Whole genome sequencing of global *Spodoptera frugiperda* populations: evidence for complex, multiple introductions across the Old World

Tay WT[1], Rane R[1], Padovan A[1], Walsh T[1], Elfekih S[2], Downes S[3], Nam K[4], d'Alençon E[4], Zhang J[5], Wu Y[5], Nègre N[4], Kunz D[6], Kriticos DJ[1], Czepak C[7], Otim M[8], Gordon KHJ[1].

1. CSIRO Black Mountain Laboratories, Clunies Ross Street, ACT 2602, Australia
2. CSIRO Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness, Geelong, Vic, Australia
3. CSIRO FD McMaster Laboratories, New England Highway, Armidale NSW2350, Australia
4. DGIMI, Université Montpellier, INRAE, Montpellier, France
5. College of Plant Protection Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China
6. Gordon Institute, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1QN, UK
7. Universidade Federal de Goiás, Escola de Agronomia, Goiânia, GO, Brazil
8. National Crops Resources Research Institute, Namulonge, Kampala, Uganda

Abstract

Accurate genomic knowledge can elucidate the global spread patterns of invasive pests. The high-profile invasive agricultural pest *Spodoptera frugiperda* (fall armyworm; FAW) is a case in point. Native to the Americas, the FAW was first reported in West Africa in 2016 and has rapidly spread to over 64 countries across the Old World, resulting in significant economic losses. The chronological order of reported detections has led to the hypothesis that the FAW moved eastwards across Africa and then Asia, however genomic evidence remains lacking to test this hypothesis and to identify the potential origin of invasive populations. Using a whole genome sequencing approach, we explored the population genomic signatures of FAW populations from the Americas and the Old World. Analyses of complete mitochondrial DNA genomes and of 870 high-quality nuclear genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphic (SNP) DNA markers identified five distinct New World populations that broadly reflected their native geographical ranges and absence of host-plant preference status. Unique FAW populations in the Old World were also identified that suggested multiple introductions underpinned the rapid global spread of FAW. We identified in Asian FAW individuals, genomes that showed no evidence of admixture; while analysis of identified complex substructure revealed significant directional geneflow from Asia into East African populations, in contrast to a simple east-to-west spread. Our study highlights the need for a population genomics approach in analysing complex pest invasions, and the importance of global collaboration to address global biosecurity challenges presented by emerging high priority insect pests.

Correspondence

Email: weetek.tay@csiro.au   **Tel:** +61-2-6246 4286

Running title: FAW global spread by multiple introductions

Key words: Fall armyworm, global agricultural biosecurity, invasion biology, draft mitochondrial DNA genomes, single nucleotide polymorphic markers

Introduction

Global agriculture is increasingly affected by the spread of invasive pests and diseases, which is often assisted by global trade. A well-documented global invasion by an insect pest is that of the hemipteran sap-sucking *Bemisia tabaci* cryptic MEAM1 and MED species (Elfekih et al. 2018) that spread from the species' endemic population origins in the Middle East-Asia Minor and the Mediterranean region, respectively, to at least 54 countries (De Barro et al. 2011). Global agricultural trade has also been linked with the introductions of the highly polyphagous lepidopteran pest *Helicoverpa armigera* from the Old World (Tay et al. 2017a) to South America (Czepak et al. 2013; Tay et al. 2013) and the Caribbean islands (Gilligan et al. 2019; Tay et al. 2017a; Kriticos et al. 2015). This noctuid moth's wide host range, flight ability (Jones et al. 2019) and ability to develop resistance to insecticides (Walsh et al. 2018) has enabled establishment across the New World with significant economic (Pomari-Fernandes et al. 2015; Pozebon et al. 2020), and ecological consequences. Importantly, the availability of samples from early stages in the invasion has made it possible to distinguish demographic from adaptive evolutionary processes, as well as to study hybridization upon second contact with a sister species (Anderson et al. 2016; Anderson et al. 2018; Arnemann et al. 2019; Valencia-Montoya et al. 2020).

Long a significant pest of agriculture in its native New World range, the noctuid fall armyworm (FAW) *Spodoptera frugiperda* was first reported in East Africa (Nigeria and São Tomé and Príncipe) in early 2016 (Goergen et al. 2016), followed by confirmation across central (Congo, Cock et al. 2017; Togo, Nagoshi et al. 2017), Southern (Jacobs et al. 2018) and Eastern (Otim et al. 2018) sub-Saharan Africa between early 2017 to 2018 (FAO report, 2018a). The Middle East (Yemen; FAO report, 2019a) followed by India (Sharanabasappa et al. 2018; Ganiger et al. 2018) and surrounding nations (e.g., Bangladesh, Sri Lanka, Myanmar; FAO 2019b), Thailand (EPPO 2019) also reported detection of the pest between August and December 2018, followed by Southern China (Yunnan Province) in early January 2019 (Tay and Gordon 2019; Zhang et al. 2019; Wu et al. 2019). Detections of FAW since January 2019 have gathered speed: south-ward to Malaysia (March 2019) and Indonesia (Sumatra, April 2019; Java, July 2019; Kalimantan July 2019); Hong Kong (April 2019), Taiwan (May/June 2019); Laos and Vietnam (April 2019; USDA 2019), the Philippines (June 2019, FAO 2019c; Navasero et al. 2019), South Korea (June 2019), and Japan (June 2019) (Vennila et al. 2019). Within China, the FAW has been reported in a northward expansion pattern from Yunnan to 18 provinces by July 2019 (FAO 2019d; Silver 2019; Song et al. 2020). As of August 2019, a total of 64 African and Asian nations have reported FAW (Czepak et al. 2019). In January 2020, FAW was trapped in Australia's special biosecurity zone in the Torres Strait islands of Saibai and Erub, and confirmed on 3 February 2020, and on mainland Australia in Bamaga on 18 February 2020 (FAO 2020; QDAF 2020).

This chronologically ordered eastward spread of detections led to a widely adopted assumption (Wild 2017) that the FAW was actually spreading west-to-east across and then from Africa. Based on the detection timeline, predictive simulations that assumed human-assisted spread, in particular agricultural trade, have modelled this very vagile pest's movement from the east coast of America/the Greater Antilles to East Africa (e.g., Togo; Nagoshi et al. 2017); between Central and Southern America and Africa, and between Africa and Asia (e.g., India, China; South East Asia; Early et al. 2018). The human-assisted spread model (Early et al. 2018) was also used to warn China and South East Asian nations of imminent impact by FAW following confirmation of the pest in India (FAO 2018b). This model further forms the basis of international research efforts to track the movement, including using molecular tools to examine invasion biology (e.g., Nagoshi et al. 2017; Gouin et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2019), and simulations to model long distance dispersal (e.g., Early et al. 2018; Westbrook et al. 2019; du Plessis et al. 2018). Indeed a meteorological data-based simulation study (i.e., wind currents, monsoon wind patterns) concluded the Yunnan FAW populations originated from Myanmar, consistent with FAW being officially reported earlier in Myanmar (December 2018; FAO 2019b; Sun et al. 2018) than in China (January 2019; FAO 2019d). Other work has examined the impact and implications for global plant health and agricultural biosecurity (e.g., Day et al. 2017; Tay and Gordon 2019), integrated pest management (IPM) and bioeconomics (Hruska 2019; Assefa and Ayalew 2019; Firake and Behere 2020), and insecticide resistance (e.g., Zhang et al. 2019; Guan et al. 2020; Song et al. 2020).

Genetic studies on the spread of FAW have focussed on single genes on the mitochondrial genome, occasionally supported by a single partial nuclear gene marker. These markers have been widely used because, throughout much of the native range, FAW populations consist of two morphologically identical host races, the rice-preferred and corn-preferred *S. frugiperda* ('*Sf*r' and '*Sf*c', respectively), that have also been considered as potential sister species (e.g., Dumas et al. 2015a, b; Otim et al. 2018). These two host races are supported by phylogenetic analyses based on nuclear and mitochondrial DNA genomes (Gouin et al. 2017), and partial mitochondrial DNA genes (e.g., Dumas et al. 2015a, Otim et al. 2018, Goergen et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2019;

Sharanabasappa et al. 2018). The distribution of these *Sf*r and *Sf*c populations in their New World range has only recently been investigated based on partial mitochondrial and nuclear genes (Nagoshi et al. 2019), while at the whole genome level they are less well-understood. Genotypes from both host races/sister species are present in the invasive populations (e.g., Nagoshi et al. 2017; 2020; Jing et al. 2019; Mahadeva et al. 2018). Since 2010 (e.g., Nagoshi 2010; Murúa et al. 2015) and especially in recent times during the FAW range expansion (e.g., Nagoshi et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2019; Nagoshi et al. 2020), the partial *Triose Phosphate Isomerase* (*Tpi*) gene on the Z-chromosome has been adopted to aid in the clarification of the *Sf*c and *Sf*r host race status. The *Tpi* marker relies on the presence of a single nucleotide polymorphic (SNP) marker at position 183 (e.g., Nagoshi 2010; Nagoshi et al. 2019) to differentiate between corn- or rice-preferred FAW. Similarly, inconclusive host preferences based on the mtCOI gene marker also detected both *Sf*c and *Sf*r on corn-host plants (e.g., Otim et al. 2018). Contrary to the introduction patterns of the related and invasive noctuid *H. armigera* in South America (Czepak et al. 2013) which showed significant genetic diversity (Tay et al. 2013; Tay et al. 2017a; Arnemann et al. 2019) similar to that reported for global populations of *H. armigera* (Behere et al. 2007, Pearce et al, 2017), the current global partial mtCOI signatures of both *Sf*c and *Sf*r have been consistent with a single introduction, which, when considered together with the *Tpi* locus, was suggested to likely have a Florida/Greater Antilles source population (Nagoshi et al. 2017).

What is missing from current research into the spread of FAW is analysis of broader genomic evidence. Genome-wide single nucleotide polymorphic (SNP) markers aligned to well-annotated genomes can provide powerful genomic evidence for understanding introduction pathways (e.g., Elfekih et al. 2018) and eliminate candidate populations (Anderson et al. 2016) as well as elucidate hybrid signatures (Anderson et al. 2018). The genomes of both *Sf*r and *Sf*c have been sequenced and annotated (Gouin et al. 2017), allowing higher resolution analysis of genetic structure, migration patterns and sub-species status based on a high number of genome-wide SNPs to enable identification of the potential New World origins, and the species and admixture status of the invasive *Sf*c and *Sf*r populations.

In this study, we provide an assessment of global FAW movement history based on genomic data that incorporates populations from both Northern, Central, and Southern Americas, and the Caribbean (i.e., representing the original population range), Western and Eastern Africa, and Western and Eastern Asia, representing the pest's Old World expansion. Here we reveal a multi-locus invasion that is likely independent of the reported detection patterns and their timelines, and provide genomic-based evidence to support multiple introductions of the FAW into the Old World, with significant movements of FAW detected between Asia and Africa. We also re-evaluated the pest's global spread directionality to highlight implications in future management of FAW, and the need for on-going global agricultural biosecurity research and cooperation to improve preparedness for emerging invasive agricultural pest issues.

Material and Methods

Spodoptera frugiperda populations sampled and analysed in this study were sourced from Florida (n=24) (Orsucci et al. 2018), Mississippi (n=18) (Gouin et al. 2017), Puerto Rico (n=15) (Nam et al. 2019), Peru (n=16), Brazil (n=12; IBAMA Permit number: 18BR028445/DF), Mexico (n=10), Guadeloupe (n=4), French Guiana (n=3), Benin (n=4), India (n=12) (Yainna et al. 2020); Tanzania (n=1), Uganda (n=15), Malawi (n=16), and three populations from Yunnan Province, China (CC=19; CY=12; CX=15; Guan et al. 2020), and one individual (CH=1) from DAWE pre-border interception program, also from Yunnan, China (Suppl. Table 1). The initial differentiation of these individuals as 'corn-preferred' or 'rice-preferred' was based on the partial mtDNA COI gene region (see Dumas 2015a) and a polymorphism within the Triose Phosphate Isomerase (*Tpi)* gene (see Nagoshi 2010).

Extraction of total genomic DNA was carried out at the CSIRO Black Mountain Laboratories site in Canberra Australia for the Brazil, Peru, Tanzania, Malawi and Uganda populations, as well as the pre-border intercepted FAW sample from China, using the Qiagen Blood and Tissue DNA extraction kit following instructions as provided, with genomic DNA eluted in 200μL EB. Total genomic DNA for the other three Chinese populations were extracted at Nanjing Agricultural University as detailed in Guan et al. (2020). Total genomic DNA from Mississippi, Florida, Puerto Rico, Guadeloupe, Mexico, and French Guiana, and Indian populations was carried out at INRAE DGIMI (Univ. Montpellier, INRAE, France) as described in Yainna et al. (2020).

Genomic libraries prepared by CSIRO were constructed using an Illumina Nextera Flex DNA Library Prep Kit following manufacturer's instructions and sequenced by Illumina NovaSeq6000 S4 300 sequencing system at the

Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF). Sequencing efforts were shared between three research institutions: 61 samples were prepared at CSIRO (populations from Brazil, Peru, Uganda, Tanzania, and Malawi), 46 samples were prepared by NJAU for populations from China Yunnan Province (CC, CY and CX counties), and 89 samples were prepared by DGIMI, France (populations from Florida, Mississippi, Puerto Rico, Guadeloupe, French Guiana, Mexico, Benin and India). The Peru FAW samples and the single FAW sample CH06 from Yunnan China were intercepted at Australia's pre-border inspections of imported agricultural and horticultural commodities by the Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment (DAWE) on fresh vegetables and cut flowers, respectively. The FAW CH06 was sequenced using the Illumina MiSeq high throughput sequencing (HTS) platform following the methods as described by Tay et al. (2017b).

Mitochondrial genomes assembly and haplotypes characterisation

The mitochondrial DNA genome for all samples were assembled using Geneious 11.1.5 based on strategies previously used for assembly of *Helicoverpa* species as outlined in Walsh et al. (2019). Assembled mitogenomes were annotated using Mitos (Bernt et al. 2013) selecting invertebrate mitochondrial genetic code. All annotated protein coding genes/coding sequences (PCGs/CDS) were re-annotated visually to identify putative stop codons and to align start codon positions. Four regions of low complexity (corresponding to BC55 nt6065-6092; nt9544-9580; nt12807-12838; nt15047-15276) were trimmed due to alignment difficulties and low genome assembly confidence associated with simple repeat units, resulting in all samples having final mitochondrial DNA genome length of 15,059 bp. We identified unique mitogenome haplotypes using the DNAcollapser in FaBox (1.5) <https://users-birc.au.dk/~palle/php/fabox/dnacollapser.php> (Villesen 2007) after alignment using MAFFT Alignment v7.450 (Kathoh et al. 2002; Katoh and Standley 2013) within Geneious 11.1.5 and selecting the Auto option for Algorithm and 200PAM / K=2 for Scoring matrix, Gap open penalty of 1.53, and offset value of 0.123.

SNPs selection

The reference genomes in Gouin et al. (2017) are from native populations, *Sf*C from Guadeloupe and *Sf*R from Florida (see also Yainna et al. (2020), and Nam et al. (2019) for high quality assemblies of native population genomes for *Sf*R, and Nam et al. (2018) for high quality genome assemblies of native *Sf*c). The reference genomes published recently by three Chinese groups may differ since they are from invasive populations, from Yunnan in China (Liu et al. 2019), from the Zhejiang province of China (Xiao et al. 2020), and from 2017 sampled FAW individuals collected from maize fields in Lusaka, Zambia (Zhang et al., 2019)). In this study, we used the original assembled genome of Gouin et al. (2017) for our raw data processing. Genomic raw data was cleaned and trimmed using Trimmomatic and aligned to the *S. frugiperda* (rice v1) genome (Gouin et al. 2017) using bwa_mem (Li, 2013). Variants were predicted using BBMap (Bushnell, 2014) and indel's normalised using BCFtools (Li et al, 2009) to obtain a whole genome SNP panel. Variants were filtered to remove SNP's with minimum allele frequency of 0.01 and linkage disequilibrium (LD) pruned to obtain 870 unlinked SNP's across all individuals.

Phylogeny analyses

Phylogenies based on trimmed partial mitochondrial DNA genomes of 15,059 bp and from genome-wide SPSs were individually inferred using IQ-Tree <http://iqtree.cibiv.univie.ac.at> (Trifinopoulos et al. 2016). For the nuclear SNPs, the panel of 870 SNPs from each individual in fasta format was up-loaded to the IQ-Tree web server and selecting the automatic substitution model option. For the mitochondrial DNA genome Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogeny was inferred with edge-linked partition for the 13 protein coding genes and excluding all four regions of low complexity. We used the Ultrafast bootstrap analysis (Minh et al. 2013) with 1,000 bootstrap alignments to assess branch support for both mitochondrial DNA genome and nuclear SNPs phylogenies. Output consensus tree files in Newick format were visualised and manipulated using Dendroscope version 3.5.7 (Huson and Scornavacca 2012).

Genetic diversity and neutrality tests

Observed (H_{obs}) and expected (H_{exp}) heterozygosity were calculated for each population using the populations program in Stacks (Catchen et al 2013) and the Adegenet package in R (Jombart and Ahmed 2011;

Jombart et al. 2018). The number of loci departing significantly from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) in the global population and individual populations was assessed using plink2 (Chang et al. 2015) and VCFtools (Danecek et al. 2011). To test for neutrality, Tajima's D (Tajima 1989) and Fu and Li's D* (Fu and Li 1993) were calculated for each population using the PopGenome package in R (Pfeifer et al. 2014). Nucleotide diversity (π) and Wright's inbreeding coefficient, F_{IS} (Wright 1951), were calculated using the populations program in Stacks. Pairwise comparisons of weighted F_{ST} values between populations were calculated using Genepop (v4.7.5; Raymond and Rousset 1995) and differentiation between populations tested for significance using the exact G test.

Population structure and migration

Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using plink v1.9 (Purcell et al. 2007). Admixture was estimated using Admixture v1.3.0 (Alexander et al. 2009). For plotting of networks, the R package NetView (Neuditschko et al. 2012; Steinig et al. 2015) was used. The network drawn using the plotAdmixture function in this package is based on a ML distance matrix calculated from the IQ-Tree phylogeny shown in Fig. 3, using the R package ape (Paradis and Schliep, 2019).

To estimate directional gene flow between the populations, as well as the relative magnitudes of these flows, the function divMigrate in the R package diveRsity (Keenan et al. 2013) online version was used <https://popgen.shinyapps.io/divMigrate-online/> (Sundqvist et al. 2016). Gene flows between all sites were calculated and then normalized to obtain relative migration rates (between 0 and 1). The program divMigrate searches for gene flow directionality between each pair of populations by identifying significant asymmetry using a hypothetically defined pool of migrants for each pair. This pool is then compared to pairs in the overall population to calculate directional genetic differentiation and relative migration. To evaluate the significance of asymmetric migration, 1,000 bootstraps were performed. Resulting migration matrices were then plotted using Gephi <https://gephi.org/> to generate network graphs. These show directional gene flows between populations (located at the nodes), with the thickness of the lines showing relative strength of gene flow.

Results

A total of 197 FAW individuals were sequenced, 102 from the native New World range and 95 from the invasive Old World range (Fig. 1). From the pest's native range, we detected 25 'rice' mitochondrial genome (i.e., mitogenome) haplotypes, and 51 'corn' mitogenome haplotypes. All FAW from Mexico and Peru had the 'corn' mitogenome while FAW from Guadeloupe and French Guiana were all 'rice' mitogenomes. Of the FAW from the invasive range six carried 'corn' mitogenome haplotypes and six carried 'rice' mitogenome haplotypes; one 'corn' mitogenome haplotype (represented by green colour in Fig. 1) was shared between CY and Indian individuals. No African corn mitogenome haplotypes were otherwise shared with Asian FAW populations. In contrast, 83% (I.e., 68/82) of African and Asian FAW with 'rice' mitogenomes shared a common haplotype (represented by the yellow colour in Fig. 1). Shared mitogenome haplotypes were detected also between FAW individuals from China and Benin (blue colour haplotype), and between those from Uganda, Tanzania, Malawi and India FAW individuals (represented by light green colour haplotype; Fig. 1). In general, the high diversity of haplotypes in both 'rice' and 'corn' in the native range and the lack of diversity in the invasive range is consistent with patterns observed in invasive populations that have a relatively small number of founders. GenBank accession numbers for full mitochondrial genomes from all individuals are listed in Suppl. Table 1.

Fig. 1: New and Old Worlds' FAW populations and proportions of mitochondrial DNA haplotypes based on 15,059 bp of the mitochondrial DNA genomes and excluding four regions of low complexity. For the New World 'rice' FAW, a total of 20 unique mitogenome haplotypes (represented by white colour proportion of each pie chart), and 11 non-unique mitogenome haplotypes were detected (i.e., a total of 25 mitochondrial haplotypes in rice FAW in the New World). For the 'corn' mitogenomes, 46 unique haplotypes were detected from the native range, while 25 corn FAW individuals shared a total of seven haplotypes (i.e., a total of 46+7=53 mitochondrial haplotypes). In the invasive range, six unique 'rice' mitogenomes (i.e., white portion of the pie charts, representing two individuals from Uganda, two individuals from Malawi, and two individuals from China (CY, n=1; CX, n=1) and three shared mitogenomes (i.e., dark blue, yellow, pale green) were detected from 76

individuals from Africa (n=22), India (n=11) and China (n=43). For the 'corn' FAW from the invasive range, six unique mitogenome haplotypes (i.e., white portions of pie charts) and three non-unique mitogenome haplotypes (pale orange, pale blue and dark green) were detected, although only one individual each from China and India shared a common mitogenome (represented by dark green). With the exception of white colour representing unique mitogenomes, colour schemes are otherwise independent between 'corn' and 'rice' mitogenome haplotypes. China FAW populations from Yunnan Province of Cangyuan (CC), Yuanjing (CY), and Xinping (CX) are indicated. One pre-border FAW intercepted on December 2016 from cut flowers that originated from Yunnan China (CH06) with a unique corn mitogenome is indicated with '*' (placed together with the CY corn pie-chart). Numbers within pie-charts indicate individuals for each mitogenome haplotype.

Mitochondrial DNA genome phylogeny

The trimmed (15,059bp) mitochondrial DNA genome phylogeny of all individuals in our study identified two distinct clades that corresponded to the 'rice-preferred' and 'corn-preferred' clusters (Fig. 2). Based on the near complete mitogenome phylogeny, a minimum of four and five introduction events were likely associated with the 'rice' and 'corn' maternal lineages, respectively (Fig. 2). Except for the 'corn' specimen (CH06) from Yunnan that clustered strongly with an individual from Mississippi (UM04), all 'corn' individuals from the invasive range (i.e., MW26, BE30, MW01, MW06. IN12, MW16, UG03, UG06) clustered weakly with individuals from Florida. Similarly, apart from the Benin individual (i.e., BE01), all remaining 'rice' FAW from the invasive range also clustered weakly with individuals from Florida. Therefore, the likely origins of the invasive 'corn' and 'rice' FAW in the Old World is inconclusive based on the near complete draft mitogenome phylogeny.

Fig. 2: FAW Maximum Likelihood phylogeny constructed using IQ-Tree based on 15,059 bp partial mitochondrial genome with edge-linked partition for the 13 protein coding genes and excluding four regions of low complexity. Node support estimated from 1,000 bootstrap replications. 'Rice' clade is indicated by branches in green (native range) and purple (invasive range), and 'corn' clade is indicated by branches in orange (native) and red (invasive range). Unique haplotypes from all populations are included. Country codes are: UF (USA-Florida), UM (USA-Mississippi), PR (Puerto Rico), GP (Guadeloupe), GF (French Guiana), PE (Peru), MX (Mexico), BC (Brazil-CC), BR (Brzil-rCC), BE (Benin), UG (Uganda), TZ (Tanzania), MW (Malawi), IN (India), and four populations from China Yunnan Province (Australia pre-border interception (CH06); Cangyuan (CC), Yuanjing (CY), and Xinping (CX)).

Nuclear SNP Phylogeny

The ML phylogeny based on 870 unlinked and neutral SNPs revealed four distinct clades (clades I, II, III, IV; see Fig. 3) across the sampled populations. Native and invasive individuals were a component of each clade which enabled a side-by-side comparison of population structure. Members within each clade were grouped with high (90-96%) bootstrap branch node support values. Clade I included the majority of the invasive FAW individuals from China (CX, CY, CC populations), India (IN), Uganda (UG), and Benin (BE) as well as individuals from Brazil. Overall, subclades within Clade I indicated unique genomic signatures between the CC and CY/CX populations. Indian and African populations (i.e., Uganda, Benin) were scattered among the CC and CY/CX populations. This interspersed clustering of subclades from Chinese, African and Indian populations suggests a complex FAW spread across the Old World, with some of the China CY individuals potentially sharing a New World origin similar to the Brazil rCC (i.e., 'BR' code, Fig. 3 Clade I) individuals.

Clade II, which is phylogenetically most closely related to Clade I, is dominated by individuals from Mississippi. Within this clade, individuals from China (i.e., CX), Uganda, Benin and India are also present, indicative of likely separate introductions of FAW from population(s) with genetic similarity to the Mississippi population into the Old World. Clade III is represented by a separate Brazilian (i.e., 'BC') FAW population and the Peru FAW individuals. Invasive populations clustered within clade III were the Malawi FAW population, a single Tanzania and three Ugandan individuals, suggesting that these African FAW shared a similar origin that is different from other African (e.g., Benin, rest of Uganda) and Asian populations. The Ugandan population in particular appears genetically highly heterogeneous, indicating it too have mixed introduction backgrounds.

Clade IV is dominated by the Florida population and other Caribbean islands/Greater Antilles (e.g., Puerto Rico)/Lesser Antilles (e.g., Guadeloupe)/ Central American (e.g., Mexico), and parts of the northern

region of South America (e.g., French Guiana) FAW populations. Clade IV contained a single invasive Chinese FAW (i.e., CH06; intercepted at Australia's pre-border inspection program). Taken as a whole, the nuclear SNP phylogeny provides clear evidence for multiple introductions of FAW to the Old World (African and Asian continents), while identifying populations associated with the Mississippi and the Brazilian 'BR' populations as likely sources of invasive populations into the Old World. The source population for Malawi's FAW was likely population(s) from South America, currently represented by Peru/Brazil (BC) populations. Based on interception data, with the exception of a single unique FAW, Florida and the Greater Antilles do not appear to be likely sources for the current invasive populations in the Old World.

Our nuclear SNP phylogeny therefore clearly showed that the native range FAW populations could be classified based on their geographic origins. The unexpected direct phylogenetic relationship between the US Mississippi and Brazil-rCC (i.e., 'BR') population, suggested potential movements of populations within North America (i.e., Mississippi is not the wintering ground for FAW and represents the melting-pot for migrating individuals from Texas and Florida that are only present in the summer seasonal migration; Nagoshi et al. 2012) and between North and South America. Finally, a significant overall finding was that our panel of neutral SNPs selected from whole genome sequencing did not separate individuals based on 'corn' or 'rice' mitochondrial DNA genome signatures, nor did they support the host strain characterisation based on the *Tpi* partial gene marker (see below).

Genetic diversity and neutrality tests

Basic population diversity statistics for each population are listed in Table 1. Nucleotide diversity (π) varied across a narrow range (0.287-0.329), for the limited number of variable and independent SNPs analysed, that included no invariant loci. No significant overall difference was observed between the native and invasive range populations. All populations showed higher average observed heterozygosity (H_{obs}) than the average expected heterozygosities (H_{exp}), both in the native and invasive ranges, with the highest H_{obs} seen in the Malawi population. Negative F_{IS} values for all populations were consistent with H_{obs} being higher than H_{exp}, and suggested systematic avoidance of consanguineous mating (Wright 1965) within FAW subpopulations as a whole. The heterozygosity excess observed in all these populations is most likely indicative of the recent mixing of previously distinct populations. These are likely the result of multiple introductions into the invasive range, as already suggested by the nuclear SNP phylogeny (Fig. 3) and PCA (Fig. 4). The heterozygosity excess detected for the native range populations may similarly be due to high levels of migration among populations in the native range. Consistent with these observations, a number of the populations including most from the invasive range also contained significant numbers of loci not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE). This was especially the case for the two largest populations from China (i.e., China-CY and China-XP), Malawi and Uganda, as well as for several native range populations; many of the populations studied therefore appear to result from recent mixing of previously separated populations. The number of loci departing significantly from HWE in the global population was over half (i.e., 437 of the total 870), highlighting the complex global population structure.

The statistical tests for neutrality were also highest for those populations with highest H_{obs} and lowest numbers of loci in HWE. For the Tajima's D estimates, all populations showed positive D values. Some populations (with lower sample numbers) showed lower Tajima's D values (below 0.4), suggesting that these populations were evolving at close to mutation-drift equilibrium. The high Tajima's D calculated for most of the populations (particularly values > 1.40) supports them having structure that likely reflects each comprising multiple recent introductions from different source populations. The other test for neutrality, Fu & Li's D*, gave consistent results with Tajima's D, further supporting the finding of genomic signatures in these populations due to recent introduction of different populations. These demographic factors are the likely reason for the elevated neutrality test results, since these were averages across the complete SNP set derived from the whole genome, rather than results for individual loci that might be under balancing selection (Yainna et al. 2020). Interestingly, this may also apply to populations in the native range. Another potential explanation for the high D and D* values, that of rapid population collapse, is unlikely since it would require much longer evolutionary time frames than in the current populations sampled.

Table 1: Population statistics for native and invasive range FAW populations.

Pop. Code	Pop.	No. Samples	Avg. H_{exp}	Avg. H_{obs}	HWE, P>0.001	Tajima's D	Fu & Li's D*	F_{IS}	Nt diversity (π)
BC	Brazil-CC	8	0.289	0.420	870	0.693	0.671	-0.241	0.309
BE	Benin	4	0.274	0.408	870	0.331	0.328	-0.179	0.313
BR	Brazil-rCC	4	0.263	0.396	870	0.130	0.130	-0.178	0.301
CC	China-CY	19	0.282	0.400	796	1.418	1.286	-0.262	0.289
CH	China-H	1							
CX	China-XP	15	0.293	0.416	837	1.249	1	-0.263	0.303
CY	China-YJ	12	0.284	0.405	870	1.013	1	-0.248	0.296
GF	French Guiana	3	0.247	0.375	870			-0.138	0.296
GP	Guadeloupe	4	0.245	0.359	870	0.293	0	-0.152	0.279
IN	India	12	0.289	0.403	870	1.137	1	-0.239	0.301
MW	Malawi	16	0.319	0.461	838	1.411	1.30	-0.303	0.329
MX	Mexico	10	0.265	0.403	870	0.717	0.610	-0.263	0.279
PE	Peru	16	0.319	0.456	848	1.554	1.293	-0.295	0.329
PR	Puerto Rico	15	0.288	0.404	845	1.241	1.188	-0.251	0.298
TZ	Tanzania	1							
UF	USA-FL	24	0.281	0.383	810	1.470	1.699	-0.242	0.287
UG	Uganda	15	0.305	0.428	843	1.795	1.298	-0.266	0.315
UM	USA-MS	18	0.320	0.453	820	1.717	1.452	-0.293	0.329

Note: The native range FAW populations are: USA-Florida (UF), USA-Mississippi (UM), Brazil-rCC (BR), Brazil-CC (BC), Puerto Rico (PR), Guadeloupe (GP), French Guiana (FG), Peru (PE), Mexico (MX) and the invasive range FAW populations are Benin (BE), Uganda (UG), Tanzania (TZ), Malawi (MW), India (IN), and China (CH, CC, CY, CX). See Suppl. Table 1 for sample and population details, and see Materials and Methods for details of how the statistics were calculated. Neutrality tests (Tajima's D and Fu & Li's D*) were only calculated for populations with at least 4 samples. Nucleotide diversity was calculated using Stacks only for the variant loci analysed and no window size specified.

Fig. 3: IQ-Tree with 1,000 bootstraps replications to estimate node support for *Spodoptera frugiperda* populations from Northern America (Mississippi, Florida), Caribbean (Puerto Rico, Guadeloupe, French Guiana), and South America (Peru, Brazil), as well as *S. frugiperda* populations representing the Old World invasive range from Western Africa (Benin), Eastern Africa (Uganda, Tanzania, Malawi), and Asia (India, China). A total of 870 independent SNPs (i.e., unlinked) from non-coding regions distributed across the genome with no missing data were used. Populations are represented by unique colour schemes as indicated. Three populations of *S. frugiperda* from China Yunnan Province are Cangyuan (CC), Yuanjing (CY), and Xinping (CX), and two populations of *S. frugiperda* from Brazil are Brazil-CC (BC) and Brazil-rCC (BR). Branch nodes with 100% bootstrap support are indicated by red dots. Bootstrap values of <50% are not shown.

<u>Population structure and migration</u>

Multivariate Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the 197 individuals in the native and invasive populations was also based on the 870 neutral and unlinked SNP loci and showed the individuals to largely cluster according to their populations, as previously observed in the phylogenetic analyses (above). The native FAW populations formed five clusters as shown in Fig. 4A. More detailed analysis of the native range samples showed those from Peru to clustered overall with the Brazil-CC population (code 'BC') while also overlapping with those from Florida (Fig. 4B), and the samples from Puerto Rico, Guadeloupe and French Guiana tended to cluster with Floridian population with 96% confidence (Fig. 4C). This panel also showed the invasive FAW population from Malawi clustering with Brazil-CC and Peru in Clade III, with 96% confidence. The Ugandan population was scattered across Clades I, II and III (Fig. 4D) while the Benin individuals fell within clades I and II

and that from Tanzania fell just outside of 96% confidence of Clade III. Indian FAW individuals showed similar clustering patterns to those of Ugandan individuals, being found in Clades I, II, and III (Fig. 4E). The Chinese FAW populations were predominantly clustered within Clade I, with a few XP individuals also found within Clade II (Fig. 4F). No individual from China was found in Clade III, while one individual (CH06) was clustered with Florida population (Clade IV) at 96% confidence. We did not identify any invasive population to cluster with the Mexican population.

Pairwise gene flow estimates (F_{ST}) between the populations varied significantly (Table 2). The Mexico and Brazil-rCC (BR) populations overall showed very limited gene flow with all other populations, while the Brazil population showed a low level of gene flow with both Peru and US Mississippi (UM) populations. There was a lack of population substructure especially between invasive range populations which suggests varying levels of gene flow. Significant population substructure was detected between Peru and invasive FAW populations from China-CY, China-XP and China-YJ, and India, while F_{ST} estimates indicated gene flow occurring between Peru and African populations (Benin, Tanzania, Uganda, and Malawi), suggesting some level of movements within African populations.

Admixture analysis

Analysis of populations using Admixture showed structure evident at K values from 3 to 5 (Fig. 5). At K=3, a total of six Chinese individuals from the CY and YJ populations appeared to be non-admixed (grey dots). Similarly, at K=4, three of these six FAW individuals remained non-admixed as indicated by grey dots. However, at K=5, the number of non-admixed individuals nearly doubled compared with K=3, with individuals from CC (indicated by grey dots) and CX (indicated by red dots) being most different overall, although there was also one individual from CX showing a non-admixed genome signature similar to those detected in the CC population, and one CY individual with a non-admix genome pattern similar to those detected in CX. No other FAW individuals from the invasive range otherwise showed non-admixed genomic signatures irrespective of the K-values of 3, 4 or 5. As expected based on PCA and nuclear SNP phylogeny findings, the Malawi FAW individuals share very similar admixture patterns as FAW individuals from Peru and Brazil-CC (i.e. 'BC') populations. This shared admixed profile between Malawi and Peru/BC populations is especially clear at K=5, which also enable clearer visualisation of the Tanzanian individual and selected Ugandan individuals (e.g., UG11, UG12, UG13) as also having similar admixture profiles as Malawi individuals.

Admixture analysis of native populations of FAW showed that majority of individuals have admixed genomic signatures. The exceptions being individuals from Florida (e.g., UF19, UF09, UF12, UF16), and Guadeloupe (GP02, GP04) at predominantly K=4 and K=5. Interestingly, these individuals with non-admixed genomic signatures (at either K=3, 4 and/or 5) also possessed the rice mitogenome haplotypes (Fig. 5). This observation is similar to that observed for the non-admixed Chinese individuals that have mitogenomes which also exhibited the rice haplotypes. Admixture analysis also revealed most Mexican individuals as having non-admixed genome patterns and with the corn mitogenome haplotypes (Suppl. Figs. 1A and 1B). As found in the phylogenetic analyses (above), comparison of the admixture patterns to mitogenomes and the *Tpi* locus of native and invasive FAW populations failed to identify any evidence to support the characterisation of FAW, and especially in the invasive range, as either 'corn-' or 'rice-' preferred *S. frugiperda*. The genome admixture signatures of FAW across its African and Asian invasive range again suggest a complex pattern for FAW introduction into the Old World. For example, given the highly admixed genomic patterns detected in African and Indian FAW individuals, it is unlikely that matings between these admixed populations would lead to individuals with non-admixed genomic signatures in China unless there was some very strong selection pressure acting across these selected CY, CC, and CX individuals' genome as a whole.

Table 2: Population pairwise gene flow estimates (F_{ST}) between native and invasive range FAW populations.

	BE	BC	BR	CC	CH	CX	CY	GF	GP	IN	MW	MX	PE	PR	TZ	UF	UM	UG
BE	N/A											***						
BC	0.027	N/A		***		***	***			***	^	***		***		***		***
BR	0.071	0.039	N/A	***		***	***			***	+	***		+		***		***
CC	0.012	0.05	0.09	N/A		***			***		***	***	***	***		***	***	***
CH	0.029	0.02	0.124	0.057	N/A													
CX	0.019	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.049	N/A			***		***	***	***	***		***	***	
CY	0.006	0.04	0.08	0.008	0.052	0.012	N/A		^		***	***	***	***		***	***	
GF	0.036	0.039	0.088	0.048	0.035	0.053	0.052	N/A				***						
GP	0.041	0.048	0.086	0.06	0.046	0.06	0.05	0.002	N/A		+	***	^					***
IN	0.004	0.04	0.07	0.008	0.032	0.012	0.006	0.037	0.043	N/A	^	***	***	***		***	***	
MW	0.007	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.024	0.03	0.02	0.039	0.05	0.02	N/A	***		***		***	***	
MX	0.07	0.07	0.1	0.08	0.088	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.07	N/A	***	***		***	***	***
PE	0.02	0.011	0.042	0.05	0.018	0.05	0.04	0.037	0.04	0.04	0.014	0.06	N/A	***		***		***
PR	0.018	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.024	0.03	0.03	0.031	0.039	0.02	0.028	0.05	0.03	N/A		***	***	***
TZ	0.041	0.051	0.14	0.071	Nan	0.076	0.069	0.081	0.098	0.047	0.007	0.132	0.019	0.066	N/A			
UF	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.016	0.03	0.03	0.008	0.014	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.062	N/A	***	***
UM	0.034	0.018	0.042	0.05	0.026	0.05	0.05	0.041	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.021	0.03	N/A	***
UG	0.003	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.025	0.021	0.013	0.032	0.043	0.011	0.001	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.011	0.03	0.03	N/A

Note: The populations are denoted as in Table 1. The F_{ST} values are given in the lower left half of the table, and the p-values (*** p << 0.001; + p ≤ 0.01; ^ p ≤ 0.05) in the upper right. Both Tanzania and China-H06 populations consisted of one individual each and their pairwise F_{ST} was therefore not estimated.

Fig. 4: Principal Component Analyses of native and invasive FAW populations based on 870 neutral and unlinked SNP loci. **Panel A** shows the five clusters of native FAW populations (identified also from the genome-wide SNP phylogeny in Fig. 3). Circles indicate confidence as shown in Fig. 3. **Panel B:** Peru individuals clustered overall with Brazil-CC population (Clade III; pink colour) but also overlapped Florida population (Clade IV, light green colour). **Panel C:** Puerto Rico (purple colour), Guadeloupe (black colour) and French Guiana (wheat) overall clustered with Florida population with 96% confidence, while the invasive FAW population from Malawi (yellow colour) clustered in Clade III with Brazil-CC and Peru with 96% confidence. **Panel D:** PCA of Uganda population (blue colour) indicated the population were scattered across Clades I, II and III, Benin individuals (Saddlebrown colour) fell within clades I and II, while Tanzania (Azure 4 colour) fell just outside of 96% confidence of Clade III. **Panel E:** Indian FAW individuals showed similar clustering patterns as the Ugandan individuals, being found in Clades I, II, and III. **Panel F:** Chinese FAW populations were predominantly clustered within Clade I, with few CX individuals also found within Clade II. No individual from China was found in Clade III, while one individual originating from Australia's pre-border inspection program was clustered with Florida population (Clade IV) at 96% confidence. No invasive populations were clustered with the Mexican population. Colour codes for populations as provided in Fig. 3.

Fig. 5: Admixture analysis based on K=3 (Cross-Validation Error (CVE): 0.375), K=4 (CVE: 0.377), and K=5 (CVE: 0.380). Populations 'USAM' and 'USAF' are from Mississippi and Florida, respectively. Populations from China were from Cangyuan (CY), Yuanjiang (YJ), Xinping (XP) in Yunnan Province. Corn- ('C') or rice- ('R') preferred plant hosts are identified based on mtCOI as per Dumas et al. (2016), and by *Tpi* SNP approach as per Nagoshi (2010) are indicated by green or yellow bars, respectively. Specimen ID's and sampling countries are as labelled. 'N/C' for *Tpi* indicates no coverage. Grey bars indicate individuals with heterozygous *Tpi* SNPs.

Admixture networks

To explore the population substructure revealed by the admixture analysis in relation to the ML clusters obtained from phylogeny and PCA, we performed network analysis using the plotAdmixture function in the NetView R package. The ML network of individuals belonging to each of the specified populations is shown in Fig. 6A. The four major clusters, I - IV, correspond to those shown in the ML tree (Fig. 3). Individuals from some populations were shown to be spread across multiple clades, e.g., PR, UF and UM from the native range and IN, BE and CX from the invasive populations. Of the populations in the invasive range, those from China were found predominantly in cluster I, with some CX individuals in cluster II and the single CH06 individual in cluster IV.

Fig. 6a: Maximum Likelihood (ML) network showing individuals belonging to the populations as given in the legend. The network was drawn using the plotAdmixture function in the R package NetView (Neuditschko et al. 2012; Steinig et al 2015), and is based on a ML distance matrix calculated from the IQ-Tree shown in Fig. 3. using the R package ape (Paradis and Schliep 2019). The four major clusters, I – IV, correspond to those shown in the tree. Individuals are identified by country codes as follows: China XP (CX), China YJ (CY), China CY (CC), China HIA006 (CH), India (IN), Uganda (UG), Tanzania (TZ), Malawi (MW), Benin (BE), Brazil CC (BC), Brazil rCC (BR), Peru (PE), French Guiana (GF), Mexico (MX), Guadeloupe (GP), Puerto Rico (PR), USA-Florida (UF), and USA-Mississippi (UM). See Supplementary Table S1 for complete information about the individuals.

Plotting admixture proportions at K=5 on this network provided further insight into the complex relationships between these populations (Fig. 6B). The different populations from China that predominantly comprise Cluster I each have distinct admixture profiles that are significantly shared with those of individuals from Uganda and India. In cluster II, China-XP (CX), India (IN), Benin (BE), and Uganda (UG) formed network with

USA-Mississippi (UM) individuals. In Cluster III, all Malawi (MW) individuals and various Ugandan (UG) individuals and the single Tanzanian (TZ) individual formed a network cluster with Peru (PE), Brazil-CC (BC), and some USA Florida (UF) individuals. In cluster IV, only one Chinese FAW (CH) was found to group to this predominantly Caribbean/Central America FAW group (consisting of UF, Puerto Rico (PR), French Guiana (GF), Guadeloupe (GP), and Mexico (MX) FAW individuals).

Fig. 6b: Maximum Likelihood-distance network with admixture analysis at K=5 presented as pie charts for each individual. The ML network is that shown in Figure 6b and individuals, identified by the same codes, and the same four distinct clusters (I-IV) are indicated. Cluster I comprises predominantly different Chinese populations each with distinct admixture profiles but included also individuals from Uganda, India, Brazil-rCC (BR) and Puerto Rico. In cluster II, China-XP (CX), India, Benin, and Uganda formed network with USA-Mississippi individuals. In Cluster III, all Malawi individuals and various Tanzania and Uganda individuals were grouped with Peru, Brazil-CC (BC), and selected USA-FL individuals. In cluster IV, only one Chinese FAW (CH) was found to group to this predominantly Caribbean/Central America FAW group (consisting of USA-FL, Puerto Rico, French Guiana, Guadeloupe, and Mexico FAW individuals). Note that individuals sharing the same colour schemes do not necessarily have the same genetic content, and that the MEX group consisted only of individuals from Mexico showing little admixture with any other population.

Directionality of gene flow analysis using divMigrate

Analysis of the directionality of gene flow (i.e., relative directional migration) between populations using divMigrate enabled investigation of possible introduction pathways leading to the complex population substructure patterns seen in the above analyses. As shown in Fig. 7, the most significant directional gene flow signatures seen were from all three Chinese populations (i.e., CX, CY, CC) into Malawi and from the Cangyuan

(CC) population into Uganda. Significant gene flow from Florida (UF) and from Puerto Rico (PR) into the Mississippi (UM) FAW population, which the above (e.g., Figs. 3, 4A, 5, 6a) had shown to be distinct was also detected. No evidence was found for directional gene flow from any of the populations studied into China, nor any from or into India. Together with the Admixture results (Fig. 5), these results indicate the East African FAW populations likely originated from China, with some independent 'non-China' introductions also detected in Malawi. The Admixture signatures within the Ugandan FAW population suggested the presence of two genetically distinct FAW populations (Figs. 5, 6B), one of which originated from Asia and involved genetic contribution from the Yunnan Cangyuan (CC) population (Fig. 7), as well as gene flow from Malawi (Fig. 5). While the Malawi population overall showed admixture patterns similar to Peru (Fig. 5) with the PCA showing the Malawi, Peru and Brazil-CC (BC) populations clustered together (Figs. 4B, 4C), directionality analysis indicated genetic contributions from all three Chinese FAW populations (Fig. 7).

Fig 7: Analysis using divMigrate to infer directionality of gene flow (i.e., relative directional migration) between New World native and Old World invasive *Spodoptera frugiperda* populations. The divMigrate analysis was run using the online server <https://popgen.shinyapps.io/divMigrate-online/> (Sundqvist et al. 2016). The analysis was performed with the G_{ST} migration statistic of Nei (1973) and Nei and Chesser (1983) at filter threshold = 3.0 and 1,000 bootstrap replications to assess confidence with alpha value set at 0.05 (i.e., 95% confidence). Weighted values above 0.50 are indicated. Population codes are IN (India), PR (Puerto Rico), MX (Mexico), UG (Uganda), CC (China Cangyyan), CY (China Yuanjiang), CX (China Xinping), MW (Malawi), PE (Peru), GP (Guadeloupe), BR (Brazil-rCC), UM (USA Mississippi), and UF (USA Florida). Significant gene flow is seen from all three Chinese populations into Malawi and from Cangyuan (CC) to Uganda (UG). Significant gene flow from Florida and from Puerto Rico into the Mississippi FAW population is also detected.

Discussion

The genomic analysis of FAW from native and invasive ranges in this work contradicts recent published theories on the pathway, origin, and direction of spread of this pest across the Old World. Using neutral and unlinked genome-wide SNPs obtained from material available at early stages of the invasion, we showed, through population admixture analysis, and ML distance network and gene flow directionality analyses, that there were likely multiple introductions to both Africa and Asia. Studies to date have relied on analyses of limited partial mitochondrial DNA (e.g., partial COI and CYTB; Otim et al. 2018; Mahadeva et al. 2018) and the nuclear *Tpi* partial gene (e.g., Nagoshi et al. 2020) of various African, Asian and South East Asian invasive *S. frugiperda* populations, with comparisons to native New World *S. frugiperda* populations. These studies inferred the directionality of spread from the timing of official reporting to the FAO, and described a single introduction of FAW to the Old World from an eastern American/Greater Antilles population, that spread rapidly across the sub-Saharan African nations, before moving to the Indian sub-continent via the Middle East, and then to South East Asia, and China (Nagoshi et al. 2020).

Genome wide SNP analyses in this present study showed the populations in China and Africa to be genetically diverse and demonstrates strong evidence for a more complex pattern of spread in the Old World, including a significant proportion of east-to-west movement, with populations from Asia (e.g., China Yunnan Province) as a source of invasive FAW populations in Africa (e.g., Malawi, Uganda, Benin). The confirmation of FAW in Nigeria and São Tomé and Príncipe in early 2016 (Goergen et al. 2016) after reports of crop damage suggests that it was present in west Africa earlier, and given the genomic evidence reported here would suggest that the FAW was present in Asia prior to 2016. We also provided clear evidence for multiple introductions of this agricultural pest into Africa, demonstrating conclusively that the Malawian FAW populations have a distinct genomic signature representing a New World population different to the potential New World source populations of the Chinese populations. The early, undocumented movement (e.g., CH06), and the complex pattern of multiple introductions, are consistent with the perceived rapid spread reported across the African (Stokstad 2017) and Asian continents (Baloch et al. 2020).

Despite being one of the worst agricultural pests in the New World, there has been limited work, at the population genomic level, on the FAW pest complex. Through our genome-wide SNP analyses, we have identified unexpected complexity in the FAW population structure in the New World. While the mitochondrial genome analysis confirmed the two canonical clades that have long been suggested to define two strains with different host preferences [corn (*Sfc*) and rice (*Sfr*)], the nuclear SNP analyses showed a more complex population structure. FAW populations in the New World could be differentiated into at least five distinct groups that broadly followed the species' geographic distributions, and with no obvious pattern related to host race determination by mitochondrial or *Tpi* markers, providing the first genome-wide support for suggestions that these mitochondrial genomes (and the often associated *Tpi* marker) do not define any real population structure across the native range of FAW (e.g., Nagoshi et al. 2019, Juarez et al. 2014). Groot et al. (2016) observed a lack of consistent correlation between host plant and mitochondrial genome in native range populations. Frequent hybridisation has been known to occur in the field (e.g. Nagoshi et al. 2006), and would also account for the observed pattern. Furthermore, Haenniger et al. (2020) observed that African populations contained hybrids that were F2 or even later generations, and mating time differences within the African populations were likely related to the differences in circadian gene expression previously identified in *Sfc* or *Sfr* populations in their native range (Haenniger et al. 2017). Orsucci et al. (2018) suggested that differences in mitochondria function could be directly related to host preferences, which could explain the absence of a correlation between the mitochondrial and nuclear genotypes, but this lack of any clear genomic correlation is why all these workers have called for genome-wide studies in field populations.

In the North American continent, we detected directional migration from Florida and the Puerto Rican populations to the genetically distinct Mississippi one. This is consistent with findings based on mtCOI sequences that the Mississippi populations were established through seasonal migration from Texas and Florida (Nagoshi et al. 2012). There also seems to be evidence for a wider Caribbean population including Florida, Puerto Rico, Mexico, the Lesser Antilles (e.g., Guadeloupe) and the north-eastern region of South America (e.g., French Guiana). Mexican FAW formed a separate sub-clade within the Florida/Greater Antilles/Lesser Antilles FAW group. Significant pairwise F_{ST} estimates between Mexico and all New World FAW populations indicated very limited gene flow occurred between the Mexican population and other New World (and invasive) populations. Northern Mexican populations have been shown to be similar to the Southern Texas overwintering population so it is interesting that in this study the Mexican population sits within the broader Caribbean clade that includes Florida (Nagoshi et al. 2012). Previous studies (e.g., López-Edwards et al. 1999; Nagoshi et al. 2015) have also

identified Mexican FAW populations as potentially limited in migratory interactions and biologically unique even between populations from different Mexican geographical regions.

Our PCA on genome wide SNPs identified the Brazilian FAW as two genetically-distinct populations, with one population ('BC') being phylogenetically more closely related to the Peruvian FAW population, and the BR population which is phylogenetically more closely related to the Mississippi population. The Brazilian 'BR' population included individuals that had been found to have a novel 12 bp deletion mutation in the ABCC2 gene (Guan et al. 2020). The implications of the close phylogenetic relationship between the BR and Mississippi populations are significant given that FAW is regarded as a major agricultural pest in Brazil (e.g., Czepak et al. 2019), and the possible movements of alleles that could potentially underpin resistance, especially to Cry1F and Cry1A toxins, would add to the challenge of managing this pest in the Americas.

The genomic analyses in the present study support multiple introductions from different sources into Africa, rather than a single introduction into western Africa. Phylogenetic inference and PCA clearly identified the South American FAW population, as represented by the Peru/Brazil-CC samples, as the likely source for the Malawi population. If this introduction was trade-related, it is more likely to have occurred via neighbouring countries such as South Africa which has greater agricultural trade with South America than does Malawi <https://oec.world/en/> (accessed 06-Dec-2019). A relationship between FAW populations in Uganda, Tanzania and Malawi is evident, with the admixture analysis identifying the Tanzanian individual and selected Ugandan individuals as sharing very similar genomic profiles with the Malawi population (e.g., Fig. 5, K=5,). Interestingly, one Indian FAW individual (i.e., individual IN13; Fig. 5) also shared very similar genomic admixture profiles with the Malawi FAW population, and could indicate movements of East African FAW population into the Asian continent, although it is currently not possible to rule out separate introductions involving the South American Peru/Brazil population into the Indian sub-continent based on the limited sample size we have from India.

The complex genetic relationships between populations in Africa and those elsewhere in the Old World have implications for our understanding of pathways for biological invasions. The East African FAW populations from Uganda and Malawi showed genetic relatedness to the Yunnan CC population, and the Yunnan CC, CY, and CX populations, respectively, with directionality analyses showing gene flow from China to Africa, consistent with admixture analyses, although we also note that our inference of FAW movements across the Old World is significantly limited by the availability of Asian/East Asian/South East Asian populations. The ML network analysis showed that the Benin FAW population also clustered within the same networks as the Yunnan CY, CX and Ugandan populations (Clusters I and II), indicating that the spread of FAW from Yunnan into the African continent potentially extended to west Africa. The detection of a shared rice mitogenome haplotype between the two extremes of our invasive FAW sampling sites of Benin, and from the Yunnan CY population from China, provided further evidence for this 'East to West' spread of FAW populations into Africa (Fig. 1). While it might be logical to conclude, from the very limited data of shared mitogenome haplotypes between Benin and China, that FAW spread was from west Africa to east Asia, applying bioinformatic analytical approaches enabled a re-evaluation of the FAW global invasion patterns. For example, copy number variations (CNV) analysis from whole genome sequence data also enabled Yainna et al. (2020) to detect distinct signatures between the China/East African (Uganda, Malawi) from the Benin/Indian FAW populations, thereby further supported multiple introductions of FAW across different Old World regions. This and the study of Yainna et al. (2020) highlight the need for whole-genome derived datasets to accurately trace population origins and global movements of highly mobile insect pests.

The invasive FAW in west Africa (i.e., Togo) was proposed to have originated from the Greater Antilles/Eastern Florida based on inferences from a partial nuclear *Tpi* gene marker and two partial mitochondrial DNA genes (i.e., COI, Cyt *b*) (Nagoshi et al. 2017), and which was further linked to subsequent invasion into South-eastern Asia and China (Nagoshi et al. 2020). This finding was not supported by our whole genome analysis, which identified at least four distinct populations of FAW in Yunnan Province, involving at least five separate introduction events (Figs. 6a, 6b). While the Yunnan CH06 individual and the Yunnan CX, CY and CC populations all possessed their own unique genetic signatures, only the various CX individuals could be linked to the USA Mississippi FAW population, and the single CH06 FAW individual (Figs. 3 and 4F) to the USA Florida population. The Yunnan CY and CC populations and the remaining CX individuals all clustered with the Brazil-rCC (i.e., 'BR') population (Figs. 3 and 4F), potentially indicating a shared origin, or the same source population and as a connected introduction event across globally disparate locations.

While populations in the Yunnan Province and Africa have enabled inference of the overall directionality of introductions, the lack of samples across much of the invasive range, in particular S.E. Asia (e.g.,

Myanmar, Thailand, Vietnam, Malaysia, Indonesia, etc.) meant that other candidate FAW invasive populations could have been the 'source invasive population' for the Asian/Old World invasion. One example is the modelling of FAW spread via monsoon wind patterns from Myanmar into southern China (Wu et al. 2019), a hypothesis that could be tested using genomic evidence. International trade pathways are increasingly being identified as responsible for accidental introductions of invasive plant pests and pathogens (e.g., Lopes-da-Silva et al. 2014). Evaluation of introduction pathways will therefore need to also include trade data, as has been undertaken for the invasion by *H. armigera* from the Old World into the New World (Tay et al. 2017a; Arnemann et al. 2019, reviewed also in Jones et al. 2019), and for the invasive *Bemisia tabaci* MED and MEAM1 species complex (reviewed by De Barro et al. 2011; see also Elfekih et al. 2018).

Indeed, global movements of invasive pests, exemplified by the spread of FAW, as well as the multiple introductions of *H. armigera* into the South American continent (Arnemann et al. 2019) and various Caribbean nations (Tembrock et al. 2019) from Asia (e.g., Walsh et al. 2019; Anderson et al. 2016) and various Old World regions (e.g., Tay et al. 2017a), are timely reminders of the need for global coordination of enhanced biosecurity preparedness strategies that build on advancement in genomic research. The potential negative impacts of introductions of alien species include introgression of genetic traits to local species through hybridisation (e.g., Anderson et al. 2016; Anderson et al. 2018; Walsh et al. 2018; Valencia-Montoya et al. 2019; Tay and Gordon 2019). Development of new trans-continental trade routes to increase economic growth between trading partners must therefore recognise the significant risks and take into consideration the biosecurity implications associated with the rapid spreading of highly invasive pests and pathogens of plants, animals and humans (Liu et al. 2019) that could instead undermine the aim to grow the global economy.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by CSIRO Health & Biosecurity (R-91044-01) to WTT, TW, KHJG, SE, SD. WTT, TW, SE, KHJ, DK were also funded by CSIRO Health & Biosecurity 'Genes of Biosecurity importance fund' (R-8681-1), and DK also acknowledged funding by CSIRO (R-90035-14). RR was funded by CSIRO and Hort Innovation Australia (HIA). AP was supported by CSIRO, CRDC and Bayer (R10801-01). JZ and YW were supported by National Key Research Development Program of China (No. 2019YFD0300103 to YW) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China (KYZ201920 to YW). MHO was funded by the Agricultural Technology and Agribusiness Advisory Services Project (Project ID No.: P109224) funded by the World Bank. The work performed at INRAE was publicly funded through the ANR (the French National Research Agency, Grant ID 1702-018, given to KN) under the "Investissements d'avenir" programme with the reference ANR-10-LABX-001-01 Labex Agro and coordinated by Agropolis Fondation under the frame of I-SITE MUSE (ANR-16-IDEX-0006). It was also funded by a grant from the department of Santé des Plantes et Environnement at Institut national de la recherche agronomique for KN (adaptivesv). It was also financially supported by EUPHRESCO (FAW-spedcom, given to Anne-Nathalie Volkoff). We thank Stella Adumo (NaCRRI) with Uganda FAW sample collection. Peru and China FAW pre-border interception specimens were provided by the DAWE. We thank Paul De Barro and Andy Sheppard (CSIRO) for helpful discussion.

References

Alcala N, Goudet J, Vuilleumier S. 2014. On the transition of genetic differentiation from isolation to panmixia: what we can learn from gst and d. Theor. Popul. Biol. 93, 75-84.

Alexander DH, Novembre J, Lange K. 2009. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals. Genome Research 19, 1655-664.

Anderson CJ, Tay WT, McGaughran A, Gordon K, Walsh T. 2016. Population structure and gene flow in the global pest, *Helicoverpa armigera*. Molecular Ecology 25(21), 5296-5311.

Anderson CJ, Oakeshott JG, Tay WT, Gordon KHJ, Zwick A, Walsh TK. 2018. Hybridization and gene flow in the mega-pest lineage of moth, *Helicoverpa*. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA. 115(19), 5034-5039.

Arnemann JA, Roxburgh S, Walsh T, et al. 2019. Multiple incursion pathways for *Helicoverpa armigera* in Brazil show its genetic diversity spreading in a connected world. Sci Rep 9, 19380. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55919-9.

Assefa F, Ayalew D. 2019. Status and control measures of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) infestations in maize fields in Ethiopia: A review. Cogent Food & Agriculture, 5(1), 1641902 https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2019.1641902.

Behere GT, Tay WT, Russell DA, et al. 2007. Mitochondrial DNA analysis of field populations of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and of its relationship to *H. zea*. BMC Evol Biol 7, 117. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-117.

Bernt M, Donath A, Jühling F, Externbrink F, Florentz C, Fritzsch G, Pütz J, Middendorf M, Stadler PF. 2013. MITOS: Improved de novo Metazoan Mitochondrial Genome Annotation. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 69(2), 313-319.

Baloch MN, Fan J, Haseeb M, Zhang R. 2020. Mapping potential distribution of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Central Asia. Insects 11, 172. doi:10.3390/insects11030172

Bushnell B. 2014. BBMap: A Fast, Accurate, Splice-Aware Aligner. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. LBNL Report #: LBNL-7065E. Retrieved from https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1h3515gn

Catchen J, Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko WA. 2013. Stacks: an analysis tool set for population genomics. Mol Ecol, 22,3124-3140. doi:10.1111/mec.12354

Chang CC, Chow CC, Tellier LCAM, Vattikuti S, Purcell SM, Lee JJ. 2015. Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. GigaScience, 4:7. doi: 10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8.

Cock MJW, Beseh PK, Buddie AG, et al. 2017. Molecular methods to detect *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Ghana, and implications for monitoring the spread of invasive species in developing countries. Sci Rep 7, 4103. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-04238-y.

Czepak C, Albernaz KC, Vivan LM, Guimarães HO, Carvalhais T. 2013. First reported occurrence of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Brazil. Pesq. Agropec. Trop., Goiânia, 43(1), 110-113.

Czepak C, Tay WT, Otim MH, et al. 2019. Especial *Spodoptera*. Cultivar Grandes Culturas, 244, 26-36.

da Fonseca Barbosa L, Yuki VA, Marubayashi JM, De Marchi BR, Perini FL, Pavan MA, de Barros DR, Ghanim M, Moriones E, Navas-Castillo J, Krause-Sakate R. 2015. First report of *Bemisia tabaci* Mediterranean (Q biotype) species in Brazil. Pest Management Science 71(4), 501-504.

Danecek P, Auton A, Abecasis G, Albers CA, Banks E, DePristo M A, et al. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. Bioinformatics. 27(15), 2156–8. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330.

du Plessis H, van den Berg J, Ota N, Kriticos DJ. 2018. *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Fall Armyworm). CSIRO-InSTePP Pest Geography. June 2018. Canberra.

Day R, Abrahams P, Bateman M, et al. 2017. Fall armyworm: impacts and implications for Africa. Outlooks on Pest Management 28, 196-201. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1564/v28_oct_02

De Barro PJ, Liu S-S, Boykin LM, Dinsdale AB. 2011. *Bemisia tabaci*: A statement of species status. Annual Review of Entomology 56, 1-19.

de Moraes LA, Marubayashi JM, Yuki VA, et al. 2017. New invasion of *Bemisia tabaci* Mediterranean species in Brazil associated to ornamental plants. Phytoparasitica 45, 517-525. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12600-017-0607-9.

Desneux N, Luna MG, Guillemaud T, Urbaneja A, 2011. The invasive South American tomato pinworm, *Tuta absoluta*, continues to spread in Afro-Eurasia and beyond: the new threat to tomato world production. Journal of Pest Science, 84(4), 403-408.

Dumas P, Barbut J, Le Ru B, Silvain J-F, Clamens A-L, d'Alençon E, et al. (2015a) Phylogenetic molecular species delimitations unravel potential new species in the pest genus *Spodoptera* Guenée, 1852 (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). PLoS ONE 10(4), e0122407. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0122407.

Dumas P, Legeai F, Lemaitre C, et al. (2015b) *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) host-plant variants: two host strains or two distinct species? Genetica 143, 305-316. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10709-015-9829-2.

Early R, González-Moreno P, Murphy ST, Day R. 2018. Forecasting the global extent of invasion of the cereal pest *Spodoptera frugiperda*, the fall armyworm. NeoBiota doi: 10.3897/neobiota.40.28165.

Elfekih S, Etter P, Tay WT, Fumagalli M, Gordon K, Johnson E, De Barro P. 2018. Genome-wide analyses of *Bemisia tabaci* species complex revealed contrasting patterns of admixture and complex demographic histories. PLoS ONE, 13(1), e0190555.

EPPO Global Database 2019. First report of *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Thailand. EPPO Reporting Service no. 01 - 2019 Num. article: 2019/006. https://gd.eppo.int/reporting/article-6436 (accessed 13-March, 2020).

FAO 2018a. Briefing Note on FAO Actions on Fall Armyworm in Africa, 16 February 2018. http://www.fao.org/3/a-bt415e.pdf (accessed 13-March, 2020).

FAO 2018b. Fall Armyworm likely to spread from India to other parts of Asia with South East Asia and South China most at risk. Published 14-August, 2019. http://www.fao.org/news/story/en/item/1148819/icode/ (accessed 13-March, 2020).

FAO 2019a. Briefing Note on FAO Actions on Fall Armyworm, 5 March 2019. http://www.fao.org/3/BS183E/bs183e.pdf (accessed 13-March, 2020).

FAO 2019b. First Detection Report of the Fall Armyworm Spodoptera frugiperda (Lepidoptra: Noctuidae) on Maize in Myanmar. Report Number: MMR-19/6. Publication date: 11-January, 2019. https://www.ippc.int/en/countries/myanmar/pestreports/2019/01/first-detection-report-of-the-fall-armyworm-spodoptera-frugiperda-lepidoptra-noctuidae-on-maize-in-myanma/ (accessed 13-March, 2020).

FAO 2019c. Report of first detection of Fall Army Worm (FAW) in the Republic of the Philippines. Report number: PHL-02/1 (28-October, 2019). https://www.ippc.int/en/countries/philippines/pestreports/2019/10/report-of-first-detection-of-fall-army-worm-faw-in-the-republic-of-the-philippines/ (accessed 06-April, 2020).

FAO 2019d. First Detection of Fall Armyworm in China. Publication date: 29-January, 2019. https://www.ippc.int/en/news/first-detection-of-fall-armyworm-in-china/ (accessed 13-March, 2020)

FAO 2020. First detection of Fall armyworm in Torres Strait of Australia, 18-February, 2020. https://www.ippc.int/en/news/first-detection-of-fall-armyworm-in-torres-strait-of-australia/ (accessed: 20-February, 2020).

Feldmann F, Rieckmann U, Winter S. 2019. The spread of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Africa-What should be done next? J Plant Dis Prot 126, 97-101. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41348-019-00204-0.

Firake DM, Behere GT. 2020. Natural mortality of invasive fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in maize agroecosystems of northeast India. Biological Control 148, 104303. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.104303

Fu YX, Li WH. 1993. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations. Genetics 14, 693-709.

Ganiger PC, Yeshwanth HM, Muralimohan K, Vinay N, Kumar ARV, Chandrashekara K. 2018. Occurrence of the new invasive pest, fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), in the maize fields of Karnataka, India. Current Science 115(4), 621-623.

Gilligan TM, Goldstein PZ, Timm AE, Farris R, Ledezma L, Cunningham AP. 2019. Identification of heliothine (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) larvae intercepted at U.S. ports of entry from the New World. Journal of Economic Entomology 112(2), 603-615. **doi:**10.1093/jee/toy402.

Gilligan TM, Epstein ME, Passoa SC, Powell JA, Sage OC, Brown JW. 2011. Discovery of *Lobesia botrana* ([Denis & Schiffermüller]) in California: an invasive species new to North America (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington. 113(1), 14-30.

Goergen G, Kumar PL, Sankung SB, Togola A, Tamò M. 2016. First Report of Outbreaks of the Fall Armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J E Smith) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae), a New Alien Invasive Pest in West and Central Africa. PLoS ONE 11(10): e0165632. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165632.

Gouin A, Bretaudeau A, Nam K, et al. 2017. Two genomes of highly polyphagous lepidopteran pests (*Spodoptera frugiperda*, Noctuidae) with different host-plant ranges. Sci Rep 7, 11816. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10461-4.

González RH. 2008. Biologı́a, desarrollo, caracterizació̃n, de dañ̃os y manejo fitosanitario de la polilla Europea de la vid, *Lobesia botrana* (D and S) (Lep., Tortricidae). Universidad de Chile, Direccion de Extensió̃n, Santiago, Chile. 25 pp.

Groot AT, Unbehend M, Hänniger S, Juárez ML, Kost S, Heckel DG. 2016. Evolution of reproductive isolation of *Spodoptera frugiperda*. In: Pheromone Communication in Moths: Evolution, Behavior and Application. Oakland, CA: UC Press; pp. 291-300.

Guan F, Zhang J, Shen H, Wang X, Padovan A, Walsh TK, Tay WT, Gordon KHJ, James W, Czepak C, Otim MH, Kachigamba D, Wu Y. 2020. Whole-genome sequencing to detect mutations associated with resistance to insecticides and Bt proteins in *Spodoptera frugiperda*. Insect Science (Accepted 08-Jun-2020).

Hänniger S, Dumas P, Schöfl G, Gebauer-Jung S, Vogel H, Unbehend M, Heckel DG, Groot AT. 2017. Genetic basis of allochronic differentiation in the fall armyworm. *BMC Evol Biol* **17,** 68. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12862-017-0911-5

Haenniger S, Goergen G, Akinbuluma MD, *et al.* 2020. Sexual communication of *Spodoptera frugiperda* from West Africa: Adaptation of an invasive species and implications for pest management. *Sci Rep* **10,** 2892. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59708-7

Hruska AJ, 2019. Fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) management by smallholders. CAB Reviews 14, No. 043, 11pp. doi: 10.1079/PAVSNNR201914043

Huson DH, Scornavacca C. 2012. Dendroscope 3: An interactive tool for rooted phylogenetic trees and networks, Systematic Biology 61(6), 1061-1067. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys062. Software freely available from <www.dendroscope.org>

Jacobs A, Van Vuuren A, Rong IH. 2018. Characterisation of the fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda* J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from South Africa. African Entomology 26(1):45-49.

Jing DP, Guo JF, Jiang YY, Zhao JZ, Sethi A, He KL, Wang ZY. 2019. Initial detections and spread of invasive *Spodoptera frugiperda* in China and comparisons with other noctuid larvae in corn field using molecular techniques. Insect Science, **doi:** org/10.1111/1744-7917.12700.

Jombart T. 2008. adegenet: a R package for the multivariate analysis of genetic markers. Bioinformatics 24,1403-1405. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn129.

Jombart T, Ahmed I. 2011. "adegenet 1.3-1: new tools for the analysis of genome-wide SNP data." Bioinformatics. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr521.

Jones CM, Parry H, Tay WT, Reynolds DR, Chapman JW. 2019. Movement Ecology of Pest *Helicoverpa*: Implications for Ongoing Spread. Annu Rev Entomol. 7, 64:277-295. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-011118-111959. Epub 2018 Oct 8.

Juárez ML, Schofi G, Vera MT, Vilardi JC, Murúa MG, Willink E, Hanniger S, Heckel DG, Groot AT. 2014. Population structure of *Spodoptera frugiperda* maize and rice host forms in South America: are they host strains? *Entomol Exp Appl* **152**, 182–199.

Liu X, Blackburn TM, Song T, Li X, Huang C, Li Y. 2018. Risk of biological invasion on the belt and road. Current Biology 29(3), 499-505.

Liu H, Lan T, Fang D, et al. 2019. Chromosome level draft genomes of the fall armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), an alien invasive pest in China. bioRxiv, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/671560

Lopes-da-Silva M, Sanches MM, Stancioli AR, Alves G, Sugayama R. 2014. The Role of Natural and Human-Mediated Pathways for Invasive Agricultural Pests: A Historical Analysis of Cases from Brazil. Agricultural Sciences, 5, 634-646. http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/as.2014.57067

Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 30(4), 772-780. doi:10.1093/molbev/mst010.

Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T. 2002. MAFFT: a novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. Nucleic Acids Res. 30(14), 3059-3066. doi:10.1093/nar/gkf436.

Keenan K, McGinnity P, Cross TF, Crozier WW, Prodöhl PA. 2013. diveRsity: an R package for the estimation and exploration of population genetics parameters and their associated errors. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 4, 782-788.

Kriticos DJ, Ota N, Hutchison WD, et al. 2015. The potential distribution of invading *Helicoverpa armigera* in North America: Is it just a matter of time? PLoS ONE 10(3), e0119618. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0119618

Li H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. arXiv:1303.3997v1 [q-bio.GN].

Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The Sequence alignment/map (SAM) format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics, 25, 2078-9.

López-Edwards M, Hernández-Mendoza JL, Pescador-Rubio A, Molina-Ochoa J, Lezama-Gutiérrez R, Hamm JJ, Wiseman BR. 1999. Biological differences between five populations of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) collected from corn in Mexico. Florida Entomologist, 82(2), 254-262.

Machekano H, Mutamiswa, R, Nyamukondiwa C. 2018. Evidence of rapid spread and establishment of *Tuta absoluta* (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) in semi-arid Botswana. Agric & Food Secur 7, 48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-018-0201-5.

Mahadeva SHM, Asokan R, Kalleshwaraswamy CM, Sharanabasappa, Prasad YGm, Maruthi MS, Shashank PR, et al. 2018. Prevalence of "R" strain and molecular diversity of fall army worm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in India. Indian Journal of Entomology 80(3), 544-553.

Minh BQ, Nguyen MAT, von Haeseler A. 2013. Ultrafast Approximation for Phylogenetic Bootstrap, Molecular Biology and Evolution, 30(5), 1188–1195. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst024.

Murúa MG, Nagoshi RN, Dos Santos DA, Hay-Roe MM, Meagher RL, Vilardi JC. 2015. Demonstration Using Field Collections that Argentina Fall Armyworm Populations Exhibit Strain-specific Host Plant Preferences. J Econ Entomol. 108(5), 2305-15. **doi:** 10.1093/jee/tov203.

Nagoshi RN, Meagher RL, Nuessly G, Hall DG. 2006. Effects of Fall Armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) Interstrain Mating in Wild Populations. Environmental Entomology, 35(2), 561-568. DOI:10.1603/0046-225X-35.2.561

Nagoshi RN. 2010. The Fall Armyworm Triose Phosphate Isomerase (*Tpi*) Gene as a Marker of Strain Identity and Interstrain Mating. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 103(2), 283-292.

Naghoshi RN, Meagher RL, Hay-Roe M. 2012. Inferring the annual migration patterns of fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in the United States from mitochondrial haplotypes. Ecology and Evolution. 2(7), 1458-1467.

Nagoshi RN, Goergen G, Du Plessis H, *et al.* 2019. Genetic comparisons of fall armyworm populations from 11 countries spanning sub-Saharan Africa provide insights into strain composition and migratory behaviors. *Sci Rep* **9,** 8311. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44744-9

Nagosh RN, Rosas-García NM, Meagher RL, Fleischer SJ, Westbrook JK, Sappington TW, Hay-Roe M, Thomas JMG, Murúa GM. 2015. Haplotype profile comparisons between *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations from Mexico with those from Puerto Rico, South America, and the United States and their Implications to migratory behavior, Journal of Economic Entomology, 108(1), 135–144, https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/tou044.

Nagoshi RN, Koffi D, Agboka K, Tounou KA, Banerjee R, Jurat-Fuentes JL, et al. 2017. Comparative molecular analyses of invasive fall armyworm in Togo reveal strong similarities to populations from the eastern United States and the Greater Antilles. PLoS ONE 12(7), e0181982. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181982.

Nagoshi RN, Nagoshi BY, Cañarte E, Navarrete B, Solórzano R, Garcés-Carrera S. 2019. Genetic characterization of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) in Ecuador and comparisons with regional populations identify likely migratory relationships. PLoS ONE 14(9), e0222332. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222332

Nagoshi RN, Htain NN, Boughton D. et al. 2020. Southeastern Asia fall armyworms are closely related to populations in Africa and India, consistent with common origin and recent migration. Sci Rep 10, 1421. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58249-3.

Nam K, Gimenez S, Hilliou F, Blanco CA, Hänniger S, Bretaudeau A, Legeai F, Nègre N, d'Alençon E. 2019. Adaptation by copy number variation increases insecticide resistance in fall armyworms. BioRxiv812958: https://doi.org/10.1101/812958 (Comm. Biol. in revision, 2020).

Nam K, Nhim S, Robin S, et al. 2018. Divergent selection causes whole genome differentiation without physical linkage among the targets in *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Noctuidae). BioRXiv doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/452870 (BMC Evol. Biol. in revision, 2020).

Nei M. 1973. Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* **70**(12), 3321–3323.

Nei M, Chesser RK. 1983. Estimation of fixation indices and gene diversities. Annals of Human Genetics 47, 253-259. doi:10.1111/j.1469-1809.1983.tb00993.x

Neuditschko M, Khatkar MS, Raadsma HW. 2012. NetView: A High-Definition Network-Visualization Approach to Detect Fine-Scale Population Structures from Genome-Wide Patterns of Variation. PLoS ONE 7(10), e48375. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0048375.

Orsucci M, Moné Y, Audiot P, Gimenez S, et al. 2018. Transcriptional plasticity evolution in two strains of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) feeding on alternative host-plants. bioRxiv 263186; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/263186

Otim MH, Tay WT, Walsh TK, Kanyesigye D, Adumo S, Abongosi J, et al. 2018. Detection of sister-species in invasive populations of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from Uganda. PLoS ONE 13(4): e0194571. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194571.

Padhee AK, Prasanna BM. 2019. The emerging threat of fall armyworm in India. Indian Farming 69(01), 51-54.

Paradis E, Schliep K. 2019. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics, 35, 526–528.

Pearce SL, Clarke DF, East PD, et al. 2017. Genomic innovations, transcriptional plasticity and gene loss underlying the evolution and divergence of two highly polyphagous and invasive *Helicoverpa* pest species. BMC Biology, 15(1), 63. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-017-0402-6

Pfeifer B, Wittelsburger U, Ramos-Onsins SE, Lercher MJ. 2014. PopGenome: an efficient Swiss army knife for population genomic analyses in R. Mol Biol Evol 31, 1929-1936. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu136

Pomari-Fernandes A, de Freitas Bueno A, Sosa-Gómez DR. 2015. *Helicoverpa armigera*: current status and future perspectives in Brazil. Current Agricultural Science and Technology 21, 1-7.

Pozebon H, Marques RP, Padilha G, O'Neal M, Valmorbida I, Bevilaqua JG, Tay WT, Arnemann JA. 2020. Arthropod invasions versus soybean production in Brazil: a review. Journal of Economic Entomology, toaa108, https://doi.org/10.1093/jee/toaa108

Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, Thomas L, Ferreira M, Bender D, Maller J, Sklar P, de Bakker P, Daly MJ, Sham PC. 2007. PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. American Journal of Human Genetics, 81(3), 559-75. doi: 10.1086/519795.

Queensland Government Department of Agriculture and Fisheries (QDAF), 2020. First mainland detection of fall armyworm. New Release 19-February, 2020. https://www.daf.qld.gov.au/news-media/media-centre/biosecurity/news/first-mainland-detection-of-fall-armyworm (accessed 20-February, 2020).

Raymond M, Rousset F. 1995. GENEPOP (version 1.2): population genetics software for exact tests and ecumenicism. J. Heredity, 86:248-249

Sharanabasappa D, Kalleshwaraswamy CM, Asokan R, Mahadeva Swamy HM, Maruthi MS, Pavithra HB, et al. 2018. First report of the fall Armyworm, *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J E Smith) (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) an Alien invasive pest on Maize in India. Pest management in Horticultural Ecosystems. 24, 23-29.

Silver A. 2019. China seeks predator to stop voracious caterpillar. Nature 570, 286-287.

Song X, Liang Y, Zhang X, et al. 2020. Intrusion of Fall Armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) in sugarcane and Its control by drone in China. Sugar Tech. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12355-020-00799-x.

Steinig EJ, Neuditschko M, Khatkar MS, Raadsma HW, Zenger KR. 2016. NETVIEW P: a network visualization tool to unravel complex population structure using genome-wide SNPs. Molecular Ecology Resources, 16, 216-227. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12442.

Stokstad E. 2017. New crop pest takes Africa at lightning speed. Science 356(6337), 473-474. **DOI:** 10.1126/science.356.6337.473.

Suckling DM, Conlong DE, Carpenter JE, et al. 2017. Global range expansion of pest Lepidoptera requires socially acceptable solutions. Biol Invasions 19, 1107-1119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-016-1325-9.

Sun X-xu, Hu C-x, Jia H-r, Wu Q-l, Shen X-j, Jiang Y-Y, Wu K-m. 2019. Case study on the first immigration of fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* invading into China. Journal of Integrative Agriculture. 18, 2-10. **DOI:** 10.1016/S2095-3119(19)62839-X.

Sundqvist L, Keenan K, Zackrisson M, Prodöhl P, Kleinhans D. 2016. Directional genetic differentiation and relative migration. Ecology and Evolution 6, 3461–3475.

Tajima F. 1989. Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA polymorphism. Genetics. 14:585–595.

Tay WT, Walsh T, Downes S. et al. 2017a. Mitochondrial DNA and trade data support multiple origins of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae) in Brazil. Sci Rep 7, 45302. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45302.

Tay WT, Elfekih S, Polaszek A, et al. 2017b. Novel molecular approach to define pest species status and tritrophic interactions from historical *Bemisia specimens*. Sci Rep 7, 429. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-00528-7.

Tay WT, Soria MF, Walsh T, Thomazoni D, Silvie P, Behere GT, Anderson C, Downes S. 2013. A brave new world for an Old World pest: *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Brazil. PLoS ONE 8(11), e80134.

Tay WT, Gordon KHJ. 2019. Going global - Genomic insights into insect invasions. Current Opinion in Insect Science 31, 123-130.

Tembrock LR, Timm AE, Zink FA, Gilligan TM. 2019. Phylogeography of the Recent Expansion of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in South America and the Caribbean Basin, Annals of the Entomological Society of America, 112(4), 388–401. https://doi.org/10.1093/aesa/saz019.

Tonnang HEZ, Mohamed SF, Khamis F, Ekesi S. 2015. Identification and Risk Assessment for Worldwide Invasion and Spread of *Tuta absoluta* with a Focus on Sub-Saharan Africa: Implications for Phytosanitary Measures and Management. PLoS ONE 10(8): e0135283. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0135283.

Trifinopoulos J, Nguyen LT, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. W-IQ-TREE: A fast online phylogenetic tool for Maximum Likelihood analysis. Nucl. Acids Res. 44 (W1), W232-W235. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw256.

USDA 2019. Fall Armyworm Damages Corn and Threatens Other Crops in Vietnam. United States Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service, Report Number: VM2019-0017, 11-October, 2019. https://apps.fas.usda.gov/newgainapi/api/Report/DownloadReportByFileName?fileName=Fall%20Armyworm%20Damages%20Corn%20and%20Threatens%20Other%20Crops%20in%20Vietnam_Hanoi_Vietnam_10-02-2019 (accessed 13-March, 2020).

Valencia-Montoya WA, Elfekih S, North HL, Meier JI, Warren IA, Tay WT, Gordon KHJ, Specht A, Paula-Moraes SV, Rane R, Walsh TK, Jiggins CD. 2020. Adaptive introgression across semipermeable species boundaries between local *Helicoverpa zea* and invasive *Helicoverpa armigera* moths. Molecular Biology and Evolution msaa108, https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msaa108.

Vennila S, Wang Z, Young K, et al. 2019. G20 Discussion group on 'Fall Armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J.E. Smith) [Lepidoptera: Noctuidae]'. Report on 'FAW Discussion Group' for the International Workshop on Facilitating International Research Collaboration on Transboundary Plant Pests. MAFF. November 27, 2019. Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan. 34pp.

Villesen P. 2007. FaBox: an online toolbox for FASTA sequences. Mol Ecol Notes 7(6), 965-968. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01821.x.

Walsh TK, Joussen N, Tian K, et al. 2018. Multiple recombination events between two cytochrome P450 loci contribute to global pyrethroid resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera*. PLoS ONE 13(11), e0197760. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0197760

Walsh TK, Perera O, Anderson C, Gordon K, Czepak C, McGaughran A, Zwick A, Hackett D, Tay WT. 2019. Mitochondrial DNA genomes of five major *Helicoverpa* pest species from the Old and New Worlds (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Ecol Evol. 9(5), 2933-2944. doi: 10.1002/ece3.4971.

Westbrook J, Fleischer S, Jairam S, Meagher R, Nagoshi R. 2019. Nultigenerational migration of fall armyworm, a pest insect. Ecosphere 10(11), e02919 https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2919

Wild S. 2017. Invasive pest hits Africa. Nature, 543, 13-14.

Wright S. 1951. The genetical structure of populations. Ann. Eugenics, 15, 323-354.

Wright S. 1965. The interpretation of population structure by F-statistics with special regard to systems of mating. Evolution, 19(3), 395-420.

Wu QL, He LM, Shen XJ, Jiang YY, Liu J, Hu G, Wu KM. 2019. Estimation of the potential infestation area of newly-invaded fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* in the Yangtze River Valley of China. Insects 10, 298; doi:10.3390/insects10090298.

Wu Q, Jian Y, Wu K. 2019. Analysis of migration routes of the fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) form Myanmar to China. Plant Protection 45(2), 1-6.

Xiao H, Ye X, Xu H, et al. 2020. The genetic adaptations of fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* facilitated its rapid global dispersal and invasion. Molecular Ecology Resources. DOI: 10.1111/1755-0998.13182

Yainna S, Tay WT, Fiteni E, Legeai F, Clamens A-L, Gimenez S, Kalleshwaraswamy CM, Asokan R, Blanco CA, Silvie P, Brévault T, Dassou A, Kergoat GJ, Walsh T, Gordon K, Nègre N, d'Alençon E, Nam K. 2020. Restoration of heterozygosity by balancing selection is key to the invasive success in the fall armyworm. Submitted

Zhang L, Jin MH, Zhang DD, Jiang YY, Liu J, Wu KM, et al. 2019. Molecular identification of invasive fall armyworm *Spodoptera frugiperda* in Yunnan province. Plant Protection 45, 19-24.

Zhang L, Liu B, et al. 2019. High-depth resequencing reveals hybrid population and insecticide resistance characteristics of fall armyworm (*Spodoptera frugiperda*) invading China. bioRxiv 813154; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/813154.