1 Lack of susceptibility of poultry to SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV

2

3	Authors: David L. Suarez, Mary J. Pantin-Jackwood, David E. Swayne, Scott A. Lee, Suzanne
4	M. DeBlois, Erica Spackman*
5	Affiliations: US National Poultry Research Center, USDA-Agricultural Research Service,
6	Athens, GA, USA (E. Spackman, M. Pantin-Jackwood, D. Suarez, D. Swayne)
7	*Corresponding author:
8	Keywords: SARS-CoV-2, MERS-CoV, coronavirus, mammalian beta-coronavirus, COVID-19,
9	poultry health, chicken coronavirus, turkey coronavirus, goose coronavirus, quail coronavirus,
10	duck coronavirus
11	Abstract—word count 48
12	Chickens, turkeys, ducks, quail and geese were challenged with SARS-CoV-2 or MERS-
13	CoV. No disease was observed, no virus replication was detected, and antibodies were not
14	detected in serum. Neither virus replicated in embryonating chicken's eggs. Poultry are unlikely
15	to serve a role in the maintenance of either virus.
16	Text—word count 766
17	Coronaviruses (CoV) of animals periodically transmit to humans (1) as recently occurred with
18	SARS-CoV-2. SARS-CoV-2 was first recognized in December of 2019 with cases of atypical
19	pneumonia in hospitalized patients in Wuhan, China. The virus is a novel beta-coronavirus,
20	related to the now eradicated, severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) CoV from 2003 with

which it has 82% identity across the genome (2). SARS-CoV-2 is highly transmissible among
humans and particularly virulent for elderly individuals and those with certain underlying health
conditions. Multiple studies have examined the susceptibility of domestic animals to SARSCoV-2 to establish the risk of zoonotic transmission and two studies have shown chickens and
Pekin ducks were not susceptible to infection (3, 4).

Middle East Respiratory Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), another coronavirus of high concern associated with zoonotic infection, was first detected in patients with severe acute lower respiratory tract disease in Saudi Arabia in 2012. MERS-CoV causes lower respiratory disease similar to the SARS-CoVs (*5*). Unlike SARS-CoV-2, MERS-CoV transmits poorly to humans and does not exhibit sustained human-to-human transmission; however, it has a high case fatality rate of around 30%. Although the MERS-CoV case count is low, human cases continue to be reported, therefore there is a possibility for the virus to adapt to humans.

Based on sequence similarity, the closest relatives of SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV are believed to be bat beta-coronaviruses (6), but because of the amount of sequence difference between human and bat isolates an intermediary host likely exists. For MERS-CoV, dromedary camels appear to be the primary natural reservoir of infection to humans, but other domestic animals seem to be susceptible to infection (7, 8). There is only a single study of MERS-CoV in chickens that looked for antibodies, but all samples were negative (9).

Because poultry are so widespread and have close and extended contact with humans,
and other mammals in many production systems, including live animal markets, susceptibility
were conducted with SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV in five common poultry species.
Additionally, embryonating chicken eggs (ECE) have been utilized for the isolation and as a

43 laboratory host system, including use in vaccine production, for diverse avian and mammalian 44 viruses. Therefore, ECE were tested for their ability to support the replication of both viruses. 45 Five poultry species were examined: chickens (Gallus gallus domesticus), turkeys 46 (Meleagris gallopavo), Pekin ducks (Anas platyrhinchos domesticus), Japanese quail (Coturnix 47 *japonica*) and White Chinese geese (Anser cygnoides). All procedures involving animals were 48 reviewed and approved by the US National Poultry Research Center Institutional Animal Care 49 and Use Committee, and the viruses were used under the approval of the Institutional Biosafety 50 Committee. 51 To evaluate their susceptibility to these viruses, 10 birds of each species were challenged 52 with either the USA-WA1/2020 isolate of SARS-CoV-2 (BEI NR-58221) or the Florida/USA-53 2 SaudiArabia 2014 isolate of MERS-CoV (BEI NR-50415), which were both obtained from 54 the Biodefense and Emerging Infections Research Resources Repository (BEI Resources),

55 National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health (full details of

56 all methods are provided in the technical appendix). Oro-pharyngeal and cloacal swabs were

57 collected from all birds at 2, 4, and 7 days post challenge (DPC) and were tested for virus by

58 real-time RT-PCR. At 14 DPC sera were collected from the birds and were tested for antibody to

59 the challenge virus by microneutralization.

60 Clinical signs were not observed at any time in any species, and virus was not detected in 61 any swab material. Antibodies were not detected in serum from any birds at 14 days post 62 challenge. These results suggest that neither virus replicated in any of the avian species evaluated 63 or replicated at a level that was too low to be detected.

64	ECE were tested for their ability to support SARS-CoV-2 or MERS-CoV replication after
65	inoculation with any of the three most common routes: yolk sac, chorio-allantoic sac and chorio-
66	allantoic membrane (see technical appendix for details). Yolk, allantoic fluid/albumin, and
67	embryo tissues were collected from inoculated eggs and tested for viral replication by attempting
68	virus isolation in Vero cells from the egg material after each of 2 ECE passages. Neither virus
69	was recovered in Vero cells from the inoculated ECEs and lesions were not observed in any of
70	the embryos inoculated with SARS-CoV-2 or MERS-CoV.
71	Identifying potential reservoir hosts of the novel coronaviruses is critical to controlling
72	exposure and subsequent infection, as well as to preserving a safe and consistent food supply.
73	None of the avian species evaluated here, nor ECE appeared to support replication of either
74	virus. Therefore, poultry are unlikely to serve a role in the maintenance or transmission of either
75	SARS-CoV-2 or MERS-CoV. Further, ECE are not a viable laboratory host system.
76	
77	Acknowledgments
78	The authors gratefully acknowledge Jesse Gallagher, Melinda Vonkungthong, Anne
79	Hurley-Bacon, Jasmina Luczo, James Doster, and Charles Foley for technical assistance with
80	this work.
81	The following reagent was deposited by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
82	and obtained through BEI Resources, NIAID, NIH: SARS-Related Coronavirus 2, Isolate USA-
83	WA1/2020, NR-52281. The following reagent was obtained through BEI Resources, NIAID,
84	NIH: Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus, Florida/USA-2_Saudi Arabia_2014, NR-
85	50415. Vero African Green Monkey Kidney Cells (ATCC® CCL-81 TM), FR-243, was obtained

86	through the International Reagent Resource, Influenza Division, WHO Collaborating Center for		
87	Surveillance, Epidemiology and Control of Influenza, Centers for Disease Control and		
88	Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA.		
89	This work was supported by USDA-Agricultural Research Service project #6040-32000-		
90	066-00-D. Mention of trade names or commercial products in this manuscript is solely for the		
91	purpose of providing specific information and does not imply recommendation or endorsement		
92	by the U.S. Government. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer. Paragraph		
93	listing persons or organizations you wish to acknowledge.		
94			
95	Author Bio (first author only, unless there are only 2 authors)		
96	Dr. David Suarez is the Research Leader for the Exotic and Emerging Avian Viral		
97	Disease Research Unit of the Agricultural Research Service, USDA. His primary research		
98	interests are in the understanding and control of avian influenza and Newcastle disease viruses in		
99	poultry and other emerging viral diseases that threaten the poultry industry.		
100	References		
101	1. Corman VM, Muth D, Niemeyer D, Drosten C. Hosts and Sources of Endemic Human		
102	Coronaviruses. Adv Virus Res. 2018;100:163-88.		
103	2. Chan JF, Kok KH, Zhu Z, Chu H, To KK, Yuan S, et al. Genomic characterization of the		
104	2019 novel human-pathogenic coronavirus isolated from a patient with atypical		
105	pneumonia after visiting Wuhan. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020 Dec;9(1):221-36.		

106	3.	Schlottau K, Rissmann M, Graaf A, Schön J, Sehl J, Wylezich C, et al. Experimental	
107		Transmission Studies of SARS-CoV-2 in Fruit Bats, Ferrets, Pigs and Chickens The	
108		Lancet. 2020;in press.	
109	4.	Shi J, Wen Z, Zhong G, Yang H, Wang C, Huang B, et al. Susceptibility of ferrets, cats,	
110		dogs, and other domesticated animals to SARS-coronavirus 2. Science. 2020 May	
111		29;368(6494):1016-20.	
112	5.	MERS-CoV: a global challenge. Lancet. 2013 Jun 8;381(9882):1960.	
113	6.	Hui DS, Azhar EI, Memish ZA, Zumla A. Human Coronavirus Infections-Severe Acute	
114		Respiratory Syndrome (SARS), Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS), and SARS-	
115		CoV-2. Reference Module in Biomedical Sciences. 2020:B978-0-12-801238-3.11634-4.	
116	7.	Ferguson NM, Van Kerkhove MD. Identification of MERS-CoV in dromedary camels.	
117		Lancet Infect Dis. 2014 Feb;14(2):93-4.	
118	8.	Kandeil A, Gomaa M, Shehata M, El-Taweel A, Kayed AE, Abiadh A, et al. Middle East	
119		respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection in non-camelid domestic mammals. Emerg	
120		Microbes Infect. 2019;8(1):103-8.	
121	9.	Hemida MG, Perera RA, Wang P, Alhammadi MA, Siu LY, Li M, et al. Middle East	
122		Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) coronavirus seroprevalence in domestic livestock in	
123		Saudi Arabia, 2010 to 2013. Euro Surveill. 2013 Dec 12;18(50):20659.	
124	Addre	ess for Correspondence: Erica Spackman, US National Poultry Research Center, USDA-	
125	Agricu	Iltural Research Service, 934 Station Rd., Athens, GA 30605, USA; Phone: 1-706-546-	
126	3617, Fax: 1-706-546-3161, email: erica.spackman@usda.gov		