1

Central oxytocin signaling inhibits food reward-motivated behaviors and VTA dopamine responses to food-predictive cues in male rats

Clarissa M. Liu[a,b], Ted M. Hsu[c], Andrea N. Suarez[b], Keshav S. Subramanian[a,b], Ryan A. Fatemi[b], Alyssa M. Cortella[b], Emily E. Noble[d], Mitchell F. Roitman[c], and Scott E. Kanoski[a,b] *

[a] *Neuroscience Graduate Program, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States*
[b] *Department of Biological Sciences, Human and Evolutionary Biology Section, University of Southern California, 3616 Trousdale Parkway, AHF 252, Los Angeles, CA 90089, United States*
[c] *Department of Psychology, University of Illinois at Chicago, 1007 W. Harrison St., Chicago, IL 60607-7137, United States*
[d] *Department of Foods and Nutrition, University of Georgia, 129 Barrow Hall, Athens GA 30602, United States*

*Corresponding author at: University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States.

E-mail address: kanoski@usc.edu (S.E. Kanoski).

Keywords (10 max): obesity, impulsivity, incentive, motivation, reward, memory, photometry

ABSTRACT

Oxytocin potently reduces food intake and is a potential target system for obesity

treatment. A better understanding of the behavioral and neurobiological mechanisms

mediating oxytocin's anorexigenic effects may guide more effective obesity

pharmacotherapy development. The present study examined the effects of central

(lateral intracerebroventricular [ICV]) administration of oxytocin in rats on motivated

responding for palatable food. Various conditioning procedures were employed to

measure distinct appetitive behavioral domains, including food seeking in the absence

of consumption (conditioned place preference expression), impulsive responding for

food (differential reinforcement of low rates of responding), effort-based appetitive

decision making (high-effort palatable vs. low-effort bland food), and postingestive

reward value encoding (incentive learning). Results reveal that ICV oxytocin potently

reduces food-seeking behavior, impulsivity, and effort-based palatable food choice, yet

does not influence encoding of postingestive reward value in the incentive learning task.

To investigate a potential neurobiological mechanism mediating these behavioral

outcomes, we utilized in vivo fiber photometry in ventral tegmental area (VTA)

dopamine neurons to examine oxytocin's effect on phasic dopamine neuron responses

to sucrose-predictive Pavlovian cues. Results reveal that ICV oxytocin significantly

reduced food cue-evoked dopamine neuron activity. Collectively, these data reveal that

central oxytocin signaling inhibits various obesity-relevant conditioned appetitive

behaviors, potentially via reductions in food cue-driven phasic dopamine neural

responses in the VTA.

Highlights

- Central oxytocin inhibits motivated responding for palatable food reinforcement

- Central oxytocin does not play a role in encoding postingestive reward value

- Central oxytocin blunts VTA dopamine neuron activity in response to food cues

Introduction

There is recent interest in targeting the oxytocin system for obesity pharmacotherapy development based on preclinical findings revealing that oxytocin administration reduces caloric intake, increases fat oxidation, and improves insulin sensitivity (Blevins and Ho, 2013; Lawson, 2017; Olszewski et al., 2017; Sabatier et al., 2013). Oxytocin, synthesized centrally in the paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus (PVH) and supraoptic nucleus (SON), reduces food intake through signaling in classic brain feeding centers, including the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus (Caquineau et al., 2006; Fenselau et al., 2017; Maejima et al., 2009; Yosten and Samson, 2010), the ventromedial nucleus of the hypothalamus (Noble et al., 2014), and the hindbrain nucleus tractus solitarius, where oxytocin interacts with other feeding-relevant peptides (i.e. neuropeptide Y, alpha-melanocyte-stimulating hormone, glucagon-like peptide-1 and cholecystokinin) (Affleck et al., 2012; Atasoy et al., 2012; Blevins et al., 2003; Katsurada et al., 2014; Larsen et al., 1997; Motojima et al., 2016; Ong et al., 2015; Ong et al., 2017; Rinaman and Rothe, 2002).

These hypothalamic and hindbrain substrates associated with oxytocin's feeding effects are thought to predominantly regulate food intake that is driven by energetic need (Liu and Kanoski, 2018). However, feeding is a complex behavior that requires integration of interoceptive and external environmental cues to coordinate food-directed actions, and therefore must also engage "higher-order" substrates that regulate various cognitive processes. Specifically, learned food-predictive cues provide information about properties of impending food availability, signal affective or incentive properties, and elicit skeletal and autonomic responses to guide complex food-directed behavior (Johnson, 2013). While learning about the food environment is necessary for

survival, cues associated with palatable food can also stimulate overeating beyond

energetic need and contribute to obesity (Ferrario et al., 2016; Hsu et al., 2018a;

Johnson, 2013; Kanoski et al., 2013; Liu and Kanoski, 2018; Petrovich and Gallagher,

2007; Rossi and Stuber, 2018). Whether central oxytocin signaling influences higher-

order cognitive aspects of feeding that are relevant to excessive caloric intake and

obesity is poorly understood. The present study therefore investigated whether central

oxytocin signaling influences a multitude of conditioned food-motivated and food cue-

associated behavioral tasks that probe [1] palatable food seeking behavior in the absence

of consumption, [2] impulsive operant responding for food reinforcement, [3] effort-

based decision making (choice between high-effort palatable vs. low-effort bland food),

and [4] postingestive reward-value encoding ("incentive learning").

Conditioned environmental cues that predict the availability of palatable food

activate mesolimbic dopaminergic brain reward pathways to enhance food-directed

action and consumption (Berridge, 2007; Hsu et al., 2018a) . Oxytocin receptors are

expressed in dopamine neurons in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and these oxytocin

receptor-expressing VTA neurons project to the nucleus accumbens, prefrontal cortex,

and extended amygdala (Peris et al., 2017). Furthermore, oxytocin modulates

dopaminergic tone in the VTA and can decrease excitatory synaptic transmission

through endocannabinoid-dependent mechanisms (Xiao et al., 2018; Xiao et al., 2017).

However, whether central oxytocin signaling affects food cue-associated dopamine

signaling in awake behaving animals is unknown. Here we explore the effects of central

oxytocin signaling on phasic VTA dopamine neuron responses to sucrose-predictive

Pavlovian cues as a potential mechanism contributing to oxytocin's anorexigenic effects.

Material and Methods

Subjects

For experiments 1-5, male Sprague-Dawley rats (Envigo, Indianapolis, IN;

weighing >250 g) were individually housed in a temperature controlled (22-23°C)

vivarium with ad libitum access to water and chow (LabDiet 5001, Lab Diet, St. Louis,

MO; except where noted). Given that some experiments involved chronic food

restriction, rats were individually housed for precise control of food rationing. Rats were

maintained on a 12hr:12hr reverse light/dark cycle (lights off at 1000h). All procedures

were approved by the Institute of Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of

Southern California.

For experiment 6, male Long Evans rats expressing Cre recombinase under the

control of the tyrosine hydroxylase promoter [TH:Cre+, Rat Research Resource Center,

RRRC#:659] were individually housed in a temperature controlled (22-23°C) vivarium,

and were moderately food restricted with 15 g of chow per day throughout the duration

of their training and experiments. This modest amount of food restriction permitted

gradual weight gain throughout training and testing. Rats were maintained on a

12hr:12hr light/dark cycle (lights on at 0700h). Procedures were approved by the

Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Illinois at Chicago.

Surgery

For all surgical procedures, rats were anesthetized and sedated via intramuscular

injections of ketamine (90 mg/kg), xylazine (2.8 mg/kg), and acepromazine (0.72

mg/kg). Rats were also given analgesic (subcutaneous injection of ketaprofen [5mg/kg]

or meloxicam [0.1 mL of 5 mg/mL meloxicam]) after surgery and once daily for 3 subsequent days thereafter). All rats recovered for at least one-week post-surgery prior to experiments.

For central pharmacological oxytocin delivery, rats were surgically implanted with indwelling guide cannula (26-gauge, Plastics One, Roanoke, VA) targeting the lateral ventricle using the following stereotaxic coordinates, which are relative to the location of bregma and dorsal/ventral (DV) coordinates relative to the skull surface at the cannula implantation site: -0.9 mm anterior/posterior (AP), +1.8 mm medial/lateral (ML), and -2.6 mm DV. Cannula were affixed to the skull as previously described using jeweler's screws and dental cement (Liu et al., 2020). Following one week of recovery, cannula placement was evaluated via measurement of cytoglucopenia-induced sympathoadrenal-mediated glycemic effect that occurs from 210 μg (in 2 μl) of 5-thio-D-glucose (5TG) (Slusser and Ritter, 1980). Food was removed 1 hr prior to the placement test. Rats were injected with 5TG via injectors that extended 2 mm beyond the end of the guide cannula, and blood glucose was measured at 30, 50, 90, and 120 min post-injection. Placement was deemed correct if blood glucose doubled within the measurement period. For rats who did not pass, injector length was adjusted until correct placement was achieved.

For fiber photometry, a Cre-dependent virus containing the construct for a genetically encoded Ca^{2+} indicator (AAV1.Syn.Flex.GCaMP6f.WPRE.SV40) was unilaterally administered to the VTA of TH:Cre+ rats (VTA; 1 μl of 0.5e13 GC/mL: AP -5.4, ML -0.7, DV -815, mm) using a rate of 0.1 μl/min and a 5 min post infusion period to allow for diffusion before the injector was removed. The combination of Cre-

dependent construct and transgenic rat leads to strong and selective construct

expression in dopamine neurons (Konanur et al. 2020; Decot et al. 2017). Next, an optic

fiber (flat 400um core, 0.48 NA, Doric Lenses Inc.) was implanted directly above the

injection site (AP -5.4, ML -0.7, DV -8.00). Lastly, an ICV cannula was implanted as

described above. Rats were given 2-week recovery prior to behavioral training

procedures. Rats with missed fiber optic placement were excluded from the experiment

(n=3 removed).

Behavior

Conditioned Place Preference (CPP): CPP training and testing procedures (Fig

1A) were conducted as described previously (Hsu et al., 2015; Kanoski et al., 2014b;

Kanoski et al., 2011b). Briefly, the CPP apparatus consisted of two conjoined plexiglass

compartments with a guillotine door separating the two sides (Med Associates, Fairfax,

VT, USA). The two sides (contexts) were distinguished by wall color and floor texture.

Rats (n=18, n=8/aCSF, n=9/oxytocin) were given one 15 min habituation session with

the guillotine door open and video recording to measure time spent in each context. For

each rat, the least preferred context during habituation was designated as the food-

paired context for subsequent training.

Training occurred in the early phase of the dark cycle and home-cage chow was

pulled 2.5 hr prior to each training session. CPP training consisted of 16 daily, 20-min

sessions: eight sessions isolated in the food-paired context and eight sessions isolated in

the non-food-paired context. Context training order was randomized. During the food-

paired sessions, 5 g of 45% kcal high fat/sucrose diet (D12451, Research Diets, New

Brunswick, NJ, USA) was placed on the chamber floor, and no food was presented

during non-food-paired sessions. All rats consumed the entire 5 g of food during each

food-paired session.

CPP testing occurred 2 days after the last training session using a between-

subjects design, and groups were matched for baseline context preference. Immediately

before the testing session, rats received either lateral ICV 1 µg/1µl oxytocin (Bachem,

Torrance, CA, USA) or artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) vehicle injections. During

testing, the guillotine door remained open and rats were allowed to freely explore both

contexts for 15 min. No food was present during testing. Time spent in each context

during the test was later calculated from video recordings by an experimenter blind to

the group and context-food assignments. The dependent variable was the percentage

shift in preference for the food-associated context during testing compared with the

baseline session.

Differential reinforcement of low rates of responding (DRL): DRL training and

testing procedures (Fig 2A) were conducted as described previously (Hsu et al., 2018b;

Noble et al., 2019). Rats (n=12, within-subjects design) were first habituated in their

home cage to consume twenty 45 mg sucrose pellets (F0023, Bio-Serv, Flemington, NJ,

USA)). Throughout DRL training (5 days/week), home cage chow was removed 1 h prior

to training, which began at the onset of the dark cycle, and was returned to the rats

following training. During training, rats were placed in an operant chamber (Med

Associates, Fairfax, VT, USA) containing an active lever (reinforced with one 45 mg

sucrose pellet) and an inactive lever (non-reinforced). Training sessions were 45 min

long, during which both levers were extended at the start of the session and retracted at

the end of the session. Neither lever retracted at all during the session, and no visual or

audio cues were used during training or testing. For the first five days of training, rats

were on a DRL0 schedule, where each active lever press was reinforced with a 0 sec time

delay. They were then switched to a DRL5 schedule for five days, where rats had to

withhold presses on the active lever for at least a 5 sec interval for each active lever press

to be reinforced. Active lever presses that occurred before the 5 sec had elapsed were not

reinforced and the timer was restarted. They were then switched to 5 days of DRL10 (10

sec withholding period) and 10 days of DRL20 (20 sec withholding period). Efficiency in

DRL was calculated as the number of pellets earned divided by the number of active

lever presses.

The DRL test was conducted using a within-subjects design and no training

sessions occurred on days between tests. Oxytocin (Bachem, Torrance, CA, USA) or

vehicle treatment order was counterbalanced based on efficiency during training, and

treatments were separated by 72 h. On test days, home cage chow was removed 1 h prior

and testing began at dark onset. ICV injections of 1 µg/µl oxytocin or vehicle were

administered immediately prior to testing on a DRL20 schedule.

Progressive Ratio (PROG) / Chow Feeding Choice Task: Training and testing in

the PROG/Chow choice task (Fig 3A) was adapted from Salamone and colleagues

(Randall et al., 2012; SanMiguel et al., 2018; Yohn et al., 2016). Following surgical

recovery, rats (n=11, within-subjects design) were brought down to and maintained at

85% of their free-feeding body weight. Rats were habituated to twenty 45 mg sucrose

pellets (F0023, Bio-Serv, Flemington, NJ, USA) in the home cage prior to training.

Behavioral sessions (30 min, 5 days/week) were conducted in operant conditioning

chambers (Med Associates, Fairfax, VT, USA) and began at the onset of the dark cycle.

Rats were initially trained to lever press on a FR1 schedule (one active lever press for

one sucrose pellet) for 5 days, and then shifted to a PROG schedule for 10 days. During

the PROG session, the ratio started at FR1 and sequentially increased by one every time

15 reinforcers were obtained (FR1 x 15, FR2 x 15, FR3 x 15….). The rats were then

introduced to free chow (Laboratory Rodent Diet 5001, St. Louis, MO, USA)

concurrently available in addition to the PROG sessions for 5 days. Active lever presses,

inactive lever presses, pellets earned, highest PROG ratio achieved, and chow intake

(including spill) were recorded throughout training.

Testing in the PROG/Chow feeding choice task was conducted using a within-

subjects design, and treatments were separated by 72 h. Drug treatment order was

counterbalanced and matched by performance during the last day of training (ratio of

sucrose to chow intake, kCal). The rats received lateral ICV 1 µg/µl oxytocin (Bachem,

Torrance, CA, USA) or vehicle immediately prior to 30 min test session, where PROG

performance and chow intake were measured (just as in training sessions).

In addition to the PROG/Chow feeding choice task, the effect of ICV oxytocin on

preference between consumption of free chow and free sucrose concurrently available in

the apparatus was also examined (n=10, within-subjects design). Rats were maintained

at 85% of their free-feeding body weight and tested at the onset of the dark cycle.

Treatments were separated by 48 h and drug treatment order was counterbalanced.

Using the same apparatus (but without extension of the levers) and drug injection

parameters as the PROG/Chow feeding choice task, intake of freely available chow and

freely available sucrose was measured in a 30 min test session and ratio of intake was

calculated.

Incentive Learning: Rats were trained in an instrumental incentive learning task,

modified from (Wassum et al., 2011a; Wassum et al., 2011b; Wassum et al., 2009). Rats

(n=21, n=6/dep aCSF, n=8/dep OT, n=8/sated aCSF) were habituated to twenty 45 mg

sucrose pellets (F0023, Bio-Serv, Flemington, NJ, USA) in the home cage prior to

training. Training sessions occurred daily at the onset of the dark cycle, and food was

pulled 1 hr prior to the start of the session and returned 1 hr after completion of the

session. Each session started with insertion of the levers where appropriate and ended

with retraction of the levers.

For magazine and single-action instrumental training, rats received 3 d of

magazine training where they received 20 noncontingent sucrose deliveries in the

operant chamber with the levers retracted. Following magazine training, rats received 3

d of single-action instrumental training, where right lever presses were continuously

reinforced up to 20 pellets or 30 min elapsed.

Rats were next trained in the reward-delivery chain phase (Fig 4A). Initially, only

the left (seeking) lever was retracted. One left lever press was rewarded by presentation

of the right (taking) lever, and one right lever press was rewarded with delivery of one

45 mg sucrose pellet. This reward-delivery chain lasted until 20 pellets were earned or

30 min elapsed. The seeking lever was continuously rewarded with the taking lever for

four sessions, then the reinforcement schedule was increased to random ratio (RR)-2 for

four sessions, and then to RR-4 until stable lever pressing was obtained (approximately

three to five sessions). The taking lever was always continuously rewarded (FR-1) and retracted after sucrose delivery. Rats that did not earn 20 sucrose pellets on a RR-4 schedule were pulled out from the experiment.

For the US exposure phase, rats were then split into groups of 1 h restriction (sated) + aCSF, 24 h restriction (dep) + aCSF, or 24 h restriction (dep) + oxytocin (Fig 4B). Rats were appropriately food restricted and received 1 µg/µl oxytocin or vehicle ICV injections immediately prior to an exposure session. Exposure session was held in a novel context, where all rats received 30 noncontingent sucrose pellets to consume in 40 min. The exposure session was followed by 2 d of ad libitum re-feeding in the home cage.

For the incentive learning test session, all rats were 24 h food-deprived prior to the test session that occurred in the operant chambers. Rats were tested under extinction in the reward-delivery train on an RR-4 reinforcement schedule for 4 min. Right lever presses, left lever presses, and seconds between right (taking) lever presses were measured.

Open field: General activity level and anxiety were measured in open field, which consists of a large gray bin, 60 cm (L) X 56 cm (W), that is placed under diffuse even lighting (30 lux). A center zone is marked in the bin [19 cm (L) X 17.5 cm (W)]. AnyMaze Software (Stoelting Co., Wood Dale, IL) was used to track rat movement. Rats (n=9, within-subjects) were tested under ad lib feeding conditions 1 hr after the onset of the dark cycle. Rats received 1 µg/µl oxytocin or vehicle ICV injections immediately prior to the test, where they were allowed to freely explore the arena for 10 min. The apparatus was cleaned with 10% ethanol after each rat was tested.

Cue-predictive reward task for measurement of VTA dopamine neuron activity:

After in vivo fiber photometry preparation, food restricted rats (n=4) were trained to

associate a cue with brief access to sucrose. Training and experimental sessions were

conducted during the light phase in standard operant chambers (ENV-009A-CT, Med

Associates, Fairfax, VT, USA). While the procedures described above were conducted

during the dark cycle, photometry protocols were established and optimized in the light

cycle (Konanur et al., 2020) and thus rats underwent daily training for 8 consecutive

days during the light cycle. Each training session was comprised of 40 trials where a

trial consisted of a 1 sec audio cue (4.5 kHz tone or white noise) followed by either 20

sec availability of a retractable sipper containing a 0.3 M sucrose solution (CS+ trials;

n=20) or a dry sipper (CS- trials; n=20). Licks were timestamped using a contact

lickometer and controller (ENV-252 M; ENV-250, Med Associates Inc.). The audio cue

paired with each sipper was counterbalanced across rats. Trials were separated by a

randomly selected, variable inter-trial interval (32-48 sec). Following eight training

days, test sessions were conducted identical to training sessions but lateral ventricle

injections of vehicle, 0.3 μg/ μl oxytocin, or 1 μg/μl oxytocin (within-subjects) were

made immediately prior.

Fiber Photometry and Signal Normalization

For in vivo fiber photometry, LEDs delivered 465 nm (Ca^{2+} dependent) and 405

nm (Ca^{2+} independent) excitation. Intensity of the 465 nm and 405 nm light were

sinusoidally modulated at 211 Hz and 531 Hz, respectively, for all recording sessions.

Intensity was then coupled to a filter cube (FMC4 contains excitation filters at 405 nm,

460–490 nm and emission filters at 500–550 nm, Doric Lenses), and converged into an

optical fiber patch cord mated to the fiber optic implant. GCaMP6f fluorescence was

collected and focused onto a photoreceiver (Visible Femtowatt Photo- receiver Model

2151, Newport). A lock-in amplifier and data acquisition system (RZ5P; Tucker Davis

Technologies) was used to demodulate 465 nm and 405 nm excited fluorescence.

Behavioral events (e.g. cue, licks) were timestamped and sent as digital inputs to the

same data acquisition system and recorded in software (Synapse Suite, Tucker Davis

Technologies). The signal was then processed with Fourier transformed subtraction to

calculate fluorescence due to fluctuations in Ca^{2+} and bleaching and movement artifacts

($\Delta F/F$). The subtracted signal was smoothed using a custom fifth order bandpass

butterworth filter (cutoff frequencies: 0.05 Hz, 2.25 Hz).

To compare behavior-related responses across recording sessions, the smoothed

Fourier subtracted Ca^{2+} specific signal of each session was normalized by the mean

transient Ca^{2+} amplitude of that session (Konanur et al., 2020). A transient was defined

as a point 3 standard deviations above the previous point. The normalized signal was

then aligned to a 15 sec cue window around cue onset. All data processing was

performed using custom MATLAB scripts (available upon request).

Statistical Analyses

All experiments met assumptions of normality (Shaprio Wilk), and Experiments

2-6 met assumptions for equality of variance (Levene's tests). Experiment 1 did not pass

assumption of equality variance test, and was thus analyzed using between-subjects

Welch's t-test. Experiments 2, 3, and 5 were analyzed using paired t-tests. 15-min time

blocks of active presses, pellets earned, and efficiency in experiment 2 (DRL) were

analyzed using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with time and drug as within-

subjects variables, with Bonferroni post-hoc tests for multiple comparisons. Experiment

4 was analyzed using a one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni post-hoc tests for multiple

comparisons.

All photometry data were analyzed using parametric repeated measures one or

two-way ANOVAs. In all photometry experiments, signal windows were averaged across

trials for individual rats, then between rats. For experiment 5, acquisition of CS+/CS-

discrimination was measured by 1st lick latency and % of trials containing at least one

lick. Analyses here utilized two-way repeated measures ANOVA with cue and day as

within-subjects variables. The magnitude of cue-evoked dopamine neuron transients

(mean of signal 0 s to 1 s after cue onset) during CS+ compared to CS- were analyzed

using student's t-test. In oxytocin treatments involving fiber photometry, mean signal 1

s after cue onset and behavioral measures in each drug treatment were compared using

one-way repeated measures ANOVAs. When drug main effects were found, Bonferroni

post-hoc tests were used to compare individual drug treatments.

Effect size estimates were calculated for all significant group differences.

Statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism 8 with an α level of

significance of $p < .05$.

Results

Experiment 1: Central oxytocin reduces food seeking behavior in the absence of
consumption (conditioned place preference expression)

ICV oxytocin administration prior to testing significantly decreased conditioned

place preference expression for the palatable food-associated context relative to vehicle

(expressed as % shift from baseline preference, Fig 1B) ($t_{[15]}$ = 2.47, p = 0.03; effect size

d = -1.151), suggesting that central oxytocin signaling reduces palatable food seeking

behavior in the absence of consumption.

Experiment 2: Central oxytocin decreases food impulsivity (differential reinforcement
of low rates of responding task)

ICV administration of oxytocin significantly reduced impulsive behavior in the

DRL20 schedule (Fig 2). Oxytocin significantly increased the average number of seconds

between active lever presses ($t_{[11]}$ = 6.17, p = 7E-05, fig 2G). Vehicle-treated rats waited

an average of 26.05 sec between active lever presses, whereas ICV oxytocin-treated rats

waited an average of 92.95 sec between active lever presses. Furthermore, ICV oxytocin

significantly decreased the number of sucrose pellets earned ($t_{[11]}$ = 9.17, p = 1.7E-06, fig

2F) and active lever presses ($t_{[11]}$ = 9.04, p = 2E-06 , fig 2E) in the DRL test. There were

also no significant differences in number of inactive lever presses (fig 2D). Overall

efficiency during the test (fig 2C), a measure of impulsive behavior, was calculated by

dividing pellets earned by number of active lever presses. ICV oxytocin treatment

significantly increased efficiency in the task ($t_{[11]}$ = 5.11, p = 0.0003, fig 2C; effect size d

= 1.759), indicating reduced impulsivity. When efficiency is broken down into three 15

min time blocks, there is a significant time (p < 0.0001) and drug (p = 0.0075) main

effect, but no significant time by drug interaction. However, post-hoc analyses reveal

significant differences in efficiency between oxytocin and vehicle treatments in the first

15 min, but not the mid 15 min or last 15 min (fig 2J).

Experiment 3: Central oxytocin shifts food choice from high-effort palatable towards low-effort bland food (PROG versus chow choice task)

Motivated behaviors are often characterized by increased work to obtain reinforcement, so we tested effort-based decision making using the PROG versus choice task. A 2-treament within-subjects analysis demonstrates that oxytocin significantly decreased highest PROG ratio achieved ($t_{[10]}$ = 5.63,p = 0.0002, fig 3C), pellets earned ($t_{[10]}$ = 7.76, p < 0.0001, Fig 3D), and free chow consumption ($t_{[10]}$ = 2.51, p = 0.03, fig 3E). Moreover, oxytocin significantly decreased the ratio of sucrose over total intake ($t_{[10]}$ = 4.29, p = 0.0016; effect size d = -0.889, Fig 3F), suggesting a preferential decrease in motivation for high-effort sucrose in favor of low-effort chow. In addition to examining effort-based choice, the effect of oxytocin on preference between freely available chow and freely available sucrose in the conditioning chamber was also measured. ICV oxytocin significantly reduced sucrose intake ($t_{[9]}$ = 3.77, p = 0.0045, Fig 3G). Interestingly, ICV oxytocin had no effect on chow intake (Fig 3H). Thus, oxytocin significantly reduced the ratio of sucrose intake relative to chow intake ($t_{[9]}$ = 2.37, p = 0 0.042; effect size d = -1.412, Fig 3I).

Experiment 4: Central oxytocin does not affect postingestive reward value encoding (incentive learning task)

Incentive learning is the process of modifying the incentive value of a specific food through outcome-nutritive state learning (Balleine, 2001; Balleine and Dickinson, 1998a; Balleine and Dickinson, 1998b). Results reveal that ICV oxytocin did not influence the capacity of food restriction to update/enhance the incentive value of consuming sucrose. Analysis of reward seeking behavior during testing (as a percent of

baseline) revealed a significant group effect ($F_{[2,16]}$ = 4.52, p = 0.005; effect size d =

1.24). Posthoc comparisons revealed that the dep aCSF and dep oxytocin groups were

significantly different from the sated aCSF group, but not from each other.

Experiment 5: Central oxytocin administration does not affect general activity levels
or anxiety-like behavior in an open field test

ICV oxytocin or vehicle was administered immediately prior to an open field test,

where rats are allowed to freely move in a novel chamber devoid of food or food-related

cues. Results reveal that ICV oxytocin did not significantly affect the distance traveled

(Fig 5A), nor did it affect time spent in the center zone (Fig 5B).

Experiment 6: Central oxytocin administration suppresses VTA phasic dopamine
neuron activity in response to cues associated with palatable food.

Rats were trained to discriminate between a conditioned stimulus plus (CS+) that

predicted the presentation of sucrose reward and a conditioned stimulus minus (CS-)

that predicted no reinforcement. As training progressed, rats successfully discriminated

between CS+ and CS- cues as measured by significant differences in latency to first lick

and percent of trials with a lick (Fig 6A (top): $F_{[7, 21]}$ = 5.30, p = 0.0013 main effect of

day; $F_{[1, 3]}$ = 212.77, p = 0.0007 main effect of cue; $F_{[7, 21]}$ = 19.95 p < 0.0001 day x cue

interaction. Fig 6A (bottom): $F_{[7,21]}$ = 1.405 p = 0.26 no main effect of day; $F_{[1, 3]}$ =

253.50 p = 0.0005 main effect of cue; $F_{[7,42]}$ = 17.06 p<0.0001 day x cue interaction).

Fiber photometry (Fig 6B, histology and fiber optic placement in Fig 6C-D) revealed a

robust, time-locked dopamine response to the CS+, but not the CS- (Fig 6E; t(3) = 8.49

p = 0.0034). Further, differences between the CS- and CS+ dopamine response 1 sec

post-cue had an (effect size d = 1.025). We found no effect of central oxytocin on cue-

evoked VTA phasic dopamine activity across the entirety of the behavioral session (veh:

0.22±0.05; 0.3µg OT: 0.18±0.03; 1µg OT: 0.18±0.05; data are mean±SEM. $F_{[2,6]}$ =

2.089, p = 0.21). However, a priori analyses were also conducted across the 1st 10 trials

(minutes 0-20) based on the short half-life of central oxytocin (Mens et al., 1983) and

based on our impulsivity data revealing maximum drug effect in the 1st 15-min epoch

(Fig 2H-J). Results from this analysis revealed that both the 0.3 µg and 1 µg dose

significantly reduced cue-evoked VTA phasic dopamine responses relative to vehicle

treatment (Fig 6F, 6G; $F_{[2,6]}$ = 8.880 p= 0.016 main effect of drug; Bonferroni post-hoc:

p = 0.036 vehicle vs. 0.3 µg oxytocin, p = 0.015 vehicle vs. 1 µg OT). Additionally, we

demonstrate that central oxytocin has no impact on 1st lick-evoked VTA phasic

dopamine activity (Fig 6H, Ca2+ signal aligned to first lick, F[2,6]=0.17, p=0.85, no

main effect of treatment), suggesting that central oxytocin signaling impacts VTA phasic

dopamine responses to cues associated with food, but not consummatory behaviors.

Discussion and Conclusions

Central oxytocin signaling potently reduces food intake and plays a key role in

regulating overall energy balance (Blevins and Ho, 2013; Leng et al., 2008). The

behavioral and neuronal mechanisms mediating these effects are poorly understood. In

order to probe the behavioral intricacies through which oxytocin inhibits food intake, we

looked at the effect of central (lateral ICV) oxytocin administration in a number of

distinct conditioned palatable food reinforcement-associated behavioral tasks. Results

reveal that central oxytocin reduced palatable food seeking behavior in the absence of

consumption in a nonreinforced conditioned place preference test. ICV oxytocin also

reduced effort-based palatable food-directed operant responding under ad libitum-fed

(nonrestricted) conditions in the DRL task, resulting in a higher task efficiency which is

indicative of reduced impulsivity. These findings may also result from decreased

motivation for sucrose, and thus we also examined the effect of oxytocin in an effort-

based decision-making task. We found that ICV oxytocin reduced effort-based operant

responding for palatable food under food-restricted conditions in the PROG vs. chow

choice task, where oxytocin shifted the ratio of food consumed from effort-based

responding (lever pressing) on a PROG schedule for a preferred food (sucrose) to the

less preferred lab chow that is freely available in the apparatus. Consistent with a

preference shift in favor of chow over sucrose, ICV oxytocin also significantly reduced

consumption of sucrose but not chow in a choice test in which both foods were freely

available in the training apparatus. Additional results show that central oxytocin

signaling did not influence incentive learning, or the process in which rats learn about

the value of rewards based on postingestive feedback. Furthermore, oxytocin did not

affect general activity levels in an open field test, suggesting that the reductions in

sucrose-motivated behavior were not secondary to lethargy or impaired motor

responses. Overall these findings show that central oxytocin signaling reduces palatable

food-directed responses under various conditions but does not significantly influence

learning about the postingestive reinforcing properties of sucrose consumption.

Modulation of phasic dopamine neural responses is a feasible mechanism

through which oxytocin reduces palatable food-directed responses, as the conditioned

place preference test, DRL impulsivity test, and PROG vs. chow choice test are all

influenced by pharmacological manipulations targeting dopamine receptors (Randall et

al., 2012; Simon et al., 2013; Spyraki et al., 1982). Moreover, oxytocin neurons in the

paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus (PVH) directly project to VTA dopamine neurons

(Beier et al., 2015; Peris et al., 2017; Xiao et al., 2017) and oxytocin administration to the

VTA suppresses sucrose intake (Mullis et al., 2013). Here we recorded phasic dopamine

neuron calcium activity in awake and behaving male rats in an appetitive Pavlovian

conditioning task and results revealed that central oxytocin administration suppressed

food (sucrose) cue-evoked dopamine neuron responses. These effects were observed

during the first ten trials only (within ~20 min into the session), which suggests that

oxytocin-mediated inhibition of food reward seeking behavior, consistent with effects on

reducing chow intake (Ho et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2020; Ong et al., 2015), is immediate

and short-lasting. By providing an immediate and brief anorexigenic signal, oxytocin

may be involved in attention-redirection for need-based prioritization of behavior (e.g.,

contextual and energetic state-driven shifting between appetitive and social behavior)

(Burnett et al., 2019), which is an area for future investigation.

Electrophysiological studies demonstrate that oxytocin administration, as well as

optogenetic stimulation of oxytocinergic terminals, enhances activity of VTA dopamine

neurons (Xiao et al., 2017). In contrast, systemic oxytocin administration reduces

dopamine release (as measured by in vivo fixed potential amperometry) in the nucleus

accumbens under baseline conditions and following nomifensine, a dopamine reuptake

inhibitor (Estes et al., 2019). Consistent with this latter report, present results reveal

reduced dopamine neuron activity in response to presentation of a sucrose-conditioned

cue. It is possible these conflicting results occur because, while oxytocin reduces

motivated behavior for palatable food, oxytocin enhances social reward and modulates

attention-orienting responses to external contextual social cues (Shamay-Tsoory and

Abu-Akel, 2016). Indeed, VTA oxytocin administration increases dopamine release in

the nucleus accumbens in response to sociality and activation of this circuit enhances

prosocial behaviors (Hung et al., 2017; Shahrokh et al., 2010), an effect that occurs

through coordinated activity with serotonin (Dolen et al., 2013). Thus, the influence of

oxytocin signaling on dopamine neural responses may be dependent on the conditions

of behavioral testing, with oxytocin enhancing dopamine signaling in the context of

sociality, and reducing dopamine signaling in the context of food-associated stimuli.

In addition to a complex reinforcement-dependent relationship between

oxytocin and dopamine signaling, oxytocin has also been proposed to be conditionally

anorexigenic depending on social context (Olszewski et al., 2016). For example,

intranasal oxytocin increases food sharing in the common vampire bat (Carter and

Wilkinson, 2015) and peripheral oxytocin enhances the social transmission of food

preference in rats (Popik and Van Ree, 1993). Moreover, hierarchy social factors

influence the effectiveness of oxytocin receptor ligands on food intake. Specifically,

administration of an oxytocin receptor antagonist in dominant mice increases the

amount of sugar consumed regardless of social or nonsocial contexts, whereas in

subordinate rats, it only increases the amount of sugar consumed in a setting devoid of

social cues (Olszewski et al., 2015).

In contrast to the enhancement of social reinforcement and similar to its effects

on reducing food intake and food-motivated responses, oxytocin also potently inhibits

ethanol, methamphetamine, and cocaine seeking and consumption (Cox et al., 2017;

Kohtz et al., 2018; Peters et al., 2017). Oxytocin has been proposed to promote social

affiliation, in part, by reducing behavioral effects of drugs of abuse via alterations in

dopamine neurotransmission (Insel, 2003; Mattson et al., 2001), a model that can

potentially apply to reduction of food-motivated behaviors. Consistent with this

framework, suckling stimulation in lactating dams and cocaine exposure in virgin

females activate the dopamine system. However, lactating dams exposed to cocaine

show reduced activity in dopaminergic-associated pathways, which the authors suggest

may be in part due to oxytocin (Ferris et al., 2005). These studies collectively suggest

that oxytocin signaling may prioritize behavior towards socially-relevant cues in favor or

other reinforcers. Future studies are needed to further examine whether oxytocin's

influence on dopamine signaling and food-motivated behavior are dependent on the

nature of the reinforcement and the context.

Our findings demonstrate that while dopamine-associated conditioned food

reward-directed behaviors were reduced under various conditions following ICV

oxytocin administration, central oxytocin did not influence incentive learning.

Specifically, ICV oxytocin administered prior to consuming sucrose after an energetic

motivational shift (from satiety to hunger/fasted) did not affect sucrose-motivated

behavior when subsequently tested after a fast. When considered together with our

results showing that central oxytocin reduced dopamine neuron responses to a sucrose-

associated cue, these results are consistent with previous literature demonstrating that

instrumental incentive learning, using procedures similar to those in the present study,

is not affected by treatment of flupenthixol, a D1 and D2 receptor antagonist (Wassum

et al., 2011b). Furthermore, our incentive learning results suggest that oxytocin may act

downstream via a ventral and not dorsal striatal pathway to influence food-motivated

behavior. For example, Tellez and colleagues identified dissociable basal ganglia

sensorimotor circuits that encode hedonic versus metabolic values of reward (Tellez et

al., 2016), where dorsal striatal descending pathways are recruited to encode the

nutritional (postingestive) aspects of food, and ventral striatum descending pathways

transmit hedonic (flavor) value of food. These findings combined with present results

support a putative model in which oxytocin signals downstream to ventral striatal

dopaminergic pathways to reduce conditioned food cue-directed motivated behaviors,

without influencing dorsal striatum-mediated postingestive incentive learning.

While present results identify a role for central oxytocin signaling in modulating

palatable food cue-directed behavior and mesolimbic dopamine signaling, one

limitation of the present study is that the mediating sites of CNS oxytocin action were

not investigated. Oxytocin receptors are expressed widely in various feeding-associated

regions throughout the brain, including in the amygdaloid complex, VTA, hippocampus,

basal ganglia, prefrontal cortex, caudal brainstem, and hypothalamus (Freund-Mercier

et al., 1987; Otero-Garcia et al., 2016). In addition to the VTA, one region that may be

relevant to present results is the ventral subregion of the hippocampus, which has

robust oxytocin receptor expression (Freund-Mercier et al., 1987) and is involved in

food impulsivity (Noble et al., 2019), conditioned place preference for palatable food

(Kanoski et al., 2011a; Zhou et al., 2019), and striatal dopamine signaling (Kanoski et al.,

2013). Additionally, the prefrontal cortex plays a critical role in effort-related food

choice behavior (Walton et al., 2002) as well as food impulsivity (Hsu et al., 2018b), and

may therefore be a likely candidate site of action for oxytocin's effects on food reward-

directed behavior. In addition to these forebrain regions, oxytocin signaling potently

reduces feeding in the hindbrain nucleus tractus solitarius (mNTS). While this region is

more classically associated with meal size and satiation control, recent results link the

mNTS in the control of conditioned food reward-directed behaviors (Kanoski et al.,

2014a; Liu and Kanoski, 2018). These and other potential sites of action should be

explored in future studies, as they may act in conjunction and/or in parallel with

dopaminergic mesolimbic ventral striatal pathways to mediate oxytocin's suppression of

palatable food cue-directed responses. A second limitation of the present study is that,

with the exception of the photometry experiment, we utilized a single dose (1 μg) of

oxytocin for behavioral analyses. While this dose was selected based on our previous

work where it reliably reduced 30 min chow intake in rats following ICV administration

(Liu et al., 2020), oxytocin has been shown to have dose-related U-shaped relationship

with social trust behavior in humans (Zhong et al., 2012). Thus, a future direction is to

examine whether such U-shaped relationships occur when various doses of central

oxytocin are tested on conditioned food reward-directed behaviors. Lastly, with regards

to the photometry, the present study recorded dopamine neuron activity in the VTA,

however, it is unclear if this activity resulted in dopamine release and, if so, in which

terminal regions (e.g. nucleus accumbens, medial prefrontal cortex, etc.). A number of

recent investigations have demonstrated dopamine release can occur independent of

cell body activity (Mateo et al., 2017; Mohebi et al., 2019; Threlfell et al., 2012). Future

work will need to utilize fluorescent dopamine sensors (dlight, GRAB-DA) to determine

downstream dopamine release dynamics in response to central oxytocin signaling.

Collectively, our results reveal that central oxytocin administration reduces

motivated behaviors in response to conditioned food cues under various conditions.

Furthermore, oxytocin suppresses food cue-evoked phasic VTA dopamine neuron

responses, thus providing a potential neurobiological mechanism for the capacity of

central oxytocin signaling to rapidly inhibit palatable food-directed behavior. These

results illuminate novel behavioral and neurochemical mechanisms through which

oxytocin inhibits food intake, thus further supporting oxytocin's potential as a target

system for obesity pharmacotherapy development.

Acknowledgements

We thank Elizabeth Davis, Sarah Terrill, Linda Tsan, and Lekha Chirala for their critical

contributions to the research. This study was supported by the National Institute of Health

grants: DK118402 (SEK), DK104897 (SEK), DA025634 (MFR), DK111158 (EEN), DA047052

(TMH), DK118944 (CML), DK116558 (ANS).

Competing Interests

The authors have nothing to disclose.

 Figure Captions

Figure 1: ICV oxytocin suppresses food seeking behavior in the conditioned place

preference (CPP) test. (A) Schematic of CPP training and test procedures. (B) Effect of

ICV oxytocin on time spent on the food-paired side during the test (represented as a

percent shift from baseline). Data are mean $\pm$ SEM; *p<0.05.

Figure 2: ICV oxytocin reduces impulsive responding in the differential reinforcement

of low rates of responding (DRL) task. (A) Schematic of DRL training and testing

procedures. (B) Efficiency (pellets earned divided by number of active lever presses)

over the last 10 days of DRL20 training. (C) Efficiency for entire test session (45 min)

following ICV administration of oxytocin. (D-F) Total inactive lever presses, active lever

presses, and sucrose pellets earned for entire test session following ICV administration

of oxytocin. (G) Average seconds between active lever presses following ICV

administration of oxytocin for entire test session. (H-J) Active lever presses, pellets

earned, and efficiency broken into 15 min time blocks following ICV administration of

oxytocin. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM; *p<0.05.

Figure 3: ICV oxytocin reduces effort-based consumption of sucrose over freely

available chow in a PROG/Chow choice task. (A) Schematic of the PROG/Chow choice

task. (B) Ratio of sucrose intake over total intake (kCal) over the course of five days

training in the choice task. (C-F) Highest PROG ratio achieved, PROG sucrose pellets

earned, free chow intake, and ratio of PROG sucrose intake over total intake in the

PROG/Chow choice task following ICV oxytocin administration. (G-I) Free sucrose

pellets consumed, free chow intake, and ratio of free sucrose intake over total intake in

free sucrose/free chow choice task following ICV oxytocin administration. Data are

mean $\pm$ SEM; *p<0.05.

Figure 4: Oxytocin does not play a role in postingestive reward value encoding in an

instrumental incentive learning task. (A) Schematic of reward-delivery chain in an

instrumental incentive learning task. (B) Table outlining drug treatment and

deprivation state for three experimental groups: sated aCSF, 24 hr food deprived (dep)

aCSF, 24 hr food deprived (dep) oxytocin. (C) Reward seeking behavior (% baseline) on

the reward delivery chain represented by rate of presses on the right (taking) lever

during 4 min extinction session. All rats tested under 24 hr food deprivation. Data are

mean $\pm$ SEM; *p<0.05.

Figure 5: ICV oxytocin does not affect locomotion or anxiety-like behavior in the open

field test. (A) Distance traveled in the open field following ICV oxytocin. (B) Total time

spent in the center zone in the open field following ICV oxytocin.

Figure 6: ICV oxytocin reduces food cue-evoked dopamine neuron response in the

ventral tegmental area. (A) First lick latency and % trials with licks following the CS+

and the CS- during training, where CS+ precedes sucrose presentation and CS- precedes

no food reward. (B) Experimental schematic of fiber photometry and oxytocin

pharmacology in TH:Cre+ rats (C) Representative AAV1-FLEX-gCaMP (green) and

tyrosine hydroxylase (red) expression in the VTA. (D) VTA fiber optic placement. (E)

Representative trace (top) and quantitative ΔF/F (bottom) of phasic dopamine activity

aligned to the CS+ and CS- cues following training. (F) Color plot of dopamine

fluctuations during 15 sec window surrounding presentation of the CS+ and following

ICV administration of 0.3 µg or 1 µg oxytocin. Red boxes highlight first ten trials, where

oxytocin had greatest effect on phasic dopamine signal. (G) Representative traces (left)

and quantitative ΔF/F (right) of cue-evoked phasic dopamine during 15 sec window

surrounding presentation of the CS+ and following ICV administration of 0.3 μg or 1 μg

oxytocin. (H) Quantitative ΔF/F of first lick-evoked phasic dopamine during 15 sec

window surrounding first lick after sipper presentation and following ICV

administration of 0.3 μg or 1 μg oxytocin.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

A

Seeking lever: RR4 → Taking lever: FR1 → 45mg sucrose pellet delivery

B

Group	Train	Exposure state	Exposure drug	Test state
Sated aCSF	Sated	Sated	aCSF	24 hr food dep
Dep aCSF	Sated	24 hr food dep	aCSF	24 hr food dep
Dep Oxytocin	Sated	24 hr food dep	Oxytocin	24 hr food dep

C

Figure 5

A

B

Figure 6

Works Cited

Affleck, V.S., Coote, J.H., Pyner, S., 2012. The projection and synaptic organisation of NTS afferent
connections with presympathetic neurons, GABA and nNOS neurons in the paraventricular nucleus of
the hypothalamus. Neuroscience 219, 48-61.
Atasoy, D., Betley, J.N., Su, H.H., Sternson, S.M., 2012. Deconstruction of a neural circuit for hunger.
Nature 488, 172-177.
Balleine, B.W., 2001. Incentive processes in instrumental conditioning, in: Mowrer, R.R., Klein, S.B.
(Eds.), Handbook of contemporary learning theories. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers, U
California, Los Angeles, CA, US Mahwah, NJ, pp. 307-366.
Balleine, B.W., Dickinson, A., 1998a. Goal-directed instrumental action: contingency and incentive
learning and their cortical substrates. Neuropharmacology 37, 407-419.
Balleine, B.W., Dickinson, A., 1998b. The role of incentive learning in instrumental outcome revaluation
by sensory-specific satiety. Animal Learning & Behavior 26, 46-59.
Beier, K.T., Steinberg, E.E., DeLoach, K.E., Xie, S., Miyamichi, K., Schwarz, L., Gao, X.J., Kremer, E.J.,
Malenka, R.C., Luo, L., 2015. Circuit Architecture of VTA Dopamine Neurons Revealed by Systematic
Input-Output Mapping. Cell 162, 622-634.
Berridge, K.C., 2007. The debate over dopamine's role in reward: the case for incentive salience.
Psychopharmacology 191, 391-431.
Blevins, J.E., Eakin, T.J., Murphy, J.A., Schwartz, M.W., Baskin, D.G., 2003. Oxytocin innervation of caudal
brainstem nuclei activated by cholecystokinin. Brain research 993, 30-41.
Blevins, J.E., Ho, J.M., 2013. Role of oxytocin signaling in the regulation of body weight. Reviews in
endocrine & metabolic disorders 14, 311-329.
Burnett, C.J., Funderburk, S.C., Navarrete, J., Sabol, A., Liang-Guallpa, J., Desrochers, T.M., Krashes, M.J.,
2019. Need-based prioritization of behavior. eLife 8.
Caquineau, C., Leng, G., Guan, X.M., Jiang, M., Van der Ploeg, L., Douglas, A.J., 2006. Effects of alpha-
melanocyte-stimulating hormone on magnocellular oxytocin neurones and their activation at
intromission in male rats. Journal of neuroendocrinology 18, 685-691.
Carter, G.G., Wilkinson, G.S., 2015. Intranasal oxytocin increases social grooming and food sharing in the
common vampire bat Desmodus rotundus. Hormones and behavior 75, 150-153.
Cox, B.M., Bentzley, B.S., Regen-Tuero, H., See, R.E., Reichel, C.M., Aston-Jones, G., 2017. Oxytocin Acts
in Nucleus Accumbens to Attenuate Methamphetamine Seeking and Demand. Biological psychiatry 81,
949-958.
Dolen, G., Darvishzadeh, A., Huang, K.W., Malenka, R.C., 2013. Social reward requires coordinated
activity of nucleus accumbens oxytocin and serotonin. Nature 501, 179-184.
Estes, M.K., Freels, T.G., Prater, W.T., Lester, D.B., 2019. Systemic oxytocin administration alters
mesolimbic dopamine release in mice. Neuroscience 408, 226-238.
Fenselau, H., Campbell, J.N., Verstegen, A.M., Madara, J.C., Xu, J., Shah, B.P., Resch, J.M., Yang, Z.,
Mandelblat-Cerf, Y., Livneh, Y., Lowell, B.B., 2017. A rapidly acting glutamatergic ARC-->PVH satiety
circuit postsynaptically regulated by alpha-MSH. Nature neuroscience 20, 42-51.
Ferrario, C.R., Labouebe, G., Liu, S., Nieh, E.H., Routh, V.H., Xu, S., O'Connor, E.C., 2016. Homeostasis
Meets Motivation in the Battle to Control Food Intake. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal
of the Society for Neuroscience 36, 11469-11481.
Ferris, C.F., Kulkarni, P., Sullivan, J.M., Jr., Harder, J.A., Messenger, T.L., Febo, M., 2005. Pup suckling is
more rewarding than cocaine: evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging and three-
dimensional computational analysis. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for
Neuroscience 25, 149-156.

Freund-Mercier, M.J., Stoeckel, M.E., Palacios, J.M., Pazos, A., Reichhart, J.M., Porte, A., Richard, P.,
1987. Pharmacological characteristics and anatomical distribution of [3H]oxytocin-binding sites in the
Wistar rat brain studied by autoradiography. Neuroscience 20, 599-614.
Ho, J.M., Anekonda, V.T., Thompson, B.W., Zhu, M., Curry, R.W., Hwang, B.H., Morton, G.J., Schwartz,
M.W., Baskin, D.G., Appleyard, S.M., Blevins, J.E., 2014. Hindbrain oxytocin receptors contribute to the
effects of circulating oxytocin on food intake in male rats. Endocrinology 155, 2845-2857.
Hsu, T.M., Hahn, J.D., Konanur, V.R., Lam, A., Kanoski, S.E., 2015. Hippocampal GLP-1 receptors influence
food intake, meal size, and effort-based responding for food through volume transmission.
Neuropsychopharmacology 40, 327-337.
Hsu, T.M., McCutcheon, J.E., Roitman, M.F., 2018a. Parallels and Overlap: The Integration of
Homeostatic Signals by Mesolimbic Dopamine Neurons. Front Psychiatry 9, 410.
Hsu, T.M., Noble, E.E., Liu, C.M., Cortella, A.M., Konanur, V.R., Suarez, A.N., Reiner, D.J., Hahn, J.D.,
Hayes, M.R., Kanoski, S.E., 2018b. A hippocampus to prefrontal cortex neural pathway inhibits food
motivation through glucagon-like peptide-1 signaling. Mol Psychiatry 23, 1555-1565.
Hung, L.W., Neuner, S., Polepalli, J.S., Beier, K.T., Wright, M., Walsh, J.J., Lewis, E.M., Luo, L., Deisseroth,
K., Dolen, G., Malenka, R.C., 2017. Gating of social reward by oxytocin in the ventral tegmental area.
Science (New York, N.Y.) 357, 1406-1411.
Insel, T.R., 2003. Is social attachment an addictive disorder? Physiology & behavior 79, 351-357.
Johnson, A.W., 2013. Eating beyond metabolic need: how environmental cues influence feeding
behavior. Trends Neurosci 36, 101-109.
Kanoski, S.E., Alhadeff, A.L., Fortin, S.M., Gilbert, J.R., Grill, H.J., 2014a. Leptin signaling in the medial
nucleus tractus solitarius reduces food seeking and willingness to work for food.
Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology
39, 605-613.
Kanoski, S.E., Alhadeff, A.L., Fortin, S.M., Gilbert, J.R., Grill, H.J., 2014b. Leptin signaling in the medial
nucleus tractus solitarius reduces food seeking and willingness to work for food.
Neuropsychopharmacology 39, 605.
Kanoski, S.E., Fortin, S.M., Ricks, K.M., Grill, H.J., 2013. Ghrelin signaling in the ventral hippocampus
stimulates learned and motivational aspects of feeding via PI3K-Akt signaling. Biological psychiatry 73,
915-923.
Kanoski, S.E., Hayes, M.R., Greenwald, H.S., Fortin, S.M., Gianessi, C.A., Gilbert, J.R., Grill, H.J., 2011a.
Hippocampal leptin signaling reduces food intake and modulates food-related memory processing.
Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology
36, 1859-1870.
Kanoski, S.E., Hayes, M.R., Greenwald, H.S., Fortin, S.M., Gianessi, C.A., Gilbert, J.R., Grill, H.J., 2011b.
Hippocampal leptin signaling reduces food intake and modulates food-related memory processing.
Neuropsychopharmacology 36, 1859-1870.
Katsurada, K., Maejima, Y., Nakata, M., Kodaira, M., Suyama, S., Iwasaki, Y., Kario, K., Yada, T., 2014.
Endogenous GLP-1 acts on paraventricular nucleus to suppress feeding: projection from nucleus tractus
solitarius and activation of corticotropin-releasing hormone, nesfatin-1 and oxytocin neurons. Biochem
Biophys Res Commun 451, 276-281.
Kohtz, A.S., Lin, B., Smith, M.E., Aston-Jones, G., 2018. Attenuated cocaine-seeking after oxytocin
administration in male and female rats. Psychopharmacology 235, 2051-2063.
Konanur, V.R., Hsu, T.M., Kanoski, S.E., Hayes, M.R., Roitman, M.F., 2020. Phasic dopamine responses to
a food-predictive cue are suppressed by the glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist Exendin-4.
Physiology & behavior 215, 112771.
Larsen, P.J., Tang-Christensen, M., Jessop, D.S., 1997. Central administration of glucagon-like peptide-1
activates hypothalamic neuroendocrine neurons in the rat. Endocrinology 138, 4445-4455.

Lawson, E.A., 2017. The effects of oxytocin on eating behaviour and metabolism in humans. Nature
reviews. Endocrinology 13, 700-709.
Leng, G., Onaka, T., Caquineau, C., Sabatier, N., Tobin, V.A., Takayanagi, Y., 2008. Oxytocin and appetite.
Progress in brain research 170, 137-151.
Liu, C.M., Davis, E.A., Suarez, A.N., Wood, R.I., Noble, E.E., Kanoski, S.E., 2020. Sex Differences and
Estrous Influences on Oxytocin Control of Food Intake. Neuroscience.
Liu, C.M., Kanoski, S.E., 2018. Homeostatic and non-homeostatic controls of feeding behavior: Distinct
vs. common neural systems. Physiology & behavior 193, 223-231.
Maejima, Y., Sedbazar, U., Suyama, S., Kohno, D., Onaka, T., Takano, E., Yoshida, N., Koike, M.,
Uchiyama, Y., Fujiwara, K., Yashiro, T., Horvath, T.L., Dietrich, M.O., Tanaka, S., Dezaki, K., Oh, I.S.,
Hashimoto, K., Shimizu, H., Nakata, M., Mori, M., Yada, T., 2009. Nesfatin-1-regulated oxytocinergic
signaling in the paraventricular nucleus causes anorexia through a leptin-independent melanocortin
pathway. Cell metabolism 10, 355-365.
Mateo, Y., Johnson, K.A., Covey, D.P., Atwood, B.K., Wang, H.L., Zhang, S., Gildish, I., Cachope, R.,
Bellocchio, L., Guzmán, M., Morales, M., Cheer, J.F., Lovinger, D.M., 2017. Endocannabinoid Actions on
Cortical Terminals Orchestrate Local Modulation of Dopamine Release in the Nucleus Accumbens.
Neuron 96, 1112-1126.e1115.
Mattson, B.J., Williams, S., Rosenblatt, J.S., Morrell, J.I., 2001. Comparison of two positive reinforcing
stimuli: pups and cocaine throughout the postpartum period. Behavioral neuroscience 115, 683-694.
Mens, W.B., Witter, A., van Wimersma Greidanus, T.B., 1983. Penetration of neurohypophyseal
hormones from plasma into cerebrospinal fluid (CSF): half-times of disappearance of these
neuropeptides from CSF. Brain research 262, 143-149.
Mohebi, A., Pettibone, J.R., Hamid, A.A., Wong, J.T., Vinson, L.T., Patriarchi, T., Tian, L., Kennedy, R.T.,
Berke, J.D., 2019. Dissociable dopamine dynamics for learning and motivation. Nature 570, 65-70.
Motojima, Y., Kawasaki, M., Matsuura, T., Saito, R., Yoshimura, M., Hashimoto, H., Ueno, H., Maruyama,
T., Suzuki, H., Ohnishi, H., Sakai, A., Ueta, Y., 2016. Effects of peripherally administered cholecystokinin-8
and secretin on feeding/drinking and oxytocin-mRFP1 fluorescence in transgenic rats. Neuroscience
research 109, 63-69.
Mullis, K., Kay, K., Williams, D.L., 2013. Oxytocin action in the ventral tegmental area affects sucrose
intake. Brain research 1513, 85-91.
Noble, E.E., Billington, C.J., Kotz, C.M., Wang, C., 2014. Oxytocin in the ventromedial hypothalamic
nucleus reduces feeding and acutely increases energy expenditure. American journal of physiology.
Regulatory, integrative and comparative physiology 307, R737-745.
Noble, E.E., Wang, Z., Liu, C.M., Davis, E.A., Suarez, A.N., Stein, L.M., Tsan, L., Terrill, S.J., Hsu, T.M., Jung,
A.H., Raycraft, L.M., Hahn, J.D., Darvas, M., Cortella, A.M., Schier, L.A., Johnson, A.W., Hayes, M.R.,
Holschneider, D.P., Kanoski, S.E., 2019. Hypothalamus-hippocampus circuitry regulates impulsivity via
melanin-concentrating hormone. Nature communications 10, 4923.
Olszewski, P.K., Allen, K., Levine, A.S., 2015. Effect of oxytocin receptor blockade on appetite for sugar is
modified by social context. Appetite 86, 81-87.
Olszewski, P.K., Klockars, A., Levine, A.S., 2016. Oxytocin: A Conditional Anorexigen whose Effects on
Appetite Depend on the Physiological, Behavioural and Social Contexts. Journal of neuroendocrinology
28.
Olszewski, P.K., Klockars, A., Levine, A.S., 2017. Oxytocin and potential benefits for obesity treatment.
Current opinion in endocrinology, diabetes, and obesity 24, 320-325.
Ong, Z.Y., Alhadeff, A.L., Grill, H.J., 2015. Medial nucleus tractus solitarius oxytocin receptor signaling
and food intake control: the role of gastrointestinal satiation signal processing. American journal of
physiology. Regulatory, integrative and comparative physiology 308, R800-806.

Ong, Z.Y., Bongiorno, D.M., Hernando, M.A., Grill, H.J., 2017. Effects of Endogenous Oxytocin Receptor Signaling in Nucleus Tractus Solitarius on Satiation-Mediated Feeding and Thermogenic Control in Male Rats. Endocrinology 158, 2826-2836.

Otero-Garcia, M., Agustin-Pavon, C., Lanuza, E., Martinez-Garcia, F., 2016. Distribution of oxytocin and co-localization with arginine vasopressin in the brain of mice. Brain Struct Funct 221, 3445-3473.

Peris, J., MacFadyen, K., Smith, J.A., de Kloet, A.D., Wang, L., Krause, E.G., 2017. Oxytocin receptors are expressed on dopamine and glutamate neurons in the mouse ventral tegmental area that project to nucleus accumbens and other mesolimbic targets. The Journal of comparative neurology 525, 1094-1108.

Peters, S.T., Bowen, M.T., Bohrer, K., McGregor, I.S., Neumann, I.D., 2017. Oxytocin inhibits ethanol consumption and ethanol-induced dopamine release in the nucleus accumbens. Addiction biology 22, 702-711.

Petrovich, G.D., Gallagher, M., 2007. Control of food consumption by learned cues: a forebrain-hypothalamic network. Physiology & behavior 91, 397-403.

Popik, P., Van Ree, J.M., 1993. Social transmission of flavored tea preferences: facilitation by a vasopressin analog and oxytocin. Behav Neural Biol 59, 63-68.

Randall, P.A., Pardo, M., Nunes, E.J., Lopez Cruz, L., Vemuri, V.K., Makriyannis, A., Baqi, Y., Muller, C.E., Correa, M., Salamone, J.D., 2012. Dopaminergic modulation of effort-related choice behavior as assessed by a progressive ratio chow feeding choice task: pharmacological studies and the role of individual differences. PloS one 7, e47934.

Rinaman, L., Rothe, E.E., 2002. GLP-1 receptor signaling contributes to anorexigenic effect of centrally administered oxytocin in rats. American journal of physiology. Regulatory, integrative and comparative physiology 283, R99-106.

Rossi, M.A., Stuber, G.D., 2018. Overlapping Brain Circuits for Homeostatic and Hedonic Feeding. Cell metabolism 27, 42-56.

Sabatier, N., Leng, G., Menzies, J., 2013. Oxytocin, feeding, and satiety. Frontiers in endocrinology 4, 35.

SanMiguel, N., Pardo, M., Carratala-Ros, C., Lopez-Cruz, L., Salamone, J.D., Correa, M., 2018. Individual differences in the energizing effects of caffeine on effort-based decision-making tests in rats. Pharmacology, biochemistry, and behavior 169, 27-34.

Shahrokh, D.K., Zhang, T.Y., Diorio, J., Gratton, A., Meaney, M.J., 2010. Oxytocin-dopamine interactions mediate variations in maternal behavior in the rat. Endocrinology 151, 2276-2286.

Shamay-Tsoory, S.G., Abu-Akel, A., 2016. The Social Salience Hypothesis of Oxytocin. Biological psychiatry 79, 194-202.

Simon, N.W., Beas, B.S., Montgomery, K.S., Haberman, R.P., Bizon, J.L., Setlow, B., 2013. Prefrontal cortical-striatal dopamine receptor mRNA expression predicts distinct forms of impulsivity. The European journal of neuroscience 37, 1779-1788.

Slusser, P.G., Ritter, R.C., 1980. Increased feeding and hyperglycemia elicited by intracerebroventricular 5-thioglucose. Brain research 202, 474-478.

Spyraki, C., Fibiger, H.C., Phillips, A.G., 1982. Attenuation by haloperidol of place preference conditioning using food reinforcement. Psychopharmacology 77, 379-382.

Tellez, L.A., Han, W., Zhang, X., Ferreira, T.L., Perez, I.O., Shammah-Lagnado, S.J., van den Pol, A.N., de Araujo, I.E., 2016. Separate circuitries encode the hedonic and nutritional values of sugar. Nature neuroscience 19, 465-470.

Threlfell, S., Lalic, T., Platt, N.J., Jennings, K.A., Deisseroth, K., Cragg, S.J., 2012. Striatal dopamine release is triggered by synchronized activity in cholinergic interneurons. Neuron 75, 58-64.

Walton, M.E., Bannerman, D.M., Rushworth, M.F., 2002. The role of rat medial frontal cortex in effort-based decision making. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 22, 10996-11003.

Wassum, K.M., Cely, I.C., Balleine, B.W., Maidment, N.T., 2011a. Micro-opioid receptor activation in the
basolateral amygdala mediates the learning of increases but not decreases in the incentive value of a
food reward. The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 31, 1591-
1599.
Wassum, K.M., Ostlund, S.B., Balleine, B.W., Maidment, N.T., 2011b. Differential dependence of
Pavlovian incentive motivation and instrumental incentive learning processes on dopamine signaling.
Learn Mem 18, 475-483.
Wassum, K.M., Ostlund, S.B., Maidment, N.T., Balleine, B.W., 2009. Distinct opioid circuits determine the
palatability and the desirability of rewarding events. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
the United States of America 106, 12512-12517.
Xiao, L., Priest, M.F., Kozorovitskiy, Y., 2018. Oxytocin functions as a spatiotemporal filter for excitatory
synaptic inputs to VTA dopamine neurons. eLife 7.
Xiao, L., Priest, M.F., Nasenbeny, J., Lu, T., Kozorovitskiy, Y., 2017. Biased Oxytocinergic Modulation of
Midbrain Dopamine Systems. Neuron 95, 368-384.e365.
Yohn, S.E., Errante, E.E., Rosenbloom-Snow, A., Somerville, M., Rowland, M., Tokarski, K., Zafar, N.,
Correa, M., Salamone, J.D., 2016. Blockade of uptake for dopamine, but not norepinephrine or 5-HT,
increases selection of high effort instrumental activity: Implications for treatment of effort-related
motivational symptoms in psychopathology. Neuropharmacology 109, 270-280.
Yosten, G.L., Samson, W.K., 2010. The anorexigenic and hypertensive effects of nesfatin-1 are reversed
by pretreatment with an oxytocin receptor antagonist. American journal of physiology. Regulatory,
integrative and comparative physiology 298, R1642-1647.
Zhong, S., Monakhov, M., Mok, H.P., Tong, T., Lai, P.S., Chew, S.H., Ebstein, R.P., 2012. U-shaped relation
between plasma oxytocin levels and behavior in the trust game. PloS one 7, e51095.
Zhou, Y., Zhu, H., Liu, Z., Chen, X., Su, X., Ma, C., Tian, Z., Huang, B., Yan, E., Liu, X., Ma, L., 2019. A ventral
CA1 to nucleus accumbens core engram circuit mediates conditioned place preference for cocaine.
Nature neuroscience 22, 1986-1999.