Phytohormone production by the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Rhizophagus irregularis*

SHORT TITLE: AM fungi produce phytohormones

AUTHORS

Simon Pons,[a, b] Sylvie Fournier,[a, b] Christian Chervin,[c] Guillaume Bécard,[a] Soizic Rochange,[a] Nicolas Frei Dit Frey,[a]* Virginie Puech Pagès[a, b]*

AFFILIATIONS

[a]Laboratoire de Recherche en Sciences Végétales, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, Castanet-Tolosan, France

[b]MetaboHub-Metatoul AgromiX, Laboratoire de Recherche en Sciences Végétales, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, UPS, Castanet-Tolosan, France

[c]Génomique et Biotechnologie des Fruits, Université de Toulouse, Toulouse INP, INRA, Castanet-Tolosan, France

E-mail addresses:

simon.pons@lrsv.ups-tlse.fr ; sylvie.fournier@lrsv.ups-tlse.fri : christian.chervin@toulouse-inp.fr ; becard@lrsv.ups-tlse.fr ; rochange@lrsv.ups-tlse.fr ; frei-dit-frey@lrsv.ups-tlse.fr ; puech@lrsv.ups-tlse.fr

*Correspondence to: puech@lrsv.ups-tlse.fr, +33 (0)5 34 32 38 31 (VPP) and frei-dit-frey@lrsv.ups-tlse.fr (NFdF), +33 (0)5 34 32 38 60

Text word count: 5465

Number of figure: 3

- Fig. 1 Detection by LC-MS of iPR and IAA exuded by *R. irregularis spores* (colour print)

- Fig. 2 GA_4 detection in multiple reactions monitoring (MRM) mode (colour print)

- Fig. 3 Ethylene production by *R. irregularis* in response to different treatments (colour print)

Number of supplementary data: 4 (including 1 table and 3 figures)

Submission date: 11/06/2020

HIGLIGHT

In this work, we provide for the first time direct experimental evidence, by mass spectrometry and gas chromatography analyses, that the model AM fungus *Rhizophagus irregularis* produces four different phytohormones.

ABSTRACT

Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis is a mutualistic interaction between most land plants and fungi of the glomeromycotina subphylum. The initiation, development and regulation of this symbiosis involve numerous signalling events between and within the symbiotic partners. Among other signals, phytohormones are known to play important roles at various stages of the interaction. During presymbiotic steps, plant roots exude strigolactones which stimulate the fungus, and favour the initiation of symbiosis. At later stages, different plant hormone classes can act as positive or negative regulators of the interaction. Although the fungus is known to reciprocally emit regulatory signals, its potential contribution to the phytohormonal pool has received little attention, and has so far only been addressed by indirect assays. In this study, using mass spectrometry, we detected the presence of a cytokinin (isopentenyl-adenosine), an auxin (indole-acetic acid), and a gibberellin (gibberellic acid 4), in germinating spores or exudates of *Rhizophagus irregularis*. We also used gas chromatography to show that *R. irregularis* produces ethylene from methionine and the α-keto γ-methylthiobutyric acid (KMBA) pathway. These results highlight the possibility for AM fungi to use phytohormones to interact with their host plants, or to regulate their own development.

KEYWORDS:

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi

Auxins

Cytokinins

Ethylene

Gibberellins

Mass spectrometry

Phytohormones

Symbiosis

Abbreviations:

Abscisic acid (ABA)

Aminocyclopropane-carboxylate (ACC)

Aminocyclopropane-carboxylate oxidase (ACO)

Aminocyclopropane-carboxylate synthase (ACS)

Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM)

Auxins (AUX)

Brassinosteroids (BRs)

Chitooligosaccharides (COs)

CLAVATA3/Embryo surrounding region-related (CLE)

Count per second (CPS)

Cytokinins (CKs)

Electrospray Ionization (ESI)

Ethylene (ET)

Ethylene Forming Enzyme (EFE),

Germinated spore exudates (GSE)

Gibberellin A_4 (GA$_4$)

Gibberellins (GAs)

High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS)

Indole-acetic acid (IAA)

Isopentenyl-adenosine (iPR)

Jasmonic acid (JA)

Limit of detection (LOD)

Lipochitooligosaccharides (LCOs)

Liquid Chromatography (LC)

Mass Spectrometry (MS)

Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)

Salicylic acid (SA)

Solid Phase Extraction (SPE)

α-keto γ-methylthiobutyric acid (KMBA)

INTRODUCTION

Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) symbiosis is a 460 million-year-old interaction (Redecker,
2000) between glomeromycotina fungi and over 70% of land plants (Brundrett and Tedersoo,
2018). In angiosperms, AM fungi colonize the inner root cortex of their host to develop
intracellular ramified structures called arbuscules. These arbuscules are the main site for nutrient
exchange between the plant and the fungus. AM fungi provide their host plant with water and
minerals, in return for photosynthetic carbon (mainly sugars and lipids) (Smith and Read, 2008;
Keymer and Gutjahr, 2018). As AM fungi are obligate biotrophs, this interaction is essential for
their growth, development and reproduction. On the plant side, this interaction improves
nutrition and resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses (Smith *et al.*, 2003; Pozo and Azcón-
Aguilar, 2007; Mohammadi *et al.*, 2011).

Prior to physical contact, the two partners of the AM symbiosis interact via signalling
molecules (Maclean *et al.*, 2017; Choi *et al.*, 2018). Host roots release several types of
compounds affecting the presymbiotic development of AM fungi (Bécard *et al.*, 2004; Nagahashi
and Douds, 2011). Particular attention has been paid to root-exuded phytohormones known as
strigolactones: they stimulate the germination of AM fungal spores, the oxidative metabolism
and branching of germinating hyphae, and finally root colonization (Akiyama *et al.*, 2005;
Besserer *et al.*, 2006, 2008; Gomez-Roldan *et al.*, 2008). In addition, *N*-acetylglucosamine-based
compounds seem to be exchanged in both directions: lipochitooligosaccharides (LCOs) and
chitooligosaccharides (COs) are released by germinating spores of AM fungi and stimulate the
initiation of the symbiosis (Maillet *et al.*, 2011; Genre *et al.*, 2013; Volpe *et al.*, 2020), and a
plant exporter of N-acetylglucosamine has been shown to be required for the first steps of the
interaction (Nadal *et al.*, 2017). Finally, indirect evidence suggests the existence of yet
unidentified signals of plant or fungal origin acting prior to root colonization (Sun *et al.*, 2015;
Gutjahr *et al.*, 2015; Lanfranco *et al.*, 2018; Choi *et al.*, 2020).

Later stages of AM interactions are regulated by a number of factors, including nutrient
exchange (Lanfranco *et al.*, 2018) and phytohormones (Gutjahr, 2014; Liao *et al.*, 2018).
Analysis of AM symbiosis regulation by phytohormones has revealed a complex pattern of
modified hormonal contents or altered response to hormones in mycorrhizal plants, and
reciprocal effects of hormones on the symbiotic interaction. Although observations were made

across a wide range of combinations of plant/fungal species and experimental conditions, it is possible to draw broad conclusions about the role of the different hormone families. Auxins (AUX), abscisic acid (ABA) and brassinosteroids (BRs) have been identified as positive regulators of the AM symbiosis (Martín-Rodríguez *et al.*, 2011; Bitterlich *et al.*, 2014; Etemadi *et al.*, 2014). On the contrary, gibberellins (GAs), ethylene (ET) and salicylic acid (SA) have been described as negative regulators (Herrera Medina *et al.*, 2003; Torres de Los Santos *et al.*, 2011; Foo *et al.*, 2013). The effects of cytokinins have not yet been clearly established (Boivin *et al.*, 2016). Finally, the role of jasmonic acid (JA) seems to vary with its concentration (Ludwig-Müller *et al.*, 2002; Landgraf *et al.*, 2012).

Importantly, these studies have addressed the role of phytohormones in the AM symbiosis by two main approaches: the analysis of plant mutants affected in phytohormone synthesis or perception, or the treatment of mycorrhizal plants with exogenous hormones. The study of hormone perception mutants clearly addresses the effects of hormones on the plant partner. In contrast, both exogenous treatments and hormone deficiency in the plant result in modified hormonal contents in colonized roots, which could impact either or both symbiotic partners. In spite of this, and because phytohormones are generally seen as plant signals, results of such studies are commonly interpreted exclusively in terms of impacts on the plant. Likewise, changes in hormonal contents measured in mycorrhizal plants are usually attributed to modifications of hormonal metabolism in plant cells. This interpretation ignores a potential contribution of the fungal partner to the hormonal pool. Yet, many microorganisms can produce phytohormones and this could also be the case of AM fungi. Among soil microorganisms interacting with plants, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and fungi have been shown to produce auxin, cytokinins, ABA and gibberellins (Hamayun *et al.*, 2010; Kang *et al.*, 2012; Kudoyarova *et al.*, 2019), and this can contribute to their growth-promoting effects (Spaepen *et al.*, 2014). In the fungal kingdom, phytohormone production has been documented in symbionts like ectomycorrhizal fungi (Gay *et al.*, 1994) as well as in pathogens (Chanclud and Morel, 2016). Ethylene is quite common among phytohormones produced by fungi (Chou and Yang, 1973; Graham and Linderman, 1980; Chagué *et al.*, 2002; Splivallo *et al.*, 2009) and in some cases biosynthetic pathways have been characterized. The α-keto γ-methylthiobutyric acid (KMBA) pathway, well described in *Botrytis cinerea* (Chagué *et al.*, 2002), requires the deamination of methionine into KMBA, which can be oxidised into ethylene through different

means. It can either be oxidized by hydroxyl radicals (Ogawa *et al.*, 1990), peroxidases (Yang *et*
al., 1967), or in a light-dependant manner, induced by the photo-oxidation of flavins (Billington
et al., 1979), leading to the production of ethylene. In contrast, the second ethylene-producing
pathways in microorganisms involves a very specific enzyme. The Ethylene Forming Enzyme
(EFE), described in *Penicillium digitatum*, or *Fusarium oxysporum* (Chou and Yang, 1973;
Hottiger and Boller, 1991), produces ethylene through two simultaneous reactions, using L-
arginine and 2-oxoglutarate as co-substrates. Both pathways differ from the main pathway for
ethylene production in plants, which involves a light-independent and methionine-dependent
pathway requiring the aminocyclopropane-carboxylate (ACC) synthase (ACS) and ACC oxidase
(ACO).

The fact that many plant-associated microorganisms produce phytohormones raises the
possibility of a similar behaviour in AM fungi which have co-evolved with plants for over 400
million years. This question is challenging to address experimentally, essentially because of the
obligate biotrophy of these fungi. This feature implies that isolated fungi can only be kept in
culture for short periods of time, and limits the availability of biological material. Nevertheless,
previous studies have provided indirect evidence for the presence of phytohormones in AM
fungi. ELISA tests indicated that spores and hyphae of *Rhizophagus* (formerly *Glomus*) species
could contain aglycone and glycosylated ABA (Esch *et al.*, 1994), while indirect bioassays
suggested the presence of gibberellin-like and cytokinin-like molecules (Barea and Azcón-
Aguilar, 1982). Genes encoding a CLAVATA3/Embryo surrounding region-related (CLE)
peptide hormone proposed to positively regulate the symbiosis have also been identified in AM
fungal genomes (Le Marquer *et al.*, 2019).

In this study, we analysed the presence of phytohormones in germinating spores, or their
exudates, of the model AM fungus *Rhizophagus irregularis*, using a combination of gas/liquid
chromatography and mass spectrometry to allow unambiguous compound identification. In the
case of ethylene, we investigated the putative biosynthetic pathways through the use of metabolic
precursors.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Chemicals, reagents and standards

Phytohormone standards were purchased from Olchemim (iP, iPR, iP9G, Ki, *m*T, *t*Z, *c*Z,

*t*ZR, *c*ZR, DHZ, BAP, GA_1, IAA-Asp, JA-Ile, ABA-GE, BL), Fluka (IBA, NAA), Acros

Organics (IAA, IPA, SA, ABA), Sigma-Aldrich (IAA-Ala, JA, MeJA, Strigol), Fisher chemical

(GA_3) and Duchefa (GA_4). Powders were dissolved in an appropriate solvent according to the

manufacturer's recommendations, and stored at -20 °C. L-Methionine and α-keto-γ-

methylthiobutyrate (KMBA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. LC/MS-grade acetonitrile and

HPLC-grade methanol were purchased from Fisher Chemical, formic acid from Acros Organics,

and ammonium hydroxide from Sigma-Aldrich.

Fungal culture and exudate preparation

Rhizophagus irregularis DAOM 197198 sterile spores (Agronutrition, Labège, France)

were rinsed from their storage buffer using a 40 µm nylon cell strainer (VWR) by five washes

with sterile UHQ water. Spores were resuspended in sterile UHQ water and stored at 4 °C before

use.

For the production of germinated spore exudates (GSE), spores were germinated in sterile UHQ

water in a CO_2 incubator (30 °C, 2 % CO_2) for 7 days with a concentration of 400 spores.mL^{-1} in

25 mL Petri dishes. GSE were filtered through a glass fibber frit (Chromabond), and frozen in

liquid nitrogen before freeze-drying. Filtered spores were collected and stored at -80 °C.

Phytohormone and KMBA extraction

From germinated spore exudates: freeze-dried GSE from 10,000 spores or 250,000

spores of *R. irregularis* were reconstituted in 100 µL of 1 M formic acid and stored at -20°C

before MS analysis.

From ground spores: the protocol of phytohormone extraction and separation by Solid

Phase Extraction (SPE) was adapted from Kojima *et al.* (Kojima *et al.*, 2009). Two hundred and

fifty thousand spores were hand-ground in liquid nitrogen with a mortar and pestle, resuspended

in 1 mL of cold modified Bieleski's solvent (methanol/water/formic acid 75:20:5, v:v:v;

(Hoyerova *et al.*, 2006)) and left overnight at -20 °C. Crude extracts were centrifuged 15 min at

10,000 g, 4 °C. The pellet was reextracted in 200 µL modified Bieleski's solvent for 30 min at -

20 °C, centrifuged 15 min at 10,000 *g*, and the supernatant pooled with the first one. Extracts

were pre-purified on SPE Oasis HBL cartridges (1 mL per 30 mg, Waters). Cartridges were conditioned in 1 mL methanol and equilibrated in 1 mL of 1 M formic acid. Samples were loaded and eluted with 1 mL modified Bieleski's solvent. Eluates were dried under nitrogen stream and reconstituted in 1 mL of 1 M formic acid. The separation of phytohormones was achieved with SPE Oasis MCX cartridges (1 mL per 30 mg, Waters). Cartridges were conditioned in 1 mL methanol and equilibrated in 1 mL of 1 M formic acid. Samples were successively eluted by 1 mL methanol (fraction 1, containing neutral and acidic hormones), 1 mL of 0.35 M ammonium hydroxide (fraction 2) and 1 mL methanol/0.35 M ammonium hydroxide 60:40 (fraction 3, containing cytokinins) (Kojima *et al.*, 2009). The three fractions were dried under nitrogen stream and kept at 4 °C before analysis. Fractions were reconstituted in 100 µL 1 M formic acid and then analysed by LC-MS.

LC-MS analysis of phytohormones

Samples were analysed by UHPLC-MS with two types of mass spectrometers, a Q-Trap 5500 (AB Sciex) in MRM mode for higher detection sensitivity and a Q-Exactive Plus™ (Thermo Scientific) for higher mass accuracy by high resolution analysis.

Twenty-one biological replicates of GSE and 12 replicates of ground spores were used to perform phytohormone detection. IPR was consistently identified in GSE, while IAA was detected in 76% of them, and thirteen samples were used to perform quantification. GA_4 was detected in 66% of the ground spore samples.

Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) analysis

A UHPLC system (Dionex Ultimate 3000, Thermo Scientific) was equipped with a Kinetex C18 column (100 × 2.1 mm, 2.6 µm, 100 Å, Phenomenex) heated at 45 °C. Five-µL samples were injected. Separation was performed at a constant flow rate of 0.3 mL.min^{-1}, in a gradient of solvent A (water + 0.1% formic acid) and B (acetonitrile + 0.1% formic acid): 1 min 5% B; 11 min 5% to 100% B; 2 min 100% B, and re-equilibration to the initial conditions in 4 min. The Q-Trap 5500 mass spectrometer was equipped with an electrospray source. Curtain gas was set to 30 psi, nebulizer to 40 psi and turbo gas to 60 psi. Capillary voltage was set to 5.5 kV (positive mode) or -4.5 kV (negative mode) on Electrospray Ionization (ESI) source (400 °C). Samples were monitored in positive and negative modes in scheduled Multiple Reaction

Monitoring (MRM) mode (60s). Using 25 standards of free or conjugated hormones, in infusion mode (7 µL.min^{-1}), the best parameters for declustering potential, collision energy and collision cell exit potential were selected for precursor and product ions measurement. Ionization mode, selected MRM transitions, limit of detection (LOD) and retention time for each hormone are listed in Table S1. Limits of detection and quantification were determined using standards diluted from 0.1 mM to 1pM in methanol. Data processing was performed using Analyst 1.6.2 software.

High resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analysis

A UHPLC system (Ultimate 3000 RSLC system, Thermo Scientific) was equipped with a Hypersil Gold aQ C18 column (100 mm x 2.1 mm, 1.9 µm, 175 Å, Thermo Scientific #25302102130), heated at 35°C. Five-µL samples were injected. Separation was performed at a constant flow rate of 0.3 mL.min^{-1}, in a gradient of solvent A (water + 0.05 % formic acid) and B (acetonitrile + 0.05 % formic acid): 1 min 5% B; 7 min 5% to 96% B; 1 min 96% B and re-equilibration to the initial conditions in 3 min. The Q-Exactive Plus™ mass spectrometer was equipped with a H-ESI II probe, heated at 256 °C. Sheath gas was set to 48, sweep gas to 2, auxiliary gas to 11, and heated at 413 °C. Capillary voltage was set to 3.5 kV in positive mode and -2.5 kV in negative mode. Ionization was performed in positive and negative modes, in full scan analysis (centroid), with a resolution of 35,000. Automatic Gain Control was set to 3.10^6, with a 50 to 600 *m/z* scan range. A Target-MS/MS scan of confirmation of the phytohormone, based on the specified inclusion list (5 ppm), was triggered when the mass spectrometer detected a known phytohormone in an MS spectrum. In this case, Automatic Gain Control was set to 2.10^5, and resolution to 17,500. Data processing was performed by Xcalibur 3.0 and Tracefinder 3.2 softwares.

Ethylene detection

20,000 spores in 2 mL of sterile UHQ water, either untreated, or supplemented with 10 mM of methionine or 1 µM of KMBA, were incubated in a sterilized glass tube (Ø=1.35 cm, H= 6.10 cm, V=7.5 mL, dead volume = 5.5 mL) wrapped in tinfoil to avoid light exposure, and sealed with a porous silicone stopper (Hirschmann Instruments). They were germinated for three days in a CO_2 incubator (30°C, 2% CO_2). The stopper was then replaced by an air-tight rubber

stopper, and spores were confined for 1 day and exposed or not to light

(100 μmol photons $m^{-2} s^{-1}$, 21°C).

The headspace ethylene content was assayed by gas chromatography as described

previously (Chen *et al.*, 2020). One mL of headspace gas was manually injected into a GC-FID

(Agilent 7820a), equipped with a 80/100 alumina column (1/8" x 2 mm x 1.5 m, Agilent) and set

with the following parameters: oven temperature 70 °C, injector temperature 110 °C, N_2 vector

gas flow rate 28 mL.min^{-1}, flame ionization detector temperature 250 °C. Ethylene peak area was

measured and normalized with the O_2 injection peak area. Its retention time and calibration were

determined with an external standard of 4 ppm of ethylene.

For ethylene production assays: darkness without spores n=13, light without spores n=16,

darkness with spores n=17, light with spores n=18, light and methionine without spores n=7,

darkness and methionine with spores n=9, light and methionine with spores n=24, light and

KMBA without spores n=6, light and KMBA with spores n=6.

290 KMBA detection by LC-MS

A UHPLC system (Dionex Ultimate 3000, Thermo Scientific) was equipped with a

reverse-phase column Acquity UPLC BEH-C18 (2.1 × 150 mm, 1.7 μm, Waters) heated at 45

°C. Ten-μL samples were injected. Separation was performed at a constant flow rate of 0.3

mL.min^{-1}, in a gradient of solvent A (water + 0.1% formic acid) and B (acetonitrile): 1 min 5%

B; 8 min 5% to 100% B; 2 min 100% B, and re-equilibration to the initial conditions in 2 min.

A Q-Trap 4500 mass spectrometer (AB Sciex) was used with an electro-spray ionization

source in the negative ion mode. Curtain gas was set to 30 psi, nebulizer to 40 psi and turbo gas

to 60 psi. Capillary voltage was set to -3.5 kV (negative mode) on Electrospray Ionization (ESI)

source (400 °C). Optimizations of the source parameters were done using the KMBA standard at

10^{-5} M water by infusion at 7 μL.min^{-1}, using a syringe pump. Three GSE sample weres

analysed for each condition. Data processing was performed using Analyst 1.6.2 software.

303 Sequence analysis

304   Glomeromycotina (taxid:214504) nucleotide and protein sequences were analysed using

305 TBLASTN and BLASTP searches with default parameters on the NCBI website. The 2-

306   oxoglutarate-dependent ethylene/succinate-forming enzyme from *Penicillium digitatum*
307   XP_014538251.1 was chosen as query to identify putative EFEs in *R. irregularis*.
308

Statistical analysis

310   The version 1.3-1 of package Agricolae and the version 3.1.0 of package GGPlot2 were
311   used for statistical analysis. In order to compare ethylene production between all groups, a non-
312   parametric analysis was carried out using Kruskal-Wallis test and pairwise comparisons were
313   performed using FDR adjustment for multiple comparisons.
314

RESULTS

Detection of phytohormones in germinated spore exudates and germinated spore extracts

The aim of this study was to investigate the production of phytohormones by the model
AM fungus *R. irregularis*. To avoid any contamination with plant-borne phytohormones, it was
crucial to start from pure fungal material. We used spores of *R. irregularis* produced in axenic
conditions in two-compartment systems that are free of root debris, and the spores were carefully
rinsed to eliminate the storage solution.

We started with an analysis of exudates produced by *R. irregularis* spores germinated in
water for seven days. These Germinated Spore Exudates (GSE) were concentrated and analysed
by Liquid Chromatography (LC) coupled to Mass Spectrometry (MS). The detection of a total of
38 compounds, covering eight hormone families (Table S1), was attempted. Synthetic standards
were available for 25 of these compounds, allowing direct comparison of retention times and MS
data. Two types of MS analyses were successively carried out. The highly sensitive Multiple
Reaction Monitoring (MRM) mode was used to look for characteristic precursor-to-product-ion
m/z transitions upon fragmentation. The retention times associated with these *m/z* transition
signals were compared with the retention times of corresponding standards. To further ascertain
hormone identification, High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS) was then used to extract
signals for precursor and product ions of the expected accurate *m/z* (+/- 5 ppm), and again was
performed in comparison with standards.

Using these two approaches, we identified two hormones in GSE samples produced by

10,000 spores: the cytokinin isopentenyl-adenosine (iPR) and the auxin indole-acetic acid (IAA)

(Fig. 1A and D). In the MRM mode, iPR was detected with the *m/z* transitions 336 > 204 and

336 > 136; IAA was identified with the *m/z* transitions 176 > 130 and 176 > 77 (Fig. 1B and E).

For both compounds, the observed retention times matched those of the corresponding standards

(Fig. 1B and E). We were able to detect accurately iPR and IAA in 16 out of 21 biological

replicates. The other phytohormones presented in Table S1 were not detected in the GSE

samples. According to external standard curves, we estimated that one spore could on average

exude 1.2 attomole of iPR (+/- 1.3 attomole) and 29 attomole of IAA (+/- 25 attomole), during

seven days of germination. Compound identification was confirmed through HRMS using GSE

from 250,000 spores. The precursor ion of *m/z* 336.1662 for iPR, detected at a retention time of

5.78 min (Fig. 1C), yielded after selection and fragmentation a product ion of *m/z* 204.1246 (Fig.

S1). The precursor ion of *m/z* 176.0706 for IAA, detected at a retention time of 6.18 min (Fig.

1F), yielded after selection and fragmentation a product ion of *m/z* 130.0650 (Fig. S2). The mass

data, as well as retention times, matched those of the corresponding standards (Fig. 1C and F).

To investigate whether additional hormones could be present in *R. irregularis* spores, but

not released (or in very low amounts) into GSE, we next analysed extracts of 250,000 ground

spores. Extracts were pre-purified through two solid-phase extraction (SPE) steps and the

fractions where hormones were expected were analysed by LC-MS/MS. This approach allowed

the detection in MRM mode of MS-MS transition signals characteristic of a third phytohormone,

gibberellic acid 4 (GA$_4$). Transitions *m/z* 331 > 257 and 331 > 213 (Fig. 2A) were detected in our

samples, at almost the same retention time as the standard (retention time shift = -0.09 min, Fig.

2B). To investigate whether this slight shift was due to matrix interactions, we spiked our sample

with the GA$_4$ standard. This addition yielded a single chromatographic peak without any

splitting, at the same retention time as the spore sample alone and with a doubled intensity. We

can therefore attribute the slight retention time difference in Fig. 2B to matrix interactions during

chromatographic separation.

363 Production of ethylene by *R. irregularis* germinated spores

The release of ethylene by germinating spores was analysed by gas chromatography. To

this end, spores were first allowed to germinate for three days in water, in test tubes closed with a

gas-permeable stopper. The stopper was then replaced by a gas-tight rubber stopper, and spores

were incubated for an additional 24 hours. Gas in the headspace was then sampled for ethylene

analysis. As detailed below, light dependency can be used as a criterion to distinguish between

ethylene biosynthesis pathways. Therefore, ethylene production was assessed comparatively in

spores protected or not from light.

We noticed in control tubes containing no spores that a background quantity of ethylene

was produced in the dark as well as in the light (Fig. 3, conditions 1 and 2). The presence of

spores slightly enhanced ethylene production in the dark (Fig. 3, conditions 1 and 5). When

exposed to light, germinating spores produced about 3 times more ethylene than in the dark,

suggesting that this production was dependent on the KMBA pathway (Fig. 3, conditions 5 and

7) (Billington *et al.*, 1979; Ogawa *et al.*, 1990; Chagué *et al.*, 2002).

To investigate whether the KMBA pathway for ethylene synthesis was used by *R.*

irregularis, we tested the effects of adding methionine into the incubation medium. In the dark,

methionine addition increased ethylene production by 55 % (Fig. 3, conditions 5 and 6). While in

the light, methionine addition did not increase ethylene production in the absence of spores (Fig.

3, conditions 2 and 3), this addition in the presence of spores increased ethylene production by

56 % (Fig. 3, conditions 7 and 8). We then tested the effects of added KMBA. In the light and in

the absence of spores, KMBA did not yield ethylene (Fig. 3, conditions 2 and 4). In contrast, in

the presence of spores, KMBA addition stimulated an ethylene production (Fig. 3, conditions 7

and 9) similar to the methionine treatment.

Finally, we analysed by LC/MS the presence of KMBA in the exudates of spores

germinated in the presence or not of methionine. This experiment was carried out in the dark to

avoid light oxidation of KMBA. We could not detect the presence of KMBA in samples without

methionine whereas we detected a strong KMBA signal in the methionine-treated samples, at a

retention time of 3.7 min in MRM mode (*m/z* transitions 147 > 46 and 147 > 99) (Fig. S3).

Genomic sequences of Glomeromycotina were analysed for the presence of genes

associated with the different ethylene biosynthesis pathways. The KMBA pathway requires the

deamination of methionine into KMBA, which can be mediated by any transaminase, and the

oxidation of KMBA into ethylene can be carried out non-specifically by peroxidases, or

chemically (Yang, 1967; Primrose, 1977; Ogawa *et al.*, 1990). Therefore, sequence analyses are

not suitable to investigate the existence of this pathway. To look for genes associated with the

major ACC pathway used by plants, AtACS1, AtACS8 and AtACS7 were chosen as representative of the three ACS clades described in Arabidopsis (Booker and DeLong, 2015). In the three cases, a tyrosine aminotransferase (GLOIN_2v1675208) and a pyridoxal phosphate-dependent transferase (GLOIN_2v1486204) were found as the best hits in *R. irregularis* genome, with only a maximum of 24% identity at the amino acid level with the query sequence. We also used AtACO2, AtACO1 and AtACO5 as representative of the three ACC oxidases (ACO) clades (Houben and Van de Poel, 2019). With these three queries, only hypothetical proteins with less than 26% of identity were found in *R. irregularis* datasets. To look for genes of the EFE pathway, BLAST analysis using the *Penicillium digitatum* EFE protein as query allowed the identification of two isoforms of a protein annotated as Fe (2+) and 2-oxoglutarate (2OG)-dependent dioxygenases in *R. irregularis* (GBC41587.1 and GBC41586.1). However, the similarity with the *P. digitatum* protein was very low (23-24% of identity).

DISCUSSION

Germinated spore exudates of AM fungi are known to trigger a number of symbiotically relevant responses in host root cells (Chabaud *et al.*, 2011; Mukherjee and Ané, 2011; Giovannetti *et al.*, 2015), indicating that isolated AM fungal spores release molecular signals within a few days of incubation. GSE form a matrix of relatively low complexity, which favours sensitive compound detection through mass spectrometry. We thus started our study by analysing GSE for the presence of a wide variety of phytohormones. The presence of iPR and IAA was unambiguously demonstrated by a combination of MRM and HRMS analyses, in GSE samples obtained from relatively small amounts of fungal material (10,000 spores). Although the presence of cytokinin-like compounds was already suspected in the AM fungus *Glomus mosseae* (Barea and Azcón-Aguilar, 1982), the present study is to our knowledge the first conclusive report for the release of these two phytohormones by AM fungi. It is of course possible that other phytohormones are present in low amounts in GSE, and have escaped detection despite the high sensitivity of the MRM approach (see Table S1 for detection limits).

Analysis of spore extracts was undertaken as a complementary approach to look for hormones that would not be released into GSE. This allowed the detection of MRM signals corresponding to GA_4, at a retention time very close to that of the standard. Despite pre-purification, the fractions of spore extracts remain a complex matrix, which can induce slight

shifts in chromatographic retention times. Using a GA_4 standard to spike our sample allowed us

to observe that the retention times recorded in the spore sample for both MRM transitions were

identical to those of the standard in this matrix (Fig. 2). Unfortunately, complex matrices

decrease the sensitivity of HRMS analysis. We were thus unable to confirm the identity of this

compound through accurate *m/z* determination. Our preliminary observations are however

consistent with the previous bioassay-based detection of gibberellin-like compounds in AM

fungi (Barea and Azcón-Aguilar, 1982). Given that gibberellins can be synthesised (and were

actually discovered) in other fungi, it would make evolutionary sense to find them also in AM

fungi

In contrast with Esch *et al.* (Esch *et al.*, 1994), we did not detect the presence of ABA or

glycosylated ABA. This difference might be due to the use of different fungal materials. The

study of Esch *et al.* was carried out on an unspecified species of the genus *Rhizophagus*

(formerly *Glomus*), and perhaps more importantly, the analysed material consisted of

extraradical mycelium and spores obtained non axenically after several weeks of culture in pots.

Furthermore, in this study ABA detection was based on indirect ELISA tests, which likely differ

from mass spectrometry in terms of sensitivity and specificity.

The detection of an auxin, a cytokinin and a gibberellin in *R. irregularis* does not mean

that this fungus is actually able to synthesize these molecules. They could have been produced by

the host plant (here the hairy roots of *Daucus carota*), imported by the fungus during the

symbiotic exchanges between the two partners and stored in spores. Isotopic labelling

experiments could be used to investigate the biosynthetic origin of these hormones, but the

difficult incorporation of labelled precursors into fungal cells would likely limit the effectiveness

of this approach.

Unlike the above hormones, there is no doubt about the fungal biosynthetic origin of

ethylene. *De novo* ethylene production was measured over a period of 24 h and could be

stimulated by the addition of methionine, a metabolic precursor. The addition of methionine

strongly enhanced the synthesis of KMBA by the fungus (Fig. S4) and acted synergistically with

exposure to light to promote ethylene production (Fig. 2). Light- and methionine-dependency are

characteristic features of the KMBA pathway described in other fungi (Chagué *et al.*, 2002).

Hence, although we cannot rule out the existence of additional ethylene biosynthetic pathways,

our biochemical data support the KMBA pathway as being involved in the synthesis of ethylene

in *R. irregularis*. Interestingly, KMBA-derived ethylene was also demonstrated in the
ectomycorrhizal fungi *Tuber brochii* and *T. melanosporum* (Splivallo *et al.*, 2009). To further
investigate the existence of alternative pathways for ethylene synthesis in *R. irregularis*, we
analysed Glomeromycotina genomic sequences. BLAST analyses failed to identify genes with a
high homology with those involved in the classical ACC pathway found in plants. Similarly, the
best hits obtained when searching for a fungal EFE exhibited limited identity with the query
sequence. The fact that enzymes in the EFE family can be involved in the biosynthesis of a
multitude of products (Dunwell *et al.*, 2004) sheds further doubt on the role of their *Rhizophagus*
homologs in ethylene synthesis. Further studies would be necessary to formally exclude the
existence of these two biosynthetic pathways in *R. irregularis*, but in view of these initial
investigations, their existence seems unlikely.

The observation that iPR, IAA and ethylene are released by germinating spores into their
environment is consistent with a signalling role in the AM symbiosis. A similar hypothesis was
proposed by Le Marquer et al. (Le Marquer *et al.*, 2019) with CLE peptides, another type of
plant hormone potentially produced and excreted by AM fungi. First, if these hormones are still
released at late stages, they could contribute directly to changes in hormonal contents in
mycorrhizal plants. For example, AM colonization has been shown to increase auxin
concentration in roots of *M. truncatula*, *Zea mays* and *Glycine max* (Ludwig-Müller *et al.*, 1997;
Meixner *et al.*, 2005; Jentschel *et al.*, 2006). In tomato roots, the expression of the auxin-
dependent reporter DR5-GUS was higher in arbuscule-containing cells than in the surrounding
cells (Etemadi *et al.*, 2014). This higher auxin concentration could be partly due to the AM
fungus exudation and exportation to root tissues. Second, the release of these hormones by AM
fungi could have profound effects on the symbiosis itself, such as the positive effects observed
upon auxin treatment (Foo, 2013). These hormones could also act through a modulation of plant
development. For example, the simultaneous exudation of IAA and Myc-LCOs by the fungus
could have synergistic effect on lateral root formation, as shown by Buendia *et al.* (Buendia *et*
al., 2019) with exogenous treatments on *Brachypodium distachyon*. The possible effects of
ethylene released by the fungus are more difficult to predict. In arbuscular mycorrhiza, ethylene
is mostly described as a negative regulator (Zsögön *et al.*, 2008; Mukherjee and Ané, 2011;
Torres de Los Santos *et al.*, 2011; Fracetto *et al.*, 2013). This conclusion was mainly drawn from
studies using plant mutants disturbed in the production or perception of ethylene. As already

proposed, the negative downstream effect of these mutations on AM symbiosis may also result
from crosstalks with additional phytohormones and not directly from modifications in ethylene
signalling *per se* (Khatabi and Schäfer, 2012). Whether through direct or indirect mechanisms,
the production of ethylene by AM fungi could serve to prevent excessive colonization of the root
system. It is also important to note that ethylene inhibition of AM symbiosis was shown to be
concentration-dependent (Khatabi and Schäfer, 2012) and that in some cases, a low ethylene
concentration was able to stimulate root colonization (Ishii *et al.*, 1996).

In addition to hormonal signalling to the plant, it is also possible that AM fungi use
phytohormones to regulate their own development. In support of this hypothesis, candidate genes
putatively encoding ethylene and cytokinin receptors were recently identified in the genome of
R. irregularis (Hérivaux *et al.*, 2017) and await functional characterization. This study was
focused on histidine kinases, and thus does not exclude the existence of other types of receptors
for other phytohormones in AM fungi. Indeed, a variety of hormones can affect AM fungal
development *in vitro* (Ishii *et al.*, 1996; Akiyama *et al.*, 2005; Nagata *et al.*, 2016; Liu *et al.*,
2019). It can also be noted that phytohormone exudation by plant roots is not restricted to
strigolactones, and has also been reported for auxin, abscisic acid, jasmonate, salicylate and a
cytokinin (van Dam and Bouwmeester, 2016; Vives-Peris *et al.*, 2017; Kirwa *et al.*, 2018; Kong
et al., 2018). Bringing together these observations, it is tempting to speculate on the exchange of
several hormonal signals in both directions during AM symbiosis, in addition to the well-known
effects of phytohormones as internal regulators of plant physiology. Interestingly, the use of a
common language has been reported in diverse contexts of host-microbe interactions. For
example, plant bacterial pathogens produce cytokinin and have evolved a corresponding receptor
(Wang *et al.*, 2017), and gut bacteria produce and possess sensors for neuroendocrine hormones
that were once thought to be specific of their host (Karavolos *et al.*, 2013; Galland, 2014). Plants
have lived with AM symbionts since they colonized land, and the molecular language underlying
this long-standing and intimate relationship is only beginning to be unravelled. Deciphering the
hormonal biosynthesis and perception pathways in AM fungi will certainly help to understand
how this common language developed through evolution.

Supplementary information

Table S1 List of molecules analyzed by LC-MS in highly sensitive MRM mode

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

526   We thank Cyril Libourel, Marielle Aguilar and Helene San Clemente for their help with
527 statistical analyses. We thank Maryne Laigle, Virginie Durand and Thibaut Perez for their help
528 with mass spectrometry analysis.

529   Support for mass spectrometry analyses was provided by the ICT-Mass spectrometry and
530 MetaboHUB-MetaToul- AgromiX Facilities.

Funding:

533   This work was partially financed by the ANR Mycormones (ANR-18-CE20-0001),
534 MetaboHUB network (ANR-11-INBS-0010), the Tulip LabEx (10-LABX-0041), and the French
535 ministry of Higher Education, Research and Innovation.

Author Contributions:

538 N.FdF, G.B., V.P.P., C.C., S.R and S.P. designed the research; S.P., and S.F. performed the
539 experiments and analyses; S.P., N.FdF., G.B., V.P.P., and C.C. analysed the data; S.P. wrote the
540 manuscript with help of all co-authors.

Competing Interests:

543 Authors declare no competing interests.

Data and materials availability

546 Authors declare no restrictions on data and materials.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

Akiyama K, Matsuzaki K, Hayashi H. 2005. Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal branching in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Nature 435, 824–827.

Barea JM, Azcón-Aguilar C. 1982. Production of plant growth-regulating substances by the vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus mosseae*. Applied and environmental microbiology **43**, 810–3.

Bécard G, Kosuta S, Tamasloukht M, Séjalon-Delmas N, Roux C. 2004. Partner communication in the arbuscular mycorrhizal interaction. Canadian Journal of Botany.1186–1197.

Besserer A, Bécard G, Jauneau A, Roux C, Séjalon-Delmas N. 2008. GR24, a Synthetic Analog of Strigolactones, Stimulates the Mitosis and Growth of the Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungus Gigaspora rosea by Boosting Its Energy Metabolism. Plant Physiology **148**, 402–413.

Besserer A, Puech-Pagès V, Kiefer P, Gomez-Roldan V, Jauneau A, Roy S, Portais J-C, Roux C, Bécard G, Séjalon-Delmas N. 2006. Strigolactones Stimulate Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi by Activating Mitochondria. PLoS Biology **4**, e226.

Billington DC, Golding BT, Pr1mroset SB, Primrose SB. 1979. Biosynthesis of ethylene from methionine. Isolation of the putative intermediate 4-methylthio-2-oxobutanoate from culture fluids of bacteria and fungi. Biochemical Journal **182**, 827–836.

Bitterlich M, Krügel U, Boldt-Burisch K, Franken P, Kühn C. 2014. The sucrose transporter SlSUT2 from tomato interacts with brassinosteroid functioning and affects arbuscular mycorrhiza formation. Plant Journal **78**, 877–889.

Boivin S, Fonouni-Farde C, Frugier F. 2016. How auxin and cytokinin phytohormones modulate root microbe interactions. Frontiers in Plant Science **7**.

Booker MA, DeLong A. 2015. Producing the ethylene signal: Regulation and diversification of ethylene biosynthetic enzymes. Plant Physiology **169**, 42–50.

Brundrett MC, Tedersoo L. 2018. Evolutionary history of mycorrhizal symbioses and global host plant diversity. New Phytologist **220**, 1108–1115.

Buendia L, Maillet F, O'Connor D, van de-Kerkhove Q, Danoun S, Gough C, Lefebvre B, Bensmihen S. 2019. Lipo-chitooligosaccharides promote lateral root formation and modify auxin homeostasis in Brachypodium distachyon. New Phytologist **221**, 2190–2202.

Chabaud M, Genre A, Sieberer BJ, Faccio A, Fournier J, Novero M, Barker DG, Bonfante

P. 2011. Arbuscular mycorrhizal hyphopodia and germinated spore exudates trigger Ca2+ spiking in the legume and nonlegume root epidermis. New Phytologist **189**, 347–355.

Chagué V, Elad Y, Barakat R, Tudzynski P, Sharon A. 2002. Ethylene biosynthesis in Botrytis cinerea. FEMS Microbiology Ecology **40**, 143–149.

Chanclud E, Morel J-B. 2016. Plant hormones: a fungal point of view. Molecular Plant Pathology **17**, 1289–1297.

Chen Y, Althiab Almasaud R, Carrie E, Desbrosses G, Binder BM, Chervin C. 2020. Ethanol, at physiological concentrations, affects ethylene sensing in tomato germinating seeds and seedlings. Plant Science **291**, 110368.

Choi J, Lee T, Cho J, *et al.* 2020. The negative regulator SMAX1 controls mycorrhizal symbiosis and strigolactone biosynthesis in rice. Nature Communications **11**, 2114.

Choi J, Summers W, Paszkowski U. 2018. Mechanisms Underlying Establishment of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbioses. Annual Review of Phytopathology **56**, 135–160.

Chou TW, Yang SF. 1973. The biogenesis of ethylene in Penicillium digitatum. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics **157**, 73–82.

van Dam NM, Bouwmeester HJ. 2016. Metabolomics in the Rhizosphere: Tapping into Belowground Chemical Communication. Trends in Plant Science **21**, 256–265.

Dunwell JM, Purvis A, Khuri S. 2004. Cupins: The most functionally diverse protein superfamily? Phytochemistry **65**, 7–17.

Esch H, Hundeshagen B, Schneider-Poetsch H, Bothe H. 1994. Demonstration of abscisic acid in spores and hyphae of the arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungus Glomus and in the N2-fixing cyanobacterium Anabaena variabilis. Plant Science **99**, 9–16.

Etemadi M, Gutjahr C, Couzigou JM, Zouine M, Lauressergues D, Timmers A, Audran C, Bouzayen M, Bécard G, Combier JP. 2014. Auxin perception is required for arbuscule development in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Physiology **166**, 281–292.

Foo E. 2013. Something old, something new: Auxin and strigolactone interact in the ancient mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Signaling and Behavior **8**.

Foo E, Ross JJ, Jones WT, Reid JB. 2013. Plant hormones in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses: An emerging role for gibberellins. Annals of Botany **111**, 769–779.

Fracetto GGM, Peres LEP, Mehdy MC, Lambais MR. 2013. Tomato ethylene mutants exhibit differences in arbuscular mycorrhiza development and levels of plant defense-related

transcripts. Symbiosis **60**, 155–167.

Galland L. 2014. The gut microbiome and the brain. Journal of Medicinal Food **17**, 1261–1272.

Gay G, Normand L, Marmeisse R, Sotta B, Debaud JC. 1994. Auxin overproducer mutants of
Hebeloma cylindrosporum Romagnesi have increased mycorrhizal activity. New Phytologist
128, 645–657.

Genre A, Chabaud M, Balzergue C, *et al.* 2013. Short-chain chitin oligomers from arbuscular
mycorrhizal fungi trigger nuclear Ca2+ spiking in Medicago truncatula roots and their
production is enhanced by strigolactone. New Phytologist **198**, 190–202.

Giovannetti M, Mari A, Novero M, Bonfante P. 2015. Early Lotus japonicus root
transcriptomic responses to symbiotic and pathogenic fungal exudates. Frontiers in Plant Science
6, 480.

Gomez-Roldan V, Fermas S, Brewer PB, *et al.* 2008. Strigolactone inhibition of shoot
branching. Nature **455**, 189–194.

Graham JH, Linderman RG. 1980. Ethylene production by ectomycorrhizal fungi, Fusarium
oxysporum f.sp.pini, and by aseptically synthesized ectomycorrhizae and Fusarium-infected
Douglas-fir roots. Canadian Journal of Microbiology **26**, 1340–1347.

Gutjahr C. 2014. Phytohormone signaling in arbuscular mycorhiza development. Current
Opinion in Plant Biology **20**, 26–34.

Gutjahr C, Gobbato E, Choi J, *et al.* 2015. Rice perception of symbiotic arbuscular
mycorrhizal fungi requires the karrikin receptor complex. Science **350**, 1521–1524.

Hamayun M, Khan SA, Khan AL, Rehman G, Kim YH, Iqbal I, Hussain J, Lee IJ, Sohn
EY. 2010. Gibberellin production and plant growth promotion from pure cultures of
Cladosporium sp. MH-6 isolated from cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.). Mycologia **102**, 989–995.

Hérivaux A, Dugé de Bernonville T, Roux C, *et al.* 2017. The Identification of Phytohormone
Receptor Homologs in Early Diverging Fungi Suggests a Role for Plant Sensing in Land
Colonization by Fungi (JW Taylor, Ed.). mBio **8**, e01739-16.

Herrera Medina MJ, Gagnon H, Piché Y, Ocampo JA, García Garrido JM, Vierheilig H.
2003. Root colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi is affected by the salicylic acid content
of the plant. Plant Science **164**, 993–998.

Hottiger T, Boller T. 1991. Ethylene biosynthesis in Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. tulipae
proceeds from glutamate/2-oxoglutarate and requires oxygen and ferrous ions in vivo. Archives

of Microbiology **157**, 18–22.

Houben M, Van de Poel B. 2019. 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase (ACO): The
enzyme that makes the plant hormone ethylene. Frontiers in Plant Science **10**, 695.

Hoyerova K, Gaudinova A, Malbeck J, *et al.* 2006. Efficiency of different methods of
extraction and purification of cytokinins. Phytochemistry **67**, 1151–1159.

Ishii T, Shrestha YH, Matsumoto I, Kadoya K. 1996. Effect of ethylene on the growth of
vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and on the mycorrhizal formation of trifoliate orange
roots. Journal of the Japanese Society for Horticultural Science **65**, 525–529.

Jentschel K, Thiel D, Rehn F, Ludwig-Müller J. 2006. Arbuscular mycorrhiza enhances auxin
levels and alters auxin biosynthesis in Tropaeolum majus during early stages of colonization.
Physiologia Plantarum **129**, 320–333.

Kang SM, Khan AL, Hamayun M, Hussain J, Joo GJ, You YH, Kim JG, Lee IJ. 2012.
Gibberellin-producing Promicromonospora sp. SE188 improves Solanum lycopersicum plant
growth and influences endogenous plant hormones. Journal of Microbiology **50**, 902–909.

Karavolos MH, Winzer K, Williams P, Khan CMA. 2013. Pathogen espionage: Multiple
bacterial adrenergic sensors eavesdrop on host communication systems. Molecular Microbiology
87, 455–465.

Keymer A, Gutjahr C. 2018. Cross-kingdom lipid transfer in arbuscular mycorrhiza symbiosis
and beyond. Current Opinion in Plant Biology **44**, 137–144.

Khatabi B, Schäfer P. 2012. Ethylene in mutualistic symbioses. Plant Signaling & Behavior **7**,
1634–1638.

Kirwa HK, Murungi LK, Beck JJ, Torto B. 2018. Elicitation of Differential Responses in the
Root-Knot Nematode Meloidogyne incognita to Tomato Root Exudate Cytokinin, Flavonoids,
and Alkaloids. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry **66**, 11291–11300.

Kojima M, Kamada-Nobusada T, Komatsu H, *et al.* 2009. Highly sensitive and high-
throughput analysis of plant hormones using ms-probe modification and liquid
chromatographytandem mass spectrometry: An application for hormone profiling in oryza sativa.
Plant and Cell Physiology **50**, 1201–1214.

Kong CH, Zhang SZ, Li YH, Xia ZC, Yang XF, Meiners SJ, Wang P. 2018. Plant neighbor
detection and allelochemical response are driven by root-secreted signaling chemicals. Nature
Communications **9**, 3867.

Kudoyarova G, Arkhipova T, Korshunova T, Bakaeva M, Loginov O, Dodd IC. 2019.
Phytohormone Mediation of Interactions Between Plants and Non-Symbiotic Growth Promoting
Bacteria Under Edaphic Stresses. Frontiers in Plant Science **10**.

Landgraf R, Schaarschmidt S, Hause B. 2012. Repeated leaf wounding alters the colonization
of Medicago truncatula roots by beneficial and pathogenic microorganisms. Plant, Cell &
Environment **35**, 1344–1357.

Lanfranco L, Fiorilli V, Gutjahr C. 2018. Partner communication and role of nutrients in the
arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. New Phytologist **220**, 1031–1046.

Liao D, Wang S, Cui M, Liu J, Chen A, Xu G. 2018. Phytohormones regulate the development
of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. International Journal of Molecular Sciences **19**, 3146.

Liu X, Feng Z, Zhu H, Yao Q. 2019. Exogenous abscisic acid and root volatiles increase
sporulation of Rhizophagus irregularis DAOM 197198 in asymbiotic and pre-symbiotic status.
Mycorrhiza **29**, 581–589.

Ludwig-Müller J, Bennett RN, García-Garrido JM, Piché Y, Vierheilig H. 2002. Reduced
arbuscular mycorrhizal root colonization in Tropaeolum majus and Carica papaya after jasmonic
acid application can not be attributed to increased glucosinolate levels. Journal of Plant
Physiology **159**, 517–523.

Ludwig-Müller J, Kaldorf M, Sutter EG, Epstein E. 1997. Indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) is
enhanced in young maize (Zea mays L.) roots colonized with the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus
Glomus intraradices. Plant Science **125**, 153–162.

Maclean AM, Bravo A, Harrison MJ. 2017. Plant signaling and metabolic pathways enabling
arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Cell **29**, 2319–2335.

Maillet F, Poinsot V, André O, ***et al.*** 2011. Fungal lipochitooligosaccharide symbiotic signals
in arbuscular mycorrhiza. Nature **469**, 58–64.

Le Marquer M, Bécard G, Frei dit Frey N. 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi possess a
CLAVATA3/embryo surrounding region☐related gene that positively regulates symbiosis. New
Phytologist **222**, 1030–1042.

Martín-Rodríguez JÁ, León-Morcillo R, Vierheilig H, Ocampo JA, Ludwig-Müller J,
García-Garrido JM. 2011. Ethylene-dependent/ethylene-independent ABA regulation of
tomato plants colonized by arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi. New Phytologist **190**, 193–205.

Meixner C, Ludwig-Müller J, Miersch O, Gresshoff P, Staehelin C, Vierheilig H. 2005.

Lack of mycorrhizal autoregulation and phytohormonal changes in the supernodulating soybean

mutant nts1007. Planta **222**, 709–715.

Mohammadi K, Shiva Khalesro, Sohrabi Y, Heidari G. 2011. A Review⎕: Beneficial Effects

of the Mycorrhizal Fungi for Plant Growth. Journal of Applied Environmental and Biological

Sciences **1**, 310–319.

Mukherjee A, Ané J-MM. 2011. Germinating Spore Exudates from Arbuscular Mycorrhizal

Fungi: Molecular and Developmental Responses in Plants and Their Regulation by Ethylene.

Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions MPMI **24**, 260–270.

Nadal M, Sawers R, Naseem S, *et al.* 2017. An N-acetylglucosamine transporter required for

arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses in rice and maize. Nature Plants **3**.

Nagahashi G, Douds DD. 2011. The effects of hydroxy fatty acids on the hyphal branching of

germinated spores of AM fungi. Fungal Biology **115**, 351–358.

Nagata M, Yamamoto N, Miyamoto T, Shimomura A, Arima S, Hirsch AM, Suzuki A.

2016. Enhanced hyphal growth of arbuscular mycorrhizae by root exudates derived from high

R/FR treated Lotus japonicus. Plant Signaling and Behavior **11**, e1187356.

Ogawa T, Takahashi M, Fujii T, Tazaki M, Fukuda H. 1990. The Role of

NADH:Fe(III)EDTA Oxidoreductase in Ethylene Formation from 2-Keto-4-Methylthiobutyrate.

Journal of Fermentation and Bioengineering **69**, 287–291.

Pozo MJ, Azcón-Aguilar C. 2007. Unraveling mycorrhiza-induced resistance. Current Opinion

in Plant Biology **10**, 393–398.

Primrose SB. 1977. Evaluation of the Role of Methional, 2-Keto-4-methylthiobutyric Acid and

Peroxidase in Ethylene Formation by Escherichia coli. Journal of General Microbiology **98**, 519–

528.

Redecker D. 2000. Glomalean Fungi from the Ordovician. Science **289**, 1920–1921.

Smith S, Read D. 2008. *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*. Academic Press.

Smith SE, Smith FA, Jakobsen I. 2003. Mycorrhizal fungi can dominate phosphate supply to

plants irrespective of growth responses. Plant Physiology **133**, 16–20.

Spaepen S, Bossuyt S, Engelen K, Marchal K, Vanderleyden J. 2014. Phenotypical and

molecular responses of Arabidopsis thaliana roots as a result of inoculation with the auxin-

producing bacterium Azospirillum brasilense. New Phytologist **201**, 850–861.

Splivallo R, Fischer U, Göbel C, Feussner I, Karlovsky P. 2009. Truffles regulate plant root

morphogenesis via the production of auxin and ethylene. Plant Physiology **150**, 2018–2029.

Sun X guang, Bonfante P, Tang M. 2015. Effect of volatiles versus exudates released by
germinating spores of Gigaspora margarita on lateral root formation. Plant Physiology and
Biochemistry **97**, 1–10.

Torres de Los Santos R, Vierheilig H, Ocampo JA, García Garrido JM. 2011. Altered
pattern of arbuscular mycorrhizal formation in tomato ethylene mutants. Plant Signaling &
Behavior **6**, 755–758.

Vives-Peris V, Gómez-Cadenas A, Pérez-Clemente RM. 2017. Citrus plants exude proline and
phytohormones under abiotic stress conditions. Plant Cell Reports **36**, 1971–1984.

Volpe V, Carotenuto G, Berzero C, Cagnina L, Puech-Pagès V, Genre A. 2020. Short chain
chito-oligosaccharides promote arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization in Medicago truncatula.
Carbohydrate Polymers **229**, 115505.

Wang FF, Cheng ST, Wu Y, Ren BZ, Qian W. 2017. A Bacterial Receptor PcrK Senses the
Plant Hormone Cytokinin to Promote Adaptation to Oxidative Stress. Cell Reports **21**, 2940–
2951.

Yang SF. 1967. Biosynthesis of ethylene. Ethylene formation from methional by horseradish
peroxidase. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics **122**, 481–487.

Yang SF, Ku HS, Pratt HK. 1967. Photochemical production of ethylene from methionine and
its analogues in the presence of flavin mononucleotide. Journal of Biological Chemistry **242**,
5274–5280.

Zsögön A, Lambais MR, Benedito VA, Figueira AVDO, Peres LEP. 2008. Reduced
arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization in tomato ethylene mutants. Scientia Agricola **65**, 259–267.

Figure legends

Fig. 1 Detection by LC-MS of iPR and IAA exuded by *R. irregularis spores*
(A) Structure and fragmentation pattern of iPR. (B) UPLC-MRM-MS chromatograms of iPR in
positive mode. Blue lines represent the signals obtained for iPR external standard (100 nM)
(intensity is displayed in mega counts per seconds (Mcps)). Red lines represent the signals
obtained with GSE produced by 10,000 *R. irregularis* spores (intensity is displayed in kilo
counts per seconds (kcps)). Plain lines are for *m/z* transition 336 > 204. Dashed lines are for *m/z*
transition 336 > 136. (C) LC-HRMS extracted ion chromatogram (XIC) for *m/z* = 336.1666 (+/-

5ppm). The blue line represents the signal obtained for iPR external standard (300 nM). The red

line represents the signal obtained with GSE produced by 250,000 *R. irregularis* spores

(intensity is displayed in Mcps). (D) Structure and fragmentation pattern of IAA. (E) UPLC-

MRM-MS chromatograms of IAA in positive mode. Blue lines represent the signals obtained for

IAA external standard (100 nM) (intensity is displayed in kcps). Red lines represent the signals

obtained with GSE produced by 10,000 *R. irregularis* spores (intensity is displayed in kcps).

Plain lines are for *m/z* transition 176 > 131. Dashed lines are for *m/z* transition 176 > 77. (F) LC-

HRMS XIC for *m/ z*= 176.0705 (+/-5ppm). The blue line represents the signal obtained for IAA

external standard (300 nM). The red line represents the signal obtained with GSE produced by

250,000 *R. irregularis* spores (intensity is displayed in Mcps).

Fig. 2 GA$_4$ detection in multiple reactions monitoring (MRM) mode

(A) Structure and fragmentation pattern of GA$_4$. (B) UPLC-MRM-MS chromatogram of GA$_4$ in

negative mode. Top (blue lines): External standard (30 nM) of GA$_4$. Middle (red lines): pre-

purified SPE fraction from 250,000 ground spores of *R. irregularis*. Bottom (purple lines): pre-

purified SPE fraction from 250,000 ground spores of *R. irregularis* spiked with GA$_4$ standard to

a final concentration of 30 nM. Plain lines are for *m/z* transition 331 > 213. Dashed lines are for

m/z transition 331 > 257. Signal intensities are displayed in kilo counts per second (kcps).

Fig. 3 Ethylene production by *R. irregularis* in response to different treatments

20,000 spores were germinated for three days in the dark, in the presence or absence of 10 mM

methionine (Met) or 1 µM α-keto γ-methylthiobutyric acid (KMBA). Tubes were then sealed

with a gas-tight stopper and exposed to light or darkness for 24h. One mL of the headspace gas

was then analysed by gas chromatography. Different letters indicate different statistical groups

(pairwise Kruskal-Wallis test with FDR correction, *P* < 0.05)

Figures

Fig. 1 Detection by LC-MS of iPR and IAA exuded by *R. irregularis spores*

 Fig. 2 GA₄ detection in multiple reactions monitoring (MRM) mode

Fig. 3 Ethylene production by *R. irregularis* in response to different treatments