Title: Coordinate transcriptional and post-transcriptional repression of pro-differentiation genes maintains intestinal stem cell identity

Authors: Kasun Buddika[1], Yi-Ting Huang[1], Ishara S. Ariyapala[1], Alex Butrum-Griffith[*1], Sam A. Norrell[*1], Alex M. O'Connor[*1], Viraj K. Patel[*1], Samuel A. Rector[*1], Mark Slovan[*1], Mallory Sokolowski[*1], Yasuko Kato[2], Akira Nakamura[3], Nicholas S. Sokol[1]

Affiliation: [1]Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA, [2]Department of Applied Biology, Kyoto Institute of Technology, Matsugasaki, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8585, Japan, [3] Department of Germline Development, Institute of Molecular Embryology and Genetics, Kumamoto University, 2-2-1 Honjo, Kumamoto 860-0811, Japan

* equal contribution

Correspondence: nsokol@indiana.edu (email)
                      812-856-6812 (phone)

Running Title: The structure and function of P-bodies in intestinal stem cells

Highlights

- Drosophila intestinal progenitor cells contain constitutive and ultrastructurally organized P-bodies.
- A P-body regulator *Patr-1* is required for intestinal progenitor cell maintenance.
- Enterocyte (EC) genes such as *nubbin* are weakly transcribed but not translated in intestinal progenitors.
- P-bodies repress EC gene translation to promote stem cell maintenance.

<u>Graphical abstract</u>

<u>Summary</u>

The role of Processing bodies (P-bodies), key sites of post-transcriptional control,

in adult stem cells remains poorly understood. Here, we report that adult Drosophila

intestinal stem cells, but not surrounding differentiated cells such as absorptive

Enterocytes (ECs), harbor P-bodies that contain *Drosophila* orthologs of mammalian P-

body components DDX6, EDC3, EDC4 and LSM14A/B. A targeted RNAi screen in

intestinal progenitor cells identified 39 previously known and 64 novel P-body

regulators*,* including *Patr-1*, a gene necessary for P-body assembly. Loss of *Patr-1*-

dependent P-bodies leads to a loss of stem cells that is associated with inappropriate

translation and expression of EC-fate gene *nubbin*. Transcriptomic analysis of

progenitor cells identifies a cadre of such weakly transcribed pro-differentiation

transcripts that are elevated after P-body loss. Altogether, this study identifies a

coordinated P-body dependent, translational and transcriptional repression program that

maintains a defined set of *in vivo* stem cells in a state primed for differentiation.

Introduction

In eukaryotic cells, translationally inactive messenger RNAs (mRNAs) can assemble to form a distinct population of cytoplasmic messenger ribonucleoprotein (mRNP) particles known as Processing bodies (P-bodies) (Anderson and Kedersha, 2006; Decker and Parker, 2012; Kedersha et al., 2005). Like stress granules, P-bodies lack a limiting membrane and are visible via conventional microscopic techniques (Anderson and Kedersha, 2006), are enhanced or induced by blocking translation initiation (Buchan and Parker, 2009; Chan et al., 2018; Franks and Lykke-Andersen, 2008; Kedersha et al., 2000; Teixeira et al., 2005), are disassembled when treated with cycloheximide, a chemical that traps mRNAs on ribosomes (Anderson and Kedersha, 2009; Buchan and Parker, 2009; Kedersha et al., 2000; Mugler et al., 2016; Teixeira et al., 2005), and are conserved from yeast to humans (Martínez et al., 2013; Protter and Parker, 2016). Unlike stress granules, however, P-bodies are constitutive and contain proteins involved in mRNA decay (Anderson and Kedersha, 2006, 2009; Buchan and Parker, 2009; Decker and Parker, 2012; Eulalio et al., 2007a; Luo et al., 2018; Parker and Sheth, 2007; Sheth and Parker, 2003; Youn et al., 2019). While recent studies have identified stress granules in stem cells of adult tissues (Buddika et al., 2020a), whether P-bodies are also present in adult stem cells and, if so, what biological processes they might control is not well understood.

Recent biochemical profiling experiments in human HEK293 cells identified different classes of P-body resident proteins that include translation repression and mRNA decay factors (4E-T, LSM14A, LSM14B and IGF2BP2), microRNA pathway components (AGO1, AGO2 and MOV10), decapping complex proteins (DCP1A, DCP1B, DCP2 and EDC4) and nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) factors (UPF1) (Hubstenberger et al., 2017). Similar studies have also identified 6,168 transcripts to be significantly enriched in P-bodies and another 7,588 transcripts to be significantly depleted (Hubstenberger et al., 2017). This clear bifurcation of mRNAs indicates that the P-body transcriptome is large but specific (Hubstenberger et al., 2017; Standart and Weil, 2018). While its protein composition suggests that the primary function of P-bodies is mRNA degradation (Anderson and Kedersha, 2006; Decker and Parker, 2012), recent studies have found that mRNAs localized to P-bodies can return to the cytoplasm

to re-enter translation (Bhattacharyya et al., 2006; Brengues et al., 2005) and that P-

bodies can be disrupted without affecting mRNA decay (Eulalio et al., 2007a; Stoecklin

et al., 2006). Therefore, current models propose that P-bodies coordinate the storage of

mRNA regulons leading to stoichiometric protein production (Standart and Weil, 2018).

However, genetically tractable *in vivo* P-body models are necessary to better

understand the exact role of P-bodies in eukaryotic tissues including the identification

and tracking of P-body regulated mRNAs *in vivo*. Developing such tissue-based models

will also provide insights into the structural organization of P-bodies. While electron

micrographs of P-bodies in HeLa cells have identified densely populated structures

within P-bodies (Souquere et al., 2009), the integration of super-resolution based

characterizations are required to elucidate whether P-body resident components are

structurally organized (Youn et al., 2019).

Although some post-transcriptional processes such as alternative splicing,

polyadenylation, and RNA modifications have been extensively studied in pluripotent

stem cells (Chen and Hu, 2017), the roles of these and other RNA processes, such as

RNA decay, storage, and translational control, in the potency of adult somatic stem cells

remain poorly understood. For example, while recent work indicated that post-

transcriptional regulation of mRNAs via P-bodies play vital roles in adult stem cell

proliferation and differentiation, it is complex and dynamic: functional analysis of the P-

body protein DDX6 found that it promotes differentiation in some *in vitro* derived stem

cell lineages and represses it in others (Di Stefano et al., 2019). Thus, tissue-based

stem cell models will be critical for probing P-body function in an *in vivo* context. The

intestinal epithelium of adult *Drosophila* offers such a model to study post-transcriptional

gene regulatory mechanisms (Buddika et al., 2020a; Chen et al., 2015; Luhur et al.,

2017). This actively regenerating tissue hosts a population of progenitor cells, which is

composed of two main cell types: mitotic intestinal stem cells (ISCs) and their transient

and non-mitotic daughters, enteroblasts (EBs) (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006; Ohlstein

and Spradling, 2006). In this study we used the Drosophila midgut as a tissue-based

stem cell model to delineate the biological roles of P-bodies *in vivo*.

Results

P-body components are enriched in intestinal progenitor cells

To test whether intestinal progenitor cells contained P-bodies, we stained intestines dissected from 7-day old, adult female *Drosophila* with a series of four antibodies. These detected the Drosophila orthologs of known P-body proteins in other systems: EDC3, GE-1 (known in metazoans as EDC4), Me31B (known in metazoans as DDX6), and TRAL (known in metazoans as LSM14A) (Anderson and Kedersha, 2006; Ayache et al., 2015; Eulalio et al., 2007a; Hubstenberger et al., 2017). Using previously verified antibodies for GE-1 and Me31B (Barbee et al., 2006; Fan et al., 2011; Nakamura A, Amikura R, Hanyu K, 2001) as well as new, validated antibodies for TRAL and EDC3 (**Fig. S1A-B**), we found that all these antibodies displayed elevated signal in intestinal progenitors (**Fig. 1A-D**), which were labeled by horseradish peroxidase (HRP) (Miller et al., 2020; O'Brien et al., 2011). Limited to the cytoplasm, confocal microscopy detected these proteins in clearly separated cytoplasmic granules that were similar in level and organization in both ISCs and *3Xgbe-smGFP::V5::nls* positive EBs (**Fig. 1A'-D'**). TRAL and Me31B staining perfectly overlapped, indicating colocalization (**Fig. 1E-E'**). The relative absence of staining in neighboring polyploid ECs also indicated that these proteins were downregulated during EC differentiation (see **Fig. 1A-E**). To assess whether such downregulation occurred during EE differentiation as well, we co-stained intestines for Prospero (PROS), an EE-marker (Micchelli and Perrimon, 2006), and either TRAL or Me31B. While the majority of PROS+ cells showed very low to no detectable TRAL or Me31B, ~20% displayed levels that were comparable to progenitor cells (**Fig. S1C-D**). These data indicated the presence of a population of cytoplasmic granules containing colocalized P-body components in progenitor cells that were cleared during EC and EE differentiation.

Intestinal P-bodies are ultrastructurally organized

Because the structural organization of P-bodies is not well understood (Youn et al., 2019), we used this system to assess the ultrastructural organization of intestinal P-body protein complexes. We stained dissected intestines with either Me31B, TRAL or

EDC3, imaged the cytoplasmic distribution of these proteins using structured

illumination super-resolution microscopy (SIM), and then used the FIJI package of

ImageJ to generate intensity maps of each protein. These maps revealed that the

distribution of Me31B, TRAL, and EDC3 was not uniform within a granule (**Fig. 1F, H,**

J). We noted that the pixel density was different along the length of a granule and more

central domains within the granule contained greater protein accumulation than the rest

of the granule (**Fig. 1G, I, K**). Given that this non-uniform protein organization within

mature P-bodies is very similar to the core-shell organization of a stress granule, we

propose that P-bodies share the same structural organization. Although significantly

smaller, P-bodies are likely to have multiple cores similar to stress granules. Taken

together, these data identified the existence of a structurally organized population of

steady-state P-bodies in intestinal progenitors of adult *Drosophila*.

P-bodies and stress granules are distinct mRNPs in progenitor cells

The above analysis suggested that P-body complexes were distinct from

intestinal progenitor stress granules (IPSGs) because P-bodies were present under

standard conditions while IPSG complexes only formed after intestines were exposed to

acute stress (Buddika et al., 2020a). We therefore compared the subcellular locations

and sizes of P-body proteins (TRAL/Me31b) with IPSG proteins (Fragile Mental

Retardation Protein [FMRP]/Rasputin[RIN]) in both unstressed and stressed conditions

using SIM. For these experiments, unstressed samples were prepared by incubating

dissected intestines *ex-vivo* in Krebs Ringer Bicarbonate Buffer (KRB) for 60 minutes;

stressed intestines were treated with 1mM rapamycin in KRB for the same length of

time. P-body proteins perfectly colocalized with each other under unstressed conditions

but only partially colocalized with IPSG proteins under these same conditions (**Fig. S1E-**

J). However, after stress, P-body and IPSG proteins perfectly localized (**Fig. S1K-P**). To

investigate the sizes of these complexes, we measured the sizes of TRAL and FMRP

punctae. Under unstressed conditions, TRAL punctae ($0.047 \ \mu m^2$; n=804 puncta in 14

cells) were bigger than FMRP punctae ($0.026 \ \mu m^2$; n=629 puncta in 8 cells] (**Fig. S1Q-**

R, U). Stress treatment caused TRAL punctae ($0.097 \ \mu m^2$; n=657 puncta in 25 cells)

and FMRP punctae ($0.098 \ \mu m^2$; n=1215 puncta in 42 cells) to grow to the same size

(**Fig. S1S-U**). From this analysis, we concluded that P-body proteins localized to

persistent mRNP complexes that, unlike IPSGs, were present in unstressed cells, and

that stress led to the enlargement of these mRNPs into IPSGs.

Identification of genetic modifiers of progenitor P-bodies using a targeted screen

To characterize the genetic framework governing P-body formation in progenitor

cells, we performed a targeted RNAi screen to identify genes that altered P-body

morphology. We focused on genes implicated in RNA-related processes, and generated

a list of 600 candidate genes by using the GO term analysis function on Flybase. We

selected the 485 genes with Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center RNAi strains to

conduct a primary screen, and used TRAL as the P-body marker to score for P-body

morphology (**Table S1**). We screened a total of 735 RNAi strains that targeted these

485 genes: 186 genes were targeted using two or more RNAi strains, and the

remainder were targeted by just one RNAi line. Individual RNAi strains were crossed to

a conditional version of the progenitor cell driver *esg-GAL4* (*esgTS*) (Micchelli and

Perrimon, 2006), induced at the adult stage, and dissected seven days later. From this

analysis, 103 genes that affected TRAL pattern or level were identified and grouped into

five phenotypic classes: [1] large TRAL puncta ("Big"), [2] small TRAL puncta ("Small"),

[3] dispersed TRAL puncta ("Diffuse"), [4] both dispersed and large TRAL puncta

("Diffuse + Big"), and [5] no TRAL staining ("No TRAL") (**Fig. 2A-B**). To assess the

specificity of these effects on P-body morphology, a representative set of 25 positives --

13 "big" genes, 3 "small" genes, 4 "diffuse" genes, 3 "diffuse + big" genes, and 2 "no

TRAL" genes -- were also co-stained with IPSG-makers FMRP and ROX8 (**Fig. S2A-F,**

Table S1). While most had no discernable effect, two genes, *fne* and CG13928, altered

the expression profile of these IPSG proteins and led to "big" or "diffuse and big"

FMRP/ROX8 foci, respectively (**Table S1**, **Fig. S2E**). As expected, many of the positive

genes encode proteins that were implicated in mRNA metabolic processes (54 genes)

and were known components of ribonucleoprotein complexes (42 genes) (**Fig. S2G-H**).

For example, the targeting of genes encoding P-body resident proteins EDC3 or PCM,

the *Drosophila* homolog of mammalian 5'-3' exonuclease XRN1, led to bigger TRAL

granules. While 39 (38%) of the identified genes were orthologs of proteins known to

affect P-bodies in human cells (**Table S1**) (Youn et al., 2019), we also identified 64

(62%) genes that were not previously implicated in P-body organization or assembly.

Unexpectedly, regulators of nuclear processes such as RNA splicing (35 genes) and

nuclear export (9 genes) as well as components of nuclear assemblies such as the

exon-exon junction complex (6 genes) also impacted the morphology of cytoplasmic

TRAL granules (**Fig. S2G-H**, **Table S1**). We also noted that knockdown of 24% of the

positive genes (23/103 genes), caused loss of progenitor cells. These results therefore

raise the possibility that P-bodies are physiologically linked to the stemness of this

progenitor cell population.

PATR-1 is a P-body resident protein that is necessary for their formation

To investigate the function of P-bodies in progenitor cells in more detail, we

focused on *Patr-1*, a member of the "diffuse" class and a known critical nucleator of P-

bodies in other systems (Coller and Parker, 2005; Nissan et al., 2010; Pilkington and

Parker, 2008; Pradhan et al., 2012; Sachdev et al., 2019; V et al., 2011). Using a

verified antibody (Pradhan et al., 2012), we found PATR-1, like other mRNP proteins,

was almost exclusively detected in the cytoplasm of progenitor cells and displayed a

distinctive granular organization (**Fig. 2C, 2C'**). PATR-1 also showed a strong but less

punctate expression in ~45% of EEs (compare **Fig. S1C-D** to **Fig. 2C''**). PATR-1

colocalized well with both TRAL and Me31B (**Fig. S2I-J, L-M**) and did not colocalize

with the IPSG protein FMRP (**Fig. S2K, N**), indicating it was a P-body component. We

therefore used the PATR-1 antibody as an independent marker to verify the effects of

the 103 genes identified above on P-body morphology and found analogous effects in

all cases except for the "No TRAL" category (**Fig. S2O-T**). In this class, smaller than

normal PATR-1 granules were observed (**Fig. S2T**), suggesting that the identified genes

altered overall P-body morphology rather than specifically just TRAL staining.

Collectively, these data indicated that PATR-1 was a P-body component in intestinal

progenitor cells of adult *Drosophila*.

To test whether PATR-1 was required for the recruitment of P-body proteins in

addition to TRAL, we first verified that *Patr-1* RNAi eliminated PATR-1 protein

expression (**Fig. 2D**) and then analyzed the effect of *Patr-1* RNAi on EDC3, GE-1 and

Me31B distribution. The prominent punctate pattern and colocalization of these proteins

was lost after *Patr-1* RNAi (**Fig. 2E-O**) indicating a failure in P-body assembly as well as

P-body protein interaction. To verify these RNAi phenotypes, we generated a new

series of *Patr-1* null alleles that were homozygous lethal, died as third instar larvae,

displayed the same lethal phase when in *trans* to a deficiency, and lacked PATR-1

protein by Western blot (**Fig. S3A-B**). Using two representative alleles , *Patr-1$^{P105\Delta}$* and

Patr-1^{R107FS1}, we then generated *Patr-1* mutant adult progenitors with the Mosaic

Analysis with a Repressible Cell Marker (MARCM) technique (Lee and Luo, 1999).

Seven days after clone induction, intestines were dissected and either stained for

PATR-1 or scored for P-body morphology based on Me31B and EDC3 distribution.

PATR-1 staining was not detected in either *Patr-1$^{P105\Delta}$* or *Patr-1^{R107FS1}* homozygous

mutant cells (**Fig. S3C-D**) and a previously verified rescue transgene, *P21M20*

(Pradhan et al., 2012), restored PATR-1 staining to *Patr-1$^{P105\Delta}$* mutant cells (**Fig. S3E**).

Similar to *Patr-1* RNAi, the P-body localization of Me31B and EDC3 was completely

disrupted in *Patr-1$^{P105\Delta}$* and *Patr-1^{R107FS1}* mutant clones (**Fig. S3F-G** and **I-J**), and these

defects were rescued entirely by the *P21M20* transgene (**Fig. S3H** and **K**). Taken

together, these data indicated that PATR-1 was required for P-body assembly in the

cytoplasm of adult *Drosophila* intestinal progenitor cells.

Loss of PATR-1 leads to progenitor cell loss

To analyze the effects of P-body loss on progenitor cell biology, we crossed

control and *Patr-1* RNAi strains to *esgTS* and analyzed intestines for an effect on the

total number of cells as well as the number of either progenitors, EEs, or ECs at three

timepoints (**Fig. 3A**). Intestinal progenitor cell number in *Patr-1* RNAi intestines

gradually declined over time and was almost completely absent after 15 days of RNAi

(**Fig. 3B, F-I**). In contrast, EE cell percentage remained unchanged at early time points

and showed a slight increase at 15 days (**Fig. 3C**). In addition, EC percentage

increased over time and showed ~30% increase at 15 days (**Fig. 3D**). However, the

total number of cells per field was significantly reduced at 15 days (**Fig. 3E**), at least in

part reflecting the loss of progenitor cells. Consistent with these results, *Patr-1$^{P105\Delta}$* and

Patr-1^{R107FS1} mutant clones were smaller and contained fewer ISCs compared to control

(**Fig. S3L-R**); these defects were rescued entirely by the *P21M20* transgene. To further

validate the loss of mitotic cells in the absence of PATR-1, we fed control and flies

expressing *Patr-1* RNAi (14 days) for 1 day with bleomycin, a chemical that induces

rapid ISC proliferation due to EC damage, and quantified mitotic proliferation by staining

for phosphorylated-histone 3 (pH3), a highly specific marker for condensed

chromosomes during mitosis (Amcheslavsky et al., 2009). Consistent with progenitor

cell loss, intestines expressing *Patr-1* RNAi for 15 days displayed significantly reduced

numbers of pH3 positive cells (**Fig. S3S**). Taken together, these data suggested that

progenitor cells were lost and the epithelial integrity was compromised due to a failure in

P-body assembly.

Given that P-bodies were present in both ISCs and EBs, we assessed whether

P-body function was necessary in each cell type by performing knockdown of *Patr-1*

using conditional, cell type specific GAL4 drivers, denoted as ISC^{TS}- and EB^{TS}-*GAL4*

respectively (Buddika et al., 2020b; Zeng et al., 2010). Consistent with *esg-GAL4* based

knockdown, knocking down *Patr-1* in ISCs for 15 days led to a marked reduction in GFP

positive ISCs (**Fig. 3J-K)** as well as the complete absence of pH3 positive cells after

bleomycin feeding (**Fig. 3L**). Thus, the few surviving ISCs had lost the proliferative

potential that wild type ISCs possess. In contrast to the requirement of *Patr-1* for ISC

maintenance, knocking down *Patr-1* using the EB^{TS}-*GAL4* had no notable effect on GFP

positive EB cell number compared to control after 15 days at permissive temperature

(**Fig. 3M-N**). However, *Patr-1* knockdown in EBs resulted in both a marked increase in

ISC proliferation (**Fig. 3O**) as well as the emergence of a weakly GFP positive EC-like

polyploid cell population (**Fig. 3M**, right). These observations suggested that *Patr-1* loss

caused both an increase in the rate of EB differentiation and a commensurate increase

in the rate of ISC proliferation that maintained EB number at normal levels. Taken

together, these results indicated that *Patr-1* was required to maintain ISCs and limit the

rate of EB differentiation.

PATR-1 represses pro-differentiation genes in intestinal progenitors

To identify P-body dependent mRNAs whose misregulation might be the

underlying cause of the progenitor cell loss described above, we performed

transcriptomic profiling (RNA-seq) of FACS (fluorescent activated cell sorting) isolated

Patr-1 RNAi and control intestinal progenitor cells (**Fig. 4A**, **S4A**). *Patr-1* knockdown in

intestinal progenitors significantly altered the expression of 1,226 transcripts (**Fig. 4B**,

Table S2); 703 were upregulated and 523 were downregulated including, most

significantly, *Patr-1* mRNA (**Fig. 4B-D**, **Table S2**). Because P-body regulated mRNAs

tend to be longer and have a lower GC content than average (Courel et al., 2019), we

evaluated the misregulated genes for these characteristics: upregulated genes (3336.1

± 143.9 bp; 44.1 ± 0.2 %) were significantly longer and had a lower GC content than

unchanged genes (2698.4 ± 27.7 bp; 46.1 ± 0.1 %) (**Fig. S4B**). Gene Ontology (GO)

analysis showed that cell differentiation genes were significantly upregulated, in

agreement with the identities of mRNAs found in HEK293 P-bodies (Hubstenberger et

al., 2017) (**Fig. S4C**). Since the expression of key pro-apoptotic and -EE fate mRNAs

were unchanged (**Fig. S4D-E**, **Table S2**), this transcriptomic analysis suggested that

Patr-1 mutant progenitor cells were lost due to elevated differentiation caused by

inappropriate expression of pro-EC fate genes. Similar effects on EC genes have

previously been associated with phenotypes caused by loss of other progenitor genes,

including the Snail family transcription factor Escargot (Esg) and its co-factor Verthandi

(Vtd or Rad21) (Khaminets et al., 2020; Korzelius et al., 2014). Notably, 22% (92/410

genes) and 18% (80/454 genes) of the significantly upregulated genes in *esg*-RNAi and

vtd-RNAi overlapped with *Patr-1* RNAi upregulated genes (**Fig. S4F-G**, **Table S2**).

These included EC-marker genes such as *nubbin* (*nub*, also known as POU-domain

protein 1 or *Pdm1*), *Myo61F*, and *big bang* (*bbg*) (Khaminets et al., 2020; Korzelius et

al., 2014). Taken together, these results suggested that Esg/Vtd and *Patr-1*

transcriptionally and post-transcriptionally repress an overlapping cohort of pro-EC

genes.

PATR-1 limits cytoplasmic *nub* mRNA levels in progenitor cells

To evaluate the relationship between transcriptional and post-transcriptional

regulation in progenitor cells, we focused on the *nub* mRNA, which we confirmed via

real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR) was upregulated in FACS-isolated *Patr-1* mutant

cells (**Fig. 4E-F**). This qPCR analysis, however, detected low levels of *nub* in wildtype

intestinal progenitors, suggesting that repression of these transcripts in wildtype

progenitors was incomplete. This qPCR result was consistent with low levels of *nub* and

other pro-differentiation genes including *bbg* detected by RNA-seq analysis of wildtype

progenitors (**Fig. 4D**). To directly confirm these observations, we analyzed the

subcellular distribution and levels of *nub* transcript in wildtype intestines using

RNAscope *in situ hybridization* probes. As expected, *nub* transcript was readily detected

in ECs in both the cytoplasm as well as in bright nuclear punctae that we interpreted as

sites of active transcription (**Fig. 4H**, yellow arrowheads). In addition, *nub* transcript was

more weakly detected in the cytoplasm of ~33% wildtype progenitor cells, with low-to-no

signal in the nuclei of these cells (**Fig. 4H**). In comparison, the *nub* protein product

Pdm1, was rarely detected in only ~3% of wildtype progenitors (**Fig. 4J,** quantified in

5A). These results indicated that the transcriptional repression of *nub* was incomplete

and that a post-transcriptional mechanism ensured the absence of Pdm1 protein in

wildtype progenitor cells.

To analyze the role of P-bodies in this process, we also analyzed *nub* mRNA and

Pdm1 protein patterns and levels in intestinal progenitor cells after *Patr-1* knockdown. In

comparison to wildtype progenitors, cytoplasmic *nub* mRNA staining was considerably

higher in ~63% *Patr-1* deficient intestinal progenitors (**Fig. 4I**). Only 40% subset of the

Patr-1 mutant progenitor cells with elevated cytoplasmic staining also displayed bright

nuclear punctae (**Fig. 4I**, white arrowhead), indicating that elevated cytoplasmic

expression did not require nuclear expression. Furthermore, neither *esg* nor *vtd*

expression levels were affected by *Patr-1* loss (**Fig. S4H-I**), suggesting that

transcriptional repression was unlikely to be compromised by P-body loss. In addition,

the number of progenitor cells expressing Pdm1 protein was significantly enhanced

after *Patr-1* RNAi (**Fig. 4K,** quantified in **5A**). Collectively, these observations indicated

that PATR-1 mediated P-body assembly in progenitor cells was required to limit the

stability and translation of pro-differentiation genes such as *nub*.

Loss of P-body assembly promotes progenitor-EC differentiation

The elevated Pdm1 protein and transcript levels detected in *Patr-1* mutant

progenitor cells suggested that P-body loss caused premature progenitor-to-EC

differentiation. Consistently, forced expression of Pdm1 in progenitor cells also led to

progenitor cell loss (**Fig. 5B).** In addition, prior to their loss, both the cell and nuclear

area of *Patr-1* mutant intestinal progenitors was significantly elevated (**Fig. 5C-D**),

suggestive of the acquisition of polyploid EC characteristics. Since no increase in GFP+

PROS+ cells or apoptotic cell death was observed (**Fig. S5A-C)**, these observations

indicated that P-body loss was associated with derepressed translation of pro-

differentiation gene products in progenitor cells that led to progenitor-to-EC

differentiation and consequent progenitor cell loss. Consistently, we noted that feeding

adults bleomycin, which leads ISCs to rapidly proliferate, differentiate and upregulate

Pdm1 (Tian et al., 2017), was also associated with P-body dissolution (**Fig. S5D-I**).

Taken together, these data showed that P-bodies are required for progenitor cell

maintenance by suppressing EC-fate.

Overexpression of *esg* rescues *Patr-1* RNAi mediated progenitor cell loss

The presence of *nub* transcript but absence of Pdm1 protein in progenitor cells

suggested that P-bodies limited the translation of weakly transcribed pro-differentiation

genes to maintain progenitor cell identity. To test whether the overexpression of *esg*,

which we hypothesized would block this weak transcription, eliminated the requirement

of mature P-body formation to prevent differentiation, we analyzed the effects of

progenitor expression of UAS-*Patr-1* RNAi and UAS-*esg* transgenes, both individually

and in combination, on progenitor cell numbers. Consistent with our previous

observations, knocking down *Patr-1* significantly reduced the number of intestinal

progenitors while *esg* overexpression significantly increased the progenitor cell number

(**Fig. 5B, S5J**). However, the ectopic expression of Pdm1 and ensuing progenitor cell

loss associated with *Patr-1* knockdown was eliminated when *esg* was simultaneously

expressed (**Fig. 5A-B, S5J-K**). We verified this at the mRNA level with both qPCR and

RNAscope analysis. As expected, *esg* but not *Patr-1* transcript abundance was

significantly elevated in progenitors expressing UAS-*esg* (**Fig. 4E, G**). Consistent with

our model, this ectopic *esg* expression significantly reduced *nub* mRNA level in both

wildtype and *Patr-1* mutant progenitor cells (**Fig. 4F, 5E-F**). To verify that the rescued

progenitor cells in the UAS-*Patr-1* RNAi/UAS-*esg* background included bona fide ISCs,

we assessed the mitotic potential of intestines of each of the above genetic

backgrounds following bleomycin feeding. As expected, overexpression of *esg* in *Patr-1*

deficient intestinal progenitors elevated the total number of mitotic ISCs (**Fig. 5G**).

However, mature P-bodies were not restored, as indicated by diffuse TRAL staining in

these intestinal progenitors (**Fig. S5L**). Taken together, enhanced transcriptional

repression of pro-differentiation genes associated with *esg* overexpression can prevent

premature progenitor differentiation independent of mature P-body assembly.

Discussion

This study molecularly and functionally characterized stem cell mRNPs that we

conclude are P-bodies based on three observations: (i) they contain colocalized protein

complexes that include fly orthologs of proteins known to localize to P-bodies in

mammalian and yeast cells, (ii) these mRNP granules are significantly larger than and

show no colocalization with IPSG protein foci under controlled conditions, and (iii) acute

stresses increase the size of these mRNPs and promotes colocalization with IPSGs. A

targeted genetic screen identified 103 genes, 39 previously known and 64 novel

candidates, that can influence P-body morphology, including six that are required for P-

body formation. To examine stem cell P-body function, we genetically characterized one

of these latter class, PATR-1, an evolutionary conserved protein with both translational

repression and mRNA decay functions that is necessary for proper P-body assembly in

Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Coller and Parker, 2005; Eulalio et al., 2007b; Scheller et

al., 2007; Sheth and Parker, 2003; Teixeira and Parker, 2007) (Pilkington and Parker,

2008; Sachdev et al., 2019). Genetic depletion of P-bodies in progenitor cells

upregulated the expression of pro-differentiation genes such as *nubbin*, which was

weakly transcribed but translationally repressed in wildtype progenitor cells. Loss of

stem cell P-bodies, either by genetic depletion or differentiation, led to the increased

translation as well as the cytoplasmic, but not nuclear, abundance of such transcripts.

We therefore concluded that mature P-bodies are necessary for stem cell maintenance

by post-transcriptionally enforcing the repression of transcriptional programs that

promote differentiation. Differentiation signals dissolve P-bodies and thereby promote

translation of target differentiation genes (**Fig. 6**).

Since detailed ultrastructural organization of P-bodies has been lacking (Youn et

al., 2019), we used quantitative super-resolution microscopy-based characterization to

show substructures present within mature P-bodies. Consistent with the proposed

"core-shell" structure of stress granules (Jain et al., 2016; Niewidok et al., 2018;

Wheeler et al., 2016; Youn et al., 2019), P-bodies exhibit "cores" with high protein

concentrations and "shells" with low protein concentration. Notably, Drosophila intestinal

progenitor P-bodies have a diameter of ~125 nm, as compared to the larger P-bodies in

HEK293 cells, which have a ~500 nm diameter (Hubstenberger et al., 2017). In addition,

intestinal progenitors contain ~35-45 mature P-bodies while HEK293 cells contain only

~4-7 granules per cell. This indicates that the size and the number of mature P-bodies

depends on cell type and species and may scale based on overall cell size.

A recent study documented the presence of P-bodies in cultured human

pluripotent stem cells (hPSCs) and suggested their presence in adult stem cells (Di

Stefano et al., 2019). This analysis of DDX6, the ortholog of *Drosophila* Me31B, showed

that DDX6-dependent P-bodies could both promote and repress stem cell identity,

depending on context. For example, loss of DDX6 expanded endodermally derived

Lgr5[+] ISC or ectodermally derived neural progenitor cell populations, but promoted the

differentiation of other progenitor cell populations, including mesodermally derived

progenitors. We confirm the presence of mature P-bodies in adult progenitor

populations but show that they repress differentiation rather than increasing their

proliferation, as in Lgr5^{+} ISCs. A few possible explanations could explain these results.

Most simply, *Drosophila* intestinal progenitors behave more like mesodermally derived

mammalian progenitors rather than endo- or ectodermally derived mammalian

progenitors. Alternatively, the stem cell function of DDX6 might be affected by its roles

in surrounding cells, since DDX6 was targeted in cells throughout mouse intestinal

organoids, whereas PATR-1 was specifically targeted only in progenitor cells in our

study. Finally, DDX6-mediated P-body function might be modulated by signaling that is

not fully recapitulated in *in vitro*-derived stem cell models.

The exact molecular function of P-bodies is a matter of current debate (Sachdev

et al., 2019). While earlier models proposed that the primary function of P-bodies was

mRNA degradation, more recent findings propose that P-bodies function as sites of

mRNA storage and control the translatome of cells (Anderson and Kedersha, 2006;

Decker and Parker, 2012; Standart and Weil, 2018). While our RNA-seq analysis

identifies potential targets of P-bodies, more direct approaches such as enhanced-CLIP

(eCLIP) (Van Nostrand et al., 2016) of P-body proteins driven with recently developed

progenitor specific *I-KCKT-GAL4* drivers (Buddika et al., 2020b) will be used in the

future to directly profile the P-body transcriptome of intestinal progenitors. Our data,

however, suggest that intestinal progenitor P-bodies may have both translational

repressive and mRNA degratory functions. We found that the *nub* mRNA was weakly

expressed but not translated in wildtype intestinal progenitors, and that this translational
repression was P-body dependent. In addition, the abundance of EC-specific transcripts
was influenced by P-bodies in a transcription independent fashion: RNAscope *in situ*
analysis showed that the cytoplasmic *nub* transcript abundance was increased in *Patr-1*
mutant progenitor cells without an indication of nuclear transcription. Therefore, this
PATR-1 dependent increase in cytoplasmic *nub* mRNA could be attributed to the
stabilization of transcripts that are targeted for degradation via P-bodies. Consistently,
studies of P-bodies and P-body proteins in human cultured stem cells also sugget dual
roles in both mRNA translation repression and degradation. For instance, P-bodies
influence the translation of transcripts encoding fate-instructive transcription and
chromatin factors in cultured embryonic and *in vitro*-derived adult stem cells (Di Stefano
et al., 2019). In addition, P-body proteins DDX6 and EDC3 are known to destabilize
differentiation-inducing mRNAs such as KLF4 in human epidermal progenitor cells,
although it is important to note that P-bodies have not been reported in these cells
(Wang et al., 2015).
Based on our data, the weak transcription of pro-differentiation genes likely
maintains progenitor cells in a primed state where differentiation program can be
initiated rapidly and independent of transcription of differentiation genes. The
transcriptional repression of differentiation genes by the transcription factor *esg* is a key
regulatory step of intestinal stem cell maintenance (Antonello et al., 2015; Khaminets et
al., 2020; Korzelius et al., 2014; Li et al., 2017; Liu and Jin, 2017; Loza-Coll et al.,
2014). The loss of *esg* gene expression or inability to localize the Esg protein to target
genes promote progenitor cell loss via premature ISC-to-EC differentiation (Khaminets
et al., 2020; Korzelius et al., 2014). Similar to *Patr-1* RNAi, knocking down *esg* itself as
well as either *vtd*, *Nipped-B* or *polo*, all of which are necessary for recruiting Esg to
target promoters, markedly upregulated the expression of Pdm1 in intestinal progenitors
(Khaminets et al., 2020; Korzelius et al., 2014). Notably, our transcriptomic profiling
showed that the transcript level of neither *esg* nor any of the Esg-targeting proteins, *vtd*,
Nipped-B or *polo*, was changed by the absence of mature P-bodies. These
observations suggest that Esg protein level, its proper promoter targeting, and its

transcriptional repression of EC-genes are all unlikely to be affected by the loss of

PATR-1.

In addition to identifying 64 new genes affecting P-body morphology, we expect

that the tissue-based stem cell P-body system identified and described here will prove

critically useful in screening for chemicals, diet conditions and stress conditions that

alter P-body assembly as well as performing larger, genome-wide screens to

comprehensively characterize the molecular pathways that control P-body assembly.

Moreover, similar approaches can be used to identify systemic signals that promotes P-

body disassembly during the onset of differentiation as well as to identify molecular

players of P-body disassembly.

Acknowledgements

We thank Scott Barbee, Anne Ephrussi, Xiaohang Yang, the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (supported by grant NIHP4OOD018537), the *Drosophila* Genome Resource Center (supported by grant NIH2P40OD010949), and the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (created by the NICHD of the NIH) for reagents; Robert Policastro for bioinformatics expertise; the Light Microscopy Imaging Center (supported by grant NIH1S10OD024988-01) for access to the SP8 confocal and OMX super-resolution microscopes; the Flow Cytometry Core Facility at Indiana University, Bloomington for access to the BD FACSAria™ II flow cytometer; and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (Award R01GM124220) for financial support.

512 **<u>Declaration of Interests</u>**

514 The authors declare no competing interests.

Figures and Figure Legends

Figure 1: Intestinal progenitor cells contain P-bodies. (A-D) Adult posterior midguts stained in red for (A) EDC3, (B) GE-1, (C) Me31B, and (D) TRAL. *3Xgbe-smGFP::V5::nls* (white) labels EBs, HRP (green) labels both EBs and ISCs, and the DNA-dye DAPI (blue) labels all cells. A'-D' are enlargements of progenitor cells indicated in A-D with EBs labeled (asterisks). (E) Adult posterior midgut stained for TRAL (red), Me31B (green), and DAPI (blue). (F, H, J) Super-resolution micrographs of progenitor cells stained for (F) EDC3, (H) Me31B, or (J) TRAL and pseudocolored using scale in J to indicate protein level. Insets are enlarged views of boxed regions. (G, I, K) Line plots showing pixel-by-pixel intensity profiles of insets.

Figure 2: RNAi screen identifies PATR-1 as an essential component of progenitor cell P-bodies. (A) Examples of the five classes of P-body phenotypes showing progenitor cells from *esg^{TS}*, *esg^{TS}* / *CG18259* RNAi, *esg^{TS}* / *srl* RNAi, *esg^{TS}* / *Patr-1* RNAi, *esg^{TS}* / *CG13928* RNAi, or *esg^{TS}* / *me31b* RNAi intestines stained for TRAL (red), GFP (green), and DAPI (blue). (B) Chart of phenotypic class numbers. (C) Posterior midguts stained for PROS (red), PATR-1 (green), HRP (white) and DAPI (blue). C' and C'' are enlargements of regions indicated in C. (D-G) Progenitor cells from *esg^{TS}* (control, left) or *esg^{TS}* / *Patr-1* RNAi (right) intestines stained for (D) PATR-1, (E) EDC3, (F) GE-1, or (G) Me31B. (H-K) Super-resolution micrographs of (H, J) *esg^{TS}* or (I, K) *esg^{TS}* / *Patr-1* RNAi intestinal progenitors stained for Me31B (green), DAPI (blue) and either TRAL (red in H, I) or EDC3 (red in J, K). (L-O) Normalized pixel-by-pixel fluorescent intensity profiles of indicated protein (TRAL, Me31B or EDC3) along white lines in H-K.

Figure 3: PATR-1 maintains intestinal progenitor cells. (A) Schematic of intestinal cell

types and markers. (B-D) Normalized (B) intestinal progenitor cell, (C) EE cell, or (D)

EC percentages in esg^{TS} (pink) and esg^{TS} / $Patr$-1 RNAi (blue) posterior midguts (n=8-

to-11) after 1, 7 and 15 days at 29°C. (E) Dot-plot of total cell number per field of view in

esg^{TS} (n=10) and esg^{TS} / $Patr$-1 (n=11) midguts after 15 days at 29°C. (F, H) Sections

from (F) esg^{TS} or (H) esg^{TS} / $Patr$-1 RNAi midguts after 1, 7 and 15 days at 29°C stained

for PROS (red), GFP (green) and DAPI (blue). (G, I) esg^{TS} (G) and esg^{TS} / $Patr$-1 RNAi

(I) intestines after 1 and 15 days at 29°C stained for GFP (green) and DAPI (blue). (J)

Sections from ISC-$KCKT$-$GAL4^{TS}$ (left) or ISC-$KCKT$-$GAL4^{TS}$ / $Patr$-1 RNAi (right)

midguts after 15 days at 29°C stained for PROS (red), GFP (green) and DAPI (blue). (K-

L) Normalized ISC percentage (n=7 or 8) or number of pH3+ cells per posterior midgut

after bleomycin feeding (n=10 or 7) of genotypes shown in J. (M) Sections from gbe^{TS}

(left) or gbe^{TS} / $Patr$-1 RNAi (right) 15 days after shifting to 29°C and stained for PROS

553   (red), GFP (green) and DAPI (blue). (N-O) Normalized EB percentage (n=7 or 8) or the

554   total number of pH3+ mitotic cells per posterior midgut (n=9 or 7) without bleomycin

555   feeding of genotypes shown in M. Error bars on plots show mean±s.d. and asterisks

556   denote statistical significance from Unpaired t-test (B-D, K, N-O) or Mann-Whitney test

557   (E, L). *p <0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0,001; ****p < 0.0001; n.s., not significant.

Figure 4: PATR-1 limits pro-differentiation gene expression in intestinal progenitor cells.

(A) Schematic of RNA-seq analysis. (B) Volcano plot of differentially expressed genes in

Patr-1 RNAi versus control. Each dot represents a single gene. Red indicate a false

discovery rate (FDR) adjusted p-value < 0.05 and a Log$_2$ fold change > 1 or < -1. (C)

Heatmap showing differentially expressed genes (FDR < 0.05; Log$_2$ fold change > 1 or

< -1) in *Patr-1* RNAi versus control with a selected set of gene names shown. (D)

Genome browser tracks of CPM-normalized reads from control and *Patr-1* RNAi at the

Patr-1 and *nub* loci. Replicates of control (yellow, light green and dark green) and *Patr-1*

RNAi (blue, pink and red) have been overlayed. (E-G) Fold expression of (E) *Patr-1*, (F)

nub, and (G) *esg* mRNA in intestinal progenitors of *esg*^{TS} / *Patr-1 RNAi, esg*^{TS} / *UAS-esg*

and *esg*^{TS} / *Patr-1 RNAi* / *UAS-esg* compared to *esg*^{TS} as determined by qPCR. Error

bars show mean±s.d. and asterisks denote statistical significance from one sample t

and Wilcoxon test *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; n.s., not significant. (H-K) Intestinal progenitors

from (H, J) *esg*^{TS} and (I, K) *esg*^{TS} / *Patr-1 RNAi* stained for (H-I) *nub* mRNA (red) or (J-

K) Pdm1 (red) and GFP (green) and DAPI (blue). Yellow dotted outline on H-I marks

intestinal progenitor cells. Yellow and white arrowheads on H-I mark nuclear staining

that we interpret as active transcription in ECs and progenitors, respectively.

Figure 5: Loss of PATR-1 promotes progenitor-to-EC-differentiation. (A-E) Dot-plots

showing (A) percentage of intestinal progenitors with nuclear Pdm1 staining (n=6, 8, 5,

or 5), (B) normalized intestinal progenitor cell percentage (n=8, 7, 8, 7, or 8), (C) cell

area (n=58 or 61), or (D) nuclei area (n=717 or 619) of indicated genotypes after (A, C,

D) 7 or (B) 15 days at 29°C. (E) Dot plot showing the normalized *nub* mRNA

fluorescence intensity of progenitor cells from *esg*^{TS} (n=15 cells), *esg*^{TS} / *Patr-1 RNAi*

(n=18 cells), *esg*^{TS} / *UAS-esg* (n=12 cells), or *esg*^{TS} / *Patr-1 RNAi* / *UAS-esg* (n=10

cells) intestines. (F) Intestinal progenitors from genotypes in E stained for *nub* mRNA

(red), GFP (green) and DAPI (blue). Yellow dotted outline marks intestinal progenitor

cells. Yellow arrowhead mark nuclear staining that we interpret as active transcription.

(G) Bleomycin-induced pH3+ cell number per posterior midgut (n=9, 14, 9, 8, or 7) of

indicated genotypes after 15 days at 29°C. Error bars on plots show mean±s.d. and

asterisks denote statistical significance from Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Turkey's

590   multiple comparison test (A-B, G), Mann-Whitney test (C-D) or Kruskal-Wallis test (E).

591   *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ****$p < 0.0001$; n.s., not significant.

Figure 6: P-body mediated post-transcriptional regulation maintains progenitor cell
identity. The model proposing how Patr-1 mediated P-body formation modulate
progenitor cell fate.

STAR Methods

Key reagents and resources are listed in **Table S3**.

Drosophila strains and husbandry:

Age matched female flies were used in all experiments. Fly strains were cultured on standard Bloomington *Drosophila* stock center media (https://bdsc.indiana.edu/information/recipes/bloomfood.html) and reared in 18°C, 25°C and 29°C incubators set for a 12hr light/dark schedule and 65% humidity. Flies were cultured in groups of 15-20 (typically 5 males and up to 15 females). All strains used in individual panels are listed in **Table S4**.

Temperature:

For temporal and regional gene expression-targeting (TARGET) experiments, flies were grown at 18°C, collected over 2 days, and reared in 29°C for up to 20 days before being dissected. For clonal analysis using mosaic analysis with repressible cell marker (MARCM) method, animals were reared at 25°C until eclosion, collected over 2 days and heat-shocked immediately at 37°C for 45 min in a Lauda circulating water bath. Subsequently, flies were reared at 25°C for 7-15 days.

Construction of new strains:

<u>CRISPR/cas9 mediated generation of Patr-1 alleles</u>

New *Patr-1* alleles were generated by co-injection of two guide RNA (gRNA) plasmids. The gRNA plasmids were generated by subcloning annealed oligos encoding gRNA 5'-catgttgaacatgttatacatgg-3' as well as annealed encoding gRNA 5'-tgtgacgagactgtcggaagggg-3' into the BbsI site of *pU6-BbsI-chiRNA* (Gratz et al 2013). The two resulting plasmids were sequence-verified, amplified, mixed, and co-injected into strain y^1 sc^* v^1 sev^{21} ; P{nos-Cas9}attP2 (BL78782) by Rainbow Genetics (Camarillo CA). Stocks of F_1 progeny were generated, and strains containing new *Patr-1* alleles were selected based on non-complementation of the lethality associated with P{EPgy2}Patr-1^{EY10289} (BL19805). New *Patr-1* alleles were molecularly defined by

sequencing PCR products generated from genomic DNA obtained from homozygous

mutant larvae with oligos flanking the gRNA locations. Two new *Patr-1* alleles as well as

P{EPgy2}Patr-1^{EY10289} were recombined onto P{FRT}^{82B}-containing chromosomes using

standard meiotic methods.

Antibody generation:

Anti-TRAL antibodies were generated in rats (Cocalico Biologicals, Reamstown PA)

against a 6XHIS-tagged version of an N-terminal portion of TRAL that was expressed

and purified according to standard methods. The TRAL-encoding plasmid was

generated by PCR amplifying a 477bp fragment that encodes the first 160 amino acids

of TRAL from cDNA GH08269 (DGRC stock 4838) with high-fidelity Q5 polymerase

(NEB), subcloning the resulting PCR product into the NcoI and EcoRI sites of

pHIS.parallel using HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (NEB), and sequence-verifying the

resulting plasmid to confirm the absence of any PCR-induced errors.

Anti-EDC3 antibodies were generated in rabbits and rats (MBL, Japan) against a

6XHIS-tagged version of an N-terminal portion of EDC3. The *edc3* coding sequence

(corresponding to amino acid 1-440) was PCR-amplified from an ovarian cDNA library

using Phusion DNA polymerase (Finnzymes), with primers 5′-

GGGAATTCATGGGTCCGACGGATCAAGA-3′ and 5′-

GGGGCGGCCGCTCACTTATCGGCACTTATCTCGA-3′. The fragment was digested

by *Eco*RI and *Not*I, and cloned into the pProEX HTa vector (Life Technologies). The

6×His-tagged Edc3 (1-440) protein was expressed in *E. coli* BL21 cells by IPTG

induction, and purified using Ni-NTA agarose resin (Qiagen) under denaturing

conditions. The protein was further purified by disc preparative SDS-PAGE using the

NA-1800 apparatus (Nihon Eido, Japan). Purified protein fractions were concentrated

with Vivaspan-2 (10,000 MWCO PES; Sartorius), dialyzed against PBS containing 4M

urea followed by PBS/2M urea. Polyclonal antibodies were affinity-purified with the

same antigen immobilized on HiTrap NHS columns (GE Healthcare).

Dissections and immunostaining:

Gastrointestinal (GI) tracts of adult female flies were dissected in ice-cold 1xPBS and

fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Cat. No. 15714) in PBS

for 45 min. These samples were washed with 1xPBT (1xPBS, 0.1% Triton X-100) and

then blocked (1xPBT, 0.5% Bovine Serum Albumin) for at least 45 min. Subsequently,

samples were incubated at 4°C overnight with primary antibodies, including rabbit anti-

GFP (A11122, Life Technologies, 1:1000), mouse anti-V5 (MCA1360GA, Bio-Rad,

1:250), mouse anti-FLAG (F3165, Sigma, 1:1000), rabbit anti-HA (3724S, Cell Signaling

Technology, 1:1000), mouse anti-FMR1 (5A11, Developmental Studies Hybridoma

Bank, 1:100), mouse anti-Prospero (MR1A, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank,

1:100), mouse anti-Delta (C594.9B, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, 1:500),

rat anti-TRAL (this study, 1:1500), rabbit anti-Me31B (Nakamura A, Amikura R, Hanyu

K, 2001) (1:2000), mouse anti-Me31B (Barbee et al., 2006) (1:1500), rabbit anti-Ge-1

(Fan et al., 2011) (1:500), rat anti-EDC3 (this study, 1:1500), rabbit anti-EDC3 (this

study, 1:2000) and rabbit anti-Pdm1 (a gift from Xiaohang Yang) (1:1500). Samples

were washed and incubated for 2-3 hours with secondary antibodies, including

AlexaFluor-488 and -568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit, -mouse and -rat antibodies (Life

Technologies, 1:1000). AlexaFluor-647 conjugated goat-HRP antibodies were used in

the secondary antibody solution whenever required. Samples were washed and treated

with DAPI (1:10000) and mounted in Vectashield (Vecta Laboratories). An alternative

staining protocol was used for Delta staining as described in Buddika et al., 2020a.

RNAscope in situ hybridization:

Adult female flies were dissected in ice cold 1X PBS and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde

(Electron Microscopy Sciences, Cat. No. 15714) in PBS for 45 min. Tissue was then

washed in 1xPBT (1xPBS, 0.3% Triton X-100) 3 times 5 min each. Next, samples were

gradually dehydrated with a series of 0.3% PBT (1xPBS, 0.3% Triton X-100): Methanol

(7:3, 1:1, 3:7) washes and incubated in Methanol for 10min. Then tissue was rehydrated

with a series of 0.3% PBT: Methanol (3:7, 1:1, 7:3) washes and washed with 0.3% PBT

alone for another 5 min. For following steps, reagents from RNAscope Multiplex

Fluorescent Reagent Kit v2 assay were used. Fixed tissue was transferred into 0.2 ml

PCR tubes and incubated in RNAscope Protease III reagent for 5 min at 40°C (PCR

thermal cycler was used for all the incubations at 40°C). Samples were immediately

washed with 1X PBS twice and 20µl of RNAscope probes for *nub* (pre warmed to 40°C)

(ACD Bio., Cat. No. 523981) was added. Samples were incubated at 40°C overnight.

After overnight incubation, samples were washed twice with 1X RNAscope wash buffer.

Next, RNAscope Multiplex FL v2 AMP 1, RNAscope Multiplex FL v2 AMP 2, RNAscope

Multiplex FL v2 AMP 3 and RNAscope Multiplex FL v2 HRP-C1 steps were done as

described in Chapter 4 of Fluorescent v2 assay manual, ACD Bio. Finally, samples

were incubated for 30 min at 40°C with Opal 620 (AKOYA Biosciences, Cat. No.

FP1495001KT, 1:1500 in TSA buffer) and washed with 1X RNAscope wash buffer and

counterstained with DAPI. Samples were mounted in ProLong Diamond mounting

medium (Invitrogen).

Microscopy and image processing:

Images were collected on either a Leica SP8 Scanning Confocal microscope (Leica

DMi8 inverted microscope platform; equipped with WLL 470-670 nm, 405 nm and 440

nm lasers, Huygens deconvolution software; controlled by Leica LAS-X software; image

acquisition using Leica HC PL APO CS2 63x/1.40 or Leica HC PL APO CS2 40x/1.40

lens with Leica Type F Immersion Liquid (N = 1.518)) or an OMX 3D-SIM Super-

Resolution microscope (DeltaVision OMX system; equipped with 405, 488, 561, 642 nm

lasers; controlled by AquireSR software; image processing by SoftWorx imaging

software; image acquisition using an Olympus PL APO N 60x/1.42 lens with Applied

Precision Immersion Oil (N = 1.518)) available at the Light Microscopy Imaging Center,

Indiana University, Bloomington. Whenever possible, samples to be compared were

collected under identical settings on the same day, image files were adjusted

simultaneously using Adobe Photoshop CC, and figures were assembled using Adobe

Illustrator CC. ImageJ FIJI (https://fiji.sc/) Analyze Particles plugin was used to quantify

the average area of granules using $0.01\mu m^2$ as the lower cut off of puncta size. The

ImageJ FIJI Plot Profile plugin was used to generate line plots.

Ex vivo treatments:

All *ex-vivo* treatments were performed as described in Buddika et al., JCS, 2020.

Briefly, intestines from females aged 8-10 days on normal diet were incubated in Krebs-

Ringer media/KRB (Alfa Aesar, Cat. No. J67591) or KRB supplemented with 1mM

rapamycin (LC Laboratories, Cat. No. r-5000) for 60 min and then fixed.

Bleomycin feeding assay:

Female flies were maintained on standard Bloomington *Drosophila* stock center media

for an appropriate time and then separated into two cohorts. Cohort 1 and 2 were

transferred to a vial with a chromatography paper soaked in either 5% sucrose in water

(control) or 5% sucrose and 25 µg/ml bleomycin in water, respectively. Based on

experiments, flies were dissected 24-to-36 hours after feeding.

Protein isolation and western blot analysis:

Wandering L3 larvae or adult female flies were used for protein isolation. Collected

larvae or adult flies were lysed in I-RIPA protein lysis buffer (150mM NaCl, 50mM Tris-

HCl pH 7.5, 1mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 1% Na Deoxycholic Acid, 1xprotease

inhibitor cocktail), protein extracts were resolved on a 4-20% gradient polyacrylamide

gel (Bio-Rad, Cat. No. 456-1093), transferred to Immobilon®-P membrane (Millipore,

Cat. No. IPVH00010) and probed with rat anti-TRAL (1:2000, this study), rat anti-PATR1

(Pradhan et al., 2012) (1:2000) or mouse anti-a-tubulin (12G10, Developmental Studies

Hybridoma Bank, 1:1000) antibodies. Subsequently, blots were washed extensively with

1xTBST (1xTBS, 0.1% Tween-20) and incubated with anti-rat or -mouse conjugated

HRP secondary antibodies. After extensive secondary washes with 1xTBST, blots were

treated with ECL-detection reagent 1 and 2 (Thermo Scientific, Cat. No. 1859701 and

1859698) and finally exposed to chemiluminescence films (GE Healthcare, Cat. No.

28906839) and developed the signal.

FACS isolation of progenitor cells, RNA-seq library preparation and qPCR:

Gastrointestinal (GI) tracts of 100 adult female flies (per replicate) were dissected in ice-

cold 1xPBS. Then cells were dissociated by treating intestines with 1mg/ml elastase at

27°C for 1 hour with agitation. Subsequently, ~30,000-50,000 GFP⁺ intestinal progenitor

cells were sorted using a BD FACSAria™ II flow cytometer equipped with a 100μm

nozzle at the IUB Flow Cytometry Core Facility. Total RNA was prepared using the

TRIzol® LS reagent (Ambion). The rRNA-depleted libraries were prepared using the

Ovation® SoLo RNA-seq system (Part No. 0502 including Parts 0407 and S02240)

following manufacturer's instructions. The quality and quantity of final libraries were

assessed using Agilent 2200 TapeStation and KAPA Library Quantification Kit,

respectively. For qPCR, resulting RNA was first treated with Turbo DNase

(ThermoFisher, AM2239) and gDNA-free RNA used for cDNA synthesis with

Superscript III (ThermoFisher, 56575). qPCR was performed using the PowerUp SYBR

Green Master Mix (ThermoFisher, A25742) on a StepOnePlus machine

(ThermoFisher). Primers for all targets detected are listed in **Table S3**. Transcript levels

were quantified in triplicates and normalized to *Gapdh1*. Fold enrichment was calculated

as the ratio of transcript in genetic manipulation versus control.

RNA-seq data analysis:

Transcriptomic data analysis was performed as described in Buddika et al., 2020a using

a python based in-house pipeline (https://github.com/jkkbuddika/RNA-Seq-Data-

Analyzer). Briefly, the quality of raw sequencing files was assessed using FastQC

(Andrews, 2010) version 0.11.9, low quality reads were eliminated using Cutadapt

(Martin, 2011) version 2.9, and reads mapping to rRNAs were removed using TagDust2

(Lassmann, 2015) version 2.2. Next, the remaining reads were mapped to the Berkeley

Drosophila Genome Project (BDGP) assembly release 6.28 (Ensembl release 100)

reference genome using STAR genome aligner (Dobin et al., 2013) version 2.7.3a and

duplicated reads were removed using SAMtools (Li et al., 2009) version 1.10.

Subsequently, the Subread (Liao et al., 2019) version 2.0.0 function *featureCounts* was

used to count the number of aligned reads to the nearest overlapping feature. Finally,

bigWig files representing RNA-seq coverage were generated using deepTools (Ramírez

et al., 2016) version 3.4.2 with the settings --normalizeUsing CPM --binSize 1.

Differential gene expression analysis was performed with the Bioconductor package

DESeq2 (https://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/DESeq2.html) (Love et

al., 2014) version 1.26.0. Unless otherwise noted, significantly upregulated and

downregulated genes were defined as FDR < 0.05; Log_2 fold change > 1 and FDR <

0.05; Log_2 fold change < -1, respectively and were used to identify enriched Gene

Ontology (GO) terms using PANTHER overrepresentation analysis on GO Consortium

(http://geneontology.org/). A selected significantly enriched GO categories were plotted.

All data visualization steps were performed using custom scripts written using R.

Statistical analysis:

GraphPad Prism, Version 7.0 was used for all statistical analyses. First, the normality of

datasets was tested using D'Agostino-Pearson test. For comparisons involved in two

datasets, if datasets follow [1] a parametric distribution, an Unpaired t-test or [2] a non-

parametric distribution, a Mann-Whitney test was performed. Three or more datasets

following a parametric distribution were analyzed using an ordinary one-way ANOVA

test. Multiple comparisons of three or more datasets following a non-parametric

distribution were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test. Unless otherwise noted,

significance is indicated as follows: n.s., not significant; *$p < 0.05$; **$p < 0.01$; ***$p <$

0.001; ****$p < 0.0001$.

Data availability:

The control and *Patr-1* RNAi RNA-seq datasets from this study have been submitted to the

NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus under accession number XXXXXX.

Supplemental Information and Legends

Figure S1: Comparative analysis of P-body and stress granule component expression.

(A-B) Progenitor cells from (A) esg^{TS} (control, left), esg^{TS} / *tral* RNAi (right) or (B) esg^{TS}

(control, left), esg^{TS} / *edc3* RNAi (right) intestines stained for (A) TRAL (red) or (B) EDC3

(red). Note that *tral* or *edc3* mutant GFP+ intestinal progenitors have no detectable

TRAL or EDC3 staining, respectively. (C-D) Confocal micrographs of intestinal sections

stained for (C) TRAL (red) or (D) Me31B (red), (C-D) PROS (green), (C-D) HRP (white)

and (C-D) DAPI. While TRAL and Me31B granules are readily observed in all HRP+

intestinal progenitors, only some Prospero+ EEs have detectable TRAL or Me31B

expression. (E, G, I, K, M, O) Super-resolution micrographs of progenitor cells treated

with either (E, G, I) KRB or (K, M, O) 1mM rapamycin in KRB and stained for (E, K)

TRAL (red), Me31B (green) or (G, M) TRAL (red), RIN::HA (green) or (I, O) FMRP

(red), RIN::HA (green) and DAPI (blue in E, G, I, K, M, O). E', G', I', K', M', and O' show

a magnified view of the region marked with white dotted outline in E, G, I, K, M, and O

respectively. (F, H, J, L, N, P) Line plots of fluorescent intensity profiles of (F, L) TRAL

(red), Me31B (green) or (H, N) TRAL (red), RIN::HA (green) or (J, P) FMRP (red),

RIN::HA (green). The plot shows the pixel-by-pixel gray value of each channel along

white lines in E', G', I', K', M' or O'. Computed Pearson's r value and the statistical

significance of the correlation are given above each plot. Note that Me31B and TRAL

are P-body proteins while FMRP and RIN::HA are stress granule proteins. (Q-T) Super-

resolution micrographs of progenitor cells treated with either (Q-R) KRB (control) or (S-

T) 1mM rapamycin in KRB, and stained for (Q, S) FMRP (red) or (R, T) TRAL (red) and

(Q-R) DAPI (blue). (U) Scatter dot plots of FMRP or TRAL puncta area in progenitor

cells treated with control (n=629 or 657) or 1mM rapamycin (n=804 or 1215) in KRB.

Error bars on plots show mean±s.d. and asterisks denote statistical significance from

Kruskal-Wallis test (I) **$p < 0.01$; ****$p < 0.0001$; n.s., not significant.

Figure S2: A targeted genetic screen identifies genes affecting P-body morphology

including the P-body component, PATR-1. (A-F) Confocal micrographs of intestinal

progenitors from (A) esg^{TS}, (B) esg^{TS} / CG18259 RNAi, (C) esg^{TS} / srl RNAi, (D) esg^{TS} /

Patr-1 RNAi, (E) esg^{TS} / CG13928 RNAi or (F) esg^{TS} / me31b RNAi posterior midguts

and stained for ROX8 (red), FMRP (green) and DAPI (blue). (G-H) Bar plots visualizing

significantly enriched (G) biological process or (H) cellular component Gene Ontology

(GO) terms (FDR < 0.05) for positive genes. The y-axis represents significantly enriched

GO term and the x-axis represent gene count for each GO term. Color of each bar

represent the FDR. (I-K) Super-resolution micrographs of intestinal progenitors stained

for (I-I') TRAL (red), PATR-1 (green) or (J-J') Me31B (red), PATR-1 (green) or (K-K')

FMRP (red), PATR-1 (green) and DAPI (blue in I-K and I'-K'). (L-N) Line plots showing

fluorescent intensity profiles of (L) TRAL (red) or (M) Me31B (red) or (N) FMRP (red)

and PATR-1 (green). The plot shows the normalized pixel-by-pixel gray value of each

channel along white lines shown on I'-K'. Computed Pearson's r values and the

statistical significance of the correlation are given above each plot. (O-T) Confocal

micrographs of intestinal progenitors from (O) esg^{TS}, (P) esg^{TS} / CG18259 RNAi, (Q)

esg^{TS} / srl RNAi, (R) esg^{TS} / Patr-1 RNAi, (S) esg^{TS} / CG13928 RNAi or (T) esg^{TS} /

me31b RNAi posterior midguts and stained for TRAL (red), PATR-1 (green) and DAPI

(blue). Gray-scale images of TRAL and PATR-1 in panels are shown below the

respective panel.

Figure S3: Loss of *Patr-1* blocks P-body formation, reduces the number of ISCs and total number of cells per clone. (A) Schematics of the *Patr-1* locus and PATR-1 protein showing the locations of the two gRNAs (arrowheads) used to generate new alleles as well as the nucleotide changes associated with these alleles. (B) Western blot of *w[1118]* (control), *Patr-1* mutant, and *Patr1[EY10289]* / *tub-GAL4* larval extracts probed with anti-PATR-1 (top panel) or Tubulin (bottom panel) antibodies. A prominent background band

detected by the PATR-1 antibody is labeled (*). (C-K) MARCM-labeled homozygous

mutant (right, -/-) and neighboring unlabeled heterozygous (left, +/-) *Patr-1^{P105Δ}* (C, F, I),

Patr-1^{R107FS1} (D, G, J), or rescued *Patr-1^{P105Δ}* (E, H, K) progenitor cells stained for GFP

(green), DAPI (blue) and either PATR-1 (red in C-E), Me31B (red in F-H) or EDC3 (red

in I-K). (L-O) Representative confocal images of *tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP*-labeled (L) control,

(M) *Patr-1^{P105Δ}*, (N) rescued *Patr-1^{P105Δ}*, or (O) *Patr-1^{R107FS1}* homozygous clones stained

for Dl (red), PROS (red), GFP (green) and DAPI (blue). The size of the clone is marked

by the white dotted line and ISCs in clones are denoted by the yellow asterisks (*). (P-

R) Binned bar plots showing the quantification of (P) total cell, (Q) Dl+ ISC or (R)

PROS+ EE cell numbers in *tub-Gal4, UAS-GFP*-labeled control, *Patr-1^{P105Δ}*, rescued

Patr-1^{P105Δ}, or *Patr-1^{R107FS1}* homozygous clones analyzed 7 days after clone induction

(ACI). Note that n indicates the total number of clones analyzed for each genotype. (S)

Dot-plot showing the total number of pH3+ mitotic cells per posterior midgut of *esg^{TS}*

(n=10) or *esg^{TS}* / *Patr-1* RNAi (n=9) flies fed with bleomycin for 24 hours. Rescued (Res)

strains harbor the *Patr-1* rescuing transgene, *P21M20*.

Figure S4: Gene expression changes associated with *Patr-1* RNAi. (A) FACS profiles of *esg^{TS}*, *esg^{TS} / Patr-1 RNAi*, *esg^{TS} / UAS-esg*, and *esg^{TS} / Patr-1 RNAi / UAS-esg* intestines. (B) Density plot showing the log$_{10}$ transcript length distribution or % GC content of significantly upregulated (Up, purple), downregulated (Down, green) or unchanged (NS, orange) genes. (C) Bar plot showing a selected set of significantly enriched Gene Ontology (GO) terms for genes that are significantly upregulated or

downregulated in *Patr-1* RNAi compared to control. (D-E) Genome browser tracks of

CPM-normalized control and *Patr-1* RNAi at the (D) *hid* and (G) *pros* loci. (F, G) Venn

diagrams of overlap between significantly upregulated genes in *Patr-1* RNAi and (F) *esg*

RNAi (Korzelius et al., 2014), or (G) *vtd* RNAi (Khaminets et al., 2020). Note that

datasets from Korzelius et al., 2014 and Khaminets et al., 2020 were reanalyzed with

Patr-1 RNAi using the in-house analysis pipeline. (H-I) Genome browser tracks of CPM-

normalized control and *Patr-1* RNAi at the (H) *esg* and (I) *vtd* loci. Note the three

replicates of the control (yellow, light green and dark green) and the three replicates of

the *Patr-1* RNAi (blue, pink and red) on D-E and H-I, have been overlayed for simplicity.

Figure S5: *Patr-1* RNAi does not lead to an increase in the number of EEs or the

amount of cell death. (A-B) Confocal micrographs of intestinal sections from (A) *esg^TS*

and *esg^TS / Patr-1* RNAi or (B) *esg^TS*, *esg^TS / Patr-1* RNAi and *esg^TS / UAS-rpr* and

stained for (A) PROS (red) or (B) ApopTag (red) and GFP (green) and DAPI (blue).

White arrowheads on B show ApopTag^+ GFP^+ cells in the field. (C) Plot of normalized

ApopTag^+ progenitor cells in *esg^TS* (n=5), *esg^TS / Patr-1* RNAi (n=5), and *esg^TS / UAS-*

rpr (n=5) midguts after 7 days of RNAi or 1 day of *rpr* overexpression at 29°C. (D-I)

Fluorescent micrographs of intestinal sections from w1118 flies fed with (D, F, H) 5%

sucrose in water (control) or (E, G, I) 5% sucrose and 25 µg/ml bleomycin in water

(bleomycin) and stained for Me31B (red in D-E) or TRAL (red in F-I) and DAPI (blue in

D-I). Note that H-I show broad view images of intestines after each feeding. (J-K)

Sections from *esg^{TS}*, *esg^{TS} / Patr-1 RNAi*, *esg^{TS} / UAS-esg*, or *esg^{TS} / Patr-1 RNAi /*

UAS-esg intestines stained for Pdm1 (red, only in K), GFP (green, J-K) and DAPI (blue,

J-K). (L) Confocal micrographs of intestinal progenitors from *esg^{TS}*, *esg^{TS} / Patr-1* RNAi,

esg^{TS} / UAS-esg, or *esg^{TS} / Patr-1 RNAi / UAS-esg* posterior midguts stained for TRAL

(red), GFP (green) and DAPI (blue). Error bars on plots show mean±s.d. and asterisks

denote statistical significance from Ordinary one-way ANOVA with Turkey's multiple

comparison test (H). ****$p < 0.0001$, n.s. = not significant.

References

Amcheslavsky, A., Jiang, J., and Ip, Y.T. (2009). Tissue damage-induced intestinal stem cell division in Drosophila. Cell Stem Cell *4*, 49–61.

Anderson, P., and Kedersha, N. (2006). RNA granules. J. Cell Biol. *172*, 803–808.

Anderson, P., and Kedersha, N. (2009). Stress granules. Curr. Biol. *19*, R397-8.

Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.

Antonello, Z.A., Reiff, T., Ballesta-Illan, E., and Dominguez, M. (2015). Robust intestinal homeostasis relies on cellular plasticity in enteroblasts mediated by miR-8–Escargot switch. EMBO J. *34*, 2025–2041.

Ayache, J., Bénard, M., Ernoult-Lange, M., Minshall, N., Standart, N., Kress, M., and Weil, D. (2015). P-body assembly requires DDX6 repression complexes rather than decay or Ataxin2/2L complexes. Mol. Biol. Cell *26*, 2579–2595.

Barbee, S.A., Estes, P.S., Cziko, A.-M., Hillebrand, J., Luedeman, R.A., Coller, J.M., Johnson, N., Howlett, I.C., Geng, C., Ueda, R., et al. (2006). Staufen- and FMRP-containing neuronal RNPs are structurally and functionally related to somatic P bodies. Neuron *52*, 997–1009.

Bhattacharyya, S.N., Habermacher, R., Martine, U., Closs, E.I., and Filipowicz, W. (2006). Relief of microRNA-Mediated Translational Repression in Human Cells Subjected to Stress. Cell *125*, 1111–1124.

Brengues, M., Teixeira, D., and Parker, R. (2005). Decapping and Decay of Messenger RNA Occur in Cytoplasmic Processing Bodies. Science (80-.). *300*, 805–808.

Buchan, J.R., and Parker, R. (2009). Eukaryotic Stress Granules: The Ins and Outs of Translation. Mol. Cell *36*, 932–941.

Buddika, K., Ariyapala, I.S., Hazuga, M.A., Riffert, D., and Sokol, N.S. (2020a). Canonical nucleators are dispensable for stress granule assembly in Drosophila intestinal progenitors. J. Cell Sci. *133*.

Buddika, K., Xu, J., Ariyapala, I.S., and Sokol, N.S. (2020b). I-KCKT allows dissection-free RNA profiling of adult Drosophila intestinal progenitor cells . Development *148*, dev.196568.

Buddika, K., Xu, J., Ariyapala, I.S., and Sokol, N.S. (2020c). I-KCKT allows dissection-free RNA profiling of adult Drosophila intestinal progenitor cells. BioRxiv.

Chan, L.Y., Mugler, C.F., Heinrich, S., Vallotton, P., and Weis, K. (2018). Non-invasive

measurement of mRNA decay reveals translation initiation as the major determinant of

mRNA stability. Elife *7*.

Chen, Q., and Hu, G. (2017). Post-transcriptional regulation of the pluripotent state.

Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. *46*, 15–23.

Chen, C.-H., Luhur, A., and Sokol, N. (2015). Lin-28 promotes symmetric stem cell

division and drives adaptive growth in the adult Drosophila intestine. Development *142*,

3478–3487.

Coller, J., and Parker, R. (2005). General translational repression by activators of

mRNA decapping. Cell *122*, 875–886.

Courel, M., Clément, Y., Bossevain, C., Foretek, D., Cruchez, O.V., Yi, Z., Bénard, M.,

Benassy, M.N., Kress, M., Vindry, C., et al. (2019). Gc content shapes mRNA storage

and decay in human cells. Elife *8*.

Decker, C.J., and Parker, R. (2012). P-Bodies and Stress Granules: Possible Roles in

the Control of Translation and mRNA Degradation. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. *4*,

a012286.

Dobin, A., Davis, C.A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P.,

Chaisson, M., and Gingeras, T.R. (2013). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner.

Bioinformatics *29*, 15–21.

Eulalio, A., Behm-Ansmant, I., Schweizer, D., and Izaurralde, E. (2007a). P-body

formation is a consequence, not the cause, of RNA-mediated gene silencing. Mol. Cell.

Biol. *27*, 3970–3981.

Eulalio, A., Behm-Ansmant, I., and Izaurralde, E. (2007b). P bodies: at the crossroads

of post-transcriptional pathways. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *8*, 9–22.

Fan, S.-J., Marchand, V., and Ephrussi, A. (2011). Drosophila Ge-1 Promotes P Body

Formation and oskar mRNA Localization. PLoS One *6*, e20612.

Franks, T.M., and Lykke-Andersen, J. (2008). The Control of mRNA Decapping and P-

Body Formation. Mol. Cell *32*, 605–615.

Hubstenberger, A., Courel, M., Bénard, M., Souquere, S., Ernoult-Lange, M., Chouaib,

R., Yi, Z., Morlot, J.-B., Munier, A., Fradet, M., et al. (2017). P-Body Purification Reveals

the Condensation of Repressed mRNA Regulons. Mol. Cell *68*, 144-157.e5.

Jain, S., Wheeler, J.R., Walters, R.W., Agrawal, A., Barsic, A., and Parker, R. (2016).

ATPase-Modulated Stress Granules Contain a Diverse Proteome and Substructure.

Cell *164*, 487–498.

Kedersha, N., Cho, M.R., Li, W., Yacono, P.W., Chen, S., Gilks, N., Golan, D.E., and

Anderson, P. (2000). Dynamic Shuttling of Tia-1 Accompanies the Recruitment of

mRNA to Mammalian Stress Granules. J. Cell Biol. *151*, 1257–1268.

Kedersha, N., Stoecklin, G., Ayodele, M., Yacono, P., Lykke-Andersen, J., Fritzler, M.J.,

Scheuner, D., Kaufman, R.J., Golan, D.E., and Anderson, P. (2005). Stress granules

and processing bodies are dynamically linked sites of mRNP remodeling. J. Cell Biol.

169, 871–884.

Khaminets, A., Ronnen-Oron, T., Baldauf, M., Meier, E., and Jasper, H. (2020). Cohesin

controls intestinal stem cell identity by maintaining association of Escargot with target

promoters. Elife *9*.

Korzelius, J., Naumann, S.K., Loza-Coll, M.A., Chan, J.S., Dutta, D., Oberheim, J.,

Gläßer, C., Southall, T.D., Brand, A.H., Jones, D.L., et al. (2014). *Escargot* maintains

stemness and suppresses differentiation in *Drosophila* intestinal stem cells. EMBO J.

33, 2967–2982.

Lassmann, T. (2015). TagDust2: a generic method to extract reads from sequencing

data. BMC Bioinformatics *16*, 24.

Lee, T., and Luo, L. (1999). Mosaic Analysis with a Repressible Cell Marker for Studies

of Gene Function in Neuronal Morphogenesis. Neuron *22*, 451–461.

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G.,

Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009).

The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics *25*, 2078–2079.

Li, Y., Pang, Z., Huang, H., Wang, C., Cai, T., and Xi, R. (2017). Transcription Factor

Antagonism Controls Enteroendocrine Cell Specification from Intestinal Stem Cells. Sci.

Rep. *7*, 988.

Liao, Y., Smyth, G.K., and Shi, W. (2019). The R package Rsubread is easier, faster,

cheaper and better for alignment and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. Nucleic

Acids Res. *47*, e47.

Liu, Q., and Jin, L.H. (2017). Tissue-resident stem cell activity: a view from the adult

Drosophila gastrointestinal tract. Cell Commun. Signal. *15*, 33.

Love, M.I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and

dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. *15*, 550.

Loza-Coll, M.A., Southall, T.D., Sandall, S.L., Brand, A.H., and Jones, D.L. (2014).

Regulation of Drosophila intestinal stem cell maintenance and differentiation by the

transcription factor Escargot. EMBO J. *33*, 2983–2996.

Luhur, A., Buddika, K., Ariyapala, I.S., Chen, S., and Sokol, N.S. (2017). Opposing

Post-transcriptional Control of InR by FMRP and LIN-28 Adjusts Stem Cell-Based

Tissue Growth. Cell Rep. *21*, 2671–2677.

Luo, Y., Na, Z., and Slavoff, S.A. (2018). P-Bodies: Composition, Properties, and

Functions. Biochemistry *57*, 2424–2431.

Martin, M. (2011). Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput

sequencing reads. EMBnet.Journal *17*, 10.

Martínez, J.P., Pérez-Vilaró, G., Muthukumar, Y., Scheller, N., Hirsch, T., Diestel, R.,

Steinmetz, H., Jansen, R., Frank, R., Sasse, F., et al. (2013). Screening of small

molecules affecting mammalian P-body assembly uncovers links with diverse

intracellular processes and organelle physiology. RNA Biol. *10*, 1661–1669.

Micchelli, C.A., and Perrimon, N. (2006). Evidence that stem cells reside in the adult

Drosophila midgut epithelium. Nature *439*, 475–479.

Miller, D.E., Kahsai, L., Buddika, K., Dixon, M.J., Kim, B.Y., Calvi, B.R., Sokol, N.S.,

Scott Hawley, R., and Cook, K.R. (2020). Identification and characterization of

breakpoints and mutations on drosophila melanogaster balancer chromosomes. G3

Genes, Genomes, Genet. *10*, 4271–4285.

Mugler, C.F., Hondele, M., Heinrich, S., Sachdev, R., Vallotton, P., Koek, A.Y., Chan,

L.Y., and Weis, K. (2016). ATPase activity of the DEAD-box protein Dhh1 controls

processing body formation. Elife *5*.

Nakamura A, Amikura R, Hanyu K, K.S. (2001). Me31B silences translation of oocyte-

localizing RNAs through the formation of cytoplasmic RNP complex during Drosophila

oogenesis. Development *128*, 3233–3242.

Niewidok, B., Igaev, M., Pereira da Graca, A., Strassner, A., Lenzen, C., Richter, C.P.,

Piehler, J., Kurre, R., and Brandt, R. (2018). Single-molecule imaging reveals dynamic

biphasic partition of RNA-binding proteins in stress granules. J. Cell Biol. *217*, 1303–
1318.

Nissan, T., Rajyaguru, P., She, M., Song, H., and Parker, R. (2010). Decapping
activators in Saccharomyces cerevisiae act by multiple mechanisms. Mol. Cell *39*, 773–
783.

Van Nostrand, E.L., Pratt, G.A., Shishkin, A.A., Gelboin-Burkhart, C., Fang, M.Y.,
Sundararaman, B., Blue, S.M., Nguyen, T.B., Surka, C., Elkins, K., et al. (2016). Robust
transcriptome-wide discovery of RNA-binding protein binding sites with enhanced CLIP
(eCLIP). Nat. Methods *13*, 508–514.

O'Brien, L.E., Soliman, S.S., Li, X., and Bilder, D. (2011). Altered Modes of Stem Cell
Division Drive Adaptive Intestinal Growth. Cell *147*, 603–614.

Ohlstein, B., and Spradling, A. (2006). The adult Drosophila posterior midgut is
maintained by pluripotent stem cells. Nature *439*, 470–474.

Parker, R., and Sheth, U. (2007). P Bodies and the Control of mRNA Translation and
Degradation. Mol. Cell *25*, 635–646.

Pilkington, G.R., and Parker, R. (2008). Pat1 contains distinct functional domains that
promote P-body assembly and activation of decapping. Mol. Cell. Biol. *28*, 1298–1312.

Pradhan, S.J., Nesler, K.R., Rosen, S.F., Kato, Y., Nakamura, A., Ramaswami, M., and
Barbee, S.A. (2012). The conserved P body component HPat/Pat1 negatively regulates
synaptic terminal growth at the larval Drosophila neuromuscular junction. J. Cell Sci.
125, 6105–6116.

Protter, D.S.W., and Parker, R. (2016). Principles and Properties of Stress Granules.
Trends Cell Biol. *26*, 668–679.

Ramírez, F., Ryan, D.P., Grüning, B., Bhardwaj, V., Kilpert, F., Richter, A.S., Heyne, S.,
Dündar, F., and Manke, T. (2016). deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-
sequencing data analysis. Nucleic Acids Res. *44*, W160–W165.

Sachdev, R., Hondele, M., Linsenmeier, M., Vallotton, P., Mugler, C.F., Arosio, P., and
Weis, K. (2019). Pat1 promotes processing body assembly by enhancing the phase
separation of the DEAD-box ATPase Dhh1 and RNA. Elife *8*.

Scheller, N., Resa-Infante, P., de la Luna, S., Galao, R.P., Albrecht, M., Kaestner, L.,
Lipp, P., Lengauer, T., Meyerhans, A., and Díez, J. (2007). Identification of PatL1, a

human homolog to yeast P body component Pat1. Biochim. Biophys. Acta - Mol. Cell

Res. *1773*, 1786–1792.

Sheth, U., and Parker, R. (2003). Decapping and Decay of Messenger RNA Occur in

Cytoplasmic Processing Bodies. Science (80-.). *300*, 805–808.

Souquere, S., Mollet, S., Kress, M., Dautry, F., Pierron, G., and Weil, D. (2009).

Unravelling the ultrastructure of stress granules and associated P-bodies in human

cells. J. Cell Sci. *122*, 3619–3626.

Standart, N., and Weil, D. (2018). P-Bodies: Cytosolic Droplets for Coordinated mRNA

Storage. Trends Genet. *34*, 612–626.

Di Stefano, B., Luo, E.-C., Haggerty, C., Aigner, S., Charlton, J., Brumbaugh, J., Ji, F.,

Rabano Jiménez, I., Clowers, K.J., Huebner, A.J., et al. (2019). The RNA Helicase

DDX6 Controls Cellular Plasticity by Modulating P-Body Homeostasis. Cell Stem Cell

25, 622-638.e13.

Stoecklin, G., Mayo, T., and Anderson, P. (2006). ARE-mRNA degradation requires the

5′–3′ decay pathway. EMBO Rep. *7*, 72–77.

Teixeira, D., and Parker, R. (2007). Analysis of P-Body Assembly in *Saccharomyces*

cerevisiae. Mol. Biol. Cell *18*, 2274–2287.

Teixeira, D., Sheth, U., Valencia-Sanchez, M.A., Brengues, M., and Parker, R. (2005).

Processing bodies require RNA for assembly and contain nontranslating mRNAs. RNA

11, 371–382.

Tian, A., Wang, B., and Jiang, J. (2017). Injury-stimulated and self-restrained BMP

signaling dynamically regulates stem cell pool size during Drosophila midgut

regeneration. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. *114*, E2699–E2708.

V, R., KH, S., and PK, H. (2011). The cAMP-dependent Protein Kinase Signaling

Pathway Is a Key Regulator of P Body Foci Formation. Mol. Cell *43*.

Wang, Y., Arribas-Layton, M., Chen, Y., Lykke-Andersen, J., and Sen, G.L. (2015).

DDX6 Orchestrates Mammalian Progenitor Function through the mRNA Degradation

and Translation Pathways. Mol. Cell *60*, 118–130.

Wheeler, J.R., Matheny, T., Jain, S., Abrisch, R., and Parker, R. (2016). Distinct stages

in stress granule assembly and disassembly. Elife *5*.

Youn, J.-Y., Dyakov, B.J.A., Zhang, J., Knight, J.D.R., Vernon, R.M., Forman-Kay, J.D.,

and Gingras, A.-C. (2019). Properties of Stress Granule and P-Body Proteomes. Mol.

Cell *76*, 286–294.

Zeng, X., Chauhan, C., and Hou, S.X. (2010). Characterization of midgut stem cell- and

enteroblast-specific Gal4 lines in drosophila. Genesis *48*, 607–611.

1102