Two neuronal peptides encoded from a single transcript regulate mitochondrial function in *Drosophila*

Justin A. Bosch[1,*], Berrak Ugur[2,†], Israel Pichardo-Casas[1], Jorden Rabasco[1], Felipe Escobedo[1], Zhongyuan Zuo[2], Ben Brown[3], Susan Celniker[3], David Sinclair[1], Hugo Bellen[2,4-6], and Norbert Perrimon[1,6,*].

1) Department of Genetics, Blavatnick Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA.
2) Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, BCM, Houston, TX 77030, USA
3) Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA.
4) Jan and Dan Duncan Neurological Research Institute, Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, TX 77030, USA
5) Department of Neuroscience, BCM, Houston, TX 77030, USA
6) Howard Hughes Medical Institute

[†]Current address: Departments of Neuroscience and Cell Biology, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut 06510, USA

*Corresponding authors:

Justin A. Bosch and Norbert Perrimon (lead contact)
Harvard Medical School
77 Avenue Louis Pasteur
Dept. of Genetics, NRB 336
Boston, MA 02115
617-432-7672
Email: perrimon@genetics.med.harvard.edu (lead contact)
jabosch@hms.harvard.edu

47   **Summary:**
48
Naturally produced peptides (<100 amino acids) are important regulators of
physiology, development, and metabolism. Recent studies have predicted that
thousands of peptides may be translated from transcripts containing small open
reading frames (smORFs). Here, we describe two previously uncharacterized
peptides in *Drosophila* encoded by conserved smORFs, Sloth1 and Sloth2.
These peptides are translated from the same bicistronic transcript and share
sequence similarities, suggesting that they encode paralogs. We provide
evidence that Sloth1/2 are highly expressed in neurons, localize to mitochondria,
and form a complex. Double mutant analysis in animals and cell culture revealed
that *sloth1* and *sloth2* are not functionally redundant, and their loss causes
animal lethality, reduced neuronal function, impaired mitochondrial function, and
neurodegeneration. These results suggest that phenotypic analysis of smORF
genes in *Drosophila* can provide a wealth of information on the biological
functions of this poorly characterized class of genes.

Keywords: smORF, peptide, paralogs, mitochondria, bicistronic transcript, neurodegeneration, *Drosophila*, CRISPR/Cas9

Introduction

Naturally produced peptides are regulators of metabolism, development, and physiology. Well-known examples include secreted peptides that act as hormones (PEARSON *et al.* 1993), signaling ligands (KATSIR *et al.* 2011), or neurotransmitters (SNYDER AND INNIS 1979). This set of peptides are produced by cleavage of larger precursor proteins (FRICKER 2005), peptides can also be directly translated from a transcript with a small open reading frame (smORF) (COUSO AND PATRAQUIM 2017; PLAZA *et al.* 2017; HSU AND BENFEY 2018; YEASMIN *et al.* 2018). Due to their small size (<100 codons), smORFs have been understudied. For example, smORFs are under represented in genome annotations (BASRAI *et al.* 1997), are theoretically a poor target for EMS mutagenesis, and are often ignored in proteomic screens. Consequently, there is growing interest in this class of protein-coding gene as a potentially rich source of novel bioactive peptides.

A major obstacle in identifying smORFs that encode functionally important peptides is distinguishing them from the enormous number of smORFs present in the genome by chance (e.g. 260,000 in yeast) (BASRAI *et al.* 1997). Many groups have identified and categorized smORFs with coding potential using signatures of evolutionary conservation, ribosomal profiling, and mass spectrometry (SAGHATELIAN AND COUSO 2015; COUSO AND PATRAQUIM 2017; PLAZA *et al.* 2017). Together, these approaches suggest there may be hundreds, possibly thousands, of unannotated smORF genes. However, these "omics" methods do not tell us which smORFs encode peptides with important biological functions.

Functional characterization of smORF genes in cell lines and model organisms has the potential to confidently identify novel peptides. Historically, unbiased genetic screens and gene cloning led to the fortuitous identification and characterization of smORF peptides (e.g. POLARIS (CASSON *et al.* 2002), RpL41 (SUZUKI *et al.* 1990), Nedd4 (KUMAR *et al.* 1993), *Drosophila* pri/tal (GALINDO *et al.* 2007)). More recently, candidate bioinformatically-predicted smORF-encoded peptides (aka SEPs) have been targeted for characterization (e.g., DWORF (NELSON *et al.* 2016), Elabela/toddler (CHNG *et al.* 2013; PAULI *et al.* 2014), Myomixer (BI *et al.* 2017), Myoregulin (ANDERSON *et al.* 2015), and Sarcolamban (MAGNY *et al.* 2013), and Hemotin (PUEYO *et al.* 2016)). Collectively, these studies have been invaluable for assigning biological functions to smORF peptides. Therefore, continued functional characterization is needed to tackle the enormous number of predicted smORF peptides.

Here, through an effort to systematically characterize human-conserved smORF genes in *Drosophila* (in preparation), we identified two previously unstudied smORF peptides CG32736-PB and CG42308-PA that we named Sloth1 and Sloth2 based on their mutant phenotypes . Remarkably, both peptides are translated from the same transcript and share amino acid sequence similarity, suggesting that they encode paralogs. Loss of function analysis revealed that

each peptide is essential for viability, and mutant animals exhibit defective
neuronal function and photoreceptor degeneration. These phenotypes can be
explained by our finding that Sloth1 and Sloth2 localize to mitochondria and play
an important role in respiration and ATP production. Finally, we propose that both
peptides can bind in a shared complex. These studies uncover two new
components of the mitochondria and demonstrate how functional
characterization of smORFs will lead to novel biological insights.
192
193 **Results**
194
195 ***sloth1* and *sloth2* are translated from the same transcript and are likely**
196 **distantly-related paralogs**
197
Current gene annotations for *sloth1* and *sloth2* (aka *CG32736* and *CG42308*,
respectively) indicate that they are expressed from the same transcript (Flybase,
Figure 1A), known as a bicistronic (or dicistronic) gene (BLUMENTHAL 2004;
CROSBY *et al.* 2015; KARGINOV *et al.* 2017). For example, nearby transcription
start sites (Figure 1A) are predicted to only generate transcripts that encode both
peptides (HOSKINS *et al.* 2011). In addition, a full-length transcript containing both
smORFs is present in the cDNA clone RE60462 (GenBank Acc# AY113525),
which was derived from an embryonic library (STAPLETON *et al.* 2002), and we
detected the full-length bicistronic transcript from total RNA 3rd instar larvae by
RT-PCR amplification (not shown). In addition, the encoded peptides Sloth1 and
Sloth2 have subtle sequence similarity (27%), are similar in size (79aa and 61aa,
respectively), and each contain a predicted single transmembrane domain
(Figure 1B). While this type of gene structure is relatively rare in eukaryotes
(BLUMENTHAL 2004; KARGINOV *et al.* 2017), there are known cases in *Drosophila*
of multicistronic transcripts encoding smORF paralogs – the *pri*/*tal* locus
(GALINDO *et al.* 2007) and the *Sarcolamban* locus (MAGNY *et al.* 2013).
Furthermore, it is well known that paralogs are often found adjacent to each other
in the genome due to tandem duplication (TAYLOR AND RAES 2004). Therefore, we
propose that *sloth1* and *sloth2* are paralogs translated from the same transcript.
217
Sloth1 and Sloth2 closely resemble their human orthologs (SMIM4 and
C12orf73*)*, based on sequence similarity, similar size, and presence of a
transmembrane domain (Figure 1B). Like Sloth1 and Sloth2, SMIM4 and
C12orf73 also have subtle amino acid sequence similarity to each other (Figure
1B). In addition, *sloth1* and *sloth2* are conserved in other eukaryotic species
(Figure 1C). Remarkably, *sloth1* and *sloth2* orthologs in choanoflagelate, sea
squirt, and lamprey exhibit a similar gene architecture as *Drosophila* (Figure 1C,
Supplemental File 1). In contrast, *sloth1* and *sloth2* orthologs in jawed
vertebrates (e.g. mammals) are located on different chromosomes (e.g. human
Chr.3 and Chr.12, respectively). Interestingly, we only found one ortholog similar
to *sloth2* in the evolutionarily distant *Plasmodium*, and two orthologs similar to
sloth2 in *Arabidopsis*, which are located on different chromosomes (Figure 1C).
Therefore, we hypothesize that the *sloth1* and *sloth2* ORFs duplicated from an

ancient single common ancestor ORF and became unlinked in animals along the lineage to jawed vertebrates.

We next investigated *sloth1* and *sloth2* translation parameters and efficiency, since their ORFs are frameshifted relative to each other (Figure 1A) and they are not separated by an obvious internal ribosome entry site (IRES) (VAN DER KELEN *et al.* 2009). Remarkably, there are only five nucleotides that separate the stop codon of the upstream ORF (*sloth1*) and the start codon of the downstream ORF (*sloth2*) (Figure 1A). Therefore, *sloth1* should be translated first and inhibit translation of *sloth2*, similar to the functions of so-called upstream ORFs (uORFs) (THOMPSON 2012). However, *sloth1* has a non-optimal Kozak sequence 5' to the start codon (*ACACATG*) and *sloth2* has an optimal Kozak (*CAAAATG*) (CAVENER 1987). Therefore, scanning ribosomes may occasionally fail to initiate translation on *sloth1*, in which case they would continue scanning and initiate translation on *sloth2*, known as a "leaky scanning" translation mechanism (THOMPSON 2012).

To test this translation model, we constructed an expression plasmid with the *Renilla Luciferase* (*RLuc*) reporter gene downstream of *sloth1* (*sloth1-RLuc*), while retaining non-coding elements of the original transcript (5' UTR, Kozak sequences, 5bp intervening sequence) (Figure 1D). By transfecting this reporter plasmid into *Drosophila* S2R+ cells, along with a *Firefly Luciferase* (*FLuc*) control plasmid, we could monitor changes in translation of the downstream ORF by the ratio of RLuc/FLuc luminescence. Using derivatives of the reporter plasmid with Kozak or ATG mutations, we found that translation of the downstream ORF increased when translation of *sloth1* was impaired (Figure 1E). Reciprocally, translation of the downstream ORF was decreased when *sloth1* translation was enhanced with an optimal Kozak. These results suggest that *sloth1* inhibits translation of *sloth2*, and that balanced translation of both smORFs from the same transcript might be achieved by suboptimal translation of *sloth1*.

sloth1 and sloth2 are essential in Drosophila with non-redundant function

To determine if *sloth1* and *sloth2* have important functions in *Drosophila*, we used in vivo loss of function genetic tools. We used RNA interference (RNAi) to knock down the *sloth1-sloth2* bicistronic transcript. Ubiquitous expression of an shRNA targeting the *sloth1* coding sequence (Figure 2A) lead to significant knockdown of the *sloth1-sloth2* transcript in 3rd instar larvae (Figure 2B), as determined by two different primer pairs that bind to either the *sloth1* or *sloth2* coding sequence. Ubiquitous RNAi knockdown of *sloth1-sloth2* throughout development lead to reduced number of adult flies compared to a control (Figure 2C). This reduced viability was largely due to adult flies sticking in the food after they eclosed from their pupal cases (Figure 2D). The occasional escaper knockdown flies were slow-moving and showed only 30% climbing ability compared to control flies (Figure 2E). RNAi knockdown flies also had short scutellar bristles (Figure 2F).

277
We confirmed our RNAi results using CRISPR/Cas9 to generate somatic
knockout (KO) flies. By crossing flies ubiquitously expressing Cas9 (*Act-Cas9)*
with flies expressing an sgRNA that targets the coding sequence of either *sloth1*
or *sloth2* (Figure 2A, Supplemental Figure 1A), the resulting progeny will be
mosaic for insertions and deletions (indels) that cause loss of function in somatic
cells (PORT *et al.* 2014; XUE *et al.* 2014). Both *sloth1* and *sloth2* somatic KO flies
had significantly reduced viability compared to control (Figure 2G). Furthermore,
most escaper adults had short scutellar bristles (Figure 2H) and frequently
appeared sluggish (not shown). Importantly, similar phenotypes were observed
when targeting either *sloth1* or *sloth2*.
288
Next, we further confirmed our loss of function results using CRISPR/Cas9 in the
germ line to generate KO lines for *sloth1* and *sloth2*. These reagents are
particularly important to test if *sloth1* and *sloth2* have redundant function by
comparing the phenotypes of single and double null mutants. We generated four
KO lines (Figure 2A, Supplemental Figure 1A-C): 1) a frameshift indel in *sloth1*
(*sloth1*-KO), 2) a frameshift indel in *sloth2* (*sloth2*-KO), 3) a 552 bp deletion of
the *sloth1* and *sloth2* reading frames (dKO), and 4) a knock-in of the reporter
gene *Gal4* that removes *sloth1* and *sloth2* coding sequences (*Gal4-KI*). Since
sloth1 and *sloth2* are on the X-chromosome, we analyzed mutant hemizygous
male flies. All four mutant lines were hemizygous lethal, which were rescued by a
genomic transgene (Figure 2I,), ruling out off-target lethal mutations on the X-
chromosome. Like RNAi and somatic KO results, rare mutant adult escaper flies
had slower motor activity (Figure 2J) and short scutellar bristles (Figure 2K).
Furthermore, the short scutellar bristle phenotype and slower motor activity could
be rescued by a genomic transgene (Figure 2J, K).
304
The phenotypic similarity of single and double mutants suggests that *sloth1* and
sloth2 are not functionally redundant. However, since both ORFs are encoded on
the same transcript, it is unclear if mutating one ORF will affect the other. For
example, a premature stop codon can induce non-sense mediated decay of an
entire transcript (NICKLESS *et al.* 2017). To address this possibility, we performed
additional fly lethality rescue experiments. First, transheterozygous female flies
(*sloth1-KO/+, sloth2-KO/+*) were viable and had normal scutellar bristles (not
shown). Second, we created single ORF versions of a genomic rescue transgene
– {Δ*sloth1-sloth2*} and {*sloth1*-Δ*sloth2*} (Supplemental Figure 1A). We found that
sloth1-KO lethality could only be rescued by {*sloth1*-Δ*sloth2*}, and vice versa,
sloth2-KO lethality could only rescued by {Δ*sloth1-sloth2*} (Figure 2L).
Furthermore, single ORF rescue transgenes were unable to rescue the lethality
of *dKO* and *Gal4-KI* lines (Figure 2L). Third, we used the Gal4/UAS system
(BRAND AND PERRIMON 1993) to rescue mutant lethality with ubiquitously
expressed cDNA transgenes. These results showed that single ORF KOs could
only be rescued by expression of the same ORF (Figure 2L). Similar results were
found by expressing cDNAs encoding the human orthologs (Figure 2L). In all,
these results show that both *sloth1* and *sloth2* are essential, have similar loss of

function phenotypes, are not functionally redundant with one another, and are
likely to retain the same function as their human orthologs.
325
326 **Loss of *sloth1* and *sloth2* leads to defective neuronal function and**
327 **degeneration**
328
Since loss of *sloth1* and *sloth2* caused reduced adult mobility and climbing
defects (Figure 2E, J), we speculated that the two peptides normally play an
important role in cell types such as muscle or neurons. To determine where
sloth1 and *sloth2* are expressed, we used the *Gal4-KI* line as an in vivo
transcriptional reporter. *Gal4-KI* mobility defects and lethality could be rescued by
expressing the entire bicistronic transcript (*UAS-sloth1-sloth2*) (Figure 2J, L)*,* or
coexpression of both smORFs as cDNA (*UAS-sloth1* and *UAS-sloth2)* (Figure
2L, not shown). The *Gal4-KI* line is thus an accurate reporter of *sloth1* and *sloth2*
expression. By crossing *Gal4-KI* flies with a *UAS-GFP* fluorescent reporter, we
observed strong GFP expression in larval (Figure 3A, B) and adult brains (Figure
3C). In addition, *Gal4-KI* is expressed in motor neurons at the larval
neuromuscular junction (NMJ) (Figure 3D) and in larval brain cells that are
positive for the neuronal marker Elav (Figure 3E).
342
We then tested if *sloth1* and *sloth2* were important for neuronal function by
measuring neuronal electrical activity in *dKO* animals. Electrical recordings taken
from the larval NMJ showed that *dKO* motor neurons have normal excitatory
junction potential (EJP) under resting conditions at 0.75 mM Ca $^{2+}$ (Supplemental
Figure 2). However, under high frequency stimulation (10hz), *dKO* NMJs could
not sustain a proper response (Figure 4A), indicating that there is a defect in
maintaining synaptic vesicle pools. Importantly, this phenotype is rescued by a
genomic transgene. To test if a similar defect is present in the adults, we
assessed phototransduction and synaptic transmission in photoreceptors via
electroretinogram (ERG) recordings (WU AND WONG 1977; HARDIE AND RAGHU
2001). ERGs recorded from young (1-3 days old) *dKO* photoreceptors showed
an amplitude similar to that of genomic rescue animals (Figure 4B). However,
upon repetitive light stimulation, ERG amplitudes were significantly reduced
(Figure 4B), suggesting a gradual loss of depolarization. Similar results were
observed when young flies were raised in 24hr dark (Figure 4C). Moreover, ERG
traces also showed a progressive loss of "on" and "off" transients (Figure 4B, C),
which is indicative of decreased synaptic communication between the
photoreceptor and the postsynaptic neurons. ERG phenotypes are rescued by a
full-length genomic rescue transgene, but not by single ORF rescue transgenes
(Figure 4B, C). To test if loss of both *sloth1* and *sloth2* lead to
neurodegeneration, we aged the animals for 4-weeks in 12hr light/dark cycle or
constant darkness and recorded ERGs. Similar to young animals, aged animals
raised in light/dark conditions also displayed a reduction in ERG amplitude upon
repetitive stimulation (Figure 4E). These results indicate that both *sloth1* and
sloth2 are required for sustained neuronal firing in larval motor neurons and adult
photoreceptors. Interestingly, similar mutant phenotypes in the NMJ and

photoreceptors are known to be due to defects in ATP production (VERSTREKEN
et al. 2005; SANDOVAL *et al.* 2014; JAISWAL *et al.* 2015).
371
In addition to measuring neuronal activity, we analyzed *dKO* neurons for changes
in morphology and molecular markers. Confocal imaging of the NMJ in *dKO* 3rd
instar larvae did not reveal obvious changes in synapse morphology or markers
of synapse function (Supplemental Figure 3). In contrast, using transmission
electron microscopy (TEM) of sectioned adult eyes, we observed reduced
photoreceptor number and aberrant morphology such as enlarged
photoreceptors and thinner glia in *dKO* animals (Figure 5A-C), suggestive of
degeneration. These phenotypes were rescued by a genomic transgene, but not
with single ORF rescue constructs (Figure 5A-C, Supplemental Figure 4).
Furthermore, these phenotypes were similar between young and aged flies, as
well as aged flies raised in the dark (Figure 5A-C, Supplemental Figure 4). It is
known that mutations affecting the turnover of Rhodopsin protein (Rh1) can lead
to photoreceptor degeneration (ALLOWAY *et al.* 2000; JAISWAL *et al.* 2015). To test
if this mechanism is occurring in *dKO* photoreceptors, we imaged Rh1 protein
levels using confocal microscopy. We observed Rh1 accumulation in
degenerating *dKO* photoreceptors in 4 week aged flies exposed to light (Figure
5D). However, Rh1 accumulation was milder in 4 week aged flies raised in the
dark (Supplemental Figure 5). These results point out that light stimulation, and
hence activity, enhance degeneration due to Rh1 accumulation in *dKO* animals.
391
392 **Sloth1 and Sloth2 localize to mitochondria**
393
To understand the cellular functions of Sloth1 and Sloth2, determined their
subcellular localization. Using 11 domain prediction programs (Figure 6A), we
found that Sloth1 orthologs have a mitochondrial-targeting motif and Sloth2
orthologs have a secretion signal (Figure 6B). This difference in predicted
localization was unexpected, especially considering that these peptides are likely
paralogs. Therefore, we directly visualized their subcellular location. We raised
antibodies to Sloth1 and Sloth2, but were unable to detect the endogenous
peptides by immunostaining and western blotting (not shown). Nevertheless, by
overexpressing FLAG-tagged versions of the peptides in transfected S2R+ cells,
we found that both Sloth1 and Sloth2 colocalize with mitochondrial ATP5α
(Figure 6C).
405
We used proteomics to determine if Sloth1 and Sloth2 have interacting partners.
Using *Drosophila* S2R+ cells that stably expressed Sloth1 or Sloth2 fused with a
streptavidin-binding peptide (SBP) on their C-terminus (YANG AND VERAKSA
2017), we enriched complexes on streptavidin beads, identified bound proteins
by mass spectrometry, and proteomic hits were tested by co-immunoprecipitation
(Figure 6D). We confirmed that the Translocase of the inner membrane (Tim)
complex of proteins, Tim8 and Tim13, was pulled down with Sloth1 (Figure 6E-
G), and to a lesser extent Tim8 was pulled down with Sloth2 (Figure 6G). Tim8
and Tim13 form a complex in the mitochondrial intermembrane space, where

they act as a chaperone to bind and stabilize transmembrane proteins that transit
to the inner mitochondrial membrane (CHACINSKA *et al.* 2009). The deep learning
algorithm DeepMito (SAVOJARDO *et al.* 2020) predicts that Sloth1 orthologs
localize to the mitochondrial inner membrane, and its domain structure is similar
to other inner membrane proteins (CHACINSKA *et al.* 2009). Therefore, our results
suggest that Sloth1 and Sloth2 localize to mitochondria, likely to the inner
membrane.

It is unclear why Sloth2 has a predicted secretion signal if it localizes to
mitochondria. One possibility is that the N-terminus is recognized as a bone fide
mitochondrial-targeting signal, but current bioinformatic software misidentifies
this motif. Alternatively, Sloth2 may dually localize to mitochondria and the
secretory pathway. To test this, we determined if superfolder GFP (sfGFP)-
tagged Sloth1 and Sloth2 were secreted into cell culture media from transfected
S2R+ cells. Interestingly, we detected both Sloth1-sfGFP and Sloth2-sfGFP in
cell culture media, at higher levels than a non-secreted mitochondrial protein
(Tom20), though at lower levels than two known secreted proteins (Supplemental
Figure 6A, B). Deletion of the Sloth2 secretion signal, or the Sloth1 mitochondrial
targeting signal, reduced the amount sfGFP-tagged protein in the media. In
addition, the Sloth2 secretion signal alone was sufficient to drive secretion of
sfGFP. These results suggest that Sloth1 and Sloth2 may be secreted in addition
to localizing to mitochondria.

Sloth1 and Sloth2 are important for mitochondrial function

Mutations in *Drosophila* mitochondrial genes are known to cause phenotypes
that are reminiscent of loss of *sloth1* and *sloth2*, such as pupal lethality,
developmental delay (not shown), reduced neuronal activity, photoreceptor
degeneration, and Rh1 accumulation in photoreceptors (JAISWAL *et al.* 2015).
Therefore, we tested whether *sloth1* and *sloth2* were important for mitochondrial
function.

A method of assaying defects in mitochondrial function is measuring cellular
oxygen consumption from live cells with a Seahorse stress test. Since this
typically involves assaying a monolayer of cells, we generated KO S2R+ cell
lines using CRISPR/Cas9. Compared to control cells, single KO and double KO
S2R+ cells (Supplemental Figure 7A, B) had reduced basal respiration (Figure
7A, B), ATP production (Supplemental Figure 7C), and proton leaks
(Supplemental Figure 7D). Results were similar for single KO and dKO lines.
These results suggest that both *sloth1* and *sloth2* are important for mitochondrial
function in S2R+ cells.

Next, we assayed *sloth1* and *sloth2* mutant flies for defects in mitochondrial
function. ATP levels are an important indicator of mitochondrial function (KANN
AND KOVACS 2007; GOLPICH *et al.* 2017) and mutations in *Drosophila*
mitochondrial genes can lead to reduced ATP levels (JAISWAL *et al.* 2015).

Indeed, *dKO* larvae had ~60% ATP compared to control larvae, which was
rescued by a genomic transgene (Figure 7C). Impaired mitochondrial function
can also lead to cellular stress responses, such as increased expression of the
mitochondrial chaperone Hsp60 (PELLEGRINO *et al.* 2013). Western blot analysis
showed that *Drosophila* Hsp60 was elevated in lysates from mutant larval brains
compared to control, and this effect was rescued by a genomic transgene (Figure
7D). Finally, mitochondrial dysfunction can cause changes in mitochondrial
morphology and number (TREVISAN *et al.* 2018). There were no obvious changes
in mitochondrial morphology in mutant larval motor neurons (Supplemental
Figure 3, Supplemental Figure 7E), and adult mutant photoreceptors contained
mitochondria with normal cristae (Figure 7E). In contrast, mitochondrial number
was increased in mutant photoreceptors in aged animals (Figure 7E,
Supplemental Figure 8A) and decreased in mutant photoreceptors in young
animals (Figure 7F, Supplemental Figure 8B). In all, these data suggest that
Sloth1 and Sloth2 localize to mitochondria and are important to support
mitochondrial function and thus ATP production.
477
478 **Sloth1 and Sloth2 may act in a stoichiometric complex**
479
Since Sloth1 and Sloth2 share the same loss of function phenotypes and
subcellular localization, we speculated that Sloth1 and Sloth2 could physically
interact. Indeed, some paralogs are known to bind to the same protein complex
(SZKLARCZYK *et al.* 2008) and there is a tendency for proteins in the same
complex to be co-expressed (PAPP *et al.* 2003). Interestingly, our mass
spectrometry results showed that Sloth1 was identified from pull-downs using
Sloth2-SBP as bait. To confirm this putative interaction between Sloth1 and
Sloth2, we used co-immunoprecipitation and western blotting. This revealed that
Sloth1-FLAG could pull down Sloth2-HA (Figure 8A), and Sloth2-FLAG (Figure
8B) or Sloth2-SBP (Figure 8C) could pull down Sloth1-HA. Unexpectedly, we
noticed that the levels of tagged peptide in cell lysates were higher when the
opposite peptide was overexpressed (Figure 8A-C). Proteins in a complex
commonly have important stoichiometry and unbound proteins can be degraded
to preserve this balance (PAPP *et al.* 2003; SOPKO *et al.* 2006; VEITIA *et al.* 2008;
PRELICH 2012; BERGENDAHL *et al.* 2019). Therefore, this data suggests that
Sloth1 and Sloth2 act in a complex, where they stabilize each other's protein
levels.
497
Imbalanced protein complex stoichiometry can lead to haploinsufficient or
dominant negative phenotypes (PAPP *et al.* 2003; SOPKO *et al.* 2006; VEITIA *et al.*
2008; PRELICH 2012; BERGENDAHL *et al.* 2019). For example, gene
overexpression can sometimes cause phenotypes that resemble loss of function
of complex members (SOPKO *et al.* 2006; PRELICH 2012). To test this, we
generated stable transgenic S2R+ cell lines overexpressing *sloth1* or *sloth2* and
assayed their oxygen consumption on a Seahorse instrument. Using a copper-
inducible promoter, we overexpressed the cDNA for either ORF for 16hr before
measuring oxygen consumption. Seahorse results showed a decrease in basal

respiration (Figure 8D,E), ATP production (Supplemental Figure 9A), and proton
leak (Supplemental Figure 9B) in overexpressing cells, strikingly similar to
seahorse results in KO cells (Figure 7A-B, Supplemental Figure 9C,D).
Furthermore, prolonged overexpression of either ORF reduced proliferation
(Figure 8F).
512
Finally, we tested for *sloth1* or *sloth2* overexpression phenotypes in vivo. Low-
level ubiquitous overexpression (using *da-Gal4*) of either *sloth1* or *sloth2* had no
effect on fly viability or bristle length (Figure 2L, not shown). To increase
expression levels, we used the strong ubiquitous driver *tub-Gal4*. Whereas
tub>sloth1 flies were viable (Figure 8G) and had normal bristle length (not
shown), *tub>sloth2* flies were 100% pupal lethal (Figure 8G). However, raising
tub>sloth2 flies at 18°C, which decreases Gal4/UAS expression, produced
escaper adults that had short scutellar bristles (not shown), reminiscent of loss of
function of either *sloth1* or *sloth2* (Figure 2K). Hence, an imbalance in complex
stoichiometry caused by overexpression of one member of the complex disrupts
complex function, and this can sometimes be corrected by coexpression of other
members of the complex (CLARK-ADAMS *et al.* 1988). Indeed, we found that
tub>sloth2, sloth1 animals were viable (Figure 8G) and exhibited normal bristles
(not shown). Similarly, overexpression of the entire bicistronic transcript had no
obvious phenotypes (Figure 8G, not shown). In all, these results support a model
whereby Sloth1 and Sloth2 act in a complex in which the stoichiometric ratio is
important for normal function.
530
531 **Discussion**
532
Here, we have assigned new functions to two previously uncharacterized smORF
peptides, Sloth1 and Sloth2. *sloth1* and *sloth2* appear to be distantly-related
paralogs, yet each is important to support mitochondrial and neuronal function in
Drosophila. We propose a model where Sloth1 and Sloth2 peptides are
translated from the same transcript and imported into the mitochondrial inner
membrane, where they form a complex and carry out functions that support ATP
production (Figure 9). Our results are supported by a recent study published
during preparation of this manuscript, in which human Sloth2 (C12orf73/Brawnin)
was described as a mitochondrial component in cultured human cells and
zebrafish (ZHANG *et al.* 2020). Importantly, this suggests that human Sloth1
(SMIM4) is also a mitochondrial component in humans.
544
Muti-cistronic genes are relatively rare in eukaryotes, but some have been
characterized in *Drosophila* (GALINDO *et al.* 2007; MAGNY *et al.* 2013) and
mammals (KARGINOV *et al.* 2017). Similar to operons in prokaryotes, it is thought
that multicistronic transcripts allow for coordinated expression of proteins in the
same pathway or complex (KARGINOV *et al.* 2017). Indeed, the similarity of loss of
function phenotypes between *sloth1* and *sloth2* suggest that they function
together in the same pathway/complex. Interestingly, 44/196 annotated
bicistronic genes in *Drosophila* contain two ORFs with homology to each other

(Flybase, DIOPT), and a recent study suggests that human bicistronic genes
containing a smORF frequently encode physically interacting peptide/protein pair
(CHEN *et al.* 2020). Therefore, related peptides encoded on the same transcript
may be a prevalent phenomenon in eukaryotes. ORF translation in multicistronic
transcripts can occur by different mechanisms, such as re-initiation of translation,
IRES, or leaky ribosome scanning (VAN DER KELEN *et al.* 2009). Our data and
observations support leaky scanning, and we propose a model whereby both
peptides are translated because *sloth1* contains a non-optimal Kozak sequence.
561
The presence of *sloth1* and *sloth2* orthologs in many eukaryotic species suggest
that their function in fly and humans are likely similar. Indeed, we could rescue
the lethality of *sloth1* and *sloth2* mutant flies by expressing their human
counterparts. Furthermore, human SMIM4 contains a predicted mitochondrial
targeting sequence like Sloth1, human SMIM4 and C12orf73 localize to
mitochondria in five human cell lines (THUL *et al.* 2017), and human C12orf73
knockdown leads to impaired mitochondrial respiration in cultured U87MG cells
(ZHANG *et al.* 2020). Interestingly, *Plasmodium* and *Arabidopsis* only have
homologs with similarity to *sloth2*. This suggests that *sloth2* has maintained
functions more similar to its common ancestor with *sloth1*. We were unable to
identify homologs in some eukaryotes such as yeast, though their amino acid
sequence may simply be too diverged for detection using bioinformatic programs
such as BLAST.
575
Several questions remain with regards to Sloth1 and Sloth2 localization. For
example, it is unclear how Sloth2 is trafficked to mitochondria, since it does not
have a predicted mitochondrial-sorting signal like Sloth1. It is possible that Sloth2
has a cryptic signal that is not recognized by prediction software, or perhaps it is
co-imported with another protein. Furthermore, there are other proteins that are
imported into the mitochondria that do not use a classical presequence sorting
signal (CHACINSKA *et al.* 2009). Finally, these peptides may play a role outside
the cell, since Sloth2 has a predicted secretion signal, and we could detect GFP-
tagged Sloth1 and Sloth2 in S2R+ culture media. This phenomenon is not
without precedent, as some proteins have been described to localize to both
mitochondria and the secretory pathway, such as human SMIM20/Phoenixin
(YOSTEN *et al.* 2013), *Drosophila* Stunted (DELANOUE *et al.* 2016), and human
MICOS complex subunit MIC26 (KOOB *et al.* 2015).
589
Sloth1 and Sloth2 likely function together in a complex at the inner mitochondrial
membrane. Sloth1 is predicted to localize to the inner membrane based on its
domain structure (SAVOJARDO *et al.* 2020), and we found that it physically
interacts with Tim8/13, which are chaperones in the intermembrane space that
guide import of proteins to the inner membrane. Furthermore, recent proteomics
(LIU *et al.* 2018; HANA *et al.* 2020) and cell fractionation (ZHANG *et al.* 2020)
studies suggest human C12orf73 localizes to the inner mitochondrial membrane.
In addition, we showed that Sloth1 and Sloth2 physically interact. Furthermore,
stoichiometric binding in a complex may explain why single mutants have the

same phenotype as double mutants. Many mitochondrial functions are performed
at the inner membrane, such as the electron transport chain (ETC), metabolite
transport, and cristae formation (STOJANOVSKI *et al.* 2012; KUHLBRANDT 2015).
Therefore, considering the defects in ATP production in mutants, it is tempting to
speculate that Sloth1 and Sloth2 interact with ETC components such as Complex
III (ZHANG *et al.* 2020). Interestingly, ~40 smORF peptides function at the human
mitochondrial inner membrane (UniProt), such as the Complex III member
UQCRQ (82aa) (USUI *et al.* 1990) and the recently described Mitoregulin/MoxI
(56aa) that regulates the electron transport chain and fatty acid β-oxidation
(MAKAREWICH *et al.* 2018; STEIN *et al.* 2018; CHUGUNOVA *et al.* 2019). Therefore,
modulation of protein complexes in the inner mitochondrial membrane may be a
common function of smORF peptides.
611
Neurons have a high metabolic demand and critically depend on ATP generated
from mitochondria to support processes such as neurotransmission (VERSTREKEN
et al. 2005; KANN AND KOVACS 2007). Therefore, it is not unexpected that
neurodegenerative diseases are frequently associated with mitochondrial
dysfunction (GOLPICH *et al.* 2017). We find similar results in *Drosophila*, where
loss of *sloth1* and *sloth2* leads to defects in mitochondrial function, impaired
neuronal function, photoreceptor degeneration, and Rh1 accumulation in
photoreceptors. Despite finding that the *Gal4-KI* reporter was strongly expressed
in neurons, it is likely these peptides play important roles in other cell types. For
example, publicly available RNA-seq data suggest that they are ubiquitously
expressed (Flybase). In addition, neuronal expression of *sloth1* or *sloth2* was
unable to rescue mutant lethality (not shown).
624
There is great interest in identifying the complete mitochondrial proteome (CALVO
et al. 2016), so it is remarkable that *sloth1* and *sloth2* have been largely missed
in proteomic or genetic screens for mitochondrial components. Though, recently
human C12orf73 was identified in a recent BioID-based proteomics effort (HANA
et al. 2020). It is possible that the small size of these peptides lead to this
discrepancy; due to less frequent mutations in these ORFs, or fewer tryptic
products for MS. It is also possible that these peptides form weak interactions
with other mitochondrial proteins, preventing their purification during biochemical
pull-downs. Indeed, we were unable to identify any interacting mitochondrial
proteins other than Tim8/Tim13. At present, there are no reported human
disease-associated mutations in *SMIM4* and *C12orf73*. Mutations in these genes
might not cause disease, or they might cause lethality. It is also possible that the
lack of functional information on these genes has hampered identification of
disease-associated mutations.
639
640 Our discovery of *sloth1* and *sloth2* highlights the effectiveness of loss of function
641 genetics for identifying smORF genes with important biological functions. Recent
642 technical advances such as genome engineering (e.g. CRISPR/Cas9) and
643 massively parallel profiling have the potential to rapidly assign functions to many
644 uncharacterized smORFs (GUO *et al.* 2018; CHEN *et al.* 2020). For example,

investigation of uncharacterized smORF genes may yield additional important mitochondrial components. Indeed, there is a greater tendency for annotated human smORF peptides to localize to mitochondria (72/719, 10%) compared to the whole proteome (1228/20351, 6%) (UniProt). As functional annotation of hundreds, perhaps thousands, of smORF genes is becoming easier, many new biological insights are likely to emerge from their analyses.

Acknowledgements:

We thank the TRiP and DRSC for help generating transgenic flies, Dr. Marcia Haigis for use of a Seahorse XF analyzer, Claire Hu, Tera Levin, and Dan Richter for bioinformatics help, Lucy Liu for assistance mounting larvae to image the NMJ, and Rich Binari and Cathryn Murphy for general assistance. We thank members of the BDGP for discussions. We also thank the HMS MicRoN (Microscopy Resources on the North Quad) Core. J.A.B. was supported by the Damon Runyon Foundation. This work was supported by NIH grants R01GM084947, R01GM067761, R24OD019847, and NHGRI HG009352 (S.E.C). N.P. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization, J.A.B., B.U., I.P., B.B., S.C., H.B., N.P.; Methodology, J.A.B., B.U., I.P., N.P.; Investigation, J.A.B., B.U., I.P., J.R., F.E., Z.Z.; Writing – Original Draft, J.A.B.; Writing – Review & Editing, J.A.B., B.U., I.P., J.R., F.E., Z.Z., S.C., H.B., N.P.; Supervision, B.B., S.C., D.S., H.B., N.P.; Funding acquisition, J.A.B., B.B., S.C., N.P.

Declaration of Interests:

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure titles and legends:

Figure 1: Bicistronic gene structure of the smORFs *sloth1* and *sloth2*. A. Bicistronic gene model for *sloth1* and *sloth2*. Zoom in shows intervening sequence (*GCAAA*) between *sloth1* stop codon and *sloth2* start codon. **B.** Comparison of protein structure, amino acid length size, and amino acid percent identity between *Drosophila* and Human orthologs. Shaded rectangle indicates predicted transmembrane (TM) domain. **C.** Phylogenetic tree of *sloth1* and *sloth2* orthologs in representative eukaryotic species. Linked gene structure (candidate bicistronic transcript or adjacent separate transcripts) is indicated by a black line connecting red and blue squares. **D.** Plasmid reporter structure of *pMT-sloth1-Rluc* and derivatives. Kozak sequences upstream of start codon are underlined. Mutations indicated with shaded grey box. pMT= Metallothionein promoter. RLuc = Renilla Luciferase. **E.** Quantification of RLuc luminescence/Firefly Luciferase, normalized to *pMT-sloth1-Rluc*, for each construct. Significance of mutant

691 plasmid luminescence was calculated with a T-Test comparing to *pMT-sloth1-*
692 *Rluc*. Error bars are mean with SEM. **** P≤0.0001. N=4 biological replicates.
693
Figure 2: *sloth1* and *sloth2* loss of function analysis. A. *sloth1-sloth2*
transcript structure with shRNA and sgRNA target locations, primer binding sites,
in/del locations, and knock-in Gal4 transgene. **B.** qPCR quantification of RNAi
knockdown of the *sloth1-sloth2* transcript. Significance of fold change knockdown
was calculated with a T-Test comparing to *da>attP40* for PD43265 and
PD43573. Error bars show mean with SEM. P-values *** P≤0.001. N=6. **C.**
Quantification of adult fly viability from *sloth1-sloth2* RNAi knockdown. Fly cross
schematic (left) and graph (right) with percentage of progeny with or without the
CyO balancer. Ratios of balancer to non-balancer were analyzed by Chi square
test, **** P≤0.0001. Sample size (N) indicated on graph. **D.** Pictures of fly food
vials, focused on the surface of the food. *da>shRNA* flies are frequently found
stuck in the fly food. **E.** Quantification of adult fly climbing ability after *sloth1* and
sloth2 RNAi. Significance calculated with a T-test, **** P≤0.0001. Error bars
show mean with SD. N=3 biological replicates. **F.** Stereo microscope images of
adult fly thorax to visualize the scutellar bristles. RNAi knockdown by *da-Gal4*
crossed with either *attP40* or *UAS-shRNA^{JAB200}*. Arrowheads point to the two
longest scutellar bristles. **G.** Quantification of adult fly viability from *sloth1-sloth2*
somatic knockout. Fly cross schematic (left) and graph (right) with percentage of
progeny with or without the CyO balancer. Ratios of balancer to non-balancer
were analyzed by Chi square test, **** P≤0.0001. Sample size (N) indicated on
graph. **H.** (Left) Stereo microscope images of adult fly thorax to visualize the
scutellar bristles. Somatic knockout performed by crossing *Act-Cas9* to sgRNAs.
(Right) Quantification of the frequency of adult flies with at least one short
scutellar bristle after somatic KO of *sloth1* or *sloth2.* Sample sizes indicated on
graph. Arrowheads point to the two longest scutellar bristles. **I.** Quantification of
adult fly viability from *sloth1-sloth2* hemizygous knockout in males and rescue
with a genomic transgene or *UAS-sloth1-sloth2* transgene. Fly cross schematic
(left) and graph (right) with percentage of male progeny with or without the FM7c
balancer. Sample size (N) indicated on graph. **J.** Still images from video of adult
flies inside plastic vials. Images are 5 seconds after vials were tapped. Adult flies
climb upward immediately after tapping. All flies are males. Each vial contains 10
flies, except dKO, which contains 5 flies. **K.** Stereo microscope images of adult
male fly thorax to visualize the scutellar bristles. *attP40* is used as a negative
control. Arrowheads point to the two longest scutellar bristles. **L.** Hemizygous
mutant male genetic rescue experiments.
729
Figure 3. *sloth1-sloth2* are expressed in neurons A. Fluorescent stereo
microscope images of 3rd instar larvae expressing GFP with indicated genotypes.
B. Fluorescent compound microscope image of 3rd instar larval brain expressing
UAS-GFP. DAPI staining labels nuclei. **C.** Confocal microscopy of adult brain
with indicated genotypes. Anti-HRP staining labels neurons. **D.** Confocal
microscopy of the 3rd instar larval NMJ at muscle 6/7 segment A2 expressing
UAS-GFP. Anti-FasII staining labels the entire NMJ. **E.** Confocal microscopy of

the 3rd instar larval ventral nerve cord (VNC) expressing *Gal4-KI*, *UAS-GFP-nls*.
GFP-nls is localized to nuclei. Anti-Elav stains nuclei of neurons. Arrow indicates
example nuclei that expresses UAS-GFP and is positive for Elav.
740
Figure 4. *sloth1-sloth2* are important for neuronal function. A. Traces of
electrical recordings from 3rd instar larval NMJ in control, *dKO*, and
dKO+genomic rescue animals over 10 minutes under high frequency stimulation
(10 Hz). Graph on right is a quantification of the relative excitatory junction
potential (EJP) for indicated genotypes. Error bars show mean with SD. N ≥ 5
larvae per genotype. Significance for each genotype was calculated with a T-Test
comparing to control flies. **B-D.** Traces of electroretinogram (ERG) recordings
from adult eye photoreceptors upon repetitive stimulation with light (left) and
quantification of the relative ERG amplitude for indicated genotypes (right). Error
bars show mean with SD. N ≥ 6 larvae per genotype. ** P≤0.01, *** P≤0.001.
Significance for each genotype was calculated with a T-Test comparing to control
flies. **B.** Recordings were taken from 1-3 days post-eclosion animals that were
raised in a 12hr light/dark cycle. "On" and "Off" transients indicated by closed and
open arrowhead, respectively. **C.** Recordings were taken from 1-3 days post-
eclosion animals that were raised in a 24hr dark. **D.** Recordings were taken from
four week aged animals that were raised in a 12hr light/dark cycle.
757
Figure 5. Loss of *sloth1-sloth2* causes neurodegeneration. A-C.
Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of sectioned adult eye
photoreceptors (left) and quantification of photoreceptor number and aberrant
photoreceptors (right). Scalebar is 2µm. Filled red arrows indicate dead or dying
photoreceptors. Open red arrows indicate unhealthy photoreceptors. Error bars
show mean with SD. N ≥ 8 ommatidium per genotype. **A.** 4 weeks old raised in a
12hr light/dark cycle. **B.** 3 days old raised in a 12hr light/dark cycle. **C.** 4 weeks
old raised in 24hr dark. **D.** Confocal microscopy of adult eye photoreceptors
stained with phalloidin (green) and anti-Rh1 (red). Animals were 4 weeks old and
raised in a 12hr light/dark cycle. Arrowheads indicate photoreceptors with higher
levels of Rh1.
769
Figure 6. Sloth1 and Sloth2 localize to mitochondria. A. Analysis of fly and
human Sloth1 and Sloth2 using subcellular localization prediction software. **B.**
Amino acid alignment of the N-terminal portion of Sloth1 and Sloth2 orthologs
with indicated predicted domains. **C.** Confocal microscopy of S2R+ cells
transfected with Sloth1-FLAG or Sloth2-FLAG and stained with anti-FLAG
(green) and anti-ATP5alpha (red). DAPI (blue) stains nuclei. **D.** Schematic of
Sloth1 and Sloth2 pulldown experiments, mass spectrometry, and SAINT
analysis. **E-G.** Western blots showing results from co-immunoprecipitation
experiments. **E.** Sloth1-SBP used as bait to pulldown Tim8-HA. **F.** Sloth1-SBP
used as bait to pulldown Tim13-HA. **G.** Sloth1-SBP or Sloth2-SBP used as bait to
pulldown Tim8-HA.
781

Figure 7. *sloth1-sloth2* are important for mitochondrial function. A.
Seahorse mitochondrial stress report for wildtype S2R+ and dKO #1 cells. Error
bars show mean with SD. N=6 for each genotype. **B.** Quantification of basal OCR
(timepoint 3) in panel A and including data from single KO and additional dKO
cell lines. Significance of KO lines was calculated with a T-test compared to
S2R+. Error bars show mean with SD. **** P≤0.0001. N=6 for each genotype. **C.**
Quantification of ATP levels in 3rd instar larvae. Error bars show mean with SEM.
N = 3 experiments. **D.** Western blot from lysates of 3rd instar larval brains. **E-F.**
TEM images of sectioned adult photoreceptors (left) and quantification of
mitochondria number (right). Mitochondria are indicated with red dots. Error bars
show mean with SD. Sample size indicated on graph. **E.** Adult flies are 4 weeks
old and raised in a 12hr light/dark cycle. **F.** Adult flies are 3 days old and raised in
a 12hr light/dark cycle.

Figure 8. Sloth1 and Sloth2 act in a stoichiometric complex. A-C. Western
blots from co-immunoprecipitation experiments. **A-B.** Pulldown using Sloth1-
FLAG and Sloth2-FLAG as bait and either Sloth1-HA or Sloth2-HA as prey. **C.**
Pulldown using Sloth1-SBP and Sloth2-SBP as bait and Sloth2-HA as prey. **D.**
Seahorse mitochondrial stress report for *sloth1* and *sloth2* stably overexpressing
cell lines. Cells were incubated with CuSO4 for 16hr to induce expression. Error
bars show mean with SD. N=6 for each genotype. **E.** Quantification of basal
respiration (timepoint 3) in panel D. Significance of OE lines were calculated with
a T-test compared to S2R+. Error bars show mean with SD. ****P≤0.0001. N=6
for each genotype. **F.** Quantification of luminescence (CellTiter Glo) after 5 days
incubation without or with CuSO4 to induce expression. For each cell line,
luminescence is normalized to CuSO4$^-$. Significance of CuSO4$^+$ samples was
calculated with a T-test compared to CuSO4$^-$. Error bars show mean with SD.
****P≤0.0001. N=8 for each genotype. **G.** Summary of in-vivo overexpression
experiments. *tub-Gal4* used to overexpress indicated transgenes.

Methods

Molecular cloning

Plasmid DNAs were constructed and propagated using standard protocols.
Briefly, chemically competent TOP10 *E.coli.* (Invitrogen, C404010) were
transformed with plasmids containing either Ampicillin or Kanamycin resistance
genes and were selected on LB-Agar plates with 100µg/ml Ampicillin or 50µg/ml
Kanamycin. Oligo sequences are in Supplemental File 2.

sloth1-sloth2 expression reporters: *pMT-sloth1-RLuc* was constructed by Gibson
(NEB E2611) assembly of two DNA fragments with overlapping sequence, 1)
5'UTR, *sloth1* coding sequence, and intervening sequence (*GCAAA*) were
amplified from S2R+ genomic DNA. 2) Plasmid backbone was amplified from
pRmHa-3-Renilla (ZHOU *et al.* 2008), which contains a *Metallothionein* promoter

827 and coding sequence for Renilla luciferase. *pMT-sloth1-RLuc* derivatives were
828 constructed by a PCR-based site directed mutagenesis (SDM) strategy.
829
830 shRNA expression vector for in vivo RNAi: *pValium20-sloth1-sloth2* (aka *UAS-*
831 *shRNA*, or *JAB200*) was constructed by annealing complementary oligos and
832 ligating into *pValium20* (Nɪ *et al.* 2011) digested with NheI and EcoRI. See
833 Supplemental Figure 1 for location of target site.
834
sgRNA expression vectors for CRISPR/Cas9: Plasmids encoding two sgRNAs
were constructed by PCR amplifying an insert and ligating into *pCFD4* (Pᴏʀᴛ *et*
al. 2014) digested with BbsI. sgRNAs constructed: *pCFD4-sloth1* (aka JAB203),
pCFD4-sloth2 (aka GP01169), *pCFD4-sloth1-sloth2* (aka JAB205, for dKO). See
Supplemental Figure 1 for location of target sites.
Gal4 HDR donor plasmid: *pHD-sloth1-sloth2-Gal4-SV40-loxP-dsRed-loxP* was
assembled by digesting *pHD-DsRed-attP* (Gʀᴀᴛᴢ *et al.* 2014) with EcoRI/XhoI
and Gibson assembling with four PCR amplified fragments: 1) Left homology arm
from genomic DNA from *nos-Cas9[attP2]* flies. 2) *Gal4-SV40* from *pAct-FRT-*
stop-FRT3-FRT-FRT3-Gal4 attB (Bᴏsᴄʜ *et al.* 2015). 3) *loxP-dsRed-loxP* from
pHD-DsRed-attP. 4) Right homology arm from genomic DNA from *nos-*
Cas9[attP2] flies.
847
pEntr vectors: Construction of pEntr vectors (for Gateway cloning) was
performed by Gibson assembly of PCR amplified backbone from pEntr-dTOPO
(Invitrogen C4040-10) and PCR amplified gene coding sequence (when
appropriate, with or without stop codon). List of plasmids: *pEntr_sloth1* (from
S2R+ cDNA), *pEntr_sloth2* (from S2R+ cDNA), *pEntr_hSMIM4* (from IDT
gBlock), *pEntr_hC12orf73* (from IDT gBlock), *pEntr_sloth1-sloth2* transcript (from
S2R+ cDNA), *pEntr_sloth1-sloth2* genomic (from S2R+ genomic DNA), and
pEntr_BFP (from *mTagBFP2*). Derivatives of *pEntr_sloth1-sloth2* genomic that
lack *sloth1* or *sloth2* coding sequence, or derivatives of *pEntr_sloth1* or
pEntr_sloth2 with or without only the N-terminal signal sequence, were generated
by PCR amplifying the plasmid and reassembling the linearized plasmid (minus
the desired sequence) by Gibson.
860
Custom gateway expression vectors: *pWalium10-roe-sfGFP* was constructed by
digesting *pWalium10-roe* (Pᴇʀᴋɪɴs *et al.* 2015) with XbaI and Gibson assembling
with *sfGFP* sequence that was PCR amplified from *pUAS-TransTimer* (Hᴇ *et al.*
2019). *pMK33-GW* was a gift from Ram Viswanatha. *pMT-GW-SBP* was
constructed by digesting *pMK33-SBP-C* (Yᴀɴɢ ᴀɴᴅ Vᴇʀᴀᴋsᴀ 2017) and *pMK33-*
GW with XhoI/SpeI and ligating the GW insert into digested *pMK33-SBP-C* using
T4 ligase.
868
Gateway cloning LR reactions: Gateway cloning reactions were performed using
LR Clonase II Enzyme mix (Invitrogen 11791-020). See Supplemental File 3 for
plasmids constructed by Gateway reactions. Additional plasmids obtained were
pEntr_Tim8_nostop and *pEntr_Tim13_nostop* (The FlyBi Consortium), *pAWF*

and *pAWH* (Carnegie Science/Murphy lab), *pWalium10-roe* (PERKINS *et al.*
2015), and *pBID-G* (WANG *et al.* 2012).
875
Fly genetics
877
878 Flies were maintained on standard fly food at 25˚C. Wild-type (WT) or control
879 flies refers to *yw*. The *yv; attP40* strain is used as a negative control for
880 experiments involving an shRNA or sgRNA transgene inserted into *attP40*.
881
Fly stocks were obtained from the Perrimon lab collection, Bloomington Stock
center (indicated with BL#), or generated in this study (see below). Bloomington
Stocks: *yw* (1495), *yv; P{y[+t7.7]=CaryP}attP40* (36304), *yv,P{y[+t7.7]=nos-*
phiC31\int.NLS}X; P{y[+t7.7]=CaryP}attP40 (25709), *P{y[+t7.7]=nos-*
phiC31\int.NLS}X, y[1] sc[1] v[1] sev[21]; P{y[+t7.7]=CaryP}attP2 (25710),
w[1118]; Dp(1;3)DC166, PBac{y[+mDint2] w[+mC]=DC166}VK00033 (30299),
y[1] M{w[+mC]=Act5C-Cas9.P}ZH-2A w[*] (54590), *y[1] sc[*] v[1] sev[21];*
P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=nos-Cas9.R}attP2 (78782), *w[*]; P{w[+mC]=UAS-*
2xEGFP}AH2 (6874), *w[1118]; P{w[+mC]=UAS-GFP.nls}14* (4775), *y1 w*;*
P{tubP-GAL4}LL7/TM3, Sb1 Ser1 (5138), *MN-Gal4, UAS-mitoGFP* (42737), *MN-*
Gal4, UAS-nSybGFP (9263). Perrimon Lab stocks: *w; da-Gal4, lethal/FM7-GFP*.
893
Transgenic flies using PhiC31 integration were made by injecting attB-containing
plasmids at 200ng/ul into integrase-expressing embryos that contained an attP
landing site (attP40 or attP2). Injected adults were outcrossed to balancer
chromosome lines to isolate transgenic founder flies and eventually generate
balanced stocks. *pCFD4-sloth1[attP40]* (aka JAB203), *pCFD4-sloth2[attP40]*
(aka GP01169), *pCFD4-sloth1-sloth2[attP40]* (aka JAB205, for dKO), *pValium20-*
sloth1-sloth2[attP40] (aka *UAS-shRNA*, or *JAB200*) lines were selected with
vermillion+. *pWalium10-sloth1[attP2], pWalium10-sloth2[attP2], pValium10-*
sloth2[attP40], pWalium10-hSMIM4[attP2], pWalium10-hC12orf73[attP2],
pWalium10-sloth1-sloth2transcript[attP2], pBID-{sloth1-sloth2}[attP40], pBID-
{Δsloth1-sloth2}[attP40], pBID-{sloth1-Δsloth2}[attP40] were selected with
white+.
906
907 *sloth1*-KO, *sloth2*-KO, and *dKO* fly lines were made by crossing sgRNA-
908 expressing transgenic lines to *nos-Cas9[attP2]* flies, outcrossing progeny to *FM7-*
909 *GFP* balancer flies, and screening progeny founder flies for deletions by PCR
910 and Sanger sequencing.
911
912 *Gal4-KI* flies were made by injecting sgRNA plasmid (JAB205) and *pHD-sloth1-*
913 *sloth2-Gal4-SV40-loxP-dsRed-loxP*, each at 200ng/ul, into embryos expressing
914 Cas9 in the germ line (*nos-Cas9*). Injected adults were outcrossed to *FM7-GFP*
915 flies, progeny were screened for RFP+ expression, and RFP+ founder lines were
916 confirmed by PCR for a correct knock-in.
917

Knockdown crosses were performed by crossing *da-Gal4* with *pValium20-sloth1-*
sloth2[attP40]/CyO (aka *UAS-shRNA*, or *JAB200*) or *attP40/CyO* as a negative
control. Quantification of viability was performed by counting the number of
progeny with or without the CyO balancer. A Chi-square test was used to
determine if the ratio of non-balancer flies (CyO$^-$) to balancer flies (CyO$^+$) was
significantly altered in shRNA crosses compared to control crosses. Data was
analyzed using Excel and Prism.
925
For climbing assays, *da-Gal4/shRNA or da-Gal4/attP40* adult progeny were aged
1 week after eclosion and 10 flies were transferred into empty plastic vials
without use of CO2. Climbing ability was quantified by tapping vials and
recording the number of flies that climb to the top of the vial within 10 seconds,
using video analysis. Climbing assays with the same 10 flies were performed
three times and averaged. Three biological replicates were performed for each
genotype. A T-Test was used to calculate statistical significance. Data was
analyzed using Excel and Prism.
934
Somatic knockout crosses were performed by crossing *Act-Cas9* to
sgRNA[attP40]/CyO or *attP40/CyO* as a negative control. *Act-*
Cas9/sgRNA[attP40] female and male progeny were analyzed for phenotypes.
Quantification of viability was performed by counting the number of progeny with
or without the *CyO* balancer. A Chi-square test was used to determine if the ratio
of non-balancer flies (*CyO$^-$*) to balancer flies (*CyO$^+$*) was significantly altered in
somatic knockout crosses compared to control crosses. Male and female
progeny were analyzed separately because they differ in the number of copies of
the endogenous *sloth1-sloth2* loci on the X-chromosome. Data was analyzed
using Excel and Prism.
945
Mutant and genomic rescue crosses were performed by crossing *mutant/FM7-*
GFP females to genomic rescue constructs or *attP40* as a negative control.
mutant/Y hemizygous male progeny were analyzed for phenotypes.
Quantification of viability was performed by counting the number of *mutant/Y* vs
FM7GFP male progeny. Gal4/UAS rescue crosses were performed by crossing
mutant/FM7-GFP;; da-Gal4 females to *UAS-X* lines. Additionally, *Gal4-KI/FM7-*
GFP females were crossed to UAS-X. Rare *sloth1*-KO, *sloth2-KO, dKO,* and
Gal4-KI hemizygous adult males normally die by sticking to the fly food after they
eclose. To collect these rare mutants for further analysis (scutellar bristle images,
climbing assays), we inverted progeny vials so that mutant adults fell onto the dry
cotton plug once they eclose.
957
Overexpression crosses were performed by crossing *tub-Gal4/TM3* females to
UAS-X lines. *tub-Gal4/UAS-X* progeny were analyzed for phenotypes.
Quantification of viability was performed by counting the number females and
males with and without *TM3*. A Chi-square test was used to determine if the ratio
of non-balancer flies (*TM3$^-$*) to balancer flies (*TM3$^+$*) was significantly altered in

overexpression crosses compared to control crosses. Data was analyzed using
Excel and Prism.
965
966 **Cell culture**
967
Drosophila S2R+ cells (YANAGAWA *et al.* 1998), or S2R+ cells stably expressing
Cas9 and a mCherry protein trap in *Clic* (known as PT5/Cas9) (VISWANATHA *et al.*
2018), were cultured at 25°C using Schneider's media (21720-024,
ThermoFisher) with 10% FBS (A3912, Sigma) and 50 U/ml penicillin strep
(15070-063, ThermoFisher). S2R+ cells were transfected using Effectene
(301427, Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions.
974
For generating stable cell lines, S2R+ cells were seeded in 6-well plates and
transfected with *pMK33* expression plasmids (see Supplemental File 3). *pMK33*
derived plasmids contain a Hygromycin resistance gene and a *Metallothionein*
promoter to induce gene expression. After 4 days, transfected cells were
selected with 200µg/ml Hygromycin in Schneider's medium for approximately 1
month. For induction of gene expression, cells were cultured with 500 µM CuSO4
in Schneider's medium for 16hrs for Seahorse and pulldown/MS experiments, or
indefinitely for viability experiments.
983
For generating KO cell lines, S2R+Cas9 cells were transfected with *tub-GFP*
plasmid (gift of Steve Cohen) and an sgRNA-expressing plasmid (*pCFD4-*
sloth1[attP40] (aka JAB203), *pCFD4-sloth2[attP40]* (aka GP01169), or *pCFD4-*
sloth1-sloth2[attP40] (aka JAB205, for dKO)). 48hrs after transfection, cells were
resuspended in fresh media, triturated to break up cell clumps, and pipetted into
a cell straining FACS tube (352235 Corning). Single GFP+ cells were sorted into
single wells of a 96 well plate containing 50% conditioned media using an Aria-
594 instrument at the Harvard Medical School Division of Immunology's Flow
Cytometry Facility. Once colonies were visible by eye (3-4 weeks), they were
expanded and analyzed by PCR and Sanger sequencing.
994
For quantification of S2R+ cell viability, CellTiter-Glo (Promega, G7570) was
used following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, wild-type S2R+ cells, or
stable S2R+ cells, were seeded into opaque bottom 96 well plates at 10,000
cells/well and CuSO4 was added at 500µM final concentration. After five days of
incubation at 25°C, 50µl of CellTiter-Glo reagent was added to each well,
incubated for 10min on an orbital shaker, and luminescence recorded (Molecular
Devices Spectramax Paradigm). N=8 wells per condition. Significance as
calculated using a T-Test.
1003
For co-immunoprecipitation experiments, S2R+ cells were transfected in 6-well
dishes. Three days after transfection, CuSO4 was added at 500µM (to induce
expression from *pMK33* plasmids), Four days after transfection, cells were
resuspended and centrifuged at 150g for 10min. Cell pellets were washed once
with 1x PBS and re-centrifuged. Cell pellets were lysed by resuspending in 500µl

IP Lysis Buffer (Pierce 87788) and allowing to sit on ice for 20min. Cell lysates
were centrifuged at 12,000g at 4°C for 10min. Each supernatant was transferred
to a new tube and incubated with either 40µl anti-FLAG agarose beads (Sigma-
Aldrich M8823) or 40µl magnetic streptavidin beads (Pierce 88817) for 4hr at
4°C. Beads were washed three times in lysis buffer and boiled in 2x SDS Sample
Buffer (anti-FLAG pulldowns) or 3x SDS Sample Buffer with 2mM biotin and
20mM DTT (streptavidin pulldowns) for analysis by western blotting.
1016
For large-scale pull-down experiments, we followed a previously described
protocol (YANG AND VERAKSA 2017) with some modifications. Briefly, each cell line
(S2R+, MT-BFP-SBP, MT-Sloth1-SBP, MT-Sloth2-SBP) was grown in T175
flasks (30ml). 16hr before collection, CuSO4 was added at 70µM. Cells were
pelleted at 500g for 5min at 4°C, washed with 1x cold PBS, and re-centrifuged.
Cell pellets were lysed in 1ml lysis buffer (50mM Tris pH 7.5, 5% Glycerol, .2%
IGEPAL, 1.5mM MgCl2, 125mM NaCl, 25mM NaF, 1mM Na3VO4, 1mM DTT, 1x
Halt Protease Inhibitor Pierce 87786) by pipetting up and down and incubated on
ice for 20min. Cell lysates were centrifuged at 14,000g for 15min at 4°C.
Supernatants were filtered (.45µm) and incubated with 300µl streptavidin beads
for 3 hours at 4°C rotating. Using a magnetic stand (Bio-Rad 1614916), beads
were collected and washed with lysis buffer five times. Proteins were eluted by
suspending beads in 100µl 2mM biotin solution (1:100 dilution of 200mM biotin in
2 M NH4OH, diluted in lysis buffer) and incubating on ice for 5min. Using a
magnetic stand, the elution was transferred to a new tube. Elutions were
repeated two additional times to result in 300µl eluted proteins for each sample.
Eluted proteins were isolated by TCA precipitation and submitted for analysis by
mass spectrometry at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Mass
Spectrometry Facility. SAINT analysis (CHOI et al. 2011) was used to define
significant proteomic hits as having a score greater than 0.8.
1037
To assay protein secretion in cell culture media, S2R+ cells were transfected with
Act-Gal4 (Y. Hiromi) and a UAS-X-sfGFP plasmid in 24-well plates. Four days
after transfection, 100µl media was gently transferred to a centrifuge tube. The
remaining cells were resuspended, pelleted at 150g for 10min at 4°C, washed
once with 1xPBS, re-centrifuged, and lysed by boiling in 100µl 2x SDS Sample
Buffer. 100µl media was centrifuged at 100g for 10min at 4°C and 50µl of
supernatant was transferred to a new centrifuge tube, mixed with 50µl 4x SDS
Sample Buffer, and boiled for 10min.
1046
To measure mitochondrial respiration in S2R+ cells, we performed a Mito Stress
Test on a Seahorse XFe96 Analyzer (Agilent, 103015-100). 50,000 cells were
seeded into Seahorse XF96 tissue culture microplates and incubated at 25°C
overnight. 1hr before analysis, cell culture media was replaced with serum-free
Schneider's media and drugs were loaded into the Seahorse XFe96 Sensor
Cartridge (Final concentrations: Oligomycin 1µM, Bam15 .5µM, 1µM
Antimyzin/Rotenone "R/A"). Seahorse analysis was performed at room
temperature. Mitochondrial respiration recordings were normalized to cell number

using CyQUANT (Thermo Fisher C7026) fluorescence on a plate reader. Data
analysis was performed using Seahorse Wave Desktop Software 2.6, Excel, and
Prism. N=6 wells for each condition. Significance was calculated using a T-Test.
1058
To measure *MT-sloth1-RLuc* reporter expression, S2R+ cells were transfected in
white opaque-bottom 96 well plates with *MT-sloth1-RLuc* (or derivatives) and
MT-FLuc (Firefly Luciferase) (ZHOU *et al.* 2008) as an internal control. Briefly, to
each well, 10ng of plasmid mix was added, then 10µl Enhancer mix (.8µl
Enhancer + 9.2µl EC buffer), and was incubated for 2-5min at room temperature.
20µl of Effectene mix (2.5µl Effectene + 17.5µl EC buffer) was added and
incubated for 5-10min at room temperature. 150µl of S2R+ cells (at 3.3x10^5
cells/ml) was added gently to each well and incubated at 25°C. After 3 days
incubation, 200µM CuSO4 was added. After 24 hours incubation, media was
gently removed from the wells by pipetting and cell luminescence was measured
using the Dual-Glo assay (Promega E2920). Two luminescence normalizations
were performed. First, for each sample, Renilla luminescence was normalized to
Firefly luminescence (Rluc/Fluc). Next, Rluc/Fluc ratios for each sample were
normalized to Rluc/Fluc ratios for wild-type *MT-sloth1-RLuc* (aka fold change
Rluc/Fluc to WT). For each genotype, N=4. Significance was calculated using a
T-test. Data was analyzed using Excel and Prism.
1075
1076   **Western blotting**
1077
Protein or cell samples were denatured in 2x SDS Sample buffer (100mM Tris-
CL pH 6.8, 4% SDS, .2% bromophenol blue, 20% glycerol, .58 M β-
mercaptoethanol) by boiling for 10 min. Denatured proteins and Pageruler
Prestained Protein Ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific 26616) were loaded into 4–
20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX gels (Biorad 4561096) and ran at 100-200V in a Mini-
PROTEAN Tetra Vertical Electrophoresis Cell (Biorad 1658004) using running
buffer (25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS, pH 8.3). Proteins were
transferred to Immobilon-FL PVDF (Millipore IPFL00010) in transfer buffer (25
mM Tris, 192 mM glycine) using a Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Biorad
1704150) (Standard SD program). Resulting blots were incubated in TBST (1x
TBS + .1% Tween20) for 20min on an orbital shaker, blocked in 5% non-fat milk
in TBST, and incubated with primary antibody diluted in blocking solution
overnight at 4°C. Blots were washed with TBST and incubated in secondary
antibody in blocking solution for 4 hours at room temperature. Blots were washed
in TBST before detection of proteins. HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies
were visualized using ECL (34580, ThermoFisher). Blots were imaged on a
ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (BioRad)**.**
1095
For western blots from larval brains, 3rd instar larval brains were dissected in ice
cold PBS buffer with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. 10 brains per
genotype were homogenized in RIPA buffer and protein concentration was
measured by BCA assay (Thermo Fischer, 23227). Equal amounts of protein
samples were mixed with 1X Sample buffer (BioRad, 161-0747), boiled for 5 min,

and loaded into 4-20% Mini-PROTEAN® TGX gel (Bio-Rad). Gels were then
transferred to nitrocellulose membranes using Bio-Rad Trans-Blot SD Semi-Dry
Transfer system. Western blots using anti-Hsp60 likely recognize Hsp60A, as
opposed to Hsp60B/C/D, because only Hsp60A is expressed in the larval brain
(flyrnai.org/tools/dget/web).
1106
Antibodies used for western blotting: rat anti-HA (1:2000, Roche 11867423001),
mouse anti-FLAG (1:1000, Sigma F1804), mouse anti-SBP (1:1000, Santa Cruz
sc-101595), mouse anti-a-Tubulin (1:20000, Sigma T5168), rabbit anti-GFP
(1:5000, Invitrogen A-6455), mouse anti-Cherry (1:3000, Abcam ab167453),
rabbit anti-Hsp60 antibody (Abcam ab46798), mouse anti-actin C4 (MP
Biomedicals 08691002), anti-mouse HRP (1:3000, NXA931, Amersham), anti-rat
HRP (1:3000, Jackson 112-035-062), anti-rabbit HRP (1:3000, Amersham
NA934)
1115
1116 **Molecular biology**
1117
S2R+ cell genomic DNA was isolated using QuickExtract (QE09050, Lucigen).
Fly genomic DNA was isolated by grinding a single fly in 50µl squishing buffer
(10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.2, 1 mM EDTA, 25 mM NaCl) with 200µg/ml Proteinase K
(3115879001, Roche), incubating at 37°C for 30 min, and 95°C for 2 minutes.
PCR was performed using Taq polymerase (TAKR001C, ClonTech) when
running DNA fragments on a gel, and Phusion polymerase (M-0530, NEB) was
used when DNA fragments were sequenced or used for molecular cloning. DNA
fragments were run on a 1% agarose gel for imaging or purified on QIAquick
columns (28115, Qiagen) for sequencing analysis. Sanger sequencing was
performed at the DF/HCC DNA Resource Core facility and chromatograms were
analyzed using Lasergene 13 software (DNASTAR).
1129
For RT-qPCR analysis of *sloth1-sloth2* RNAi knockdown, *da-Gal4* was crossed
with *attP40* or *UAS-shRNA* and ten 3rd instar larvae progeny of each genotype
were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen larvae were homogenized in 600µl
Trizol (Invitrogen 15596026) and RNA extracted using a Direct-zol RNA Miniprep
kit (Zymo Research, R2050). cDNA was generated using the iScript Reverse
Transcription Supermix (BioRad 1708840). cDNA was analyzed by RT-qPCR
using iQ SYBR Green Supermix (BioRad 170-8880). qPCR primer sequences
are listed in Supplemental File 2. Each qPCR reaction was performed with two
biological replicates, with three technical replicates each. Data was analyzed
using Bio-Rad CFX Manager, Excel, and Prism. Data from *sloth1-sloth2* specific
primers were normalized to primers that amplify *GAPDH* and *Rp49*. Statistical
significance was calculated using a T-Test.
Bioinformatic analysis
1143
Protein similarity between fly and human Sloth1 and Sloth2 orthologs was
determined using BLASTP (blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) by defining the percent amino
acid identity between all four comparisons. Homologs in other organisms and

their gene structure were identified using a combination of BLASTP, Ensembl
(www.ensembl.org), HomoloGene (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/homologene), and
DIOPT (www.flyrnai.org/diopt). Protein accession numbers: Human *SMIM4*
NP_001118239.1, Human *C12orf73* NP_001129042.1, Mouse *SMIM4*
NP_001295020.1, Mouse *C12orf73 homolog* NP_001129039.1, Zebrafish
SMIM4 NP_001289975.1, Zebrafish *C12orf73 homolog* NP_001129045.1,
Lamprey *SMIM4* XP_032827557.1, Lamprey *C12orf73 homolog*
XP_032827559.1, *D.melanogaster CG32736* NP_727152.1, *D.melanogaster*
CG42308 NP_001138171.1, *Arabidopsis AT5G57080* NP_200518.1, *Arabidopsis*
AT4G26055 NP_001119059.1, *Plasmodium PF3D7_0709800* XP_002808771.1,
Choanoflagellate (*Salpingoeca urceolata*) *m.92763* (RICHTER *et al.* 2018),
Choanoflagellate (*Salpingoeca urceolata*) *sloth2* homolog is unannotated but
present in comp15074_c0_seq2 (RICHTER *et al.* 2018). Sea squirt (*C. intestinalis*)
sloth1 and *sloth2* homologs are unannotated but present in *LOC100183920*
XM_018812254.2. Genomic sequences for *sloth1/2* ORFs in *D.melanogaster*,
Lamprey, Choanoflagellate, and Sea squirt are shown in Supplemental File 1.
1163
Amino acid sequence of fly and human Sloth1⁄Sloth2 were analyzed for
predicted domains using the following programs: TargetP 2.0
(www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP), DeepLoc
(http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/DeepLoc/), PSORT (https://wolfpsort.hgc.jp/),
Busca (http://busca.biocomp.unibo.it/), MitoFates
(http://mitf.cbrc.jp/MitoFates/cgi-bin/top.cgi), iPSORT (http://ipsort.hgc.jp/),
MitoProtII (https://ihg.gsf.de/ihg/mitoprot.html), DeepMito
(http://busca.biocomp.unibo.it/deepmito/), PrediSi (http://www.predisi.de/),
Phobius (http://phobius.sbc.su.se/), SignalP-5.0,
(http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/), TMHMM 2.0
(http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/)
1175
1176 Amino acid sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega
1177 (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/) and visualized using Jalview
1178 (https://www.jalview.org/).
1179
1180 **Imaging**
1181
1182 For imaging adult scutellar bristles, adult flies were frozen overnight and
1183 dissected to remove their legs and abdomen. Dissected adults were arranged on
1184 a white surface and a focal stack was taken using a Zeiss Axio Zoom V16. Focal
1185 stacks were merged using Helicon Focus 6.2.2.
1186
For imaging larval brains, wandering 3rd instar larvae were dissected in PBS and
carcasses were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20min. Fixed carcasses were
either mounted on slides in mounting medium (see below), or permeabilized in
PBT, blocked for 1hr in 5% normal goat serum (S-1000, Vector Labs) at room
temperature, and incubated with primary antibody (anti-Elav) overnight at 4˚C,
washed with PBT, incubated with secondary antibody (anti-mouse 633) for 4hr at

room temperature, washed with PBT and PBS, and incubated in mounting media
(90% glycerol + 10% PBS) overnight at 4˚C. Larval brains were dissected from
carcasses and mounted on a glass slide under a coverslip using vectashield (H-
1000, Vector Laboratories Inc.). Images of larval brains were acquired on a Zeiss
Axio Zoom V16 or a Zeiss 780 confocal microscope. Images were processed
using Fiji software.
1199
For imaging the larval NMJ, wandering 3rd instar larvae were dissected as
previously described (BRENT *et al.* 2009). Briefly, larvae were pinned to a
Sylgard-coated (Dow 4019862) petri dish, an incision was made along their
dorsal surface, their cuticle was pinned down to flatten the body wall muscles,
and were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20min. Fixed carcasses were
permeabilized in PBT, blocked for 1hr in 5% normal goat serum (S-1000, Vector
Labs) at room temperature, and incubated with primary antibody overnight at
4˚C, washed with PBT, incubated with secondary antibody for 4hr at room
temperature, washed with PBT and PBS, and incubated in mounting media (90%
glycerol + 10% PBS) overnight at 4˚C. Whole carcasses mounted on a glass
slide under a coverslip using vectashield (H-1000, Vector Laboratories Inc.).
Images of the NMJ were acquired on a Zeiss Axio Zoom V16 or a Zeiss 780
confocal microscope. Images were taken from muscle 6/7 segment A2. Images
were processed using Fiji software. Quantification of bouton number from NMJ
stained with anti-HRP and anti-Dlg1 was performed by manual counting of
boutons in an entire NMJ for wild-type (N=8) and dKO animals (N=7). A T-test
was used to determine significance.
1217
1218 For imaging whole larvae, wandering 3rd instar larvae were washed with PBS and
1219 heat-killed for 5min on a hot slide warmer to stop movement. Larvae were
1220 imaged using a Zeiss Axio Zoom V16 fluorescence microscope.
1221
For imaging the adult brain, ~1 week old adult flies were dissected in PBS and
whole brains were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 20min. Fixed brains were
permeabilized in PBT, blocked for 1hr in 5% normal goat serum (S-1000, Vector
Labs) at room temperature, incubated with anti-HRP 647 overnight at 4˚C,
washed with PBT and PBS, and incubated in mounting media (90% glycerol +
10% PBS) overnight at 4˚C. Adult brains were mounted on glass slides under a
coverslip using vectashield (H-1000, Vector Laboratories Inc.). Images of adult
brains were acquired on a Zeiss 780 confocal microscope. Images were
processed using Fiji software.
1231
For confocal microscopy of adult photoreceptors, the proboscis was removed
and the head was pre-fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 30 min. After pre-
fixation, eyes were removed from the head and fixed an additional 15 minutes.
Fixed eyes were washed with PBS 3x for 10 min each and permeabilized in 0.3%
Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min. Permeabilized, fixed samples were blocked in 1X
PBS containing 5% normal goat serum (NGS) and 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 h
(PBT). Samples were incubated in primary antibody diluted in PBT overnight at

4°C, washed 3x with PBT, and incubated in secondary antibodies in NGS for 1hr
at room temp the next day. Following secondary antibody incubation, samples
were washed with PBS and were mounted on microscope slides using
vectashield. Samples were imaged with LSM710 confocal with 63X objective and
processed using Fiji software.
1244
S2R+ cells transfected with Sloth1-FLAG or Sloth2-FLAG were plated into wells
of a glass-bottom 384 well plate (6007558, PerkinElmer) and allowed to adhere
for 2 hours. Cells were fixed by incubating with 4% paraformaldehyde for 30min,
washed with PBS with .1% TritonX-100 (PBT) 3x 5min each, blocked in 5%
Normal Goat Serum (NGS) in PBT for 1hr at room temperature, and incubated in
primary antibodies diluted in PBT-NGS overnight at 4°C on a rocker. Wells were
washed in PBT, incubated with secondary antibodies and DAPI and washed in
PBS. Plates were imaged on an IN Cell Analyzer 6000 (GE) using a 20x or 60x
objective. Images were processed using Fiji software.
1254
List of antibodies and chemicals used for tissue staining: rat anti-Elav (1:50,
DSHB, 7E8A10), goat anti-HRP 647 (1:400, Jackson Immunoresearch, 123-605-
021), mouse anti-ATP5α (1:500, Abcam, ab14748), DAPI (1:1000, Thermo
Fisher, D1306), rabbit anti-FLAG (1:1000, Sigma, F7425), mouse anti-FasII
(1:25, DSHB, 1D4), mouse anti-brp (1:25, DSHB, nc82), mouse anti-Dlg1 (1:250,
DSHB, 4F3), anti-mouse 633 (1:500, A-21052, Molecular Probes), mouse
monoclonal anti-Rh1 (1:50, DSHB 4C5), Phalloidin conjugated with
Alexa 488 (1:250, Invitrogen A12379).
1263
1264 **Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of adult photoreceptors**
1265
TEM of *Drosophila* adult retinae were performed following standard electron
microscopy procedures using a Ted Pella Bio Wave processing microwave with
vacuum attachments. Briefly, whole heads were dissected in accordance to
preserve the brain tissue. The tissue was covered in 2% paraformaldehyde, 2.5%
Glutaraldehyde, in 0.1 M Sodium Cacodylate buffer at pH 7.2. After dissection,
the heads were incubated for 48hrs in the fixative on a rotator at 4°C. The pre-
fixed heads were washed with 3X millipore water followed by secondary fixation
with 1% aqueous osmium tetroxide, and rinsed again 3X with millipore water. To
dehydrate the samples, concentrations from 25%–100% of Ethanol were used,
followed by Propylene Oxide (PO) incubation. Dehydrated samples are infiltrated
with gradual resin:PO concentrations followed by overnight infiltration with pure
resin. The samples were embedded into flat silicone molds and cured in the oven
at 62°C for 3-5 days, depending on the atmospheric humidity. The polymerized
samples were thin-sectioned at 48-50 nm and stained with 1% uranyl acetate for
14 minutes followed by 2.5% lead citrate for two minutes before TEM
examination. Retina were viewed in a JEOL JEM 1010 transmission electron
microscope at 80kV. Images were captured using an AMT XR-16 mid-mount 16
mega-pixel digital camera in Sigma mode. Three animals per genotype per
condition were used for TEM. At least 30 photoreceptors were used for organelle

quantifications. Quantification of photoreceptor number, number of aberrant
photoreceptors, and number of mitochondria per photoreceptor, was performed
in Prism. Significance was calculated using a T-Test.
1288
1289   **Electrical recordings**
1290
Intracellular Recording from Larval NMJ
3rd instar larval NMJ recordings were performed as described previously (UGUR
et al. 2017). Briefly, free moving larvae are dissected in HL3.1 buffer without
Ca^{2+}. Recordings were performed by stimulating the segmental nerve innervating
a hemisegment A3, Muscle 6/7 through a glass capillary electrode filled with
HL3.1 with 0.75 mM Ca^{2+}. There were no differences in input resistance, time
constant т, and resting membrane potential among different genotypes tested.
Repetitive stimulations were performed at 10Hz and were reported relative to the
first excitatory junction potential (EJP). Data were processed with Mini Analysis
Program by Synaptosoft, Clampfit, and Excel. At least 5 animals were used per
each genotype per essay. Significance was calculated using a T-Test.
1302
Electroretinograms (ERGs)
ERGs were recorded according to (JAISWAL *et al.* 2015). Briefly, flies were
immobilized on a glass slide with glue. Glass recording electrodes, filled with 100
mM NaCl, were placed on the surface of the eye to record field potential. Another
electrode placed on the humerals served as a grounding electrode. Before
recording ERGs, flies were adjusted to darkness for three minutes. Their
response to light was measured in 1sec. intervals for 30 sec. To test if the flies
can recover from repetitive stimulation, we recorded ERGs after 30 sec. and
1min constant darkness following repetitive stimulation. Data were processed
with AXON-pCLAMP8.1. At least 6 animals were used per each genotype per
essay. Significance was calculated using a T-Test.
1314
1315   **Measurement of ATP levels from larvae**
1316
Ten 3rd instar larvae were snap frozen with liquid nitrogen in a 1.5 mL centrifuge
tube. Following freezing, samples were homogenized in 100 µl of 6 M guanidine-
HCl in extraction buffer (100 mM Tris and 4 mM EDTA, pH 7.8) to inhibit
ATPases, and boiled for 3 min. The samples were centrifuged to remove cuticle.
Supernatant was serially diluted with extraction buffer and protein concentration
was measured using a BCA kit (Thermo Fischer, 23227). For each genotype,
ATP levels were measured from equal protein amounts using an Invitrogen ATP
detection kit (Invitrogen, A22066) according to their protocol. N=3 experiments,
biological triplicates per genotype per experiment. Significance was calculated
using a T-Test.
1327
1328   **Supplemental Information titles and legends**
1329

Supplemental Figure 1: Related to Figure 2. A. Extended gene structure of *sloth1* and *sloth2* and genetic reagents. **B.** Sequence analysis of KO, dKO, and Gal4-KI alleles. **C.** (Left) Diagram of HDR knock-in of Gal4 into the *sloth1-sloth2* locus. (Right) DNA gel confirming Gal4 knock-in by PCR primers that flank the homology arms. Expected DNA fragment size in parenthesis.

Supplemental Figure 2. Related to Figure 4. Traces of electrical recordings from 3rd instar larval NMJ in *dKO*, and *dKO+genomic rescue* animals. Graph on right is a quantification of the excitatory junction potential (EJP) for indicated genotypes. Significance was calculated with a T-Test compared to the *yw* control sample. Error bars show mean with SD. N ≥ 5 larvae per genotype.

Supplemental Figure 3. Related to Figure 5. Confocal microscopy images of 3rd instar larval NMJ at muscle 6/7 segment A2. Antibodies or fluorescent proteins (green) mark synaptic components and anti-HRP (red) marks neurons. Comparison of wild-type to dKO. Graph shows quantification of synaptic bouton number by anti-Dlg1 staining. Significance of dKO bouton number was calculated with a T-test compared to WT. Error bars show mean with SD. N ≥ 7 NMJs (each from a different animal).

Supplemental Figure 4. Related to Figure 5. A-C. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of sectioned adult eye photoreceptors from indicated genetic backgrounds with accompanying quantification of photoreceptor number and aberrant photoreceptors. Scalebar is 2µm. Filled red arrows indicate dead or dying photoreceptors. Open red arrows indicate unhealthy photoreceptors. Error bars show mean with SD. **A.** Animals were 4 weeks old and raised in a 12hr light/dark cycle. **B.** Animals were 1-3 days old and raised in a 12hr light/dark cycle. **C.** Animals were 4 weeks old and raised in the dark.

Supplemental Figure 5. Related to Figure 5. Confocal microscopy of adult eye photoreceptors stained with phalloidin (green) and anti-Rh1 (red). Animals were 4 weeks old and raised in the dark. Arrows indicate photoreceptors with higher levels of Rh1.

Supplemental Figure 6. Related to Figure 6. A-B. Western analysis of cell culture media or cell pellets from transfected S2R+ cells. *Act-Gal4* was co-transfected with indicated *UAS*-plasmids. **A.** Secretion analysis of Sloth1. **B.** Secretion analysis of Sloth2.

Supplemental Figure 7. Related to Figure 7. A. Sequence analysis of single KO S2R+ clones for *sloth1* (clone 2F8) and *sloth2* (clone 3A7). sgRNA and PAM site indicated by grey boxes. **B.** PCR genotyping of four independently derived single cell dKO S2R+ clones. **C-D.** Seahorse mitochondrial stress test quantification of **C.** ATP production and **D.** Proton leak. Significance of KO lines was calculated with a T-test compared to S2R+. Error bars show mean with SD. ** P≤0.01, *** P≤0.001, **** P≤0.0001. N=6 for each genotype. **E.** Confocal

1376 images of 3rd instar larval ventral nerve cord (VNC), axon bundles, and
1377 neuromuscular junction (NMJ). *MN-Gal4 UAS-mitoGFP* (*MN>mitoGFP*) (GFP)
1378 expresses mitochondrial-localized GFP in motor neurons. Neurons are stained
1379 with anti-HRP (magenta).
1380
1381 **Supplemental Figure 8. Related to Figure 7. A-B.** TEM images of sectioned
1382 adult photoreceptors. **A.** Adult flies are 4 weeks old and raised on a 12hr
1383 light/dark cycle. Mitochondria are indicated with red dots. **B.** Adult flies are 3 days
1384 old and raised in a 12hr light/dark cycle.
1385
1386 **Supplemental Figure 9. Related to Figure 8. A-B.** Seahorse mitochondrial
1387 stress test quantification of Figure 8D. Significance of OE lines was calculated
1388 with a T-test compared to S2R+. Error bars show mean with SD. ****P≤0.0001.
1389 N=6 for each genotype. **A.** ATP production and **B.** Proton leak.
1390
1391 **Supplemental File 1.** Genomic sequence of *sloth1-sloth2* homologs in *D.*
1392 *melanogaster*, *S. urceolata*, *P. marinus*, and *C. intestinalis*
1393
1394 **Supplemental File 2.** Oligo and dsDNA sequenences
1395
1396 **Supplemental File 3.** Gateway cloning plasmid list
1397
1398 **References:**
1399

Alloway, P. G., L. Howard and P. J. Dolph, 2000 The formation of stable rhodopsin-
arrestin complexes induces apoptosis and photoreceptor cell degeneration.
Neuron 28**:** 129-138.
Anderson, D. M., K. M. Anderson, C. L. Chang, C. A. Makarewich, B. R. Nelson *et al.*,
2015 A micropeptide encoded by a putative long noncoding RNA regulates
muscle performance. Cell 160**:** 595-606.
Basrai, M. A., P. Hieter and J. D. Boeke, 1997 Small open reading frames: beautiful
needles in the haystack. Genome Res 7**:** 768-771.
Bergendahl, L. T., L. Gerasimavicius, J. Miles, L. Macdonald, J. N. Wells *et al.*, 2019 The
role of protein complexes in human genetic disease. Protein Sci 28**:** 1400-
1411.
Bi, P., A. Ramirez-Martinez, H. Li, J. Cannavino, J. R. McAnally *et al.*, 2017 Control of
muscle formation by the fusogenic micropeptide myomixer. Science 356**:**
323-327.
Blumenthal, T., 2004 Operons in eukaryotes. Brief Funct Genomic Proteomic 3**:** 199-
211.
Bosch, J. A., N. H. Tran and I. K. Hariharan, 2015 CoinFLP: a system for efficient
mosaic screening and for visualizing clonal boundaries in Drosophila.
Development 142**:** 597-606.
Brand, A. H., and N. Perrimon, 1993 Targeted gene expression as a means of altering
cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. Development 118**:** 401-415.

Brent, J. R., K. M. Werner and B. D. McCabe, 2009 Drosophila larval NMJ dissection. J
Vis Exp.
Calvo, S. E., K. R. Clauser and V. K. Mootha, 2016 MitoCarta2.0: an updated inventory
of mammalian mitochondrial proteins. Nucleic Acids Res 44**:** D1251-1257.
Casson, S. A., P. M. Chilley, J. F. Topping, I. M. Evans, M. A. Souter *et al.*, 2002 The
POLARIS gene of Arabidopsis encodes a predicted peptide required for
correct root growth and leaf vascular patterning. Plant Cell 14**:** 1705-1721.
Cavener, D. R., 1987 Comparison of the consensus sequence flanking translational
start sites in Drosophila and vertebrates. Nucleic Acids Res 15**:** 1353-1361.
Chacinska, A., C. M. Koehler, D. Milenkovic, T. Lithgow and N. Pfanner, 2009
Importing mitochondrial proteins: machineries and mechanisms. Cell 138**:**
628-644.
Chen, J., A. D. Brunner, J. Z. Cogan, J. K. Nunez, A. P. Fields *et al.*, 2020 Pervasive
functional translation of noncanonical human open reading frames. Science
367**:** 1140-1146.
Chng, S. C., L. Ho, J. Tian and B. Reversade, 2013 ELABELA: a hormone essential for
heart development signals via the apelin receptor. Dev Cell 27**:** 672-680.
Choi, H., B. Larsen, Z. Y. Lin, A. Breitkreutz, D. Mellacheruvu *et al.*, 2011 SAINT:
probabilistic scoring of affinity purification-mass spectrometry data. Nat
Methods 8**:** 70-73.
Chugunova, A., E. Loseva, P. Mazin, A. Mitina, T. Navalayeu *et al.*, 2019 LINC00116
codes for a mitochondrial peptide linking respiration and lipid metabolism.
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 116**:** 4940-4945.
Clark-Adams, C. D., D. Norris, M. A. Osley, J. S. Fassler and F. Winston, 1988 Changes
in histone gene dosage alter transcription in yeast. Genes Dev 2**:** 150-159.
Couso, J. P., and P. Patraquim, 2017 Classification and function of small open reading
frames. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 18**:** 575-589.
Crosby, M. A., L. S. Gramates, G. Dos Santos, B. B. Matthews, S. E. St Pierre *et al.*, 2015
Gene Model Annotations for Drosophila melanogaster: The Rule-Benders. G3
(Bethesda) 5**:** 1737-1749.
Delanoue, R., E. Meschi, N. Agrawal, A. Mauri, Y. Tsatskis *et al.*, 2016 Drosophila
insulin release is triggered by adipose Stunted ligand to brain Methuselah
receptor. Science 353**:** 1553-1556.
Fricker, L. D., 2005 Neuropeptide-processing enzymes: applications for drug
discovery. AAPS J 7**:** E449-455.
Galindo, M. I., J. I. Pueyo, S. Fouix, S. A. Bishop and J. P. Couso, 2007 Peptides encoded
by short ORFs control development and define a new eukaryotic gene family.
PLoS Biol 5**:** e106.
Golpich, M., E. Amini, Z. Mohamed, R. Azman Ali, N. Mohamed Ibrahim *et al.*, 2017
Mitochondrial Dysfunction and Biogenesis in Neurodegenerative diseases:
Pathogenesis and Treatment. CNS Neurosci Ther 23**:** 5-22.
Gratz, S. J., F. P. Ukken, C. D. Rubinstein, G. Thiede, L. K. Donohue *et al.*, 2014 Highly
specific and efficient CRISPR/Cas9-catalyzed homology-directed repair in
Drosophila. Genetics 196**:** 961-971.

Guo, X., A. Chavez, A. Tung, Y. Chan, C. Kaas *et al.*, 2018 High-throughput creation
and functional profiling of DNA sequence variant libraries using CRISPR-Cas9
in yeast. Nat Biotechnol 36**:** 540-546.
Hana, A., L. Zhen-Yuan, J. Alexandre, W. Woranontee, G. Anne-Claude *et al.*, 2020 A
high-density human mitochondrial proximity interaction network. bioRxiv.
Hardie, R. C., and P. Raghu, 2001 Visual transduction in Drosophila. Nature 413**:**
186-193.
He, L., R. Binari, J. Huang, J. Falo-Sanjuan and N. Perrimon, 2019 In vivo study of
gene expression with an enhanced dual-color fluorescent transcriptional
timer. Elife 8.
Hoskins, R. A., J. M. Landolin, J. B. Brown, J. E. Sandler, H. Takahashi *et al.*, 2011
Genome-wide analysis of promoter architecture in Drosophila melanogaster.
Genome Res 21**:** 182-192.
Hsu, P. Y., and P. N. Benfey, 2018 Small but Mighty: Functional Peptides Encoded by
Small ORFs in Plants. Proteomics 18**:** e1700038.
Jaiswal, M., N. A. Haelterman, H. Sandoval, B. Xiong, T. Donti *et al.*, 2015 Impaired
Mitochondrial Energy Production Causes Light-Induced Photoreceptor
Degeneration Independent of Oxidative Stress. PLoS Biol 13**:** e1002197.
Kann, O., and R. Kovacs, 2007 Mitochondria and neuronal activity. Am J Physiol Cell
Physiol 292**:** C641-657.
Karginov, T. A., D. P. H. Pastor, B. L. Semler and C. M. Gomez, 2017 Mammalian
Polycistronic mRNAs and Disease. Trends Genet 33**:** 129-142.
Katsir, L., K. A. Davies, D. C. Bergmann and T. Laux, 2011 Peptide signaling in plant
development. Curr Biol 21**:** R356-364.
Koob, S., M. Barrera, R. Anand and A. S. Reichert, 2015 The non-glycosylated isoform
of MIC26 is a constituent of the mammalian MICOS complex and promotes
formation of crista junctions. Biochim Biophys Acta 1853**:** 1551-1563.
Kuhlbrandt, W., 2015 Structure and function of mitochondrial membrane protein
complexes. BMC Biol 13**:** 89.
Kumar, S., Y. Yoshida and M. Noda, 1993 Cloning of a cDNA which encodes a novel
ubiquitin-like protein. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 195**:** 393-399.
Liu, X., K. Salokas, F. Tamene, Y. Jiu, R. G. Weldatsadik *et al.*, 2018 An AP-MS- and
BioID-compatible MAC-tag enables comprehensive mapping of protein
interactions and subcellular localizations. Nat Commun 9**:** 1188.
Magny, E. G., J. I. Pueyo, F. M. Pearl, M. A. Cespedes, J. E. Niven *et al.*, 2013 Conserved
regulation of cardiac calcium uptake by peptides encoded in small open
reading frames. Science 341**:** 1116-1120.
Makarewich, C. A., K. K. Baskin, A. Z. Munir, S. Bezprozvannaya, G. Sharma *et al.*,
2018 MOXI Is a Mitochondrial Micropeptide That Enhances Fatty Acid beta-
Oxidation. Cell Rep 23**:** 3701-3709.
Nelson, B. R., C. A. Makarewich, D. M. Anderson, B. R. Winders, C. D. Troupes *et al.*,
2016 A peptide encoded by a transcript annotated as long noncoding RNA
enhances SERCA activity in muscle. Science 351**:** 271-275.
Ni, J. Q., R. Zhou, B. Czech, L. P. Liu, L. Holderbaum *et al.*, 2011 A genome-scale shRNA
resource for transgenic RNAi in Drosophila. Nat Methods 8**:** 405-407.

Nickless, A., J. M. Bailis and Z. You, 2017 Control of gene expression through the
nonsense-mediated RNA decay pathway. Cell Biosci 7**:** 26.
Papp, B., C. Pal and L. D. Hurst, 2003 Dosage sensitivity and the evolution of gene
families in yeast. Nature 424**:** 194-197.
Pauli, A., M. L. Norris, E. Valen, G. L. Chew, J. A. Gagnon *et al.*, 2014 Toddler: an
embryonic signal that promotes cell movement via Apelin receptors. Science
343**:** 1248636.
Pearson, R. K., B. Anderson and J. E. Dixon, 1993 Molecular biology of the peptide
hormone families. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 22**:** 753-774.
Pellegrino, M. W., A. M. Nargund and C. M. Haynes, 2013 Signaling the mitochondrial
unfolded protein response. Biochim Biophys Acta 1833**:** 410-416.
Perkins, L. A., L. Holderbaum, R. Tao, Y. Hu, R. Sopko *et al.*, 2015 The Transgenic
RNAi Project at Harvard Medical School: Resources and Validation. Genetics
201**:** 843-852.
Plaza, S., G. Menschaert and F. Payre, 2017 In Search of Lost Small Peptides. Annu
Rev Cell Dev Biol 33**:** 391-416.
Port, F., H. M. Chen, T. Lee and S. L. Bullock, 2014 Optimized CRISPR/Cas tools for
efficient germline and somatic genome engineering in Drosophila. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 111**:** E2967-2976.
Prelich, G., 2012 Gene overexpression: uses, mechanisms, and interpretation.
Genetics 190**:** 841-854.
Pueyo, J. I., E. G. Magny, C. J. Sampson, U. Amin, I. R. Evans *et al.*, 2016 Hemotin, a
Regulator of Phagocytosis Encoded by a Small ORF and Conserved across
Metazoans. PLoS Biol 14**:** e1002395.
Richter, D. J., P. Fozouni, M. B. Eisen and N. King, 2018 Gene family innovation,
conservation and loss on the animal stem lineage. Elife 7.
Saghatelian, A., and J. P. Couso, 2015 Discovery and characterization of smORF-
encoded bioactive polypeptides. Nat Chem Biol 11**:** 909-916.
Sandoval, H., C. K. Yao, K. Chen, M. Jaiswal, T. Donti *et al.*, 2014 Mitochondrial fusion
but not fission regulates larval growth and synaptic development through
steroid hormone production. Elife 3.
Savojardo, C., N. Bruciaferri, G. Tartari, P. L. Martelli and R. Casadio, 2020 DeepMito:
accurate prediction of protein sub-mitochondrial localization using
convolutional neural networks. Bioinformatics 36**:** 56-64.
Snyder, S. H., and R. B. Innis, 1979 Peptide neurotransmitters. Annu Rev Biochem
48**:** 755-782.
Sopko, R., D. Huang, N. Preston, G. Chua, B. Papp *et al.*, 2006 Mapping pathways and
phenotypes by systematic gene overexpression. Mol Cell 21**:** 319-330.
Stapleton, M., J. Carlson, P. Brokstein, C. Yu, M. Champe *et al.*, 2002 A Drosophila full-
length cDNA resource. Genome Biol 3**:** RESEARCH0080.
Stein, C. S., P. Jadiya, X. Zhang, J. M. McLendon, G. M. Abouassaly *et al.*, 2018
Mitoregulin: A lncRNA-Encoded Microprotein that Supports Mitochondrial
Supercomplexes and Respiratory Efficiency. Cell Rep 23**:** 3710-3720 e3718.
Stojanovski, D., M. Bohnert, N. Pfanner and M. van der Laan, 2012 Mechanisms of
protein sorting in mitochondria. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 4.

Suzuki, K., T. Hashimoto and E. Otaka, 1990 Yeast ribosomal proteins: XI. Molecular
analysis of two genes encoding YL41, an extremely small and basic ribosomal
protein, from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Curr Genet 17**:** 185-190.
Szklarczyk, R., M. A. Huynen and B. Snel, 2008 Complex fate of paralogs. BMC Evol
Biol 8**:** 337.
Taylor, J. S., and J. Raes, 2004 Duplication and divergence: the evolution of new
genes and old ideas. Annu Rev Genet 38**:** 615-643.
Thompson, S. R., 2012 So you want to know if your message has an IRES? Wiley
Interdiscip Rev RNA 3**:** 697-705.
Thul, P. J., L. Akesson, M. Wiking, D. Mahdessian, A. Geladaki *et al.*, 2017 A
subcellular map of the human proteome. Science 356.
Trevisan, T., D. Pendin, A. Montagna, S. Bova, A. M. Ghelli *et al.*, 2018 Manipulation of
Mitochondria Dynamics Reveals Separate Roles for Form and Function in
Mitochondria Distribution. Cell Rep 23**:** 1742-1753.
Ugur, B., H. Bao, M. Stawarski, L. R. Duraine, Z. Zuo *et al.*, 2017 The Krebs Cycle
Enzyme Isocitrate Dehydrogenase 3A Couples Mitochondrial Metabolism to
Synaptic Transmission. Cell Rep 21**:** 3794-3806.
Usui, S., L. Yu and C. A. Yu, 1990 The small molecular mass ubiquinone-binding
protein (QPc-9.5 kDa) in mitochondrial ubiquinol-cytochrome c reductase:
isolation, ubiquinone-binding domain, and immunoinhibition. Biochemistry
29**:** 4618-4626.
Van Der Kelen, K., R. Beyaert, D. Inze and L. De Veylder, 2009 Translational control
of eukaryotic gene expression. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 44**:** 143-168.
Veitia, R. A., S. Bottani and J. A. Birchler, 2008 Cellular reactions to gene dosage
imbalance: genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic effects. Trends Genet 24**:**
390-397.
Verstreken, P., C. V. Ly, K. J. Venken, T. W. Koh, Y. Zhou *et al.*, 2005 Synaptic
mitochondria are critical for mobilization of reserve pool vesicles at
Drosophila neuromuscular junctions. Neuron 47**:** 365-378.
Viswanatha, R., Z. Li, Y. Hu and N. Perrimon, 2018 Pooled genome-wide CRISPR
screening for basal and context-specific fitness gene essentiality in
Drosophila cells. Elife 7.
Wang, J. W., E. S. Beck and B. D. McCabe, 2012 A modular toolset for recombination
transgenesis and neurogenetic analysis of Drosophila. PLoS One 7**:** e42102.
Wu, C. F., and F. Wong, 1977 Frequency characteristics in the visual system of
Drosophila: genetic dissection of electroretinogram components. J Gen
Physiol 69**:** 705-724.
Xue, Z., M. Ren, M. Wu, J. Dai, Y. S. Rong *et al.*, 2014 Efficient gene knock-out and
knock-in with transgenic Cas9 in Drosophila. G3 (Bethesda) 4**:** 925-929.
Yanagawa, S., J. S. Lee and A. Ishimoto, 1998 Identification and characterization of a
novel line of Drosophila Schneider S2 cells that respond to wingless
signaling. J Biol Chem 273**:** 32353-32359.
Yang, L., and A. Veraksa, 2017 Single-Step Affinity Purification of ERK Signaling
Complexes Using the Streptavidin-Binding Peptide (SBP) Tag. Methods Mol
Biol 1487**:** 113-126.

Yeasmin, F., T. Yada and N. Akimitsu, 2018 Micropeptides Encoded in Transcripts
1601   Previously Identified as Long Noncoding RNAs: A New Chapter in
1602   Transcriptomics and Proteomics. Front Genet 9**:** 144.
1603   Yosten, G. L., R. M. Lyu, A. J. Hsueh, O. Avsian-Kretchmer, J. K. Chang *et al.*, 2013 A
1604   novel reproductive peptide, phoenixin. J Neuroendocrinol 25**:** 206-215.
1605   Zhang, S., B. Reljic, C. Liang, B. Kerouanton, J. C. Francisco *et al.*, 2020 Mitochondrial
1606   peptide BRAWNIN is essential for vertebrate respiratory complex III
1607   assembly. Nat Commun 11**:** 1312.
1608   Zhou, R., I. Hotta, A. M. Denli, P. Hong, N. Perrimon *et al.*, 2008 Comparative analysis
1609   of argonaute-dependent small RNA pathways in Drosophila. Mol Cell 32**:** 592-
1610   599.
1611

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

mRNA *sloth1* *sloth2*

Translation by
leaky scanning

Import into
mitochondria

OMM

IMM

Wild-type   Mutant

?   ?

-Reduced ATP
-Mitochondrial stress
-Reduced neuronal function

Figure 9 or Graphical abstract

A

PBac{DC166}VK00033

Genomic rescue (792bp)

cm

TSS

sloth1
(CG32736)

sloth2
(CG42308)

PD43265

PD43573

CG3032

Genomic
Chr.X:7,011,000..7,012,399

sgRNA
JAB205
(for dKO)

sgRNA
JAB203
(for KO)

shRNA
JAB200
(for RNAi)

sgRNA
GP01169
(for KO)

sgRNA
JAB205
(for dKO)

**Mutations at
endogenous locus (Chr. X)**

sloth1-KO   13bp & 12bp del

sloth2-KO   23bp del & 1bp ins

dKO   552bp del   SV40

Gal4 KI   Gal4

**Genomic rescue
transgene structure
(Inserted in Chr. 2, attP40)**

{sloth1-sloth2}

{Δsloth1-sloth2}   entire ORF del

{sloth1-Δsloth2}   (entire ORF del)

**UAS rescue transgenes
(Inserted in Chr. 2, attP40 or Chr.3, attP2)**

UAS-sloth1-sloth2   10xUAS

UAS-sloth1   10xUAS   SV40

UAS-sloth2   10xUAS   SV40

B

JAB203 572   JAB203 573

sloth1-WT ATGAGTCCGTACAGCGGATCCGTGCGTCGTCTGCTGGACAGTTGGCCAGGAAAGAAGCGCTTCGGTGTCTACCGCTTCCTGCCGCTC...
            M   S   P   Y   S   G   S   V   R   R   L   L   D   S   W   P   G   K   K   R   F   G   V   Y   R   F   L   P   L

sloth1-KO ATGAGTCCGTAC-------------GTCGTCTGCTGGACAGTTG------------GCGCTTCGGTGTCTACCGCTTCCTGCCGCTC...
            M   S   P   Y   V   V   C   W   T   V   G   A   S   V   S   T   A   S   C   R

GP01169 1268   GP01169 1269

sloth2-WT ATGCCCGCCGGAGTTTCCTGGGGCCAGTACCTGAAATTCCTCGGCTGT...
            M   P   A   G   V   S   W   G   Q   Y   L   K   F   L   G   C

sloth2-KO ATGCCCGCCGG-----------C-----------ATTCCTCGGCTGT...
            M   P   A   G   I   P   R   L

                                        552bp del, 3bp ins

dKO AATCTCGCCTTAAAATTGGCGAAACCAA(-------AAA-------)TGACTAAAGCTATTTAAGTGAATGCCTGCT

                          JAB205 576   *sloth1* CDS

sloth1-WT TTAAAATTGGCGAAACCAAACACGGAATTATATAACCGCCGGCTGAAAACACATGAGTCCGTACAGCGGAT...

Gal4-KI TTAAAATTGGCGAAACCAACACAgccAATTtaATAAggcCCGGCTGAAAACACATGAAGCTACTGTCTTCTA...
                                                                    Gal4 CDS

C

LHA   Gal4   SV40   3xP3-RFP   RHA
       ATG

Left
(1725bp)

Right
(1443bp)

w[1118]   Gal4-KI   w[1118]   Gal4-KI

bp

Supplemental Figure 2

Supplemental Figure 3

Supplemental Figure 4

4weeks old (raised in 24hr dark)

Supplemental Figure 5

Supplemental Figure 6

A

4 weeks aged 12hr L/D cycle

control　　　　dKO　　　　dKO +
{sloth1-sloth2}

800nm

dKO +
{sloth1-Δsloth2}

dKO +
{Δsloth1-sloth2}

B

3days old (12 hr L/D cycle)

control　　　　dKO　　　　dKO +
{sloth1-sloth2}

800nm

dKO +
{sloth1-Δsloth2}

dKO +
{Δsloth1-sloth2}

Supplemental Figure 8

Supplemental Figure 9

Supplemental File 1

Genomic sequences of *sloth1* and *sloth2* homologs

BOLD = Coding sequence
Red = *sloth1* homolog
Blue = *sloth2* homolog
Underline = Coding sequence overlap

>Dmel_sloth1-sloth2(CG32736-CG42308)
AATCGAACAGCTGATTGCTGCGAACCGGAACAAATGGAAATTGTATCGTGAGgcaagtg
gagtttcccctttacttttggCAAATAATAAATAAACAAAGGAACAAGCCTAAACATTT
TCAATTAAACCATATACAGAACTAACGCACACATGTGACGGAGGCAATACACAAACACG
GCACCTTTGAATCTCGCCTTAAAATTGGCGAAACCAACACGGAATTATATAACCGCCGG
CTGAAAACAC**ATGAGTCCGTACAGCGGATCCGTGCGTCGTCTGCTGGACAGTTGGCCAG
GAAAGAAGCGCTTCGGTGTCTACCGCTTCCTGCCGCTCTTCTTTTTACTGGGCGCCGGC
CTGGAATTCTCCATGATCAATTGGACAGTGGGCGAGACCAATTTCT**gtgagactgctac
gcttaaaaccttactttattttactaatacggaatcttttccatgcag**ACCGCACTTTT
AAGCGCCGCCAGGCGAAGAACTACGTGGAAGAGCAGCAGCATCTGCAGGCGCGAGCCGC
GAATAACACCAACTAA**GCAAA**ATGCCCGCCGGAGTTTCCTGGGGCCAGTACCTGAAATT
CCTCGGCTGTGCCCTGGCATCCATGATGGCCGGATCGCAGGCTGTTCACCTTTACTATA
AGCCTCTGGAGGACTTGCGCGTCTACATCGAACAGGAGCAACACAGCACACAGGTGGAT
CCCACCGCAAAGCCACCGGAATCTGCATAA**CACTGTGTACTAGACAAGTTATTGGTGAC
TAAAGCTATTTAAG

>Choanoflagellate_Salpingoeca_urceolata_sloth1-
sloth2_comp15074_c0_seq2
TTCACTTTCGTTTTCTTACTGTTTCAACGTTGCGACTGTGCTCTTCGGCTTCACGTGTT
CTTGCACCATCTGCTGTGGCACCCATTCAGCGCAGAGTTCAGCGGTCCACGCAGTGGCA
GCGGGCCAGGACACCACTTCTGCTTGGGTACCTCTA**ATGCCGCGTTCGTTTCCGCAAAT
TGCGGCGCGTGTGGTGCCTGTGTCGTTTGCTCTTGGCGCGTTTATGGAATGGTTCATGC
TCAACGTTCAAATTGGCCACGAAACCTTTTATGACACTGCAGTGAGGCTGGAAGCAAAG
CGACGGTTTGAACAACAGCAAGAGGAGCAGCAAAAAGCTAGCAACGACCCTTCGTCCGA
CTCACCGCCGCCAGCAGCATCCTAA**GAGTTGTTTGCTTCCTGAAGTAGTTTTAGTTTGT
ACCTGTTGTTTTTCGTTAGTTTTTTTTGAAGGTTCCTTCACGTCCAGCACC**ATGCCGTTT
GGTGTTTCCATGTCTCGGTACGTGGGTGTGGTCGCACTTACCCTCGGGTCCATGCTGGC
CGGTGCTTCCACCGTACACTACTTCTACCAGCCCGACCTGACTGTGCCCACCGAGCCTC
CTCCGGCGCCGGATTCCGTGTTGAAAAAGCCACGGATAGCCTTGGTGTCGCCACGGCAG
CGTGCGACGGGAGAAGCAGACGATGGAAAACAGTGA**CCGGTCTTATGCGTGATTGGTAT
TAAACACATGGTCGTGTTCAAGATGAGGTTGTTGGTTGCCAGTGCCGCGGAAAACCCGC
AACATGGGCGCTTGTCCCAATACGTTTTTGCTGTGGGGTGTTCGTTTTTCTTTTTCCGG
TTGGTTGTTTCATCCTCATTCGCCACGCAGCAGCAAAAAGCAACAAGTCAACTCGATTG

>Lamprey-Petromyzon_marinus_sloth1-sloth2
TTTCTGTCTGTGCCCGCGTGTCTCTGTGTCCACATGTCTGTCTGTCCATGTGTCAGGGG
GTGCAGCGGGCGAATGGGCG**ATGGTGTTCTTCAGCAGCGCTCTCGGGAGGATTCTCAGT
AAAGTTCCCGGAGAGAAGAGGCTGGGTGTCTATCGGTTCCTGCCCGTGTTCTTCGTGAT
TGGCGGTGCCATGGAGTGGATCATGATTAACATGAGAGTCGGCAGAGAGACCTTCT**GTG

GGTACCACGCAGGGCTTCATTATTTCTCACTGAAATATTTTCCGGGTGACCGGTAGACT
GGAGTTGGTTGCACATGATTAGTATCCACGGCCTGGTAGCCCTGAACAGCGCCTACACT
GGAATCGGGACTCGCATGCCACGCGTTTGACTCTTCGTTTGACCCTTCGTTTGACCCCG
GCGTCCCATTATTTACCTCTGACACCGCATGCTCACCATCGAGTGCGACTAACCGCACG
CGACGGCGCGCTGTTTCTTTCAG**ACGACGTCTACAGACGCAAGCAGTCGGAGCGCCGTT**
ACCAGCAGCGCCTCGCCGAGACCTCGCAGTCCAGCGGTTCCAACTAAGAGTCTCGCCTT
TCTCGAACAGACGATCGACTCGGTCACCACCCCACACGTCACTCCGTCTCCTCCCCCCC
TTCCCGCCGTTGTTGCTGCCGCCGCTGCCACCACAACCGACTTGCGCTGCTTGCGTAGA
AGCTACGGGCGCAAAGAACTGACGGCTCGCACTGGGCCGTGCGTGAGACTTTCGGAGCG
AGGTTGTTGACA**ATGCCGGCGGGCGTGACGTGGCCGCGCTATCTCAAGATGCTGACCGC**
GAGTCTCCTGTCAATGCTGGCAGGAGCGGAGGTGGTTCACCGCTACTACCGGCCAGACC
TGGTACGTGGACTTTTTTTCTTTCGTTCTCAGGAGTCCGGCTCGGGGATATAAAATGTT
CACGTTATAAGCCATTTCATTGAGCTATCATATGTGATAACCAGGTCGCTTCTGAAAAA
GAGCTAAATTACTCATTGGGCCTTACCTAGTAAAAAAAAATCCCACTGAGTGTTTTCCG
GGTCTCTGGTTAAACCCAAGAAGGTGACTCGCAGTAGCCGCAACCATAGCGAAGGAGGT
ATACTTGATGTGGTGTGTTGGGTGCAGAAATACAGGACCCCAAGAGACGCTGCTACCCG
TAGTGTATCTGTGTGGATATCCGGTGTTAATTGCCATGTAAGAGTGGGTAAGAGGATAT
TTCGATAGTACCACCCCAACAGGGATAAAGAGGGGTTTCCACCGCATTGCTGTTGTTCA
CTGTTGCGGTTTCCCTCCCACACAG**AGCATCCCTGAGGTTCCGCCAGCGCCGGGGCAAC**
TGCAGACGCGGCTGTTGGGCATCGAGGGCACAACGGGGACACCACTCAGTGGCACCAGG
GCTGCGGAGGAGGAACGCAGCCATCCCTCGTGACGGCGTCCACTCCCTCAACCTCGAGC
ACGTGCACGTGCACGAGTTAACGCACACACGAACATGCACAGGAGGCACAGCACATGCA
CAGAATGTTATACCTCCTTCACGATGGTGAATCAAAAACGATAAGACTTTTTATTTTAC

>seasquirt_ XM_018812254.2_sloth1-sloth2
TTCAAAACAGAACAGTTATCAAATGTATTATGTAAAAATGCAGTTGAGTATATGAGTAA
GCCAGTAGTACATAATATAAACCATACCCTCGGTCTGGAGCCACAAATACTTAAAACAA
ATACGGCTAATACTTTTTGTAATATTCTAGTAACAAAACCTGATTTTTAAACATATTTG
GCCCATTTTAGAGTTGTAAAGTATGAATTGTTTCTAGT**ATGACGTTTATTGGTCGACTG**
GTCCAGACATTTCTTTACTACTACCCAATAAAAAGACAAAGCCCATACAAATTCGTTCC
ACTGTTTTTTTGCCATTGGAGCGTCTGTGGAGTGGGTTATGATAAAAGTTCCGGCTGCAG
GACGAGGTGAAACATTTTACGACGTTTGGAGAAGAAATAGATCAGAAAAAGAATACAAG
CAGAGAATAATTGAAGAGAAATTTCAAGAAGCAATTAAAGCAAAAGAAAACTGTGAAAA
TTAATAAGCATATATTTGGCTTGTCTTAAACTGCATTAAACACTTAATTTAAATAAATT
ACCTTTGAAAAAATCAATAATTTACTTTTATTATAAGTTTAAACAGTTTTTTTAGCTTG
AACTTGCGTAAAGAAATTTAGGCCTAAAATTAAAAATCACCCAAAAACACTTTCTGTTC
ATTTAATAAGCAAAACCTTTTGTTTGATTTATTTTCCAACTGTATAATTTTGCATACCC
ACCACATC**ATGCCTTATGGTGTTTCTTGGCCATTCTACCTGAAAACAGTATCTTCTTCA**
CTCATAGCAATGTTCCTGGGCTCACACAGTGTTCATATGTGGTACAGACCTGATCTATC
CATACCTGAGATCCCACCTAAAAAAGGGGAGCTTCACACAAAACTTTATCAACAAAAT
CAGAAAATTAAACGAATTCATTACTTTTGTTAATGTTTTTTTGGTAACCTTAATCCAGT
GTGCAGTTGTACTATACGCTTATTTTTTTTTTGGTAGCTTTGTTTCAGCTAGTTACTTG
TTTTCTATCAGGTATACTGGTAATGTTTTGGTTTACATTTATTTATGAAGAAGATAAGT
TTCCTTCTGCTAAGTAAAAGTTGGCATTTTAAATGTAATTCACTTTAAAAACCCATATT
TCAGTTTCATTTCATAACGCTTTTTGTGTTTGATCAATTTTTGGCTGTGAACAAATTTT
GTGTTTGTTTGACTCAACCTAAAAACATCTCCTTACTTATTAGGTTGACTGTATAGGGC
AAAGTAGTTTTCAAACATTGTATAACTTTTCAAGATGGCCGACAACCTTAGTGAAGAAT
GGTGGCAAACGGCAGTTTCTGATGAAGAAGAAGGCGCAAGTGATGATGGTGAACGAAAA

GAAATGAAACGTAAACTGAACGAACCGACTTCAGGAATAGTAGTTTCAGAAAACGAGGA
ACCAGAAGTGAAAAAGAAAAAAAGGCGGAACAGAAAAAGAATTACTGAAGCTAAGCTTC
CCGATCAAGGGGATTCACCCACGATGTTACGAGATTATCTCAAACTTCACTTCAGTAAA
TTATCCAAGCTCGAATTTGAGGATATTTCGCTAACAGAATCCAATTTCACAGCATGCAA
TATCGACAAAGAACATACTACCACGTCGTATTTTAAACAAATCGCCCCCAAGTGGCATC
GTTTAAGCACAGCTCACAGTCACAAGATGTCGCCTCTGATCATCGTGGTTTGTGGCAAC
GCACTTCGAGCGTCGAAATTTAACACAGAAGCAAAGACTTTTAAGGGCAAAGATGCAAG
GTCGATAAAGCTATTTGCGCGCCACATGAAGATCGACGATCAAATCAAACTTCTGCGGG
AAAACGTCATTCATTTCGCCGTCGGCACACCGGAAAGAATCCGATCTCTTATCCTACAA
GATGCTCTCAGTTTAGAACACACTCGAGCGTTTGTCATCGATTGGAATTGGAGAGATGT
AAAACTAAAGCGTTTAATTGACATACGAGAGGCTCGTGCGTCGTTGATGAATTTGTTAA
AAGATTGCGTGATCCCAGCTTGTAAGAAACACCATGTAAAAATCGGGTTGTTTTGATTT
GAATTTGTGCAAAAAATGAGGTTTTCTGACGTCATACAGGTTCAAAATTTGCTTGTGTG
CATGGCCCGTTTTTTTTCAGTAAATGGTTTACGTTCATGCAATAAATTGCCATTTTAAGT
TAGTGTA

Supplemental File 2

Name	Sequence	Description
JB749_MT-Rluc_backboneF	ATGACTTCGAAAGTTTATGATC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-Rluc
JB750_MT-Rluc_backboneR	GAATTCCCCTTTAGTTGCAC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc
JB751_CG3242_5'UTR_overlapMTRluc_F	gtgcaactaaaggggaattcAATCGAACAGCTGATTGC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc
JB752_CG3242_5'UTR_overlapMTRluc_R	tcataaactttcgaagtcatTTTGCTTAGTTGGTGTTATTC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc
JB753_CG32_SDM_ATG_TTG_F	ctgaaaacacTTGAGTCCGTACAGCGGATC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc derivatives by SDM
JB754_CG32_SDM_ATG_TTG_R	acggactcaaGTGTTTTCAGCCGGCGGTTATATAATTC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc derivatives by SDM
JB755_CG32_SDM_ATG_del_F	ctgaaaacacAGTCCGTACAGCGGATC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc derivatives by SDM
JB756_CG32_SDM_ATG_del_R	acggactGTGTTTTCAGCCGGCGGTTATATAATTC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc derivatives by SDM
JB757_CD32_SDM_kozak_GTGT_F	ctgaaagtgtATGAGTCCGTACAGCGGATC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc derivatives by SDM
JB758_CD32_SDM_kozak_GTGT_R	acggactcatACACTTTCAGCCGGCGGTTATATAATTC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc derivatives by SDM
JB759_CD32_SDM_kozak_CAAA_F	ctgaaacaaaATGAGTCCGTACAGCGGATC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc derivatives by SDM
JB760_CD32_SDM_kozak_CAAA_R	acggactcatTTTGTTTCAGCCGGCGGTTATATAATTC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc derivatives by SDM
JB773_CG42_SDM_kozak_GTGT_F	accaactaagGTGTATGACTTCGAAAGTTTATGATCCAG	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc derivatives by SDM
JB774_CG42_SDM_kozak_GTGT_R	tcgaagtcatACACCTTAGTTGGTGTTATTCGC	Cloning pMT-sloth1-RLuc derivatives by SDM
JB567_CG32736_shRNA3_top	ctagcagtGCCGCGAATAACACCAACTAAtagttatattcaagcataTTAGTTGGTGTTATTCGCGGCgcg	Oligos annealed and ligated into pValium20 for shRNA expression
JB568_CG32736_shRNA3_bot	aattcgcGCCGCGAATAACACCAACTAAtatgcttgaatataactaTTAGTTGGTGTTATTCGCGGCactg	Oligos annealed and ligated into pValium20 for shRNA expression
JB572_CG32736gRNAdKO_F	TATATAGGAAAGATATCCGGGTGAACTTCgCGACGCACGGATCCGCTGTAGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAG	to construct pCFD4-sloth1 (aka JAB203)
JB573_CG32736gRNAdKO_R	ATTTTAACTTGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACGGAAAGAAGCGCTTCGGTGTcGACGTTAAATTGAAAATAGGTC	to construct pCFD4-sloth1 (aka JAB203)
GP01169_F	TATATAGGAAAGATATCCGGGTGAACTTCGATGCCCGCCGGAGTTTCCTGGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAG	to construct pCFD4-sloth2 (aka GP01169)
GP01169_R	ATTTTAACTTGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACAGGAATTTCAGGTACTGGCCGACGTTAAATTGAAAATAGGTC	to construct pCFD4-sloth2 (aka GP01169)
JB576_CG32736_CG42308gRNAdel1_F	TATATAGGAAAGATATCCGGGTGAACTTCGCGGTTATATAATTCCGTGTGTTTTAGAGCTAGAAATAGCAAG	to construct pCFD4-sloth1-sloth2 (aka JAB205, for dKO)
JB577_CG32736_CG42308gRNAdel1_R	ATTTTAACTTGCTATTTCTAGCTCTAAAACATAACTTGTCTAGTACACAGcGACGTTAAATTGAAAATAGGTC	to construct pCFD4-sloth1-sloth2 (aka JAB205, for dKO)
JB628_CG32-42_LH_EcoRI_F	cccttcgctgaagcaggtggGCCTCGTTGTTGGTGTAC	to amplify LHA
JB634_CG32-42_LH_Gal4SV40_R	gtagcttcatggtgTGTTGGTTTCGCCAATTTTAAG	to amplify LHA
JB635_CG32-42_Gal4SV40_LH_F	gaaaccaacacaccATGAAGCTACTGTCTTCTATC	to amplify Gal4-SV40
JB636_CG32-42_Gal4SV40_loxP_R	acgaagttatAGACATGATAAGATACATTGATG	to amplify Gal4-SV40
JB637_CG32-42_loxP_Gal4SV40_F	tatcatgtctATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATAC	to amplify loxP-RFP-loxP
JB631_CG32-42_loxP_RH_R	gtcaccaataATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATACC	to amplify loxP-RFP-loxP
JB632_CG32-42_RH_loxP_F	acgaagttatTATTGGTGACTAAAGCTATTTAAGTG	to amplify RHA
JB633_CG32-42_RH_XhoI_R	actcgattgacggaagagccCTTCAGGGGATCAAGGAAC	to amplify RHA
JB265_Gibson_pEntr_1F	AAGGGTGGGCGCGCCGAC	to amplify pEntr backbone
JB266_Gibson_pEntr_1R	GGTGAAGGGGGCGGCCGC	to amplify pEntr backbone
JB517_CG32736_pEntr_F	ccgcggccgccccccttcaccATGAGTCCGTACAGCGGATC	to construct pEntr_sloth1
JB518_CG32736_pEntr_R	gggtcggcgcgcgcccacccttTTAGTTGGTGTTATTCGCGG	to construct pEntr_sloth1
JB519_CG32736_nostop_pEntr_R	gggtcggcgcgcgcccacccttGTTGGTGTTATTCGCGCT	to construct pEntr_sloth1
JB404_CG42308_pEntr_F	ccgcggccgccccccttcaccATGCCCGCCGGAGTTTCC	to construct pEntr_sloth2
JB405_CG42308_pEntr_R	gggtcggcgcgcgcccacccttTTATGCAGATTCCGGTGGC	to construct pEntr_sloth2
JB509_CG42308_nostop_pEntr_R	gggtcggcgcgcgcccacccttTGCAGATTCCGGTGGCTT	to construct pEntr_sloth2
JB742_hSMIM4_gBlock (incorrect reverse seq)	ccgcggccgcccccttcaccATGTTTACAAGGGCACAAGTTCGCCGGATACTGCAACGAGTACCAGGTAAACAGCGCTTTGGCATCTATCGCTTCCTGCCATTCTTTTTTGTACTCGGCGGTACTATGGAGTGGATAATGATTAAAGTTCGAGTGGGCCAGGAGACATTCTACGATGTCTATAGGCGAAAAGCTAGTGAACGCCAGTATCAAAGGCGATTGGAAGACGAGgggtcggcgcgcgcccacccctt	to construct pEntr_hSMIM4
JB732_hSMIM4_pEntr_F	ccgcggccgcccccttcaccATGTTTACAAGGGCACAAGTTC	to construct pEntr_hSMIM4
JB733_hSMIM4_stop_pEntr_R	gggtcggcgcgcgcccacccttctattaCTCGTCTTCCAATCGCCTT	to construct pEntr_hSMIM4
JB743_hSMIM4_nostop_pEntr_R	gggtcggcgcgcgcccacccttCTCGTCTTCCAATCGCCTT	to construct pEntr_hSMIM4
JB526_hC12orf73_gBlock	CCGCGGCCGCCCCCTTcaccATGCCCGCGGGCGTGCCCATGTCCACCTACCTGAAAATGTTCGCAGCCAGTCTCCTGGCCATGTCGCGCAGGGGCAGAAGTGGTGCACAGGTACTACCGACCGGACCTGACAATACCTGAAATTCCACCAAAGCGTGGAGAACTCAAAACGGAGCTTTTGGGACTGAAAGAAAGAAAACACAAACCTCAAGTTTCTCAACAGGAGGAACTTAAATAAAAGGGTGGGCGCGCCGACCC	to construct pEntr_hC12orf73
JB548_entr_c12orf73_nostop_R	gcccacccttTTTAAGTTCCTCCTGTTGAG	to construct pEntr_hC12orf73
JB549_entr_c12orf73_nostop_F	ggaacttaaaAAGGGTGGGCGCGCCGAC	to construct pEntr_hC12orf73
JB725_CG3242_genomic_pEntr_F	ccgcggccgccccccttcaccTCATTAGCGATGACAGCG	to construct pEntr_sloth1-sloth2 genomic
JB726_CG3242_genomic_pEntr_R	gggtcggcgcgcccacccttAAACGTGGCGTCTTTTGAATG	to construct pEntr_sloth1-sloth2 genomic
JB727_CG3242_transcript_pEntr_F	ccgcggccgccccccttcaccAATCGAACAGCTGATTGCTG	to construct pEntr_sloth1-sloth2 transcript
JB728_CG3242_transcript_pEntr_R	gggtcggcgcgcccacccttCTTAAATAGCTTTAGTCACCAATAACTTG	to construct pEntr_sloth1-sloth2 transcript
JB761_pEntr_genomicCG32_CG42del_F	actaagcaaaCACTGTGTACTAGACAAGTTATTGGTG	to construct pEntr_sloth1-sloth2 genomic derivatives
JB762_pEntr_genomicCG32_CG42del_R	gtacacagtgTTTGCTTAGTTGGTGTTATTCG	to construct pEntr_sloth1-sloth2 genomic derivatives
JB763_pEntr_genomicCG32del_CG42_F	ctgaaaacacGCAAAATGCCCGCCGGAG	to construct pEntr_sloth1-sloth2 genomic derivatives
JB764_pEntr_genomicCG32del_CG42_R	ggcattttgcGTGTTTTCAGCCGGCGGTTATATAATTC	to construct pEntr_sloth1-sloth2 genomic derivatives
JB510_sfGFP_Wal10-roe-XbaI_F	agtggtgattcgagggtaccTccggtcggtgtc	to construct pWalium10-roe-sfGFP
JB511_sfGFP_Wal10-roe-XbaI_R	gcagatcagaactagtttgcctacttgtacagctcatccatgc	to construct pWalium10-roe-sfGFP
JB533_PD43265F	GAAAGAAGCGCTTCGGTGTC	qPCR primers for sloth1
JB534_PD43265R	TCCACGTAGTTCTTCGCCTG	qPCR primers for sloth1
JB540_PD43573F	AGGACTTGCGCGTCTACATC	qPCR primers for sloth2
JB539_PD43573R	GATCCACCTGTGTGCTGTGT	qPCR primers for sloth2
JB713_Rp49_F	ATCGGTTACGGATCGAACAA	qPCR primers for Rp49
JB714_Rp49_R	GACAATCTCCTTGCGCTTCT	qPCR primers for Rp49
JB717_Gapdh_F	CCAATGTCTCCGTTGTGGA	qPCR primers for Gapdh
JB718_Gapdh_R	TCGGTGTAGCCCAGGATT	qPCR primers for Gapdh
JB1110_CG32736_indel_1F	CCTTAAAATTGGCGAAACCA	PCR primers to genotype and sequence sloth1-KO fly lines and S2R+ cell lines
JB1111_CG32736_indel_1R	TAAAAAGAAGAGCGGCAGGA	PCR primers to genotype and sequence sloth1-KO fly lines and S2R+ cell lines
JB1114_CG42308_indel_1F	CGCGAATAACACCAACTAAGC	PCR primers to genotype and sequence sloth2-KO fly lines and S2R+ cell lines
JB1115_CG42308_indel_1R	ATGTAGCACGCGCAAGTCCTC	PCR primers to genotype and sequence sloth2-KO fly lines and S2R+ cell lines
JB580_CG32736_CG42308_geno_1F	gagcagtcgccgaaatagtc	PCR primers to genotype and sequence dKO fly lines and S2R+ cell lines
JB587_CG32736_CG42308_geno_4R	tgaaaccctttccctgtcac	PCR primers to genotype and sequence dKO fly lines and S2R+ cell lines
JB787_CG3242_LHA_F	tcgaaaagttgtgcctgatg	PCR primers to genotype Gal4-KI flies (left homology region)
JB662_Gal4seq1R	agcggagacctttggtttt	PCR primers to genotype Gal4-KI flies (left homology region)
JB659_3P3dsred_seq1F	ACTCCAAGCTGGACATCACC	PCR primers to genotype Gal4-KI flies (right homology region)
JB790_CG3242_RHA_R	cgatgagccggctataaaaa	PCR primers to genotype Gal4-KI flies (right homology region)

Supplemental File 3

pEntr plasmid	Expression plasmid	Final plasmid name	Alternative name	Fly Insertion site
pEntr_sloth1_stop	pWalium10-roe	pWalium10-sloth1	UAS-sloth1	attP2
pEntr_sloth2_stop	pWalium10-roe	pWalium10-sloth2	UAS-sloth2	attP2
pEntr_sloth2_stop	pValium10-roe	pValium10-sloth2	UAS-sloth2	attP40
pEntr_hSMIM4_stop	pWalium10-roe	pWalium10-hSMIM4	UAS-hSMIM4	attP2
pEntr_hC12orf73_stop	pWalium10-roe	pWalium10-hC12orf73	UAS-hC12orf73	attP2
pEntr_sloth1-sloth2 transcript	pWalium10-roe	pWalium10-sloth1-sloth2 transcript	UAS-sloth1-sloth2	attP2
pEntr_sloth1-sloth2 genomic	pBID-G	pBID-{sloth1-sloth2}	{sloth1-sloth2}	attP40
pEntr_Δsloth1-sloth2 genomic	pBID-G	pBID-{Δsloth1-sloth2}	{Δsloth1-sloth2}	attP40
pEntr_sloth1-Δsloth2 genomic	pBID-G	pBID-{sloth1-Δsloth2}	{sloth1-Δsloth2}	attP40
pEntr_sloth1_nostop	pWalium10-roe-sfGFP	pWalium10-sloth1-sfGFP	UAS-sloth1-sfGFP	
pEntr_sloth1Δmito_nostop	pWalium10-roe-sfGFP	pWalium10-sloth1Δmito-sfGFP	UAS-sloth1Δmito-sfGFP	
pEntr_sloth1mitoonly_nostop	pWalium10-roe-sfGFP	pWalium10-sloth1mitoonly-sfGFP	UAS-sloth1mitoonly-sfGFP	
pEntr_sloth2_nostop	pWalium10-roe-sfGFP	pWalium10-sloth2-sfGFP	UAS-sloth2-sfGFP	
pEntr_sloth2Δsec_nostop	pWalium10-roe-sfGFP	pWalium10-sloth2Δsec-sfGFP	UAS-sloth2Δsec-sfGFP	
pEntr_sloth2onlysec_nostop	pWalium10-roe-sfGFP	pWalium10-sloth2seconly-sfGFP	UAS-sloth2seconly-sfGFP	
pEntr_Tim8_nostop	pAWH	Act-Tim8-HA		
pEntr_Tim13_nostop	pAWH	Act-Tim13-HA		
pEntr_BFP_nostop	pAWH	Act-BFP-HA		
pEntr_sloth1_nostop	pAWH	Act-sloth1-HA		
pEntr_sloth2_nostop	pAWH	Act-sloth2-HA		
pEntr_BFP_nostop	pAWF	Act-BFP-FLAG		
pEntr_sloth1_nostop	pAWF	Act-sloth1-FLAG		
pEntr_sloth2_nostop	pAWF	Act-sloth2-FLAG		
pEntr_BFP_nostop	pMK33-GW-SBP	pMK33-BFP-SBP	MT-BFP-SBP	
pEntr_sloth1_nostop	pMK33-GW-SBP	pMK33-sloth1-SBP	MT-sloth1-SBP	
pEntr_sloth2_nostop	pMK33-GW-SBP	pMK33-sloth2-SBP	MT-sloth2-SBP	
pEntr_sloth1_stop	pMK33-GW	pMK33-sloth1	MT-sloth1	
pEntr_sloth2_stop	pMK33-GW	pMK33-sloth2	MT-sloth2	