Recalled parental bonding interacts with oxytocin receptor gene polymorphism in modulating anxiety and avoidance in adult relationships

Ilaria Cataldo †[a,b], Andrea Bonassi †[a,b], Bruno Lepri[b], Jia Nee Foo[c,d],
Peipei Setoh[e], Gianluca Esposito[a,c,e,*]

[a]*Department of Psychology and Cognitive Science, University of Trento, Rovereto, Italy*
[b]*Mobile and Social Computing Lab, Fondazione Bruno Kessler, Trento, Italy*
[c]*Lee Kong Chian School of Medicine, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore*
[d]*Human Genetics, Genome Institute of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore*
[e]*Psychology Program, School of Social Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore*
† *These authors equally contributed equally to this work*

Abstract

Early interactions with significant individuals affect social experience throughout the course of a lifetime, as a repeated and prolonged perception of different levels of care, independence or control influences the modulation of emotional regulatory processes. As many factors play a role in shaping the expectations and features of social interaction, in this study we consider the influence of parental bonding and genetic allelic variation of oxytocin receptor gene polymorphism (rs53576) over levels of experienced anxiety and avoidance in 313 young adults belonging to two different cultural contexts, namely Italy and Singapore. Results highlight a major effect of maternal characteristics, care and overprotection, with differences between the two cultural groups. Additionally, the interaction between rs53576 and maternal overprotection suggest different environmental susceptibility in the Italian sample and the Singaporean one. Implication in clinical work and future steps are described in the conclusion.

Keywords: Parental Bonding, oxytocin receptor gene, gene-environment

---

[*]Corresponding author
Email address: `gianluca.esposito@ntu.edu.sg` (Gianluca Esposito)

Genotype	A/A	A/G	G/G	X^2	*p-value*
rs53576$_{ITA}$	14	35	48	3.05	.08
rs53576$_{SNG}$	80	94	42	2.24	.13
rs53576$_{Total}$	94	129	90	9.64	.02**

Table 1: Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium results for OXTr rs53576 in the Italian sample, in the Singaporean sample, and in the total sample. **$p<.01$

0.1. Analyses

Data were analyzed in R (Version 4.0.0). Statistical tests were differently planned for hypothesis-driven and exploratory analysis. The hypothesis-driven tests were performed separately on the Italian and the Singaporean sample, whereas the exploratory tests were conducted on the total number of participants (Total sample = Italian sample + Singaporean sample). Overall, the statistical analyses follow a common procedure of variables' evaluation and tests' application.

0.1.1. Preliminary Analyses

Before proceeding with the data analysis, we tested the internal validity of each questionnaire in both groups (Italy, Singapore). The Cronbach's alpha coefficients ranged from 0.78 to 0.94, suggesting an overall very good internal consistency. In Table 2, all the Cronbach's alpha values are listed, together with the main descriptive statistics of each sample. Psychometric features of the two instruments are compatible with those reported in a recent review on adult attachment assessment tools [32].

Univariate and multivariate distributions of PBI and ECR-R scores were inspected for normality and the presence of extreme values. 76 observations (Italian sample = 27; Singaporean sample = 49) were found as having a value higher/lower to 2 *SDs* above/below the mean. Any artificial restriction (i.e. outliers' removal or treatment) was avoided to preserve the meaning associated to the scoring of each extreme observation. A high reliability of the subscales (see Table 2) also excludes potential biases due to extreme scores [37]. As observed in the Table 2, acceptable skewness and kurtosis values as

Group	Subscale	Cronbach α	Skewness	Kurtosis	Mean (SD)	Median
	Age	-	-	-	23.01 (3.82)	22
	PBI M_Care	0.93	-1.15*	0.71	26.33 (8.50)	28
	Squared PBI M_Care	-	-0.43	-0.88	764.70 (373.24)	784
Italy	PBI M_OverP	0.78	0.72	0.18	16.40 (6.30)	16
	PBI F_Care	0.94	-0.49	-0.69	23.23 (9.30)	25
	PBI F_OverP	0.83	0.75	0.17	14.12 (7.01)	13
	ECR-R Anxiety	0.91	0.70	0.14	56.59 (20.10)	53
	ECR-R Avoidance	0.88	0.38	-0.39	35.12 (15.37)	33
	Age	-	-	-	21.51 (1.83)	21
	PBI M_Care	0.91	-0.66	-0.03	25.39 (6.99)	26
	PBI M_OverP	0.86	0.40	-0.01	15.09 (7.06)	15
Singapore	PBI F_Care	0.92	-0.28	-0.41	21.44 (8.14)	22
	PBI F_OverP	0.86	0.69	0.51	11.57 (6.63)	11
	ECR-R Anxiety	0.93	-0.04	-0.39	64.79 (20.61)	66.50
	ECR-R Avoidance	0.94	0.12	-0.51	40.31 (17.13)	40
	Age	-	-	-	21.97 (2.70)	22
	PBI M_Care	0.92	-0.86	0.36	25.68 (7.49)	27
	PBI M_OverP	0.83	0.45	0.09	15.50 (6.85)	15
Total	PBI F_Care	0.93	-0.32	-0.53	21.99 (8.54)	23
	PBI F_OverP	0.83	0.71	0.46	12.36 (6.84)	12
	ECR-R Anxiety	0.92	0.18	-0.44	62.28 (20.76)	62
	ECR-R Avoidance	0.92	0.22	-0.48	38.70 (16.75)	39

Table 2: Summary of Cronbach α, skewness and kurtosis for each subscale of the Parental Bonding Instrument and Experience in Close Relationships in the Italian sample, Singaporean sample and total sample. Statistics of age as continuous variable are also reported. * = squared transformation applied.

well as visual inspection via density and quantile-quantile plots proved that PBI and ECR-R subscales were normal univariate distributions (see Table 2). Only the distribution of PBI Maternal Care skewed right in the Italian sample (skewness = 1.15; kurtosis = 0.17), thus the variable was square-transformed (skewness = -0.43; kurtosis = -0.88) before analysis. The same approach was adopted by previous researches in the field [21]. Preliminary tests were made in each population group to exclude any significant effect on the ECR-R dependent variables which could be attributed to participants' gender and age for the exploratory analysis (see Figures S1 and S2 of the "Supplementary Materials"). A differential Bonferroni correction was applied to each group in adjusting *alpha* (Italian sample: 4 repeated measures, corrected *alpha* = 0.0125; Singaporean sample: 4 repeated measures, corrected *alpha* = 0.0125; Total sample: 6 repeated measures, corrected *alpha* = 0.008). No effects of gender or age on the ECR-R dimensions were significant (see Table 3). However, a main effect of culture was observed on adult anxiety, whereas the effect of culture on adult avoidance did not survive the correction (see Table

3; see Figure S3 of the "Supplementary Materials"). This preliminary result confirmed the necessity to inspect the potential interaction between culture, genotype and caregiving behavior, as hypothesized by *HP3*.

Sample	ECR-R subscale	Variable	t (df)	p-value	95% CI	r
Italy	Anxiety	Gender	-1.21 (95)	.23	[-13.31 ; 3.25]	
		Age	0.19 (95)	.85	[-7.38 ; 8.93]	- 0.03
	Avoidance	Gender	2.19 (95)	.03	[0.67 ; 13.12]	
		Age	-0.49 (95)	.62	[-7-78 ; 4.69]	0.19
Singapore	Anxiety	Gender	1.69 (214)	.09	[-0.84 ; 10.80]	
		Age	-0.03 (214)	.97	[-5.64 ; 5.45]	0.03
	Avoidance	Gender	-0.21 (214)	.83	[-5.39 ; 4.34]	
		Age	1.00 (214)	.32	[-2.27 ; 6.92]	-0.07
Total	Anxiety	Gender	.54 (311)	.59	[-3.50 ; 6.16]	
		Age	-0.14 (311)	.89	[-5.27 ; 4.57]	- 0.05
		Culture	3.24 (311)	.13*	[3.18 ; 13.02]	
	Avoidance	Gender	0.81 (311)	.42	[-2.29 ; 5.50]	
		Age	0.16 (311)	.87	[-3.65 ; 4.30]	0.01
		Culture	2.56 (311)	.01	[1.19 ; 9.18]	

Table 3: Summary of statistical values from Student's t-tests for gender and age over the ECR-R dependent variables anxiety and avoidance. Pearson correlation coefficients among each ECR-R variable and age are also reported. *$p<.008$

0.2. Statistical Analyses

Exploratory correlation matrices were generated for the Italian, Singaporean and Total samples to probe possible associations among the continuous variables (e.g., PBI and ECR-R dimensions).
A series of hierarchical multiple regression (HMR) analyses was performed on the Italian and Singaporean sample separately to test the hypothesis that gene*environment interaction would predict the dependent variable (DV) adult anxiety (e.g., one series on the Italian sample, one series on the Singaporean sample). A series of HMR analyses was also conducted on the Italian and Singaporean sample separately to test the hypothesis that gene*environment interaction would predict the DV adult avoidance (e.g., one series on the Italian sample, one series on the Singaporean sample). In summary, a six-steps HMR was conducted for each ECR-R subscale (namely anxiety and avoidance) as DV in reference to each sample (see the models applied to each step at the Table S1 of the "Supplementary Materials"). Allelic variation for OXTr was entered at stage 1 as a between-subjects factor of the regression to control for differences due to the genetics (Italian sample: G/G vs. A-carriers; Singaporean sample: A/A vs. G-carriers). Progressively, all the PBI subscales as continuous predictors were entered to each following step;

specifically, maternal care was entered at step 2, maternal overprotection at step 3, paternal care was entered at step 4, paternal overprotection at step 5. In the final model (step 6) the interaction between OXTr polymorphisms variants and perceived parental bonding was tested.

A further series of HMR analyses was conducted on the Total sample to test the exploratory hypothesis that culture would modulate the interaction between OXTr and early caregiving behavior on adult anxiety and avoidance as unique DV (e.g., one series on the total sample for anxiety as DV, one series on the total sample for avoidance as DV). Therefore, in the total sample an initial step was added in order to include the cultural variable (Italian vs. Singaporean) in the predictors of the regression model, for a total number of seven steps (see Table S1 of the "Supplementary Materials"). Allelic groups for OXTr were here added at stage 2 as a between-subjects factors (Total sample: A/A vs. A/G vs. G/G). Successively, all the PBI dimensions were singularly entered to each following step. The final model (step 7) was here defined by the interaction between culture, OXTr polymorphisms variants and perceived parental bonding.

In order to compare the significance of each progressive model, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyze the contribution of each predictor added at every step. As contrast coding system for the categorical variables (e.g., culture and OXTr as factors) entered in the linear models, the dummy coding was applied to compare each level of a given categorical variable to a fixed reference level. The factor OXTr presented two levels for the analysis on the Italian (reference level: A-carriers as 1; G/G as 2) and Singaporean (reference level: G/G as 1; A-carriers as 2) samples, but three levels were considered for the analysis on the Total sample (reference level: A/A as 1; A/G as 2; G/G as 3). The factor culture included two levels for the analysis developed on the Total sample (reference level: Singaporean as 1; Italian as 2).

Overall, the hierarchical regression allowed to identify the positive predictors of the dependent variable at different stages of increasing complexity. The final step was reached when all the variables defined by the hypotheses were included in the model. As described, the final models included the interaction between all the embedded variables (interactive model) as predicted by the hypotheses.

This type of regression is particularly targeted in research design with multiple variables (e.g., different contributing factors and/or continuous subscales), nested designs and unpredictable outcomes or exploratory analyses

[4, 10] and was already applied in previous studies of this field [21, 22]. Before conducting the HMR analyses, the related assumptions (multicollinearity, i.e., variance inflation factor, homogeneity of variance, i.e., Bartlett's test) were tested and satisfied. The post-hoc statistical power was calculated for the final model of each series of HMR analysis of each sample using G*Power software (version 3.1) [11]. The magnitude of each effect for the hierarchical linear models were estimated by R, R^2 and ΔR^2.

For each sample, the level of significance for the effects emerging from the final step of each HMR analysis was adjusted as a function of the two HMR analysis conducted on anxiety and avoidance respectively (2 repeated measures for Italian, Singaporean and Total sample separately; corrected $alpha = 0.025$). Bonferroni's method was chosen instead of alternative techniques to apply a more conservative correction.

For each sample, the significant main and interaction effects obtained from the final step of each series of HMR analysis were graphically represented by scatterplots with linear models and barplots. A further assessment was advanced to inspect the direction of such effects. Pearson's r and Fisher's z tests evaluated the degree of association between the continuous independent variables and the dependent variables [7]. Variables related to PBI subscales were also divided into two groups (low vs. high PBI dimension) using the median split procedure for post-hoc tests. Post-hoc analyses were computed for significant results on ECR-R variables adopting the Student's t-tests. Welch's t-tests were preferred rather than Student's t-tests when the variance of the comparing two groups from the normal population was different. A false discovery rate correction (e.g., Bonferroni's method) for the t-tests was considered to circumscribe the probability of committing a type I error. The magnitude of the significant effects for t-test was estimated by Cohen's d.

With regards to the significant main effects, the mean differences of the given ECR-R variable between low and high PBI subscales ($\alpha = 0.05$) were tested (e.g., see below the main effects for the Italian, Singaporean and Total sample). Concerning the significant interactions between genotype and environment, low vs. high PBI levels were compared to analyze the differences between the genetic carriers on the ECR-R dimension (e.g., see below the effects for the Singaporean sample given the corrected $\alpha = 0.025$ and the total sample given the corrected $\alpha = 0.017$). In the context of the significant interactions between culture and environment, low vs. high PBI levels were contrasted to probe the differences between the Italian and Sin-

below the effects for the Total sample). In reference to the significant inter-
actions between culture, genotype and environment, low vs. high PBI levels
were contrasted to inspect the differences between the genetic carriers from
the Italian and Singaporean samples (corrected $\alpha = 0.025$) on the ECR-R
variable (e.g., see below the effects for the Total sample).

Results

Means and standard deviations of the continuous variables are shown in
Table 2, and their intercorrelations were examined, as reported in Table 4
for the Italian and Singaporean sample respectively, and in Table S2 of the
Supplementary Materials for the total sample (see "Supplementary Materi-
als").

subscale	M_Care	M_Overp	F_Care	F_Overp	Anxiety	Avoidance
M_Care		-.29***	.43***	-.10	-.06	-.07
M_Overp	-.31*		-.17	.39***	.1	.05
F_Care	.49***	-.23		-.18	-.16	-.09
F_Overp	-.07	.39***	-.30*		.17	0.10
Anxiety	-.36**	.42***	-.37**	.20		.38***
Avoidance	-.25	.19	-.20	.08	.35**	

Table 4: Pearson correlation coefficients and significance values among questionnaire sub-
scales of the Italian and Singaporean samples separately. Values above the diagonal refer
to the Singaporean sample, while values under the diagonal refer to the Italian sample.
As regards the Italian sample, the PBI maternal care was square-transformed before the
analysis. Significance is adjusted for multiple tests (corrected $alpha = 0.003$). *$p<.003$
**$p<.001$ ***$p<.0001$

A six-steps HMR was conducted separately on the Italian and Singa-
porean sample for each ECR-R subscale (namely Anxiety and Avoidance) as
DV (see Table S1 of the "Supplementary Materials"). A seven-steps HMR
was conducted on the Total sample for each ECR-R subscale as DV (see
Table S1 of the "Supplementary Materials").
HMRs with the final step showing significant effects ($p<0.025$) are explained
below and reported in Table 5 for the Italian sample, in Table 6 for the Singa-
porean sample and in Table 7 for the Total sample. Otherwise, explanation
and tabulation of the results obtained from the HMRs not presenting signifi-
cant effects ($p > 0.025$) at the final step are presented in the Supplementary
Materials (see "Supplementary Materials").

Italian Sample

Anxiety

Table 5 represents the series of HMR conducted on ECR-R anxiety of the Italian sample (total SS = 38793). At step 1, OXTr explained a not significant 1% of variance ($F(1,95) = 0.62$, RSS = 38597, p= .43). Entering the maternal care variable at step 2 explains a significant additional 13.3% of variance ($F(1,94) = 16.35$, RSS = 33425, $p<$ .0001). After introducing maternal overprotection at step 3, both maternal care and maternal overprotection were positive predictors, accounting for a significant 10% of variance ($F(1,93) = 12.55$, RSS = 29455, $p<$ .001). The addition of paternal care variable to the regression model (step 4) justified a further significant 4% of variation in anxiety ($F(1,92) = 4.85$, RSS = 27921, p= .03) given the main effects of maternal overprotection and maternal care. No significant change in the $\mathbf{R}^2$ was observed when the final variable paternal overprotection was included at step 5 ($F(1,91) = 0.00$, RSS = 27921, p= .99). At step 6, the hypothesized interaction between OXTr and paternal bonding dimensions on anxiety was tested ($f^2 = .39$, power = .99). Here, a main effect of maternal overprotection was found ($\beta = 1.11$, SE = 0.46, $t = 2.40$, $p<$ .02). Although the final model computed the highest proportion of explained variance in anxiety by the model ($R^2 = .29$), no significant difference was detected compared to the previous step ($F(1,91) = 0.32$, RSS = 27517, p= .87).

As indicated in the Table 4, maternal overprotection was also found positively associated with adult anxiety ($t = 4.46$, df = 95, $r = 0.42$, $p<$ .0001) (Figure 1). Specifically, the two-tailed post hoc Welch's t test ($\alpha = 0.05$) revealed that felt anxiety towards the partner was significantly different between participants who experienced low levels in maternal overprotection compared to those who had a past of maternal overprotection ($t = $ -2.31, df = 71.3, p= .024, d= −0.49) (Figure 1). Means and standard error values for this effect on avoidance are available from the Table S6 of the Supplementary Materials (see "Supplementary Materials").
Contrary to *HP1*, no main effect of genotype, or interactions between covariates and genotype were identified.

Avoidance

Table S3 of the Supplementary Materials (see "Supplementary Materials") illustrates the series of HMR conducted on ECR-R avoidance for the

Step	Variable	β	SE	t	R	R^2	ΔR^2
1					.07	.01	.01
	OXTr rs53576	2.84	4.09	0.69			
2					.37	.14	.13***
	OXTr rs53576	3.11	3.83	0.81			
	M_Care	-0.02***	0.01	-3.81			
3					.49	.24	.10***
	OXTr rs53576	3.36	3.62	0.93			
	M_Care	-0.01**	0.01	-2.73			
	M_OverP	1.08***	0.30	3.54			
4					.53	.28	.04*
	OXTr rs53576	4.06	3.55	1.14			
	M_Care	-0.01	0.01	-1.46			
	M_OverP	1.01**	0.30	3.39			
	F_Care	-0.50*	0.22	-2.25			
5					.53	.28	0
	OXTr rs53576	4.06	3.57	1.14			
	M_Care	-0.01	0.01	-1.42			
	M_OverP	1.01**	0.33	3.11			
	F_Care	-0.50*	0.23	-2.13			
	F_OverP	0.00	0.29	0.00			
6					.54	.29	.01
	OXTr rs53576	18.08	17.73	1.02			
	M_Care	-0.01	0.01	-0.72			
	M_OverP	1.12*	0.47	2.40			
	F_Care	-0.48	0.38	-1.29			
	F_OverP	0.20	0.44	0.45			
	OXTr rs53576 x M_Care	0.00	0.01	-0.34			
	OXTr rs53576 x M_OverP	-0.26	0.67	-0.39			
	OXTr rs53576 x F_Care	-0.01	0.49	-0.01			
	OXTr rs53576 x F_OverP	-0.46	0.61	0.76			

Table 5: Hierarchical multiple regression on ECR-R anxiety for the Italian sample. Note. SE = standard error of unstandardized coefficient. $*p<.05$; $**p<.01$; $***p<.001$.

Italian sample. The related description is reported in the Supplementary Results (see "Supplementary Materials").

Singaporean Sample

Anxiety

Table S4 of the Supplementary Materials (see "Supplementary Materials") shows the series of HMR conducted on ECR-R anxiety for the Singaporean sample. The related details are presented in the Supplementary Results (see "Supplementary Materials").

Figure 1: Effect on anxiety for the Italian sample. (**A**). Effect of early maternal overprotection on the adult anxiety. Correlation between the scores of anxiety and maternal overprotection. Blue circles = Italian participants. The red line represents the linear model for the Italian participants. r-value refers to Pearson's r correlation. (**B**). Comparison between the scores of ECR-R anxiety in low and high maternal overprotection (* p ¡ 0.025).

Avoidance

Table 6 presents the series of HMR performed on ECR-R avoidance for the Singaporean sample (total SS = 63074). Entering at step 1 the only genetic variable did not increase the explained portion of variance ($F(1,214)$ = 0.18, RSS = 63021, p= .67). At step 2, maternal care was added to the regression model and accounted for a non-significant 1% of additional variance ($F(1,213)$ = 0.99, RSS = 62733, p= .32). The inclusion of maternal overprotection at step 3 did not further improve the value of $\mathbf{R}^2$ ($F(1,212)$ = 0.15, RSS = 62689, p= .70). No change in the explained variance was even observed when introducing the paternal care dimension at step 4 ($F(1,211)$ = 0.87, RSS = 62438, p= .35). At step 5, the addition of the subscale paternal overprotection justified an increased 1% of variation in avoidance which was not significant ($F(1,210)$ = 1.59, RSS = 61976, p= .21). At step 6, the hypothesized (*HP2*) interaction between OXTr and paternal bonding dimensions on avoidance was tested (f^2 = .05, power = 0.67). The final model maximized the explained variance (R^2 = .05), but no significant difference was found compared to the previous step ($F(4,206)$ = 1.74, RSS = 59956,

 $p= .14)$.

Step	Variable	β	SE	t	R	R^2	$\Delta\,R^2$
1					.03	.00	.00
	OXTr rs53576	1.02	2.42	0.43			
2					.07	.01	.01
	OXTr rs53576	0.86	2.42	0.35			
	M_Care	-0.17	0.17	-0.99			
3					.08	.01	.00
	OXTr rs53576	0.78	2.44	0.32			
	M_Care	-0.15	0.18	-0.84			
	M_OverP	0.07	0.17	0.38			
4					.10	.01	.00
	OXTr rs53576	1.00	2.45	0.41			
	M_Care	-0.08	0.19	-0.39			
	M_OverP	0.06	0.18	0.33			
	F_Care	-0.15	0.16	-0.92			
5					.13	.02	.01
	OXTr rs53576	0.95	2.45	0.39			
	M_Care	-0.09	0.19	-0.49			
	M_OverP	-0.03	0.19	-0.16			
	F_Care	-0.12	0.16	-0.73			
	F_OverP	0.24	0.19	1.25			
6					.22	.05	.03
	OXTr rs53576	-17.16	13.28	-1.29			
	M_Care	-0.83*	0.35	-2.37			
	M_OverP	0.14	0.35	0.40			
	F_Care	0.22	0.29	0.76			
	F_OverP	0.02	0.33	0.05			
	OXTr rs53576 x M_Care	1.03*	0.42	2.45			
	OXTr rs53576 x M_OverP	-0.16	0.42	-0.39			
	OXTr rs53576 x F_Care	-0.45	0.35	-1.27			
	OXTr rs53576 x F_OverP	0.30	0.41	0.73			

Table 6: Hierarchical multiple regression on ECR-R avoidance for the Singaporean sample. Note. SE =standard error of unstandardized coefficient. *p<.05

At this final step, early maternal care was the strongest predictor of adult avoidance ($\beta = $ -0.83, SE $= 0.35$, $t = $ -2.37, $p<$ .02). As reported in the Table 4, the negative correlation between maternal care and adult avoidance was not significant ($t = $-1.02, df $= 214$, $r = $ -0.07, $p= $ .30) (Figure 2). Specifically, the two-tailed post hoc Student's t test ($\alpha = 0.05$) showed that the avoidance towards the partner was not significantly different between participants who reported low and high scores in maternal care ($t = 0.78$, df $= 214$, $p= $ .44,

d= 0.11) (Figure 2).

The significant two-way interaction between rs53576 and maternal overprotection also emerged for the adult avoidance (β = -0.83, SE = 0.35, t = -2.37, $p<$ .02). The distribution of genotypes A/A vs. G-carriers was not significantly different between high vs. low maternal care (X^2 (1) = 1.19, $p=$ .028). Maternal care was positively associated with the avoidance for G-carriers (t = 0.51, df = 134, r = 0.04, $p=$ .61), but negatively associated with the avoidance for A/A homozygotes (t = -2.38, df = 78, r = -0.26, $p=$ .02), as predicted by *HP2* (Figure 2). Although only one Pearson's r was significant, Fisher's z test confirmed that the difference between the slopes for A/A and G-carriers was significant (z = -2.14, $p=$ .03). The one tailed post-hoc Student's t test (corrected α = 0.025) revealed that adult avoidance was not significantly different between A/A and G-carriers when they experienced a history of low maternal caregiving (t = -1.01, df = 107, $p=$ .84, $d= -0.21$) (Figure 2).

Means and standard error values for each effect on avoidance are available from the Table S7 of the Supplementary Materials (see "Supplementary Materials").

Total Sample: Italian and Singaporean participants

Anxiety

Table S5 of the Supplementary Materials (see "Supplementary Materials") represents the series of HMR conducted on ECR-R anxiety for the total sample. The statistical details are indicated in the Supplementary Results (see "Supplementary Materials").

Avoidance

Table 7 reports the series of HMR performed on ECR-R avoidance for the total sample (total SS = 87563). The regression revealed that at stage 1 culture contributed significantly to the model explaining a first 2% of variance ($F(1,311)$ = 6.90, RSS = 85763, $p=$ .009). Culture was significant at this step, as positive predictor. At step 2, introducing the genetic factor did not increase the variance of avoidance ($F(2,309)$ = 0.03, RSS = 85745, $p=$ .97), but a main effect of culture was still present. At step 3, maternal care was added to the regression model and accounted for a significant 1% of additional variance ($F(1,308)$ = 4.42, RSS = 84592, $p=$ .04). Here, the variable culture and the novel variable maternal care were the strongest predictors. At step 4, the improvement of 1% of variation in avoidance due

to the inclusion of maternal overprotection in the model was not significant ($F(1,307) = 1.03$, RSS = 84325, p= .31). Only culture was a positive predictor at this stage. No change in the explained variance was observed when introducing the paternal care dimension at step 5 ($F(1,306) = 1.79$, RSS = 83858, p= .18). Culture was still a strong predictor at this stage. The addition of paternal overprotection variable to the regression model at step 6 justified a 1% of variation in avoidance which did not result statistically significant $F(1,305) = 1.11$, RSS = 83569, p= .29). However, a significant main effect of culture was identified at this stage. Finally, at step 7, the potential interaction between culture, OXTr and PBI dimensions on avoidance (*H3*) was inspected ($f^2 = .17$, power = 0.99). This interactive model increased the explained variance ($R^2 = .16$) significantly from the previous step ($F(22,283) = 1.70$, RSS = 73827, p= .028).

At this last step, one one-way effect, three two-way interaction effects and two three-way interaction effects were found. Means and standard error values for each effect on avoidance are available from the Table S8 of the Supplementary Materials (see "Supplementary Materials").
Firstly, the early maternal overprotection singularly predicted adult avoidance ($\beta = 5.03$, SE = 1.71, $t = 2.95$, $p<$ .004). As evident from the Table S4 of the Supplementary Materials (see "Supplementary Materials"), the positive correlation between maternal overprotection and adult avoidance was not significant (t =-1.26, df = 311, $r = 0.07$, p= .21) (Figure 3**A**; blue circles = Italian and Singaporean participants merged; the red line represents the linear model for all the participants). The two-tailed post hoc Student's t test ($\alpha = 0.05$) showed that the avoidance towards the partner was not significantly different between participants who reported low and high scores in maternal overprotection ($t = $-1.27, df = 311, p= .21, $d= -0.14$) (Figure 3**B**).
Whereas the main effect of paternal care ($p>$ .025) did not survive the magnitude of the Bonferroni correction (corrected $alpha = 0.025$).
As concerns the interaction effects, the significant two-way interaction between culture and maternal overprotection also emerged for the avoidance ($\beta = $-4.89, SE = 1.74, $t = $-2.81, p= .005). Maternal oveprotection positively correlated with the avoidance reported by both Italian ($t = 1.91$, df = 95, $r = 0.19$, p= .06) and Singaporean participants ($t = 0.69$, df = 214, $r = 0.05$, p= .49) (Figure 3**C**; red triangles = Italian participants; blue circles = Singaporean participants; lines depict the linear models for Italian-red and

Singaporean-blue participants). However, the slopes for Italians and Singaporeans were not significantly different ($z = 1.15$, $p = .13$). The exploratory two-tailed Student's t test (corrected $alpha = 0.025$) revealed that adult avoidance was significantly different between Italian and Singaporean participants when they experienced a history of low maternal overprotection ($t = -2.91$, df $= 162$, $p = .004$, $d = -0.51$) (Figure 3**D**), but not when they had a past of high maternal overprotection ($t = -0.88$, df $= 147$, $p = .38$, $d = -0.15$).

Whereas the two-way interaction between culture and paternal care ($p > .025$) was not considered significant once applied $alpha$ correction for the linear models (corrected $alpha = 0.025$).

Two further significant two-way interactions between OXTr levels and maternal overprotection on adult avoidance were detected (A/G-A/A: $\beta = -5.27$, SE $= 1.71$, $t = -2.96$, $p = .003$; G/G-A/A: $\beta = -4.57$, SE $= 1.76$, $t = -2.59$, $p = .009$). The distribution of genotypes A/A vs. A/G vs. G/G was not significantly different between high vs. low maternal overprotection (X^2 (2) $= 3.75$, $p = .15$). Maternal overprotection was positively associated with avoidance for A/A ($t = 1.32$, df $= 92$, $r = 0.14$, $p = .19$) and G/G homozygotes ($t = 1.91$, df $= 88$, $r = 0.20$, $p = .06$), but not for the heterozygotes ($t = -0.31$, df $= 127$, $r = -0.03$, $p = .75$) (Figure 3**E**; red triangles $=$ A/A; green squares $=$ A/G; blue circles $=$ G/G; lines represent the linear models for A/A homozygotes-red, A/G-green and G/G-blue). No difference between the slopes for A/A and A/G ($z = -1.24$, $p = .21$), for A/A and G/G ($z = -0.44$, $p = .66$) and for A/G and G/G ($z = -1.67$, $p = .09$) was observed. In the only condition of high maternal overprotection (corrected $\alpha = 0.017$) the exploratory two-tailed post-hoc Student's t tests proved that adult avoidance was not significantly different between A/A and A/G ($t = 1.34$, df $= 97$, $p = .18$, $d = 0.28$), A/A and G/G ($t = 0.58$, df $= 87$, $p = 57$, $d = 0.12$), A/G and G/G ($t = 0.90$, df $= 108$, $p = 37$, $d = 0.17$) (Figure 3**F**).

Considerably, the two two-way interaction respectively between OXTr and paternal care and between OXTr and paternal overprotection on adult avoidance did not fall below the level of acceptability ($p > .025$).

Concerning the potential three-way interaction expected for the observational *HP3*, two significant three-way interactions between culture, OXTr levels and maternal overprotection on adult avoidance were discovered (A/G-A/A: $\beta = 4.91$, SE $= 1.83$, $t = 2.67$, $p < .008$; G/G-A/A: $\beta = 4.79$, SE $= 1.84$, $t = 2.61$, $p = .009$). In the Italian group, maternal overprotection was positively associated with avoidance for all the genetic groups (A/A: $t = 1.86$, df $=$

12, $r = 0.48$, $p= .09$; A/G: $t = 1.39$, df $= 33$, $r = 0.24$, $p= .17$; G/G: $t = 0.73$, df $= 46$, $r = 0.11$, $p= .47$) (Figure 3**G**; red triangles $=$ A/A; green squares $=$ A/G; blue circles $=$ G/G; lines show the linear models for A/A homozygotes-red, A/G-green and G/G-blue). No difference between the slopes for all the Italian genetic groups was found (A/A-A/G: $z = 0.80$, $p= .21$); A/A-G/G: $z = 1.23$, $p= .11$; A/G-G/G: $z = 0.58$, $p= .28$). In the Singaporean group, maternal overprotection was positively associated with avoidance for A/A ($t = 0.90$, df $= 78$, $r = 0.10$, $p= .37$) and G/G ($t = 1.59$, df $= 40$, $r = 0.24$, $p= .12$), but the same PBI dimension was negatively associated with avoidance for A/G ($t = -0.85$, df $= 92$, $r = -0.09$, $p= .40$) (Figure 3**H**; red triangles $=$ A/A; green squares $=$ A/G; blue circles $=$ G/G; lines show the linear models for A/A homozygotes-red, A/G-green and G/G-blue). Fisher's z-tests confirmed a significant difference between slopes for the A/G-G/G groups ($z = 1.75$, $p= .04$) but not for the A/A-A/G ($z = 1.23$, $p= .11$) and the A/A-G/G ($z = -0.74$, $p= .23$) groups. In the condition of low maternal overprotection the two-tailed post-hoc Student's t tests (corrected $alpha = 0.025$) confirmed that adult avoidance was not significantly different between A/A and G/G both in the Italian ($t = -0.36$, df $= 30$, $p= .72$, $d= -0.15$) and Singaporean group ($t = 0.74$, df $= 61$, $p= .47$, $d= 0.21$) (Figure 3**I**). Likewise, in the condition of high maternal overprotection (corrected $alpha = 0.025$) adult avoidance was not significantly different between A/A and G/G both in the Italian ($t = 2.28$, df $= 28$, $p= .03$, $d= 1.04$) and Singaporean group ($t = -0.94$, df $= 57$, $p= .35$, $d= -0.25$) (Figure 3**J**).
Nevertheless, the last three-way interaction between culture, OXTr and paternal care approached but did not reach the significance ($p> .025$).

1. Discussion

Early interactions have been widely proven to have a crucial role in shaping psychological mechanisms that drive social interactions later in life. However it is fundamental to take into account several factors that occur in the development of these psychosocial processes, such as genetic and cultural contributions. Starting from the evidence in literature suggesting the key-role of oxytocin [8, 9] and parental bonding in influencing social behavior [12, 6], the main purpose of this study was to examine the effects of the different genetic susceptibility and early interactions on the levels of anxiety and avoidance

experienced in adult relationships in two different cultural contexts. Within this multicultural framework, the Italian and Singaporean samples were respectively considered as representative of a Western-dominant culture and a Eastern-dominant culture. Specifically, we focused on recalled parental bonding, assessed in terms of parental care and overprotection. Additionally, we included in our analyses the effect of allelic variation of one OXTr gene SNP, namely rs53576. We were expecting to find effects for the interaction between the genetic and perceived caregiving variables, with different influences in the groups (tested in *HP1* and *HP2*). Moreover, we explored a more intertwined model, adding the influence of the cultural environment (tested in *HP3*).

1.1. *Gene-by-environment interaction within the Western-oriented sample and the Eastern-oriented sample evaluated independently*

With regards to the Italian sample, the first step considered only the OXTr variation in explaining the levels of anxiety and avoidance. Perceived maternal features were progressively entered in the model, both resulting as strong predictors for anxiety, with care displaying a negative association and overprotection a positive one. In the subsequent steps, recalled paternal characteristics were added to the model, and care dimension for the father resulted as a predictor for anxiety as well. This might reflect the diversified, but equally important, contributions that both parents produce in their offspring, leading to different outcomes as a consequence [34]. In the model of interaction tested at the last stage, recalled maternal overprotection appears to explain levels of anxiety, with a positive correlation: the greater the overprotection felt, the higher the levels of experienced anxiety. Here, Italian individuals with a past of perceived maternal overprotection reported higher levels of anxiety than those who recalled a less controlling relationship with their mothers. Perceived maternal control during childhood, assessed in terms of overprotection, seems to be the construct that better explained level of anxiety in adult relationships. This result is in line with the theoretical framework of the development of attachment [3] and, furthermore, it is also supported by other studies [29, 34, 31, 19, 35]. The results suggests that both parents, to different extents, contribute in explaining the formation of anxiety-related patterns in adult social behavior. With regards to the experienced avoidance adulthood, we found that maternal care contributed to the unique variance as a predictor, negatively associated. Although no other variables alone were proved robust predictors, the interaction between genotype

and early parental bonding dimensions increased substantially the explained variance in adult avoidance. However, due to the choice of a highly conservative correction method, the effect of maternal care emerging from the interactive model tested on avoidance did not reach the significance level. Interestingly, in the Italian sample, features of the mother appear to influence the formation of psychological constructs of close relationships more than those of the father, highlighting the importance of the specific parental role in explaining outcomes in adult relationships [23, 15]. Moreover, within the final stages of the HMR, no significant effect was found for the interaction between OXTr rs53576 and parental bonding dimension on anxiety or avoidance, not confirming the first hypothesis. Overall, these results suggest that the quality of familiar bonds in this population, where higher levels of expressed emotions often characterize the relationship between parents and offspring [30, 28, 24], could affect the experience of close relationships in adulthood more independently from the genetic sensitivity, invalidating the starting *HP1*.

Concerning the Singaporean sample, the development of HMR on this population overall reveals distinct patterns of parental boding and genotype on adult attachment as a potential effect of the Eastern culture. Concerning the adult anxiety, recalled paternal care and overprotection contributed more significantly to the model when respectively entered. Although the interaction between genotype and parental features did not improve the model in terms of the total amount of variance, an interaction effect between genotype and maternal overprotection emerged at this final stage. Such effect was marginally significant, thus did not persist when the correction was applied. Unlike the Italian sample, neither genotype nor the recalled parental features resulted as a significant predictor of adult avoidance across the different steps of the model whose variance remains stable. In the final step, where all the targeted variables were included, maternal care was the strongest predictor of avoidance in adult close relationships with a negative trend. Moreover, the interaction between OXTr variations and maternal care reached the statistical significance as well. In particular, the two variations followed a mutually opposite pattern of association, displaying a slight positive correlation for maternal care over avoidance levels for G-carriers and a negative one for A/A homozygous. In line with *HP2*, the present cross-interaction supports the assumption of a differential sensitivity to environmental influences among carriers of distinctive genetic phenotype in OXTr rs53576 [9, 8, 1]. While evidence suggests overall effects of OXTr rs53576 on prosocial mechanisms, such

as empathy, or seeking for emotional support, outcomes on attachment style are not consistent. Our results may reflect that boundary condition plays a stronger role when it comes to adult attachment dimensions [17, 25, 33], thus caution is needed in addressing A/A variant as a risk factor for non-optimal patterns of attachment. Currently there are few studies examining the effects of the interaction of recalled parental bonding and OXTr rs53576 on adult attachment dimensions in Eastern populations, considering the ethnic group separately [25, 33, 16], advocating the need for a focus on the importance of biological differences and distinct allelic distributions.

We observed that the effects in the two groups differ, especially in the relationship between recalled maternal bonding and attachment dimension in adult relationship, reflecting the typical traits of familiar bonds: with regards to the Italian sample, where great attention is projected on the child, and levels of emotions expressed are high [24], the construct of overprotection was associated to anxiety in adult relationship. According to the traits depicting individualistic culture, the person is expected to be self-reliant and not depending on other people for support, which in return might be reflected in greater anxiety expressed or experienced, instead of avoidance [36]. On the other hand, in the Singaporean sample, where interdependence represents a typical trait in social groups, starting from the family, avoidant pattern of behavior can describe a greater form of distress in relationships, compared to Western-oriented groups [36, 13].

1.2. Gene-by-culture interactions in the total sample

While gene-by-environment framework focuses predominantly on external factors that characterize individual experience, the gene–culture interaction field of research focuses on the socio-cultural context. Within this theoretical frame, variants conferring genetic susceptibility can amplify typical cultural tendencies [21], such as interdependence [26]. Adopting a HMR, we aimed to explore the influence of the cultural environment, and of its interaction with genetic variations sensitive to external context, such as perceived parental caregiving.

In reference to the HMRs developed on the adult anxiety, culture was the first variable added to the model, explaining a significant portion of variance. The subsequent addition of genotype did not increased the variation in anxiety, but this increase was true for the addition of maternal and paternal care as well as paternal overprotection at different steps, respectively. Finally, the interaction between culture, genotype and parental bonding dimensions max-

imized the variance on adult anxiety. Despite this achievement, the model of interaction did no not reveal consistent effects on the adult anxiety.

In the context of the HMRs advanced for the adult avoidance, culture still was a key variable that is able to rise the variance significantly. Discordantly from the significant effects of the numerous parental features on the variation in anxiety, only maternal care enlarged the amount of explained variation in avoidance. In accordance with the final model observed from the HMRs on anxiety, the highest quantity of total variance was reached when the interaction between culture, genotype and parental bonding dimensions was verified on avoidance.

From the model of three-way interaction, multiple effects were observed. Firstly, maternal overprotection positively predicted adult avoidance when the cultural and genotype influences were not further examined. Secondly, the cultural component played a dominant role over experienced avoidance in adult close relationships. We observed that the effects on the two groups are different, especially in the relationship between culture and maternal overprotection. Specifically, maternal overprotection was positively associated with adult avoidance for both Western and Eastern samples. However, the Western-oriented participants reported lower mean levels of avoidance from the partner than the Eastern-oriented individuals. Mousavi and colleagues, although framing their exploration in a wider perception of anxiety, including social phobia which is related to avoidant patterns of behavior, provided evidence that the perception of parental control or overprotection was reported in a stronger extent in a Caucasian sample [27].

Thirdly, the contributing action of the genetic predisposition driven by OXTr rs53576 was also identified. In this regard, the significant interaction between genotype and maternal overprotection predicted the adult avoidance when the participants were conceived together and independently from the cultural belonging to the group. However, the proper interpretation of this effect faced several difficulties in the light of the different alleles' frequency between Western and Eastern samples.

Analyses referring to the gene-by-culture frameworks displayed an interesting result: the different levels of associations between the genetic carriers A/A, A/G and G/G and maternal overprotection on the adult avoidance for the Western-oriented and Eastern-oriented sample discloses peculiar attachment patterns as a function of a differential genetic susceptibility to the external environment [20, 21]. Here, the less expressed variation (A/A for the Italian sample, G/G for the Singaporean group) was the one more di-

rectly correlated to greater levels of avoidance for higher levels of perceived maternal overprotection. Similar results were found by Kim and colleagues when exploring the role of the culture using a gene-by-environment approach between individualistic (American) and collectivist (Korean) populations in investigating emotion suppression [20]. Avoidance, likewise emotion suppression, can be described as a coping strategy to deal with stressful experiences [18]. Analogously to findings of Kim and colleagues, levels of avoidance were observed more among G/G carriers in the Singaporean sample, compared to those carrying two copies of the A variant. Conversely, in the Italian group, G/G carriers showed significant lower levels of avoidance compared to the A/A carriers. These findings suggest that the allelic variation retained to confer greater sensitivity to environmental input is correlated to more optimal outcomes involving social and emotional strategies, according the specific societal context [21, 20]. Interestingly, in the Singaporean sample, people carrying the A/G variation displayed an opposite trend in terms of negative relationship with avoidance compared to both the positive associations of A/A and G/G carriers to the same ECR-R dimension. In literature, evidence reports results for the heterozygous variation together with the less expressed homozygous pair of alleles in order to correct skewed distributions [20], hence this outcome needs caution when it comes to interpretation; the trend deductible from our results is that A/G carriers in the Singaporean sample tend to show an opposite pattern compared to G/G carriers, hence it is arguable that their experienced avoidance does not resent of the levels of perceived maternal control.

2. Conclusions

In this study we focused on two different social environmental: the family, within witch the person experiences early relationships and builds the first behavioral patterns, and the cultural context, in which the grown person adopts a combined set of individual and shared criterion in relating to others. One of the strengths of this study is to provide a further step in comparing two distinct environments, each consisting of parenting strategies and family composition. Another important element considered is the distinction between maternal and paternal bonding. In fact, although nowadays the competence regarding child care is becoming more and more equal between the two parents, fatherhood is an area that still under-explored, especially in reference to the temporal dynamics of attachment in adulthood. Avoidance might

have been more strongly correlated as it could play a mediating role between recalled parental features and anxiety [14]. In our approach, we divided the different combination of the single variants for the Italian and Singaporean group, in order to maximize the effects of the genetic distribution in the different ethnic groups. This study also presents limitations that are important to consider, starting from the cross-sectional approach that highlights a weaker reliance on retrospective reporting of parental bonding, compared to a longitudinal one. Another point is that, although we utilised original (for Singaporean group) and validated translated (for Italian group) version of the questionnaires, some conceptual-understanding issues could have led to different interpretation of the items, hence to altered scoring that might have influenced the results. Additionally, the numerosity of the sample represents a challenge in gene-by-environment interaction studies. In fact, limited to the allelic distribution in the total sample which comprises both the Italian and the Singaporean sample, the frequency of A/A and G/G homozygous and A/G heterozygotes was unbalanced, and this may have influenced the outcomes. Finally, for both of the countries, the sample was composed by undergraduate/post-graduate students, mainly belonging to Social Science or Psychological fields, who happened to be familiar with the theories beneath the study. Implication of our finding in the clinical work could cover an expanding area of interest in line with the current biopsychosocial model of health and psychological wellness, that is the influence of cultural components and early interactions in understanding the development of social behavior and related disorders. This would allow practitioners in child developmental and parenting fields to formulate interventions that take into account also on the cultural features of the person, which is a relevant topic, due to the increasing mobility of single people and families. Overall, while this study represents a further step in understanding the development of bonding mechanisms in different cultural contexts, it is at the same time a starting point for further exploration where more modern approaches could be combined, in order to have a more comprehensive understanding of the factors that might affect the dynamics of attachment, in both early stages and subsequent phases of life. Future research should extend the assessment by integrating psychological mechanisms underlying social behavior and expectations, which may play a mediating role between childhood reminiscence of parental care and experienced anxiety or avoidance in adulthood. Moreover, adult social relationship cannot exclude online social interactions; exploring the interaction between genes and environmental contexts over the experi-

ence of relationships in a virtual domain would allow researchers have access to a more structured and complete view of human relationships [2, 5].

3. Supplementary Materials

Supplementary Results: *Italian Sample: Avoidance*; *Singaporean Sample: Anxiety*; *Total Sample: Anxiety.* **Supplementary Tables**: *Table S1*: Models applied into the six-steps hierarchical multiple regressions on the Italian, Singaporean and Total sample; *Table S2*: Correlation matrix among Questionnaire Subscales across all participants; *Table S3*: Hierarchical multiple regression on adult avoidance for the Italian participants; *Table S4*: Hierarchical multiple regression on adult anxiety for the Singaporean participants; *Table S5*: Hierarchical multiple regression on adult anxiety for all the participants; *Table S6*: Means and Standard Errors of ECR-R anxiety by the independent variables for the Italian participants; *Table S7*: Means and Standard Errors of ECR-R avoidance by the independent variables for the Singaporean participants; *Table S8*: Means and Standard Errors of ECR-R avoidance by the independent variables for all the participants assessed. **Supplementary Figures**: *Figure S1*: Comparison between levels of gender and age on anxiety and avoidance for the Italian participants; *Figure S2*: Comparison between levels of gender and age on anxiety and avoidance for the Singaporean participants; *Figure S3*: Comparison between levels of gender, age and culture on anxiety and avoidance for all the participants assessed.

4. Authors contribution

Conceptualization, I.C., B.L. and G.E.; methodology, A.B., I.C., B.L. and G.E.; formal analysis, A.B. and J.N.F.; investigation, A.B., I.C., B.L. and G.E.; data curation, I.C.; writing–original draft preparation, I.C. and A.B.; writing–review and editing, I.C, A.B., B.L., P.S. and G.E.; visualization, A.B.; supervision, B.L. and G.E.; funding acquisition, G.E. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

5. Fundings

This research was supported by the NAP Start-up Grant M4081597 (GE) from Nanyang Technological University Singapore, the Singapore Ministry

of Education ACR Tier-1 Grant (GE, PS), Singapore Ministry of Education ACR Tier1 (GE), Singapore Ministry of Education Social Science Research Thematic Grant (MOE2016-SSRTG-017, PS). The founder agencies had no role in the conceptualization, design, data collection, analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

6. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Lim Mengyu and Reena Koh ChengYee (Nanyang Technological University) for their assistance in editing and proof-reading the article, and Benedetta Corea for her support in graphical design. Explanatory icons or illustrations placed at the left side of Figure 3 have been obtained from the open-source websites Freepik and Pixabay.

7. Conflict of interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

8. Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

ECR-R	Experience in Close Relationships - Revised
PBI	Parental Bonding Instrument
OXTr	Oxytocin receptor gene
HMR	Hierarchical Multiple Regression

9. Ethics

The research was approved by the Ethics Committee of Nanyang Technological University (IRB-2015-08-020-01) and of University of Trento (Prot-2017-019). Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the study was conducted following the Declaration of Helsinki. The genetic assessment was conducted on anonymized biosamples at the Nanyang Technological University (Singapore) and at the Department of Neurobiology and Behavior at Nagasaki University (Japan). Results from questionnaires were anonymized at the beginning of the collection.

10. Data Repository

The data of this study can be found in the NTU's Data repository (DR-NTU Data) at the following address: https://doi.org/10.21979/N9/7NT0EG

References

[1] Belsky, J. and Pluess, M. (2009). Beyond diathesis stress: differential susceptibility to environmental influences. *Psychological bulletin*, 135(6):885.

[2] Bonassi, A., Cataldo, I., Gabrieli, G., Foo, J. N., Lepri, B., and Esposito, G. (2020). Oxytocin receptor gene polymorphisms and early parental bonding interact in shaping instagram social behavior. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17(19):7232.

[3] Bowlby, J. (1988). Developmental psychiatry comes of age. *The American journal of psychiatry*.

[4] Bradley, R. H., Corwyn, R. F., Burchinal, M., McAdoo, H. P., and García Coll, C. (2001). The home environments of children in the united states part ii: Relations with behavioral development through age thirteen. *Child development*, 72(6):1868–1886.

[5] Carollo, A., Bonassi, A., Cataldo, I., Gabrieli, G., Tandiono, M., Foo, J. N., Lepri, B., and Esposito, G. (2020). The relation between oxytocin receptor gene polymorphisms, adult attachment and instagram sociability: an exploratory analysis.

[6] Cataldo, I., Azhari, A., Lepri, B., and Esposito, G. (2018). Oxytocin receptors (oxtr) and early parental care: an interaction that modulates psychiatric disorders. *Research in developmental disabilities*, 82:27–38.

[7] Diedenhofen, B. and Musch, J. (2015). cocor: A comprehensive solution for the statistical comparison of correlations. *PloS one*, 10(4):e0121945.

[8] Ebstein, R., Israel, S., Lerer, E., Uzefovsky, F., Shalev, I., Gritsenko, I., Riebold, M., Salomon, S., and Yirmiya, N. (2009). Arginine vasopressin and oxytocin modulate human social behavior. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1167(1):87–102.

[9] Ebstein, R. P., Knafo, A., Mankuta, D., Chew, S. H., and San Lai, P. (2012). The contributions of oxytocin and vasopressin pathway genes to human behavior. *Hormones and behavior*, 61(3):359–379.

[10] Elgar, F. J., Mills, R. S., McGrath, P. J., Waschbusch, D. A., and Brownridge, D. A. (2007). Maternal and paternal depressive symptoms and child maladjustment: The mediating role of parental behavior. *Journal of abnormal child psychology*, 35(6):943–955.

[11] Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Buchner, A., and Lang, A.-G. (2009). Statistical power analyses using g* power 3.1: Tests for correlation and regression analyses. *Behavior research methods*, 41(4):1149–1160.

[12] Feeney, J. A. (2002). Early parenting and parental attachment: Links with offspring's attachment and perceptions of social support. *Journal of Family Studies*, 8(1):5–23.

[13] Friedman, M., Rholes, W. S., Simpson, J., Bond, M., DIAZ-LOVING, R., and Chan, C. (2010). Attachment avoidance and the cultural fit hypothesis: A cross-cultural investigation. *Personal Relationships*, 17(1):107–126.

[14] Fulton, J. J., Kiel, E. J., Tull, M. T., and Gratz, K. L. (2014). Associations between perceived parental overprotection, experiential avoidance, and anxiety. *Journal of Experimental Psychopathology*, 5(2):200–211.

[15] Gallagher, B. and Cartwright-Hatton, S. (2008). The relationship between parenting factors and trait anxiety: Mediating role of cognitive errors and metacognition. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, 22(4):722–733.

[16] Gillath, O., Shaver, P. R., Baek, J.-M., and Chun, D. S. (2008). Genetic correlates of adult attachment style. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 34(10):1396–1405.

[17] Gong, P., Wang, Q., Liu, J., Xi, S., Yang, X., Fang, P., Wang, B., He, L., Guo, W., and Zhang, M. (2020). The oxtr polymorphisms are not associated with attachment dimensions: A three-approach study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 120:104780.

[18] Kashdan, T. B., Barrios, V., Forsyth, J. P., and Steger, M. F. (2006). Experiential avoidance as a generalized psychological vulnerability: Comparisons with coping and emotion regulation strategies. *Behaviour research and therapy*, 44(9):1301–1320.

[19] Kiel, E. J. and Kalomiris, A. E. (2019). Emotional development and anxiety. In *Handbook of Emotional Development*, pages 665–693. Springer.

[20] Kim, H. S., Sherman, D. K., Mojaverian, T., Sasaki, J. Y., Park, J., Suh, E. M., and Taylor, S. E. (2011). Gene–culture interaction: Oxytocin receptor polymorphism (oxtr) and emotion regulation. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 2(6):665–672.

[21] Kim, H. S., Sherman, D. K., Sasaki, J. Y., Xu, J., Chu, T. Q., Ryu, C., Suh, E. M., Graham, K., and Taylor, S. E. (2010). Culture, distress, and oxytocin receptor polymorphism (oxtr) interact to influence emotional support seeking. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(36):15717–15721.

[22] Kogan, A., Saslow, L. R., Impett, E. A., Oveis, C., Keltner, D., and Saturn, S. R. (2011). Thin-slicing study of the oxytocin receptor (oxtr) gene and the evaluation and expression of the prosocial disposition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(48):19189–19192.

[23] Land, L. N., Rochlen, A. B., and Vaughn, B. K. (2011). Correlates of adult attachment avoidance: Men's avoidance of intimacy in romantic relationships. *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, 12(1):64.

[24] Laudani, C., Guzzo, G., Cascio, V. L., Pace, U., and Cacioppo, M. (2014). Does a mediterranean model of family functioning in the perception of italian and spanish adolescents exist? a cross-national study. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(1):377.

[25] Li, J., Zhao, Y., Li, R., Broster, L. S., Zhou, C., and Yang, S. (2015). Association of oxytocin receptor gene (oxtr) rs53576 polymorphism with sociality: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*, 10(6):e0131820.

[26] Luo, S., Ma, Y., Liu, Y., Li, B., Wang, C., Shi, Z., Li, X., Zhang, W., Rao, Y., and Han, S. (2015). Interaction between oxytocin receptor polymorphism and interdependent culture values on human empathy. *Social cognitive and affective neuroscience*, 10(9):1273–1281.

[27] Mousavi, S. E., Low, W. Y., and Hashim, A. H. (2016). Perceived parenting styles and cultural influences in adolescent's anxiety: A cross-cultural comparison. *Journal of Child and family Studies*, 25(7):2102–2110.

[28] Pace, U., Cacioppo, M., Cascio, V. L., Guzzo, G., and Passanisi, A. (2016). Are there similar or divergent transitions to adulthood in a mediterranean context? a cross-national comparison of italy and spain. *Europe's journal of psychology*, 12(1):153.

[29] Parker, G. and Lipscombe, P. (1981). Influences on maternal overprotection. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 138(4):303–311.

[30] Pellerone, M., Ramaci, T., Herrera López, M., and Craparo, G. (2017). The role of identity development and decision making process on adult attachment: a cross-national study in sicilian and andalusian adolescents. *Clinical Neuropsychiatry*, 14(2).

[31] Raudino, A., Murray, L., Turner, C., Tsampala, E., Lis, A., De Pascalis, L., and Cooper, P. J. (2013). Child anxiety and parenting in england and italy: The moderating role of maternal warmth. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54(12):1318–1326.

[32] Ravitz, P., Maunder, R., Hunter, J., Sthankiya, B., and Lancee, W. (2010). Adult attachment measures: A 25-year review. *Journal of psychosomatic research*, 69(4):419–432.

[33] Rodrigues, S. M., Saslow, L. R., Garcia, N., John, O. P., and Keltner, D. (2009). Oxytocin receptor genetic variation relates to empathy and stress reactivity in humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(50):21437–21441.

[34] Rork, K. E. and Morris, T. L. (2009). Influence of parenting factors on childhood social anxiety: Direct observation of parental warmth and control. *Child & Family Behavior Therapy*, 31(3):220–235.

[35] Smout, A., Lazarus, R. S., and Hudson, J. L. (2020). The relationship between parenting and anxiety in emerging adulthood. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 44(1):182–195.

[36] Sümer, N. and Yetkili, O. (2018). Cultural aspects of attachment anxiety, avoidance, and life satisfaction: Comparing the us and turkey. In *Close relationships and happiness across cultures*, pages 165–184. Springer.

[37] Zijlstra, W. P., van der Ark, L. A., and Sijtsma, K. (2011). Outliers in questionnaire data: Can they be detected and should they be removed? *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 36(2):186–212.

SINGAPOREAN SAMPLE

Figure 2: Effects on avoidance for the Singaporean sample. (**A**). Effect of early maternal care on the adult avoidance. Correlation between the reported avoidance and maternal care. Blue circles = Singaporean participants. The red line represents the linear model for the Singaporean participants. (**B**). Comparison between the scores of ECR-R avoidance in low and high maternal care. (**C**). Effect of the interaction between genotype and early maternal care on the adult avoidance. Correlations between the scores of anxiety and the recalled maternal care. Red triangles = A/A; blue circles = G-carriers. Lines depict the linear models for A/A homozygotes (red) and G-carriers (blue). (**D**). Contrast between the scores of ECR-R avoidance in A/A homozygotes (red) and G-carriers (blue) divided into low and high maternal care. r-values refer to Pearson's r correlations for both the panels (**A**) and (**C**).

Step	Variable	β	SE	t	R	R^2	ΔR^2
1					.14	.02	.02**
	Culture	5.19*	2.03	2.55			
2					.14	.02	.00
	Culture	5.05*	2.15	2.35			
	OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A	0.21	2.27	0.09			
	OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A	-0.39	2.59	-0.15			
3					.18	.03	.01*
	Culture	4.74*	2.15	2.21			
	OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A	-0.01	2.27	-0.01			
	OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A	-0.69	2.58	-0.27			
	M_Care	-0.26*	0.13	-2.05			
4					.19	.04	.01
	Culture	4.88*	2.15	2.27			
	OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A	-0.12	2.27	-0.05			
	OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A	-0.99	2.60	-0.38			
	M_Care	-0.22	0.13	-1.67			
	M_OverP	0.14	0.15	0.99			
5					.21	.04	.00
	Culture	4.75*	2.15	2.20			
	OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A	0.15	2.28	0.07			
	OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A	-0.58	2.62	-0.22			
	M_Care	-0.14	0.14	-0.99			
	M_OverP	0.13	0.15	0.88			
	F_Care	-0.16	0.12	-1.31			
6					.21	.05	.01
	Culture	5.11*	2.18	2.34			
	OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A	0.26	2.28	0.12			
	OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A	-0.53	2.62	-2.20			
	M_Care	-0.16	0.15	-1.11			
	M_OverP	0.07	0.16	0.42			
	F_Care	-0.14	0.13	-1.08			
	F_OverP	0.16	0.16	1.03			
7					.40	.16	.11*
	Culture	11.02	27.75	0.40			
	OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A	14.40	29.92	0.48			
	OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A	-14.19	28.76	-0.49			
	M_Care	-0.73	0.85	-0.87			
	M_OverP	5.03**	1.71	2.95			
	F_Care	-1.52*	0.75	-2.04			
	F_OverP	-1.71	0.87	-1.96			
	Culture x OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A	-27.14	32.77	-0.83			
	Culture x OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A	-12.77	33.42	-0.38			
	Culture x M_Care	-0.10	0.91	-0.11			
	Culture x M_OverP	-4.89**	1.74	-2.81			
	Culture x F_Care	1.74*	0.80	2.19			
	Culture x F_OverP	1.73	0.93	1.87			
	OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A x M_Care	0.04	0.97	0.05			
	OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A x M_Care	0.78	0.89	0.87			
	OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A x M_OverP	-5.27**	1.79	-2.96			
	OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A x M_OverP	-4.57**	1.76	-2.59			
	OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A x F_Care	1.25	0.86	1.46			
	OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A x F_Care	1.60*	0.80	2.01			
	OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A x F_OverP	2.18*	1.06	2.06			
	OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A x F_OverP	1.27	0.96	1.33			
	Culture x OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A x M_Care	1.24	1.06	1.17			
	Culture x OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A x M_Care	-0.11	1.03	-0.11			
	Culture x OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A x M_OverP	4.91**	1.83	2.67			
	Culture x OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A x M_OverP	4.79**	1.84	2.61			
	Culture x OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A x F_Care	-2.06*	0.93	-2.22			
	Culture x OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A x F_Care	-1.44	0.90	-1.60			
	Culture x OXTr rs53576 A/G-A/A x F_OverP	-1.88	1.13	-1.66			
	Culture x OXTr rs53576 G/G-A/A x F_OverP	-1.09	1.10	-0.99			

Table 7: Hierarchical multiple regression on ECR-R avoidance for the total sample. Note.
SE = standard error of unstandardized coefficient. *$p<.05$ **$p<.01$

Figure 3: Effects on avoidance for the total sample. (**A**). Effect of early maternal overprotection on the adult avoidance. (**B**). Comparison between the scores of ECR-R avoidance in low and high maternal overprotection. (**C**). Effect of the interaction between culture and early maternal overprotection on the adult avoidance. (**D**). Contrast between the scores of ECR-R avoidance in Italian (red) and Singaporean (blue). (**E**). Effect of the interaction between genotype and early maternal overprotection on the adult avoidance. (**F**). Contrast between the scores of ECR-R avoidance in A/A homozygotes (red), A/G heterozygotes (green) and G/G homozygotes (blue) divided into low and high maternal overprotection. (**G**). Effect of the interaction between genotype and early maternal overprotection on the adult avoidance for the Italian participants. (**H**). Effect of the interaction between genotype and early maternal overprotection on the adult avoidance for the Singaporean participants. (**I**). Comparison between the scores of ECR-R avoidance in A/A homozygotes (red), A/G heterozygotes (green) and G/G homozygotes (blue) divided into Italian and Singaporean participants for low maternal overprotection. (**J**). Contrast between the scores of ECR-R avoidance in A/A homozygotes (red), A/G heterozygotes (green) and G/G homozygotes (blue) divided into Italian and Singaporean participants for high maternal overprotection. r-values refer to Pearson's r correlations. (* p ¡ 0.025)