1   **Coastal winds drive a two-stage recruitment mechanism for estuarine fisheries**

3   Hayden T. Schilling[1,2]*, Charles Hinchliffe[2], Jonathan P. Gillson[3], Iain M. Suthers[1,2]

4   1. Sydney Institute of Marine Science, Chowder Bay Road, Mosman, 2088, Australia
5   2. Centre for Marine Science & Innovation, UNSW Australia, 2052 Australia
6   3. Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science, Pakefield Road, Lowestoft, NR33
7   0HT, United Kingdom

*Corresponding author: h.schilling@unsw.edu.au

Abstract

Coastal winds transport larval fish towards the coast and estuaries where they ultimately recruit, yet

our understanding of the mechanism of how different coastal winds interact to influence estuarine

recruitment is incomplete. Here, we first demonstrate a two-stage recruitment mechanism showing

that larvae of coastally spawned species increased in abundance with moderately strong upwelling

favourable winds 14 days prior to sampling, reflecting increased nutrient and plankton availability

for larval fish. The larvae of coastally spawned species increased in abundance with onshore

(downwelling favourable) winds three days prior to sampling, which retain larvae near the coast,

facilitating estuarine recruitment through onshore transport. Secondly, we show that effects of wind

during the spawning period can be detected 2–8 years later (depending on the species) in estuarine

commercial fisheries catch rates. Finally, we show in the southeast Australian region, upwelling

favourable winds have increased while downwelling favourable winds have decreased since 1850,

potentially reducing larval recruitment to estuaries. The two-stage wind mechanism identified in this

study is likely applicable to other regions where wind driven upwelling occurs and influences

onshore and offshore transport. Future research should incorporate coastal winds into predictions

of estuarine catch rates.

Keywords: larval fish, retention, wind driven upwelling, fisheries production, *Mugil*, *Acanthopagrus*,

Platycephalus, Harald Dannevig

Introduction

For many marine species, the transition from larva to juvenile is often characterised by high mortality (Houde, 2008), and a shift in habitat from open water to more protected habitats such as estuarine nursery areas (Houde, 2008; Schilling *et al.*, 2018). Some environmental conditions are more favourable for larval transport or advection towards juvenile habitat (Wenner *et al.*, 1998; Reichert *et al.*, 2010). By increasing the proportion of larvae reaching suitable juvenile habitat, it is likely that these environmental factors benefit survival of the cohort (Garvine *et al.*, 1997; Helbig and Pepin, 1998; Pepin, 2016), producing a strong year class when this cohort is eventually harvested.

Previous studies have linked strong year classes with many abiotic and biotic factors during the larval period, including the high abundance of zooplankton prey (McFarlane and Beamish, 1992), low abundance of predators (Van der Veer *et al.*, 2000) and favourable oceanic and climatic conditions (Harris *et al.*, 1988; Schroeder *et al.*, 2019). Onshore winds were first recognised as affecting nearshore retention and potentially driving fluctuations in commercial catch in eastern Australian estuaries in the early 20th century (Dannevig, 1907). Dannevig (1907) hypothesised that onshore winds reduced unfavourable advection of fish eggs and larvae, therefore increasing recruitment to estuarine fisheries, and found a positive correlation between estuarine commercial catch rates and onshore winds lagged by three or four years (Dannevig, 1907; Suthers *et al.*, 2020).

Since then, many studies have shown relationships between wind and juvenile recruitment. Both positive relationships with onshore or downwelling favourable winds (Caputi *et al.*, 2001; Perry *et al.*, 2003; Queiroga, 2003) and negative relationships with offshore or upwelling favourable winds (Nelson *et al.*, 1977; Parrish *et al.*, 1981; Nakata *et al.*, 2000; Takeshige *et al.*, 2013) have been demonstrated for larval recruitment. Onshore winds increase larval retention near suitable juvenile habitat, while offshore winds advect larvae away from favourable nursery areas (Janzen and Wong, 2002). An idealised scenario has been proposed as a combination of moderate upwelling favourable winds that promote increased productivity and food for larvae (Borja *et al.*, 2008; Coffin and Mueter, 2016; Teixeira *et al.*, 2016), followed by a relaxation event or onshore winds that induces increased shoreward transport or retention of larvae near the coast, increasing juvenile recruitment (Botsford *et al.*, 2006; Queiroga *et al.*, 2007; Yokomizo *et al.*, 2010). Alternatively, some research has shown an opposite effect for larval fish in a persistent upwelling region (Morgan *et al.*, 2012).

The importance of wind during the juvenile phase for coastally spawned species in relation to commercial fisheries has been demonstrated with increased productivity and enrichment due to wind induced upwelling (Lloret *et al.*, 2004; Rykaczewski and Checkley, 2008) and wind induced

transport of larvae (Jenkins, 2005), both proposed as drivers of inter-annual variation in commercial

harvest. Despite these findings, demonstrating both wind dynamics increasing recruitment and a

detectable effect on commercial fisheries remains problematic.

The winds in many of the world's coastal upwelling systems are being altered due to climate change,

resulting in both increases and decreases in upwelling favourable winds (Sydeman *et al.*, 2014).

Changing winds are likely to have a range of effects including enrichment of waters, regional changes

in stratification and basin-scale changes in thermocline structure, all of which may influence the

productivity of local fisheries (Bakun *et al.*, 2010). Concerns have been expressed with regards to

changing coastal winds altering upwelling regimes which provide important nutrients to ecosystems

(Bakun and Weeks, 2008; Bakun *et al.*, 2010). In addition to changes in nutrient supply, alternations

in upwelling patterns may also affect the recruitment of larval fish.

In the present study, we test the original hypothesis of Dannevig (1907) using both larval fish

abundance and commercial fisheries data. By combining three datasets, the Australian Integrated

Marine Observing System (IMOS) Larval Fish database (Smith *et al.*, 2018), the Australian Bureau of

Meteorology Atmospheric high-resolution Regional Reanalysis for Australia (BARRA; Chun-Hsu *et al.*,

2019) and a five species, 10-year catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) time-series dataset from eight east

Australian estuaries (Gillson *et al.*, 2009), we evaluate interacting offshore/onshore winds (upwelling

favourable and downwelling favourable) as a driver of estuarine fisheries production.

The present study investigates a coastal wind recruitment mechanism influencing advection and

retention of fish larvae in three ways. Firstly, we examine whether or not coastal winds influence

larval fish abundance near the coast. Secondly, we evaluate whether or not the same winds during

the spawning period influence fisheries harvest when lagged by an appropriate growth period.

Finally, we explore changes in the upwelling and downwelling (onshore) favourable winds since

1850. We expect that coastally spawned larval abundance will be greatest during onshore winds

following a period of upwelling. If the onshore transport of coastal fish larvae does occur during

downwelling favourable winds, then the effects of this retention should result in increased larval

supply to estuarine habitats, and assuming juvenile fish are resident in estuaries until size of capture,

lead to a detectable effect on commercial fisheries catch rates.

Method

East Australian Current Oceanography, Larval Fish, Commercial Catch and Wind Data

The present study uses data from the southeast Australian region (Figure 1), where the East

Australian Current (EAC) is the dominant oceanographic feature. The EAC flows poleward, generally

transporting larvae poleward and adjacent to coastal and estuarine areas, which are juvenile nursery

and rearing habitats (Roughan *et al.*, 2011; Schilling *et al.*, 2020). Together with the EAC, onshore

winds are an important driver of upwelling and downwelling through Ekman transport mechanisms

(Schaeffer *et al.*, 2013, 2014). Winds from the northeast (NE) blow along the coastline, promoting

offshore Ekman transport and upwelling of cold nutrient-rich water along the coast, while winds

from the southeast (SE) blow onto the coast, promoting onshore transport and downwelling

(McClean-Padman and Padman, 1991; Griffin and Middleton, 1992; Middleton *et al.*, 1996).

To investigate the effects of both unfavourable advection due to upwelling favourable (NE) winds

and onshore transport from downwelling favourable (SE) winds on larval fish abundance, we

compiled sampling data from the Australian IMOS Larval Fish Database (Smith *et al.*, 2018). Using R

v3.6.1 (R Core Team, 2019), we subset the larval fish abundance data to include samples only

between 30–36° S; these were taken on the continental shelf (≤ 1000 m bathymetry; Figure 1).

These criteria were selected because in this latitude region the coastline is relatively straight (an

approximate angle of 24°), wind is an important driver of cross-shelf flows in the area (McClean-

Padman and Padman, 1991; Middleton *et al.*, 1996) and there are over 1,000 larval fish samples in

the database for this region. Within each larval fish sample, we excluded oceanic and estuarine

spawning species, and only included commercially important families of fish known to spawn

coastally; Sparidae, Girellidae, Labridae, Terapontidae, Monacanthidae and Mugilidae (Ford *et al.*,

2010). To avoid any one family dominating the abundance of coastal larvae, the abundance of each

family was normalised (i.e. the abundance of each family summed to 1) by transposing the dataset

and using the '*normalize.rows*' function from the 'vegetarian' R package (Charney and Record, 2012).

This created a relative abundance measure and ensured that each family had equal weighting during

subsequent analysis. Following normalisation, the normalised relative coastal abundance across all

samples had a mean of 0.004 (SD = 0.009), with a maximum of 0.138.

To assess if the effects of onshore winds during the spawning period could be detected at the

commercial fisheries scale, we used an estuarine catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) dataset from the same

region. This dataset consisted of ten years of monthly CPUE data (combined to annual values; July

1997 – June 2007) from gillnet fisheries in eight different estuaries and was extensively described in

Gillson *et al.* (2009). Briefly, these CPUE data comprised of five different species; yellowfin bream

(*Acanthopagrus australis*; Sparidae), sea mullet (*Mugil cephalus*; Mugilidae), luderick (*Girella*

tricuspidata; Girellidae), dusky flathead (*Platycephalus fuscus*; Platycephalidae) and sand whiting

(*Sillago ciliata*; Sillaginidae). It is important to note that in the calculation of CPUE, gillnet catch data

for all five species were summed and then divided by total gillnet effort data (monthly timescale).

To provide a consistent estimate of winds, we used the wind speed and direction data from the

Australian Bureau of Meteorology Atmospheric high-resolution Regional Reanalysis for Australia

(BARRA; Chun-Hsu *et al.*, 2019). This re-analysis product provides hourly wind speeds at a 12 km

resolution over the Australian domain with downscaled 1.5 km resolution within several sub-

domains between 1990 and 2019. For all analyses in the present study, we used 1.5 km resolution

data from within the Eastern New South Wales subdomain. Using R v3.6.1 (R Core Team, 2019) with

the 'tidyverse' (Wickham, 2017), 'raster' (Hijmans, 2019), 'ncdf4' (Pierce, 2019) and 'RedaS'

(Hatzinger *et al.*, 2015) packages, we extracted wind speed and direction data based upon the

specific dates and locations of samples. For each sample/location the wind direction and speed were

taken as the mean of 100 pixels (15 km x 15 km) centred over the sample latitude/longitude. To

provide a long-term context we also used wind speed and directions from the 20th Century

Reanalysis V2c data collated by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD (www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/), which provides

three-hourly wind speed and direction from 1850 to 2014 at a resolution of ≈200 km (Compo *et al.*,

2015). As both wind products are reanalysis datasets, the models are constrained by observed

values.

Statistical Analysis

To investigate the interaction between offshore advection/upwelling favourable (NE) and

retention/downwelling favourable (SE) winds, we separated the onshore winds in eastern Australia

into SE and NE components. To calculate the magnitude of wind in a particular direction (SE or NE

winds) from the known direction and speed, we applied a sine function to create an effect size for

wind displacement. If the wind was directly from 45° (for NE winds; 135° for SE), then it was a full

effect (1); but if it was at a slight angle, then it was adjusted (< 1) and offshore winds would be

negative. The effect size was then multiplied by the wind speed to get the displacement in each

direction per hour. Displacement values were then summed to generate a total net displacement

over the time span of interest. A positive net displacement means that a greater amount of air

moved onto the coast than off the coast during the time period. This use of net displacement aligns

with the original work of Dannevig (1907) as well as Suthers *et al.* (2020).

To separate the effects of advection from upwelling favourable (NE) winds and onshore transport

from downwelling favourable (SE) winds on larval fish abundances, winds were calculated as the net

air displacement in the southeast to northwest and northeast to southwest directions as described

above. The net air displacement for NE and SE winds was calculated by summing the hourly

displacement measurements of the previous 14 days and previous three days. These two time

periods were selected to describe upwelling and retention against the coast and can have both

immediate and lagged effects on larval abundance through physical transport and enrichment of

nutrients (Dalley *et al.*, 2002; Ings *et al.*, 2008). A three-day time period has been previously used to

model the physical effects of upwelling and downwelling on transport of larvae (Roughan *et al.*,

2005), while 14 days is the approximate period of time it takes for upwelling to generate secondary

production (increased phytoplankton and zooplankton) in this region and therefore provide a

potentially favourable environment for fish larvae to develop (Baird *et al.*, 2006).

To test the effects of upwelling favourable (NE) and downwelling favourable (SE) winds on larval fish

abundance, two generalised linear mixed models with a tweedie error distribution and a log link

function were used. A Tweedie error distribution was used because the abundance data had a

continuous, positively skewed, distribution. Both upwelling favourable (NE) and downwelling

favourable (SE) winds were centred and standardised to assist the model fitting process and increase

interpretability of the model coefficients (Schielzeth, 2010). Separate models were run for the 3-day

lagged winds and the 14-day lagged winds using the 'glmmTMB' R package (Brooks *et al.*, 2017) with

model assumptions checked using the 'DHARMa' R package (Hartig, 2019; Figures S1 and S2). The

models included the fixed effects of downwelling favourable winds, upwelling favourable winds and

distance from the coast (km) as well as interaction terms and separate quadratic terms for both

downwelling and upwelling favourable winds with interactions with distance to coast. Both linear

and quadratic terms for the downwelling and upwelling favourable winds were included in the

models because it was hypothesised that the winds would have a disproportionate effect on larval

fish abundance at low or high speeds, hence a non-linear fit may be appropriate. Since the Australian

IMOS Larval Fish database includes data from a variety of projects which used slightly different

methodologies (Smith *et al.*, 2018), the model also included a random intercept effect for Project.

The fitted model thus had the form:

$$Abund_{it} \sim Tweedie(\mu_{it})$$

$$E(Abund_{it}) = \mu_{it}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(\mu_{it}) = \ & UpWind_{it} + DownWind_{it} + DistanceCoast_{it} + UpWind_{it} \times DownWind_{it} \\
& + UpWind_{it} \times DistanceCoast_{it} + DownWind_{it} \times DistanceCoast_{it} \\
& + UpWind_{it} \times DownWind_{it} \times DistanceCoast_{it} + UpWind_{it}^2 + DownWind_{it}^2 \\
& + UpWind_{it}^2 \times DistanceCoast_{it} + DownWind_{it}^2 \times DistanceCoast_{it} + Project_t
\end{aligned}$$

$$Project_t \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

Where $Abund_{it}$ is the relative abundance of coastally spawned larvae in the *i*th sample in Project *t*, and t = 1,…7, and $Project_t$ is the random intercept. $UpWind$ is the standardised upwelling favourable winds, $DownWind$ is the standardised downwelling favourable winds and $DistanceCoast$ is the distance from the coastline (km). To visualise the effects of the model predictors, marginal effects were calculated using the 'ggeffects' (Lüdecke, 2018), and 'effects' (Fox and Weisberg, 2018) R packages. The marginal and conditional R^2 values for the GLMMs were calculated using the method of Nakagawa *et al.* (2017).

To investigate the effects of upwelling and downwelling favourable winds during the spawning periods of the commercially important fish species and the effects on lagged annual CPUE, onshore winds were calculated for each estuary (located 0.15°E of the estuary mouth; Figure 1), centred, and scaled according to the above method with upwelling (NE) and downwelling (SE) favourable components. The net air displacement during the spawning period was determined by identifying the spawning periods of each species from published literature (Table 1). Rather than exploring multiple lags, we identified lags *a priori* by using the modal age of these species caught by gillnets in these estuaries (Gray *et al.*, 2015). This age was then used to lag the spawning period winds to correspond to the most abundant age class, which are therefore most likely to show an effect of the onshore winds if they were influencing larval recruitment. As drought has previously been shown to be an important driver for this CPUE dataset (Gillson *et al.*, 2009), we included drought as the number of months an estuary was 'drought declared' during each 12-month CPUE period based on the NSW Department of Primary Industries drought situation maps. A linear mixed model was used to assess the effects of coastal winds by including fixed effects of downwelling favourable winds, upwelling favourable winds (NE), drought months and estuary type (barrier river, drowned river valley, barrier lagoon), interactions between drought months and estuary type, upwelling and downwelling favourable winds as well as quadratic terms for both upwelling and downwelling favourable winds. To normalise the variances, CPUE values were $\log_{10}$ transformed. To investigate the overall effect of onshore winds on annual CPUE and incorporate the dependency structure among observations from the same estuary or species, we used estuary as a random intercept and species as a random slope effect. All linear mixed models were fit and assessed using the 'lme4' (Bates *et al.*, 2015), 'lmerTest' (Kuznetsova *et al.*, 2017), 'bootpredictlme4' (Duursma, 2019),

‘LMERConvenienceFunctions’ (Tremblay and Ransijn, 2015) and ‘merTools’ (Jared E. Knowles and

Carl Frederick, 2019) R packages. The fitted model thus had the form:

$\log_{10}(CPUE_{jns})$
$= UpWind_{jns} + DownWind_{jnsit} + UpWind_{jns} \times DownWind_{jns}$
$+ UpWind_{jns}^2 + DownWind_{jns}^2 + EstuaryType_{jns} + DroughtMonths_{jns}$
$+ EstuaryType_{jns} \times DroughtMonths_{jns} + Estuary_n \times Species_s$

$$Estuary_n \sim N(0, \sigma_1^2)$$

$$Species_s \sim N(0, \sigma_2^2)$$

Where $CPUE_{jns}$ is the jth catch rate observation of $Species\ s$ in $Estuary\ n$. $Estuary_n$ is the

random intercept and $Species_s$ is the random slope effect. $UpWind$ is the standardised upwelling

favourable winds, $DownWind$ is the standardised downwelling favourable winds, $EstuaryType$ is

the type of estuary an observation was from and $DroughtMonths$ is the number of months of

‘declared drought’ during the year. To investigate species-specific responses in more detail, a

separate linear mixed model was generated for each species with the same model structure (except

without the random slope for species) following an identical procedure.

To investigate whether or not upwelling or downwelling favourable winds have changed over time in

southeast Australia, we used the 20th Century Reanalysis V2c dataset (1850–2014; Compo *et al.*,

2015) and calculated upwelling favourable (NE) and downwelling favourable (SE) winds as described

above, except net annual displacement was derived by summing all winds during a Gregorian

calendar year (centred on Sydney 33.839° S 151.309° E; Figure 1). To ensure consistency with the

high-resolution BARRA model used for the prior analyses, we initially tested the correlation between

the BARRA model and 20th Century Reanalysis V2c model using both annual displacement for

upwelling favourable (NE) and downwelling favourable (SE) winds for the overlapping years (1990–

2014) centred over Sydney. There was a moderate to high correlation for both upwelling favourable

(r = 0.599, t_{23} = 3.589, P = 0.002) and downwelling favourable (r = 0.697, t_{23} = 4.658, P < 0.001)

winds. We then applied two separate linear models for upwelling favourable (NE) and downwelling

favourable (SE) winds with a fixed effect of year. Initial model runs revealed temporal

autocorrelation in the first and second year of the wind time-series data as measured with the ‘*acf*’

function from the ‘stats’ R package (R Core Team, 2019). Therefore, for the final analysis we only

used the wind data from every third year to remove the presence of temporal autocorrelation

(Figures S3 and S4).

Models were checked for violations of the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variance

using density histograms of residuals, normal quantile-quantile plots, and plots of Pearson’s

residuals *vs* fitted residuals generated using the 'plot' function in R (Figures S3–S10). For

interpretation of model outputs in this study we used a strength of evidence and the language of

statistical clarity approach rather than define a hard p-value threshold to mark significance

(Murtaugh, 2014; Dushoff *et al.*, 2019).

Results

Larval Fish and Historical Winds

A total of 11,610 larval fish from six coastal spawning families (Sparidae, Girellidae, Labridae,

Terapontidae, Monacanthidae, Mugilidae (Ford *et al.*, 2010)) were present in 1,489 observations on

the continental shelf between 30 and 36° S. Examining the winds 14 days prior to sampling, revealed

strong evidence of both a positive interaction between upwelling favourable (NE) and downwelling

favourable (SE) winds ($\chi^2_1 = 13.303$, *P* < 0.001) and a clear negative effect of distance from the coast

on coastally spawned larval fish abundance ($\chi^2_1 = 11.157$, *P* < 0.001; Figures 2 and 3). When the

winds three days prior to sampling were investigated, there was strong evidence for a positive effect

of downwelling favourable winds ($\chi^2_2 = 18.393$, *P* < 0.001), a nonlinear effect of upwelling favourable

winds ($\chi^2_2 = 14.058$, *P* < 0.001), and a negative effect of distance from the coast on coastally

spawned larval fish abundance($\chi^2_1 = 17$, *P* < 0.001; Figures 2 and 4).

The relative abundance of coastally spawned larval fish taxa consistently declined with distance from

the coast for both the 3-day and 14-day models. Moving 20 km from the coast results in a decline of

almost 50% in relative abundance (Figures 3 and 4). In the 14 days prior to sampling larval fish,

upwelling and downwelling favourable winds interact to affect coastal species abundance. The

highest abundances are predicted following slightly above average upwelling favourable winds and

strong downwelling favourable winds (Figure 3). The influences of upwelling and downwelling

favourable winds are similar at the 3-day and 14-day time scales except the negative quadratic

influence of upwelling favourable winds peaks at mean speeds, with predicted abundance declining

with both increasing and decreasing upwelling favourable winds (Figure 4). The influence of

downwelling favourable winds on the relative abundance of coastally spawned larval fish continues

to be positive and almost linear (Figure 4).

Commercial Catch Rates and Historical Winds

The multi-species model showed strong evidence for a negative non-linear effect of upwelling favourable winds (t_{137} = 2.662, P = 0.009) and weak evidence of a positive non-linear effect of downwelling favourable winds (t_{351} = 1.702, P = 0.090; Figures 5 and 6) on commercial CPUE when lagged by the modal age of capture for each species. There was no evidence for an interaction between upwelling favourable (NE) and downwelling favourable (SE) winds during the spawning period (t_{203} = -1.305, P = 0.193; Figure 5). In addition to the wind effects, estuary type and number of drought months also showed strong evidence for an interaction (F_2 = 10.988, P < 0.001; Figure S11). Overall, the model predicted the highest CPUE (lagged by an appropriate time) following strong onshore transport (downwelling favourable winds) with weak upwelling favourable (advection causing) winds during the spawning period.

The species-specific models showed mixed results, with models for some species capturing more variation in CPUE than others (Table 2). The model for bream explained the most variance in CPUE (marginal R^2 = 0.266, Table 2) and was the only species to show evidence of an interaction between downwelling favourable and upwelling favourable winds ($F_{64,1}$ = 5.570, P = 0.021) with the highest CPUE being predicted after strong downwelling favourable winds and weak upwelling favourable winds during spawning (Figure S12), similar to the multi-species model. There was some evidence of a non-linear negative effect of upwelling favourable winds on dusky flathead CPUE (t_{64} = -1.977, P = 0.052) and weak evidence for a positive non-linear effect of upwelling favourable wind on sand whiting CPUE (t_{64} = 1.816, P = 0.074; Figure S12). Evidence for a large effect of downwelling favourable winds on sea mullet CPUE was very weak (Estimate$_{64}$ = 1.469, P = 0.190). In contrast to the other species, there was no evidence of an effect from either upwelling favourable ($F_{64,2}$ = 0.375, P = 0.689) or downwelling favourable winds ($F_{64,2}$ = 0.229, P = 0.796; Figure S12) on luderick CPUE.

Aside from the wind effects, evidence of a positive effect of drought on CPUE was found in the models for bream ($F_{64,1}$ = 14.975, P < 0.001) and whiting ($F_{64,1}$ = 8.710, P = 0.004; Figure S12). In addition to this, evidence of an interactive effect between number of drought months and estuary type was detected for mullet ($F_{64,2}$ = 5.4364, P = 0.007), luderick ($F_{64,2}$ = 4.172, P = 0.020) and flathead ($F_{64,2}$ = 9.612, P < 0.001; Figure S12).

There was clear evidence of an increase in upwelling favourable winds ($F_{53,1}$ = 10.39, P = 0.002) and moderate evidence for a decline in onshore transport causing downwelling favourable winds ($F_{53,1}$ = 4.754, P = 0.034) between 1850 and 2014. The upwelling favourable winds shifted from a negative net displacement to a positive net displacement, while the downwelling favourable winds remained net positive but declined to approximately half of their initial levels (Figure 7).

Discussion

By combining three datasets from a larval fish database, a high resolution wind re-analysis model and commercial CPUE time-series data, we have demonstrated a two-stage mechanism by which coastal winds influenced the recruitment of coastally spawned taxa to estuaries (Figures 2–4), resulting in detectable effects on commercial fisheries catch rates (Figures 5 and 6). Both upwelling and downwelling favourable winds are important for fish larvae, with moderate amounts of upwelling favourable winds driving increased chlorophyll production (Armbrecht *et al.*, 2014), and strong downwelling favourable winds driving onshore transport, facilitating estuarine recruitment (Agostini and Bakun, 2002). Despite the coarser temporal resolution of commercial fisheries catch data, using lagged winds from the species-specific spawning season showed a negative effect of strong upwelling favourable winds on CPUE possibly due to the advection of larvae away from favourable habitat, with weak evidence of the positive influence of onshore transport from downwelling favourable winds on CPUE. The interplay of upwelling (enrichment and advection) and downwelling (onshore transport and retention) favourable winds is highly important for estuarine recruitment and future studies should consider interactive effects of wind during the larval phase for coastally spawned fish species. While the overall variance explained by the fixed effects of upwelling and downwelling favourable winds, drought months and estuary type in the CPUE models in this study was low (marginal $R^2 \leq 0.27$), incorporating wind from the spawning period into future recruitment models might improve forecasts of estuarine fisheries CPUE. This is important as we have demonstrated that coastal winds have changed since 1850 and are expected to continue changing around the globe (Bakun *et al.*, 2010; Sydeman *et al.*, 2014).

A two-stage recruitment mechanism

The differing effects of upwelling favourable (NE) and downwelling favourable (SE) winds with different lead up periods (three or 14 days) highlight a two-stage recruitment mechanism for coastally spawned larval fish. Upwelling was more important over the 14-day period, with increased upwelling favourable winds resulting in higher coastally spawned larvae abundances. This is likely due to the time it takes for upwelling to generate increased nutrients at the surface and for this energy to flow into the lower trophic levels (Gasol *et al.*, 1997; Buesa, 2019). The resultant increase in prey (e.g. nauplii) availability for larval fish may increase growth and survival rates (Zenitani *et al.*, 2007). In combination with this, onshore transport from downwelling favourable winds is also

important as it retains larvae near the coast. The positive effects of this retention are most visible

after upwelling preconditions the ecosystem with nutrients (Rykaczewski and Checkley, 2008).

In contrast, the shorter 3-day period had a physical influence on the community. This is shown by

the reduced effect of unfavourable advection (upwelling driven) as larval abundance peaked around

intermediate speeds for upwelling favourable winds and declined as wind velocities became either

too strong or weak. Strong upwelling favourable winds at this short time scale likely result in

unfavourable advection away from the coast. Increasing downwelling favourable winds in the three

days prior to sampling showed a positive effect on coastal larval fish abundance due to onshore

transport. Combined with the observed negative distance to coast relationship, this strongly

supports the hypothesis that onshore downwelling favourable winds were facilitating retention of

coastally-spawned larval fishes against the coastline, closer to estuarine nursery habitats. This short-

term transport by downwelling winds has previously been demonstrated for larvae of multiple taxa

in various locations (Natunewicz *et al.*, 2001; Ings *et al.*, 2008). Increased recruitment into estuaries

by these larval fishes is likely as they are geographically closer and stochastic dispersal will be

reduced (Bruno *et al.*, 2018; Schilling *et al.*, 2020).

Commercial Estuarine Fisheries

The present study shows that coastal winds during the spawning period can influence commercial

fisheries catch rates in subsequent years, despite the coarse annual temporal resolution of the CPUE

data used in our analysis. We believe this is indicative the same wind-driven mechanism

demonstrated with the abundance of coastally spawned larval fish. However, owing to the coarse

temporal resolution, we are unable to show the two distinct stages of upwelling followed by onshore

transport. Using the CPUE multi-species model, we showed a strong increase in CPUE when there

were weaker than average upwelling favourable winds during the spawning period and a weak

positive effect of onshore transport (downwelling favourable winds), towards suitable juvenile

habitat. At the coarser scale of annual spawning seasons, alternating upwelling and downwelling

favourable winds were not detected using our method. However, based on the demonstrated

effects of these winds on coastal larval fish abundance, the events most likely to contribute to

successful recruitment would be short periods of upwelling (to generate larval prey), followed by

periods of onshore transport (downwelling). Coastal winds could influence recruitment for any

estuarine fishery located in a region where coastal winds influence upwelling, given that this

recruitment mechanism is likely to occur in other dynamic boundary systems such as the Californian

Current and Peruvian systems (Bakun and Weeks, 2008; Bakun *et al.*, 2010; Raimonet and Cloern,
2017).

The influence of wind on the recruitment of individual species is less clear, with species responding
differently to upwelling and downwelling favourable winds during the spawning period. While the
CPUE values of sea mullet and bream (the largest contributors to annual CPUE) were positively
influenced by downwelling favourable winds (Figure S12), CPUE values for the other species
considered (dusky flathead, luderick and sand whiting) did not show strong responses to the
downwelling favourable winds and were likely influenced by other factors not considered here, such
as changes in fisher behaviour. Previous research in this region has revealed sand whiting spawning
movements coincide with high water temperatures linked to downwelling favourable coastal winds
and downwelling oceanographic conditions (Van der Meulen, 2018). So, it is surprising that sand
whiting CPUE showed weak positive responses to lagged upwelling favourable winds, which is a
contrasting result to Van der Meulen (2018). It is possible that this mismatch is due to the
downwelling favourable winds and subsequent increased water temperature, which stimulated
spawning (as identified in Van der Meulen, 2018), whereas the overall upwelling favourable winds
during the whole spawning season were influencing larval growth and survival. Previous research on
other whiting populations has also shown recruitment is strongly determined by wind-driven
transport in southern Australia due to their extended larval durations of over three months (Jenkins,
2005). We suggest that the coarse temporal resolution of the CPUE data and long larval duration are
increasing variability and obscuring the detection of wind driven retention effects in this study as we
did not extend our analysis of winds beyond the initial spawning period.

The generally weak positive effect of drought on CPUE for all species (with different effects in some
types of estuaries) agrees with previous research using this data set that found monthly bream CPUE
increased during periods of drought (Gillson *et al.*, 2009). Despite this, previous research also
showed that the other species generally had a decline in monthly CPUE during periods of drought
suggesting that the effect of drought on CPUE needs to be further investigated (Gillson *et al.*, 2009).
We believe the different results in our study compared to Gillson *et al.* (2009) are the result of using
different temporal scales in the analysis. These different scales likely reflect different processes
within the estuaries with shorter timescales likely more representative of changes in both fish and
fisher behaviour.

Historical changes in onshore winds

Since 1850, upwelling favourable winds have increased while downwelling favourable winds have decreased in the southeast Australian region. While the direct cause of these changes is uncertain, it is possible this has been a response to global climate change as changes in surface winds have been attributed to a decline in ozone around Antarctica, which resulted in large scale changes in southern hemisphere winds including over Australia (Cai, 2006). The demonstrated changes in wind would have reduced the onshore transport of larval fish, impacting recruitment to estuaries because increased upwelling and decreased downwelling would increase prey availability but reduce retention near the coast, potentially transporting larvae further away from estuaries.

Despite this, very few individual fish species spawn (and therefore recruit) all year round, and it is possible that seasonal changes in wind may be more important than annual changes. The temporal analysis presented here does not test alternating upwelling and downwelling favourable winds, and it would be useful to calculate a metric that encompasses the alternating nature of upwelling and downwelling favouring winds. A possible approach could be to document the number and duration of upwelling and downwelling events.

Previous research has indicated that the intensity of wind driven upwelling is likely to increase in most regions, with the exception of southwestern Africa (Bakun *et al.*, 2010). This agrees with our finding that upwelling favourable winds have historically increased in southeast Australia. While this may result in increased fisheries production by increasing nutrient availability, it is a complex system in which changing winds could have a multitude of effects including increasing productivity and nutrient concentrations of source waters, regional changes in stratification and basin-scale changes in thermocline structure (Bakun *et al.*, 2010).

Caveats and Conclusions

While our study successfully combined datasets to assess the effects of wind on larval fish retention and recruitment, it does have limitations. Several potential explanatory variables were not included in our models, which may have captured additional variance in either larval fish abundance or commercial fisheries catch rates. These include water temperature, oceanographic currents, varying population spawning biomasses or the effects of density dependence, all of which influence spawning and/or recruitment (Ottersen and Sundby, 1995; Schilling *et al.*, 2020). The present study also did not investigate the abundance of larval fish entering estuaries, which would be an important metric to confirm increased recruitment following favourable wind conditions. By expanding investigations beyond the larval period, fish recruitment mechanisms could be better understood.

Various studies have demonstrated positive effects (Nelson *et al.*, 1977) and negative effects (Parrish

et al., 1981; Takeshige *et al.*, 2013) of wind-driven Ekman transport of larval fish for estuarine

recruitment. However, ours is the first study to demonstrate that both enrichment and offshore

transport from upwelling, followed by onshore transport, play an important role in influencing fish

recruitment in estuaries. We suggest a two-stage recruitment mechanism of wind driven coastal

enrichment and larval retention during the spawning season, which is detectable in commercial

fisheries catch rates. This mechanism involves increased productivity from wind-driven upwelling

and increased retention near the coastline, which work in tandem to increase overall recruitment of

larvae into estuaries. These recruitment dynamics can be detected in commercial estuarine fisheries

data when appropriate lags are applied, and incorporating spawning period winds into recruitment

models may improve predictions of commercial fisheries catch rates. As climate change drives

changes in wind patterns, it is likely that wind driven ocean dynamics will continue to change as

demonstrated in this study and it is important that future fisheries consider potential changes in

recruitment.

Acknowledgements

This study was prompted by Dennis Reid (Australian Museum) who brought to our attention Harald

Dannevig's original insight on the effect of coastal winds on estuarine fisheries. Support for the 20th

Century Reanalysis Project version 2c dataset is provided by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of

Science Biological and Environmental Research (BER), and by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric

Administration Climate Program Office. Hayden Schilling was supported by the UNSW Network

Laboratory for Ocean Collaboration and NSW Research, Acceleration and Attraction Program. Larval

fish data were sourced from the Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS), an initiative of the

Australian Government being conducted as part of the National Collaborative Research

Infrastructure Strategy and the Super Science Initiative. This research includes computations using

the computational cluster Katana supported by Research Technology Services at UNSW Sydney.

Author contributions

HTS & JPG collected the data, HTS & IMS conceived the ideas, HTS, CH, JPG designed the analysis,

HTS performed the analysis and led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed critically

to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

Data Availability Statement

The larval fish data is freely available from the Australian Ocean Data Network

https://portal.aodn.org.au/ (Smith *et al.*, 2018). The BARRA wind model is freely available upon

request to the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (Chun-Hsu *et al.*, 2019). 20th Century Reanalysis

V2c data provided by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSL, Boulder, Colorado, USA, from their Web site at

https://psl.noaa.gov/ (Compo *et al.*, 2015). NSW Commercial Fisheries Catch Data is available upon

request to the NSW Department of Primary Industries Fisheries. Extracted data and code used in this

analysis is available at https://github.com/HaydenSchilling/Wind-and-Fisheries.

References

Agostini, V. N., and Bakun, A. 2002. `Ocean triads' in the Mediterranean Sea: physical mechanisms
potentially structuring reproductive habitat suitability (with example application to
European anchovy, *Engraulis encrasicolus*). Fisheries Oceanography, 11: 129–142.
Armbrecht, L. H., Roughan, M., Rossi, V., Schaeffer, A., Davies, P. L., Waite, A. M., and Armand, L. K.
2014. Phytoplankton composition under contrasting oceanographic conditions: Upwelling
and downwelling (Eastern Australia). Continental Shelf Research, 75: 54–67.
Baird, M. E., Timko, P. G., Suthers, I. M., and Middleton, J. H. 2006. Coupled physical–biological
modelling study of the East Australian Current with idealised wind forcing: Part II. Biological
dynamical analysis. Journal of Marine Systems, 59: 271–291.
Bakun, A., and Weeks, S. J. 2008. The marine ecosystem off Peru: What are the secrets of its fishery
productivity and what might its future hold? Progress in Oceanography, 79: 290–299.
Bakun, A., Field, D. B., Redondo-Rodriguez, A., and Weeks, S. J. 2010. Greenhouse gas, upwelling-
favorable winds, and the future of coastal ocean upwelling ecosystems. Global Change
Biology, 16: 1213–1228.
Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B. M., and Walker, S. C. 2015. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models
Using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software, 67.
Borja, A., Fontan, A., Saenz, J., and Valencia, V. 2008. Climate, oceanography, and recruitment: the
case of the Bay of Biscay anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*). Fisheries Oceanography, 17: 477–
493.
Botsford, L. W., Lawrence, C. A., Dever, E. P., Hastings, A., and Largier, J. 2006. Effects of variable
winds on biological productivity on continental shelves in coastal upwelling systems. Deep-
Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies in Oceanography, 53: 3116–3140.
Brooks, M. E., Kristensen, K., van Benthem, K. J., Magnusson, A., Berg, C. W., Nielsen, A., Skaug, H. J.,
et al. 2017. glmmTMB balances speed and flexibility among packages for zero-inflated
generalized linear mixed modeling. The R journal, 9: 378–400.
Bruno, D. O., Delpiani, S. M., and Acha, E. M. 2018. Diel variation of ichthyoplankton recruitment in a
wind-dominated temperate coastal lagoon (Argentina). Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science,
205: 91–99.
Buesa, R. J. 2019. Plankton based energy transfer rates in four Cuban coastal lagoons. Estuarine,
Coastal and Shelf Science, 216: 118–127.
Burchmore, J. J., Pollard, D. A., Middleton, M. J., Bell, J. D., and Pease, B. C. 1988. Biology of four
species of whiting (Pisces: Sillaginidae) in Botany Bay, NSW. Marine and Freshwater
Research, 39: 709–727.
Cai, W. 2006. Antarctic ozone depletion causes an intensification of the Southern Ocean super-gyre
circulation. Geophysical Research Letters, 33: L03712.
Caputi, N., Chubb, C., and Pearce, A. 2001. Environmental effects on recruitment of the western rock
lobster, *Panulirus cygnus*. Marine and Freshwater Research, 52: 1167–1174.
Charney, N., and Record, S. 2012. vegetarian: Jost Diversity Measures for Community Data, R
package version 1.3.
Chun-Hsu, S., Eizenberg, N., Steinle, P., Jakob, D., Fox-Hughes, P., White, C. J., Rennie, S., *et al.* 2019.
BARRA v1.0: the Bureau of Meteorology Atmospheric high-resolution Regional Reanalysis for
Australia. Geoscientific Model Development, 12: 2049–2068.
Coffin, B., and Mueter, F. 2016. Environmental covariates of sablefish (*Anoplopoma fimbria*) and
Pacific ocean perch (*Sebastes alutus*) recruitment in the Gulf of Alaska. Deep-Sea Research
Part Ii-Topical Studies in Oceanography, 132: 194–209.
Compo, G. P., Whitaker, J. S., Sardeshmukh, P. D., Allan, R. J., McColl, C., Yin, X., Giese, B. S., *et al.*
2015. NOAA/CIRES Twentieth Century Global Reanalysis Version 2c.
Dalley, E. L., Anderson, J. T., and deYoung, B. 2002. Atmospheric forcing, larval drift, and recruitment
of capelin (*Mallotus villosus*). ICES Journal of Marine Science, 59: 929–941.

Dannevig, H. C. 1907. On some peculiarities in our coastal winds and their influence upon the
abundance of fish in inshore waters. Journal of the Royal Society of NSW, 41: 27–45.
Dushoff, J., Kain, M. P., and Bolker, B. M. 2019. I can see clearly now: Reinterpreting statistical
significance. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 10: 756–759.
Duursma, R. 2019. bootpredictlme4: Predict Method For lme4 With Bootstrap, R package version
0.1.
Ford, J. R., Williams, R. J., Fowler, A. M., Cox, D. R., and Suthers, I. M. 2010. Identifying critical
estuarine seagrass habitat for settlement of coastally spawned fish. Marine Ecology Progress
Series, 408: 181–193.
Fox, J., and Weisberg, S. 2018. Visualizing Fit and Lack of Fit in Complex Regression Models with
Predictor Effect Plots and Partial Residuals. Journal of Statistical Software, 87: 27.
Garvine, R. W., Epifanio, C. E., Epifanio, C. C., and Wong, K. C. 1997. Transport and recruitment of
blue crab larvae: a model with advection and mortality. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science,
45: 99–111.
Gasol, J. M., del Giorgio, P. A., and Duarte, C. M. 1997. Biomass distribution in marine planktonic
communities. Limnology and Oceanography, 42: 1353–1363.
Gillson, J., Scandol, J., and Suthers, I. 2009. Estuarine gillnet fishery catch rates decline during
drought in eastern Australia. Fisheries Research, 99: 26–37.
Gray, C. A., Young, C. L., Johnson, D. D., and Rotherham, D. 2015. Integrating fishery-independent
and -dependent data for improved sustainability of fisheries resources and other aspects of
biodiversity. Sydney, Australia.
Gray, C. A., and Barnes, L. M. 2015. Spawning, maturity, growth and movement of *Platycephalus*
fuscus (Cuvier, 1829) (Platycephalidae): fishery management considerations. Journal of
Applied Ichthyology, 31: 442–450.
Griffin, D. A., and Middleton, J. H. 1992. Upwelling and internal tides over the inner New South
Wales continental shelf. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 97: 14389–14405.
Harris, G. P., Davies, P., Nunez, M., and Meyers, G. 1988. Interannual variability in climate and
fisheries in Tasmania. Nature, 333: 754–757.
Hartig, F. 2019. DHARMa: Residual Diagnostics for Hierarchical (Multi-Level / Mixed) Regression
Models.
Hatzinger, R., Hornik, K., Nagel, H., and Maier, M. J. 2015. Companion to: *In* R: Einführung durch
angewandte Statistik, 2nd Edition. Pearson Studium, München.
Helbig, J. A., and Pepin, P. 1998. Partitioning the influence of physical processes on the estimation of
ichthyoplankton mortality rates. I. Theory. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic
Sciences, 55: 2189–2205.
Hijmans, R. J. 2019. raster: Geographic Data Analysis and Modeling, R package version 3.0.2.
Houde, E. D. 2008. Emerging from Hjort's shadow. Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science, 41:
53–70.
Ings, D. W., Gregory, R. S., and Schneider, D. C. 2008. Episodic downwelling predicts recruitment of
Atlantic cod, Greenland cod and white hake to Newfoundland coastal waters. Journal of
Marine Research, 66: 529–561.
Janzen, C. D., and Wong, K. C. 2002. Wind-forced dynamics at the estuary-shelf interface of a large
coastal plain estuary. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, 107: 12.
Jared E. Knowles, and Carl Frederick. 2019. merTools: Tools for Analyzing Mixed Effect Regression
Models.
Jenkins, G. P. 2005. Influence of climate on the fishery recruitment of a temperate, seagrass-
associated fish, the King George whiting *Sillaginodes punctata*. Marine Ecology Progress
Series, 288: 263–271.
Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P. B., and Christensen, R. H. B. 2017. lmerTest Package: Tests in Linear
Mixed Effects Models. Journal of Statistical Software, 82: 26.

Lloret, J., Palomera, I., Salat, J., and Sole, I. 2004. Impact of freshwater input and wind on landings of
anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*) and sardine (*Sardina pilchardus*) in shelf waters surrounding
the Ebre (Ebro) River delta (north-western Mediterranean). Fisheries Oceanography, 13:
102–110.
Lüdecke, D. 2018. ggeffects: Tidy data frames of marginal effects from regression models. Journal of
Open Source Software, 3: 772.
McClean-Padman, J., and Padman, L. 1991. Summer upwelling on the Sydney inner continental shelf:
The relative roles of local wind forcing and mesoscale eddy encroachment. Continental Shelf
Research, 11: 321–345.
McFarlane, G. A., and Beamish, R. J. 1992. Climatic Influence Linking Copepod Production with
Strong Year-Classes in Sablefish, *Anoplopoma fimbria*. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and
Aquatic Sciences, 49: 743–753.
Middleton, J. H., Cox, D., and Tate, P. 1996. The oceanography of the Sydney region. Marine
Pollution Bulletin, 33: 124–131.
Morgan, S. G., Fisher, J. L., McAfee, S. T., Largier, J. L., and Halle, C. M. 2012. Limited recruitment
during relaxation events: Larval advection and behavior in an upwelling system. Limnology
and Oceanography, 57: 457–470.
Murtaugh, P. A. 2014. In defense of P values. Ecology, 95: 611–617.
Nakagawa, S., Johnson, P. C. D., and Schielzeth, H. 2017. The coefficient of determination R2 and
intra-class correlation coefficient from generalized linear mixed-effects models revisited and
expanded. Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 14: 20170213. Royal Society.
Nakata, H., Fujihara, M., Suenaga, Y., Nagasawa, T., and Fujii, T. 2000. Effect of wind blows on the
transport and settlement of brown sole (*Pleuronectes herzensteini*) larvae in a shelf region of
the Sea of Japan: numerical experiments with an Euler-Lagrangian model. Journal of Sea
Research, 44: 91–100.
Natunewicz, C. C., Epifanio, C. E., and Garvine, R. W. 2001. Transport of crab larval patches in the
coastal ocean. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 222: 143–154.
Nelson, W. R., Ingham, M. C., and Schaaf, W. E. 1977. Larval transport and year-class strength of
Atlantic menhaden, *Brevoortia tyrannus*. Fishery Bulletin, 75: 23–41.
Ochwada-Doyle, F., Roberts, D., Gray, C., Barnes, L., Haddy, J., and Fearman, J. 2012. Characterizing
the biological traits and life history of *Acanthopagrus* (Sparidae) hybrid complexes:
implications for conservation and management. Journal of Fish Biology, 81: 1540–1558.
Ottersen, G., and Sundby, S. 1995. Effects of temperature, wind and spawning stock biomass on
recruitment of Arcto-Norwegian cod. Fisheries Oceanography, 4: 278–292.
Parrish, R. H., Nelson, C. S., and Bakun, A. 1981. Transport Mechanisms and Reproductive Success of
Fishes in the California Current. Biological Oceanography, 1.
Pepin, P. 2016. Reconsidering the impossible — linking environmental drivers to growth, mortality,
and recruitment of fish. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 73: 205–215.
Perry, H., Johnson, D. R., Larsen, K., Trigg, C., and Vukovich, F. 2003. Blue crab larval dispersal and
retention in the Mississippi Bight: Testing the hypothesis. Bulletin of Marine Science, 72:
331–346.
Pierce, D. 2019. ncdf4: Interface to Unidata netCDF (Version 4 or Earlier) Format Data Files, R
package version 1.17.
Queiroga, H. 2003. Wind forcing of crab megalopae recruitment to an estuary (Ria de Aveiro) in the
northern Portuguese upwelling system. Invertebrate Reproduction & Development, 43: 47–
54.
Queiroga, H., Cruz, T., dos Santos, A., Dubert, J., Gonzalez-Gordillo, J. I., Paula, J., Peliz, A., *et al.*
2007. Oceanographic and behavioural processes affecting invertebrate larval dispersal and
supply in the western Iberia upwelling ecosystem. Progress in Oceanography, 74: 174–191.
R Core Team. 2019. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing v3.6.0. R Foundation
for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Raimonet, M., and Cloern, J. E. 2017. Estuary-ocean connectivity: fast physics, slow biology. Global
Change Biology, 23: 2345–2357.
Reichert, J. M., Fryer, B. J., Pangle, K. L., Johnson, T. B., Tyson, J. T., Drelich, A. B., and Ludsin, S. A.
2010. River-plume use during the pelagic larval stage benefits recruitment of a lentic fish.
Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 67: 987–1004.
Roughan, M., Mace, A. J., Largier, J. L., Morgan, S. G., Fisher, J. L., and Carter, M. L. 2005. Subsurface
recirculation and larval retention in the lee of a small headland: A variation on the upwelling
shadow theme. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 110: C10027.
Roughan, M., Macdonald, H. S., Baird, M. E., and Glasby, T. M. 2011. Modelling coastal connectivity
in a Western Boundary Current: Seasonal and inter-annual variability. Deep Sea Research
Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography, 58: 628–644.
Rykaczewski, R. R., and Checkley, D. M. 2008. Influence of ocean winds on the pelagic ecosystem in
upwelling regions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
America, 105: 1965–1970.
Schaeffer, A., Roughan, M., and Morris, B. D. 2013. Cross-shelf dynamics in a western boundary
current regime: Implications for upwelling. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 44: 2812–
2813.
Schaeffer, A., Roughan, M., and Wood, J. E. 2014. Observed bottom boundary layer transport and
uplift on the continental shelf adjacent to a western boundary current. Journal of
Geophysical Research-Oceans, 119: 4922–4939.
Schielzeth, H. 2010. Simple means to improve the interpretability of regression coefficients.
Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 1: 103–113.
Schilling, H. T., Reis-Santos, P., Hughes, J. M., Smith, J. A., Everett, J. D., Stewart, J., Gillanders, B. M.,
et al. 2018. Evaluating estuarine nursery use and life history patterns of *Pomatomus saltatrix*
in eastern Australia. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 598: 187–199.
Schilling, H. T., Everett, J. D., Smith, J. A., Stewart, J., Hughes, J. M., Roughan, M., Kerry, C., *et al.*
2020. Multiple spawning events promote increased larval dispersal of a predatory fish in a
western boundary current. Fisheries Oceanography.
Schroeder, I. D., Santora, J. A., Bograd, S. J., Hazen, E. L., Sakuma, K. M., Moore, A. M., Edwards, C.
A., *et al.* 2019. Source water variability as a driver of rockfish recruitment in the California
Current Ecosystem: implications for climate change and fisheries management. Canadian
Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 76: 950–960.
Smith, J. A., Miskiewicz, A. G., Beckley, L. E., Everett, J. D., Garcia, V., Gray, C. A., Holliday, D., *et al.*
2018. A database of marine larval fish assemblages in Australian temperate and subtropical
waters. Scientific Data, 5: 180207.
Stewart, J., Hegarty, A.-M., Young, C., and Fowler, A. M. 2018. Sex-specific differences in growth,
mortality and migration support population resilience in the heavily exploited migratory
marine teleost *Mugil cephalus* (Linnaeus 1758). Marine and Freshwater Research.
Stocks, J. R., Gray, C. A., and Taylor, M. D. 2015. Intra-population trends in the maturation and
reproduction of a temperate marine herbivore *Girella elevata* across latitudinal clines.
Journal of Fish Biology, 86: 463–483.
Suthers, I. M., Reid, D. D., Moksness, E., and Schilling, H. T. 2020. Novel fisheries investigations by
Harald Dannevig: some parallels with Johan Hjort on the other side of the world. ICES
Journal of Marine Science.
Sydeman, W. J., García-Reyes, M., Schoeman, D. S., Rykaczewski, R. R., Thompson, S. A., Black, B. A.,
and Bograd, S. J. 2014. Climate change and wind intensification in coastal upwelling
ecosystems. Science, 345: 77–80.
Takeshige, A., Miyake, Y., Nakata, H., Kitagawa, T., and Kimura, S. 2013. Effect of wind stress on the
catch of Japanese anchovy *Engraulis japonicus* off northwestern Kyushu, Japan. Fisheries
Science, 79: 989–998.

Teixeira, C. M., Gamito, R., Leitao, F., Murta, A. G., Cabral, H. N., Erzini, K., and Costa, M. J. 2016.
Environmental influence on commercial fishery landings of small pelagic fish in Portugal.
Regional Environmental Change, 16: 709–716.
Tremblay, A., and Ransijn, J. 2015. LMERConvenienceFunctions: Model Selection and Post-hoc
Analysis for (G)LMER Models, R package version 2.10.
Van der Meulen, D. 2018. Biophysical drivers of spawning dynamics in estuarine fish, PhD Thesis.
UNSW Australia, Sydney.
Van der Veer, H. W., Geffen, A. J., and Witte, J. I. 2000. Exceptionally strong year classes in plaice
Pleuronectes platessa: are they generated during the pelagic stage only, or also in the
juvenile stage? Marine Ecology Progress Series, 199: 255–262.
Wenner, E., Knott, D., Blanton, J., Barans, C., and Amft, J. 1998. Roles of tidal and wind-generated
currents in transporting white shrimp (*Penaeus setiferus*) postlarvae through a South
Carolina (USA) inlet. Journal of Plankton Research, 20: 2333–2356.
Wickham, H. 2017. tidyverse: Easily Install and Load the 'Tidyverse'.
Yokomizo, H., Botsford, L. W., Holland, M. D., Lawrence, C. A., and Hastings, A. 2010. Optimal wind
patterns for biological production in shelf ecosystems driven by coastal upwelling.
Theoretical Ecology, 3: 53–63.
Zenitani, H., Kono, N., and Tsukamoto, Y. 2007. Relationship between daily survival rates of larval
Japanese anchovy (*Engraulis japonicus*) and concentrations of copepod nauplii in the Seto
Inland Sea, Japan. Fisheries Oceanography, 16: 473–478.
706

708   **Table 1** Details of the spawning season and modal age of capture in gillnet fisheries for five coastally

709   spawning fish species, used to define the choice of lags for winds during the spawning season with

710   an example of the wind intervals used for the annual catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) between July 1997

711   and June 1998.

Species	Spawning season	Modal harvested age (Gray *et al.*, 2015)	Example wind intervals used for annual CPUE for July 1997 – June 1998
bream (*Acanthopagrus australis*)	October – February (Ochwada-Doyle *et al.*, 2012)	8	October 1989 – February 1990
dusky flathead (*Platycephalus fuscus*)	December – March (Gray and Barnes, 2015)	4	December 1993 – March 1994
luderick (*Girella elevata*)	December – February (Stocks *et al.*, 2015)	5	December 1992 – February 1993
sand whiting (*Sillago ciliata*)	December – February (Burchmore *et al.*, 1988)	6	December 1991 – February 1992
sea mullet (*Mugil cephalus*)	April – July (Stewart *et al.*, 2018)	2	April – July 1995

715 **Table 2** Marginal and conditional R^2 values for the generalised linear mixed models including larval

716 fish abundance data and the linear mixed models using catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) data and lagged

717 winds during the spawning period.

Model	Marginal R^2	Conditional R^2
3-day lagged winds larval fish	0.097	0.378
14-day lagged winds larval fish	0.101	0.440
multi-species CPUE	0.193	0.975
bream CPUE	0.266	0.913
flathead CPUE	0.249	0.989
luderick CPUE	0.152	0.969
mullet CPUE	0.148	0.964
whiting CPUE	0.127	0.874

Figure 1 Location of south-eastern Australia showing the position of the larval fish samples included in this study (empty grey circles), the estuaries used in the catch-per-unit-effort analysis (filled red circles) and Sydney (filled blue circle). The black line represents the 1000 m isobath.

726
Figure 2 Caterpillar plots showing the coefficients for the 3-day and 14-day coastal larval fish

abundance models. Points show the estimate of the parameter with the horizontal bar representing

standard error of the estimate. Model terms are abbreviated; distance to coast is abbreviated to

Dist, upwelling favourable winds are abbreviated to Up and downwelling favourable winds are

abbreviated to Down. The dashed red line marks an estimate of zero. Note the differing x-axis scales

for the 3-day and 14-day models, both of which are displayed on a signed square root transformed

axis to make small but important effect sizes visible.

Figure 3 Visualisation of the predicted normalised coastal larval abundance based on the generalised linear mixed model for winds 14 days prior to sampling: A) shows the effect of distance from the coast, based upon mean (0 on the standardised scale) upwelling and downwelling favourable winds with shading showing the 95% confidence interval; and B) shows the interactive effect of upwelling and downwelling favourable winds based upon predictions made for 10 km from the coastline. On both axes, 0 represents mean winds, with 1 and −1 representing 1 and −1 SD from the mean, respectively. White contour lines connect areas of equal value and show intervals of 0.002.

Figure 4 Marginal effects of the generalised linear mixed model for winds three days prior to sampling showing predicted normalised coastal larval fish abundance. The effect of distance from the coast is based upon mean upwelling and downwelling favourable winds (0 on the standardised scale). The effect of downwelling favourable winds was based upon 10 km from the coast and mean upwelling favourable winds. The effect of upwelling favourable winds was based upon 10 km from the coast and mean downwelling favourable winds. Shading shows the 95% confidence interval.

Figure 5 Caterpillar plot showing the coefficients for the multispecies catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE)

linear mixed model. Model terms are abbreviated; upwelling favourable winds are abbreviated to

Up, downwelling favourable winds are abbreviated to Down and estuary type is abbreviated to Est

Type. Points show the estimate of the parameter with the horizontal bar representing standard error

of the estimate. The dashed red line marks an estimate of zero. Note the x-axis was signed square

root transformed so that small but important effect sizes are visible.

Figure 6 Marginal effects of downwelling and upwelling favourable winds on $\log_{10}$(Catch-Per-Unit-

Effort; CPUE) from the multi-species linear mixed model based on lagged winds during the spawning

period. Predictions were made using the mean values of the other model terms. Shading shows 95%

confidence interval.

Figure 7 Temporal change in annual net displacement in upwelling (from northeast; P = 0.002) and downwelling favourable (from southeast; P = 0.034) winds between 1850 and 2014. The shaded area shows the 95% confidence intervals around the trend line. Only every third year of annual net displacement data was included in the models to account for temporal autocorrelation in the wind time-series data.