Plant-microbe-mediated decrease of greenhouse gases under dynamic wetland hydrology

Regina B. Bledsoe[1,2], Colin G. Finlay[1,*], Ariane L. Peralta[1]

1. Department of Biology, East Carolina University, Greenville, NC 27858, USA

2. NewLeaf Symbiotics, St. Louis, MO 63132, USA

*Corresponding author: telephone: +1 307.690.1734, e-mail: finlayc21@students.ecu.edu

Regina B. Bledsoe and Colin G. Finlay are co-first authors.

Abstract

While wetlands represent a small fraction (~5-10%) of the world's land surface, it is

estimated that one-third of wetlands have been lost due to human activities. Wetland habitat loss

decreases ecosystem benefits such as improving water quality and mitigating climate change.

These microbially mediated functions are dependent on redox conditions, which are altered by

soil hydrology and the presence of plants. In this study, we hypothesized that redox status due to

continuous flooding would support greater abundance of microbial taxa involved in

methanogenesis (obligate anaerobes), but plant-mediated oxygen transport would decrease

methane emissions. Using a mesocosm design, we manipulated the duration of hydrologic

conditions (i.e., stable dry, stable flooding, and alternating wet/dry) and presence of plants to

induce soil redox changes in wetland soils. We measured soil redox status, used targeted

amplicon and shotgun metagenomic sequencing to characterize microbial communities, and

measured greenhouse gas production to assess microbial function. Results showed that

hydrologic history influenced microbial community composition while plant presence and

hydrologic treatment altered microbial functional gene composition to a lesser degree. Plant

presence decreased carbon dioxide fluxes, while differences in the community composition of

functional genes related to carbohydrate metabolism, denitrification, and methanogenesis also

explained variation in emissions of carbon dioxide and, to a lesser extent, methane. While

previous studies do not often include plants when assessing greenhouse gas emissions, this study

highlights that plant-mediated decreases in greenhouse gas emissions are important, and plant-

mediated effects should be considered when estimating the carbon balance of ecosystems.

Importance

This study revealed that initial hydrologic conditions, compared to manipulated hydrology, strongly influenced microbial community composition more than their function. Specifically, results showed that hydrology is a strong environmental filter that determines microbial taxonomic and functional community patterns, short-term redox changes that are most distinct from historic redox conditions shift microbial communities, and plant presence can stabilize redox shifts and mediate greenhouse gas production. These results underscore the importance of plant presence and the duration of flooding and drying periods in influencing wetland greenhouse gas production.

Introduction

Wetlands represent only 5-10% of terrestrial land surface (Kingsford, Basset, and Jackson 2016); however, it is estimated that we have lost at least 21% of wetlands as of 2023 (Fluet-Chouinard et al. 2023). Loss of essential functions is a consequence of the land use conversion of wetlands to urban and agricultural development. These wetland ecosystems provide valuable services, including improving water quality by decreasing nutrient and pollutant loads, and mitigating climate change by sequestering carbon. Therefore, there is an interest in conserving, restoring, and constructing wetlands for these ecosystem benefits. Examples of valuable wetland ecosystem functions include anaerobic microbial processes associated with saturated soils, which promote the complete removal of inorganic nitrogen via denitrification (NO_3 to N_2) and suppress the aerobic decomposition of organic matter. These same anoxic soil conditions can also support methane (CH_4) production (via methanogenesis), which could decrease long-term carbon storage and climate change mitigation benefits of wetlands. Microbial functions associated with the delivery of ecosystem services have the potential to be managed to enhance beneficial wetland services (Peralta, Stuart, et al. 2014). To achieve microbial management, a deeper understanding of the controls on wetland microbial ecosystem functions is needed.

To produce cellular energy, adenosine triphosphate (ATP), microorganisms catalyze a series of oxidation-reduction (redox) reactions. Aerobic respiration yields the greatest amount of energy and is expected under dry conditions, but as water fills soil pore spaces, diffusion of oxygen (O_2) into the soil matrix decreases, causing a shift to a reducing environment (Truu, Juhanson, and Truu 2009; Mitsch et al. 2013). Reducing environments favor anaerobic respiration in which other molecules such as nitrate (NO_3^-), manganese, ferric iron, sulfate, and

carbon dioxide (CO_2) are used as terminal electron acceptors (Burgin et al. 2011). As soil

moisture increases, O_2 availability and soil redox potentials decrease, which corresponds to a

shift in available electron acceptors (Truu, Juhanson, and Truu 2009). Redox potentials measured

between +600 and +250 mV are considered oxidative conditions, while redox potentials

measured from +250 to -400 mV are considered reducing conditions (Truu, Juhanson, and Truu

2009). Therefore, measuring soil redox potential is useful for predicting which biogeochemical

processes are likely to be carried out by soil microbes.

To manage microbial functions in support of nitrogen removal and retention but with

decreased CH_4 production, a better understanding of the extent to which the presence of

vegetation and changes in hydrology (e.g., flooding, drying) affect microbial communities is

needed. Complex interactions between abiotic factors, such as soil physicochemical properties,

redox status, and nutrient availability, and biotic factors, including the abundance of vegetation

and microbial community structure, influence microbial functions to varying degrees. A

microbial community is composed of many taxa with varying physiologies that respond

differently to changes in the local environment (Mentzer, Goodman, and Balser 2006; Nygaard

and Ejrnæs 2009). Particular microbes may be more adapted to specific hydrologic conditions in

their environment, where only a subset of microbial taxa can persist in response to frequent

changes in soil moisture (Peralta, Ludmer, et al. 2014; Truu, Juhanson, and Truu 2009).

However, unexpected microbial responses can also occur due to dormancy of soil bacterial taxa

(Lennon and Jones 2011; Rocca et al. 2015). As such, hydrologic changes in wetlands due to

drought, draining, and re-wetting cause shifts in soil redox potentials and microbial community

composition and metabolism, which can influence the relative rates of anaerobic processes such

as denitrification and methanogenesis (Kim et al. 2008; Truu, Juhanson, and Truu 2009; Peralta,

Ludmer, et al. 2014).

If drying and re-wetting events are periodic, a cycle of activity and dormancy can

maintain high microbial diversity compared to more stable environmental conditions (Peralta,

Ludmer, et al. 2014). For example, denitrifiers are facultative anaerobes and can use O_2;

however, under anoxic conditions, they switch to reducing NO_3^- to nitrous oxide (N_2O) and

dinitrogen (N_2) gas during respiration (Mitsch and Gosslink 2007). In addition, methanogens are

dominant in the most anoxic conditions, such as flooded wetlands, and are responsible for

converting CO_2 to CH_4 (Mitsch and Gosslink 2007). Fluctuating hydrologic conditions can shift

the microbial community to one that can only tolerate transitional zones. As hydrologic changes

occur, oxic-anoxic interfaces provide environmental conditions that sometimes support

methanotrophs, which transform CH_4 to CO_2, and denitrifiers (McDonald and Murrell 1997;

Mitsch and Gosslink 2007; Conrad 2009). Therefore, the availability of electron acceptors (e.g.,

O_2, NO_3^-) in the soil environment influences the relative abundance of microbial functional

groups (i.e., subset of microbes capable of using a suite of electron acceptors) and rates and types

of microbial processes.

While hydrology is a primary determinant of soil redox potential, plants also modify the

soil environment. The soil microenvironments that are in contact with plant roots are hotspots of

microbial activity due to plant-mediated transport of nutrients, O_2, and carbon belowground

(Kuzyakov 2010; Philippot et al. 2013; Chanton 2005). Plants can also transport CH_4

aboveground through aerenchyma in shoots. Radial O_2 loss from plant roots can increase soil

redox potentials around the root zone, producing microenvironments that support aerobic

microbial processes (Chanton 2005). Additionally, vegetation can alter soil redox potentials by

facilitating transport of O_2 into root zones (Chanton 2005; Sundberg et al. 2007) and transporting

CH_4 from root zones into the atmosphere (Carmichael et al. 2014; Hu et al. 2015).

The aim of this study is to provide insight into how the duration of hydrologic change

(i.e., sustained dry, interim dry/wet, sustained wet), and the presence of vegetation in wetland

soils change the structure of the microbial community, redox conditions, and greenhouse gas

(GHG) production. We hypothesize that dry and dry/wet transition conditions will support

similar microbial communities, but that redox potentials and GHG production rates will differ

between manipulated hydrologic treatment conditions. We expect that dry conditions with and

without vegetation will support higher redox potentials (i.e., oxidative conditions) and a higher

rate of CO_2 production with some N_2O production but little or no CH_4 production. We expect

this because dry and transition conditions should support similar communities of facultative

anaerobes that can switch between respiration pathways depending on current soil saturation

levels. Additionally, in dry conditions, soils are already aerated, so plant-mediated gas transport

belowground should not alter redox potentials. However, in fluctuating wet conditions, we

expect that redox conditions will shift between oxidative and reducing conditions due to soil

saturation. Also, plant presence is expected to increase redox potentials during saturated periods,

due to plant-mediated gas transport belowground, compared to treatments where vegetation is

absent. Due to lower O_2 levels when saturated conditions are present, we expect decreased CO_2

production but increased N_2O production with plants due to plant stimulation of denitrification

via organic matter inputs and elevated O_2 supporting nitrification to supply NO_3^- to denitrifiers

(Alldred and Baines 2016). Additionally, in the presence of plants and in dry or interim

conditions, we expect CH_4 production to be lower due to methanogens being obligate anaerobes.

Flooded conditions will support different microbial communities than dry or fluctuating

environments due to consistently low redox potentials (i.e., reducing conditions), resulting in the

highest rates of CH_4 production and complete denitrification rates since these are both anaerobic

processes. Although other studies (Carmichael et al. 2014; Hu et al. 2015) have found increased

methanogenesis in the presence of some plant species, in the present study, we expect that soils

with plants will have lower CH_4 production compared to flooded soils without plants. This is

expected due to O_2 transport from the roots, which supports aerobic methanotrophic microbial

taxa that consume CH_4.

To test these hypotheses, we conducted a mesocosm experiment in which we

manipulated hydrology (i.e., dry, interim, and wet) and the presence of plants in soils collected

from different hydrologic histories (i.e., dry, wet/dry transition, and saturated wet zones) within a

restored coastal plain wetland. We measured soil nutrient concentrations, redox potentials, GHG

fluxes, and characterized soil microbial communities using 16S rRNA gene amplicon

sequencing. By simulating acute differences in hydrologic states, the addition of plants, and the

maintenance of soil structure, we were able to link microbial-scale changes to ecosystem-level

processes.

Methods

Study site

We collected soil samples from the Timberlake Observatory for Wetland Restoration

located in the Albemarle Peninsula in Tyrell County, North Carolina (35°54'22" N 76°09'25"

W; Figure 1). Previously described by Ardón et al., the field site is part of the Great Dismal

Swamp Mitigation Bank, LLC, which is a 1,700 ha wetland consisting of 420 ha of mature

forested wetland, 787 ha of forested wetland, 57.2 ha of drained shrub-scrub, and 440 ha of

agricultural fields restored to wetland habitat in 2007 (Ardón et al. 2010; 2013; Morse, Ardón,

and Bernhardt 2012). A major portion of the restoration effort was to remove drainage ditches

and plant 750,000 saplings including *Taxodium distichum, Nyssa* spp., *Salix nigra, Fraxinus*

pennsylvanica, and Quercus spp. (Morse, Ardón, and Bernhardt 2012). A more recent survey

indicates that the dominant plant species at the site is *Juncus effusus* L., along with *Euthamia*

caroliniana (L.) Greene ex Porter & Britton, *Solidago fistulosa* Mill., and *Scirpus cyperinus* (L.)

Kunth (Hopfensperger et al. 2014). The site is connected to the Albemarle Sound via the Little

Alligator River, and the site's position in the landscape increases the potential for saltwater

intrusion to occur. In addition, salinity in the sound ranges from 0-7 psu and up to 12 psu during

drought years (Ardón et al. 2013). Even though there is little elevation change (-1 m to 2 m)

across the restored wetland, there is a hydrologic gradient, which is driven by the position of the

water table and represents upland dry, saturated wet, and transition dry/wet sites (Hopfensperger

et al. 2014).

Mesocosm experimental design

We used a mesocosm approach to assess the changes in the soil microbial community,

redox potentials, and GHG production due to hydrologic changes and vegetation. We set up a

mesocosm experiment using soil blocks collected from three sites within the Timberlake

Observatory for Wetland Restoration field site. Soils were collected from the restored portion of

the site (12 years post-restoration), which had been used as agricultural fields for several decades

prior to restoration (Ardón et al. 2010). We collected soils that represented three different

hydrologic zones on the inflow side of the site at the following coordinates: 35°53'45.3"N

76°09'57.5" W (dry), 35°53'44.8" N 76°09'57.1" W (dry/wet transition or interim), and

35°53'44.3" N 76°09'56.0" W (wet). Six intact soil blocks (25 cm × 25 cm × 20 cm deep) were

cut out from each of the three sites using landscaping knives and shovels. Soil history was

determined by water table levels at the time of collection (dry = 20 cm, interim = 10 cm, and wet

= 0 cm below surface level). Soil blocks were contained in dark plastic containers of the same

dimensions as the soil blocks (Figure 1). To manipulate hydrology within the mesocosm, vinyl

tubing was inserted 3 cm from the bottom of the mesocosm container on each side and connected

to a 1 L water bottle (Figure 1). Water levels inside the mesocosm were maintained by filling the

1 L bottle to the desired height using rainwater collected from a cistern. To manipulate the

presence of vegetation, the mesocosm was divided in half and separated by a root screen (20 µm

stainless steel mesh). On one side, plants were allowed to grow during the experiment, while the

'no plant' side was maintained by careful removal of above- and belowground plant biomass.

Finally, 4" schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) collars were inserted 5 cm below the surface as

a sampling base for GHG chambers (described in subsection *GHG fluxes*). The mesocosm

experiment was housed at the East Carolina University (ECU) West Research Campus

(Greenville, NC) under a covered hoop house with shade cloth, which prevented precipitation

and allowed 60% light into the hoop house. Soils were collected on April 1, 2016, and prepared

on May 29, 2016. Experimental mesocosm sampling commenced on June 13, 2016, and

concluded on August 11, 2016. Temperatures ranged from 22.7 °C ± 1.5 to 30.8 °C ± 1.4 during

the experimental sampling period. Because the hoop house was in a wetland approximately 100

miles from the field site, within the same physiographic region, the temperature and relative

humidity in the hoop house would have closely resembled field conditions. Blocking

precipitation was essential for the controlled manipulation of hydrologic conditions.

Two weeks after installing PVC collars and setting up the plant treatment, we started

hydrologic treatments. Hydrologic manipulation occurred over eight weeks to allow for multiple

dry/wet transitions for the interim treatment. Mesocosms exposed to wet conditions were flooded

by overhead watering and maintained using water reservoirs filled to maximum container height

(18 cm). Mesocosms exposed to dry conditions were maintained using water reservoirs filled to a

depth of 5 cm. The dry/wet (interim) treatments fluctuated between flooded and dry conditions,

as described above, every two weeks, starting with a wet treatment and ending with a dry

treatment. We measured soil redox status and microbial community composition at the beginning

and end of the eight-week experiment. Additionally, we measured GHG fluxes every two weeks,

starting two weeks after initiating the hydrologic treatments. Finally, at the end of the eight-week

experiment, we collected soils for physiochemical analyses: soil moisture, pH, total carbon, total

nitrogen, extractable ammonium (NH_4^+) and NO_3^-, phosphorus, potassium, magnesium, sulfur,

iron, manganese, and organic matter concentrations (described in subsection *Soil physiochemical*

characteristics).

Measuring integrated soil redox status

We measured soil redox status at the beginning and end of the hydrologic experiment

using Indicator of Reduction in Soils (IRIS) tubes (InMass Technologies (Jenkinson and

Franzmeier 2006), Figure 2, Appendix S1: Figure S1). The IRIS tubes are constructed from 1/2"

schedule 40 PVC pipe coated in iron oxide (Fe(III)) paint (Rabenhorst 2008). When exposed to

oxidative conditions, Fe(III) appears as an orange-red paint; but when exposed to anoxic

conditions, Fe(III) is reduced to Fe(II) which dissolves in solution and appears as a clearing

(white) on the tube (Jenkinson and Franzmeier 2006; Rabenhorst 2008). At the beginning of the

experiment, two IRIS tubes (12 cm depth) were installed in each mesocosm: one on the plant

side and one on the bare soil side. The IRIS tubes were incubated in mesocosm conditions for

two weeks before removal and analysis. After we removed the IRIS tube, a non-coated PVC pipe

was used to fill the hole. Two weeks prior to the end of the experiment, the non-coated PVC pipe

was removed and replaced with a new IRIS tube to measure soil redox status at the end of the

experiment.

We quantified the surface area of Fe(III) paint removed from IRIS tubes using *ImageJ*

(v1.48 (C. A. Schneider, Rasband, and Eliceiri 2012)). First, we imaged the entire tube by taking

four pictures and then stitched the photos into a composite using *GIMP2* (v2.8.14) photo editing

software (Kimball et al. 2014). Next, we identified areas of artificial paint removal, that is,

scratches from installing or removing tubes, and manually filled these pixels. Then, using *ImageJ*

(C. A. Schneider, Rasband, and Eliceiri 2012), we converted all colored pixels to black. We

compared the number of white pixels to the total pixels to determine the percentage of paint

removed. Interpretation of redox status is based on the percent paint removed from a 10 cm

section of tubing and summarized as follows: 0% not reducing, 1-5% probably not reducing, 5-

10% possibly reducing, 10-25% and >25% definitely reducing (Rabenhorst 2008). For regression

analysis of GHG fluxes as a function of redox status, the percent of paint removed was treated as

a continuous variable to represent redox status.

Soil physicochemical characteristics

Soil properties were determined from soils collected during the destructive sampling of

mesocosms at the end of the experimental duration. We collected six soil cores (3 cm diameter,

10 cm depth) from each side of the box (i.e., plant and no plant) and combined cores collected

from one side into a composite sample (i.e., one plant composite and one no plant composite),

passed soils through a 4 mm sieve, and homogenized samples prior to subsampling for soil

analyses. For each sample, we measured gravimetric soil moisture by drying 20-30 g of field-

moist soil at 105 °C for at least 24 hours. Approximately 5 g of field moist soil was extracted

with 45 ml of 2 M KCl, and extractable NH_4^+ and NO_3^- ions were colorimetrically measured

using a SmartChem 200 auto analyzer (Unity Scientific, Milford, Massachusetts, USA) at the

East Carolina University Environmental Research Laboratory. To determine total carbon and

total nitrogen (TC, TN), a subsample of air-dried soil was finely ground and sieved through a

500 μm mesh and analyzed using an elemental analyzer (2400 CHNS Analyzer; Perkin Elmer,

Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) at the Environmental and Agricultural Testing Service

Laboratory, Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, North Carolina State University. A second

subsample of air-dried soil was sent to Waters Agricultural Laboratories, Inc. (Warsaw, NC) and

analyzed for pH, phosphorus, potassium, magnesium, sulfur, manganese, iron, and humic matter,

using standard Mehlich III methods (Mehlich 1984; Mylavarapu et al. 2014).

Greenhouse gas (GHG) fluxes

We examined the effects of hydrology and vegetation on GHG production. We measured

GHG fluxes on June 13, 2016, two weeks after hydrologic treatments were established, and then

every two weeks until August 11, 2016, for a total of five sampling events. The GHG collection

chambers were 20 cm in height with a diameter of 8.25 cm and constructed from clear acrylic

tubing. Since GHG samples were captured using clear chambers versus opaque chambers, GHG

measurements represent total GHG fluxes and not just soil respiration due to microbial activity.

Chambers were sealed on one end with silicon and a pipe cap with a 33 mm septum installed as a

gas sampling port. At the time of sampling, chambers were placed on top of preinstalled PVC

collars, and the seals were taped to prevent diffusion from the seam (Hoffmann et al. 2018). A

gas sample was collected from chambers at four time points: 30 minutes, 60 minutes, 120

minutes, and 180 minutes after chamber attachment. Initial ambient (T_0) samples were not

obtained before chamber closure. Instead, they were collected from the mesocosm incubation

field site at the ECU West Research Campus as part of a 16-month effort to sample coastal plain

wetland GHGs, spanning from August 2021 to December 2022. Monthly average ambient GHG

concentrations were calculated and used to represent the T_0 concentration for mesocosm flux

measurements within each corresponding month. To collect gas samples, we used a needle

attached to a 20 mL syringe, mixed headspace gas three times by pulling and depressing the

plunger, and then collected 20 mL of sample. The collected gas sample was equally distributed

between two 3 mL glass Exetainer vials (Lampeter, Wales, UK) fitted with screw top and dual

layer septa. Samples were stored upside down at room temperature in a dark location and were

analyzed within 96 hours of collection. We analyzed GHG concentrations using a Shimadzu gas

chromatograph (GC-2014) fitted with an electron capture detector to detect N_2O and a flame

ionization detector with a methanizer to measure CH_4 and CO_2. Calibration standards for June 13

and June 28, 2016, contained 0, 120, 240, 360, and 480 CO_2 parts per million (ppm); 0, 1, 2, 3, 4,

and 5 CH_4 ppm; 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, and 1 N_2O ppm. Calibration standards for July 11, Jul 25,

and August 11, 2016, contained 0, 120, 480, 1200, 2400, and 3000 CO_2 ppm; 0, 1, 4, 20, 40, and

50 CH_4 ppm; 0, 0.2, 0.8, 20, 40, and 50 N_2O ppm. Monthly ambient samples collected from

August 2021 to December 2022 were calibrated against standards containing CO_2 at 0, 120, 240,

360, 480, 600, 1200, 1800, 2400, and 3000 ppm; CH_4 at 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50

ppm; and N_2O at 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1, 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 ppm. If a sample measured above

the calibration curve, we diluted the sample, remeasured the concentration, and accounted for the

dilution factor when calculating the final concentrations.

We calculated GHG fluxes using the *gasfluxes* package implemented in the R Statistical

Environment and RStudio (RStudio 2024.12.0+467, Rv4.4.2) (Fuss and Hueppi 2024; R Core

Team 2024). Using *gasfluxes*, the best model fit among HMR, robust linear, and linear was

selected for each flux (Appendix S2).

Bacterial and archaeal community analyses

We assessed the soil microbial community composition at the beginning of the

experiment ('start', based on soils collected from the IRIS tube installation) and at the end of the

experiment ('final', based on soils collected during destructive sampling). We collected 'final'

soil samples using a standard soil probe (3 cm diameter, 10 cm depth) from the same location

that we collected GHG samples. We extracted genomic DNA from the soils using the Qiagen

DNeasy PowerSoil Kit and diluted the DNA to 20 ng/µL. This genomic DNA was used as

template in PCR reactions and used barcoded primers (bacterial/archaeal 515FB/806R primer

set) originally developed by the Earth Microbiome Project to target the V4 region of the bacterial

16S subunit of the ribosomal RNA gene (Caporaso et al. 2012; Apprill et al. 2015; Parada,

Needham, and Fuhrman 2016). For each sample, three 50 µL PCR libraries were prepared by

combining 35.75 µL molecular grade water, 5 µL Amplitaq Gold 360 10x buffer, 5 µL $MgCl_2$

(25 mM), 1 µL dNTPs (40mM total, 10mM each), 0.25 µL Amplitaq Gold 360 polymerase, 1 µL

515 forward barcoded primer (10 µM), 1 µL 806 reverse primer (10 µM), and 1 µL DNA

template (10 ng µL^{-1}). Thermocycler conditions for PCR reactions were as follows: initial

denaturation (94 °C, 3 minutes); 30 cycles of 94 °C for 45 seconds, 50 °C for 30 seconds, 72 °C

for 90 seconds; final elongation (72 °C, 10 minutes). The triplicate 50 µL PCR libraries were

combined and then cleaned using the Axygen® AxyPrep Magnetic (MAG) Bead Purification Kit

(Axygen, Union City, California, USA). Cleaned PCR products were quantified using the

QuantIT dsDNA BR assay (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) and diluted to a

concentration of 10 ng/µL before pooling the libraries at an equimolar concentration of 5 ng/µL.

We sequenced the pooled libraries using the Illumina MiSeq platform using paired-end reads

(Illumina Reagent Kit v2, 500 reaction kit) at the Indiana University Center for Genomics and

Bioinformatics Sequencing Facility.

Sequences were processed using the *mothur* (v1.42.0) MiSeq pipeline (Kozich et al.

2013; Schloss et al. 2009). We assembled contigs from the paired-end reads, quality trimmed

using a moving average quality score (minimum quality score 35), aligned sequences to the

SILVA rRNA database (v132) (Quast et al. 2013), and removed chimeric sequences using the

VSEARCH algorithm (Rognes et al. 2016). We created operational taxonomic units (OTUs) by

first splitting sequences based on taxonomic class and then binning them into OTUs based on

97% sequence similarity. Since amplicon sequencing was conducted using short reads (250 bp)

and not assembled genomes, we used an OTU-based 3% distance threshold to avoid splitting the

same bacterial genome into distinct clusters (using amplicon sequence variant (ASV) of a single

base difference). An OTU-based approach also limits over-inflated numbers of ASVs introduced

by PCR bias and sequencing errors (Schloss 2021). In addition, employing an OTU- or ASV-

based approach is known to result in similar broadscale community composition patterns

(Glassman and Martiny 2018).

Shotgun metagenomic analyses

Based on amplicon sequencing, we identified a subset of samples representing the most

distinct microbial communities for shotgun metagenomic sequencing. A Description of sample

processing and metagenomic sequencing can be found in Peralta et al. (2020). Briefly, we chose

a set of baseline and post-flooding/drying treatment samples. We sourced mesocosm baseline

conditions from relatively drier field locations (water level at about -20 cm, n = 4) and relatively

wetter plots (water level at about 0 cm, n = 4). At the end of the eight-week experiment, we

selected a subset of samples from the hydrologic treatments (prolonged drying or wetting only)

and plant treatments (presence or absence of vegetation) (n = 16). After genomic DNA extraction

using the Qiagen DNeasy PowerMax soil kit, samples were sent to the U.S. Department of

Energy (DOE) Joint Genome Institute (JGI) for sequencing and analysis (GOLD study ID

Gs0142547 and NCBI BioProject accession number PRJNA641216). Plate-based DNA library

preparation and subsequent Illumina sequencing, according to published protocols (Peralta et al.

2020), resulted in 583.2 Gbp of raw sequence data. Raw data were processed using the DOE JGI

Metagenome Annotation Pipeline IMG/M v.5.0.9 (Chen et al. 2017; Huntemann et al. 2016;

Markowitz et al. 2014).

From the metagenomes, we curated functional gene sets using IMG/M's integrated Kyoto

Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) module list. For statistical analyses, we focused

on the following four functional gene sets associated with biogenic greenhouse gas

concentrations of N_2O, CH_4, and CO_2: (1) Denitrification (KEGG Module M00529), (2)

Methanogen (M00617), (3) Central Carbohydrate Metabolism (M00001-M00011, M00307-

M00309, M00580, and M00633), and (4) Prokaryotic Cytochrome C Oxidase (CcO) (M00155).

Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were performed in the R statistical environment (RStudio

2024.12.0+467, Rv4.4.2) (R Core Team 2024). Prior to multivariate statistical analyses, we

normalized sample-to-sample variation in sequence depth by taking the relative abundance of

each OTU: dividing the count of each OTU by the total number of OTUs for each soil

community. OTU data were then rarefied using *vegan::rrarefy*, producing randomly sampled

subsets of OTUs with each sample having an equal number of unique OTUs (Oksanen 2015).

Likewise, functional gene counts were converted to relative abundance by dividing the count of

each functional gene by the total number of functional gene counts in each respective functional

gene category. We examined beta diversity by visualizing bacterial community responses to

hydrologic history (field conditions), hydrologic treatment (contemporary dry/wet treatments),

and plant presence/absence using principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) of bacterial community

composition based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. We also used PCoA to visualize composition

(Bray-Curtis dissimilarity) of functional gene categories according to hydrologic history,

hydrologic treatment, and plant presence/absence. We used permutational multivariate analysis

of variance (PERMANOVA) to determine differences between bacterial communities among

hydrologic history, hydrologic treatment, and plant presence. Hypothesis testing using

PERMANOVA was performed using the *vegan::adonis* function (Oksanen 2015). Unique taxa

representing each hydrologic history and hydrologic treatment were determined by Dufrene-

Legendre indicator species analysis using the *labdsv::indval* function (Roberts 2023). Finally,

soil parameters were compared against bacterial community and functional patterns (based on

Bray-Curtis dissimilarity) using the *vegan::envfit* function (Oksanen 2015). Soil parameters with

$p \leq 0.05$ were represented on the PCoA plot of 16S rRNA community composition as vectors

scaled by their correlation to microbial community patterns. The GHG fluxes and soil parameters

with $p \leq 0.1$ were plotted as vectors, scaled by their correlation, on PCoA plots of functional

gene composition.

We constructed linear mixed effects models with sampling plot (mesocosm) and

sampling date as random effects to determine the importance of the fixed effects of hydrologic

history (field conditions), hydrologic treatment (contemporary dry/wet treatments), and plant

presence on GHG fluxes using the *lme4* R package (Bates et al. 2015). Then, we used Akaike's

Information Corrected Criterion (AICc) model comparisons, which adjust for small sample size,

against the null model to determine which simplest combination of fixed effects (hydrologic

history, hydrologic treatment, and plant presence) is needed to explain the most variance in GHG

fluxes (Hurvich and Tsai 1993; Gorsky et al. 2019). We compared individual fixed effects and

the combination of those fixed effects. We did not use the interaction between the fixed effects

hydrologic history and hydrologic treatment because combinations would have resulted in a

sample size of $n = 2$ for each group. Lastly, to determine the proportion of variance explained by

fixed effects (marginal) and the complete model (conditional), we used the *MuMIn* R package

(Gorsky et al. 2019; Bartoń 2025).

While linear mixed effects models are robust to departures from normality (Schielzeth et

al. 2020), traditional ANOVAs and t-tests are less so (Blanca et al. 2017; Havlicek and Peterson

1974). To accommodate extreme outliers and lack of normality, we used nonparametric statistics

to test for differences in GHG fluxes among hydrologic treatments and plant presence/absence.

We used Wilcoxon Rank Sum tests to evaluate differences in GHG fluxes among plant versus no

plant groups. To accommodate repeated measures without pseudoreplication, Wilcoxon Rank

Sum tests were blocked by sampling date. We used Kruskal-Wallis tests to examine differences

in GHG fluxes among the three hydrologic treatments (dry, interim, and wet). To accommodate

repeated measures, Kruskal-Wallis tests were grouped by sampling date. If the Kruskal-Wallis

test for a sampling date revealed differences among treatment groups ($p \leq 0.05$), we then

performed pairwise comparisons using the Dwass, Steel, Critchlow, and Fligner (SDCFlig) test

with a Monte Carlo distribution (G. Schneider, Chicken, and Becvarik 2023).

To assess microbial community structure and function relationships, we examined the

relationship between GHG fluxes and microbial community/functional Bray-Curtis dissimilarity

matrices using distance-based partial least squares regression (DBPLSR) in the *dbstats* R

package (Boj et al. 2024). Finally, we used the *mantel* function in the *vegan* R package (Oksanen

2015) to examine the relationship between the soil properties (redox, percent moisture, pH,

NH_4^+, total soil C, total soil N, phosphorus ppm, potassium ppm, magnesium ppm, sulfur ppm,

iron ppm, manganese ppm, and percent humic matter), GHG fluxes, and microbial community

composition.

Data Availability

All code and data used in this study are in a public GitHub repository

(https://github.com/PeraltaLab/WetlandMesocosm_GHG_Timberlake) with a Zenodo DOI

(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15528281). Raw amplicon sequence files can be found at NCBI

SRA BioProject ID PRJNA636184, and metagenome sequence files can be found at NCBI

SRA BioProject ID PRJNA641216.

Results

Soil mesocosms sampled from the Timberlake Observatory for Wetland Restoration, in

three distinct hydrologic conditions (dry, interim, wet) (Figure 1), were subjected to eight weeks

of hydrologic and plant presence/absence treatments (Figure 1). The three levels of eight-week

hydrologic treatments were: (1) flooded for eight weeks, (2) dry for eight weeks, and (3) interim,

i.e., two weeks flooded alternating with two weeks dry for a total of eight weeks. Each box was

separated by a stainless mesh divider, with plants allowed to grow on one side and plants

removed on the other side (Figure 1).

Characterization of soil physical-chemical properties

There was little variability in soil properties across main effects (hydrologic history,

hydrologic treatment, plant presence), except for notable differences in soil moisture between

plant treatments within dry and wet hydrologic treatments (Table 1). In addition, NO_3^-N

concentrations were below detection limits in samples with plants, but concentrations were

measurable in samples without plants (Table 1). Soil redox status as measured by IRIS tube

analysis revealed that soils experiencing dry and interim treatments were not reducing (0% iron

oxide paint removed), while soils in wet treatments were reducing (average 40%, range 27-67%

paint removed) (Figure 2). In wet treatments, plant presence enabled soil redox status to decrease

to a lesser degree (average 38%, range 27%-60% paint removed) than when plants were absent,

but soils were still considered reducing (Figure 2, Appendix S1: Figure S1).

Plant and soil redox effects on greenhouse gas fluxes

<u>*Methane (CH_4)*</u>

Wet hydrologic treatment and no plants produced the highest CH_4 fluxes and the greatest

variability between samples (10.31 ± 45.54 mg CH_4 m^{-2} h^{-1}, $\bar{x} \pm SD$, Appendix S1: Table S1).

Interim hydrologic treatment with plants produced the second highest mean CH_4 fluxes (2.56 mg

CH_4 m^{-2} h^{-1}), but interim hydrology with no plants produced the lowest mean CH_4 fluxes (0.75

mg CH_4 m^{-2} h^{-1}; Appendix S1: Table S1). Excluding interim hydrology, the order of mean CH_4

fluxes from greatest to least is wet and no plants, dry and no plants, wet with plants, and dry with

plants (Appendix S1: Table S1). When comparing plant vs. no plant CH_4 fluxes at each of the

five timepoints, no differences were detected, except for during the second timepoint on June

28th, where CH_4 concentrations were greater in the presence of plants than in the absence of

plants (Figure 3, Appendix S1: Table S2). There were differences in CH_4 fluxes in the

hydrologic treatment groups in three of the five timepoints (Figure 4, Appendix S1: Table S3).

The wet treatment produced greater CH_4 fluxes than the dry treatment throughout the middle

three timepoints (June 28th through July 25th), and differences in wet vs. interim and dry vs.

interim were observed but did not persist throughout the experiment (Figure 4, Appendix S1:

Table S3). The null linear mixed-effects model with random effects only (sample mesocosm and

date) was the most plausible (ΔAICc = 0), with an AICc weight of 0.37 (Appendix S1: Table

S4). The fixed effects of plant presence/absence, hydrologic history, and hydrologic treatment

explained little variance in CH_4 fluxes (Appendix S1: Table S4).

Carbon dioxide (CO_2)

CO_2 fluxes were greater in no plant mesocosms compared to plant mesocosms, except

during the fourth timepoint on July 25th (Figure 3, Appendix S1: Table S2). CO_2 fluxes in the dry

hydrologic treatment were greater than CO_2 fluxes in the interim and wet treatments during two

out of five timepoints (Figure 4, Appendix S1: Table S3). The linear mixed effects model, which

included the interactive effects of hydrologic treatment and plant presence/absence, was the most

plausible (ΔAICc = 0), based on an AICc weight of 1.00 (Appendix S1: Table S4). The most

plausible model explained 49% of the variance in CO_2 fluxes (conditional R^2, Appendix S1:

Table S4). In comparison, the fixed effect of plant presence/absence explained 12% of the

variance in CO_2 fluxes, and hydrologic treatment and hydrologic history explained 19% and 1%,

respectively (Appendix S1: Table S4).

Nitrous oxide (N$_2$O)

The N_2O fluxes were near zero in all hydrologic and plant treatments (range: -4.61 – 2.88

mg N_2O m^{-2} h^{-1}) (Figure 3, Figure 4). On July 11th and July 25th, no plant N_2O fluxes exceeded

plant N_2O fluxes (Figure 3, Appendix S1: Table S2). The N_2O fluxes were similar among

hydrologic treatments, except for lower fluxes in interim hydrology on June 13th (Figure 3,

Appendix S1: Table S2). The model with plant and hydrologic treatment additive effects was

most plausible (ΔAICc = 0), based on an AICc weight of 0.25 (Appendix S1: Table S4). The

most plausible model (plant + hydrologic treatment) explained 43% of the variance (conditional

R^2), while the fixed effect of plant explained 1.6% of the variance, and hydrologic treatment

explained 1.5% of the variance (Appendix S1: Table S4).

Relationship between soil redox conditions and GHG fluxes

We used linear regression to examine the relationship between soil redox status

(represented by the percent of paint removed from IRIS tubes) and GHG fluxes. At the start of

the experiment, the CH_4 fluxes had a weak positive relationship to redox status ($F_{34} = 3.14$, $R^2 =$

0.06, $p = 0.09$, Appendix S1: Table S5, Figure S2). At the end of the experiment, the CO_2 fluxes

had a negative relationship with redox conditions ($F_{34} = 6.90$, $R^2 = 0.14$, $p = 0.01$, Appendix S1:

Table S5, Figure S2). The N_2O fluxes were not significantly related to redox conditions

throughout the experiment (Appendix S1: Table S5, Figure S2).

Patterns in microbial community composition

Sequencing efforts returned 1,570,135 reads and 35,897 OTUs before removing low-

abundance sequences occurring less than 10 times or 0.01% in all samples. After removing low-

abundance sequences, 1,505,797 reads representing 7,026 OTUs were retained after filtering.

Within pre-filtering OTUs, 15,582 occurred once, and 5,536 occurred twice in all samples. All

samples were rarefied to 23,528 reads since this was the lowest read count among all samples.

Bacterial and archaeal community composition clustered by hydrologic treatment within

hydrologic history (Figure 5). PCoA axis 1 explained 25% and PCoA axis 2 explained 11% of

microbial community variance (Figure 5). We observed that hydrologic history (PERMANOVA,

$F_{2, 35} = 7.562$, $R^2 = 0.305$, $p = 0.001$) and hydrologic treatment (PERMANOVA, $F_{2, 35} = 2.605$,

$R^2 = 0.110$, $p = 0.002$) explained variance in microbial community patterns (Figure 5, Appendix

S1: Table S6). In contrast, microbial community composition was similar in the presence or

absence of plant communities (PERMANOVA, $F_{1, 35} = 0.698$, $R^2 = 0.102$, $p = 0.824$, Appendix

S1: Table S6). In addition, sulfur concentrations were correlated to soil microbial communities

from the wet hydrologic history, pH levels were correlated to microbial communities from the

interim hydrologic history, and total soil C and N were correlated to microbial communities from

the dry hydrologic history (Figure 5). Also, overall patterns in microbial composition and soil

properties were significantly correlated (Mantel $r = 0.384$, $p = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$, Appendix S1: Table

S7).

Indicator species analysis of the top 2.5% of OTUs (by relative abundance at a

significance level of $p \leq 0.01$) revealed that 34 OTUs, 21 OTUs, and 38 OTUs represented dry,

interim, and wet hydrologic histories, respectively (Appendix S1: Table S8). The bacterial phyla

Acidobacteria (Gp1, Gp2, Gp3, and Gp6) (24%) and *Proteobacteria* (*Alpha-*, *Beta-*, *Delta-*, and

Gammaproteobacteria) (30%) represented communities from dry hydrologic histories, while the

bacterial phyla *Acidobacteria* (Gp1, Gp10, Gp3, and Gp7) (33%) and *Proteobacteria* (Alpha-,

Beta-, and *Deltaproteobacteria*) (29%) represented communities from interim hydrologic

histories (Appendix S1: Table S8). Soils from wet hydrologic histories were represented by

Acidobacteria (Gp1, Gp2, Gp3) (38%) and *Proteobacteria* (Alpha-, Delta-, and

Gammaproteobacteria (21%) (Appendix S1: Table S8). Finally, microbial taxa putatively

associated with methane cycling including the archaeal genera *Methanobacterium* and the

bacterial genera *Methylocystis* and *Syntrophobacter* were unique to microbial communities from

wet hydrologic histories (Appendix S1: Table S8). Fewer indicator OTUs were identified for

hydrologic treatments (6 OTUs, and 9 OTUs, for interim, and wet hydrologic treatments,

respectively, Appendix S1: Table S9). Only one indicator OTU was found in common among

hydrologic histories and hydrologic treatments. Interestingly, this shared OTU, *Reyranella*, was

indicative of both dry historical conditions and wet hydrologic treatment conditions.

Patterns in functional gene composition

Following quality control and filtering of raw metagenomic sequencing data, annotation

and gene calling resulted in $600{,}507 \pm 172{,}049$ ($\bar{x} \pm SD$) annotated contigs per sample. An

average of $998{,}604 \pm 307{,}431$ gene features per sample were identified. Of the identified gene

features, an average of $232{,}809 \pm 70{,}486$ KEGG features per sample were identified. Among the

four curated functional gene sets, central carbohydrate metabolism had the highest average

KEGG features per sample (13,145 ± 3,848), followed by methanogenesis (1,434 ± 423), CcO

(1,325 ± 398.26), and denitrification (350 ± 111).

The four functional gene categories (denitrification, methanogenesis, central

carbohydrate metabolism, and CcO) showed varying responses to plant and hydrologic treatment

but no response to interactions between plant and hydrologic treatments. Carbohydrate metabolic

composition differed between hydrologic treatments (PERMANOVA, $F_{1,\,23} = 2.735$, $R^2 = 0.092$,

$p = 0.013$). In contrast, the compositions of denitrification genes, methanogenesis genes, and

CcO genes were similar across hydrologic treatments (Appendix S1: Table S6). Plant

presence/absence had no significant impact on the composition of functional genes (Appendix

S1: Table S6). Hydrologic history influenced the composition of genes associated with

methanogenesis, central carbohydrate metabolism, and CcO (Appendix S1: Table S6).

Based on environmental fitting (*vegan::envfit*), denitrification functional gene

composition correlated with carbon losses via CO_2 flux. Specifically, CO_2 fluxes correlated with

the PCoA ordination of functional gene composition associated with denitrification (envfit, $R^2 = $

0.243, $p = 0.063$, Figure 6). The compositions of functional genes involved in methanogenesis

and central carbohydrate metabolism were not strongly correlated with GHG fluxes but were

significantly ($p < 0.1$) impacted by soil redox conditions. The PCoA of methanogenesis genes

correlated with percent soil moisture (envfit, $R^2 = 0.201$, $p = 0.094$), and the PCoA of central

carbohydrate metabolism genes correlated with the percent of paint removed from IRIS tubes

(envfit, $R^2 = 0.238$, $p = 0.059$, Figure 6). The composition of CcO genes did not vary

significantly with either GHG fluxes or soil redox conditions (Figure 6).

Relationships between GHG fluxes, microbial community composition, and soil properties

Microbial community composition explained more of the variance in GHG fluxes than

did soil properties. The DBPLSR analysis revealed that microbial community composition

explained 58%, 37%, and 47% (components 1 and 2) of the variance in concentrations of CH_4,

CO_2, and N_2O fluxes, respectively (Appendix S1: Table S10). Patterns in soil properties, on the

other hand, only explained 19%, 29%, and 26% of the variance in concentrations of CH_4, CO_2,

and N_2O fluxes, respectively, based on components 1 and 2 (Appendix S1: Table S10).

We evaluated relationships among the variance in GHG fluxes and functional gene

composition at the beginning ('start') and end of the experiment ('final') using DBPLSR.

Denitrification gene composition explained 54% of the variance in N_2O production at the start of

the experiment, and 60% of the variance in N_2O fluxes at the end of the experiment (Appendix

S1: Table S10, components 1 and 2). Methanogenesis functional gene composition explained a

higher proportion of variance in CH_4 fluxes in 'start' samples (63%) than 'final' samples (33%)

(Appendix S1: Table S10, components 1 and 2). 'Start' compositions of CcO and carbohydrate

metabolism explained 91% and 98% of variance in CO_2 fluxes, respectively (Appendix S1: Table

S10, components 1 and 2). At the end of the experiment, CcO composition only accounted for -

0.67% of the variance in CO_2 fluxes, while carbohydrate metabolism explained 40% of the

variance in CO_2 fluxes (Appendix S1: Table S10, components 1 and 2).

Discussion

The presence of plants and dynamic hydrology interact to affect microbial community

structure and function. This study revealed that initial hydrologic conditions, compared to

manipulated hydrology, strongly influenced microbial community and functional composition.

Specifically, results showed that hydrology is a strong environmental filter that determines

microbial taxonomic and functional community patterns, short-term redox changes that are most

distinct from historic redox conditions shift microbial communities, and plant presence can

mitigate flood-induced reducing conditions and mediate greenhouse gas production.

Plant presence and dynamic hydrology influence greenhouse gas production to different degrees

Plant and hydrologic treatment strongly affected microbial function, despite hydrologic

history acting as a robust environmental filter on microbial community composition. Plants can

influence GHG fluxes by consuming CO_2 during photosynthesis, releasing CO_2 during

respiration, aerating soils in contact with roots, and releasing labile C from roots, which can

stimulate microbial activity (Luan and Wu 2014; Chanton 2005; Carmichael et al. 2014).

In the current study, soil redox status as visualized with IRIS tubes indicated that

oxidizing conditions existed near plant roots (Appendix S1: Figure S1). This result suggests that

plants can transport oxygen below ground. Regardless of hydrologic history, CH_4 fluxes were

highest, and CO_2 fluxes were lowest in soils experiencing the wet hydrologic treatment (Figure

4). Differences in CH_4 and CO_2 fluxes were commonly observed between the dry and wet

treatments (Figure 4). GHG fluxes in the interim treatment occasionally varied from those in the

dry and/or wet treatments (Figure 4). Plant presence or absence also impacted GHG fluxes,

especially CO_2 (Figure 3). O_2 radiation from plant roots could decrease soil CH_4 flux by

providing methanotrophs with needed O_2 for CH_4 consumption, thereby decreasing CH_4

emissions in the presence of plants (Chanton 2005; Truu, Juhanson, and Truu 2009). However,

counts of methanotrophic functional genes were low across samples and were unresponsive to

the presence or absence of plants (Finlay and Peralta 2025). Alternatively, methanogens may be

inhibited or outcompeted in the presence of O_2 (Bethke et al. 2011; Cedervall et al. 2010), like

the conditions found near plant roots. In this study, random effects (sample mesocosm and date)

predominantly explained CH_4 fluxes, with a small amount of variance attributed to plant

presence/absence (Appendix S1: Table S4).

The decline in CO_2 fluxes in the presence of plants could be due to plant photosynthesis

and plant uptake of atmospheric CO_2 from within the collection chamber (Luan and Wu 2014).

This study provides evidence that plants decrease total CO_2 fluxes via photosynthesis, while

hydrologic conditions (dry vs. wet) dictate soil CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes. Plants consistently

decreased CO_2 fluxes throughout the experiment, while differences in GHG fluxes between

hydrologic treatments were more variable. Therefore, models of wetland GHG emissions should

consider plant cover as a stable control of CO_2 fluxes. Conversely, the impacts of soil moisture

on GHG fluxes vary dynamically over time and may require finer temporal resolution soil

moisture measurements to model GHG fluxes accurately.

Past hydrology predicts bacterial community composition of key functional taxa

For this study, we collected wetland mesocosm soils from wet, dry, and interim

hydrologic histories from a restored wetland. These differences in hydrologic history resulted in

different microbial community patterns, with wet hydrology being the most distinct compared to

microbial communities from the dry and interim hydrology. Specifically, dry hydrologic

histories were represented by taxa in the genus *Gaiella*, which are classified as strict aerobes

(Albuquerque et al. 2011). Wet hydrologic histories were uniquely identified by bacterial and

archaeal taxa putatively associated with methanogenesis, methanotrophy, and iron reduction.

These microbial processes occur in low-redox, low-O_2 systems (Burgin et al. 2011). Microbes

that are facultative anaerobes can shift between aerobic and anaerobic respiration based on soil

redox conditions; therefore, they can metabolically shift during dynamic soil hydrologic

conditions. However, obligate anaerobes, such as methanogens, are sensitive to soil oxygen

concentrations and desiccation (Fetzer, Bak, and Conrad 1993). For example, in a study of peat

bog layers, oxic subsurface layers contained more methanotrophs than methanogens, which were

found only in anoxic layers near the bottom of the bog (Lew and Glińska-Lewczuk 2018). This

suggests that hydrology is a strong determinant of O_2 availability in soils and that wet hydrologic

histories best support microbial communities involved in anaerobic processes.

Mismatch between bacterial community composition and GHG function

The DNA-based functional gene composition explained more variance in GHG fluxes

measured at the beginning of the experiment than at the end of the experiment. Hydrologic

history influenced wetland soil microbial community composition more than contemporary

treatments, which was emphasized in these results. The decrease in the strength of structure-

function associations from the beginning to the end of the experiment could be due to the DNA-

based molecular measurement providing insight into historical and integrated environmental

conditions, rather than contemporary changes to redox conditions. For example, DNA from

dormant and dead cells contributes to DNA-based community composition, and these relic

signatures are more indicative of past than present conditions (Lennon et al. 2018). Changes to

functional gene composition over the eight-week experiment may have been obscured by the

stable pool of dormant and relic DNA, thereby weakening structure-function relationships, as

observed here for $CH_4 \sim$ methanogenesis, $CO_2 \sim$ central carbohydrate metabolism, and $CO_2 \sim$

CcO (Appendix S1: Table S10).

Another explanation for the decreased structure-function associations could be the

differences in temporal resolution between DNA-based molecular methods and *in situ* GHG

measurements. Differential gene expression can substantially alter CH_4 yields (Shi et al. 2014),

and protein expression and activity of various pathways may be altered in response to O_2

concentrations (e.g., (Lynch and Lin 1996) reviewed in (Saleh-Lakha et al. 2005)). These

changes to gene expression and protein activity could alter GHG production rapidly, while

changes to DNA abundance could alter GHG production over multiple microbial generations.

Mesocosm microbial communities in the present study likely responded to experimental

treatments (hydrology and plant presence or absence) by altering gene expression and protein

activity and shifting the abundance of functional genes over generations. Those slower changes

to functional gene abundance were likely difficult to detect after eight weeks using DNA-based

methods, hence the weakening of structure-function relationships in CH_4 and CO_2 production at

the end of the experiment.

Functional gene composition predicts greenhouse gas fluxes to varying degrees

<u>*Cytochrome C oxidase*</u>

To further examine microbial functional composition and GHG fluxes, we subset the

metagenomic data to focus on the composition of prokaryotic CcO genes as an indicator of

aerobic respiration in oxidizing conditions. While hydrologic history significantly influenced

CcO composition, CcO composition did not explain significant variance in GHG fluxes during

the eight-week incubation (Figure 6, Appendix S1: Table S6, Table S10). This lack of

explanatory power is unsurprising, given the diversity of O_2-reducing terminal oxidases and the

known presence of CcO genes in strict anaerobes (Esposti 2020; Jabłońska and Tawfik 2019).

There is no single enzyme that can be used to distinguish between aerobic and anaerobic

phenotypes because aerobic and anaerobic organisms do not exist as binary groups (Jabłońska

and Tawfik 2019). Instead, a spectrum of oxygen usage phenotypes exists (Jabłońska and Tawfik

2019). Evaluating the presence and number of a full suite of O_2-utilizing enzymes may be a

useful indicator of aerobic vs. anaerobic status (Jabłońska and Tawfik 2019). Therefore,

bioinformatic methods to facilitate this method ought to be further developed.

Central carbohydrate metabolism

While CcO composition was unable to explain differences in CO_2 production across

treatments, the composition of central carbohydrate metabolism genes was strongly related to

differences in hydrologic history, hydrologic treatment, and the production of CO_2 (Figure 6,

Appendix S1: Table S6, Table S10). In a past study, results revealed a significant positive

correlation between carbohydrate-active enzyme abundance and cumulative respiration in a

tundra soil warming experiment (Johnston et al. 2019). Similarly, carbohydrate metabolism

genes predicted differences in soil respiration between paddy and upland soils (Liu et al. 2020).

While Johnston et al. also found a relationship between CcO relative abundance and reducing

conditions, this was the only study we could find that successfully used CcO genes as an

indicator of redox conditions. Johnston et al. also found the total relative abundance of

carbohydrate-active enzyme genes to be significantly positively related to cumulative ecosystem

respiration (Johnston et al. 2019). The Liu et al. study identified several carbohydrate

metabolism genes as significant predictors of respiration rates in upland versus paddy soils (Liu

et al. 2020). While there is no single gene or defined collection of genes that have been

consistently used to explain microbial community respiration responses to redox changes,

various collections of carbohydrate metabolism genes may reflect differences in soil redox status

and respiration (Johnston et al. 2019; Liu et al. 2020).

Denitrification

When examining denitrifier community composition and GHG production, results

showed that the composition of denitrification functional genes correlated with CO_2

concentrations but not N_2O concentrations (Figure 6). The lack of association with N_2O is

unsurprising since the denitrifier community composition included the suite of genes involved in

the reduction of NO_3^- to N_2, with N_2O as an intermediate product that could be consumed before

detection via gas chromatography. The association between denitrification and CO_2 production is

likely the result of heterotrophic denitrification, where organic matter is oxidized to CO_2 with

NO_3^- as an electron acceptor (Dlamini et al. 2020). Heterotrophic denitrification, a form of

respiratory denitrification, is typically a facultative process, found in organisms with the ability

to respire aerobically when O_2 is available, and also use NO_3^- to respire anaerobically when O_2

availability decreases (Tiedje, Simkins, and Groffman 1989). A denitrification community-level

response to soil hydrologic history and experimental drying/wetting has also been observed in a

previous incubation experiment (Peralta, Ludmer, and Kent 2013).

Methanogenesis

In the present study, we observed that hydrologic history, rather than contemporary

hydrologic treatment, influenced the methanogenesis functional gene composition (Figure 6,

Appendix S1: Table S6). Methanogenesis has historically been described as a specialized

metabolism, in which methanogens are obligate anaerobes and obligate methanogens (meaning

they do not use alternative electron acceptors to grow) (Lyu et al. 2018). While atypical methane

synthesis pathways have recently been discovered (e.g., aerobic bacterial methane synthesis)

(Wang et al. 2021), classical anaerobic methanogenesis is still recognized as the primary source

of biogenic methane production (Lyu et al. 2018). In the present study, our results showed a

strong influence of hydrologic history on methanogen functional gene composition, which

supports the classical view of methanogenesis: a specialized and strictly anaerobic metabolism

was maintained in the historically wet (i.e., low O_2) field conditions, and this history dictated

mesocosms' methane production capacity. The structure-function analysis (based on DBPLSR)

provides another line of evidence that hydrologic history influenced methane production, where

methanogen functional gene composition explained a greater proportion of variance in CH_4

production at the start of the experiment than at the end of the experiment (Appendix S1: Table

S10).

Considering plant-microbe relationships is critical to estimating wetland carbon storage

potential

Our work highlights the importance of considering plant contributions to GHG emissions.

Many studies investigating GHG emissions use opaque chambers to decrease heating of the

headspace; however, these chambers can bias measurements of CH_4 emissions (Günther et al.

2014; Luan and Wu 2014). In most cases, plant inclusion and plant species considerations would

decrease the total estimation of CH_4 emissions from wetlands (Günther et al. 2014; Luan and Wu

2014). We found a small effect of plant presence on CH_4 fluxes, but a significant and consistent

decrease in CO_2 fluxes in the presence of plants. These findings suggest that to improve

estimations of C storage in wetlands, plant presence and hydrologic status should be considered

to understand wetlands' potential to be a C sink.

Further, increasing extreme weather events cause changes in the duration of drought or

excessive rainfall, which will alter microbial ecosystem functions (Jansson and Hofmockel

2020). Changes in precipitation regimes could directly alter soil hydrology or plant community

composition (Jansson and Hofmockel 2020). Results from this study revealed that the longer the

duration of hydrologic change, the more dramatic the change in ecosystem functions. These

results can be used to infer what might happen during an extreme drought event. One potential

scenario is that during early summer, a saturated non-tidal coastal plain wetland experiences

decreased rainfall to the degree that the first meter of soil completely dries out and kills all

vegetation. This may temporarily decrease CH_4 emissions, but if the same area becomes

saturated again in the following month, CH_4 and CO_2 emissions could increase due to the lack of

vegetation. However, if the duration of drying alters the microbial community structure, then

CH_4 production may be decreased upon re-flooding since obligate anaerobes will be less

persistent under drier, more aerated conditions (Angel, Claus, and Conrad 2012). This warrants

future studies to more closely examine the temporal component of hydrologic changes that

permanently alter microbial community structure and lead to unexpected changes to ecosystem

functions.

Acknowledgments

We thank J. LeCrone, L. Armstrong, M. Stillwagon, G. Gunderson, C. Eakins, J. Basco,

and C. Bledsoe for field and laboratory assistance. We thank J. Gill and the East Carolina

University grounds crew for their efforts in maintaining the grounds surrounding the shade

house. We also thank M. Muscarella for contributions to microbiome analyses and anonymous

reviewers for feedback on earlier versions of this manuscript. This work was supported by the

National Science Foundation (Graduate Research Fellowship Program [GRFP] grant to R.B.B.

and grant DEB #1845845 and CNH2 #2009185 to A.L.P.). This material is based upon work

supported by the National Science Foundation under grant no. DGE-2125684. Any opinions,

findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the

author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. The

metagenomes were produced by the DOE JGI under the Community Science Program (CSP)

(JGI CSP grant 503952). The work conducted by the DOE JGI, a DOE Office of Science User

Facility, is supported under contract DE-AC02-05CH11231.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

Albuquerque, Luciana, Luís França, Fred A. Rainey, Peter Schumann, M. Fernanda Nobre, and

Milton S. Da Costa. 2011. "Gaiella Occulta Gen. Nov., Sp. Nov., a Novel Representative

of a Deep Branching Phylogenetic Lineage within the Class Actinobacteria and Proposal

of Gaiellaceae Fam. Nov. and Gaiellales Ord. Nov." *Systematic and Applied*

Microbiology 34 (8): 595–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2011.07.001.

Alldred, Mary, and Stephen B. Baines. 2016. "Effects of Wetland Plants on Denitrification

Rates: A Meta-Analysis." *Ecological Applications* 26 (3): 676–85.

https://doi.org/10.1890/14-1525.

Angel, Roey, Peter Claus, and Ralf Conrad. 2012. "Methanogenic Archaea Are Globally

Ubiquitous in Aerated Soils and Become Active under Wet Anoxic Conditions." *The*

ISME Journal 6 (4): 847–62. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2011.141.

Apprill, Amy, Sean Mcnally, Rachel Parsons, and Laura Weber. 2015. "Minor Revision to V4

Region SSU rRNA 806R Gene Primer Greatly Increases Detection of SAR11

Bacterioplankton." *Aquatic Microbial Ecology* 75 (2): 129–37.

https://doi.org/10.3354/ame01753.

Ardón, Marcelo, Jennifer L. Morse, Benjamin P. Colman, and Emily S. Bernhardt. 2013.

"Drought-Induced Saltwater Incursion Leads to Increased Wetland Nitrogen Export."

Global Change Biology 19 (10): 2976–85. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12287.

Ardón, Marcelo, Jennifer L. Morse, Martin W. Doyle, and Emily S. Bernhardt. 2010. "The

Water Quality Consequences of Restoring Wetland Hydrology to a Large Agricultural

Watershed in the Southeastern Coastal Plain." *Ecosystems* 13 (7): 1060–78.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-010-9374-x.

Bartoń, Kamil. 2025. "MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference." https://cran.r-

project.org/web/packages/MuMIn/index.html.

Bates, D, M Machler, B Bolker, and S Walker. 2015. "Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models

Using Lme4." *Journal of Statistical Software* 67 (1): 1–48.

https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01.

Bethke, Craig M., Robert A. Sanford, Matthew F. Kirk, Qusheng Jin, and Theodore M. Flynn.

2011. "The Thermodynamic Ladder in Geomicrobiology." *American Journal of Science*

311 (3): 183–210. https://doi.org/10.2475/03.2011.01.

Blanca, María J., Rafael Alarcón, Jaume Arnau, Roser Bono, and Rebecca Bendayan. 2017.

"Non-Normal Data: Is ANOVA Still a Valid Option?" *Psicothema* 29 (4): 552–57.

https://doi.org/10.7334/psicothema2016.383.

Boj, Eva, Adria Caballe, Pedro Delicado, and Josep Fortiana. 2024. "Dbstats: Distance-Based

Statistics Version 2.0.2 from CRAN." https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dbstats.

Burgin, Amy J, Wendy H Yang, Stephen K Hamilton, and Whendee L Silver. 2011. "Beyond

Carbon and Nitrogen: How the Microbial Energy Economy Couples Elemental Cycles in

Diverse Ecosystems." *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 9 (1): 44–52.

https://doi.org/10.1890/090227.

Caporaso, J Gregory, Christian L Lauber, William a Walters, Donna Berg-Lyons, James

Huntley, Noah Fierer, Sarah M Owens, et al. 2012. "Ultra-High-Throughput Microbial

Community Analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq Platforms." *The ISME Journal* 6

(8): 1621–24. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.8.

Carmichael, M. J., E. S. Bernhardt, S. L. Bräuer, and W. K. Smith. 2014. "The Role of

Vegetation in Methane Flux to the Atmosphere: Should Vegetation Be Included as a

Distinct Category in the Global Methane Budget?" *Biogeochemistry* 119 (1–3): 1–24.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-014-9974-1.

Cedervall, Peder E., Mishtu Dey, Arwen R. Pearson, Stephen W. Ragsdale, and Carrie M.

Wilmot. 2010. "Structural Insight into Methyl-Coenzyme M Reductase Chemistry Using

Coenzyme B Analogues,." *Biochemistry* 49 (35): 7683–93.

https://doi.org/10.1021/bi100458d.

Chanton, Jeffrey P. 2005. "The Effect of Gas Transport on the Isotope Signature of Methane in

Wetlands." *Organic Geochemistry* 36 (5): 753–68.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2004.10.007.

Chen, I-Min A., Victor M. Markowitz, Ken Chu, Krishna Palaniappan, Ernest Szeto, Manoj

Pillay, Anna Ratner, et al. 2017. "IMG/M: Integrated Genome and Metagenome

Comparative Data Analysis System." *Nucleic Acids Research* 45 (D1): D507–16.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw929.

Conrad, Ralf. 2009. "The Global Methane Cycle: Recent Advances in Understanding the

Microbial Processes Involved." *Environmental Microbiology Reports* 1 (5): 285–92.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-2229.2009.00038.x.

Dlamini, J. C., D. Chadwick, J. M. B. Hawkins, J. Martinez, D. Scholefield, Y. Ma, and L. M.

Cárdenas. 2020. "Evaluating the Potential of Different Carbon Sources to Promote

Denitrification." *The Journal of Agricultural Science* 158 (3): 194–205.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859620000520.

Esposti, Mauro Degli. 2020. "On the Evolution of Cytochrome Oxidases Consuming Oxygen."

Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics 1861 (12): 148304.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2020.148304.

Fetzer, Silke, Friedhelm Bak, and Ralf Conrad. 1993. "Sensitivity of Methanogenic Bacteria

from Paddy Soil to Oxygen and Desiccation." *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 12 (2): 107–

15. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.1993.tb00022.x.

Finlay, Colin G., and Ariane L. Peralta. 2025. "Hydrologic History and Flooding Pulses Control

Iron and Sulfur Metabolic Composition with Variable Associations to Greenhouse Gas

Production in a Coastal Wetland Mesocosm Experiment." bioRxiv.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.03.03.641170.

Fluet-Chouinard, Etienne, Benjamin D. Stocker, Zhen Zhang, Avni Malhotra, Joe R. Melton,

Benjamin Poulter, Jed O. Kaplan, et al. 2023. "Extensive Global Wetland Loss over the

Past Three Centuries." *Nature* 614 (7947): 281–86. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-

05572-6.

Fuss, Roland, and Roman Hueppi. 2024. "Gasfluxes: Greenhouse Gas Flux Calculation from

Chamber Measurements." https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/gasfluxes/index.html.

Glassman, Sydney I., and Jennifer B. H. Martiny. 2018. "Broadscale Ecological Patterns Are

Robust to Use of Exact Sequence Variants versus Operational Taxonomic Units."

mSphere 3 (4): e00148-18. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00148-18.

Gorsky, A. L., G. A. Racanelli, A. C. Belvin, and R. M. Chambers. 2019. "Greenhouse Gas Flux

from Stormwater Ponds in Southeastern Virginia (USA)." *Anthropocene* 28.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ancene.2019.100218.

Günther, Anke, Gerald Jurasinski, Vytas Huth, and Stephan Glatzel. 2014. "Opaque Closed

Chambers Underestimate Methane Fluxes of Phragmites Australis (Cav.) Trin. Ex

Steud." *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 186 (4): 2151–58.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-013-3524-5.

Havlicek, Larry L., and Nancy L. Peterson. 1974. "Robustness of the T Test: A Guide for

Researchers on Effect of Violations of Assumptions." *Psychological Reports* 34

(3_suppl): 1095–1114. https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1974.34.3c.1095.

Hoffmann, Mathias, Natalia Pehle, Vytas Huth, Nicole Jurisch, Michael Sommer, and Jürgen

Augustin. 2018. "A Simple Method to Assess the Impact of Sealing, Headspace Mixing

and Pressure Vent on Airtightness of Manually Closed Chambers." *Journal of Plant*

Nutrition and Soil Science 181 (1): 36–40. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201600299.

Hopfensperger, Kristine N., Amy J. Burgin, Valerie A. Schoepfer, and Ashley M. Helton. 2014.

"Impacts of Saltwater Incursion on Plant Communities, Anaerobic Microbial

Metabolism, and Resulting Relationships in a Restored Freshwater Wetland." *Ecosystems*

17 (5): 792–807. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-014-9760-x.

Hu, Qiwu, Jiayan Cai, Bo Yao, Qin Wu, Yeqiao Wang, and Xingliang Xu. 2015. "Plant-

Mediated Methane and Nitrous Oxide Fluxes from a Carex Meadow in Poyang Lake

during Drawdown Periods." *Plant and Soil*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2733-9.

Huntemann, Marcel, Natalia N. Ivanova, Konstantinos Mavromatis, H. James Tripp, David Paez-

Espino, Kristin Tennessen, Krishnaveni Palaniappan, et al. 2016. "The Standard

Operating Procedure of the DOE-JGI Metagenome Annotation Pipeline (MAP v.4)."

Standards in Genomic Sciences 11 (1): 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40793-016-0138-x.

Hurvich, C, and C Tsai. 1993. "A CORRECTED AKAIKE INFORMATIONON CRITERION

FOR VECTOR AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL SELECTION." *Journal of Time Series*

Analysis 14 (3): 271–79.

Jabłońska, Jagoda, and Dan S. Tawfik. 2019. "The Number and Type of Oxygen-Utilizing

Enzymes Indicates Aerobic vs. Anaerobic Phenotype." *Free Radical Biology and*

Medicine, Early Life on Earth and Oxidative Stress, 140 (August):84–92.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2019.03.031.

Jansson, Janet K., and Kirsten S. Hofmockel. 2020. "Soil Microbiomes and Climate Change."

Nature Reviews Microbiology 18 (1): 35–46. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-019-0265-7.

Jenkinson, B. J., and D. P. Franzmeier. 2006. "Development and Evaluation of Iron-Coated

Tubes That Indicate Reduction in Soils." *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 70 (1):

183–91. https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2004.0323.

Johnston, Eric R., Janet K. Hatt, Zhili He, Liyou Wu, Xue Guo, Yiqi Luo, Edward A. G. Schuur,

James M. Tiedje, Jizhong Zhou, and Konstantinos T. Konstantinidis. 2019. "Responses

of Tundra Soil Microbial Communities to Half a Decade of Experimental Warming at

Two Critical Depths." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116 (30):

15096–105. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1901307116.

Kim, Seon Young, Seung Hoon Lee, Chris Freeman, Nathalie Fenner, and Hojeong Kang. 2008.

"Comparative Analysis of Soil Microbial Communities and Their Responses to the Short-

Term Drought in Bog, Fen, and Riparian Wetlands." *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 40

(11): 2874–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.08.004.

Kimball, Spencer, Peter Mattis, Michael Natterer, and Jehan. 2014. "GIMP."

https://www.gimp.org/.

Kingsford, Richard T., Alberto Basset, and Leland Jackson. 2016. "Wetlands: Conservation's

Poor Cousins." *Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems* 26 (5): 892–

916. https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2709.

Kozich, James J., Sarah L. Westcott, Nielson T. Baxter, Sarah K. Highlander, and Patrick D.

Schloss. 2013. "Development of a Dual-Index Sequencing Strategy and Curation Pipeline

for Analyzing Amplicon Sequence Data on the Miseq Illumina Sequencing Platform."

Applied and Environmental Microbiology 79 (17): 5112–20.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01043-13.

Kuzyakov, Yakov. 2010. "Soil Biology & Biochemistry Priming Effects : Interactions between

Living and Dead Organic Matter." *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 42 (9): 1363–71.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.04.003.

Lennon, J. T., M. E. Muscarella, S. A. Placella, and B. K. Lehmkuhl. 2018. "How, When, and

Where Relic DNA Affects Microbial Diversity." *mBio* 9 (3): 10.1128/mbio.00637-18.

https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00637-18.

Lennon, Jay T., and Stuart E. Jones. 2011. "Microbial Seed Banks: The Ecological and

Evolutionary Implications of Dormancy." *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 9 (2): 119–30.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2504.

Lew, Sylwia, and Katarzyna Glińska-Lewczuk. 2018. "Environmental Controls on the

Abundance of Methanotrophs and Methanogens in Peat Bog Lakes." *Science of the Total*

Environment 645:1201–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.141.

Liu, Yu-Rong, Manuel Delgado-Baquerizo, Ziming Yang, Jiao Feng, Jun Zhu, and Qiaoyun

Huang. 2020. "Microbial Taxonomic and Functional Attributes Consistently Predict Soil

CO_2 Emissions across Contrasting Croplands." *Science of The Total Environment* 702

(February):134885. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134885.

Luan, Junwei, and Jianghua Wu. 2014. "Gross Photosynthesis Explains the 'artificial Bias' of

Methane Fluxes by Static Chamber (Opaque versus Transparent) at the Hummocks in a

Boreal Peatland." *Environmental Research Letters* 9 (10). https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-

9326/9/10/105005.

Lynch, A S, and E C Lin. 1996. "Transcriptional Control Mediated by the ArcA Two-

Component Response Regulator Protein of Escherichia Coli: Characterization of DNA

Binding at Target Promoters." *Journal of Bacteriology* 178 (21): 6238–49.

https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.178.21.6238-6249.1996.

Lyu, Zhe, Nana Shao, Taiwo Akinyemi, and William B. Whitman. 2018. "Methanogenesis."

Current Biology 28 (13): R727–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.021.

Markowitz, Victor M., I-Min A. Chen, Ken Chu, Ernest Szeto, Krishna Palaniappan, Manoj

Pillay, Anna Ratner, et al. 2014. "IMG/M 4 Version of the Integrated Metagenome

Comparative Analysis System." *Nucleic Acids Research* 42 (D1): D568–73.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt919.

McDonald, I R, and J C Murrell. 1997. "The Methanol Dehydrogenase Structural Gene mxaF

and Its Use as a Functional Gene Probe for Methanotrophs and Methylotrophs." *FEMS*

Microbiology Letters 156 (8): 205–10.

Mehlich, A. 1984. "Mehlich 3 Soil Test Extractant: A Modification of Mehlich 2 Extractant."

Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 15 (12): 1409–16.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00103628409367568.

Mentzer, Jessica L, Robert M Goodman, and Teri C Balser. 2006. "Microbial Response over

Time to Hydrologic and Fertilization Treatments in a Simulated Wet Prairie." *Plant and*

Soil 284 (1–2): 85–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-0032-1.

Mitsch, William J., Blanca Bernal, Amanda M. Nahlik, Ülo Mander, Li Zhang, Christopher J.

Anderson, Sven E. Jørgensen, and Hans Brix. 2013. "Wetlands, Carbon, and Climate

Change." *Landscape Ecology* 28 (4): 583–97. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-012-9758-

8.

Mitsch, William J., and James G. Gosslink. 2007. *Wetlands*. 4th ed. Hoboken: John Wiley &

Sons.

Morse, Jennifer L., Marcelo Ardón, and Emily S. Bernhardt. 2012. "Greenhouse Gas Fluxes in

Southeastern U.S. Coastal Plain Wetlands under Contrasting Land Uses." *Ecological*

Applications 22 (1): 264–80. https://doi.org/10.1890/11-0527.1.

Mylavarapu, Rao, Tom Obreza, Kelly Morgan, George Hochmuth, Vimala Nair, and Alan

Wright. 2014. "Extraction of Soil Nutrients Using Mehlich-3 Reagent for Acid-Mineral

Soils of Florida." *University of Florida. Institute of Food and Agricultural Science*, no.

SL407, 1–7.

Nygaard, Bettina, and Rasmus Ejrnæs. 2009. "The Impact of Hydrology and Nutrients on

Species Composition and Richness: Evidence from a Microcosm Experiment." *Wetlands*

29 (1): 187–95. https://doi.org/10.1672/08-13.1.

Oksanen, Jari. 2015. "Vegan : Ecological Diversity" 1 (2): 1–12.

https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JF000545.

Parada, Alma E., David M. Needham, and Jed A. Fuhrman. 2016. "Every Base Matters:

Assessing Small Subunit rRNA Primers for Marine Microbiomes with Mock

Communities, Time Series and Global Field Samples." *Environmental Microbiology* 18

(5): 1403–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.13023.

Peralta, Ariane L., Regina B. Bledsoe, Mario E. Muscarella, Marcel Huntemann, Alicia Clum,

Brian Foster, Bryce Foster, et al. 2020. "Metagenomes from Experimental Hydrologic

Manipulation of Restored Coastal Plain Wetland Soils (Tyrell County, North Carolina)."

Edited by J. Cameron Thrash. *Microbiology Resource Announcements* 9 (41).

https://doi.org/10.1128/MRA.00882-20.

Peralta, Ariane L., Sarah Ludmer, and Angela D. Kent. 2013. "Hydrologic History Influences

Microbial Community Composition and Nitrogen Cycling under Experimental

Drying/Wetting Treatments." *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 66 (November):29–37.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.06.019.

Peralta, Ariane L, Sarah Ludmer, Jeffrey W Matthews, and Angela D Kent. 2014. "Bacterial

Community Response to Changes in Soil Redox Potential along a Moisture Gradient in

Restored Wetlands." *Ecological Engineering* 73:246–53.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.09.047.

Peralta, Ariane L., Diana Stuart, Angela D. Kent, and Jay T. Lennon. 2014. "A Social-Ecological

Framework for 'Micromanaging' Microbial Services." *Frontiers in Ecology and the*

Environment 12 (9): 524–31. https://doi.org/10.1890/130308.

Philippot, Laurent, Jos M. Raaijmakers, Philippe Lemanceau, and Wim H. Van Der Putten.

2013. "Going Back to the Roots: The Microbial Ecology of the Rhizosphere." *Nature*

Reviews Microbiology 11 (11): 789–99. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3109.

Quast, Christian, Elmar Pruesse, Pelin Yilmaz, Jan Gerken, Timmy Schweer, Pablo Yarza, Jörg

Peplies, and Frank Oliver Glöckner. 2013. "The SILVA Ribosomal RNA Gene Database

Project: Improved Data Processing and Web-Based Tools." *Nucleic Acids Research* 41

(D1): 590–96. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219.

R Core Team. 2024. "R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing." Vienna,

Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.

Rabenhorst, Martin C. 2008. "Protocol for Using and Interpreting IRIS Tubes." *Soil Survey*

Horizons 49 (3): 74–77.

Roberts, David W. 2023. "Labdsv: Ordination and Multivariate Analysis for Ecology."

1026   https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/labdsv/index.html.

1027   Rocca, Jennifer D, Edward K Hall, Jay T Lennon, Sarah E Evans, Mark P Waldrop, James B

1028   Cotner, Diana R Nemergut, Emily B Graham, and Matthew D Wallenstein. 2015.

1029   "Relationships between Protein-Encoding Gene Abundance and Corresponding Process

1030   Are Commonly Assumed yet Rarely Observed." *The ISME Journal* 9 (8): 1693–99.

1031   https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.252.

1032   Rognes, Torbjørn, Tomáš Flouri, Ben Nichols, Christopher Quince, and Frédéric Mahé. 2016.

1033   "VSEARCH: A Versatile Open Source Tool for Metagenomics." *PeerJ* 4:e2584.

1034   https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2584.

1035   Saleh-Lakha, Saleema, Michelle Miller, Rachel G. Campbell, Kim Schneider, Parastu

1036   Elahimanesh, Miranda M. Hart, and Jack T. Trevors. 2005. "Microbial Gene Expression

1037   in Soil: Methods, Applications and Challenges." *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 63

1038   (1): 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2005.03.007.

1039   Schielzeth, Holger, Niels J. Dingemanse, Shinichi Nakagawa, David F. Westneat, Hassen

1040   Allegue, Céline Teplitsky, Denis Réale, Ned A. Dochtermann, László Zsolt Garamszegi,

1041   and Yimen G. Araya-Ajoy. 2020. "Robustness of Linear Mixed-Effects Models to

1042   Violations of Distributional Assumptions." *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 11 (9):

1043   1141–52. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13434.

1044   Schloss, Patrick D. 2021. "Amplicon Sequence Variants Artificially Split Bacterial Genomes

1045   into Separate Clusters." *mSphere* 6 (4): e00191-21.

1046   https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00191-21.

Schloss, Patrick D., Sarah L. Westcott, Thomas Ryabin, Justine R. Hall, Martin Hartmann, Emily

B. Hollister, Ryan a. Lesniewski, et al. 2009. "Introducing Mothur: Open-Source,

Platform-Independent, Community-Supported Software for Describing and Comparing

Microbial Communities." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 75 (23): 7537–41.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01541-09.

Schneider, Caroline A, Wayne S Rasband, and Kevin W Eliceiri. 2012. "NIH Image to ImageJ:

25 Years of Image Analysis." *Nature Methods* 9 (7): 671–75.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089.

Schneider, Grant, Eric Chicken, and Rachel Becvarik. 2023. "NSM3: Functions and Datasets to

Accompany Hollander, Wolfe, and Chicken - Nonparametric Statistical Methods, Third

Edition." https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/NSM3/index.html.

Shi, Weibing, Christina D. Moon, Sinead C. Leahy, Dongwan Kang, Jeff Froula, Sandra

Kittelmann, Christina Fan, et al. 2014. "Methane Yield Phenotypes Linked to Differential

Gene Expression in the Sheep Rumen Microbiome." *Genome Research* 24 (9): 1517–25.

https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.168245.113.

Sundberg, Carina, Jenny S. Jenny, Karin Tonderski, and Per Eric Lindgren. 2007. "Overland

Flow Systems for Treatment of Landfill Leachates-Potential Nitrification and Structure of

the Ammonia-Oxidising Bacterial Community during a Growing Season." *Soil Biology*

and Biochemistry 39 (1): 127–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.06.016.

Tiedje, James M., Stephen Simkins, and Peter M. Groffman. 1989. "Perspectives on

Measurement of Denitrification in the Field Including Recommended Protocols for

Acetylene-Based Methods." *Plant and Soil* 115 (2): 261–84.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02202594.

Truu, Marika, Jaanis Juhanson, and Jaak Truu. 2009. "Microbial Biomass, Activity and

Community Composition in Constructed Wetlands." *Science of The Total Environment*

407 (13): 3958–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.11.036.

Wang, Qian, Abdullah Alowaifeer, Patricia Kerner, Narayanaganesh Balasubramanian, Angela

Patterson, William Christian, Angela Tarver, et al. 2021. "Aerobic Bacterial Methane

Synthesis." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 118 (27).

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2019229118.

1077

1078

1079 **Tables**

1080 **Table 1**. Soil chemical and physical properties measured after eight weeks of hydrologic

1081 manipulation.

Treatment	Dry		Interim		Wet	
Plant	No Plant	Plant	No Plant	Plant	No Plant	Plant
Moisture (%)	39 ± 0.31	14 ± 0.03	19 ± 0.16	13 ± 0.02	29 ± 0.23	62 ± 0.07
pH	5.31 ± 0.11	5.31 ± 0.09	5.4 ± 0.16	5.35 ± 0.1	5.55 ± 0.15	5.41 ± 0.17
NH_4^+ mg L^{-1}	0.39 ± 0.07	0.27 ± 0.04	0.26 ± 0.1	0.19 ± 0.02	0.77 ± 0.76	0.39 ± 0.42
NO_3^- mg L^{-1}	0.08 ± 0.07	MDL	0.25 ± 0.38	MDL	0.01 ± 0.0005	MDL
Total C (%)	4.63 ± 0.78	4.63 ± 0.81	4.48 ± 0.32	4.37 ± 0.52	4.91 ± 0.92	4.68 ± 0.74
Total N (%)	0.22 ± 0.03	0.22 ± 0.03	0.22 ± 0.01	0.21 ± 0.03	0.24 ± 0.04	0.23 ± 0.03
P ppm	21.00 ± 4.14	20.41 ± 4.4	23.58 ± 8.87	22.83 ± 8.37	23.16 ± 5.98	23 ± 5.79
K ppm	33.66 ± 5.97	30.25 ± 10.31	39.5 ± 11.44	26.75 ± 3.04	46.16 ± 16.02	34.25 ± 10.78
Mg ppm	77.58 ± 6.46	74.66 ± 11.43	86 ± 22.33	78.66 ± 16.47	89.25 ± 16.85	79.41 ± 18.8
S ppm	15.75 ± 1.94	15.33 ± 2.29	13.25 ± 1.25	12.16 ± 0.40	11.33 ± 1.21	11.5 ± 1.00
Fe ppm	252.66 ± 14.45	243.66 ± 17.06	275.33 ± 27.07	251.16 ± 37.6	306.83 ± 22.52	280.66 ± 40.71
Mn ppm	3.91 ± 1.15	3.41 ± 0.97	4.33 ± 1.16	4.58 ± 1.31	5.83 ± 1.32	5.58 ± 2.05
Humic matter (%)	2.35 ± 0.19	2.17 ± 0.14	2.04 ± 0.29	2.28 ± 0.22	1.98 ± 0.422	1.96 ± 0.43

1082 Notes: Average (mean ± standard deviation) by hydrologic treatment and plant status are

1083 reported to show differences among groups.

1084 Abbreviations: MDL: below detection limit, ppm: parts per million.

1085

1086

1087

1088

Figure 1. Field site and mesocosm set-up. Wetland mesocosms sourced from the Timberlake

Observatory for Wetland Restoration (image modified with permission from Ardón et al., 2013)

(a). Aerial view of wetland mesocosm setup, where the left side of the box represents plant

treatment, and the right side represents no plant treatment, separated by a stainless mesh divider

((b), top, photo credit: R. Bledsoe). PVC collars installed for measuring greenhouse gas

concentrations. Also shown is a 1-liter bottle attached to each side of the mesocosm used to

maintain water levels. Example of a wet treatment mesocosm with IRIS tubes installed ((b),

middle) (photo credit: R. Bledsoe). Example of mesocosm with prepared for GHG sampling ((b),

bottom) (photo credit: R. Bledsoe).

1098

1099

Figure 2. Indicator of reduction in soils (IRIS) tubes mesocosm image (photo credit: R. Bledsoe)

(a), IRIS tube example image (photo credit: R. Bledsoe) (b), and boxplots summarizing redox

status at the beginning and end of the experiment (c). Percent paint removed from IRIS tubes at

the start of the experiment (week 0) and the end of the experiment (week 8). Example IRIS tubes

used for ImageJ analysis post-incubation (b) with plant icons crossed out represent IRIS tubes

from mesocosms with plants removed, and plant icons represent IRIS tubes from mesocosms

with plants present. Box plots summarize percent paint removed from IRIS tubes at start (week

0) and finish (week 8) of experimental incubation. Color represents hydrologic treatment: brown

indicates dry, light blue indicates interim, and dark blue indicates wet. Individual samples are

plotted as points and colored according to their hydrologic treatment. Boxplots summarize

median, first and third quartiles, and two whiskers extending $|\leq|$ 1.5 * interquartile range.

1111

1112

Figure 3.

Greenhouse gas fluxes comparing no plant vs. plant treatments. Fluxes are in milligrams of CH_4 (a), CO_2 (b), and N_2O (c) per square meter per hour, and are compared for plant presence/absence treatments during the five time points. Color represents plant presence/absence: orange = no plant, green = plant. Individual samples are plotted as points and colored by plant presence/absence. Boxplots summarize the median, first and third quartiles, and two whiskers extending $|\leq|$ 1.5 * interquartile range. Asterisks represent significance levels of Wilcoxon Rank-Sum tests (****: $p \leq 0.0001$, ***: $p \leq 0.001$, **: $p \leq 0.01$, *: $p \leq 0.05$, no symbol: $p > 0.05$). An extreme outlier of 248 mg CH_4 m^{-2} h^{-1}, measured in a wet treatment with no plants on July 11th, was used in statistical calculations but not displayed in the plot to improve visualization of differences across treatments.

Figure 4. Greenhouse gas fluxes in dry, interim, and wet hydrologic treatments. Fluxes are in milligrams of CH_4 (a), CO_2 (b), and N_2O (c) per square meter per hour, and are compared for hydrologic treatments during the five time points. Individual samples are plotted as shapes and colored by hydrologic treatment (brown squares = dry, light blue triangles = interim, dark blue circles = wet). Boxplots summarize the median, first and third quartiles, and two whiskers extending $|\leq|$ 1.5 * interquartile range. Asterisks represent significance levels of Dwass, Steel, Critchlow, and Fligner (SDCFlig) tests (****: $p \leq 0.0001$, ***: $p \leq 0.001$, **: $p \leq 0.01$, *: $p \leq 0.05$, no symbol: $p > 0.05$). An extreme outlier of 248 mg CH_4 m^{-2} h^{-1}, measured in a wet treatment with no plants on July 11th, was used in statistical calculations but not displayed in the plot to improve visualization of differences across treatments.

1137

Figure 5. Ordination based on a principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) depicting the community

composition of the bacterial and archaeal communities based on the 16S rRNA gene. Colors

refer to hydrologic treatments: brown indicates dry, light blue indicates interim, and dark blue

indicates wet. Shapes refer to the hydrologic history of the sample: a square indicates dry, a

triangle indicates interim, and a circle indicates wet. Open shapes refer to samples from

mesocosms without plants, while closed shapes refer to samples from mesocosms with plants.

Labeled vectors represent relationships among soil physicochemical variables and the microbial

community ordination, with $p \leq 0.05$, scaled by their correlation (using the *envfit* function).

Abbreviations: CEC: cation exchange capacity.

1147

1148

1149

Figure 6. Ordination based on a principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) depicting community

composition of the functional gene modules. The PCoA ordination is based on the Bray-Curtis

distance of relative abundance of functional genes within respective KEGG modules: Panel (a):

Denitrification (KEGG Module M00529), (b): Methanogen (M00617), (c): Central Carbohydrate

Metabolism (M00001-M00011, M00307-M00309, M00580, and M00633), (d): Prokaryotic

Cytochrome C Oxidase (M00155). Colors refer to hydrologic treatments: black represents the

baseline, brown represents dry conditions, and dark blue represents wet conditions. Shapes refer

to the hydrologic history of the sample: a square indicates dry, a triangle indicates interim, and a

circle indicates wet. The shape fill represents plant treatment: cross = baseline, open = no plant,

closed = plant. Baseline samples were collected prior to the initiation of hydrologic and plant

treatments. Large, dark, opaque points represent centroids of samples within a group, while

1162   smaller transparent points represent individual samples. Vectors represent significant ($p \leq 0.1$)

1163   relationships among greenhouse gas fluxes, soil physicochemical factors, and functional gene

1164   composition, with the length of the vectors scaled by the strength of correlation (using the *envfit*

1165   function).