Epstein-Barr virus tegument protein BGLF2 in exosomes

released from virus-producer cells assists *de novo* infection

Yoshitaka Sato[1,2,#,*], Masahiro Yaguchi[1,#], Yusuke Okuno[3], Hanako Ishimaru[4], Somi

Ozaki[1], Takeshi Suzuki[1], Tomoki Inagaki[1], Miki Umeda[1], Takahiro Watanabe[1],

Masahiro Fujimuro[4], Takayuki Murata[1,5], Hiroshi Kimura[1,*]

[1]Department of Virology, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya

466-8550, Japan

[2]PRESTO, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Kawaguchi 332-0012, Japan

[3]Pediatric Cancer Treatment Center, Nagoya University Hospital, Nagoya 466-8550,

Japan

[4]Department of Cell Biology, Kyoto Pharmaceutical university, Kyoto 607-8412, Japan

[5]Department of Virology and Parasitology, Fujita Health University School of Medicine,

Toyoake 470-1192, Japan

[#]These authors contributed equally to this study. Author order was determined

alphabetically.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed:

Yoshitaka Sato (yssato@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp) and Hiroshi Kimura

(hkimura@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp)

Running title: Exosomal transfer of tegument protein during infection

Keywords: EBV, exosome, BGLF2, de novo infection, extra-virus particle

Summary

Viruses must adapt to the environment of their host cells to establish infection and

persist. Diverse mammalian cells, including virus-infected cells, secrete extracellular

vesicles such as exosomes containing proteins and miRNAs, and use these vesicles to

mediate intercellular communications. However, the roles of exosomes in viral infection

remain unclear. Here we screened viral proteins to identify those responsible for the

exosome-mediated upregulation of Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infection. We found

BGLF2 protein encapsulated in exosomes, which were released from EBV-infected

cells. BGLF2 protein is a tegument protein that exists the space between the envelope

and the nucleocapsid, and it is released into the cytoplasm shortly after infection.

BGLF2 protein-containing exosomes enhanced viral gene expression and repressed

innate immunity, thereby assisting the EBV infection. In summary, the EBV tegument

protein BGLF2 is encapsulated not only encapsulated in viral particles, but also in

exosomes secreted from infected cells. Therefore, BGLF2 may play a crucial role in

establishing EBV latent infection.

Introduction

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), a member of the herpesviruses, mainly infects B cells.

EBV infects about 90% of the world's population asymptomatically, but can cause

infectious mononucleosis and malignancies such as Burkitt lymphoma, T/NK cell

lymphoma, nasopharyngeal carcinoma, and gastric carcinoma (Cohen et al., 2011;

Young and Rickinson, 2004). EBV demonstrates a biphasic life cycle that includes a

latent phase and a lytic (productive replication) phase (Murata and Tsurumi, 2014).

After primary infection, although EBV preferentially remains latent in host cells without

viral production, a limited number of viral genes are expressed. Under certain

circumstances, latency is disrupted, and the virus shifts into the lytic phase. During this

productive replication phase, all >80 viral genes are expressed, the viral genome

undergoes replication, and progeny virions are produced (McKenzie and El-Guindy,

2015; Murata, 2014).

Similar to that of other herpesviruses, the EBV virion is composed of a viral

genomic DNA-containing nucleocapsid surrounded by a viral envelope with

glycoprotein spikes on its surface (Diefenbach, 2015; Knipe et al., 2007). Located

between the nucleocapsid and the outer viral envelope is the viral tegument which

includes >10 viral proteins called tegument proteins (Johannsen et al., 2004) that are

involved not only in morphogenesis, envelopment, and egress of progeny virions but

also in primary *de novo* infection (Sathish et al., 2012). Because they are released

shortly after infection into the cytoplasm, tegument proteins play major roles in

infectivity by modulating the intracellular environment. Indeed, we recently showed that

the EBV tegument protein BGLF2 increases viral infectivity (Konishi et al., 2018).

Extracellular vesicles such as exosomes are secreted from eukaryotic cells. These

vesicles can contain proteins, RNA, microRNA, or DNA, and they serve as crucial

mediators of intercellular communication involved in organismal homeostasis and

disease states (Raab-Traub and Dittmer, 2017; Record et al., 2014; Yanez-Mo et al.,

2015). The roles of extracellular vesicles have received considerable attention in recent

years. Exosomes secreted by virus-infected cells contain viral materials (Meckes and

Raab-Traub, 2011). Interestingly, EBV-infected cell lines secrete a higher number of

extracellular vesicles than uninfected cells (Hurwitz et al., 2017), leading us to

hypothesize that EBV utilizes exosomes to influence infection and pathogenesis by

facilitating viral replication or activating immune evasion strategies. For instance, the

viral oncoprotein latent membrane protein 1 (LMP1) has been detected in exosomes

(Houali et al., 2007; Sato et al., 2017; Vazirabadi et al., 2003). LMP1-positive

exosomes activate the extracellular signal-regulated kinase and phosphoinositide 3-

kinase (PI3K)/Akt signaling pathways in the recipient cells (Meckes et al., 2010).

Although EBV encodes more than 80 genes, many of which are lytic proteins, the

majority of viral proteins detected in exosomes are latent proteins (Zhao et al., 2019),

because these latent proteins have been identified using a forward genetics approach.

Therefore, it is still unclear which EBV lytic proteins are encapsulated in exosomes and

function during infection.

In this study, we use a reverse genetics approach to investigate the role of EBV

protein-containing exosomes during infection. We performed a series of screenings

and identified the tegument protein BGLF2, which enhanced *de novo* infection via

exosomes. Our results suggest that this tegument protein functions via extracellular

vesicle transfer like that of virus particles, ensuring efficient and robust modulation of

the microenvironment for the infection.

Results

Screening for EBV proteins that modulate infection via exosomal transfer

To explore the roles of exosomes containing EBV proteins during infection, we

generated an exosome library, from 293T cells expressing 71 different epitope-tagged

EBV lytic proteins (Konishi et al., 2018), and screened for EBV genes that both

modulated infection and were detected in exosomes (Figure 1A). EBV-negative

Akata(-) B-cells treated with each exosome from the library were experimentally

infected with EBV, and the infectivity at 48 h post-infection (hpi) was compared (Figure

1B, upper panel). Additionally, the exosome library was subjected to immunoblot

analysis with an anti-hemagglutinin (HA) antibody to detect EBV proteins in the

exosomes (Figure 1B, lower panel). By integrating multiple screenings, we found

BGLF2 and BLRF1 within exosomes, and that they enhanced EBV infection. Because

BLRF1 encodes envelope glycoprotein N, which localized to the membrane, we here

focus on the tegument protein BGLF2.

Exosome-mediated release of BGLF2 in culture supernatant

Because exosomes were purified by a precipitation-based method in our screening

for easy handling, we could not rule out the possibility of non-exosome contamination

(Patel et al., 2019). To examine the incorporation of BGLF2 in the exosomes, we

isolated exosomes, using an affinity-based method, from the culture supernatant of

293T cells expressing BGLF2-HA. BGLF2 was also detected in the exosomes purified

by both exosome-affinity resin (Figure 2A) and anti-CD9 antibody (data not shown).

Size range and morphology of exosomes were characterized via nanoparticle tracking

analysis (NTA) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM), respectively (Figure 2B

and C). We confirmed that cellular cytochrome C (Yoshioka et al., 2013) could not be

detected in the purified exosomes, suggesting no contamination of any other types

(Figure 2D). Moreover, we confirmed that the detergent treatment of the culture

supernatant impeded the BGLF2 detection in the exosomes, suggesting that BGLF2

was encapsulated within the exosomes (Figure 2E).

BGLF2 stimulates the activator protein (AP)-1 signaling pathway (Konishi et al.,

2018; Liu and Cohen, 2016). To assess the function of BGLF2-containing exosomes,

we added them to cells that were transfected with a pAP-1-Luc reporter and control

plasmids. As shown in Figure 2F, BGLF2 was taken up by the recipient cells, and

treatment with BGLF2-containing exosomes enhanced AP-1 reporter activity (Figure

2G). GW4869, a compound blocking exosome release by neutral sphingomyelinase

inhibition (Trajkovic et al., 2008), prevented AP-1 activation (Figure 2G). These findings

indicate that functional BGLF2 is transferred via exosomes to recipient cells.

We further evaluated whether BGLF2-containing exosomes could be released from

infected cells. Conditioned medium from lytic-induced Akata/EBV-EGFP cells by IgG

was subjected to floating density gradient ultracentrifugation and separated into 18

fractions (Figure 3A). Each fraction was characterized by immunoblotting with exosome

marker antibodies and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analysis for EBV

genome detection (Figure 3B). Three fractions containing exosomes (E), virions (V),

and control (C) were pooled and further evaluated as the antibody against BGLF2 did

not exhibit high sensitivity. As shown in Figures 3C and D, BGLF2 proteins were

detected in pool E, which was free of viral genome and viral capsid antigen (VCA),

indicating that infected cells released BGLF2-containing exosomes during the lytic

replication.

EBV BGLF2 orthologs of in herpes simplex virus-1 and human cytomegalovirus

are incorporated into exosomes

To define the regions of BGLF2 responsible for packaging into exosomes, we

created truncation mutants of BGLF2, which is 336 amino acids (aa) in length, and

then tested each for its incorporation (Supplementary Figure S1A). Bortezomib, a

proteasome inhibitor, was used for stabilizing deletion mutants. As shown in Figure

S1A, the deletion of 98-158 aa precluded the incorporation of BGLF2 into exosomes,

although deletion of the C-terminal domain did not. Thus, the incorporation of BGLF2

into exosomes required its central domain. In line with previous reports (Cai et al.,

2017; Salsman et al., 2008), BGLF2 exhibited a pan-cellular localization pattern without

a restricted colocalization with CD63, a marker for multi-vesicular bodies

(Supplementary Figure S1B).

All herpesviruses possess a BGLF2 homologue (Liu and Cohen, 2016). Therefore,

we tested whether the orthologs of EBV BGLF2 such as herpes simplex virus type 1

(HSV-1) UL16 and human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) UL94 proteins were incorporated

into exosomes. HSV-1 UL16 and HCMV UL94 proteins were detected in exosomes

purified from cells expressing HSV-1 UL16 and HCMV UL94 (Figure 4). These findings

indicate a conserved role of exosomes containing BGLF2 or its herpesviral orthologs

proteins.

Extra-virion particle BGLF2 enhances the infectivity of BGLF2-KO EBV

Next, we examined whether exosomal-transferred BGLF2 supports infection of

using a BGLF2-knockout (BGLF2-KO) EBV. The knockout of BGLF2 reduces EBV

infectivity upon *de novo* infection of B-cells (Konishi et al., 2018). Treatment with

exosomes containing BGLF2 significantly enhanced the infectivity of BGLF2-KO EBV

compared with a control treatment (Figure 5A).

To evaluate the effect of BGLF2-containing exosomes on EBV infection, we

performed RNA-seq analysis (Figure 5B). Akata(-) cells were pre-treated with BGLF2-

containing exosomes or control exosomes and then infected with BGLF2-KO EBV. We

collected infected cells by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) at 24 hpi

according to EGFP-positivity, and performed RNA-seq to obtain transcriptome

information. As shown in Figure 5C and 5D, treatment with BGLF2-containing

exosomes induced expression of EBV genes, although the expression level of EGFP,

which was driven from a eukaryotic promoter (Delecluse et al., 1998), was the same.

This enhanced EBV mRNA expression was validated using qPCR (Figure 5E). LMP1

was one of the most significantly expressed viral genes between the groups treated

with exosomes with or without BGLF2 (Figure 5C).

Among down-regulated genes following treatment with BGLF2-containing

exosomes, the terms "Toll-like receptor signaling" and "cytosolic DNA-sensing" were

enriched by DAVID analysis (Huang da et al., 2009) of RNA-seq data (Figure 5F). This

is consistent with a recent report that ORF33, the ortholog of BGLF2 in Kaposi's

sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV), suppresses the interferon (IFN) beta

production pathway for immune evasion (Yu et al., 2020). Consequently, we

investigated whether BGLF2-containing exosomes modulated the innate immune

response. Polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid [poly(I:C)] was used to stimulate the TLR3-

mediated IFN beta production pathway (Takeuchi and Akira, 2010). As shown in Figure

5G, BGLF2-containing exosomes inhibited IFN beta mRNA expression under poly(I:C)

stimulation. We confirmed that type I IFNs inhibited EBV infection in a dose-dependent

manner (Figure 5H).

Together, our data indicate that BGLF2 is encapsulated not only encapsulated in

viral particles, but also in exosomes secreted from infected cells. BGLF2 delivered via

exosomes promotes optimal EBV infection by enhancing viral gene expression and

repressing innate immunity (Figure 5I).

Discussion

Discussion

Virally infected cells release virions as infectious particles and non-infectious

particles. All herpesvirus-infected cells are known to release L-particles in addition to

virions (Chang et al., 1997; Granato et al., 2008; Irmiere and Gibson, 1983). L-particles

are non-infectious because they are composed of the virus envelope and tegument

proteins but lack viral genome and nucleocapsid proteins. This conserved release of L-

particles may shed light on an essential role of non-infectious particles in creating a

microenvironment that facilitates their replication, spread, and persistence in the host

cells. Recently, it was shown that L-particles mediate immune evasion by CD83 down-

modulation on mature dendritic cells (Heilingloh et al., 2015).

BGLF2 protein impairs type I IFN signaling through Tyk2 (Liu et al., 2020) and also

dampens NF-κB activity (Chen et al., 2019) in a cell-dependent manner during lytic

infection. Expression of KSHV ORF33 (the ortholog of BGLF2) suppresses the IFN

beta production pathway (Yu et al., 2020). Here, we demonstrated that exsosomal

tegument protein BGLF2 was transferred to the target cells and in turn enhanced EBV

infectivity by manipulating the cellular environment (Figure 5I). BGLF2 delivered via

exosomes increase the expression of EBV genes (Figure 5C-E). The LMP1 expression

is upregulated by the binding of AP-1 with CCAAT enhancer binding proteins (C/EBPs)

on its promoter (Noda et al., 2011). The EBV gene BHRF1 is highly expressed during

the first two days after infection (Mrozek-Gorska et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). These

findings imply a role for BGLF2 in viral gene expression in infected cells, consistent

with previous reports that BGLF2 activates p38, JNK, and AP-1 to induce viral gene

expression (Konishi et al., 2018; Liu and Cohen, 2016). Furthermore, exosomes

prepared from BGLF2-expressing 293T cells inhibited IFN beta production stimulation

(Figure 5G), protecting infected cells from a type I IFN-mediated response during *de*

novo infection. Exosomal BGLF2 protein was active in the recipient cells (Figure 2G

and 4) suggesting that exosomes serve as a carrier of herpesvirus tegument proteins

to target cells. Indeed, BGLF2-containing exosomes were released from EBV-infected

cells that lytically produced infectious virions (Figure 3).

EBV can hijack and use extracellular vesicles such as exosomes. It is known that

EBV increases the extracellular vesicle secretion (Hurwitz et al., 2017) and EBV

miRNAs delivered via exosomes increase the severity of EBV-mediated

lymphoproliferative disease (Higuchi et al., 2018). Although exosomes are released in

exocytic bursts upon the fusion of the multivesicular bodies to the cell membrane

(Colombo et al., 2014), the final envelopment site for EBV is the Golgi apparatus

(Nanbo et al., 2018), indicating that these non-infectious particles (L-particles and

exosomes) are generated in non-Golgi compartments. Furthermore, BGLF2 and its

orthologs in HSV-1 and HCMV were incorporated into exosomes (Figure 4), indicating

that manipulation of the cellular environment by exosomes is a conserved mechanism

among herpesvirus. The results of this study also suggest a previously unforeseen

essential role for non-infectious particles in viral protection.

Materials and Methods

Cells, plasmids and reagents

HEK293T (ATCC CRL-3216) and HEK293/EBV(dBGLF2) cells (Konishi et al.,

2018) were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS).

Akata(−) (Shimizu et al., 1994), and Akata/EBV-EGFP (Masud et al., 2017) cells were

cultured in RPMI1640 medium containing 10% FBS. AGS/EBV-EGFP cells (a kind gift

from Hironori Yoshiyama) (Katsumura et al., 2009) were grown in F-12 HAM's medium

supplemented with 10% FBS and 400 µg/mL G418.

The BZLF1-expression plasmid and HA-tagged EBV lytic protein-expression library

were described previously (Konishi et al., 2018; Sato et al., 2009). For expression of C-

terminal HA-tagged HSV-1 UL16 and HCMV UL94, constructs expressing UL16 and

UL94 in pcDNA3 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) were prepared by

PCR and the In-Fusion cloning system (Takara Bio, Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan). The

inserted DNA sequence of each vector was confirmed by direct DNA sequencing. The

reporter plasmid pAP1-Luc and promoterless-RLuc (pGL4.70) were purchased from

Promega (Madison, WI, USA).

Bortezomib (Cat# A10160; AdooQ BioScience, Irvine, CA, USA) was used at a

concentration of 100 nM. Recombinant human type I IFN alpha (Cat# 11200-1) and

IFN beta (Cat# 11415-1) were purchased from PBL Assay Science (Piscataway, NJ,

USA). For inhibition of exosome secretion, cells were treated with 10 µM GW4869

(Cat# 13127; Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Transient transfection of

poly(I:C) (Cat# 4287/10; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) was performed with

Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's

protocol.

Antibodies and immunoblotting

Anti-HA (3F10) rat antibody was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO,

USA). Anti-CD63 (Ts63) mouse and anti-VCA (PA1-73003) goat antibodies were

obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific. Rabbit anti-GAPDH (14C10), rabbit anti-CD9

(D8O1A), and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were

purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA). Anti-CD81 (5A6)

mouse antibody was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA).

Antiserum against EBV BGLF2 was prepared by immunizing a rabbit with the synthetic

peptide NH2-CAHVNILRGWTEDDSPGTS-COOH, and the serum was purified by

affinity purification using the same peptide (Cosmo Bio, Tokyo, Japan) (Supplementary

Figure S2). Immunoblot and signal detection were performed as described previously

(Sato et al., 2009).

Virus preparation

EGFP-EBV was obtained from the 8-day-old cell-free supernatant of AGS/EGFP-

EBV cells. The cell-free supernatant was filtered through 0.45 µm filters and then used

as a virus stock. For the preparation of the BGLF2-KO virus, HEK293/EBV(dBGLF2)

cells (Konishi et al., 2018) were transfected with BZLF1 expression plasmid using

Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Cells and media were harvested and freeze-thawed, and cell debris was

removed. The supernatant after centrifugation was filtered through 0.45 µm filters and

then used as a virus stock. EBV-negative Akata(-) cells were infected with the virus,

and EGFP-positive cells were counted by FACS to measure the viral titer.

Exosome purification

Exosome-containing conditioned media were centrifuged at 2000 × g to remove

cells. Exosomes were purified with a Total Exosome Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) for screenings or exoEasy Maxi Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to

the manufacturer's protocols.

Size and particle number were analyzed using the LM10 or NS300 nanoparticle

characterization system (NanoSight; Malvern Panalytical, Worcestershire, United

Kingdom).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)

For negative staining TEM analysis, the samples were absorbed on formvar film

coated copper grids and were stained with 2% phosphotungstic acid solution (pH 7.0)

for 1 min. The grids were observed using a transmission microscope (JEM-1400Plus;

JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. Digital images were

captured using a CCD camera (EM-14830RUBY2; JEOL Ltd.).

Floating density gradient ultracentrifugation

Semi-confluent Akata/EBV-eGFP cells were washed with PBS twice and

suspended in medium containing EV-free FBS and anti-human IgG for the induction of

lytic replication. After 72 h, 100 ml of the conditioned medium was centrifuged at 1,500

g and 4°C for 5 min to remove cells and debris. The supernatant was filtered by using a

0.45-µm filter (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and extracellular vesicles including

exosomes and virions were pelleted by ultracentrifugation at 141,000 g at 4 °C for 2 h

in a swinging-bucket rotor (SW28; Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). The pellet was

resuspended in filtered PBS and centrifuged at 18,300 g and 4°C for 10 min to remove

insoluble aggregates. The supernatant was mixed with iodixanol (Optiprep;

Cat#1114542, Cosmo Bio) (40% (w/v) final concentration of iodixanol). A discontinuous

iodixanol gradient was prepared as following: sample/iodixanol was applied to the

bottom of the tube, followed by 35%, 30%, 25%, and 20% (w/v) iodixanol/PBS, covered

by 15% (w/v) iodixanol/PBS. The density gradient centrifugation was performed at

141,000 g and 4 °C for 20 h in a SW28 swing rotor (Beckman Coulter). A total of 18

fractions were collected starting from the top.

Screenings

HEK293T cells were transfected with each plasmid expressing an EBV gene using

Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). On the following day, media were

changed to DMEM supplemented with 5% exosome-depleted FBS (System

Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA, USA). After 48 h, exosomes were purified from the

conditioned media. To identify exosomal proteins, we analyzed purified exosomes by

immunoblot. For analyzing modulators of infectivity, EBV-negative Akata(-) cells were

treated with purified exosomes in RPMI1640 supplemented with 5% exosome-depleted

FBS (System Biosciences, Palo Alto, CA, USA). After 6 h, cells were infected with

EGFP-EBV. At 48 h after infection, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde. The

infectivity was determined using a flow cytometer (FACS Canto, BD Biosciences, San

Jose, CA, USA).

Luciferase reporter assay

HEK293T cells were transfected with AP-1 reporter plasmid (pAP1-Luc) and

promoterless-RLuc as an internal control using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher

Scientific) and incubated in DMEM supplemented with 5% exosome-depleted FBS.

After 48 h, cells were treated with purified exosome. Cells were lysed 24 h later and

subjected to luciferase assays using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System

(Promega).

RNA-seq

Akata(-) cells were pre-treated with BGLF2-containing or control exosome for 16 h,

and then infected with BGLF2-KO EBV at room temperature for 2 h with agitation. After

infection, cells were resuspended in medium containing exosomes. The top 30,000

EBV-infected cells expressing EGFP were sorted by a FACS Aria II Cell Sorter (BD

Biosciences) at 24 hpi.

Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). Evaluation of RNA,

RNA-seq library preparation, Illumina sequencing, and data preprocessing were

performed as described previously (Okuno et al., 2019).

qPCR

Total RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the PrimeScript II Reverse

transcriptase kit (Takara Bio) or the *SuperPrep* II Cell Lysis & RT kit for qPCR (TOYOBO,

Osaka, Japan). Viral DNA and mRNA levels were analyzed by qPCR using the 7500

Fast DX Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) as

previously described (Sato et al., 2017). The primer sequences used in this study are

listed in Table 1.

Statistical analysis

360   Results are shown as the means ± standard error (SE) of at least three independent

361   experiments. Statistical analyses were performed using Microsoft Excel. Welch's t-test

362   was used to determine significance, and $P < 0.05$ was considered to be statistically

363   significant.

Data availability

366   All RNA-seq datasets have been deposited to the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ;

367   https://www.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/index-e.html) under the accession number: DRA010388.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Hironori Yoshiyama for providing invaluable materials; Yohei

Yamauchi for comments on the manuscript; Daisuke Yuasa, Shingo Ochiai, Shuko

Kumagai, and Tomoko Kunogi for technical supports; Minoru Tanaka and the Division

for Medical Research Engineering, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine for

FACS analysis.

This work was supported in part by grants from the Japan Society for the Promotion

of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI (https://www.jsps.go.jp) (Grant Numbers JP19H04829,

JP21K15448 to Y.S., and JP20H03493 to H.K.); the JST (https://www.jst.go.jp)

PRESTO (Grant Number JPMJPR19H5) to Y.S.; the Japan Agency for Medical

Research and Development (AMED, https://www.amed.go.jp) (JP19fm0208016 and

JP20wm0325012 to T.M., JP19ck0106517 to Y.O., and JP19jk0210023 to Y.S.); the

Takeda Science Foundation (https://www.takeda-sci.or.jp) to Y.S. and T.M.; the Hori

Sciences and Arts Foundation (https://www.hori-foundation.or.jp) to Y.S. and H.K.; the

MSD Life Science Foundation (https://www.msd-life-science-foundation.or.jp) to Y.S.;

and the Uehara Memorial Foundation (https://www.ueharazaidan.or.jp/) to H.K.. H.I. is

supported by the JSPS Research fellowship (19J23589). T.S. and T.I. are supported

by the Takeda Science Foundation scholarship.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

Cai, M., Liao, Z., Chen, T., Wang, P., Zou, X., Wang, Y., Xu, Z., Jiang, S., Huang, J., Chen, D.*, et*

al. (2017). Characterization of the subcellular localization of Epstein-Barr virus encoded proteins

in live cells. Oncotarget *8*, 70006-70034.

Chang, Y.E., Van Sant, C., Krug, P.W., Sears, A.E., and Roizman, B. (1997). The null mutant of

the U(L)31 gene of herpes simplex virus 1: construction and phenotype in infected cells. J Virol

71, 8307-8315.

Chen, T., Wang, Y., Xu, Z., Zou, X., Wang, P., Ou, X., Li, Y., Peng, T., Chen, D., Li, M.*, et al.*

(2019). Epstein-Barr virus tegument protein BGLF2 inhibits NF-kappaB activity by preventing

p65 Ser536 phosphorylation. FASEB J *33*, 10563-10576.

Cohen, J.I., Fauci, A.S., Varmus, H., and Nabel, G.J. (2011). Epstein-Barr virus: an important

vaccine target for cancer prevention. Sci Transl Med *3*, 107fs107.

Colombo, M., Raposo, G., and Thery, C. (2014). Biogenesis, secretion, and intercellular

interactions of exosomes and other extracellular vesicles. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol *30*, 255-289.

Delecluse, H.J., Hilsendegen, T., Pich, D., Zeidler, R., and Hammerschmidt, W. (1998).

Propagation and recovery of intact, infectious Epstein-Barr virus from prokaryotic to human

cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *95*, 8245-8250.

Diefenbach, R.J. (2015). Conserved tegument protein complexes: Essential components in the

assembly of herpesviruses. Virus Res *210*, 308-317.

Djavadian, R., Hayes, M., and Johannsen, E. (2018). CAGE-seq analysis of Epstein-Barr virus

lytic gene transcription: 3 kinetic classes from 2 mechanisms. PLoS Pathog *14*, e1007114.

Granato, M., Feederle, R., Farina, A., Gonnella, R., Santarelli, R., Hub, B., Faggioni, A., and

Delecluse, H.J. (2008). Deletion of Epstein-Barr virus BFLF2 leads to impaired viral DNA

packaging and primary egress as well as to the production of defective viral particles. J Virol *82*,

4042-4051.

Heilingloh, C.S., Kummer, M., Muhl-Zurbes, P., Drassner, C., Daniel, C., Klewer, M., and

Steinkasserer, A. (2015). L Particles Transmit Viral Proteins from Herpes Simplex Virus 1-

Infected Mature Dendritic Cells to Uninfected Bystander Cells, Inducing CD83

Downmodulation. J Virol *89*, 11046-11055.

Higuchi, H., Yamakawa, N., Imadome, K.I., Yahata, T., Kotaki, R., Ogata, J., Kakizaki, M., Fujita,

K., Lu, J., Yokoyama, K.*, et al.* (2018). Role of exosomes as a proinflammatory mediator in the

development of EBV-associated lymphoma. Blood *131*, 2552-2567.

Houali, K., Wang, X., Shimizu, Y., Djennaoui, D., Nicholls, J., Fiorini, S., Bouguermouh, A., and

Ooka, T. (2007). A new diagnostic marker for secreted Epstein-Barr virus encoded LMP1 and

BARF1 oncoproteins in the serum and saliva of patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Clin

Cancer Res *13*, 4993-5000.

Huang da, W., Sherman, B.T., and Lempicki, R.A. (2009). Systematic and integrative analysis of

large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc *4*, 44-57.

Hurwitz, S.N., Nkosi, D., Conlon, M.M., York, S.B., Liu, X., Tremblay, D.C., and Meckes, D.G.,

Jr. (2017). CD63 Regulates Epstein-Barr Virus LMP1 Exosomal Packaging, Enhancement of

Vesicle Production, and Noncanonical NF-kappaB Signaling. J Virol *91*, e02251-02216.

Irmiere, A., and Gibson, W. (1983). Isolation and characterization of a noninfectious virion-like

particle released from cells infected with human strains of cytomegalovirus. Virology *130*, 118-

133.

Johannsen, E., Luftig, M., Chase, M.R., Weicksel, S., Cahir-McFarland, E., Illanes, D., Sarracino,

D., and Kieff, E. (2004). Proteins of purified Epstein-Barr virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *101*,

16286-16291.

Katsumura, K.R., Maruo, S., Wu, Y., Kanda, T., and Takada, K. (2009). Quantitative evaluation

of the role of Epstein-Barr virus immediate-early protein BZLF1 in B-cell transformation. J Gen

Virol *90*, 2331-2341.

Knipe, D., Howley, P., Griffin, D., Lamb, R., Martin, M., Roizman, B., and Straus, S. (2007).

Fields Virology, 5th edn (Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins).

Konishi, N., Narita, Y., Hijioka, F., Masud, H., Sato, Y., Kimura, H., and Murata, T. (2018).

BGLF2 Increases Infectivity of Epstein-Barr Virus by Activating AP-1 upon De Novo Infection.

mSphere *3*, e00138-00118.

Liu, X., and Cohen, J.I. (2016). Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) Tegument Protein BGLF2 Promotes

EBV Reactivation through Activation of the p38 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase. J Virol *90*,

1129-1138.

Liu, X., Sadaoka, T., Krogmann, T., and Cohen, J.I. (2020). Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)

Tegument Protein BGLF2 Suppresses Type I Interferon Signaling To Promote EBV

Reactivation. J Virol *94*, e00258-00220.

Masud, H., Watanabe, T., Yoshida, M., Sato, Y., Goshima, F., Kimura, H., and Murata, T.

(2017). Epstein-Barr Virus BKRF4 Gene Product Is Required for Efficient Progeny Production.

J Virol *91*, e00975-00917.

McKenzie, J., and El-Guindy, A. (2015). Epstein-Barr Virus Lytic Cycle Reactivation. Curr Top

Microbiol Immunol *391*, 237-261.

Meckes, D.G., Jr., and Raab-Traub, N. (2011). Microvesicles and viral infection. J Virol *85*,

12844-12854.

Meckes, D.G., Jr., Shair, K.H., Marquitz, A.R., Kung, C.P., Edwards, R.H., and Raab-Traub, N.

(2010). Human tumor virus utilizes exosomes for intercellular communication. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A *107*, 20370-20375.

Mrozek-Gorska, P., Buschle, A., Pich, D., Schwarzmayr, T., Fechtner, R., Scialdone, A., and

Hammerschmidt, W. (2019). Epstein-Barr virus reprograms human B lymphocytes immediately

in the prelatent phase of infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A *116*, 16046-16055.

Murata, T. (2014). Regulation of Epstein-Barr virus reactivation from latency. Microbiol

Immunol *58*, 307-317.

Murata, T., and Tsurumi, T. (2014). Switching of EBV cycles between latent and lytic states.

Rev Med Virol *24*, 142-153.

Nanbo, A., Noda, T., and Ohba, Y. (2018). Epstein-Barr Virus Acquires Its Final Envelope on

Intracellular Compartments With Golgi Markers. Front Microbiol *9*, 454.

Noda, C., Murata, T., Kanda, T., Yoshiyama, H., Sugimoto, A., Kawashima, D., Saito, S.,

Isomura, H., and Tsurumi, T. (2011). Identification and characterization of CCAAT enhancer-

binding protein (C/EBP) as a transcriptional activator for Epstein-Barr virus oncogene latent

membrane protein 1. J Biol Chem *286*, 42524-42533.

Okuno, Y., Murata, T., Sato, Y., Muramatsu, H., Ito, Y., Watanabe, T., Okuno, T., Murakami,

N., Yoshida, K., Sawada, A.*, et al.* (2019). Defective Epstein-Barr virus in chronic active

infection and haematological malignancy. Nat Microbiol *4*, 404-413.

Patel, G.K., Khan, M.A., Zubair, H., Srivastava, S.K., Khushman, M., Singh, S., and Singh, A.P.

(2019). Comparative analysis of exosome isolation methods using culture supernatant for

optimum yield, purity and downstream applications. Sci Rep *9*, 5335.

Raab-Traub, N., and Dittmer, D.P. (2017). Viral effects on the content and function of

extracellular vesicles. Nat Rev Microbiol *15*, 559-572.

Record, M., Carayon, K., Poirot, M., and Silvente-Poirot, S. (2014). Exosomes as new vesicular

lipid transporters involved in cell-cell communication and various pathophysiologies. Biochim

Biophys Acta *1841*, 108-120.

Salsman, J., Zimmerman, N., Chen, T., Domagala, M., and Frappier, L. (2008). Genome-wide
screen of three herpesviruses for protein subcellular localization and alteration of PML nuclear
bodies. PLoS Pathog *4*, e1000100.

Sathish, N., Wang, X., and Yuan, Y. (2012). Tegument Proteins of Kaposi's Sarcoma-Associated
Herpesvirus and Related Gamma-Herpesviruses. Front Microbiol *3*, 98.

Sato, Y., Kamura, T., Shirata, N., Murata, T., Kudoh, A., Iwahori, S., Nakayama, S., Isomura, H.,
Nishiyama, Y., and Tsurumi, T. (2009). Degradation of Phosphorylated p53 by Viral Protein-
ECS E3 Ligase Complex. PLoS Pathog *5*, e1000530.

Sato, Y., Ochiai, S., Murata, T., Kanda, T., Goshima, F., and Kimura, H. (2017). Elimination of
LMP1-expressing cells from a monolayer of gastric cancer AGS cells. Oncotarget *8*, 39345-
39355.

Shimizu, N., Tanabe-Tochikura, A., Kuroiwa, Y., and Takada, K. (1994). Isolation of Epstein-
Barr virus (EBV)-negative cell clones from the EBV-positive Burkitt's lymphoma (BL) line
Akata: malignant phenotypes of BL cells are dependent on EBV. J Virol *68*, 6069-6073.

Takeuchi, O., and Akira, S. (2010). Pattern recognition receptors and inflammation. Cell *140*,
805-820.

Trajkovic, K., Hsu, C., Chiantia, S., Rajendran, L., Wenzel, D., Wieland, F., Schwille, P.,
Brugger, B., and Simons, M. (2008). Ceramide triggers budding of exosome vesicles into
multivesicular endosomes. Science *319*, 1244-1247.

Vazirabadi, G., Geiger, T.R., Coffin, W.F., 3rd, and Martin, J.M. (2003). Epstein-Barr virus
latent membrane protein-1 (LMP-1) and lytic LMP-1 localization in plasma membrane-derived
extracellular vesicles and intracellular virions. J Gen Virol *84*, 1997-2008.

Wang, C., Li, D., Zhang, L., Jiang, S., Liang, J., Narita, Y., Hou, I., Zhong, Q., Zheng, Z., Xiao,
H.*, et al.* (2019). RNA Sequencing Analyses of Gene Expression during Epstein-Barr Virus
Infection of Primary B Lymphocytes. J Virol *93*, e00226-00219.

Yanez-Mo, M., Siljander, P.R., Andreu, Z., Zavec, A.B., Borras, F.E., Buzas, E.I., Buzas, K.,
Casal, E., Cappello, F., Carvalho, J.*, et al.* (2015). Biological properties of extracellular vesicles
and their physiological functions. J Extracell Vesicles *4*, 27066.

Yoshioka, Y., Konishi, Y., Kosaka, N., Katsuda, T., Kato, T., and Ochiya, T. (2013). Comparative
marker analysis of extracellular vesicles in different human cancer types. J Extracell Vesicles *2*.

Young, L.S., and Rickinson, A.B. (2004). Epstein-Barr virus: 40 years on. Nat Rev Cancer *4*,
757-768.

Yu, K., Tian, H., and Deng, H. (2020). PPM1G restricts innate immune signaling mediated by
STING and MAVS and is hijacked by KSHV for immune evasion. Sci Adv *6*.

Zhao, M., Nanbo, A., Sun, L., and Lin, Z. (2019). Extracellular Vesicles in Epstein-Barr Virus'
Life Cycle and Pathogenesis. Microorganisms *7*, 48.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1: Combined screenings for the viral proteins that regulate the infectivity

via exosomal transfer.

(A) The workflow of the screenings. Exosomes were purified from the conditioned

media of HEK293T cells that were transfected with each plasmid expressing an EBV

gene. For analyzing modulators of infectivity, EBV-negative Akata(-) cells were pre-

treated with purified exosomes and then infected with EGFP-EBV. The infectivity was

determined at 48 hpi by FACS analysis. For identifying exosomal proteins, purified

exosomes were analyzed by immunoblot with an anti-HA antibody.

(B) Heatmap showing fold change (FC) of infectivity of EBV with Akata(-) cells in the

presence of each exosome at 48 hpi. Infectivity changes (top panel) reflect the $\log^2$ FC

relative to the control exosome purified from empty vector-transfected cells. p values

are shown on the middle panel. The viral protein in purified exosomes is indicated as

black on the bottom panel.

Figure 2: Functional BGLF2 proteins are transferred to the recipient cells via

exosomes.

(A) BGLF2 protein-containing exosomes were secreted from BGLF2-expressing cells.

HEK293T cells were transfected with HA-tagged BGLF2-expression or empty plasmid.

Exosomes were isolated from the supernatant of the cells. CD63 and CD9 served as

exosome markers.

(B) TEM image of the exosomes purified from BGLF2-expressing HEK293T cells.

Scale bar represents 100 nm.

(C) NanoSight profiles showing size distribution for exosomes purified from BGLF2-

expressing HEK293T cells.

(D) Western blot results showing the absence or underrepresentation of negative

exosome marker cytochrome-C (Cyt *c*) in isolated exosomes.

(E) BGLF2 protein was incorporated into exosomes. Exosomes were purified from

supernatants treated with or without 0.25% Triton-X 100 for 5 min at room temperature.

(F) BGLF2 was delivered to the recipient cells via exosomes. HEK293T cells were

treated with BGLF2-containing exosomes for the indicated number of hours and then

washed extensively and harvested. Cell lysates were applied to immunoblot analysis.

(G) BGLF2 transferred via exosomes activated the AP-1 promoter. Exosomes were

purified from HEK293T cells transfected with BGLF2-expression plasmid or empty

plasmid in the presence or absence of GW4869. HEK293T cells transfected with the

pAP-1-Luc and promoterless-Rluc plasmids, and then treatment with BGLF2-

containing exosomes. Cell lysates were obtained at 24 h post-treatment and analyzed

using luciferase reporter assays. Values (mean ± SEs) were calculated from three

independent experiments. Asterisks, $p<0.05$; n.s., not significant.

Figure 3: BGLF2-containing exosomes are released from infected cells.

(A) Centrifugation protocol and workflow for separation and enrichment of exosomes

and virions.

(B) Exosome marker proteins were enriched in fractions #1-3 after iodixanol floating

density gradient centrifugation. For the Western blotting analysis, proteins in each

fraction were concentrated by acetone precipitation. CD63 and CD81 served as

exosome markers. The EBV genome in each fraction was quantified by qPCR.

(C) and (D) Pool E (fraction #1-3) containing the BGLF2 protein. Each pool (E, fraction

#1-3; V, fractions #5-6; and C, fractions #14-16) was analyzed by qPCR (C) and

Western blot (D). Data are presented as the means ± SE. Viral capsid antigen (VCA)

p18 served as virion marker.

Figure 4: The orthologs of BGLF2 are incorporated into exosomes

HEK293T cells were transfected with expression plasmids containing HA-tagged

BGLF2 or its HSV-1 or HCMV orthologs. Exosomes were isolated from the supernatant

of the cells.

Figure 5: BGLF2-containing exosomes enhance the infectivity of BGLF2-KO EBV.

(A) Akata(-) cells were infected with BGLF2-KO EBV in the presence of BGLF2-

containing exosomes. After two days, GFP positivity was determined by FACS. Results

are presented as means ± SE of at least three independent experiments and are shown

as relative infectivity to control treatment with PBS (infectivity value of 1). Double

asterisks, p<0.01; n.s., not significant.

(B) The workflow of sample collection for RNA-seq/qRT-PCR analyses. Akata(-) cells

were pre-treated with exosomes for 16 h, and then infected with BGLF2-KO EBV. The

infected cells were collected via FACS at 24 hpi. Total RNA extracted from the sorted

cells was subjected to RNA-seq and qRT-PCR.

(C) Gene expression levels were normalized as counts per million followed by $\log_2$-

transformation with a pseudo-count of 1. Each bar indicates the $\log_2$ fold change of a

viral gene expression between treatment with exosomes with or without BGLF2. Viral

gene expression kinetics are categorized into five groups: latent, immediate early,

early, leaky late, and late (Djavadian et al., 2018).

(D) Read counts of EBV genes in BGLF2-KO EBV-infected cells that were treated with

BGLF2-containing or control exosomes by RNA-seq.

(E) Validation of enhanced EBV gene expression by qPCR. Data are presented as

means ± SE. Samples were tested in duplicate. Asterisk, p<0.05; double asterisks,

p<0.01; n.s., not significant.

(F) DAVID analysis of RNA-seq data from BGLF2-KO EBV-positive cells treated with

BGLF2-containing or control exosomes. FDR; false discovery rate.

(G) HEK293 cells were treated with BGLF2-containing exosomes for 16 h. Cells were

challenged with transfection of poly(I:C) (2 μg/ml) for 2.5 h. RT-qPCR was conducted.

Data are presented as the means ± SE from three independent experiments. Asterisk,

p<0.05; n.s., not significant.

(H) Type I IFN inhibited EBV infection. Akata(-) cells were infected with EBV-EGFP in

the presence of IFN alpha and beta (100 or 500 Unit/mL). After two days, GFP

positivity was determined by FACS. Results are presented as means ± SE of three

independent experiments. Double asterisks, p<0.01; n.s., not significant.

(I) BGLF2 protein in exosomes cooperates with the tegument protein in the virions to

optimize the cellular condition for EBV infection. The tegument protein BGLF2 is

incorporated into both exosomes and virions. BGLF2-containing exosomes are

released from infected cells and transferred to the recipient cells.

Supplementary Figure S1: The internal region of BGLF2 is required for

packaging into exosomes.

(A) The C-terminal domain of BGLF2 was dispensable for incorporation into exosomes.

HEK293 cells were transfected with a deletion mutant of BGLF2-expression plasmids

and treated with or without 250 nM of Bortezomib for 24 h before harvest. Exosomes

were isolated from the culture supernatant.

(B) Cellular localization of BGLF2 and CD63 in HEK293 cells. HEK293 cells were

transfected with HA-tagged BGLF2-expression plasmid. Cells were fixed at 2 days

post-transfection and then stained with anti-HA and anti-CD63 antibodies.

Supplementary Figure S2: Detection of BGLF2 protein by anti BGLF2 antibody.

HEK293/EBV-dBGLF2 cells were transfected with the BZLF1- and HA-tagged BGLF2-

expression plasmids. Cells were harvested after 2 days and subjected to Western

blotting with anti-BGLF2, anti-BZLF1, anti-BMRF1, and anti-GAPDH antibodies.

Table 1: Oligonucleotide primers used for qPCR

Primer name	Sequence (5' to 3')
BALF2 forward	GCCCGTCCGGTTGTCA
BALF2 reverse	GGCCACGCTGATAAAGTTGTC
BHRF1 forward	AAATGGTACCCTGCATCCTG
BHRF1 reverse	CCACATGTTCGGTGTGTGTT
LMP1 forward	CTGATGATCACCCTCCTGCT
LMP1 reverse	CTAAGACAAGTAAGCACCCGAAG
EGFP forward	ACGTAAACGGCCACAAGTTC
EGFP reverse	AAGTCGTGCTGCTTCATGTG
GAPDH forward	CCTCCAAGGAGTAAGACCCC
GAPDH reverse	TGTGAGGAGGGGAGATTCAG
IFN beta forward	TGCTCTCCTGTTGTGCTTCTCC
IFN beta reverse	ATAGATGGTCAATGCGGCGT