Rapid molecular evolution of *Spiroplasma* symbionts of *Drosophila*

Michael Gerth[1][*], Humberto Martinez-Montoya[2], Paulino Ramirez[3], Florent Masson[4], Joanne S. Griffin[1], Rodolfo Aramayo[5], Stefanos Siozios[1], Bruno Lemaitre[4], Mariana Mateos[6] & Gregory D.D. Hurst[1]

[1] Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

[2] Laboratory of Genetics and Comparative Genomics, Unidad Académica Multidisciplinaria Reynosa Aztlán, Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas, Reynosa, Mexico

[3] Department of Cell Systems and Anatomy, University of Texas Health San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA

[4] Global Health Institute, School of Life Sciences, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

[5] Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

[6] Department of Ecology and Conservation Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA

[*] Author for correspondence.

Current address: Department of Biological and Medical Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK mgerth@brookes.ac.uk

Abstract

Spiroplasma are a group of Mollicutes whose members include plant pathogens, insect

pathogens, and endosymbionts of animals. *Spiroplasma* phenotypes have been repeatedly

observed to be spontaneously lost in *Drosophila* cultures, and several studies have

documented a high genomic turnover in *Spiroplasma* symbionts and plant pathogens. These

observations suggest that *Spiroplasma* evolves quickly in comparison to other insect

symbionts. Here, we systematically assess evolutionary rates and patterns of *Spiroplasma*

poulsonii, a natural symbiont of *Drosophila*. We analysed genomic evolution of *s*Hy within

flies, and *s*Mel within *in vitro* culture over several years. We observed that *S. poulsonii*

substitution rates are among the highest reported for any bacteria, and around two orders of

magnitude higher compared with other inherited arthropod endosymbionts. The absence of

mismatch repair loci *mutS* and *mutL* is conserved across *Spiroplasma* and likely contributes to

elevated substitution rates. Further, the closely related strains *s*Mel and *s*Hy (>99.5%

sequence identity in shared loci) show extensive structural genomic differences, which

potentially indicates a higher degree of host adaptation in *s*Hy, a protective symbiont of

Drosophila hydei. Finally, comparison across diverse *Spiroplasma* lineages confirms previous

reports of dynamic evolution of toxins, and identifies loci similar to the male-killing toxin

Spaid in several *Spiroplasma* lineages and other endosymbionts. Overall, our results highlight

the peculiar nature of *Spiroplasma* genome evolution, which may explain unusual features of

its evolutionary ecology.

Introduction

Many bacterial lineages have evolved to become associates of animal hosts (McFall-Ngai et al., 2013). In arthropods, such associations are the rule, and maternally inherited, endosymbiotic bacteria are especially common and diverse (Duron et al., 2008; Weinert et al., 2015). Prominent examples include obligate nutritional symbionts of blood and sap feeders (Douglas, 2009), reproductive manipulators (Drew et al., 2019), and protective symbionts (Oliver et al., 2014). Collectively, inherited symbionts of arthropods are highly diverse with respect to potential benefits and costs induced, and their degree of adaptation to hosts. For example, even within a single lineage of inherited symbionts - *Wolbachia* - there are nutritional mutualists (Hosokawa et al., 2010), protective symbionts (Teixeira et al., 2008), and reproductive manipulators (Dyson et al., 2002). Importantly, these symbiont-conferred traits may be modulated by environmental factors (Corbin et al., 2017) and host genetic background (Hornett et al., 2008).

Spiroplasma are small, helical bacteria that lack cell-walls. Like other Mollicutes (Mycoplasma-like organisms), they are exclusively found in host association and are often pathogenic (Razin, 2006). Among the first discovered spiroplasmas were plant pathogens (reviewed in Saglio and Whitcomb, 1979) and an inherited symbiont of *Drosophila* with the ability to shift sex ratios towards females (Poulson and Sakaguchi, 1961). Subsequently, *Spiroplasma* symbionts were found in a number of different *Drosophila* species (Haselkorn, 2010), as well as many other arthropods (Gasparich, 2002). More recently, molecular evidence was brought forward for *Spiroplasma* symbionts in non-arthropod animals (Duperron et al., 2013; He et al., 2018). In insects, two phenotypes induced by inherited *Spiroplasma* have been studied in detail (reviewed in Anbutsu and Fukatsu, 2011; Ballinger

and Perlman, 2019). Firstly, *Spiroplasma* can enhance survival rates of its hosts under

parasite or parasitoid attack. In *Drosophila neotestacea*, *Spiroplasma* inhibits growth and

reproduction of the parasitic nematode *Howardula*, and thus reverses nematode-induced

sterility (Jaenike et al., 2010). Further, *Spiroplasma* symbionts may greatly enhance

Drosophila survival when attacked by parasitoids (Xie et al., 2010, 2014). Both protective

phenotypes are mediated by *Spiroplasma* encoded RIP toxins (ribosome-inactivating

proteins), which target the attackers' ribosomes (Ballinger and Perlman, 2017; Hamilton et

al., 2014, 2015). These processes may be complementary to protection through competition

between *Spiroplasma* and parasitoids for lipids in the host hemolymph (Paredes et al., 2016).

Secondly, *Spiroplasma* kills males early in development in several species of *Drosophila*,

planthoppers (Sanada-Morimura et al., 2013), ladybird beetles (Tinsley and Majerus, 2006),

lacewings (Hayashi et al., 2016), and butterflies (Jiggins et al., 2000). The male-killing

phenotype is also linked to a toxin: in *Drosophila melanogaster*, a *Spiroplasma* protein

containing OTU (Ovarian Tumour-like deubiquitinase) and ankyrin domains was

demonstrated to kill male embryos, and thus termed *Spiroplasma poulsonii* androcidin

("Spaid", Harumoto and Lemaitre, 2018).

Spiroplasma induced phenotypes were commonly observed to be dynamic compared with

other symbiont traits, with repeated observation of phenotype change within laboratory

culture over relatively short time frames. For example, spontaneous loss of male killing was

found in *Spiroplasma* symbionts of *Drosophila nebulosa* (Yamada et al., 1982), and

Drosophila willistoni (Ebbert, 1991), and spontaneous emergence of non male-killing

Spiroplasma in *Drosophila melanogaster* has occurred at least twice in a single culture

(Harumoto and Lemaitre, 2018; Masson et al., 2020). In addition, *Spiroplasma* symbionts

artificially transferred from their native host *Drosophila hydei* into *D. melanogaster* initially

caused pathogenesis, but evolved to become benign over just a few host generations

(Nakayama et al., 2015). Genomic analysis has further documented dynamic *Spiroplasma*

evolution driven by viral proliferation (Carle et al., 2010; Ku et al., 2013; Ye et al., 1996) and

by extensive transfer of genetic material between plasmids, and from plasmids to

chromosomes (Joshi et al., 2005; Mouches et al., 1984). Notably, plasmids in *Spiroplasma*

commonly encode the systems that establish their phenotypic effect on their host (Berho et

al., 2006). Examples include Spaid (Harumoto and Lemaitre, 2018) and RIP genes (Ballinger

and Perlman, 2017), and rapid plasmid evolution may therefore contribute to the high

phenotypic evolvability of *Spiroplasma*. Furthermore, elevated rates of evolution were found

in various *Mycoplasma* species (Delaney et al., 2012; Woese et al., 1984), which are

pathogens closely related to *Spiroplasma* (Gupta et al., 2019).

Bacteria adapting to hosts often follow similar evolutionary trajectories, and both increased

mutational rates and proliferation of mobile genetic elements are commonly observed in

diverse symbiotic taxa (McCutcheon and Moran, 2011). Therefore, spontaneous loss of

Spiroplasma phenotypes and high genomic turnover could be explained by evolutionary

mechanisms common to all symbiotic taxa. Although independent findings discussed above

suggest that *Spiroplasma* symbionts may evolve quickly, this has never been quantified, and

it has remained unclear how substitution rates compare to those of other symbionts. However,

rates and patterns of evolutionary change may have important implications for *Spiroplasma*

evolutionary ecology, and for its potential use in biological control programmes (Clark and

Whitcomb, 1984; Schneider et al., 2019).

In this study, we systematically investigate rates and patterns of *Spiroplasma* evolution. To

this end, we employed two strains of *Spiroplasma poulsonii*: 1) *s*Hy is a natural associate of

Drosophila hydei (Ota et al., 1979) and confers protection against parasitic wasps (Xie et al.,

2010). We monitored its evolution over ~10 years in fly culture, and determined changes

through denovo reference genome sequencing and re-sequencing of multiple isolates. 2) *s*Mel

occurs naturally in *Drosophila melanogaster*, where it kills male offspring (Montenegro et al.,

2005) and protects from parasitoids (Xie et al., 2014). Using the previously established

complete genome (Paredes et al., 2015) and cell-free culture (Masson et al., 2018), we re-

sequenced isolates spanning ~2.5 years of evolution. This approach enabled tracing

Spiroplasma evolution on various levels: within-strain (<10 years divergence), between

strains, and through comparison with other *Spiroplasma* genomes, across a whole clade of

arthropod symbionts. It further allowed comparison between symbionts evolving in a host and

in axenic culture. We observed that rates of molecular evolution and chromosomal

rearrangements in *Spiroplasma poulsonii* are substantially faster than in other inherited

bacteria, and that this has resulted in markedly different genomic organisation in *s*Hy and

*s*Mel. Our work thus highlights *Spiroplasma poulsonii* as an amenable, highly evolvable, but

potentially unpredictable model insect symbiont.

Materials and Methods

<u>*Spiroplasma* strains and genome sequencing</u>

We documented the evolution of the *Spiroplasma poulsonii* strains *s*Hy and *s*Mel in fly hosts

and in cell free culture, respectively (Tab. 1). sHy is one of the *Spiroplasma* symbionts

naturally associated with *Drosophila hydei* (Mateos et al., 2006). Our evolution experiments

covered ca. ten years and three variants of *s*Hy, all of which all originated from a single

isolate (Fig. 1). Over the course of the study, *Drosophila hydei* hosts were maintained on

standard corn meal agar diet (1% agarose, 8.5% sugar, 6% maize meal, 2% autolysed yeast,

0.25% nipagin), at 25°C, and a 12h:12h light:dark cycle. To isolate *Spiroplasma* *s*Hy-Liv

DNA from *D. hydei*, we followed a protocol by Paredes et al. (2015): We collected ca. 300 *D.*

hydei virgins and aged them for 4 weeks. The flies were then anesthetized with CO_2 and

pricked into the anterior sternopleurum using a sterile needle. Hemolymph was extracted via

centrifugation (10,000g, 5 minutes, at 4°C) through a 0.45µm filter (Corning Costar Spin-X).

To avoid hemolymph coagulation, we only extracted batches of 20 flies at a time and

immediately transferred extracted hemolymph into ice cold phosphate buffered saline

solution (1X PBS buffer; 137 mmol NaCl, 2.7 mmol KCl, 10 mmol Na2HPO4, 1.8 mmol

KH2PO4). Extracts of all batches were combined, and the PBS solution with *Spiroplasma*

cells was centrifuged (12,000g, 5 minutes, at 4°C). Supernatant PBS was discarded and the

cell pellet was subjected to DNA extraction using a phenol-chloroform protocol and

subsequent ethanol precipitation. DNA was eluted in water, and sequenced at the Centre for

Genomics Research at University of Liverpool. Libraries were prepared using the Nextera XT

kit and sequenced as 2x250bp run on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer.

DNA extraction for the *Spiroplasma* strain *s*Hy-Tx followed the protocol described above,

with the following modifications: Immediately after the piercing, 35-40 flies were placed into

0.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes previously pierced in the bottom, which was placed within a 1.5

ml microcentrifuge tube containing 20µl PBS, and centrifuged at 4,500g for 10 seconds to

collect hemolymph. DNA was recovered using a chloroform-ethanol procedure

(Supplementary protocol 1) and diluted in AE buffer (Qiagen). A pair-ended library was

constructed by Eureka Genomics (Hercules, CA). DNA was fragmented, end repaired, A'

tagged, ligated to adaptors, size-selected, and enriched with 25 cycles of PCR during which

an index was incorporated to the sample. Sample preparation was performed according to

Illumina's Multiplexing Sample Preparation Guide and Eureka Genomics' proprietary

method. The resulting library was subjected to Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencing at the Texas

AgriLife Genomics and Bioinformatics Services Facility (College Station, TX).

*s*Mel is a naturally occurring *Spiroplasma* symbiont of *D. melanogaster* best known for its

male-killing phenotype (Harumoto and Lemaitre, 2018; Montenegro et al., 2000). Cell free

culture of *s*Mel-Ug was recently accomplished (Masson et al., 2018), and we used a time

series of this culture to investigate *s*Mel molecular rates of evolution outside of host tissues.

The time series covered 29 months in total, and sequencing was performed at four time points

(Fig. 1). Illumina DNA sequencing was performed at MicrobesNG (Birmingham, UK) using

the "standard service". Culturing, passaging, and DNA extraction was performed as described

in Masson et al. (2018).

169
170 **Tab. 1:** *Spiroplasma poulsonii* strains investigated in this study. Note that we follow the naming scheme suggested by Ballinger & Perlman (2017).

Name used in the current study		Natural host	Establishment in fly or cell-free culture	DNA extracted from, date	Also known as
sHy	sHy-Tx12	*Drosophila hydei*	01/2004 (Mateos et al., 2006)	Hemolymph extract, 03/2012	Hap_1, TEN104-106
	sHy-Liv18a	*Drosophila hydei*	06/2008 (copy of sHy-Tx12)	Hemolymph extract, 04/2018	-
	sHy-Liv18b	*Drosophila hydei*	03/2014 (copy of sHy-Liv18A)	Hemolymph extract, 04/2018	-
sMel	sMel-Ug16	*Drosophila melanogaster*	10/2016 (Masson et al., 2018)	Cell free culture, 4 time points 2016–18	MSRO-Uganda
	sMel-Br18	*Drosophila melanogaster*	07/1997 (Montenegro et al., 2000)	Whole fly, 03/2018	MSRO-RED42

Fig. 1: Overview on *Spiroplasma poulsonii* strains investigated in this study. Three
sHy strains were sampled from *Drosophila hydei* cultures over a total timespan of ten
years. Blue dates denote splitting of *D. hydei* cultures, red dates mark *Spiroplasma*
extraction for sequencing. For sMel, four points of a time series of axenic culture were
sequenced (HL - start of culture, P14 - after 14 passages, P57 - after 57 passages,
P79 - after 79 passages). Here, red dates correspond to the date of isolation for
sequencing.

We further sequenced a single isolate of sMel-Br deriving from a strain established in 1997

(Tab. 1). For Illumina sequencing, 1-2 grams of whole body flies from *Spiroplasma*-positive

D. melanogaster were collected for CTAB-Phenol based DNA extraction. Illumina libraries

were prepared with Illumina Truseq DNA kit and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq-6000

S2 150 paired end flow cell by Texas AgriLife Genomics and Bioinformatics Services

Facility (College Station, TX). For Oxford Nanopore MinIon sequencing, heads were first

removed by immersing whole flies in liquid Nitrogen, and vigorous shaking on a metal sieve.

The headless specimens were immediately subjected to phenol-chloroform extraction.

Nanopore library preparation was performed using the Ligation Sequencing kit 1D (SQK-

LSK108), following precautionary measures to ensure long read lengths. The library was then

sequenced on MinION sequencing device (MIN-101B) with an SpotON Flow Cell Mark 1

(R9.5- FLO-MIN107.1). Base Calling was performed using Albacore version 2.0 (Oxford

Nanopore, Oxford, UK) and the reads were processed with Porechop version 0.24 (Wick et

al., 2017a) to remove adapter sequences. A draft assembly of *s*Mel-Br was created using

Illumina and Nanopore reads and Unicycler version 0.4.7 (Wick et al., 2017b). The assembly

was fragmented, but did contain 3 circular contigs with sequence similarity to other

Spiroplasma contigs.

<u>*s*Hy Reference genome sequencing and annotation</u>

In order to reconstruct a high quality reference genome for *s*Hy, we extracted DNA from 30

Drosophila hydei virgin females carrying the symbiont. To limit the impact of potentially

heterogeneous *Spiroplasma* populations within the flies, we used F3 individuals from a single

isofemale line for DNA extraction. The flies were anesthetized at -20°C, washed with 70%

ethanol, and briefly dried. The flies were then homogenized in G2 lysis buffer from the

Qiagen Genomic DNA Buffer Set using a 1ml dounce tissue grinder (DWK Life Science),

and DNA was extracted from the homogenate using a Qiagen Genomic-Tip 20/G following

the protocol modifications from Miller et al. (2018). A total of 4µg DNA was then used in the

"1D gDNA long reads without BluePippin protocol" library preparation protocol with the 1D

Ligation Sequencing Kit (SQK-LSK108, Oxford Nanopore, Oxford, UK). Sequencing was

performed on a MinION sequencer (Oxford Nanopore, Oxford, UK) using a single FLO-

MIN106 R9 flow cell for 48 hours, and the raw Nanopore signals were base-called using

Albacore version 2.3.1.

All reads passing the quality checks were used to create an assembly with Unicycler version

0.4.7 (Wick et al., 2017b). The resulting assembly contained 6 circular contigs (~15kb –

~1.6Mbp) with high similarity to the previously published *s*Mel genome as determined by

BLAST+ version 2.9 searches (Camacho et al., 2009) in Bandage (Wick et al., 2015). We

also created a hybrid assembly with Unicycler using all long reads and the *s*Hy-Liv18a

Illumina reads. The hybrid assembly was more fragmented, but did contain 3 contigs which

spanned almost the entire 1.6Mbp circular contig of the long-read only assembly. Because

hybrid assemblies are typically more accurate than assemblies based on long reads only (De

Maio et al., 2019), we corrected the long read assembly using the hybrid contigs. This was

achieved by calling differences between the assemblies with the 'dnadiff' function

implemented in MUMmer version 3.18 (Kurtz et al., 2004), and correcting the assembly

accordingly. Finally, Pilon version 1.23 (Walker et al., 2014) was used to polish the corrected

assembly, again using the *s*Hy-Liv18a Illumina reads. After nine rounds of polishing with

Pilon, no further improvements could be observed and the assembly was considered final.

<u>Rates of molecular evolution in *s*Hy and *s*Mel</u>

We determined changes in *s*Hy and *s*Mel chromosomes over time by employing the Snippy

pipeline version 4.1.0 (Seemann, 2015). We used our newly assembled *s*Hy genome and the

previously sequenced *s*Mel genome (Masson et al., 2018) as references, annotated using the

Prokka pipeline version 1.13 with standard parameters and the *Spiroplasma* genetic code

(translation table 4, Seemann, 2014). *s*Hy and *s*Mel variants determined by Snippy were

filtered to only include positions which were covered by all *s*Hy or all *s*Mel Illumina libraries

with at least 5x coverage, respectively. Further, to compare rates of molecular evolution

between *Spiroplasma* and other microbial species, we counted the number of changes in third

codon positions of coding sequences (CDS). For both strains, we only considered CDS that

were entirely covered by sequencing depth of at least 5x in all Illumina libraries. Rates of

molecular evolution were calculated by: number of observed changes at 3rd codon positions /

number of considered 3rd codon positions / time in years over which the changes were

observed. For *s*Hy, using *s*Hy-Liv18a as reference, we calculated two rate estimates, one

based on comparison with *s*Hy-Liv18b, and one by comparing *s*Hy-Tx12 to the reference

(Fig. 1). For *s*Mel, the hemolymph extract from which the culture was established was used

as the reference ('HL', Fig. 1), and three rate estimates were calculated based on the changes

observed in the three sampled time points of the culture (Fig. 1).

<u>Comparative genomics</u>

We first compared the newly assembled *s*Hy genome with the most closely related, fully

sequenced *Spiroplasma* genome, *s*Mel. Both genomes were annotated using the Kyoto

Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and BlastKOALA (Kanehisa et al., 2016,

2006). Orthologous protein sequences determined using OrthoFinder version 2.2.3 (Emms

and Kelly, 2015). Synteny between the genomes was assessed through aligning the genomes

with Minimap2 version 2.15 (Li, 2018). Genome degradation was investigated by

documenting the number of unique KEGG numbers for *s*Hy and *s*Mel, all of which were

verified by BLAST+ searches. For each strain, we considered genes to be likely

pseudogenized or truncated if the longest CDS within an orthogroup spanned at most 60% of

the CDS length from the other strain.

Secondly, we compared *s*Hy with 17 *Spiroplasma* genomes from the Citri-Chrysopicola-

Mirum clade (Table S1, Supplementary material for full list of names and accession

numbers). All genomes were annotated using Prokka version 1.4.0, and orthology between

predicted CDS was established using OrthoFinder. We extracted single-copy orthologs

present in all strains, and aligned each locus separately with the L-INS-i method implemented

in Mafft version 7.450 (Katoh and Standley, 2013). Recombination was evaluated with

pairwise homoplasy index and window sizes of 100, 50, and 20 amino acids for each locus

(Bruen et al., 2006), and any locus showing evidence for recombination was excluded. We

used IQ-TREE version 1.6.10 (Nguyen et al., 2015) to reconstruct a core genome phylogeny

from the remaining 96 loci (covering 26,019 amino acid positions). Each locus was treated as

a separate partition with a distinct evolutionary rate (Chernomor et al., 2016), and optimal

models and number of partitions was estimated with IQ-TREE (Kalyaanamoorthy et al.,

2017; Lanfear et al., 2012). Branch support was assessed using 1,000 replicates of ultrafast

bootstraps (Hoang et al., 2018), and approximate likelihood ratio test (Guindon et al., 2010)

in IQ-TREE.

For all genomes, insertion sequence elements were annotated with Prokka. Prophage regions

were predicted with PhiSpy version 3.7.8, which uses typical prophage features ((e.g., gene

length, strand directionality, AT/GC skews, insertion points) for its predictions (Akhter et al.,

2012). We also used the Phaster web server, which uses sequence similarities and other

criteria to predict prophages (Arndt et al., 2016). We screened for the presence of toxin genes

implied in protective phenotypes (Ballinger and Perlman, 2017; Hamilton et al., 2015) and

male killing (Harumoto and Lemaitre, 2018). To this end, we downloaded UniProt sequence

alignments from the Pfam database (Finn et al., 2016) for the protein families RIP (PF00161,

ribosome inactivating protein) and OTU (PF02328, OTU-like cysteine protease),

respectively. We used these alignments as databases for searches with HMMER version 3.2.1

(Eddy, 2011), and protein sequences from all genomes as queries. Domain architecture of all

matching proteins was then determined using PfamScan with an e-value of 0.001 (Madeira et

al., 2019), SignalP 5.0 (Almagro Armenteros et al., 2019), and TMHMM 2.0 (Krogh et al.,

2001). RIP domains showed a high degree of divergence, and were therefore aligned to the

reference RIP HMM profile using hmmalign from the HMMER software package. From this

alignment, positions present in fewer than three sequences were removed, and a phylogeny

reconstructed with IQ-TREE. OTU domains were aligned using MAFFT, and a phylogeny

reconstructed with IQ-TREE.

Thirdly, we determined plasmid synteny across *Spiroplasma poulsonii* strains. In addition to

the plasmids of *s*Mel and *s*Hy, we also included a plasmid of *s*Neo and all circular contigs

from our *s*Mel-Br assembly. Plasmids were annotated using Prokka version 1.13 with a

protein database composed of all plasmid proteins available on NCBI GenBank. Plasmid

alignment and visualisation was performed with AliTV version 1.06 (Ankenbrand et al.,

2017).

For an extended set of 31 *Spiroplasma* genomes that also included strains from the Apis clade

(Table S1, supplementary material), we performed gene tree-species tree reconciliations to

investigate the evolutionary history of prophage loci. To this end, we annotated all genomes

and determined orthologous groups of loci as described above. Orthogroups were blasted

against a database containing all available *Spiroplasma* virus proteins on NCBI Protein (N =

192). Genes were classified as 'prophage related' if hits were at least 60% identical over 50%

of the length of any viral protein. Reconciliation was performed with the prophage loci using

GeneRax version 1.2.2, using maximum likelihood gene trees determined with IQ-TREE as

starting trees and the LG+G model for all loci. CRISPR/Cas systems and CRISPR arrays

were predicted using the tools CRISPRCasTyper version 1.2.1 (Russel et al., 2020) and

CRISPRIdentify (Mitrofanov et al., 2020), respectively.

 Data visualisation

Figures were prepared in R version 3.6.2 (R Core Team, 2016) using the packages 'ape'

(Paradis and Schliep, 2019), 'cowplot' (Wilke, 2019), 'ggalluvial' (Brunson, 2019), 'ggplot2'

(Wickham, 2009), 'ggtree' (Yu et al., 2017), and 'ggridges' (Wilke, 2020). Phylogenetic trees

and domain architecture of toxin loci were visualised with EvolView version 3.0

(Subramanian et al., 2019).

Results

Rates and patterns of evolutionary change in *Spiroplasma poulsonii*

The *Spiroplasma poulsonii* sHy reference genome comprises a single circular chromosome

(1,625,797bp) and five circular contigs (23,069bp – 15,710bp) with sequence similarities to

plasmids from other *Spiroplasma*. The chromosome contains 1,584 predicted coding

sequences (CDS), a single ribosomal RNA cluster, and 30 tRNAs. Overall, genome content

and metabolic capacities are highly similar to the previously sequenced, very closely related

sMel genome (Paredes et al., 2015), and a detailed comparison follows further below.

To estimate rates of molecular evolution in *Spiroplasma poulsonii*, we measured

chromosome-wide changes in coding sequences of *Spiroplasma* from fly hosts (sHy) and

axenic culture (sMel) over time. Our estimates for sHy and sMel are overlapping and range

from $6.4 \times 10^{-6} - 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ changes per position per year. This rate exceeds the rate reported for

other symbiotic bacteria such as *Wolbachia* and *Buchnera* by at least two orders of magnitude

(Fig. 2). Our estimate overlaps with rates calculated from some fast evolving human

pathogens (e.g., *Enterococcus faecium* and *Acinetobacter baumannii*), and with evolutionary

rates observed in the poultry pathogen *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* (which is closely related to *Spiroplasma*). Indeed, *Spiroplasma* substitution rates fall at around the lower estimates for RNA viruses (Fig. 2).

Fig 2. Comparison of estimated evolutionary rates across various microbes. Estimates obtained in this study are highlighted in bold. All bacterial rates are from chromosomal sequences only. DNA viruses - estimates as summarized in Duffy et al. (2008), including ssDNA viruses and dsDNA viruses. RNA viruses - range of RNA virus substitution rates from Holmes (2009). Bacterial pathogens - range of evolutionary rates estimated from genome wide data of 16 bacterial pathogens (*Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Bordetella pertussis*, *Enterococcus faecium*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Mycobacterium leprae*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Neisseria meningitidis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella enterica*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, *Shigella sonnei*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Vibrio cholerae*, *Yersinia pestis*) as determined by Duchêne et al. (2016). *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* - genome wide rate estimated from multiple isolates over a 12 year period (Delaney et al., 2012). *Blochmannia* and *Buchnera* (blue line) - based on 16S rDNA, *gidA*, and *groEL* sequences, taken from Degnan et al. (2004). *Buchnera* (yellow line) - genome-wide rate estimated from 7 isolates (Moran et al., 2009). *Wolbachia w*Mel - genome-wide data extracted from 179 *Drosophila melanogaster* SRA libraries (Richardson et al., 2012).

Over ~10 years of evolution, we observed a similar absolute number of variants on the chromosome (~1.6 Mbp) and plasmids (~0.1 Mbp) of *s*Hy, i.e., relatively more changes on the plasmids (Fig. 3, Table S3, supplementary material). Most variants in CDS affected

hypothetical proteins, but were enriched in prophage-associated loci and adhesin related

proteins (ARP, Fig. 3). Overall, *s*Hy variants in CDS were about equally often found to be

synonymous as non-synonymous (Fig. 3) and changes were biased towards GC > AT

substitutions (Fig. S1, Supplementary material). The changes in *s*Mel over ~2.5 years in

culture affected only 15 different CDS in total, of which four were ARPs, and three

lipoproteins (Table S2, supplementary material). Thus, the rates and patterns of evolutionary

change are similar between the axenically cultured *s*Mel and the host associated *s*Hy.

Fig. 3: Overview of all observed variants in *s*Hy (100% = 570 variants). All annotations (Type, Feature, Product, Effect) are taken from results of the snippy pipeline. Abbreviations: ARP - Adhesion related protein, CDS - predicted coding sequence, complex – variants that span multiple nucleotides and/or SNPs, FS - frame shift, IF - in frame, INDELs - insertions and deletions SNPs - single nucleotide polymorphisms.

Comparing the genomes of *s*Hy and *s*Mel revealed a notable contrast between the high degree

of nucleotide sequence identity on the one hand, and striking structural and gene content

differences on the other hand. In 604 single copy orthologues shared between the genomes,

average nucleotide identity was >99.5%. However, compared with *s*Mel, *s*Hy is reduced in

gene content (1,584 vs 2,388 genes), chromosome size (1.61 vs 1.88 Mbp), and coding

density (65% vs 82%). Further, *s*Hy contains fewer predicted insertion sequences (9 vs 89 in

*s*Mel) and intact prophages as predicted with Phaster (*s*Mel: 16 such regions spanning 426

Kb; *s*Hy: 2 regions, 14 Kb), but not PhiSpy (Fig. S2, Supplementary material). Both *s*Mel and

*s*Hy have a number of missing or truncated (i.e., potentially pseudogenized) genes when

compared with each other, but the level of genomic deterioration is higher in *s*Hy, and covers

a range of different genes (Fig. 4a, Table S4, supplementary material). Notable

pseudogenised or absent loci in *s*Hy include parts of the phosphotransferase system, in

particular the loci required for the uptake of N-Acetylmuramic Acid and N-acetylglucosamine

(see Table S3, Supplementary material for a full list). Further, while recA is truncated in

*s*Mel, the copy in *s*Hy appears complete and functional. As suggested by Paredes et al.

(2015), the loss of recA function in *s*Mel is therefore likely very recent.

Strikingly, there is evidence for a history of extensive chromosomal rearrangements since the

last common ancestor of *s*Hy and *s*Mel, and genome-wide synteny between the strains is low

(Fig. 4b). On average, syntenic blocks between the strains contained fewer predicted CDS for

*s*Hy (on average -0.3 CDS/kb, Fig. 4c), in line with a higher degree of genomic deterioration

in *s*Hy compared with *s*Mel. A comparison of plasmids across different *Spiroplasma*

poulsonii strains (*s*Hy, *s*Mel-Ug, *s*Mel-Br, and *s*Neo) revealed similar gene content across the

plasmids of different strains, but large differences in arrangement and number (Fig. S3,

Supplementary material).

a)

b)

c)

Fig 4: Comparison of genomic features between *s*Hy and *s*Mel. **a)** Number of genes with
KEGG annotation that are present in one strain, but absent or truncated in the other. See
Table S4, supplementary material for a complete list. **b)** Synteny as determined with
minimap2. Syntenic between blocks are coloured by sequence similarity. **c)** Genomic
map of *Spiroplasma poulsonii s*Hy with blocks syntenic to *s*Mel highlighted. The average
number of predicted CDS per kb in these blocks is displayed as difference between *s*Hy
and *s*Mel: positive and negative values indicate fewer CDS in *s*Hy or *s*Mel, respectively.
Syntenic blocks were determined with a BLAST+ search using the *s*Mel chromosome
sequence as query against a *s*Hy chromosome database, keeping a single best match for
any *s*Hy region, and discarding hits below 1,000bp and under 95% nucleotide sequence
similarity. Out of 635 syntenic blocks, 324 (spanning 852,730bp) contained fewer CDS in
*s*Hy, and 164 (345,546bp) contained more CDS in *s*Hy, with 147 regions (334,084bp)
showing no difference in CDS number.

<u>Genome and toxin evolution in the genus *Spiroplasma*</u>

Comparing *s*Hy with other sequenced strains of the *Spiroplasma* clades Citri, Poulsonii,

Chrysopicola, and Mirum showed dynamic genome evolution within this genus of symbionts

(Fig. 5). All investigated spiroplasmas have reduced genomes (~1.1–1.9Mbp), and the

Poulsonii strains *s*Hy, *s*Mel and *s*Neo are among the strains with the largest main

chromosomes (Fig. 5). Plasmid numbers range from 0–5, and *s*Hy has the highest number of

plasmids of any of the investigated strains, although plasmid number is unclear for some of

the genomes in draft status, and *S. citri* may have seven plasmids (Saillard et al., 2008).

Prophage regions of varying sizes were predicted in all of the genomes (despite the lack of

clear homologues to viral sequences in some of these, Ku et al., 2013). Reconciliation of

prophage gene trees with the *Spiroplasma* species tree revealed that prophage proliferation

has likely happened relatively recently, and repeatedly in the Citri and Poulsonii clades (Fig.

S4, Supplementary material). Prophage loci are entirely absent, or very low in number, for

Spiroplasma strains that harbour CRISPR/Cas systems, or remnants thereof (Fig. S4,

Supplementary material). Further, *Spiroplasma* genome size correlated with the number of

insertion sequences (Fig. 5, Fig. S5, Supplementary material). The distribution of CDS

sequence lengths varies across the investigated genomes (Fig. 5), which may be explained by

differences in proportion of prophage regions, level of pseudogenization, and assembly

quality. Overall, our comparison indicates that *s*Hy may be more degraded than its closest

relatives *s*Neo and *s*Mel, with a smaller chromosome size, fewer predicted CDS and mobile

genetic elements, and the lowest coding density of these three (Fig. 5).

Spiroplasmas' most striking phenotypes in insects have been mechanistically linked to toxin

genes. For example, ribosome inactivating proteins (RIP) may protect *Spiroplasma* hosts by

cleaving ribosomal RNA of parasites and parasitoids (Hamilton et al., 2015). Five RIP loci

are present in *s*Mel (RIP1–5, of which 3–5 are almost identical copies). As expected, the

protective *s*Hy also encodes RIPs, but only has a single orthologue for RIP1. However, it

contains an additional RIP gene in three copies that appears to be absent in *s*Mel, and one of

these copies also contains ankyrin repeats (Fig. S6, Supplementary material).

Fig 5: Genome properties of *Spiroplasma* strains from Citri, Poulsonii, Chrysopicola, and Mirum clades. Genome size and plasmid number was taken from the literature (Table S1, supplementary material). Coding density, CDS length and number of IS elements was determined with Prokka, and proportion of prophage was estimated with PhiSpy. *Spiroplasma* phylogeny is based on partitioned maximum likelihood analysis of 96 concatenated single copy genes present in all of the genomes (26,019 amino acid positions). All nodes were maximally supported by UFB and aLRT.

Further, the male killing phenotype of *s*Mel was recently established to be caused by *Spiroplasma* androcidin (Spaid), and both ankyrin repeats and a deubiquitinase domain (OTU) of this gene are necessary to induce male killing (Harumoto and Lemaitre, 2018). HMMER searches using OTU domain profiles revealed a number of *Spiroplasma* loci similar to Spaid (Fig. 6) which however lack its characteristic domain composition. For example, *s*Hy encodes three loci similar to Spaid: one lacks a signal peptide, one has no ankyrin repeats, and another encodes an epsilon-toxin like domain in addition to the OTU domain (Fig. 6). Other bacterial loci with notable similarities to the *Spiroplasma* OTU could only be

detected in the symbiotic taxa *Rickettsia* and *Wolbachia*. In the phylogenetic reconstruction of

OTU domains rooted with the eukaryotic sequences (from the ciliate *Stentor coeruleus*),

Rickettsia and *Wolbachia* loci are nested within the *Spiroplasma* sequences. This topology

suggests lateral gene transfer of OTU domain containing proteins from *Spiroplasma* to the

other intracellular taxa, although differences in genetic code between *Spiroplasma* and other

bacteria likely limit the probability for transfer of functional protein coding genes.

Fig. 6: Spaid-like proteins in *Spiroplasma* and other symbionts. Maximum likelihood tree
was reconstructed from an alignment of OTU domains (147 amino acid positions), and
domain predictions are based on PfamScan, SignalP, and TMHMM. Abbreviations
(corresponding to PFAM domains): Ank - ankyrin repeats, OTU - Ovarian tumor like
deubiquitinase, ETX_MTX2 - Clostridium epsilon toxin ETX/Bacillus mosquitocidal toxin
MTX2, Tox-SGS - Salivary gland secreted protein domain toxin, SEC-C - SEC-C nucleic
acid binding domain.

Discussion

<u>*Spiroplasma*, an exceptionally fast evolving symbiont</u>

The substitution rates we observed in *Spiroplasma* symbionts are among the highest reported for any bacteria (Fig. 1). In a study comparing genome-wide evolutionary rates in bacterial human pathogens (Duchêne et al., 2016), most taxa showed considerably slower rates, and our estimate of *Spiroplasma* evolutionary rates overlaps with substitution rates of RNA viruses (Holmes, 2009). A number of reasons have been proposed for elevated substitution rates in bacterial symbionts and pathogens with small genome sizes: Firstly, host-associated microbes may acquire essential metabolic intermediates from their hosts and thus need not synthesise them (Moran, 2003). Obsolete metabolic genes subsequently accumulate now neutral substitutions, resulting in pseudogenization. Secondly, reduced population sizes of symbionts, together with bottlenecks at transmission events limit purifying selection of deleterious mutations (Moran, 1996) and mobile genetic elements (Lynch, 2006) – both in turn create further genomic deterioration. Thirdly, genomes of host-associated microbes are AT rich (Rocha and Danchin, 2002), which makes introduction of errors through replication slippage more likely (Moran et al., 2009). Fourthly, many symbionts lack DNA repair genes (Moran et al., 2008), which may result in increased substitution rates.

All of these points very likely apply for *Spiroplasma poulsonii*: its metabolic capacities are reduced compared with free living microbes, it has a high proportion of pseudogenes and prophages, very high AT content, and lacks several DNA repair genes. However, many symbiotic bacteria show similar trends of genome evolution (Burger and Lang, 2003), and it is therefore somewhat puzzling that *Spiroplasma* stands out with this exceptionally high substitution rate. Loss of DNA repair genes has been shown to co-occur with elevated

mutation rates in intracellular bacteria (Itoh et al., 2002; Koonin et al., 1996; Massey, 2008),

and may explain our observations. The loci encoding the mismatch repair proteins *mutS* and

mutL are universally lacking in *Spiroplasma*, but are present in the slower evolving symbionts

*Wolbachia w*Mel, and *Buchnera aphidicola* (Fig. 7, Richardson et al., 2012; Shigenobu et al.,

2000; Wu et al., 2004). In *E. coli*, hypermutator strains that have lost such loci have a ~10–

300 fold increase of spontaneous mutation rates (LeClerc et al., 1996; Lee et al., 2012), which

is comparable to the ~two orders of magnitude difference between substitution rates of

Buchnera and *Spiroplasma* (Fig. 2). Like *Spiroplasma*, *Mycoplasma* universally lacks the

mismatch repair loci *mutS*, *mutL*, and *mutH* (Carvalho et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2012). In line

with this observation, elevated evolutionary rates were hypothesized for mycoplasmas

(Woese et al., 1984), and in our comparison, *M. gallisepticum* appears to be the only bacterial

taxon with substitution rates similar to *Spiroplasma* (Delaney et al., 2012). Absence of DNA

mismatch repair pathway may thus be ancestral to Entomoplasmatales (Spiroplasmatacea +

Entomoplasmataceae) and contribute to the dynamic genome evolution across this taxon (Lo

et al., 2016; Rocha and Blanchard, 2002). Alternatively, increased substitutional rates caused

by the loss of these loci could have arisen multiple times independently in

Entomoplasmatales.

Further to absence of DNA repair genes causing elevated mutation rates, a recent comparative

study demonstrated a strong negative correlation between mutation rate and genome size in

free living and endosymbiotic bacteria (Bourguignon et al., 2020). This correlation is

however not apparent in the genomes of endosymbionts we have investigated. For example,

the considerably slower evolving *Buchnera* genomes are much smaller than *Spiroplasma*, and

Wolbachia would be predicted to have much larger genomes if their size was mainly

determined by mutational rates. This suggests that substitution rates alone are a poor predictor

for the sizes of here investigated genomes. Likely, these genome sizes result from an

interplay of multiple factors such as population size, patterns of DNA repair gene absence,

and mutational rates (Kuo et al., 2009; Marais et al., 2020).

Fig. 7: Absence (light colors) and presence (dark colors) of genes involved in DNA repair
in *Buchnera aphidicola*, *Wolbachia wMel*, *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*, and *Spiroplasma*
poulsonii sHy and *sMel*. *Arsenophonus nasoniae* is included as an example of a symbiont
with a relatively large genome and complete DNA repair pathways. Genes involved in
more than one pathway are listed only once, and those missing in all of the taxa are not
displayed. Data is taken from the KEGG pathway database (Kanehisa et al., 2006), and
annotation of *sMel* and *sHy* genomes as described in Materials and Methods section.

Our rate estimate is potentially biased by at least two factors. Firstly, we have only

investigated laboratory populations of *Spiroplasma poulsonii*. Each vertical transmission

event creates symbiont population bottlenecks potentially increasing genetic drift and thus

substitution rates (McCutcheon and Moran, 2011). Because the number of generations in

natural populations of the *Spiroplasma* host *Drosophila hydei* is lower compared with

laboratory reared hosts, vertical transmission events are rarer under natural conditions, and

substitution rates therefore potentially lower. Further, laboratory strains could experience

relaxed selection compared with natural symbiont populations. This may lead to higher

substitution rate estimates from laboratory populations compared with natural populations.

Secondly, substitution rates often appear larger when estimated over brief time periods (Ho et

al., 2005). Duchene et al. (2016) found that substitution rates measured over 10 years can be

up to one order of magnitude larger than those measured over 100 years. In agreement with

such bias, we found more variants in our *s*Mel culture after 19 months than for the 29 months

isolate (Table S3, supplementary material). The back mutations that likely have happened

between 19 and 29 months of our *s*Mel culture would go unnoticed when comparing genomes

over larger time scales.

More generally, it is difficult to estimate divergence times between *Spiroplasma* strains. The

substitution rates estimated in *s*Hy and *s*Mel would suggest the two strains have diverged

1,120–2,260 years ago. However, this estimate is unreliable due to a number of factors that

we cannot control for. For example, the number of generations per year for *Drosophila* hosts

of *Spiroplasma* differ depending on species and location, and is expected to be lower in the

wild compared with laboratory strains. Further, *Spiroplasma* may move between species, for

example via ectoparasitic mites (Jaenike et al., 2007). A number of partially sympatric

Drosophila species carry similar *Spiroplasma* strains (*D. hydei*, *D. melanogaster*, *D.*

willistoni, *D. neotestacea*) (Haselkorn, 2010), and with our data it is impossible to determine

the number and direction of potential *Spiroplasma* transfers between these species.

Reductive genome evolution in *Spiroplasma*

According to a widely supported model of genome reduction in symbiotic bacteria

(McCutcheon and Moran, 2011), the first stages of host restriction involve accumulation of

pseudogenes and mobile genetic elements, chromosomal rearrangements, increased

substitution rates, and excess deletions. Advanced stages of host association are accompanied

by further genome shrinkage, and purging of mobile genetic elements, which overall result in

more stable chromosomes. Using these characteristics, different levels of genome reduction

are apparent in the investigated *Spiroplasma* genomes, across which genome sizes correlate

positively with number of mobile genetic elements (plasmids, prophages, insertion sequences,

Fig. 5, Fig. S5, supplementary material). On this spectrum, *S. syrphidicola* and *S.*

chrysopicola have the most reduced genomes, without homologues of prophage loci, and high

levels of synteny between the two strains (Ku et al., 2013). It was therefore argued that

phages have likely invaded *Spiroplasma* only after the split of the Syrphidicola and

Citri+Poulsonii clades (Ku et al., 2013). Our prophage gene tree-species tree reconciliations

are in line with this hypothesis, but also indicate that prophage proliferation has largely

happened independently in different *Spiroplasma* lineages (Fig. S4, supplementary material).

CRISPR/Cas systems have multiple origins in *Spiroplasma* (Ipoutcha et al., 2019) and only

occur in strains lacking prophages (Fig. S4, supplementary material). While the absence of

antiviral systems often coincides with prophage proliferation (e.g., in the Citri clade), several

strains with compact, streamlined genomes lack CRISPR/Cas and prophages (e.g., TU-14 &

NBRC-100390, Fig. S4, supplementary material). These strains also show other hallmarks of

reduced symbiont genomes (small size, high coding density, lack of plasmids and

transposons, Fig. 5), which is in line with the model of genome reduction discussed above

and suggests prophage regions were purged from these genomes. Alternatively, these strains

may never have been exposed to phages.

Using the model of genome reduction during restriction to the host environment introduced

above, *Spiroplasma poulsonii*'s genome characteristics suggest that such restriction has

happened relatively recently. Although very closely related (99.5% sequence identity) and

found in very similar hosts, *s*Mel and *s*Hy differ markedly in coding density, genome size,

and proportion of prophage regions. Interestingly, PHASTER predicted 426 Kb of intact

phage regions in *s*Mel, but only 14 Kb for *s*Hy (Fig. S2, supplementary material). Both phage

proliferation in *s*Mel, and prophage loss in *s*Hy could have contributed to this. However,

when using the similarity agnostic tool PhiSpy, the predicted prophage regions were similar

in size between *s*Hy and *s*Mel (Fig. S2). This observation is compatible with degradation and/

or pseudogenization of prophage regions in *s*Hy, which would lead to reduced sequence

similarity to viral loci (and thus reduced detectability by PHASTER), but not entirely blur

prophage characteristics employed by PhiSpy (e.g., gene length, strand directionality, AT/GC

skews, insertion points, Akhter et al., 2012). Since the split of the lineages, *s*Hy has not only

lost prophage regions and insertion sequences compared with *s*Mel, but also several genes

that are often lost in host restricted Bacteria, such as parts of the phosphotransferase system

for the uptake of carbohydrates, one tRNA locus, and *uvrD* which plays a role in mismatch

repair, and nucleotide excision repair (Table S2, supplementary material).

Using signatures of genomic degradation as a proxy, our findings collectively suggest that

*s*Hy is in a more advanced stage of host restriction than *s*Mel. This may indicate co-

adaptation with the host as a result of the fitness benefits associated with *s*Hy under parasitoid

pressure, and the absence of detectable costs for carrying *s*Hy in *Drosophila hydei* (Osaka et

al., 2013; Xie et al., 2010, 2014). However, the *Spiroplasma* symbiont of *Drosophila*

*neotestacea s*Neo is also protective, does not cause obvious fitness costs (Jaenike et al.,

2010), but has a less reduced genome (Fig.5, Ballinger and Perlman, 2017). Further, it is also

possible that genome reduction in *s*Hy was mainly driven by stochastic effects or even by

adaptation to laboratory conditions, as we have not investigated contemporary *s*Hy from wild

D. hydei populations.

 Implications for *Spiroplasma* evolutionary ecology

Spiroplasma evolutionary ecology shows several parallels to that of the most widely

distributed arthropod symbiont *Wolbachia*: both symbionts are found in a range of different

hosts (Duron et al., 2008), have the ability to invade novel hosts (Conner et al., 2017;

Haselkorn et al., 2009), may confer protection (Teixeira et al., 2008; Xie et al., 2010) but also

kill males (Hurst and Jiggins, 2000), and can spread across host populations swiftly

(Cockburn et al., 2013; Turelli and Hoffmann, 1991). However, there are also pronounced

differences between the symbionts: *Spiroplasma* rarely reaches the high infection frequencies

often observed in *Wolbachia* (Hilgenboecker et al., 2008; Watts et al., 2009), and is arguably

found in a more diverse host range that encompasses arthropods and plants (Regassa and

Gasparich, 2006), molluscs (Duperron et al., 2013), echinoderms (He et al., 2018), and

cnidarians (Viver et al., 2017). Further, *Wolbachia* show greatly reduced spontaneous

mutation rates compared with *Spiroplasma*, likely caused by a more complete set of DNA

repair genes (Fig. 7).

In theory, fast evolutionary rates should enable *Spiroplasma* to adapt to novel hosts quickly

(i.e., to reduce pathogenicity, and to maximise vertical transmission efficiency), and

experimental studies have found high horizontal transmission efficiency of *Spiroplasma*

(Haselkorn et al., 2013; Haselkorn and Jaenike, 2015; Nakayama et al., 2015). Consistent

with this, we found that genes implicated in host-symbiont compatibility and virulence (e.g.,

adhesion-related proteins, have evolved especially fast in our evolution experiments. For

example, adhesion-related proteins are important in cell invasion in other *Spiroplasma*

species (Béven et al., 2012; Dubrana et al., 2016; Hou et al., 2017) and are enriched for

evolutionary changes in *s*Hy and *s*Mel (Fig. 2). In addition, we documented dynamic

evolution and turnover of toxin loci, which are important for host fitness and symbiont

compatibility (Fig. 6, Fig. S6, supplementary material). This genomic flexibility may

contribute to *Spiroplasma*'s broader host range when compared with *Wolbachia*. However,

elevated evolutionary rates also make deleterious changes more likely, and, in the absence of

strong selection, may result in faster loss of symbionts (Jaenike, 2012). This may explain the

generally low prevalence of *Spiroplasma* symbionts (Haselkorn, 2010), which seems to

increase only when carrying the symbiont is associated with a large fitness benefit (Jaenike et

al., 2010). In contrast, virtually identical *Wolbachia* strains ("superspreaders") are found in

many different host species at very high frequencies (Turelli et al., 2018) – demonstrating that

stationary genomes may be evolutionary advantageous. In summary, the nature of

Spiroplasma genomic evolution likely contributes to its peculiar evolutionary ecology.

From a practical perspective, *Spiroplasma poulsonii* has many features of a desirable model

for symbiont-host interactions: fast rates of evolution make it more likely that adaptation and

spontaneous changes in phenotypes can be determined over short time scales, as has been

observed previously (Harumoto and Lemaitre, 2018; Masson et al., 2020; Nakayama et al.,

2015). On the other hand, fast evolutionary changes make experiments less predictable, and

because stochastic effects become more pronounced, links of genomic changes with

phenotypes may be obscured. Further, the generalisability of experimental results may be

limited for extremely fast evolving symbionts. Our findings therefore also underline the

importance of regular validation of laboratory symbiont strains through re-sequencing.

Author contributions

Designed and conceived project: MG, GDDH, MM. Experimental passage of *Spiroplasma* in

vivo: MG, JG, GDDH, MM. Experimental passage of *Spiroplasma* in vitro: FM, BL.

Symbiont DNA purification and sequencing: MG, PR, SS, RA, HMM, FM. Assembly and

annotation: MG, HMM, PR, RA. Molecular evolution analyses: MG. Wrote first draft of

paper: MG, MM, GDDH. All authors contributed in revising the manuscript and have read

and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

MG has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation

Program under Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement 703379 and from EMBO through a

long term fellowship (ALTF 48-2015) co-funded by the European Commission

(LTFCOFUND2013, GA-2013-609409). Sequencing was supported by an award from the

University of Liverpool Technology Directorate Voucher Scheme, and by a Texas A&M

Genomics Seed Grant.

Data accessibility

Raw reads for sHy-Tx can be found under NCBI BioProject Accession PRJNA274591

(Biosample SAMN08102256, SRA SRR6326389). Reads from sMel-Br are stored under

PRJNA507275 (SAMN10488582). All other reads are available under NCBI BioProject

PRJNA640980. Alignments and supplementary protocol are available from Zenodo under the

DOI 10.5281/zenodo.3903208.

References

References

Akhter S, Aziz RK, Edwards RA. 2012. PhiSpy: a novel algorithm for finding prophages in bacterial
genomes that combines similarity- and composition-based strategies. *Nucleic Acids Res* **40**:e126.
Almagro Armenteros JJ, Tsirigos KD, Sønderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O, Brunak S, von Heijne
G, Nielsen H. 2019. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks.
Nat Biotechnol **37**:420–423.
Anbutsu H, Fukatsu T. 2011. *Spiroplasma* as a model insect endosymbiont. *Environ Microbiol Rep*
3:144–153.
Ankenbrand MJ, Hohlfeld S, Hackl T, Förster F. 2017. AliTV—interactive visualization of whole
genome comparisons. *PeerJ Computer Science* **3**:e116.
Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016. PHASTER: a better, faster
version of the PHAST phage search tool. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**:W16–21.
Ballinger MJ, Perlman SJ. 2019. The defensive *Spiroplasma*. *Curr Opin Insect Sci* **32**:36–41.
Ballinger MJ, Perlman SJ. 2017. Generality of toxins in defensive symbiosis: Ribosome-inactivating
proteins and defense against parasitic wasps in *Drosophila*. *PLoS Pathog* **13**:e1006431.
Berho N, Duret S, Danet J-L, Renaudin J. 2006. Plasmid pSci6 from *Spiroplasma citri* GII-3 confers
insect transmissibility to the non-transmissible strain *S. citri* 44. *Microbiology* **152**:2703–2716.
Béven L, Duret S, Batailler B, Dubrana M-P, Saillard C, Renaudin J, Arricau-Bouvery N. 2012. The
repetitive domain of ScARP3d triggers entry of *Spiroplasma citri* into cultured cells of the vector
Circulifer haematoceps. *PLoS One* **7**:e48606.
Bourguignon T, Kinjo Y, Villa-Martín P, Coleman NV, Tang Q, Arab DA, Wang Z, Tokuda G,
Hongoh Y, Ohkuma M, Ho SYW, Pigolotti S, Lo N. 2020. Increased Mutation Rate Is Linked to
Genome Reduction in Prokaryotes. *Curr Biol* **30**:3848–3855.e4.
Bruen TC, Philippe H, Bryant D. 2006. A simple and robust statistical test for detecting the presence
of recombination. *Genetics* **172**:2665–2681.
Brunson JC. 2019. ggalluvial: Alluvial Plots in "ggplot2."
Burger G, Lang BF. 2003. Parallels in genome evolution in mitochondria and bacterial symbionts.
IUBMB Life **55**:205–212.
Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden TL. 2009. BLAST+:
architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics* **10**:421.
Carle P, Saillard C, Carrère N, Carrère S, Duret S, Eveillard S, Gaurivaud P, Gourgues G, Gouzy J,
Salar P, Verdin E, Breton M, Blanchard A, Laigret F, Bové J-M, Renaudin J, Foissac X. 2010.
Partial chromosome sequence of *Spiroplasma citri* reveals extensive viral invasion and important
gene decay. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **76**:3420–3426.
Carvalho FM, Fonseca MM, Batistuzzo De Medeiros S, Scortecci KC, Blaha CAG, Agnez-Lima LF.
2005. DNA repair in reduced genome: the *Mycoplasma* model. *Gene* **360**:111–119.
Chen L-L, Chung W-C, Lin C-P, Kuo C-H. 2012. Comparative analysis of gene content evolution in
phytoplasmas and mycoplasmas. *PLoS One* **7**:e34407.
Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. Terrace Aware Data Structure for Phylogenomic
Inference from Supermatrices. *Syst Biol* **65**:997–1008.
Clark TB, Whitcomb RF. 1984. Pathogenicity of mollicutes for insects: possible use in biological
control. *Ann Microbiol* **135A**:141–150.
Cockburn SN, Haselkorn TS, Hamilton PT, Landzberg E, Jaenike J, Perlman SJ. 2013. Dynamics of
the continent-wide spread of a *Drosophila* defensive symbiont. *Ecol Lett* **16**:609–616.
Conner WR, Blaxter ML, Anfora G, Ometto L, Rota-Stabelli O, Turelli M. 2017. Genome
comparisons indicate recent transfer of *w*Ri-like *Wolbachia* between sister species *Drosophila*
suzukii and *D. subpulchrella*. *Ecol Evol* **7**:9391–9404.
Corbin C, Heyworth ER, Ferrari J, Hurst GDD. 2017. Heritable symbionts in a world of varying

temperature. *Heredity* **118**:10–20.

Degnan PH, Lazarus AB, Brock CD, Wernegreen JJ. 2004. Host-symbiont stability and fast
evolutionary rates in an ant-bacterium association: cospeciation of camponotus species and their
endosymbionts, candidatus blochmannia. *Syst Biol* **53**:95–110.

Delaney NF, Balenger S, Bonneaud C, Marx CJ, Hill GE, Ferguson-Noel N, Tsai P, Rodrigo A,
Edwards SV. 2012. Ultrafast Evolution and Loss of CRISPRs Following a Host Shift in a Novel
Wildlife Pathogen, *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. *PLoS Genetics* **8**:e1002511.

De Maio N, Shaw LP, Hubbard A, George S, Sanderson ND, Swann J, Wick R, AbuOun M,
Stubberfield E, Hoosdally SJ, Crook DW, Peto TEA, Sheppard AE, Bailey MJ, Read DS, Anjum
MF, Walker AS, Stoesser N, On Behalf Of The Rehab Consortium. 2019. Comparison of long-
read sequencing technologies in the hybrid assembly of complex bacterial genomes. *Microb*
Genom **5**:e000294.

Douglas AE. 2009. The microbial dimension in insect nutritional ecology. *Funct Ecol* **23**:38–47.

Drew GC, Frost CL, Hurst GDD. 2019. Reproductive Parasitism and Positive Fitness Effects of
Heritable Microbes. *eLS* **2**:1–8.

Dubrana MP, Béven L, Arricau-Bouvery N, Duret S, Claverol S, Renaudin J, Saillard C. 2016.
Differential expression of *Spiroplasma citri* surface protein genes in the plant and insect hosts.
BMC Microbiol **16**. doi:10.1186/s12866-016-0666-y

Duchêne S, Holt KE, Weill F-X, Le Hello S, Hawkey J, Edwards DJ, Fourment M, Holmes EC. 2016.
Genome-scale rates of evolutionary change in bacteria. *Microb Genom* **2**:e000094.

Duffy S, Shackelton LA, Holmes EC. 2008. Rates of evolutionary change in viruses: patterns and
determinants. *Nat Rev Genet* **9**:267–276.

Duperron S, Pottier M-A, Léger N, Gaudron SM, Puillandre N, Le Prieur S, Sigwart JD, Ravaux J,
Zbinden M. 2013. A tale of two chitons: is habitat specialisation linked to distinct associated
bacterial communities? *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* **83**:552–567.

Duron O, Bouchon D, Boutin S, Bellamy L, Zhou L, Engelstädter J, Hurst GDD. 2008. The diversity
of reproductive parasites among arthropods: *Wolbachia* do not walk alone. *BMC Biol* **6**:27.

Dyson EA, Kamath MK, Hurst G. 2002. *Wolbachia* infection associated with all-female broods in
Hypolimnas bolina (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae): evidence for horizontal transmission of a
butterfly male killer. *Heredity* **88**:166–171.

Ebbert MA. 1991. The interaction phenotype in the *Drosophila willistoni-Spiroplasma* symbiosis.
Evolution **45**:971–988.

Eddy SR. 2011. Accelerated Profile HMM Searches. *PLoS Comput Biol* **7**:e1002195.

Emms DM, Kelly S. 2015. OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome comparisons
dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. *Genome Biol* **16**:157.

Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J, Mitchell AL, Potter SC, Punta M, Qureshi M,
Sangrador-Vegas A, Salazar GA, Tate J, Bateman A. 2016. The Pfam protein families database:
towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**:D279–85.

Gasparich GE. 2002. Spiroplasmas: evolution, adaptation and diversity. *Front Biosci* **7**:d619–d640.

Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O. 2010. New algorithms and
methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0.
Syst Biol **59**:307–321.

Gupta RS, Son J, Oren A. 2019. A phylogenomic and molecular markers based taxonomic framework
for members of the order Entomoplasmatales: proposal for an emended order Mycoplasmatales
containing the family Spiroplasmataceae and emended family Mycoplasmataceae comprised of
six genera. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* **112**:561–588.

Hamilton PT, Leong JS, Koop BF, Perlman SJ. 2014. Transcriptional responses in a *Drosophila*
defensive symbiosis. *Mol Ecol* **23**:1558–1570.

Hamilton PT, Peng F, Boulanger MJ, Perlman SJ. 2015. A ribosome-inactivating protein in a
Drosophila defensive symbiont. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**:350–355.

Harumoto T, Lemaitre B. 2018. Male-killing toxin in a bacterial symbiont of *Drosophila*. *Nature*
557:252–255.

Haselkorn TS. 2010. The *Spiroplasma* heritable bacterial endosymbiont of *Drosophila*. *Fly* **4**:80–87.
Haselkorn TS, Cockburn SN, Hamilton PT, Perlman SJ, Jaenike J. 2013. Infectious adaptation:
potential host range of a defensive endosymbiont in *Drosophila*. *Evolution* **67**:934–945.
Haselkorn TS, Jaenike J. 2015. Macroevolutionary persistence of heritable endosymbionts:
acquisition, retention, and expression of adaptive phenotypes in *Spiroplasma*. *Mol Ecol* **14**:3752–
3765.
Haselkorn TS, Markow TA, Moran NA. 2009. Multiple introductions of the *Spiroplasma* bacterial
endosymbiont into *Drosophila*. *Molecular Ecology* **18**:1294–1305.
Hayashi M, Watanabe M, Yukuhiro F, Nomura M, Kageyama D. 2016. A Nightmare for Males? A
Maternally Transmitted Male-Killing Bacterium and Strong Female Bias in a Green Lacewing
Population. *PLoS ONE* **11**:e0155794.
He L-S, Zhang P-W, Huang J-M, Zhu F-C, Danchin A, Wang Y. 2018. The Enigmatic Genome of an
Obligate Ancient *Spiroplasma* Symbiont in a Hadal Holothurian. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
84:e01965–17.
Hilgenboecker K, Hammerstein P, Schlattmann P, Telschow A, Werren JH. 2008. How many species
are infected with *Wolbachia*?- a statistical analysis of current data. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*
281:215–220.
Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. 2018. UFBoot2: Improving the
Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. *Mol Biol Evol* **35**:518–522.
Holmes EC. 2009. The Evolution and Emergence of RNA Viruses. Oxford University Press.
Hornett EA, Duplouy AMR, Davies N, Roderick GK, Wedell N, Hurst GDD, Charlat S. 2008. You
Can't Keep a Good Parasite Down: Evolution of a Male-Killer Suppressor Uncovers
Cytoplasmic Incompatibility. *Evolution* **62**:1258–1263.
Hosokawa T, Koga R, Kikuchi Y, Meng X-Y, Fukatsu T. 2010. *Wolbachia* as a bacteriocyte-
associated nutritional mutualist. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**:769–774.
Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Cooper A, Drummond AJ. 2005. Time dependency of molecular rate estimates
and systematic overestimation of recent divergence times. *Mol Biol Evol* **22**:1561–1568.
Hou L, Liu Y, Gao Q, Xu X, Ning M, Bi J, Liu H, Liu M, Gu W, Wang W, Meng Q. 2017.
Spiroplasma eriocheiris Adhesin-Like Protein (ALP) Interacts with Epidermal Growth Factor
(EGF) Domain Proteins to Facilitate Infection. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. **7**:13.
Hurst GDD, Jiggins FM. 2000. Male-killing bacteria in insects: mechanisms, incidence, and
implications. *Emerg Infect Dis* **6**:329–336.
Ipoutcha T, Tsarmpopoulos I, Talenton V, Gaspin C, Moisan A, Walker CA, Brownlie J, Blanchard
A, Thebault P, Sirand-Pugnet P. 2019. Multiple Origins and Specific Evolution of CRISPR/Cas9
Systems in Minimal Bacteria (Mollicutes). *Front Microbiol* **10**:2701.
Itoh T, Martin W, Nei M. 2002. Acceleration of genomic evolution caused by enhanced mutation rate
in endocellular symbionts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **99**:12944–12948.
Jaenike J. 2012. Population genetics of beneficial heritable symbionts. *Trends Ecol Evol* **27**:226–232.
Jaenike J, Polak M, Fiskin A, Helou M, Minhas M. 2007. Interspecific transmission of endosymbiotic
Spiroplasma by mites. *Biol Lett* **3**:23–25.
Jaenike J, Unckless R, Cockburn SN, Boelio LM, Perlman SJ. 2010. Adaptation via Symbiosis:
Recent Spread of a *Drosophila* Defensive Symbiont. *Science* **329**:212–215.
Jiggins FM, Hurst GDD, Jiggins CD, von der Schulenburg JHG, Majerus MEN. 2000. The butterfly
Danaus chrysippus is infected by a male-killing *Spiroplasma* bacterium. *Parasitology* **120**:439–
446.
Joshi BD, Berg M, Rogers J, Fletcher J, Melcher U. 2005. Sequence comparisons of plasmids pBJS-O
of *Spiroplasma citri* and pSKU146 of *S. kunkelii*: implications for plasmid evolution. *BMC*
Genomics **6**:175.
Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. 2017. ModelFinder: fast
model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat Methods* **14**:587–589.
Kanehisa M, Goto S, Hattori M, Aoki-Kinoshita KF, Itoh M, Kawashima S, Katayama T, Araki M,
Hirakawa M. 2006. From genomics to chemical genomics: new developments in KEGG. *Nucleic*

Acids Res **34**:D354–7.
Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Morishima K. 2016. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG Tools for
Functional Characterization of Genome and Metagenome Sequences. *J Mol Biol* **428**:726–731.
Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* **30**:772–780.
Koonin EV, Mushegian AR, Rudd KE. 1996. Sequencing and analysis of bacterial genomes. *Current*
Biology **6**:404–416.
Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL. 2001. Predicting transmembrane protein
topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. *J Mol Biol* **305**:567–
580.
Ku C, Lo W-S, Chen L-L, Kuo C-H. 2013. Complete genomes of two dipteran-associated
spiroplasmas provided insights into the origin, dynamics, and impacts of viral invasion in
spiroplasma. *Genome Biol Evol* **5**:1151–1164.
Kuo C-H, Moran NA, Ochman H. 2009. The consequences of genetic drift for bacterial genome
complexity. *Genome Res* **19**:1450–1454.
Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, Salzberg SL. 2004. Versatile
and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol* **5**:R12.
Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SYW, Guindon S. 2012. Partitionfinder: combined selection of partitioning
schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. *Mol Biol Evol* **29**:1695–1701.
LeClerc JE, Li B, Payne WL, Cebula TA. 1996. High mutation frequencies among *Escherichia coli*
and *Salmonella* pathogens. *Science* **274**:1208–1211.
Lee H, Popodi E, Tang H, Foster PL. 2012. Rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in
the bacterium *Escherichia coli* as determined by whole-genome sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
U S A **109**:E2774–83.
Li H. 2018. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **34**:3094–3100.
Lo W-S, Huang Y-Y, Kuo C-H. 2016. Winding paths to simplicity: genome evolution in facultative
insect symbionts. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* **40**:855–874.
Lynch M. 2006. Streamlining and simplification of microbial genome architecture. *Annu Rev*
Microbiol **60**:327–349.
Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T, Madhusoodanan N, Basutkar P, Tivey ARN, Potter SC,
Finn RD, Lopez R. 2019. The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019.
Nucleic Acids Res **47**:W636–W641.
Marais GAB, Batut B, Daubin V. 2020. Genome Evolution: Mutation Is the Main Driver of Genome
Size in Prokaryotes. *Curr Biol* **30**:R1083–R1085.
Massey SE. 2008. The proteomic constraint and its role in molecular evolution. *Mol Biol Evol*
25:2557–2565.
Masson F, Calderon-Copete S, Schüpfer F, Vigneron A, Rommelaere S, Garcia-Arraez MG, Paredes
JC, Lemaitre B. 2020. Blind killing of both male and female *Drosophila* embryos by a natural
variant of the endosymbiotic bacterium *Spiroplasma poulsonii*. *Cell Microbiol* **22**:e13156.
Masson F, Copete SC, Schüpfer F, Garcia-Arraez G, Lemaitre B. 2018. In Vitro Culture of the Insect
Endosymbiont *Spiroplasma poulsonii* Highlights Bacterial Genes Involved in Host-Symbiont
Interaction. *mBio* **9**:e00024-18.
Mateos M, Castrezana SJ, Nankivell BJ, Estes AM, Markow T a., Moran NA. 2006. Heritable
endosymbionts of *Drosophila*. *Genetics* **174**:363–376.
McCutcheon JP, Moran NA. 2011. Extreme genome reduction in symbiotic bacteria. *Nat Rev*
Microbiol **10**:13–26.
McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TCG, Carey HV, Domazet-Lošo T, Douglas AE, Dubilier N,
Eberl G, Fukami T, Gilbert SF, Hentschel U, King N, Kjelleberg S, Knoll AH, Kremer N,
Mazmanian SK, Metcalf JL, Nealson K, Pierce NE, Rawls JF, Reid A, Ruby EG, Rumpho M,
Sanders JG, Tautz D, Wernegreen JJ. 2013. Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for
the life sciences. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**:3229–3236.
Miller DE, Staber C, Zeitlinger J, Hawley RS. 2018. Highly Contiguous Genome Assemblies of 15

880   Species Generated Using Nanopore Sequencing. *G3- Genes Genom Genet* **8**:3131–3141.

881 Mitrofanov A, Alkhnbashi OS, Shmakov SA, Makarova KS, Koonin EV, Backofen R. 2020.
882   CRISPRIdentify: Identification of CRISPR arrays using machine learning approach- *bioRxiv*
883   2020.11.05.369512.

884 Montenegro H, Solferini VN, Klaczko LB, Hurst GDD. 2005. Male-killing *Spiroplasma* naturally
885   infecting *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Insect Mol Biol* **14**:281–287.

886 Montenegro H, Souza WN, da Silva Leite D, Klaczko LB. 2000. Male-killing selfish cytoplasmic
887   element causes sex-ratio distortion in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Heredity* **85 Pt 5**:465–470.

888 Moran NA. 2003. Tracing the evolution of gene loss in obligate bacterial symbionts. *Curr Opin*
889   *Microbiol* **6**:512–518.

890 Moran NA. 1996. Accelerated evolution and Muller's rachet in endosymbiotic bacteria. *Proc Natl*
891   *Acad Sci U S A* **93**:2873–2878.

892 Moran NA, McCutcheon JP, Nakabachi A. 2008. Genomics and evolution of heritable bacterial
893   symbionts. *Annu Rev Genet* **42**:165–190.

894 Moran NA, McLaughlin HJ, Sorek R. 2009. The dynamics and time scale of ongoing genomic erosion
895   in symbiotic bacteria. *Science* **323**:379–382.

896 Mouches C, Barroso G, Gadeau A, Bové JM. 1984. Characterization of two cryptic plasmids from
897   *Spiroplasma citri* and occurrence of their DNA sequences among various spiroplasmas. *Ann Inst*
898   *Pasteur Microbiol* **135**:17–24.

899 Nakayama S, Parratt SR, Hutchence KJ, Lewis Z, Price TAR, Hurst GDD. 2015. Can maternally
900   inherited endosymbionts adapt to a novel host? Direct costs of *Spiroplasma* infection, but not
901   vertical transmission efficiency, evolve rapidly after horizontal transfer into *D. melanogaster*.
902   *Heredity* **114**:539–543.

903 Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic
904   algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* **32**:268–274.

905 Oliver KM, Smith AH, Russell JA. 2014. Defensive symbiosis in the real world - advancing
906   ecological studies of heritable, protective bacteria in aphids and beyond. *Funct Ecol* **28**:341–355.

907 Osaka R, Ichizono T, Kageyama D, Nomura M, Watada M. 2013. Natural variation in population
908   densities and vertical transmission rates of a *Spiroplasma* endosymbiont in *Drosophila hydei*.
909   *Symbiosis* **60**:73–78.

910 Ota T, Kawabe M, Oishi K, Poulson DF. 1979. Non-male-killing spiroplasmas in *Drosophila hydei*. *J*
911   *Hered* **70**:211–213.

912 Paradis E, Schliep K. 2019. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary
913   analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* **35**:526–528.

914 Paredes JC, Herren JK, Schüpfer F, Lemaitre B. 2016. The Role of Lipid Competition for
915   Endosymbiont-Mediated Protection against Parasitoid Wasps in *Drosophila*. *mBio* **7**:e01006–16.

916 Paredes JC, Herren JK, Schüpfer F, Marin R, Claverol S, Kuo C-H, Lemaitre B, Béven L. 2015.
917   Genome Sequence of the *Drosophila melanogaster* Male-Killing *Spiroplasma* Strain MSRO
918   Endosymbiont. *mBio* **6**:e02437–14.

919 Poulson DF, Sakaguchi B. 1961. Nature of "sex-ratio" agent in *Drosophila*. *Science* **133**:1489–1490.

920 Razin S. 2006. The Genus Mycoplasma and Related Genera (Class Mollicutes) In: Dworkin M.,
921   Falkow S., Rosenberg E., Schleifer KH., Stackebrandt E., editor. The Prokaryotes. New York:
922   Springer. pp. 836–904.

923 R Core Team. 2016. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
924   Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

925 Regassa LB, Gasparich GE. 2006. Spiroplasmas: evolutionary relationships and biodiversity. *Front*
926   *Biosci* **11**:2983–3002.

927 Richardson MF, Weinert LA, Welch JJ, Linheiro RS, Magwire MM, Jiggins FM, Bergman CM. 2012.
928   Population Genomics of the *Wolbachia* Endosymbiont in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS Genet*
929   **8**:e1003129.

930 Rocha EPC, Blanchard A. 2002. Genomic repeats, genome plasticity and the dynamics of
931   *Mycoplasma* evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res* **30**:2031–2042.

Rocha EPC, Danchin A. 2002. Base composition bias might result from competition for metabolic
resources. *Trends Gene* **18**:291–294.
Russel J, Pinilla-Redondo R, Mayo-Muñoz D, Shah SA, Sørensen SJ. 2020. CRISPRCasTyper: An
automated tool for the identification, annotation and classification of CRISPR-Cas loci. *bioRxiv*
2020.05.15.097824.
Saglio PHM, Whitcomb RF. 1979. Diversity of wall-less prokaryotes in plant vascular tissue, fungi,
and invertebrate animals In: Whitcomb RF, Tully JG, editors. The Mycoplasmas. New York:
Academic Press. pp. 1–36.
Saillard C, Carle P, Duret-Nurbel S, Henri R, Killiny N, Carrère S, Gouzy J, Bové J-M, Renaudin J,
Foissac X. 2008. The abundant extrachromosomal DNA content of the *Spiroplasma* citri GII3-
3X genome. *BMC Genomics* **9**:195.
Sanada-Morimura S, Matsumura M, Noda H. 2013. Male Killing Caused by a *Spiroplasma* Symbiont
in the Small Brown Planthopper, *Laodelphax striatellus*. *J Hered* **104**:821–829.
Schneider DI, Saarman N, Onyango MG, Hyseni C, Opiro R, Echodu R, O'Neill M, Bloch D,
Vigneron A, Johnson TJ, Dion K, Weiss BL, Opiyo E, Caccone A, Aksoy S. 2019. Spatio-
temporal distribution of *Spiroplasma* infections in the tsetse fly (*Glossina fuscipes fuscipes*) in
northern Uganda. *PLoS Neglect Trop D* **13**:e0007340.
Seemann T. 2015. snippy: fast bacterial variant calling from NGS reads.
Seemann T. 2014. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**:2068–2069.
Shigenobu S, Watanabe H, Hattori M, Sakaki Y, Ishikawa H. 2000. Genome sequence of the
endocellular bacterial symbiont of aphids *Buchnera* sp. APS. *Nature* **407**:81–86.
Subramanian B, Gao S, Lercher MJ, Hu S, Chen W-H. 2019. Evolview v3: a webserver for
visualization, annotation, and management of phylogenetic trees. *Nucleic Acids Res* **47**:W270–
W275.
Teixeira L, Ferreira A, Ashburner M. 2008. The bacterial symbiont *Wolbachia* induces resistance to
RNA viral infections in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS Biol* **6**:e1000002.
Tinsley MC, Majerus MEN. 2006. A new male-killing parasitism: *Spiroplasma* bacteria infect the
ladybird beetle *Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *Parasitology*
132:757–765.
Turelli M, Cooper BS, Richardson KM, Ginsberg PS, Peckenpaugh B, Antelope CX, Kim KJ, May
MR, Abrieux A, Wilson DA, Bronski MJ, Moore BR, Gao J-J, Eisen MB, Chiu JC, Conner WR,
Hoffmann AA. 2018. Rapid Global Spread of *w*Ri-like *Wolbachia* across Multiple *Drosophila*.
Curr Biol **28**:963–971.e8.
Turelli M, Hoffmann AA. 1991. Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California
Drosophila. *Nature* **353**:440–442.
Viver T, Orellana LH, Hatt JK, Urdiain M, Díaz S, Richter M, Antón J, Avian M, Amann R,
Konstantinidis KT, Rosselló-Móra R. 2017. The low diverse gastric microbiome of the jellyfish
Cotylorhiza tuberculata is dominated by four novel taxa. *Environ Microbiol* **19**:3039–3058.
Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo CA, Zeng Q, Wortman J,
Young SK, Earl AM. 2014. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant
detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One* **9**:e112963.
Watts T, Haselkorn TS, Moran NA, Markow TA. 2009. Variable Incidence of *Spiroplasma* Infections
in Natural Populations of *Drosophila* Species. *PLoS One* **4**:e5703.
Weinert LA, Araujo-Jnr EV, Ahmed MZ, Welch JJ. 2015. The incidence of bacterial endosymbionts
in terrestrial arthropods. *Proc R Soc B* **282**:20150249.
Wickham H. 2009. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer Science & Business Media.
Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017a. Completing bacterial genome assemblies with
multiplex MinION sequencing. *Microb Genom* **3**:e000132.
Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017b. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome assemblies
from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput Biol* **13**:e1005595.
Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. 2015. Bandage: interactive visualization of de novo genome
assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **31**:3350–3352.

Wilke CO. 2020. ggridges: Ridgeline Plots in "ggplot2."

Wilke CO. 2019. cowplot: Streamlined Plot Theme and Plot Annotations for "ggplot2."

Woese CR, Stackebrandt E, Ludwig W. 1984. What are mycoplasmas: the relationship of tempo and

mode in bacterial evolution. *J Mol Evol* **21**:305–316.

Wu M, Sun LV, Vamathevan J, Riegler M, Deboy R, Brownlie JC, McGraw EA, Martin W, Esser C,

Ahmadinejad N, Wiegand C, Madupu R, Beanan MJ, Brinkac LM, Daugherty SC, Durkin AS,

Kolonay JF, Nelson WC, Mohamoud Y, Lee P, Berry K, Young MB, Utterback T, Weidman J,

Nierman WC, Paulsen IT, Nelson KE, Tettelin H, O'Neill SL, Eisen JA. 2004. Phylogenomics of

the reproductive parasite *Wolbachia pipientis w*Mel: a streamlined genome overrun by mobile

genetic elements. *PLoS Biol* **2**:e69.

Xie JL, Butler S, Sanchez G, Mateos M. 2014. Male killing *Spiroplasma* protects *Drosophila*

melanogaster against two parasitoid wasps. *Heredity* **112**:399–408.

Xie JL, Vilchez I, Mateos M. 2010. *Spiroplasma* Bacteria Enhance Survival of *Drosophila hydei*

Attacked by the Parasitic Wasp *Leptopilina heterotoma*. *PLoS One* **5**.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0012149

Xie JL, Winter C, Winter L, Mateos M. 2014. Rapid spread of the defensive endosymbiont

Spiroplasma in *Drosophila hydei* under high parasitoid was pressure. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*

91:1–11.

Yamada M-A, Nawa S, Watanabe TK. 1982. A mutant of SR organism (SRO) in *Drosophila* that does

not kill the host males. *Jpn J Genet* **57**:301–305.

Ye F, Melcher U, Rascoe JE, Fletcher J. 1996. Extensive chromosome aberrations in *Spiroplasma*

citri strain BR3. *Biochem Genet* **34**:269–286.

Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H, Guan Y, Lam TT. 2017. ggtree : an r package for visualization and

annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods Ecol*

Evol **8**:28–36.

1009

1010   **Supplementary material**

1011

Figure S1. Mutational bias in *s*Hy evolution. Rates were calculated by counting the

corresponding numbers of snps and dividing by the total number of AT or GC positions in the

*s*Hy genome.

Figure S2. Predicted prophage regions in *s*Hy and *s*Mel by PHASTER (blue) and PhiSpy

(yellow).

Figure S3. Plasmid synteny in *Spiroplasma poulsonii* strains. Numbers on ticks correspond to

nucleotide position on plasmids in kb. Sequence similarities (>=60% and spanning >= 2kb)

between plasmids are indicated by ribbons. Abbreviations: ARP - adhesion related protein,

OTU - ovarian tumor domain containing protein (in *s*Mel: Spaid), ParA - plasmid partitioning

protein like, RIP - ribosome inactivating domain containing protein, Spiralin - spiralin like

protein. Figure was created with AliTV.

Figure S4. Prophage loci and CRISPR/Cas systems in *Spiroplasma*. **a)** Summary of prophage

gene tree-species tree reconciliations. All events (gene speciations, duplications, transfers and

losses) were inferred using GeneRax and are mapped onto the *Spiroplasma* phylogeny based

on single copy orthologs present in all strains. **b)** CRISPR/Cas systems and arrays as

predicted by CCtyper and CRISPRidentify, respectively. Maximum likelihood phylogeny is

based on aligned Cas9 protein sequences, and was reconstructed using IQ-TREE. Note that

CRISPR/Cas is absent in all Citri and Poulsonii strains. Several strains have lost or reduced

parts of the CRISPR/Cas system, which therefore are likely not functional.

Figure S5. Correlations between genome size of investigated *Spiroplasma* strains and **a)** The

number of IS elements as predicted by prokka; **b)** proportion of the genome encoding for

prophages as predicted by PhiSpy. Abbreviations: R - Pearson's correlation coefficients; p -

p-values.

Figure S6. RIP loci in different *Spiroplasma* genomes. Maximum likelihood tree was

calculated with IQ-TREE based on an alignment of RIP domains (288 amino acid positions),

created using the hmmalign function of the HMMER software, and manually trimmed to

exclude positions present in < 3 sequences. Domain prediction is based on PfamScan,

SignalP, and TMHMM. Clades identified by Ballinger & Perlmann (2017) are indicated, and

sequences from *s*Hy highlighted in bold font. UFB Bootstrap values ≥90 are shown on nodes.

Abbreviations: SP - signal peptide, TM - transmembrane helix, Ank - ankyrin repeats, RIP -

ribosome inactivating domain containing protein

Table S1. *Spiroplasma* genomes included in comparative genomic analyses, with accession

numbers, genome properties, and phenotypes induced in host.

Table S2. Sequencing and mapping statistics for all *s*Hy and *s*Mel libraries used to infer

variants.

Table S3. List of changes observed in *s*Hy and *s*Mel over the course of the evolution

experiments.

Table S4. List of missing and truncated genes in *s*Hy and *s*Mel with KEGG annotation.