Ultra-compact Dual-band Smart NEMS Magnetoelectric Antennas for Simultaneous Wireless Energy Harvesting and Magnetic Field Sensing

Mohsen Zaeimbashi[1], Mehdi Nasrollahpour[1], Adam Khalifa[3], Anthony Romano[1], Xianfeng Liang[1], Huaihao Chen[1], Neville Sun[1], Alexei Matyushov[1,2], Hwaider Lin[1], Cunzheng Dong[1], Ziyue Xu[1], Ankit Mittal[1], Isabel Martos-Repath[1], Gaurav Jha[1], Nikita Mirchandani[1], Diptashree Das[1], Marvin Onabajo[1], Aatmesh Shrivastava[1], Sydney Cash[3], Nian X. Sun[1*]

[1] Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, MA. [2] Department of Physics, Northeastern University, Boston, MA. [3] Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School. *E-mail: nian@ece.neu.edu

- **Abstract**

Ultra-compact wireless implantable medical devices (IMDs) are in great demand for healthcare applications, in particular for neural recording and stimulation. Current implantable technologies based on miniaturized micro-coils suffer from low wireless power transfer efficiency (PTE) and are not always compliant with the specific absorption rate imposed by the Federal Communications Commission, particularly for deep brain implantation where field attenuation and tissue loss are significant. Moreover, current implantable devices are reliant on recordings of voltage or current. This has two major weaknesses: 1) the necessary direct contact between electrode and tissue degrades over time due to electrochemical fouling and tissue reactions, and 2) the necessity for differential recordings across space. Here, we report, for the first time, an ultra-compact dual-band smart nanoelectromechanical systems magnetoelectric (ME) antenna with a size of $250 \times 174 \ \mu m^2$ that can efficiently perform wireless energy harvesting and sense ultra-small magnetic fields such as those arising from neural activities. The proposed smart ME antenna has a wireless PTE 1~2 orders of magnitude higher than any other reported miniaturized micro-coil, allowing the wireless IMDs to be compliant with the specific absorption rate (SAR) limit and to operate under safe exposure of radio frequency energy. Furthermore, the magnetic sensing capability of the proposed smart ME antenna, with a limit of detection of 300~500pT at > 200Hz, should allow the IMDs to record neural magnetic fields from the brain without requiring differential recording.

1- Introduction

Direct measurement of widespread neuronal activity at the level of small ensembles of neurons is essential for both basic research and clinical applications. Current technology for doing so exists,

but is limited in the number of sites and spatial extent coverable. To address the need for a
distributed neural interfacing tool, a new generation of wirelessly powered standalone implantable
medical devices (IMDs) have emerged in the last few years [1-4]. Wirelessly powered IMDs
eliminate the invasiveness and discomfort caused by batteries and wires in most conventional
implants. The two major wireless powering modalities today are electromagnetic and ultrasonic.
Regardless of the powering method used, as the receiver shrinks, it becomes increasingly difficult
to deliver sufficient power to operate the IMD, which can range from a few to several hundred
microwatts depending on the application. This puts a heavy burden on the miniaturization of coils
and piezo devices, which explains why many state-of-the-art single channel devices remain bulky
[5-9]. Ultimately, these devices will need to be surgically implanted into the brain but the
technology remains too large for human applications. Some untethered IMDs have successfully
miniaturized their transducers [10-14] down to the scale of a human hair diameter. However, the
current prototypes do not have the necessary wireless efficiency to be safely powered in human
applications because the SAR limit imposed by the FCC needs to be respected. In this article, a
novel acoustically actuated nanomechanical ME antenna with the highest power transfer efficiency
reported to date is described. These ME antennas incorporate a magnetic and piezoelectric
heterostructure where the magnetic film senses H-components of EM waves. The magnetic layer
then induces an oscillating strain, which generates a piezoelectric voltage output at the
electromechanical resonance frequency. By exploiting this transduction mechanism, ME antennas
do not suffer from the same miniaturization constraints as coils, and they can be driven by weak
magnetic fields [15].

We have most recently reported magnetoelectric (ME) nanoelectromechanical system (NEMS)
resonators as single-band ME antennas for wireless communication [15], and ME sensors for
quasi-static low-frequency magnetic field sensing [16] in two separate devices with two different
designs and different operating frequencies. The ME antenna is based on ME FBAR (thin film
bulk acoustic wave resonator) working at 2.5 GHz; while the ME sensor is based on a ME NPR
(nano-plate resonator) with interdigitated electrode and an operation frequency of 215MHz. In this
paper we present the first ever smart ME antenna with unprecedented characteristics that are ideal
for IMDs: (1) ultra-compact antenna for highly efficient wireless power transfer efficiency and
data communication at GHz; (2) ultra-sensitive magnetometer capable of sensing picoTesla low-
frequency fields by using MHz resonance; and (3) simultaneous operation at two different

frequency bands, GHz for wireless power transfer and data communication, and MHz for magnetic

field sensing. Compared to neural electrical sensing based on differential voltages from neural

probes or wireless implants [17], the proposed smart ME antennas operate based on neuronal

magnetic sensing, providing multiple advantages: (1) magnetic neural sensing is not differential,

which enables more compact neural recording implants or elements [17]; (2) thanks to its physical

characteristics, magnetic neural sensing is more localized than electrical sensing, which

consequently enables better spatial resolution of neuronal activities; (3) it is practical to create safe

and contact-less implants coated with bio-compatible polymer films such as parylene; (4) the same

technology can be used for animals and human, allowing for direct comparisons and easier

translation of animal to human information; and (5) compact size allows for distributed,

addressable, high channel count use in the parenchyma, pial surface, extra dural, and in both central

and peripheral nervous tissue [18].

2- Mechanically Actuated Magnetoelectric Antennas

Magnetoelectric antennas are based on multiferroic materials, which are materials that show

more than one of the primary ferroics properties. These primary ferroics include: a)

ferromagnetism, a phenomenon in which magnetization can be changed by an applied magnetic

field; b) ferroelectricity, in which electrical polarization can be altered by an applied electric field;

c) ferroelasticity, in which a deformation can be changed by an applied stress. ME antennas,

consisting both piezoelectric and magnetostrictive thin-film materials, exploit the aforementioned

ferroic properties in order to receive and transmit electromagnetic waves. Piezoelectric thin-film

couples electrical polarization and mechanical strain, and magnetostrictive material couples

magnetic polarization and mechanical strain. A piezoelectric and magnetostrictive heterostructure,

deposited by sputtering system is used to couple the discussed ferroic orders. The diagram in Fig.

1a visualizes the concepts of the transmitter (T_X) and receiver (Rx) operating modes of an ME

antenna, where the top and bottom rectangular boxes represent the mechanically-coupled

magnetostrctive and piezoelectric layers, respectively. In T_X mode (L), an RF voltage is applied to

piezo material, which in turn generates mechanical strain. This mechanical strain is then

transferred to the magnetostrictive thin-film, which subsequently radiates EM wave due to a

piezomagnetic phenomenon. In Rx mode (R), the same sequence occurs in reversed order, where

incoming EM wave towards magnetic material generates strain. The strain is then transferred to

Figure 1. a) Diagram showing the concept of Tx and Rx operating modes of ME antenna, where the top and bottom rectangular boxes represent the magnetostrctive and piezoelectric layers, respectively; (b) 3D schematic of the ME antenna on released Si substrate. The yellow color indicates a gold ground ring and GSG pads that are used for probing and wire-bonding the antenna to PCB; (c) exploded structure of the ME antenna showing all the layers.

the piezoelectric thin-film, which in turn generates an RF voltage in the output. The simulation

results of strain and displacement distribution in magnetostrctive and piezoelectric layers are

shown in Appendix A. The incoming EM wave produces a strain in magnetostrctive layer; the

strain is then transferred to piezoelectric layer, which in turn induces a voltage across its thickness

that can be used for energy harvesting purposes.

Fig. 1b and 1c show the 3D schematic of the ME antenna from this work, for which fabrication

steps are summarized in Appendix B. This ME device consists of three parallel rectangular ME

resonators, each with a size of $250 \times 50 \ \mu m^2$, giving an overall size of $250 \times 174 \ \mu m^2$, including two

12 μm gaps between resonators. The Si substrate underneath the ME resonators is etched in order

to release the ME elements and prevent damping of the acoustic vibration, a process that improves

the performance of ME antenna. The resonance frequency of the rectangular ME elements is

defined by the size of piezoelectric thin film materials. AlN was used as the piezoelectric phase

and FeGaB as magnetostrictive phase that are mechanically coupled through the interface. A

rectangular thin-film piezoelectric material can exhibit three resonance frequencies: along width,

Figure 2. (a) Optical microscope image of a fabricated smart ME antenna; (b) S11 of the thickness mode of the smart ME antenna showing a resonance frequency at 2.51GHz; (c) S11 of the width mode of the smart ME antenna showing a resonance frequency at 63.6MHz. The insets in (b) and (c) show the equivalent MBVD circuit model of ME antenna in each mode.

length, and thickness. Here, we use the width and thickness modes of the ME resonators since they

are more efficient than the length mode. Therefore, the proposed ME antenna has two operational

resonance frequencies: (1) a nano-plate resonator (NPR) frequency corresponding to the contour

(width) mode of vibration in piezoelectric thin-film, and can be expressed as $f_{r,NPR} \sim \frac{1}{2W_0}\sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho}}$,

where W_0 is the width of the resonator, and E and ρ are the equivalent Young's modulus and

equivalent density of the AlN, respectively; (2) a thin-film bulk acoustic wave resonator (FBAR)

frequency associated with the thickness mode of vibration applied to the resonator, which can be

expressed as $f_{r,FBAR} \sim \frac{1}{2t}\sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho}}$, where t is the thickness of the AlN thin-film. Since the designed

antenna operates in two different frequency bands, we refer to this device a smart or hybrid

FBAR/NPR ME antenna. It is notable that since the thickness of the AlN film is much smaller than

its width, the thickness mode (FBAR) resonance frequency of the ME antenna is significantly

higher than the width mode (NPR) resonance frequency.

Fig. 2a shows an optical microscope image of a fabricated smart ME antenna with three parallel

ME resonators connected to a gold ground ring with GSG probing pads. Three parallel ME

resonators were used for enhanced wireless energy transfer efficiency without significantly

enhanced device size. The red color is due to the reflection from the AlN thin-film because the Si

substrate underneath is etched. Fig. 2b displays the measured S11 of the thickness mode of the

smart ME antenna showing a resonance frequency at 2.51 GHz, which corresponds to 500 nm

thickness of AlN. The two peaks are slightly apart from each other as a result of the small

Figure 3. (a) Diagram of the PCB traces of the Tx coil used in the energy harvesting experiment, and schematic of the L-matching network for impedance matching of the coil; (b) measured S11 of the Tx coil matched at 2.51 GHz, which is the FBAR resonance frequency of the smart ME antenna. (c) The left-axis shows the measured PTE versus distance between ME antenna and Tx coil, and the right axis shows the simulated magnetic flux density along the x-axis (Bx) generated by the Tx coil versus distance from Tx coil.

difference in the stress levels of the three rectangular ME resonator array. Fig. 2c shows the S11

of the width mode showing a resonance frequency at 63.6 MHz, which corresponds to 50 μm width

of AlN. It is noteworthy that the S11 plots of both thickness and width modes were measured after

wire bonding the ME antenna to a 200 μm thick paper PCB, on which an SMA connector is

mounted in order to connect the ME antenna to a vector network analyzer (VNA). We observed

some impedance matching (S11) degradation after the wire bonding process compared to the case

of directly probing ME antenna pads using standard GSG probes. This change is attributed to the

extra series inductance and resistance added by bonding wires. The insets in Fig. 2b and 2c show

the equivalent Modified Butterworth–Van Dyke (MBVD) circuit model for thickness and width

modes of ME antenna, respectively. In the following sections it will be shown that thickness and

width modes of smart ME antenna exhibit a high performance while harvesting the RF energy and

sensing magnetic fields, respectively—functionalities that can be utilized in ultra-miniaturized

brain and body implantable devices and wearable technologies.

2-1-   Wireless Energy Harvesting with Smart ME Antennas

An ME antenna's energy harvesting mode functions based on the Rx mode diagram in Fig.

1a, where an incoming radio frequency magnetic field induces strain in the magnetostrcitive

material (FeGaB). This strain is then transferred to the piezoelectric thin-film, and finally the

piezoelectric material generates an RF voltage at the output that can be used to power electronic

circuitry of the medical implantable device. As mentioned before, the thickness mode of the ME antenna with a resonance frequency of 2.51 GHz is used for energy harvesting because it outperforms the width mode for this application, while the width mode of the smart ME antenna exhibits higher magnetic field sensitivity.

We have designed a single turn T_X coil on an FR4 PCB to investigate the energy harvesting performance and efficiency of ME antennas. The Sonnet simulation toolbox was used to optimize the Tx coil in terms of size, Q-factor, self-resonance frequency (SRF), and trace width. The optimized and fabricated Tx coil, shown in Fig. 3a, has a self-resonance frequency (SRF) of 4.1 GHz, Q-factor and inductance of 110 and 10.49 nH (both @ 2.51 GHz and in air), length of 10 mm, and trace width of 3 mm. An L-match capacitive network, also displayed in Fig. 3a, is used to match the Tx coil at 2.51 GHz for maximization of the transmitted power. An SMP connector is soldered to the PCB to connect the Tx coil to the VNA for impedance matching and characterization. Fig. 3b shows the reflection coefficient (S_{11}) of the T_X coil matched at 2.51GHz, which is the FBAR resonance frequency of the smart ME antenna as seen in Fig. 2b. Note that S11 in Fig. 3b was measured when the Tx coil was surrounded by air. When the Tx coil is placed close to the skin or tissue, the resonance frequency of the matched Tx coil would shift by 100-300 MHz compared to the air medium; therefore, one has to re-match the Tx coil when tissue is present. For this reason, the matching network in Fig. 3a has been implemented with variable capacitors. As mentioned earlier, the GSG pads of a smart FBAR/NPR ME antenna, shown in Fig. 1b, are wire-bonded to a PCB, and an SMA connector is mounted on the PCB to obtain access to ME antenna's pads.

The diagram of the experimental setup for the energy harvesting measurements is shown in Appendix E, Fig. S5. The ME antenna and Tx coil are placed on two 3D printed plastic manipulators in order to precisely control their position. Plastic manipulators, instead of metallic ones, are used to minimize the effect of objects surrounding the Tx coil and their impact on S_{11} of the coil. The manipulators are adjustable along the X, Y, and Z axes, and have a spatial resolution of less than 200 μm, allowing an accurate investigation of impacts of distance and misalignment between the ME antenna and Tx coil. To measure the power transfer efficiency (i.e., the ratio of received power by the ME antenna to transmitted power from the Tx coil), the ME antenna was connected to a power spectrum analyzer, and the Tx coil was connected directly to a VNA under

7 dBm power. The left-axis in Fig. 3c shows the measured PTE versus distance between ME

antenna and Tx coil, and right axis shows the simulated magnetic flux density along the x-axis

(Bx) generated by the Tx coil versus distance from the Tx coil. The Cartesian coordinate system

here is the same as that in Fig. 1b. It is important to note that the ME antenna is sensitive along the

x-axis due to the direction of the FeGaB material's easy axis, which is defined based on the applied

DC field during the deposition process of this layer. Therefore, the ME antenna only responds to

the magnetic fields along the x-axis when generating a voltage. Fig. 3c shows that the rate of

reduction of the measured PTE and the simulated magnetic flux density along the x-axis (Bx) are

matching, and both are decreasing approximately cubically with the distance. The Tx coil was

simulated with the COMSOL AC/DC module at 2.51 GHz under an input current of 10 mA, which

corresponds to 7 dBm power (with 50 Ohm resonance condition as in this experiment).

2-2-   **Misalignment and Rotation of the Smart ME Antenna During Energy Harvesting**

Fig. 4a shows the simulated PTE of a ME antenna in the XY plane at a fixed distance of z

= 8 mm from Tx coil. In other words, this plot shows PTE versus misalignment of the ME antenna

with respect to Tx coil. For this plot, the PTE was measured at 361 points (array of 19×19 pixels)

with a step size of 1.6 mm along both X and Y axis, giving a total measurement range of 14.4×14.4

mm^2. The black outline inside the plot identifies the position of the Tx coil within the XY plane.

The measurement results show that the PTE, which is proportional to the amplitude of the magnetic

flux density (Bx) to which the ME antenna is sensitive, reaches a maximum above the PCB traces

along the Y axis, which produces a magnetic flux density along the X axis. Furthermore, the

magnetic flux focal size above the longer PCB trace is much larger than the focal point size above

the shorter PCB trace. It is noteworthy that the ME antenna was in a fixed position during this

measurement, and the Tx coil was shifted along the X and Y axes, which, due to reciprocity theory

of electromagnetics, is equivalent to fixing the Tx coil and moving the ME antenna along X and

Y axis. Fig. 4b shows the COMSOL simulation results for the magnetic flux (Bx) in the XY plane

at z = 8mm distance from the coil. The black outline shows the position of the Tx coil within the

XY plane. The simulation results confirm the PTE measurements, and they show that the longer

PCB trace on the right side of the Tx coil produces an 11× larger magnetic flux focal point than

the shorter trace on the left, while this trace is only about 2× longer than the shorter trace.

Figure 4. (a) Measured PTE of the ME antenna in the XY plane at a fixed distance of z = 8 mm from the Tx coil. The black figure inside the plot shows the coordinate of the Tx coil in the XY plane; (b) COMSOL simulation results of magnetic flux (Bx) in the XY plane at z = 8mm distance from the coil. The simulation results confirm the PTE measurements and show that the longer PCB trace on the right side of Tx coil produces a much larger (> 11×) magnetic flux focal point than the shorter trace on the left; (c) and (d) show the magnetic flux densities By and Bz in the XY plane at z = 8 mm distance from the Tx coil, respectively. The magnetic flux By reaches a maximum above the lower copper trace because the current is flowing along the X axis in this direction. The magnetic flux Bz is peaking at the center of the Tx coil because the field loops from copper traces are constructively interfering and giving a field stronger than Bx and By.

In biomedical applications, when an implantable device is inserted into the body or brain, inevitably that device will move and rotate after its initial placement. In such a situation with a change of orientation, and considering that the ME antenna is only sensitive to magnetic flux fields along the X axis, it is crucial to ensure that the external Tx coil will be able to power the implanted device even if it is oriented towards the Y or Z axes, or any other direction in-between. Simulation results show that the designed Tx coil, or any other rectangular shaped coil, is able to produce

magnetic flux density along almost every direction, including X, Y, Z, XY, etc. This is because
the rectangular Tx coil has trace lines along both X and Y axes, each producing magnetic flux
along the Y and X directions, respectively. Moreover, since the Tx coil is a one-turn loop, the
magnetic fluxes generated by copper traces constructively interfere at the center of the coil, and
produce an even stronger magnetic field along the Z axis. Fig. 4c and 4d show the magnetic flux
densities By and Bz in the XY plane at z = 8mm distance from Tx coil. The magnetic flux By
reaches a maximum above the lower copper trace because the current is flowing along X the axis
in this direction. The magnetic flux Bz is peaking at the center of the Tx coil because the field
loops from copper traces are constructively interfering and giving a field stronger than Bx and By.
The magnetic flux distribution along several other directions is available in Fig. S3 in Appendix
C. Fig. S3b, for instance, shows the magnetic field along the y = x line — i.e. 45° away from both
x and y axis — in the XY plane at z = 8mm distance from T_X coil. The fields along both X and Y
axis, each with a $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ factor contribution, produce the magnetic fields along this line.

Based on the discussed results, we can safely conclude that, regardless of how the ME antenna
moves and its orientation after implanting the device, by scanning the T_X coil one can align the
peak of magnetic flux to the ME antenna and power the implant. For instance, if the ME antenna
is rotated in a way that its sensitive direction is along the Z axis, the center of the T_X coil should
be aligned to the implant to power the device.

2-3-   In-vitro Wireless Energy Harvesting Experiment on Mice Brain Tissue

The discussion in the previous section was on the wireless energy harvesting performance of
ME antenna in the air medium. In real biomedical applications, however, it important to take into
account the effect of the tissue medium because tissue, when placed between Tx and Rx antennas,
can impact the impedance matching of Tx coil and also the magnetic field distribution. In order to
investigate the tissue impact on wireless harvesting tests we have completed experiments in which
mice tissue was placed between the Tx coil and the ME antenna. The mice tissue was 6 mm thick,
as shown in Fig. 5a, and included all the layers including scalp, skull, meninges layers (dura,
arachnoid, pia mater), and grey mater. The tissue was placed on top of the Tx coil with 1.6 mm air
gap in between, and the ME antenna was precisely aligned on top of the tissue, with 1 mm air gap,
to get the maximum power. The total thickness between Tx coil and ME antenna was 8.6 mm. It
is notable that when the tissue was placed on top of the Tx coil, the resonance frequency of Tx coil

Figure 5. (a) Schematic of mice tissue layers used in in-vitro energy harvesting experiment; (b) Magnetic flux density vs distance from Tx coil for tissue layers and air medium, showing that the magnetic flux density is stronger when tissue is present. Color bands show the coordinate of different tissue layers; (c) and (d) simulation results showing the magnetic field distribution and vectors on a cross-section cut of air and tissue mediums, respectively. The black lines show the coordinate of tissue layers. The two vortexes in 6d are due to the magnetic fields generated by the eddy current loops inside the meninges layer, where tissue conductivity is maximum. Tx coil is located at the bottom. The y-axis shows the distance from Tx coil; (e) and (f) show the perspective view of magnetic field distribution on a cross-section cut inside air and tissue mediums, respectively. The results in (c), (d), (e), and (f) show that the magnetic field distribution in the space changes and is higher when a tissue is present. The color bar scale on the right is valid for all figures.

was shifted downward by about 100-300 MHz, which is attributed to the changes of the boundary

conditions and also of the impedance seen by Tx coil compared to the air medium. Therefore, the

Tx coil was re-matched using an L-match capacitive network after placing the tissue to ensure

maximum power is transmitted by the coil.

It turns out that the measured wireless PTE of the system is about 3.1 times higher when the tissue

is present compared to air medium, which was against our initial intuition because the tissue could

add some loss to the system and in fact reduce the PTE. In order to shed light on this observation,

we simulated and compared the tissue and air mediums using the COMSOL AC/DC module under

the same current and frequency conditions as our experiment. Simulation results also matched the

experiments and showed that the magnetic flux density at 8.6 mm distance is higher when the

tissue is present. Fig. 5b shows the magnetic flux density versus the distance from the Tx coil for

the air and mice tissue models. The simulation data shows that the magnetic flux density at 8.6

mm is 2.6 times higher when tissue is present compared to air medium. This field enhancement is

due to the fact that EM wave is in propagation mode inside the tissue rather than in evanescent

near field coupling mode where magnetic field reduces more rapidly. Since the relative

permittivity of the tissue is 40-60 times higher than that of air at 2.51 GHz, the EM wave travels

slower in the tissue medium and therefore its effective wavelength is shorter. Hence, an EM wave

can be propagative even in regions very close to the coil. It is notable that Ho et al. in [19] have

observed the same phenomena where the EM field is stronger when a tissue medium is present.

Fig. 5c and 5d show the magnetic flux distribution and vectors on a cross-section of air and tissue

layers, respectively. The black lines show the coordinate of the tissue layers. The magnetic field

distribution and vector flows are normal in the air medium, but they are distorted and noticeably

changed in the tissue medium. As it is shown, there are two vortexes in the tissue medium at the

interface of the meninges layer, where conductivity of the tissue is the highest. The reason for

these vortexes is that the Bz magnetic field created by Tx coil generates eddy current loops inside

the tissue layers, particularly in the meninges layers because of their high conductivity, and these

eddy currents loops which exist on x-y plane create their own magnetic flux densities. The

magnetic fields created by eddy current loops inside the tissue interfere with the Tx coil magnetic

fields, creating the vortexes and distorting the magnetic field distribution compared to the air

medium. More detailed explanation on the generated eddy currents in the tissue is available in

Appendix D. Fig. 5e and 5f show the 3D view of magnetic field distribution in air and tissue

mediums, respectively. It can be observed that the magnetic flux density is higher in the tissue

medium, which matches the experimental observation.

Table 1 compares the ME antenna's energy harvesting performance with that of five other state-

of-the-art works selected because of their sub-mm size. These other systems, to the best of our

knowledge, are the best published small-size micro-coils. The table compares different systems in

terms of PTE, size of energy harvesting element (micro-coil or ME antenna), and the distance

Table 1. Comparison of the ME antenna's energy harvesting performance with that of five other published
micro-coils with sub-mm size. The formula in equation (1) is used to calculate the figure of merit. This
formula considers the area of the receiver, the distance between Rx and Tx, and the wireless power transfer
efficiency.

Ref.	Rx Area (mm^2)	Rx Type	Tx-Rx Distance (mm)	Wireless System	Medium	PTE (%) $= \eta_{Tx}$ $* Path\ Loss$ $* \eta_{Rx}$	FOM
Dukju [25]	0.79	Off-chip coil	12	2-coil	Tissue (beef)	0.56 [200MHz, PDL=224µW]	1378
Khalifa [11]	0.09	On-chip coil	6.6	2-coil	Tissue (lamb)	0.01*	106
Liuqing [26]	0.01	On-chip coil	0.5	3-coil	Air	0.12 [2GHz]	12
Nai-Chung Kuo [27]	0.01	On-chip coil	2.2	2-coil	Air	0.0079* [4.8GHz, PDL=100µW]	84
This work (Smart ME antenna)	**0.044**	**ME antenna**	**8.6**	**1-coil + ME antenna**	**Mice Head Layers**	**0.054 [2.51GHz]**	**3721**

Simulation

between Rx and Tx, as well as the overall energy harvesting performance using the figure of merit
(FOM) from [20] that is defined as follows:

$$FOM = \frac{\eta(\%) \times d^3}{A^{1.5}} \quad , \qquad (1)$$

where η is the PTE as percentage, d is the distance between Rx and Tx in mm, and A is the area
of the Rx element (coil or ME antenna) in mm^2. As it can be observed in Table 1, the FOM of the

ME antenna is better than that of other mm-scale coils (row 1 in table) and significantly superior
to other µm-scale coils (row 2-5 in the table).

3- Magnetic Field Sensing with a Smart ME Antenna

Ultracompact magnetic sensors are of use in various applications such as biomedical imaging,
unmanned autonomous systems, and geophysical studies. For biomedical applications in
particular, such as recording magnetic fields of brain neural activities or mapping magnetic
patterns of the heartbeat, an ideal magnetometer should have a high spatial and temporal resolution

to reduce invasiveness and to be able to record fast-changing magnetic signals. Moreover, the

magnetometer should operate well in an un-shielded and room-temperature environment. The vast

majority of existing magnetometers lack at least one of the mentioned criteria, and cannot be used

for biomedical applications. In this section we will discuss the underlying concept of magnetic

field sensing using the proposed smart ME antenna, and will also assess the performance of this

device for sensing sub-nT magnetic fields.

The magnetic sensing functionality of an ME antenna is based on a non-linear ME modulation

technique [21], where the external magnetic field is modulated on the RF carrier signal that is

applied to the ME antenna. As mentioned before, the in-plane mode of a smart ME antenna is used

for magnetic field sensing because, based on our observations, this mode shows a significantly

better performance for this purpose than the thickness mode. The three parallel ME elements in

the smart ME antenna each consist of a FeGaB and AlN heterostructure, where the former acts as

magnetostrictive layer and the latter acts as piezoelectric layer. The coupling between magnetic,

electric, elastic, and thermal parameters of this FeGaB/AlN heterostructure can be expressed using

a thermodynamic approach [22]. Assuming that the temperature is constant in our experiment, and

since the external magnetic and electric fields as well as the external elastic force are all

independent variables, Gibbs free energy $G(T, \sigma, H, E)$ for multiferroic materials can be used as

thermodynamic potential [22] and, accordingly, the total strain (x) of the system can be expressed

as below:

$$x_{ij} = s_{ijkl}\,\sigma_{kl} + d_{ijk}^{e}E_k + d_{ijk}^{m}H_k + \pi_{ijkl}E_kH_l \ , \quad (2)$$

where s_{ijkl} is the elastic compliance tensor, σ_{kl} is the mechanical external applied stress tensor,

d_{ijk}^{e} is the piezoelectric coefficient tensor of AlN thin-film, E is the external electric field, d_{ijk}^{m} is

the piezomagnetic coefficient tensor of FeGaB layer, H is the external applied magnetic field, and

π_{ijkl} is the piezo-coupling constant tensor. There is no external applied stress in our measurements,

and therefore the first term, $s_{ijkl}\,\sigma_{kl}$, which is the strain due to external mechanical stress, is

almost zero and can be neglected. Thus, equation (2) can be written as below:

$$x_{ij} = d_{ijk}^{e}E_k + d_{ijk}^{m}H_k + \pi_{ijkl}E_kH_l \ , \qquad\qquad (3)$$

where the first term is the piezoelectric strain induced by the external electric field (RF voltage)

applied to AlN thin-film, the second term is the piezomagnetic strain due to external magnetic field

(the field that is being recorded), and the third term, which is the ME modulation term, is the piezo-

coupling strain due to the mutual piezoelectric/piezomagnetic effects or, in other word, the strain-

mediated ME effect in the AlN/FeGaB heterostructure. The external applied electric field can be

written as $E = \frac{V}{t_{AlN}}$, where $V = V_c \cos(\omega_c t)$ and t_{AlN} are the applied RF voltage to and thickness

of the AlN layer, respectively; and the external magnetic field can be expressed as $H =$

$H_m \cos(\omega_m t)$. It is notable that V_c and ω_c are the amplitude and frequency of the carrier electric

signal applied to ME antenna respectively, and ω_c is equal to the resonance frequency of the NPR

mode; H_m and ω_m are the amplitude and frequency of external the alternating magnetic field. The

third term in equation (3), which is the strain due to ME effect, can be written as:

331
$$x_{me} \propto \frac{1}{t_{AlN}} V_c \cos(\omega_c t) \times H_m \cos(\omega_m t) \qquad (4)$$

The above equation expresses the modulation between carrier voltage with frequency of ω_c and

modulation field with frequency of ω_m. The modulation frequencies arising from the strain-

mediated ME effect expressed in this equation are $\omega_c - \omega_m$ and $\omega_c + \omega_m$, and the amplitude of

these modulation components is linearly proportional to the amplitude of external magnetic field

H_m, which implies the usefulness as a sensor. The linearity of the modulation components will be

discussed later in this section based on Fig. 6c. Furthermore, since the low-frequency external

alternating magnetic field is modulated on a high-frequency signal (at the NPR resonance

frequency) and therefore its information is transferred to a higher frequency bands, the modulated

component has more immunity from environmental electromagnetic, thermal, and mechanical

noises that are typically all more severe in lower frequency ranges where they can easily degrade

the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the sensor. For instance, the work in [21] has demonstrated that

a magnetoelectric modulation technique can improve the SNR of the sensor up to 72 times

compared to traditional DC-biased and unmodulated sensors. It is notable that even though the

mentioned work was completed on a very large magnetoelectric heterostructure, 28 mm in length,

the concept and the modulation technique are similar to our scenario.

The diagram of experimental setup for magnetic sensing using an ME antenna is shown in

Appendix E, Fig. S6. The ME antenna is excited using a lock-in amplifier through a directional

coupler, and is operating with a 140 mV amplitude signal at its width resonance frequency of 63.6

MHz. The other port of the directional coupler, which is connected to the input of the lock-in

Figure 6. (a) Power spectrum of the reflected signal from the ME antenna after demodulation. The plot shows both modulation components of $\omega_c - \omega_m$ and $\omega_c + \omega_m$. The carrier signal is located at the center and has an amplitude of $2.9 \times 10^{-2} \frac{V}{\sqrt{Hz}}$. The $1/f$ electronics noise decreases significantly with increasing frequency, which improves the SNR of the sensor at higher frequencies; (b) The zoomed-in plot shows the modulation signal at $1kHz$ with an amplitude of $6.2 \times 10^{-7} \frac{V}{\sqrt{Hz}}$, which corresponds to an external magnetic field of $H_m = 9.4\ \mu T$; (c) ME modulated voltage versus amplitude of the external magnetic field H_m at $1kHz$ frequency, showing a LOD of 470pT; (d) LOD of ME antenna versus frequency of external alternating magnetic field H_m. The LOD of the sensors improves with increasing frequency because, as it was shown in (a), $1/f$ electronics noise is dominant at lower frequencies. This noise decreases significantly with increasing frequency. At frequencies higher than $100Hz$, both noise and ME modulation voltage decrease with almost the same rate, which is why the LOD, or i.e. the SNR, becomes almost flat. The inset plot shows the zoomed-in highlighted region (frequencies lower than 100Hz).

amplifier for demodulation and post-processing, carries the reflected signal from ME antenna and

contains the strain-mediated ME response of the FeGaB/AlN heterostructure. When excited (by

RF voltage), the ME antenna is in presence of an external alternating magnetic field, and its

reflected signal carries modulated components $\omega_c - \omega_m$ and $\omega_c + \omega_m$, the amplitude of which is

an indicator of the external magnetic field. A 37 Oe DC bias field provided by the larger Helmholtz

coil (black color) is applied perpendicular to the length of the resonator in order to maximize the

magnetoelectric coefficient and sensitivity. The reflected signal from the ME antenna is

demodulated after passing through the input of the lock-in amplifier, and then its FFT is analyzed

on a computer. Fig. 6a displays the power spectrum of the reflected signal from the ME antenna

after demodulation. The plot shows both modulation components of $\omega_c - \omega_m$ and $\omega_c + \omega_m$ on

two sides of the carrier signal that is located at the center and has an amplitude of $2.9 \times 10^{-2} \frac{V}{\sqrt{Hz}}$.

As it is shown, the $1/f$ electronics noise decreases significantly with increasing frequency, which

improves the SNR of the sensor at higher frequencies. Fig. 6b shows the zoomed-in modulation

signal of $\omega_c + \omega_m$ at 1 kHz with an amplitude of $6.2 \times 10^{-7} \frac{V}{\sqrt{Hz}}$ that corresponds to an external

magnetic field of $H_m = 9.4 \, \mu T$. Fig. 6c shows the ME modulated voltage versus amplitude of the

external magnetic field H_m at $1 \, kHz$ frequency. As discussed before in equation (4), the amplitude

of the ME modulation signal changes linearly with external alternating magnetic field H_m, making

the device suitable to be used as a sensor. The plot shows that the limit of detection (LOD), or

minimum detectable magnetic field, of the sensor is 470 pT. The ME modulation signal is at the

same level of noise under magnetic fields smaller than LOD and therefore is lost in the noise. Fig.

6d shows the LOD of ME antenna versus frequency of external alternating magnetic field H_m. As

it was shown in Fig. 6a, the $1/f$ electronics noise of ME antenna decreases with increasing

frequency; and the ME modulation voltage also decreases with frequency due to the fact that the

coupling coefficient of ME heterostructure reduces with distance from the resonance frequency.

At frequencies under $100 \, Hz$, however, the rate of change of $1/f$ noise is faster than that of the

ME coupling coefficient, which implies that the SNR of the ME antenna, and therefore the LOD,

improves with increasing frequency as demonstrated in Fig. 6d. For modulation frequencies above

100 Hz on the other hand, both the $1/f$ noise and ME modulation signal decrease almost at the

same rate, which is why the LOD of the sensor, i.e. the SNR, becomes almost flat. The inset plot

in Fig. 6d shows the zoomed-in highlighted region (frequencies lower than 100 Hz), revealing a

rapid change of the LOD at frequencies close to DC. Recent results in [23] show that the amplitude

of the neural magnetic fields, for in-vivo measurement where the sensor is 10-100s of μm away

from neural ensembles, is as high as 1-10 nT. In another work from Wikswo et al. [24], the authors

reported a neural magnetic field with a frequency of approximately 1 kHz and an amplitude of 120 pT at 1.3 mm from the nerve. This value at this distance approximately agrees with the data in [23] where the modelling results in 126 pT at 1 mm, 1.3 nT at 100 μm, and 2.3 nT inside the neuronal ensemble. Therefore, the magnetic field measurements in these two works suggest that our ultra-compact smart ME antenna with a limit of detection of less than 470 pT has the capability to record neuronal magnetic fields.

4- Conclusion

We have reported a novel ultra-compact dual-band smart NEMS ME antenna that can be used for biomedical applications, in particular for implantable medical devices. The proposed dual-band ME antenna with a size of $250{\times}174$ μm^2 has two acoustic resonance frequencies at 2.51 GHz and 63.6 MHz, where the former mode is used for wireless RF energy harvesting and the latter for low frequency magnetic field sensing, which can be used for neural recording. The wireless power transfer efficiency of the smart ME antenna is 1~2 orders of magnitude better than that of any other reported miniaturized micro-coils to date. The improved PTE allows the implantable device to operate at higher depth inside the body while adhering to the SAR limit set by the FCC. It was also shown that the ME antenna can be efficiently powered using an external Tx coil even if the device is misaligned or rotated after implantation. In addition, the smart ME antenna's magnetic sensing mode shows an ultra-low limit of detection of less than 470 pT, which can be used for neuronal magnetic field sensing.

References:

[1] Lyu, Hongming, Jigong Wang, Jun-Ho La, Jin Mo Chung, and Aydin Babakhani. "An energy-efficient wirelessly powered millimeter-scale neurostimulator implant based on systematic codesign of an inductive loop antenna and a custom rectifier." IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems 12, no. 5 (2018): pp. 1131-1143.

[2] Tanabe, Yuji, John S. Ho, Jiayin Liu, Song-Yan Liao, Zhe Zhen, Stephanie Hsu, Chika Shuto et al. "High-performance wireless powering for peripheral nerve neuromodulation systems." PloS One 12, no. 10 (2017): p. 0186698.

[3] Wickens, Amanda, Benjamin Avants, Nishant Verma, Eric Lewis, Joshua C. Chen, Ariel K. Feldman, Shayok Dutta et al. "Magnetoelectric materials for miniature, wireless neural stimulation at therapeutic frequencies." BioRxiv (2018): pp. 461855.

[4] Montgomery, Kate L., Alexander J. Yeh, John S. Ho, Vivien Tsao, Shrivats Mohan Iyer, Logan Grosenick, Emily A. Ferenczi et al. "Wirelessly powered, fully internal optogenetics for brain, spinal and peripheral circuits in mice." Nature Methods 12, no. 10 (2015): pp. 969-974.

[5] Charthad, Jayant, Ting Chia Chang, Zhaokai Liu, Ahmed Sawaby, Marcus J. Weber, Sam Baker, Felicity Gore, Stephen A. Felt, and Amin Arbabian. "A mm-sized wireless implantable device for electrical stimulation of peripheral nerves." IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems 12, no. 2 (2018): pp. 257-270.

[6] Piech, D. K., B. C. Johnson, K. Shen, M. M. Ghanbari, K. Y. Li, R. M. Neely, J. E. Kay, J. M. Carmena, M. M. Maharbiz, and R. Muller. "StimDust: A 2.2 mm^3, implantable wireless precision neural stimulator with ultrasonic power and communication.", ARxiv Preprint ARxiv (2018):p. 1807.07590.

[7] Cho, Sung-Hoon, Ning Xue, Lawrence Cauller, Will Rosellini, and Jeong-Bong Lee. "A SU-8-based fully integrated biocompatible inductively powered wireless neurostimulator." Journal of Microelectromechanical Systems 22, no. 1 (2012): pp. 170-176.

[8] Yeon, Pyungwoo, S. Abdollah Mirbozorgi, Jaemyung Lim, and Maysam Ghovanloo. "Feasibility study on active back telemetry and power transmission through an inductive link for millimeter-sized biomedical implants." IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems 11, no. 6 (2017): pp. 1366-1376.

[9] Ghanbari, Mohammad Meraj, David K. Piech, Konlin Shen, Sina Faraji Alamouti, Cem Yalcin, Benjamin C. Johnson, Jose M. Carmena, Michel M. Maharbiz, and Rikky Muller. "17.5 A 0.8 mm 3 Ultrasonic Implantable Wireless Neural Recording System With Linear AM Backscattering." In 2019 IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference-(ISSCC) (2019), pp. 284-286.

[10] Freeman, Daniel K., Jonathan M. O'Brien, Parshant Kumar, Brian Daniels, Reed A. Irion, Louis Shraytah, Brett K. Ingersoll et al. "A sub-millimeter, inductively powered neural stimulator." Frontiers in Neuroscience 11 (2017): p. 659.

[11] Khalifa, Adam, Yuxin Liu, Yasha Karimi, Qihong Wang, Adebayo Eisape, Milutin Stanaćević, Nitish Thakor, Zhenan Bao, and Ralph Etienne-Cummings. "The Microbead: A 0.009 mm 3 Implantable Wireless Neural Stimulator." IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems 13, no. 5 (2019): pp. 971-985.

[12] Biederman, William, Daniel J. Yeager, Nathan Narevsky, Aaron C. Koralek, Jose M. Carmena, Elad Alon, and Jan M. Rabaey. "A Fully-Integrated, Miniaturized (0.125 mm²) 10.5 µW Wireless Neural Sensor." IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits 48, no. 4 (2013): pp. 960-970.

[13] Leung, Vincent W., Jihun Lee, Siwei Li, Siyuan Yu, Chester Kilfovle, Lawrence Larson, Arto Nurmikko, and Farah Laiwalla, "A CMOS Distributed Sensor System for High-Density Wireless Neural Implants for Brain-Machine Interfaces", in ESSCIRC 2018-IEEE 44th European Solid State Circuits Conference (ESSCIRC) (IEEE, 2018), pp. 230-233.

[14] Lee, Sunwoo, Alejandro Javier Cortese, Aasta Parin Gandhi, Elizabeth Rose Agger, Paul L. McEuen, and Alyosha Christopher Molnar. "A 250 µm× 57 µm Microscale Opto-electronically

Transduced Electrodes (MOTEs) for Neural Recording." IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems 12, no. 6 (2018): pp. 1256-1266.

[15] Nan, Tianxiang, Hwaider Lin, Yuan Gao, Alexei Matyushov, Guoliang Yu, Huaihao Chen, Neville Sun et al. "Acoustically actuated ultra-compact NEMS magnetoelectric antennas." Nature Communications 8, no. 1 (2017): pp. 1-8.

[16] Nan, Tianxiang, Yu Hui, Matteo Rinaldi, and Nian X. Sun. "Self-biased 215MHz magnetoelectric NEMS resonator for ultra-sensitive DC magnetic field detection." Scientific reports 3, no. 1 (2013): pp. 1-6.

[17] Gosselin, Benoit. "Recent advances in neural recording microsystems." Sensors 11, no. 5 (2011): pp. 4572-4597.

[18] Zaeimbashi, Mohsen, Hwaider Lin, Cunzheng Dong, Xianfeng Liang, Mehdi Nasrollahpour, Huaihao Chen, Neville Sun et al. "NanoNeuroRFID: A Wireless Implantable Device Based on Magnetoelectric Antennas." IEEE Journal of Electromagnetics, RF and Microwaves in Medicine and Biology 3, no. 3 (2019): pp. 206-215.

[19] Ho, John S., Alexander J. Yeh, Evgenios Neofytou, Sanghoek Kim, Yuji Tanabe, Bhagat Patlolla, Ramin E. Beygui, and Ada SY Poon. "Wireless power transfer to deep-tissue microimplants." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111, no. 22 (2014): pp. 7974-7979.

[20] Zargham, Meysam, and P. Glenn Gulak. "Fully Integrated On-Chip Coil in 0.13µm CMOS for Wireless Power Transfer Through Biological Media." IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems 9, no. 2 (2014): pp. 259-271.

[21] Gillette, S. M., A. L. Geiler, D. Gray, D. Viehland, C. Vittoria, and V. G. Harris. "Improved sensitivity and noise in magneto-electric magnetic field sensors by use of modulated AC magnetostriction." IEEE Magnetics Letters 2 (2011): pp. 2500104-2500104.

[22] Vopsaroiu, M., M. Stewart, T. Hegarty, A. Muniz-Piniella, N. McCartney, M. Cain, and G. Srinivasan. "Experimental determination of the magnetoelectric coupling coefficient via piezoelectric measurements." Measurement Science and Technology 19, no. 4 (2008): p. 045106.

[23] Caruso, Laure, Thomas Wunderle, Christopher Murphy Lewis, Joao Valadeiro, Vincent Trauchessec, Josué Trejo Rosillo, Jose Pedro Amaral et al. "In vivo magnetic recording of neuronal activity." Neuron 95, no. 6 (2017): pp. 1283-1291.

[24] Wikswo, John P., John P. Barach, and John A. Freeman. "Magnetic field of a nerve impulse: first measurements." Science 208, no. 4439 (1980): pp. 53-55.

[25] Ahn, Dukju, and Maysam Ghovanloo. "Optimal design of wireless power transmission links for millimeter-sized biomedical implants." IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems 10, no. 1 (2015): pp. 125-137.

[26] Gao, Liuqing, Yansong Yang, Arakawa Brandon, Justin Postma, and Songbin Gong. "Radio
frequency wireless power transfer to chip-scale apparatuses." In 2016 IEEE MTT-S International
Microwave Symposium (IMS) (2016), pp. 1-4.

[27] Kuo, Nai-Chung, Bo Zhao, and Ali M. Niknejad. "Equation-based optimization for
inductive power transfer to a miniature CMOS rectenna." IEEE Transactions on Microwave
Theory and Techniques 66, no. 5 (2018): pp. 2393-2408.