1   Disruption of the MreB elongasome is overcome by mutations in the TCA cycle

Brody Barton, Addison Grinnell, Randy M. Morgenstein[#]

Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater,

OK, USA

* Correspondence:
randy.morgenstein@okstate.edu

Keywords: MreB cytoskeleton, antibiotic resistance, gluconeogenesis, TCA cycle, elongasome.
(Min.5-Max. 8)

Abstract

The bacterial actin homolog, MreB, is highly conserved among rod-shaped bacteria and

essential for growth under normal growth conditions. MreB directs the localization of cell

wall synthesis and loss of MreB results in round cells and death. Using the MreB

depolymerizing drug, A22, we show that changes to central metabolism through deletion of

malate dehydrogenase from the TCA cycle results in cells with an increased tolerance to

A22. We hypothesize that deletion of malate dehydrogenase leads to the upregulation of

gluconeogenesis resulting in an increase in cell wall precursors. Consistent with this idea,

metabolite analysis revealed that *mdh* deletion cells possess elevated levels of several

glycolysis/gluconeogenesis compounds and the cell wall precursor, UDP-NAG. In

agreement with these results, the increased A22 resistance phenotype can be recapitulated

through the addition of glucose to the media. Finally, we show that this increase in antibiotic

tolerance is not specific to A22 but also applies to the cell wall-targeting antibiotic,

mecillinam.

1   Introduction

The bacterial actin homolog, MreB, is a highly conserved and conditionally essential protein necessary for shape and growth in many rod-shaped human pathogens, including *Escherichia coli, Salmonella enterica, Pseudomonas aeruginosa,* and *Vibrio cholerae* (van den Ent, Amos et al. 2001, Alyahya, Alexander et al. 2009). MreB polymers direct the localization of cell wall synthesis, and disruption of MreB, either through the use of antibioitics such as A22 or genetic modifications, causes cells to become misshapen and lyse. A22 binds adjacent to the ATP binding pocket of MreB and alters MreB's polymerization dynamics (Gitai, Dye et al. 2005, Bean, Flickinger et al. 2009, van den Ent, Izoré et al. 2014, Awuni, Jiang et al. 2016, Awuni and Mu 2019).

Slow growth or overexpression of the cell division genes *ftsZAQ* can overcome the loss of *mreB* (Kruse, Bork-Jensen et al. 2005, Bendezú and de Boer 2008). While overexpression of the cell division genes does not prevent the cell from becoming round upon disruption of MreB, the increased levels of FtsZAQ are thought to help overcome the change in cell diameter, aiding the round cells in dividing. It is currently unknown if over expression or deletion of other genes can also overcome MreB disruption.

There is ample evidence to support a connection between central metabolism and cell shape (Weart, Lee et al. 2007, Elbaz and Ben-Yehuda 2010, Yao, Davis et al. 2012, Hill, Buske et al. 2013, Beaufay, Coppine et al. 2015). In addition, a connection between the TCA cycle and cell size has been shown in *Caulobacter crescentus*. In *C. crescentus*, mutations that result in the accumulation of α-ketoglutarate cause cell shape defects by reducing the synthesis of cell wall precursors (Irnov, Wang et al. 2017).

Similar to cell shape regulation, cell size is coordinated with nutrient availability. Cell

size is regulated with growth rate so that cells grown in rich medium are larger than those

grown in nutrient poor medium (Schaechter, Maaloe et al. 1958, Sargent 1975, Pierucci

1978). In *Bacillus subtilis*, pyruvate has been shown to help coordinate growth and division

through FtsZ-ring assembly (Monahan, Hajduk et al. 2014). Furthermore, in both *E. coli* and

B. subtilis, the nucleotide sugar UDP-glucose is used as a way to link carbon availability

with cell size (Weart, Lee et al. 2007, Chien, Zareh et al. 2012, Hill, Buske et al. 2013).

Because of the well-known connection between cell shape and size and metabolism,

specifically the TCA cycle, we screened deletions of genes involved in each enzymatic

reaction of the TCA cycle for changes to the minimal inhibitory concentration of A22

(MIC_{A22}) in *E. coli*. We found that three gene deletions lead to an increase in the tolerance

of cells to A22 and focused our efforts on understanding how disruption of the TCA cycle

protein, Mdh, leads to a higher MIC_{A22}.

We propose the increase in MIC_{A22} works through the activation of gluconeogenesis

leading to an increase in the levels of cell wall precursors. While cell shape changes are not

suppressed, we did see an increase to the tolerance of cells to A22 and mecillinam, an

inhibitor of the cell wall synthesis enzyme penicillin binding protein 2 (PBP2). Additionally,

we found that this higher tolerance for A22 and mecillinam is phenocopied by the addition of

glucose to the growth medium. Finally, we found that the cell wall synthesis protein PBP1B

is epistatic to Mdh, leading to a reduction of the MIC_{A22} in a PBP1B*mdh* double mutant.

These results further support cross talk between metabolism, the cell elongation machinery,

and the cell division machinery.

Results

Deletions in the TCA cycle result in an increase in the MIC$_{A22}$.

It is known that mutations that cause an increase in expression of the cell division

genes *ftsZAQ* suppress the growth defects of gene deletions of many cell shape

determinants, including MreB (Vinella, Joseleau-Petit et al. 1993, Kruse, Bork-Jensen et al.

2005, Bendezú and de Boer 2008). We wanted to determine if there were gene deletions

that result in a cell's ability to live without the essential bacterial actin homolog, MreB. We

used the MreB-depolymerizing drug A22 to mimic the loss of MreB and screened genes

from the Keio collection involved in the TCA cycle for mutants that cause an increase in the

minimum inhibitory concentration of A22. For quantification purposes we report the

concentration of A22 that results in less than half the growth (O.D.$_{600}$) compared to cells

grown only in LB medium (henceforth referred to as, MIC$_{A22}$) (Iwai, Nagai et al. 2002, Baba,

Ara et al. 2006).

We broke each enzymatic step of the TCA cycle by deleting genes for citrate

synthase (*gltA*), aconitate hydratase (*acnB*), isocitrate dehydrogenase (*icd*), 2-oxoglutarate

dehydrogenase (*sucA*), succinyl-CoA synthetase (*sucC*), succinate:quinone oxidoreductase

(*sdhA*), the major anaerobic fumarase (*fumA*), and malate dehydrogenase (*mdh*), (Fig. 1A).

Of these, only deletion of *acnB*, *sucC*, and *mdh* show a higher MIC$_{A22}$ than WT cells (Fig.

1B).

Deletion of *acnB* has been shown to increase antibiotic tolerance by decreasing ATP

levels in the cell, but to our knowledge, neither mutations in *mdh* nor *sucC* have been

reported to cause a change in antibiotic susceptibility (Rowe, Wagner et al. 2020). In this

paper, we focus on understanding the effects of the *mdh* mutation on A22 tolerance. We do

not expect the increased tolerance to A22 in the *mdh* mutant to function through ATP

synthesis, like *acnB*, because the action of Mdh occurs after the steps in the TCA cycle

where reducing power is created.

Mdh is responsible for the increased tolerance to A22.

To ensure that there were not any second site mutations in the Keio *mdh* deletion

strain we transfered the mutation into an MG1655 background using P1 transduction. The

MG1655 *mdh* mutant retained the increased tolerance to A22 and was used for all

subsequent experiments (Table S1). It cannot be ruled out that a second site mutation is

genetically linked to *mdh*.

While *mdh* is not in an operon, it is encoded just downstream of the *degQS* operon

on the opposite strand. To confirm that the increase in A22 tolerance was from deletion of

mdh and not disrupted transcriptional termination of *degS*, we complemented *mdh* on an

arabinose-inducible plasmid. When expression is induced with 0.2% arabinose the empty

vector control has a 6.4 fold increase ($p < 0.005$) in the MIC_{A22} over WT cells compared to a

1.4 fold increase for the complementation strain ($p < 0.05$). When *mdh* is ectopically

expressed in the *mdh* deletion cells there is a 4.6 fold decrease ($p < 0.005$) in the MIC

compared to the empty vector control, further confirming the ability of ectopically expressed

mdh to complement the gene deletion. This decrease in the MIC_{A22} when *mdh* is expressed

supports the idea that there is not a seconday linked mutation to *mdh* and that deletion of

mdh results in a higher MIC_{A22}. We note that complementation of *mdh* did not fully restore

the sensitivity of WT cells to A22, which may be due to either the addition of arabinose or

the empty vector as the WT cells induced with the empty vector have a slightly higher MIC

than WT cells alone (Table S2).

Slow growth is known to help cells grow without MreB (Bendezú and de Boer 2008).

Growth curves of WT and *mdh* cells show that in our test conditions (LB medium) there is

no appreciable change in growth rates, indicating that slow growth is not contributing to the

increase in MIC_{A22} (Fig. 2A) and that ATP production is not severely affected in this strain.

We did however observe that the *mdh* mutant reaches a maximum optical density at a lower

point than WT cells. Because the growth rate is the same between WT and *mdh* cells and

increased tolerance to A22 is not a universal feature of TCA cycle gene deletions (Fig.

1BC), we hypothesize that the lack of the Mdh enzyme or changes in malate levels (the

substrate of Mdh) is the most likely cause of the increased MIC_{A22} seen in the *mdh* mutant;

however, it is also possible the lack of oxaloacetate, the product of Mdh is responsible.

Additionally, it is possible that changes in FtsZ levels are responsible for the

increased survival of *mdh* cells. The fact that both the Keio and MG1655 strains display an

increase in MIC_{A22} suggests that there is not a second site mutation increasing *ftsZ* levels. It

is possible that the metabolic changes caused by the deletion of *mdh* results in changes to

ftsZ levels. We used a functional native site FtsZ-GFP fusion to measure FtsZ levels in both

WT and *mdh* cells. This fusion is the sole copy of FtsZ in the cell. There was no difference

in fluorescent intensity between the strains suggesting that FtsZ levels are not affected by

deletion of *mdh* (Fig. 2 BC). If FtsZ is overexpressed to a high enough level minicells can

form (Belhumeur and Drapeau 1984, Ward and Lutkenhaus 1985). To further support the

idea that FtsZ is not upregulated in *mdh* cells, we measured the cell length of non-dividing

WT and *mdh* cells and while *mdh* cells are slightly shorter than WT cells, minicells were not

produced, suggesting that there are not large changes to FtsZ levels, although the shorter

cells do suggest a possible change in divisome activity (Fig. S1A). The lack of minicells can

be observed by the lack of small cell outliers (red plus) in the box plot as well as the fact

that the whisker representing the most extreme data points is actually lower for the WT cells

than the *mdh* mutant, indicating that while the *mdh* mutant produces smaller cells on

average the distribution of those cells is also smaller. We also measured the cell length of

the *mdh* complementation strain induced with arabinose and found that along with

sensitivity to A22 this strain complemented the shorter cell shape phenotype (Fig. 1SB).

The loss of *mdh* also leads to a smaller width and cell area, both of which are also

complemented when *mdh* is expressed ectopically (Fig. S1C-F).

Cells could also show an increased MIC_{A22} due to a mechanism that prevents A22

from disrupting MreB, as is seen with MreB resistant point mutations. When MreB is

disrupted cells become round. To determine if MreB is still being affected by the addition of

A22 when *mdh* is deleted, we imaged WT cells, a previously described MreB point mutant

resistant to A22 ($MreB_{S14A}$), and *mdh* deletion cells after growth in LB alone, LB with a

sublethal concentration of A22 (1 µg/ml) for 4 hours, or LB spiked with 10 µg/ml of A22 for 2

hours after 2 hours of growth (Morgenstein, Bratton et al. 2015). As expected, both A22

treatments cause WT cells to become round, whereas the MreB point mutant remains a rod

regardless of A22 treatment. Interestingly, *mdh* cells became round, like WT cells, under

both A22 conditions, confirming that MreB is still susceptible to A22 in the *mdh* mutant (Fig.

2D). These data further support the hypothesis that the loss of *mdh* is responsible for the

increased MIC_{A22}.

mdh mutants have an altered metabolic profile.

We performed metabolomic analysis to identify changes in metabolites in *mdh*

mutant cells to determine if these changes might be the cause of the increased MIC_{A22}.

Both WT and *mdh* cells were grown in LB (without glucose) to exponential phase before

metabolite extraction. These experiments were done three independent times and reported

results are the ion counts from each of these experiments in order to establish trends in

metabolite changes. Only metabolites that show a consistent trend were considered for

further analysis. As expected, malate levels were higher in the *mdh* mutant than in WT cells

in all three experiments, although the amount varies (Fig. 3A). These increased levels of

malate could increase metabolic flux through the alternative malate dehydrogenases MaeA

or MaeB, into pyruvate. An increase in pyruvate levels was seen in the *mdh* mutant (Fig.

3B). Pyruvate is involved in many cellular reactions, including glycolysis/gluconeogenesis;

therefore, this increased pyruvate could flow into gluconeogenesis to produce sugars

(Sauer and Eikmanns 2005). While the levels of glucose-6-phosphate (G6P), the end

product of gluconeogenesis, are very low in cells grown in LB and are not seen in one of the

trials at all, there was a consistent increase seen in the *mdh* mutant, which could only come

from increased gluconeogenesis because the cells were grown in LB medium without any

sugar (Fig. 3C). The increased levels of G6P suggest that the elevated levels of malate

seen in the *mdh* mutant leads to an increase in gluconeogenesis. G6P can be converted

into fructose-6-phosphate which can be used to form N-acetylglucosamine (NAG), while

pyruvate can be converted to phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP), another metabolite used in the

synthesis of cell wall precursors (Barreteau, Kovač et al. 2008).

Thus, the increased levels of both G6P and pyruvate led us to hypothesize that the

mdh deletion causes an increase in gluconeogenesis resulting in increased levels of cell

wall precursors which may cause an increase in the activity of cell wall synthesis systems

not disrupted during A22 treatment (Mengin-Lecreulx, Flouret et al. 1983). To this end, we

looked for changes in the level of cell wall precursors in the metabolomics data and saw an

increase in UDP-NAG, supporting our hypothesis (Fig. 3D). These results suggest that

increasing the amount of cell wall precursors can suppress the lethal effects of MreB

disruption.

The addition of glucose mimics deletion of mdh.

Glycolysis and gluconeogenesis are the reverse reactions of each other; thus,

because G6P levels increased in *mdh* cells, we reasoned that the addition of glucose to the

growth medium would have a similar effect on the MIC_{A22} to deletion of *mdh*: providing

additional substrate for the synthesis of cell wall precursors. In *Staphylococcus aureus* it

was shown that ~50% of exogenously added glucose ended up as part of the cell wall,

supporting the hypothesis that exogenously added glucose can be easily converted into

UDP-NAG and incorporated into the cell wall (Komatsuzawa, Fujiwara et al. 2004).

Additionally, glucose has been shown to compensate for mutations in aconitase to restore

antibiotic susceptibility (Rowe, Wagner et al. 2020).

MIC assays were performed on WT cells grown in LB medium with increasing

amounts of glucose or α-methyl-D-glucoside (αMG), a nonhydrolyzable form of glucose

(Rogers and Yu 1962, Hernandez-Asensio, Ramirez et al. 1975). While very low levels

(<0.125%) of glucose had no effect on the MIC_{A22} in WT cells, even glucose levels as low as

0.125% resulted in a statistically significant increase in the fold change of the MIC_{A22} in cells

grown with glucose vs LB alone compared with cells grown with αMG vs LB (Fig. 4A). An

increase in the glucose levels results in even higher MIC_{A22} fold changes. The significant

increase in MIC_{A22} during glucose vs αMG treatment suggests that the changes are not due

to osmotic protection caused by the addition of sugar. These results further support our

hypothesis that increasing the levels of cell wall precursors leads to an increase in MIC_{A22}.

Because glucose was able to provide WT cells some protection from A22 we wanted

to determine how it affected cell shape. WT cells were grown in LB or A22-spiked media

supplemented with 0.2% glucose, αMG, or malate. In all conditions A22 caused a loss of

rod shape measured by increases in the intracellular diameter deviation (IDD) (Fig. 4BC)

(Morgenstein, Bratton et al. 2015). We observed that the change in IDD was smaller

(although still statistically significant) when cells were treated with glucose than either αMG

or malate. Using a two-way ANOVA analysis, we are able to show that the addition of

glucose has a significant effect on reducing the change in IDD when cells are treated with

A22 ($p < 0.05$).

Deletion of mdh leads to an increased MIC of mecillinam.

The primary role of MreB is to direct the location of cell wall synthesis enzymes. It has been

suggested that MreB forms a complex with the SEDS family of cell wall synthesis enzymes

(Cho, Wivagg et al. 2016, Meeske, Riley et al. 2016, Leclercq, Derouaux et al. 2017).

Specifically, recent work has suggested that MreB interacts with RodA and PBP2 to

regulate cell elongation, while PBP1A/1B work independently of MreB (Cho, Wivagg et al.

2016). Because the *mdh* deletion results in cells with a higher MIC_{A22}, we hypothesized that

cells might also have a higher MIC against other cell wall targeting antibiotics. To that end,

we performed MIC assays with other antibiotics that target cell wall synthesis proteins to

determine how general the effects of the *mdh* deletion are on the MICs of cell wall-targeting

drugs.

We performed MIC assays with cell wall-targeting antibiotics that inhibit either cell

elongation (mecillinam, cefsulodin), cell division (cephalexin) or both (ampicillin) by blocking

the activity of different PBPs involved in cell wall synthesis. An MIC fold change >1 indicates

that the *mdh* deletion causes cells to be more resistant and a fold change <1 indicates that

mdh cells are more sensitive to the specified antibiotic. The only other cell wall-targeting

antibiotic to which the *mdh* deletion shows an increased MIC is mecillinam, which targets

the MreB complex partner, PBP2 (Spratt 1977) (Fig. 5A). The fact that the *mdh* deletion

strain does not have a higher MIC_{cef} supports the idea that PBP1A/B are not part of the

MreB complex because cefsulodin specifically targets PBP1A and PBP1B. Cephalexin

targets PBP3 (FtsI) which is part of the division machinery, a different complex than the

MreB-elongasome. We did not see an increase in the MIC_{ceph} in the *mdh* deletion,

suggesting that an increase in gluconeogenesis cannot overcome cell division inhibition.

Ampicillin targets multiple PBPs, with the highest affinity for PBP4, followed by PBP3, and

PBP2 (Curtis, Orr et al. 1979, Preston, Wu et al. 1990). Because ampicillin binds to PBP3

tightly and there was no increase in the MIC_{ceph}, it stands to reason that deletion of *mdh*

would not have an effect on the MIC_{amp}. These results suggest that the up-regulation of

gluconeogenesis caused by the *mdh* deletion specifically causes an increase in the MIC of

drugs that target the MreB elongation synthesis complex (A22 and mecillinam), but not to

other cell wall-targeting antibiotics.

Inactivation of aconitase (*acnB*) or succinyl-CoA synthetase (*sucC*) lead to an

increase in the MIC_{A22} (Fig. 1D); therefore, we tested whether deletions of these genes also

lead to an increase in the MIC_{mec}. The *acnB* mutation displays an increased MIC_{mec}, while

deletion of *sucC* does not (Fig. S2). This suggests that the role of SucC in A22 resistance is

different f that of Mdh. However, it is unclear if AcnB acts through a general antibiotic

resistance mechanism via ATP depletion or through a specific MreB-elongasome

mechanism.

Glucose phenocopies the mdh mutation leading to an increased MIC of mecillinam.

The addition of glucose to the media was able to phenocopy the effects of deleting

mdh on the MIC_{A22} (Fig. 4A). Because we saw a similar increase in the MIC_{mec} as with the

MIC_{A22} in the *mdh* deletion, we wanted to test whether the addition of glucose would also

lead to an increased MIC_{mec} in WT cells. The addition of glucose leads to a statistically

significant increase in the MIC_{mec} but not αMG (Fig. 4). Taken together, the fact that there is

only a change in the MIC for antibiotics that target MreB and PBP2 (the major elongasome

components), but not other PBPs suggests that an increase in the levels of cell wall

precursors, either through an increase in gluconeogenesis or the addition of glucose to the

medium, induces a mechanism that specifically targets the MreB elongasome, but not other

cell wall synthesis enzymes.

PBP1B is epistatic to mdh for A22 tolerance.

If there are two cell wall synthesis machinery systems at work, it stands to reason

that inhibition of one could be compensated by activation of the other. Cefsulodin

specifically targets the bifunctional PBP1A and PBP1B proteins which have been suggested

to work separately from MreB-PBP2, (Cho, Wivagg et al. 2016, Meeske, Riley et al. 2016).

The *mdh* mutation does not increase the MIC_{cef}; therefore, to test if either PBP1A or PBP1B

can compensate for disrupted MreB we performed MIC assays on *mdh* cells with either

mrcA (PBP1A) or *mrcB* (PBP1B) deleted. The *mrcB* deletion, either alone or with *mdh,*

made cells highly sensitive to A22 (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, while WT cells display reduced

growth above 1.25 μg/ml A22, cells lacking PBP1B show no growth above this

concentration but did not have increased sensitivity at lower concentrations resulting in the

same MIC when measuring 50% of growth of cells versus growth in LB. We hypothesize

that the increased levels of cell wall precursors observed in the *mdh* mutant can be used by

PBP1B to keep cells alive in the absence of the MreB-PBP2 complex.

Discussion

While studying novel mechanisms of A22 resistance, we found that loss of Mdh, an

enzyme in the TCA cycle, leads to an increase in the MIC_{A22} (Fig. 1), which we hypothesize

is through the induction of gluconeogenesis, leading to an increase in cell wall precursors.

We propose that this accumulation of cell wall precursors results in increased MICs of both

the MreB-targeting drug A22 and the PBP2-targeting drug, mecillinam through the activation

of PBP1B. This effect appears to be specific for the MreB cell wall synthesis complex, as we

do not see an increase in MIC of antibiotics that target other enzymes in the cell wall

synthesis pathway.

Mutations in the TCA cycle have been implicated in resistance to antibiotics. Deletion

of *icd*, which encodes isocitrate dehydrogenase, results in the accumulation of toxic

intermediates leading to the activation of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump which can pump

nalidixic acid out of the cell (Helling, Janes et al. 2002). A similar mechanism is most likely

not at work in the *mdh* mutant, as the cells still become round upon A22 treatment,

suggesting that A22 is in the cell at a high enough concentration to disrupt MreB. More

recently, it was shown that antibiotic tolerance can be induced by inhibiting ATP production

(Conlon, Rowe et al. 2016, Shan, Brown Gandt et al. 2017). One way this could be

achieved is by blocking enzymes in the TCA cycle such as aconitase (*acnB*) or succinate

dehydrogenase (*sdhA*), which would lower the reducing power available for oxidative

respiration (Rowe, Wagner et al. 2020).

304 Multiple systems involved in rod shape maintenance

The bacterial cell wall is a macromolecule made up of sugars crosslinked by proteins

to provide shape and support to the cell. In rod-shaped bacteria, such as *E. coli, B. subtilis,*

and C. crescentus, MreB is thought to form the main protein of the elongasome complex

consisting of multiple PBPs, MreBCD, RodA, and RodZ. Work in the above species led to

the hypothesis that MreB interacts with the cytoplasmic cell wall synthesis components and

helps to direct the localization of the periplasmic-acting enzymes (Figge, Divakaruni et al.

2004, Divakaruni, Loo et al. 2005, Kruse, Bork-Jensen et al. 2005, Divakaruni, Baida et al.

2007, Kawai, Daniel et al. 2009, White, Kitich et al. 2010, Ursell, Nguyen et al. 2014,

Morgenstein, Bratton et al. 2015).

Recent work has questioned the model that the MreB elongosome is a large complex

composed of both the bifunctional class A PBPs (aPBPs) and monofunctional class B PBPs

(bPBPs). The aPBPs contain both transglycosylation and transpeptidation activities, and

thus should be functional alone, while the bPBPs, such as PBP2, possess only

transpeptidation activity. However, PBP2 is essential in *E. coli*, and in both *B. subtilis* and *E.*

coli, polymerization of glycan strands has been shown to proceed without the aPBPs (Cho,

Wivagg et al. 2016, Meeske, Riley et al. 2016). If elongation of glycan strands occurs

without aPBPs, then there must be another enzyme capable of transglycosylation reactions.

RodA has been suggested to be the transglycosylase that works with PBP2 (bPBP) (Cho,

323 Wivagg et al. 2016, Meeske, Riley et al. 2016). These authors also showed that the RodA-

324 PBP2 complex works with the MreB cytoskeleton while the aPBPs act separately from this

325 complex. Our previous work studying MreB dynamics suggested that RodZ helps modulate

326 MreB motion through interactions with RodA/PBP2, further supporting the idea that they are

327 in a complex together (Morgenstein, Bratton et al. 2015). Here we show that antibiotics that

328 target MreB (A22) or PBP2 (mecillinam), but not aPBPs (cefsulodin) are less effective when

329 *mdh* is deleted and cell wall precursor synthesis levels are proposed to be upregulated (Fig.

330 5A), suggesting that a second PG synthesis system is dominating.

331  These results reinforce the model that MreB forms a cell wall synthesis complex with

332 PBP2 but not PBP1A/B and suggest that cell wall synthesis deriving from the activity of

333 aPBPs may be upregulated when there is an abundance of precursor molecules in the cell

334 and the MreB elongation machinery is disrupted. Upregulation of an aPBP-specific

335 synthesis mechanism would explain how cells can grow when MreB or PBP2 are inhibited.

336 To test this idea, we made an *mdh* double deletion with either *mrcA* (PBP1A) or *mrcB*

337 (PBP1B). Surprisingly, loss of PBP1B either alone or with *mdh* results in cells more

338 sensitive to A22 (Fig. 5B).

339  To the best of our knowledge, the rate-limiting step of cell wall synthesis is currently

340 unknown as it is very difficult to measure *in vitro* cell wall synthesis, which is further

341 complicated by the rapid turnover of the PG. Possibilities include substrate availability

342 (precursor synthesis), enzyme (PBPs) kinetics, or enzyme availability. Our results suggest

343 substrate availability is rate limiting, as increasing precursor synthesis provides a

344 mechanism bypass the need for PBP2 or MreB. Future, experiments that reduce substrate

345 levels, through modulation of the synthesis enzymes, or that modulate PBP levels will be

346 needed.

It is possible that the observed increase in UDP-NAG actually results from a

decrease in cell wall synthesis. This model would also be consistent with cells becoming

more sensitive to the inactivation of PBP1B; if cell wall synthesis is slowed in the *mdh*

mutant, loss of another cell wall synthesis enzyme (PBP1B) would be more deleterious than

in WT cells. However, it is unclear why the addition of glucose to the medium would result in

increased tolerance. How aPBP activity is modulated when other cell wall synthesis

mechanisms are inhibited is currently not known but would be an interesting topic for further

study. If reduced wall synthesis was happening, one would expect that deletion of PBP1A to

also reduce the MIC_{A22} in the *mdh* deletion background, but this was not observed.

While MreB and PBP2 may act in the same complex, inhibition of each protein

results in different effects on cell wall synthesis. MreB acts upstream of cell wall synthesis to

organize the complex; therefore, A22 treatment breaks the ability of the cell to build an

organized cell wall. PBP2 is an enzyme that actually builds the cell wall acting upstream of

MreB and A22 inhibition. Inhibition by mecillinam, and other PBP inhibitors, causes a futile

cycle where not only is synthesis or crosslinking inhibited but cell wall recycling is increased

(Uehara and Park 2008, Cho, Uehara et al. 2014). While most antibiotics that target cell wall

synthesis enzymes result in this futile cycle, we only see an increase in resistance to

mecillinam, suggesting a specific phenotype for inhibition of the MreB-PBP2 elongasome.

We propose that if precursor synthesis is elevated and MreB or PBP2 is inhibited, then the

increased activity of PBP1B can break the futile cycle by using the excess precursors.

Drug efficacy is affected by the metabolic state of cells.

Antibiotics resistance normally comes from genetic changes in a cell. However, in

addition to genetic changes the efficacy of antibiotics changes with the growth state of cells.

Slow growing cells show an increased tolerance to many antibiotics (Tuomanen, Cozens et

al. 1986, Pontes and Groisman 2019). When only a subfraction of the population is tolerant

to antibiotic exposure, the surviving cells are termed 'persisters' (Brauner, Fridman et al.

2016). In addition, changes in metabolic state can affect the efficacy of antibiotics. Previous

studies have shown changes to antibiotic sensitivity when mutations are made in the genes

involved in the TCA cycle (Helling, Janes et al. 2002, Irnov, Wang et al. 2017, Rowe,

Wagner et al. 2020). Mutations in *icd* can activate efflux pumps rendering cells more

tolerant to antibiotics that can be targeted by those efflux pumps (Helling, Janes et al.

2002). Additionally, *acnB* mutations are thought to alter antibiotic susceptibility through

decreases in cellular ATP levels (Conlon, Rowe et al. 2016).

Here we show that mutations in the metabolic genes *mdh, sucC, and acnB* increase

a cell's tolerance to A22. Both *mdh* and *acnB* mutations also lead to increases in mecillinam

tolerance, while *sucC* deletion does not affect mecillinam tolerance (Table S2). This

suggests that the changes in A22 tolerance in *sucC* and *mdh* mutants work through

different mechanisms. It will be interesting in the future to perform metabolite analysis on

the *sucC* deletion strain to better understand the changes in metabolites. Do mutations in

other steps of the TCA cycle increase gluconeogenesis? It would seem that they do not as

only 3 of the 8 reactions of the TCA lead to increased A22 tolerance when broken.

Metabolite analysis of the *sucC* and *acnB* mutants could also help to unravel the differences

in mecillinam resistance. Perhaps the changes in ATP levels in the *acnB* mutant provides a

general antibiotic resistance mechanism. However, SucC and Mdh occur after the formation

of reducing power in the TCA cycle. While the substrate of Mdh (malate), can be directly

converted into gluconeogenesis substrates, succinyl-CoA the substrate of SucC, is more

likely to be consumed in the modification of amino acids; arginine degradation, and

methionine and lysine biosynthesis. It is possible the levels of these specific amino acids

relate to A22 resistance. Unfortunately, we were unable to record PEP or oxaloacetate

levels in our metabolomic dataset. This leaves open the possibility that other metabolic

changes have occurred that account for the increase in the antibiotic tolerance in the *mdh*

mutant.

Previous research has shown that while persister cells are more tolerant of

aminoglycoside antibiotics, the addition of different sugars specifically potentiated the

effects of gentamycin and other aminoglycosides, resulting in a reduction of persister cell

survival after treatment (Allison, Brynildsen et al. 2011). The work presented here suggests

that the addition of glucose has the opposite effect on the efficacy of mecillinam and

decreases its effectiveness. Additionally, inhibiting ATP production can lead to increases in

antibiotic tolerance which can be bypassed through the addition of glucose (Conlon, Rowe

et al. 2016, Shan, Brown Gandt et al. 2017, Rowe, Wagner et al. 2020). While the addition

of glucose may help to treat cells when using aminoglycosides by killing both actively

growing cells and persister cells, the opposite is true when using mecillinam, as the addition

of glucose actually increases the required dose (MIC).

Furthermore, gluconeogenic metabolism has been shown to promote infection.

Colonization by *E. coli* of both cows and mice has been shown to increase during

gluconeogenic growth, especially when undergoing competition in the gut (Miranda,

Conway et al. 2004, Bertin, Deval et al. 2014). Moreover, a gluconeogenic environment

leads to an increase in virulence factor expression of enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (Njoroge,

Nguyen et al. 2012). Therefore, the use of metabolites to potentially help eliminate a subset

of cells, such as persister cells, may have the unintended consequences of both increasing

colonization and virulence of other cells and diminishing the efficacy of other antibiotics.

The properties that make MreB an attractive drug target — conservation across

many pathogens and essentiality — are true for other members of the MreB complex,

including RodA and RodZ. Our results suggest that drugs that target these proteins would

be less effective if gluconeogenesis is activated. As new antibiotics are developed against

novel targets, these results show it is important to think about the broad function of the

target protein because the efficacy of a drug may be affected in seemingly unknown ways,

as we have shown that antibiotic tolerance against MreB-targeting drugs can be increased

through a mutation in the TCA cycle gene *mdh*.

Material and Methods

Bacterial Growth. Bacteria were grown using standard laboratory conditions. Cultures

were grown overnight in LB medium (10 g/L NaCl, 10 g/L tryptone, 5 g/L yeast extract),

subcultured 1:1000, and grown to exponential phase (O.D.$_{600}$ 0.3-0.6) at 37°C in a shaking

incubator.

Bacterial Strains. All gene deletions in MG1655 were made by P1 transduction from the

Keio collection. Transductants were selected on kanamycin (30 μg/mL) and confirmed by

PCR. To produce double mutants, pCP20 (Table S1) was transformed into strain 1 in order

to remove the kanamycin resistance cassette. P1 transduction was then used to move the

second mutation into the strain. See Table S1 for a list of strains used in this study.

mdh was cloned into pBad33 by PCR and digestion-ligation at the *EcoRI* and *HindIII*

sites. The ligation mixture was used to transform S17 cells and sequence verified before

being transformed into MG1655.

MIC assay.

Optical densities of all cultures used in the minimum inhibitory concentration assays (MIC)

were checked at 600 nm (OD_{600}) with a Thermo Scientific Biomate-3S. Overnight cultures

were grown in 2mL test tubes in LB medium in a shaking incubator and normalized to have

the same $O.D._{600}$ of the slowest growing culture. 1:100 dilutions were made into 96-well

plates filled with 100µl of LB medium plus indicated antibiotics and/or specified sugars or

tricarboxylic acids. Two-fold dilutions of each antibiotic were made. Each plate had one row

left uninoculated for a blank and one row drug free as a growth control. The O.D. from the

drug free wells were halved and used to determine the MIC values as the concentration of

drug that caused half the growth as that in the no drug control. Experiments were performed

at minimum in triplicate.

Microscopy.

For all imaging, cells were grown at 37°C in indicated medium. Imaging was done on 1%

M63-agarose pads at room temperature. Images were collected on a Nikon Ni-E

epifluorescent microscope equipped with a 100X/1.45 NA objective (Nikon), Zyla 4.2 plus

cooled sCMOS camera (Andor), and NIS Elements software (Nikon).

IDD was calculated using the matlab software Morphometrics (Ursell, Lee et al. 2017), and

custom software as previously described (Morgenstein, Bratton et al. 2015, Bratton,

Shaevitz et al. 2018). Briefly, a centerline is drawn through each cell and the diameter is

measured across the cell body. The standard deviation of these center lines is divided by

the mean width of the cell to calculate a coefficient of variation of intracellular diameter

deviation. Only non-dividing cells were used for analysis.

Total cell fluorescence was calculated using custom Matlab software. Cell contours were

made using Morphometrics to determine the cell boundaries. The total fluorescence within

this contour was divided by the amount of pixels to determine the cell brightness

Metabolomics.

Collection. Cells were grown overnight shaking at 37°C in LB medium and then

subcultured 1:1000 into a flask with 10 ml of fresh LB and grown shaking at 37°C for ~4

hours until in exponential phase (O.D.$_{600}$ 0.3-0.4). Cells were passed through a 0.2 µm filter

via vacuum filtration. The filter was placed in a glass dish with cold

acetonitrile:methanol:water (40:40:20) + 0.5% formic acid and placed at -20°C for 15

minutes to quench metabolism in the cells. This quenching solution was used to wash the

cells off of the filter before the solution was neutralized with 1.9M ammonium bicarbonate.

The cells were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 5 minutes to remove debris. Supernatants

were collected for mass spectrometry.

Analysis. Supernatants were analyzed via the methods of Su et al. (Su, Chiles et al. 2020).

Specificity was achieved through a combination of chromatographic separations followed by

high-resolution MS. This method allows for the identification of ~300 water soluble

metabolites. Covariant ion analysis (COVINA) was used to identify peaks. Cells were run in

triplicate and the effect of *mdh* deletion on metabolites was only determined for metabolites

that were identified in all three replicates and showed a consistent ratio between WT:*mdh*

cells.

Allison, K. R., M. P. Brynildsen and J. J. Collins (2011). "Metabolite-enabled Rradication of
Bacterial Persisters by Aminoglycosides." Nature **473**(7346): 216-220.
Alyahya, S. A., R. Alexander, T. Costa, A. O. Henriques, T. Emonet and C. Jacobs-Wagner (2009).
"RodZ, a Component of the Bacterial Core Morphogenic Apparatus." Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences **106**(4): 1239-1244.
Awuni, E. and Y. Mu (2019). "Effect of A22 on the Conformation of Bacterial Actin MreB."
International journal of molecular sciences **20**(6): 1304.
Awuni, Y., S. Jiang, R. C. Robinson and Y. Mu (2016). "Exploring the A22-Bacterial Actin MreB
Interaction through Molecular Dynamics Simulations." The Journal of Physical Chemistry B
120(37): 9867-9874.
Baba, T., T. Ara, M. Hasegawa, Y. Takai, Y. Okumura, M. Baba, K. A. Datsenko, M. Tomita, B. L.
Wanner and H. Mori (2006). "Construction of *Escherichia coli* K-12 in-frame, Single-gene Knockout
Mutants: the Keio Collection." Molecular Systems Biology **2**: 2006.0008-2006.0008.
Barreteau, H., A. Kovač, A. Boniface, M. Sova, S. Gobec and D. Blanot (2008). "Cytoplasmic Steps
of Peptidoglycan Biosynthesis." FEMS Microbiology Reviews **32**(2): 168-207.
Bean, G. J., S. T. Flickinger, W. M. Westler, M. E. McCully, D. Sept, D. B. Weibel and K. J. Amann
(2009). "A22 Disrupts the Bacterial Actin Cytoskeleton by Directly Binding and Inducing a Low-
Affinity State in MreB." Biochemistry **48**(22): 4852-4857.
Beaufay, F., J. Coppine, A. Mayard, G. Laloux, X. De Bolle and R. Hallez (2015). "A NAD-
dependent Glutamate Dehydrogenase Coordinates Metabolism with Cell Division in *Caulobacter*
crescentus." Embo j **34**(13): 1786-1800.
Belhumeur, P. and G. R. Drapeau (1984). "Regulation of Cell Division in *Escherichia coli*:
Properties of new FtsZ mutants." Molecular & General Genetics **197**(2): 254-260.
Bendezú, F. O. and P. A. J. de Boer (2008). "Conditional Lethality, Division Defects, Membrane
Involution, and Endocytosis in *mre* and *mrd* Shape Mutants of *Escherichia coli*." Journal of
Bacteriology **190**(5): 1792-1811.

Bertin, Y., C. Deval, A. de la Foye, L. Masson, V. Gannon, J. Harel, C. Martin, M. Desvaux and E.
Forano (2014). "The Gluconeogenesis Pathway is Involved in Maintenance of Enterohaemorrhagic
Escherichia coli O157:H7 in Bovine Intestinal Content." <u>PloS one</u> **9**(6): e98367-e98367.
Bratton, B. P., J. W. Shaevitz, Z. Gitai and R. M. Morgenstein (2018). "MreB Polymers and
Curvature Localization are Enhanced by RodZ and Predict *E. coli's* Cylindrical Uniformity." <u>Nature</u>
<u>Communications</u> **9**(1): 2797.
Brauner, A., O. Fridman, O. Gefen and N. Q. Balaban (2016). "Distinguishing Between Resistance,
Tolerance and Persistence to Antibiotic Treatment." <u>Nature Reviews Microbiology</u> **14**(5): 320-330.
Chien, A. C., S. K. Zareh, Y. M. Wang and P. A. Levin (2012). "Changes in the Oligomerization
Potential of the Division Inhibitor UgtP co-ordinate *Bacillus subtilis* Cell Size with Nutrient
Availability." <u>Mol Microbiol</u> **86**(3): 594-610.
Cho, H., T. Uehara and T. G. Bernhardt (2014). "Beta-lactam Antibiotics Induce a Lethal
Malfunctioning of the Bacterial Cell Wall Synthesis Machinery." <u>Cell</u> **159**(6): 1300-1311.
Cho, H., C. N. Wivagg, M. Kapoor, Z. Barry, P. D. A. Rohs, H. Suh, J. A. Marto, E. C. Garner and T.
G. Bernhardt (2016). "Bacterial Cell Wall Biogenesis is Mediated by SEDS and PBP Polymerase
Families Functioning Semi-Autonomously." <u>Nature Microbiology</u> **1**: 16172.
Conlon, B. P., S. E. Rowe, A. B. Gandt, A. S. Nuxoll, N. P. Donegan, E. A. Zalis, G. Clair, J. N.
Adkins, A. L. Cheung and K. Lewis (2016). "Persister Formation in *Staphylococcus aureus* is
Associated with ATP Depletion." <u>Nature Microbiology</u> **1**(5): 16051.
Curtis, N. A., D. Orr, G. W. Ross and M. G. Boulton (1979). "Affinities of Penicillins and
Cephalosporins for the Penicillin-binding Proteins of *Escherichia coli* K-12 and their Antibacterial
Activity." <u>Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy</u> **16**(5): 533-539.
Divakaruni, A. V., C. Baida, C. L. White and J. W. Gober (2007). "The Cell Shape Proteins MreB
and MreC Control Cell Morphogenesis by Positioning Cell Wall Synthetic Complexes." <u>Molecular</u>
<u>Microbiology</u> **66**(1): 174-188.
Divakaruni, A. V., R. R. O. Loo, Y. Xie, J. A. Loo and J. W. Gober (2005). "The Cell-shape Protein
MreC Interacts with Extracytoplasmic Proteins Including Cell Wall Assembly Complexes in
Caulobacter crescentus." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of</u>
<u>America</u> **102**(51): 18602-18607.
Elbaz, M. and S. Ben-Yehuda (2010). "The Metabolic Enzyme ManA Reveals a Link Between Cell
Wall Integrity and Chromosome Morphology." <u>PLoS Genet</u> **6**(9): e1001119.
Figge, R. M., A. V. Divakaruni and J. W. Gober (2004). "MreB, the Cell Shape-Determining
Bacterial Actin Homologue, Co-Ordinates Cell Wall Morphogenesis in *Caulobacter crescentus*."
<u>Molecular Microbiology</u> **51**(5): 1321-1332.
Gitai, Z., N. A. Dye, A. Reisenauer, M. Wachi and L. Shapiro (2005). "MreB Actin-Mediated
Segregation of a Specific Region of a Bacterial Chromosome." <u>Cell</u> **120**(3): 329-341.
Helling, R. B., B. K. Janes, H. Kimball, T. Tran, M. Bundesmann, P. Check, D. Phelan and C. Miller
(2002). "Toxic Waste Disposal in *Escherichia coli*." <u>Journal of bacteriology</u> **184**(13): 3699-3703.
Hernandez-Asensio, M., J. M. Ramirez and F. F. Del Campo (1975). "The Control by Respiration of
the Uptake of α-methyl-glucoside in *Escherichia coli* K12." <u>Archives of Microbiology</u> **103**(1): 155-
162.
Hill, N. S., P. J. Buske, Y. Shi and P. A. Levin (2013). "A Moonlighting Enzyme Links Escherichia
coli Cell Size with Central Metabolism." <u>PLOS Genetics</u> **9**(7): e1003663.
Irnov, I., Z. Wang, N. D. Jannetty, J. A. Bustamante, K. Y. Rhee and C. Jacobs-Wagner (2017).
"Crosstalk Between the Tricarboxylic Acid Cycle and Peptidoglycan Synthesis in *Caulobacter*
crescentus through the Homeostatic Control of α-ketoglutarate." <u>PLoS genetics</u> **13**(8): e1006978-
e1006978.

Iwai, N., K. Nagai and M. Wachi (2002). "Novel S-Benzylisothiourea Compound That Induces
Spherical Cells in Escherichia coli Probably by Acting on a Rod-shape-determining Protein(s) Other
Than Penicillin-binding Protein 2." Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry **66**(12): 2658-2662.
Kawai, Y., R. A. Daniel and J. Errington (2009). "Regulation of Cell Wall Morphogenesis in *Bacillus*
subtilis by Recruitment of PBP1 to the MreB Helix." Molecular Microbiology **71**(5): 1131-1144.
Komatsuzawa, H., T. Fujiwara, H. Nishi, S. Yamada, M. Ohara, N. McCallum, B. Berger-Bächi and
M. Sugai (2004). "The Gate Controlling Cell Wall Synthesis in *Staphylococcus aureus*." Molecular
Microbiology **53**(4): 1221-1231.
Kruse, T., J. Bork-Jensen and K. Gerdes (2005). "The Morphogenetic MreBCD Proteins of
Escherichia coli form an Essential Membrane-Bound Complex." Molecular Microbiology **55**(1): 78-
89.
Leclercq, S., A. Derouaux, S. Olatunji, C. Fraipont, A. J. F. Egan, W. Vollmer, E. Breukink and M.
Terrak (2017). "Interplay Between Penicillin-binding Proteins and SEDS Proteins Promotes Bacterial
Cell Wall Synthesis." Scientific Reports **7**: 43306.
Meeske, A. J., E. P. Riley, W. P. Robins, T. Uehara, J. J. Mekalanos, D. Kahne, S. Walker, A. C.
Kruse, T. G. Bernhardt and D. Z. Rudner (2016). "SEDS Proteins are a Widespread Family of
Bacterial Cell Wall Polymerases." Nature **537**(7622): 634-638.
Mengin-Lecreulx, D., B. Flouret and J. van Heijenoort (1983). "Pool Levels of UDP N-
acetylglucosamine and UDP N-acetylglucosamine-enolpyruvate in *Escherichia coli* and Correlation
with Peptidoglycan Synthesis." Journal of Bacteriology **154**(3): 1284-1290.
Miranda, R. L., T. Conway, M. P. Leatham, D. E. Chang, W. E. Norris, J. H. Allen, S. J. Stevenson,
D. C. Laux and P. S. Cohen (2004). "Glycolytic and Gluconeogenic Growth of *Escherichia coli*
O157:H7 (EDL933) and *E. coli* K-12 (MG1655) in the Mouse Intestine." Infection and Immunity
72(3): 1666-1676.
Monahan, L. G., I. V. Hajduk, S. P. Blaber, I. G. Charles and E. J. Harry (2014). "Coordinating
Bacterial Cell Division with Nutrient Availability: a Role for Glycolysis." mBio **5**(3): e00935-00914.
Morgenstein, R. M., B. P. Bratton, N. Ouzounov, J. P. Nguyen, J. W. Shaevitz and Z. Gitai (2015).
"RodZ links MreB to Cell Wall Synthesis to Mediate MreB Rotation and Robust Morphogenesis."
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences: 12510-12515.
Njoroge, J. W., Y. Nguyen, M. M. Curtis, C. G. Moreira and V. Sperandio (2012). "Virulence Meets
Metabolism: Cra and KdpE Gene Regulation in Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*." mBio **3**(5):
e00280-00212.
Pierucci, O. (1978). "Dimensions of *Escherichia coli* at Various Growth Rates: Model for Envelope
Growth." J Bacteriol **135**(2): 559-574.
Pontes, M. H. and E. A. Groisman (2019). "Slow Growth Determines Nonheritable Antibiotic
Resistance in *Salmonella enterica*." Science Signaling **12**(592): eaax3938.
Preston, D. A., C. Y. Wu, L. C. Blaszczak, D. E. Seitz and N. G. Halligan (1990). "Biological
Characterization of a New Radioactive Labeling Reagent for Bacterial Penicillin-binding Proteins."
Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **34**(5): 718-721.
Rogers, D. and S.-H. Yu (1962). "Substrate Specificity of a Glucose Permease of *Escherichia coli*."
Journal of Bacteriology **84**(5): 877-881.
Rowe, S. E., N. J. Wagner, L. Li, J. E. Beam, A. D. Wilkinson, L. C. Radlinski, Q. Zhang, E. A.
Miao and B. P. Conlon (2020). "Reactive Oxygen Species Induce Antibiotic Tolerance During
Systemic *Staphylococcus aureus* Infection." Nature Microbiology **5**(2): 282-290.
Sargent, M. G. (1975). "Control of Cell Length in *Bacillus subtilis*." J Bacteriol **123**(1): 7-19.
Sauer, U. and B. J. Eikmanns (2005). "The PEP–pyruvate–oxaloacetate Node as the Switch Point for
Carbon Flux Distribution in Bacteria." FEMS Microbiology Reviews **29**(4): 765-794.

Schaechter, M., O. Maaloe and N. O. Kjeldgaard (1958). "Dependency on Medium and Temperature
of Cell Size and Chemical Composition During Balanced Grown of *Salmonella typhimurium*." J Gen
Microbiol **19**(3): 592-606.
Shan, Y., A. Brown Gandt, S. E. Rowe, J. P. Deisinger, B. P. Conlon and K. Lewis (2017). "ATP-
Dependent Persister Formation in *Escherichia coli*." mBio **8**(1): e02267-02216.
Spratt, B. G. (1977). "The Mechanism of Action of Mecillinam." Journal of Antimicrobial
Chemotherapy **3**(suppl B): 13-19.
Su, X., E. Chiles, S. Maimouni, F. E. Wondisford, W. X. Zong and C. Song (2020). "In-Source CID
Ramping and Covariant Ion Analysis of Hydrophilic Interaction Chromatography Metabolomics."
Anal Chem **92**(7): 4829-4837.
Tuomanen, E., R. Cozens, W. Tosch, O. Zak and A. Tomasz (1986). "The Rate of Killing of
Escherichia coli by β-Lactam Antibiotics Is Strictly Proportional to the Rate of Bacterial Growth
Free." Journal of general microbiology **132**(5).
Uehara, T. and J. T. Park (2008). "Growth of *Escherichia coli*: Significance of Peptidoglycan
Degradation During Elongation and Eeptation." J Bacteriol **190**(11): 3914-3922.
Ursell, T., T. K. Lee, D. Shiomi, H. Shi, C. Tropini, R. D. Monds, A. Colavin, G. Billings, I. Bhaya-
Grossman, M. Broxton, B. E. Huang, H. Niki and K. C. Huang (2017). "Rapid, precise Quantification
of Bacterial Cellular Dimensions Across a Genomic-scale Knockout Library." BMC Biology **15**(1):
17.
Ursell, T. S., J. Nguyen, R. D. Monds, A. Colavin, G. Billings, N. Ouzounov, Z. Gitai, J. W. Shaevitz
and K. C. Huang (2014). "Rod-Like Bacterial Shape is Maintained by Feedback Between Cell
Curvature and Cytoskeletal Localization." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
111(11): E1025-E1034.
van den Ent, F., L. A. Amos and J. Lowe (2001). "Prokaryotic Origin of the Actin Cytoskeleton."
Nature **413**(6851): 39-44.
van den Ent, F., T. Izoré, T. B. Bharat, C. M. Johnson and J. Lowe (2014). "Bacterial Actin MreB
Forms Antiparallel Double Filaments." eLife **3**(e02634).
Vinella, D., D. Joseleau-Petit, D. Thévenet, P. Bouloc and R. D'Ari (1993). "Penicillin-binding
Protein 2 Inactivation in *Escherichia coli* Results in Cell Division Inhibition, Which is Relieved by
FtsZ Overexpression." Journal of bacteriology **175**(20): 6704-6710.
Ward, J. E., Jr. and J. Lutkenhaus (1985). "Overproduction of FtsZ Induces Minicell Formation in *E.
coli*." Cell **42**(3): 941-949.
Weart, R. B., A. H. Lee, A.-C. Chien, D. P. Haeusser, N. S. Hill and P. A. Levin (2007). "A
Metabolic Sensor Governing Cell Size in Bacteria." Cell **130**(2): 335-347.
White, C. L., A. Kitich and J. W. Gober (2010). "Positioning Cell Wall Synthetic Complexes by the
Bacterial Morphogenetic Proteins MreB and MreD." Molecular Microbiology **76**(3): 616-633.
Yao, Z., R. M. Davis, R. Kishony, D. Kahne and N. Ruiz (2012). "Regulation of Cell Size in
Response to Nutrient Availability by Fatty Acid Biosynthesis in *Escherichia coli*." Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A **109**(38): E2561-2568.

Figure 1. Mutations in the genes of the TCA cycle increase the MIC of A22. A) Model of the

TCA cycle. Proteins in purple have higher MIC_{A22} when the associated gene is deleted. B)

MIC_{A22} fold change of mutants in the TCA cycle. Only *mdh*, *acnB*, and *sucC* mutants show

an increased MIC_{A22}. *** $p < 0.001$ comparing each strain to 1 (no change).

Figure 2. *mdh* deletion cells grow at the same rate as WT cells but lose shape under A22

treatment. A) Representative growth curve of WT and *mdh* deletion cells grown in triplicate.

Cells were grown overnight in LB and set to the same O.D. before a 1:1000 dilution was

made into fresh LB. Error bars are standard deviation. B) Phase-contrast and GFP images

of WT or *mdh* cells with a functional fluorescent FtsZ-GFP fusion. C) Quantification of cell

brightness from cells expressing FtsZ-GFP in exponential phase. D) Phase-contrast images

of cells grown with different A22 concentrations. Cells were grown for four hours in LB or

A22 1 µg/ml. Cells were grown for two hours in LB before 10 µg/ml A22 was added for an

additional two hours. Scale bars are 4 µm.

Figure 3. Metabolomics analysis reveals upregulation of gluconeogenesis in *mdh* cells.

Cells were grown in LB medium without the addition of sugar on three separate days. The

individual ion counts from each day were analyzed to ensure a consistent pattern. A) Ion

counts of malate levels in WT and *mdh* cells. B) Ion counts of pyruvate levels in WT and

mdh cells. C) Ion counts of glucose-6P levels in WT and *mdh* cells. D) Ion counts of UDP-

NAG levels in WT and *mdh* cells. Black bars- WT cells, grey bars- *mdh* cells.

Figure 4. The addition of glucose to the medium phenocopies the antibiotic tolerant

phenotypes of the *mdh* deletion. A) WT cells were grown in LB medium supplemented with

the indicated amount of either glucose or a non-hydrolizable glucose mimic, α-methyl D-

glucoside (αMG). Cells were treated with either A22 (black bars) or mecillinam (blue bars).

Error shown is standard deviation from 3 independent experiments. * p<.05, ***p<.001

comparing glucose to αMG for each condition. B-C) Cells were grown with 0.2% αMG,

glucose (glc), or malate (mal) for four hours before imaging (LB) or for two hours before a

spike of 10 µg/ml A22 for another two hours. B) Images of cells. C) Quantification of how

rod-like cells are. Coefficient of variation of the intracellular diameter deviation measures

rodness. The higher the number the less rod-like cells appear. A22 causes cells to round up

in all conditions but the effect is less when cells are grown in glucose. Number above each

bar represents the total number of cells analyzed

Figure 5. *mdh* mutation provides increased MIC specifically of A22 and mecillinam. A) MIC

assays were performed for the indicated antibiotics. Amp- ampicillin, Mec- mecillinam,

Ceph- cephalexin, Cef- cefsulodin. A fold change of 1 (dotted line) indicates no change. B)

A22 MIC comparing WT cells with the indicated strains. *** p <0.001 comparing each strain

to 1.

2   Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

3 **Author Contributions**

BB performed and designed experiments and interpreted data. AG performed experiments. RMM
designed experiments, interpreted data, and wrote the manuscript.

4 **Funding**

This work was funded by 1R15GM129636-01A1

5 **Acknowledgments**

The authors would like to thank Dr. Elizabeth Ohneck, and Dr. Tyrrell Conway for editing of this
manuscript. They would also like to thank the Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey for help with
metabolomics.

1 **Data Availability Statement**

The datasets [GENERATED/ANALYZED] for this study can be found in the [NAME OF
REPOSITORY] [LINK]. Please see the Data Availability section of the Author guidelines for more
details.

A

MG1655
mdh

O.D._{600}

Time (hrs)

B

WT   198 cells

mdh   342 cells

C

Cell brigtness (AU/pixel)

WT   *mdh*

D

A22   WT   MreB_{S14A}   *mdh*

0 µg/ml

1 µg/ml

10 µg/ml