Mycobacterium tuberculosis **complex lineage 5 exhibits high levels of within-lineage**

genomic diversity

C. N'Dira Sanoussi[1,2,3], Mireia Coscolla[4], Boatema Ofori-Anyinam[5], Isaac Darko Otchere[6], Martin Antonio[7],

Stefan Niemann[8,9], Julian Parkhill[10,11], Simon Harris[10], Dorothy Yeboah-Manu[6], Sebastien Gagneux[12,13], Leen

Rigouts[2,3], Dissou Affolabi[1], Bouke C. de Jong[2], Conor J. Meehan[2,14]

[1] Laboratoire de Référence des Mycobactéries, Cotonou, Benin

[2] Mycobacteriology Unit, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium

[3] Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium

[4] I2SysBio, University of Valencia-FISABIO joint Unit, Valencia, Spain

[5] Center for Global Health Security and Diplomacy, Ottawa, Canada

[6] Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, University of Ghana, Legon, Accra, Ghana

[7] Medical Research Council Unit in The Gambia at the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine,

Fajara, The Gambia

[8] Research Center Borstel, Molecular and Experimental Mycobacteriology, Borstel, Germany

[9] German Center for Infection Research, partner site Borstel-Hamburg-Lübeck-Riems, Borstel Germany

[10] Wellcome Sanger Institute, United Kingdom

[11] Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

[12] Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland

[13] University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland

[14] School of Chemistry and Biosciences, University of Bradford, Bradford, UK

Abstract

Pathogens of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex (MTBC) are considered monomorphic, with little gene content variation between strains when compared with other bacteria. Nevertheless, several genotypic and phenotypic factors separate the different MTBC lineages (L), especially L5 and L6 (traditionally termed *Mycobacterium africanum*), from each other. However, genome variability and gene content especially of L5 and L6 strains have not been fully explored, but may be potentially important for pathobiology and current approaches for next generation sequencing (NGS) analysis of MBTC genomes.

Through genomic comparison of 208 L5 clinical isolates genomes (including 3 completed genomes and 205 Illumina NGS datasets) and H37Rv, we identified multiple genes differentially present or absent between H37Rv and L5 strains. Additionally, considerable gene content variability was found between L5 strains. Several of the unique L5 genes contain significant diversity in pairwise L5 strains comparison, thus, providing additional discriminatory power e.g. for genome-based transmission analysis that would be missed in the current H37Rv-centric mapping approach.

In conclusion, our data show that using H37Rv as reference genome results in missing SNPs in genes unique for L5 strains. This potentially leads to an underestimation of the diversity present in the genome of L5 strains. As such, a full capture of the gene diversity e.g. for high resolution outbreak analysis requires a variation of the single reference genome mapping

approach currently used in most NGS data analysis pipelines. Moreover, the high within-

lineage gene content variability suggests that the pan-genome of *M. tuberculosis* may be

larger than previously thought, implying a concatenated or reference-free genome assembly

approach may be needed for particular questions.

Keywords: gene content, *M. africanum*, Lineage 5, H37Rv, reference genome, genomic

diversity, within-lineage variability

Data summary

All supporting data and protocols have been provided within the article or through

supplementary data files.

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is caused by pathogenic bacteria of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*

complex (MTBC) that consists of 8 human-adapted lineages and several animal-adapted

lineages (Mireia Coscolla and Gagneux 2014; Ngabonziza et al. 2020). This group is highly

clonal with no horizontal gene transfer (Boritsch et al. 2016). Strains of particular lineages

primarily are defined by large sequence polymorphisms (the presence or deletion of genomic

regions) such as the TbD1 region (MTBC specific deletion 1) and other regions of difference

(RD) (Brosch et al. 2002; Gordon et al. 1999; Behr et al. 1999), and signature single nucleotide

polymorphisms (SNPs)(Coll et al. 2014). Broadly, the human-adapted lineages (L1-8) are

subdivided into ancestral lineages (L1, L5, L6 and L8), an intermediate lineage (L7) and modern

lineages (L2, L3, L4)(Coscolla and Gagneux 2014; Ngabonziza et al. 2020) based on the

presence/absence of specific RDs, especially TbD1 (Firdessa et al. 2013; Brosch et al. 2002;

Nebenzahl-Guimaraes et al. 2016). L5 and L6 are also called *M. tuberculosis* var. africanum (or

historically *M. africanum* West-african 1 and 2 respectively (Riojas et al. 2018; Niemann et al.

2004)) and are restricted to West Africa where they cause up to 40% of human TB (de Jong,

Antonio, and Gagneux 2010). Reasons for the geographical restriction of L5 and L6 remain

unclear, however, adaptation to particular human subpopulation has been

suggested(Intemann et al. 2009; Thye et al. 2011; Comas et al. 2013; Gagneux et al. 2006).

Several phenotypic and genotypic features separate L5 and L6 from the other human-adapted

lineages. Some TB diagnostics have a lower performance for L5 and L6 strains, compared to

other MTBC lineages (Sanoussi et al. 2018; Ofori-Anyinam et al. 2016) and these lineages are

less likely to grow in culture (Sanoussi et al. 2017), with dysgonic appearance on solid medium

(Leao et al. 2004; Pattyn et al. 1970; Sanoussi et al. 2018; Niemann et al. 2004). Mutations in

candidate genes essential for growth in culture were identified for L6 strains (Gehre et al.

2013), yet for L5 strains the reasons for the difficulty in growth remain unclear but may be

related to the presence of the TbD1 region and the absence of RD9 (Brosch et al. 2002). L6

strains and those of the closely related animal strains/lineages such as *M. bovis* are reported

to be less virulent than those from other human-adapted MTBC lineages in population-based

studies (deJong et al. 2008; Magnus 1966; Reiling et al. 2013) and in genome studies (Gonzalo-

Asensio et al. 2014; Ofori-Anyinam et al. 2020). Infection with an L6 strain progresses slowly

to TB disease, and is associated with impaired immunity in some settings but not all (e.g. HIV

infection (de Jong et al. 2008)). In contrast, little is known about the genomics, virulence and

disease progression of L5 strains.

Whole genome sequencing (WGS) based on next generation sequencing (NGS) of MTBC

strains often involves data analysis by mapping of short sequence reads to a reference

genome, usually H37Rv (Cole et al. 1998; Camus et al. 2019; Meehan et al. 2019) or a reconstructed ancestor with the same gene content as H37Rv (Comas et al. 2013; Goig et al. 2019, 2020). However, since H37Rv is a L4 strain, it might not be representative of other MTBC lineages, including L5 strains. Thus, if H37Rv is used as basis for genome analysis, several genes or larger genomic regions may be missed resulting in an underestimation of genome diversity among strains of other lineages that may, however, provide additional information e.g. for transmission analysis.

The members of the MTBC evolved from an environmental organism to an obligate pathogen through genome reduction and acquisition of new genes (Gagneux 2018) and it is known that some differences in gene content exist between lineages (Meehan et al. 2019; Bifani et al. 2000; Periwal et al. 2015; Kato-Maeda et al. 2001; O'Toole and Gautam 2017). Furthermore, it was reported that genes had a higher genetic diversity among L6 strains compared to L5 ones (Darko Otchere et al. 2018), but the difference in gene content between these two lineages was not investigated. Also, little is known about the gene differences between L4 and L5 and the potential limitations this may impose for in-depth analysis of L5 genome studies (e.g. sub-lineage detection and transmission tracking). Similarly, little is known about within-lineage diversity in terms of gene content.

To address these questions, we assessed the gene content diversity of L5 by analyzing in the context of whole genome sequencing and reference selection. To this end, we analyzed 208 genomes of L5 strains including three completed genomes and compared them to H37Rv and closely related lineages (L6, *M. tuberculosis* var. bovis (hereafter called *M. bovis*)(Riojas et al. 2018)). Main focus was to determine particularities in the genomes of L5 strains and to define

the level of within-lineage gene content differences among strains of this lineage of the *M.*

tuberculosis complex.

Materials and Methods

Genomes

Complete genomes (PacBio, completed long reads). Three completed L5 genomes from

clinical isolates, all sequenced with the PacBio SMRT technology, were analyzed. One genome

was from a Benin isolate (PcbL5Ben; sequenced in this study), one from an isolate from The

Gambia (PcbL5Gbia, WBB1453_11-00429-1) (Phelan et al. 2018), and one from Nigeria

(PcbL5Nig, WBB1454_IB091-1) (Phelan et al. 2018). These genomes are also from disparate

parts of the L5 diversity, representing a broad range of L5 sub-lineages, as were recently

defined (Coscolla et al. 2020). The previously published reference/complete genomes H37Rv

(L4) (NC_000962.3)(Cole et al. 1998; Camus et al. 2019; Lew et al. 2011a), L6 (GM041182,

Genbank accession n°: FR878060.1, GCF_0001593225.1_ASM159322v1) (Bentley et al. 2012)

and *M. bovis* (AF2122/97, accession: LT708304.1) (Malone et al. 2017) were also included.

Whole genomic DNA extraction. Genomic DNA extraction was performed on growth from

fresh Löwenstein-Jensen slants using the semi-automated Maxwell 16 Cell DNA purification

kit in the Maxwell 16 machine, or from the late exponential phase of growth in 7H9 medium,

using the CTAB method (Belisle and Sonnenberg 1998; Coscolla et al. 2020)

Assembly and annotation of PcbL5Ben. The Benin Pacbio genome was assembled using the

in-house Sanger pipeline and checked for sufficient quality and coverage. The completed

genome is available from xxx. The genome sequences of all three completed PacBio genomes

were annotated using Prokka-1.12 (Seemann 2014) based on the reference genome H37Rv

annotation.

Gene presence-absence analysis

Gene content differences were assessed with an all-vs-all BLASTn approach, using BLAST+

version+2.8.1 (Altschul et al. 1990; Camacho et al. 2009) (Fig.1). For a specific genome-

genome comparison, the following procedure was used: the gene sequences of genome 1 (ffn

file; coding regions only) were compared to those of genome 2 using the default cut-offs to

look for any homology for each gene. Those genes found in genome 1 and not in genome 2

were then compared to the completed PacBio genome (fna file; coding and non-coding

regions) of genome 2 to look for pseudogenes using BLASTn. These pseudogenes were then

confirmed using tBLASTn of the genome 1 protein sequences (faa file) compared to the

completed PacBio genome (fna file) of genome 2. This procedure was used to compare all

three L5 completed PacBio genomes to each other as well as each to H37Rv. Those genes

present or absent in H37Rv (or pseudogenes in either) were compared in a similar manner to

the L6 and *M. bovis* reference strains to determine if these genes/pseudogenes are L5-specific

(i.e. present in L5, but not in H37Rv, L6 and *M. bovis*).

The ffn files of H37Rv, the three L5 completed PacBio genomes and the L6 complete genomes,

were also aligned using progressive Mauve (ver 20150226)(Darling et al. 2004) to identify

rearrangements and examine synteny.

L5 Illumina (short reads) genomes. In total 205 L5 isolates genomes sequenced on the

Illumina platform from various countries were included in the study. These genomes were

derived from a larger study on genetic diversity of L5 and L6 (Coscolla et al. 2020) with

reduced redundancy isolates removed (i.e. one representative retained for those that were

extremely closely related). The isolates (genomes) originated from West, South, East and

Central Africa, but primarily (n=157) from two regions within West Africa: the Western part

of West Africa (including The Gambia, Senegal, Mauritania, Sierra Leone, Ivory Coast, Liberia,

Guinea and Mali) and the Eastern part of West Africa (including Ghana, Nigeria, Benin Niger

and Burkina Faso) (Coscolla et al. 2020). In general, L5 and L6 are geographically restricted to

West and Central-Africa, making this L5 genome selection representative of all the

geographical regions affected.

Mapping of L5 Illumina reads to H37Rv and L5 completed PacBio genomes.

Raw reads (fastq files) of the genomes were mapped respectively to H37Rv and each of the 3

L5 completed PacBio genomes, using the MTBseq pipeline (Kohl et al. 2018). The depth

mapping coverage of the samples in the clinical WGS data Illumina genomes against the

reference genomes (percent read mapping, unambiguous coverage mean) was compared

between the three L5 completed PacBio genomes and between each L5 completed PacBio

genome and H37Rv. Mapping statistics parameters such as percent unambiguous total base,

uncovered bases, SNPs, deletions, insertions, substitutions, percent genes mapped to

reference, were also compared using each L5 completed PacBio genome or H37Rv as a

reference.

Checking unique versus missing genes in Illumina L5 genomes, and SNPs in those confirmed

as L5 specific. The genes found present or absent in L5 based on genome comparisons of the

three L5 completed PacBio genomes with H37Rv were checked for their expected presence

or absence in the L5 Illumina genomes. Using the Position Tables produced by the MTBseq

pipeline, a gene was considered absent if 95% of its position in the genome had less than 8

reads covering them. From this data, a gene presence/absence matrix was generated for L5

Illumina genomes mapped to H37Rv and each of the three L5 completed PacBio genomes.

Genes found to be L5-specific (present in completed PacBio and Illumina genomes) were also

checked for SNPs in these genes using each of the L5 completed PacBio genomes as a

reference. The script used for undertaking this analysis can be found at

https://github.com/conmeehan/pathophy.

Calculating the effect of reference genome selection on pairwise SNP distances

Transmission analysis of MTBC isolates often involves clustering isolates together based on

specific SNP cut-offs (Meehan et al. 2019, 2018; Walker et al. 2013). In order to assess

whether the selection of reference genome would alter the SNP distances between samples,

and thus potentially change transmission cluster estimations, we calculated the SNP distance

difference between samples when using different reference genomes. For example, if Sample

A and Sample B are 10 SNPs apart using H37Rv as the reference and are 8SNPs apart using

PcbL5Ben as the reference, the SNP distance difference between these reference genomes

for these samples is 2 SNPs.

These SNP distance differences were calculated by comparing SNP alignments of the Illumina-

sequenced genomes resulting from mapping to each of the four reference genomes (H37Rv

and 3 L5-PacBio). The Amend function of MTBseq does this automatically for H37Rv, including

masking of repetitive regions, accounting for 10% of the genome (Cole et al. 1998), and

exclusion of columns with 95% ambiguous calls. To undertake this for the three L5 reference

genomes, repetitive regions were first determined from the annotations of the genes. All

genes whose description contained one of the following words was excluded: integrase, PE

family, PE-PGRS, phage, transposase. SNP alignments were then created using MTBseq as was

done for H37Rv. Pairwise distance matrices, one for each alignment based on each reference

genome, were created using a python script which can be found at

https://github.com/conmeehan/pathophy. SNP distance differences were calculated for each

sample pair between these matrices in R version 3.6.1 (R Core Team 2019) with the lower

triangle of the matrix extracted using gdata (Warnes et al. 2017) to ensure pairwise distances

were not counted twice. Histograms of pairwise SNP distance differences between reference

genomes were then plotted using ggplot2(Gómez-Rubio 2017).

Determination of putative function of genes differentially present or absent in the L5

completed PacBio genomes

To find the (putative) function of genes present or absent only in L5 strains, and genes present

in L5 strains but pseudogenes in H37Rv/L6/*M. bovis* or vice-versa, the fasta sequence of the

genes were searched against the NR database of NCBI using BLASTX-2.8.1+ (Altschul et al.

1997) as well as against the Tuberculist database (http://tuberculist.epfl.ch)(Lew et al.

2011b), Mycobrowser (https://mycobrowser.epfl.ch/) (Kapopoulou, Lew, and Cole 2011) and

literature. Furthermore, the gene function group/class was found using the COG database

(Tatusov et al. 2000) and Mycobrowser.

Results

Comparative analysis of the completed L5 PacBio genomes and other lineages reveal

differences in gene content

The number of genes, including paralogs, in the completed L5 PacBio genomes was: 4189

genes in the PcbL5Ben genome, 4162 in PcbL5Gbia, and 4134 in PcbL5Nig versus 4126 in

H37Rv, 4126 in the reference L6 genome and 4059 in the reference *M. bovis* genome. Hence,

the maximum gene count difference among the three L5 isolates from this study was 55

genes, among the human-adapted lineages (H37Rv, L5, L6) was 63 genes, and 130 among the

human- and animal adapted lineage (H37Rv, L5, L6 and *M. bovis*).

The visualization of the structure of the genomes showed that all three L5 genomes had a

region (we called region 1) that is absent in H37Rv (gap between 1683331-1716665 on Fig.2).

Furthermore, the Nigerian L5 completed PacBio genome (PcbL5Nig) was missing an additional

region (we called region 2, ~33334 bp, region between 1966666-2000000 in Fig.2) present in

the Benin and Gambian L5 genomes (Fig.2) and also present in H37Rv.

The Benin genome contained 5 genes (randomly spread in the genome) that were neither

present in the Nigerian or Gambian genomes, while the others contained respectively 1 and

2 unique genes. The Benin and Gambian strains each contained 33 genes that were not

present in the Nigerian strain (Fig.3, Table 1, Table S1). That's the region 2 mentioned above.

Interestingly, 32 of these genes were also present in H37Rv (Fig.4, Table 1, Table S1). Those

32 genes- absent in the Nigerian L5 strain only- were sequentially contiguous and formed

three blocks of 18, 12 and 2 genes (Table S1). The blocks of 18 and 12 genes were separated

by one gene and represented the region (mentioned in the section above, Fig 2) found missing

in the Nigerian L5 genome after the comparison of the structure of the 3 L5 completed PacBio

genomes. Ten genes (region 1 mentioned above) that were shared by the three L5 genomes

were absent in H37Rv, while 9 genes (spread across the genome) present in H37Rv were not

present in any of the three L5 genomes. Two genes (*Rv2073c*, *Rv2074*) of those 9 genes were

only present in H37Rv but completely absent in the L5, L6 and *M. bovis* complete genomes.

We qualified as 'suspected pseudogenes' any gene sequences that were not found in the ffn

file (sequences for coding regions) of a genome but found in its complete chromosome

sequence (fna) file (coding and non-coding regions of the genome sequence) that are not yet

confirmed as pseudogenes as described above in the methods. Six of the genes shared by the

three L5 completed PacBio genomes were confirmed pseudogenes in H37Rv (Table S2). Three

genes present in H37Rv were confirmed to be pseudogenes in the three L5 completed PacBio

genomes (Table S3).

Gene presence/absence and related SNPs in lineage specific genes in a wider set of clinical

isolates

The mapping estimates of the Illumina genomes are presented in Table 2. Mapping quality

and coverage against a completed L5 reference was superior to the H37Rv reference

approach (Table 2, Fig.5), as expected. Using the completed PacBio genomes from Benin and

The Gambia had similar mapping estimates that were better than those of the Nigerian one,

likely due to the large gene deletion in this genome. The genes specific to PcbL5Ben (5),

PcbL5Gbia (2), PcbL5Nig (1) were each found at various rates among the 205 L5 Illumina

genomes (77.6%-97.1%, 159-199/205, Table 3).

Interestingly, 2.9% of the Illumina L5 genomes (6/205) had similar patterns of large gene loss

as the Nigerian completed PacBio genome as they missed 30 of the 33 genes present in the

Benin and Gambian genomes and H37Rv. These six PcbL5Nig-like Illumina L5 genomes formed

a monophyletic group, within the L5 clade (Fig. 6), suggesting a single loss of these gene

clusters, although those six L5 genomes originated from several different countries including

Benin and Ghana. These two blocks contain genes whose annotations include *mutB*, *mazE4*,

mazF4 and others with various putative functions (Table S4).

Four of the ten genes present in the three completed L5 PacBio genomes but absent in H37Rv

were found in all the 205 L5 Illumina genomes while the other were found in variable amounts

81-98% (165-201) (Table S5). These four genes include: *Mb2048c*, PE35, a hypothetical

protein- possibly an IS*256* transposase, and a hypothetical protein possibly related to the

CAAX conserved domain. Two of these four genes were present in L5 genomes only (PE35 and

hypothetical protein (possibly CAAX conserved domain)) while the remaining two (*Mb2048c*

and hypothetical protein (possibly IS256 transposase)) were found in L6 and *M. bovis* as well

(Table 4). Importantly for phylogenetic purposes, SNPs were detected in 1.5-3.4% of the 205

L5 Illumina genomes in all four genes (Table S5). Predicted functions for all L5-specific genes

are listed in table S5.

Five (*Rv1977*, *Rv1979c*, *Rv1993c*, *Rv1995*, *Rv2073c*) of the 9 genes present in H37Rv and

absent in the three completed L5 PacBio genomes were confirmed completely absent in all

the 205 L5 Illumina genomes. Four of these genes (*Rv1977*, *Rv1979c*, *Rv1993c*, *Rv1995*) were

absent in L5 strains only (i.e. present in L6 and *M. bovis* reference genomes) while the fifth

(*Rv2073c*) was absent in L6 and *M. bovis* as well (Table S6). The four other genes absent from

the completed PacBio genomes were present in a minority of the L5 Illumina genomes (Table

S6, Fig. 5). Predicted functions for all nine genes are listed in table S6.

Effect of reference genome choice on between-isolate SNP distances

We then assessed to what extent the difference in gene content between reference genomes

could affect genome analyses in transmission studies, when H37Rv is used as reference versus

a complete L5 genome as reference. Comparison of pairwise SNP distance matrices derived

from each of the four genomes showed that the difference in SNP distance between samples

varied widely depending on the reference genome used. When comparing H37Rv to each of

the PacBio L5 genomes, these differences ranged from 0 to 31 SNPs, with a mean of 10 SNPs

(Figure S1). This means that, on average, the calculated SNP distance between any two L5

samples differed by 10 SNPs as a consequence of changes in the selected reference genome.

When comparing the 3 PacBio L5 genomes to one another, the difference in SNP distance was

less affected, with differences ranging from 0 to 31 SNPs with a mean of 3 SNPs (Figure S2-

A,B,C). However, even such smaller differences in SNP distance between samples would still

potentially affect transmission studies. These results imply that transmission analyses cut-offs

could be greatly affected by the choice of reference genome, especially when using a non-L5

reference such as H37Rv.

Discussion

Comparison of completed PacBio and Illumina short-reads genomes from clinical isolates

(multi-country collection) revealed significant amounts of gene content diversity both

between L5 isolates and other lineages and within L5 itself.

We found that four genes, present in all the L5 isolates of our multi-country collection, were

absent in the H37Rv genome. Furthermore, those genes contain SNPs that can be used to

identify additional diversity between L5 strains. This has implications when using precise SNP

cut-offs to define transmission clusters (Walker et al. 2013; Meehan et al. 2018) as SNPs in

these genes would be missed with H37Rv mapping, resulting in underestimation of genome

diversity of L5 strains. Our findings suggest, that using an L5 genome as a reference would

likely increase the resolution of L5 genome analyses over the H37Rv approach and can

potentially increase strains discrimination for transmission analysis.

Our findings are in line with other reports indicating that some genes present in genomes of

clinical MTBC strains were absent in the H37Rv genome (Periwal et al. 2015; O'Toole and

Gautam 2017), and with other recommendations to use additional reference genomes

different to H37Rv (Norman et al. 2019; O'Toole and Gautam 2017; Lee et al. 2020). Although

Lee *et al.* (Lee and Behr 2016) - after comparing various lineages as reference genome for L4

genome analysis - concluded that there is no need to use a lineage-specific reference genome,

their observation was only based on the analysis of L4 clinical isolates and focused on SNPs

and short indels, not larger gene deletions or SNPs within these regions. In contrast, our

findings indicate that mapping of NGS data from L5 strains to a modern (L4) reference will

have an impact, in terms of both reference genome coverage and coverage of lineage-specific

genes.

Although the use of an L5 genome as reference would have many benefits over H37Rv for

particular study questions, several gene content differences were still observed within the L5

lineage. Each of the three L5 PacBio genomes had genes unshared with the two others that

were all found in L5 Illumina genomes (thus excluding exogenous contamination). The

absence of 30 genes in the Nigerian L5 completed PacBio genome (but shared by the Benin

and Gambian long read genomes), also found in six L5 genomes from different countries,

confirmed the large deletions in the PcbL5Nig genome and showed that it is representative

of some L5 strains in some countries. Deletions in the genomes of strains of MTBC lineages

were previously reported (Tsolaki et al. 2005; Gagneux et al. 2006; Kato-Maeda et al. 2001).

The fact that, on the phylogenetic tree the six isolates formed a monophyletic group of the

L5.3 sub-lineage (Coscolla et al. 2020) suggests that the loss of these 30 genes is a marker of

the L5.3 sub-lineage. Thus, we find that regions of difference (RDs) are present both between

lineages and between sub-lineages.

The classification of the L5-specific genes into functional groups based on Mycobrowser and

Tuberculist databases (Table 4) revealed a variety of functions including: a PE/PPE protein

family (possibly PE35) which may be linked to antigenic variation (NCBI annotation) and

virulence (STRING database annotation (Szklarczyk et al. 2017)); two ABC-transporters; an

IS*256*-like transposase, whose homology (IS256) is a marker of specific virulent lineages of

Staphylococci (Murugesan et al. 2018; Gu et al. 2005); and a gene with a CAAX conserved

domain, implicated in post-translation modification or signal transduction (Gao, Liao, and

Yang 2009a, 2009b). Only one (*Rv1979c*) of the four genes absent in only L5 strains had a

predicted function, labelled as "cell wall and cell processes" (Table S6). While *Rv1979c* is

associated with clofazimine and bedaquiline resistance (Zhang et al. 2015; Ghodousi et al.

2019), two of the drugs used for the treatment of RIF-resistant/MDR-TB (WHO 2016, 2019),

minimal inhibitory concentration testing of clofazimine in five L5 strains did not find the

deletion conferred resistance (Merker et al. 2020), further studies and protein function

discovery is needed to investigate the consequences of the absence of those genes in L5.

The genes *Rv1978* and *Rv1994c* present in H37Rv and the completed reference genomes of

L6 and *M. bovis*, were absent in the 3 completed Pacbio genomes of L5 strains, yet present in

few L5 isolates Illumina genomes (3/205, 1.5% for *Rv1978*; 1/205, 0.5% for *Rv1994c*). *Rv1978*

is required for bacterial survival in macrophages, and non-essential for *in vitro* growth of

H37Rv (Rengarajan, Bloom, and Rubin 2005). *Rv1994c* is involved in the regulation and

transport (efflux) of toxic metals, especially copper, which is toxic in excess (Samanovic et al.

2012) and may hamper *in vitro* growth, and survival during the chronic phase of infection,

similar to the effect of disruption of *csoR* (Marcus et al. 2016; Rowland and Niederweis 2012;

Ward, Hoye, and Talaat 2008; Cavet et al. 2003) (Table S6).

The functional annotation for the H37Rv-specific genes *Rv2073c* (short chain dehydrogenase)

and *Rv2074* (pyridoxamine-5-phosphate oxydase: vitamin B6 (pyridoxine)) absent in L5, L6

and *M. bovis* strains is "intermediary metabolism and respiration". Those two genes are part

of the RD9 region, which confirmed their absence in L5, L6 and *M. bovis* that have that region

deleted (Gordon et al. 1999). *Rv2073c* is an NAD(P)-dependent oxidoreductase (NCBI) that

catalyzes a wide range of reactions and is involved in redox sensor mechanisms (Kavanagh et

al. 2008; Sellés Vidal et al. 2018). *Rv2074* was previously thought to be a pyridoxine (vitamin

B6) oxidase, but is now known to be a F420 dependent biliverdin reductase, a cofactor of

vitamin B6 synthesis (UniProt) (Ahmed et al. 2016; Selengut and Haft 2010). Vitamin B6 is

essential for survival and virulence of *M. tuberculosis* (Dick et al. 2010) and its cofactor (F420

dependent biliverdin reductase) is implicated in immune-evasive mechanisms to allow

bacterial persistence (Ahmed et al. 2016; Selengut and Haft 2010)(Table S6). Recently, it has

been reported that the synthesis of F420 might be stimulated by phosphoenolpyruvate

(Grinter et al. 2020), which is the precursor to pyruvate, a supplement often added to culture

medium to improve the *in vitro* growth of L5, L6 and *M. bovis*. Furthermore, there is a

suggestion that F420 is needed for the activation of the antituberculosis drugs pretomanid

and delamanid (Grinter et al. 2020).

The absence of these genes in most L5 isolates suggests that L5 strains would be less likely to

survive in macrophages (*Rv1978*), have reduced growth *in vitro* (*Rv1994c* , as previously found

by Sanoussi et al (Sanoussi et al. 2017)), be less immune-evasive, less persistent and less

virulent (*Rv2074*) than L4 strains (at least H37Rv; as previously suggested for L5 and L6 strains

(Coscolla and Gagneux 2014; Reiling et al. 2013)). In addition, the absence of the gene *Rv2074*

in most L5 (and in the complete genomes of L6 and *M. bovis* as well) suggests that L6 and *M.*

bovis strains would also be less likely to be immune-evasive, to be persistent and to survive

than their L4 counterparts. Despite the presence of vitamin B6 in boiled egg (thus Lowenstein-

Jensen medium), its quantity in the L.J medium is probably insufficient to allow a high yield of

L5, L6 and *M. bovis* growth in culture (survival). Further studies, including vitamin B6

supplementation, could investigate the consequences of the absence of those genes in most

L5 strains (and L6 and *M. bovis* for *Rv2074*).

The within-lineage differences in both gene content and potential functionality indicates a

need for more closed genomes of MTBC sub-lineages and that perhaps a sub-lineage-specific

reference genome approach would be appropriate for MTBC WGS. However, although an *ad*

hoc (e.g. outbreak-specific) reference genome provides a more accurate and precise

comparison of strains involved in a specific situation, that reference is only specific to that

particular situation/outbreak/population/lineage and cannot be used in another context,

making comparisons between lineages and settings difficult.

As an alternative to using a single MTBC-wide, lineage or sub-lineage specific reference

genome approach, the variable presence of genes within one lineage suggests that an L5

pangenome-based reference genome capturing all the known diversity may be required

instead. Such a genome would be a composite of all the genes found in all lineages and sub-

lineages of the MTBC (i.e. both the core and accessory genome) (McInerney et al. 2020) and

is usually represented as a graph instead of a single sequence (Rakocevic et al. 2019; Paten

et al. 2017; Martiniano et al. 2019; Marschall et al. 2018; Church et al. 2015). Alternatively, it

can be a selection of reference genomes, with mapping to all or a subset undertaken, as has

been done with *M. chimaera* and other pathogens (van Ingen et al. 2017; Garimella et al.

2019). Other authors have also reported that because of the genetic variability between

strains, using a single strain genome as reference genome lacks accuracy (Ballouz, Dobin, and

Gillis 2019; Sherman et al. 2019; Li et al. 2010). This MTBC-wide pangenome approach has

been suggested before, including for other organisms (Periwal et al. 2015; Meehan et al.

2019; Jandrasits et al. 2019; Ballouz, Dobin, and Gillis 2019; Medini et al. 2005; Tettelin et al.

2005; Sherman et al. 2019; Li et al. 2010). However, such an approach also has its own

drawbacks, including difficulty in mapping reads that bridge the boundaries between

accessory genes and the rest of the genome, comparing strains of different lineages including

phylogenetic analyses and retention of gene names and codes in clinical use, where H37Rv is

deeply embedded (Marschall et al. 2018). The specific sequence of each gene would also need

to be chosen for such a reference, with the inferred ancestral genome representative of

MTBC lineages approach being the most likely method (Goig et al. 2020, 2018; Comas et al.

2013)

Another alternative approach is a *de novo* assembly reference free approach (Maretty et al.

2017; Iqbal et al. 2012; Meehan et al. 2019; Li et al. 2010) where strains are either assembled

into contigs without the use of a reference genome, or compared to each other without first

calling SNPs. This would allow for clustering of samples regardless of lineage, e.g. for

genotyping or transmission analyses using Mash (software for fast/meta -genome distance

estimation technique) (Ondov et al. 2016) but would require new cut-offs for defining clusters

and many additional steps for further gene annotation and between sample comparisons,

making its clinical use potentially confusing.

A limitation of this study is that the completed (PacBio) and short-read (Illumina) genomes

were derived from positive cultures, excluding possible minority L5 strain diversity as L5

strains are overrepresented in negative cultures (Sanoussi et al. 2017). WGS applied directly

on sputum is more and more needed, especially for ancestral lineages (including L5 and L6)

where growth in culture is problematic (negative culture or dysgonic isolates that are a

challenge to grow for DNA extraction (Sanoussi et al. 2018, 2017)). Also, a limitation regarding

the comparison of gene presence/absence in L5 versus L4 (including H37Rv), L6, *M. bovis*

complete genomes, is that our study included only a single complete genome of L4, L6 and

M. bovis, while these lineages may also display variability similar to the intra-L5 variability we

observed in our study.

In conclusion, the use of a (sub-)lineage reference genome can increase the resolution for

strains comparison in comparison to a H37Rv based mapping approach for L5 genome

analyses for epidemiology (transmission), phylogeny and sub-lineage determination. Still, the

use of a (sub-)lineage reference genome will miss some within-lineage gene differences. For

drug-resistance detection in clinical L5 strains or strains of other lineages, H37Rv could still be

used as reference genome as resistance-related mutations are usually among core genes

(shared across all lineages). The high within-lineage gene content variability suggests the

pangenome of MTBC strains may be larger than previously thought, implying a reference-free

genome assembly (*de novo assembly*) approach may be needed.

Authors and contributors

CNS was involved in conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, data
curation, writing of the original draft, review/editing the manuscript and visualization.

MC was involved in conceptualization, methodology, investigation, data curation, review
and editing the manuscript and visualization.

BO was involved in methodology, investigation and review/editing the manuscript.

IDO was involved in resources and review/editing the manuscript

MA was involved in resources.

SN was involved in conceptualization, reviewing/editing the manuscript and supervision.

JP was involved in investigation, data curation and reviewing/editing the manuscript.

SH was involved in conceptualization, investigation, data curation and reviewing/editing the
manuscript.

DY was involved in conceptualization, resources and reviewing/editing the manuscript.

SG was involved in conceptualization and reviewing/editing the manuscript.

LR was involved in conceptualization, resources, review/editing the manuscript and
supervision.

DA was involved in resources, review/editing the manuscript and supervision.

BCdJ was involved in conceptualization, methodology, writing of the original draft,
review/editing the manuscript and supervision.

CJM was involved in conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, writing
of the original draft, review/editing the manuscript, supervision and visualization.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflics of interest

Funding information

This work was supported by funds from the Directorate General for Development (DGD),
Belgium (FA4 to C.N.S., B.C.D.J., D.A., and L.R.); the European Research Council-INTERRUPTB
starting grant (number 311725 to B.C.D.J., C.J.M., and L.R.)

M.C. is supported by ESCMID, Ministerio de Ciencia (RYC-2015-18213 and RTI2018-094399-
A-I00) and Generalitat Valenciana (SEJI/2019/011). S.G. is supported by the Swiss National
Science Foundation (grants 310030_188888, IZRJZ3_164171, IZLSZ3_170834 and
CRSII5_177163) and the European Research Council (883582-ECOEVODRTB).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation or the decision to
submit the work for publication.

Ethical approval

The PacBio sequenced L5 strain from Benin was isolated during the multicentric OFLOTUB
study approved by the National Ethics Committee in Cotonou, Bénin and each of the
participating countries (Merle et al. 2012).

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge input from Pim de Rijk Willem and Patrick Beckert for their
technical inputs.

Data

Sequence data are available at European Genome- 625 phenome Archive (EGA;
https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ega/) under the study accession numbers PRJEB38317 and
PRJEB38656. Individual runs accession number for new and published 208 sequences are
indicated in Table S7.

Reference

Achtman, Mark. 2008. "Evolution, Population Structure, and Phylogeography of Genetically
Monomorphic Bacterial Pathogens." *Annual Review of Microbiology* 62 (1): 53–70.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.162832.

Ahmed, F Hafna, A Elaaf Mohamed, Paul D Carr, Brendon M Lee, Karmen Condic-Jurkic, Megan L
O'mara, and Colin J Jackson. 2016. "Rv2074 Is a Novel F 420 H 2-Dependent Biliverdin
Reductase in Mycobacterium Tuberculosis." https://doi.org/10.1002/pro.2975.

Altschul, Stephen F., Warren Gish, Webb Miller, Eugene W. Myers, and David J. Lipman. 1990. "Basic
Local Alignment Search Tool." *Journal of Molecular Biology* 215 (3): 403–10.
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2.

Altschul, Stephen F, Thomas L Madden, Alejandro A Schäffer, Jinghui Zhang, Zheng Zhang, Webb
Miller, and David J Lipman. 1997. "Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: A New Generation of Protein
Database Search Programs." *Nucleic Acids Research*. Vol. 25. Oxford University Press.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC146917/pdf/253389.pdf.

Ballouz, Sara, Alexander Dobin, and Jesse A. Gillis. 2019. "Is It Time to Change the Reference
Genome?" *Genome Biology*. BioMed Central Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-019-1774-4.

Behr, M. A., M. A. Wilson, W. P. Gill, H. Salamon, G. K. Schoolnik, S. Rane, and P. M. Small. 1999.
"Comparative Genomics of BCG Vaccines by Whole-Genome DNA Microarray." *Science* 284
(5419): 1520–23. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5419.1520.

Belisle, John T., and Michael G. Sonnenberg. 1998. "Isolation of Genomic DNA from Mycobacteria."
In *Mycobacteria Protocols*, 31–44. New Jersey: Humana Press. https://doi.org/10.1385/0-
89603-471-2:31.

Bentley, Stephen D., Iñaki Comas, Josephine M. Bryant, Danielle Walker, Noel H. Smith, Simon R.
Harris, Scott Thurston, et al. 2012. "The Genome of Mycobacterium Africanum West African 2
Reveals a Lineage-Specific Locus and Genome Erosion Common to the M. Tuberculosis
Complex." Edited by Pamela L. C. Small. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 6 (2): e1552.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001552.

Bifani, P, S Moghazeh, B Shopsin, J Driscoll, A Ravikovitch, and B N Kreiswirth. 2000. "Molecular
Characterization of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis H37Rv/Ra Variants: Distinguishing the
Mycobacterial Laboratory Strain." *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 38 (9): 3200–3204.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10970357.

Boritsch, Eva C., Varun Khanna, Alexandre Pawlik, Nadine Honoré, Victor H. Navas, Laurence Ma,
Christiane Bouchier, et al. 2016. "Key Experimental Evidence of Chromosomal DNA Transfer
among Selected Tuberculosiscausing Mycobacteria." *Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America* 113 (35): 9876–81.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1604921113.

Brosch, R, S V Gordon, M Marmiesse, P Brodin, C Buchrieser, K Eiglmeier, T Garnier, et al. 2002. "A
New Evolutionary Scenario for the Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex." *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences 99 (6): 3684–89. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.052548299.

Camacho, Christiam, George Coulouris, Vahram Avagyan, Ning Ma, Jason Papadopoulos, Kevin
Bealer, and Thomas L Madden. 2009. "BLAST+: Architecture and Applications." *BMC*
Bioinformatics 10 (December): 421. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-421.

Camus, Jean-Christophe, Melinda J Pryor, Claudine Me, and Stewart T Cole. 2019. "Re-Annotation of
the Genome Sequence of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis H37Rv." Vol. 24. www.sanger.ac.uk.

Cavet, Jennifer S., Alison I. Graham, Wenmao Meng, and Nigel J. Robinson. 2003. "A Cadmium-Lead-
Sensing ArsR-SmtB Repressor with Novel Sensory Sites. Complementary Metal Discrimination
by NmtR and CmtR in a Common Cytosol." *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 278 (45): 44560–66.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M307877200.

Church, Deanna M., Valerie A. Schneider, Karyn Meltz Steinberg, Michael C. Schatz, Aaron R.
Quinlan, Chen Shan Chin, Paul A. Kitts, et al. 2015. "Extending Reference Assembly Models."
Genome Biology 16 (1): 1–5. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-015-0587-3.

Cole, S. T., R. Brosch, J. Parkhill, T. Garnier, C. Churcher, D. Harris, S. V. Gordon, et al. 1998.
"Deciphering the Biology of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis from the Complete Genome
Sequence." *Nature* 393 (6685): 537–44. https://doi.org/10.1038/31159.

Coll, Francesc, Ruth McNerney, José Afonso Guerra-Assunção, Judith R. Glynn, João Perdigão, Miguel
Viveiros, Isabel Portugal, Arnab Pain, Nigel Martin, and Taane G. Clark. 2014. "A Robust SNP
Barcode for Typing Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex Strains." *Nature Communications* 5
(1): 4812. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5812.

Comas, Iñaki, Mireia Coscolla, Tao Luo, Sonia Borrell, Kathryn E Holt, Midori Kato-Maeda, Julian
Parkhill, et al. 2013. "Out-of-Africa Migration and Neolithic Coexpansion of Mycobacterium
Tuberculosis with Modern Humans." *Nature Genetics* 45 (10). https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2744.

Coscolla, M, Daniela Brites, Fabrizio Menardo, Chloe Loiseau, Isaac Darko Otchere, Adwoa Asante-
Poku, Prince Asare, et al. 2020. "Phylogenomics of Mycobacterium Africanum Reveals a New
Lineage and a Complex Evolutionary History." *BioRxiv* 17 (June): 19.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.10.141788.

Coscolla, Mireia, and Sebastien Gagneux. 2014. "Consequences of Genomic Diversity in
Mycobacterium Tuberculosis." *Seminars in Immunology* 26 (6): 431–44.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smim.2014.09.012.

Darko Otchere, Isaac, Mireia Coscollá, Leonor Sánchez-Busó, Adwoa Asante-Poku, Daniela Brites,
Chloe Loiseau, Conor Meehan, et al. 2018. "Comparative Genomics of Mycobacterium
Africanum Lineage 5 and Lineage 6 from Ghana Suggests Distinct Ecological Niches." *SCIENTIfIC*
RepoRtS | 8: 11269. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-29620-2.

Darling, Aaron C E, Bob Mau, Frederick R. Blattner, and Nicole T. Perna. 2004. "Mauve: Multiple
Alignment of Conserved Genomic Sequence with Rearrangements." *Genome Research* 14 (7):
1394–1403. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2289704.

DeJong, Bouke C, Philip C Hill, Alex Aiken, Timothy Awine, Martin Antonio, Ifedayo M Adetifa, Dolly J
Jackson-Sillah, et al. 2008. "Progression to Active Tuberculosis, but Not Transmission, Varies by
M. Tuberculosis Lineage in The Gambia." *J Infect Dis* 198 (7): 1037–43.
https://doi.org/10.1086/591504.

Dick, Thomas, Ujjini Manjunatha, Barbara Kappes, and Martin Gengenbacher. 2010. "Vitamin B6

Biosynthesis Is Essential for Survival and Virulence of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis." *Molecular*
Microbiology 78 (4): 980–88. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07381.x.

Firdessa, Rebuma, Stefan Berg, Elena Hailu, Esther Schelling, Balako Gumi, Girume Erenso,
Endalamaw Gadisa, et al. 2013. "Mycobacterial Lineages Causing Pulmonary and
Extrapulmonary Tuberculosis, Ethiopia." *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 19 (3): 460–63.
https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1903.120256.

Gagneux, Sebastien. 2018. "Ecology and Evolution of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis." *Nature Reviews*
Microbiology. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2018.8.

Gagneux, Sebastien, Kathryn Deriemer, Tran Van, Midori Kato-Maeda, Bouke C De Jong, Sujatha
Narayanan, Mark Nicol, et al. 2006. "Variable Host-Pathogen Compatibility in Mycobacterium
Tuberculosis." www.pnas.orgcgidoi10.1073pnas.0511240103.

Gao, Juehua, Jie Liao, and Guang-Yu Yang. 2009a. "Review Article CAAX-Box Protein, Prenylation
Process and Carcinogenesis." *Am J Transl Res*. Vol. 1. www.ajtr.org/AJTR905003.

Gao, Juehua, Jie Liao, and Guang Yu Yang. 2009b. "CAAX-Box Protein, Prenylation Process and
Carcinogenesis." *American Journal of Translational Research*.

Garimella, Kiran V, Zamin Iqbal, Michael A. Krause, Susana Campino, Mihir Kekre, Eleanor Drury,
Dominic Kwiatkowski, Juliana M. Sa, Thomas E. Wellems, and Gil McVean. 2019. "Detection of
Simple and Complex de Novo Mutations without, with, or with Multiple Reference Sequences."
BioRxiv, July, 698910. https://doi.org/10.1101/698910.

Gehre, Florian, Jacob Otu, Kathryn DeRiemer, Paola Florez de Sessions, Martin L. Hibberd, Wim
Mulders, Tumani Corrah, Bouke C. de Jong, and Martin Antonio. 2013. "Deciphering the
Growth Behaviour of Mycobacterium Africanum." *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 7 (5).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002220.

Ghodousi, Arash, Alamdar Hussain Rizvi, Aurangzaib Quadir Baloch, Abdul Ghafoor, Faisal Masood,
Mehmood Qadir, Emanuele Borroni, Alberto Trovato, Sabira Tahseen, and Daniela Maria. 2019.
"Acquisition of Cross-Resistance to Bedaquiline and Clofazimine Following Treatment for
Tuberculosis in 2 Pakistan." https://doi.org/10.1101/630012.

Goig, Galo A., Silvia Blanco, Alberto L. Garcia-Basteiro, and Iñaki Comas. 2020. "Contaminant DNA in
Bacterial Sequencing Experiments Is a Major Source of False Genetic Variability." *BMC Biology*
18 (1): 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-020-0748-z.

Goig, Galo A, Silvia Blanco, Alberto L. Garcia-Basteiro, and Iñaki Comas. 2019. "Contaminant DNA in
Bacterial Sequencing Experiments Is a Major Source of False Genetic Variability." *BioRxiv*.

Goig, Galo A, Silvia Blanco, Alberto L Garcia-Basteiro, and Iñaki Comas. 2018. "Pervasive
Contaminations in Sequencing Experiments Are a Major Source of False Genetic Variability: A
Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Meta-Analysis." https://doi.org/10.1101/403824.

Gómez-Rubio, Virgilio. 2017. "Ggplot2 - Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis (2nd Edition) ." *Journal of*
Statistical Software 77 (Book Review 2): 3–5. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v077.b02.

Gonzalo-Asensio, Jesús, Wladimir Malaga, Alexandre Pawlik, Catherine Astarie-Dequeker, Charlotte
Passemar, Flavie Moreau, Françoise Laval, et al. 2014. "Evolutionary History of Tuberculosis
Shaped by Conserved Mutations in the PhoPR Virulence Regulator." *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 111 (31): 11491–96.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1406693111.

Gordon, Stephen V., Roland Brosch, Alain Billault, Thierry Garnier, Karin Eiglmeier, and Stewart T.

Cole. 1999. "Identification of Variable Regions in the Genomes of Tubercle Bacilli Using Bacterial Artificial Chromosome Arrays." *Molecular Microbiology* 32 (3): 643–55. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1999.01383.x.

Grinter, Rhys, Blair Ney, Rajini Brammananth, Christopher K. Barlow, Paul R.F. Cordero, David L. Gillett, Thierry Izoré, et al. 2020. "Cellular and Structural Basis of Synthesis of the Unique Intermediate Dehydro-F420-0 in Mycobacteria." *BioRxiv*, February, 2020.02.27.968891. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.27.968891.

Gu, J, H Li, M Li, C Vuong, M Otto, Y Wen, and Q Gao. 2005. "Bacterial Insertion Sequence IS256 as a Potential Molecular Marker to Discriminate Invasive Strains from Commensal Strains of Staphylococcus Epidermidis." *The Journal of Hospital Infection* 61 (4): 342–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2005.04.017.

Herrmann, Jean Louis, Robin Delahay, Alex Gallagher, Brian Robertson, and Douglas Young. 2000. "Analysis of Post-Translational Modification of Mycobacterial Proteins Using a Cassette Expression System." *FEBS Letters* 473 (3): 358–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-5793(00)01553-2.

Ingen, Jakko van, Thomas A. Kohl, Katharina Kranzer, Barbara Hasse, Peter M. Keller, Anna Katarzyna Szafrańska, Doris Hillemann, et al. 2017. "Global Outbreak of Severe Mycobacterium Chimaera Disease after Cardiac Surgery: A Molecular Epidemiological Study." *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 17 (10): 1033–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30324-9.

Intemann, Christopher D., Thorsten Thye, Stefan Niemann, Edmund N. L. Browne, Margaret Amanua Chinbuah, Anthony Enimil, John Gyapong, et al. 2009. "Autophagy Gene Variant IRGM −261T Contributes to Protection from Tuberculosis Caused by Mycobacterium Tuberculosis but Not by M. Africanum Strains." Edited by William Bishai. *PLoS Pathogens* 5 (9): e1000577. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000577.

Iqbal, Zamin, Mario Caccamo, Isaac Turner, Paul Flicek, and Gil Mcvean. 2012. "De Novo Assembly and Genotyping of Variants Using Colored de Bruijn Graphs." https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.1028.

Jandrasits, Christine, Stefan Kröger, Walter Haas, and Bernhard Y. Renard. 2019. "Computational Pan-Genome Mapping and Pairwise SNP-Distance Improve Detection of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Transmission Clusters." Edited by Sergei L. Kosakovsky Pond. *PLOS Computational Biology* 15 (12): e1007527. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1007527.

Jong, Bouke C De, Martin Antonio, and Sebastien Gagneux. n.d. "Mycobacterium Africanum-Review of an Important Cause of Human Tuberculosis in West Africa." Accessed May 21, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000744.

Kapopoulou, Adamandia, Jocelyne M. Lew, and Stewart T. Cole. 2011. "The MycoBrowser Portal: A Comprehensive and Manually Annotated Resource for Mycobacterial Genomes." *Tuberculosis* 91 (1): 8–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tube.2010.09.006.

Kato-Maeda, Midori, Jeanne T Rhee, Thomas R Gingeras, Hugh Salamon, Jorg Drenkow, Nat Smittipat, and Peter M Small. 2001. "Comparing Genomes within the Species Mycobacterium Tuberculosis." https://doi.org/10.1101/gr166401.

Kavanagh, K L, H Jçrnvall, B Persson, and U Oppermann. 2008. "The SDR Superfamily: Functional and Structural Diversity within a Family of Metabolic and Regulatory Enzymes" 171: 77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-008-8588-y.

Kohl, Thomas Andreas, Christian Utpatel, Viola Schleusener, Maria Rosaria De Filippo, Patrick Beckert, Daniela Maria Cirillo, and Stefan Niemann. 2018. "MTBseq: A Comprehensive Pipeline

for Whole Genome Sequence Analysis of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex Isolates."
https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5895.

Leao, Sylvia, Anandi Martin, Gloria Isabel Mejia, Francoise Portaels, and Por- Taels F Leao SC, Martin
A, Mejia GI, Palomino JC, Robledo J, Telles MAS. 2004. "Practical Handbook for the Phenotypic
and Genotypic Identification of Mycobacteria.," 77–126. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01045823.

Lee, Robyn S., Jean François Proulx, Fiona McIntosh, Marcel A. Behr, and William P. Hanage. 2020.
"Previously Undetected Super-Spreading of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Revealed by Deep
Sequencing." *ELife* 9 (February). https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.53245.

Lee, Robyn S, and Marcel A Behr. 2016. "Does Choice Matter? Reference-Based Alignment for
Molecular Epidemiology of Tuberculosis." *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 54 (7): 1891–95.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00364-16.

Lew, Jocelyne M., Adamandia Kapopoulou, Louis M. Jones, and Stewart T. Cole. 2011a. "TubercuList
- 10 Years After." *Tuberculosis* 91 (1): 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tube.2010.09.008.

———. 2011b. "TubercuList - 10 Years After." *Tuberculosis* 91 (1): 1–7.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tube.2010.09.008.

Li, Ruiqiang, Yingrui Li, Hancheng Zheng, Ruibang Luo, Hongmei Zhu, Qibin Li, Wubin Qian, et al.
2010. "Building the Sequence Map of the Human Pan-Genome." *Nature Biotechnology* 28 (1):
57–62. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1596.

Magnus, K. 1966. "Epidemiological Basis of Tuberculosis Eradication 3. Risk of Pulmonary
Tuberculosis after Human and Bovine Infection *." *Bull. Org. Mond. Sante*. Vol. 35.
https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2476032/pdf/bullwho00607-0027.pdf.

Malone, Kerri M, Damien Farrell, Tod P Stuber, Olga T Schubert, Ruedi Aebersold, Suelee Robbe-
Austerman, and Stephen V Gordon. 2017. "Updated Reference Genome Sequence and
Annotation of Mycobacterium Bovis AF2122/97." *Genome Announcements* 5 (14): e00157-17.
https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00157-17.

Marcus, Sarah A, Sarah W Sidiropoulos, Howard Steinberg, and Adel M Talaat. 2016. "CsoR Is
Essential for Maintaining Copper Homeostasis in Mycobacterium Tuberculosis."
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0151816.

Maretty, Lasse, Jacob Malte Jensen, Bent Petersen, Jonas andreas sibbesen, siyang Liu, Palle Villesen,
Laurits skov, et al. 2017. "Sequencing and de Novo Assembly of 150 Genomes from Denmark as
a Population Reference." *Nature Publishing Group* 548. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23264.

Marschall, Tobias, Manja Marz, Thomas Abeel, Louis Dijkstra, Bas E. Dutilh, Ali Ghaffaari, Paul
Kersey, et al. 2018. "Computational Pan-Genomics: Status, Promises and Challenges." *Briefings
in Bioinformatics* 19 (1): 118–35. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbw089.

Martiniano, Rui, Erik Garrison, Eppie R Jones, Andrea Manica, and Richard Durbin. 2019. "Removing
Reference Bias in Ancient DNA Data Analysis by Mapping to a Sequence Variation Graph."
BioRxiv, September, 782755. https://doi.org/10.1101/782755.

McInerney, James O., Fiona J. Whelan, Maria Rosa Domingo-Sananes, Alan McNally, and Mary J.
O'Connell. 2020. "Pangenomes and Selection: The Public Goods Hypothesis." In *The
Pangenome*, 151–67. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-
38281-0_7.

Medini, Duccio, Claudio Donati, Hervé Tettelin, Vega Masignani, and Rino Rappuoli. 2005. "The
Microbial Pan-Genome." *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development*. Elsevier Current

Trends. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2005.09.006.

Meehan, Conor J., Pieter Moris, Thomas A. Kohl, Jūlija Pečerska, Suriya Akter, Matthias Merker,
Christian Utpatel, et al. 2018. "The Relationship between Transmission Time and Clustering
Methods in Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Epidemiology." *EBioMedicine* 37 (November): 410–
16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.10.013.

Meehan, Conor J, Galo A Goig, Thomas A Kohl, Lennert Verboven, Anzaan Dippenaar, Matthew
Ezewudo, Maha R Farhat, et al. 2019. "Whole Genome Sequencing of Mycobacterium
Tuberculosis: Current Standards and Open Issues." *Nature Reviews Microbiology*.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0214-5.

Merker, Matthias, Thomas A Kohl, Ivan Barilar, Sönke Andres, Philip W Fowler, Erja Chryssanthou,
Kristian Ängeby, et al. 2020. "Phylogenetically Informative Mutations in Genes Implicated in
Antibiotic Resistance in Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex." *Genome Medicine*.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-00726-5.

Merle, Corinne S.C., Charalambos Sismanidis, Oumou B. Sow, Martin Gninafon, John Horton, Olivier
Lapujade, Mame B. Lo, et al. 2012. "A Pivotal Registration Phase III, Multicenter, Randomized
Tuberculosis Controlled Trial: Design Issues and Lessons Learnt from the Gatifloxacin for TB
(OFLOTUB) Project." *Trials* 13 (May). https://doi.org/10.1186/1745-6215-13-61.

Murugesan, Saravanan, Stalin Mani, Indhumathy Kuppusamy, and Padma Krishnan. 2018. "Role of
Insertion Sequence Element Is256 as a Virulence Marker and Its Association with Biofilm
Formation among Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Epidermidis from Hospital and
Community Settings in Chennai, South India." *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology* 36 (1):
124. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmm.IJMM_17_276.

Nebenzahl-Guimaraes, Hanna, Solomon A. Yimer, Carol Holm-Hansen, Jessica De Beer, Roland
Brosch, and Dick Van Soolingen. 2016. "Genomic Characterization of Mycobacterium
Tuberculosis Lineage 7 and a Proposed Name: 'Aethiops Vetus.'" *Microbial Genomics* 2 (6).
https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000063.

Ngabonziza, Jean Claude Semuto, Chloé Loiseau, Michael Marceau, Agathe Jouet, Fabrizio Menardo,
Oren Tzfadia, Esdras Belamo Niyigena, et al. 2020. *A Sister Lineage of the Mycobacterium
Tuberculosis Complex Discovered in the African Great Lakes Region*. *BioRxiv*.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.01.20.912998.

Niemann, S., T. Kubica, F. C. Bange, O. Adjei, E. N. Browne, M. A. Chinbuah, R. Diel, et al. 2004. "The
Species Mycobacterium Africanum in the Light of New Molecular Markers." *Journal of Clinical
Microbiology* 42 (9): 3958–62. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.42.9.3958-3962.2004.

Norman, Anders, Dorte Bek Folkvardsen, Søren Overballe-Petersen, and Troels Lillebaek. 2019.
"Complete Genome Sequence of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis DKC2, the Predominant Danish
Outbreak Strain." https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.

O'Toole, Ronan F., and Sanjay S. Gautam. 2017. "Limitations of the Mycobacterium Tuberculosis
Reference Genome H37Rv in the Detection of Virulence-Related Loci." *Genomics* 109 (5–6):
471–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2017.07.004.

Ofori-Anyinam, Boatema, Fatoumatta Kanuteh, Schadrac C. Agbla, Ifedayo Adetifa, Catherine Okoi,
Gregory Dolganov, Gary Schoolnik, et al. 2016. "Impact of the Mycobaterium Africanum West
Africa 2 Lineage on TB Diagnostics in West Africa: Decreased Sensitivity of Rapid Identification
Tests in The Gambia." *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 10: 1–12.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004801.

Ofori-Anyinam, Boatema, Abi Janet Riley, Tijan Jobarteh, Ensa Gitteh, Binta Sarr, Tutty Isatou Faal-Jawara, Leen Rigouts, et al. 2020. "Comparative Genomics Shows Differences in the Electron Transport and Carbon Metabolic Pathways of Mycobacterium Africanum Relative to Mycobacterium Tuberculosis and Suggests an Adaptation to Low Oxygen Tension." *Tuberculosis* 120 (January): 101899. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tube.2020.101899.

Ondov, Brian D., Todd J. Treangen, Páll Melsted, Adam B. Mallonee, Nicholas H. Bergman, Sergey Koren, and Adam M. Phillippy. 2016. "Mash: Fast Genome and Metagenome Distance Estimation Using MinHash." *Genome Biology* 17 (1): 132. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0997-x.

Paten, Benedict, Adam M. Novak, Jordan M. Eizenga, and Erik Garrison. 2017. "Genome Graphs and the Evolution of Genome Inference." *Genome Research*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.214155.116.

Periwal, Vinita, Ashok Patowary, Shamsudheen Karuthedath Vellarikkal, Anju Gupta, Meghna Singh, Ashish Mittal, Shamini Jeyapaul, et al. 2015. "Comparative Whole-Genome Analysis of Clinical Isolates Reveals Characteristic Architecture of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Pangenome." https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0122979.

Phelan, Jody, Paola Florez De Sessions, Leopold Tientcheu, Joao Perdigao, Diana Machado, Rumina Hasan, Zahra Hasan, et al. 2018. "Methylation in Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Is Lineage Specific with Associated Mutations Present Globally." *Scientific Reports* 8 (1): 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18188-y.

R Core Team, R. 2019. "R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing." Edited by R Development Core Team. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-74686-7.

Rademacher, Corinna, and Bernd Masepohl. 2012. "Copper-Responsive Gene Regulation in Bacteria." https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.058487-0.

Rakocevic, Goran, Vladimir Semenyuk, Wan Ping Lee, James Spencer, John Browning, Ivan J. Johnson, Vladan Arsenijevic, et al. 2019. "Fast and Accurate Genomic Analyses Using Genome Graphs." *Nature Genetics* 51 (2): 354–62. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0316-4.

Reiling, Norbert, Susanne Homolka, Kerstin Walter, Julius Brandenburg, Lisa Niwinski, Martin Ernst, Christian Herzmann, et al. 2013. "Clade-Specific Virulence Patterns of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex Strains in Human Primary Macrophages and Aerogenically Infected Mice." *MBio* 4 (4). https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00250-13.

Rengarajan, Jyothi, Barry R Bloom, and Eric J Rubin. 2005. "Genome-Wide Requirements for Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Adaptation and Survival in Macrophages." *PNAS June*. Vol. 7. www.pnas.orgcgidoi10.1073pnas.0503272102.

Riojas, Marco A, Katya J Mcgough, Cristin J Rider-Riojas, Nalin Rastogi, and Manzour Hernando Hazbón. 2018. "Phylogenomic Analysis of the Species of the Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex Demonstrates That Mycobacterium Africanum, Mycobacterium Bovis, Mycobacterium Caprae, Mycobacterium Microti and Mycobacterium Pinnipedii Are Later Heterotypic Synonyms of Mycob." https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.002507.

Rowland, Jennifer L, and Michael Niederweis. 2012. "Resistance Mechanisms of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis against Phagosomal Copper Overload." https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tube.2011.12.006.

S.R. Pattyn, F. Portaels, L. Spanoghe, and J. Magos. 1970. "Further Studies on African Strains of
Mycobacterium Tuberculosis. Comparison with M. Bovis and M. Microti." Ann. Soc. belge Méd.
Trop. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4996738.

Samanovic, Marie I., Chen Ding, Dennis J. Thiele, and K. Heran Darwin. 2012. "Copper in Microbial
Pathogenesis: Meddling with the Metal." *Cell Host & Microbe* 11 (2): 106–15.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2012.01.009.

Sanoussi, C. N'Dira, Dissou Affolabi, Leen Rigouts, Séverin Anagonou, and Bouke de Jong. 2017.
"Genotypic Characterization Directly Applied to Sputum Improves the Detection of
Mycobacterium Africanum West African 1, under-Represented in Positive Cultures." *PLOS*
Neglected Tropical Diseases 11 (9): e0005900. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005900.

Sanoussi, C. N'Dira, Bouke C De Jong, Mathieu Odoun, Karamatou Arekpa, Moulikatou Ali Ligali,
Ousman Bodi, Simon Harris, et al. 2018. "Low Sensitivity of the MPT64 Identification Test to
Detect Lineage 5 of the Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex."
https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.000846.

Seemann, T. 2014. "Prokka: Rapid Prokaryotic Genome Annotation." *Bioinformatics* 30 (14): 2068–
69. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153.

Selengut, Jeremy D, and Daniel H Haft. 2010. "Unexpected Abundance of Coenzyme F 420-
Dependent Enzymes in Mycobacterium Tuberculosis and Other Actinobacteria †." *JOURNAL OF*
BACTERIOLOGY 192 (21): 5788–98. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00425-10.

Sellés Vidal, Lara, Ciarán L. Kelly, Paweł M. Mordaka, and John T. Heap. 2018. "Review of NAD(P)H-
Dependent Oxidoreductases: Properties, Engineering and Application." *Biochimica et*
Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Proteins and Proteomics 1866 (2): 327–47.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbapap.2017.11.005.

Sherman, Rachel M., Juliet Forman, Valentin Antonescu, Daniela Puiu, Michelle Daya, Nicholas
Rafaels, Meher Preethi Boorgula, et al. 2019. "Assembly of a Pan-Genome from Deep
Sequencing of 910 Humans of African Descent." *Nature Genetics*. Nature Publishing Group.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-018-0273-y.

Sreevatsan, Srinand, Xi Pan, Kathryn E. Stockbauer, Nancy D. Connell, Barry N. Kreiswirth, Thomas S.
Whittam, and James M. Musser. 1997. "Restricted Structural Gene Polymorphism in the
Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Complex Indicates Evolutionarily Recent Global Dissemination."
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 94 (18): 9869–
74. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.18.9869.

Szklarczyk, Damian, John H. Morris, Helen Cook, Michael Kuhn, Stefan Wyder, Milan Simonovic,
Alberto Santos, et al. 2017. "The STRING Database in 2017: Quality-Controlled Protein-Protein
Association Networks, Made Broadly Accessible." *Nucleic Acids Research* 45 (D1): D362–68.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw937.

Tatusov, Roman L, Michael Y Galperin, Darren A Natale, and Eugene V Koonin. 2000. "The COG
Database: A Tool for Genome-Scale Analysis of Protein Functions and Evolution." *Nucleic Acids*
Research. Vol. 28. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/COG.

Tettelin, Hervé, Vega Masignani, Michael J. Cieslewicz, Claudio Donati, Duccio Medini, Naomi L.
Ward, Samuel V. Angiuoli, et al. 2005. "Genome Analysis of Multiple Pathogenic Isolates of
Streptococcus Agalactiae: Implications for the Microbial 'Pan-Genome.'" *Proceedings of the*
National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102 (39): 13950–55.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506758102.

Thye, Thorsten, Stefan Niemann, Kerstin Walter, Susanne Homolka, Christopher D. Intemann,
Margaret Amanua Chinbuah, Anthony Enimil, et al. 2011. "Variant G57E of Mannose Binding
Lectin Associated with Protection against Tuberculosis Caused by Mycobacterium Africanum
but Not by M. Tuberculosis." *PLoS ONE* 6 (6). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020908.

Tsolaki, Anthony G., Sebastien Gagneux, Alexander S. Pym, Yves Olivier L. Goguet De La Salmoniere,
Barry N. Kreiswirth, Dick Van Soolingen, and Peter M. Small. 2005. "Genomic Deletions Classify
the Beijing/W Strains as a Distinct Genetic Lineage of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis." *Journal of
Clinical Microbiology* 43 (7): 3185–91. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.43.7.3185-3191.2005.

Walker, Timothy M, Camilla LC Ip, Ruth H Harrell, Jason T Evans, Georgia Kapatai, Martin J Dedicoat,
David W Eyre, et al. 2013. "Whole-Genome Sequencing to Delineate Mycobacterium
Tuberculosis Outbreaks: A Retrospective Observational Study." *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*
13 (2): 137–46. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(12)70277-3.

Ward, Sarah K, Elizabeth A Hoye, and Adel M Talaat. 2008. "The Global Responses of Mycobacterium
Tuberculosis to Physiological Levels of Copper †." *JOURNAL OF BACTERIOLOGY* 190 (8): 2939–
46. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01847-07.

Warnes, Gregory R., Ben Bolker, Gregor Gorjanc, Gabor Grothendieck, Ales Korosec, Thomas Lumley,
Don MacQueen, Arni Magnusson, Jim Rogers, and and others. 2017. "CRAN - Package Gdata."
https://mran.microsoft.com/snapshot/2017-12-11/web/packages/gdata/index.html.

WHO. 2016. "THE SHORTER MDR-TB REGIMEN FEATURES OF THE SHORTER MDR-TB REGIMEN
REGIMEN COMPOSITION 4-6 Km-Mfx-Pto-Cfz-Z-H High-Dose-E / 5 Mfx-Cfz-Z-E."
www.who.int/tb.

———. 2019. *WHO Consolidated Guidelines on Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis Treatment*.
http://apps.who.int/bookorders.

Zhang, Shuo, Jiazhen Chen, Peng Cui, Wanliang Shi, Wenhong Zhang, and Ying Zhang. 2015.
"Identification of Novel Mutations Associated with Clofazimine Resistance in Mycobacterium
Tuberculosis." https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkv150.

Figure 1. Methodology for finding gene presence-absence between two genomes

884   **Figure 1. Methodology for finding gene presence-absence between two genomes**

Figure 2. Visualization of possible rearrangements using Mauve pipeline: H37Rv (L4) genome and three completed PacBio genomes of *M. africanum* L5 isolates from Benin, The Gambia and Nigeria.

The region circled in red (one on the H37Rv genome, and one on the Nigerian completed PacBio genome) represents the regions absent in that strain genome but present in the other three strains complete genomes.

Figure 3. Gene count difference between three completed PacBio genomes of *M. africanum* L5 from Benin, The Gambia and Nigeria: L5Ben, L5Gbia and L5Nig. The numbers are the count/number of gene difference (present or absent) between genomes. The sign (+) indicates the presence of genes while (-) indicates the absence of genes. For example the "- 2 +" gene difference between L5Gbia and L5Ben, indicates that 2 genes are present in the L5Ben genome but absent in the L5Gbia genome. 5, 2, 1 are the number of genes only found in respectively L5Ben, L5Gbia, L5Nig.

Figure 4. Gene count difference between *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv (L4) genome and three completed PacBio genomes of *M. africanum* L5 isolates from Benin, The Gambia and Nigeria. * These 10 genes are present in each of the three L5 completed PacBio genomes, and absent in H37RV.

Figure 5. Mapping of 205 clinical (Illumina platform sequenced) genomes (L5_ig) of *M. africanum* L5 from various countries to *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv (L4)
and three *M. africanum* L5 isolates (completed PacBio genomes) from Benin, The Gambia and Nigeria as reference (L5_cg_Ben; L5_cg_Gbia; L5_cg_Nig).

(A) **5**/9 genes present in H37Rv but absent in the three L5_cg were **absent in all 205 L5_ig.**
(B) **5**/5 genes **present in L5_cg_Ben but absent in the two other L5_cg** were **present in 159-199/205 L5_ig.**
(C) **2**/2 genes **present in L5_cg_Gbia but absent in the two other L5_cg** were **present in 195 & 199/205 L5_ig.**
(D) **1**/1 gene **present in L5_cg_Nig but absent in the two other L5_cg** was **present in 195/205 L5_ig.**

* The 32-33 genes absent in L5_cg_Nig but present in L5_cg_Ben, L5_cg_Gbia and *H37Rv* were also absent in 6/205 L5_ig (Fig.9).

.... or .... gene absent

L5.1

New sub-lineages

L5.2

L5.3.1

← **L5.3.2 (PcbL5Nig-like)**

L6 and animal clades

M. canettii

0.0050

972 **Figure 6. Phylogenetic tree showing the 6 L5 isolates Illumina genomes (L5.3.2) similar to the**
973 **completed PacBio genome of the Nigerian L5 strain (PcbL5Nig).**

Table 1. Gene content difference between *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv (L4) genome and completed PacBio genomes of three L5 isolates from Benin, The Gambia and Nigeria.

		Present in			
		PcbL5Ben	**PcbL5Gbia**	**PcbL5Nig**	**H37Rv**
Absent in	**PcbL5Ben**		2^B	1^C	9^D
	PcbL5Gbia	$2 + 5^A$		1^C	$2 + 9^D$
	PcbL5Nig	$33 + 5^A$	$33 + 2^B$		$32 + 9^D$
	H37Rv	$10^* + 5^A$	$10^* + 2^B$	$10^* + 1^C$	
		4189	4162	4134	4126

A: include 5 only present in PcbL5Ben

B: includes 2 only present in PcbL5Gbia

C: includes 1 only present in PcbL5Nig

D: includes 9 only present in H37Rv

*: includes 10 genes shared by the PcbL5 (Ben, Gbia, Nig) and absent in H37Rv

1000 **Table 2. Mapping of 205 L5 isolates Illumina genomes to the *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv (L4) genome**
1001 **and completed genomes of three L5 isolates from Benin, The Gambia and Nigeria (mapping**
1002 **statistics/estimates)**

	H37Rv	PcbL5Ben	PcbL5Gbia	PcbL5Nig
Reads				
Mean of Percent L5 reads mapped to	96.9	**97.5**	97.3	96.5
Mean of unambiguous coverage mean	121.9	122.7	**122.8**	122.5
Bases				
Mean of Percent unambiguous total bases	0.983	0.988	**0.989**	0.982
Mean uncovered	30444.8	18801.7	**14657.5**	35215.4
Mean SNP	2210	529.9	**513.2**	**503.7**
Mean deletions	373.3	95.9	**95.7**	97.2
Mean insertions	239.2	**77.3**	107.6	**60.8**
Mean substitutions (including stop codons)	1193	**0**	**0**	**0**
Genes				
Mean of Percent gene mapped (presence)	99.59	99.72	**99.79**	99.76
L5 illumina having all the ref. genome genes % (n=205)	0	2 (4)	**7.3 (15)**	0
Mean gene count difference between L5 Illumina genomes (gene count per Illumina-sequenced genome minus minimum gene count)	29.8	38.3	**33.4**	33

1003

1004

1005 **Table 3. Presence in 205 L5 isolates Illumina genomes of genes detected in only one of the three L5**
1006 **completed genomes from Benin, Nigeria or The Gambia**

1007

		Present in L5 Illumina genomes % (n=205)
PcbL5Ben	PcbL5_01893	**77.6** (159)
	PcbL5_01894	**77.6** (159)
	PcbL5_01895	**77.6** (159)
	PcbL5_02043	**95.1** (195)
	PcbL5_03043	**97.1** (199)
PcbL5Gbia	PcbL5_02028	**95.1** (195)
	PcbL5_03020	**97.1** (199)
PcbL5Nig	PcbL5_02001	**95.1** (195)

1008

Table S1. Gene difference within the three L5 completed PacBio genomes from Benin, Nigeria or The Gambia, and between these three genomes and *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv

Genes	Present in PcbL5Ben	Present in PcbL5Gbia	Present in PcbL5Nig	Present in H37Rv
Unshared (present in that genome only)	PcbL5Ben_01893, PcbL5Ben_01894, PcbL5Ben_01895, PcbL5Ben_02043, PcbL5Ben_03043	PcbL5Gbia_02028, PcbL5Gbia_03020	PcbL5Nig_02001	**H37Rv_02002, H37Rv_02085, H37Rv_02086, H37Rv_02087, H37Rv_02107, H37Rv_02108, H37Rv_02109, H37Rv_02188, H37Rv_02189**
Absent in PcbL5Ben		PcbL5Gbia_unshared	PcbL5Nig_unshared	H37Rv_unshared
Suspected pseudogenes in PcbL5Ben		PcbL5Gbia_00036, PcbL5Gbia_00955, PcbL5Gbia_02045, PcbL5Gbia_03308, PcbL5Gbia_03376	PcbL5Nig_00011, PcbL5Nig_00036, PcbL5Nig_00953, PcbL5Nig_01794, PcbL5Nig_01853, PcbL5Nig_01896, PcbL5Nig_02018, PcbL5Nig_02119, PcbL5Nig_02291, PcbL5Nig_02467	H37Rv_00012, H37Rv_00036, H37Rv_00528, H37Rv_01371, H37Rv_01801, H37Rv_02020, H37Rv_02463, H37Rv_02556, H37Rv_02951, H37Rv_03349, H37Rv_03797
Absent in PcbL5Gbia	PcbL5Ben_01443, PcbL5Ben_01444, PcbL5Ben_unshared	PcbL5Gbia_unshared	PcbL5Nig_unshared	H37Rv_01413, H37Rv_01414, H37Rv_unshared
Suspected pseudogenes in PcbL5Gbia	PcbL5Ben_01064, PcbL5Ben_02378, PcbL5Ben_02486, PcbL5Ben_03113		PcbL5Nig_00011, PcbL5Nig_01794, PcbL5Nig_01853, PcbL5Nig_01896, PcbL5Nig_02119, PcbL5Nig_02291, PcbL5Nig_02467	H37Rv_00012, H37Rv_00528, H37Rv_01371, H37Rv_01801, H37Rv_02329, H37Rv_02463, H37Rv_02556, H37Rv_02951, H37Rv_03797,
Absent* in PcbL5Nig	PcbL5Ben_01617, PcbL5Ben_01618, PcbL5Ben_01619, PcbL5Ben_01620, PcbL5Ben_01621, PcbL5Ben_01622, PcbL5Ben_01623, PcbL5Ben_01624, PcbL5Ben_01625, PcbL5Ben_01626, PcbL5Ben_01627, PcbL5Ben_01628,	PcbL5Gbia_01604, PcbL5Gbia_01605, PcbL5Gbia_01606, PcbL5Gbia_01607, PcbL5Gbia_01608, pcbL5Gbia_01609, PcbL5Gbia_01610, PcbL5Gbia_01611, PcbL5Gbia_01612, pcbL5Gbia_01613, PcbL5Gbia_01614, pcbL5Gbia_01615,		H37Rv_01581, H37Rv_01582, H37Rv_01583, H37Rv_01584, H37Rv_01585, H37Rv_01586, H37Rv_01587, H37Rv_01588, H37Rv_01589, H37Rv_01590, H37Rv_01591, H37Rv_01592,

	PcbL5Ben	PcbL5Gbia	PcbL5Nig	H37Rv
	PcbL5Ben_01629, PcbL5Ben_01630, PcbL5Ben_01631, PcbL5Ben_01632, PcbL5Ben_01633, PcbL5Ben_01634,	PcbL5Gbia_01616, PcbL5Gbia_01617, PcbL5Gbia_01618, pcbL5Gbia_01619, PcbL5Gbia_01620, PcbL5Gbia_01621,		H37Rv_01593, H37Rv_01594, H37Rv_01595, H37Rv_01596, H37Rv_01597, H37Rv_01598,
	PcbL5Ben_01636, PcbL5Ben_01637, PcbL5Ben_01638, PcbL5Ben_01639, PcbL5Ben_01640, PcbL5Ben_01641, PcbL5Ben_01642, PcbL5Ben_01643, PcbL5Ben_01644, PcbL5Ben_01645, PcbL5Ben_01646, PcbL5Ben_01647,	PcbL5Gbia_01623, PcbL5Gbia_01624, PcbL5Gbia_01625, pcbL5Gbia_01626, PcbL5Gbia_01627, PcbL5Gbia_01628, PcbL5Gbia_01629, PcbL5Gbia_01630, PcbL5Gbia_01631, PcbL5Gbia_01632, pcbL5Gbia_01633, PcbL5Gbia_01634,		H37Rv_01600, H37Rv_01601, H37Rv_01602, H37Rv_01603, H37Rv_01604, H37Rv_01605, H37Rv_01606, H37Rv_01607, H37Rv_01608, H37Rv_01609, H37Rv_01610,
	PcbL5Ben_01891, PcbL5Ben_01892, PcbL5Ben_02248, PcbL5Ben_unshared	PcbL5Gbia_01881, PcbL5Gbia_01882, PcbL5Gbia_02228, PcbL5Gbia_unshared		H37Rv_01853, H37Rv_01854, H37Rv_02202 H37Rv_unshared
Suspected pseudogenes in PcbL5Nig	PcbL5Ben_01064, PcbL5Ben_01718, PcbL5Ben_02486	PcbL5Gbia_01705, PcbL5Gbia_03308, PcbL5Gbiaa_03376		H37Rv_00528, H37Rv_01371, H37Rv_01679, H37Rv_02463, H37Rv_02556, H37Rv_02951, H37Rv_03349, H37Rv_03797
Absent in H37Rv	**PcbL5Ben_01364, PcbL5Ben_01365, PcbL5Ben_02129, PcbL5Ben_02130, PcbL5Ben_02181, PcbL5Ben_02182, PcbL5Ben_03555, PcbL5Ben_03556, PcbL5Ben_03557, PcbL5Ben_03669** PcbL5Ben_unshared	**PcbL5Gbia_01359, PcbL5Gbia_01360, PcbL5Gbiaa_02112, PcbL5Gbia_002113, PcbL5Gbia_02163, PcbL5Gbiaa_02164, PcbL5Gbia_03527, PcbL5Gbia_03528, PcbL5Gbiaa_03529, PcbL5Gbia_03643**, PcbL5Gbia_unshared	**PcbL5Nig_01357, PcbL5Nig_01358, PcbL5Nig_02085, PcbL5Nig_02086, PcbL5Nig_02137, PcbL5Nig_02138, PcbL5Nig_03500, PcbL5Nig_03503, PcbL5Nig_03504, PcbL5Nig_03616,** PcbL5Nig_unshared	
Suspected pseudogenes in H37Rv	PcbL5Ben_00749, PcbL5Ben_00828, PcbL5Ben_01064, PcbL5Ben_01108, PcbL5Ben_02128, PcbL5Ben_02486, PcbL5Ben_03113,	PcbL5Gbia_00750, PcbL5Gbia_00826, PcbL5Gbiaa_00955, PcbL5Gbia_01103, PcbL5Gbia_01879, PcbL5Gbiaa_02111, PcbL5Gbia_03117,	PcbL5Nig_00748, PcbL5Nig_00824, PcbL5Nig_00953, PcbL5Nig_01100, PcbL5Nig_01846, PcbL5Nig_01853, PcbL5Nig_01896, PcbL5Nig_02084,	

| | PcbL5Ben_03141, PcbL5Ben_03667 | PcbL5Gbia_03308, PcbL5Gbiaa_03641 | PcbL5Nig_02119, PcbL5Nig_02291, PcbL5Nig_02467, PcbL5Nig_03064, PcbL5Nig_03092, PcbL5Nig_03614 | |

1011

1012   *Genes hilighted in the same color are contiguous and form a block.

1013

1014

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033

1034

1035

1036

1037

Table S2. Verification of genes in the L5 completed PacBio genomes suspected to be pseudogenes

in *M. tuberculosis* H37Rv genome.

Gene	Gene in PcbL5Ben	Gene in PcbL5Gbia	Gene in PcbL5Nig	Length (aa)	Locus in H37Rv	Remarks	Conclusion
1	**PcbL5Ben_00749**	**PcbL5Gbia_00750**	**PcbL5Nig_00748**	101	798857-799150	M1V, L96p, H97t, del NCD (aa 99-101)	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
2	PcbL5Ben_00828	PcbL5Gbia_00826	PcbL5Nig_00824	34	863155-863256	No change	Not pseudogene in H37Rv
3	Not suspected pseudogene	PcbL5Gbia_00955	PcbL5Nig_00953	204	993212-992602	Inverted, M1V	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
4	PcbL5Ben_01064	Not suspected pseudogene	Not suspected pseudogene	34	1102546-1102647	S23r	Not pseudogene in H37Rv
5	**PcbL5Ben_01108**	**PcbL5Gbia_01103**	**PcbL5Nig_01100**	70	1148218-1148397	M1V	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
6	**PcbL5Ben_01889**	**PcbL5Gbia_01879**	**PcbL5Nig_01846**	68	1981341-1981341	M1V	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
7	**PcbL5Ben_02128**	**PcbL5Gbia_02111**	**PcbL5Nig_02084**	92	2219418-2219318	Inverted, alignment showed aa 59-92, no mutation	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
8	Not suspected pseudogene	Not suspected pseudogene	PcbL5Nig_01853 is PcbNig_1896	41	2038813-2038706	Inverted, alignment showed aa 59-92, no mutation	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
9	PcbL5Ben_02486	Not suspected pseudogene	Not suspected pseudogene	41	2582440-2582318	Inverted, M1V	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
10	**PcbL5Ben_03141**	**PcbL5Gbia_03117**	**PcbL5Nig_03092**	111	3291532-3291350	Inverted, del aa 1-aa60 (60 first aa)	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
11	Not suspected pseudogene	Not suspected pseudogene	PcbL5Nig_02119	46	2256620-2256483	Inverted, No change	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
12	Not suspected pseudogene	Not suspected pseudogene	PcbL5Nig_02291	33	24345567-2434665	No change	Not pseudogene in H37Rv
13	Not suspected pseudogene	Not suspected pseudogene	PcbL5Nig_02467	94	2614352-2614071	Inverted	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
14	PcbL5Ben_03113	Not suspected pseudogene	PcbL5Nig_03060	204	3232652-3232867	Del aa1-aa56, N74D, del aa129-aa204	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
15	Not suspected pseudogene	PcbL5Gbia_03308	Not suspected pseudogene	58	3487871-3487698	Inverted, M1V	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**
16	**PcbL5Ben_03667**	**PcbL5Gbia_03641**	**PcbL5Nig_03614**	99	3841840-3842109	M1V, all aa mutated (insertion substitution) from aa26-aa81, del aa82-aa98	**Pseudogene in H37Rv**

Table S3. Verification of genes present in H37Rv suspected to be pseudogenes in the L5 completed genomes

Gene in H37Rv	Length (aa)	Locus in H37Rv	Locus in PcbL5Ben	Locus in PcbL5Gbia	Locus in PcbL5Nig	Remarks	Conclusion
H37Rv_02463	42	2604950-2605078	2631987-2632112	2614961-2615086	2603370-2603495	No change	Not pseudogene
H37Rv_02556	67	2718844-2719047	2743020-2742820	2729937-2729737	2716988-2716788	Inverted, nSNP I66L	**Pseudogene in the 3 Pacbio L5**
H37Rv_02951	55	3115741-3115908	3137926-3137762	3126133-3125969	3112866-3112702	Inverted, nSNP M1V, L55F	**Pseudogene in the 3 Pacbio L5**
H37Rv_03797	200	4053036-4053638	4076665-4077105	4064716-4065156	4055914-4056354	del aa 1-53	**Pseudogene in the 3 Pacbio L5**

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

 Table S4. Genes absent in the Nigerian completed PacBio genome (PcbL5Nig) and some L5 isolates Illumina genomes (n=6), but present in the Benin and
 Gambian completed PacBio genomes (PcbL5Ben, PcbL5Gbia), H37Rv and all other L5 isolates Illumina genomes.

ID (this study)	Rv number	Functional group (Mycobrowser)	Gene name (Mycobrowser)	Product (Mycobrowser)	Function (Mycobrowser)
H37Rv_01581	*Rv1493*	Lipid metabolism	*mutB*	Probable methylmalonyl-CoA mutase large subunit MutB (MCM)	Involved in propionic acid fermentation
H37Rv_01582	*Rv1494*	Virulence, detoxification, adaptation	*mazE4*	Possible antitoxin MazE4	Possible mazE4, antitoxin, part of toxin-antitoxin (TA) operon with Rv1495. Non-essential gene for in vitro growth of H37Rv
H37Rv_01583	*Rv1495*	Virulence, detoxification, adaptation	*mazF4*	Possible toxin MazF4	Sequence-specific mRNA cleavage
H37Rv_01584	*Rv1496*	Cell wall and cell processes	*Rv1496*	Possible transport system kinase	Possibly involved in transport (possibly arginine)
H37Rv_01585	*Rv1497*	Intermediary metabolism and respiration	*lipL*	Probable esterase LipL	Function unknown, but supposed involvement in lipid metabolism
H37Rv_01586	*Rv1498A*	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv1498A*	Conserved protein	Function unknown
H37Rv_01587	*Rv1498c*	Intermediary metabolism and respiration	*Rv1498c*	Probable methyltransferase	Causes methylation
H37Rv_01588	*Rv1499*	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv1499*	Hypothetical protein	Function unknown
H37Rv_01589	*Rv1500*	Intermediary metabolism and respiration	*Rv1500*	Probable glycosyltransferase	Function unknown
H37Rv_01590	*Rv1501*	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv1501*	Conserved hypothetical protein	Function unknown
H37Rv_01591	*Rv1502*	Unknown	*Rv1502*	Hypothetical protein	Function unknown
H37Rv_01592	*Rv1505c*	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv1505c*	Conserved hypothetical protein	Function unknown. It has some similarity to hypothetical proteins and glycosylases
H37Rv_01593	*Rv1506c*	Unknown	*Rv1506c*	Hypothetical protein	Function unknown. Non-essential gene for in vitro growth of H37Rv

H37Rv_01594	*Rv1507A*				
H37Rv_01595	*Rv1507c*	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv1507A*	Hypothetical protein	Function unknown
H37Rv_01596	*Rv1508A*	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv1508A*	Conserved hypotheticals	Function unknown. Highly similar to central part of glycosyl transferases from various mycobacteria and eubacteria
H37Rv_01597	*Rv1508c*	Cell wall and cell processes	*Rv1508c*	Probable membrane protein	Function unknown. Predicted to be in the GT-C superfamily of glycosyltransferases
H37Rv_01598	*Rv1509*	Unknown	*Rv1509*	Hypothetical protein	Function unknown
H37Rv_01599	*Rv1510* (present in PcbL5Nig but missing in the PcbL5Nig-like Illumina L5)	Cell wall and cell processes	*Rv1510*	Probable conserved membrane protein	Function unknown
H37Rv_01600	*Rv1511*	Intermediary metabolism and respiration	*gmdA*	GDP-D-mannose dehydratase GmdA (GDP-mannose 4,6 dehydratase)	Function unknown, probably involved in nucleotide-sugar metabolism
H37Rv_01601	*Rv1512*	Intermediary metabolism and respiration	*epiA*	Probable nucleotide-sugar epimerase EpiA	Function unknown, probably involved in nucleotide-sugar metabolism
H37Rv_01602	*Rv1513*	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv1513*	Conserved protein	Function unknown, similar to hypothetical proteins from several organisms
H37Rv_01603	*Rv1514c*	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv1514c*	Conserved hypothetical protein	Function unknown; similar to other hypothetical protein and to putative colanic acid biosynthesis glycosyl transferase
H37Rv_01604	*Rv1515c*	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv1515c*	Conserved hypothetical protein	Function unknown
H37Rv_01605	*Rv1516c*	Intermediary metabolism and respiration	*Rv1516c*	Probable sugar transferase	Function unknown, involved in cellular metabolism. Non essential for in vitro growth.
H37Rv_01606	*Rv1517*	Cell wall and cell processes	*Rv1517*	Conserved hypothetical membrane protein	Function unknown

H37Rv_01607	*Rv1518*	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv1518*	Conserved hypothetical protein	possibly glycosyl transferase involved in exopolysaccharide synthesis, similar to several hypothetical proteins and glycosyl transferases from diverse organisms
H37Rv_01608	*Rv1519*	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv1519*	Conserved hypothetical protein	Function unknown
H37Rv_01609	*Rv1520*	Intermediary metabolism and respiration	*Rv1520*	Probable sugar transferase	Funtion unknown; thought to be involved in cellular metabolism
H37Rv_01610	*Rv1521*	Lipid metabolism	*fadD25*	Probable fatty-acid-AMP ligase FadD25 (fatty-acid-AMP synthetase) (fatty-acid-AMP synthase)	Function unknown; involved in lipid degradation
	Rv1522c (present in PcbL5Nig but missing in the PcbL5Nig-like Illumina L5)	Cell wall and cell processes	*mmpL12*	Probable conserved transmembrane transport protein MmpL12	Function unknown. Thought to be involved in fatty acid transport.

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

 Table S5. Presence /absence in 205 L5 isolates Illumina genomes of the 10 genes shared by the three L5 completed genomes but absent in the *M.*
 ***tuberculosis* H37Rv genome.**

Genes present in L5 completed PacBio genomes but absent in H37Rv	Present in L5 isolates illumina genome n=205 (%)	SNP in L5-specific genes			Gene function			Present in complete genome of
		PcbL5Ben as ref n=205 (%)	PcbL5Gbia as ref n=205 (%)	PcbL5Nig as ref n=205 (%)	Functional group (Mycobrowser)	genes	Summary of role based on the literature	
PcbL5Ben_1364	191 (93.2)				ABC transporter ATP-binding protein/permease (Prokka)	Transmembrane ATP-binding protein ABC transporter	Conserved domains: FHA and CcmA (NCBI) FHA (forkhead associated domain, COG1716 (T)) binds pSer, pThr, pTyr (Signal transduction mechanisms) CcmA (COG1131 (V)), ABC-type multidrug transport system, ATPase component (Defense mechanisms (NCBI))	L5, bovis
PcbL5Ben_1365	191 (93.2)				Same as PcbL5Ben_1364	Same as PcbL5Ben_1364	Same as PcbL5Ben_1364	L5, bovis
PcbL5Ben_2129#	**205 (100)**	4 (2)	4 (2)	205* (100)	**PE/PPE**	PPE, possibly PE35 (77% id with PE35 from *M. braziliense*)	PE35 implicated in virulence (STRING database) and PE family is suggested to be related to antigenic variation of MTBC (NCBI)	**Only L5**
PcbL5Ben_2130#	**205 (100)**	7 (3.4)	7 (3.4)	7 (3.4)	Not in Mycobrowser	**Hypothetical protein possibly CAAX conserved domain** (NCBI)	Involved in post-translation modification by attaching to the isoprenoid proteins in the process called prenylation (NCBI). Most of CAAX box proteins do not have a transmembrane domain, thus, the prenylation process is crucial for the function of many signal transduction proteins (Gao, Liao, and Yang 2009a)	**Only L5**
PcbL5Ben_2181	**205 (100)**	3 (1.5)	3 (1.5)	3 (1.5)	Not in Mycobrowser	**Hypothetical protein,**	IS256 transposase is implicated in resistance to antibiotics and virulence (recombination	L5, L6, bovis

						possibly IS256 transposase (90% id, NCBI)	for adaptation, invasion)(Murugesan et al. 2018; Gu et al. 2005)	
PcbL5Ben_2182	**205 (100)**	6 (2.9)	6 (2.9)	6 (2.9)	**Unknown function (Mb2048c)**	*Mb2048c*	Function unknown (expressed during exponential growth in Sauton's minimal media (NCBI)). Gene absent in H37Rv (Mycobrowser)	L5, L6, bovis
PcbL5Ben_3555	167 (81.5)				Intermediary metabolism and respiration	moaA3 (NCBI)	*moaA3* (molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis protein subunit MoaA , Cyclic pyranopterin monophosphate synthase (Prokka)) is contained in an IS6110 sequence deleted in H37Rv (Mycobrowser)	L5, L6, bovis
PcbL5Ben_3556	165 (80.5)				Not in Mycobrowser	Hypothetical protein, possibly DnrI superfamily (NCBI)	*DnrI*: DNA binding transcriptional activator of the SARP family (signal transduction mechanisms, COG3629 (NCBI))	L5, L6, bovis
PcbL5Ben_3557	165 (80.5)				Peptide synthase (Prokka)	Hypothetical protein, possibly IS6110 transposase (99-100% id NCBI)		L5, L6, bovis
PcbL5Ben_3669	201 (98)				PE/PPE	Hypothetical protein		L5, L6

1078   *In total 210 in the 205 L5 Illumina genomes: more than one SNP in the gene for some strains

1079   # Present in L5 only, not present in L6 nor *M. bovis.* Those two genes (PcbL5Ben_2129 and 2130) are adjacent.

1080   PcbL5Ben_1364 and PcbL5Ben_1365 are adjacent genes

1081   PcbL5Ben_2181 and PcbL5Ben_2182 are adjacent genes

1082   PcbL5Ben_3555, PcbL5Ben_3556 and PcbL5Ben_3557 are adjacent genes

1083

1084

1085

1086

 Table S6. Presence/absence in 205 Illumina L5 genomes of the nine genes present in the H37Rv genome but absent in the three completed L5 genomes.

Genes present in H37Rv but absent in L5 completed PacBio genomes	Present in L5 isolates Illumina genomes n=205 (%)	Absence in L5 isolates illumina genomes n=205 (%)	Gene function			Absent in complete genome of	Present in complete genome of
			Functional group (Mycobrowser)	Genes	Summary of role based on the literature		
Part of Rv1899c (H37Rv_2002)	204 (99.5)	1 (0.5)	Hypothetical protein (35 aa) part of *Rv1899c* (343 aa, Cell wall and cell processes)(Tuber culist)	Hypothetical protein, part of Rv1899c (LppD: 4-hydroxybuturate dehydrogenase 173 aa) and also LpqI beta-hexoaminidase precursor (Herrmann et al. 2000)	Possibly bacterial membrane lipoprotein (LppD and LpqI)	L5, L6, bovis	**H37Rv only**
Rv1977 (H37Rv_2085)	**0**	**205 (100)**	**Conserved hypotheticals**	M48 peptidase family protein including as homologs: CAAX prenyl protease (*Htpx* (COG0501 O, heat shock stress response protein) M48-Ste24p-like	Bacterial survival (bacterial self-degradation to eliminate abnormal membrane proteins, htpx upregulated at high temperature, and also after 96 hours of starvation (NCBI))	**L5 only**	L6, bovis, H37Rv
Rv1978 (H37Rv_2086)	3 (1.5)	202 (98.5)	Conserved hypotheticals,	M48-2C-Ste24p (100% id), class I SAM-dependent methyltransferase (99.65% id), type 11 methyltransferase (97.1% id)	Bacterial survival in macrophages, and non-essential for in vitro growth of H37Rv (Rengarajan, Bloom, and Rubin 2005)(Mycobrowser, Tuberculist)	L5 only	L6, bovis, H37Rv
Rv1979c (H37Rv_2087)	**0**	**205 (100)**	**Cell wall and cell processes**	APC family permease	-Involved in the transport of **clofazimine** (and **bedaquiline**), associated with clofazimine and bedaquiline resistance (Zhang et al. 2015; Ghodousi et al. 2019)-Disruption of the gene provides an in vitro growth advantage to H37Rv	**L5 only**	L6, bovis, H37Rv

Rv1993c (H37Rv_2107)	0	205 (100)	Conserved hypotheticals	*Rv0968* =*DUF1490* similar to *Rv1993c* (NCBI)	Similar to *Rv0968* which is included in the operon *cosR-Rv0968-ctpV* where *cosR* and *ctpV* are virulence associated (Rademacher and Masepohl 2012)	L5 only	L6, bovis, H37Rv
Rv1994c (H37Rv_2108)	1 (0.5)	204 (99.5)	Regulatory proteins	HTH transcriptional regulator *cmtR*	Operon *cmtR-Rv1993c-ctpG* is similar to *csoR-Rv0968-ctpV*. *cmtR* (*Rv1994c*) has the same role as *csoR*. These operons all function in the regulation and transport (efflux) of toxic metal especially copper which is toxic in excess (Samanovic et al. 2012). Disruption may hamper in vitro growth, and survival during chronic phase of infection as *csoR* absence (Marcus et al. 2016; Rowland and Niederweis 2012; Ward, Hoye, and Talaat 2008).	L5 only	L6, bovis, H37Rv
Rv1995 (H37Rv_2109)	0	205 (100)	Conserved hypothetical	Hemerythrin-domain containing protein (NCBI)	- Involved in oxygen transport (NCBI)	L5 only	L6, bovis, H37Rv
Rv2073c (H37Rv_2188)	0	205 (100)	Intermediary metabolism and respiration	Probable short chain dehydrogenase	SDR family NAD(P)-dependent oxidoreductase (NCBI): catalyzes a wide range of reactions and substrate(Kavanagh et al. 2008; Sellés Vidal et al. 2018)	L5, L6, bovis	H37Rv only
Rv2074 (H37Rv_2189)	1 (0.5)	204 (99.5)	Intermediary metabolism and respiration (Pyridoxamine, Vit B6)	Pyridoxamine-5-phosphate oxidase (Mycobrowser, Tuberculist, NCBI) Its cofactor (Selengut and Haft 2010) F420 dependent biliverdin reductase (99.2-100% id, NCBI)(Ahmed et al. 2016)	- Biosynthesis of (pyridoxal phosphate and) pyridoxine (vitamin B6, Mycobrowser) which is essential for survival and virulence of M. tuberculosis (Dick et al. 2010) - Its cofactor implicated in Immuno-evasive mechanism to allow bacterial persistence (Ahmed et al. 2016; Selengut and Haft 2010)	L5, L6, bovis	H37Rv only

1088

1089

Difference in SNP distance

1090

1091

1092

1093 **Figure S1. Comparison of reference genome (differences) for SNP distance between pairwise**
1094 **Illumina L5 genomes**. A: Difference between H37Rv and PacBio L5 Benin genome; B: Difference
1095 between H37Rv and PacBio L5 Gambian genome; C: Difference between H37Rv and PacBio L5
1096 Nigerian genome

1097

Difference in SNP distance

1098

1099

1100 **Figure S2-A. Comparison of reference genome (differences) for SNP distance between pairwise**
1101 **Illumina L5 genomes:** difference between PacBio L5 Benin genome and PacBio L5 Gambian genome

1102

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112

1113

Difference in SNP distance

1114 **Figure S2-B. Comparison of reference genome (differences) for SNP distance between pairwise**
1115 **Illumina L5 genomes:** difference between PacBio L5 Benin genome and PacBio L5 Nigerian genome

1116

1117

1118

1119

1120

1121

1122

1123

Difference in SNP distance

1124 **Figure S2-C. Comparison of reference genome (differences) for SNP distance between pairwise**
1125 **Illumina L5 genomes:** difference between PacBio L5 Gambian genome and PacBio L5 Nigerian
1126 genome

1127