Adult Stem Cells and Niche Cells segregate gradually from common precursors that

build the adult Drosophila ovary during pupal development

Short title: Drosophila ovarian FSC and niche cell development

Amy Reilein[#][*], Helen V. Kogan[#], Rachel Misner, Karen Sophia Park, Daniel Kalderon[*]

Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York, USA

[#] equal first authors

[*] corresponding authors: areilein@gmail.com, ddk1@columbia.edu

Summary

Summary

Adult stem cell function relies on the prior development of appropriate numbers and spatial

organization of stem cells and supportive niche cells. Drosophila Follicle Stem Cells (FSCs) present a

favorable paradigm for understanding those developmental processes. About sixteen FSCs in an adult

germarium produce transit-amplifying Follicle Cells (FCs) from their posterior face and quiescent Escort

Cells (ECs) to their anterior. Both ECs and FCs also act as niche cells. Here we show that adult ECs,

FSCs and FCs derive from common precursors intermingled with germline cells during pupal

development, with progeny of a single precursor commonly including ECs and FSCs, FSCs and FCs, or

all three adult cell types. Precursors posterior to germline cells become the first FCs and engulf a

largely naked germline cyst projected out of the germarium to form the first egg chamber and set up a

key posterior signaling center for regulating adult FSC behavior.

Introduction

Adult stem cells generally act as a community, coordinating the activities of multiple individual cells to replenish tissues throughout life [1, 2]. The behavior of stem cells is invariably regulated by external signals from other cell types, known as niche cells [3-5]. It is therefore essential to establish adult stem cells in suitable numbers and niche environments during development to ensure appropriately regulated community activity in adults. Understanding the underlying developmental processes would facilitate identification of genetic developmental defects that confer deficiencies in adult tissue repair or cancer susceptibility, as well as the creation of functional organoids that are maintained by stem cells for therapeutic use, drug studies or mechanistic investigations [6-11].

The development of most terminally differentiated adult cell types results from a series of successive, definitive and largely irreversible decisions that are stabilized by potentially long-lasting shifts in gene expression and chromatin modification [12-17]. However, the development of adult stem cells has not been studied extensively and presents a number of special considerations. First, stem cells typically continue to proliferate in adulthood and often exhibit malleable relationships with their products, with the potential for marked changes in inter-conversion rates in either direction [18-20]. Does the general paradigm of definitive cell type specification at a fixed time in development still apply, despite this marked flexibility of the final product? Second, do adult tissues and the stem cells that maintain the tissue derive from separate or common precursors? Third, is there coordination between the development of adult stem cells and their niche cells, potentially serving to arrange appropriate proportions and juxtaposition of the two cell types?

Drosophila ovarian Follicle Stem Cells (FSCs) present a particularly interesting and experimentally favorable paradigm to investigate because the organization, behavior and regulation of these adult stem cells has been studied thoroughly, revealing many similarities to the important mammalian paradigm of gut stem cells [21-23]. FSCs also exhibit several interesting, potentially

prototypical features. FSCs directly produce two different types of cell in adult ovaries, Follicle Cells

(FCs) and Escort Cells (ECs) [23]. Both of these cell types also act as niche cells, producing key JAK-

STAT and Wnt signals, respectively [23-27]. Paneth cells in the mammalian small intestine are also

stem cell products and niche cells [28-30]. FSCs also provide a window into sustaining a tissue

supported by two different types of stem cell. The continued production of egg chambers involves

cooperation of somatic FSC products, ECs and FCs, with germline cells supplied by Germline Stem

Cells (GSCs) [22, 27, 31]. The mechanisms of coordination among FSCs, GSCs and their products in

the adult are currently not well understood but must rely on an organization that is established during

development [32].

GSCs and FSCs are housed in the germarium, which is the most anterior region of each of the

30-40 ovarioles comprising the adult ovary (Fig. 1J) [22]. Somatic Terminal Filament (TF) and Cap

Cells at the anterior of the germarium are stable sources of niche signals for GSCs and FSCs, including

BMPs (Bone Morphogenetic Proteins), Hedgehog and Wnt proteins, with GSCs directly contacting Cap

Cells [22, 31]. GSC Cystoblast daughters divide four times with incomplete cytokinesis to yield a

progression of 2-, 4-, 8- and finally,16-cell germline cysts. Their maturation is accompanied by posterior

movement and depends on interactions with neighboring quiescent, somatic ECs [33]. ECs also act as

niche cells for adjacent or nearby GSCs and FSCs [22, 27, 34, 35]. A rounded stage 2a sixteen-cell

cyst loses EC contacts as it forms a lens-shaped stage 2b cyst spanning the width of the germarium

and subsequently recruits FCs (Fig. 1J). The earliest FCs can be recognized by expression of high

levels of the surface adhesion protein, Fasciclin 3 (Fas3) [23, 36]. The stage 2b cyst rounds to a stage

3 cyst as surrounding FCs proliferate to form an epithelium and segregate specialized polar and stalk

cells, which allow budding of the FC-enveloped cyst as an egg chamber from the posterior of the

germarium (Fig. 1J) [37]. Polar Cells produce the JAK-STAT ligand, Unpaired, which patterns the

subsequent development of FCs along the AP axis of developing egg chambers [37-39] and creates a

gradient of signaling activity across the FSC domain, which is critical for FSC divisions and for FSC

conversion to FCs [24, 26]. Egg chambers bud roughly every 12h in each ovariole of well-fed flies,

progressively enlarge and mature towards egg formation and deposition, as they move posteriorly.

There are about sixteen FSCs, which reside in three rings or layers around the inner germarial

surface, between ECs and FCs on the AP axis (Fig. 1J) [22, 23]. The most posterior FSCs directly

become FCs, while anterior FSCs directly become ECs, but FSCs also exchange AP locations,

allowing a single FSC lineage to include both ECs and FCs [23]. Individual FSCs are frequently lost and

frequently amplify, with no evident temporal or functional link between division and differentiation [40],

so that the representation and output (of ECs and FCs) of any one FSC lineage is highly variable and

stochastic [23]. All of these behaviors are largely regulated by extracellular signals that are graded

along the AP axis, with especially prominent roles for JAK-STAT ligand derived from Polar Cells and

Wnt ligands derived from both Cap Cells and ECs [23-25, 41, 42].

Previous studies have outlined how germline and somatic ovary precursors are first selected

during embryogenesis, then migrate and coalesce to form a nascent gonad [43, 44]. The subsequent

morphological organization of ovaries, constituent cell types and some information about relevant

signals has been described up to the end of larval development [32, 45]. Understanding of pupal

development is informed by a series of electron micrographs and a description of some key

morphological processes [45-49] but has been limited, until recently, by technical difficulties associated

with dissecting and imaging pupal ovaries [50]. Here we use morphological examination of developing

pupal ovaries through fixed and live images, together with cell lineage studies to examine how adult

FSCs, ECs and FCs are produced and organized from precursors present at the end of larval stages.

Marked cells derived from a single precursor clustered together along the AP axis but often

spanned the border between adult EC and FSC territories. Thus, the key organizing principle guiding

fate outcomes for ECs and FSCs appears simply to be a progression towards final cell locations along

the AP axis without commitment to separate EC and FSC fates at a specific developmental time. The

physical location and movement of precursors during the pupal period was clarified by morphological

studies, including live imaging, showing that ECs and FSCs derive from precursors known as

Intermingled Cells (ICs), interspersed with germline cells in the developing germarium. A more posterior

group of cells gave rise to the FCs of the first-formed egg chamber. Live imaging showed that the first

pupal egg chamber is formed by migration of a germline cyst out of the developing germarium into an

accumulation of future FCs, rather than emergence of an FC-encased germline from the germarium

that characterizes adult egg chamber budding.

The major conclusions of common precursors for FSCs and their adult niche and product cells,

ECs and FCs, together with an IC origin of FSCs both directly contradict recent conclusions made from

limited, targeted lineage studies [51]. We repeated those targeted lineage approaches and found

results consistent with the conclusions described above. Thus, the segregation of future stem cells from

niche cells in this paradigm is a gradual process, rather than a rigidly imposed demarcation of different

cell types delivered at a fixed time. The juxtaposition of adult ECs and FSCs, and derivation of

appropriate numbers of ECs and FSCs is assured in part by their emergence from shared precursors

and from an evolving AP gradient of proliferation.

Results

Outline of ovary development

We dissected and imaged developing ovaries during pupation to provide a systematic descriptive timeline (Fig. 1). Germaria within each ovary develop at slightly different rates (from medial to lateral) and the overall duration of pupation can vary significantly, leading to some differences in the reported timing of critical stages [46, 52, 53]. In common with other studies, we assign "0h APF (After Puparium Formation)" as the time when larvae cease moving (pupariation) and used that event to collect animals at known intervals after pupariation. Animals mostly eclosed up to five days later (120h APF) and the first egg chamber had budded from about half of all germaria at about 56h APF (Fig. 1H).

Early ovary organization progresses from anterior (generally termed apical at early stages) to posterior ("basal") (Fig. 1) [32, 45]. By the end of the third larval instar, each ovary has 15-20 anterior stacks of non-dividing Terminal Filament (TF) cells (Fig. 1J), which characteristically express Lamin-C but not Traffic Jam (TJ) (cyan arrowheads in Fig. 1D-F) [45, 54, 55]. "Apical cells" (white arrowheads, Fig. 1A, G), initially anterior to the TF stacks migrate towards the posterior (basally), first separating each TF stack and then entire developing ovarioles over the next 48h as they form an epithelial sheath lined by a basement membrane [46]. The most posterior "basal cells" arise from swarm cells that migrated from anterior locations prior to the late larval stage [56]. Fas3 expression initiates in a subset of basal cells on the medial side of the ovary (pink arrowheads, Fig. 1B, C) [52], spreads across the developing ovary and is then restricted to cells of epithelial appearance that intercalate progressively from posterior to anterior, to form a basal stalk (pink arrowheads, Fig. 1E-G) at the posterior end of each developing ovariole (Fig. 1J) [45, 57].

Developing germaria consist of germline cells (green in Fig. 1) and somatic cells (white in Fig.1A-I) between the TFs and basal cells. About six of these somatic cells surround the most posterior TF cell, the Transition Cell, and become non-dividing Cap Cells (Fig. 1J) [54]. Cap cells secrete Perlecan, which is essential in forming the GSC niche [58]. Cap cells also express Lamin-C and TJ

shortly after the larval/pupal transition in response to Notch signaling and an ecdysone pulse [54, 59-

62]. Primordial germline cells (PGCs) that contact Cap Cells largely remain in position to become adult

Germline Stem Cells (GSCs) [63, 64]. The remaining PGCs develop similarly to adult Cystoblasts to

produce 2-, 4-, 8- and finally, 16-cell germarial cysts during pupation.

The somatic cells posterior to Cap Cells in the developing germarium are interspersed amongst

germline cells and were therefore named Intermingled Cells (ICs) (Fig. 1J). These cells express TJ

(white in Fig. 1A-I) but not Lamin C or Fas3 (both red in Fig. 1B-I) at pupariation, allowing for a clear

distinction from Cap Cells and basal cells [32, 52, 54]. It has generally been assumed that ICs are the

source of adult ECs [32] but neither this relationship nor the source of FSCs and FCs in newly-eclosed

adults has been clearly defined experimentally. Here, we use lineage analyses and the changing

morphology of developing ovarioles during pupation to investigate the origin of adult ovarian somatic

stem and niche cells.

Spatially organized progression of germline differentiation

We are principally interested in understanding the developmental origins of somatic ECs, FSCs

and FCs but this process must also be coordinated with germline development to produce egg

chambers, starting midway through pupation. Germline development was monitored by using Hts

antibody (red in Fig. 2) to reveal a rounded spectrosome, an internal organelle characteristic of single

germline cells, or a branched spectrosome derivative known as a fusome, which connects Vasa-

marked germline cells (green in Fig. 2) in a cyst [65], and by incubating samples with EdU to assess

DNA replication as a proxy for cell division (Fig. S1).

Primordial Germ Cells (PGCs) do not initiate differentiation before late larval stages due to the

repressive actions of ligand-free ecdysone receptor and Broad transcription factor targets in somatic

cells [60]. Thereafter, anterior PGCs receive BMP signals from newly-formed Cap cells that prevent

differentiation, allowing them to become adult GSCs, while posterior PGCs start to express the early

differentiation marker, Bam-GFP in late third instar larvae just prior to pupariation, co-incident with a

strong ecdysone pulse [60, 64]. A short time later, some branched fusomes are seen in posterior

PGCs, indicating divisions with incomplete cytokinesis to form cysts with 2 or more cells [53, 60]. The

subsequent progression of germline differentiation during pupation has not been described.

We observed 2-cell cysts at 0h APF, cysts of up to 8 cells by 12h APF, and 16-cell cysts at 18h

APF (Fig. 2A-C). Progressively larger cysts were arranged, with few exceptions, from anterior to

posterior from 18h APF onwards; this was particularly clear in samples from 24h to 48h APF (Fig. 2D-

F). Individual germaria within a developing ovary exhibited significantly different degrees of

progression, together with AP elongation of the germarium to accommodate increasingly large germ

cell units; in some cases, germline cells had also migrated posteriorly out of the germarial region, likely

as a non-productive developmental aberration (Fig. 2A-D). Two or more 16-cell cysts were seen in

individual germaria by 36h APF. A pair of posterior cysts were often side by side in germaria at 24h

APF but only a single cyst occupied the most posterior position in most cases by 36h APF, and in all

germaria by 48h APF (Fig. 2D-F). Consistent with these observations of cyst progression and location,

EdU labeling was often seen in the most posterior cyst(s) up to 24h but rarely at 36h, while at 48h even

the second most posterior cyst in the germarium rarely incorporated EdU (Fig. S1A-D). Our

observations reveal a progression of germline differentiation that is spatially organized along the AP

axis during the first 48h APF, at which time the organization of germline cysts resembles that in the

adult up to a stage 2b cyst (Fig. 1J).

Follicle Stem Cells (FSCs) and Escort Cells (ECs) derive from a shared precursor

We conducted a series of lineage analyses to learn about the specification of ECs, FSCs and

FCs from precursors during pupal development. In these studies, the timing of clone initiation was

deduced from the time elapsed between administration of a heat-shock to induce *hs-flp*-mediated

genetic recombination and adult eclosion. Thus, a "-5d sample" was generated by collecting very young

adults (less than a 6h range) centered around 120h after heat-shock (Fig. 3A). The time between

puparium formation and eclosion was generally a few hours more than observed for animals used in

morphological studies, but there is also a short delay between heat-shock and genetic marking of a cell

[66]. Consequently, the time a cell is genetically marked in a "-5d sample" likely corresponds closely to

puparium formation (0h APF) (Fig. 3A).

Ideally, a single precursor per developing ovariole would be labeled at a variety of times during

pupation, followed by comprehensive analysis of each resultant lineage in large numbers of ovarioles

from newly-eclosed adults. In practice, it is difficult to label only one cell in a developing ovariole when

there are many precursors present. We used a very mild heat-shock protocol to try to accomplish this

objective. We initially used MARCM clonal analysis [67] because positive cell marking with GFP greatly

facilitates scoring all cells in a clone. However, maturation of a strong GFP MARCM signal in adult and

developing ovarioles requires almost 4d. We therefore dissected ovarioles from adults 2d after eclosion

("+2d") in our first experiments, having induced clones daily (in separate experiments) between 6d and

2d before eclosion ("-6d" to "-2d"). These experiments provided an important overview of the temporal

progress of precursor types over the course of pupation (Fig. 3A). Subsequent experiments focused

further on studying single cell lineages by using multicolor labeling to reduce the frequency of labeling a

precursor in a specific color, and on capturing all labeled FCs produced during pupation by examining

lineages in newly-eclosed adults (Fig. 3A)

In the first experiments, involving staged clone induction, each ovariole in 2d-old adults was

scored for the number of marked FSCs (Fig. 1J), the number of marked ECs and the presence of

marked FCs in the germarium and first two egg chambers (implying the presence of a FSC 2d earlier)

by imaging and archiving complete confocal z-stacks (Fig. 3). Marked TF and Cap Cells were also

noted. Clones were classified according to inferred cell types present at the time of eclosion (see

Methods for extrapolating data from +2d adults to 0d adults).

The average number of labeled ECs and FSCs in a marked adult germarium decreased when

clones were induced at progressively later stages (from an average of 8.1 at -6d to 2.0 at -2d),

consistent with proliferation of most precursors during this period (Tables 1 and 2). Very few marked

clones were observed in the absence of heat-shock, consistent with almost all clones arising at the time

of deliberately administered heat-shock. As expected, TF cells were almost never labeled and Cap Cell

labeling was seen only at the earliest times of induction (present in 3% of clones induced at -5d (0h

APF)) because these non-dividing cell types have already differentiated shortly before (TFs) or just

after (CCs) the larval/pupal transition and the MARCM method only labels dividing cells.

Strikingly, almost all ovarioles with early-induced clones (from -6d to -4d) that included marked

FSCs also contained marked ECs ("EC/FSC clones") (Fig. 3A, D-G) (Table 1). Ovarioles containing

only marked ECs ("EC only") were seen at each time of clone induction, while a high frequency of

ovarioles with marked FSCs but no marked ECs ("FSC only") was evident only when induced at -3d or -

2d (Fig. 3A, K). These observations immediately suggested that most adult FSCs derive from a dividing

precursor that gives rise to both ECs and FSCs. During the second half of pupation an increasing

proportion of the products of early EC/FSC precursors produce FSCs but no ECs.

Relative location of precursors along AP axis instructs fate

We found that marked cells in an adult germarium were generally clustered in the AP

dimension. This can be seen for each time of clone induction by examining individual images (Fig. 3D-

K). To evaluate clustering numerically we counted marked region 1 (r1) and region 2a (r2a) ECs

separately. Region 1 contains germline cysts with fewer than 16 cells and comprises the most anterior

two-thirds of EC territory along the AP axis. Of all early-induced clones (-6d to -4d) with two or more

marked cells and including at least one r1 EC, 35% contained only r1 ECs, 19% included also only r2a

ECs, while only 8% also included only FSCs (the remainder included both r2a ECs and FSCs) (Fig.

3B). Thus, genetic relatives of a marked region 1 EC are often only in region 1; they are also more

commonly found in region 2a than in the more distant FSC region, even though the total frequency of

clones with marked region 2a ECs (54%) and FSCs (57%) were similar (Fig. 3B). Conversely, of all

clones with two or more marked cells including at least one FSC, 25% contained no ECs, 18% included

also only r2a ECs, while only 11% also included only r1 ECs, even though the total frequency of clones

with r1 ECs (68%) was higher than for r2a ECs (54%) (Fig. 3C). These observations support the

hypotheses that precursors occupy significantly different AP positions early in pupal development, their

progeny undergo stochastic movement in either direction but still remain relatively close in the AP

dimension, and cell identity (r1 EC, r2a EC or FSC) is set by their AP location at eclosion. By

adulthood, this identity includes starkly different behaviors of quiescence (ECs) or proliferation (FSCs).

Had precursors been restricted early in pupation to produce only FSCs, only ECs, or only one type of

EC (r1 or r2a), marked lineages would be restricted to a single cell type. In fact, we observed many

lineages that spanned boundaries between r1 and r2a ECs, and between ECs and FSCs, especially for

clones induced from 0h to 48h APF.

Low frequency clones validate a common precursor for ECs and FSCs

The overall clone frequency (percentage of ovarioles with any marked cells in the germarium or

early egg chambers) in the above experiments was 60%. It would therefore be expected that about 2/3

of marked ovarioles derive from marking a single precursor if all recombination events were

independent and equally likely (see Methods). To produce a higher frequency of ovarioles with marked

lineages derived from only a single cell we used a multicolor marking strategy. Here, recombination

events can lead to loss of GFP or a *lacZ* transgene, with or without RFP loss to yield six possible

lineage phenotypes, markedly reducing the proportion of precursors labeled by a specific color

combination (Fig3B) [23]. Two types of clone result from recombination at both *FRT40A* and *FRT42B*

(GFP-only and *lacZ*-only) and are therefore the least frequent. GFP-only clones, induced at -5d and

scored in newly-eclosed (0d) adults, were found at an overall frequency of 26/115 ovarioles, so that an

estimated 86% of labeled ovarioles (see Methods) would be expected to have lineages derived from a

single GFP-only cell.

The patterns of GFP-only and other multicolor clones were very similar to those observed by

MARCM analysis (Fig. 4), with a single lineage frequently spanning r1 and r2a territory, or EC and FSC

domains (Fig. 4A-C). Among GFP-only lineages that included FSCs, eight also included ECs and only

two did not (Fig. 4B, C). We can therefore be confident that a single precursor at -5d (0h APF) can give

rise to both ECs and FSCs and that most FSCs originate from such precursors. The proportions (and

yields) of GFP-only clone types were 42% EC-only (average 3.0 ECs), 31% EC/FSC (average 3.5 EC

and 2.6 FSCs) and 8% FSC-only (average 4.0 FSCs) (Table 3).

Clones of different colors in the same germarium were generally offset along the AP axis. In

some cases, the two differently colored clones likely derive from a single recombination event in a

common parent, yielding "twin-spot" daughters (Fig. 3B and Fig. 4D-F). These observations graphically

confirm the earlier conclusion that marked lineages spread a limited but significant distance along the

AP axis (even for the two daughters of a cell undergoing recombination), frequently spanning

boundaries between two different adult cell types.

EC precursor division declines from the anterior at mid-pupation

The MARCM lineage experiments, where lineages were induced at different times during

development, also yield information about when precursors of specific adult cell types stop dividing

because only dividing precursors can be labeled by mitotic recombination. For each time of clone

induction we summed the total number of marked cells of each type (FSCs, r1 and r2a ECs) over all

clones (Table 2). The ratio of marked ECs (r1 or r2a) to FSCs for any one time of clone induction

reports the relative number of those cell types produced from dividing precursors. The absolute number

of ECs made from dividing precursors was then calculated by using a value of 16 FSCs per germarium

and assuming that FSC precursors, like FSCs themselves, do not cease dividing (Table 2).

Importantly, this calculation is based on scoring all labeled cells over all clones and is therefore equally

valid whether the scored cells in an ovariole derive from a single marked cell or from more than one

marked cell.

For -6d and -5d samples, the calculated number of ECs made from dividing precursors was very

similar at about 33 (19 r1 and 14 r2a) per germarium (Table 2). This approximates the total number of

adult ECs, which has been reported to be about 40 on average [35], and which we counted as 32-51

over 25 germaria from young adults, suggesting that most cells that will become adult ECs are still

dividing at the larval/pupal transition. The calculated number of ECs made from dividing precursors

dropped slightly in -4d samples (from 33 to 28) and more markedly in -3d (to 15) and -2d samples (to

13) (Table 2). We surmise that precursors of over half of the future adult EC population have ceased

dividing by 2-3 days prior to eclosion. Moreover, the average number of dividing precursors for r1 ECs

(4.7) was significantly lower than for r2a ECs (8.6) by -2d (72h APF), even though adults contain more

r1 than r2a ECs (19 vs 14, from -6d and -5d data). Thus, a reduction in precursor division appears to

spread from the anterior towards the posterior as pupal development proceeds.

The inference of few, if any, non-dividing, and therefore potentially fully-differentiated, ECs

during the 0-24h APF period is notable because germline cells were found to develop from 1-2 cell

units into 16-cell cysts during the same period. These observations suggest that germline progression

can be supported by developing EC precursors.

Some Follicle Cells produced during pupation derive from precursors that also yield

FSCs and ECs

In a separate series of lineage experiments, we induced MARCM clones early enough (0h APF

and 36h APF) that we were able to examine GFP-labeled cells in newly-eclosed adults (Fig. 3A). This

allowed us to score all marked FCs produced from precursors, as well as FSCs and ECs, before the

earliest FCs are lost in 2d-old adults. From these data, we can learn about the developmental origin of

FCs, which are typically present surrounding the two most mature germarial cysts and four budded egg

chambers in a newly-eclosed adult (Fig3A).

We first examined lineages induced 5d before eclosion (at 0h APF). Most ovarioles with marked

FSCs also had marked ECs (24/27) (Fig. 5A, D), as observed in MARCM analysis in 2d-old adults and

multicolor clone analysis, confirming a common precursor of ECs and FSCs. Almost all clones with

marked FSCs also had marked FCs (25/27) (Fig. 5A), indicating a common precursor for adult FSCs

and FCs. A significant proportion of all marked ovarioles (23/98=23%) had marked ECs, FSCs and

FCs, indicating that some individual pupal precursors give rise to all three cell types in newly-eclosed adults. Many ovarioles ("FC-only") contained marked FCs but no marked FSCs (Fig. 5C, D). Similar results were observed for multicolor lineage tracing examined in newly-eclosed adults (Fig. 4, Table 3). These results suggest that at the start of pupation there is a group of anterior precursors that produces ECs, a group of posterior precursors that produces only FCs and a group of precursors in between that produce a combination of two or three of these cell types (Fig. 6).

The locations of marked FCs were scored as "immediate" (region 2b), "germarial" (region 3) or in egg chambers ("ECh") 1-4 (Fig. 3A and Fig. 7A). The percentage of clones with marked immediate FCs was higher for ovarioles that included marked FSCs (56%) than for those without marked FSCs (16%). Conversely, the percentage of ovarioles with marked FCs in at least one of the two terminal (most posterior) egg chambers was higher when marked FSCs were absent (88%) than when they were present (67%). Indeed, occupancy by marked FCs decreased gradually from anterior to posterior for FSC/FC clones and with the opposite polarity for FC-only clones (Fig. 7A). Thus, derivatives of a given precursor were clustered along the AP axis in FSC/FC territory, as previously noted for EC/FSC territory. The inference that the fate of precursor derivatives is set largely by the AP position of precursors, with limited AP dispersal of derivatives, appears to be the governing principle all the way from the most anterior r1 EC derivatives to the most posterior FCs in newly-eclosed adults. Notably, while some clones contained only ECs or only FCs, the AP spread of derivatives generally exceeded the width of the FSC domain, so that ovarioles with marked FSCs always contained ECs or FCs (or both). In other words, there were no FSC-specific precursors at the larval/pupal transition and individual lineages regularly spanned the borders of adult cell identities (Fig. 6).

Estimates of numbers of different precursors just prior to pupation

The number of precursors at 0h APF that give rise to different adult cell types can be deduced from the observed proportion of lineage categories (EC, EC/FSC+/-FC, FSC+/-FC, FC) together with the average number of marked FSCs and ECs in each lineage category. However, both measured

parameters will be inaccurate if some ovarioles include two or more marked lineages. Analysis of

lineages in 0d adults allowed us to estimate how often this occurred.

In this MARCM experiment, 67% of 98 ovarioles contained no marked cells, suggesting that

80% of marked ovarioles have lineages derived from a single cell if all recombination events are

independent (see Methods). However, 16% of marked ovarioles contained marked FCs and marked

ECs but no marked FSCs, strongly suggesting that these discontinuous patterns represent two lineages

and hence, that the total proportion of ovarioles with more than one marked lineage is considerably

higher than 20%. Thus, there appears to be some clustering of recombination events rather than an

even distribution among all ovarioles, perhaps because temperature changes are not uniform during

the mild heat-shock conditions used to induce recombination events at a very low frequency. The

observed proportions of ovarioles with marked ECs and FCs roughly fit a simplified model where 30%

of ovarioles with any marked cells derive from a single marked cell and 70% derive from exactly two

marked cells (see Methods). We used that model to calculate the component single cell lineage

frequencies and component cell numbers per single-cell lineage that would give rise to the scored raw

data (see Methods). The deduced average number of dividing precursors at pupariation was about 24

in total, with 15.1 precursors giving rise only to ECs, 3.1 precursors producing ECs and FSCs, 1.2

precursors producing FSCs but no ECs, and 4.6 precursors producing only FCs on average (Fig. 5E;

Table 3).

Multicolor GFP-only clones were used previously to provide the most definitive evidence that an

individual precursor cell can produce both adult ECs and FSCs. The frequency of ovarioles with marked

ECs and FCs but no FSCs was only 8%, consistent with a high proportion of single cell lineages, which

we estimated at 70% (see Methods). From the inferred proportions of single lineage types and their

cellular contents (see Methods), we deduced that a single precursor cell gives rise to both ECs and

FSCs in 25% of all marked lineages and that the frequency of such precursors greatly exceeds

precursors that produce FSCs but no ECs (10%). The deduced proportions of clone types and the

number of precursors of each type (9.0 EC, 4.2 EC/FSC, 1.6 FSC, 2.4 FC and 17 total precursors) are

reasonably similar to those calculated above from the similarly timed MARCM experiment (Fig. 5E;

Table 3). Differences may result from variations in the exact developmental stage of animals of different

genotypes at the time of clone induction.

We also performed a MARCM analysis of clones induced 3.5d prior to eclosion (36h APF) and

examined in 0d adults to learn more about the timing of FC specification (see below). In this

experiment, the proportion of ovarioles that were labeled (94%) and the frequency of EC/FC clones was

particularly high, probably because there are many more dividing precursors than at 0h APF, so the

deduction of single cell lineage content is likely less reliable. Nevertheless, we estimated (see Methods)

that the proportions of single-cell lineage types were EC-only (51%), EC/FSC(+/-FC) (7%), FSC(+/-FC)

(4%), FC-only (38%) and that the total number of dividing precursors was about 35 (Fig. 5E; Table 3).

The most striking difference between lineages induced at 36h versus 0h APF is a large increase in

lineages producing only FCs. Based on earlier analysis of MARCM lineages induced at -4d and -3d and

examined at +2d, there should also be between 5 and 18 non-dividing EC precursors at -3.5d (Table 2).

Thus, we estimate that there are 13.3 FC-only precursors within a total precursor population of about

40-53 (35 dividing plus 5-18 non-dividing cells) at 36h APF (Table 3).

Timing of FC recruitment to first egg chamber

To determine when FCs are first allocated to the most mature germline cyst, we looked at the

distribution of marked cells in FC-only clones. The majority of newly-eclosed adults have four egg

chambers, and we excluded all exceptions from the analysis described below. For FC-only clones

induced at 36h APF, more than half (34 out of 49) included labeled FCs in the fourth (most mature) egg

chamber. Two-thirds of those (21 out of 34) had no other labeled FCs, showing that many precursors

are restricted by 36h APF to contribute only to the first-formed egg chamber (Fig. 7E, F). The 13

exceptions all had marked FCs in egg chamber 3 (Fig. 7E, G). This frequency is too high for all to be

explained by the presence of two lineages, and a single lineage might plausibly contribute to two

adjacent egg chambers. We also estimated the proportion of the egg chamber 4 epithelium occupied by

marked FCs. The proportion was significantly lower when marked FCs were also in egg chamber 3

(19.6%) than when confined to only the terminal egg chamber (29.7%) (Fig. 7B, D). These results

suggest that, in most cases, the initially labeled precursor at 36h APF divided before all egg chamber 4

FCs were allocated. In some cases, one daughter became an FC founder for egg chamber 4 and the

other daughter later contributed to egg chamber 3. In other cases, both daughters contributed to egg

chamber 4, accounting for a higher average FC contribution for that labeling pattern. We do not know

the cell cycle time for these FC-only precursors subsequent to 36h APF, but we expect (from

amplification data for EC/FSC lineages in Table 1) that it is shorter than 24h and may be as short as

11h, the cycling time for early FCs in adult ovarioles [68], so we estimate that FCs are allocated to egg

chamber 4 at about 47-60h APF. This estimate fits with later, direct morphological observations.

By 48h APF, all germaria have a single cyst in the most posterior region (Fig. 2) but neighboring

somatic cells (ICs) only express very low levels of Fas3 (Fig. 1G). In adult germaria, even the most

recently recruited FCs surrounding a stage 2b cyst express high levels of Fas3; a subset of those cells

must then become specialized polar and stalk cells before the egg chamber can bud a further 24h later

(Fig. 8J). The most posterior ICs that do not express Fas3 strongly before 48h APF therefore appear to

be far behind schedule, given that the first egg chamber will bud at about 56h APF on average (Fig. 1).

These observations raise the question of whether these ICs are indeed the cells that will form the FCs

of the first budded egg chamber, as might have been assumed by analogy to budding of adult egg

chambers.

Lineage evidence for separate precursors of FCs in the first-formed egg chamber

Marked FCs occupied only the two terminal egg chambers (one or both) in 35 out of 49

ovarioles with FC-only clones induced at 36h APF (Fig. 7E). Marked FCs occupied only territory

anterior to the two terminal egg chambers in five ovarioles, while the remaining nine ovarioles included

labeled FCs in terminal egg chambers and more anterior locations. It was estimated that most ovarioles

in this experiment have two marked lineages and that 38% of all single-cell lineages contain only FCs,

so around 11.5 of the 49 FC-only ovarioles (49 x $(0.38)^2$/[(2 x 0.38 x 0.62) + $(0.38)^2$]) might be expected

to include two FC-only lineages. Importantly, there were only two ovarioles with labeled FCs in egg

chamber 4 and also in locations anterior to egg chamber 3 (Fig. 6E) and these may plausibly contain

two lineages, consistent with a conclusion that, at 36h APF, precursors to FCs of the first-formed egg

chamber contribute only to egg chambers 3 and 4 (seen in 32/34 cases).

It is possible that the seven ovarioles with labeled FCs in egg chamber 3 (but not 4) and in more

anterior locations all harbor two lineages, but that seems unlikely since all seven have marked FCs in

egg chamber 2 (suggesting a common origin) and there were only 23 ovarioles with marked FCs in egg

chamber 3 altogether. Thus, by 36h APF the FC-only precursors appear to be segregated into two

populations. The majority contribute FCs to the first-formed egg chamber and sometimes also to egg

chamber 3, while the remainder contribute FCs to more anterior egg chambers, sometimes including

egg chamber 3. The AP location of different FC-only precursors at 36h APF presumably accounts for

these differences.

Ovarioles that included marked FSCs only rarely had marked FCs in the terminal egg chamber

(Fig. 6C). The five exceptions (out of 21) may derive from ovarioles that harbor an FC-only lineage

(53% frequency overall) in addition to an FSC-containing lineage (26% overall). Thus, at 36h APF it

appears that around two-thirds of FC-only precursors will contribute to the first-formed egg chamber,

with some of these also contributing to egg chamber 3, while more anterior egg chambers are later

populated by derivatives of a combination of FC-only, FSC/FC and EC/FSC/FC precursors.

An Extra-Germarial Crown (EGC) forms prior to egg chamber budding

Our cell lineage studies, in which any dividing cell can be labeled, provided information about

gradual restriction of precursor fates during pupation, according to cell locations along the AP axis. To

define the location of the precursors of FCs that coat the first budded egg chamber, we first examined

the changing morphology and gene expression patterns of developing ovaries. We already described

the onset of weak Fas3 expression in ICs as surprisingly close to the time of egg chamber budding

(Fig. 1), suggesting that ICs may not be the source of the first FCs because the first FCs most likely

already express Fas3 strongly.

Posterior to the interspersed germline cells and somatic ICs of the pupal germarium are somatic

cells with strong Fas3 staining from 24h APF onward (Fig. 1 and Fig. 8). We noticed that the most

anterior of these Fas3-positive cells express TJ, which is also expressed in ICs and required for their

interspersion with germline cells [69]. The TJ-positive cells form a small multi-layered cone or crown

around the posterior of the developing germarium that increases in size and cellular content from 12-

36h APF (Fig. 8A-C). We refer to those TJ/Fas3-positive cells beyond the most posterior germline cyst

as the Extra-Germarial Crown (EGC). A population of cells that expresses both TJ and Fas3 strongly is

notable because there is evidence from manipulation of TJ activity in adult ovaries that TJ generally

represses Fas3 expression [69], while a principal characteristic that distinguishes adult FCs from other

TJ-expressing cells (FSCs and ECs) is strong Fas3 expression (Fig. 8K) [23]. What becomes of the

newly-identified group of EGC cells, which express Fas3 strongly in addition to TJ?

In adults, a stage 3 germline cyst is separated from the most recently budded egg chamber by

only a small number of somatic cells (Fig. 1J and 8L). The stage 3 cyst then buds from the germarium

together with adjacent somatic FCs, which emerge as a monolayer epithelium connected to the

germarium by a thin, short stalk of specialized follicle cells. By contrast, prior to budding of the first

pupal egg chamber there is a large accumulation of somatic EGC cells posterior to the germarium (Fig.

8A-D) and after budding, the first egg chamber is often found much further from the germarium than in

adults (Fig. 1H). The intervening space is occupied by a partially intercalated stalk of TJ-negative cells

and by a small "secondary" EGC of Fas3- and TJ-positive cells, crowning the germarium (Fig. 8G).

After one cyst has budded and a second cyst has rounded up in preparation for budding (around 60h

APF) there is strong Fas3 expression in germarial somatic cells (Fig. 8J), resembling the pattern seen

in adults (Fig. 8K). In samples with two budded egg chambers (72-84h APF) or more, the newly budded

egg chamber is close to the germarium (Fig. 1I). Thus, formation of the first egg chamber appears to

involve a morphological process quite different from adult egg chamber budding; this is partly

recapitulated by the second egg chamber before adopting a typical adult morphology.

Live imaging reveals EGC and basal stalk cells as the source of FCs for the first egg

chamber

We used a live imaging protocol that we had previously developed for adult ovarioles [70] in

order to view pupal ovaries and track individual somatic cells. We were able to follow isolated live

ovaries for up to 15h, during which time many cell movements and cell divisions were observed,

suggestive of continued normal behavior. We first used samples where all cells were labeled by

expression of a *ubi-GFP* transgene. Later, we used animals with multicolor clones induced 2-3d earlier,

so that some cells had lost GFP or RFP expression or both (Fig 3B). Variability in the levels of GFP and

RFP simplified the task of following individual cells over time. We were able to track the movements of

multiple cells in a series of videos initiated at a variety of times after pupariation.

From about 30h APF onward, tracked ICs moved short distances relative to other cells in a

variety of directions. Most ICs maintained their relative AP locations within the developing germarium

as cysts moved past them. For example, one of two initially parallel germline cysts adopted the most

posterior position in the germarium while adjacent somatic ICs remained in position (Fig. 9A, B). Even

cells posterior to the most posterior cyst at 40h (red arrow, Fig. 9C), which are likely EGC cells (Fig.

8C), moved relative to the cyst to end up at the anterior of the cyst several hours later. At the same

time, an EGC cell (magenta arrow, Fig. 9C), initially distant from the cyst was adjacent to the cyst 9h

later. Another 40h APF movie similarly showed the cyst moving away from ICs (yellow, cyan, white,

magenta fill, in Fig. 9B) and making contact with EGC cells (outlined red cells, Fig. 9B). These

observations support the deductions from lineage analysis that precursors undergo limited, stochastic

movements along the AP axis and suggest that 16-cell germline cysts do not have a stable coating of

somatic cells from about 30-50h APF. Instead, by 48h APF the most posterior germline cyst is starting

to move into EGC territory. These observations are consistent with fixed images showing Fas3-positive

cells only posterior to the cyst at 36h APF (Fig. 8C-C") but beginning to surround the cyst by 48h APF

(Fig. 8D) because the cyst moves into the Fas3-positive EGC domain. During the 30-50h APF period,

there were cell divisions in the EGC and stalk (Movie S1) but we saw no evidence of cells moving from

the IC region into the EGC or in the reverse direction (see outlined red EGC cells in Fig. 9B),

suggesting that the EGC population grows primarily as a result of EGC cell division.

Imaging of an ovary from about 48h APF onwards captured the key process of egg chamber

budding for the first time (Fig. 10; Fig. S3-S5; Movie S2-S4). At 48h APF, fixed images showed that

cells surrounding the posterior half of the germline cyst express Fas3 strongly (Fig. 8D, yellow bracket),

consistent with evidence from live imaging that the cyst is already moving into EGC territory (Fig. 9B,

C), as described above. The location of Fas3-positive TJ-positive EGC cells is inferred (yellow and

green brackets, Fig. 10) by comparison to similarly-staged fixed images (Fig. 8), with Fas3-positive TJ-

negative cells posterior to the EGC considered basal stalk cells. The most posterior germline cyst

(highlighted by green nuclei in the lower germarium of Fig. 10) moved out of the germarium into EGC

territory, as EGC cells enveloped the cyst. For example, the cyan-outlined cell started within the EGC,

contacted the cyst prior to 8.3h and remained associated for the next 6.5h (Fig. 10; Fig. S4). Similarly,

the pink cell, initially just posterior to the cyst, moved to the midpoint of the budded egg chamber (Fig.

10; Fig. S3C; Movie S2). The red-outlined cell (red asterisk), which started on the posterior face of the

cyst, like the pink cell, initially maintained contact with the posterior-moving cyst. It was last seen at, or

just beyond, the anterior aspect of that cyst, suggesting it is moving past the cyst to contribute to the

secondary EGC, awaiting the second cyst to bud (Fig. 10; Movie S3).

IC cells were largely left behind in the germarium as the germline cyst moved into EGC territory.

The cells outlined in orange and green, like the yellow-outlined cell in the germarium above, started

close to the anterior face of the terminal cyst and lost contact with the cyst before 7h. At the end of the

imaging period, the cells outlined in orange and yellow were adjacent to the second cyst, inside the

germarium (Fig. 10; Fig. S3B and S5B). The green-outlined cell at 14:45h is in a location likely to

become the secondary EGC. The secondary EGC may therefore result from cells that were originally

ICs (green-outlined cell) and in the EGC (red asterisk).

Surprisingly, we observed that even distant basal stalk cells migrated onto the budding germline

cyst. The white-outlined cell, which initially lay posterior to the region with TJ expression (from

comparison to fixed images), entered EGC territory within 4h and became associated with the germline

cyst by 12h (Fig. 10; Fig. S4 and Movie S4). Thus, over the nearly 15h of live imaging the newly-

budded cyst acquired a set of closely-associated cells of epithelial appearance that derived from the

EGC and basal stalk cells, while tracked ICs at 48h APF were anterior to the budded cyst 15h later.

Live imaging of a different ovariole from 55h APF onward showed continued posterior

movement of the budding cyst until only a small number of basal stalk cells remained at its posterior

(Fig. 11B and Movie S5). During this almost 15h viewing period, the number of cells posterior to the

cyst declined from 32 to 13 (Fig. 11C). Fixed images of later stages, after two egg chambers have

budded (84h APF; Fig. 11D) revealed an average of 9 cells in the stalk posterior to the terminal egg

chamber, resembling the structure seen in newly-eclosed adults (Fig. 3A). Prior to budding, there were

50-65 cells posterior to the germline throughout the time range of 24 to 48h APF (Fig. 11A).

Thus, it appears that many cells in the basal stalk at 48h APF end up as FCs on the first budded

egg chamber, together with EGC derivatives. Some EGC cells likely pass over the entire budded cyst

to occupy the space between the germarium and the budded cyst, and may be joined there by some of

the cells that were the most posterior ICs at 48h APF to form the secondary EGC. It is very unlikely that

any ICs at 48h APF become FCs on the most mature egg chamber of newly-eclosed adults.

Imaging from about 60h APF onwards revealed the most posterior cyst in the germarium

rounding up and moving a short distance into the secondary EGC, which includes dividing cells (Fig.

S6A-C). Tracked cells on the first budded egg chamber moved short distances anterior as the stalk

elongated between the first and second egg chambers (Fig. S6C). ICs anterior to the second budding

cyst moved posterior as the germarium elongated and appeared poised to associate with later cysts

(Fig. S6A). We infer that the second budded egg chamber has FCs derived from the secondary EGC,

which is itself derived from primary EGC cells and, likely, some posterior ICs at 48h APF. FCs on later

egg chambers likely derive solely from cells that were ICs at 48h APF.

Basal cell precursor contributions to the first-formed FCs and a basal stalk

Live imaging indicated that many cells in the basal stalk at 48h APF later become FCs on the

first budded egg chamber, while a small number do not migrate over the cyst and form a short basal

stalk structure of 5-10 single-file cells posterior to egg chamber 4 in newly-eclosed adults (Fig. 3A).

Consistent with this scenario, when we examined lineages marked at 36h APF, we found 13 ovarioles

with label in the basal stalk and in FCs of egg chamber 4 (Fig. 7F, G), suggesting a common origin from

basal stalk cells at 36h APF. There were 18 ovarioles where FCs in egg chamber 4 were marked but

the adult basal stalk was not, consistent with an origin from EGC or anterior basal stalk cells at 36h

APF (Fig. 7E, H-J). The proportion of ovarioles containing marked FCs in egg chamber 4 that also

contained marked FCs in egg chamber 3 was higher when marked basal stalk cells were absent (7/18)

than when they were present (2/13), consistent with a more anterior origin of lineages that did not

include the adult basal stalk (Fig. 7E).

In summary, live imaging together with lineage analysis initiated at 36h APF revealed a

separate group of FC-only precursors, now identified as basal stalk and EGC cells posterior to the

germarium, that become FCs of the first-formed egg chamber and contribute also to the second-formed

egg chamber. Lineage analysis suggested there are additional FC-only precursors that contribute to

more anterior FCs. Those precursors may be located partly in anterior regions of the EGC at 36h APF

but it seems likely that most derive from ICs at 36h APF, given the observation that the germarium has

an adult morphology after two egg chambers have budded, with no EGC structure. Although we could

only track a subset of cells during live imaging, we did not find any evidence for cells posterior to ICs

entering the germarium at any stage, consistent with all ICs present in the germarium after two cycles

of budding originating from ICs at 36h APF. Importantly, the precursors of FSCs, which lie anterior to

FC-only precursors, must therefore arise from cells within the germarium (ICs) throughout pupal

development, whether they give rise to just FSCs and FCs or also to ECs.

Pattern of precursor divisions along the AP axis

The analysis of MARCM clones in 2d-old adults provided information about the pattern of

precursor divisions over time, based on the frequency of labeling specific precursors and the yield of

adult cells from each precursor (Table 1). There was a severe reduction in EC-only precursor division

rates from -4d to -2d (24h to 72h APF) with the frequency of such clones falling from 58% and 57% (-5d

and -4d) to 30% (-3d) and then 14% (-2d). Moreover, the decline in division spread from the anterior;

the yield of r1 ECs relative to r2a ECs declined from 2.2 (-5d) and 2.6 (-4d) to 0.9 (-3d) and 0.8 (-2d).

The yield of marked cells per EC/FSC or FSC precursor was consistently higher than for EC-only

precursors at all times of clone induction, indicating higher division rates in more posterior locations.

These yields underestimate EC/FSC and especially FSC precursor output because they do not include

FCs.

We also examined precursor cell cycling using EdU and FUCCI labeling. We incubated

dissected pupal ovaries at various developmental stages with EdU *in vitro* over a 1h time period to

detect cells in S phase. From 0-24h APF, ICs throughout the germarium often incorporated EdU (Fig.

S1A-C). By 48h APF, the prevalence of anterior EdU-labeled cells was quite low, whereas EGC cells

had frequent EdU signals (Fig. S1D). Even at 60h APF, however, an occasional IC in the anterior half

of the germarium could be found with EdU label; no anterior ICs labeled by EdU were evident at 96h

APF (Fig. S1E, F). At 96h APF some cells near the middle of the germarium but anterior to strong Fas3

expression, which may be r2a EC or EC/FSC precursors, did still incorporate EdU.

In the FLY-FUCCI system, RFP- and GFP-tagged proteins accumulate during the cell cycle

under the influence of a chosen promoter, while degrons from CycB and E2F1 promote rapid

degradation of RFP and GFP fusion proteins at the start of G1 and S phase, respectively [71]. While

RFP and GFP are expected to be reliably absent during G1 and S phase, respectively, the speed of

recovery of each signal during the next phase of the cell cycle depends on the strength of transcription

of FLY-FUCCI components. We used *UAS*-driven *FLY-FUCCI* transgenes and found *C587-GAL4* to be

more effective than *tj-GAL4* or *act-GAL4* in producing GFP and RFP FUCCI signals in the developing

somatic IC population and in adult ovaries. In adult germaria, all r1 ECs express only GFP (Fig. 12G),

indicating G1 (or a G0 state). r2a ECs include a mix of GFP-only G1 cells and cells with both GFP and

RFP, indicating G2 (Fig. 12G). FSCs additionally include some cells with RFP-only (late S-phase) and

no GFP or RFP (early S-phase, verified by co-labeling with EdU) (D. Melamed pers. comm.).

From 12-36h APF, ICs at all AP locations in the germarium included some cells with each

FUCCI color combination, although G1 cells were always most frequent in more anterior regions (Fig.

12A-D). By 48h APF, almost all somatic cells in the anterior half of the germarium were in G1 or G0

(GFP-only), consistent with substantially reduced cycling (Fig. 12E). A large fraction of EGC cells were

in S phase (marked by RFP only) (Fig. 12E'). By 96h APF, after two or three egg chambers have

budded, the *C587*-expressing domain had retracted anteriorly, roughly to territory anterior to strong

Fas3 staining and the pattern of FUCCI labeling was quite similar to adults, with anterior cells uniformly

in a G1 or G0 state, followed posteriorly by cells largely in G1 and G2 and then some cells in S phase

also (Fig. 12F). Thus, direct observation of EdU incorporation and cell cycle markers confirms the

progressive decline in anterior IC proliferation over time that was inferred from lineage analyses and

suggests that the anterior to posterior gradient of proliferation stretches all the way from the most

anterior ICs to posterior ICs and EGC cells, which appear to cycle rapidly.

A putative FSC/FC precursor marker yields lineages that also produce ECs

The location of precursors of a specific cell type can sometimes be deduced by taking

advantage of restricted gene expression patterns to target limited sets of precursors for lineage

marking. However, such approaches commonly suffer from limited targeting specificity and often do not

allow single-cell lineage tracing [72, 73]. If cell marking is not uniformly precise, it is challenging to link a

spectrum of initially marked cells with a spectrum of lineage outcomes because the same sample

cannot be examined at both the start and the end of an experiment to infer precursor-product

relationships directly. Recent single cell RNA sequencing of developing ovaries in late third instar

larvae led to the discovery of a variety of genes with regional expression patterns, providing resources

for targeted cell labeling [51]. The authors identified a cell population posterior to ICs that preferentially

expressed *bond* mRNA prior to pupariation. A *bond-GAL4* driver was then used together with a

temperature-sensitive *GAL80* transgene to initiate GFP-marked lineages using standard G-trace

reagents [74], keeping animals at 29C during larval stages to initiate labeling, switching to 18C (to

terminate labeling) about one day after pupariation and scoring marked cells in 2d-old adults [51]. Most

of the resulting labeled ovarioles (31/32) were described as including marked FSCs or FCs. FSCs were

not scored directly but were inferred from the presence of marked FCs in the germarium and youngest

egg chambers of 2d-old adults, and the fraction of 31 samples specifically with marked FSCs, rather

than just marked FCs, was not specified. More than half of the labeled ovarioles also contained marked

ECs (19/32). *bond-GAL4* expression was noted to be less specific than *bond* RNA, with some

expression in ICs in late 3rd instar larvae, while *bond* RNA was seen expressed throughout the IC

region in earlier 3rd instar larvae. Although the majority of ovarioles with marked FSCs evidently also

contained marked ECs, the authors suggested that separate precursors in these developing ovarioles

gave rise to ECs and to FSCs, with the latter situated posterior to ICs in late third instar larvae. Since

both of these conclusions are directly contradicted by our single-cell lineage analyses and

morphological studies, we investigated lineages targeted by *bond-GAL4* using reagents donated by the

authors. We scored results in newly-eclosed adults rather than 2d-old adults in order to count FSCs

directly, rather than inferring their presence indirectly, and to include also all FCs that are produced

from precursors during pupation.

We found a high frequency of GFP-marked cells when animals were kept at 18C throughout (37

labeled ovarioles out of 76), suggesting "leaky" clone initiation, with marked ECs or ECs together with

FSCs present in almost all cases (35/37) (Fig. 13A-D). We saw no increase in the proportion of

ovarioles with marked ECs or FSCs when larvae were raised at 29C before being moved to 18C about

2d after pupariation (eclosing 6d later; 13 of 44 ovarioles labeled), or when animals were raised at 18C

before shifting to 29C for the final 3d or 4d of pupation (23 of 88 ovarioles labeled) (Fig. 13D). Because

we observed no marked temperature dependence for these EC/FSC-containing clones we cannot

define the time at which cells were first marked. However, we expect that most lineages were initiated

close to the larval/pupal transition based on the composition of the lineages (see below) and because

TF and Cap cells were occasionally labeled.

The marked lineages were similar in their composition of cell types (Fig. 13A-D) to those we

described earlier using *hs-flp* to initiate clones at pupariation (5d before eclosion), suggesting that they

may have been induced at a similar time. The majority of ovarioles from the *bond-GAL4* experiments

(considering all three temperature conditions together) included either only marked ECs (about 40%) or

marked ECs and FSCs (about 50%, almost all together with marked FCs) (Fig. 11D). Only one out of

73 labeled ovarioles had marked FSCs (and FCs) but no marked ECs. These results clearly provide no

direct evidence of the labeling by *bond-GAL4* of precursors that produce FSCs and FCs without also

producing ECs.

The number of labeled ECs and FSCs (when present) per germarium was consistently higher

than we observed with *hs-flp* induced MARCM or multicolor clones induced at pupariation (Fig. 13A-C;

Table 3), suggesting that most labeled ovarioles in the *bond-GAL4* experiment contain lineages derived

from more than one precursor. The number of marked cells per ovariole was roughly consistent with the

output from two single-cell lineages in MARCM clones initiated at 0h APF. We therefore calculated the

component single clone types (frequency and number of products) that would produce the observed

bond-GAL4 > G-trace results if every ovariole had exactly two marked lineages (see Methods). The

inferred single precursor lineage types were similar to those induced by *hs-flp* at 0h APF (Fig. 11E;

Table 3). The most significant differences were a greater yield of ECs per EC-only lineage and fewer

FC-only lineages for lineages induced by *bond-GAL4*; both differences are consistent with slightly

earlier clone initiation. It is therefore likely that *bond-GAL4* labeled precursors sporadically throughout

the IC region, mostly shortly before pupariation. In keeping with our other lineage studies, inferred

single cell lineages that contained marked FSCs, also included marked ECs in almost all cases. The

source of lineages reported to include FSCs in the earlier study [51] may also have been pre-pupal ICs.

Moreover, the ovarioles with marked ECs and FSCs may have derived from common precursors,

consistent with all of our studies, rather than a combination of EC-specific and FSC-specific precursors,

as suggested by the authors [51].

When animals with *bond-GAL4* and *G-trace* were maintained at 29C during the last 3d or 4d of

pupation we observed an additional type of lineage, either in isolation or together with the types of

marked cells described above (55/88 ovarioles; 0/108 when at 18C throughout) (Fig. 13G). The second

lineage pattern consisted of a few isolated FCs or small FC clusters in the most mature egg chamber,

suggesting several independent recently initiated lineages. Some of the GFP-labeled FCs also

expressed *UAS-RFP*, indicating current or recent *bond-GAL4* activity (Fig. 13G). These patterns were

not reported previously [51] because the first-formed egg chambers are no longer present in 2d-old

adults. Surprisingly, the same type of clone was observed if animals were shifted from 29C to 18C

about 2d after pupariation (30/44 ovarioles, with only 6 ovarioles also including RFP-expressing FCs)

(Fig. 13F). The individual patches of marked FCs were much smaller than observed for lineages

induced by *hs-flp* at 36h APF and therefore presumably result from induction of multiple lineages at a

later time due to perduring *bond-GAL4* or *UAS-flp* products. The second pattern of lineages initiated by

bond-GAL4 suggests selective expression of that marker in FCs of the first-formed egg chamber in late

pupae and adults. We have no clear evidence to evaluate whether *bond-GAL4* might also be expressed

sufficiently in precursors of those FCs to initiate lineages earlier in pupal development, reflecting the

posterior domain of *bond* RNA expression observed in late third instar larvae [51].

Discussion

An important general objective, exemplified here by FSCs, is to ascertain the origin of adult

stem cells and surrounding niche cells in order to understand how their number and organization are

instructed during development. Our investigations of changing morphology, cell movements and cell

lineage reveal a gradual and flexible adoption of these cell types according to AP location throughout

pupal development, rather than a series of discrete, definitive cell fate decisions (Fig. 6). This contrasts

with the development of many other types of differentiated adult cells.

Specifically, adult EC niche cells and FSCs develop from a common group of dividing

precursors (ICs) that are interspersed with developing germline cysts. ICs express TJ and are located

posterior to Cap Cells, which serve as adult niche cells for both GSCs and FSCs, and are already

specified by the start of pupation. The most anterior ICs become regional subsets of ECs (r1 and r2a),

which likely have distinctive roles in the adult [75, 76]. These precursors markedly attenuate division,

starting from the most anterior locations, during the second half of pupation (Table 2, Fig. 12 and Fig

S1). ICs further from the anterior produce both ECs (mainly r2a) and FSCs, but EC production declines

over time (Fig. 7). More posterior ICs produce FSCs and FCs (Fig. 6), while the most posterior ICs

produce only FCs . The deduced pattern of germarium development ensures the juxtaposition of ECs

and FSCs. The use of common precursors likely contributes to producing those cell types in

appropriate proportions, while EC numbers are limited by a progressive decline in proliferation that

spreads from the anterior.

Germarium development is likely driven in part by the early establishment of TF and Cap Cells

as a source of organizing signals but the behavior of adult FSCs, including conversion to FCs, also

relies on a signaling source posterior to the germarium [24, 26]. A key signal comes from specialized

FCs, known as polar cells, on the most recently budded egg chamber (Fig. 1J). At the start of pupation

there are no budded egg chambers, so formation of the first FCs and the first egg chamber must

proceed by a different route. We found that those first FCs derive from precursors that are posterior to

ICs and differ from ICs during the first 48h of pupation by expressing Fas3 strongly, by lack of

association with germline cells and by being restricted to produce only FCs, and not ECs or FSCs.

Thus, the first population of posterior niche cells, and the most posterior portion of the ovariole of a

newly-eclosed adult are exceptional in being derived from precursors that do not overlap with the

precursors of adult FSCs.

Overall, almost the entire somatic cellular complement of the adult tissue that is subsequently

maintained by stem cell activity, derives from precursors that also produce adult FSCs. Preliminary

understanding of other adult stem cells suggests this arrangement may be common but not universal.

There is evidence that both adult mouse gut stem cells and adult neural stem cells of the dentate gyrus

derive from a common precursor pool that also gives rise to the adult epithelial tissue maintained by the

stem cell [77, 78]. By contrast, subventricular zone neural stem cells appear to be specified during

embryogenesis and remain quiescent, while the adult tissue it can replenish is built from other

precursors [79, 80].

Defining and locating EC, FSC and FC precursors through pupal development

This study is the first to examine Drosophila ovary development comprehensively during pupal

stages through lineage analyses initiated at different times, and by systematic analysis of fixed

specimens and live imaging. Each experimental approach included some challenges and leaves some

issues unresolved but several key findings appear conclusive and supported by all three approaches.

The challenge of labeling only a single precursor among many is common in lineage studies.

Here it was met by using very mild heat-shocks to initiate clones through *hs-flp* induction and a

multicolor labeling technique that reduces the frequency of a specific color combination substantially

relative to single-color clones. We were also able to evaluate the frequency of single-cell lineages from

both the overall frequency of ovariole labeling and the frequency of clone patterns (exceptional,

discontinuous clones), allowing us to derive mathematical estimations of strictly single-cell lineage

frequencies and yields. The results led to robust conclusions that many precursors at the start of

pupation yield only ECs, a smaller proportion yield both ECs and FSCs (as well as FCs) and very few

produce FSCs with no ECs. Common precursors for ECs and FSCs persist for at least the first 72h of

pupation (later times were not tested), although they are exceeded in number at 72h APF by precursors

that produce FSCs but not ECs. All such FSC lineages also included marked FCs in newly-eclosed

adults.

By 72-84h APF, after two egg chambers have been produced, the organization of the

germarium, including the pattern of Fas3 staining, appears similar to the adult germarium. It is therefore

plausible that the behavior of FSC precursors during the remainder of pupation is regulated just as in

adults, reflecting, in effect, completion of germarium development up to two days before eclosion. The

activity of common precursors for ECs and FSCs during the first half of pupation reflects a very different

process in a different environment, with progressive germline cyst differentiation being organized

simultaneously and no budded egg chambers to provide a key posterior signaling center. The

existence of common precursors for FSCs and ECs during the first half of pupation could not therefore

have simply been inferred or predicted on the basis of adult FSC behavior. Indeed, this finding, based

on painstaking lineage analyses, contradicts a previous conclusion [51]. The prior conclusion was

based on an approach that we also investigated, where marked lineages generally initiated from more

than one precursor in an ovariole, and generally also produced marked ECs when marked FSCs were

present. These results were previously interpreted as reflecting separate EC and FSC lineages in the

same ovariole in accord with a presumption of early fate specification based on differential gene

expression [51], but, in our hands, fit quantitatively with an origin from common EC/FSC precursors at

the start of pupation, in accord with all of our other lineage studies.

Our lineage studies provided some information about precursor locations. Each lineage was

restricted in its AP extent, but the whole collection of lineages included overlapping domains that

spanned the whole length of the ovariole of a newly-eclosed adult, from the most anterior r1 EC to FCs

and the basal stalk of the terminal egg chamber. Those observations strongly suggest that precursors

are arranged in a continuum along the AP axis throughout pupal development (Fig. 6). The anterior and

posterior limits of the EC/FSC/FC precursor domain were deduced from direct observation of pupal

development. Clone marking by heat-shock induction of Flp recombinase is through mitotic

recombination and can occur in any dividing cell. We directly observed EdU labeling in the most

anterior ICs in early pupae, so we can deduce that the most anterior of the inferred continuum of

precursors lie immediately adjacent to cap cells and give rise to adult r1 ECs. The posterior extent of

these precursors was deduced by direct observation, including live imaging, of the production of the

first FCs, as summarized below.

Live imaging reveals the posterior origin, outside the developing germarium, of the first

FCs

The precursors that give rise to FCs surrounding the most posterior egg chamber of newly-

eclosed adults were identified by a combination of fixed and live images. These cells all express Fas3

strongly by 24h APF and comprise two distinguishable groups according to their locations and TJ

expression prior to egg chamber budding. We named one group as Extra-Germarial Crown (EGC) cells

in recognition of their location and appearance. EGC cells accumulate from a handful to over twenty

during the first 48h APF. The second group of cells are further posterior, do not express TJ and are

largely intercalated into a stalk of 1-2 cell's width at 48h APF prior to egg chamber budding. During

budding, the most mature germline cyst leaves the germarium largely devoid of accompanying ICs,

which do not yet express Fas3 strongly. The cyst then enters EGC territory and moves a considerable

distance from the germarium as it is enveloped by EGC and basal stalk cells.

Towards the end of the budding process, cells posterior to the new egg chamber are stacked in

single file, forming a basal stalk, which is stably retained into adulthood, confirming and supplementing

prior descriptions of basal stalk formation [45]. We observed several lineages that included labeled cells

in the adult basal stalk and in a FC patch on the terminal egg chamber, reflecting contributions of pupal

basal stalk cells. Meanwhile, some EGC derivatives also remain on the first budded egg chamber, while

others migrate beyond the budded cyst to form a secondary EGC between the germarium and the

budded egg chamber. Secondary EGCs provide cells to partially coat the second cyst to emerge from

the germarium. The second cyst appears from live imaging to move out of the germarium together with

some associated ICs. Lineage studies also suggest that FCs of the second budded egg chamber derive

from both EGC cells (producing FCs in egg chamber 4 and 3) and ICs (producing FCs in egg chamber

3 and more anterior locations) (Fig. 7E). The budding of the third, and subsequent, egg chambers is

likely similar to the process in adults, with cysts emerging from the germarium completely encased by

FCs, as there is no longer a recognizable EGC after two egg chambers have budded and the two most

mature germline cysts are associated with strongly Fas3-positive cells within the germarium after the

second egg chamber is about to bud.

These observations and deductions not only reveal the posterior limit of EC, FSC and FC

precursors as including basal stalk cells at about 48h APF, but also provide evidence that FSCs derive

from precursors that are ICs. The evidence is temporal and numerical. First, we saw an expansion of

the EGC population from 12-48h APF prior to egg chamber budding (Fig. 8). During that time and

during the budding process, we did not observe any cells from the EGC moving into the germarium.

Moreover, as soon as the second egg chamber is about to bud, it is highly likely that the FCs of both of

the next two future egg chambers are the Fas3-positive IC cells that surround those cells in the

germarium. Those prospective FCs therefore almost certainly derive from precursors that were never

outside the germarium. FSCs derive from precursors that are further anterior, so those precursors were

even more certainly always within the germarium as ICs. Second, just as the first germline cyst leaves

the germarium at, or shortly after 48h APF, there are over 60 TJ-positive somatic ICs in the germarium

(Fig. 8D). At this time, many EC/FSC precursors are still dividing and not all future ECs have terminated

divisions. The total number of ECs (about 40 on average) plus FSCs (about 16) in an adult germarium

is less than 60, so it is clear that the ICs in the germarium at this time must include all EC and FSC

precursors and a significant number of FC precursors.

Deductions from targeted lineage analyses

A recent study used single cell RNA sequencing to explore the diversity of precursors in late

larval ovaries. Of most relevance here, it was suggested that a distinct group of cells posterior to ICs

and characterized by *bond* expression give rise to FSCs and FCs but not ECs [51]. As described

earlier, the supporting lineage studies and our reproduction of lineages initiated from cells expressing

bond-GAL4 cannot be interpreted definitively because ovarioles almost never contained lineages

derived from a single cell. The results are nevertheless compatible with all of our other observations

demonstrating that FSC precursors at the start of pupation also give rise to ECs, and that FSC

precursors are ICs, residing within the developing germarium throughout pupation. Indeed, because we

scored FSCs directly, counted the number of labeled ECs and FSCs in each sample, and could

compare the results to those we had already obtained for single-cell lineages initiated at pupariation,

we were able to deduce that ovarioles almost always harbored more than one lineage initiated by *bond-*

GAL4. This allowed us to estimate the composition of single-cell lineages and found they were very

similar to those induced by heat-shock induction of marking in all dividing cells. Although temperature

control of initiation of clones with *bond-GAL4* was leaky, we can infer that the FSC-yielding lineages

mostly reflect initiation by *bond-GAL4* expression in ICs shortly before pupariation. This is compatible

with the observation that *bond-GAL4* is expressed at low levels throughout the IC region in mid-third

instar larvae [51].

We also observed another type of lineage when *bond-GAL4* activity was increased by raising

the temperature during pupal development. Here, labeled FCs were seen in small patches on the first

budded egg chamber. Those lineages would not have been apparent in the earlier study because only

2d-old adults were examined [51]. The labeled FC patches were much smaller than those induced by

lineages initiated at 36h APF, so they must reflect *bond-GAL4* expression in those FC precursors late in

pupation. We did observe sporadic *bond-GAL4* expression in FCs of the most mature egg chamber in

adults. It seems plausible that the expression of *bond* RNA observed posterior to ICs in late larvae [51]

may continue in an analogous location, posterior to the germarium, in the EGC or basal stalk, and as

those cells become FCs. However, at present, we have no direct evidence of *bond* expression during

pupation or of lineages initiated in cells posterior to ICs prior to budding of the first egg chamber.

Coordination between germline and somatic ovarian cell development

Ovary development not only organizes suitable numbers of FSCs, GSCs and their niche cells

into an adult germarium, ready to fuel lifelong adult oogenesis, but also initiates oogenesis very early,

so that the first eggs can be laid shortly after eclosion. How is the posterior progression of increasingly

mature cysts, starting from single anterior cells, organized?

Ecdysone acts positively in somatic cells of late third instar larvae to initiate GSC differentiation,

manifest by induction of the differentiation factor, Bag-of-marbles (Bam) and then cystocyte formation,

revealed by the transition of the rounded spectrosome to a branched fusome [59, 60]. Prior studies did

not reveal whether Bam-GFP expression or PGC division initiates simultaneously in all PGCs distant

from Cap Cells or in an anterior-posterior gradient, or how germline differentiation proceeds thereafter.

We found that there is a clear anterior to posterior polarity of increasingly developed cysts that

produced a mature steady-state pattern by 48h APF. This was deduced by counting the number of

germline cells linked by fusomes, with 16-cell cysts first appearing 18h APF, and by observing the

exclusion of EdU DNA replication signals from the most posterior regions of the germarium from 36h

onwards, indicating occupancy by only 16-cell cysts. Moreover, a single posterior sixteen-cell cyst

emerged for the first time between 36h and 48h APF.

These observations raise the question of what underlies the spatially organized initiation or

progression of differentiation of PGCs that are in different locations at the larval/pupal transition. The

BMP signals that maintain anterior pre-GSCs are likely highly spatially restricted, as in adults [81], while

hormonal Ecdysone signals may be spatially uniform, suggesting that there must be other important

differentiation signals. Potential sources of relevant signals are the adjacent somatic cell precursors,

which will later become ECs. There is some evidence that the ordered posterior movement of germline

cysts in adult germaria depends on EC interactions [82]. During pupal development, the situation is

different because the initiation of germline differentiation must be spatially organized and many EC

precursors are still dividing during this time. It will be interesting to investigate whether pupal EC

precursors or adult ECs have spatially graded adhesive properties or send graded differentiation

signals according to their AP position.

Organizing patterned development of adult stem cells and niche cells

It is common to think of developmental processes and cell types in terms of establishment of

transcriptional networks and key markers that bear witness to permanent decisions. Investigations of

adult FSCs, and other adult stem cell paradigms such as the mammalian gut, as well as the

observations described here regarding FSC development, reveal a more flexible process, in which

environmental signals reflecting current cell locations may be more significant than stable expression of

master regulators of transcription. For example, the transcription factor TJ is selectively expressed in

many developing and mature ovarian somatic cells, and it has been shown to be important for the

specification of Cap Cells and many IC derivatives [52, 54]. We therefore suspected that EGC cells,

posterior to ICs, might be FC precursors because they also expressed TJ. Although that suspicion was

supported by live imaging studies, the same studies showed that TJ-negative basal stalk cells also

became FCs. Thus, TJ neither defines ICs nor EC/FSC/FC precursors. We think it is likely that

studying the spatial distribution of external signals and their interpretation by somatic cells in the

developing ovariole will be essential to understand why some cells initially mix with germline cells to

become ICs, while others do not, and how these cells become ECs, FSCs and FCs just in time to serve

the appropriate functional role.

The signals that guide formation of Fas3-positive FCs in the adult germarium include low Wnt

pathway activity and high JAK-STAT pathway activity. The location of FC differentiation is set, at least

in part, by a Wnt pathway activity gradient that declines from the anterior and by the opposite polarity of

graded JAK-STAT pathway activity initiated by a ligand derived from polar cells of the previously

budded egg chamber [24, 26]. Prior to budding of any egg chambers in pupal ovaries, there is no polar

FC source of JAK-STAT pathway ligand. It will be interesting to discover whether differentiation of the

first FCs in pupal ovaries also relies upon being distant from an anterior source of Wnt and perhaps

other pathway ligands, such as Hedgehog, and whether a JAK-STAT signal, coming from a source

other than polar cells, is employed.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH RO1 GM079351 to DK. We thank Ruth Lehmann, Dorothea Godt,

Erika Bach, Ramanuj DasGupta and Ward Odenwald for reagents, Pegah Kohosravi-Kamrani, Shay

Mallick, Aaron Choi and Jack Misner for help with experiments and analysis, David Melamed for

continued discussions and input, the Bloomington stock center for provision of genetic reagents, the

Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB) for antibodies, FlyBase as an information resource,

and the confocal microscope resource provided by the Dept. of Biological Sciences, Columbia

University.

Conceptualization, A.R. and D.K., Formal Analysis, D.K., Funding Acquisition, D.K., Investigation, A.R.,

H.K., R.M. K.P., Methodology, H.K., K.P., A.R., R.M. D.K., Supervision, A.R. and D.K., Validation, A.R.,

H.K., R.M., K.P., D.K., Visualization, A.R., H.K., R.M., Writing-Original Draft, D.K., Writing-Review &

Editing, A.R., H.K., R.M., K.P., D.K.

Materials and Methods

Staging of pupae

Third-instar larvae were sorted to select females, transferred to a vial with food and checked every hour to mark the time each individual developed into a puparium (white, but immobile, with small anterior spiracles). This time is 0 hours APF (after puparium formation). We found that keeping animals in the light at night and in the dark during the day on a 12h/12h cycle, allowed more of them to pupate during the daytime. We used a programmable outlet timer (Nearpow) together with a manual LED soft white nightlight (Energizer cat. 37099) installed in a dark incubator at 25C.

Dissection and staining of pupal ovaries.

Pupae were removed from the vial wall by adding a drop of water and transferred with forceps into a well of a Corning PYREX glass spot plate (Corning, cat. no. 7220–85) containing either PBS or 4% paraformaldehyde solution with care taken not to damage or pierce the posterior end of the pupa. If the dissection was done in fixative solution, it could take no longer than 10 min (ovaries were rocked in clean fixative for a minimum of 15 min, but for no longer than 30 min). Ovaries from 72h APF and older had fewer tears in them when dissected directly into fixative. Using a dissecting microscope, pupae were held against the bottom of the well using forceps and the posterior tip was cut off using Vannas Spring Scissors (2.5mm straight edge, Fine Science Tools, Foster City, CA, item number 15000-08) about a third of the way in from the posterior end. The anterior part of the pupa was removed to a discard well. The posterior end was searched for ovaries (clear, spherical, striated structures) by gently dislodging fat tissue surrounding the ovaries so as not to cloud the well with debris. This was done by gently tearing apart the contents of the pupal case or swirling them in the well using two pairs of forceps. As soon as they were spotted, ovaries were transferred to a new well of fixative by the following process. A 20µl pipette tip with a pipettor set to 20µl was coated in 10% Normal Goat Serum (NGS) by pipetting up and down several times. The pipettor was set to 5µl to pipette up the ovary from

959 the dissection well to transfer into the fixative well. Ovaries were fixed by rocking in the well for 15 min

960 at room temperature. Fixative was removed with a 1000μl pipette set to 270μl to slowly and carefully

961 pipette up the liquid. The 1000 μl pipettor was used to remove liquid after all subsequent steps. Ovaries

962 were rinsed 3x in 2% PBST 0.5% Tween solution for 5, 10, and 45 min at 4C by rocking very slowly in a

963 horizontal plane. The glass dissection dish was covered with a large pipette tip box. Ovaries were

964 rinsed for 30-60 min in 10% NGS solution at 4C, and then rocked gently in primary antibody

965 overnight. Ovaries were rinsed 3x with 0.5% PBST and then incubated for 1 hour (covered, cold room,

966 rocker set on 2) in secondary antibody diluted 1:1000 with 0.5% Triton. Ovaries were rinsed 2x with

967 0.5% PBST and 1x with PBS. To mount ovaries, a 20μl pipette tip coated in 10% NGS solution as

968 described above was set to 5μl and used to capture the ovary and transfer to a glass slide. 20μl of

969 DAPI Fluoromount was added to a coverslip, and then placed on top of the slide with the ovaries. Care

970 was taken to avoid pressing the coverslip and potentially disfiguring the mounted ovaries. For older

971 pupal ovaries, specifically 72h APF and onwards, forceps were used to gently tear apart the ovarioles

972 to separate germaria for clearer imaging. A sharpie was used to draw arrows to mark the location of the

973 ovaries on the coverslip.

975 **EdU labeling**

976 Ovaries were dissected directly into 15μM EdU in Schneider's insect medium, incubated for 1h at room

977 temperature and then fixed for 10 min at room temperature in 4% paraformaldehyde. EdU incorporation

978 was detected using the Click-iT Plus EdU Imaging Kit C10637 (Life Technologies).

980 **Immunohistochemistry**

981 Monoclonal antibodies against LaminC, Fasciclin III, Vasa and Hts were obtained from the

982 Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the NICHD of the NIH and maintained at The

University of Iowa, Department of Biology, Iowa City, Iowa 52242. 7G10 anti-Fasciclin III was deposited

to the DSHB by C. Goodman, and was used at 1:300 for multicolor lineage experiments and at 1:250 in

all other stainings. 1B1 anti-Hts was deposited by H.D. Lipshitz. LC28.26 Anti-LaminC at 1:50 was

deposited by P.A. Fisher. Anti-Vasa (used at 1:10) was deposited by A. C. Spradling/D. Williams and

used for staining adult ovaries. For pupal ovaries, the monoclonal rat anti-Vasa was not effective and

instead rabbit anti-Vasa (gift from R. Lehmann, NYU medical school) was used. Other primary

antibodies used were rabbit anti-Zfh-1 at 1:5000 (a gift from R. Lehmann, NYU medical school), guinea

pig anti-Traffic Jam at 1:5000 (a gift from Dorothea Godt, University of Toronto, Canada), anti-β-

galactosidase (Catalogue No. 55976, MP Biomedicals) at 1:1,000; anti-GFP (A6455, Molecular Probes)

at 1:1,000; goat FITC-anti-GFP (Abcam ab6662) at 1:400. Secondary antibodies were Alexa-488,

Alexa-546, Alexa-594 or Alexa-647 from Molecular Probes. Ovarioles from multicolor experiments were

mounted in Prolong Gold Antifade (Invitrogen). DAPI-Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech) was used as

mounting medium for all other experiments. Images were collected with a Zeiss LSM700 or

LSM800 laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss) using a 63x 1.4 N.A. lens.

Adult ovary fixation and staining

Ovaries were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10 min at room temperature, rinsed three times

in PBS with 0.1% Triton and 0.05% Tween-20 (PBST), and blocked in 10% normal goat serum

(Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) in PBST. Ovarioles were incubated in primary antibody for 45

min for multicolor lineage experiments and overnight for all other experiments. Ovarioles were rinsed in

PBST three times and incubated for 1 h in secondary antibodies diluted 1:1000.

1004

1005   **Live imaging and analysis**

1006   Live imaging was performed as in [70], except that pupal ovaries dissected in imaging medium were left

1007   intact instead of separating into individual ovarioles. Intact pupal ovaries were mixed with Matrigel in a

L008   well of a gas-permeable imaging chamber. Ovaries were of genotype *yw hs-flp; ubi-GFP FRT40A* (Ubi-

L009   GFP ovaries) or *yw hs-flp/yw; ubi-GFP FRT40A FRT42B His2Av-RFP/tub-lacZ FRT40A FRT42B*

L010   (referred to as multicolor ovaries). Clones were generated in the multicolor ovaries by two 10 min heat

L011   shocks at 37C spaced 8 hours apart, 48 to 72 hours before imaging in order to generate GFP-only and

L012   RFP-only cells that were easier to track. Images were acquired every 15 min with 2.5 μm between Z-

L013   stacks. Volocity Software (Quorum Technologies, Puslinch, Ontario, Canada) was used to make the 4D

L014   reconstruction of the UbiGFP labeled ovary. All nineteen z slices covering 45 μm were used in the

L015   reconstruction; however, germaria drifted during imaging such that FCs covering approximately one

L016   quarter of the budded egg chamber on the lower germarium were not imaged. Cells were colored with

L017   Procreate software (Savage Interactive, North Hobart, Australia). Germline cells in the UbiGFP movie

L018   were identified based on their larger size and paler labeling with GFP compared to somatic cells.

L019

L020   **Clonal analysis and FUCCI labeling genotypes**

L021   MARCM clones for lineage analysis were generated on 2R and 3R using the following

L022   genotypes: (2R) yw *hs-Flp, UAS-nGFP, tub-GAL4/ yw; FRT42D act-GAL80 tub-GAL80 /*

L023   *FRT42D sha; act>CD2>GAL4/ +* and (3R) yw *hs-Flp, UAS-nGFP, tub-GAL4/ yw;*

L024   *act>CD2>GAL4 UAS-GFP / +; FRT82B tub-GAL80/FRT82B NM*

L025   For multicolor clones flies were of the genotype: *yw hs-flp/yw; ubi-GFP FRT40A FRT42B His2Av-*

L026   *RFP/tub-lacZ FRT40A FRT42B*

L027   Genotypes for FLY-FUCCI were *C587-GAL4/ yw; UAS-FUCCI/Cyo; UAS-FUCCI/ (UAS-FUCCI or*

L028   *TM6B).*

L029

L030   **Lineage analysis by MARCM**

L031   Pupae were heat shocked at 33C for 12 min at the number of days indicated before eclosion. Flies

L032   were dissected on the day of eclosion (0d MARCM) or collected on the day of eclosion and dissected 2

L033   days later. Ovaries were stained using antibodies to Vasa, Fas3 and GFP. Cells were scored as r1 or

L034   r2a based on measurements that were performed to determine the lengths of these regions. To

L035   determine the boundaries of each region we examined EdU incorporation in ovaries of newly eclosed

L036   flies and measured the distance from cap cells to the end of Region 1 as determined by the presence of

L037   cysts that incorporated EdU. Region 1 cysts are going through mitosis and Region 2a cysts have the

L038   complete complement of 16 germ cells. The length ratio of Region 1:2a is about 3:1 in newly-eclosed

L039   adults. To measure the percentage of each egg chamber covered by marked FCs, GFP was used to

L040   count the number of marked FCs and DAPI was used to count the total number of FCs in each z

L041   section.

L042

L043   **bondGal4/G trace experiments**

L044   *bond-GAL4/G-TRACE* flies (a gift of Ruth Lehmann, NYU medical center) were crossed at 18C and

L045   either kept at 18C, shifted after egg-laying to 29C and shifted back to 18C in mid-pupation (flies took 6

L046   more days to eclose at 18C); or shifted to 29C 3 to 4 days before eclosion. Flies of genotype *UAS-*

L047   *RFP, UAS-flp, ubi>stop>GFP / +; bond-GAL4/ tub-GAL80(ts)* were dissected on the day of eclosion.

L048

L049   **Inferring clone types, FSC and EC numbers in newly-eclosed adults from scoring 2d-old**

L050   **adults**

L051   In order to make quantitative conclusions about the developmental process up to eclosion from scoring

L052   clonal outcomes in 2d-old adults we made the following assumptions and adjustments. We assumed

L053   that EC numbers and locations did not change during this 2d interval because ECs generally appear to

L054   be quite long-lived. ECs are, however, produced from FSCs during adulthood, so it is likely that a small

L055   number of marked ECs scored at +2d were produced from FSCs after eclosion. The proportion of

L056   marked ECs arising from FSCs is likely small based on known rates of FSC conversion to ECs [24, 40]

L057   and the observed high frequency of FSC clones with no ECs (44%) from -2d samples. Individual

L058   marked FSCs can be lost, mainly by becoming FCs, or amplified at high rates during adulthood [23]. If

L059   one or more marked FSCs were present at 0d but became an FC by +2d the resulting marked FCs

L060   would reside around the two germarial cysts or in the two youngest egg chambers because egg

L061   chambers bud roughly every 12h, allowing four cycles of FC recruitment over 2d. We therefore scored

L062   an ovariole as containing an FSC (classifying it as an FSC-only or FSC/EC lineage, according to EC

L063   content) if there were any marked FCs up to and including the second egg chamber, even if there were

L064   no FSCs at +2d. In those cases, we scored the number of marked FSCs as zero. On average, the

L065   number of marked FSCs should remain constant from 0d to +2d, so we should obtain a very good

L066   estimation of FSC numbers at 0d by scoring the numbers of marked FSCs at +2d, provided we include

L067   all examples where all marked FSCs were lost as containing zero FSCs. By using these guidelines, we

L068   could surmise the number of different types of clone at 0d and the number of constituent ECs and

L069   FSCs from data collected by scoring clones in 2d-old adults.

L070

L071   **Calculation of single-cell lineage frequencies assuming independent recombination**

L072   **events**

L073   The proportion of marked ovarioles with a lineage originating from a single marked cell is generally

L074   estimated by assuming that the probability of each dividing precursor to be labeled is independent. The

L075   estimate derives principally from the observed frequency of unlabeled ovarioles but it is also dependent

L076   to a small degree on the number of potential target cells. Different numbers of assumed target cells are

L077   used in the calculations below to demonstrate that estimated single cell frequencies are not greatly

L078   altered by this value.

L079   For the -6d to -2d time course, the average frequency of labeled ovarioles was about 60%.

L080   If there were, for example, only 6 target cells and we assume a binomial distribution (independent

L081   probabilities) of labeling, the chance of any one cell giving a clone is p and the chance of 6 cells not

L082   giving a clone is $(1-p)^6$. The observed no-clone fraction was 0.4, so $(1-p)= 0.86$ and $p =0.14$. Hence, the

L083   single clone frequency among 6 cells is $6p(1-p)^5$, and the frequency among labeled ovarioles is 1/0.6

L084   fold higher (because 60% were labeled). Therefore, the expected frequency of single-cell lineages is

L085 $6 \times 0.14 \times (0.86)^5/0.6 = 0.66$.

L086   If there were instead 15 target cells then $p = 0.06$ (because $0.94^{15}= 0.4$) and the frequency of single-cell

L087   lineages is $15 \times 0.06 \times (0.94)^{14}/0.6 = 0.63$.

L088   If there were 30 target cells then $p = 0.03$ (because $0.97^{30}= 0.4$) and the frequency of single-cell

L089   lineages is $30 \times 0.03 \times (0.97)^{29}/0.6 = 0.62$.

L090   For the multicolor experiment examining newly-eclosed adults 5d after heat-shock, the

L091   frequency of labeled ovarioles was 26/115 = 23%. If there were 20 target cells (likely in the range of the

L092   actual number of precursors), then $p = 0.013$ (because $0.987^{20}= 0.77$) and the frequency of single-cell

L093   lineages is $20 \times 0.013 \times (0.987)^{19}/0.23 = 0.86$.

L094   For the MARCM experiment examining newly-eclosed adults 5d after heat-shock, the frequency

L095   of labeled ovarioles was 33%. If there were 20 target cells, then $p = 0.02$ (because $0.98^{20}= 0.67$) and

L096   the frequency of single-cell lineages is $20 \times 0.02 \times (0.98)^{19}/0.33 = 0.80$.

L097

L098   **Calculation of single-cell lineage frequencies assuming frequencies of single lineages**

L099   **based on the experimental frequency of ovarioles with marked ECs and FCs**

L100   In MARCM and multicolor lineage experiments scored in newly-eclosed adults we observed a higher

L101   frequency of ovarioles with labeled ECs and FCs but no FSCs (which we assume represent two distinct

L102   lineages because the marked cells are discontinuous) than would be expected based on the frequency

L103   of unmarked ovarioles and assuming all recombination events are independent. Based on the EC/FC

L104   clone frequency we used trial and error (using increments of 5%) to find the percentage of ovarioles

l105   with a single lineage that best fit the entire data-set of clone type frequencies we observed, using the

l106   simplifying assumption that all other marked ovarioles harbored exactly two lineages. In reality, the

l107   proportion of ovarioles with single lineages may be slightly different and a few ovarioles may include

l108   three or more marked lineages but the simplifying approximations we used are likely to be close to

l109   reality. These calculations, presented below, are better approximations than are generally made by

l110   simply considering the proportion of ovarioles with no marked cells.

l111

l112   *MARCM clones induced 5d (0h APF) before eclosion*

l113   Single cell lineage frequencies and labeled cell content were calculated after estimating that 30% of

l114   marked ovarioles derived from a single marked cell, while the remaining 70% derived from two marked

l115   cells. In all cases, EC/FSC and FSC-only categories include clones with and without FCs (aggregated).

l116   pEC, pEC/FSC, pFSC, pFC are the proportions of each type of single-cell lineage amongst all marked

l117   lineages. Observed values are the proportion of marked ovarioles with the specific type(s) of cell. EC-

l118   only means no FSC. FC-only means no FSC. Both categories include EC+FC ovarioles.

l119

l120   Observed EC-only = 0.47 + 0.16 (with FCs) = 0.63 = 0.3 pEC + 0.7 (pEC)^2 + 1.4pEC.pFC

l121   Observed FC-only = 0.09 + 0.16 = 0.25 = 0.3 pFC + 0.7 (pFC)^2 + 1.4pEC.pFC

l122   Above is satisfied by pEC = 0.63 and pFC = 0.19

l123   Observed FSC-only = 0.03 = 0.3pFSC + 0.7 [(pFSC)^2 + 2pFSC.pFC]

l124   = 0.7[(pFSC)^2 + 0.566pFSC

l125   So, pFSC = 0.050

l126   Hence, pEC/FSC = 1 – (pEC + pFSC + pFC) = 0.13

l127   The above values are the inferred single lineage frequencies of different types. The number of marked

l128   ECs and FSCs in each category is then deduced from these proportions and the observed number of

l129   marked cells in different types of marked ovariole.

l130   Observed ECs per EC-only ovariole = (2.4 x0.47 + 2.9 x 0.16)/ 0.63 = 2.53

l131   Of double-clones in EC-only, 0.397/0.636= 0.624 are deduced from clone type proportions to be two

l132   EC clones and 0.239/0.636 = 0.376 are one EC and one FC clone

l133   So, number of EC lineages per EC-only ovariole = 0.3 + 0.7(1.248 + 0.376) = 1.44

l134   So ECs per EC-only lineage = 2.53/1.44 =1.76

l135   Observed FSCs per FSC-only ovariole = 14/3

l136   Of double-clones in FSC-only, 0.0025/0.215= 0.116 are two FSC clones and 0.019/0.215 = 0.884 are

l137   one FSC and one FC clone

l138   So, number of FSC lineages per FSC-only ovariole = 0.3 + 0.7(0.232 + 0.884) = 1.08

l139   So FSCs per FSC-only lineage = 14/(3x1.08) = 4.32

l140   Total ovarioles with marked cells= 98, so total number of lineages= 98 x 1.7 = 166.6

l141   Total ECs from EC-only lineages = 166.6pEC(1.76) = 184.7

l142   Total FSCs from FSC-only lineages = 166.6pFSC(4.32) = 35.9

l143   Total ECs scored = 253, total FSCs scored = 111

l144   So, total ECs from EC/FSC clones = 68.3

l145   Total FSCs from EC/FSC clones = 75.1

l146   Total EC/FSC lineages = 166.6pEC/FSC = 21.66

l147   So, ECs per EC/FSC clone = 3.15, and FSCs per EC/FSC clone = 3.47

l148

l149   Deduced 24.0 precursors (to produce 16 FSCs): 15.1 pECs, 3.1 pEC/FSCs, 1.2 pFSCs, 4.6 pFCs.

l150

l151   *Multicolor clones induced 5d (0h APF) before eclosion*

l152   Analogous calculations for multicolor GFP-only clones where EC plus FC category was 8% and we

l153   consequently assume that 70% of marked ovarioles have lineages derived from one cell, and 30%

l154   have two lineages.

l155

l156   Observed EC-only = 0.423 + 0.077 = 0.50 = 0.7 pEC + 0.3 $(pEC)^2$ + 0.6pEC.pFC

l157   Observed FC-only = 0.077 + 0.077 = 0.154 = 0.7 pFC + 0.3 $(pFC)^2$ + 0.6pEC.pFC

l158   Above satisfied by pEC= 0.52 and pFC= 0.14

l159   Observed FSC-only = 0.077 = 0.7pFSC + 0.3 $[(pFSC)^2$ + 2pFSC.pFC]

l160   = 0.3$[(pFSC)^2$ + 0.778pFSC

l161   So, pFSC = 0.095

l162   Hence, pEC/FSC = 1 – (pEC + pFSC + pFC) = 0.245

l163   The above values are the inferred single lineage frequencies of different types. The number of marked

l164   ECs and FSCs in each category is then deduced from these proportions and the observed number of

l165   marked cells in different types of marked ovariole.

l166   Observed ECs per EC-only ovariole = 38/13 = 2.92

l167   Of double-clones in EC-only, 0.2704/0.416 = 0.65 are two EC clones and 0.1456/0.416 = 0.35 are one

l168   EC and one FC clone

l169   So, number of EC lineages per EC-only ovariole = 0.7 + 0.3(1.3 + 0.35) = 1.195

l170   So ECs per EC-only lineage = 2.92/1.195 = 2.44

l171   Observed FSCs per FSC-only ovariole = 4.0

l172   Of double-clones in FSC-only, 0.00593/0.02363= 0.25 are two FSC clones and 0.0177/0.02363= 0.75

l173   are one FSC and one FC clone

l174   So, number of lineages per FSC-only ovariole = 0.7 + 0.3(0.50 + 0.75) = 1.0375

l175   So FSCs per FSC-only lineage = 4.0/1.0375 = 3.86

l176   Total ovarioles with marked cells= 26, so total number of lineages= 26 x 1.3 = 33.8

l177   Total ECs from EC-only lineages = 33.8pEC(2.44) = 42.89

l178   Total FSCs from FSC-only lineages = 33.8pFSC(3.86) = 10.04

l179   Total ECs scored = 66, total FSCs scored = 29

l180   So, total ECs from EC/FSC clones = 23.11

l181   Total FSCs from EC/FSC clones = 18.96

l182   Total EC/FSC lineages = 33.8pEC/FSC = 8.28

l183   So, ECs per EC/FSC clone = 2.79, and FSCs per EC/FSC clone = 2.27

l184

l185   Deduced 17.3 precursors (to produce 16 FSCs): 9.0pECs, 4.2 pEC/FSCs, 1.6 pFSCs, 2.0 pFCs.

l186

l187   *MARCM clones induced 3.5d (36h APF) before eclosion*

l188   Analogous calculations for MARCM clones induced at -3.5d, where EC plus FC category was 44% and

l189   assuming all marked ovarioles have two lineages.

l190

l191   Observed EC-only = 0.207 + 0.438 = 0.645 = $(pEC)^2 + 2pEC.pFC$

l192   Observed FC-only = 0.091 + 0.438 = 0.529 = $(pFC)^2 + 2pEC.pFC$

l193   Above satisfied by pEC= 0.51 and pFC= 0.38

l194   Observed FSC-only = 0.033 = $(pFSC)^2 + 2pFSC.pFC = (pFSC)^2 + 0.76pFSC$

l195   So, pFSC = 0.041

l196   Hence, pEC/FSC = 1 – (pEC + pFSC + pFC) = 0.07

l197   The above values are the inferred single lineage frequencies of different types. The number of marked

l198   ECs and FSCs in each category is then deduced from these proportions and the observed number of

l199   marked cells in different types of marked ovariole.

l200   Of double-clones in FC-only, 0.144/0.532= 0.27 are two FC clones and 0.388/0.532 = 0.73 are one EC

l201   and one FC clone

l202   Observed ECs per EC-only ovariole = 199/78 = 2.55

l203   Of double-clones in EC-only, 0.260/0.646= 0.40 are two EC clones and 0.388/0.646 = 0.60 are one EC

l204   and one FC clone

l205   So, number of EC lineages per EC-only ovariole = 0.8 + 0.6 = 1.40

l206   So, ECs per EC-only lineage = 2.55/1.40 =1.82

l207   Observed FSCs per FSC-only ovariole = 13/4 = 3.25

L208   Of double-clones in FSC-only, 0.00168/0.03284= 0.05 are two FSC clones and 0.03116/0.03284= 0.95

L209   are one FSC and one FC clone

L210   So, number of FSC-only lineages per FSC-only ovariole = 1.05

L211   So, FSCs per FSC-only lineage = 3.25/1.05 = 3.10

L212

L213   Total ovarioles with marked cells= 121, so total number of lineages= 242

L214   Total ECs from EC-only lineages = 242pEC(1.82) = 224.6

L215   Total FSCs from FC-only lineages = 242pFSC(3.10) = 30.8

L216   Total ECs scored = 276, total FSCs scored = 111

L217   So, total ECs from EC/FSC clones = 51.4

L218   Total FSCs from EC/FSC clones = 80.2

L219   Total EC/FSC lineages = 242pEC/FSC = 16.94

L220   So ECs per EC/FSC clone = 3.03, and FSCs per EC/FSC clone = 4.73

L221

L222   Deduced 34.9 precursors (to produce 16 FSCs): 17.8pECs, 2.4 pEC/FSCs, 1.4 pFSCs, 13.3 pFCs.

L223   Also, by this time there are EC precursors that no longer divide (estimated at 5 for -4d and 18 for -3d

L224   from MARCM lineages examined in +2d adults, so perhaps 11.5 at -3.5d, to give a total of 45.4

L225   precursors. So, estimated proportion of precursors that are pFCs is 13.3/45.4 = 29%.

L226

L227   *bond-GAL4/G-trace lineages*

L228   Single lineage frequencies and content for clones initiated by *bond-GAL4* were calculated in a slightly

L229   different way (considering ovarioles with only marked ECs to calculate EC-only single lineage and then

L230   ovarioles with labeled ECs and FCs clones to calculate the FC-only lineage frequency) because there

L231   were almost no ovarioles with only marked FCs.

L232   Here we assumed that every labeled ovariole had exactly two lineages.

L233   Observed EC frequency = 38.36% = $(pEC)^2$

L234   So, pEC= 61.9%

L235   Observed EC+FC frequency= 9.59% = 2pEC.pFC

L236   So, pFC = 7.75%

L237   Observed FSC frequency = 1.37% = $(pFC)^2$ + 2pFC. pFSC

L238   So, pFSC= 6.29%

L239   Therefore pEC/FSC = 24.0%

L240   Observed EC per EC-only (or EC+FC) lineage= 207/35= 5.91

L241   Of double-clones in EC-only, expected frequencies: 0.383/0.479= 0.80 are two EC clones and

L242   0.096/0.479 = 0.20 are one EC and one FC clone

L243   So, number of EC lineages per EC-only ovariole = 2x 0.8 + 0.2= 1.8

L244   So, ECs per EC-only lineage = 5.91/1.8 =3.28

L245   Ovariole with labeled FSCs but no ECs has 7 FSCs

L246   Of double-clones in FSC-only, 0.00396/0.01371= 0.289 are two FSC clones and 0.00975/0.01371 =

L247   0.721 are one FSC and one FC clone

L248   So, number of FSC lineages per FSC-only ovariole = 2x0.289 + 0.721 = 1.32

L249   So, FSCs per FSC-only lineage = 7/1.32 = 5.3

L250

L251   Total ovarioles with marked cells= 73, so total number of lineages= 146

L252   Total ECs from EC-only lineages = 146pEC(3.28) = 296.4

L253   Total FSCs from FSC-only lineages = 146pFSC(5.3) = 48.7

L254   Total ECs scored = 496, total FSCs scored = 190

L255   So, total ECs from EC/FSC clones = 199.6

L256   Total FSCs from EC/FSC clones = 141.3

L257   Total EC/FSC lineages = 146pEC/FSC = 35.4

L258   So, ECs per EC/FSC clone = 5.64, and FSCs per EC/FSC clone = 3.99

L259

l260 **Table 1. Frequency of clones originating from different precursors and yields per clone.**

l261

Heat-shock to eclosion (days)	pr1 ECs		pr1/2a ECs			pEC/FSC				pFSC	
	Freq.	Yield	Freq.	Yield		Freq.	Yield			Freq.	Yield
	%	r1	%	r1	r2a	%	r1	r2a	FSC	%	FSC
2	7.9	1.2	6.3	0.3	1.8	41	0.5	1.2	1.3	44	1.2
3	14	1.2	16	0.8	2.2	40	0.9	1	2.6	30	1.4
4	34	2.1	23	2	1.6	38	1.6	1.5	3.7	4.6	3
5	33	2.2	25	2.8	2.3	40	3.1	3.4	5.5	2.7	2.5
6	31	3.5	14	3.3	3.6	53	3.2	3.4	4.8	2.7	3

l262

l263

l264

l265

Table 2. Number of adult cells of each type made from dividing precursors at different

developmental times calculated from the total yield of marked cells of each type.

1268

Heat-shock to eclosion (days)	Total Number of marked cells in clones				Cells made per germarium from dividing precursors			
	r1	r2a	EC	FSC	r1	r2a	EC	FSC
	x	y	x+y	z	16x/z	16y/z	16(x+y)/z	
2	20	37	57	69	4.6	8.6	13.2	16
3	41	46	87	93	7.1	7.9	15	16
4	156	80	236	134	18.6	9.6	28.2	16
5	191	140	331	164	18.6	13.7	32.3	16
6	356	253	609	295	19.3	13.7	33	16

1269

1270

1271

	n	EC		EC/FSC			FSC		FC	EC+FC		Number of dividing precursors per germarium				
		Freq.	Yield	Freq.	Yield		Freq.	Yield	Freq.	Freq.	Yield	Total (n)	pEC	pEC/FSC	pFSC	pFC
		%	EC	%	EC	FSC	%	FSC	%	%	EC					
		100p		100q		x	100r	y	100s			16/(qx+ry)	pn	qn	rn	sn
0h APFMARCM raw data	98	46.9	2.4	25	4	4	3	4.3	9.2	16.3	2.9					
0h APF MARCM assuming 70% double clones		63	1.8	13	3.2	3.5	5	4.3	19			24	15.1	3.1	1.2	4.6
0h APF Mulicolor raw data	26	42.3	3	31	3.5	2.6	7.7	4	12	7.7	2.5					
0h APF Multicolor assuming 30% double clones		52	2.4	25	2.1	2.3	9.5	3.9	14			17.3	9	4.2	1.6	2.4
36h APF MARCM raw data	121	20.7	2.5	23	2.8	3.5	3.3	3.3	9.1	43.8	2.6					
36h APF MARCM assuming all double clones		51	1.8	7	3	4.7	4.1	3.1	38			34.9	17.8	2.4	1.4	13
bond-GAL4 raw data	73	38.4	5.1	48	8.3	5.4	1.4	7	2.7	9.6	9					
bond-GAL4 assuming all double clones		61.9	3.3	24	5.6	4	6.3	5.3	7.8			15.1	9.4	3.6	1	1.2

L272

L273 **Table 3. Clone frequencies and cellular yields from different lineage experiments together with**

L274 **deduced single-lineage parameters including the number of precursors of different types.**

References

1.	Clevers H, Watt FM. Defining Adult Stem Cells by Function, not by Phenotype. Annu Rev Biochem. 2018;87:1015-27. doi: 10.1146/annurev-biochem-062917-012341. PubMed PMID: 29494240.

2.	Post Y, Clevers H. Defining Adult Stem Cell Function at Its Simplest: The Ability to Replace Lost Cells through Mitosis. Cell Stem Cell. 2019;25(2):174-83. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2019.07.002. PubMed PMID: 31374197.

3.	Biteau B, Hochmuth CE, Jasper H. Maintaining tissue homeostasis: dynamic control of somatic stem cell activity. Cell Stem Cell. 2011;9(5):402-11. Epub 2011/11/08. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2011.10.004. PubMed PMID: 22056138; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3212030.

4.	Losick VP, Morris LX, Fox DT, Spradling A. Drosophila stem cell niches: a decade of discovery suggests a unified view of stem cell regulation. Dev Cell. 2011;21(1):159-71. Epub 2011/07/19. doi: S1534-5807(11)00252-8 [pii]

10.1016/j.devcel.2011.06.018. PubMed PMID: 21763616.

5.	Voog J, Jones DL. Stem cells and the niche: a dynamic duo. Cell Stem Cell. 2010;6(2):103-15. Epub 2010/02/11. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2010.01.011. PubMed PMID: 20144784; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3012646.

6.	Akbari S, Arslan N, Senturk S, Erdal E. Next-Generation Liver Medicine Using Organoid Models. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2019;7:345. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2019.00345. PubMed PMID: 31921856; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6933000.

7.	Merenda A, Fenderico N, Maurice MM. Wnt Signaling in 3D: Recent Advances in the Applications of Intestinal Organoids. Trends Cell Biol. 2020;30(1):60-73. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2019.10.003. PubMed PMID: 31718893.

8.	Petersen MBK, Goncalves CAC, Kim YH, Grapin-Botton A. Recapitulating and Deciphering Human Pancreas Development From Human Pluripotent Stem Cells in a Dish. Current topics in developmental biology. 2018;129:143-90. doi: 10.1016/bs.ctdb.2018.02.009. PubMed PMID: 29801529.

9.	Pourquie O, Al Tanoury Z, Chal J. The Long Road to Making Muscle In Vitro. Current topics in developmental biology. 2018;129:123-42. doi: 10.1016/bs.ctdb.2018.03.003. PubMed PMID: 29801528.

10.	Yousef Yengej FA, Jansen J, Rookmaaker MB, Verhaar MC, Clevers H. Kidney Organoids and Tubuloids. Cells. 2020;9(6). doi: 10.3390/cells9061326. PubMed PMID: 32466429.

11.	Drost J, Clevers H. Translational applications of adult stem cell-derived organoids. Development. 2017;144(6):968-75. doi: 10.1242/dev.140566. PubMed PMID: 28292843.

L307 12. Adam MA, Harwell CC. Epigenetic regulation of cortical neurogenesis; orchestrating fate

L308 switches at the right time and place. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2020;63:146-53. doi:

L309 10.1016/j.conb.2020.03.012. PubMed PMID: 32428815.

L310 13. Davidson EH, Rast JP, Oliveri P, Ransick A, Calestani C, Yuh CH, et al. A genomic regulatory

L311 network for development. Science. 2002;295(5560):1669-78. doi: 10.1126/science.1069883. PubMed

L312 PMID: 11872831.

L313 14. Rothman J, Jarriault S. Developmental Plasticity and Cellular Reprogramming in Caenorhabditis

L314 elegans. Genetics. 2019;213(3):723-57. doi: 10.1534/genetics.119.302333. PubMed PMID: 31685551;

L315 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6827377.

L316 15. Sagner A, Briscoe J. Establishing neuronal diversity in the spinal cord: a time and a place.

L317 Development. 2019;146(22). doi: 10.1242/dev.182154. PubMed PMID: 31767567.

L318 16. Salinas RD, Connolly DR, Song H. Invited Review: Epigenetics in neurodevelopment.

L319 Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol. 2020;46(1):6-27. doi: 10.1111/nan.12608. PubMed PMID: 32056273;

L320 PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7174139.

L321 17. Zechner C, Nerli E, Norden C. Stochasticity and determinism in cell fate decisions.

L322 Development. 2020;147(14). doi: 10.1242/dev.181495. PubMed PMID: 32669276.

L323 18. Donati G, Watt FM. Stem cell heterogeneity and plasticity in epithelia. Cell Stem Cell.

L324 2015;16(5):465-76. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2015.04.014. PubMed PMID: 25957902.

L325 19. Tetteh PW, Farin HF, Clevers H. Plasticity within stem cell hierarchies in mammalian epithelia.

L326 Trends Cell Biol. 2015;25(2):100-8. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2014.09.003. PubMed PMID: 25308311.

L327 20. Wabik A, Jones PH. Switching roles: the functional plasticity of adult tissue stem cells. EMBO J.

L328 2015;34(9):1164-79. doi: 10.15252/embj.201490386. PubMed PMID: 25812989; PubMed Central

L329 PMCID: PMCPMC4426478.

L330 21. Gehart H, Clevers H. Tales from the crypt: new insights into intestinal stem cells. Nat Rev

L331 Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2019;16(1):19-34. doi: 10.1038/s41575-018-0081-y. PubMed PMID: 30429586.

L332 22. Hayashi Y, Yoshinari Y, Kobayashi S, Niwa R. The regulation of Drosophila ovarian stem cell

L333 niches by signaling crosstalk. Curr Opin Insect Sci. 2020;37:23-9. doi: 10.1016/j.cois.2019.10.006.

L334 PubMed PMID: 32087560.

L335 23. Reilein A, Melamed D, Park KS, Berg A, Cimetta E, Tandon N, et al. Alternative direct stem cell

L336 derivatives defined by stem cell location and graded Wnt signalling. Nat Cell Biol. 2017;19(5):433-44.

L337 doi: 10.1038/ncb3505. PubMed PMID: 28414313.

L338 24. Melamed D, Kalderon D. Opposing JAK-STAT and Wnt signaling gradients define a stem cell

L339 domain by regulating differentiation at two borders. Elife. 2020;9. Epub 2020/11/03. doi:

L340 10.7554/eLife.61204. PubMed PMID: 33135631; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7695452.

L341   25.   Song X, Xie T. Wingless signaling regulates the maintenance of ovarian somatic stem cells in

L342   Drosophila. Development. 2003;130(14):3259-68.

L343   26.   Vied C, Reilein A, Field NS, Kalderon D. Regulation of stem cells by intersecting gradients of

L344   long-range niche signals. Dev Cell. 2012;23(4):836-48. Epub 2012/10/20. doi:

L345   10.1016/j.devcel.2012.09.010. PubMed PMID: 23079600; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3479678.

L346   27.   Waghmare I, Page-McCaw A. Wnt Signaling in Stem Cell Maintenance and Differentiation in the

L347   Drosophila Germarium. Genes (Basel). 2018;9(3). doi: 10.3390/genes9030127. PubMed PMID:

L348   29495453; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5867848.

L349   28.   Beumer J, Clevers H. Cell fate specification and differentiation in the adult mammalian intestine.

L350   Nature reviews Molecular cell biology. 2020. Epub 2020/09/23. doi: 10.1038/s41580-020-0278-0.

L351   PubMed PMID: 32958874.

L352   29.   Hsu YC, Fuchs E. A family business: stem cell progeny join the niche to regulate homeostasis.

L353   Nature reviews Molecular cell biology. 2012;13(2):103-14. Epub 2012/01/24. doi: 10.1038/nrm3272.

L354   PubMed PMID: 22266760; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3280338.

L355   30.   Fuchs E, Blau HM. Tissue Stem Cells: Architects of Their Niches. Cell Stem Cell.

L356   2020;27(4):532-56. Epub 2020/10/03. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2020.09.011. PubMed PMID: 33007238.

L357   31.   Kahney EW, Snedeker JC, Chen X. Regulation of Drosophila germline stem cells. Curr Opin

L358   Cell Biol. 2019;60:27-35. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2019.03.008. PubMed PMID: 31014993; PubMed Central

L359   PMCID: PMCPMC6756965.

L360   32.   Gilboa L. Organizing stem cell units in the Drosophila ovary. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2015;32:31-

L361   6. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2015.01.005. PubMed PMID: 25703842.

L362   33.   Kirilly D, Wang S, Xie T. Self-maintained escort cells form a germline stem cell differentiation

L363   niche. Development. 2011;138(23):5087-97. Epub 2011/10/28. doi: 10.1242/dev.067850. PubMed

L364   PMID: 22031542; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3210492.

L365   34.   Sahai-Hernandez P, Nystul TG. A dynamic population of stromal cells contributes to the follicle

L366   stem cell niche in the Drosophila ovary. Development. 2013;140(22):4490-8. doi: 10.1242/dev.098558.

L367   PubMed PMID: 24131631; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3817939.

L368   35.   Wang X, Page-McCaw A. Wnt6 maintains anterior escort cells as an integral component of the

L369   germline stem cell niche. Development. 2018;145(3). doi: 10.1242/dev.158527. PubMed PMID:

L370   29361569; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5818006.

L371   36.   Zhang Y, Kalderon D. Hedgehog acts as a somatic stem cell factor in the Drosophila ovary.

L372   Nature. 2001;410(6828):599-604.

L373   37.   Duhart JC, Parsons TT, Raftery LA. The repertoire of epithelial morphogenesis on display:

L374   Progressive elaboration of Drosophila egg structure. Mech Dev. 2017;148:18-39. doi:

L375   10.1016/j.mod.2017.04.002. PubMed PMID: 28433748.

L376   38.   McGregor JR, Xi R, Harrison DA. JAK signaling is somatically required for follicle cell

L377   differentiation in Drosophila. Development. 2002;129(3):705-17. Epub 2002/02/07. PubMed PMID:

L378   11830571.

L379   39.   Xi R, McGregor JR, Harrison DA. A gradient of JAK pathway activity patterns the anterior-

L380   posterior axis of the follicular epithelium. Developmental Cell. 2003;4(2):167-77.

L381   40.   Reilein A, Melamed D, Tavare S, Kalderon D. Division-independent differentiation mandates

L382   proliferative competition among stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2018;115(14):E3182-E91. doi:

L383   10.1073/pnas.1718646115. PubMed PMID: 29555768; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5889645.

L384   41.   Vied C, Kalderon D. Hedgehog-stimulated stem cells depend on non-canonical activity of the

L385   Notch co-activator Mastermind. Development. 2009;136(13):2177-86. Epub 2009/05/29. doi:

L386   dev.035329 [pii]

L387   10.1242/dev.035329. PubMed PMID: 19474148.

L388   42.   Wang X, LaFever KS, Waghmare I, Page-McCaw A. Extracellular spreading of Wingless is

L389   required for Drosophila oogenesis. PLoS Genet. 2021;17(4):e1009469. Epub 2021/04/03. doi:

L390   10.1371/journal.pgen.1009469. PubMed PMID: 33798197.

L391   43.   Jemc JC. Somatic gonadal cells: the supporting cast for the germline. Genesis.

L392   2011;49(10):753-75. doi: 10.1002/dvg.20784. PubMed PMID: 21735540.

L393   44.   Murray SM, Yang SY, Van Doren M. Germ cell sex determination: a collaboration between

L394   soma and germline. Curr Opin Cell Biol. 2010;22(6):722-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2010.09.006. PubMed

L395   PMID: 21030233; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2996260.

L396   45.   Godt D, Laski FA. Mechanisms of cell rearrangement and cell recruitment in Drosophila ovary

L397   morphogenesis and the requirement of bric a brac. Development. 1995;121(1):173-87.

L398   46.   Cohen ED, Mariol MC, Wallace RM, Weyers J, Kamberov YG, Pradel J, et al. DWnt4 regulates

L399   cell movement and focal adhesion kinase during Drosophila ovarian morphogenesis. Dev Cell.

L400   2002;2(4):437-48. doi: 10.1016/s1534-5807(02)00142-9. PubMed PMID: 11970894.

L401   47.   Irizarry J, Stathopoulos A. FGF signaling supports Drosophila fertility by regulating development

L402   of ovarian muscle tissues. Developmental biology. 2015;404(1):1-13. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.04.023.

L403   PubMed PMID: 25958090; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4469552.

L404   48.   King RC, Aggarwal SK, Aggarwal U. The development of the female Drosophila reproductive

L405   system. J Morphol. 1968;124(2):143-66. doi: 10.1002/jmor.1051240203. PubMed PMID: 5654408.

L406   49.   Valer FB, Machado MCR, Silva-Junior RMP, Ramos RGP. Expression of Hbs, Kirre, and Rst

L407   during Drosophila ovarian development. Genesis. 2018;56(9):e23242. doi: 10.1002/dvg.23242.

L408   PubMed PMID: 30114331.

L409   50.   Park KS, Godt D, Kalderon D. Dissection and Staining of Drosophila Pupal Ovaries. Journal of

L410   visualized experiments : JoVE. 2018;(133). doi: 10.3791/56779. PubMed PMID: 29553514; PubMed

L411   Central PMCID: PMCPMC5931425.

L412   51.   Slaidina M, Banisch TU, Gupta S, Lehmann R. A single-cell atlas of the developing Drosophila

L413   ovary identifies follicle stem cell progenitors. Genes Dev. 2020;34(3-4):239-49. doi:

L414   10.1101/gad.330464.119. PubMed PMID: 31919193; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC7000915.

L415   52.   Lai CM, Lin KY, Kao SH, Chen YN, Huang F, Hsu HJ. Hedgehog signaling establishes

L416   precursors for germline stem cell niches by regulating cell adhesion. J Cell Biol. 2017;216(5):1439-53.

L417   doi: 10.1083/jcb.201610063. PubMed PMID: 28363970; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5412570.

L418   53.   Li M, Hu X, Zhang S, Ho MS, Wu G, Zhang L. Traffic jam regulates the function of the ovarian

L419   germline stem cell progeny differentiation niche during pre-adult stage in Drosophila. Sci Rep.

L420   2019;9(1):10124. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-45317-6. PubMed PMID: 31300663; PubMed Central

L421   PMCID: PMCPMC6626045.

L422   54.   Panchal T, Chen X, Alchits E, Oh Y, Poon J, Kouptsova J, et al. Specification and spatial

L423   arrangement of cells in the germline stem cell niche of the Drosophila ovary depend on the Maf

L424   transcription factor Traffic jam. PLoS Genet. 2017;13(5):e1006790. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006790.

L425   PubMed PMID: 28542174; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC5459507.

L426   55.   Sahut-Barnola I, Dastugue B, Couderc JL. Terminal filament cell organization in the larval ovary

L427   of Drosophila melanogaster: ultrastructural observations and pattern of divisions. Roux Arch Dev Biol.

L428   1996;205(7-8):356-63. doi: 10.1007/BF00377215. PubMed PMID: 28306086.

L429   56.   Banisch TU, Slaidina M, Gupta S, Gilboa L, Lehmann R. A transitory signaling center controls

L430   timing of primordial germ cell differentiation. bioRxiv. 2020:2020.08.01.232298. doi:

L431   10.1101/2020.08.01.232298.

L432   57.   Vlachos S, Jangam S, Conder R, Chou M, Nystul T, Harden N. A Pak-regulated cell

L433   intercalation event leading to a novel radial cell polarity is involved in positioning of the follicle stem cell

L434   niche in the Drosophila ovary. Development. 2015;142(1):82-91. doi: 10.1242/dev.111039. PubMed

L435   PMID: 25516970; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6514404.

L436   58.   Diaz-Torres A, Rosales-Nieves AE, Pearson JR, Santa-Cruz Mateos C, Marin-Menguiano M,

L437   Marshall OJ, et al. Stem cell niche organization in the Drosophila ovary requires the ECM component

L438   Perlecan. Curr Biol. 2021. Epub 2021/02/24. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2021.01.071. PubMed PMID:

L439   33621481.

L440 59.   Gancz D, Gilboa L. Insulin and Target of rapamycin signaling orchestrate the development of

L441 ovarian niche-stem cell units in Drosophila. Development. 2013;140(20):4145-54. doi:

L442 10.1242/dev.093773. PubMed PMID: 24026119.

L443 60.   Gancz D, Lengil T, Gilboa L. Coordinated regulation of niche and stem cell precursors by

L444 hormonal signaling. PLoS biology. 2011;9(11):e1001202. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001202. PubMed

L445 PMID: 22131903; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3222635.

L446 61.   Song X, Call GB, Kirilly D, Xie T. Notch signaling controls germline stem cell niche formation in

L447 the Drosophila ovary. Development. 2007;134(6):1071-80. Epub 2007/02/09. doi: dev.003392 [pii]

L448 10.1242/dev.003392. PubMed PMID: 17287246.

L449 62.   Yatsenko AS, Shcherbata HR. Stereotypical architecture of the stem cell niche is

L450 spatiotemporally established by miR-125-dependent coordination of Notch and steroid signaling.

L451 Development. 2018;145(3). doi: 10.1242/dev.159178. PubMed PMID: 29361571; PubMed Central

L452 PMCID: PMCPMC5818007.

L453 63.   Asaoka M, Lin H. Germline stem cells in the Drosophila ovary descend from pole cells in the

L454 anterior region of the embryonic gonad. Development. 2004;131(20):5079-89.

L455 64.   Zhu CH, Xie T. Clonal expansion of ovarian germline stem cells during niche formation in

L456 Drosophila. Development. 2003;130(12):2579-88.

L457 65.   Deng W, Lin H. Spectrosomes and fusomes anchor mitotic spindles during asymmetric germ

L458 cell divisions and facilitate the formation of a polarized microtubule array for oocyte specification in

L459 Drosophila. Developmental biology. 1997;189(1):79-94.

L460 66.   Golic KG, Lindquist S. The FLP recombinase of yeast catalyzes site-specific recombination in

L461 the Drosophila genome. Cell. 1989;59(3):499-509. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(89)90033-0. PubMed

L462 PMID: 2509077.

L463 67.   Lee T, Luo L. Mosaic analysis with a repressible cell marker (MARCM) for Drosophila neural

L464 development.[erratum appears in Trends Neurosci 2001 Jul;24(7):385]. Trends in Neurosciences.

L465 2001;24(5):251-4.

L466 68.   Margolis J, Spradling A. Identification and behavior of epithelial stem cells in the Drosophila

L467 ovary. Development. 1995;121(11):3797-807.

L468 69.   Li MA, Alls JD, Avancini RM, Koo K, Godt D. The large Maf factor Traffic Jam controls gonad

L469 morphogenesis in Drosophila. Nat Cell Biol. 2003;5(11):994-1000. doi: 10.1038/ncb1058. PubMed

L470 PMID: 14578908.

L471 70.   Reilein A, Cimetta E, Tandon NM, Kalderon D, Vunjak-Novakovic G. Live imaging of stem cells

L472 in the germarium of the Drosophila ovary using a reusable gas-permeable imaging chamber. Nature

L473   protocols. 2018;13(11):2601-14. doi: 10.1038/s41596-018-0054-1. PubMed PMID: 30349048; PubMed

L474   Central PMCID: PMCPMC6314018.

L475   71.   Zielke N, Korzelius J, van Straaten M, Bender K, Schuhknecht GF, Dutta D, et al. Fly-FUCCI: A

L476   versatile tool for studying cell proliferation in complex tissues. Cell Rep. 2014;7(2):588-98. doi:

L477   10.1016/j.celrep.2014.03.020. PubMed PMID: 24726363.

L478   72.   Buckingham ME, Meilhac SM. Tracing cells for tracking cell lineage and clonal behavior. Dev

L479   Cell. 2011;21(3):394-409. Epub 2011/09/17. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2011.07.019. PubMed PMID:

L480   21920310.

L481   73.   Kretzschmar K, Watt FM. Lineage tracing. Cell. 2012;148(1-2):33-45. doi:

L482   10.1016/j.cell.2012.01.002. PubMed PMID: 22265400.

L483   74.   Evans CJ, Olson JM, Ngo KT, Kim E, Lee NE, Kuoy E, et al. G-TRACE: rapid Gal4-based cell

L484   lineage analysis in Drosophila. Nature methods. 2009;6(8):603-5. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1356. PubMed

L485   PMID: 19633663; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC2754220.

L486   75.   Shi J, Jin Z, Yu Y, Zhang Y, Yang F, Huang H, et al. A Progressive Somatic Cell Niche

L487   Regulates Germline Cyst Differentiation in the Drosophila Ovary. Curr Biol. 2021;31(4):840-52 e5. Epub

L488   2020/12/20. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2020.11.053. PubMed PMID: 33340458.

L489   76.   Tu R, Duan B, Song X, Chen S, Scott A, Hall K, et al. Multiple Niche Compartments Orchestrate

L490   Stepwise Germline Stem Cell Progeny Differentiation. Curr Biol. 2021;31(4):827-39 e3. Epub

L491   2020/12/29. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2020.12.024. PubMed PMID: 33357404; PubMed Central PMCID:

L492   PMCPMC7904662.

L493   77.   Berg DA, Su Y, Jimenez-Cyrus D, Patel A, Huang N, Morizet D, et al. A Common Embryonic

L494   Origin of Stem Cells Drives Developmental and Adult Neurogenesis. Cell. 2019;177(3):654-68 e15.

L495   Epub 2019/04/02. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.010. PubMed PMID: 30929900; PubMed Central PMCID:

L496   PMCPMC6496946.

L497   78.   Guiu J, Hannezo E, Yui S, Demharter S, Ulyanchenko S, Maimets M, et al. Tracing the origin of

L498   adult intestinal stem cells. Nature. 2019;570(7759):107-11. Epub 2019/05/17. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-

L499   1212-5. PubMed PMID: 31092921; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC6986928.

L500   79.   Fuentealba LC, Rompani SB, Parraguez JI, Obernier K, Romero R, Cepko CL, et al. Embryonic

L501   Origin of Postnatal Neural Stem Cells. Cell. 2015;161(7):1644-55. Epub 2015/06/20. doi:

L502   10.1016/j.cell.2015.05.041. PubMed PMID: 26091041; PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC4475276.

L503   80.   Furutachi S, Miya H, Watanabe T, Kawai H, Yamasaki N, Harada Y, et al. Slowly dividing neural

L504   progenitors are an embryonic origin of adult neural stem cells. Nature neuroscience. 2015;18(5):657-

L505   65. Epub 2015/03/31. doi: 10.1038/nn.3989. PubMed PMID: 25821910.

L506   81.   Harris RE, Ashe HL. Cease and desist: modulating short-range Dpp signalling in the stem-cell

L507   niche. EMBO Rep. 2011;12(6):519-26. doi: 10.1038/embor.2011.80. PubMed PMID: 21546910;

L508   PubMed Central PMCID: PMCPMC3128284.

L509   82.   Banisch TU, Maimon I, Dadosh T, Gilboa L. Escort cells generate a dynamic compartment for

L510   germline stem cell differentiation via combined Stat and Erk signalling. Development.

L511   2017;144(11):1937-47. doi: 10.1242/dev.143727. PubMed PMID: 28559239.

L512

L513

Figure 1. Development of adult germaria during early pupal stages.

(A-J) A time-course of pupal ovary development with (J) cartoons highlighting individual germaria from 12h APF, 30h APF and adults. Vasa (green) marks germline cells, Traffic Jam (TJ, white) marks somatic ICs, DAPI (blue) marks all nuclei, LaminC (red) marks Terminal Filament (TF) cells (cyan arrowheads in D-G) and Fas3 (also red) marks basal stalk cells, except in (A) where red signal is just from Hts staining. All scale bars, 20 μm. (A) At 0h APF ovaries are not yet organized into distinct germaria. The germline (green) consists of individual cells intermingled with somatic IC precursor cells (white). The medial side of the ovary, on the right, has more developed Terminal Filaments. "Apical cells", the precursors of epithelial sheath cells, are located at the apical (top) side of the ovary (white arrowhead). (B) At 12h APF ovaries are organized into distinct germaria, separated by a layer of migrating Apical cells. Lamin-C stains the nuclear envelope of Terminal Filament cells (cyan arrowhead). Fas3 staining is present on the medial side of the ovariole (pink arrowhead). TJ staining of somatic cells extends beyond the germline. (C) At 18h APF, basal stalks begin to form on the medial side of the ovary (pink arrowhead). (D) At 24h APF, Fas3 is spread more evenly around the circumference of the ovary, and stains a hub into which the posterior ends of the basal stalks converge.

(E) By 30h APF, individual germaria are farther apart, separated from one another by a layer of 1-2 epithelial sheath cells. Lamin-C staining appears in the transition cell at the posterior end of the terminal filament, and dimly in epithelial sheath cells. (F) At 36h APF, germaria are separated by 2-3 epithelial sheath cells. Lamin-C expression is increased in epithelial sheath cells, and is also now visible (red arrow) in Cap cells (CC). Basal stalks (pink arrowheads in E-G) begin to narrow. (G) By 48h APF, the basal stalks have narrowed, and Fas3 begins to be expressed dimly in posterior ICs (yellow arrowhead). Lamin-C expression in the Cap cells increases at this stage. White arrowhead points to Lamin-C expression in epithelial sheath cells. (H) At 56h APF, germaria begin to bud the first egg chamber. (I) At 102h APF, 3-4 egg chambers have budded. (J) Cartoons illustrating individual germaria at 12h APF, 30h APF and adult stages for comparison. In the adult Escort Cells (ECs) surround developing germline cysts (white), three layers of Follicle Stem Cells (FSCs) ring the germarial circumference immediately anterior (left) to a stage 2b germline cyst and strong Fas3 (red) staining on the surface of early Follicle Cells (FCs). Polar cells (cyan) are the source of the Upd ligand that activates JAK/STAT signaling, which stimulates FSC proliferation and conversion to FCs.

Figure 2. Germline development during early pupal stages.

(A-F) Germline development at the indicated times after puparium formation (APF) was monitored with Hts (red), which stains spherical spectrosomes and branched fusomes in germline cells as well as the surface of many somatic cells, and Vasa (green), which identifies germline cells. The number of germline cells within a cyst was determined by examining the fusome connecting clustered Vasa-positive cells over a range of z-sections (here, single or small numbers of superimposed z-sections are shown). For each sample, a close-up of the region outlined with white brackets is shown (A'-F') without or (A"-F") with the Vasa fluorescence channel. (A-F) Germaria progressively lengthen from anterior (apical, top, future anterior) to posterior (basal, bottom, future posterior) as germline cells become more numerous. The number of germline cells in a cyst is indicated as one (cyan arrowhead), two (white arrowhead), four (white arrow), eight (cyan arrow) or sixteen (yellow arrow). (B, D) Some germline cells migrate through the basal cells to become stranded at the posterior of the ovary. (A-A") At 0h APF germline cells are single cells (cyan arrowheads) or 2-cell cysts (white arrowheads). (B-B") At 12h APF the majority of germline cells have 1 or 2 cells, but posterior cysts may have 4 (white arrow) or 8 cells (cyan arrow). (C-C") At 18h APF, there is a large range of germarial length and corresponding posterior cyst maturity. Longer germaria have 16 cells in posterior cysts (yellow arrows) whereas short germaria have only 2 cells in posterior cysts (white arrowhead). (D-D") At 24h APF the majority of germaria have 16 cells in posterior cysts (yellow arrows) but the most posterior cyst has not yet moved beyond other cysts. (E-E") At 36h APF a single cyst resides at the posterior of most germaria but in some cases the most posterior 16-cell cyst has not yet been resolved. (F-F") By 48h APF each germarium has a most posterior 16-cell cyst. Scale bars, 20 micron.

Figure 3. Common precursors for FSCs and ECs with derivatives clustered along the AP axis.

Figure 3. Common precursors for FSCs and ECs with derivatives clustered along the AP axis.

(A) Summary of lineage tracing experiments performed in this study. The ovariole of a newly eclosed adult, which has 4 egg chambers, is depicted with an example lineage (green cells). In the first set of experiments, we dissected ovarioles from adults 2d after eclosion ("+2d"), having induced clones daily (in separate experiments) between 6d and 2d before eclosion ("-6d" to "-2d"). In these experiments the first egg chambers to bud from the germarium will no longer be present and therefore the first FCs to be made cannot be seen. Some cells that had been FSCs at eclosion will have moved into the FC region posterior to the Fas3 border (red line). To capture all labeled FCs produced during pupation we examined newly-eclosed adults. To examine the maximal proportion of marked ovarioles with a lineage derived from a single cell we used multicolor labeling. (B) Second chromosome genotype of multicolor flies used for multicolor lineage tracing of precursors, showing tub-lacZ (lacZ), ubi-GFP (GFP) and His2Av-RFP (RFP) transgenes and FRT 40A and FRT 42B recombination targets either side of the centromere (white oval). Heat-shock induction of a hs-flp transgene on the X-chromosome can induce recombination independently at either pair of FRTs, making one or both chromosome arms homozygous in daughter cells, thereby eliminating one or more of the marker genes. A possible outcome for one daughter cell is shown. The other daughter has a complementary genotype (in this case with GFP-only), so that it is generally possible to identify sister "twin-spot" lineages. (A-K) GFP-labeled MARCM clones were induced from 6d to 2d before eclosion and flies were dissected 2d after eclosion. In each germarium labeled cells were scored as region 1 (r1) ECs, region 2a (r2a) ECs, or FSCs. (A) Most clones initiated 4-6d before eclosion contained only ECs (blue) or FSCs together with ECs (red), indicating a common precursor. Clones containing only ECs declined and clones containing FSCs but no ECs (green) increased in frequency when initiated at later times. (B, C) Data were pooled from clones induced from -4 to -6d. (B) Clones containing r1 ECs (n=174) were grouped according to the additional cell types they contained; inclusion of other r1 ECs was the most frequent, and r2a ECs were more commonly included than more distant FSCs even though the total frequency of clones with r2a ECs (54%) and FSCs (57%) were similar. (C) Clones containing FSCs (n=155) contained r2a ECs more frequently than r1 ECs even though the total frequency of clones with r2a ECs (54%) was lower than for r1 ECs (64%). (D-G) Examples of different clones induced 5d before eclosion all demonstrate the clustering of marked cells (green) along the anterior (left) to posterior (right) axis. The anterior Fas3 (red) border, viewed in each z-section, allows distinction between FCs and FSCs (in the three layers anterior to Fas3); several z-sections were combined to show all labeled cells in one image. DAPI (white) staining reveals all nuclei but is omitted in (D'-G') and (H-K) for clarity. GFP-marked clones have (D) a cluster of r1 ECs (magenta arrows), (E) a cluster of r1 and r2a ECs (yellow arrows), (F) a cluster of r2a ECs and FSCs (blue arrows), (G) r1 and 2a ECs with FSCs. (H-K) Clones induced 2d before eclosion also showed clustering of progeny, with (H) r1 ECs only, (I) r1 and r2a ECS, (J) r2a ECs and FSCs, and (K) FSCs only. Scale bars, 20 μm.

Figure 4. Multicolor labeling of precursors shows clustering of progeny along the AP axis.

(A-F) Multicolor lineage tracing was performed by inducing clones 5d before eclosion and dissecting newly-eclosed flies. The anterior limit of Fas3 staining is marked by a white dashed line. Scale bar in (A) applies to all images, 20 μm. Precursors produce r1 (magenta) and r2a (yellow) ECs, FSCs (cyan), and FCs (red) in overlapping zones, indicated by the colored zones and in (A-C) corresponding arrow colors. (A-C) show examples of GFP-only clones (green), which are generated by two recombination events and are therefore infrequent. (A) A clone containing r1 and r2a ECs. (B, B') Different z planes of a germarium show a clone containing an r2a EC, FSCs, and FCs. (C-C") Three z planes of a germarium showing a clone with r1 and r2a ECs and FSCs. (D-F) Examples of two clones of different colors in overlapping or adjacent zones, where arrow color indicates the clone color, not the cell type. (D) a GR (GFP plus RFP) clone (yellow arrows) contains r1 ECs and Cap cells (extreme left) and a BR (lacZ plus RFP) clone (magenta arrows) contains r1 and r2a ECs. (E) A BR clone (magenta arrows) contains r1 ECs and a GR clone (yellow arrows) contains a r2a EC and an FSC. (F) A BR clone (magenta arrows) contains ECs and FSCs, and a BG (lacZ GFP) clone (cyan arrows) contains a r2a EC, FSCs and FCs.

Figure 5. Follicle Cell origins and deduced precursor numbers for ECs, FSCs and FCs.

(A-C) GFP-labeled MARCM clones (green) were induced 5d before eclosion and ovaries were dissected from newly-eclosed adults in order to detect all FCs produced during pupation. Fas3 is stained in red and cell types are indicated with color-coded arrows, matching the labeled AP domains. Scale bar in (A, B), 20 μm; (A', C), 50 μm. (A) Germarium and (A') the rest of the ovariole of the same sample (the first egg chamber is in both images), showing a clone with marked ECs, FSCs and FCs (marked FCs are in the germarium and egg chambers 1-4). (B) A clone containing only ECs (no cells were marked in the rest of the ovariole). (C) A clone containing only FCs in egg chambers 2 and 3. (D) Distribution of clone types in GFP-only clones of multicolor flies and MARCM clones initiated 5d or 3.5d before eclosion, all examined in newly-eclosed adults. Clone types are: EC (EC-only), EC/FSC (ECs and FSCs, with or without FCs), FSC (with or without FCs), FC (FC-only), and EC + FC. Ovarioles with only marked ECs and FCs likely harbor two independent lineages. (E) Estimates of numbers of dividing precursor types at the time of clone induction for the same three lineage experiments as in (D), after accounting for double-clones (see Methods and Table 3). Precursor types are defined by their adult products: pEC (only ECs), pEC/FSC (ECs and FSCs, with or without FCs), pFSC (FSCs with or without FCs), and pFC (only FCs).

Figure *. Summary of precursor lineage development

Cartoon of the fate of single precursor types from 0h APF until 60h APF deduced from lineage studies and pupal ovary imaging. The indicated frequency of clone types is drawn from the inference of single-cell lineages for MARCM clones induced at 0h APF (Table 3). Most 0h APF precursors (green) generate only adult ECs, with more anterior (left) precursors producing only r1 ECs (top row) and more posterior precursors also producing r2a ECs and more cells in total (second row). Filled green cells have ceased division. Most 0h APF FSC-producing precursors also produce ECs. Some of the more anterior precursors (third row) do not produce FCs but most (fourth row) produce cells that will become FCs (but not in the first-formed egg chamber). Precursors that produce adult FSCs but not ECs also produce FCs (fifth row). The most posterior precursors produce only FCs. Examples of origination from an EGC (row 6) and a basal stalk cells (row 7) are shown, but the most posterior ICs can also yield lineages containing only FCs. A subset of progeny accumulate posterior to the germarium from 24h APF onward in the EGC (depicted as the posterior gray crown). Most cells in the EGC will become FCs of the first budded egg chamber (sixth row). The cell on the posterior cyst at 48h APF, denoted by the red asterisk, moved into the secondary EGC at 60h and is modeled on the top red cell in Fig. 10. The cell denoted by the blue asterisk that is in the EGC at 48h APF and moved onto the first budded cyst at 60h is modeled after the cyan cell in Fig. 10. Most precursors present in the basal stalk at 48h APF (row 7) move onto the first budded cyst (the cell denoted by the blue asterisk is modeled after the white cell in Fig. 10). More posterior precursors are shown producing larger numbers of progeny because anterior cells divide more slowly and arrest division prior to eclosion.

Figure 7. Patterns of FC contributions indicate distinct pools of precursors along the AP axis.

(A, C) Percentage of ovarioles containing labeled FCs that have marked FCs in germarial region 2b (I), region 3 (G), or egg chambers 1, 2, 3 or 4 (see Fig. 3A) in newly-eclosed adults for (A) -5d MARCM and (C) -3.5d MARCM clones with FSCs (blue line) or with no FSCs (orange line). The total number of ovarioles scored is indicated either side of the error bars (SEM); some ovarioles had only 3 egg chambers and some were not fully imaged. (B, D) MARCM clones induced at -3.5d and analyzed in newly-eclosed adults. Marked occupancy of egg chambers was scored as a percentage of all FCs in egg chamber 4 that were marked when there were marked FCs (B) only in Egg Chamber 4 or (D) in egg chambers 3 and 4. The frequency of different occupancy ranges is displayed in bins of 3%. (E) Distribution amongst germarial region 2b (I), region 3 (G) and egg chambers 1-4 of FC-only clones in newly-eclosed flies after marking at -3.5d. Each row indicates a single ovariole. The colors indicate if a marked cell is present in the basal stalk (green), absent from the basal stalk (red) or if the basal stalk was not clearly imaged (black). (F-J) Ovarioles of newly-eclosed flies with MARCM recombination induced at -3.5d containing FC-only clones. (F, G) Marked FCs can be seen in the basal stalk and egg chamber 4, (H) egg chamber 4 only, or (I, J) in egg chambers 4 and 3 only. Insets show enlargements of boxed regions; yellow borders outline the posterior edge of Egg Chamber 4, with cells further posterior located in the basal stalk. Yellow arrowheads (no marked basal stalk cell); Pink arrowheads (marked basal stalk cell). GFP: green; Fas3: red; DAPI: blue. Scale bars, 20 μm.

Figure 8. Extra-Germarial Crown Cells (EGCs); a potential source of early FCs.

Figure 8. Extra-Germarial Crown Cells (EGCs); a potential source of early FCs.

(A-G) Traffic Jam (TJ) positive cells (white) were counted in ovaries stained also for Vasa (green), LaminC and Fas3 (both in red), and DAPI (blue). Bar, 20 μm applies to all images. (A-C) Up to 36h APF, prior to budding of the first egg chamber, ICs (red brackets), defined as intermingled with germline cells (green) in the developing germarium, expressed TJ (white) but little or no detectable Fas3 (red). Cells posterior to the developing germaria expressed Fas3 and were previously all termed basal cells and expected to intercalate to form the basal stalk. However, a subset of Fas3-positive cells immediately posterior to the germarium also expressed TJ. Those cells formed an Extra-Germarial Crown (EGC) of increasing cell numbers, indicated by green brackets. (C', C") At 36h APF some somatic cells contacting the posterior of the most advanced cyst expressed Fas3 strongly (white dots) and some did not (blue dots). Fas3-positive cells were counted as EGC cells and Fas3-negative cells as ICs. (D) At 48h APF the most posterior germline cyst is about to leave the germarium in most samples. Here, the cyst (green) appears to have just started to leave, invading Fas3-positive cells in EGC territory. The Fas3-positive cells surrounding the cyst are indicated by a yellow bracket, while Fas3-positive and TJ-positive cells posterior to the cyst are indicated by green brackets. Average IC (red brackets) and EGC (green and yellow brackets) cell numbers are written underneath the brackets and were derived from counting (A) 5, (B) 5, (C) 10 and (D) 5 samples. (E-G) Three developing ovarioles from the same 56h APF ovary, representing progressively later stages of development from top to bottom, show the most posterior cyst leaving the germarium and surrounded by Fas3-positive, TJ-positive cells. (E, F) Fas3-positive, TJ-positive cells posterior to the germline (green bracket), and around the most posterior cyst (yellow bracket) were counted for these single samples and then (G) counted as on the budded cyst (magenta bracket) or anterior to the budded cyst and forming a secondary EGC posterior to the germarium (blue bracket). Those two populations are separated by stalk cells that do not stain for TJ. (H-K) Fas3 (red) is shown together with DAPI-stained nuclei (blue; top) or alone (bottom) in pupal ovaries at (H) 48h APF, (I) 56h APF (same samples as in (D) and (G)), (J) 60h APF and (K) adults. The anterior border of strong Fas3 expression (indicated by a blue arrowhead) was (C', C") posterior to all germline cysts at 36h APF, aligns (H) with the anterior of the departing cyst at 48h APF, (J, K) with the anterior surface of the most posterior germarial cyst just after one egg chamber has budded, and (J) by 60h APF and (K) in adults, the anterior edge of strong Fas3 expression is along the posterior edge of the penultimate cyst in the germarium. (K) Cells in a MARCM lineage (green) derived from an adult FSC (bottom panel) include an EC, FSCs and FCs (outlined by strong Fas3) in the budded egg chamber. Arrows indicate the normal progression every 12h of a recently produced strongly Fas3-positive FC associated with the penultimate germarial (stage 2b) cyst (first arrow) to an FC on a stage 3 germline cyst at the posterior of the germarium (second arrow) and then to an FC on a budded egg chamber (third arrow); 24h in total.

Figure 9. ICs move independently of one another and do not mix with EGCs.

Live imaging was performed on multicolor pupal ovaries with clones induced by heat shock such that cells could lose RFP, GFP, or both. (A) Z-projections of 0h and 6h30min timepoints of a 30h-APF germarium showing five tracked ICs (colored). ICs were located at the outer surface of the germarium and moved independently of one another in posterior, anterior, and lateral directions (around the circumference). The most posterior germline cyst (outlined by a white dotted line) moved posteriorly past the cyan and yellow IC cells. The relative disposition of ICs and cysts changed considerably, suggesting they are not strongly associated. Only z planes containing tracked cells were included in the projection (z4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 at 00:00 and z5, 6, 9, and 10 at 6:30) (B) Z-projections of 0h and 7h15min timepoints of a 40h-APF germarium showing five tracked ICs. ICs moved independently of one another and remained in their domain as the most posterior germline cyst (highlighted by shading) moved past. Four cells in the EGC (outlined red cells) remained in the EGC (indicated by the yellow bracket). Projected sections are z5-9 at 00:00 and z4, 7, 8 and 9 at 7:15. Individual z sections of the germaria in A and B are shown in Fig. S2. (C) Z-projections of selected timepoints of a 40h-APF germarium imaged for 11h15min, showing three tracked green ICs (white, yellow and blue arrows); ICs remained in their domain as the posterior germline cyst (outlined by a white dotted line) moved past them. Four red cells (one is shown by the red arrow) started on the posterior of the posterior cyst and moved anterior along the cyst; cells in a neighboring no-color clone moved similarly. A green cell that began in the EGC (magenta arrow) moved onto the posterior edge of the cyst. The accompanying Movie S1 of this germarium shows mitotic cells in the EGC and the stalk. Bar, 20 µm.

Figure 10. Budding of the first egg chamber: EGC cells become FCs.

Time-stamped frames from a 3D reconstruction of a movie of 14h 45 min starting at 48h APF and showing budding of an egg chamber. All cells are labeled white from a ubi-GFP transgene. Three cells that were visible near the surface of a 3D reconstruction are colored in solid red, pink, and yellow in the lower germarium, together with five other cells (open circles) that were in interior z slices. All marked cells were tracked using Zeiss Zen software for 60 time points over 14h 45 min, except for the red cell which could not be reliably tracked after 10h and the yellow cell in the lower germarium, which was not tracked before 5h 45min. The posterior germline cyst in the lower germarium is highlighted in green. Cyst cells were distinguishable from somatic cells because the nuclei were larger and paler green. The yellow brackets indicate Fas3+ TJ+ EGC cells, inferred from comparison to fixed images at the same stages.

The cyst slid past adjacent ICs, which were later either at the posterior edge of the germarium (orange-outlined cell and yellow-outlined cell in the upper germarium) or between the germarium and the budded cyst (green-outlined cell) in the nascent secondary EGC (magenta brackets), as seen more clearly in Fig. S2A, B (yellow-outlined cell) and Fig. S4A, B (orange and green-outlined cells). The cell marked with the open red circle (asterisk; modeled in Fig. 6) is inferred from comparison to fixed 48h APF images to be Fas3-positive and to be one of the most anterior EGC cells when the cyst first started to move out of the germarium (just prior to 48h APF, see Fig. 9). This cell began on the posterior cyst and ended in the secondary EGC (Movie S3). The cyan cell (asterisk; modeled in Fig. 6) initially towards the posterior of the primary EGC (indicated by yellow brackets) adopted progressively more anterior positions on the germline cyst after the cyst moved into EGC territory. The white cell (asterisk; modeled in Fig. 6) was originally in a location posterior to the EGC (it is expected, from comparison to fixed images, not to express TJ) but then moved into EGC territory by 3h 45min and ended up close to the cyan cell on the budded germline cyst (Movie S4). The locations of the cyan and white cells covering the posterior of the cyst can be seen clearly in Fig. S4. Individual z-sections showing the locations of the red, pink and yellow cells in the lower germarium at the beginning, middle, and end of their imaging times are shown in Fig.S3C and Movie S2. Time is in hours:minutes.

The following table (Panel C) appears within the figure:

Time	EGC	Stalk	Total
0:00	7	25	32
7:45	9	14	23
14:45	0	13	13

Figure 11. Basal stalk and EGC cells are the source of the first FCs.

(A) Z-projection of a Ubi-GFP labeled germarium at 48h APF from live imaging to show the cells posterior to the germarium. White arrows indicate the posterior edge of the germline. (B) Stills from a movie of a 55h-APF germarium (Movie S6) show that the stalk, outlined by the white dotted line in the lower panels, progressively shortens as the first cyst moves posterior. Three cells were tracked: the white cell began in the stalk 13 cells away from the cyst and ended 4 cells away; the magenta cell was initially 7 cells away from the cyst and ended on the posterior of the cyst; and the yellow cell began as the first cell in the stalk and ended on the posterior third of the cyst. (C) Cell counts posterior to the germline for the germarium shown in (B). (D) Fixed images showing that the basal stalk becomes shorter over time. Thin white lines extend the length of the stalk. The top two images are stained for TJ (white), Vasa (green), DAPI (blue) and Fas3 (red). The lower image is stained for DAPI (blue) and Fas3 (red). After 2 egg chambers have budded there are an average of 9 cells in the stalk (n=12 stalks; the example in D has 9 cells). Scale bars, 20 µm.

Figure 12. FUCCI labeling shows progressive accumulation of anterior ICs in G1 or a G0 arrest.

(A-G) C587-GAL4 was used to drive UAS-FUCCI expression and ovaries were stained for Fas3 (white) and TJ (not shown) to identify all somatic cells. Somatic cells were either green (G1 or G0), red (late S phase), red/green (G2) or without any color (early S-phase for cells with adequate C587-GAL4 expression). Germaria are outlined with dotted lines. All bars, 20 μm. (A-F) Many red or red/green cells are present in anterior locations close to Cap Cells at (A)12h APF and (B)18h APF (arrows) but (C) by 24h APF and (D) 36h APF fewer such cells are evident and are further from the anterior (arrows). (E) At 48h APF ICs in the anterior third of the germarium are uniformly green (G1 or G0). The bracketed region is magnified with enhanced colors to reveal (E') that many cells in the EGC are red (S-phase), while one or two more posterior, basal stalk cells are faintly green but C587-GAL4 expression is low at these locations. (F) At 96h APF, after three egg chambers have budded (two are outlined with blue dotted lines), red/green ICs can still occasionally be seen in the anterior half of the germarium (pink arrows) but (G) in adults this territory, occupied by r1 ECs, is entirely green, followed by a mix of green and red/green more posterior r2a ECs, and FSCs, which are predominantly red/green (G2), red or colorless (S-phase) and with only a few green (G1) cells. In adults, C587-GAL4 expression extends through all ECs and FSCs but ends near the FSC/FC border.

Figure 13. Lineages induced by bond-GAL4 reveal typical IC precursor patterns and a later EGC contribution.

(A-G) bond-GAL4/G-TRACE clones (green) were examined in 0-12h adult ovaries, stained with antibodies to Fas3 (white in (A-C)) and DAPI (white in (F, G)). (A-C) For animals maintained at 18C throughout development, we observed mainly (A) marked ECs only or (B, C) marked ECs, FSCs and FCs; scale bars, 20 μm. (D) Distribution of clone types observed for animals kept at 18C throughout (green), raised at 29C and moved to 18C 2d after pupariation ("29C larval", blue), raised at 18C and moved to 29C for the last 3-4d of pupation ("29C pupal", yellow), or the aggregate of all results (pink). EC/FSC and FSC categories almost always included FCs also. (E) Estimates of precursor numbers for MARCM clones induced 5d before eclosion (blue) and for the aggregate of all bond-Gal4 lineages (pink) after disaggregating raw data into single lineages (see Methods). (F) Posterior egg chamber (29C larval) with small GFP clones (arrowheads). Multiple z-sections are projected to show all clones. (G) Posterior egg chamber (29C pupal) with patches of (G') GFP-positive cells, some of which also express (G") RFP, indicating relatively recent expression of bond-GAL4. (F-G) Scale bars, 50 μm.