Trade-offs between sperm viability and immune protein

expression in honey bee queens (*Apis mellifera*)

Short title: Reproduction versus immunity trade-offs in honey bee queens

Alison McAfee,[1,2*] Abigail Chapman,[2] Jeffery S Pettis,[3] Leonard J Foster[2] and David R Tarpy[1]

1. Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North

Carolina, USA

2. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver,

British Columbia, Canada

3. Pettis and Associates LLC, Salisbury, Maryland, USA

* Corresponding author: alison.n.mcafee@gmail.com

Abstract

Queens of social insects have the remarkable ability to keep sperm alive within their specialized storage

organ, the spermatheca, for years. As the longest-lived individual in the colony as well as the sole

reproductive female, the queen defies the typical trade-off between lifespan and reproduction that is

observed in other animals. However, whether queens are subject to a trade-off between reproduction

and immunity is unknown, and the biochemical processes underlying sperm viability are poorly

understood. Here, we survey quality metrics (sperm count, sperm viability, and ovary size) of honey bee

queens from nine genetic sources. We then performed quantitative proteomics on spermathecal fluid

from N = 123 individual queens in order to investigate the biochemical processes underlying natural

variation in sperm viability. Five spermathecal fluid proteins significantly correlated with sperm viability:

odorant binding protein (OBP)14, lysozyme, serpin 88Ea, artichoke, and heat-shock protein (HSP)10. The

significant negative correlation of lysozyme—a conserved immune effector controlled by Toll

signalling—with sperm viability strongly supports the reproduction vs. immunity trade-off in honey bee

queens. Protein-protein correlation matrices reveal that numerous pathogen-associated molecular

pattern recognition proteins and downstream immune effectors are co-expressed and negatively

correlate (though not significantly, as individuals) with sperm viability. Previous research identifying

immunosuppressive and viability-supporting effects of JH, combined with our observation that putative

JH-binding proteins cluster together and negatively correlate with sperm viability suggest that JH

signalling or sequestration may mediate immunosuppression in queens.

Introduction

Long-term sperm storage is a remarkable feature of social insect biology, with hymenopteran queens

storing sperm for by far the longest duration of any animal (decades).[1] In most social insect species,

much work has been dedicated to sexual conflict between males via sperm competition[2-6] and the trade-

offs of male and female innate immunity with sperm quality and stored sperm viability.[7-12] However, the

molecular processes linked to sperm viability during storage are not well understood. Honey bee queens

are emerging as a model system to investigate such processes because they are highly amenable to

empirical manipulation.

The reproduction versus immunity trade-off hypothesis—also known as the immunocompetence

handicap—is a prevailing ideology in reproductive biology.[7,13-16] In males of a variety of species, including

insects, there is a well-established negative relationship between sperm viability and immune

function.[8,10,12,17-21] A similar trade-off appears to exist in female insects that must engage in sperm

storage.[9,11,22]

These trade-offs between reproduction and immunity are thought to be driven either by resource-

allocation compromises[23,24] or collateral damage of immune effectors.[9,10] The resource-allocation

compromise states that the more biological resources a male or female invests in immune function, the

lower the reproductive capacity (*i.e.*, sperm quantity or quality in sperm-producing males,[8,12] sperm

storage in females,[9,11,22] or ovum provisioning and production in females[7]). The alternate idea of

collateral damage of immune effectors is based on the idea that sperm cells may be inadvertently

damaged by innate immune defenses of the female.[9] In particular, collateral damage could occur via

innate immune mechanisms that utilize bursts of cytotoxic reactive oxygen and nitrogen species (ROS

and RNS).[9,25] Queen honey bees are under particularly strong selective pressure to minimize ROS and

RNS in the spermatheca in order to support long-term sperm maintenance. Indeed, the spermatheca is a

largely anoxic environment,[26] and mated queens upregulate ROS-mitigating enzymes, like catalase and

superoxide dismutase.[27-29]

Both the collateral damage hypothesis and the resource allocation hypothesis predict that

immunosuppressed individuals will have higher sperm viability, and likewise, that immune stimulation

decreases sperm viability. This phenomenon has been observed in crickets[11] and fruit flies,[9] where

female immune stimulation (using peptidoglycan fragments) reduced sperm viability in the female's

seminal receptacles. In addition, mating reduces phenoloxidase activity (an immune effector responsible

for the melanization cascade) in wood ant and leaf-cutter ant queens.[22,30]

In honey bee queens, the relationship between immune protein expression and sperm viability (whether

via collateral damage or resource-allocation trade-offs) is, as yet, unexplored. Here, we investigated the

reproduction versus immunity trade-off dogma by performing quantitative proteomics on a large

sample (n = 123 queens) of genetically distinct queens, correlating protein expression with stored sperm

viability, and inspecting protein-protein correlation matrices for functional patterns.

Results and Discussion

Evaluating quality metrics for healthy, failed, and imported queens

For this survey, we sampled 138 queens (of which 125 have data for both sperm viability and sperm

count) belonging to three major cohorts: healthy queens (n = 52), failed queens (n = 53), and imported

queens (n = 20, 10 each from California and Hawaii). The sperm count and viability data did not satisfy

all the assumptions for an ANOVA, therefore least squares and weighted least squares linear regressions

were used where appropriate (**Table 1**). The average sperm viability and sperm counts were nearly

identical between healthy queens and imported queens, but failed queens had significantly lower sperm

viability (p = 1.1e-7, t = 5.6, df = 130) and sperm counts (p = 0.00050, t = 3.47, df = 128) compared to

healthy queens (**Figure 1**). Ovary masses also differed significantly between groups – imported queens

had significantly lower ovary masses compared to either healthy queens (p = 0.0021, t = 3.1, df = 134) or

to failed queens (p = 0.038, t = 2.1, df = 134). Among the local and imported queens, sperm viability was

consistently high across all producers and import sources, and sperm counts were statistically similar

(**Figure S1**). Sample metadata is available in **Supplementary Table S1**.

Since the healthy and failed queens are not age-matched, we cannot say if the differences in counts and

viability that we observed are due to age or quality differences. Furthermore, in preliminary analyses we

found that the ovary-mass data only marginally passed Levene's test for equal variance (p = 0.051),

which was driven by low variation in imported queen ovary masses. This, on top of imported queens

having the smallest ovaries, strongly suggests that the data are not from the same statistical population.

In follow-up experiments, we observed that the ovary mass of imported queens is regained after two

weeks spent caged inside a colony, and therefore is not likely an intrinsic quality of imported queens

(**Figure S2**). Rather, it is likely an artifact of longer caging duration during international transit.

Figure 1. Sperm viability, sperm counts, and ovary mass metrics. A) Experimental schematic. B) imported,
C) healthy, and D) failed queens were surveyed for macroscopic health metrics. See **Table 1** for a complete
summary of statistical tests and p values. Symptoms shown are as reported by donating beekeepers.

Proteomics analysis on spermathecal fluid

We first took a broad view of proteins linked to sperm viability by correlating protein expression in the

spermathecal fluid to the viability of stored sperm. Underlying proteomics data is available in

Supplementary Table S2. Of the 2,512 proteins identified and 1,999 quantified (proteins identified in

fewer than 10 samples were removed), five specific proteins significantly correlated with sperm viability:

Lysozyme, Odorant binding protein (OBP)14, Serpin 88Ea, Artichoke, and Heat-shock protein (HSP)10

(**Figure 2a, Table 2**). Lysozyme is a well-known immune effector that is negatively related to sperm

viability in multiple cricket species,[8,12,31] and supports the notion that reproduction versus immunity

trade-offs exist in honey bee queens as well. Results of this correlation analysis are in **Supplementary**

Table S3.

Using the gene score resampling (GSR) approach, several GO terms were significantly enriched among

proteins correlating with sperm viability, with odorant binding being one of the most significant after

correction for protein multifunctionality and multiple hypothesis testing (**Figure 2b**). Furthermore,

OBP14 is strongly upregulated in mated queens relative to virgin queens and has relatively low

abundance in semen (**Figure 2c**), suggesting that its upregulation may be controlled by the act of mating

or presence of sperm. The strong, significant enrichment of odorant binding is driven by the combined

effect of the aforementioned OBP14 correlation, as well as weaker correlations of OBPs that are co-

expressed with OBP14 (OBP3, 4, 13, 16, 19, and 21), all of which are negatively (but not significantly)

correlated with sperm viability (**Figure 2d**). The strong representation of OBPs in drone ejaculates

(**Figure 2e**) is consistent with previous reports of odorant reception regulating sperm motility,[32] but the

significantly higher expression of OBP14 in the spermatheca relative to ejaculates, and its negative

correlation with viability, suggest an alternate role in the context of sperm storage.

Like other OBPs, OBP14 is a soluble, globular protein with a hydrophobic ligand binding pocket. OBP14 is

the only honey bee OBP with a crystal structure, and previous work suggests that it preferentially binds

terpenoid molecules.[33,34] Juvenile hormone (JH) is a sesquiterpenoid insect hormone with numerous

functions related to development, immunity, and reproduction,[35-37] making it an appealing candidate

ligand for OBP14. JH has immunosuppressive effects in specific contexts;[38,39] therefore, it has the

features of a key mediator for controlling the reproduction-immunity trade-off. We thus speculate that

in the spermathecal fluid, OBP14 may be involved in hormonal signalling that regulates queen immunity.

Table 1. Statistical parameters

Factor	Level	Shapiro p	Levene p	Statistical method	Contrasts		
					Group	p	t
Sperm viability	Healthy	0.0534	0.000146	Weighted least squares linear regression	H-F	1.1e-7	5.53
	Failed	0.182			F-I	7.7e-5	-4.08
	Imported	0.114			I-H	0.89	0.14
Sperm counts	Healthy	0.160	0.0714	Least squares linear regression	H-F	7.7e-4	3.59
	Failed	0.630			F-I	3.7e-3	-2.96
	Imported	0.250			I-H	0.74	0.33
Ovary mass	Healthy	0.00774	0.0506	Least squares linear regression	H-F	0.13	1.52
	Failed	0.3279			F-I	0.038	2.09
	Imported	0.0919			I-H	2.1e-3	-3.14

135 **Table 2.** Functional description of proteins significantly correlating with sperm viability

Protein description	Accession	General function(s)	Viability correlation
Odorant binding protein 14	NP_001035313.1	Solubilization of semiochemicals, ligand transport, preferential binding to terpenoid molecules[33,34]	Negative
Artichoke	XP_026295178.1	Essential for cilia and flagella beating in *Drosophila*[40]	Negative
Serine protease inhibitor 88Ea	XP_026298978.1	Negative regulator of Toll[41]	Negative
Lysozyme	XP_026300526.1	Antibacterial and antifungal activity, associated with low sperm viability in crickets[8,12,31]	Negative
10 kDa heat-shock protein (HSP10)	XP_624910.1	Constitutive protein chaperone	Positive

HSP10, which positively correlates with sperm viability, is a protein chaperone expressed in the

mitochondria, but it is also released into extracellular fluid.[42] In vertebrates, it is a negative regulator of

immunity—indeed, it is also known as the "early pregnancy factor" because its expression facilitates

zygote implantation in the uterus via immunosuppression in the mother (likely through interactions with

mammalian Toll-like receptors).[43] This idea is analogous to the collateral damage of immune effectors

on stored sperm observed in *Drosophila*.[9] An immunosuppression function of HSP10 has not been

demonstrated in invertebrates, and its function in insects, apart from its role as a chaperone, has

received little attention.[44-46]

Figure 2. Correlations of spermathecal fluid proteins with sperm viability. a) We analyzed the spermathecal fluid of N = 125 queens by label-free quantitative proteomics. The linear regression model included sperm viability, sperm counts, and cohort (healthy, failed, and imported) as fixed factors, queen producer as a random effect, and the false discovery rate (FDR) was set to 10% (Benjamini-Hochberg method). The y axis depicts mean-centered LFQ intensity data after log2 transformation. Adjusted p values are shown. Statistical parameters can be found in Supplementary Table S3. b) GO terms that are significantly enriched among proteins correlating with sperm viability using the gene score resampling (GSR) method. c) Protein expression in drone ejaculates, virgin spermathecae, and mated spermathecae (each with N = 10). Data were analyzed using an ANOVA followed by Tukey contrasts for those with significant ANOVA results. Serpin 88Ea contrasts: Drone-Virgin $p < 1 \times 10^{-7}$, Drone-Mated $p < 1 \times 10^{-7}$. OBP14 contrasts: Mated-Drone $p = 3.9 \times 10^{-5}$, Mated-Virgin $p = 8.0 \times 10^{-5}$. d) Protein-protein and protein-viability correlation matrix of odorant binding proteins in the spermathecal fluid. Dot size is proportional to significance. Significant correlations are indicated with an asterisk ($\alpha = 0.0011$, Bonferroni correction). e) Odorant binding protein (OBP) expression in drone ejaculates and mated queens (N = 10 each). Data

underlying panels c and e were previously published.[29] Data were analyzed by a two-way ANOVA, which
indicated an interactive effect between sex and protein (df = 8, F = 8.7, $p < 1.8 \times 10^{-11}$) followed by Tukey
contrasts. OBP3 and OBP14 contrasts: $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$. In all cases, boxes represent the bounds between the
2nd and 3rd interquartile range (IQR), midlines represent the median, and whiskers are extended by
$1.5 \times IQR$.

Protein-protein co-expression matrices

The proteins we identified as correlating with sperm viability are multifunctional and, in some cases,

poorly characterized. We therefore exploited protein correlation matrices and hierarchical clustering to

make further inferences about the proteins' potential functions based on proximal associations with

other proteins. The guiding principle is that co-expressed proteins are more likely to function in the

same biochemical pathway, or be physically interacting as components of a protein complex.[47]

We computed Pearson correlation matrices including all 1,999 quantified proteins, then performed

hierarchical clustering to group those proteins that are co-expressed (**Figure 3a-d, Supplementary Table**

S4). We first confirmed that the clusters we defined are biologically meaningful by testing for GO terms

enriched within each protein cluster. Of the 79 clusters we defined (to which 1,377 proteins belong,

singletons and doubletons removed), 18 of them had enriched GO terms for biological functions or

molecular processes. Next, we identified which clusters our five proteins of interest belong to, and

found that Serpin 88Ea, Lysozyme, and Artichoke are part of the same cluster (cluster 5), in addition to

numerous proteins involved in pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) recognition and others

involved in cellular encapsulation immune reactions (Phenoloxidase, Proclotting enzyme, and

Apolipophorin-III; **Figure 3e**). Ten of the 27 proteins belonging to Cluster 5 are clearly linked to innate

immunity (twelve, if counting Serpin 88Ea itself and Serpin 27A, both of which are involved in innate

immune signalling in *Drosophila*). Unfortunately, we were not able to perform an enrichment test for

this function because no proteins in the whole dataset contained the GO terms GO:0008592 (regulation

of Toll) nor GO:0002252 (immune effector response), despite identifying proteins that clearly should

possess these GO terms. This seems to indicate a deficiency in GO term mapping between *Drosophila*

and honey bees, and it is not clear how this can be systematically overcome. All of the 13 innate

immune factors are also negatively (but not significantly, individually) correlated with sperm viability. On

top of the significant negative correlation of Lysozyme expression with sperm viability, these data

support the idea that queens are subject to reproduction-immunity trade-offs when it comes to sperm

storage.

Very little is known about the artichoke protein's function, but some evidence demonstrated that it is

essential for cilia and flagellar function.[40] In the quiescent state of the sperm during storage, flagellar

motion is undesirable because it demands large amounts of ATP and is not necessary for sperm storage.

This is consistent with the negative relationship we observe between artichoke and viability. However, if

this were its primary function, we would expect artichoke to also be present in drone ejaculates, which

we did not observe (**Figure 2c**). Artichoke has not been previously linked to immunity, but it is an

understudied protein and since it clusters with known immune effectors and regulators here, that is one

alternate role that should be explored.

While the cluster containing OBP14 did not yield any significant GO terms, two of the other cluster

members are Apolipophorin I/II and Hexamerin 70a. Apolipophorins are known to bind JH in other

insects,[48,49] suggesting that hormone sequestration or signalling could be playing a role in sperm

viability. Hexamerin 70a also binds JH, was part of the same cluster, and is known to physically interact

with apolipophorins.[50] These results strongly suggest that hormone trafficking, particularly JH trafficking,

is linked to sperm viability, and that OBP14, Apolipophorin I/II, and Hexamerin 70a could be facilitators.

Others have shown that JH diet supplementation improves sperm viability,[51] and JH serves as an

immunosuppressant in mated females of other insects, which is consistent with the reproduction-

immunity trade-off hypothesis.[52]

HSP10 assists with folding proteins as they are imported into the mitochondria, in a 1:1 stoichiometric

complex with HSP60. In our correlation matrix, we found that these two HSPs were contained within the

same cluster along with other mitochondrial proteins, supporting the biological relevance of this

clustering method (**Table 3**), and the idea that ATP synthesis and utilization is linked to sperm

maintenance, as has been previously suggested.[26] Surprisingly, most of the other proteins in the HSP10-

containing cluster are also mitochondrial proteins, which we did not expect because we removed sperm

cells from the spermathecal fluid through centrifugation. The presence of so many mitochondrial

proteins in the spermathecal fluid is puzzling and unresolved. It is possible that more mitochondrial

proteins are released into the extracellular matrix or spermathecal fluid than previously thought, or that

these originate from cells loosely associated with the spermathecal structural components that become

dislodged or lysed during spermathecal fluid extraction. Whatever the origin, the consistent

representation of mitochondrial proteins within this cluster again confirms that correlation matrices

enabled us to identify biologically meaningful protein-protein associations.

Figure 3. *Protein-protein co-expression matrices.* We computed protein-protein Pearson correlations across n = 123 queen spermathecal fluid samples at a dendrogram cut-off of 666 clusters (one third of the total number of quantified proteins). Singleton clusters were removed before plotting. A) Significantly enriched GO terms within clusters (Fisher exact test, 10% false discovery rate, Benjamini-Hochberg method). B) GO terms of inset clusters. C) All protein clusters (using a cut-off of k = 666 clusters, singletons and doubletons removed). D) Inset protein clusters containing the five proteins correlating with sperm

229 viability. E) All protein members of cluster 5 and their relationship with sperm viability. Protein names in
230 red indicate proteins directly involved in innate immune signalling.

232 **Table 3.** Proteins clustering with lysozyme, serpin 88Ea, artichoke, OBP14, and HSP10

Protein accession	Cluster	Description
XP_026300526.1	**5**	**lysozyme**
XP_026298978.1	**5**	**serpin 88Ea**
XP_026295178.1	**5**	**artichoke**
NP_001011578.1	5	vitellogenin
NP_001011627.1	5	phenoloxidase
NP_001107670.1	5	apolipophorin-III
NP_001157186.1	5	gram-negative bacteria-binding protein
NP_001157187.1	5	peptidoglycan-recognition protein
XP_001121565.2	5	beta-galactosidase
XP_001121634.3	5	beta-1,3-glucan-binding protein
XP_001121713.2	5	collagen alpha-1(IV) chain
XP_001121888.2	5	proclotting enzyme
XP_001122067.3	5	serpin 27A
XP_003250675.2	5	uncharacterized
XP_006560184.1	5	fasciclin-2
XP_006564106.1	5	peptidoglycan-recognition protein
XP_006565983.2	5	uncharacterized
XP_016766413.1	5	uncharacterized
XP_016769016.1	5	chitinase-like protein Idgf4
XP_016772427.1	5	LRR-containing protein 70
XP_026296654.1	5	LRR transmembrane neuronal protein
XP_026297659.1	5	peroxidase
XP_026298408.1	5	OBP3
XP_026299604.1	5	antichymotrypsin
XP_392145.3	5	glucose dehydrogenase [FAD, quinone]
XP_392381.3	5	cysteine proteinase
XP_392711.4	5	MD-2-related lipid-recognition protein
XP_393963.3	5	trehalase isoform
XP_396922.2	5	spermine oxidase
NP_001035313.1	**10**	**odorant binding protein 14 isoform X1**
NP_001035349.1	10	alpha-glucosidase precursor
NP_001104234.1	10	hexamerin 70a precursor
XP_016768985.2	10	probable uridine nucleosidase 2
XP_026295419.1	10	leucine-rich repeat-containing protein 40-like
XP_026296673.1	10	uncharacterized protein LOC726286
XP_026296936.1	10	carboxypeptidase D isoform X2
XP_026297227.1	10	hemocyte protein-glutamine gamma-glutamyltransferase

XP_026298016.1	10	sushi, von Willebrand factor type A, EGF and pentraxin domain-containing protein 1 isoform X2
XP_026298285.1	10	apolipophorins
XP_026300168.1	10	dipeptidase 1 isoform X3
XP_026301410.1	10	fibrillin-2
XP_392602.4	10	aminopeptidase N
XP_624977.1	10	GILT-like protein 1
XP_624910.1	**17**	**10 kDa heat shock protein, mitochondrial**
XP_392899.2	17	60 kDa heat shock protein, mitochondrial
NP_001153520.1	17	heat shock 70 kDa protein cognate 5
XP_026294937.1	17	protein lethal(2)essential for life
NP_001171495.1	17	Thioredoxin-dependent peroxide reductase, mitochondrial
NP_001229442.1	17	pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component subunit beta, mitochondrial
XP_001120329.1	17	iron-sulfur cluster assembly 2 homolog, mitochondrial
XP_001120471.1	17	3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase type-2
XP_001122230.1	17	mitochondrial import inner membrane translocase subunit Tim8
XP_006560252.1	17	probable aconitate hydratase, mitochondrial
XP_006561099.1	17	grpE protein homolog, mitochondrial
XP_006562042.1	17	mitochondrial transcription rescue factor 1
XP_006562528.1	17	lon protease homolog, mitochondrial isoform X1
XP_006562944.1	17	mitochondrial import inner membrane translocase subunit Tim9
XP_006562957.1	17	persulfide dioxygenase ETHE1, mitochondrial
XP_006564183.2	17	probable isocitrate dehydrogenase [NAD] subunit alpha, mitochondrial
XP_006564486.1	17	bifunctional methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase/cyclohydrolase, mitochondrial
XP_006564809.1	17	polyribonucleotide nucleotidyltransferase 1, mitochondrial
XP_006565801.1	17	ATP synthase subunit d, mitochondrial
XP_006566401.1	17	elongation factor Ts, mitochondrial
XP_006572159.1	17	probable pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component subunit alpha, mitochondrial isoform X2
XP_016766950.1	17	acyl carrier protein, mitochondrial
XP_016767678.1	17	mitochondrial intermembrane space import and assembly protein 40-B
XP_016769522.1	17	complex III assembly factor LYRM7 isoform X1
XP_026295141.1	17	NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase 75 kDa subunit, mitochondrial
XP_026301996.1	17	delta-1-pyrroline-5-carboxylate synthase isoform X1
XP_391843.1	17	3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase, mitochondrial
XP_391880.2	17	elongation factor Tu, mitochondrial
XP_392478.2	17	malate dehydrogenase, mitochondrial
XP_392760.2	17	ATP synthase subunit O, mitochondrial
XP_393324.2	17	hemK methyltransferase family member 1
XP_393509.2	17	mitochondrial-processing peptidase subunit beta
XP_393789.2	17	electron transfer flavoprotein subunit beta
XP_393806.2	17	trifunctional enzyme subunit alpha, mitochondrial
XP_393876.1	17	isocitrate dehydrogenase [NAD] subunit gamma, mitochondrial
XP_393900.2	17	isochorismatase domain-containing protein 2-like

XP_394112.2	17	fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase domain-containing protein 2A isoform X2
XP_394885.1	17	NADH dehydrogenase [ubiquinone] iron-sulfur protein 3, mitochondrial
XP_395130.4	17	enoyl-[acyl-carrier-protein] reductase, mitochondrial
XP_395766.4	17	succinate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase, mitochondrial
XP_395826.2	17	NFU1 iron-sulfur cluster scaffold homolog, mitochondrial-like
XP_623084.1	17	aldehyde dehydrogenase, mitochondrial
XP_623725.1	17	voltage-dependent anion-selective channel
XP_624102.1	17	electron transfer flavoprotein subunit alpha, mitochondrial
XP_624164.1	17	trifunctional enzyme subunit beta, mitochondrial
XP_624249.1	17	ATP synthase subunit e, mitochondrial
XP_624330.3	17	prohibitin-2 isoform X1
XP_624354.1	17	serine protease HTRA2, mitochondrial
XP_624561.1	17	cob(I)yrinic acid a,c-diamide adenosyltransferase, mitochondrial

No evidence for Serpin 88Ea inhibitory activity

In *Drosophila*, Serpin 88Ea is a negative regulator of Toll immune signalling, and here it clusters with

other proteins linked to innate immunity. However, the negative correlation with sperm viability and

positive correlation with downstream immune effectors is not consistent with the reproduction-

immunity trade-off hypothesis, nor this immune regulatory role. If the reproduction-immunity trade-off

applies here and Serpin 88Ea functions in honey bees as it does in fruit flies, Serpin 88Ea should be

positively correlated with sperm viability and inhibit expression of immune effectors. In *Drosophila,*

Serpin 88Ea regulates Toll signalling by blocking proteolytic cleavage of spaetzle (Spz) by spaetzle-

processing enzyme (SPE), which is a necessary step for Toll activation.[41] Despite Serpin 88Ea levels

positively correlating with both Spz and SPE (**Figure 4a-c)**, we find no support that Serpin 88Ea is actually

inhibiting SPE in our data.

Serpins inhibit proteases by forming a covalent bond with the protease at its active site and inducing a

conformational change,[53] so if Serpin 88Ea is predominantly functioning as a protease inhibitor here, this

should be confirmed in the mass spectrometry data. It would be unlikely that we would have identified

the serpin-protease linkage because such bridged peptides fragment unpredictably in the mass

spectrometer and non-canonical covalent bonds are not accounted for in the protein search database.

However, we should be able to see the absence or decreased abundance of a peptide, either from the

serpin or the protease, if this linkage is occurring. Unfortunately, it would not be possible to see a

cleaved spaetzle peptide in our data because spaetzle cleavage occurs C-terminal to an arginine residue

(**Figure 4d**), which would be indistinguishable from a cleavage by trypsin, the enzyme used in our sample

preparation.

The honey bee homolog of Serpin 88Ea has not been characterized, so we used BLAST to identify the

conserved reactive center loop (RCL) region and confirmed that the protein contains the consensus

sequence characteristic of inhibitory serpins (**Figure 4e**). In *Drosophila*, the site targeted for nucleophilic

attack by the protease occurs between residues 386 and 387 (TYR**S/A**RPV) in the RCL. Therefore, the

predicted cleavage site in honey bee Serpin 88Ea is between residues 369 and 370 (TFR**S/G**RPL), which is

contained in the tryptic peptide SGRPLVPTVFNANHPFVYFIYEK (the 'site peptide'). We evaluated LFQ

intensities of two control peptides (distant from the nucleophilic attack site) and the site peptide

relative to a fourth reference peptide. All peptides were tightly correlated to the reference peptide at

approximately the same slope (**Figure 4f-g**), suggesting that LFQ intensities of the site peptide were not

decoupled and that in this biological context Serpin 88Ea is not actively inhibiting proteases.

Curiously, Dosselli *et al.* recently found that a different Serpin, B10 (along with two serine proteases,

easter and snake), became downregulated in the ant *Atta colombica* seminal fluid upon exposure to

spermathecal fluid.[54] The authors suggest that the proteases and Serpin B10 are part of a sperm-sperm

competition system that becomes quickly deactivated by spermathecal fluid to preserve sperm viability.

In our data, Serpin 88Ea along with two other protease inhibitors, Serpin 27A (which targets Easter) and

Antichymotrypsin, are all negatively associated with sperm viability. While this is consistent with the

overall de-activation of sperm competition favoring viability, it is hard to rationalize how that could be

the case here, since honey bee drone sperm and not the seminal fluid migrate through the queen's

reproductive tract to the spermatheca. Therefore, if these proteins are the remains of sperm-

competition machinery, they would have had to enter the spermatheca by physically associating with

the membranes of sperm cells—a highly unlikely scenario, especially given that our statistical model

yielded no proteins correlating with sperm counts.

Interestingly, in mammals, some serpins actually act as hormone carriers.[55] Although these are typically

'non-inhibitory' serpins without an RCL domain and the serpins in our data are ostensibly inhibitory, it is

possible that insect serpins have evolved diverse roles. Indeed, despite containing an RCL domain, we

found no evidence that Serpin 88Ea is actively serving as a serine protease inhibitor. In addition, the top

predicted protein interactor for *Drosophila* Serpin 88Ea, according to STRING (www.string-db.org), is

actually an apolipophorin involved in JH transport (FBpp0088252, score: 0.919). Further experiments will

be necessary to ascertain whether Serpin 88Ea is associating with hormone carriers, is an immune

regulator, is a component of sperm competition machinery, or some combination of different functions

in different biological contexts.

Figure 4. An investigation into Serpin 88Ea, Spaetzle (Spz), and Spaetzle-processing enzyme (SPE). The three proteins are all positively, significantly correlated with each other (linear regression on log2 LFQ intensities). a) SPZ-Serpin: N = 114, degrees of freedom (df): 113, F = 37.9, p = 1.2 x 10^{-8}. b) N = 75, df = 74, F = 17.8, p = 7.2 x 10^{-5}. c) N = 75, df = 74, F = 25.2, p = 3.5 x 10^{-6}. d) Sequence alignment between *Drosophila* (D.mel) Spz and honey bee (A.mel) Spz (* = perfect homology, : = good homology, . = partial homology, based on BLOSUM matrices). Peptides identified by mass spectrometry are bold. The predicted Spaetzle cleavage site is marked in red. e) Annotation of honey bee Serpin 88Ea sequence with predicted cleavage site (red), reactive center loop (RCL, purple), and inhibitory consensus sequence (thin underline). (f-h) LFQ intensities of the site peptide and control peptides are compared to the reference peptide. f) N = 35, df = 34, p = 0.0052; F = 8.9. g) N = 37, df = 36, p = 0.0093; F = 18.9. g) N = 29, df = 28, p = 1.5 x 10^{-10}; F = 81.7.

Methods

Queens

Seven queen producers throughout BC (located in Grand Forks, Merrit, Armstrong, Abbotsford, Telkwa,

Surrey, and Powell River) donated healthy queens for this study in the summer of 2019. All queens were

approximately two months old and rated as "good quality" by the donors based on having a consistent,

contiguous laying pattern. The sperm viability metrics for failed and healthy queens are the same results

as described in McAfee *et al*;[29] however, all sperm count, ovary mass, and imported queen data are

novel. Two of the same producers in Grand Forks also donated the majority of failed queens, but other

donors located in Squamish, Abbotsford, Cranbrook, Lillooet, and Vancouver also contributed. In most

cases, the age of the failed queens was unknown. The queens arrived via overnight ground

transportation (ACE Courier or via post) to the University of British Columbia in Vancouver and we

sacrificed them for analysis immediately upon arrival. See **Supplementary Table S1** for complete sample

metadata.

Sperm viability, sperm counts, and ovary masses

We conducted sperm viability assays exactly as previously described,[29] with the original method

published by Collins *et al*.[56] Briefly, we dissected spermathecae and lysed them in tubes containing 100

μl of room-temperature Buffer D. We transferred 10 μl of the solution to a new tube and stained it with

propidium iodide and Sybr green fluorescent dyes, which differentially stains dead sperm red and live

sperm green. After incubating for 15 minutes, we acquired images by fluorescent microscopy (three

fields of view per queen) and sperm belonging to red and green channels were automatically counted

using ImageJ. Sperm that stained both green and red were counted as live, as they were likely in the

process of dying as a result of dissection or associated extraneous variables. We averaged the percent

viability across the three technical replicates prior to performing protein correlations.

We counted total sperm using the methods essentially as described by Baer *et al*.[57] Briefly, we mixed the

sperm suspended in Buffer D solution by gently flicking the tube several times until homogeneous, then

pipetted three 1 µl spots on to a glass slide, allowing to air dry for 20 min. We stained the spots with

DAPI, then imaged the entire area of each spot with a fluorescent microscope (images were taken at

200x in a tiling array, then automatically stitched together in the Zeiss image processing software, ZEN).

The number of sperm nuclei in each 1 µl spot were then counted using ImageJ and averaged across the

three spots. We arrived at the total number of sperm by extrapolation (multiplying the average value by

a factor of 100). Finally, we dissected ovaries from the queens using forceps and wet weights were

determined using an analytical balance, subtracting the exact mass of the tube.

We used R to perform all statistical analyses. The sperm viability, sperm count, and ovary mass data

were first evaluated for normality and equal variance using a Shapiro and Levene test, respectively. If

the data passed both tests, or failed for normality and passed for equal variance, a classical least squares

linear regression model was used. If the data failed for equal variance, a weighted least squares

regression analysis, using the inverse of the fitted data from a first pass unweighted regression as the

weights.

Proteomics sample preparation

We used the remaining ~87 µl of spermathecal solution that was not consumed by the viability and

count assays for shot-gun proteomics. We removed spermathecal wall debris and sperm cells by

centrifugation (1000 g, 5 min), transferring the supernatant to a new tube. The proteomics samples,

therefore, were composed only of spermathecal fluid. We diluted the samples 1:1 with distilled water,

then precipitated the proteins by adding four volumes of ice cold 100% acetone and incubating at -20 °C

overnight.

We performed all further proteomics sample preparation steps exactly as previously described.[58] Briefly,

we used urea digestion buffer to solubilize the protein, then reduced (dithiothreitol), alkylated

(iodoacetamide), diluted with four volumes of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate, digested (Lys-C for 3 h,

then trypsin overnight), and desalted peptides using C18 STAGE tips made in-house. We suspended the

desalted, dried peptides in Buffer A, then estimated peptide concentration by the absorbance at 280

nanometers (Nanodrop). For each sample, 2 µg of peptides were injected into the chromatography

system (Easy-nLC 1000, Thermo), which was directly coupled to a Bruker Impact II time-of-flight mass

spectrometer.

Mass spectrometry data processing

We searched the mass spectrometry data using MaxQuant (v1.6.8.0). All samples for the queen survey

were searched together (123 data files; two samples of the 125 depicted in **Figure 1** were compromised

during handling), ensuring a global identification false discovery rate of 1% for both proteins and

peptides. We used default search settings, except that label-free quantification was enabled, the

minimum number of peptide ratios for quantification was set to 1, and match between runs was

enabled. The FASTA database for the search was the newest *A. mellifera* proteome available on NCBI

(HAv3.1) along with all honey bee virus sequences.

We performed differential protein expression analysis using the limma package for R. First, we removed

all protein groups that were reverse hits, contaminants, or only identified by site, followed by proteins

identified in fewer than 10 samples. LFQ intensities were log2 transformed prior to analysis (available in

Supplementary Table S2). The statistical models evaluating protein correlations with sperm viability also

included sperm counts, ovary mass, and queen status (failed, healthy, or imported) as fixed effects and

source (producer) as a random effect. P values were adjusted using the Benjamini-Hochberg method

(10% FDR). The limma output for viability correlations is available in **Supplementary Table S3**.

Protein-protein correlations and GO enrichments

We computed the Pearson protein-protein correlation coefficients and set a cut-off of 666 clusters for

hierarchical clustering. This yielded 79 protein clusters containing three or more proteins (clustering

results are available in **Supplementary Table S4**). GO terms were then retrieved using BLAST2GO (v4.0),

which yielded 1,773 of 1,999 proteins with GO terms (the GO term association table is available in

Supplementary Table S5). To identify significantly enriched GO terms within clusters, we performed an

over-representation analysis (ORA) using ErmineJ,[59] where proteins within each cluster were considered

the 'hit list' and the quantified proteome (1,999 proteins) as the background. To find GO terms that

were significantly enriched among proteins correlating with sperm viability, we used the gene-score

resampling approach, also using ErmineJ. This approach utilizes p values as a continuous variable, and

detects GO terms that are over-represented among proteins with low p values. Further information can

be found at https://erminej.msl.ubc.ca/help/tutorials/running-an-analysis-resampling/. In both types of

enrichment analyses, within-test multiple hypothesis testing was corrected to 10% FDR using the

Benjamini-Hochberg method.

Data Availability

All novel proteomics raw data, search results, and search parameters are available on MassIVE

(www.massive.ucsd.edu, accession MSV000085428, **reviewer password: SPF123**). Figures associated

with these data are Figs 2a, 2b, 2d, 3, 4a-c, and 4f-h. Tables associated with these data are Table 2 and 3.

The mass spectrometry data comparing virgins, mated queens, and drone semen has been previously

published[29] and is publicly available at www.proteomexchange.org (accession: PXD013728). Sample

metadata underlying Fig 1 are available in **Supplementary Table S1**. Global protein abundances and P

values for the correlation between sperm viability and spermatheca protein expression are available in

Supplementary Table S2. Hierarchical clustering results of protein-protein correlation coefficients are

available in **Supplementary Table S4.** Any other data that support the findings of this study are available

from the corresponding author on request.

Code availability

R code for standard statistical analyses and figure generation will be provided upon request.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Kettle Valley Queens, Nicola Valley Honey, Wild Antho, Campbells Gold

Honey, Heather Meadows Honey Farm, Six Legs Good Apiaries, Wildwood Queens, Cariboo Honey and

Worker Bee Honey Company for donating failed and healthy queens for this research, and Jordan Tam

for assisting with the BLAST2GO analysis. This work was supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering

Research Council of Canada Discovery grant no. 311654-11 and grants from Genome Canada and

Genome British Columbia awarded to L.J.F.; a Project Apis m grant awarded to A.M. and L.J.F, a grant

from the Boone Hodgson Wilkinson Trust to A.M., and a USDA-NIFA grant no. 2016-07962 awarded to

J.S.P. and D.R.T.

References

1   Keller, L. & Genoud, M. Extraordinary lifespans in ants: a test of evolutionary theories of ageing.
405   *Nature* **389**, 958-960 (1997).
406   2   Birkhead, T. & Hunter, F. Mechanisms of sperm competition. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **5**,
407   48-52 (1990).
408   3   Hunter, F. M. & Birkhead, T. R. Sperm viability and sperm competition in insects. *Curr Biol* **12**,
409   121-123, doi:10.1016/s0960-9822(01)00647-9 (2002).
410   4   Parker, G. A. Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects. *Biological*
411   *Reviews* **45**, 525-567 (1970).
412   5   Simmons, L. W. *Sperm competition and its evolutionary consequences in the insects*. (Princeton
413   University Press, 2001).

414	6	den Boer, S. P., Baer, B. & Boomsma, J. J. Seminal fluid mediates ejaculate competition in social
415		insects. *Science* **327**, 1506-1509 (2010).
416	7	Schwenke, R. A., Lazzaro, B. P. & Wolfner, M. F. Reproduction–immunity trade-offs in insects.
417		*Annual Review of Entomology* **61**, 239-256 (2016).
418	8	Simmons, L. W. Resource allocation trade-off between sperm quality and immunity in the field
419		cricket, Teleogryllus oceanicus. *Behavioral Ecology* **23**, 168-173 (2011).
420	9	Radhakrishnan, P. & Fedorka, K. M. Immune activation decreases sperm viability in both sexes
421		and influences female sperm storage. *Proc Biol Sci* **279**, 3577-3583, doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.0654
422		(2012).
423	10	Wigby, S., Suarez, S. S., Lazzaro, B. P., Pizzari, T. & Wolfner, M. F. Sperm success and immunity.
424		*Curr Top Dev Biol* **135**, 287-313, doi:10.1016/bs.ctdb.2019.04.002 (2019).
425	11	McNamara, K. B., van Lieshout, E. & Simmons, L. W. Females suffer a reduction in the viability of
426		stored sperm following an immune challenge. *J Evol Biol* **27**, 133-140, doi:10.1111/jeb.12278
427		(2014).
428	12	Simmons, L. W. & Roberts, B. Bacterial immunity traded for sperm viability in male crickets.
429		*Science* **309**, 2031, doi:10.1126/science.1114500 (2005).
430	13	Zuk, M. & Stoehr, A. M. Immune defense and host life history. *the american naturalist* **160**, S9-
431		S22 (2002).
432	14	Faivre, B., Grégoire, A., Préault, M., Cézilly, F. & Sorci, G. Immune activation rapidly mirrored in a
433		secondary sexual trait. *Science* **300**, 103-103 (2003).
434	15	van der Most, P. J., de Jong, B., Parmentier, H. K. & Verhulst, S. Trade-off between growth and
435		immune function: a meta-analysis of selection experiments. *Functional Ecology* **25**, 74-80 (2011).
436	16	Sheldon, B. C. & Verhulst, S. Ecological immunology: costly parasite defences and trade-offs in
437		evolutionary ecology. *Trends in ecology & evolution* **11**, 317-321 (1996).
438	17	Simmons, L. W., Tinghitella, R. M. & Zuk, M. Quantitative genetic variation in courtship song and
439		its covariation with immune function and sperm quality in the field cricket Teleogryllus
440		oceanicus. *Behavioral Ecology* **21**, 1330-1336 (2010).
441	18	Folstad, I. & Karter, A. J. Parasites, bright males, and the immunocompetence handicap. *The
442		American Naturalist* **139**, 603-622 (1992).
443	19	Stürup, M., Baer-Imhoof, B., Nash, D. R., Boomsma, J. J. & Baer, B. When every sperm counts:
444		factors affecting male fertility in the honeybee Apis mellifera. *Behavioral Ecology* **24**, 1192-1198
445		(2013).
446	20	McKean, K. A. & Nunney, L. Increased sexual activity reduces male immune function in
447		Drosophila melanogaster. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **98**, 7904-7909
448		(2001).
449	21	Fedorka, K. M., Zuk, M. & Mousseau, T. A. Immune suppression and the cost of reproduction in
450		the ground cricket, Allonemobius socius. *Evolution* **58**, 2478-2485 (2004).
451	22	Baer, B., Armitage, S. A. & Boomsma, J. J. Sperm storage induces an immunity cost in ants.
452		*Nature* **441**, 872-875, doi:10.1038/nature04698 (2006).
453	23	Siva-Jothy, M. T. & Thompson, J. J. Short-term nutrient deprivation affects immune function.
454		*Physiological Entomology* **27**, 206-212 (2002).
455	24	Lochmiller, R. L. & Deerenberg, C. Trade-offs in evolutionary immunology: just what is the cost
456		of immunity? *Oikos* **88**, 87-98 (2000).
457	25	Dowling, D. K. & Simmons, L. W. Reactive oxygen species as universal constraints in life-history
458		evolution. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **276**, 1737-1745 (2009).
459	26	Paynter, E. *et al.* Insights into the molecular basis of long-term storage and survival of sperm in
460		the honeybee (Apis mellifera). *Sci Rep* **7**, 40236, doi:10.1038/srep40236 (2017).

27 Collins, A., Williams, V. & Evans, J. Sperm storage and antioxidative enzyme expression in the
honey bee, Apis mellifera. *Insect molecular biology* **13**, 141-146 (2004).
28 Baer, B., Eubel, H., Taylor, N. L., O'Toole, N. & Millar, A. H. Insights into female sperm storage
from the spermathecal fluid proteome of the honeybee Apis mellifera. *Genome Biol* **10**, R67,
doi:10.1186/gb-2009-10-6-r67 (2009).
29 McAfee, A. *et al.* Vulnerability of honey bee queens to heat-induced loss of fertility. *Nature*
Sustainability, 1-10 (2020).
30 Castella, G., Christe, P. & Chapuisat, M. Mating triggers dynamic immune regulations in wood
ant queens. *Journal of evolutionary biology* **22**, 564-570 (2009).
31 Gershman, S. N. *et al.* Give 'til it hurts: trade-offs between immunity and male reproductive
effort in the decorated cricket, Gryllodes sigillatus. *Journal of evolutionary biology* **23**, 829-839
(2010).
32 Pitts, R. J., Liu, C., Zhou, X., Malpartida, J. C. & Zwiebel, L. J. Odorant receptor-mediated sperm
activation in disease vector mosquitoes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**,
2566-2571 (2014).
33 Spinelli, S. *et al.* Crystal structure of Apis mellifera OBP14, a C-minus odorant-binding protein,
and its complexes with odorant molecules. *Insect biochemistry and molecular biology* **42**, 41-50
(2012).
34 Iovinella, I. *et al.* Differential expression of odorant-binding proteins in the mandibular glands of
the honey bee according to caste and age. *J Proteome Res* **10**, 3439-3449,
doi:10.1021/pr2000754 (2011).
35 Williams, C. M. The juvenile hormone of insects. *Nature* **178**, 212-213 (1956).
36 Riddiford, L. M. in *Advances in insect physiology* Vol. 24   213-274 (Elsevier, 1994).
37 Wyatt, G. R. & Davey, K. G. in *Advances in insect physiology* Vol. 26   1-155 (Elsevier, 1996).
38 Rantala, M. J., Vainikka, A. & Kortet, R. The role of juvenile hormone in immune function and
pheromone production trade-offs: a test of the immunocompetence handicap principle.
Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences **270**, 2257-2261 (2003).
39 Flatt, T. *et al.* Hormonal regulation of the humoral innate immune response in Drosophila
melanogaster. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **211**, 2712-2724 (2008).
40 Andrés, M., Turiégano, E., Göpfert, M. C., Canal, I. & Torroja, L. The extracellular matrix protein
artichoke is required for integrity of ciliated mechanosensory and chemosensory organs in
Drosophila embryos. *Genetics* **196**, 1091-1102, doi:10.1534/genetics.113.156323 (2014).
41 Ahmad, S. T., Sweeney, S. T., Lee, J.-A., Sweeney, N. T. & Gao, F.-B. Genetic screen identifies
serpin5 as a regulator of the toll pathway and CHMP2B toxicity associated with frontotemporal
dementia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**, 12168-12173 (2009).
42 Johnson, B. J. *et al.* Heat shock protein 10 inhibits lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammatory
mediator production. *J Biol Chem* **280**, 4037-4047, doi:10.1074/jbc.M411569200 (2005).
43 Corrao, S. *et al.* Human Hsp10 and Early Pregnancy Factor (EPF) and their relationship and
involvement in cancer and immunity: current knowledge and perspectives. *Life Sci* **86**, 145-152,
doi:10.1016/j.lfs.2009.11.004 (2010).
44 Tazir, Y. *et al.* Molecular and functional characterisation of the heat shock protein 10 of
Strongyloides ratti. *Molecular and biochemical parasitology* **168**, 149-157 (2009).
45 Zhang, B. *et al.* Stress responses of small heat shock protein genes in Lepidoptera point to
limited conservation of function across phylogeny. *PloS one* **10** (2015).
46 Tan, Y., Zhang, Y., Huo, Z.-J., Zhou, X.-R. & Pang, B.-P. Molecular cloning of heat shock protein 10
(Hsp10) and 60 (Hsp60) cDNAs from Galeruca daurica (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) and their
expression analysis. *Bulletin of entomological research* **108**, 510-522 (2018).

47   Vella, D., Zoppis, I., Mauri, G., Mauri, P. & Di Silvestre, D. From protein-protein interactions to
protein co-expression networks: a new perspective to evaluate large-scale proteomic data.
EURASIP Journal on Bioinformatics and Systems Biology **2017**, 6 (2017).

48   De Kort, C. & Koopmanschap, A. Molecular characteristics of lipophorin, the juvenile hormone-
binding protein in the hemolymph of the Colorado potato beetle. *Archives of insect biochemistry*
and physiology **5**, 255-269 (1987).

49   Soulages, J. L. & Wells, M. A. in *Advances in protein chemistry* Vol. 45   371-415 (Elsevier, 1994).

50   Zalewska, M. *et al.* Juvenile hormone binding protein traffic—Interaction with ATP synthase and
lipid transfer proteins. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes* **1788**, 1695-1705
(2009).

51   Daiana, A., Huang, M. H. & Tarpy, D. R. Experimental improvement of honey bee (Apis mellifera)
queen quality through nutritional and hormonal supplementation. *Apidologie* **50**, 14-27 (2019).

52   Schwenke, R. A. & Lazzaro, B. P. Juvenile hormone suppresses resistance to infection in mated
female Drosophila melanogaster. *Current Biology* **27**, 596-601 (2017).

53   Khan, M. S. *et al.* Serpin Inhibition Mechanism: A Delicate Balance between Native Metastable
State and Polymerization. *J Amino Acids* **2011**, 606797, doi:10.4061/2011/606797 (2011).

54   Dosselli, R. *et al.* Protein-Level Interactions as Mediators of Sexual Conflict in Ants. *Mol Cell*
Proteomics **18**, S34-S45, doi:10.1074/mcp.RA118.000941 (2019).

55   Carrell, R. W. & Read, R. J. in *Seminars in cell & developmental biology.* 133-141 (Elsevier).

56   Collins, A. & Donoghue, A. Viability assessment of honey bee, Apis mellifera, sperm using dual
fluorescent staining. *Theriogenology* **51**, 1513-1523 (1999).

57   Baer, B., Collins, J., Maalaps, K. & den Boer, S. P. Sperm use economy of honeybee (Apis
mellifera) queens. *Ecol Evol* **6**, 2877-2885, doi:10.1002/ece3.2075 (2016).

58   McAfee, A., Chan, Q., Evans, J. & Foster, L. J. A Varroa destructor protein atlas reveals molecular
underpinnings of developmental transitions and sexual differentiation. *Mol Cell Proteomics*,
doi:10.1074/mcp.RA117.000104 (2017).

59   Lee, H. K., Braynen, W., Keshav, K. & Pavlidis, P. ErmineJ: tool for functional analysis of gene
expression data sets. *BMC Bioinformatics* **6**, 269, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-6-269 (2005).

538