SEMINAL FLUID PROTEIN DIVERGENCE AMONG POPULATIONS EXHIBITING POSTMATING PREZYGOTIC REPRODUCTIVE ISOLATION

ARTICLE TYPE: LETTER

Martin D. Garlovsky[1*], Caroline Evans[2], Mathew A. Rosenow[3], Timothy L. Karr[4] & Rhonda R. Snook[5]

[1]Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, The University of Sheffield, UK

[*]Current address: Department of Biology, Syracuse University, Syracuse NY, USA

[2]Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, The University of Sheffield, UK

[3]Caris Life Sciences, Phoenix, USA

[4]Centre for Mechanisms of Evolution, The Biodesign Institute, Arizona State University, USA

[5]Department of Zoology, Stockholm University, Sweden

Corresponding author: martingarlovsky@gmail.com, ORCiD: 0000-0002-3426-4341

KEYWORDS: Postcopulatory sexual selection, seminal fluid proteins, postmating prezygotic isolation, speciation, proteomics, tandem mass-spectrometry, accessory glands, Drosophila, reproductive isolation, ejaculate

Abstract word count: 270

Total word count: 5085

ABSTRACT

Despite holding a central role for fertilisation success, reproductive traits often show elevated rates of evolution and diversification. The rapid evolution of seminal fluid proteins (Sfps) within populations is predicted to cause mis-signalling between the male ejaculate and female reproductive tract between populations resulting in postmating prezygotic (PMPZ) isolation. Crosses between populations of *Drosophila montana* show PMPZ isolation in the form of reduced fertilisation success in both noncompetitive and competitive contexts. Here we test whether male ejaculate proteins deriving from either the accessory glands or the ejaculatory bulb differ between populations using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. We find more than 150 differentially abundant proteins between populations which may contribute to PMPZ isolation. These proteins include a number of proteases and peptidases, and several orthologs of *D. melanogaster* Sfps, all known to mediate fertilisation success and which mimic PMPZ isolation phenotypes. Males of one population typically produced greater quantities of Sfps and the strongest PMPZ isolation occurs in this direction. The accessory glands and ejaculatory bulb have different functions and the ejaculatory bulb contributes more to population differences than the accessory glands. Proteins with a secretory signal, but not Sfps, evolve faster than non-secretory proteins although the conservative criteria used to define Sfps may have impaired the ability to identify rapidly evolving proteins. We take advantage of quantitative proteomics data from three *Drosophila* species to determine shared and unique functional enrichments of Sfps that could be subject to selection between taxa and subsequently mediate PMPZ isolation. Our study provides the first high throughput quantitative proteomic evidence showing divergence of reproductive proteins implicated in the emergence of PMPZ isolation between populations.

IMPACT SUMMARY (word count: 300)

Identifying traits that prevent successful interbreeding is key to understanding early stages of

the formation of new species, or speciation. Reproductive isolation arising prior to and during

fertilisation frequently involves differences in how the sexes interact. In internally fertilising taxa,

such interactions are mediated between the female reproductive tract where fertilisation occurs

and the receipt of the ejaculate necessary for fertilisation. Because ejaculate proteins are at

least partially responsible for these interactions, differences in male ejaculate protein

composition could negatively impact fertilisation success, generating reproductive isolation.

While the biological classes of ejaculate proteins are shared across all animal taxa, proteins

that are secreted by males tend to show rapid evolution in gene expression and genetic

sequence. Thus, reproductive proteins are suggested as prime targets facilitating reproductive

isolation that arises after mating but before fertilisation (PostMating PreZygotic or PMPZ

isolation). Most research on PMPZ isolation has focussed on differences between species for

which it is not possible to determine the causative and temporal order of early speciation

processes. Here, we test whether populations that exhibit few genetic differences but show

strong PMPZ isolation also exhibit variation in ejaculate composition using quantitative high

throughput proteomic analyses. We find a number of proteins are differentially abundant

between populations including several known to impact fertilisation success in other species.

We show that secreted proteins are evolving at an elevated rate, implicating their potential role

in PMPZ isolation. We test divergence in ejaculate composition between species, finding a

core set of functions that were conserved across species which last shared a common ancestor

more than 40 million years ago along with species-specific investment. This work highlights

the divergent evolution of reproductive proteins which may contribute to barriers between

populations within a species early during speciation, extendable to similar analyses in other

taxa in the future.

INTRODUCTION

For internally fertilising taxa the male ejaculate and female reproductive tract must interact during and after mating to ensure optimal fertility (Pitnick et al. 2009, 2020). In polyandrous species, ejaculate x female reproductive tract interactions are subject to rapid coevolution and diversification due to postcopulatory sexual selection (sperm competition and cryptic female choice) and sexually antagonistic coevolution (Birkhead and Pizzari 2002; Sirot et al. 2015; Firman et al. 2017; Meslin et al. 2017). Thus, despite holding a central role for fertilisation, ejaculate and female reproductive tract traits often show elevated rates of molecular and morphological evolution (Rowe et al. 2015; Ahmed-Braimah et al. 2017; Meslin et al. 2017; VanKuren and Long 2018; Simmons and Fitzpatrick 2019; McGeary and Findlay 2020). Divergence between populations in these traits is predicted to result in the early emergence of reproductive isolation that occurs after mating but before fertilisation (postmating prezygotic; PMPZ) (Lande 1981; Gavrilets 2000; Panhuis et al. 2001). Studies have increasingly documented PMPZ isolation, including prior to any postzygotic isolation (Howard et al. 2009; Bono et al. 2011; Sagga and Civetta 2011; Manier et al. 2013; Jennings et al. 2014; Cramer et al. 2016; Devigili et al. 2018; Garlovsky and Snook 2018; Turissini et al. 2018). In the *Drosophila melanogaster* subgroup, PMPZ isolation accumulates quickly measured by relative rates of evolution of different types of reproductive isolating mechanisms and suggests that PMPZ isolation is important in promoting new species and maintaining species barriers (Turissini et al. 2018). Despite the increasing recognition of the importance of PMPZ isolation, there is little understanding of the molecular basis of ejaculate x female reproductive tract interactions that may generate such barriers (McDonough et al. 2016).

The ejaculate consists of sperm and male seminal fluid proteins (Sfps) that impact fertilisation (e.g., Avila et al. 2011; South and Lewis 2011). Most Sfps are products of male secretory

glands (e.g. in mammals, the prostate gland and seminal vesicles; in arthropods, accessory glands and ejaculate ducts/bulb; for review, see Sirot et al. 2015; Fig. S1). Different secretory organs contribute distinct sets of proteins to the ejaculate allowing increased complexity and modulation or tailoring of the ejaculate (Bayram et al. 2019). The majority of work on insect Sfp evolution has been done on *Drosophila melanogaster* with over 200 Sfps identified (Mueller et al. 2005; Findlay et al. 2008, 2009). However, many of the biochemical classes of Sfps are similar across animals; for example, proteases and protease inhibitors, and those with antimicrobial/immune related functions (Avila et al. 2011; Sirot et al. 2015). Despite conserved protein classes observed in the seminal fluid of all animals, a large fraction of Sfps show rapid molecular evolution and, therefore, even Sfps of the same classes in different species are not orthologous (Avila et al. 2011; Perry et al. 2013; Sirot et al. 2015). Functional confirmation of Sfps, performed mostly in *D. melanogaster*, indicate they aid in sperm transfer and storage, influence the outcome of sperm competition, and/or alter female physiology, behaviour and reproductive tract morphology after mating (Ravi Ram and Wolfner 2007; Wong et al. 2008; Avila and Wolfner 2009; Holman 2009; Wigby et al. 2009; Wolfner 2009; Avila et al. 2011; Fedorka et al. 2011; Mattei et al. 2015). Moreover, some Sfps elicit PMPZ-like phenotypes when genetically manipulated (Ravi Ram and Wolfner 2007; LaFlamme et al. 2012). Differences in Sfp expression between species or abnormal gene expression profiles in the female reproductive tract after mating with hetero- vs. con- specific males are associated with PMPZ isolation (Bono et al. 2011; Ahmed-Braimah et al. 2017). These shared and divergent patterns support Sfps as putative causative agents of PMPZ isolation.

However, while studies showing divergence in gene expression are associated with disrupted ejaculate x female reproductive tract interactions, changes in gene expression may not correlate with changes in protein abundance (Wang et al. 2019), where the molecular

interactions causing PMPZ isolation take place. Divergence in protein identity or abundance

between taxa could disrupt ejaculate x female reproductive tract interactions leading to PMPZ

isolation (Goenaga et al. 2015). High-throughput proteomics using liquid chromatography

tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) has revolutionised identification and quantification of

Sfps, revealing that the male ejaculate often contains hundreds of unique proteins

(McDonough et al. 2016; Bayram et al. 2019; Karr 2019; Rowe et al. 2019; Whittington et al.

2019). Using LC-MS/MS combined with genomics, Sfps can be predicted by identifying

ejaculate proteins with a signal peptide sequence, sometimes called the "secretome", and

those secretome proteins that have an extracellular signal sequence, sometimes called the

"exoproteome" (Avila et al. 2011; Ahmed-Braimah et al. 2017; Bayram et al. 2019; Karr et al.

2019; Sepil et al. 2019). Molecular evolution analyses show that proteins with secretory signal

and/or are secreted evolve faster than non-secreted ejaculate proteins (Mueller et al. 2005;

Wagstaff and Begun 2005; Ramm et al. 2009; Bono et al. 2015; Tsuda et al. 2015; Ahmed-

Braimah et al. 2017; Karr et al. 2019)

While these results suggest Sfps are prime candidates for generating PMPZ isolation, no study

using high throughput quantitative proteomics has tested the prediction that ejaculate

composition will vary between populations exhibiting PMPZ isolation, and that these proteins

will more rapidly evolve. Similarly, while previous work has suggested that the different

ejaculate secretory organs may perform different functions (Bayram et al. 2019), their potential

contribution to PMPZ isolation has not been examined. Here we use LC-MS/MS on the

accessory glands and the ejaculatory bulb/duct, followed by molecular evolutionary rates

analysis, to test these predictions using the malt fly, *Drosophila montana*. We have focused on

two populations (Crested Butte, Colorado; Vancouver, Canada) and found that reciprocal

crosses between populations result in PMPZ isolation both after a single mating as males

transfer sperm to females who store them, but many eggs are not fertilised (Jennings et al.

2014; Garlovsky and Snook 2018), and where within- and between- population males compete

for fertilisation (frequently referred to as conspecific sperm precedence) (Garlovsky et al. in

review). The similar results suggest a shared mechanism generating PMPZ isolation. Genomic

analysis found no fixed SNPs between these populations, likely due to a history of gene flow

during divergence (Parker et al. 2018; Garlovsky et al. in review), although genes enriched for

reproductive function show divergence (Parker et al. 2018). These results support focussing

on Sfps as potential causative agents of PMPZ isolation. We also leverage recent high

throughput mass spectrophotometry data on ejaculate composition in two other *Drosophila*

species (Karr et al. 2019; Sepil et al. 2019) to provide insights into shared and divergent Sfp

functions that may contribute to PMPZ isolation.

METHODS

Fly stocks

Adult *Drosophila montana* were collected with malt bait buckets and mouth aspirators in

Crested Butte, Colorado, USA (38°49'N, 107°04'W) in 2013 (referred to as Colorado), and

Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada (48°55'N, 123°48'W) in 2008 (referred to as Vancouver)

(Fig. S1). Stocks were established by combining 20 F3 males and females from 20 isofemale

lines (800 flies total per population) and cultured on Lakovaara malt media (Lakovaara 1969)

in overlapping generations in constant light at 19ºC. Flies were collected within 3 days of

eclosion and housed in groups of between 10-20 single sex individuals in food vials until

reproductively mature at 21 days old.

 Tissue collection and protein extraction

Twenty-one-day old males were anaesthetised with ether and the accessory glands and

ejaculatory duct/bulb separated from nontarget tissues, and from each other. We collected

three biological replicates, two of which were separated into technical replicates (Fig. S1).

Following protein extraction and purification, we quantified protein concentration to standardize

loading 5µg of protein for each sample into the mass spectrometer (see supplementary

material; Fig. S2). Samples were reduced with TCEP, alkylated by addition of MMTS, and

digested with trypsin, followed by drying to completion using vacuum centrifugation. Samples

were resuspended in 20µl 3% v/v acetonitrile, 0.1% v/v trifluoroacetic acid prior to LC-MS/MS

analysis.

LC-MS/MS analysis

Detailed description of LC-MS/MS data acquisition and processing can be found in the

supplementary material.

LC-MS/MS was performed by nano-flow liquid chromatography (U3000 RSLCnano, Thermo

Fisher™) coupled to a hybrid quadrupole-orbitrap mass spectrometer (QExactive HF, Thermo

Scientific™). Peptides were separated on an Easy-Spray C_{18} column (75 µm x 50 cm) using a

2-step gradient from 97% solvent A (0.1% formic acid in water) to 10% solvent B (0.08% formic

acid in 80% acetonitrile) over 5 min then 10% to 50% B over 75 min at 300 nL/min. The full

105-minute MS data dependent acquisition was set up from 375-1500 m/z acquired in the

Orbitrap in profile mode, resolution 120,000. Subsequent fragmentation was Top 10 in the HCD

cell, with detection of ions in the Orbitrap using centroid mode, resolution 30,000. MS

parameters; MS1: Automatic Gain Control (AGC) target 1e6 with a maximum injection time (IT)

of 60 ms; MS2: AGC target 1e5, IT of 60 ms and isolation window 2 Da.

We performed label free quantitative proteomic analysis using MaxQuant to generate relative

peptide and protein intensities (Cox et al. 2014; Tyanova et al. 2016) (see supplementary

material). For protein identification we matched mass spectra to the *D. montana* predicted

proteome (Parker et al. 2018), generated using gene predictions from the Maker2 pipeline (Holt

and Yandell 2011) reciprocally blasted against *D. virilis* proteins (Parker et al. 2018).

Gene Ontology (GO) and functional analysis

We performed network analyses and GO enrichment for Biological Processes (BP), Cellular

Components (CC) and Molecular Functions (MF) with the ClueGO plugin (Bindea et al. 2009)

for Cytoscape (Shannon et al. 2003) using FlyBase gene numbers (FBgns) for *D. virilis*

orthologs of *D. montana* genes retrieved from Parker et al. (2018) or *D. melanogaster* orthologs

converted via FlyBase.org. Specific settings for network groups are provided in figure and table

legends. For GO enrichment we used right-sided hypergeometric tests with Benjamini-

Hochberg multiple test correction.

Differential abundance analysis between D. montana populations and functional differences

between tissues

We performed differential abundance analysis of MaxLFQ ion intensities using the 'edgeR'

(Robinson et al. 2010) and 'limma' (Ritchie et al. 2015) packages in R (v.3.5.1) (R Core Team

2018) (see supplementary material). Proteins were considered differentially abundant based

on an adjusted p-value < 0.05. To identify differentially abundant proteins between populations,

we analysed the accessory gland proteome and the ejaculatory bulb proteome separately. We

only considered proteins that were present in all five replicates of each tissue for both

populations. To identify differentially abundant proteins between tissues, we analysed each

population separately. Again, we only considered proteins that were present in all five

replicates of each population for both tissues. (Table S1).

Characterising the male seminal fluid proteome across species

We compared Sfp functions for three *Drosophila* species for which proteomic data generated

using LC-MS/MS is available for the male accessory gland and ejaculatory duct and bulb

tissues: *D. montana* (this study), *D. melanogaster* (Sepil et al. 2019), and *D. pseudoobscura*

(Karr et al. 2019). We retrieved FBgns for *D. melanogaster* genes identified by Sepil et al.

(2019) and *D. melanogaster* orthologs for *D. pseudoobscura* genes identified by Karr et al.

(2019) and downloaded the corresponding canonical protein sequences from uniprot.org. For

proteins we identified in our analysis we retrieved *D. montana* protein sequences from Parker

et al. (2018). We submitted protein sequences for each species to *SignalP* (Petersen et al.

2011) and *Phobius* (Käll et al. 2004) and combined the resulting lists of proteins containing a

signal peptide to generate a list of secretome proteins for each species. For *D. montana* we

converted the corresponding *D. virilis* FBgns for each protein to *D. melanogaster* orthologs via

FlyBase.org (for 215/245, 88%). To identify Sfps for each species we submitted secretome

lists to FlyBase.org to retrieve genes with GO terms containing "extracelluar" (Fig. S3; Table

S2). To compare GO enrichment between species we adjusted network settings in ClueGO to

reflect the different numbers of proteins identified in each species.

Evolutionary rates analysis

To obtain sequence divergence estimates for *D. montana* proteins we used a pipeline

developed previously (Wright et al. 2015). We obtained protein coding sequences for *D.*

montana from Parker et al. (2018) and for *D. pseudoobscura* (r3.04, September 2019) and *D.*

virilis (r1.07, August 2019) from FlyBase.org. We identified the longest isoform of each gene

for each species and determined orthology with reciprocal BLASTN (Altschul et al. 1990), using

a minimum percentage identity of 30% and an E-value cut-off of 1×10^{-10}. We then identified

reciprocal one-to-one orthologs across all three species using the highest BLAST score. We

identified open reading frames using BLASTx and aligned orthologs using PRANK (Löytynoja

and Goldman 2010). We calculated the ratio of non-synonymous (dN) to synonymous (dS)

nucleotide substitutions, omega (ω), using the CODEML package in PAML (Yang 2007) (one-

ratio estimates, model 0) with an unrooted phylogeny. Results were filtered to exclude

orthologs with branch-specific dS ≥ 2 (due to potential mutational saturation) or where S*dS ≤

1.

We then tested for differences in evolutionary rates between sets of proteins we identified in

our LC-MS/MS analysis. We relaxed filtering criteria so that a protein need only be identified

in a single replicate in a single population or tissue, but still had to be identified by two or more

unique peptides. After filtering, we obtained ω values for 757/1474 (51%) proteins with a

reciprocal one-to-one ortholog. We categorised genes as having higher abundance in either

the accessory gland proteome or ejaculatory bulb proteome as those proteins showing

concordant differential abundance between tissues across populations (Fig. 3). We classified

proteins as belonging to the secretome based on presence of a signal peptide and as Sfps as

secretome proteins with extracellular annotation (see above) plus *D. melanogaster* Sfps. We

classified the remainder of proteins excluding those with higher abundance in the accessory

gland proteome, ejaculatory bulb proteome, secretome, or Sfps, as background tissue. Each

class consisted of a unique set of proteins, such that accessory gland proteins did not include

ejaculatory bulb proteins, secretome, Sfps, or remaining proteins; secretome proteins did not

include Sfps, etc. We tested for differences in evolutionary rates using the Kruskal-Wallis rank

sum test followed by pairwise Wilcox rank sum tests corrected for multiple testing using the

Benjamini-Hochberg method.

RESULTS

The D. montana *accessory gland proteome and ejaculatory bulb proteome*

We identified 1711 proteins, of which 1474 (86%) were identified by two or more unique

peptides. The majority of proteins (1013/1474; 69%) were shared across male secretory

tissues, while 138 (9%) and 323 (22%) proteins were unique to the accessory glands and

ejaculatory bulb, respectively (Fig. S4a). Proteins identified only in the accessory gland

proteome showed a 3.2-fold lower mean abundance compared to the remainder of proteins

whereas proteins identified only in the ejaculatory bulb proteome showed a 14.9-fold reduction.

These proteins likely represent missed rather than truly unique proteins and are not considered

further. We identified 79 *D. montana* Sfps, consisting of 38 orthologs of *D. melanogaster* Sfps

identified by converting *D. virilis* FBgns on FlyBase.org, plus 55 secretome proteins with

extracellular annotations identified by 2 or more unique peptides (14 of which overlapped) (Fig.

S4a; Table S3) (Mueller et al. 2005; Findlay et al. 2008, 2009). A multidimensional scaling

(MDS) plot of normalised intensities using all proteins (n = 1474) showed a clear separation of

samples by tissue type (dimension 1), and separation by population (dimension 2) with clear

separation of populations for the accessory gland proteome and marginal overlap between

populations in the ejaculatory bulb proteome (Fig. 1).

Figure 1. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) plot of normalised intensities for proteins identified

by two or more unique peptides (n = 1474) in each replicate (points). Dimension 1 separates

the two tissue types (accessory glands and ejaculatory bulbs). Dimension 2 separates the two

populations (Colorado and Vancouver).

Differential abundance of reproductive proteins between populations

The majority of proteins were identified in both populations (1322/1474; 90%), while 45 (3%)

and 107 (7%) were only identified in Colorado, and Vancouver, respectively (Fig. S4b).

Proteins only identified in one population showed a 263-fold, and 171-fold, lower mean

abundance compared to the rest of proteins in Colorado, and Vancouver, respectively. As

above, these low abundance proteins are not considered further. For shared proteins, we then

tested for differential abundance. We identified 154 (out of 725) differentially abundant proteins

produced in the accessory glands between populations (Fig. 2a), including nine *D.*

melanogaster Sfps (Table 1). We identified 244 (out of 929) differentially abundant proteins

produced in the ejaculatory bulbs (Fig. 2b). Again, this included nine *D. melanogaster* Sfps,

two of which overlapped with those identified in the accessory gland proteome (Table 1). In the

accessory gland proteome, Sfps and proteins with a predicted secretory signal were not

overrepresented in the cohort of proteins showing differential abundance (Chi-squared test, X^2

= 1.57, df = 2, p = 0.456) but were overrepresented in the cohort of differentially abundant

proteins in the ejaculatory bulb proteome (X^2 = 44.56, df = 2, p < 0.001; Fig. S5). Out of 45

proteins that were differentially abundant between populations in both male reproductive

tissues, 36 showed higher abundance in one population in both tissues (Fig. S6). Significantly

enriched gene ontology (GO) categories for proteins showing differential abundance between

populations are in Tables S4-S6.

<u>Figure 2.</u> Differentially abundant proteins between Colorado and Vancouver in a) the accessory

glands (n = 725) and b) the ejaculatory bulbs (n = 929). Secretome proteins are shown in purple

and Sfps in pink. Significantly differentially abundant proteins with a known Sfp ortholog in *D.*

melanogaster are labelled.

<u>Table 1.</u> Differentially abundant proteins between *D. montana* populations with a known *D.*

melanogaster seminal fluid protein (Sfp) ortholog. Gene names were retrieved from

FlyBase.org using the corresponding *D. virilis* FBgns for *D. montana* proteins we identified via

LC-MS/MS. The population for which each protein showed higher abundance is given. Proteins

found in both tissue comparisons indicate in which population there was higher abundance.

Abbreviations: Acgs, accessory glands; Ebs, ejaculatory bulbs.

Tissue comparison	Gene name	Higher abundance
Accessory glands	CG11977	Colorado
	gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase	Colorado
	Acp24A4	Vancouver
	CG10041	Vancouver
	CG8420	Vancouver
	Regucalcin	Vancouver
	Seminase	Vancouver
Ejaculatory bulbs	alpha-Tubulin at 84B	Colorado
	CG2918	Vancouver
	FK506-binding protein 14	Vancouver
	Glycoprotein 93	Vancouver
	Heat shock 70-kDa protein cognate 3	Vancouver
	NUCB1	Vancouver
	Thioester-containing protein 4	Vancouver
Both	Calreticulin	Colorado[Acgs]/Vancouver[Ebs]
	Cystatin-like	Vancouver[Acgs]/Colorado[Ebs]

The accessory gland and ejaculatory bulb proteomes differ in function

To test whether the accessory glands and ejaculatory bulb provide different functions we

performed differential abundance analysis between tissues for Colorado and Vancouver

separately. We found 524 (out of 652) differentially abundant proteins between tissues in

Colorado. Similarly, in Vancouver we found 557 (out of 676) differentially abundant proteins.

The majority of these proteins were found in both populations (609 proteins). To identify

consistently differentially abundant proteins between tissues, we compared the log2-fold

change in abundance in each population of these 609 proteins. Proteins with higher abundance

 in the accessory gland proteome or ejaculatory bulb proteome in Colorado generally also

showed higher abundance in Vancouver (Spearman's rank correlation, $\rho = 0.945$, $p < 0.001$, n

= 609) (Fig. 3a). GO analyses identified both tissues as having functions expected for highly

metabolically active secretory organs (Table S7). Different GO terms were enriched in each

tissue highlighting that the two secretory organs provide distinct reproductive functions to the

ejaculate (Fig. 3b; Table S7).

Figure 3. Populations show consistent differential abundance between secretory organs. a)

Concordance between populations in log2-fold change in abundance of proteins found in either

the accessory gland proteome or ejaculatory bulb proteome. Positive values indicate proteins

with higher abundance in the accessory glands in both populations (top right), negative values

indicate proteins with higher abundance in the ejaculatory bulb (bottom left). Proteins are

coloured based on whether they showed a concordant pattern of significantly higher

abundance in the accessory gland proteome (turquoise, n = 220), the ejaculatory bulb

proteome (orange, n = 227), were discordant (black, n = 5), or were not significantly

differentially abundant between tissues (grey). Dashed black line shows 1:1. Inset: Venn

Diagram showing numbers of proteins included in separate differential abundance analysis

between tissues in each population and overlap. b) Top 5 significantly enriched Biological

Process GO terms ranked by percent identity of proteins to each tissue (see Table S7 for full

list).

Evolutionary rates analysis

We tested whether genes with higher protein abundance in either the accessory gland

proteome, ejaculatory bulb proteome (excluding the secretome and Sfps), secretome

(excluding Sfps), or Sfps (secretome proteins with extracellular annotation), were evolving at

different rates compared to background proteins, defined as those proteins that do not differ in

protein abundance between the accessory glands and ejaculatory bulb and excluding

secretome and Sfps. There was a significant difference between protein groups in evolutionary

rates (Kruskal-Wallis test, X^2 = 40.51, df = 4, p < 0.001) (Fig. 4). The secretome and Sfps were

evolving at similar rates (pairwise Wilcox rank sum test with Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment,

p = 0.668). The secretome was evolving faster than proteins with higher abundance in the

accessory gland proteome, ejaculatory bulb proteome, or background (all p < 0.007). Sfps

were also evolving faster than proteins with higher abundance in the accessory gland proteome

(p = 0.001) and ejaculatory bulb proteome (p = 0.007) but at a similar rate to background (p =

0.171). Proteins with higher abundance in the accessory gland proteome and ejaculatory bulb

proteome were evolving at similar rates (p = 0.089), and slower than the remaining background

proteome (accessory gland proteome vs. background, p < 0.001; ejaculatory bulb proteome

vs. background, p = 0.011).

Figure 4. Mean non-synonymous (dN) to synonymous (dS) base substitution rate (ω) estimates

(± standard error) for *D. montana* genes identified in our LC-MS/MS analysis with equal

abundance in the accessory gland proteome and ejaculatory bulb proteome ('background';

grey), higher abundance in the accessory gland proteome (turquoise), ejaculatory bulb

proteome (orange), or found in the secretome (purple) or Sfps (pink). See Fig. S7 for separate

dN and dS plots.

Comparison of male Sfps across species

We identified 61 Sfps (secretome proteins with extracellular annotations) for *D. montana*, 249

Sfps for *D. melanogaster*, and 131 Sfps for *D. pseudoobscura* (Fig. S3; Table S2). Comparing

functional enrichment of Sfps across species identified a number of shared and unique GO

categories. Shared Biological Processes included chitin catabolic process, innate immune

response, cell-substrate adhesion, and regulation of peptidase activity (Fig. 5; see Table S8

 for CC and MF terms). Uniquely enriched BP functions included regulation of secondary

metabolic process (*D. montana*); postmating regulation of female receptivity (*D. melanogaster*)

and amino glycan catabolic processes (*D. pseudoobscura*) (Fig. 5; see Table S8 for CC and

MF terms).

<u>Figure 5.</u> Seminal fluid protein (Sfps) comparisons for GO BP terms across species. Circle size

is associated with level of significance with increasing size indicating increasing significance.

Node colour indicates proportion of genes from each species associated with a term: *D.*

montana (red. Dmon), *D. melanogaster* (pink; Dmel), and *D. pseudoobscura* (blue; Dpse),

shared terms are shown in grey. Min. GO level = 3, max. GO level = 8. Number of genes/%

genes per group: *D. montana* 3/3%, *D. pseudoobscura* 6/6%, *D. melanogaster* 12/12%.

Percentage significance = 55%, kappa-score threshold = 0.25.

DISCUSSION

The molecular basis of mechanisms underlying PMPZ isolation are poorly understood. Seminal

fluid proteins are likely to contribute to PMPZ isolation due to their effects on sperm use,

fertilisation success, and rapid divergent evolution. We used quantitative proteomics to identify

proteins produced in the accessory glands and ejaculatory duct and bulb in populations

exhibiting PMPZ isolation and found a number of differentially abundant proteins between

populations including several orthologs of *D. melanogaster* Sfps. The accessory glands and

ejaculatory bulb showed different functions and there were more differentially abundant

proteins found in the ejaculatory bulb than the accessory glands. For proteins found in both

populations, but in separate tissues, there was strong concordance in abundance between

populations. We found secretome proteins evolved at a faster rate than non-secretome

proteins, both those differentially abundant between male secretory organs and those showing

similar abundance between male tissues. Sfps did not show elevated rates of molecular

evolution, likely because identification by extracellular annotation required orthology in *D.*

melanogaster. Despite shared Sfps, and a core set of shared Sfp biological processes across

three *Drosophila* species, there was species-specific enrichment of Sfp function.

D. montana from Colorado and Vancouver show low genome-wide divergence and a history

of gene flow (Parker et al. 2018; Garlovsky et al. in review), yet show enrichment of genes with

reproductive function that are divergent between populations (Parker et al. 2018). Crosses

between Colorado and Vancouver show reduced fertilisation success after a single mating

(Jennings et al. 2014; Garlovsky and Snook 2018) and exhibit conpopulation sperm

precedence (Garlovsky et al. in review). Between different *Drosophila* species, mechanisms

causing PMPZ isolation include abnormal sperm transfer and displacement, or mismatches

between sperm length and female tract morphology (Price et al. 2001; Manier et al. 2013).

Females receiving a foreign ejaculate comprising an abnormal Sfp complement might also

result in mismatched ejaculate x female reproductive tract interactions measured by gene

expression differences (Bono et al. 2011; Plakke et al. 2015), although variation between

species in ejaculate composition has not been quantified in those studies.

We identified a number of differentially abundant proteins between populations exhibiting

PMPZ isolation, including several orthologs of *D. melanogaster* Sfps. Intriguingly, 11 of 14 of

these proteins were more abundant in Vancouver males than Colorado males. PMPZ isolation

between *D. montana* populations is asymmetric, with matings between Vancouver males and

Colorado females having lower fertilisation success compared to the reciprocal cross

(Jennings et al. 2014; Garlovsky and Snook 2018). If Vancouver males transfer more of these

Sfps to their mates, then the chemical environment in the reproductive tract of Colorado

females may be mismatched, more so than the reciprocal cross.

Additionally, a number of proteases and peptidases (or inhibitors) were differentially abundant

between populations. Proteases and peptidases are central to reproduction across taxa,

regulating proteolytic activity and initiating cascades of interactions among downstream

proteins (LaFlamme et al. 2012; LaFlamme and Wolfner 2013; Plakke et al. 2015, 2019;

Bayram et al. 2017, 2019). Divergence in proteases has been implicated in PMPZ isolation

between other insect species in both the male ejaculate and female reproductive tract

secretions (Kelleher et al. 2007; Kelleher and Pennington 2009; Marshall et al. 2009, 2011;

Meslin et al. 2017; Al-Wathiqui et al. 2018; Plakke et al. 2019).

In *D. montana* females receive and store motile sperm from incompatible males, but fertilisation

success is reduced (Jennings et al. 2014). Failure to either properly orient sperm in storage

(Manier et al. 2013), release sperm from storage, or have sperm release coincide with ovulation

(Mattei et al. 2015) could explain PMPZ in this system (Jennings et al. 2014). Some notable

differentially abundant Sfps and proteases we identified, and their potential relationship to

PMPZ isolation in *D. montana* are seminase, γ-glutamyl transpeptidase, and regucalcin.

Seminase (CG10586), is a serine protease and a member of the Sex Peptide (SP) network

(Singh et al. 2018). Seminase acts early in the SP network and is required to process other

Sfps in the mated female essential for proper sperm storage (Acp36DE) and ovulation (ovulin)

(LaFlamme et al. 2012; Singh et al. 2018). RNAi knockdown of seminase in male *D.*

melanogaster results in failure of mated females to release sperm from the seminal receptacle

(LaFlamme et al. 2012). γ-glutamyl transpeptidase (CG6461) functions to maintain a protective

redox environment for sperm (Walker et al. 2006). Mismatches between the male ejaculate

and the redox environment of the female reproductive tract in which sperm are stored could

reduce fertilisation success as sperm subject to increased oxidative stress are less fertilisation

competent (Reinhardt and Ribou 2013). Regucalcin (CG1803), a Ca^{+2} binding protein, may

also play an anti-oxidative role and, in mammals, is hypothesized to have an anti-capacitation

role for sperm (Pillai et al. 2017). One aspect of capacitation, hyperactivation, increases sperm

motility which is important for sperm storage in *Drosophila* (Köttgen et al. 2011). Sperm motility

behaviour and how this may affect release from storage is unknown. Regucalcin gene

expression varies between *D. montana* populations and has been suggested as a cold

tolerance gene in diapausing females (Vesala et al. 2012) although it's expression in males

has not been studied. These examples provide strong candidates for eliciting PMPZ isolation

and will be subject to future studies, for instance using CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing, to further

understand the molecular interactions causing PMPZ isolation in *D. montana*.

Reproductive proteins are predicted to evolve rapidly, driven by postcopulatory sexual

selection and sexual conflict (Sirot et al. 2015; Ahmed-Braimah et al. 2017; Firman et al. 2017;

Meslin et al. 2017). We found that proteins showing secretory signals (secretome) evolve faster

than proteins without this signal. Sfps and secretome proteins were evolving at a similar rate.

However, Sfps were not evolving faster than proteins with similar abundance between male

tissues, possibly due to showing greater variation than other categories despite having a higher

mean rate. The requirement to have extracelluar annotation determined from work in *D.*

melanogaster limits our ability to identify rapidly evolving Sfps in *D. montana*. Thus, the putative

number of Sfps in *D. montana*, 79, is a conservative estimate. Isotopically labelling males to

identify proteins transferred to females increased the number of identified *D. melanogaster*

Sfps (Findlay et al. 2008, 2009). Future work on *D. montana* can use this technique to identify

additional Sfps.

One goal of this work was to assess whether the different male reproductive secretory organs

had different functions, which would not be possible using the heavy labelling technique. Our

work provides one of the first proteomic descriptions of both major Sfp secretory organs in

Drosophila. Previous research in seed beetles has shown that division of labour across

secretory organs enables increased complexity to the ejaculate and potential for ejaculate

tailoring (Bayram et al. 2019). Most proteins we identified were found in both tissues but

showed higher abundance in either the accessory glands or ejaculatory duct and bulb,

suggesting these organs provide different functions to the ejaculate. The accessory gland

proteome was enriched for translation and biosynthetic processes, whereas the ejaculatory

bulb proteome showed enrichment for mainly metabolic processes. We found secretome

proteins and Sfps were significantly overrepresented in the set of differentially abundant

proteins in the ejaculatory bulb proteome but not the accessory gland proteome, suggesting

the two male secretory organs may contribute differently to PMPZ isolation. Most past work on

Sfp evolution has focused on the accessory glands, which could skew understanding of not

only molecules involved in reproduction but those reproductive molecules that may elicit PMPZ

isolation.

We took advantage of recent accessory gland proteomes for three *Drosophila* species

generated using high throughput LC-MS/MS to characterise shared and enriched functions of

Sfps between species. Using the same identification criteria for all species (secretory sequence

and extracellular annotation), we identified a set of shared GO categories between species

that last shared a common ancestor 40 million years ago. This core set included immune-

related genes, which are associated with sexual conflict in *D. melanogaster* (Innocenti and

Morrow 2009). We also found species-specific GO-enrichment of Sfps, suggesting divergence

in how they contribute to male ejaculate function between species. Differences may reflect how

selection has targeted particular ejaculate traits in different mating systems (Markow 2002).

Differences will also reflect the use of *D. melanogaster* as the reference for GO annotation. For

instance, *D. melanogaster* showed enrichment for reproductive genes but Sfps in the other

species clearly have reproductive functions. It is likely that reproductive genes which

experience strong divergent selection may no longer resemble *D. melanogaster* genes. Our

work offers a first insight into the proteomic composition of male ejaculate characteristics

across species. As understanding of the molecular interactions between the sexes matures, it

will be important to determine whether shared or divergent functions between species are more

likely to contribute to PMPZ isolation and when during speciation such divergence occurs. Are

shared functions more likely to diverge within populations early during speciation or are Sfps

that already show some species specificity more likely to contribute to early PMPZ isolation?

Here we have tested whether reproductive proteins show differential abundance between

populations that exhibit PMPZ isolation. Our study has focussed on *Drosophila*, a model

system for studying the evolution of reproductive processes and the evolution of reproductive

isolation in metazoans. However, reproductive processes, classes of reproductive proteins,

and the action of PMPZ isolation across animals show similarities. For example, differentially

abundant proteins between *D. montana* populations we found included a number of proteases

or peptidases which are common and important mediators of reproductive processes in all

animals. Differentially abundant proteins also included several orthologs of *D. melanogaster*

Sfps with functions that may be similar to altered reproductive processes generating PMPZ

isolation in *D. montana*, such as noncompetitive gametic isolation and conspecific sperm

precedence (Jennings et al., 2014; Garlovsky et al. in review). These reproductive isolating

mechanisms are found in many other metazoan taxa (for brief review, see Turissini et al. 2018).

We also showed secretome proteins are evolving faster than other proteins found in the

accessory glands or ejaculatory duct and bulb, and at the same rate as Sfps. Such rapid

evolution is frequently attributed to sexual selection and sexual conflict, and these dynamic

processes may contribute to speciation (Gavrilets 2000; Panhuis et al. 2001). Male

reproductive secretory tissues had divergent functions with the ejaculatory bulb contributing

more differentially abundant proteins than the accessory glands, and the direction and severity

of asymmetrical PMPZ isolation mirrors differential abundance. We also identified shared and

species-specific GO enrichment of male reproductive proteins that influence reproductive

processes, although whether PMPZ isolation more likely arises due to divergence in one or the

other of these categories requires additional data. Democratisation of high throughput

proteomics will facilitate understanding the evolution of male reproductive proteins, their

influence on reproductive processes per se, and their contribution to reproductive isolation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Priyanka Prajapati, Alexander Charles, Daniela Palmer, Irem Sepil for discussion about analyses, and the organisers and attendees of the Joint Wellcome Trust/EMBL-EBI Proteomics Bioinformatics course 2017. Anneli Hoikkala provided fly stocks, Michael G. Ritchie and Darren J. Parker provided access to genomic and proteomic resources, and Alison Wright and Henry Barton provided helpful discussion and scripts for performing evolutionary rates analysis. MDG was able to attend the Wellcome Trust/EMBL-EBI Proteomics Bioinformatics course thanks to a University of Sheffield Postgraduate Research Experience Programme (PREP) grant and was supported by the Adapting to the Challenges of a Changing Environment (ACCE) Doctoral Training Partnership grant NE/L002450/1, funded by the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC). Costs for proteomics was funded by a Royal Society Leverhulme Trust Senior Research Fellowship to RRS. CE acknowledges financial support from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, the ChELSI initiative (EP/E036252/1).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RRS, TLK and CE conceived the study. RRS and MDG received funds for the work. MDG and CE collected the data. MDG and TLK analysed the data. MAR provided additional analysis tools. MDG and RRS wrote the manuscript with contributions from all authors. All authors agreed on the final version of the manuscript.

DATA ACCESSIBILTY

Supplementary material, data and R code used to perform analyses can be found at: https://github.com/MartinGarlovsky/Dmon_ejaculate_proteomics. Additional data has been submitted to Dryad (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.pvmcvdnhw). The mass spectrometry

proteomics data have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository (Vizcaíno et al. 2016) with the dataset identifier PXD019634.

REFERENCES

Ahmed-Braimah, Y. H., R. L. Unckless, and A. G. Clark. 2017. Evolutionary dynamics of male reproductive genes in the *Drosophila virilis* subgroup. G3 Genes Genomes Genet. 7:3145–3155.

Altschul, S. F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, and D. J. Lipman. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215:403–410.

Al-Wathiqui, N., S. M. Lewis, and E. B. Dopman. 2018. Molecular dissection of nuptial gifts in divergent strains of Ostrinia moths. Physiol. Entomol. 43:10–19.

Avila, F. W., L. K. Sirot, B. A. LaFlamme, C. D. Rubinstein, and M. F. Wolfner. 2011. Insect seminal fluid proteins: identification and function. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 56:21–40.

Avila, F. W., and M. F. Wolfner. 2009. Acp36DE is required for uterine conformational changes in mated *Drosophila* females. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 106:15796–15800.

Bayram, H., A. Sayadi, J. Goenaga, E. Immonen, and G. Arnqvist. 2017. Novel seminal fluid proteins in the seed beetle Callosobruchus maculatus identified by a proteomic and transcriptomic approach. Insect Mol. Biol. 26:58–73.

Bayram, H., A. Sayadi, E. Immonen, and G. Arnqvist. 2019. Identification of novel ejaculate proteins in a seed beetle and division of labour across male accessory reproductive glands. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 104:50–57.

Bindea, G., B. Mlecnik, H. Hackl, P. Charoentong, M. Tosolini, A. Kirilovsky, W.-H. Fridman, F. Pagès, Z. Trajanoski, and J. Galon. 2009. ClueGO: a Cytoscape plug-in to decipher functionally grouped gene ontology and pathway annotation networks. Bioinformatics 25:1091–1093.

Birkhead, T. R., and T. Pizzari. 2002. Postcopulatory sexual selection. Nat. Rev. Genet. 3:262–273.

Bono, J. M., L. M. Matzkin, K. Hoang, and L. Brandsmeier. 2015. Molecular evolution of candidate genes involved in post-mating-prezygotic reproductive isolation. J. Evol. Biol. 28:403–414.

Bono, J. M., L. M. Matzkin, E. S. Kelleher, and T. A. Markow. 2011. Postmating transcriptional
changes in reproductive tracts of con- and heterospecifically mated Drosophila
mojavensis females. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108:7878–7883.

Cox, J., M. Y. Hein, C. A. Luber, I. Paron, N. Nagaraj, and M. Mann. 2014. Accurate Proteome-
wide Label-free Quantification by Delayed Normalization and Maximal Peptide Ratio
Extraction, Termed MaxLFQ. Mol. Cell. Proteomics MCP 13:2513–2526.

Cramer, E. R. A., M. Ålund, S. E. McFarlane, A. Johnsen, and A. Qvarnström. 2016. Females
discriminate against heterospecific sperm in a natural hybrid zone. Evolution 70:1844–
1855.

Devigili, A., J. L. Fitzpatrick, C. Gasparini, I. W. Ramnarine, A. Pilastro, and J. P. Evans. 2018.
Possible glimpses into early speciation: the effect of ovarian fluid on sperm velocity
accords with post-copulatory isolation between two guppy populations. J. Evol. Biol.
31:66–74.

Fedorka, K. M., W. E. Winterhalter, and B. Ware. 2011. Percieved sperm competition intensity
influences seminal fluid protein production prior to courtship and mating. Evolution
65:584–590.

Findlay, G. D., M. J. MacCoss, and W. J. Swanson. 2009. Proteomic discovery of previously
unannotated, rapidly evolving seminal fluid genes in Drosophila. Genome Res. 19:886–
896.

Findlay, G. D., X. Yi, M. J. MacCoss, and W. J. Swanson. 2008. Proteomics Reveals Novel
Drosophila Seminal Fluid Proteins Transferred at Mating. PLoS Biol 6:e178.

Firman, R. C., C. Gasparini, M. K. Manier, and T. Pizzari. 2017. Postmating female control: 20
years of cryptic female choice. Trends Ecol. Evol. 32:368–382.

Garlovsky, M. D., and R. R. Snook. 2018. Persistent postmating, prezygotic reproductive
isolation between populations. Ecol. Evol. 8:9062–9073.

Gavrilets, S. 2000. Rapid evolution of reproductive barriers driven by sexual conflict. Nature
403:886–889.

Goenaga, J., T. Yamane, J. Rönn, and G. Arnqvist. 2015. Within-species divergence in the
seminal fluid proteome and its effect on male and female reproduction in a beetle. BMC
Evol. Biol. 15:266.

Holman, L. 2009. Drosophila melanogaster seminal fluid can protect the sperm of other males.
Funct. Ecol. 23:180–186.

Holt, C., and M. Yandell. 2011. MAKER2: an annotation pipeline and genome-database
management tool for second-generation genome projects. BMC Bioinformatics 12:491.

Howard, D. J., S. R. Palumbi, L. M. Birge, and M. K. Manier. 2009. Sperm and speciation. Pp.
367–403 *in* Sperm biology: an evolutionary perspective. Academic press, Burlington,
MA.

Innocenti, P., and E. H. Morrow. 2009. Immunogenic males: a genome-wide analysis of
reproduction and the cost of mating in Drosophila melanogaster females. J. Evol. Biol.
22:964–973.

Jennings, J. H., R. R. Snook, and A. Hoikkala. 2014. Reproductive isolation among allopatric
Drosophila montana populations. Evolution 68:3095–3108.

Käll, L., A. Krogh, and E. L. L. Sonnhammer. 2004. A Combined Transmembrane Topology
and Signal Peptide Prediction Method. J. Mol. Biol. 338:1027–1036.

Karr, T. L. 2019. Reproductive Proteomics Comes of Age. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 18:S1–S5.

Karr, T. L., H. Southern, M. A. Rosenow, T. I. Gossmann, and R. R. Snook. 2019. The Old and
the New: Discovery Proteomics Identifies Putative Novel Seminal Fluid Proteins in
Drosophila. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 18:S23–S33. American Society for Biochemistry and
Molecular Biology.

Kelleher, E. S., and J. E. Pennington. 2009. Protease Gene Duplication and Proteolytic Activity
in Drosophila Female Reproductive Tracts. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26:2125–2134.

Kelleher, E. S., W. J. Swanson, and T. A. Markow. 2007. Gene duplication and adaptive
evolution of digestive proteases in Drosophila arizonae female reproductive tracts.
PLOS Genet. 3:e148.

Köttgen, M., A. Hofherr, W. Li, K. Chu, S. Cook, C. Montell, and T. Watnick. 2011. Drosophila
Sperm Swim Backwards in the Female Reproductive Tract and Are Activated via TRPP2
Ion Channels. PLOS ONE 6:e20031. Public Library of Science.

LaFlamme, B. A., K. Ravi Ram, and M. F. Wolfner. 2012. The *Drosophila melanogaster*
seminal fluid protease "Seminase" regulates proteolytic and post-mating reproductive
processes. PLOS Genet. 8:e1002435.

LaFlamme, B. A., and M. F. Wolfner. 2013. Identification and function of proteolysis regulators
in seminal fluid. Mol. Reprod. Dev. 80:80–101.

Lakovaara, S. 1969. Malt as a culture medium for *Drosophila* species. Drosoph. Inf. Serv.

Lande, R. 1981. Models of speciation by sexual selection on polygenic traits. Proc. Natl. Acad.
Sci. 78:3721–3725.

Löytynoja, A., and N. Goldman. 2010. webPRANK: a phylogeny-aware multiple sequence
aligner with interactive alignment browser. BMC Bioinformatics 11:579.

Manier, M. K., S. Lüpold, J. M. Belote, W. T. Starmer, K. S. Berben, O. Ala-Honkola, W. F.
Collins, and S. Pitnick. 2013. Postcopulatory sexual selection generates speciation
phenotypes in *Drosophila*. Curr. Biol. 23:1853–1862.

Markow, T. A. 2002. Perspective: Female remating, operational sex ratio, and the arena of
sexual selection in *Drosophila* species. Evolution 56:1725–1734.

Marshall, J. L., D. L. Huestis, C. Garcia, Y. Hiromasa, S. Wheeler, S. Noh, J. M. Tomich, and
D. J. Howard. 2011. Comparative proteomics uncovers the signature of natural selection
acting on the ejaculate proteomes of two cricket species isolated by postmating,
prezygotic phenotypes. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28:423–435.

Marshall, J. L., D. L. Huestis, Y. Hiromasa, S. Wheeler, C. Oppert, S. A. Marshall, J. M. Tomich,
and B. Oppert. 2009. Identification, RNAi knockdown, and functional analysis of an
ejaculate protein that mediates a postmating, prezygotic phenotype in a cricket. PLoS
ONE 4.

Mattei, A. L., M. L. Riccio, F. W. Avila, and M. F. Wolfner. 2015. Integrated 3D view of
postmating responses by the *Drosophila melanogaster* female reproductive tract,
obtained by micro-computed tomography scanning. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 112:8475–
8480.

McDonough, C. E., E. Whittington, S. Pitnick, and S. Dorus. 2016. Proteomics of reproductive
systems: Towards a molecular understanding of postmating, prezygotic reproductive
barriers. J. Proteomics 135:26–37.

McGeary, M. K., and G. D. Findlay. 2020. Molecular evolution of the sex peptide network in
Drosophila. J. Evol. Biol. n/a.

Meslin, C., T. S. Cherwin, M. S. Plakke, B. S. Small, B. J. Goetz, N. I. Morehouse, and N. L.
Clark. 2017. Structural complexity and molecular heterogeneity of a butterfly ejaculate
reflect a complex history of selection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 114:E5406–E5413.

Mueller, J. L., K. R. Ram, L. A. McGraw, M. C. Bloch Qazi, E. D. Siggia, A. G. Clark, C. F.
Aquadro, and M. F. Wolfner. 2005. Cross-species comparison of *Drosophila* male
accessory gland protein genes. Genetics 171:131–143.

Panhuis, T. M., R. K. Butlin, M. Zuk, and T. Tregenza. 2001. Sexual selection and speciation.
Trends Ecol. Evol. 16:364–371.

Parker, D. J., R. A. W. Wiberg, U. Trivedi, V. I. Tyukmaeva, K. Gharbi, R. K. Butlin, A. Hoikkala,
M. Kankare, M. G. Ritchie, and J. Gonzalez. 2018. Inter and intraspecific genomic
divergence in *Drosophila montana* shows evidence for cold adaptation. Genome Biol.
Evol. 10:2086–2101.

Perry, J. C., L. Sirot, and S. Wigby. 2013. The seminal symphony: how to compose an
ejaculate. Trends Ecol. Evol. 28:414–422.

Petersen, T. N., S. Brunak, G. von Heijne, and H. Nielsen. 2011. SignalP 4.0: discriminating
signal peptides from transmembrane regions. Nat. Methods 8:785–786.

Pillai, H., A. M. Shende, M. S. Parmar, A. A, S. L, A. Kumaresan, T. S. G, and S. K. Bhure.
2017. Regucalcin is widely distributed in the male reproductive tract and exerts a
suppressive effect on in vitro sperm capacitation in the water buffalo (Bubalus bubalis).
Mol. Reprod. Dev. 84:212–221.

Pitnick, S., M. F. Wolfner, and S. Dorus. 2020. Post-ejaculatory modifications to sperm
(PEMS). Biol. Rev. 95:365–392.

Pitnick, S., M. F. Wolfner, and S. S. Suarez. 2009. Ejaculate-female and sperm-female
interactions. Pp. 247–304 *in* Sperm biology: an evolutionary perspective. Academic
press, Burlington, MA.

Plakke, M. S., A. B. Deutsch, C. Meslin, N. L. Clark, and N. I. Morehouse. 2015. Dynamic
digestive physiology of a female reproductive organ in a polyandrous butterfly. J. Exp.
Biol. 218:1548–1555.

Plakke, M. S., J. L. Walker, J. B. Lombardo, B. J. Goetz, G. N. Pacella, J. D. Durrant, N. L.
Clark, and N. I. Morehouse. 2019. Characterization of Female Reproductive Proteases
in a Butterfly from Functional and Evolutionary Perspectives. Physiol. Biochem. Zool.
92:579–590.

Price, C. S. C., C. H. Kim, C. J. Gronlund, and J. A. Coyne. 2001. Cryptic reproductive isolation
in the *Drosophila simulans* species complex. Evolution 55:81–92.

R Core Team. 2018. R: A language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation
for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Ramm, S. A., L. McDonald, J. L. Hurst, R. J. Beynon, and P. Stockley. 2009. Comparative
proteomics reveals evidence for evolutionary diversification of rodent seminal fluid and
its functional significance in sperm competition. Mol. Biol. Evol. 26:189–198.

Ravi Ram, K., and M. F. Wolfner. 2007. Seminal influences: *Drosophila* Acps and the molecular
interplay between males and females during reproduction. Integr. Comp. Biol. 47:427–
445.

Reinhardt, K., and A.-C. Ribou. 2013. Females become infertile as the stored sperm's oxygen
radicals increase. Sci. Rep. 3:2888. Nature Publishing Group.

Ritchie, M. E., B. Phipson, D. Wu, Y. Hu, C. W. Law, W. Shi, and G. K. Smyth. 2015. limma
powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies.
Nucleic Acids Res. 43:e47–e47.

Robinson, M. D., D. J. McCarthy, and G. K. Smyth. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for
differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.
26:139–140.

Rowe, M., T. Albrecht, E. R. A. Cramer, A. Johnsen, T. Laskemoen, J. T. Weir, and J. T. Lifjeld.
2015. Postcopulatory sexual selection is associated with accelerated evolution of sperm
morphology. Evolution 69:1044–1052.

Rowe, M., E. Whittington, K. Borziak, M. Ravinet, F. Eroukhmanoff, G.-P. Sætre, and S. Dorus.
2019. Molecular Diversification of the Seminal Fluid Proteome in a Recently Diverged
Passerine Species Pair. Mol. Biol. Evol., doi: 10.1093/molbev/msz235.

Sagga, N., and A. Civetta. 2011. Male-female interactions and the evolution of postmating
prezygotic reproductive isolation among species of the Virilis subgroup. Int. J. Evol. Biol.
2011.

Sepil, I., B. R. Hopkins, R. Dean, M.-L. Thézénas, P. D. Charles, R. Konietzny, R. Fischer, B.
M. Kessler, and S. Wigby. 2019. Quantitative proteomics identification of seminal fluid
proteins in male *Drosophila melanogaster*. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 18:S46–S58.

Shannon, P., A. Markiel, O. Ozier, N. S. Baliga, J. T. Wang, D. Ramage, N. Amin, B.
Schwikowski, and T. Ideker. 2003. Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated
Models of Biomolecular Interaction Networks. Genome Res. 13:2498–2504.

Simmons, L. W., and J. L. Fitzpatrick. 2019. Female genitalia can evolve more rapidly and
divergently than male genitalia. Nat. Commun. 10:1312.

Singh, A., N. A. Buehner, H. Lin, K. J. Baranowski, G. D. Findlay, and M. F. Wolfner. 2018.
Long-term interaction between *Drosophila* sperm and sex peptide is mediated by other
seminal proteins that bind only transiently to sperm. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 102:43–
51.

Sirot, L. K., A. Wong, T. Chapman, and M. F. Wolfner. 2015. Sexual conflict and seminal fluid
proteins: a dynamic landscape of sexual interactions. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol.
7:a017533.

South, A., and S. M. Lewis. 2011. The influence of male ejaculate quantity on female fitness:
a meta-analysis. Biol. Rev. 86:299–309.

Tsuda, M., J.-B. Peyre, T. Asano, and T. Aigaki. 2015. Visualizing Molecular Functions and
Cross-Species Activity of Sex-Peptide in *Drosophila*. Genetics 200:1161–1169.

Turissini, D. A., J. A. McGirr, S. S. Patel, J. R. David, and D. R. Matute. 2018. The rate of
evolution of postmating-prezygotic reproductive isolation in *Drosophila*. Mol. Biol. Evol.
35:312–334.

Tyanova, S., T. Temu, and J. Cox. 2016. The MaxQuant computational platform for mass
spectrometry-based shotgun proteomics. Nat. Protoc. 11:2301–2319.

VanKuren, N. W., and M. Long. 2018. Gene duplicates resolving sexual conflict rapidly evolved
essential gametogenesis functions. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1.

Vesala, L., T. S. Salminen, M. Kankare, and A. Hoikkala. 2012. Photoperiodic regulation of
cold tolerance and expression levels of regucalcin gene in Drosophila montana. J.
Insect Physiol. 58:704–709.

Vizcaíno, J. A., A. Csordas, N. del-Toro, J. A. Dianes, J. Griss, I. Lavidas, G. Mayer, Y. Perez-
Riverol, F. Reisinger, T. Ternent, Q.-W. Xu, R. Wang, and H. Hermjakob. 2016. 2016
update of the PRIDE database and its related tools. Nucleic Acids Res. 44:D447–D456.
Oxford Academic.

Wagstaff, B. J., and D. J. Begun. 2005. Molecular Population Genetics of Accessory Gland
Protein Genes and Testis-Expressed Genes in Drosophila mojavensis and D. arizonae.
Genetics 171:1083–1101.

Walker, M. J., C. M. Rylett, J. N. Keen, N. Audsley, M. Sajid, A. D. Shirras, and R. E. Isaac.
2006. Proteomic identification of *Drosophila melanogaster* male accessory gland
proteins, including a pro-cathepsin and a soluble γ-glutamyl transpeptidase. Proteome
Sci. 4:9.

Wang, D., B. Eraslan, T. Wieland, B. Hallström, T. Hopf, D. P. Zolg, J. Zecha, A. Asplund, L.
Li, C. Meng, M. Frejno, T. Schmidt, K. Schnatbaum, M. Wilhelm, F. Ponten, M. Uhlen,
J. Gagneur, H. Hahne, and B. Kuster. 2019. A deep proteome and transcriptome
abundance atlas of 29 healthy human tissues. Mol. Syst. Biol. 15.

Whittington, E., T. L. Karr, A. J. Mongue, S. Dorus, and J. R. Walters. 2019. Evolutionary
Proteomics Reveals Distinct Patterns of Complexity and Divergence between
Lepidopteran Sperm Morphs. Genome Biol. Evol. 11:1838–1846.

Wigby, S., L. K. Sirot, J. R. Linklater, N. Buehner, F. C. F. Calboli, A. Bretman, M. F. Wolfner,
and T. Chapman. 2009. Seminal fluid protein allocation and male reproductive success.
Curr. Biol. 19:751–757.

Wolfner, M. F. 2009. Battle and ballet: molecular interactions between the sexes in *Drosophila*.
J. Hered. 100:399–410.

Wong, A., S. N. Albright, J. D. Giebel, K. R. Ram, S. Ji, A. C. Fiumera, and M. F. Wolfner.
2008. A role for Acp29AB, a predicted seminal fluid lectin, in female sperm storage in
Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 180:921–931.

Wright, A. E., P. W. Harrison, F. Zimmer, S. H. Montgomery, M. A. Pointer, and J. E. Mank.
2015. Variation in promiscuity and sexual selection drives avian rate of Faster-Z
evolution. Mol. Ecol. 24:1218–1235.

Yang, Z. 2007. PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. Mol. Biol. Evol.
24:1586–1591.