Title: Cis-regulatory evolution spotlights species differences in the adaptive potential

of gene expression plasticity

Authors: F. He[1], K. A. Steige[1], V. Kovacova[2], U. Göbel[1], M. Bouzid[1], P. D. Keightley[3], A.

Beyer[1] and J. de Meaux[1*]

Address: [1]CEPLAS, University of Cologne, Germany, [2]CECAD, University of Cologne,

Germany, [3] Institute of Evolutionary Biology, University of Edinburgh, UK.

*Corresponding author: J. de Meaux, jdemeaux@uni-koeln.de

Short title: The effect of plasticity on cis-regulatory evolution

Abstract

Phenotypic plasticity is the variation in phenotype that a single genotype can produce in

different environments and, as such, is an important component of individual fitness.

However, whether the effect of new mutations, and hence evolution, depends on the

direction of plasticity remains controversial. Here, we identify the cis-**acting modifications**

that have reshaped gene expression in response to dehydration stress in three Arabidopsis

species. Our study shows that the direction of effects of most cis-**regulatory variants**

differentiating the response between *A. thaliana* **and the sister species** *A. lyrata* **and** *A.*

halleri **depends on the direction of pre-existing plasticity in gene expression. A comparison**

of the rate of cis-**acting variant accumulation in each lineage indicates that the selective**

forces driving adaptive evolution in gene expression favors regulatory changes that

magnify the stress response in *A. lyrata.* **The evolutionary constraints measured on the**

amino-acid sequence of these genes support this interpretation. In contrast, regulatory

changes that mitigate the plastic response to stress evolved more frequently in *A. halleri*.

Our results demonstrate that pre-existing plasticity can be a stepping stone for adaptation,

but its selective remodeling differs between lineages.

Introduction

Phenotypic plasticity provides most lineages with the ability to alter their development or
their physiology in response to the environment [1,2]. The evolution of plastic traits has been
proposed to follow one of two major models, depending on whether plasticity is adaptive or
maladaptive in novel environments[3–8]. If the phenotypic state reached after activation of a
plastic reaction promotes survival in a new environment, then plasticity is adaptive under
these conditions. In this case, directional selection will favor mutations that move the mean
phenotype towards the plastic state, via changes in the basal state or in the magnitude of
the plastic response[4,9,10]. This process has been called the "Baldwin effect" [4,9,10]. This may
include the selection of novel alleles that prevent the phenotype resulting from the plastic
reaction from returning to its basal state. This particular "Baldwin effect" has been described
as "genetic assimilation". It is predicted to help canalize the phenotype around its new
optimal state, and was experimentally documented by Waddington[6–8,11]. Prominent
examples have since confirmed that adaptive plasticity can provide a stepping stone for the
emergence of novel genetic adaptations[12–15].

If instead the phenotype determined by a plastic reaction decreases fitness, mutations will
be selected that prevent or even oppose its activation[3,16,17]. By comparing the direction of
genetic change with the direction of the plastic reaction over a large number of transcripts,
transcriptome studies have revealed that evolution in a novel environment often opposes
the pre-existing ancestral plastic reaction[18–24]. As a result, the process of correcting
maladaptive plasticity has been proposed to occur more frequently than the enhancement
of plastic phenotypes via the above-mentioned "Baldwin effect" [5,18–24]. Yet, relying on
expression levels alone to identify the general rules that govern how pre-existing plasticity
influences the evolution of plastic reactions in a novel environment can be misleading. A
single master regulator, for example, can change the expression of many genes in the same
direction, even in the absence of a selective force [25]. In addition, new mutations can erode
pre-existing plastic reactions, if selection is relaxed[26–33], and mutations can have functional
effects whose size or direction is not uniformly distributed[31–28]. The study of allele-specific
expression in F1 hybrids, instead, offers a powerful avenue to identify myriads of cis-
regulatory variants that evolved independently at each transcribed locus[27,29,34-38]. With such
a population of variants, it becomes possible to compare rates of evolution among lineages
and distinguish the action of natural selection from the random accumulation of new

regulatory mutations. The study of cis-regulatory divergence can therefore help explore the

general rules governing the evolution of transcriptional plasticity.

To this aim, we investigate how cis-acting modifications have shaped the divergence in gene

expression of the sister species *A. lyrata* and *A. halleri* in reaction to stress triggered by acute

dehydration. The ecology of these species predicts that the reaction to dehydration stress

has the most relevance in *A. lyrata*, which has the highest tolerance to dehydration[34]. In

contrast, the reaction of *A. halleri* to stress is expected to be less relevant, because this

species grows in highly competitive environments, and prioritizes its growth over its stress

response[39]. Here, we describe how a total of 6360 and 6780 cis-acting regulatory variants

identified in *A. lyrata* and *A. halleri,* respectively, contribute to reshape the transcriptome

reaction to stress. Results indicate that mutations increasing expression plasticity to

dehydration stress were favored in *A. lyrata*, whereas mutations decreasing stress plasticity

were more frequent in *A. halleri*.

Results and Discussion

To determine how gene expression plasticity has changed between species, we

monitored alterations in gene expression in *A. lyrata*, *A. halleri,* the outgroup *A. thaliana*

and their F1 hybrids in response to dehydration stress. This involved sequencing the

transcriptome at six time points between 0 and 24 hours, replicated four times (Fig. 1A).

Plants were grown under controlled conditions for four weeks and then exposed to

dehydration by cutting the aerial part of the plant at the base of the root (see

Supplementary Methods for details). This treatment mimicked a rupture of the water

column. Our experimental design allowed us to investigate different aspects of

expression changes in regards to dehydration stress. In detail, this includes the

significance of gene expression variation over time (plasticity), gene expression variation

across species (genetic variation) and gene expression variation as a result of time

nested within species (genetic variation in plasticity). The inclusion of F1 hybrids in the

experiment allowed us to simultaneously determine the contribution of cis-regulatory

changes (Fig. 1B)

Compared to *A. halleri* and *A. thaliana*, we confirmed that the drought-adapted species

A. lyrata had a higher survival rate, despite being exposed to the same level of

dehydration (Fig. S1, Supplementary Data 1, 2), and that gene expression changes

overlapped with those observed in a previous study where *A. halleri* and *A. lyrata* were

sampled at the onset of wilting after exposure to more progressive drought stress (Table

S1). In this species, we therefore expect that plastic reactions in gene expression will

have been selected to restore homeostasis, whereas maladaptive responses that

manifest as physiological and cellular stress will have been disfavored[40,41]. A principal

component analysis showed that samples grouped by species and by the duration of

exposure to dehydration (Fig. S2). We detected marked differences in the stress

reactions of each species, both in initial expression levels and in the slope of the

response (Fig. 1 C,D), although gene expression fold changes were strongly correlated

between the species pairs (Fig. 1 E-G). Among the genes whose expression was

increased or decreased in response to dehydration (plastic genes), we found that,

depending on the pair of species compared, between 39.5-57.9% (Table S2) showed a

significant difference in initial expression, i.e. before the onset of dehydration stress. In

addition, between 22.7 and 37.4% (depending on the pair of species compared) of the

plastic genes showed a significant change in plasticity itself, that is in the response slope

to dehydration (false discovery rate – FDR- <0.05, Table S2).

Basal expression evolution depends on the direction of plasticity

In the vigorously growing unstressed plants sampled just before initiation of the dehydration

treatment, we assume that gene expression reflects the basal expression level. The pattern

of up- or down-regulation of gene expression in response to dehydration stress was strongly

correlated between species pairs. After 6h of dehydration, for example, 90% of genes that

changed expression in *A. thaliana* had also changed expression in the same direction in the

other two species (Fig. 1E-G). We thus assume that the direction of gene expression

plasticity in *A. thaliana* in response to dehydration is a proxy for the direction of plasticity

that existed in the common ancestor of the three species. If the direction in which basal

expression levels evolved is independent of such ancestral plasticity, we expect that the

direction of interspecific differences in expression and the direction of plasticity will be

independent. To test this, we determined the genes in *A. lyrata* and *A. halleri*, whose basal

expression level differed significantly from that of *A. thaliana* (there were 3262 and 4634 of

these, respectively, FDR<0.05, Supplementary Data 3). Among these, we identified those

genes whose basal expression differed from *A thaliana* in the same direction as the plastic

reaction to dehydration observed in this outgroup species and called such genetic changes in

"orthoplastic" (Fig. 2A). As discussed by Crispo (2007), this term is consistent with the

definition proposed by Baldwin (1896). Genetic changes in the opposite direction to the

plasticity observed in *A. thaliana* we called "paraplastic" (see Fig. 2A). We note that

orthoplastic basal regulatory changes are defined for a given lineage, because they are *de*

facto paraplastic in the species it is compared to and *vice-versa*. This terminology thus helps

describe the evolutionary change in a given species. We observed that a majority of genes in

A. lyrata (1975/3263, 60.5%, Fig. 2BC) had a basal expression level that was different from *A.*

thaliana in a direction orthoplastic to the direction of plasticity in *A. thaliana* (Fig. 2D, chi-

squared test, *p* = 2.0e-33). When we compared basal expression levels in *A. halleri* and *A.*

thaliana, we observed a similar pattern, with 64.4% (2986/4634- Fig2BC, Supplementary

Data 3) showing orthoplastic gene expression changes (Figure 2D, chi-squared test, *p* = 5.2e-

86). The predominantly orthoplastic regulatory evolution we observe when comparing *A.*

lyrata or *A. halleri* to *A. thaliana* was significant at all time points (Supplementary Data 3-7),

but was strongest 6h into the stress treatment (Fig. S3A-C, chi-squared tests, minimum p=

2.0e-8).

The response in *A. thaliana* provides only a proxy for ancestral changes, and it is clear

that it may differ from the response that the actual ancestor of *A. lyrata* and *A. halleri*

used to deploy. Indeed, *A. thaliana* has a very different ecology and life cycle compared

to the other two species and the three species diverged since more than a million years.

Thus, we repeated the analysis using the reaction of *A. lyrata* or *A. halleri* for

comparison with the evolution of basal gene expression in *A. halleri* and *A. lyrata*,

respectively (Fig. S4A-D). The outcome of the analysis was essentially unchanged, a

result we expected because the direction of the stress response was largely conserved

across species (Fig. 1E-G). We therefore conclude that, for genes responding to

dehydration stress, the evolution of basal expression in the Arabidopsis genus is not

independent of the direction of plasticity that likely existed before the split between *A.*

lyrata and *A. halleri*.

This pattern could plausibly result from a single genetic change, if e.g. species were at

different developmental stages or if they differed for only one major regulator [25]. To

investigate whether this pattern resulted from more than a few trans-regulatory changes, we used F1 interspecific hybrids to determine how many of these changes were due to cis-acting regulatory modifications. Indeed, in F1 hybrids, alleles are exposed to the same trans-regulatory environment, so that allele-specific difference in expression point to difference in cis-regulation[42]. Cis-acting variation in gene expression can be directly inferred from allele-specific expression differences observed in RNA collected from F1 hybrids between *A. thaliana* and either *A. lyrata* or *A. halleri* (Fig. 1B, 2E, S5). The relative expression levels of the alleles within hybrids correlated positively with parental differences in expression (Table S3, Fig. S5). Of the 3262 genes with an altered basal expression in *A. lyrata vs. A. thaliana*, 1243 presented a significant cis-acting difference between alleles in the hybrid (FDR 0.05). A majority of these cis-acting modifications (776, 62.4%) were orthoplastic (Odds ratio 1.65, *p*=5.8E-10). In *A. thaliana-A. halleri* F1 hybrids, the total number of basal cis-acting variants distinguishing *A. halleri* from *A. thaliana* was in the same order of magnitude (1112 cis-acting variants at FDR 0.05), 65.6% (730) of which contributed to orthoplastic changes in basal expression (Odds ratio 1.91, *p*=9.6E-14). Therefore, cis-acting regulatory variants were predominantly orthoplastic. Because the allele-specific expression of individual transcripts reveals individual cis-acting variants, we conclude that the orthoplastic evolution of basal gene expression has a polygenic basis, both in *A. lyrata* and in *A. halleri*. The association we observed between the direction of plasticity and the evolution of basal expression levels is the result of many independent regulatory mutations (Fig. S1, Fig. S6A).

The response is attenuated in *A. lyrata* and *A. halleri*, compared to *A. thaliana*

In both *A. lyrata* and *A. halleri,* we further tested whether the direction of genetic change in plasticity was independent of the direction of plasticity in the outgroup species (Fig. 3A). For this, we determined, for each time point *t* of the response, the genes whose plastic response to stress in *A. lyrata* or *A. halleri* had a slope that was significantly different from the response slope measured in *A. thaliana* (Fig. 3B-D). This revealed that for most genes, the plastic responses were attenuated in both *A. halleri* and *A. lyrata*, compared to *A. thaliana*, and, in some rare cases, even reversed (*A. lyrata*: Fig. 3B,D; and *A. lyrata*: Fig. 3C,D). The reaction to stress observed in *A. thaliana* thus tended to be predominantly mitigated in both *A. halleri* and *A. lyrata* (Supplementary Data 3). This pattern was also observed at all other

time points in both species (chi-squared test, minimum $p < 1.8E-7$, Fig. S3E-F, Supplementary Data 4-7), confirming that the effect did not result from a delayed stress reaction in one of the species[43]. Interestingly, the pattern was less pronounced in *A. lyrata* (Fig. S3F): we observed 2.5 fold more genes in *A. lyrata* than *A. halleri* with a reaction to stress that was greater than in the outgroup *A. thaliana* after 3-6h of stress (Fig. 3D, Fig, S3E-H). Furthermore, for 578 of the 910 genes whose expression was down-regulated after 6h of stress in *A. halleri*, the slope of the stress reaction was steeper in *A. lyrata* (Fig. S4H). The predominant evolution of a mitigated response to stress in *A. halleri* and the excess of genes showing a magnified response in *A. lyrata* were also observed if we changed the species whose reaction was used as a proxy for the magnitude of ancestral plasticity (Fig. S4E-H).

As mentioned earlier, interspecific differences in the slope of the reaction to stress could plausibly result from differences in development or from a handful of potentially random changes in regulators of the stress response[25]. We therefore used the interspecific F1 hybrids to determine the cis-acting basis of changes in the slope of the plastic response to stress in *A. lyrata* and in *A. halleri*, compared to *A. thaliana*. If the allelic ratio measured within the hybrid were significantly altered after initiation of the stress, we concluded that a cis-acting variant had modified the response of gene expression to stress (Fig. S5). Changes in allelic ratios within the hybrid were positively correlated with parental differences in gene expression plasticity (Fig S5, Table S3). After 6h of dehydration, a significant fold-change difference was apparent between parental species for 2588 genes, 51.4% of which were also observed in hybrids. Of these cis-acting modifications of plasticity in *A. lyrata*, a large majority (72.9%) contributed to mitigating the gene expression response after 6h (Odds ratio 2.68, $p<2.2E-16$). In *A. halleri*, the contribution of cis-acting modifications was also strongly skewed towards mitigation (88.1% of 1382, Fig. 3E, Odds ratio 7.37, $p<2.2E-16$). Because the mitigated stress response observed in *A. lyrata* and *A. halleri,* compared to *A. thaliana,* was clearly supported by a large number of cis-acting modifications, we conclude that it is polygenic.

Derived cis-**acting variants reveal interspecific differences in the rate of plasticity evolution**

A combination of basal expression and plasticity to stress underlies the expression profile of stress responsive genes and thus their molecular effect. Unchanged, orthoplastic or paraplastic basal expression that combine with either unchanged, magnified or mitigated plasticity define eight possible modes of plasticity evolution (Fig. 4A). A chi-squared test showed that genes were not distributed uniformly across these modes (Fig. 4A, χ^2=217.4, df = 4, p = 6.93e-46, and χ^2= 363.7, df = 4, p = 1.89e-77, in *A. halleri* and *A. lyrata*, respectively, Supplementary Data 3). However, to understand how the stress response evolves, we must determine how often changes in each of these modes evolve. Our experimental design allows us to compare the rate at which changes in basal expression and slope have evolved in the two sister lineages *A. lyrata* and *A. halleri*. In the absence of natural selection, we expect this rate to be similar across lineages. To distinguish derived regulatory changes in each lineage, we assumed parsimony in the evolution of cis-regulation: cis-acting variants detected in only one of the two hybrid types are more likely to have occurred after the split between *A. lyrata* and *A. halleri* (Fig. 4B, Fig. S7). Those detected in both hybrids were called "undetermined" as it is not possible to infer whether they predated the split of the two species or evolved in the outgroup lineage. We note that it is not possible to assign a clear direction of effect for cis-regulatory mutations of undetermined origin. The direction of effect of a derived cis-regulatory variant, instead, can be deduced by comparison with the expression level of the *A. thaliana* allele in the hybrid. We observed that in the sister species, the majority of derived cis-regulatory changes contributed to orthoplastic basal changes in expression and/or to the mitigation of the stress response observed in *A. thaliana* (Fig. 4C, Fig. S6). Cis-regulatory variants, however, tended to remodel plasticity in different ways in *A. halleri* and *A. lyrata*. Grouping genes into the eight modes of plasticity evolution defined previously, we observed that the proportion of derived cis-acting variants in each of the two lineages was not the same across these gene groups (Fig. 4D, χ^2=189.3, df=7 p=2.02E-37). The contrast was strongest after 3h and 6h of stress (Fig. S3D,H, Fig. S8). Cis-acting variants in *A. halleri* were proportionately more frequent than in *A. lyrata* among genes combining an orthoplastic basal expression change with a decrease in the slope of the response to stress (Fig. 4D, ortho-mit genes, p<1.42E-06 after 6h). This combination corresponds to the genetic assimilation of the plastic response observed by Waddington

in his seminal experiment [4,8,11]. We also observed that *A. halleri* genes displaying a

paraplastic basal expression change combined with a mitigated response had an excess

of derived cis-acting variants (*p*=3.6E-08). This excess indicates that regulatory

modifications opposing the plastic reaction to stress were more favored in *A. halleri*

than in *A. lyrata*. The over-representation, in the *A. halleri* lineage, of mutations that

reduce plasticity indicates that a greater fraction of the gene expression plasticity

observed in the other two species was not adaptive in this lineage.

In contrast, derived cis-acting variants were enriched in *A. lyrata* among genes

displaying a magnification of the stress response, and this was true regardless of

whether or not the magnification was combined with a change in basal expression (Fig.

4D, partial test χ^2 tests, *p*=0.003, *p*=1.4E-19, *p*=3.9E-08 for ortho-mag, mag-only and

para-mag, respectively). Although a magnified response to stress was not the most

frequent mode of plasticity evolution identified in this lineage, the comparatively faster

rate at which cis-acting variants accumulated among these genes indicates that

mutations leading to this mode of plasticity evolution are favored in the *A. lyrata* lineage

compared to the *A. halleri* lineage. Interestingly, the functions enriched among them

indicate that the regulation of leaf development could be one of the targets of selection

for increased plasticity in *A. lyrata*. Gene Ontology categories such as GO:0010207

"Photosystem II Assembly" (p=1.6E-06), GO:009965 "Leaf Morphogenesis" (*p*=8.4E-05),

GO:0010103 "Stomatal Complex Morphogenesis" (*p*= 4.5E-06) or GO: 0034968 "Histone

Lysine Methylation" (*p*=4.5E-03) were enriched (Table S4, S5).

Genes with magnified plastic responses in *A. lyrata* evolve under increased

evolutionary constraints

The accumulation of derived cis-acting modifications indicates that the expression of

genes displaying magnified plasticity after 6h of stress evolves under positive selection

in *A. lyrata*. If such genes with magnified plasticity are subject to stronger selection

overall in this lineage, we also might expect that the genes will be exposed to stronger

evolutionary constraints than other plastic genes. We grouped *A. lyrata* genes according

to their mode of plasticity and assessed the ratio of the divergence at non-synonymous

and synonymous sites (*Ka/Ks*) between *A. lyrata* and *A. thaliana*. We additionally

included genes that did not change their expression as a control group and used

bootstrapping to determine confidence intervals and identify significant differences

between groups. For three of the eight modes of regulatory evolution, the number of

genes was small, resulting in high bootstrap variance (Fig. 4A, Fig. S9); these modes were

therefore excluded from the analysis. We observed that genes of all remaining modes of

plasticity evolution showed a significantly lower *Ka/Ks* than the control group (Fig. 5A).

Genes with modified plasticity therefore all experienced stronger evolutionary

constraints. Interestingly, however, we observed that the *Ks* of control genes was

significantly lower than the *Ks* of all gene groups, with the exception of the genes that

evolved a magnified response to stress (Fig. 5BC, Fig. S9H-J). To gain further insight into

the evolutionary forces operating on these genes in *A. lyrata,* we examined nucleotide

polymorphism within a local *A. lyrata* population[44-46]. The average number of pairwise

differences did not differ significantly across groups of genes, and was similar to that of

the control genes (Fig. 5D). We also used the Tajima's *D* statistic to quantify the

contribution of low-frequency variants to nucleotide diversity within each gene group.

Mean *D* for non-synonymous sites differed significantly among modes of plasticity

evolution (Fig. 5E), but mean *D* did not differ significantly for synonymous sites (Fig. 5F).

A. lyrata genes that evolved a magnified plastic reaction, but no basal change in

expression, displayed the most negative Tajima's *D* of all modes of plasticity evolution at

non-synonymous sites (Fig 5EF). We performed the same analysis on the polymorphism

for orthologous gene sets within *A. thaliana.* Compared to *A. lyrata*, Tajima's *D* in *A.*

thaliana was generally lower, presumably due to its history of population expansion[45].

Yet, the distinctive Tajima's *D* of *A. lyrata* genes with a magnified plasticity was specific

to *A. lyrata,* because the Tajima's *D* of its orthologous *A. thaliana* genes was not lower

than that of orthologous genes in the other plasticity groups (Fig. 5A,G). This finding

indicates that amino-acid variants in *A. lyrata* tend to segregate at lower frequencies, if

they affect genes with a magnified plasticity (Fig. 5G). To confirm that the amino-acid

sequence of genes with a magnified plasticity are subject to stronger evolutionary

constraints, we estimated the distribution of fitness effects (DFE) of new non

synonymous mutations for each gene group, using the software fitδaδi[47,48]. Parameters

of a demographic model for the *A. lyrata* population have been previously determined[46].

Population data, simulated under this model by fitδaδi, fitted well with the site

frequency spectrum of synonymous variation observed in the population (Fig. S10). The DFE was then subsequently estimated by fitting a gamma distribution of fitness effects to the frequency spectrum of non-synonymous variants[47] (Fig. 5H). This analysis showed that, of all modes of plasticity evolution, the genes with a magnified plastic reaction to stress showed the lowest proportion of variants with weak fitness effects. Bootstrapping confirmed that the predicted proportion of nearly neutral deleterious variants was significantly lower among these genes (Fig. S11). This pattern is in agreement with the higher proportion of low-frequency variants indicated by the lower Tajima's *D* statistic of this group of genes, compared to other plastic genes (Fig 5H, Fig. S11). In conclusion, *A. lyrata* genes that evolved a magnified response after 6h of stress not only accumulated an excess of derived cis-acting variants, they also appear to be subject to increased selective constraints at the amino-acid level.

Waddington proposed that stabilizing selection around the new optimum (canalization) would favor the genetic assimilation of plastic responses[11]. In *A. lyrata*, the constraints detectable on genes with an assimilated stress response that combine the two predominant types of basal and plastic regulatory changes have neither increased nor decreased (Fig. 5A-D). The fitness effects of new mutations in these genes do not differ significantly from those in genes whose regulation remained unchanged (Fig. 5A-D, Fig. S9). This result indicates that the genetic assimilation of the ancestral plastic response is unlikely to associate with increased canalization at the amino-acid level in *A. lyrata*. Future studies will have to examine whether the excess of cis-acting changes leading to a decrease in plasticity in *A. halleri* reflects selection to lower the energetic cost associated with plasticity or whether it is a result of relaxed constraints on the regulation of the stress response[49].

The rapid and complex plastic responses plants have evolved allow them to tune reactions to ever-changing environmental stressors, with ecological priorities for survival, growth and reproduction[50]. By documenting the cis-regulatory divergence between *A. halleri* or *A. lyrata* and their common outgroup *A. thaliana,* we show that the divergence of plastic stress responses in the *Arabidopsis* genus has a broad polygenic basis. By comparing the direction of cis-acting changes with the direction of the plastic reaction, we demonstrate the profound

impact of plasticity on the accumulation of regulatory mutations in each lineage, lending the strongest support to date for the idea that plasticity can be stepping stone for the evolution of transcription[7]. Previous studies concluded that most transcript changes in reaction to stress were maladaptive because they were predominantly lost during adaptation[18,20–22]. Here, we challenge these approaches by using two sources of information to infer the adaptive relevance of regulatory changes in the plastic response to stress. First, by comparing allele-specific expression across the two interspecific hybrids, we estimated lineage-specific rates of accumulation of derived cis-acting variants, an approach that has proven successful in revealing the polygenic response to selection on complex traits [29,48]. Second, we used the site frequency spectrum of synonymous and non-synonymous mutations in *A. lyrata* to test whether the fitness effects of new mutations in plastic genes depends on the mode of plasticity evolution[29]. In *A. lyrata*, the lineage that best survived the severe dehydration imposed in this experiment (Fig. S1) and displays the strongest drought tolerance [39,51], both approaches point to the sustained action of polygenic selection for an increase in expression plasticity of many genes, rather than its loss. In *A. halleri*, instead, we did observe an excess of cis-acting variants leading to a decreased transcriptional plasticity to stress. We conclude that plasticity can potentiate the adaptation of gene expression, but its selective remodeling depends on the ecological context of each lineage.

Methods

Plant materials and stress treatments

Seeds of *A. thaliana* accession Col-0 and *Arabidopsis lyrata* ssp. *lyrata* genotype MN47 were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC, USA). Seeds of *A. halleri* h2-2 (Gorno, Italy) were obtained from Pierre Saumitou-Laprade (University of Lille, France). Based on previous work, these genotypes are representative of the difference in drought tolerance between the three species[39]. F1 crosses were generated by pollinating emasculated *A. thaliana* flowers with pollen of *A. lyrata* (AthxAly) or *A. halleri* (AthxAhal), as described in de Meaux et al. (2006)[52]. Crosses with *A. thaliana* as the pollen parent were unsuccessful and thus no reciprocal F1s were included.

Col-0, MN47, AthxAly and AthxAha F1 hybrids were germinated and grown on germination medium containing Murashige and Skoog salts, 1% sucrose, and 0.8% agar. The plants were stratified for 3 days at 4°C, and then transferred to soil. Plants were grown for 4 weeks. *A.*

halleri plants were multiplied by clonal amplification and grown for three weeks. Plants were

all randomly placed and grown in a chamber at 20°C under 14 h light/ 16°C 10 h dark under

dim light (100 mmol sec±1 m±2). Dehydration treatment was applied in four independent

trials performed at one-week intervals and followed a published protocol [53]. Germination or

cuttings were staggered over four weeks to ensure that plant size at harvest was comparable

across the four trials. For the dehydration treatment, the aerial part of the plants was cut at

the base of the roots to mimic a rupture of the water column, as occurs when the plant wilts,

and deposited on absorbent paper for 0, 1.5, 3, 6, 12 and 24 hours in the growth chamber

under the same growth conditions. Plants were weighed before and after the stress prior to

flash freezing for RNA extraction to verify that the rate of water loss was similar for all three

species (Fig. S1). The ecological relevance of this treatment was confirmed in a second

experiment by determining the different survival rates of the plants in response to the stress

(Fig. S1). *A. lyrata* was the only species to survive 24h of acute dehydration, a result in line

with its known ability to withstand several days of wilting[39]. Additionally, there was a

significant overlap of stress-responsive genes in this experiment to the stress-responsive

genes in Bouzid et al. [39] (hypergeometric test, Table S1), where the authors performed a

more progressive dry-down experiment conducted in potted plants.

RNA isolation, preparation of cDNA libraries and sequencing

The whole aerial part of parental or hybrid individuals was flash frozen in Eppendorf tubes

using liquid nitrogen and homogenized to fine powder in a Homogenizer of Precellys

Evolution (Bertin Technologies). Total RNA was extracted in 1 ml of Invitrogen TRIzol Plus

RNA Purification System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and decontaminated with the DNA-free

kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA quality and quantity were examined with the Bioanalyzer

2100 (Agilent) and Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Two microgram of

Total RNA was used for library preparation. Library preparation followed the TruSeq®

Illumina RNA Sample Preparation v2 Guide. Sequencing was performed on Illumina

HiSeq2000 following the manufacturer's protocols, and paired-end 100 bp long reads were

obtained. 15.6-21.5 million paired reads for each sample of the parents and 33.4-41.1 million

reads for each sample of the hybrids were produced.

Read processing

After FastQC quality check (www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/), the
FastX-toolkit was used for sequence trimming and filtering. Low-quality nucleotides were
removed from the 3' ends of the sequences (fastq_quality_trimmer -Q 33 -t20 -l 50).
Sequences were reverse-complemented by fastx_reverse_complement, and 5' ends were
cut following the parameter described above, before being reverse-complemented back to
the original direction of reads. Reads with less than 90% bases above quality threshold
(fastq_quality_filter -Q 33 -q 20 -p 90), and paired-end reads with a single valid end were
removed by a custom R script. Trimmed and filtered reads were saved for further analysis.
For *A. halleri,* we generated a pseudo-genome using the *A. lyrata* genome and an assembly
of the *A. halleri* transcriptome. For this, the *A. halleri* transcriptome was first assembled as
described below using the bulk of 24 *A. halleri* transcriptomes yielding a total of 456 million
paired-end reads generated in this study. After verifying assembly quality (91% transcripts
are single-copy orthologues), we used the assembly to generate a pseudo-reference genome
for *A. halleri*.

The *A. halleri* pseudo-reference genome was generated by aligning the *A. halleri*
transcriptome to the *A. lyrata* genome with BLAT[54], calling the SNP variants after the
alignment and substituting them on the *A. lyrata* genome with a custom script. The
proportion of mapped reads for samples of *A. halleri* and its hybrid using *A. halleri* pseudo
genome was similar to the proportion of mapped reads for samples of *A. lyrata* and its
hybrid obtained using *A. lyrata* genome as a reference (see Table S6, Fig. S12). When *A.*
lyrata is used as a reference for *A. halleri* transcriptome, ~70% of the total reads map to the
reference. However, 90% of the *A. halleri* reads can be uniquely mapped on the *A. halleri*
pseudogenome, a percentage of mapped reads comparable to the percentage obtained for
A. lyrata reads mapped to the *A. lyrata* reference (~90%). For the hybrids, using a hybrid
pseudo-reference genome based on the two parental species increased read mapping from
~80 to ~90% for both the *A. thaliana x A. halleri* and *A. thaliana x A. lyrata* transcriptome,
decreased mapping bias and improved the quantification of allele-specific expression (Fig.
S12C and below).

A. halleri transcriptome assembly

An assembly of the transcriptome of *A. halleri* was generated using a total of 456 million

paired-end reads generated from all A. halleri transcriptome samples during the desiccation

time-course. For this, transcriptome reads were first concatenated into two groups (*_left.fq

> all_left_reads.fq; *_right.fq > all_right_reads.fq). These reads were then mapped to the

rDNA/TEs/REs plant databases SILVA (https://www.arb-silva.de) and MIPS PlantsDB[55] using

STAR version 2.5.0c [56]. All reads mapping to rRNA and TEs were removed using SAMtools

version 1.8 (samtools fastq -f 4, Li 2011 Bioinformatics) and read errors were corrected using

CORAL version 1.4.1[57]. We further removed low quality reads and unpaired reads using

TRIMMOMATIC version 0.36[58]. For the remaining reads, we normalized read counts using

the trinity-in-silico-normalize function and then preformed a de novo assembly using

TRINITY version 2.2.0 [59].The assembly was then filtered based on IsoPct and length (the

longest isoform with the highest IsoPct) using RSEM version 1.3.0[60] and duplicated contigs

were removed using CAP3 [61]. Using BUSCO version 1.2 [62], we confirmed the high quality of

the assembly we obtained (91% single-copy).

Parental and hybrid gene expression

The complete analytical pipeline is summarized in Fig. 1 A,B and S13. For *A. thaliana, A.*

lyrata and *A. halleri* samples, all the reads were mapped to their own genomes using STAR

with default parameters [56]. Read count numbers were calculated by HTSeq-count [63] and

differential gene expression was analyzed using DESeq2 [64] with a nested model (Expression ~

Species/time). Read counts were computed as number of fragments per kilobase per million

reads (FPKM). Variance in standardized read counts did not increase with the duration of

stress, thus indicating that the reaction to acute stress remained tightly controlled

throughout the stress treatment (Fig. S11). A Spearman correlation coefficient was

computed for each gene, based on gene rank for read count, to quantify the among sample

correlation in expression level reported in Fig. 1. The significance of gene expression

variation over time (plasticity), gene expression variation across species (genetic variation)

and gene expression variation as a result of time nested within species (genetic variation in

plasticity) was tested with a generalized linear model implemented in DESeq with read count

as dependent variable and time nested within species as independent variable (note that

DESeq normalizes read counts across samples). Gene expression differences at 0h (e.g. just

before initiation of the stress) were assumed to be constitutive and reflect basal expression

level (Supplementary Data 3-7). Gene expression plasticity was quantified for each time point t of the time series as the slope of the response to stress after t hours. For this we computed the ratio of gene expression at time point t divided by gene expression at time point t_0= 0h. The significance of the plastic response was determined with the CONTRAST feature of the RESULTS function of DESeq2 [64]. Differences in plasticity between species were further computed as the log2ratio of gene expression plasticity measured in each species, that is the ratio of allele count after t h over allele count at t_0= 0h in the first species divided by the ratio of allele count after t h over allele count at t_0= 0h in the second species (e.g. log2 [($Aly_{t=6h}$/ $Aly_{t=oh}$)/ ($Ath_{t=6h}$/ $Ath_{t=oh}$)] - Supplementary Data 3-7). A negative value of this ratio indicates either a weaker response to the stress or a reversal in the direction of the plastic change, where for example a gene would be up-regulated in one species and down-regulated in the other species. The latter case, however, was rare. More than 90% of the genes that responded to stress in *A. thaliana*, responded in the same direction in the other two species (Fig. 1EG). In *A. lyrata* and *A. halleri*, genes with species-specific differences in plasticity overlapped significantly with plasticity differences reported after exposing plants to progressive soil dehydration [39].

To define the genetic basis of gene expression change, we determined allele-specific expression levels within the F1 hybrids obtained from crossing each of the two sister species *A. lyrata* and *A. halleri* with their outgroup relative *A. thaliana* as first described in *de Meaux et al., 2006*[52] (Fig. 1 A,B, S13). Briefly, allelic read counts were determined by mapping the F1 hybrid transcriptome to a hybrid genome concatenating the *A. thaliana* Col-0 reference genome (TAIR10, www.arabidopsis.org) with either the *A. lyrata* MN47 reference genome (Araly1[65]) or with the *A. halleri* pseudogenome. The trimmed and filtered reads were mapped to the hybrid genome using STAR version 2.5.0c [55] with the built-in Bowtie2 mapping program[66.] Uniquely and high-quality mapping reads were selected by "samtools view -q 10" (-b: output is bam files, -q 10, mapping quality of phred score that means 90% possibility of the mapping is correct). We focused our analysis on orthologs present in each of the three species, *A. thaliana*, A. *lyrata* and *A. halleri*. The Arsly1 genome annotation detects 17846 orthologous genes in *A. thaliana* and *A.lyrata*. The sequences of these orthologs were blasted against the *A. halleri* draft genome sequences of Aha1.1 (Phytozome 10.1, Arabidopsis halleri v1.1). Criteria for orthologous genes was set as hit E-value < 1 e -20)

and pairs of genes with reciprocal best BLAST hits were defined to be orthologs. A total of

528 genes had no detectable orthologs in the *A. halleri* draft genome, resulting in a set of 17

318 orthologous genes in the three species.

We added several steps to prevent distortion due to mapping bias. First, unmapped *A. halleri*

reads were cut in 30 bp-long read fragments and re-mapped to the reference of *A. lyrata*.

Second, we used a set of SNPs fixed in the two sister lineages to re-assign reads that had

mapped to the wrong parental genome, because the bowtie2 algorithm sometimes maps

reads on the wrong parental allele. For this, the orthologous cDNA sequences of *A. thaliana*

and *A. lyrata* were aligned using MAFFT[67] and 1.34 million SNPs were located. A collection of

A. halleri derived SNPs was provided by P. Novikova (MPI-PZ, Cologne). This collection was

used to select the 1.02 M SNPs that were fixed in the common ancestor of *A. lyrata* and *A.*

halleri. This set of SNPs was used to re-assign the parental origin of each read, based on

Samtools SNP callings. We also observed that regions close to intron and/or highly divergent

segments, often failed to map properly, causing a mapping bias. We therefore quantified

relative allelic abundance focusing on SNPs more than 50 bp away from introns, excluding

regions with more than 10 SNPs in 200 bp (greater than the mapping parameter of mismatch

number 5 in 100 bp) to minimize mapping bias caused by highly divergent (and/or

misannotated) gene regions. Loci with a mean final read count less than 10 were discarded

from the analysis to exclude low-expressed genes. Finally, we excluded any SNP position for

which the total coverage in the DNA samples was less than 5 reads. After all these filters,

252454 SNPs were kept here (14.6 SNPs per gene). Final parental read counts at each SNP

included both mapped reads and remapped 30bp fragments, and the median allele ratio SNP

ratio was computed for each gene. Finally, each parental read count was divided by a size

factor (Total read count for each ortholog/ median total read counts for all orthologs[68]). We

used previously published DNAseq data for the hybrids[29] to confirm that these filters

allowed an effective control of mapping biases.

We then identified genes showing significant <u>a</u>llele-<u>s</u>pecific <u>e</u>xpression (ASE) with a General

Linearized Model (GLM) including a quasi-binomial distribution of error. Under this model,

the null hypothesis H_0 assumes no difference between RNA and DNA samples (Allele ratio of

$\mu_{RNA} = \mu_{DNA}$), the alternative hypothesis H_1 assumes ASE at the gene considered (ASE, Allele

ratio of $\mu_{RNA} \neq \mu_{DNA}$). In other words, the null hypothesis H_0 assumes no differences in the

allele ratio between RNA and DNA reads, whereas the alternative H_1 assumes ASE. The GLM

model used the read counts of each of the two alleles as dependent variable, and tested for

the effect of the 7 sample types (DNA, RNA 0h, RNA 1.5h, RNA 3h, RNA 6h, RNA 12h and

RNA 24h) nested within each hybrid type. Loci with a mean final read count < 10 were

discarded from the analysis to exclude low-expressed genes. Significant ASE genes were

defined by contrasting treatment specific allelic ratios to DNA allelic ratios. P-values were

adjusted for controlling the false discovery rate (FDR correction [69]). An FDR threshold of 5%

was fixed to call significant ASE genes.

Inferring cis-**acting contribution to parental differences**

We analyzed separately constitutive expression difference (i.e. allele or parental expression

changes measured at time point 0, before initiation of the stress), and differences in

expression plasticity, to account for interspecific differences in the timing and magnitude of

gene activation or repression (Fig. 1B, Fig. 2A, Fig. 3A, Fig. S13). Low expression genes in

either parent or hybrid (mean count <10) were removed from the analysis.

Comparing parental and allele-specific expression differences, we defined three categories

of gene expression changes, akin to the categories defined by Wittkopp et al. (2004)[42]. We

first defined basal expression differences and their genetic architecture (Fig. S13, Fig. S5). If

parental genotypes differed significantly in expression (FDR<0.05) while no significant

difference in allele-specific expression (ASE) was detected in their hybrid (FDR>0.05), the

genetic basis of the expression change was defined as trans-only change. If significant

differences were detected both between parental genotypes (FDR>0.05) and between

alleles in the hybrid (FDR>0.05), a cis-acting variant was inferred to contribute to the

expression change. We did not consider genes where *ASE* was detected in the absence of a

significant parental difference (Fig. S5, purple). Indeed, since alleles are expressed in the

same environment in F1s, we have greater power to detect allele specific differences than

differences between parents. We also did not consider the (rare) genes where the preferred

expressed alleles in the hybrid did not belong to the parent expressing the gene at the

highest level (Fig. S5).

We then determined genes with a plastic cis-acting variant as the genes for which a change

in allele-specific expression contributed to change in the plastic response after initiation of

the stress (Fig. S5, S13, Table S3). For the analysis of variation in plasticity of gene expression

during stress, we used the ratio of plastic expression after 1.5-24h of stress over gene expression at 0h. Significant difference was determined in a single GLM model with quasi-binomial distribution nesting the duration of stress within hybrid type or parental difference. For the hybrids, we used the ratio of the ASE ratio at 1.5-24h of stress vs the ratio of ASE at time point 0h (tp 0, FDR < 0.1). Genetic variants of expression plasticity in response to stress were called significant at FDR<0.1 (Fig. S5 – xh vs 0h).

Testing for the impact of plasticity on the direction of gene expression evolution

A. thaliana genes with a significantly higher or lower expression after 1.5h-24h compared to 0h (FDR<0.05) were defined as plastic and partitioned into up-regulated or down-regulated genes. To test for the impact of the direction of plasticity on the direction of evolutionary change in basal expression level, we used the plastic response of *A. thaliana* as a proxy for the ancestral direction of plastic stress response, since this species forms an outgroup to the sister species *A. halleri* and *A. lyrata.* We then determined whether changes in basal expression in each species were orthoplastic (i.e. in the direction of supposed ancestral plasticity) or paraplastic (i.e. in the direction opposite to supposed ancestral plasticity).

For each gene, we plotted the ratio of expression level of *A. lyrata or A. halleri* over the fold change in expression observed in *A. thaliana* (e.g. interspecific evolutionary change in expression significant at FDR 0.05) as a function of the plastic response in *A. thaliana* (significant stress response at FDR = 0.05) (Fig 2A). We then counted the number of genes whose interspecific difference in basal expression (at 0h, before initiation of the stress) was in the direction of the stress response in *A. thaliana* (orthoplastic) or opposite (paraplastic). We subsequently used a chi-squared test with 1 degree of freedom to test whether gene counts were randomly distributed in the 4 quadrants of the plots, thereby estimating the proportion of genes evolving by orthoplasy, given their probability to be up- or down-regulated by stress (Fig 2A-C). We subsequently used a chi-squared test with 1 degree of freedom to test whether the proportion of genes with a detectable cis-acting variant were randomly distributed among these genes. Finally, we compared the distribution in each quadrant in *A. lyrata* with the distribution expected based on the distribution observed in *A. halleri,* and used a chi-squared test with 4 degrees of freedom to determine significant differences in the contribution of cis- acting variants to the modification of basal expression levels.

A similar approach was used to test for the impact of the direction of plasticity on the

direction of evolutionary change in plasticity, which is, as already described above, the ratio

of allele count after t h over allele count at t_0= 0h in the first species divided by the ratio of

allele count after t h over allele count at t_0= 0h in the second species. In other term, the

change in plasticity was computed as log [(Aly$_{t=6h}$/ Aly$_{t=oh}$)/ (Ath$_{t=6h}$/ Ath$_{t=oh}$)]. A positive value

indicates an increase in the magnitude of the plastic response (magnification) and a negative

value indicates a decrease in magnitude and/or a reversion of the plastic reaction

(mitigation). Note, however, that for 90% of the genes, the direction of gene expression

change was conserved between species. Significant plasticity changes were taken at FDR 0.1

(Fig. 2A-C). In this way, we computed the proportion of genes evolving by a magnified vs.

mitigated plastic response as well as the contribution of cis-acting variants to these

evolutionary outcomes (Fig. S3G,H, Fig. S5).

Comparative analysis of the distribution of fitness effects in *A. lyrata* and *A. thaliana*

For analyzing the strength of selection, whole genome data for 16 *A. lyrata* individuals

collected in Plech (Germany) were taken from Takou et al. 2020[46], and 40 *A. thaliana*

individuals from Spain were taken from 1001 genomes [70]. Individuals were randomly down-

sampled to have the same number of observed alleles for all sites. Vcf files were filtered to

remove Indels, sites with more than two alleles, sites with coverage < 10, genotype quality <

20 or quality < 30 as well as positions with more than 80% of the individuals missing data, as

described in Takou et al. 2020[46]. We focused on orthologous genes in this dataset that had

polymorphism information in both species. Synonymous and nonsynonymous positions were

retained and folded site frequency spectra were generated for non-overlapping groups of

genes defined for each mode of plasticity evolution using R (Fig. S10). As an additional

control, we included a group of plastic genes, whose plastic reaction did not change

between *A. lyrata* and *A. thaliana.* Additionally, summary statistics (π_n/π_s, Tajima's D, π)

were calculated using custom R scripts for each of the categories. Ka and Ks were calculated

using an alignment of *A. lyrata* and *A. thaliana* as described in He et al. [29], with the "kaks"

function of R package seqinr. For each summary statistic 1000 bootstrap replicates were

generated by resampling the genes with replacement, and recalculating the statistics over all

genes. An index of interspecific changes was calculated by the ratio between the species

$((D_{Aly}+2)/ (D_{Ath}+2))$ for the bootstrap replicates. Differences between plasticity groups were

assessed by calculating if the difference between the groups significantly differed from 0 for

each bootstrap by using the union of the bootstrap values to calculate what proportion of

the differences between the groups significantly differed from 0, multiplied by 2, to account

for the fact that the test is one-sided. Note that for three mode of plasticity evolution

(paraplasy-mitigation, orthoplasy-magnification, paraplasy-magnification), the number of

genes was small and bootstraps revealed high variance. Those modes of plasticity evolution

were therefore not further considered in the analysis.

The program fitδaδi [47] was used to estimate the distribution of fitness effects. This extension

to the δaδi program [71], which infers demographic history and infers selection based on

genomic data, allows us to specify the demographic model when inferring selection. In short,

we are using the simplified demographic model for the *A. lyrata* Plech population based on

the demographic model in Takou et al. 2020[46]. The synonymous population scaled mutation

rate was estimated using the δaδi function Inference.optimal_sfs_scaling and multiplied by

2.76 to get the nonsynonymous mutation rate. The nonsynonymous SFS was then used to

estimate the selection parameters which are given by the shape and scale parameter of the

gamma distribution. 200 bootstrap replicates of the resampled SFS were used to get 95%

confidence intervals for the gamma distribution parameters.

Inferring the phylogenetic origin of cis-**acting variants and their lineage-specific rate of**

accumulation

To infer the phylogenetic origin of cis-acting modifications, we defined *cis* acting changes as

ancestral if they were shared between the two types of F1 hybrids, which shared *A. thaliana*

as an outgroup. If the cis-acting variant was specific to one of the hybrids, this change was

considered to be derived in the corresponding parent, i.e *A. lyrata-* or *A. halleri*-derived.

Genes that displayed a change in plasticity contributed by at least one cis-acting

modification (e.g. basal cis-change, plastic cis-change or both) were considered as

independent variants (although they could have been controlled by more than one

mutation) and were assigned to one of the eight combinations of basal change (invariable /

Orthoplasy / Paraplasy) and plastic change (invariable /Magnification / Mitigation): Paraplasy

Magnification, Paraplasy Mitigation, Paraplasy only, Orthoplasy Magnification, Orthoplasy

Mitigation, Orthoplasy only, Magnification only, and Migation only (Table S7). Genes with

cis-acting variants that were shared in both comparisons of *A. halleri* or *A. lyrata* to *A.*

thaliana were separated from the lineage-specific derived cis-acting variants, because the

evolutionary direction of their effect could not be determined. A chi-squared test with seven

degrees of freedom was performed to test whether the proportion of derived cis-acting

variants in one of the lineages was different from either the distribution expected based on

the other lineage.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by DFG within the CRC680 program, and ERC with Consolidator Grant

648617 "Adaptoscope". We thank Emily Wheeler, Boston for editorial assistance.

Data availability

The RNAseq data generated in this study have been deposited in the Sequence Read Archive
(SRA) database under accession code PRJNA640858
[https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/PRJNA640858]. The Transcriptome Shotgun Assembly of
Arabidopsis halleri has been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the accession
GJCZ00000000. The version described in this paper is the first version, GJCZ01000000. The
phenotypic data generated in this study are provided in the Supplementary Information.

Author contributions

JDM, PK, AB, FH and KAS designed the study. FH and MB produced the data. FH, KAS, VK, UG,

MB, JDM analyzed the data. KAS, FH and JDM wrote the manuscript with input from all

authors.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1.   Peirson, BRE. Plasticity, stability, and yield: The origins of Anthony David Bradshaw's

model of adaptive phenotypic plasticity. *Studies in History and Philosophy of Science Part*

C: Studies in History and Philosophy of Biological and Biomedical Sciences **50**, 51–66

(2015).

2.   West-Eberhard, MJ. *Developmental Plasticity and Evolution*. (Oxford University Press,

2003).

3.   Ancel, LW. Undermining the Baldwin Expediting Effect: Does Phenotypic Plasticity

Accelerate Evolution? *Theoretical Population Biology* **58**, 307–319 (2000).

4.   Crispo, E. The Baldwin Effect and Genetic Assimilation: Revisiting Two Mechanisms of

Evolutionary Change Mediated by Phenotypic Plasticity. *Evolution* **61**, 2469–2479 (2007).

5.   Ghalambor, CK, McKAY, JK, Carroll, SP & Reznick, DN. Adaptive versus non-adaptive

phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new

environments. *Functional Ecology* **21**, 394–407 (2007).

6.   Kelly, M. Adaptation to climate change through genetic accommodation and assimilation

of plastic phenotypes. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*

Sciences **374**, 20180176 (2019).

7.   Levis, NA & Pfennig, DW. Evaluating 'Plasticity-First' Evolution in Nature: Key Criteria and

Empirical Approaches. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **31**, 563–574 (2016).

8.   Pigliucci, M. Phenotypic plasticity and evolution by genetic assimilation. *Journal of*

Experimental Biology **209**, 2362–2367 (2006).

9.   Baldwin, JM. A new factor in evolution. *The american naturalist* **30**, 441–451 (1896).

10.   Lande, R. Adaptation to an extraordinary environment by evolution of phenotypic

plasticity and genetic assimilation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **22**, 1435–1446 (2009).

11. Waddington, CH. Genetic Assimilation. in *Advances in Genetics* (eds. Caspari, E. W. &

Thoday, J. M.) vol. 10 257–293 (Academic Press, 1961).

12. Corl, A *et al.* The Genetic Basis of Adaptation following Plastic Changes in Coloration in a

Novel Environment. *Current Biology* **28**, 2970-2977.e7 (2018).

13. Izawa, T. Adaptation of flowering-time by natural and artificial selection in Arabidopsis

and rice. *J Exp Bot* **58**, 3091–3097 (2007).

14. Levis NA, Isdaner AJ & Pfennig D W. Morphological novelty emerges from pre-existing

phenotypic plasticity. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **2**, 1289 (2018).

15. Susoy V, Ragsdale EJ, Kanzaki N & Sommer RJ. Rapid diversification associated with a

macroevolutionary pulse of developmental plasticity. *eLife* **4**, e05463 (2015).

16. Chevin L-M, Lande R & Mace GM. Adaptation, Plasticity, and Extinction in a Changing

Environment: Towards a Predictive Theory. *Plos Biol* **8**, e1000357 (2010).

17. Palacio-López K, Beckage B, Scheiner S & Molofsky J. The ubiquity of phenotypic

plasticity in plants: a synthesis. *Ecology and Evolution* **5**, 3389–3400 (2015).

18. Dayan DI, Crawford DL & Oleksiak MF. Phenotypic plasticity in gene expression

contributes to divergence of locally adapted populations of Fundulus heteroclitus. *Mol*

Ecol **24**, 3345–3359 (2015).

19. Fischer EK, Ghalambor CK & Hoke KL. Can a Network Approach Resolve How Adaptive vs

Nonadaptive Plasticity Impacts Evolutionary Trajectories? *Integr Comp Biol* **56**, 877–888

(2016).

20. Ghalambor CK. *et al.* Non-adaptive plasticity potentiates rapid adaptive evolution of

gene expression in nature. *Nature* **525**, 372–375 (2015).

21. Ho W-C & Zhang J. Evolutionary adaptations to new environments generally reverse

plastic phenotypic changes. *Nature Communications* **9**, 350 (2018).

22. Huang Y & Agrawal AF. Experimental Evolution of Gene Expression and Plasticity in

Alternative Selective Regimes. *PLoS Genet* **12**, e1006336 (2016).

23. Koch EL & Guillaume F. Restoring ancestral phenotypes by reduction of plasticity is a

general pattern in gene expression during adaptation to different stressors in Tribolium

castaneum. *bioRxiv* 683771 (2019) doi:10.1101/683771.

24. Levine MT, Eckert ML & Begun DJ. Whole-Genome Expression Plasticity across Tropical

and Temperate Drosophila melanogaster Populations from Eastern Australia. *Molecular*

Biology and Evolution **28**, 249–256 (2011).

25. van Gestel J & Weissing FJ. Is plasticity caused by single genes? *Nature* **555**, E19–E20

(2018).

26. de Meaux, J. Cis-regulatory variation in plant genomes and the impact of natural

selection. *American Journal of Botany* **105**, 1788–1791 (2018).

27. Steige KA, Laenen B, Reimegård J, Scofield DG & Slotte T. Genomic analysis reveals major

determinants of cis-regulatory variation in Capsella grandiflora. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences **114**, 1087–1092 (2017).

28. Fyon F, Cailleau A & Lenormand T. Enhancer Runaway and the Evolution of Diploid Gene

Expression. *PLoS Genet* **11**, e1005665 (2015).

29. He F *et al.* The footprint of polygenic selection on stress-responsive cis-regulatory

divergence in the Arabidopsis genus. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **33**, 2088–2101

(2016).

30. Mallard F, Jakšić AM & Schlötterer C. Contesting the evidence for non-adaptive plasticity.

Nature **555**, E21–E22 (2018).

31. Duveau F, Yuan DC, Metzger BPH, Hodgins-Davis A & Wittkopp PJ. Effects of mutation

and selection on plasticity of a promoter activity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *PNAS* **114**,

E11218–E11227 (2017).

32. Dyken JDV & Wade MJ. The Genetic Signature of Conditional Expression. *Genetics* **184**,

557–570 (2010).

33. Landry CR, Lemos B, Rifkin SA, Dickinson WJ & Hartl DL. Genetic Properties Influencing

the Evolvability of Gene Expression. *Science* **317**, 118–121 (2007).

34. Wittkopp PJ, Haerum BK, Clark AG. Regulatory changes underlying expression differences

within and between *Drosophila species*. Nat Genet 40:346–350 (2008).

35. Tirosh I, Reikhav S, Levy AA, Barkai N. A yeast hybrid provides insight into the evolution

of gene expression regulation. Science **324**, 659-62 (2009).

36. Lemmon ZH, Bukowski R, Sun Q, Doebley JF. The role of cis regulatory evolution in maize

domestication. PLoS Genet. 10:e1004745 (2014).

37. Cubillos FA, Stegle O, Grondin C, Canut M, Tisne S, Gy I, Loudet O. Extensive cis-

regulatory variation robust to environmental pertur- bation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **26**,

4298–4310 (2014).

38. Haas M, Himmelbach A, Mascher M. The contribution of cis- and trans-acting variants to

gene regulation in wild and domesticated barley under cold stress and control

conditions. J Exp Bot. **71**, 2573-2584 (2020).

39. Bouzid, M. *et al.* Arabidopsis species deploy distinct strategies to cope with drought

stress. *Ann Bot* (2019) doi:10.1093/aob/mcy237.

40. Gill SS & Tuteja N. Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress

tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **48**, 909–930 (2010).

41. Reczek CR & Chandel NS. ROS-dependent signal transduction. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **33**, 8–

13 (2015).

42. Wittkopp PJ, Haerum BK & Clark AG. Evolutionary changes in cis and trans gene

regulation. *Nature* **430**, 85–88 (2004).

43. Sikkink KL, Reynolds RM, Ituarte CM, Cresko WA & Phillips PC. Rapid Evolution of

Phenotypic Plasticity and Shifting Thresholds of Genetic Assimilation in the Nematode

Caenorhabditis remanei. *G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* **4**, 1103–1112 (2014).

44. Mattila TM, Tyrmi J, Pyhäjärvi T & Savolainen O. Genome-Wide Analysis of Colonization

History and Concomitant Selection in Arabidopsis lyrata. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*

34, 2665–2677 (2017).

45. Nordborg M *et al.* The Pattern of Polymorphism in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plos Biol* **3**, e196

(2005).

46. Takou M *et al.* Maintenance of adaptive dynamics and no detectable load in a range-

edge out-crossing plant population. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 2020 accepted.

47. Kim BY, Huber CD & Lohmueller KE. Inference of the Distribution of Selection

Coefficients for New Nonsynonymous Mutations Using Large Samples. *Genetics* **206**,

345–361 (2017).

48. Eyre-Walker A & Keightley, PD. The distribution of fitness effects of new mutations. *Nat*

Rev Genet **8**, 610–618 (2007).

49. Auld JR, Agrawal AA, Relyea RA. Re-evaluating the costs and limits of adaptive

phenotypic plasticity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences.*

277(1681):503-11 (2010).

50. Hirayama T & Shinozaki K. Research on plant abiotic stress responses in the post-genome

era: past, present and future. *The Plant Journal* **61**, 1041–1052 (2010).

51. Koch MA. The plant model system Arabidopsis set in an evolutionary, systematic, and

spatio-temporal context. *J Exp Bot* **70**, 55–67 (2019).

52. de Meaux J, Pop A & Mitchell-Olds T. Cis-regulatory evolution of chalcone-synthase

expression in the genus Arabidopsis. *Genetics* **174**, 2181–2202 (2006).

53. Seki M *et al.* Monitoring the expression profiles of 7000 Arabidopsis genes under

drought, cold and high-salinity stresses using a full-length cDNA microarray. *Plant J.* **31**,

279–292 (2002).

54. Kent WJ. BLAT – the BLAST-like alignment tool. *GenomeRes* **12**, 656-664 (2002).

55. Nussbaumer T *et al.* MIPS PlantsDB: a database framework for comparative plant

genome research, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 41:1144–D1151 (2012).

56. Dobin A *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).

57. Salmela L, Schröder J. Correcting errors in short reads by multiple alignments.

Bioinformatics. 27(11):1455-1461 (2011).

58. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence

data. *Bioinformatics*. 30(15):2114-2120 (2014).

59. Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, et al. Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-

Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat Biotechnol*. 29(7):644-652 (2011).

60. Li B, Dewey CN. RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or

without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12,** 323 (2011).

61. Huang X, Madan A. CAP3: A DNA sequence assembly program. *Genome Res*. 9(9):868-

877 (1999).

62. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing

genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs.

Bioinformatics. 31(19):3210-3212 (2015).

63. Anders S, Pyl PT & Huber W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput

sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166–169 (2015).

64. Love MI, Huber W & Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**, 550 (2014).

65. Nordberg H, Cantor M, Dusheyko S, Hua S, Poliakov A, Shabalov I, Smirnova T, Grigoriev

IV, Dubchak I. The genome portal of the department of energy joint genome institute:

2014 updates. Nucleic Acids Res. 42:D26–D31 (2014).

66. Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, van Baren MJ, Salzberg SL, Wold

BJ, Pachter L. Transcript assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated

transcripts and isoform switching during cell differentiation. Nat Biotechnol. 28:516–520

(2010).

67. Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 30:772–780 (2013).

68. Dillies M-A, Rau A, Aubert J, Hennequet-Antier C, Jeanmougin M, Servant N, Keime C,

Marot G, Castel D, Estelle J, et al. . A comprehensive evaluation of normalization

methods for Illumina high-throughput RNA sequencing data analysis. Brief Bioinform.

14:671–683 (2013).

69. Benjamini Y & Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate - a Practical and

Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-*

Methodological **57**, 289–300 (1995).

70. 1001 Genomes Consortium. Electronic address: magnus.nordborg@gmi.oeaw.ac.at &

1001 Genomes Consortium. 1,135 Genomes Reveal the Global Pattern of Polymorphism

in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Cell* doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.063 (2016).

71. Gutenkunst RN, Hernandez RD, Williamson SH & Bustamante CD. Inferring the Joint

Demographic History of Multiple Populations from Multidimensional SNP Frequency

Data. *PLOS Genetics* **5**, e1000695 (2009).

 Figure 1: **Overview of the experimental setup and number of genes responding to stress**

response, the time point of maximal gene expression change

(A) Overview of the experimental setup for the desiccation stress for the three *Arabidopsis*

species and two F1 hybrids *A. thaliana* x *A. lyrata* and *A. thaliana* x *A. halleri*. In four

independent trials, aerial parts of the plants were cut and left to dry out on absorbent paper

for 0, 1.5, 3, 6, 12 and 24 hours under growth conditions. Samples for transcriptome

sequencing were flash frozen after the treatment and used for RNA extraction. (B) Definition

of basal and plastic cis-regulatory changes. If basal expression differences between the

parents (step 1) can be explained by a significant basal allelic ratio (step2) in the F1, a basal

cis-acting change is contributing to the change in gene expression. If the ratio of the

expression response between the parents (step 1) due to the stress can be explained by the

significant change in the allelic ratio in the F1s (step 2), a plastic cis-acting change is

contributing to the change in slope. Details for the analytical pipeline in Figure S13.

(C) Number of genes showing significant up- and down-regulation at each time point after

initiation of the stress in each of the three species. *A. lyrata* has a higher number of up- and

down-regulated genes at intermediate time points. D) Number of genes reaching their

maximum log-fold change at each time point in each of the three species confirms the

stronger response in *A. lyrata* at intermediate points E-G) Strong pairwise correlation in gene

expression fold change between 0 and 6 hours into the desiccation stress for each species

pairs : (E) *A. thaliana* vs *A. lyrata* (R=0.84, p < 1e-321), (F) *A. halleri* vs *A. lyrata* (R=0.85, p <

1e-321) and (G) *A. halleri* vs *A. thaliana* (R=0.83, p < 1e-321). This indicates that the overall

response to the stress is similar between the species and that the direction of transcriptional

plasticity predates species divergence.

888
Figure 2: **Basal expression differences between species depend on the direction of**
plasticity in their common outgroup species. (A) Sketch illustrating what ortho- or para-
plastic changes in the derived species (*A. halleri* or *A. lyrata*) correspond to for up- and
down-regulated genes, using *A. thaliana* as a proxy for the plasticity and gene expression
level in their common ancestor. Black dot: ortho-plastic change, grey dot: para-plastic
change*.* Ortho- and para-plastic changes in *A. halleri* (B) and *A. lyrata* (C). x-axis: ratio of gene
expression after 6 hours in *A. thaliana,* y-axis: ratio of basal expression in each species,
compared to expression in *A. thaliana* after 6h*.* Bars represent the number in each quadrant
of the plot. (D) Number of ortho- (black) and para-plastic (grey) changes in *A. halleri* and *A.*
thaliana. This terminology helps describe the evolutionary change in any given species, but
we note that orthoplastic basal regulatory changes in one species are de facto paraplastic in
the outgroup species and *vice-versa*. (E) The number of genes for which a basal cis-
regulatory change was detected in hybrids (significant log2ratio of *A. halleri/A. thaliana* or *A.*
lyrata/A. thaliana among alleles in F1 hybrid and between the corresponding parental
species) or no cis-regulatory change (parental difference are not associated with a bias in
allele specific expression, assumed to be controlled in *trans*).

Figure 3: **Attenuated response to the stress compared to the plasticity observed in their outgroup species.**

(A) Sketch illustrating what magnified or mitigated response to stress in the derived species (*A. halleri* or *A.* lyrata) correspond to for up- or down-regulated genes, using *A. thaliana* as a comparison*.* Black arrow: magnified response, grey arrow: mitigated response. Magnified and mitigated response in *A. halleri* (B) and *A. lyrata* (C). y-axis: ratio of the response ratios, x-axis: ratio of gene expression after 6 hours in *A. thaliana*. Bars represent the number in each quadrant of the plot. (D) Number of genes with a magnified (black) and mitigated (grey) response in *A. halleri* and *A. lyrata,* compared to *A. thaliana*. (E) The number of genes that have a plastic cis-regulatory change (the ratio of the parental response is explained by the log2 ratios at xh vs. 0h for *A. halleri/A. thaliana* or *A. lyrata/A. thaliana* in the F1 hybrid) or no cis-regulatory change (the ratio of the parental response is not associated with a bias in the allele specific expression, assumed to be controlled in *trans*).

Fig. 4. **Distribution of derived** cis-**acting genes sets defined by their basal and/or plastic expression changes in _A. halleri_ or _A. lyrata_ compared to _A. thaliana_.** Ortho-Mag: orthoplasy and magnification, Ortho-Mit: orthoplasy and mitigation, Para-mit: paraplasy and mitigation, Para-Mag: paraplasy and magnification, Only-Mag: magnification only, Only-mit: Mitigation only, Only-Ortho: Orthoplasy only, Only-Para: Paraplasy only.

(A). The number of observed (solid bars) and expected (hatched bars) genes in each of the 8 sets. Left: _A. halleri;_ right: _A. lyrata_. "*": Significant excess or depletion, inferred by a partial Chi square test with one degree of freedom.

(B) Phylogenetic relationship between the three species allowed differentiating undetermined cis-acting changes (green arrow), which are shared between the two F1 hybrids, from the derived cis-acting changes, which are specific to one hybrid (blue and red arrow).

(C) Proportion of derived and cis-acting modifications within all genes with a basal change (left) or a plastic response (right). Dashed line shows 50%, i.e. the expectation in the absence

of a mutational bias. The total number of genes in these groups are shown in Figure S6. "*": Significantly increased ot decreased number of derived changes in *A. halleri* and *A. lyrata* compared to changes predating the separation between the two species (basal: *A. halleri* vs ancestral p=0.09959, *A. lyrata* vs ancestral p=0.0002251; plastic: *A. halleri* vs ancestral p=0.4068, *A. lyrata* vs ancestral p< 2.2e-16).

(D) Proportion of derived and cis-acting modifications within each gene set. "*": Significantly increased or decreased number of derived changes in *A. halleri* compared to *A. lyrata*. The association of basal and plastic changes in gene expression is not random (Chi-sq =47.7 df=7 p=4.13e-08 and Chi-sq =302.5 df=7 p=1.84e-61).

Fig. 5. **Tajima's D at synonymous and non-synonymous sites differ between 5 modes of**

plasticity evolution. Ortho-Mit: orthoplasy and mitigation, Only-Mag: magnification only,

Only-mit: Mitigation only, Only-Ortho: Orthoplasy only, Only-Para: Paraplasy only. The

plasticity categories Ortho-Mag, Para-Mit and Para-Mag were excluded due to having too

few genes (see Fig. 4A) and therefore too high variance.

(A) Ka/Ks ratio, (B) Ks between *A. lyrata* and *A. thaliana*, (C) Ka between *A. lyrata* and *A.*

thaliana, (D) average π per gene, (E) Tajima's D for synonymous sites and (F)

nonsynonymous sites in *A. lyrata.* Significance between the groups was estimated based on

pairwise comparison of 1000 bootstrap replicates, by using the union of the bootstrap values

to calculate what proportion of the differences between the groups significantly differed

from 0, multiplied by 2, to account for the fact that the test is one-sided. Box and whiskers

depict the 75th and 95th interquantile ranges, respectively, dots show outliers. No shared

letters mean that the groups are different with a significance cutoff at $p < 0.05$. Pvalues of all

pairwise comparisons can be found in Supplementary data 8. (G) Relative change in mean

Tajima's D for non-synonymous sites between *A. lyrata* and *A. thaliana.* ((*A. lyrata* +2) / (*A.*

thaliana +2)) and (H) Cumulative distribution function of the gamma distribution for the

control and the 5 classes of plasticity. OrthoMag, ParaMit and ParaMag were removed due

to the low number of genes in these plasticity classes.