Potent Neutralizing Antibodies Directed to Multiple Epitopes on SARS-CoV-2 Spike

Lihong Liu[1*], Pengfei Wang[1*], Manoj S. Nair[1*], Jian Yu[1*], Micah Rapp[2*], Qian Wang[3*], Yang

Luo[1], Jasper F-W. Chan[4,5], Vincent Sahi[1], Amir Figueroa[6], Xinzheng V. Guo[7], Gabriele Cerutti[2],

Jude Bimela[2], Jason Gorman[8], Tongqing Zhou[8], Zhiwei Chen[4,5,9], Kwok-Yung Yuen[4,5], Peter D.

Kwong[8,10], Joseph G. Sodroski[3], Michael T. Yin[11], Zizhang Sheng[1,2], Yaoxing Huang[1#],

Lawrence Shapiro[1,2,10#], and David D. Ho[1#]

[1]Aaron Diamond AIDS Research Center, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians

and Surgeons, New York, NY 10032, USA.

[2]Zuckerman Mind Brain Behavior Institute, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA.

[3]Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02215, USA.

[4]State Key Laboratory of Emerging Infectious Diseases, Carol Yu Centre for Infection,

Department of Microbiology, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong,

Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China.

[5]Centre for Virology, Vaccinology and Therapeutics, Health@InnoHK, The University of Hong

Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China.

[6]Department of Microbiology & Immunology Flow Cytometry Core, Columbia University

Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY 10032, USA.

[7]Human Immune Monitoring Core, Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and

Surgeons, New York, NY 10032, USA.

[8]Vaccine Research Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA.

[9]AIDS Institute, Li Ka Shing Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Special Administrative Region, China.

[10]Department of Biochemistry, Columbia University, New York, NY 10032, USA.

[11]Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Columbia University

Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, New York, NY 10032, USA.

*Equal contribution.

Address correspondence to David D. Ho: dh2994@cumc.columbia.edu; Lawrence Shapiro:

lss8@columbia.edu; Yaoxing Huang: yh3253@cumc.columbia.edu.

Abstract

The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic rages on with devasting consequences on human lives and the global economy[1,2]. The discovery and development of virus-neutralizing monoclonal antibodies could be one approach to treat or prevent infection by this novel coronavirus. Here we report the isolation of 61 SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing monoclonal antibodies from 5 infected patients hospitalized with severe disease. Among these are 19 antibodies that potently neutralized the authentic SARS-CoV-2 *in vitro*, 9 of which exhibited exquisite potency, with 50% virus-inhibitory concentrations of 0.7 to 9 ng/mL. Epitope mapping showed this collection of 19 antibodies to be about equally divided between those directed to the receptor-binding domain (RBD) and those to the N-terminal domain (NTD), indicating that both of these regions at the top of the viral spike are immunogenic. In addition, two other powerful neutralizing antibodies recognized quaternary epitopes that are overlapping with the domains at the top of the spike. Cryo-electron microscopy reconstructions of one antibody targeting RBD, a second targeting NTD, and a third bridging two separate RBDs revealed recognition of the closed, "all RBD-down" conformation of the spike. Several of these monoclonal antibodies are promising candidates for clinical development as potential therapeutic and/or prophylactic agents against SARS-CoV-2.

Background

A novel coronavirus, now termed SARS-CoV-2[1,2], has caused over 11 million confirmed infections globally, leading to over 500,000 deaths. This pandemic has also put much of the world on pause, with unprecedented disruption of lives and unparalleled damage to the economy. A return to some semblance of normalcy will depend on science to deliver an effective solution, and

the scientific community has responded admirably. Drug development is well underway, and

vaccine candidates have entered clinical trials. Another promising approach is the isolation of

SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) that could be used as therapeutic or

prophylactic agents. The primary target for such antibodies is the viral spike, a trimeric protein[3,4]

that is responsible for binding to the ACE2 receptor on the host cell[1,3,5,6]. The spike protein is

comprised of two subunits. The S1 subunit has two major structural elements: RBD and NTD; the

S2 subunit mediates virus-cell membrane fusion after the RBD engages ACE2. Reports of

discovery of neutralizing mAbs that target the RBD have been published recently[7-11]. We now

describe our efforts in isolating and characterizing a collection of mAbs that not only target

multiple epitopes on the viral spike but also show exquisite potency in neutralizing SARS-CoV-2.

Patient Selection

Forty patients with PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection were enrolled in a cohort study on

virus-neutralizing antibodies. Plasma samples from all subjects were first tested for neutralizing

activity against SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus (Wuhan-Hu-1 spike pseudotyped with vesicular

stomatitis virus). Widely varying neutralizing titers were observed, with IC_{50} ranging from a

reciprocal plasma dilution of <100 to ~13,000 (Fig. 1a). Five patients were chosen for mAb

isolation because their plasma virus-neutralizing titers were among the highest. The clinical

characteristics of these 5 cases are summarized in Extended Data Table 1. All were severely ill

with acute respiratory distress syndrome requiring mechanical ventilation.

Monoclonal Antibody Isolation and Construction

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells from each patient were put through an experimental schema

(Extended Data Fig. 1a) starting with cell sorting by flow cytometry. The sorting strategy focused

on live memory B lymphocytes that were CD3-negative, CD19-positive, and CD27-positive

(Extended Data Fig. 1b). The final step focused on those cells that bound the SARS-CoV-2 spike

trimer (S trimer)[4]. A total of 602, 325, 14, 147, and 145 such B cells from Patient 1, Patient 2,

Patient 3, Patient 4, and Patient 5, respectively, were labelled with a unique hashtag (Extended

Data Fig. 1c). The cells were then placed into the 10X Chromium (10X Genomics) for single-cell

5'mRNA and V(D)J sequencing to obtain paired heavy (H) and light (L) chain sequences. A

careful bioinformatic analysis was carried out on 1,145 paired sequences to downselect "high-

confidence" antigen-specific mAbs. A total of 331 mAb sequences were recovered, representing

252 individual clones. Only 6 mAbs were from Patient 3, whereas 44 to 100 mAbs were identified

from each of the other patients (Extended Data Table 2). The VH and VL sequences of 252

antibodies (one per clone) were codon-optimized and synthesized, and each VH and VL gene was

then cloned into an expression plasmid with corresponding constant region of H chain and L chain

of human IgG1, and mAbs were expressed by co-transfection of paired full-length H chain and L

chain genes into Expi293 cells.

Monoclonal Antibody Screening

All 252 transfection supernatants were screened for binding to S trimer and RBD by enzyme-

linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs), as well as for neutralization against SARS-CoV-2

pseudovirus and live virus. These results are graphically represented in Fig. 1b and tabulated in

Extended Data Table 2. It was evident that a substantial percentage of the mAbs in the supernatants

bound S trimer, and a subset of those bound RBD. Specifically, 121 supernatants were scored as

positive for S trimer binding, yielding an overall hit rate of 48%. Of these, 38 were positive for

RBD binding while the remaining 83 were negative. None of the 13 trimer-specific mAbs from

Patient 5 recognized RBD. In the pseudovirus neutralization screen, 61 supernatants were scored

as positive, indicating that half of the trimer-specific mAbs were virus neutralizing. In the screen

for neutralization against SARS-CoV-2 (strain USA-WA1/2020), 41 supernatants were scored as

positive. Overall, this screening strategy was quite effective in picking up neutralizing mAbs

(vertical lines and labelled antibodies at the bottom of Fig. 1b) that were later identified as potent.

Sequence Analysis of S Trimer-Specific Monoclonal Antibodies

Of the 121 mAbs that bound S trimer, 88% were IgG isotype, with IgG1 being predominant

(Extended Data Fig. 2a). Comparison to the IgG repertoire of three healthy human donors[12]

revealed a statistically significant over-representation of IGHV3-30, IGKV3-20, and IGHJ6 genes

for this collection of SARS-CoV-2 mAbs (Extended Data Figs. 2b and 2c). A longer average

CDRH3 length was also noted (Extended Data Fig. 2d). Interestingly, the average percentages of

somatic hypermutation in VH and VL were 2.1 and 2.5, respectively, which were significantly

lower than those found in healthy individuals (Extended Data Fig. 2e) and remarkably close to

germline sequences.

Antigen Binding and Virus Neutralization

Since the screening for pseudovirus neutralization was performed quantitatively with serial

dilutions of the transfection supernatants, we plotted in Extended Data Fig. 3 the best-fit

neutralization curves for 130 samples that were positive in at least one of the screens shown in Fig.

1b. Most were non-neutralizing or weakly neutralizing, but 18 showed evidently better potency.

One additional supernatant was initially missed in the pseudovirus screen (Patient 1 in Extended

Data Fig. 3) but was later found to be a potent neutralizing mAb. Together, these 19 mAbs were

purified from transfection supernatants and further characterized for their binding and

neutralization properties. As shown in Fig. 2a, all but one (2-43) of the mAbs bound the S trimer

by a quantitative ELISA. Nine of the antibodies clearly bound RBD, with little or no binding to

NTD. Eight antibodies bound NTD to varying degrees, with no binding to RBD. Two mAbs

bound neither RBD nor NTD, and were therefore categorized as "Others".

The pseudovirus neutralization profiles for these purified 19 mAbs are shown in the top portion of

Fig. 2b. The RBD-directed antibodies neutralized the pseudovirus with IC_{50} of 0.005 to 0.512

μg/mL; the NTD-directed antibodies were slightly less potent, with IC_{50} ranging from 0.013 to

0.767 μg/mL. A common feature of the NTD mAbs was the plateauing of virus neutralization at

levels short of 100%. Two antibodies, categorized as "Others", neutralized with IC_{50} of 0.071 and

0.652 μg/mL.   Antibody neutralization of the authentic or live SARS-CoV-2 (strain USA-

WA1/2020) was carried out using Vero cells inoculated with a multiplicity of infection of 0.1. As

shown in the bottom portion of Fig. 2b, the RBD-directed antibodies again neutralized the virus

but with IC_{50} of 0.0007 to 0.209 μg/mL; the NTD-directed antibodies showed similar potency,

with IC_{50} ranging from 0.007 to 0.109 μg/mL. Here, the plateauing effect seen in the pseudovirus

neutralization assay was less apparent. Antibodies 2-43 and 2-51 neutralized the live virus with

IC_{50} of 0.003 and 0.007 μg/mL, respectively. Overall, nine mAbs exhibited exquisite potency in

neutralizing authentic SARS-CoV-2 *in vitro* with IC_{50} of 0.009 μg/mL or less, including four

directed to RBD (2-15, 2-7, 1-57, and 1-20), three to NTD (2-17, 5-24, and 4-8), and two to

undetermined regions on the S trimer (2-43 and 2-51). It is remarkable that Patient 2 alone

contributed five of the top nine SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing mAbs. Correlation of the results of the

two virus-neutralizing assays is shown in Extended Data Fig. 4.

Epitope Mapping

All 19 potent neutralizing mAbs (Fig. 2) were further studied in antibody competition experiments

to gain insight into their epitopes. In addition, 12 mAbs that bound the S trimer strongly during

the initial supernatant screen were also chosen, including 5 that bound RBD (1-97, 2-26, 4-13, 4-

24, and 4-29) and 7 that did not bind RBD (1-21, 2-29, 4-15, 4-32, 4-33, 4-41, and 5-45). Four of

these mAbs were weak in neutralizing SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus, and the remaining 8 were non-

neutralizing (Extended Data Fig. 5). First, a total of 16 non-RBD mAbs were evaluated for

competition in binding to S trimer by ELISA in a "checkerboard" experiment. The extent of the

antibody competition is reflected by the intensity of the heatmap shown in Fig. 3a. There is one

large cluster (A) of mAbs that competed with one another, and it partially overlaps a small cluster

(B). A third cluster (C) does not overlap at all. Note that all but one of the antibodies in cluster A

recognized NTD. Antibody 2-51 is clearly directed to the NTD region even though it could not

bind NTD. Moreover, one mAb each from clusters B and C also recognized NTD, thereby

indicating that all three clusters are within or near the NTD. One mAb, 1-21, appears to have a

unique non-overlapping epitope (epitope region D).

Second, a similar "checkerboard" competition experiment was carried out by ELISA for 14 of our

RBD-directed mAbs plus CR3022[13,14]. Here, the heatmap shows that there are four epitope

clusters that are serially overlapping (Fig. 3a). There is one large cluster (E) that contains mAbs

largely capable of blocking ACE2 binding. Furthermore, 4 antibodies in this cluster lost binding

to a mutant RBD (L455R, A475R, G502R) that could no longer bind ACE2 (our unpublished

findings). Taken together, these results suggest that most of the mAbs in cluster E are directed to

the ACE2-binding interface of RBD. The next cluster (F) connects to both cluster E and cluster

G, the location of which is defined by its member CR3022[15]. Lastly, cluster G overlaps another

cluster (H), which includes 1-97 that strongly inhibited the binding of 2-30 to the S trimer. This

finding suggests that cluster H may be proximal to one edge of cluster E.

One potent neutralizing mAb, 2-43, did not bind S trimer by ELISA (Fig. 2a) and thus could not

be tested in the above competition experiments. However, 2-43 did strongly bind S trimer when

expressed on the cell surface as determined by flow cytometry (Extended Data Fig. 6a), and this

binding was competed out by itself but not by RBD, NTD, ACE2, or the soluble S trimer[4]

(Extended Data Fig. 6b). NTD-directed mAbs had only a modest effect on its binding to cell-

surface S trimer, but numerous RBD-directed mAbs in cluster E potently blocked the binding of

2-43, demonstrating that this antibody is likely targeting a quaternary epitope on the top of RBD.

These mapping results could be represented by two sets of Venn diagrams shown in Fig. 3b. In

the non-RBD region, the potent neutralizing mAbs reside exclusively in cluster A and bind a patch

on the NTD. Weaker neutralizing mAbs recognize a region at the interface between clusters A

and B. In the RBD region, the most potent neutralizing mAbs also group together within one

cluster (E). Given that all block ACE2 binding, it is likely they recognize the top of RBD and

neutralize the virus by competitive inhibition of receptor binding. Cluster G contains CR3022, a

mAb known to be directed to an epitope on a cryptic site on the side of RBD when it is in the "up"

position[15]. Cluster F is therefore likely situated between the top and this "cryptic" site. The Venn

diagram also suggests that cluster H may occupy a different side surface of RBD, perhaps in the

region recognized by S309, a mAb isolated from a SARS-CoV-1 patient[8].

Cryo-Electron Microscopy

We produced cryo-EM reconstructions of Fabs from three mAbs in complex with the S trimer[4].

First, single-particle analysis of the complex with 2-4 Fab (RBD-directed) yielded maps of high

quality (Fig. 4a; Extended Data Table 3; Extended Data Fig. 7), with the most abundant particle

class representing a 3-Fab-per-trimer complex, refined to an overall resolution of 3.2 Å. While

density for the constant portion of the Fabs was visible, it was blurred due to molecular motion,

and thus only the variable domains were included in the molecular model. Fab 2-4 bound the spike

protein near the apex, with all RBDs in the "down" orientation, and the structure of the antibody-

bound spike protein was highly similar to previously published unliganded spike structures in the

"all-down" conformation[3,4]. Detailed interactions between 2-4 and RBD are discussed and

exhibited in Extended Data Fig. 8. Overall, the structure of the 2-4 Fab-spike complex shows that

neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by this mAb likely results from locking RBD in the down

conformation while also occluding access to ACE2.

Second, we also produced 3D cryo-EM reconstructions of 4-8 Fab (NTD-directed) in complex

with the S trimer (Extended Data Table 3; Extended Data Fig. 9). Two main particle classes were

observed – one for a 3-Fab-bound complex with all RBDs "down" at 3.9 Å resolution (Fig. 4b),

and another a 3-Fab-bound complex with one RBD "up" at 4.0 Å resolution (Extended Data Fig.

10). However, molecular motion prevented visualization of the interaction at high resolution.

Nevertheless, the density in the 4-8 map reveals the overall positions of the antibody chains

targeting NTD. Such binding to the tip of NTD results in SARS-CoV-2 neutralization remains

unclear.

Third, a 5.8 Å resolution reconstructions of 2-43 Fab in complex with the S trimer (Extended Data

Table 3; Extended Data Fig. 11) revealed three bound Fabs, each targeting a quaternary epitope

on the top of the spike that included elements of the RBDs from two adjacent S1 protomers (Fig.

4c), consistent with the epitope mapping results (Extended Data Fig. 6b and Fig. 3b), including

the lack of binding to isolated RBD (Fig. 2a). Given these findings, the inability of 2-43 to bind S

trimer by ELISA is likely an artifact of the assay format, as this mAb did bind the spike expressed

on the cell surface and in the cryo-EM study.

Armed with these three cryo-EM reconstructions, we used the Venn diagrams from Fig. 3b to map

the epitopes of many of our SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing mAbs onto the surface of the spike (Fig.

4d). This is obviously a rough approximation since antibody footprints are much larger than the

area occupied by the mAb number. However, the spatial relationship of the antibody epitopes

should be reasonably represented by Fig. 4d.

Protection of Hamsters from SARS-CoV-2 Infection by mAb 2-15

To assess the *in vivo* potency of mAb 2-15, we performed a protection experiment in a golden

Syrian hamster model of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The hamsters were first given an intraperitoneal

injection of the antibody at a dose of 1.5 mg/kg or 0.3 mg/kg, or PBS alone. Intranasal inoculations

of 10^5 plaque-forming units (PFU) of the HKU-001a strain of SARS-CoV-2 were carried out 24

hours later. Four days after virus challenge, lung tissues were harvested to quantify the viral load.

As shown in Fig. 5, both viral RNA copy numbers and infectious virus titers were reduced by 4

logs or more in hamsters given the dose of 1.5 mg/kg of mAb 2-15. The protection at the dose of

0.3 mg/kg was borderline, as we had estimated. This pilot animal study demonstrates that the

potency of mAb 2-15 *in vitro* is indeed reflected *in vivo*, with complete elimination of infectious

SARS-CoV-2 at a relatively modest antibody dose.

Discussion

We have discovered a collection of SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing mAbs that are not only potent but

also diverse. Nine of these antibodies can neutralize the authentic virus *in vitro* at concentrations

of 9 ng/mL or less (Fig. 2b), including 4 directed to RBD, 3 directed to NTD, and 2 to quaternary

epitopes nearby. Surprisingly, many of the these mAbs have V(D)J sequences close to germline

sequences, without extensive somatic hypermutations (Extended Data Fig. 2e), a finding that bodes

well for vaccine development. Our most potent RBD-specific mAbs (e.g., 2-15, 2-7, 1-57, and 1-

20) compare favorably with such antibodies recently reported[7,8,10,16-20], including those with high

potency[9,11,21,22]. The *in vitro* potency of 2-15 is well reflected *in vivo* in the hamster protection

experiment (Fig. 5). It appears from the epitope mapping studies that mAbs directed to the top of

RBD strongly compete with ACE2 binding and potently neutralize the virus, whereas those

directed to the side surfaces of RBD do not compete with ACE2 and neutralize less potently (Figs.

3b and 4d). Our collection of non-RBD neutralizing mAbs is unprecedented in that such antibodies

only have been sporadically reported and only with substantially lower potencies[22-24]. The most

potent of these mAbs are directed to (e.g., 2-17, 5-24, and 4-8) or overlapping with (2-51) a patch

on the NTD (Figs. 3b and 4d). It is unclear how NTD-directed mAbs block SARS-CoV-2 infection

and why their neutralization profiles are different from those of RBD-directed antibodies (Fig. 2b).

Nevertheless, vaccine strategies that do not include NTD will be unable to induce an important

class of virus-neutralizing antibodies.

The isolation of two mAbs (2-43 and 2-51) directed to epitopes that do not map to RBD and NTD

is also unprecedented. Cryo-EM of 2-43 Fab bound to the S trimer has confirmed its epitope as

quaternary in nature, crossing from the top of one RBD to the top of another RBD (Fig. 4c). It

will be equally informative to understand the epitope of 2-51. In this study, we also show the first

evidence by cryo-EM for a neutralizing mAb (4-8) bound to the NTD of the viral spike (Fig. 4b),

as well as another high-resolution structure of a mAb (2-4) bound to RBD (Fig. 4a).

The potency and diversity of our SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing mAbs are likely attributable to patient

selection. Previously, we observed that infected individuals with severe disease developed a more

robust virus-neutralizing antibody response[25]. If Patient 2 had not been included, five of the top

neutralizing mAbs would be lost. The diversity of our antibodies is also attributable, in part, to

the choice of using the S trimer to sort from memory B cells, while most groups focused on the

use of RBD[7,9-11,16-19,21]. The characterization of this diverse collection of mAbs has allowed us to

observe that all potent SARS-CoV-2-neutralizing antibodies described to date are directed to the

top of the viral spike. RBD and NTD are, no doubt, quite immunogenic. Neutralizing antibodies

to the stem region of the S trimer remain to be discovered. In conclusion, we believe several of

our monoclonal antibodies with exquisite virus-neutralizing activity are promising candidates for

development as modalities to treat or prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection.

References

281   **References**

282   1   Zhou, P. *et al.* A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat
283   origin. *Nature* **579**, 270-273, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7 (2020).
284   2   Wang, C., Horby, P. W., Hayden, F. G. & Gao, G. F. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global
285   health concern. *Lancet* **395**, 470-473, doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30185-9 (2020).
286   3   Walls, A. C. *et al.* Structure, Function, and Antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 Spike
287   Glycoprotein. *Cell* **181**, 281-292 e286, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.058 (2020).
288   4   Wrapp, D. *et al.* Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation.
289   *Science* **367**, 1260-1263, doi:10.1126/science.abb2507 (2020).
290   5   Hoffmann, M. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked
291   by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. *Cell* **181**, 271-280 e278,
292   doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.02.052 (2020).
293   6   Wang, Q. *et al.* Structural and Functional Basis of SARS-CoV-2 Entry by Using Human ACE2.
294   *Cell* **181**, 894-904 e899, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.03.045 (2020).
295   7   Ju, B. *et al.* Human neutralizing antibodies elicited by SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nature*,
296   doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2380-z (2020).
297   8   Pinto, D. *et al.* Cross-neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 by a human monoclonal SARS-CoV
298   antibody. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2349-y (2020).
299   9   Cao, Y. *et al.* Potent neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 identified by high-
300   throughput single-cell sequencing of convalescent patients' B cells. *Cell*,
301   doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.05.025 (2020).
302   10   Wu, Y. *et al.* A noncompeting pair of human neutralizing antibodies block COVID-19 virus
303   binding to its receptor ACE2. *Science* **368**, 1274-1278, doi:10.1126/science.abc2241
304   (2020).
305   11   Hansen, J. *et al.* High-Throughput Effort Using Both Humanized Mice and Convalescent
306   Humans Yields SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Cocktail. *Science*, doi:in press (2020).
307   12   Sheng, Z. *et al.* Gene-Specific Substitution Profiles Describe the Types and Frequencies of
308   Amino Acid Changes during Antibody Somatic Hypermutation. *Front Immunol* **8**, 537,
309   doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.00537 (2017).
310   13   ter Meulen, J. *et al.* Human monoclonal antibody combination against SARS coronavirus:
311   synergy and coverage of escape mutants. *Plos Med* **3**, e237,
312   doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030237 (2006).
313   14   Tian, X. *et al.* Potent binding of 2019 novel coronavirus spike protein by a SARS
314   coronavirus-specific human monoclonal antibody. *Emerg Microbes Infect* **9**, 382-385,
315   doi:10.1080/22221751.2020.1729069 (2020).
316   15   Yuan, M. *et al.* A highly conserved cryptic epitope in the receptor binding domains of
317   SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV. *Science* **368**, 630-633, doi:10.1126/science.abb7269 (2020).
318   16   Rogers, T. F. *et al.* Rapid isolation of potent SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies and
319   protection in a small animal model. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2020.05.11.088674 (2020).
320   17   Chen, X. *et al.* Human monoclonal antibodies block the binding of SARS-CoV-2 spike
321   protein to angiotensin converting enzyme 2 receptor. *Cell Mol Immunol* **17**, 647-649,
322   doi:10.1038/s41423-020-0426-7 (2020).

18   Zeng, X. *et al.* Isolation of a human monoclonal antibody specific for the receptor binding
domain of SARS-CoV-2 using a competitive phage biopanning strategy. *Antibody*
Therapeutics **3**, 95-100, doi:10.1093/abt/tbaa008 (2020).
19   Liu, X. *et al.* Neutralizing Antibodies Isolated by a site-directed Screening have Potent
Protection on SARS-CoV-2 Infection. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2005.2003.074914,
doi:10.1101/2020.05.03.074914 (2020).
20   Zost, S. J. *et al.* Rapid isolation and profiling of a diverse panel of human monoclonal
antibodies targeting the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein. *bioRxiv*,
doi:10.1101/2020.05.12.091462 (2020).
21   Robbiani, D. F. *et al.* Convergent Antibody Responses to SARS-CoV-2 Infection in
Convalescent Individuals. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2020.05.13.092619 (2020).
22   Brouwer, P. J. M. *et al.* Potent neutralizing antibodies from COVID-19 patients define
multiple targets of vulnerability. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2005.2012.088716,
doi:10.1101/2020.05.12.088716 (2020).
23   Chi, X. *et al.* A potent neutralizing human antibody reveals the N-terminal domain of the
Spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 as a site of vulnerability. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2005.2008.083964,
doi:10.1101/2020.05.08.083964 (2020).
24   Wang, C. *et al.* A human monoclonal antibody blocking SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Nat*
Commun **11**, 2251, doi:10.1038/s41467-020-16256-y (2020).
25   Wang, P. *et al.* SARS-CoV-2 Neutralizing Antibody Responses Are More Robust in Patients
with Severe Disease. *bioRxiv*, 2020.2006.2013.150250, doi:10.1101/2020.06.13.150250
(2020).
345

Fig. 1 Isolation of SARS-CoV-2 mAbs from infected patients with severe disease. a, Plasma

neutralization profile of 40 patients against SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus (highlighted are 5 top

neutralizers chosen). **b**, All 252 transfection supernatants were screened for binding to S trimer

and RBD, as well as for neutralization against SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus and live virus. For

pseudovirus neutralization, the 50% inhibitory dilutions (IC_{50}) of each supernatant were plotted.

For live virus, semi-quantitative representation of the inhibition at a dilution of 1:50, with

neutralization levels ranging from (-) for none to (+++) for complete neutralization, was plotted.

Potent antibodies later identified are marked by vertical lines and labelled at the bottom. The

antibodies from each patient are colored as in **a**.

Fig. 2 Characterization of SARS-CoV-2 potent neutralizing mAbs. a, Binding profiles of 19 purified potent neutralizing mAbs against SARS-CoV-2 S trimer (left), RBD (middle), and NTD (right). Note that mAb 2-30 bound multiple proteins at high concentrations. **b**, The pseudovirus (top panels) and live virus (bottom panels) neutralization profiles for the 19 purified mAbs. Epitope classifications are listed on top of the panel b. Single replicate of the binding experiment and triplicates of neutralization are presented as mean ± SEM.

Fig. 3 Epitope mapping of select neutralizing and non-neutralizing mAbs. a, competition results of non-RBD binders (left) and RBD binders (right) in blocking ACE2 or biotinylated mAb binding to the S trimer. In addition, the ability to bind NTD and RBD$_{mut}$ of each mAb is shown. The numbers in each box show the area under each competition curve (AUC) as tested by ELISA. +/- indicates binding/no binding of the mAb to the protein. The letters A to H at the bottom denote clusters of antibody epitopes defined by the competition experiments. **b**, Venn diagram interpretation of results from **a** and Extended Data Fig. 6b.

Figure 4. Cryo-EM reconstructions of Fab-spike complexes and visualization of neutralizing

epitopes on the spike surface. a, Cryo-EM reconstruction of 2-4 Fab in complex with S trimer at

3.2 Å overall resolution. Density is colored with RBD in green, NTD in orange, and other regions

in grey. **b,** Cryo-EM reconstruction of 4-8 Fab in complex with S trimer (ribbon diagram, colored

as in a) at 3.9 Å overall resolution, with RBDs in the "all-down" configuration. **c,** Cryo-EM

reconstruction of the 2-43 Fab in complex with S trimer at 5.8 Å resolution reveals a quaternary

epitope involving RBD from one subunit and another RBD from the next. **d,** Mapping of the Venn

diagrams from Fig. 3b onto the surface of the viral spike.

Figure 5. Efficacy of mAb 2-15 in protecting against SARS-CoV-2 infection in lung tissues of hamsters. One day prior to intranasal challenge of SARS-CoV-2, each group of hamsters was given a single intraperitoneal dose of 1.5 mg/kg of mAb 2-15 (n = 4), 0.3 mg/kg of mAb 2-15 (n = 4), or saline as control (n = 4). The viral loads in the lung tissues on day 4 after viral challenge were determined by qRT-PCR (red), as well as by an assay to quantify plaque forming units (PFU) of infectious SARS-CoV-2 (blue). All data points are shown, along with the mean ± SD. The differences between 1.5 mg/kg group and controls are statistically significant with p value <0.05.

Methods

Expression and Purification of SARS-CoV-2 Proteins

The mammalian expression vector that encodes the ectodomain of the SARS-CoV-2 S trimer and

the vector encoding RBD fused with SD1 at the N-terminus and an HRV-3C protease cleavage

site followed by a mFc tag and an 8xHis tag at the C-terminus were kindly provided by Jason

McLellan[4]. SARS-CoV-2 NTD (aa1-290) with an HRV-3C protease cleavage site, a mFc tag, and

an 8xHis tag at the C-terminus was also cloned into mammalian expression vector pCAGGS. Each

expression vector was transiently transfected into Expi293 cells using 1 mg/mL of

polyethylenimine (Polysciences). Five days post transfection, the S trimer was purified using

Strep-Tactin XT Resin (Zymo Research), and the RBD-mFc and NTD-mFc were purified using

protein A agarose (ThermoFisher Scientific). In order to obtain RBD-SD1 and NTD, the mFc and

8xHis tags at the C-terminus were removed by HRV-3C protease (Millipore-Sigma) and then

purified using Ni-NTA resin (Invitrogen) followed by protein A agarose.

Sorting for S Trimer-Specific B cells and Single-Cell BCR Sequencing

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells from five patients and one healthy donor were stained with

LIVE/DEAD™ Fixable Yellow Dead Cell Stain Kit (Invitrogen) at ambient temperature for 20

mins, followed by washing with RPMI-1640 complete medium and incubation with 10 µg/mL of

S trimer at 4˚C for 45 mins. Afterwards, the cells were washed again and incubated with a cocktail

of flow cytometry and hashtag antibodies, containing CD3 PE-CF594 (BD Biosciences), CD19

PE-Cy7 (Biolegend), CD20 APC-Cy7 (Biolegend), IgM V450 (BD Biosciences), CD27 PerCP-

Cy5.5 (BD Biosciences), anti-His PE (Biolegend), and human Hashtag 3 (Biolegend) at 4˚C for 1

hr. Stained cells were then washed, resuspended in RPMI-1640 complete medium and sorted for

S trimer-specific memory B cells (CD3-CD19+CD27+S trimer+ live single lymphocytes). The

sorted cells were mixed with mononuclear cells from the same donor, labeled with Hashtag 1, and

loaded into the 10X Chromium chip of the 5' Single Cell Immune Profiling Assay (10X Genomics)

at the Columbia University Human Immune Monitoring Core (HIMC; RRID:SCR_016740). The

library preparation and quality control were performed according to manufacturer's protocol and

sequenced on a NextSeq 500 sequencer (Illumina).

Identification of S Trimer-Specific Antibody Transcripts

For each sample, full-length antibody transcripts were assembled using the VDJ module in Cell

Ranger (version 3.1.0, 10X Genomics) with default parameters and the GRCh38 genome as

reference. To identify cells from the antigen sort, we first used the count module in Cell Ranger

to calculate copies of all hashtags in each cell from the Illumina NGS raw reads. High confidence

antigen-specific cells were identified as follows. Briefly, based on the copy numbers of the

hashtags observed, a cell must contain more than 100 copies of the antigen sort-specific hashtag

to qualify as an antigen-specific cell. Because hashtags can fall off from cells and bind to cells

from a different population in the sample mixture, each cell usually has both sorted and spiked-in-

specific hashtags. To enrich for true antigen-specific cells, the copy number of the specific hashtag

has to be at least 1.5x higher than that of the non-specific hashtag. Low quality cells were

identified and removed using the cell-calling algorithm in Cell Ranger. Cells that do not have

productive H and L chain pairs were excluded. If a cell contains more than two H or/and L chain

transcripts, the transcripts with less than 3 unique molecular identifiers were removed. Cells with

identical H and L chain sequences, which may have resulted from mRNA leakage, were merged

into one cell. Additional filters were applied to remove low quality cells and/or transcripts in the

antibody gene annotation process.

Antibody Transcript Annotation and Selection Criteria

Antigen-specific antibody transcripts were processed using our bioinformatics pipeline SONAR

for quality control and annotation[26]. Briefly, V(D)J genes were assigned for each transcript using

BLAST[27] with customized parameters against a germline gene database obtained from the

international ImMunoGeneTics information system (IMGT) database[26,28]. Based on BLAST

alignments of V and J regions, CDR3 was identified using the conserved second cysteine in the V

region and WGXG (H chain) or FGXG (L chain) motifs in the J region (X represents any amino

acid). For H chain transcripts, the constant domain 1 (CH1) sequences were used to assign isotype

using BLAST with default parameters against a database of human CH1 genes obtained from

IMGT. A BLAST E-value threshold of 1E-6 was used to find significant isotype assignments, and

the CH1 allele with the lowest E-value was used. Sequences other than the V(D)J region were

removed and transcripts containing incomplete V(D)J or/and frame shift were excluded. We then

aligned each of the remaining transcripts to the assigned germline V gene using CLUSTALO[29]

and calculated the somatic hypermutation level.

To select representative antibodies for functional characterization, we first clustered all antibodies

using USEARCH[30] with the following criteria: identical heavy chain V and J gene assignments,

the same length of CDRH3, and CDRH3 identity higher than 0.9. For each cluster, cells with the

same light chain V and J gene assignments were grouped into a clone. All clone assignments were

manually checked. We then calculated the clonal size for each clone, and one H and L chain pair

per clone was chosen for antibody synthesis. For clones with multiple members, the member with

the highest somatic hypermutation level was chosen for synthesis. For cells having multiple high

quality H or L chains, which may be from doublets, we synthesized all H and L chain combinations.

Analysis of S Trimer-Specific Antibody Repertoire

Because 88% of the S trimer-specific antibodies were IgG isotype, we therefore compared the

repertoire features to IgG repertoires from three healthy donors[31] (17,243 H chains, 27,575 kappa

L chains, 20,889 lambda L chains). The repertoire data from the three healthy donors were

combined and annotated using SONAR with the same process as above.

Antibody Expression and Purification

For each antibody, variable genes were optimized for human cell expression and synthesized by

GenScript. VH and VL were inserted separately into plasmids (gWiz or pcDNA3.4) that encode

the constant region for H chain and L chain. Monoclonal antibodies were expressed in Expi293

(ThermoFisher, A14527) by co-transfection of H chain and L chain expressing plasmids using

polyethylenimine and culture in 37°C shaker at 125 RPM and 8% CO_2. On day 3 post transfection,

400 μL of supernatant were collected for screening for binding to S trimer and RBD by ELISA,

and for neutralization of SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus and authentic virus. Supernatants were also

collected on day 5 for antibody purification by rProtein A Sepharose (GE, 17-1279-01) affinity

chromatography.

Production of Pseudoviruses

Recombinant Indiana VSV (rVSV) expressing SARS-CoV-2 spike was generated as previously

described[32,33]. HEK293T cells were grown to 80% confluency before transfection with pCMV3-

SARS-CoV-2-spike (Sino Biological) using FuGENE 6 (Promega). Cells were cultured overnight

at 37°C with 5% CO_2. The next day, medium was removed and VSV-G pseudotyped ΔG-

luciferase (G*ΔG-luciferase, Kerafast) was used to infect the cells in DMEM at a MOI of 3 for

1 hr before washing the cells with 1X DPBS three times. DMEM supplemented with 2% fetal

bovine serum and 100 I.U./mL of penicillin and 100 μg/mL of streptomycin were added to the

inoculated cells, which were cultured overnight as described above. The supernatant was

harvested the following day and clarified by centrifugation at 300 g for 10 mins before aliquoting

and storing at −80°C.

Pseudovirus Neutralization

Neutralization assays were performed by incubating pseudoviruses with serial dilutions of heat-

inactivated plasma together with supernatant or purified antibodies, and scored by the reduction in

luciferase gene expression. In brief, Vero E6 cells (ATCC) were seeded in a 96-well plate at a

concentration of 2×10^4 cells per well. Pseudoviruses were incubated the next day with serial

dilutions of the test samples in duplicate or triplicate for 30 mins at 37°C. The mixture was added

to cultured cells and incubated for an additional 24 hrs. The luminescence was measured by

Britelite plus Reporter Gene Assay System (PerkinElmer). IC_{50} was defined as the dilution at

which the relative light units were reduced by 50% compared with the virus control wells (virus +

cells) after subtraction of the background in the control groups with cells only. The IC_{50} values

were calculated using non-linear regression in GraphPad Prism 8.0.

Authentic SARS-CoV-2 Neutralization

Supernatants containing expressed mAbs were diluted 1:10 and 1:50 in EMEM with 7.5% inactivated fetal calf serum and incubated with authentic SARS-CoV-2 (strain USA-WA1/2020; MOI 0.1) for 1hr at 37°C. Post-incubation, the mixture was transferred onto a monolayer of Vero-E6 cells that was cultured overnight. After incubation of the cells with the mixture for 70 hrs at 37°C, cytopathic effects (CPE) caused by the infection were scored for each well from 0 to 4 to indicate the degree of virus inhibition. Semi-quantitative representation of the inhibition for each antibody-containing supernatant at a dilution of 1:50 is shown in the lowest panel of Fig. 1b with neutralization levels ranging from (-) for none to (+++) for complete neutralization.

An end-point dilution assay in a 96-well plate format was performed to measure the neutralization activity of select purified mAbs. In brief, each antibody was serially diluted (5-fold dilutions) starting at 20 μg/mL. Triplicates of each mAb dilution were incubated with SARS-CoV-2 at a MOI of 0.1 in EMEM with 7.5% inactivated fetal calf serum for 1 hr at 37°C. Post incubation, the virus-antibody mixture was transferred onto a monolayer of Vero-E6 cells grown overnight. The cells were incubated with the mixture for 70 hrs. CPE were visually scored for each well in a blinded fashion by two independent observers. The results were then converted into percentage neutralization at a given mAb concentration, and the averages ± SEM were plotted using a five-parameter dose-response curve in GraphPad Prism 8.0.

Epitope Mapping by ELISA

50 ng/well of S trimer, 50 ng/well of RBD, and 100 ng/well of NTD were coated on ELISA plates at 4°C overnight. The ELISA plates were then blocked with 300 μL of blocking buffer (1% BSA

and 10% bovine calf serum (BCS) (Sigma) in PBS at 37°C for 2 hrs. Afterwards, supernatants

from the antibody transfection or purified antibodies were serially diluted using dilution buffer (1%

BSA and 20% BCS in PBS), incubated at 37°C for 1 hr. Next, 100 μL of 10,000-fold diluted

Peroxidase AffiniPure goat anti-human IgG (H+L) antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch) were

added into each well and incubated for 1 hr at 37°C. The plates were washed between each step

with PBST (0.5% Tween-20 in PBS). Finally, the TMB substrate (Sigma) was added and

incubated before the reaction was stopped using 1M sulfuric acid. Absorbance was measured at

450 nm.

For the competition ELISA, purified mAbs were biotin-labeled using One-Step Antibody

Biotinylation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec) following manufacturer recommendations and purified using

40K MWCO Desalting Column (ThermoFisher Scientific). 50 μL of serially diluted competitor

antibodies were added into S trimer-precoated ELISA plates, followed by 50 μL of biotinylated

antibodies at a concentration that achieves an OD_{450} reading of 1.5 in the absence of competitor

antibodies. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 1 hr, and 100 μL of 500-fold diluted Avidin-HRP

(ThermoFisher Scientific) were added into each well and incubated for another 1 hr at 37°C. The

plates were washed by PBST between each of the previous steps. The plates were developed

afterwards with TMB and absorbance was read at 450 nm after the reaction was stopped.

For the ACE2 competition ELISA, 100 ng of ACE2 protein (Abcam) was immobilized on the

plates at 4°C overnight. The unbound ACE2 was washed away by PBST and then the plates were

blocked. After washing, 100 ng of S trimer in 50 μL of dilution buffer was added into each well,

followed by adding another 50 μL of serially diluted competitor antibodies and then incubating

the plates at 37°C for 1 hr. The ELISA plates were washed 4 times by PBST and then 100 µL of

2000-fold diluted anti-strep-HRP (Millipore Sigma) were added into each well for another 1 hr at

37°C. The plates were then washed, developed with TMB, and absorbance was read at 450 nm

after the reaction was stopped.

For all the competition ELISA experiments, the relative binding of biotinylated antibodies or

ACE2 to the S trimer in the presence of competitors was normalized by comparing to competitor-

free controls. Relative binding curve and the area under curve (AUC) were generated by fitting

the non-linear five-parameter dose-response curve in GraphPad Prism 8.0.

Cell-Surface Competition Binding Assay

Expi293 cells were co-transfected with vectors encoding pRRL-cPPT-PGK-GFP (Addgene) and

pCMV3-SARS-CoV-2 (2019-nCoV) Spike (Sino Biological) at a ratio of 1:1. Two days after

transfection, cells were incubated with a mixture of biotinylated mAb 2-43 (0.25 µg/mL) and

serially diluted competitor antibodies at 4°C for 1 hr. Then 100 µL of diluted APC-streptavidin

(Biolegend) were added to the cells and incubated at 4°C for 45 mins. Cells were washed 3 times

with FACS buffer before each step. Finally, cells were resuspended and 2-43 binding to cell-

surface S trimer was quantified on LSRII flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). The mean

fluorescence intensity of APC in GFP-positive cells was analyzed using FlowJo and the relative

binding of 2-43 to S trimer in the presence of competitors was calculated as the percentage of the

mean fluorescence intensity compared to that of the competitor-free controls.

Cryo-EM Data Collection and Processing

SARS-CoV-2 S trimer at a final concentration of 2 mg/ml was incubated with 6-fold molar excess

per spike monomer of the antibody Fab fragments for 30 minutes in 10 mM sodium acetate pH

5.5, 150 mM NaCl, and 0.005% n-Dodecyl-β-D-maltoside (DDM). 2 μL of sample were incubated

on C-flat 1.2/1.3 carbon grids for 30 secs and vitrified using a Leica EM GP Plunge Freezer. Data

were collected on a Titan Krios electron microscope operating at 300 kV equipped with a Gatan

K3 direct detector and energy filter using the Leginon software package[34]. A total electron fluence

of 51.3 e/Å^2 was fractionated over 40 frames, with a total exposure time of 2 secs. A magnification

of 81,000x resulted in a pixel size of 1.058 Å, and a defocus range of -0.4 to -3.5 μm was used.

All processing was done using cryoSPARC v2.14.2[35]. Raw movies were aligned and dose-

weighted using patch motion correction, and the CTF was estimated using patch CTF estimation.

A small subset of approximately 200 micrographs were picked using blob picker, followed by 2D

classification and manual curation of particle picks, and used to train a Topaz neural network[36].

This network was then used to pick particles from the remaining micrographs, which were

extracted with a box size of 384 pixels.

For the 2-4 Fab dataset, 2D classification followed by *ab initio* modelling and 3D heterogeneous

refinement revealed 83,927 particles with three 2-4 Fabs bound, one to each RBD. A

reconstruction of these particles using Non-Uniform Refinement with imposed C3 symmetry

resulted in a 3.6 Å map, as determined by the gold standard FSC. Given the relatively low

resolution of the RBD-Fab interface, masked local refinement was used to obtain a 3.5 Å map with

significantly improved density. A masked local refinement of the remainder of the S timer resulted

in a 3.5 Å reconstruction. These two local refinements were aligned and combined using the vop

maximum function in UCSF Chimera[37]. This was repeated for the half maps, which were used,

along with the refinement mask from the global Non-Uniform refinement, to calculate the

3DFSC[38] and obtain an estimated resolution of 3.2 Å. All maps have been submitted to the EMDB

with the ID EMD-22156.

For the 4-8 Fab dataset, image preprocessing and particle picking was performed as above. 2D

classification, *ab initio* modelling, and 3D heterogeneous classification revealed 47,555 particles

with 3 Fabs bound, one to each NTD and with all 3 RBDs in the down conformation. While this

particle stack was refined to 3.9 Å using Non-Uniform refinement with imposed C3 symmetry,

significant molecular motion prevented the visualization of the Fab epitope at high resolution

(EMD-22159). In addition, 105,278 particles were shown to have 3 Fabs bound, but with 1 RBD

in the up conformation. These particles were refined to 4.0 Å using Non-Uniform refinement with

C1 symmetry (EMD-22158), and suffered from the same conformational flexibility as the all-

RBD-down particles. This flexibility was visualized using 3D variability analysis in cryoSPARC.

For the 2-43 Fab dataset, which was collected at an electron fluence of 51.69 e/Å2, image

preprocessing was performed as above, and particle picking was performed with blob picker. 2D

classification, *ab initio* modelling, and 3D heterogeneous classification revealed 10,068 particles

with 3 Fabs bound, which was refined to 5.8Å resolution (EMD-22157).

Cryo-EM Model Fitting

An initial homology model of the 2-4 Fab was built using Schrodinger Release 2020-2:

BioLuminate[39]. The RBD was initially modeled using the coordinates from PDB ID 6W41. The

remainder of the S timer was modeled using the coordinates from PDB ID 6VSB. These models

were docked into the consensus map using Chimera. The model was then fitted interactively using

ISOLDE 1.0b5[40] and COOT 0.8.9.2[41], and using real space refinement in Phenix 1.18[42]. In cases

where side chains were not visible in the experimental data, they were truncated to alanine.

Validation was performed using Molprobity[43] and EMRinger[44]. The model was submitted to the

PDB with the ID 6XEY. Figures were prepared using ChimeraX[45].

Hamster Protection Experiment

In vivo evaluation of mAb 2-15 in an established golden Syrian hamster model of SARS-CoV-2

infection was performed as described previously with slight modifications[46]. Approval was

obtained from the University of Hong Kong (HKU) Committee on the Use of Live Animals in

Teaching and Research. Briefly, 6-8-week-old male and female hamsters were obtained from the

Chinese University of Hong Kong Laboratory Animal Service Centre through the HKU

Laboratory Animal Unit and kept in Biosafety Level-2 (BSL-2) housing with access to standard

pellet feed and water *ad libitum* until virus challenge in the BSL-3 animal facility. Each hamster

(n = 4 per group) was intraperitoneally administered one dose of 1.5 mg/kg of mAb 2-15 in

phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), 0.3 mg/kg of mAb 2-15 in PBS, or PBS alone as control.

Twenty-four hours later, each hamster was intranasally inoculated with a challenge dose of 100

μL of Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium containing 10^5 PFU of SARS-CoV-2 (HKU-001a strain,

GenBank accession no: MT230904.1) under intraperitoneal ketamine (200 mg/kg) and xylazine

(10 mg/kg) anesthesia. The hamsters were monitored twice daily for clinical signs of disease and

sacrificed at day 4 post-challenge. Half of each hamster's lung tissues were used for viral load

determination by a quantitative SARS-CoV-2 RdRp/Hel reverse transcription-polymerase chain

reaction assay[47] and an infectious virus titration using a plaque assay described previously[46].

Student's *t* test was used to determine significant differences among the groups, and a p value of

< 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Data Availability

The 19 neutralizing antibodies were deposited to Genbank with accession numbers from

MT712278 to MT712315. Coordinates for the antibody 2-4 complex are deposited in the Protein

Data Bank as PDB 6XEY. Cryo-EM maps and data are deposited in EMDB with deposition codes

EMDB-22156 for antibody 2-4, EMDB-22158 and EMDB-22159 for antibody 4-8, and EMDB-

22275 for antibody 2-43. These data are used in Fig. 4 and Extended Data Figs. 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11.

Code Availability

Next-generation sequencing data of antibody repertoires were processed using Cell ranger v3.1.0,

SONAR V1, BLAST v2.2.25, CLUSTALO1.2.3, and USEARCH v9.2.64. Cryo-EM data was

collected using Leginon 3.4.beta. Cryo-EM data was processed using cryoSPARC v2.14.2,

MotionCor2, Topaz v0.2.4, 3DFSC v3.0, UCSF Chimera v1.13.1, ChimeraX v0.93, ISOLDE

v1.0b5, Phenix v1.18, and COOT v0.8.9.2.

Methods references

Schramm, C. A. *et al.* SONAR: A High-Throughput Pipeline for Inferring Antibody Ontogenies from Longitudinal Sequencing of B Cell Transcripts. *Frontiers in immunology* **7**, 372, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2016.00372 (2016).

Altschul, S. F. *et al.* Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **25**, 3389-3402 (1997).

Lefranc, M. P. *et al.* IMGT, the international ImMunoGeneTics information system. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**, D1006-1012, doi:10.1093/nar/gkn838 (2009).

Sievers, F. & Higgins, D. G. Clustal Omega, Accurate Alignment of Very Large Numbers of Sequences. *Multiple Sequence Alignment Methods* **1079**, 105-116, doi:10.1007/978-1-62703-646-7_6 (2014).

Edgar, R. C. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2460-2461, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btq461 (2010).

Sheng, Z. *et al.* Gene-Specific Substitution Profiles Describe the Types and Frequencies of Amino Acid Changes during Antibody Somatic Hypermutation. *Frontiers in immunology* **8**, 537 (2017).

Nie, J. *et al.* Establishment and validation of a pseudovirus neutralization assay for SARS-CoV-2. *Emerg Microbes Infect* **9**, 680-686, doi:10.1080/22221751.2020.1743767 (2020).

Whitt, M. A. Generation of VSV pseudotypes using recombinant DeltaG-VSV for studies on virus entry, identification of entry inhibitors, and immune responses to vaccines. *J Virol Methods* **169**, 365-374, doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2010.08.006 (2010).

Suloway, C. *et al.* Automated molecular microscopy: the new Leginon system. *J Struct Biol* **151**, 41-60, doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2005.03.010 (2005).

Punjani, A., Rubinstein, J. L., Fleet, D. J. & Brubaker, M. A. cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. *Nat Methods* **14**, 290-296, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4169 (2017).

Bepler, T. *et al.* Positive-unlabeled convolutional neural networks for particle picking in cryo-electron micrographs. *Nature Methods* **16**, 1153-1160, doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0575-8 (2019).

Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605-1612, doi:10.1002/jcc.20084 (2004).

Tan, Y. Z. *et al.* Addressing preferred specimen orientation in single-particle cryo-EM through tilting. *Nat Methods* **14**, 793-796, doi:10.1038/nmeth.4347 (2017).

Zhu, K. *et al.* Antibody structure determination using a combination of homology modeling, energy-based refinement, and loop prediction. *Proteins* **82**, 1646-1655, doi:10.1002/prot.24551 (2014).

Croll, T. I. ISOLDE: a physically realistic environment for model building into low-resolution electron-density maps. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **74**, 519-530, doi:10.1107/S2059798318002425 (2018).

Emsley, P. & Cowtan, K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **60**, 2126-2132, doi:10.1107/S0907444904019158 (2004).

693   42   Adams, P. D. *et al.* Recent developments in the PHENIX software for automated
694   crystallographic structure determination. *J Synchrotron Radiat* **11**, 53-55,
695   doi:10.1107/s0909049503024130 (2004).
696   43   Davis, I. W., Murray, L. W., Richardson, J. S. & Richardson, D. C. MOLPROBITY: structure
697   validation and all-atom contact analysis for nucleic acids and their complexes. *Nucleic*
698   *Acids Res* **32**, W615-619, doi:10.1093/nar/gkh398 (2004).
699   44   Barad, B. A. *et al.* EMRinger: side chain-directed model and map validation for 3D cryo-
700   electron microscopy. *Nat Methods* **12**, 943-946, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3541 (2015).
701   45   Goddard, T. D. *et al.* UCSF ChimeraX: Meeting modern challenges in visualization and
702   analysis. *Protein Sci* **27**, 14-25, doi:10.1002/pro.3235 (2018).
703   46   Chan, J. F. *et al.* Simulation of the clinical and pathological manifestations of Coronavirus
704   Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in golden Syrian hamster model: implications for disease
705   pathogenesis and transmissibility. *Clin Infect Dis*, doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa325 (2020).
706   47   Chan, J. F. *et al.* Improved Molecular Diagnosis of COVID-19 by the Novel, Highly Sensitive
707   and Specific COVID-19-RdRp/Hel Real-Time Reverse Transcription-PCR Assay Validated In
708   Vitro and with Clinical Specimens. *J Clin Microbiol* **58**, doi:10.1128/JCM.00310-20 (2020).
709

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements

We thank N. Wang and J. McLellan for providing reagents for generating the SARS-CoV-2 S

trimer and RBD-SD1 with mFc tag. We thank W. Chen for assistance with generating the Venn

diagrams, and B. DeKosky and X. Wu for helpful input. This study was supported by funding to

D.D.H. from the Jack Ma Foundation, the JPB Foundation, Samuel Yin, Brii Biosciences, Tencent

Charity Foundation, Roger Wu, Carol Ludwig, and Peggy and Andrew Cherng. Cryo-EM data

collection was performed at the National Center for CryoEM Access and Training and the Simons

Electron Microscopy Center located at the New York Structural Biology Center, supported by the

NIH Common Fund Transformative High Resolution Cryo-Electron Microscopy program (U24

GM129539) and by grants from the Simons Foundation (SF349247) and NY State Assembly. Data

analysis was performed at the National Resource for Automated Molecular Microscopy

(NRAMM), supported by the NIH National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM103310).

Hamster experiments were conducted with support from the Health@InnoHK (Centre for Virology,

Vaccinology and Therapeutics), Innovation and Technology Commission, The Government of the

Hong Kong Special Administrative Region.

Author Contributions

D.D.H conceived of the project. L.L., P.W., M.S.N, J.Y., Q.W., Y.H. performed many of the

experiments. M.T.Y. was responsible for recruiting patients, obtaining clinical specimens, and

summarizing clinical data. L.L., V.S., A.F. and X.V.G. performed and analyzed the B-cell sorting,

10X Genomics, sequencing and analysis of the clones. Z.S. performed bioinformatic analyses on

10X next-generation sequencing data and antibody repertoire. J.Y. cloned, expressed, and purified

the mAbs. L.L. and Q.W. performed the epitope mapping and binding experiments. P.W.

conducted the pseudovirus neutralization assays and M.S.N. and Y.X. performed infectious SARS-

CoV-2 neutralization assays. M.A.R., G.C., J.B, J.G., and L.S. carried out the cryo-EM studies.

J.F-W.C., Z.C., and K-Y.Y. were responsible for the hamster experiment. Y.L. helped with project

management. T.Z. and P.D.K. provided key reagents for the study, and P.D.K. contributed to the

analysis and discussion of the data. L.L., P.W., M.S.N., J.Y., Y.H., Z.S., M.A.R., Q.W, L.S., and

D.D.H analyzed the results, and D.D.H. wrote the manuscript, with contributions from each author.

J.G.S. provided valuable suggestions.

Ethic Statement

Acquiring convalescent specimens to isolate and identify potent monoclonal antibodies against

COVID-19" (AAAS9517) was approved by the Columbia University Institutional Review Board.

Informed consent was obtained from all participants or surrogates.

Competing Interest Declaration

A provisional patent application has been filed for the monoclonal antibodies described in the

manuscript. L.L. and D.D.H. are inventors.

Extended Data

Extended Data Table 1 Patient information

Patient	Age	Sex & Race	Days from symptom onset to:	Biomarker	Complications	Outcome
1	57	Female, Hispanic	Admission: 7 MV: 12 Ab isolation: 18	hsCRP = 208 mg/L ESR = 58 mm/hr IL-6 = 23 pg/mL Ferritin = 766 ng/mL D-dimer = 3.4 μg/mL FEU	ARDS	Discharged on day 30
2	71	Female, Hispanic	Admission: 20 MV: 20 Ab isolation: 29	hsCRP = 33 mg/L ESR > 130 mm/hr IL-6 = 13 pg/mL Ferritin = 425 ng/mL D-dimer = 5.7 μg/mL FEU	ARDS Ventilator associated pneumonia	Discharged on day 45
3	61	Male, White	Admission: 10 MV: 10 Ab isolation: 21	hsCRP = 51 mg/L ESR = 57 mm/hr IL-6 > 315 pg/mL Ferritin = 3,238 ng/mL D-dimer = 7.4 μg/mL FEU	ARDS Acute kidney injury (hemodialysis) Sepsis	Death on day 28
4	51	Male, Black	Admission: 7 MV: 10 Ab isolation: 25	hsCRP = 88 mg/L ESR = 110 mm/hr IL-6 = 77 pg/mL Ferritin = 510 ng/mL D-dimer = 13.4 μg/mL FEU	ARDS Acute kidney injury (no hemodialysis) Ventilator associated pneumonia	Discharged on day 51
5	50	Male, White	Admission: 5 MV: 7 Ab isolation: 32	hsCRP = 2 mg/L ESR = 63 mm/hr	ARDS Neuropathy	Discharged on day 27

752 Abbreviation: ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; MV, mechanical ventilation; hsCRP,

753 high sensitivity C-reactive protein, ULN>10 mg/L; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate,

754 ULN=20 mm/hr; Interleukin 6, ULN= 5 pg/mL; Ferritin, ULN=150 ng/mL; D-dimer quantitative

755 ULN= 0.8ug/mL FEU.

 Extended Data Table 2 Cryo-EM data collection, refinement, and validation statistics.

	SARS-CoV-2 spike with Fab 2-4 (EMDB-22156) (PDB 6XEY)	SARS-CoV-2 spike RBD up with Fab 4-8 (EMDB-22158)	SARS-CoV-2 spike RBD down with Fab 4-8 (EMDB-22159)	SARS-CoV-2 spike with Fab 2-43 (EMDB-22275)
Data collection and processing				
Magnification	81,000	81,000	81,000	81,000
Voltage (kV)	300	300	300	300
Electron exposure (e–/Å^2)	51.30	51.30	51.30	51.69
Defocus range (μm)	-0.4 to -3.5	-0.4 to -3.5	-0.4 to -3.5	-0.4 to -3.5
Pixel size (Å)	1.058	1.058	1.058	1.058
Symmetry imposed	C3	C1	C3	C1
Initial particle images (no.)	556,983	256,848	256,848	55,161
Final particle images (no.)	83,927	105,278	47,555	10,068
Map resolution (Å)	3.25	4.0	3.9	5.8
FSC threshold	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143
Map resolution range (Å)	406.3-3.25	406.3-4.0	406.3-3.9	406.3-5.8
Refinement				
Initial model used (PDB code)	6VSB			
Model resolution (Å)	3.7			
FSC threshold	0.5			
Model resolution range (Å)	406.3-3.25			
Map sharpening *B* factor (Å^2)	-97.5			
Model composition				
Non-hydrogen atoms	28,482			
Protein residues	3788			
Ligands	63			
B factors (Å^2)				
Protein	54.35			
Ligand	73.91			
R.m.s. deviations				
Bond lengths (Å)	0.005			
Bond angles (°)	0.810			
Validation				
MolProbity score	1.51			
Clashscore	3.59			
Poor rotamers (%)	0.22			
Ramachandran plot				
Favored (%)	94.75			
Allowed (%)	5.17			
Disallowed (%)	0.08			

Extended Data Fig. 1 SARS-CoV-2 S trimer-specific antibody isolation strategy. a, Schema

for isolating of S trimer-specific mAbs from memory B cells in the blood of infected patients. **b**,

Sorting results on the isolation of S trimer-specific memory B cells using flow cytometry. **c**,

Magnified representation of the panel of S trimer-positive memory B cells for each patient. Inset

numbers indicate the absolute number and the percentage of S trimer-specific memory B cells

isolated from each case.

	Abs tested	Binding Abs			Neutralizing Abs	Neutralizing Abs
		S trimer	RBD	Non-RBD	Pseudovirus	Live virus
Total	252	121	38	83	61	41
Patient 1	100	45	19	26	19	11
Patient 2	54	29	12	17	18	18
Patient 3	6	2	0	2	3	0
Patient 4	44	32	7	25	14	6
Patient 5	48	13	0	13	7	6

Extended Data Fig. 2 Summary of mAb screening of transfection supernatants. a, Numbers of binding and neutralizing antibodies from patients 1 to 5. **b,** The best-fit pseudovirus neutralization curves for 130 samples that were positive in at least one of the screens shown in Fig. 1b. The 18 transfection supernatants that showed evidently better potency are highlighted in colors, while others with non-neutralizing or weakly neutralizing activities are shown in grey. One additional supernatant (Patient 1) that was initially missed in the pseudovirus screen but later found to be a potent neutralizing mAb (1-87) is also highlighted.

Extended Data Fig. 3 Genetic features of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody repertoire. a, 108

of the 123 antigen-specific antibodies are from IgG isotype. The kappa and lambda light chains

are comparably used. **b,** Compared to IgG repertoires of healthy human donors (17,243, 27,575,

and 20,889 transcripts for heavy, kappa, and lambda chains respectively), IGHV3-30 (antigen-

specific n=26 and healthy donor n=1117) and IGKV3-20 genes (antigen-specific n=15 and healthy

donor n=4,071) are over-represented in heavy and light chain repertoires respectively (P values

are 6.415e-11 and 0.04332 respectively, χ2-test with 1 degree of freedom). We did not test the

enrichment of other genes because the numbers of antigen-specific antibodies are less than 15. **c,**

The usage of IGHJ6 gene (antigen-specific n=36 and healthy donor n=3646) was significantly

higher in antigen-specific antibodies (χ2-test with 1 degree of freedom, p=0.02807). **d,** The

CDRH3 length of antigen-specific antibodies is significantly longer than in healthy donors (two-

sided Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, p=0.014). **e,** For both heavy and light chains, the V region

nucleotide somatic hypermutation levels are significantly lower than in antibodies of healthy

donors (two-sided Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, p< 2.2e-16 for both heavy and light chains). For the

boxplots, the middle lines are medians. The lower and upper hinges correspond to the first and

third quartiles respectively. The upper whisker extends to values no larger than 1.5*IQR (the inter-

quartile range or distance between the first and third quartiles) from the hinge. The lower whisker

extends to values no smaller than 1.5 * IQR from the hinge. Data points beyond the whiskers were

plotted as outliers using dots.

Extended Data Fig. 4 Correlation of neutralizing antibody titers of the top 19 mAbs in the

live SARS-CoV-2 assay versus the pseudovirus assay. Green circles represent RBD-directed

antibodies; orange circles represent NTD-directed antibodies; and black circles represent

antibodies in the "Others" category. The *Pearson correlation coefficient (r)* and the *p* value were

calculated using GraphPad Prism. Experiments were performed in triplicates for all mAbs tested.

Extended Data Fig. 5　The pseudovirus neutralization profiles for 12 purified mAbs that

strongly bound the S trimer but with weak or no virus-neutralizing activities. The four mAbs

with weak neutralizing activities against SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus are shown in sold lines, and

the remaining 8 non-neutralizing mAbs are shown in dashed lines.

Extended Data Fig. 6 Cell-surface staining with antibodies a, Antibody binding to the SARS-

CoV-1 (blue) and SARS-CoV-2 (red) spike proteins expressed on the cell surface. Expi293 cells

were co-transfected with GFP and full-length SARS-CoV-1 or SARS-CoV-2 spike genes. After

48 hours, antibody binding to spike protein in the GFP-positive cells was detected by flow

cytometer. The data show all antibodies tested were able to recognize the wildtype SARS-CoV-2

spike protein but not SARS-CoV-1 spike protein. **b,** Monoclonal Ab 2-43 bound to S trimer

expressed on Expi293 cell surface can be competed out by mAbs directed to RBD but only

minimally by mAbs to the NTD region. Shown are representative data from three independent

experiments.

Extended Data Fig. 7 Cryo-EM analysis of antibody 2-4 in complex with the S trimer. a,

Representative micrograph and CTF of the micrograph. 8,324 micrographs were collected in total.

b, Representative 2D class averages. **c,** Resolution of the consensus map with C3 symmetry as

calculated by 3DFSC. **d,** The local resolution of the full map as calculated by cryoSPARC at an

FSC cutoff of 0.5. **e,** Representative density of the Fab 2-4 (blue) and RBD (green) interface,

showing interactions of CDR H3 in red, L1 in magenta, and L3 in light magenta (left), along with

CDR H2 and the N-linked glycosylation added by SHM at ASN58 (right). **f,** Fab 2-4 binding

interface with RBD. V_H is shown in blue, V_L in light blue, with CDRs H1 in orange, H2 in yellow,

H3 in red, L1 in magenta, and L3 in light magenta. **g,** Positions of antibodies 2-4, S309[8], and BD-

23[9] on the trimeric CoV-2 spike. **h,** Antibody BD-23[9] in complex with S trimer. **i,** Somatic

hypermutations found only in the antibody 2-4 heavy chain, shown in brown. The mutation A60T

creates an NxT sequence leading to N58 glycosylation.

Extended Data Fig. 8 Cryo-EM data processing for antibodies 4-8 and 2-43 in complex with

S trimer. a, Representative 4-8 micrograph and CTF of the micrograph. 3,153 micrographs were

collected in total. **b,** Representative 2D class averages. **c,** Resolution of the spike in the RBD down

conformation in complex with Fab 4-8. **d,** Resolution of the spike in the RBD up conformation in

complex with Fab 4-8. **e,** Local resolution of the spike in the RBD down conformation in complex

with Fab 4-8 at an FSC cutoff of 0.5, with two thresholds shown. **f,** Local resolution of the spike

in the RBD up conformation in complex with Fab 4-8 at an FSC cutoff of 0.5, with two thresholds

shown. **g,** Although the map was reconstructed at 4.0Å resolution, density for 4-8 Fab is poor due

to molecular motion. A rigid body fit with SARS-CoV-2 spike and an antibody variable domain

model is shown. **h-k,** Cryo-EM data processing for antibody 2-43 in complex with the S trimer. **h,**

Representative 2-43 micrograph and CTF of the micrograph. **i,** Representative 2D class averages.

j, Resolution of Fab 2-43 in complex with S trimer. **k,** The local resolution of the full map as

calculated by cryoSPARC at an FSC cutoff of 0.5.