Epstein-Barr virus tegument protein BGLF2 in exosomes

released from virus-producer cells assists *de novo* infection by

enhancing viral gene expression

Masahiro Yaguchi[1,#], Yoshitaka Sato[1,2,#,*], Yusuke Okuno[3], Takayuki Murata[1,4],

Somi Ozaki[1], Takeshi Suzuki[1], Tomoki Inagaki[1], Takahiro Watanabe[1], Hiroshi

Kimura[1,*]

[1]Department of Virology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine,

Nagoya 466-8550, Japan

[2]PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Kawaguchi 332-0012,

Japan

[3]Medical Genomics Center, Nagoya University Hospital, Nagoya 466-8550,

Japan

[4]Department of Virology and Parasitology, Fujita Health University School of

Medicine, Toyoake, 470-1192, Japan

[#]These authors contributed equally to this study

*To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Yoshitaka Sato (yssato@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp) and Hiroshi Kimura

(hkimura@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp)

Running title: Exosomal transfer of tegument protein during infection

Keywords: EBV, exosome, BGLF2, de novo infection, extra-virus particle

Abstract

Viruses must adapt to the environment of their host cells to establish infection

and persist. Diverse mammalian cells, including virus-infected cells, secrete

extracellular vesicles such as exosomes containing proteins and miRNAs.

These vesicles mediate intercellular communications, suggesting that they

modulate viral infection by adapting cellular conditions. However, the roles of

exosomes in viral infection remain unclear. Here we screened viral proteins to

identify those responsible for the exosome-mediated upregulation of

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection. We found BGLF2 protein encapsulated in

exosomes, which enhanced the EBV infection of Akata(-) B-cells. BGLF2 protein

is a tegument protein that lines the space between the envelope and the

nucleocapsid, and it is released shortly after infection into the cytoplasm.

Therefore, tegument protein BGLF2 is encapsulated not only in viral particles,

but also in exosomes secreted from infected cells, and plays crucial roles in

establishing the EBV latent infection by modulating the cellular environment.

Importance

Tegument proteins that line the space between the envelope and

nucleocapsid are released shortly after infection into the cytoplasm to modulate

the cellular environment. In this study, we identified that tegument protein

BGLF2, which is conserved in all herpesviruses, is incorporated into exosomes

and transferred to neighboring cells. Exosomes containing BGLF2 enhanced the

infectivity of EBV. These findings suggest that this and perhaps other tegument

proteins support viral infection not only between the envelope and nucleocapsid,

but also in extra-virus particles such as exosomes.

Introduction

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), a member of the herpesviruses, mainly infects B cells. EBV infects about 90% of the world's population asymptomatically, but it can cause infectious mononucleosis and some malignancies such as Burkitt lymphoma, T/NK cell lymphoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and gastric carcinoma (1, 2). EBV demonstrates a biphasic life cycle that includes a latent phase and a lytic (productive replication) phase (3). After primary infection, EBV preferentially remains latent in host cells without viral production, although a limited number of viral genes are expressed. Under certain circumstances, latency is disrupted, and the virus shifts into the lytic phase. During this productive replication phase, all >80 viral genes are expressed, the viral genome undergoes replication, and progeny virions are produced (4, 5).

Similar to that of other herpesviruses, the EBV virion is composed of a viral genomic DNA-containing nucleocapsid surrounded by a viral envelope with glycoprotein spikes on its surface (6, 7). Located between the nucleocapsid and the outer viral envelope is the viral tegument. The tegument includes >10 viral proteins called tegument proteins (8) that are involved not only in morphogenesis, envelopment, and egress of progeny virions but also in primary *de novo* infection (9). Because they are released shortly after infection into the cytoplasm, tegument proteins play major roles in infectivity by modulating the intracellular environment, Indeed, we recently showed that the EBV tegument protein BGLF2 increases viral infectivity (10).

Extracellular vesicles such as exosomes are secreted from eukaryotic cells. These vesicles can contain proteins, RNA, microRNA, or DNA, and they serve as crucial mediators of intercellular communication involved in organismal homeostasis and disease states (11-13). The roles of extracellular vesicles have received considerable attention in recent years. Exosomes secreted by virus-infected cells contain viral materials (14). Interestingly, EBV-infected cell lines secrete a higher number of extracellular vesicles than uninfected cells (15), leading us to hypothesize that EBV utilizes exosomes to influence infection and pathogenesis by facilitating viral replication or activating immune evasion

strategies. For instance, the viral oncoprotein latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1)

has been detected in exosomes (16-18). LMP1-positive exosomes activate the

extracellular signal-regulated kinase and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt

signaling pathways in the recipient cells (19). Although EBV encodes more than

80 genes, many of which are lytic proteins, the majority of viral proteins detected

in exosomes are latent proteins (20), because these latent proteins have been

identified using a forward genetics approach. Therefore, it is still unclear which

EBV lytic proteins are encapsulated in exosomes and function during infection.

In this study, we use a reverse genetics approach to investigate the role of

EBV protein-containing exosomes during infection. We performed a series of

screenings and identified the tegument protein BGLF2, which enhanced *de novo*

infection via exosomes. Our results suggest that this tegument protein functions

via extracellular vesicle transfer like that of virus particles, ensuring efficient and

robust modulation of the microenvironment for the infection.

Results and Discussion

Screening for EBV proteins that modulate infection via exosomal transfer

To explore the roles of exosome containing EBV proteins during infection, we

generated an exosome library, from 293T cells expressing 71 different

epitope-tagged EBV lytic proteins (10), and screened for EBV genes that both

modulated infection and were detected in exosomes (Figure 1A). EBV-negative

Akata(-) B-cells treated with each exosome from the library were experimentally

infected with EBV, and the infectivity at 48 h post-infection (hpi) was compared

(Figure 1B, upper panel). Additionally, the exosome library was subjected to

immunoblot analysis with an anti-hemagglutinin (HA) antibody to detect EBV

proteins in the exosomes (Figure 1B, lower panel). By integrating multiple

screenings, we found BGLF2 and BLRF1 within some exosomes, and these

enhanced EBV infection. Because BLRF1 encodes envelope glycoprotein N,

which localized to the membrane, we here focus on the tegument protein

BGLF2.

Exosome-mediated release of BGLF2 in culture supernatant

Because exosomes were purified by a precipitation-based method in our

screening for easy handling, we cannot rule out the possibility of non-exosome

contamination (21). To examine the incorporation of BGLF2 in the exosomes,

we used an affinity-based method to isolate exosomes from the culture

supernatant of 293T cells expressing BGLF2-HA. BGLF2 also was detected in

exosomes purified by exosome-affinity resin (Figure 2A) or anti-CD9 antibody

(data not shown). We further confirmed that detergent treatment of the culture

supernatant impeded the detection of BGLF2 in exosomes, suggesting that

BGLF2 was encapsulated within exosomes (Figure 2B).

BGLF2 stimulates the activator protein (AP)-1 signaling pathway (10, 22). To

assess the function of BGLF2-containing exosomes, we added them to cells that

were transfected with a pAP-1-Luc reporter and control plasmids. As shown in

Figure 2C, BGLF2 was taken up by the recipient cells, and treatment with

BGLF2-containing exosomes enhanced AP-1 reporter activity (Figure 2D).

These findings indicate that functional BGLF2 is transferred via exosomes to

recipient cells.

Orthologs of EBV BGLF2 in herpes simplex virus-1 and human

cytomegalovirus wereincorporated into exosomes

To define the regions of BGLF2 responsible for packaging into exosomes, we

created truncations of BGLF2 and then tested each for its incorporation (Figure

3A). Bortezomib, a proteasome inhibitor, was used for stabilizing deletion

mutants. As shown in Figure 3A, the deletion of 98-158 amino acids (aa)

precluded the incorporation of BGLF2 into exosomes, although deletion of the

C-terminal domain did not. Thus, the incorporation of BGLF2 into exosomes

required its central domain.

BGLF2 is a conserved tegument protein among all herpesviruses (22).

Therefore, we tested whether herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) UL16 and

human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) UL94 proteins (orthologs of EBV BGLF2) could

be incorporated into exosomes. HSV-1 UL16 and HCMV UL94 proteins were

detected in exosomes purified from cells expressing HSV-1 UL16 and HCMV

UL94 (Figure 3B). These findings indicate a conserved role of exosome

containing BGLF2 or its orthologs among herpesvirus members.

Extra-particle BGLF2 enhances the infectivity of BGLF2-KO EBV

Next, we examined whether exosomal-transferred BGLF2 supports EBV

infection using a BGLF2-knockout (BGLF2-KO) virus. The knockout of BGLF2

reduces EBV infectivity upon *de novo* infection of B-cells (10). Treatment with

exosomes containing BGLF2 significantly enhanced the infectivity of BGLF2-KO

EBV compared with a control treatment (Figure 4A).

To evaluate the effect of BGLF2-containing exosomes on EBV infection, we

performed RNA-seq analysis (Figure 4B). Akata(-) cells were pre-treated with

BGLF2-containing exosomes or control exosomes and then infected with

BGLF2-KO EBV. Infected cells were collected by fluorescence-activated cell

sorting (FACS) at 24 hpi according to EGFP-positivity, and transcriptome

information of the infected cells was subsequently obtained by RNA-seq. As

shown in Figure 4C and 4D, treatment with BGLF2-containing exosomes

induced expression of EBV genes, although the expression level of EGFP,

which was driven from a eukaryotic promoter (23), was not altered. This

enhanced expression of EBV mRNAs was validated by qPCR (Figure 4E). LMP1

was one of the most differently expressed viral genes between the groups

treated with exosomes with or without BGLF2 (Figure 4C). The EBV gene

BHRF1 is highly expressed during the first two days after infection (24, 25).

These findings imply a role for BGLF2 in viral gene expression in infected cells,

consistent with previous reports that BGLF2 activates p38, JNK, and AP-1 to

induce viral gene expression (10, 22).

Additionally, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of RNA-seq data

indicated that the inflammation response was significantly suppressed by

treatment with BGLF2-containing exosomes (Figure 4F). This suppression is

consistent with recent reports that BGLF2 protein impairs type I interferon (IFN)

signaling through Tyk2 (26) and also dampens NF-κB activity (27), although

these reports have focused on its roles during lytic infection. Because host

innate immunity and its key mediator, type I IFN, control virus infection (28-30),

BGLF2 may protect infected cells from a type I IFN-mediated response during *de*

novo infection. Indeed, we confirmed that type I IFN inhibited EBV infection in a

dose-dependent manner (Figure 4G). Together, BGLF2 perturbed host signaling

pathways on multiple levels to modulate the cellular environment to be suitable

for establishing infection. Thus, we demonstrated that supplementation of

BGLF2 via exosomes to infected cells contributes to EBV infection, similar to

supplement via virus particles.

Virally infected cells released virions as infectious particles and

non-infectious particles. From all herpesvirus-infected cells, L-particles are

released in addition to virions (31-33). Because L-particles are composed of

virus envelope and tegument proteins but lack viral genome and nucleocapsid

proteins, these particles are non-infectious. Such conserved release of

L-particles may shed light on an essential role of non-infectious particles in

creating a microenvironment that facilitates their replication, spread, and

persistence in the host cells. Recently, it has been demonstrated that L-particles

mediate immune evasion by CD83 down-modulation on mature dendritic cells

(34). Here, we demonstrated that tegument protein BGLF2 in exosomes was

transferred to the target cells and then enhanced the infectivity of EBV by

manipulating the cellular environment for viral gene expression. These findings

suggest that this virus hijacks and utilizes extracellular vesicles such as

exosomes.

Exosomes prepared from BGLF2-expressing 293T cells enhanced infectivity

with the BGLF2-KO virus (Figure 4A), suggesting that exosomes serve as a

cargo of tegument proteins to target cells. Although exosomes are released in

exocytic bursts upon the fusion of the multivesicular bodies to the cell membrane

(35), the final envelopment site for EBV originates from the Golgi apparatus (36),

indicating that these non-infectious particles (L-particles and exosomes) are

generated in different compartments. Furthermore, BGLF2 and its orthologs in

HSV-1 and HCMV were incorporated into exosomes (Figure 3C), indicating that

manipulation of the cellular environment by exosomes was a conserved

mechanism among herpesvirus. The results of this study also suggest a

previously unforeseen essential role for non-infectious particles in viral
protection.
207
Materials and Methods
Cells, plasmids and reagents
HEK293T (ATCC CRL-3216) and HEK293/EBV(dBGLF2) cells (10) were
maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS).
Akata(−) cells (37) were cultured in RPMI1640 medium containing 10% FBS.
AGS/EBV-EGFP cells (a kind gift from Hironori Yoshiyama) (38) were grown in
F-12 HAM's medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 400 μg/mL G418.
The BZLF1-expression plasmid, and HA-tagged EBV lytic protein-expression
library were described previously (10, 39). For expression of C-terminal
HA-tagged HSV-1 UL16 and HCMV UL94, constructs expressing UL16 and
UL94 in pcDNA3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) were prepared
by PCR and the In-Fusion cloning system (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan).
The inserted DNA sequence of each vector was confirmed by direct DNA
sequencing. The reporter plasmid pAP1-Luc and promoterless-RLuc (pGL4.70)
were purchased from Promega (Madison, WI, USA).
Bortezomib (Cat# A10160; AdooQ BioScience, Irvine, CA, USA) was used at
a concentration of 100 nM. Recombinant human type I IFN alpha (Cat# 11200-1)
was purchased from PBL Assay Science (Piscataway, NJ, USA).
226
Antibodies and immunoblotting
Anti-HA (3F10) rat antibody was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis,
MO, USA). Anti-CD63 (Ts63) mouse antibodies were obtained from Thermo
Fisher Scientific. Rabbit anti-GAPDH (14C10), rabbit anti-CD9 (D8O1A), and
horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from
Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). Immunoblot and signal
detection were performed as described previously (39).
234
Virus preparation

EGFP-EBV was obtained from the 8-day-old cell-free supernatant of

AGS/EGFP-EBV cells. The cell-free supernatant was filtered through 0.45 µm

filters and then used as a virus stock. For the preparation of the BGLF2-KO virus,

HEK293/EBV(dBGLF2) cells (10) were transfected with BZLF1 expression

plasmid using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Cells and media were harvested and freeze-thawed,

and cell debris was removed. The supernatant after centrifugation was filtered

through 0.45 µm filters and then used as a virus stock. EBV-negative Akata(-)

cells were infected with the virus, and EGFP-positive cells were counted by

FACS to measure the viral titer.

Exosome purification

Exosome-containing conditioned media were centrifuged at 2000 × g to

remove cells. Exosomes were purified with a Total Exosome Isolation Kit

(Thermo Fisher Scientific) for screenings or exoEasy Maxi Kit (Qiagen, Hilden,

Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocols.

Screenings

HEK293T cells were transfected with each plasmid expressing an EBV

gene using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). On the following day,

media were changed to DMEM supplemented with 5% exosome-depleted FBS

(System Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA, USA). After 48 h, exosomes were purified

from the conditioned media. To identify exosomal proteins, we analyzed purified

exosomes by immunoblot. For analyzing modulators of infectivity, EBV-negative

Akata(-) cells were treated with purified exosomes in RPMI1640 supplemented

with 5% exosome-depleted FBS (System Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA, USA).

After 6 h, cells were infected with EGFP-EBV. At 48 h after infection, cells were

fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. The infectivity was determined using a flow

cytometer (FACS Canto, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

Luciferase reporter assay

HEK293T cells were transfected with AP-1 reporter plasmid (pAP1-Luc) and
promoterless-RLuc as an internal control using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo
Fisher Scientific) and incubated in DMEM supplemented with 5%
exosome-depleted FBS. After 48 h, cells were treated with purified exosome.
Cells were lysed 24 h later and subjected to luciferase assays using the
Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega).
273
RNA-seq
Akata(-) cells were pre-treated with BGLF2-containing or control exosome for
16 h, and then infected with BGLF2-KO EBV at room temperature for 2 h with
agitation. After infection, cells were resuspended in medium containing
exosomes. The top 30,000 EBV-infected cells expressing EGFP were sorted by
a FACS Aria II Cell Sorter (BD Biosciences) at 24 hpi.
Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). Evaluation of
RNA, RNA-seq library preparation, Illumina sequencing, and data preprocessing
were performed as described previously (40).
283
qRT-PCR
Total RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the PrimeScript II Reverse
transcriptase kit (Takara Bio). The expression of mRNA was analyzed by qPCR
using the 7500 Fast DX Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster
City, CA, USA) as previously described (18). The primer sequences used in this
study are listed in Table 1.
290
291   ***Statistical analysis***
292   Results are shown as the means ± standard error (SE) of at least three
293   independent experiments. Statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft
294   Excel. Welch's t-test was used to determine significance, and $P < 0.05$ was
295   considered to be statistically significant.
296
Data availability

Data deposition of RNA-seq data set is progress. The RNA-seq dataset

reported in this paper is available upon request.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Hironori Yoshiyama for providing invaluable materials;

Daisuke Yuasa, Shingo Ochiai, Shuko Kumagai, and Tomoko Kunogi for

technical supports; Minoru Tanaka and the Division for Medical Research

Engineering, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine for FACS

analysis.

This work was supported in part by grants from the Japan Society for the

Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI (https://www.jsps.go.jp) (Grant

Numbers JP16H06231, JP19H04829 to Y.S., and JP20H03493 to H.K.); the

JST (https://www.jst.go.jp) PRESTO (Grant Number JPMJPR19H5) to Y.S.; the

Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED,

https://www.amed.go.jp) (JP19fm0208016 and JP20wm0325012 to T.M.,

JP19ck0106517 to Y.O., and JP19jk0210023 to Y.S.); the Takeda Science

Foundation (https://www.takeda-sci.or.jp) to Y.S. and T.M.; the Hori Sciences

and Arts Foundation (https://www.hori-foundation.or.jp) to Y.S. and H.K.; and the

MSD Life Science Foundation (https://www.msd-life-science-foundation.or.jp) to

Y.S. T.S. and T.I. are supported by the Takeda Science Foundation scholarship.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1.   Cohen JI, Fauci AS, Varmus H, Nabel GJ. 2011. Epstein-Barr virus: an important

vaccine target for cancer prevention. Sci Transl Med 3:107fs7.

2.   Young LS, Rickinson AB. 2004. Epstein-Barr virus: 40 years on. Nat Rev Cancer

4:757-68.

3.   Murata T, Tsurumi T. 2014. Switching of EBV cycles between latent and lytic states.

Rev Med Virol 24:142-53.

4.   Murata T. 2014. Regulation of Epstein-Barr virus reactivation from latency.
Microbiol Immunol 58:307-17.

5.   McKenzie J, El-Guindy A. 2015. Epstein-Barr Virus Lytic Cycle Reactivation. Curr
Top Microbiol Immunol 391:237-61.

6.   Knipe D, Howley P, Griffin D, Lamb R, Martin M, Roizman B, Straus S. 2007. Fields
Virology, 5th ed. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia.

7.   Diefenbach RJ. 2015. Conserved tegument protein complexes: Essential components
in the assembly of herpesviruses. Virus Res 210:308-17.

8.   Johannsen E, Luftig M, Chase MR, Weicksel S, Cahir-McFarland E, Illanes D,
Sarracino D, Kieff E. 2004. Proteins of purified Epstein-Barr virus. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 101:16286-91.

9.   Sathish N, Wang X, Yuan Y. 2012. Tegument Proteins of Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated
Herpesvirus and Related Gamma-Herpesviruses. Front Microbiol 3:98.

10.   Konishi N, Narita Y, Hijioka F, Masud H, Sato Y, Kimura H, Murata T. 2018. BGLF2
Increases Infectivity of Epstein-Barr Virus by Activating AP-1 upon De Novo
Infection. mSphere 3:e00138-18.

11.   Raab-Traub N, Dittmer DP. 2017. Viral effects on the content and function of
extracellular vesicles. Nat Rev Microbiol 15:559-572.

12.   Yanez-Mo M, Siljander PR, Andreu Z, Zavec AB, Borras FE, Buzas EI, Buzas K, Casal
E, Cappello F, Carvalho J, Colas E, Cordeiro-da Silva A, Fais S, Falcon-Perez JM,
Ghobrial IM, Giebel B, Gimona M, Graner M, Gursel I, Gursel M, Heegaard NH, Hendrix
A, Kierulf P, Kokubun K, Kosanovic M, Kralj-Iglic V, Kramer-Albers EM, Laitinen
S, Lasser C, Lener T, Ligeti E, Line A, Lipps G, Llorente A, Lotvall J, Mancek-Keber
M, Marcilla A, Mittelbrunn M, Nazarenko I, Nolte-'t Hoen EN, Nyman TA, O'Driscoll
L, Olivan M, Oliveira C, Pallinger E, Del Portillo HA, Reventos J, Rigau M, Rohde
E, Sammar M, et al. 2015. Biological properties of extracellular vesicles and their
physiological functions. J Extracell Vesicles 4:27066.

13.   Record M, Carayon K, Poirot M, Silvente-Poirot S. 2014. Exosomes as new vesicular
lipid transporters involved in cell-cell communication and various
pathophysiologies. Biochim Biophys Acta 1841:108-20.

14.   Meckes DG, Jr., Raab-Traub N. 2011. Microvesicles and viral infection. J Virol
85:12844-54.

15.   Hurwitz SN, Nkosi D, Conlon MM, York SB, Liu X, Tremblay DC, Meckes DG, Jr. 2017.
CD63 Regulates Epstein-Barr Virus LMP1 Exosomal Packaging, Enhancement of Vesicle
Production, and Noncanonical NF-kappaB Signaling. J Virol 91:e02251-16.

16.   Houali K, Wang X, Shimizu Y, Djennaoui D, Nicholls J, Fiorini S, Bouguermouh A,
365   Ooka T. 2007. A new diagnostic marker for secreted Epstein-Barr virus encoded LMP1
366   and BARF1 oncoproteins in the serum and saliva of patients with nasopharyngeal
367   carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 13:4993-5000.
368   17.   Vazirabadi G, Geiger TR, Coffin WF, 3rd, Martin JM. 2003. Epstein-Barr virus latent
369   membrane protein-1 (LMP-1) and lytic LMP-1 localization in plasma membrane-derived
370   extracellular vesicles and intracellular virions. J Gen Virol 84:1997-2008.
371   18.   Sato Y, Ochiai S, Murata T, Kanda T, Goshima F, Kimura H. 2017. Elimination of
372   LMP1-expressing cells from a monolayer of gastric cancer AGS cells. Oncotarget
373   8:39345-39355.
374   19.   Meckes DG, Jr., Shair KH, Marquitz AR, Kung CP, Edwards RH, Raab-Traub N. 2010.
375   Human tumor virus utilizes exosomes for intercellular communication. Proc Natl
376   Acad Sci U S A 107:20370-5.
377   20.   Zhao M, Nanbo A, Sun L, Lin Z. 2019. Extracellular Vesicles in Epstein-Barr Virus'
378   Life Cycle and Pathogenesis. Microorganisms 7:48.
379   21.   Patel GK, Khan MA, Zubair H, Srivastava SK, Khushman M, Singh S, Singh AP. 2019.
380   Comparative analysis of exosome isolation methods using culture supernatant for
381   optimum yield, purity and downstream applications. Sci Rep 9:5335.
382   22.   Liu X, Cohen JI. 2016. Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) Tegument Protein BGLF2 Promotes
383   EBV Reactivation through Activation of the p38 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase.
384   J Virol 90:1129-38.
385   23.   Delecluse HJ, Hilsendegen T, Pich D, Zeidler R, Hammerschmidt W. 1998. Propagation
386   and recovery of intact, infectious Epstein-Barr virus from prokaryotic to human
387   cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:8245-50.
388   24.   Mrozek-Gorska P, Buschle A, Pich D, Schwarzmayr T, Fechtner R, Scialdone A,
389   Hammerschmidt W. 2019. Epstein-Barr virus reprograms human B lymphocytes
390   immediately in the prelatent phase of infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
391   116:16046-16055.
392   25.   Wang C, Li D, Zhang L, Jiang S, Liang J, Narita Y, Hou I, Zhong Q, Zheng Z, Xiao
393   H, Gewurz BE, Teng M, Zhao B. 2019. RNA Sequencing Analyses of Gene Expression
394   during Epstein-Barr Virus Infection of Primary B Lymphocytes. J Virol
395   93:e00226-19.
396   26.   Liu X, Sadaoka T, Krogmann T, Cohen JI. 2020. Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) Tegument
397   Protein BGLF2 Suppresses Type I Interferon Signaling To Promote EBV Reactivation.
398   J Virol 94:e00258-20.

27. Chen T, Wang Y, Xu Z, Zou X, Wang P, Ou X, Li Y, Peng T, Chen D, Li M, Cai M. 2019.
Epstein-Barr virus tegument protein BGLF2 inhibits NF-kappaB activity by
preventing p65 Ser536 phosphorylation. FASEB J 33:10563-10576.
28. Katze MG, He Y, Gale M, Jr. 2002. Viruses and interferon: a fight for supremacy.
Nat Rev Immunol 2:675-87.
29. Bird L. 2011. Viral immunity: Shelter from interferons. Nat Rev Immunol 12:2.
30. McNab F, Mayer-Barber K, Sher A, Wack A, O'Garra A. 2015. Type I interferons in
infectious disease. Nat Rev Immunol 15:87-103.
31. Granato M, Feederle R, Farina A, Gonnella R, Santarelli R, Hub B, Faggioni A,
Delecluse HJ. 2008. Deletion of Epstein-Barr virus BFLF2 leads to impaired viral
DNA packaging and primary egress as well as to the production of defective viral
particles. J Virol 82:4042-51.
32. Chang YE, Van Sant C, Krug PW, Sears AE, Roizman B. 1997. The null mutant of the
U(L)31 gene of herpes simplex virus 1: construction and phenotype in infected cells.
J Virol 71:8307-15.
33. Irmiere A, Gibson W. 1983. Isolation and characterization of a noninfectious
virion-like particle released from cells infected with human strains of
cytomegalovirus. 0042-6822 130:118-33.
34. Heiling1oh CS, Kummer M, Muhl-Zurbes P, Drassner C, Daniel C, Klewer M,
Steinkasserer A. 2015. L Particles Transmit Viral Proteins from Herpes Simplex
Virus 1-Infected Mature Dendritic Cells to Uninfected Bystander Cells, Inducing
CD83 Downmodulation. J Virol 89:11046-55.
35. Colombo M, Raposo G, Thery C. 2014. Biogenesis, secretion, and intercellular
interactions of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol
30:255-89.
36. Nanbo A, Noda T, Ohba Y. 2018. Epstein-Barr Virus Acquires Its Final Envelope on
Intracellular Compartments With Golgi Markers. Front Microbiol 9:454.
37. Shimizu N, Tanabe-Tochikura A, Kuroiwa Y, Takada K. 1994. Isolation of Epstein-Barr
virus (EBV)-negative cell clones from the EBV-positive Burkitt's lymphoma (BL)
line Akata: malignant phenotypes of BL cells are dependent on EBV. J Virol
68:6069-73.
38. Katsumura KR, Maruo S, Wu Y, Kanda T, Takada K. 2009. Quantitative evaluation of
the role of Epstein-Barr virus immediate-early protein BZLF1 in B-cell
transformation. J Gen Virol 90:2331-41.

39. Sato Y, Kamura T, Shirata N, Murata T, Kudoh A, Iwahori S, Nakayama S, Isomura
H, Nishiyama Y, Tsurumi T. 2009. Degradation of Phosphorylated p53 by Viral
Protein-ECS E3 Ligase Complex. PLoS Pathog 5:e1000530.
40. Okuno Y, Murata T, Sato Y, Muramatsu H, Ito Y, Watanabe T, Okuno T, Murakami N,
Yoshida K, Sawada A, Inoue M, Kawa K, Seto M, Ohshima K, Shiraishi Y, Chiba K,
Tanaka H, Miyano S, Narita Y, Yoshida M, Goshima F, Kawada JI, Nishida T, Kiyoi
H, Kato S, Nakamura S, Morishima S, Yoshikawa T, Fujiwara S, Shimizu N, Isobe Y,
Noguchi M, Kikuta A, Iwatsuki K, Takahashi Y, Kojima S, Ogawa S, Kimura H. 2019.
Defective Epstein-Barr virus in chronic active infection and haematological
malignancy. Nat Microbiol 4:404-413.
41. Djavadian R, Hayes M, Johannsen E. 2018. CAGE-seq analysis of Epstein-Barr virus
lytic gene transcription: 3 kinetic classes from 2 mechanisms. PLoS Pathog
14:e1007114.
446
447

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Combined screenings for the viral proteins that regulate the

infectivity via exosomal transfer.

(A) The workflow of the screenings. Exosomes were purified from the

conditioned media of HEK293T cells that were transfected with each plasmid

expressing an EBV gene. For analyzing modulators of infectivity, EBV-negative

Akata(-) cells were pre-treated with purified exosomes and then infected with

EGFP-EBV. The infectivity was determined at 48 hpi by FACS analysis. For

identifying exosomal proteins, purified exosomes were analyzed by immunoblot

with an anti-HA antibody.

(B) Heatmap showing fold change (FC) of infectivity of EBV with Akata(-) cells in

the presence of each exosome at 48 hpi. Infectivity changes (top panel) reflect

the $\log^2$ FC relative to the control exosome purified from empty

vector-transfected cells. p values are shown on the middle panel. The viral

protein in purified exosomes is indicated as black on the bottom panel.

Figure 2: Functional BGLF2 proteins are transferred to the recipient cells

via exosomes.

(A) BGLF2 protein-containing exosomes were secreted from BGLF2-expressing

cells. HEK293T cells were transfected with HA-tagged BGLF2-expression or

empty plasmid. Exosomes were isolated from the supernatant of the cells. CD63

and CD9 served as exosome markers.

(B) BGLF2 protein was incorporated into exosomes. Exosomes were purified

from supernatants treated with or without 0.25% Triton-X 100 for 5 min at room

temperature.

(C) BGLF2 was delivered to the recipient cells via exosomes. HEK293T cells

were treated with BGLF2-containing exosomes for the indicated number of

hours and then washed extensively and harvested. Cell lysates were applied to

immunoblot analysis.

(D) BGLF2 transferred via exosomes activated the AP-1 promoter. HEK293T

cells transfected with the pAP-1-Luc and promoterless-Rluc plasmids, and then

treatment with BGLF2-containing exosomes. Cell lysates were obtained at 24 h
post-treatment and analyzed using luciferase reporter assays. Values (mean ±
SEs) were calculated from three independent experiments. Double asterisks,
$p<0.01$.
483
Figure 3: The orthologs of BGLF2 are incorporated into exosomes
(A) The C-terminal domain of BGLF2 was dispensable for incorporation into
exosomes. HEK293 cells were transfected with a deletion mutant of
BGLF2-expression plasmids and treated with or without 250 nM of Bortezomib
for 24 h before harvest. Exosomes were isolated from the culture supernatant.
(B) HEK293T cells were transfected with expression plasmids containing
HA-tagged BGLF2 or its HSV-1 or HCMV orthologs. Exosomes were isolated
from the supernatant of the cells.
492
Figure 4: BGLF2-containing exosomes enhance the infectivity of
BGLF2-KO EBV.
(A) Akata(-) cells were infected with BGLF2-KO EBV in the presence of
BGLF2-containing exosomes. After two days, GFP positivity was determined by
FACS. Results are presented as means ± SE of at least three independent
experiments and are shown as relative infectivity to control treatment with PBS
(infectivity value of 1). Double asterisks, $p<0.01$; n.s., not significant.
(B) The workflow of sample collection for RNA-seq/qRT-PCR analyses. Akata(-)
cells were pre-treated with exosomes for 16 h, and then infected with BGLF2-KO
EBV. The infected cells were collected via FACS at 24 hpi. Total RNA extracted
from the sorted cells was subjected to RNA-seq and qRT-PCR.
(C) Gene expression levels were normalized as counts per million followed by
$\log_2$-transformation with a pseudo-count of 1. Each bar indicates the $\log_2$ fold
change of a viral gene expression between treatment with exosomes with or
without BGLF2. Viral gene expression kinetics are categorized into five groups:
latent, immediate early, early, leaky late, and late (41).
(D) Read counts of EBV genes in BGLF2-KO EBV-infected cells that were
treated with BGLF2-containing or control exosomes by RNA-seq.

(E) Validation of enhanced EBV gene expression by qPCR. Data are presented

as means $\pm$ SE. Samples were tested in duplicate. Asterisk, p<0.05; double

asterisks, p<0.01; n.s., not significant.

(F) GSEA of RNA-seq data from BGLF2-KO EBV-positive cells treated with

BGLF2-containing or control exosomes. NES; normalized enrichment score,

FDR; false discovery rate.

(G) Akata(-) cells were infected with EBV-EGFP in the presence of type I IFN

(100 or 500 Unit/mL). After two days, GFP positivity was determined by FACS.

Results are presented as means $\pm$ SE of three independent experiments.

Double asterisks, p<0.01; n.s., not significant.

Table 1: Oligonucleotide primers used for qRT-PCR

Primer name	Sequence (5' to 3')
BHRF1 forward	AAATGGTACCCTGCATCCTG
BHRF1 reverse	CCACATGTTCGGTGTGTGTT
LMP1 forward	CTGATGATCACCCTCCTGCT
LMP1 reverse	CTAAGACAAGTAAGCACCCGAAG
EGFP forward	ACGTAAACGGCCACAAGTTC
EGFP reverse	AAGTCGTGCTGCTTCATGTG
GAPDH forward	CCTCCAAGGAGTAAGACCCC
GAPDH reverse	TGTGAGGAGGGGAGATTCAG