain the

maintenance of male flowers. Am Nat 169:563

Venkatesan A (2017) Why be a male ? Resource allocation and floral display in a wild

population of Solanum surattense. Master's thesis, IISER Bhopal, India R00064:10015

Verdú M, Spanos K, Čaňová I, et al (2007) Similar gender dimorphism in the costs of

reproduction across the geographic range of *Fraxinus ornus*. Ann Bot 99:183–191

Wickham, H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag NY.

https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org

Wikcham H, Averick M, Bryan J, et al (2019) tidyverse: Welcome to tidyverse. J. Open Source

Softw. 4:1686

Williams G, Walker K (2003) Pollination of the wet forest herb *Pollia crispata*

(Commelinaceae). Cunninghamia 8(1):141–146

Wilson P, Thomson JD, Stanton ML, Rigney LP (1994) Beyond floral Batemania: Gender biases

in selection for pollination success. Am Nat 143:

Zhang T, Tan DY (2009) An examination of the function of male flowers in an andromonoecious

shrub *Capparis spinosa*. J Integr Plant Biol 51:316–324

Figure legends

Fig. 1 Habitat and floral features of *Murdannia simplex* (a) habitat on Kaas Plateau, Maharashtra during flowering season (Inset: Location in India), (b) *M. simplex* habit, (c) inflorescence image showing positions identified from base to the apex, (d) *M. simplex* flower front view, (e) *M. simplex* male flower, (f) *M. simplex* hermaphrodite flower. Photo courtesy: (a), (c), (d) - Asawari Albal, (b), (e), (f) - Saket Shrotri.

Fig. 2 Variation in population-level sex ratios in *M. simplex* (a) by week from first flowering date (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 38.7067, df = 7, *p*-value = 0.00; P<0.025) (Inset: Week-wise proportion of total number of flowers; Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 3.2847, df = 3, *p*-value = 0.3498, N = 30; P<0.025) (See Online Resource 1: Table 1) and (b) - (e) within-inflorescence from Week 1 - Week 4 respectively (Kruskal-Wallis chi-square test; df = 5, P<0.025). For (a) columns to be compared within the week and within sexes (Mean±SE). For (b) columns to be compared within the sexes and within positions, across all 4 weeks (Mean±SE). Significant *p*-values depicted by different alphabets (Online Resource 1: Table 3; P<0.025).

Fig. 3 Natural floral sex distribution observed in *M. simplex* in 2019 between two populations (Mean±SE) (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 123.53, df = 3, *p*-value <2.2^{e-16}, N = 100 per population; Online Resource 1: Table 2; P<0.025) (Inset: Total number of flowers across the two populations; t = -2.5646, df = 176.65, *p*-value = 0.0116). Male flowers are represented by open squares, hermaphrodite flowers represented by filled squares and the gray squares represent total (male + hermaphrodite) flowers. Columns to be compared within population and within sexes across the two populations only.

Fig. 4 Mean pollinator visitation rate per flower per hour (Mean±SE) observed in the manipulative experiment where three treatments with varying numbers of hermaphrodite and

male flowers were used to test pollinator preference towards a sex; (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared

= 63.4196, df = 7, *p*-value = 3.122[e-11], N = 8 trials for each treatment; P<0.025). Male flowers are

represented by open squares and hermaphrodite flowers are represented by the filled squares.

The first two columns represent the natural visitation rate observed per flower per hour for both

male and hermaphrodite, respectively. Different alphabets depict significantly different values

(Online Resource 1: Table 5; P<0.025).

Fig. 5 Pollinator-wise mean visitation rate per flower per hour in the manipulative experiment on

M. simplex (Mean±SE) (a) Treatment 1- H < M (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 13.8517, df = 9,

p-value = 0.1277; P<0.025; Online Resource 1: Table 6), (b) Treatment 2- H = M (Kruskal-

Wallis chi-squared = 15.605, df = 11, *p*-value = 0.1565; P<0.025; Online Resource 1: Table 6),

(c) Treatment 3- H > M (Kruskal-Wallis chi-squared = 9.2848, df = 5, *p*-value = 0.09823;

P<0.025; Online Resource 1: Table 6). Male flowers are represented by open squares and

hermaphrodite flowers are represented by filled squares. Different alphabets depict significantly

different values (Online Resource 1: Table 6; P<0.025).

Figures

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5