H3K27me3 is dispensable for early differentiation but required to maintain differentiated cell

identity

Sara A. Miller[1,2], Manashree Damle[1], Robert E. Kingston[1,2,*]

AFFILIATIONS:

[1]Department of Molecular Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital Research Institute,

Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts 02114, USA

[2]Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115, USA

*Correspondence: kingston@molbio.mgh.harvard.edu

Abstract

Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) catalyzes trimethylation of histone H3 on lysine 27 and

is required for normal development of complex eukaryotes. The requirement for H3K27me3 in

various aspects of mammalian differentiation is not clear. Though associated with repressed

genes, the modification is not sufficient to induce gene repression, and in some instances is not

required. To examine the role of the modification in mammalian differentiation, we blocked

trimethylation of H3K27 with both a small molecule inhibitor, GSK343, and by introducing a

point mutation into EZH2, the catalytic subunit of PRC2. We found that cells with substantively

decreased H3K27 tri-methylation were able to differentiate, which contrasts with EZH2 null

cells. Different PRC2 targets had varied requirements for H3K27me3 in repressive regulation

with a subset that maintained normal levels of repression in the absence of methylation. The

primary cellular phenotype when H3K27 tri-methylation was blocked was an inability of the

altered cells to maintain a differentiated state when challenged. This phenotype was

determined by H3K27me3 deposition both in embryonic stem cells and in the first four days of

differentiation. H3K27 tri-methylation therefore was not necessary for formation of

differentiated cell states but was required to maintain a stable differentiated state.

Introduction

Polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) is a highly conserved protein complex that is

required for proper axial patterning of vertebrates. It is comprised of the core subunits EZH2,

SUZ12, EED and RBAP48, with additional subunits some of which are cell-type or developmental

stage specific (Healy et al., 2019; Margueron & Reinberg, 2011; Shen et al., 2009; van Mierlo et

al., 2019). The complex is crucial for differentiation, but it is not required for the self-renewing

phenotype associated with embryonic stem (ES) cells (Aloia et al., 2013; Chamberlain et al.,

2008). At a molecular level, PRC2 family complexes are the only histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27)

methyltransferases identified in mammals. EZH2 is the primary catalytic component of these

complexes, with its paralog EZH1 also contributing to catalytic activity in some instances

(Margueron et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2008; Wassef et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2015). Lysine residues

can be mono- di- or tri- methylated, with PRC2 able to catalyze all levels of methylation.

Increased methylation is generally associated with gene repression, and H3K27 tri-methylation

(H3K27me3) is a key marker of facultative heterochromatin. However, the tri-methylation of

H3K27 is neither required nor sufficient to induce gene repression (Ahmed et al., 2018;

Chamberlain et al., 2008; Ferguson et al., 2018; O'Geen et al., 2017). Dissecting the role of tri-

methylated H3K27 in gene regulation is important for understanding how genes become

repressed during development and retain their repression in differentiated cells.

Changes in expression level or activity of Polycomb complexes have developmental and

disease effects. Both hyper-activating and inactivating mutations in PRC2 have been identified

from a variety of human cancers (Basheer et al., 2019; Cyrus et al., 2019; Jain & Di Croce, 2016).

Malignancies with both types of alterations to PRC2 function have been linked to cancer

progression and poor prognosis in a wide variety of tissue (Abdel Raouf et al., 2019; Basheer et

al., 2019; Bohm et al., 2019; Bremer et al., 2019; Deng et al., 2019; Dou et al., 2019; Karlowee

et al., 2019; Krill et al., 2020; Matsubara et al., 2019; Mechaal et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2019; Tian

et al., 2019; Wasenang et al., 2019; M. J. Zhang et al., 2019; Q. Zhang et al., 2019). These

findings are consistent with the initial identification of Polycomb-Group genes in Drosophila

where haploinsufficiency yielded developmental phenotypes (Lewis & Mislove, 1947;

Schuettengruber et al., 2017). Inserting these disease mutations into cells alters the

modification profiles of H3K27 and can change their developmental potential. Increased

methylation can often push cells toward a neuro progenitor phenotype (Juan et al., 2016; Pasini

et al., 2007; Thornton et al., 2014). In cancer patients, increased levels of expression of PRC2

components and especially EZH2 leads to hypermethylation and is associated with poor

prognosis (Tian et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2019). Consequently, there is significant interest in

targeting this complex pharmaceutically. Small molecules that inhibit PRC2 methyltransferase

activity have been approved for clinical trials (Fioravanti et al., 2018; Kondo, 2014; Liu et al.,

2015; Lue & Amengual, 2018; Qi et al., 2012; Shi et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2015; Yamagishi &

Uchimaru, 2017; Yang et al., 2019). It remains, however, unclear how far this activity can be

manipulated before risking adverse effects from having too little of the modification present.

The ambiguity of the role of H3K27me3 in disease progression increases the importance of

understanding the mechanisms by which PRC2 methylation activities regulate gene expression

during development.

Previous work on PRC2 has shown that the complex is dispensable for the propagation

of self-renewing, undifferentiated cells that are phenotypically indistinguishable from WT ES

cells. These studies have also shown that PRC2 deficient cells do not differentiate properly

(Chamberlain et al., 2008; Lavarone et al., 2019; Pasini et al., 2007; Pasini et al., 2004; Shen et

al., 2008). Since the entire complex is disrupted when any of the core components are knocked

out, it is not clear whether it is solely the lack of methylation that is causing these

developmental defects, or whether there are other mechanistic roles PRC2 plays that are

distinct from methylation(Collinson et al., 2016; Rai et al., 2013; Shan et al., 2017; Yu et al.,

2017). A recent study examined the impact of a mutation in *Ezh2* that blocks all levels of

methylation. When these cells were differentiated into embryoid bodies they showed visual

phenotypic differences (Lavarone et al., 2019), demonstrating that complete removal of the

methyltransferase activity of PRC2 impairs normal differentiation. We focus here on the

developmental phenotypes caused by specific loss of the H3K27me3 modification, as opposed

to loss of all methylation.

To determine the contribution that H3K27me3 makes to gene repression, we examined

cells with a point mutation within the SET domain of *Ezh2* that generates a hypomorph that is

predominately defective in tri-methylation. We also analyzed cells treated with a small

molecule inhibitor that blocks tri-methylation more efficiently than di-methylation. The

expression level of PRC2 has known effects on gene regulation, so we used strategies that do

not impact the protein level or complex integrity, thus allowing a focus on methyltransferase

activity. There are multiple small molecules that interrupt PRC2 methyltransferase activity both

for research and current clinical trials; we used GSK343 (Bradley et al., 2014; Fraineau et al.,

2017; Liu et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019). Mutations in the catalytic SET domain

of *Ezh2* have been identified from a variety of cancers; we created cell lines containing one of

the inactivating mutations for our experiments (Antonysamy et al., 2013). We found that this

mutation had a strong impact on H3K27me3 levels, but not on H3K27me2 levels. When these

cells were differentiated in an undirected fashion they did not show the significant visual

phenotypes seen with *Ezh2* knockout cells. While expression of some genes was altered, there

were a number of PRC2 target genes that did not rely upon H3K27me3 for their regulation. The

most dramatic phenotype observed was when cells were challenged to maintain their

differentiated identity. Inhibitor treated and point mutated cells reverted readily to an ES

phenotype when placed back into conditions that support ES cell growth. Thus, H3K27me3 was

required for cells to maintain their identity rather than for the initial differentiation.

Results

PRC2 catalyzes di- and tri-methylation of histone H3 and is associated with gene

repression. Previous studies have shown that deletion of PRC2 components in mouse ES cells

has minimal effects on the undifferentiated, self-renewing state (Juan et al., 2016; Lavarone et

al., 2019; Shen et al., 2008; Wassef et al., 2019). Yet deletion of EZH2, the catalytic subunit of

PRC2, results in cells that do not differentiate and embryos that are reabsorbed by day E10.5

(Pasini et al., 2004). However, the requirements for specific methylation functions of PRC2 in

differentiation and in the accompanying changes in gene expression have not been explored in

detail. We examined the role for H3K27me3 in regulation by looking at stochastic

differentiation of ES cells into embryoid bodies. Embryoid body (EB) formation is a well-studied

method of undirected differentiation with predictable changes in gene expression (Fig 1A and

(Behringer et al., 2016; Dang et al., 2002). Cells where PRC2 core components haven been

deleted fail to form normal embryoid bodies, presumably because the cells apoptose when

pushed to differentiate(Chamberlain et al., 2008). Since PRC2 has been shown to play

regulatory roles independent of H3K27 tri-methylation, including H3K27 di-methylation and

proposed non-methyltrtansferase functions, the requirement for the H3K27me3 modification

at individual genes during differentiation as well as its role in maintaining cell identity remains

unclear (Ahmed et al., 2018; Ai et al., 2017; Pereira et al., 2010).

Inhibiting methyltransferase activity with the small molecule GSK343 does not block

differentiation potential

As a starting point to understand the role of H3K27 methylation by PRC2 during ES cell

differentiation, we treated cells with the small molecule inhibitor GSK343 which blocks the

methyltransferase activity of EZH2 (Fioravanti et al., 2018; Lue & Amengual, 2018; Yang et al.,

2019). Treatment with DMSO was used as a control (Fig 1B). Unlike PRC2 knockouts, GSK343

treated cells form embryoid bodies that have a similar morphology to their DMSO treated

counterparts (Fig 1C.) Embryoid bodies formed after either DMSO or GSK343 treatment are

phenotypically similar to those made by WT cells. In contrast, PRC2 knockout cells tested in

parallel failed to form embryoid bodies ((Lavarone et al., 2019) and Fig. 2E). Thus, the inhibition

of methyltransferase activity can be phenotypically separated from PRC2 knockout cells.

To determine the molecular phenotype of blocking methyltransferase activity, we

performed RNA-seq experiments to compare changes in gene expression between cells treated

with the inhibitor and control over the differentiation time course. Gene expression was largely

similar between the two treatments especially in early stages of differentiation (Supp. Fig. 1).

We then examined known PRC2 target genes and found examples of genes whose expression

pattern across differentiation was the same in DMSO and GSK343 treated cells (e.g. Tbx3 or

Sox3, Fig. 1D) as well as genes whose expression was altered in GSK343 treated cells (e.g., Wnt3

and Itgb7, Fig 1D). The trends observed with these two classes of genes were found to be

mirrored in the full complement of PRC2 targets. We classified PRC2 target genes into two sets,

those that required H3K27me3 for their regulation and those that do not need this modification

to preserve normal gene expression patterns during embryoid body formation. Genes were

defined as independent of the H3K27me3 modification if their expression remained within 1.2

fold of the WT expression at all time points (Fig 1E). We conclude that inhibiting the

methylation activity of PRC2 alters gene expression at a subset of targets but does not block

differentiation the way knockouts of the complex do.

Point mutation to the SET domain inhibits methyltransferase activity

We sought to extend and refine the findings made using GSK343 by generating a

hypomorphic mutation in *Ezh2* that had a defined impact on H3K27 methylation. We generated

mutant cells that allowed us to examine the contribution of H3K27 tri-methylation to gene

regulation and differentiation during EB formation. Inactivating point mutations in the SET

domain of EZH2 have been identified in several human cancers. We chose one of those

mutations, human R635C, which is analogous to mouse R681C, for analysis in mouse cells. This

residue is conserved across methyltransferases and located in a region that is important for

coordinating the methyl donor (Fig 2A and (Antonysamy et al., 2013). We used a baculoviral

system to reconstitute WT and mutant PRC2. We confirmed that this residue is important for

tri-methylation of H3K27 by PRC2 using an in vitro methyltransferase assay. We compared it to

WT EZH2 and another SET domain mutation (Y722D) that has been previously shown to block

methylation (Lavarone et al., 2019), Fig 2B). The complexes that contained WT EZH2

methylated both core histones and purified H3 in a time and concentration dependent manner

(Supp. Fig. 2). In contrast, mutant complexes show drastically reduced levels of activity. Using

two separate purifications, residual activity of the mutant complex was always under 2% of the

purified WT complexes (Fig. 2B and Supp. Fig. 2). This was not due to a failure of the mutant

EZH2 to incorporate into a stable complex as all core components were pulled down with a flag-

tagged SUZ12 (Supp. Fig. 2E). We conclude that the *Ezh2* 681C mutation reduces the

methyltransferase activity of the complex by at least 50-fold.

We introduced the R681C mutation into the CJ7 mouse ES cell line using the CRISPR-

Cas9 system. We isolated two independent with this homozygous point mutation in the

endogenous *Ezh2* gene (called CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-99}$ and CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-102}$). The phenotypes that we

describe below were consistent between these two independent clones.

We determined whether the point mutation would lead to significant reduction of

H3K27me3 in cells as anticipated from the enzymatic defect seen in vitro. Lysine residues can

be mono, di or tri-methylated. Mono-methylation is spread broadly throughout the genome

and di-methylation is loosely linked with repressed genes, though this is poorly defined in most

cell types. Tri-methylation of H3K27 is closely associated with repressed genes, presumably due

to binding of the CBX family of proteins contained in the PRC1 complex to K27me3 and

subsequent repression by this family of complexes (Bernstein et al., 2006). We performed

western blots on whole cell lysates from WT, knockout and the point mutant cells and probed

them with antibodies to di- and tri- H3K27 methylation and EZH2 (Fig 2C and Supp. Fig. 3).

H3K27me3 was reduced to levels we could not detect in the mutant cells, as was seen in the

knockout cells, but the levels of di-methylation were largely unaffected in the R681C mutant

cells.

The mutant ES cells look phenotypically similar to WT cells and self-renew (Fig 2D). This

was the anticipated result since knockout cells in the PRC2 core components EZH2 and EED are

also phenotypically similar to WT cells and can self-renew. We examined whether the mutant

cell lines would form embryoid bodies similar to those formed by WT cells, would apoptose like

Ezh2 or *Eed* knockout cells, or would take an alternate path. We formed embryoid bodies by

the hanging drop procedure and compared the resultant phenotypes of WT, the point mutant

and knockout cells. We found that the mutant cells formed embryoid bodies, making them

phenotypically separate from the knockout cells (Fig 2D). This revealed that the dramatic

reduction in H3K27me3 we see in the point mutant cells does not stop the cells from

differentiating, at least at a gross level.

We determined whether the mutant PRC2 complex was localized to the same genomic

regions as the WT complex by characterizing peaks of the core PRC2 component SUZ12 through

use of CUT&RUN. There were fewer peaks of PRC2 chromatin binding in the mutant cells when

compared to WT, as expected due to the lack of H3K27me3 which facilitates binding and

spreading of PRC2 (Oksuz et al., 2018). There was a high degree of overlap between peaks of

occupancy in ES cells (Fig. 2E). We also observed overlap in binding of SUZ12 between WT and

mutant cells at Day 4 and Day 8 of differentiation into embryoid bodies (Fig. 2F). We conclude

that in these cells the major effect of the point mutation was to reduce the tri-methylation of

H3K27. This is similar to the results found in other studies of *Ezh2* point mutations (Lavarone et

al., 2019).

To further test the developmental potential of the 681C mutant embryonic stem cells

we assessed the formation of beating clusters of cardiac cells. For this assay cells are

differentiated in hanging drops and then plated in individual wells to form a monolayer in

differentiation media. After 10-15 days of differentiation each well is visually examined for the

presence of pulsing cells. Beating clusters formed from both WT and mutant cells. There was

some variation in the proportion of EBs that could form beating clusters between the two 681C

mutant strains, but in all cases the mutant cells could form beating clusters (Fig. 2G). These data

bolster the conclusion that the reduction in H3K27me3 does not prevent cells from

differentiating. The R681C mutation therefore offers an opportunity to examine the

contribution of tri-methylated H3K27 to gene regulation during differentiation and raised the

issue of whether molecular changes are caused by the point mutation.

Molecular phenotype of *Ezh2* point mutant cells

To determine whether there were molecular phenotypes associated with the hypomorphic mutation of *Ezh2*, and the resultant loss of H3K27me3, we determined the target genes of PRC2 in our cell lines and measured their gene expression levels. To annotate target genes in this system, we performed CUT&RUN analysis using antibodies to H3K27me3, RING1b, EZH2 and SUZ12 in WT cells as embryonic stem cells (ESC) and as Embryoid bodies after differentiation for eight days (D8EB). Target genes were defined as having a broad peak of H3K27me3 over the transcription start site in at least two time points. There have only been a small number of studies of PRC2 localization in differentiating cells, but of the approximately seven thousand target genes we identified, just over 70% overlapped with previously published data (Fig. 3A and (Juan et al., 2016)). We note that peaks called from our data set that did not overlap with previous called peaks showed signal in the published data that was below the threshold, indicating further agreement between the analysis done here and previous work. There were far more targets called using the H3K27me3 than with the individual components of either polycomb complex, though the targets are largely overlapping (Fig. 3B). This is likely due to differences in the strength of individual antibodies. Therefore, we used the targets identified with H3K27me3 for further analysis. In keeping with the western blot from whole cell lysates, H3K27me3 was reduced on target genes in mutant cells (Fig. 3C). Levels of H3K27me3 were significantly lower in mutant cells at day 4 of differentiation and then were increased at day 8 of differentiation but to levels well below WT levels. The residual tri-methylation at day 8 might be due to EZH1 function (Lavarone et al., 2019; Margueron et al., 2008; Shen et al., 2008). We focus below on the events that happen during these first four days of differentiation and the impact of the lack of H3K27me3 during this time frame. We conclude that the ability of the mutant cells to differentiate was not due to retention of normal tri-methylation levels specifically on target genes.

The experiments described above using small molecule inhibitors revealed that there are genes that require H3K27me3 for their regulation and those that do not require H3K27me3

to maintain proper regulation. We investigated whether the point mutant cells showed these

same two gene classes. We performed RNA-seq analysis from ES cells and both D4 and D8EBs

from WT, CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-99}$ and CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-102}$ cells. The data for both mutant cell lines are nearly

overlapping and so for clarity we present the data from the CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-99}$ (Supp. Fig. 4). For

this analysis we defined PRC2 target genes as those that had a statistically significant peak of

H3K27me3 from CUT&RUN data at either D4 or D8 during the EB formation protocol. PRC2

target genes were identified using wild-type H3K27me3 peaks called by Homer using a p-value

threshold of 0.001, a length of at least 1500 bp, 1rpkm in at least two time points and signal

overlapping Refseq annotated genes (TSS+-5kb). As with the cells treated with the small

molecule inhibitor, we could separate genes that rely on H3K27me3 from those that do not

need a high level of the modification for their regulation (Fig 3D). The gene expression patterns

from the mutant cells and from the GSK343 treated cells clustered based upon day of

differentiation rather than by whether they were drug treated or mutant (Supp. Fig. 5),

although the correlation was not as strong between drug treated cells and mutant cells as that

seen between the two mutant cell lines. We detected both activated and repressed genes that

fell into methyltransferase-dependent and –independent categories. The 350 genes that are

normally repressed during differentiation in WT cells, and were either similarly repressed or

were not repressed in mutant cells, showed more consistent patterns than the genes that were

normally activated in WT cells. These patterns were well established by D4 of differentiation,

thus we used repressed genes to further analyze any characteristics specific to the

methyltransferase dependent or to the independent genes.

We examined whether the level of H3K27me3 normally found on the genes in WT cells

or the amount of signal remaining in the mutant cells could predict whether a gene would be

dependent on the modification for its regulation. However, there was not a difference in the

levels of tri-methylation based on whether the genes require this modification for their

regulation (Fig. 3E). There was also strong overlap in the genes with PRC2 peaks suggesting that

the complex was still targeted normally (Supp. Fig 6) We then examined several characteristics

of 350 genes that are normally repressed during differentiation of WT ES cells including other

histone modifications, CpG methylation, and further sub dividing genes by their dependence on

H3K27me3 (Supp. Fig. 6). None of these characteristics showed any significant differences

between genes who repression was dependent upon methyltransferase activity and genes

whose repression was not dependent on methyltransferase activity. We conclude that there is

a variable reliance on H3K27me3 for gene regulation and that H3K27me3 is not the only driver

of PRC2 target gene repression during EB formation, just as full levels are not needed for

differentiation.

Methyltransferase point mutants cannot maintain differentiated cell identity

A major issue in developmental gene expression concerns the interplay between

establishment and maintenance of gene expression profiles during differentiation. The

Polycomb group (PcG) system, including PRC2, plays a role in both aspects of gene regulation in

flies and in mammals. Substantial early work on mutant Drosophila highlighted a role for the

PcG, including gene products now known to compose PRC2, in maintenance. Given that we saw

limited impact of the ablation of H3K27me3 on establishment of the differentiated EB

phenotype, we tested whether the mutant or drug treated mouse cells were able to maintain a

differentiated state.

Under normal conditions, cells differentiated into embryoid bodies cannot revert to

ESCs without major manipulation such as introducing Yamanaka transcription factors

(Nakagawa et al., 2008; Takahashi & Yamanaka, 2006). To determine if the 681C point mutant

cells stably committed to a differentiated state, we formed day 8 EBs with WT and mutant cells,

dissociated the embryoid bodies into single cells and re-plated them in ESC conditions without

any additional manipulation. The cells were cultured in the ESC media for five days and then

stained for alkaline phosphatase (AP) activity. After incubation with an appropriate

colorometric substrate, ESCs become bright pink due to their alkaline phosphatase activity,

while feeder cells or any other differentiated cells remain unstained. We found a ten-fold

increase in the number of AP-positive colonies generated by the mutant cells over the WT (Fig.

4A and 4B). We asked whether this lack of commitment was maintained after longer periods of

differentiation and found that after 14 days there remained more cells that could revert to ES

cells, but to a considerably lesser extent than seen after 8 days (Figs. 4A, 4B). We conclude that

the mutant cells are not stably committed to the more defined lineages but can switch back to

an undifferentiated state, but that this flexibility decreases after two weeks of differentiation.

We used the small molecule inhibitor GSK343 to examine the time period during which

this flexibility is established. There were three possibilities: a) full levels of H3K27me3 might be

needed continuously to maintain the differentiated state; b) they might be needed at specific

times as the cells are differentiating; c) they might be needed only when cells are challenged

with external stimuli that allow reversion to embryonic stem cells. Examination of the mutant

cells addressed blocking full levels of methylation throughout the experimental time course,

but did not address the time period when that lack of activity was most important. To separate

the possibilities, we added or removed GSK343 during the differentiation time-course (Fig. 5A

shows the experimental design). To mimic the WT and point mutant conditions a subset of cells

were treated continuously with DMSO or GSK343 respectively. These treatments served as both

a baseline for altered times of GSK343 application. They also served to validate that the results

observed with re-plating of mutant cells were not caused by off target effects of the CRISPR

genetic manipulation or by mutations acquired during selection of these cells. Cells

continuously treated with GSK343 had higher numbers of AP-positive cells following re-plating

at 8 days, similar to the mutant cells; in contrast to the mutant cells there even more AP-

positive cells following re-plating after 14 days of differentiation in GSK343. GSK343 treatment

affects both di- and tri-methylation which might account for the increased plasticity seen at

later time points in drug treated cells (Supp. Fig. 7).

In addition to continual treatment with inhibitor, we varied the timing of GSK343

addition as depicted in Fig. 5A. Briefly, we treated ES cells for three days with either DMSO or

GSK343 before starting the differentiation. When embryoid body formation was initiated or at

day 4 of differentiation we changed the treatment from DMSO to GSK343 (or vice versa) for

half of the cells. We also switched the treatment at the time of re-plating into the ES conditions

at day 8. Finally, we allowed cells to differentiate to day 14 and switched treatment regimen

(Fig. 5A). The treatment type was switched just once in each scheme so we could determine

whether blocking the methylation of H3K27 at early or later stages of differentiation had the

greatest effect. These experiments allow us to determine whether reducing H3K27me3 has the

greatest effect on cell identity when the cells are differentiating, when they are challenged by

re-plating or throughout the differentiating time-course.

We found that the crucial window for treatment with the inhibitor was in the first four

days of embryoid body formation (Fig. 5A, B, C). Increased staining by alkaline phosphatase

activity was seen in all cells that had initially been treated with inhibitor, regardless of when it

was removed (Fig. 5C). Notably, treating with GSK343 for three days prior to inducing

differentiation, then removing the inhibitor when differentiation was initiated, led to a

significant increase in ES cells following re-plating at day 8. The only case where we saw

increased staining in re-plated cells that were initially treated with DMSO was when the

inhibitor was added at the onset of differentiation (Fig 5B, C). We conclude that the inhibition

of methyltransferase activity either immediately prior to differentiation or during the first four

days of differentiation allows the cells to remain in a more plastic state such that they can

revert to a stem cell-like phenotype when placed in the proper growth conditions.

When we examined the ability of treated cells to form beating colonies after treatment

with the small molecule we found no difference in the proportion of colonies that

spontaneously start beating between any of the treatment groups (Fig. 5D). This is consistent

with the data from the point mutant cells where both WT and mutant cells could form beating

colonies and further indicates that there is no deficit in the ability of the cells to differentiate

when H3K27 methylation is inhibited. Thus, as was seen with the comparison of WT and point

mutant cells, the obvious difference between DMSO and small molecule treated cells occurred

when cells were challenged to grow in the ESC culture conditions (Figs 5B,C). We conclude that

H3K27me3 methylation is more important in maintaining the differentiated state than in

generating that state, and that the critical time window occurs early in the differentiation

process.

The GSK343 treated cells and the mutant cells both appeared to revert to a pluripotent

state. To verify that these cells retained developmental potential we re-differentiated these

cells and determined whether they display the characteristic ability of ES cells to develop more

committed cells. We used the re-plated cells from both 681C mutant cells and those treated

with the inhibitor and attempted to make embryoid bodies. Both sets of re-plated cells were

able to make embryoid bodies, which confirms that they have developmental potential (Data

not shown).

To examine whether the reversion to a pluripotent state involved substantive changes in

gene expression, as opposed to gene expression changes in a few key genes, we examined

genome-wide gene expression pattern of the reverted cells and compared those to ESCs. To

examine the molecular phenotype of the re-plated cells, we isolated and sequenced RNA from

drug treated day 14 cells that were re-plated and had reverted to ES cell phenotype.

Unsupervised clustering showed that the average expression profiles of the cells that had been

treated with GSK343, and therefore had much higher levels of reversion, were more like WT ES

cells than to the DMSO treated and re-plated control cells (Fig. 5E.) We conclude that the cells

we see staining with alkaline phosphatase in our re-plating assays are reverting to an ES

phenotype. We examined the subset of PRC2 target genes that are normally repressed during

differentiation and found that many are reactivated when cells treated with GSK343 are re-

plated. This differs from the genes that are reactivated in the cells initially treated with DMSO.

The changes in gene expression observed following re-plating of cells treated with GSK343 were

significantly different from the patterns observed after re-plating of cells treated with DMSO.

(Supp. Fig. 7C). These expression pattern changes show the same response to the time of

treatment with GSK343 as seen above; reversion to the WT pattern requires GSK343 treatment

early in differentiation. From these data, we conclude that though all cells are placed under

developmental stress when re-plated into ES conditions, only those where H3K27 methylation

has been blocked revert to an ES cell phenotype. This underlines the importance of K3K27me3

in establishing the heritable gene expression profile of differentiated lineages.

Discussion

These studies offer two advances in understanding the role for tri-methylation of H3K27

during differentiation of ES cells into embryoid bodies. First, many PRC2 targets continue to be

regulated in a normal manner during the first four days of differentiation despite significantly

reduced H3K27me3 levels on these targets (Fig. 3.) Thus, H3K27me3 is not necessary for

repression of a significant set of PRC2 targets, indicating compensating mechanisms for

repression of these genes. Second, while many PRC2 targets are dysregulated when H3K27me3

is blocked, we did not observe a significant impact on differentiation. In contrast, there was a

large enhancement of the ability of differentiated cells to revert to a pluripotent phenotype

when placed into embryonic stem cell culture conditions. We conclude that the primary role for

H3K27me3 during early differentiation is maintenance of the differentiated state.

Differentiated WT cells are not generally capable of reverting to an ES phenotype when

their growth conditions are altered by a change in media. In normal cases, it takes the

reactivation of key transcription factors to allow cells to return to that state. In contrast, *Ezh2*

point mutant cells and those that that have been treated with a small molecule inhibitor readily

revert to an ES phenotype when placed into media that supports that type of growth. This was

seen at both the cellular and molecular level. When we varied the time windows where

inhibitor was present, we found that the crucial window for normal H3K27me3 levels was

between the start of differentiation through the first four days of embryoid body formation.

Not having the ability to add the tri-methylation modification at those early stages of

differentiation sets the stage for the cells to be able to revert to an ES phenotype when

challenged, even if H3K27me3 is restored later during embryoid body formation. In the setting

of the early stages of ES differentiation into embryoid bodies, the H3K27me3 modification is

acting analogously to a stopper on a swinging door. When the modification is present the door

will only open one way and the cells cannot go backward, but removal of the modification

enables the door to swing both ways allowing cells to go back and forth between the

differentiated and pluripotent state in response to external signals.

There are potential implications for these data in terms of the use of small molecule

inhibitors in therapeutic situations. Multiple adult cell types have different requirements for

PRC2 during their differentiation. Most relevant to the inhibition of PRC2 is the development of

blood cells. PRC2 is required for the differentiation of blood stem cells and is the site of some of

the highest levels of PRC2 expression in healthy adult tissues. If the phenotypes that we have

observed during embryoid body formation occur in a similar manner in blood stem cells,

treating patients with the small molecule inhibitors might alter the stability of commitment of

healthy stem cells, raising the possibility of novel cancers arising from cells that cannot stably

differentiate. Indeed, at least one clinical trial was temporarily suspended because patients had

developed novel cancers (Fioravanti et al., 2018; Harris, 2018; Italiano et al., 2018). Determining

the effect of blocking H3K27me3 in other differentiating cell lineages might be important to

clinical intervention by expanding the knowledge of the potential side effects.

We note that it is difficult to completely eliminate H3K27 methylation with mutations

(Lavarone et al., 2019), and that the mutation we generated in *Ezh2* specifically impacts

H3K27me3, especially early in differentiation, but does not eliminate all methylation of H3K27.

This is both a limitation and an advantage; the significant impact on H3K27me3 early in

differentiation allowed us to show that loss of tri-methylation has a potent phenotype

(unstable commitment) at this stage yet does not have a discernible differentiation phenotype.

These data demonstrate a striking difference in the dependency of these two key phenotypes

on H3K27me3. This *Ezh2* mutation also has a molecular phenotype when gene regulation is

examined, raising the possibility that the network of genes that require H3K27me3 for

appropriate regulation at this stage are primarily responsible for driving stable commitment to

the differentiated state.

Methods

Cell Culture

ES cells: CJ7 WT, CJ7 *Ezh2-/-* and CJ7 *Eed-/-* cells were a generous gift from the laboratory of

Stuart Orkin. We cultured all embryonic stem cells on a monolayer of feeder MEFs in ES media

(DMEM (Gibco 11995-506) supplemented with 20%FBS, NEAA, pen/strep, glutamax, and LIF) on

tissue culture treated flasks coated with 0.2% geletin. Media was changed daily and cells were

split every 2-3 days.

EZH2 point mutant Cells: Point mutations were introduced into CJ7 WT using CRISPR RNP

transfected into cells with the Amaxa mouse ES kit (Lonza VPH-1001). 1x10^6 cells were

transfected with the RNP containing two separate guide RNAs a single stranded donor oligo and

a linearized puromycin resistance gene. Cells were plated into three wells of a six well plate

with puroR MEFs and regular ES media. The next day puromycin was added to the wells in a

range of concentrations and kept on the cells for the next two days. Following selection,

resistant cells were expanded, individual colonies were then re-plated into twenty-four well

plates and checked for the presence of the mutation by restriction enzyme digestion followed

by confirmation with sequencing.

EB formation: Embryoid bodies were formed using the hanging drop method(Behringer et al.,

2016; Dang et al., 2002). Briefly, ES cells were trypsinized, de-MEFed and then resuspended in

differentiation media (IMDM (Gibco 12440-053) supplemented with 20% FBS, pen/strep, and

glutamax. No LIF). Droplets containing approximately 180 cells were incubated for four days so

that spheres of differentiating cells could form. After the four days EBs were collected and

grown in suspension in non-adherent plates or used for subsequent experiments.

Beating heart assay: Beating clusters were differentiated from day 4 embryoid bodies (Boheler

et al., 2002; Hescheler et al., 1997). Individual EBs were transferred into individual wells of a

gelatinized 12 well plate. Cells were maintained in differentiation media throughout the

experiment. Wells were monitored for beating colonies for the next 15 days and were scored as

positive if there were any beating cells in that time period.

Western Blot

The antibodies that were used probe the Western blots were from Cell Signaling Technology

H3K27me3 (9733S) and H3K27me2 (9728S) as well as from EMD Millipore EZH2 (07-689).

RNA-seq

RNA was isolated from whole cells using the Nucleospin RNA kit from Macherey Nagel

(740955.50). We then depleted rRNA using the Ribozero Gold kit from Epicentre (RZG1224).

cDNA was synthesized from the purified RNA using the Superscript Vilo cDNA sequencing kit

from ThermoFisher (11754050) the libraries were assembled as previously described (Bowman

et al., 2013). Three replicates were completed for all experimental conditions except the Day 14

re-plated cells where two replicates were completed.

Methyltransferase Assay

Purified protein complexes were incubated at room temperature with a histone substrate at a

final concentration of 500nM and approximately 500nM radioactive SAM (Adenosyl-L-

methionine S-methyl^{3}H from PerkinElmer (NET155H250UC)) in methyltransferase buffer (10%

glycerol, 25mM HEPES PH 7.9, 2mM MgCl with 1mM DTT added fresh). Unless otherwise

specified all reactions ran for one hour before being stopped with the addition of 6x SDS buffer.

Samples were then run on an SDS page gel, coomassie stained and incubated in AMPLIFY

Amersham/GE (NAMP100) for 20 minutes. The gel was dried and exposed to film for a

minimum of 24 hours before developing.

Protein purification

The ORF for each member of the core PRC2 complex were cloned into the pFastBac1

baculovirus with Suz12 tagged with 3xFlag. Sf9 transfection with bacmid DNA and virus

amplification were performed essentially as described for the Bac-to-Bac Baculovirus

Expression System (ThermoFisher Scientific). Sf9 cells were maintained in Hyclone CCM3

(CCM3 liquid medium with L-glutamine, GE Healthcare Life Sciences SH30065.02)

supplemented with 50 U/ml Penicillin-Streptomycin (ThermoFisher Scientific, 15140-122). For

protein expression, $2x10^6$ Sf9 cells/ml were infected at an MOI of approximately 10. Cells were

harvested 66 hours post infection by centrifugation at 5000xG for 15 minutes. Cells were lysed,

treated with DNAse1 then the complex was bound to M2 and the complex was eluted with flag

peptide.

CUT&RUN

CUT&RUN experiments were performed as described (Skene & Henikoff, 2017). The antibodies

we used were from Cell Signaling Technology H3K27me3 (9733S), EZH2 (5246S), SUZ12 (3737S)

and Bethyl laboratories RING1b (A302-869A). Libraries were constructed as described in the

RNA-seq section. Two replicates were completed and representative experiments are shown.

Alkaline Phosphotase Assay

Cells were stained according to the instructions in the Stemgent AP Staining Kit II from

Reprocell (00-0055). Images of stained wells were quantified using ImageJ FIJI software.

Bioinformatics Methods

RNA-seq data processing: All RNA sequencing reads were aligned to the mm10 genome using

STAR v2.5.3 (Dobin et al., 2013). Gene annotations were obtained from Ensembl (Hunt et al.,

2018) Genome browser tracks were generated using Homer v4.10.3 (Heinz et al., 2010) and

visualized in IGV (Robinson et al., 2011). Reads in exons of Refseq annotated genes were

counted using featureCounts v1.6.1(Liao et al., 2014). edgeR (Robinson et al., 2010) was used to

normalize reads and calculate FDR using triplicates. All further calculations and figures were

made using R v3.3.2 (Dessau & Pipper, 2008). For all heatmaps, standard z-scores calculations

were made using average RPKMs of triplicates for each gene across all conditions. Genes were

narrowed down to only PRC2 targets using H3K27me3 CUT&RUN data. Only genes changing in

untreated or wild-type cells over the time-course were used for further analysis. The genes

were filtered using cut-offs of at least 0.5 RPKM average expression at any timepoint, at least

1.5 fold-change and maximum 0.05 FDR while comparing Day 8 and Day 4 or Day 4 and Day 0.

Genes were then clustered based on up or down-regulation in control cells and up or down-

regulation or unchanged gene expression in mutant or treated cells.

For Fig. 5E, unsupervised clustering was performed using R's heatmap.2 function. CpG and GC

levels were calculated using Homer's annotatePeaks function.

CUT&RUN data processing: All CUT&RUN reads were aligned to the mm10 genome using

bowtie2 and filtered using samtools (Li et al., 2009) to keep uniquely aligned reads. Genome

browser tracks were generated using Homer v4.10.3 and visualized in IGV. Peaks were called

using Homer's findPeaks function for broad peaks. PRC2 target genes were identified using

wild-type H3K27me3 peaks at least 1500 bp in length, 1rpkm in at least two time points and

overlapping Refseq annotated genes (TSS+-5kb). Target genes for Suz12 and Ezh2 were

identified using the same parameters as H3K27me3. Average of RPKMs of two replicates was

calculated for Suz12 CUT&RUN data, and target genes were identified using the same

parameters as H3K27me3. For Figures 2E and 2F, average of RPKMs of two replicates was used

to find Suz12 peaks. For Figure 2F, peaks from D4 and D8 were merged. Deeptools

v3.3.0(Ramírez et al., 2014) was used to make average profile plots for Fig. 3E.

Published ChIP-seq data sources and processing: Published data for other canonical and non-

canonical PRC2 components was downloaded from GEO as follows : H3K27me3 (GSM2282188,

GSM2282191, GSM2282192) (Juan et al., 2016), EPOP (GSM2098943) (Beringer et al., 2016),

Jarid2 (GSM491760) (Li et al., 2010), H3K4me1 (GSM1180178), H3K4me3 (GSM1180179),

H3K9me3 (GSM1180180), H3K36me3 (GSM1180183) (Hon et al., 2014). FASTQ files were

downloaded from GEO using sratools v2.9.1 (https://ncbi.github.io/sra-tools/). All reads were

aligned to the mm10 genome using bowtie2 and filtered using samtools to keep uniquely

aligned reads. Genome browser tracks were generated using Homer v4.10.3. Deeptools v3.3.0

was used to make average profile plots for Fig. 3C and E.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

A.

```
hEZH2   DFVVDATRKGNKIRIANHSVNPNCYAKVMMVNGDHRIGIFA-KRAIQTGEELFFDYRYSQ  670
hEZH1   DFVVDATRKGNKIRIANHSVNPNCYAKVVMVNGDHRIGIFA-KRAIQAGEELFFDYRYSQ  601
hMLL2   EHVIDATLTGGPARVINHSCAPNCVAEVVTFDKEDKIIIIS-SRRIPKGEELTYDYQFDF  5456
hSETD7  PYNHVSKYCASLQHIANHSFTPNCIYDMFVHPRFGPIKCIRTLRAVEADEELTVAYGYDH  279
ySET1   NTVIDATKKGGIARIINHCCDPNCTAKIIKVGGRRRIVIYA-LRDIAASEELTYDYKFER  998
        :.  .. :    :   **. ***  .:.        *       * :  .***  * :.
```

B.

Methyltransferase Assay

| PRC2 Ezh2^{WT} | PRC2 Ezh2^{681C} | PRC2 Ezh2^{722D} |

| 1hr | 6hr | 1hr | 6hr | 1hr | 6hr |

Recombinant H3

C.

Western Blot

αH3K27me3

αH3K27me2

αEzh2

CJ7 Ezh2^{WT}   CJ7 Eed^{-/-}   CJ7 Ezh2^{681C-99}

D.

Cell Images 54X magnification

ESCs

Day 4 EBs

CJ7 Ezh2^{WT}   CJ7 Eed^{-/-}   CJ7 Ezh2^{681C-99}   CJ7 Ezh2^{681C-102}

E.

SUZ12 ESC CUT&RUN peaks

CJ7 Ezh2^{WT}   300   219   14   CJ7 Ezh2^{681C-99}

F.

SUZ12 D4+D8 CUT&RUN peaks

CJ7 Ezh2^{WT}   776   351   34   CJ7 Ezh2^{681C-99}

G.

Beating Heart Assay

p=0.74

p=0.008   p=0.02

Beating
Not Beating

Number of Colonies

CJ7 Ezh2^{WT}   CJ7 Ezh2^{681C-99}   CJ7 Ezh2^{681C-102}

Figure 3.

A. H3K27me3 CUT&RUN — 2066 | 5124 | Published H3K27me3 ChIP-seq — 942

B. Target genes for Polycomb components

H3K27me3 — 6440 | 750 Ring1B | 237

H3K27me3 — 6740 | 450 Ezh2 | 32

H3K27me3 — 6885 | 305 Suz12 | 2

C. H3K27me3 at PRC2 targets

Reads per bin per million

−25kb TSS TES 25kb **D0 ESCs**
−25kb TSS TES 25kb **D4 EBs**
−25kb TSS TES 25kb **D8 EBs**

H3K27me3 CJ7 Ezh2^{WT}
H3K27me3 CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-99}$
IgG CJ7 Ezh2^{WT}

D. PRC2 TARGETS RNA-seq

Activated

Mtase independent
CJ7 Ezh2^{WT} CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-99}$
D0 D4 D8 D0 D4 D8
367

Mtase dependent
CJ7 Ezh2^{WT} CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-99}$
D0 D4 D8 D0 D4 D8
218

−2 0 2
z-scores of RPKM

Repressed

Mtase independent
CJ7 Ezh2^{WT} CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-99}$
D0 D4 D8 D0 D4 D8
183

Mtase dependent
CJ7 Ezh2^{WT} CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-99}$
D0 D4 D8 D0 D4 D8
167

E. H3K27me3 atPRC2 developmentally repressed genes

CJ7 Ezh2^{WT} CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-99}$

Normalized tag density

Day 0
Day 4
Day 8

−3kb TSS +3kb   −3kb TSS +3kb

Mtase independent
Mtase dependent

Figure 4.

A.   Alkaline Phosphatase Images

CJ7 *Ezh2*^{WT}   CJ7 *Ezh2*^{681C-99}   CJ7 *Ezh2*^{681C-102}

Re-plated after 8 days
EB differentiation

Re-plated after 14 days
EB differentiation

B.   Alkaline Phosphatase Quantification

Figure 5.

A.

B.

Alkaline Phosphatase Images

C.

Alkaline Phosphatase Quantification

D.

Beating Heart Assay

E.

14 Day re-plating RNA-seq samples

PRC2 target genes average expression

Figure Legends:

Figure 1

(A) Diagram of embryoid body (EB) formation time-course. (B) Western blot of cells treated

with GSK343 EZH2 inhibitor or DMSO control probed with H3K27me3 and EZH2 antibodies. (C)

54x magnification of day 4 EBs from cells treated with either DMSO control or GSK343. (D)

Screen shots from RNA-seq over the EB differentiation time-course from Mtase independent

(Sox3 and Tbx3) and Mtase dependent (Itgb7 and Wnt3) genes from cells treated with DMSO

(blue) or GSK343 (red). (E) Heatmap showing expression patterns of Mtase dependent and

independent genes in untreated (DMSO) and treated (GSK343) ES cells over development time-

course. Z-scores of average RPKMs of duplicates are shown for each gene across all samples.

Genes are separated based on if they were activated (top) or repressed (bottom) in untreated

cells over time as well as if they had a similar expression pattern in treated cells (Mtase

dependent genes) (left) or a different expression pattern (Mtase independent genes) (right).

The four groups are further clustered based on fold-changes over time in untreated cells.

Figure 2

(A) Diagram of *Ezh2* SET domain structure with the 681 residue circled and an alignment of SET

domains with the analogous residue highlighted in red adapted from (Antonysamy et al., 2013).

It is highly conserved across species and methyltransferases. (B) In vitro methyltransferase

assay with PRC2 comprised of WT or mutant EZH2, EED, SUZ12, and RBAP48. Recombinant H3 is

the substrate and a radioactive SAM was the methyl donor. Reactions progressed for 1 or 6

hours. (C) Western blot from WT, mutant and PRC2 knockout cells probed with H3K27me and

EZH2 antibodies. (D) 54x magnification of embryonic stem cells (ESCs) or embryoid bodies that

have differentiated for 4 days (Day 4 EBs) from WT, 681C-99, 681C-102 and *Eed*^{-/-} cells. (E) Venn

diagram showing the overlap in SUZ12 CUT&RUN peaks from WT and 681C-99 mutant ESCs. (F)

Venn diagram showing the overlaps in SUZ12 CUT&RUN peaks from WT and 681C-99 mutant

cells differentiated as embryoid bodies for 4 to 8 days. (G) Quantification of beating heart assay

from WT, 681C-99 and 681C-102 cells that were differentiated as EBs for 4 days and then

individually plated in differentiation media. Blue shows the number of EBs that gave rise to

beating cells and Orange shows those that did not.

Figure 3

(A) Venn diagram showing overlap of H3K27me3 target genes assigned from CUT&RUN peaks

from WT cells and published ChIP-seq data peaks. (B) Venn diagrams showing overlap of target

genes of H3K27me3 and other PRC2 components by CUT&RUN in WT cells. (C) Metaplots over

PRC2 target genes showing H3K27me3 CUT&RUN versus IgG control signal at Day 0, 4 and 8 of

embryoid body formation in wild-type and mutant cells. (D) Heatmap showing expression

patterns of Mtase dependent and independent genes in wild-type and mutant ES cells over

developmental time-course. Z-scores of average RPKMs of triplicates are shown for each gene

across all samples. Genes are separated based on if they were activated (left two panels) or

repressed (right two panels) in wild-type cells over time as well as if they had a similar

expression pattern in mutant cells (Mtase dependent genes) or a different expression pattern

(Mtase independent genes). The four groups are further clustered based on fold-changes over

time in untreated cells. (E) Metaplots showing H3K27me3 binding in wild-type and mutant cells

by cut-and-run at Mtase dependent or independent and developmentally repressed PRC2

target genes over the time course.

Figure 4

(A) Alkaline phosphatase staining from WT, 681C-99 and 681C-102 cells that had been

differentiated for 8 or 14 days and then transferred into ESC conditions for five days before

staining. ESCs stain bright pink in this assay. (B) Quantification of alkaline phosphatase staining

from re-plated cells with standard deviation error bars. Samples with significant difference

(p<0.05) from WT cells marked with an asterisk.

Figure 5

(A) Diagram showing the drug treatment plan for differentiation and re-plating of cells. Red

circles indicate the cells used for the beating heart assay in panel D, Purple are shown in images

in (B) as well as quantified in (C) and blue circles highlight samples only quantified in (C). (B)

Alkaline phosphatase staining from treated cells re-plated after 8 or 14 days of EB

differentiation. Only cells that start in GSK343 treatment stain pink indicating that the cells have

reverted to an ES phenotype. (C) Quantification of alkaline phosphatase staining from cells

treated with DMSO or GSK343. Time of the treatment switch is indicated in the legend. Samples

with significant differences from those continuously treated with DMSO ($p < 0.05$) are marked

with an asterisk. (D) Quantification of beating heart assay with GSK343 and DMSO treated cells.

Cells were differentiated as EBs for 4 days and then plated into differentiation media. Blue

indicates the number of EBs that formed beating cells and orange indicates the number that did

not. (E) Unsupervised clustering of RNA-seq average expression of cells re-plated for 5 days

after 14 days of EB formation. Cells that were treated with GSK343 have gene expression

profiles that cluster with average expression from WT ESCs.

Supp. Fig. 1

A. GSK343 Titration 3 day treatment

B. DMSO/GSK343 3 day treatment

C.

Relative Gene Expression RPKM

Supp. Fig. 2

A.
In vitro methyltransferase assay

204   42   Pixel intensity

0.5   5   2.5   1.25   0.625

WT   681C

B.   In vitro methyltransferase assay

112   12.5 Pixel intensity

0.1   1   1   2   4   7

WT   681C

C.
In vitro Methyltransferase Assay

31K

24K
17K
12K

WT 681A 681C   WT 681A 681C

Recombinant H3   Core Histone

D.   In vitro Methyltransferase Assay

15.5 17   21   20   21   22   27.5 41   Pixel intensity

0.5ug
WT complex

15   30   45   60   75   90   105 120

Reaction time (min)

E.   Protein purification

PRC2 Ezh2^{681C}

250
150
100
75

25
20
15
10

SUZ12
EZH2^{681C}

EED
RBAP48

Histones

Protein marker Input Flow through PRC2 complex

Supp. Fig. 3

A.

Western Blot

αEzh2

αH3K27me3

CJ7 Ezh2^wt

CJ7 Ezh2^681C-99

CJ7 Ezh2^-/-

CJ7 Eed^-/-

B.

Ezh2$^{681C-102}$ mutant

αEzh2

αH3K27me3

αH3K27me2

Supp. Fig. 4

A.

B.

Unsupervised Clustering

Supp. Fig. 5

Log2 fold-change as compared to WT comparisons between drug treated and mutant cells

A.

Log2 fold-change over WT ESC

B.

Log2 fold-change over WT ESC

Supp. Fig. 6.

A.
PRC2 developmentally repressed genes

ChIP-seq at PRC2 developmentally repressed genes

— Mtase independent
— Mtase dependent

B.
PRC2 developmentally repressed genes

C.
SUZ12 Cut and Run

CJ7 Ezh2^{WT} 514 347 44 CJ7 Ezh2$^{681C-99}$

D.
PRC2 developmentally repressed genes

E.
H3K27me3 Cut and Run D8EB

Supp. Fig. 7.

A.

Western Blot

B.   14 Day re-plating RNA-seq samples
PRC2 target genes

C.   14 Day re-plating RNA-seq samples
PRC2 developmentally repressed genes

Supplemental Figure Legends

Supp. Fig. 1

(A) Western blot of WT CJ7 cells treated for three days with an increasing concentration of

GSK343 probed with antibodies to H3K27me3 and EZH2. The 4uM concentration was non-toxic

to cells and yielded a loss of H3K27me3 signal and so this concentration was used for all

experiments. (B) 54x magnification of ES cells treated for three days with DMSO or GSK343. ES

colonies show characteristic halo and are indistinguishable between treatments. (C)

Comparisons of normalized gene expression (RPKM) from cells treated with DMSO or GSK343

and differentiated as embryoid bodies. At the genome level there are many genes that have the

same expression pattern across the two treatments.

Supp. Fig. 2

(A,B) In vitro methyltransferase assay comparing EZH2-WT and EZH2-681C. Concentration of

the PRC2 complex is indicated below the image with pixel intensity indicated above. (C) In vitro

methyltransferase assay with WT, 681A and 681C complexes with both recombinant histones

that do not have any covalent modifications and core histones that do as the substrate. With

both substrates, the mutant complexes did not show signal. (D) In vitro methyltransferase assay

with WT complex over time. All other methyltransferase assays shown here were done for 60

minutes which is well within the linear range. (E) Coomassie stain of mutant PRC2 complex.

Complex was purified from Sf9 cells by using a Flag-tagged SUZ12 and purifying over flag beads.

Supp. Fig. 3

(A) Western blot showing the EZH2 and H3K27me3 signal for WT, EZH2 mutant and PRC2 KO

cells. (B) Western blot showing the EZH2, H3K27me3 and H3K27me2 signal of EZH2$^{618C-102}$

mutant cells.

Supp. Fig. 4

(A) Comparisons between Ezh2$^{618C-99}$ and Ezh2$^{681C-102}$ RNA-seq over the differentiation time-

course. The two mutants are nearly identical at all time points. (B) Unsupervised clustering of

the mutant RNA-seq showing that the day of differentiation is more relevant predictor of

similarity rather than the mutant strain.

Supp. Fig. 5

(A) Unsupervised clustering showing the fold change over WT ESC of GSK343 treated or

Ezh2681C-99 cells. The day of differentiation is the stronger predictor of clustering. (B) Direct

comparison of mutant and drug treated cells RNA-seq fold change over WT gene expression.

Supp. Fig. 6

(A) Average profiles showing binding of other PRC2 components and histone marks in wild-type

and mutant cells at Mtase dependent or independent and developmentally repressed PRC2

target genes over the time course. (B) Boxplots showing comparisons of different

characteristics of Mtase dependent and independent, developmentally repressed PRC2 target

genes in wild-type and mutant cells. (C) Venn diagram showing the overlap in SUZ12 peaks from

CUT&RUN experiments from WT and Ezh2$^{618C-99}$ cells. (D) Heatmaps showing developmentally

repressed genes from Fig 3D, separated based on expression levels of wild-type and mutant ES

cells at Day 0 of the time-course. Genes with similar expression levels at Day 0 in wild-type and

mutant (within 1.2 fold) are on the top and those with different starting expression levels (more

than 1.2 fold) are at the bottom. (E) Average profiles showing H3K27me3 binding in wild-type

and mutant cells by cut-and-run at Mtase dependent or independent and developmentally

repressed PRC2 target genes that have either different (more than 1.2 fold) or similar (within

1.2 fold) expression over the time course.

Supp. Fig. 7

(A) Western blot of CJ7 cells treated with DMSO or GSK343 showing the (levels of Ezh2,

H3K27me3 and H3K27me2 at Day 0 and Day 8 or EB formation. B) Unsupervised clustering of

PRC2 target genes in re-plated cells and wild-type ES-cells. Untreated or drug treated cells were

replated at 14 days in DMSO or GSK343. Z-scores of average RPKMs of triplicates (duplicates for

GSK-GSK) are shown for each gene across all samples. (C) Heatmaps showing comparison of

treated and untreated cells, re-plated in DMSO or GSK343 for previously identified Mtase

dependent and independent genes. Z-scores of average RPKMs of triplicates (duplicates for

GSK-GSK) are shown for each gene across all samples.

Abdel Raouf, S. M., Ibrahim, T. R., Abdelaziz, L. A., Farid, M. I., & Mohamed, S. Y. (2019, Dec 10).

Prognostic Value of TWIST1 and EZH2 Expression in Colon Cancer. *J Gastrointest Cancer*.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12029-019-00344-4

Ahmed, A., Wang, T., & Delgado-Olguin, P. (2018). Ezh2 is not required for cardiac regeneration

in neonatal mice. *PLoS One, 13*(2), e0192238.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192238

Ai, S., Peng, Y., Li, C., Gu, F., Yu, X., Yue, Y., Ma, Q., Chen, J., Lin, Z., Zhou, P., Xie, H., Prendiville,

T. W., Zheng, W., Liu, Y., Orkin, S. H., Wang, D. Z., Yu, J., Pu, W. T., & He, A. (2017, Apr

10). EED orchestration of heart maturation through interaction with HDACs is

H3K27me3-independent. *Elife, 6*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.24570

Aloia, L., Di Stefano, B., & Di Croce, L. (2013, Jun). Polycomb complexes in stem cells and

embryonic development. *Development, 140*(12), 2525-2534.

https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.091553

Antonysamy, S., Condon, B., Druzina, Z., Bonanno, J. B., Gheyi, T., Zhang, F., MacEwan, I., Zhang,

A., Ashok, S., Rodgers, L., Russell, M., & Gately Luz, J. (2013). Structural context of

disease-associated mutations and putative mechanism of autoinhibition revealed by X-

ray crystallographic analysis of the EZH2-SET domain. *PLoS One, 8*(12), e84147.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084147

Basheer, F., Giotopoulos, G., Meduri, E., Yun, H., Mazan, M., Sasca, D., Gallipoli, P., Marando, L.,

Gozdecka, M., Asby, R., Sheppard, O., Dudek, M., Bullinger, L., Dohner, H., Dillon, R.,

Freeman, S., Ottmann, O., Burnett, A., Russell, N., Papaemmanuil, E., Hills, R., Campbell,

P., Vassiliou, G. S., & Huntly, B. J. P. (2019, Apr 1). Contrasting requirements during

disease evolution identify EZH2 as a therapeutic target in AML. *J Exp Med, 216*(4), 966-

981. https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20181276

Behringer, R., Gertsenstein, M., Nagy, K. V., & Nagy, A. (2016, Dec 1). Differentiating Mouse

Embryonic Stem Cells into Embryoid Bodies by Hanging-Drop Cultures. *Cold Spring Harb*

Protoc, 2016(12). https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot092429

Beringer, M., Pisano, P., Di Carlo, V., Blanco, E., Chammas, P., Vizán, P., Gutiérrez, A., Aranda, S.,

Payer, B., Wierer, M., & Di Croce, L. (2016, Nov 17). EPOP Functionally Links Elongin and

Polycomb in Pluripotent Stem Cells. *Mol Cell, 64*(4), 645-658.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2016.10.018

Bernstein, E., Duncan, E. M., Masui, O., Gil, J., Heard, E., & Allis, C. D. (2006, Apr). Mouse

polycomb proteins bind differentially to methylated histone H3 and RNA and are

enriched in facultative heterochromatin. *Mol Cell Biol, 26*(7), 2560-2569.

https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.26.7.2560-2569.2006

Boheler, K. R., Czyz, J., Tweedie, D., Yang, H. T., Anisimov, S. V., & Wobus, A. M. (2002, Aug 9).

Differentiation of pluripotent embryonic stem cells into cardiomyocytes. *Circ Res, 91*(3),

189-201. https://doi.org/10.1161/01.res.0000027865.61704.32

Bohm, J., Muenzner, J. K., Caliskan, A., Ndreshkjana, B., Erlenbach-Wunsch, K., Merkel, S.,

Croner, R., Rau, T. T., Geppert, C. I., Hartmann, A., Roehe, A. V., & Schneider-Stock, R.

(2019, Sep). Loss of enhancer of zeste homologue 2 (EZH2) at tumor invasion front is

correlated with higher aggressiveness in colorectal cancer cells. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol,*

145(9), 2227-2240. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00432-019-02977-1

Bowman, S. K., Simon, M. D., Deaton, A. M., Tolstorukov, M., Borowsky, M. L., & Kingston, R. E.

(2013, Jul 9). Multiplexed Illumina sequencing libraries from picogram quantities of

DNA. *BMC Genomics, 14*, 466. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-466

Bradley, W. D., Arora, S., Busby, J., Balasubramanian, S., Gehling, V. S., Nasveschuk, C. G.,

Vaswani, R. G., Yuan, C. C., Hatton, C., Zhao, F., Williamson, K. E., Iyer, P., Méndez, J.,

Campbell, R., Cantone, N., Garapaty-Rao, S., Audia, J. E., Cook, A. S., Dakin, L. A.,

Albrecht, B. K., Harmange, J. C., Daniels, D. L., Cummings, R. T., Bryant, B. M., Normant,

E., & Trojer, P. (2014, Nov 20). EZH2 inhibitor efficacy in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma does

not require suppression of H3K27 monomethylation. *Chem Biol, 21*(11), 1463-1475.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2014.09.017

Bremer, S. C. B., Conradi, L. C., Mechie, N. C., Amanzada, A., Mavropoulou, E., Kitz, J., Ghadimi,

M., Ellenrieder, V., Strobel, P., Hessmann, E., Gaedcke, J., & Bohnenberger, H. (2019,

Nov 6). Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 in Colorectal Cancer Development and

Progression. *Digestion*, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1159/000504093

Chamberlain, S. J., Yee, D., & Magnuson, T. (2008, Jun). Polycomb repressive complex 2 is

dispensable for maintenance of embryonic stem cell pluripotency. *Stem Cells, 26*(6),

1496-1505. https://doi.org/10.1634/stemcells.2008-0102

Collinson, A., Collier, A. J., Morgan, N. P., Sienerth, A. R., Chandra, T., Andrews, S., & Rugg-Gunn,

P. J. (2016, Dec 6). Deletion of the Polycomb-Group Protein EZH2 Leads to Compromised

Self-Renewal and Differentiation Defects in Human Embryonic Stem Cells. *Cell Rep,*

17(10), 2700-2714. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.11.032

Cyrus, S., Burkardt, D., Weaver, D. D., & Gibson, W. T. (2019, Dec). PRC2-complex related

dysfunction in overgrowth syndromes: A review of EZH2, EED, and SUZ12 and their

syndromic phenotypes. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet, 181*(4), 519-531.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.c.31754

Dang, S. M., Kyba, M., Perlingeiro, R., Daley, G. Q., & Zandstra, P. W. (2002, May 20). Efficiency

of embryoid body formation and hematopoietic development from embryonic stem

cells in different culture systems. *Biotechnol Bioeng, 78*(4), 442-453.

https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.10220

Deng, Y., Chen, X., Huang, C., Chen, G., Chen, F., Lu, J., Shi, X., He, C., Zeng, Z., Qiu, Y., Chen, J.,

Lin, R., Chen, Y., & Chen, J. (2019). EZH2/Bcl-2 Coexpression Predicts Worse Survival in

Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphomas and Demonstrates Poor Efficacy to Rituximab in

Localized Lesions. *J Cancer, 10*(9), 2006-2017. https://doi.org/10.7150/jca.29807

Dessau, R. B., & Pipper, C. B. (2008, Jan 28). [''R''--project for statistical computing]. *Ugeskr*

Laeger, 170(5), 328-330. (R--en programpakke til statistisk databehandling og grafik.)

Dobin, A., Davis, C. A., Schlesinger, F., Drenkow, J., Zaleski, C., Jha, S., Batut, P., Chaisson, M., &

Gingeras, T. R. (2013, Jan 1). STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics,*

29(1), 15-21. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635

Dou, D., Ge, X., Wang, X., Xu, X., Zhang, Z., Seng, J., Cao, Z., Gu, Y., & Han, M. (2019). EZH2

Contributes To Cisplatin Resistance In Breast Cancer By Epigenetically Suppressing miR-

381 Expression. *Onco Targets Ther, 12*, 9627-9637. https://doi.org/10.2147/ott.S214104

Ferguson, J., Devarajan, M., DiNuoscio, G., Saiakhova, A., Liu, C. F., Lefebvre, V., Scacheri, P. C.,
& Atit, R. P. (2018, Feb 2). PRC2 Is Dispensable in Vivo for β-Catenin-Mediated
Repression of Chondrogenesis in the Mouse Embryonic Cranial Mesenchyme. *G3*
(Bethesda), 8(2), 491-503. https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.300311

Fioravanti, R., Stazi, G., Zwergel, C., Valente, S., & Mai, A. (2018, Dec). Six Years (2012-2018) of
Researches on Catalytic EZH2 Inhibitors: The Boom of the 2-Pyridone Compounds. *Chem*
Rec, 18(12), 1818-1832. https://doi.org/10.1002/tcr.201800091

Fraineau, S., Palii, C. G., McNeill, B., Ritso, M., Shelley, W. C., Prasain, N., Chu, A., Vion, E., Rieck,
K., Nilufar, S., Perkins, T. J., Rudnicki, M. A., Allan, D. S., Yoder, M. C., Suuronen, E. J., &
Brand, M. (2017, Nov 14). Epigenetic Activation of Pro-angiogenic Signaling Pathways in
Human Endothelial Progenitors Increases Vasculogenesis. *Stem Cell Reports, 9*(5), 1573-
1587. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2017.09.009

Harris, J. (2018). Partial Clinical Hold on Tazemetostat Trials Lifted by FDA [News article].
Targeted Oncology.

Healy, E., Mucha, M., Glancy, E., Fitzpatrick, D. J., Conway, E., Neikes, H. K., Monger, C., Van
Mierlo, G., Baltissen, M. P., Koseki, Y., Vermeulen, M., Koseki, H., & Bracken, A. P. (2019,
Nov 7). PRC2.1 and PRC2.2 Synergize to Coordinate H3K27 Trimethylation. *Mol Cell,*
76(3), 437-452.e436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2019.08.012

Heinz, S., Benner, C., Spann, N., Bertolino, E., Lin, Y. C., Laslo, P., Cheng, J. X., Murre, C., Singh,
H., & Glass, C. K. (2010, May 28). Simple combinations of lineage-determining
transcription factors prime cis-regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell
identities. *Mol Cell, 38*(4), 576-589. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.05.004

Hescheler, J., Fleischmann, B. K., Lentini, S., Maltsev, V. A., Rohwedel, J., Wobus, A. M., &

Addicks, K. (1997, Nov). Embryonic stem cells: a model to study structural and functional

properties in cardiomyogenesis. *Cardiovasc Res, 36*(2), 149-162.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0008-6363(97)00193-4

Hon, G. C., Song, C. X., Du, T., Jin, F., Selvaraj, S., Lee, A. Y., Yen, C. A., Ye, Z., Mao, S. Q., Wang,

B. A., Kuan, S., Edsall, L. E., Zhao, B. S., Xu, G. L., He, C., & Ren, B. (2014, Oct 23). 5mC

oxidation by Tet2 modulates enhancer activity and timing of transcriptome

reprogramming during differentiation. *Mol Cell, 56*(2), 286-297.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.08.026

Hunt, S. E., McLaren, W., Gil, L., Thormann, A., Schuilenburg, H., Sheppard, D., Parton, A.,

Armean, I. M., Trevanion, S. J., Flicek, P., & Cunningham, F. (2018, Jan 1). Ensembl

variation resources. *Database (Oxford), 2018*. https://doi.org/10.1093/database/bay119

Italiano, A., Soria, J. C., Toulmonde, M., Michot, J. M., Lucchesi, C., Varga, A., Coindre, J. M.,

Blakemore, S. J., Clawson, A., Suttle, B., McDonald, A. A., Woodruff, M., Ribich, S.,

Hedrick, E., Keilhack, H., Thomson, B., Owa, T., Copeland, R. A., Ho, P. T. C., & Ribrag, V.

(2018, May). Tazemetostat, an EZH2 inhibitor, in relapsed or refractory B-cell non-

Hodgkin lymphoma and advanced solid tumours: a first-in-human, open-label, phase 1

study. *Lancet Oncol, 19*(5), 649-659. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045(18)30145-1

Jain, P., & Di Croce, L. (2016, May). Mutations and deletions of PRC2 in prostate cancer.

Bioessays, 38(5), 446-454. https://doi.org/10.1002/bies.201500162

Juan, A. H., Wang, S., Ko, K. D., Zare, H., Tsai, P. F., Feng, X., Vivanco, K. O., Ascoli, A. M.,

Gutierrez-Cruz, G., Krebs, J., Sidoli, S., Knight, A. L., Pedersen, R. A., Garcia, B. A.,

Casellas, R., Zou, J., & Sartorelli, V. (2016, Oct 25). Roles of H3K27me2 and H3K27me3

Examined during Fate Specification of Embryonic Stem Cells. *Cell Rep, 17*(5), 1369-1382.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.09.087

Karlowee, V., Amatya, V. J., Takayasu, T., Takano, M., Yonezawa, U., Takeshima, Y., Sugiyama,

K., Kurisu, K., & Yamasaki, F. (2019). Immunostaining of Increased Expression of

Enhancer of Zeste Homolog 2 (EZH2) in Diffuse Midline Glioma H3K27M-Mutant

Patients with Poor Survival. *Pathobiology, 86*(2-3), 152-161.

https://doi.org/10.1159/000496691

Kondo, Y. (2014, Nov). Targeting histone methyltransferase EZH2 as cancer treatment. *J*

Biochem, 156(5), 249-257. https://doi.org/10.1093/jb/mvu054

Krill, L., Deng, W., Eskander, R., Mutch, D., Zweizig, S., Hoang, B., Ioffe, O., Randall, L., Lankes,

H., Miller, D. S., & Birrer, M. (2020, Feb). Overexpression of enhance of Zeste homolog 2

(EZH2) in endometrial carcinoma: An NRG Oncology/Gynecologic Oncology Group Study.

Gynecol Oncol, 156(2), 423-429. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2019.12.003

Lavarone, E., Barbieri, C. M., & Pasini, D. (2019, Apr 11). Dissecting the role of H3K27

acetylation and methylation in PRC2 mediated control of cellular identity. *Nat Commun,*

10(1), 1679. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09624-w

Lewis, E., & Mislove, R. (1947). New mutants report. *Drosophila Information Service, 21*, 69.

Li, G., Margueron, R., Ku, M., Chambon, P., Bernstein, B. E., & Reinberg, D. (2010, Feb 15). Jarid2

and PRC2, partners in regulating gene expression. *Genes Dev, 24*(4), 368-380.

https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1886410

Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., &

Durbin, R. (2009, Aug 15). The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools.

Bioinformatics, 25(16), 2078-2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352

Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K., & Shi, W. (2014, Apr 1). featureCounts: an efficient general purpose

program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics, 30*(7), 923-

930. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

Liu, T. P., Lo, H. L., Wei, L. S., Hsiao, H. H., & Yang, P. M. (2015, Feb). S-Adenosyl-L-methionine-

competitive inhibitors of the histone methyltransferase EZH2 induce autophagy and

enhance drug sensitivity in cancer cells. *Anticancer Drugs, 26*(2), 139-147.

https://doi.org/10.1097/cad.0000000000000166

Lue, J. K., & Amengual, J. E. (2018, Oct). Emerging EZH2 Inhibitors and Their Application in

Lymphoma. *Curr Hematol Malig Rep, 13*(5), 369-382. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11899-

018-0466-6

Margueron, R., Li, G., Sarma, K., Blais, A., Zavadil, J., Woodcock, C. L., Dynlacht, B. D., &

Reinberg, D. (2008, Nov 21). Ezh1 and Ezh2 maintain repressive chromatin through

different mechanisms. *Mol Cell, 32*(4), 503-518.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.11.004

Margueron, R., & Reinberg, D. (2011, Jan 20). The Polycomb complex PRC2 and its mark in life.

Nature, 469(7330), 343-349. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09784

Matsubara, T., Toyokawa, G., Takada, K., Kinoshita, F., Kozuma, Y., Akamine, T., Shimokawa, M.,

Haro, A., Osoegawa, A., Tagawa, T., & Mori, M. (2019). The association and prognostic

impact of enhancer of zeste homologue 2 expression and epithelial-mesenchymal

transition in resected lung adenocarcinoma. *PLoS One, 14*(5), e0215103.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0215103

Mechaal, A., Menif, S., Abbes, S., & Safra, I. (2019, Sep). EZH2, new diagnosis and prognosis

marker in acute myeloid leukemia patients. *Adv Med Sci, 64*(2), 395-401.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advms.2019.07.002

Nakagawa, M., Koyanagi, M., Tanabe, K., Takahashi, K., Ichisaka, T., Aoi, T., Okita, K., Mochiduki,

Y., Takizawa, N., & Yamanaka, S. (2008, Jan). Generation of induced pluripotent stem

cells without Myc from mouse and human fibroblasts. *Nat Biotechnol, 26*(1), 101-106.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1374

O'Geen, H., Ren, C., Nicolet, C. M., Perez, A. A., Halmai, J., Le, V. M., Mackay, J. P., Farnham, P.

J., & Segal, D. J. (2017, Sep 29). dCas9-based epigenome editing suggests acquisition of

histone methylation is not sufficient for target gene repression. *Nucleic Acids Res,*

45(17), 9901-9916. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx578

Oksuz, O., Narendra, V., Lee, C. H., Descostes, N., LeRoy, G., Raviram, R., Blumenberg, L., Karch,

K., Rocha, P. P., Garcia, B. A., Skok, J. A., & Reinberg, D. (2018, Jun 21). Capturing the

Onset of PRC2-Mediated Repressive Domain Formation. *Mol Cell, 70*(6), 1149-

1162.e1145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2018.05.023

Pasini, D., Bracken, A. P., Hansen, J. B., Capillo, M., & Helin, K. (2007, May). The polycomb group

protein Suz12 is required for embryonic stem cell differentiation. *Mol Cell Biol, 27*(10),

3769-3779. https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.01432-06

Pasini, D., Bracken, A. P., Jensen, M. R., Lazzerini Denchi, E., & Helin, K. (2004, Oct 13). Suz12 is

essential for mouse development and for EZH2 histone methyltransferase activity. *Embo*

j, 23(20), 4061-4071. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600402

Pereira, C. F., Piccolo, F. M., Tsubouchi, T., Sauer, S., Ryan, N. K., Bruno, L., Landeira, D., Santos,

991   J., Banito, A., Gil, J., Koseki, H., Merkenschlager, M., & Fisher, A. G. (2010, Jun 4). ESCs

992   require PRC2 to direct the successful reprogramming of differentiated cells toward

993   pluripotency. *Cell Stem Cell, 6*(6), 547-556. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2010.04.013

995   Qi, W., Chan, H., Teng, L., Li, L., Chuai, S., Zhang, R., Zeng, J., Li, M., Fan, H., Lin, Y., Gu, J.,

996   Ardayfio, O., Zhang, J. H., Yan, X., Fang, J., Mi, Y., Zhang, M., Zhou, T., Feng, G., Chen, Z.,

997   Li, G., Yang, T., Zhao, K., Liu, X., Yu, Z., Lu, C. X., Atadja, P., & Li, E. (2012, Dec 26).

998   Selective inhibition of Ezh2 by a small molecule inhibitor blocks tumor cells proliferation.

999   *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 109*(52), 21360-21365.

1000   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1210371110

1001

1002   Rai, A. N., Vargas, M. L., Wang, L., Andersen, E. F., Miller, E. L., & Simon, J. A. (2013, Dec).

1003   Elements of the polycomb repressor SU(Z)12 needed for histone H3-K27 methylation,

1004   the interface with E(Z), and in vivo function. *Mol Cell Biol, 33*(24), 4844-4856.

1005   https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.00307-13

1006

1007   Ramírez, F., Dündar, F., Diehl, S., Grüning, B. A., & Manke, T. (2014, Jul). deepTools: a flexible

1008   platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. *Nucleic Acids Res, 42*(Web Server issue),

1009   W187-191. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku365

1010

1011   Robinson, J. T., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Winckler, W., Guttman, M., Lander, E. S., Getz, G., &

1012   Mesirov, J. P. (2011, Jan). Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol, 29*(1), 24-26.

1013   https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1754

1014

1015   Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., & Smyth, G. K. (2010, Jan 1). edgeR: a Bioconductor package

1016   for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics, 26*(1),

1017   139-140. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616

1018

1019 Schuettengruber, B., Bourbon, H. M., Di Croce, L., & Cavalli, G. (2017, Sep 21). Genome

1020   Regulation by Polycomb and Trithorax: 70 Years and Counting. *Cell, 171*(1), 34-57.

1021   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.08.002

1022

1023 Shan, Y., Liang, Z., Xing, Q., Zhang, T., Wang, B., Tian, S., Huang, W., Zhang, Y., Yao, J., Zhu, Y.,

1024   Huang, K., Liu, Y., Wang, X., Chen, Q., Zhang, J., Shang, B., Li, S., Shi, X., Liao, B., Zhang,

1025   C., Lai, K., Zhong, X., Shu, X., Wang, J., Yao, H., Chen, J., Pei, D., & Pan, G. (2017, Sep 22).

1026   PRC2 specifies ectoderm lineages and maintains pluripotency in primed but not naïve

1027   ESCs. *Nat Commun, 8*(1), 672. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00668-4

1028

1029 Shen, X., Kim, W., Fujiwara, Y., Simon, M. D., Liu, Y., Mysliwiec, M. R., Yuan, G. C., Lee, Y., &

1030   Orkin, S. H. (2009, Dec 24). Jumonji modulates polycomb activity and self-renewal

1031   versus differentiation of stem cells. *Cell, 139*(7), 1303-1314.

1032   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.12.003

1033

1034 Shen, X., Liu, Y., Hsu, Y. J., Fujiwara, Y., Kim, J., Mao, X., Yuan, G. C., & Orkin, S. H. (2008, Nov

1035   21). EZH1 mediates methylation on histone H3 lysine 27 and complements EZH2 in

1036   maintaining stem cell identity and executing pluripotency. *Mol Cell, 32*(4), 491-502.

1037   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2008.10.016

1038

1039 Shi, B., Behrens, C., Vaghani, V., Riquelme, E. M., Rodriguez-Canales, J., Kadara, H., Lin, H., Lee,

1040   J., Liu, H., Wistuba, I., & Simon, G. (2019, Oct). Oncogenic enhancer of zeste homolog 2

1041   is an actionable target in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Med, 8*(14),

1042   6383-6392. https://doi.org/10.1002/cam4.1855

1043

1044 Skene, P. J., & Henikoff, S. (2017, Jan 16). An efficient targeted nuclease strategy for high-

1045   resolution mapping of DNA binding sites. *Elife, 6*. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.21856

1046

1047   Takahashi, K., & Yamanaka, S. (2006, Aug 25). Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse

1048   embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell, 126*(4), 663-676.

1049   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.024

1050

1051   Thornton, S. R., Butty, V. L., Levine, S. S., & Boyer, L. A. (2014). Polycomb Repressive Complex 2

1052   regulates lineage fidelity during embryonic stem cell differentiation. *PLoS One, 9*(10),

1053   e110498. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0110498

1054

1055   Tian, Z., Li, Z., Zhu, Y., Meng, L., Liu, F., Sang, M., & Wang, G. (2019, Aug). Hypermethylation-

1056   mediated inactivation of miR-124 predicts poor prognosis and promotes tumor growth

1057   at least partially through targeting EZH2/H3K27me3 in ESCC. *Clin Exp Metastasis, 36*(4),

1058   381-391. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10585-019-09974-1

1059

1060   van Mierlo, G., Veenstra, G. J. C., Vermeulen, M., & Marks, H. (2019, Aug). The Complexity of

1061   PRC2 Subcomplexes. *Trends Cell Biol, 29*(8), 660-671.

1062   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2019.05.004

1063

1064   Wasenang, W., Puapairoj, A., Settasatian, C., Proungvitaya, S., & Limpaiboon, T. (2019, Jul).

1065   Overexpression of polycomb repressive complex 2 key components EZH2/SUZ12/EED as

1066   an unfavorable prognostic marker in cholangiocarcinoma. *Pathol Res Pract, 215*(7),

1067   152451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prp.2019.152451

1068

Wassef, M., Luscan, A., Aflaki, S., Zielinski, D., Jansen, P., Baymaz, H. I., Battistella, A., Kersouani,

C., Servant, N., Wallace, M. R., Romero, P., Kosmider, O., Just, P. A., Hivelin, M., Jacques,

S., Vincent-Salomon, A., Vermeulen, M., Vidaud, M., Pasmant, E., & Margueron, R.

(2019, Mar 26). EZH1/2 function mostly within canonical PRC2 and exhibit proliferation-

dependent redundancy that shapes mutational signatures in cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

U S A, 116(13), 6075-6080. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1814634116

1075

1076   Wu, X., Scott, H., Carlsson, S. V., Sjoberg, D. D., Cerundolo, L., Lilja, H., Prevo, R., Rieunier, G.,

1077   Macaulay, V., Higgins, G. S., Verrill, C. L., Lamb, A. D., Cunliffe, V. T., Bountra, C., Hamdy,

1078   F. C., & Bryant, R. J. (2019, Jul). Increased EZH2 expression in prostate cancer is

1079   associated with metastatic recurrence following external beam radiotherapy. *Prostate,*

1080   *79*(10), 1079-1089. https://doi.org/10.1002/pros.23817

1081

1082   Xu, B., On, D. M., Ma, A., Parton, T., Konze, K. D., Pattenden, S. G., Allison, D. F., Cai, L.,

1083   Rockowitz, S., Liu, S., Liu, Y., Li, F., Vedadi, M., Frye, S. V., Garcia, B. A., Zheng, D., Jin, J.,

1084   & Wang, G. G. (2015, Jan 8). Selective inhibition of EZH2 and EZH1 enzymatic activity by

1085   a small molecule suppresses MLL-rearranged leukemia. *Blood, 125*(2), 346-357.

1086   https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2014-06-581082

1087

1088   Xu, H., Zhang, L., Qian, X., Zhou, X., Yan, Y., Zhou, J., Ge, W., Albahde, M., & Wang, W. (2019,

1089   Oct). GSK343 induces autophagy and downregulates the AKT/mTOR signaling pathway

1090   in pancreatic cancer cells. *Exp Ther Med, 18*(4), 2608-2616.

1091   https://doi.org/10.3892/etm.2019.7845

1092

1093   Yamagishi, M., & Uchimaru, K. (2017, Sep). Targeting EZH2 in cancer therapy. *Curr Opin Oncol,*

1094   *29*(5), 375-381. https://doi.org/10.1097/cco.0000000000000390

1095

1096   Yang, P. M., Hong, Y. H., Hsu, K. C., & Liu, T. P. (2019). p38α/S1P/SREBP2 activation by the SAM-

1097   competitive EZH2 inhibitor GSK343 limits its anticancer activity but creates a druggable

1098   vulnerability in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am J Cancer Res, 9*(10), 2120-2139.

1099

1100   Yu, W., Zhang, F., Wang, S., Fu, Y., Chen, J., Liang, X., Le, H., Pu, W. T., & Zhang, B. (2017, Apr

1101   13). Depletion of polycomb repressive complex 2 core component EED impairs fetal

1102   hematopoiesis. *Cell Death Dis, 8*(4), e2744. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2017.163

1103

1104   Zhang, M. J., Chen, D. S., Li, H., Liu, W. W., Han, G. Y., & Han, Y. F. (2019). Clinical significance of

1105   USP7 and EZH2 in predicting prognosis of laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma and their

1106   possible functional mechanism. *Int J Clin Exp Pathol, 12*(6), 2184-2194.

1107

1108   Zhang, Q., Han, Q., Zi, J., Ma, J., Song, H., Tian, Y., McGrath, M., Song, C., & Ge, Z. (2019, Sep).

1109   Mutations in EZH2 are associated with poor prognosis for patients with myeloid

1110   neoplasms. *Genes Dis, 6*(3), 276-281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gendis.2019.05.001

1111

1112