Controlling protein nanocage assembly with hydrostatic pressure
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Controlling the assembly and disassembly of nanoscale protein cages is fundamental to the internalisation of protein and non-proteinaceous components for diverse bionanotechnological applications. To this end, here we study the reversible, pressure-induced dissociation of a natural protein nanocage, \textit{E. coli} bacterioferritin (Bfr), principally using synchrotron radiation small angle X-ray scattering and circular dichroism. We demonstrate that hydrostatic pressures of 450 MPa are sufficient to completely dissociate the Bfr icositetramer into protein dimers, and the reversibility and kinetics of the reassembly process can be controlled by selecting appropriate buffer conditions. We also demonstrate that the heme B prosthetic group present at the subunit dimer interface influences the stability and pressure lability of the cage, despite its location being discrete from the inter-dimer interface that is key to cage assembly. This indicates a major cage-stabilising role for heme within this family of ferritins.

Nanoscale protein cages are exceptionally attractive scaffolds for bionanotechnology and materials science, where they can be exploited as platforms for constructing robust and configurable therapeutic delivery vectors\textsuperscript{1}, vaccines\textsuperscript{2}, nanoreactors\textsuperscript{3,4} and templates for the synthesis of diverse nanomaterials\textsuperscript{5–8}. These multifunctional containers, both natural\textsuperscript{9–13} and designed\textsuperscript{14,15}, offer unparalleled control over size, shape, microenvironment, surface functionalisation and stability when constructing novel bionanomaterials.

The ability to control the assembly of such nanocages is an invaluable tool in the synthesis of complex materials, and can be instrumental in facilitating the
encapsulation of non-native nanomaterials. While this can be achieved by exploiting natural\textsuperscript{16–18} or engineered\textsuperscript{19–21} cage metastability, the use of such nanocages could ultimately compromise the robustness of the final assembled material. For nanocages with higher relative stability, harsher environmental conditions\textsuperscript{22,23} are required that can adversely affect the protein cage, its functional modifications or the intended payload for encapsulation. New methods are therefore required to circumvent the necessity for harsh chemical conditions or specific interfacial engineering to promote cage instability, and to realise the full potential of these cages in bionanotechnology.

Here we report on how hydrostatic pressure can be employed to control the disassembly and reassembly of the protein nanocage bacterioferritin from \textit{E. coli} (Bfr). While hydrostatic pressure has been previously employed to dissociate the weakly stable cage-like assembly\textsuperscript{HSP26\textsuperscript{24}}, the structure is not truly hollow\textsuperscript{25}. There are currently no reports of complete, reversible hydrostatic pressure-induced dissociation in a highly robust nanocage such as ferritin\textsuperscript{26,27}. While hydrostatic pressure has been applied to human ferritin to facilitate the loading of doxorubicin and increase protein recovery\textsuperscript{27}, the assembly/disassembly of the cage under pressure was not investigated. Specifically, we use synchrotron radiation small angle X-ray scattering\textsuperscript{28} to show that the Bfr nanocage dissociates reversibly under pressure, and that the reassembly can be controlled by altering solution conditions. Hydrostatic pressures of 450 MPa were sufficient to induce reversible dissociation of the Bfr icositetramer into subunit dimers, and the reversibility of the pressure-induced dissociation was found to be highly dependent on ionic strength and temperature, allowing for control of oligomerisation state through pressurisation and selection of buffer conditions. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the pressure lability of the nanocage can be
modulated by removal of the native heme B prosthetic group. Our study exploits the
ability of SAXS to probe the quaternary, tertiary and secondary structure of proteins,
and will provide not only the means for studying the supramolecular assembly of these
highly valuable nanocages, but will also inform future methodologies for controlling
protein nanocage assembly for efficient payload encapsulation.

Results & Discussion
We initially probed the pressure-induced dissociation of the core-free, or apo-,
bacterioferritin icositetramer (ABfr) by gradually raising the applied hydrostatic
pressure in a diamond-windowed SAXS pressure cell to 450 MPa in 25 MPa
increments, equilibrating for 40 seconds prior to data collection at each pressure. An
oscillating scattering pattern was observed at low pressures (Figure 1a), characteristic
of the hollow nanocage structure. With increasing pressure, fringes corresponding to
the hollow sphere form factor broaden and then disappear, indicating increasing
polydispersity and decreasing concentration of icositetramer due to dissociation into
lower order oligomeric species. The presence of isosbestic points in the reciprocal
space and Kratky data (Figure 1a and 1b), indicate that two species contribute to I(q)
with proportional stoichiometry. At higher pressures (> 300 MPa), a slight drift in the q
value of the isosbestic points is observed, suggesting additional species likely
contribute to the dissociation process.

From the pair distance distribution factor (P(r)), we determined I(0) and the radius of
gyration, Rg (Figure 1c), finding that the latter decreases non-linearly from 50 Å to 32
Å over the pressures applied here (Figure 1d). This non-linear change in Rg with
pressure is due to the power law dependence of Rg upon particle size, rather than
suggesting a cooperative dissociation mechanism. The data therefore indicate gradual icositetramer dissociation with multiple intermediates, and a final state in which the majority of species are small subunit oligomers. The $P(r)$ distribution is characteristic of a hollow sphere until 250 MPa. The maximum of this distribution, $P(r)_{\text{max}}$, occurs at $r = 84$ Å, corresponds to the distance between the cage center and the protein shell and decreases steadily with pressure, indicating gradual loss of the icositetramer. At high pressures, the magnitude at $r = 84$ Å tends to zero and a new $P(r)_{\text{max}}$, emerges at $r = 32$ Å, corresponding to a subunit oligomer of ABfr, previously masked by that of the fully assembled cage.

Using a linear combination of theoretical SAXS data from various oligomeric components, we were able to identify possible dissociation pathways\textsuperscript{29,30}. Our prediction of possible stable oligomers using the PISA (Proteins, Interfaces, Surfaces and Assemblies)\textsuperscript{31} tool returned only the subunit dimer and icositetramer as stable states (Table S1). We then used single value decomposition to determine the number of required components (Figure S1 and S2) from the pressure dissociation SAXS, and constructed various models using oligomer structures derived from crystal structures of the ABfr icositetramer (Table S2, Figure S3 and S4). Two component models consisting of the icositetramer and a subunit oligomer provided the worst fits of the dataset (Table S3), though of these, the model consisting of the icositetramer and dimer best represented the data. Three component models generally provided better representations of the dissociation dataset, and all models in which the dimer was the lowest oligomeric state gave the best fit quality. Thus, in this pressure range, we assigned the initial and final state as icositetramer and dimer. Ultimately, we found that the data were best represented by models comprised of icositetramer, dimer and
an intermediate hexamer, octamer or dodecamer (Figure 1e). We acknowledge that there is no justification for selecting a specific intermediate state based on these data alone, and therefore refrain from doing so; the equivalence of the models and lack of cooperativity suggests that a range of intermediate states are present during dissociation. Although little work has been carried out on pressure-induced ferritin dissociation, there are many studies detailing pH and denaturant-induced dissociation and reassembly of mammalian ferritin$^{32-37}$. The dissociation products and mechanisms of reassociation observed are consistent with the results obtained here, with dissociation to subunit dimer and reassociation via intermediate species including tetramers, hexamers and octamers most commonly reported.

To assess the effect of pressure on the internal structure, secondary structure and folding of ABfr, we used a combination of Kratky plot analysis and high pressure synchrotron radiation circular dichroism (CD). At low pressure, the Kratky plots for ABfr exhibit multiple bell shaped peaks at low $q$ and converge to the baseline at high $q$, indicating a globular, spherical structure (Figure 1b). At high pressure, low $q$ peaks decrease in intensity and become less distinct, whilst the high $q$ region diverges from the baseline, indicating a partially folded internal structure above 375 MPa. This may alter tertiary structure and interfacial interactions, destabilising the icositetrameric structure. We observed no significant change in the circular dichroism spectra between 0.1 MPa and experimental limit of this technique, 200 MPa (Figure 1f), and predicted structural composition via basis spectra remained constant (alpha helix = 0.99, beta sheet, 0.01)$^{38}$. The CD data support the SAXS data in this pressure range, and it is therefore likely that the observed changes in quaternary structure up to 375
MPa are due to the system shifting towards a lower volume state, rather than significant perturbation of the ABfr secondary structure\textsuperscript{39}.

We then explored the reversibility of the pressure dissociation process. Initially, ABfr in 45 mM sodium phosphate (NaPi) buffer was pressurised to 450 MPa and held for 5 minutes before pressure release (Figure 2a). An immediate depression in $R_g$ was observed, and the hollow spherical structure was lost after 30 seconds. Fitting the change in icositetramer volume fraction against time with a single exponential function (Figure S5), the observed rate constant ($k_{\text{diss}}$) of dissociation was determined to be $0.114 \pm 0.002 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Almost complete reassembly occurred over 30 minutes following depressurisation, as apparent from the recovery of the radius of gyration over time (Figure 2a) and the hollow cage form of the P(r) function (Figure 2b). Again, fitting the change in icositetramer volume fraction against time with a single exponential function (Figure S7), the observed rate constant of reassociation ($k_{\text{ass}}$), was determined to be $0.006 \pm 0.001 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Figure S5). Following reassociation, we noted that the final $R_g$ and $I(0)$ values were slightly lower than initial values (Figure 2a, b). To quantify the degree of reassociation and to control for possible radiation damage or background mismatch, a sample of ABfr was pressurised under identical conditions without SAXS measurement. Analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC) before pressurisation (Figure 2c, Table S4) demonstrated that ABfr is almost fully assembled as the icositetramer ($S_{20, w} = 15.76$, $MW = 424 \text{ kDa}$). Following pressurisation ($t = 60 \text{ minutes}$), 94.3% of ABfr was assembled in the icositetramer state ($S_{20, w} = 16.1$, $MW = 426 \text{ kDa}$), with the remaining material present as the subunit dimer ($S_{20, w} = 3.53$, $MW = 44.5 \text{ kDa}$). TEM imaging confirmed the presence of assembled ABfr cages before and after pressurisation, with no apparent change in morphology (Figure 2d, e).
The rate of reassociation under these conditions appears slow, and is significantly slower than the calculated dimer collisional frequency\(^{40}\) \((f_{25^\circ C} = 6.79 \times 10^5 \text{ S}^{-1} \text{ at } 25^\circ \text{C})\) demonstrating unequivocally that reassembly is not diffusion limited. We then sought to determine whether recovery from pressure induced conformational drift influenced the kinetics of reassociation\(^{41,42}\). We assessed the degree of protein denaturation by inspection of a normalised Kratky plot (Figure 2f), in which globular protein exhibits a maximum value of 1.104 for \(qR_g=\sqrt{3}\), whilst unfolded protein has a maximum value of 1.5-2\(^{43}\). This plot confirmed that the protein recovers almost immediately from the denatured state after pressure release, so the process is unlikely to be refolding-mediated.

The relatively slow rate of association may also be due to a kinetic barrier in the association process which could arise from repulsive interactions between subunit dimers. To determine the types of interaction dominant in this process, we explored the effect of ionic strength and temperature on the nanocage reassembly, finding strong correlation between these parameters and the rate and completeness of nanocage reassembly (Figure 3, Table S5). We observed the most efficient reassembly in high ionic strength sodium phosphate buffer (45 mM, 250 mM NaCl, pH 7; \(I = 373 \text{ mM}\)), with higher initial \(R_g\) than the low ionic strength buffers and almost complete reassembly at both 5 and 25 °C. In contrast, the assembly was notably slower and less complete in lower ionic strength sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7, 45 mM; \(I = 123 \text{ mM}\)) at both temperatures, with only gradual recovery of \(R_g\) over the 1500 seconds of measurement. Most notably, the reassembly process in water was significantly impaired at 25 °C, and was effectively arrested at 5 °C, with no
discernable increase in $R_g$ observed over 1500 seconds, indicating the presence of only discrete subunit dimers within this timeframe. Given these data, we propose that the reassembly of ABfr nanocages is likely driven by hydrophobic dispersion forces while opposed by coulombic repulsion.

Similar ionic strength dependencies on nanocage reassembly were observed for the naturally heme-free E. coli ferritin FtnA following low pH-induced dissociation, and it is likely that the origin of this effect lies at the interface between ferritin subunit dimers, which associate to form the highly charged, carboxylate-rich ion channels at the C3 and C4 interfaces. The increased degree and rate of reassembly with temperature is a strong indication that assembly is entropically driven by the formation of weak protein-protein interactions. For oligomeric assemblies, the enthalpic contribution ($\Delta H$) is generally small, because the strength of protein-water and water-water interactions are similar. As such, increasing temperature decreases the Gibb’s free energy of association ($\Delta G_{ass}$) and favours assembly.

We also observed a greater degree of dissociation following pressurization at 5°C (5 minutes at 450 MPa) at all ionic strengths tested here; previous studies of pressure-induced viral capsid dissociation have reported similar effects, and were attributed to a strong entropic contribution to the free energy of association. In these cases, higher temperatures lower $\Delta G_{ass}$, promoting association in systems where entropic contributions to the free energy dominate. Once the interfacial protein-protein interactions (principally salt bridges and dispersion interactions) are broken and the oligomers dissociate, dipole-dipole protein-water interactions are formed in their place. At high pressure, inherently shorter dipole interactions are favoured over
dispersion interactions due to the differential effect of compression on bond strength, and dissociation occurs due to the increasing formation of protein-water interactions. In addition, the hydration of hydrophobic surfaces is more favourable at high pressure, as the ordered solvation shell is denser than the bulk solvent. Both similar and contrasting behaviours have been reported for viral capsids under pressure. Silva et al. demonstrated that the 86-subunit bromegrass mosaic virus capsid undergoes a reversible partial dissociation into dimers upon application of pressure (10% dissociation at 200 MPa). In contrast, the turnip yellow mosaic virus irreversibly decapsidates rather than dissociates under pressure, resulting loss of RNA and formation of a holed capsid, as the subunit interface contains few pressure sensitive salt bridge and is rich in pressure insensitive hydrogen bonding interactions.

We subsequently investigated the ability of the native heme B prosthetic group to modulate cage stability. Using a well-established procedure for tetrapyrrole extraction, we removed heme B from an acidified water:2-butanol mixture and confirmed the successful removal by UV/visible spectroscopy (Figure 4a). We next explored the composition of oligomeric species in this apo-apo-Bfr (AABfr) by sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation (SV-AUC, Figure 4b). In contrast to the heme containing ABfr, the SV-AUC distribution reveals a mixture of assembled icositetramer (S(20, w) = 15.85, MW = 427.1 kDa, 62.1%) and subunit dimer (S(20, w) = 2.97, MW = 34.7 kDa, 37.9%). The icositetramer peak is broadened, indicating greater polydispersity and potentially incomplete assembly. We further characterized this mixture of species by high-performance liquid chromatography-SAXS (HPLC-SAXS) (Figure 4c), and observed scattering patterns consistent with both the assembled icositetrameric nanocage (Rg = 49.88 Å), and a smaller ellipsoidal or parallelepipedal
The extrapolated $R_g$ (22.21 Å) of the smaller particle is in good agreement with that calculated for the subunit dimer (PDBID: 2VXI, $R_g = 21.16$ Å)\textsuperscript{56}, and the Kratky plot (Figure 4d) indicates a folded structure, although with a greater degree of disorder than the AABfr icositetramer. We used bead modelling to analyse the SAXS data for both the ABfr and AABfr icositetramers and found excellent agreement between the hollow spherical models and the published Bfr crystal structure (Figure 4e)\textsuperscript{56}. Similarly, the bead model of the AABfr subunit dimer closely overlays with the crystal structure of the AABfr dimer (PDBID: 4CVP)\textsuperscript{57}. To further probe the effects of removing heme B from the nanocages, we used circular dichroism spectroscopy to determine the thermal stabilities of the Bfr samples. We found the denaturation midpoints of ABfr ($T_m = 68^\circ$C) and AABfr ($T_m = 58^\circ$C) in agreement with previously reported values\textsuperscript{58,59}, confirming that removal of heme B has an overall destabilizing effect on the protein. Comparison of the ABfr and AAbfr subunit dimer crystal structures reveals slight secondary and tertiary structure differences that may impact the ability of the dimer to assemble into the icositetramer (Figure S6). In particular, the position of the E helix is shifted in the heme free protein; this helix lies at the tetramerization interface and is essential for cage assembly (Figure S7)\textsuperscript{60}.

These data indicate that the binding of heme B not only leads to an increase in thermal stability of the bulk Bfr protein, but it specifically stabilises the nanocage assembly. While such increases in thermal stability induced through cofactor binding in heme-proteins are widely reported, the bound cofactor’s impact on cage stability and assembly is unknown\textsuperscript{61}. To determine the effect of heme B on the pressure stability of Bfr, we pressurised AABfr to 450 MPa in 25 MPa increments, allowing time at each step for equilibration before SAXS measurement (Figure 5a). We found the real space
distribution for ABfr at ambient pressure is representative of a mixture of assembled icositetramers and smaller subunit oligomers, in good agreement with the SV-AUC data described above (Figure 5b). The icositetramer nanocage structure visible in the real space distribution is rapidly lost with applied pressure, whilst the final state resembles a mixture of the subunit dimer and other lower order oligomers. The Kratky plots demonstrate a less globular structure than ABfr at similar pressures, and do not reveal any further unfolding over the pressure range (Figure 5c). The initial $R_g$ for AABfr (42 Å) is notably lower than that of ABfr (50 Å) due to the mixture of icositetramer and dimer at ambient pressure. The $R_g$ remains constant until 175 MPa, then decreases, reaching a plateau at 350 MPa, demonstrating that AABfr is significantly less pressure stable than ABfr (Figure 5d). The final $R_g$ of 35 Å, was higher than that of ABfr (32 Å), suggesting a dissociation endpoint with a different oligomeric state.
Conclusions

We have demonstrated here that hydrostatic pressure is a valuable method to control and modulate the assembly, disassembly and oligomeric composition of the bacterioferritin nanocage. It is highly likely that this methodology can be extended to other protein nanocages, especially those stabilized primarily by hydrophobic interactions. Since the method we report here is also gentle, tunable and not limited to intrinsically metastable or mutationally compromised cages, more robust hybrid materials tolerant of harsh environmental conditions are potentially accessible. Full cage dissociation might also provide a route to higher loading ratios of therapeutic molecules or larger payloads unable to traverse the protein cage, leading, for example, to significantly improved synthetic routes to nanocage-based drug delivery vehicles.

Furthermore, we have also demonstrated that the heme B prosthetic groups significantly enhance the Bfr nanocage stability. While it has been previously reported that heme B facilitates electron transfer and iron release from the Bfr core, this can occur in the absence of the prosthetic group and it is notable that heme B is absent in many/most of the known ferritins. It therefore seems plausible that an additional major role of heme B in Bfr is to stabilise the protein nanocage, thus enabling the retention of the protein superstructure for iron mineralisation.
Methods

Protein expression and purification

Bacterioferritin expression was carried out in T7 express *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) cells using a modified pUC119 plasmid, PGS281 as described in Andrews *et al.* Cultures were grown aerobically at 37 °C in LB media containing 34 µg mL⁻¹ carbenicillin. Flasks were shaken for 24 hours at 200 rpm, before cultures were harvested by centrifugation (10 minutes, 7277 ×g rcf). The pellets were washed then re-suspended in lysis buffer (1.5 mM KH₂PO₄, 8 mM Na₂HPO₄, 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, pH 7). Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) (1 mM) was added, and then cells were lysed using a probe sonicator (3 × 20s, maximum amplitude). The crude extract was then centrifuged (47808 ×g, 60 minutes). The supernatant was decanted, heated to 70 °C for 15 minutes, then cooled and centrifuged (47808 ×g, 30 minutes). The supernatant was concentrated using a centrifugal concentrator (MWCO = 50 kDa) to half the original volume. The extract was purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Sephadex S200 26/600 column equilibrated with lysis buffer at a flow rate of 2.3 mL per minute. Bfr containing fractions were pooled, concentrated, and then further purified by anion exchange chromatography using a Q-Sepharose FF column. The target protein was eluted with a linear gradient of 0-0.3 M NaCl in histidine buffer (20 mM histidine.HCl, pH 5.5). The purified protein was dialysed in a solution of EDTA (10 mM) and DTT (5 mM) to remove the native core, forming ABfr.

High pressure SAXS measurement

Data collection was carried out at the I22 beamline, Diamond Light Source (DLS, Harwell, UK), using a hydrostatic pressure cell with a maximum operation pressure of 500 MPa. Samples were loaded into thin-wall polycarbonate capillaries (θ=2 mm),
which were then sealed with a rubber bung and two-part adhesive. The beam energy was 18 keV (\( \lambda = 0.69 \, \text{Å} \)) to reduce absorption from the diamond windows and water in the beam path. ABfr samples were prepared at a concentration of 5 mg mL\(^{-1}\) in a range of buffers and centrifuged (10 minutes, 16000 \( \times \) g) prior to measurement. The collection time for individual measurements was between 6 and 60 s. Hydrostatic pressure experiments were performed under both equilibrium and dynamic, time resolved conditions. In the former, the pressure was raised in incremental steps allowing time for equilibrium before measurement. The beam was blocked during equilibration to prevent radiation damage. In dynamic experiments the sample was pressurised, held at pressure, then returned to ambient pressure whilst measuring the time resolved scattering pattern. Pressure jump experiments were conducted in which pressure was increased rapidly to 450 MPa whilst measuring the time resolved scattering.

The data were collected using a Pilatus P3-2M detector. The sample to detector distance was 6 m, providing a \( q \)-range of 0.008-0.52 Å\(^{-1}\). The two-dimensional data sets were reduced using DAWN\(^{63}\). Briefly, the \( q \)-axis was calibrated using with a silver behenate standard data, then detector images were masked, radially averaged from the beam centre and normalised to absolute intensity using a glassy carbon standard. Background subtraction was carried out using a user-written python script (Figure S8 and S9) to account for background mismatch in the high-\( q \)-data region. This mismatch resulted from the necessity of using different capillaries for sample and background, and slight changes in cell and capillary position when changing samples. Whilst this mismatch was generally low, systemic under-subtraction at low pressure and over-subtraction at high pressure was present across data sets. The background measurement was multiplied by a constant to align the high-\( q \)-region with that of the
sample. The median $I(q)$ of the region $q = 0.3-0.4 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ was determined for both sample and background data. The background was then multiplied by a constant, $k$, such that the corrected median $I(q)$ of the background was equal to the median $I(q)$ of the sample minus a small constant, $A$. The scaling constant was therefore:

$$k = \frac{I(q)_{\text{sample}} - A}{I(q)_{\text{background}}}$$

$q = 0.3 - 0.4 \text{ Å}^{-1}$

This method was effective in background matching the high-$q$ data region and preventing over and under subtraction with $k = 1 \times 10^{-5}$. Example data corrections for ABfr at 0.1 MPa and 200 MPa are shown in Figure S8. The background adjustment results in lower overall $I(q)$ relative to the unprocessed data, but the shape of the scattering curve is preserved. Artefacts resulting from Kossel lines are visible in the data at 200 MPa at $q = 0.016$ and $0.7 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ despite background subtraction. The effect is most visible in the 2D detector image, shown in Figure S9. Kossel lines are observed between 100-300 MPa in both background and sample images. The intensity of the effect is pressure dependent, and line position is dependent on the orientation of the diamond windows. Care was taken to repeat background measurements following window changes. However, mismatched line intensity between sample and background resulted in artefacts in the reduced data. The mismatch occurs due to the pressure dependence of line intensity: whilst pressure control is accurate to 0.1 MPa in this apparatus, slight pressure loss often occurs during measurement due to leakage. Consequently, pressure differences between samples and background measurements of up to 0.3 MPa are observed. Although visible in the data, the artefacts are small and not expected to affect subsequent fitting and data analysis. Parasitic scattering is present in some data sets, particularly where Kossel lines
intersect with the beam-stop (Figure S9 (200 MPa)). This effect is not effectively removed by background subtraction, and manifests as aggregation-like scattering at \( q < 0.04 \, \text{Å}^{-1} \), which precludes the use of Guinier methods for the approximation of \( I(0) \) and \( R_g \), but does not affect the determination of these parameters from the indirect Fourier transform of the data.

**Circular Dichroism**

Circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy was used to determine the relative thermal stabilities of ABfr and AABfr and to investigate the secondary structure of both proteins. Solutions of ABfr or AABfr (0.5 g L\(^{-1}\)) were prepared in 45 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7). The far UV spectrum (190-260 nm) was measured at 25 °C, then the temperature was increased in 3 degree increments allowing for equilibration (300s) at each step. The helical content was measured by monitoring mean residue ellipticity at \( \lambda = 222 \) nm. The thermal denaturation midpoint temperature was determined using sigmoidal fits of the raw the \([\theta_{222 \text{ nm}}]\) data and from the maxima in first differential of the \([\theta_{222 \text{ nm}}]\) data (Figure 4f). Secondary structure composition was determined by linear combination of basis spectra using CAPITO\(^{38}\).

**High pressure circular dichroism**

High pressure circular dichroism measurements were carried out at the B23 beamline, Diamond Light Source (DLS, Harwell, UK), using a hydrostatic pressure cell with a maximum operation pressure of 200 MPa\(^{64}\). Results obtained were processed using CDApps and OriginLab. Secondary structure estimation from CD spectra was carried out using the CAPITO CD analysis and plotting tool\(^{38}\).
Sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation

Sedimentation velocity was measured in sodium phosphate buffer (45 mM NaPi, 250 mM NaCl, pH 7) using a Beckmann ProteomeLab XL-I at 20 °C and 24000 rpm. Data were collected at a wavelength of 418 nm. Date file time stamps were corrected using REDATE, and continuous sedimentation velocity coefficient (c(S)) distributions were produced using SEDFIT. Buffer density and viscosity were measured using an Anton-Paar rolling ball viscometer. The protein partial specific volume was calculated from the primary sequence ($\bar{\rho} = 0.736 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$).

PISA analysis of ABfr

A bioinformatics approach was adopted in order to identify potential dissociation states of ABfr under pressure. The Proteins, Interfaces, Structures and Assemblies (PISA) tool was used to calculate overall solvent accessible surface areas, solvation free energies ($\Delta G^{\text{int}}$) and dissociation free energies ($\Delta G^{\text{diss}}$) for stable subunit oligomers of ABfr$^{31,65,66}$. The PISA analysis identifies thermodynamically stable assemblies of subunits based on interfacial interactions. For ABfr, only the fully assembled icositetramer and dimer were identified as stable quaternary structures in solution. The surface areas and free energies of these species are shown in Table S1. The free energy of dissociation, $\Delta G^{\text{diss}}$, corresponds to the free energy difference between associated and dissociated states. A positive value indicates that the assembled state is stable under standard conditions.

SVD reconstruction of ABfr equilibrium pressure dissociation.

SVDPlot and Ultrascan II were used to produce a set of basis eigenvectors and eigenvalues for the ABfr dataset (Figure S1)$^{67}$. A non-parameterised runs test was
used to identify non-random curves in the eigenvector set. Eigenvectors with $p < 0.05$ were deemed to be non-random, yielding 12 significant eigenvectors. Inspection of the ABfr eigenvectors revealed that those with the highest two eigenvalues are smooth curves reminiscent of scattering form factors, and so are likely to contain structural information corresponding to the initial icositetramer and oligomeric dissociation products. The subsequent curves are structured but contain significant noise, and may contain contributions from minor species as well as background components due to imperfect background subtraction. The data were then reconstructed incrementally by adding eigenvectors to the model in order of decreasing significance. The root mean squared deviation between the reconstructed datasets and the experimental curves was calculated at each stage (Figure S2).
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Figure 1. Pressure dissociation of ABfr under equilibrium conditions between 0.1 and 450 MPa measured using high pressure synchrotron radiation SAXS and circular dichroism. (A) Reciprocal space SAXS profiles, (B) Kratky plots, (C) pair distance distribution (P(r)) functions, (D) change in radius of gyration, $R_g$, with pressure, (E) change in solution oligomer composition with pressure and (F) circular dichroism spectra. SAXS data were collected between 0.1 MP (red) and 450 MPa (blue) at a protein concentration of 5 mg mL$^{-1}$ in 45 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7). Real space transformations were performed using BAYESAPP, which uses Bayesian analysis to select parameters such as $D_{\text{max}}$ and data noise level. $I(0)$ and $R_g$ were calculated from real space data. $I(0)$ was normalised to a maximum value of 1. Component volume fraction versus pressure generated from OLIGOMER models of ABfr dissociation. The volume fractions of the icositetramer, $n$-mer and dimer are shown in black, blue and red respectively. The intermediate oligomer states are hexamer (solid line), octamer (dashed line) and dodecamer (dotted line). High-pressure circular dichroism data was collected between 0.1 (red) and 200 MPa (yellow) at a protein concentration of 0.03 mg mL$^{-1}$, 45 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.
Figure 2. Reassociation of ABfr following pressure induced dissociation measured by SAXS, AUC and TEM. (A) Changes in ABfr $R_g$ pre, during and post- pressurization measured by SAXS. Pressure cycle was performed at 25°C NaPi (45 mM, pH 7, $I = 123$ mM). (B) Pair distance distribution ($P(r)$) functions for ABfr pre- (black), during (red) and post- pressurisation (blue). (C) Sedimentation velocity $c(S)$ distribution for ABfr pre-pressurisation (black) and post-pressurisation (red). Sedimentation velocity was measured at a protein concentration of approximately 50 μM in sodium phosphate buffer (45 mM NaPi, 250 mM NaCl, pH 7) using a Beckmann ProteomeLab XL-I at 20°C and 24000 rpm. Data was collected at a wavelength of 418 nm. Data file time stamps were corrected using REDATE, and continuous sedimentation coefficient ($c(S)$) distributions were fitted using SEDFIT. Buffer density ($\rho = 1.003$ g cm$^{-3}$) and viscosity ($\eta = 1.0107$ mPa s$^{-1}$) were measured using an Anton-Paar rolling ball viscometer. (D) Negative stain (phosphotungstic acid) TEM images of ABfr pre-pressurisation and (E) and post-pressurisation (scale bars = 100 nm). (F) Change in normalised Kratky intensity $(I(q)$/$(q*R_g)^2)$ with pre, during and post- pressurization. Dotted black trace shows pre-pressurisation data, yellow – red traces show pressurised data at 0, 120 and 300s, light blue – dark blue traces show post pressurisation data with increasing time.
Figure 3. Changes in ABfr $R_9$ pre, during and post-pressurisation. Pressure cycles were performed at 5 °C (A) and 25°C (B) in H$_2$O ($I = 0$ mM, black), NaPi (45 mM, pH 7, $I = 123$ mM, blue) and in NaPi (45 mM, pH 7) + NaCl (250 mM) ($I = 373$ mM, red). Pressure level depicted as hashed region.
Figure 4. Physicochemical characterization of ABfr and AABfr under ambient pressure. (A) UV/visible spectra of ABfr (black) and AABfr (blue). UV-visible spectra were recorded measured at a protein concentration of approximately 50 μM in sodium phosphate buffer (45 mM NaPi, 250 mM NaCl, pH 7). Curves are normalised to A280 = 1 to highlight differences in heme absorbance.

(B) SV-AUC c(s) distributions for ABfr (black) and AABR (blue). Sedimentation velocity was measured at a protein concentration of approximately 50 μM in potassium phosphate buffer (45 mM KPi, 250 mM NaCl, pH 7) using a Beckmann ProteomeLab XL-I at 20˚C and 24000 rpm. Data was collected at a wavelength of 280 nm. Data file time stamps were corrected using REDATE, and continuous sedimentation coefficient (c(S)) distributions were fitted using SEDFIT. Buffer density (ρ = 1.003 g cm⁻³) and viscosity (η = 1.0107 mPa s 865-) were measured using an Anton-Paar rolling ball viscometer. The protein partial specific volume was calculated from the primary sequence (̃v = 0.736 cm³ g⁻¹).

(C) HPLC-SAXS profiles and (D) Kraty plots for ABfr icositetramer (black) and AABfr icositetramer (blue) and dimer (red).

(E) Ab initio bead model of ABfr (icositetramer, orange) and AABfr (icositetramer and dimer, blue), overlaid with corresponding crystal structures (2VXI and 4CVP) 56,57. Real space transformations were performed using ScÅtter. The maximum diameter was determined by selecting values that resulted in high reciprocal fit quality, and produced smooth, oscillation-free, real-space functions that decreased smoothly to zero at high radius. A constant background was applied in the transformation, and real space distributions were refined using the L1 norm of the Moore coefficients as a regularisation target. The ab initio models were produced from refined pair distance distribution functions using DAMMIF, and are DAMAVER averages of 23 runs. The models and crystal structures were visualized using PyMOL.

(F) Thermal denaturation far-UV circular dichroism spectra and fits for ABfr (open circles) and AABfr (black circles). Data were collected at B23, Diamond Light Source, UK. Measurements were performed in potassium phosphate buffer (45 mM, pH 7) at a protein concentration of 0.5 g L⁻¹. Raw data was converted to mean residue elipticity and secondary structure analysis was performed using CAPITO.
Figure 5. Pressure dissociation of AABfr under equilibrium conditions between 0.1 and 450 MPa. (A) reciprocal space SAXS profiles, (B) Pair distance distribution (P(r)) functions, (C) Kratky plots and (D) Radius of gyration, $R_g$. SAXS data was collected between 0.1 MP (red) and 450 MPa (blue) at a protein concentration of 5 mg mL$^{-1}$ in 45 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7). Real space transformations were performed using BAYESAPP, which uses Bayesian analysis to select parameters such as $D_{max}$ and data noise level. $I(0)$ and $R_g$ were calculated from real space data. $I(0)$ was normalised to a maximum value of 1.