Title: Chromosome fusions shape an ancient UV sex chromosome system

Authors: Sarah B. Carey[1,§,‡], Jerry Jenkins[2], Adam C. Payton[1,3], Shenqiang Shu[4], John T. Lovell[2], Florian Maumus[5], Avinash Sreedasyam[2], George P. Tiley[6], Noe Fernandez-Pozo[7], Kerrie Barry[4], Cindy Chen[4], Mei Wang[4], Anna Lipzen[4], Chris Daum[4], Christopher A. Saski[8], Jordan C. McBreen[1], Roth E. Conrad[9], Leslie M. Kollar[1], Sanna Olsson[10], Sanna Huttunen[11], Jacob B. Landis[12], J. Gordon Burleigh[1], Norman J. Wickett[13], Matthew G. Johnson[14], Stefan A. Rensing[7], Jane Grimwood[2,4], Jeremy Schmutz[2,4], and Stuart F. McDaniel[1,*]

Affiliations:

[1]Department of Biology, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

[2]Genome Sequencing Center, HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, Huntsville, AL, USA

[3]RAPiD Genomics, Gainesville, FL, USA

[4]US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, USA

[5]Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, URGI, 78026, Versailles, France

[6]Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA

[7]Plant Cell Biology, University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany

[8]Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, USA

[9]School of Biological Sciences, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

[10]Department of Forest Ecology and Genetics, INIA-CIFOR, Madrid, Spain

[11]Department of Biology & Biodiversity Unit, University of Turku, Finland

[12]School of Integrative Plant Science, Section of Plant Biology and the L.H. Bailey

Hortorium, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

[13]Negaunee Institute for Plant Conservation Science and Action, Chicago Botanic

Garden, Glencoe, IL, USA

[14]Department of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, USA

[§]Current address: Department of Crop, Soil, and Environmental Sciences, Auburn

University, Auburn, AL, USA

[‡]Current address: HudsonAlpha Institute for Biotechnology, Huntsville, AL, USA

[*]Corresponding author: stuartmcdaniel@ufl.edu

One Sentence Summary: Moss sex chromosomes retain thousands of broadly-

expressed genes despite millions of years of suppressed recombination.

Abstract: Sex chromosomes occur in diverse organisms, but their structural complexity

has often prevented evolutionary analyses. Here we use two chromosome-scale

reference genomes of the moss *Ceratodon purpureus* to trace the evolution of the sex

chromosomes in bryophytes. Comparative analyses show the moss genome comprises

seven remarkably stable ancestral chromosomal elements. An exception is the sex

chromosomes, which share thousands of broadly-expressed genes but lack any

synteny. We show the sex chromosomes evolved over 300 million years ago and

expanded via at least two distinct chromosomal fusions. These results link suppressed

recombination between the sex chromosomes with rapid structural change and the

evolution of distinct transposable element compositions, and suggest haploid gene

expression promotes the evolution of independent female and male gene-regulatory

networks.

Main Text:

Sex chromosomes arise when an ordinary pair of autosomes gains the capacity to determine sex (*1*). A defining characteristic of karyotypic sex-limited chromosomes (like the mammalian Y) is suppressed recombination, which links alleles that promote one sexual function. It is widely believed the lack of recombination predisposes such chromosomes to degeneration and gene-loss because natural selection is less effective in regions of low recombination (*2*, *3*). The processes by which sex chromosomes gain new genes, however, are less well understood. Multiple neutral or adaptive processes can lead to fusions between sex chromosomes and autosomes, forming neo-sex chromosomes (*4*, *5*). Such fusions are well known in animals (*6–10*), but most animal sex chromosomes rapidly degenerate to the point where few homologous genes remain (*2*), providing little data to evaluate the forces shaping these events.

Plant sex chromosomes show promise as models for the evolution of sex chromosome fusions because gene loss is apparently slowed by strong purifying selection at the haploid stage (e.g., pollen) (*11*), meaning more genes remain for comparative analyses. However, flowering plant sex chromosomes in even closely-related genera may share no genes in common, making such analyses difficult (*12*). In contrast, bryophyte sex chromosome systems may be more broadly shared (*13*, *14*), although the origins and homology of these systems are unknown. Unlike the diploid XY and ZW systems, where recombination is suppressed only on the Y or W, bryophytes typically have a UV system in which haploid females possess a U chromosome while haploid males possess a V (*15*). The U and V pair at meiosis in the diploid sporophyte, like their X-Y counterparts, and segregate to female and male spores, respectively.

However, because there is no homogametic sex, recombination is suppressed on both

sex chromosomes (i.e., all diploids are UV, meaning UU and VV individuals are never

formed), and the UV system lacks the hemizygosity found in diploid sex chromosomes.

Therefore, the asymmetric gene loss that characterizes the X-Y pair is unlikely between

the U and V (*16*, *17*). However, processes driving sex chromosome fusions in XY (or

ZW) systems, including degeneration (due to suppressed recombination or sex-limited

inheritance) or various forms of antagonistic selection (e.g. sex-ratio distortion, (*18*);

sexual dimorphism (*19*); or parent-offspring conflict, (*20*)), may also act on bryophyte

sex chromosomes.

The moss ancestral chromosomal elements.

To reconstruct the history of the bryophyte sex chromosomes, we first assembled

chromosome-scale genomes of a male (R40) and a female (GG1) isolate of the moss

Ceratodon purpureus. Using a combination of Illumina, Bacterial Artificial Chromosomes

(BACs), PacBio, and Dovetail Hi-C (*21*) (Table S1-8), the version 1.0 genome assembly

of R40 comprises 358 Megabases (Mb) in 601 contigs (N50 1.4 Mb), with 98.3% of the

assembled sequence in the largest 13 pseudomolecules, corresponding to the 13

chromosomes in the *C. purpureus* karyotype (*22*) (Fig.1A). The version 1.0 GG1

assembly is 349.5 Mb in 558 contigs (N50 1.4 Mb), with 97.9% of assembled sequence

in the largest 13 pseudomolecules. The two genome lines, GG1 and R40, were isolated

from distant localities (Gross Gerungs, Austria and Rensselaer, New York, USA,

respectively) (*23*), and are only partially interfertile (*24*), potentially as a result of the

numerous structural differences between the genomes (Fig. 1B). Using >1.5 billion

RNA-seq reads for each of the genome lines and additional *de novo* assemblies of

other *C. purpureus* isolates (*21*) (Table S9), we annotated 31,482 genes on the R40

assembly and 30,425 on GG1 (BUSCO v3.0 of 69% using Embryophyte; 96.7 and

96.4%, respectively using Eukaryote; comparable to those for *Physcomitrium patens*)

(*25*).

We performed a self-synteny analysis and found clear homeologous

chromosome pairs resulting from an ancient whole-genome duplication (WGD) event

(*21*) (Fig. 1C, S3), consistent with previous transcriptomic analyses (*23, 26*) and our

own Ks-based analyses (*21*) (Fig. S4; Table S10-11). Remarkably, we also identified

abundant synteny between *C. purpureus* and *P. patens* (*27*) (Fig. 1D), which diverged

over 200 million years ago (*28*). The inferred karyotype from the ancestor elements, and

therefore the ancestor of most extant mosses, consisted of seven chromosomes (*27*),

which we refer to as Ancestral Elements A-G (Fig. 1D). These data suggest major parts

of the gene content of moss ancestral chromosome elements are stable over hundreds

of millions of years, similar to the "Muller Elements" in *Drosophila* flies (*29*).

The *C. purpureus* sex chromosomes are large and gene rich.

The major exception to the long-term genomic stability observed in *C. purpureus* is the

sex chromosomes, which possess no obviously syntenic regions between the U and V

or with the autosomes (Fig. 1B, C). Notably, the sex chromosomes are by far the largest

chromosomes in the genome assembly*,* containing ~30% of each genome (110.5 Mb on

the V in R40, and 112.2 Mb on the U in GG1; Fig. 1-2) and are each ~3.8 times bigger

than the largest autosome (e.g., R40 chromosome one is 29 Mb). One possible reason

for their lack of synteny and large size is an increase in transposable elements (TEs),

which often accumulate in regions of low recombination and may promote structural

rearrangements. The 12 autosomal chromosomes in *C. purpureus* contain on average

46.4% TEs (*21*) (ranging between 43.2 and 49.9%; Fig. 2; Table S12), whereas the U

and V sex chromosomes contain 78.2% and 81.9%, respectively, similar to the non-

recombining Y or W sex chromosomes in other systems (*30*). While some TEs have

fairly-even distributions across all chromosomes (e.g., Copia and TIR), strikingly, the U

and V chromosomes are enriched for very different classes of repeats compared to

each other and the autosomes. The U is enriched for Sola, hAT and Gypsy, and the V is

enriched in a previously undescribed superfamily of cut-and-paste DNA transposons,

which we refer to as Lanisha elements (Fig. 2, S5-6; Table S13-14). The distribution of

repeats in *C. purpureus* and the Hi-C contact map (Fig. 1A) together suggest the

chromatin structure in the nucleus that permits TEs to be shared among the autosomes

is absent between the autosomes and the sex chromosomes (*31*), highlighting the

enigmatic isolation of the sex chromosomes in the nucleus.

Both the U and the V in *C. purpureus* contain many genes (3,450 and 3,411,

respectively), which stands in stark contrast to the non-recombining mammalian Y

chromosome, or even other UV systems like the liverwort *Marchantia polymorpha,* the

green alga *Volvox carteri*, or the brown alga *Ectocarpus silicius*, which typically contain

an order of magnitude fewer (*14*, *32*, *33*). Despite the fact that the U and V sex

chromosomes have lower gene density than the autosomes (Fig. 2), they nonetheless

represent ~12% of the gene content in this species for both sexes. This observation

supports theory suggesting suppressed recombination alone is insufficient to drive gene

loss and degeneration on UV sex chromosomes (*17*).

Because sex-linked genes may be involved in sex-specific development, we

expected the sex chromosomes to contain transcription factors (TF) or trancriptional

regulators (TR) that control these processes. Indeed, some TF/TRs are only found on

the UV sex chromosomes (*21*) (e.g., HD DDT, Med7, and SOH1; Table S15). However,

the overall representation of most TF/TR families on the sex chromosomes is low (6%

of identified TF/TRs found on the U and 5% on V, Fig. 2; Table S15) compared to the

proportion of genome sequence (30%) or genes (12%) residing on the sex

chromosomes. Indeed, the sex chromosomes do contain potential key regulators of

sexual differentiation, such as a U-linked homolog in the RWP-RK gene family, which in

green algae is a mating-type factor and in *M. polymorpha* regulates egg cell formation

and maintenance (*32, 34*). However, transcriptomic data from juvenile and mature-stage

tissues in six additional isolates (*21*) (Table S9,16), show more than 1,700 U and V-

linked genes were expressed at a mean count ≥1, including components of the

cytoskeleton (e.g., Tubulin) and DNA repair complexes (e.g., RAD51). Thus, numerous

structural genes, rather than just regulators, may be retained on UV sex chromosomes.

Further, the genes on the U and V sex chromosomes were enriched for different GO

and KEGG terms (Fig, S7; Table S17-20) and their expression was largely correlated

with other sex-linked genes (Fig S8; Table S21-22).

Moss sex chromosomes are ancient but evolutionarily dynamic.

The abundance of genes on the *C. purpureus* sex chromosomes provide an unusually

large dataset for molecular evolutionary reconstruction. Critically, the times to the most-

recent common ancestor between homologous genes on the U and V chromosomes

allows us to estimate when these genes became sex-linked. To accomplish this, we

used genome annotations or *de novo* assembled genes from RNA-seq for 41 mosses,

21 liverworts, one lycophyte, and two ferns (Table S23), including sex-specific RNA-seq

for the moss *Brachythecium rivulare*, to infer when sex-linkage occured for genes

annotated to the *C. purpureus* U and V chromosomes.

We clustered all annotated *C. purpureus* peptides, including the 3,450 U and

3,411 V-linked, with the other species using Orthofinder (*35*) and found 2,450

homologous U-V gene pairs. Using a phylogenetic approach with stringent inclusion

criteria (*21*), we built 744 gene trees, 402 with U and V-linked homologs (the remaining

were U or V-specific; Table S24). If a gene became sex-linked recently, we expect to

find the *C. purpureus* U and V copies sister to each other, while even closely-related

species possess autosomal copies (*24*). However, in an older capture or recombination

suppression event, we expect to find the U-linked copies among different species to

share a common ancestor with one another before they share an ancestor with the V-

linked homologs.

We found most genes became sex-linked in the *C. purpureus* lineage, after the

divergence from *Syntrichia princeps* (the closest relative for which we have sequence

data; mean silent-site divergence (Ks) = 0.16; Fig. 3A; Table S25). However, 13 genes

diverged at the base of the Dicraniidae (mean Ks = 0.85; Fig. 3A; Table S25), and three

genes diverged prior to the split between the two diverse clades Bryidae and

Dicraniidae (mean Ks = 1.64; Fig. 3A; Table S25). The most ancient U-V coalescence

(a Zinc finger Ran binding protein of unknown function) was prior to the split between

Buxbaumia aphylla and the remaining Bryopsida, ~300 MYA (based on previous fossil-

calibrated, relaxed-clock analyses from (*28*); Ks = 2.8; Fig. 3A, S9; Table S25). The

ancient divergence between the U-V pair unambiguously shows dioecy arose far earlier

than previously inferred based on ancestral-state reconstructions of the sexual system

in mosses (*13*). The actual sex-determining gene may be older than this, but because

our analysis depends on identifying both U and V-linked orthologs, we could not

evaluate the age of sex-specific genes (e.g., the ampliconic genes on the mammalian Y

(*36*)).

A classic signature of gene-capture on sex chromosomes is the presence of

strata, where neighboring genes added in the same recombination suppression event

have a similar Ks between sex-linked copies. Finding many contiguous genes with a

similar Ks is consistent with a large structural change, as seen in the strata on the

human X-Y pair (*37*). In contrast, a more gradual change in U-V divergence has been

proposed to reflect many smaller gene-capture events (*24*). To test for evidence of

strata on the UV sex chromosomes we searched for patterns of U–V Ks across the

chromosomes (*21*). In contrast to either the stepwise or gradual expansion scenarios

outlined above, we found Ks was not associated with gene order on the *C. purpureus*

sex chromosomes (Fig. 3B; Table S25). Even genes with very low Ks values,

presumably from the most-recent capture events, were found across the entirety of the

U or V chromosomes.

One possible mechanism to explain the lack of coincidence between physical

position and Ks is recurrent gene conversion during the diploid UV phase that

homogenizes the U and V-linked copies of homologous genes. Consistent with this

phenomenon, we found a case in the Bryidae where the female copy of one sex-linked

gene was converted to the male copy but subsequently diverged again (Fig. S10).

Nevertheless, even frequent gene conversion cannot explain the lack of conserved

gene order between the U and V chromosomes. The lack of U-V synteny, however,

does not rule out a history of large fusions onto the moss sex chromosomes if there

were many subsequent rearrangements (Fig. 3B).

Cryptic fusions on the moss UV sex chromosomes.

Here we employ a phylogenomic approach to search for cryptic evolutionary strata

between the rearranged U and V sex chromosomes (*21*). In the self-synteny analysis,

chromosomes 5 (Ancestral Element D) and 9 (Ancestral Element B; Fig. 1C, 1D)

conspicuously lacked a homeologous chromosome. If the missing chromosomes were

lost due to aneuploidy, we would expect to find gene trees containing only a single *C.*

purpureus copy of genes from chromosomes 5 or 9, along with the respective *P. patens*

chromosomes from the same Ancestral Element (Fig. 1D). In contrast, gene trees that

additionally include a U or V-linked copy provide evidence the missing homeolog to

chromosome 5 or 9 was fused to the sex chromosome (Fig. 3C). Indeed, when we

examined trees for the recently-captured UV homologs (i.e, those only in *C. purpureus*),

we found 309 gene trees with Ancestral Element D paralogs in *P. patens* (Table S26,

including 47 paralogous genes from *C. purpureus* chromosome 5, Table S27). The most

parsimonious explanation for this observation is one of the Ancestral Element D

duplicate chromosomes (Fig. 1D) fused to the sex chromosome, but extensive

rearrangements made it impossible to detect this fusion using synteny-based

approaches (Fig. 3B).

To further evaluate the cryptic neo-sex chromosome hypothesis, we surveyed

the gene trees from the other phylogenomically defined UV expansions (Fig. 3A). All but

one of the genes from the capture event in the ancestor of the Dicranidae mapped to

Element B, and presumably correspond to the missing chromosome 9 homeolog (Fig.

1D; Table S26). Additionally, the two genes with clear *P. patens* orthologs from the

oldest two capture events correspond to Element A (Table S26). Together these

phylogenomic data highlight the role of rare chromosome fusions in the evolution of sex

chromosome gene content and also point to Element A as the ancestral sex

chromosome in mosses.

Liverworts show a similar evolutionary history as mosses.

To test whether gene acquisition and lack of U-V synteny are shared across Setaphyte

(i.e., moss and liverwort) sex chromosomes, we applied our phylogenomic method to

detect gene capture on the sex chromosomes of *M. polymorpha* (*14*, *21*). Using

orthologous U-V genes, we found evidence of four capture events on the *M.*

polymorpha sex chromosomes, with the oldest diverging ~400 MYA, prior to the split of

Marchantiidae and Pelliidae (date following (*28*); Fig. 3, S11). As in *C. purpureus*, we

found no evidence of syntenic strata when we compare Ks between the U and V-linked

orthologs (based on the linear order in which they are annotated on the chromosomes;

Table S28). However, using synteny-agnostic gene trees we identified the *P. patens*

homologs of 18 *M. polymorpha* sex-linked genes. Ten of these genes, across all four

capture events, shared a common ancestor on the moss Ancestral Element A,

suggesting this element played a key role in sex determination early in the history of the

liverwort lineage as well (Table S29). Notably, six of the remaining genes across

capture events have homologous copies on Ancestral Elements B and D, similar to

mosses (Table S29). These observations lead us to the remarkable conclusion the

same ancestral elements were recruited to the sex chromosome after the divergence

between mosses and liverworts and, moreover, hint the Setaphytes may share a

common sex-determining system which originated before the split between these

lineages, ~500 MYA.

We do find clear evidence of more recent convergent recruitment of numerous

genes to the non-recombining U and V in *C. purpureus* and *M. polymorpha*. For

example, the V-specific ABC1 family CepurR40.VG273600 is homologous to

MapolyY_A0026 and MapolyY_A0027 (Fig. S12). With our data, we cannot determine

when this gene was captured in *C. purpureus* using gene trees, because the U-linked

copy was lost in both species. However, because it has a chromosome 5 homolog

(CepurR40.5G184800) it was likely present on Element D and therefore captured

recently. Additionally, a different gene (Nudix hydrolase related) based on the topology

was clearly captured independently in *C. purpureus* (CepurR40.VG335300;

CepurGG1.UG335300) and *M. polymopha* (Mapoly0018s0016; MapolyY_B0027; Fig.

S13). Further, the autosomal cis-acting sexual dimorphism switch *MpFGMYB* (*38*) that

promotes female development in *M. polymorpha* is also orthologous to recently-

captured U (CepurGG1.UG291100) and V-linked (CepurR40.VG015400) copies in *C.*

purpureus (Fig. S14). The parallel evolution of sex-linkage of genes with clear roles in

sexual differentiation in both mosses and liverworts suggests sexual antagonism plays

an important role in either the evolution of bryophyte sex chromosome translocations (*4,*

5) or the subsequent evolution of translocated genes.

Overall, the gene-rich U and V chromosomes of *C. purpureus* strongly suggest

haploid gene expression slows sex chromosome degeneration, even over millions of

years of suppressed recombination. However, the lack of meiotic recombination is

associated with massive structural variation between the U and V, and highly

differentiated TE accumulation, which stands in stark contrast to the conserved synteny

and homogeneous TE composition of the autosomes. Moreover, the remarkable

antiquity of dioecy in Setophytes more closely mirrors the evolution of sexual systems in

animals rather than flowering plants, where hermaphroditism is the norm (*39, 40*). The

phylogenetic distribution of sexual system transitions, combined with the abundance of

sex-linked genes and evidence for sex-specific gene regulatory networks, position

bryophytes as a powerful model for studying factors shaping the evolution and

maintenance of dioecy.

References and Notes:

1. J. J. Bull, *Evolution of sex determining mechanisms* (The Benjamin/Cummings
Publishing Company, Inc., 1983).

2. B. Charlesworth, D. Charlesworth, The degeneration of Y chromosomes. *Philos. Trans.*
R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. **355**, 1563–1572 (2000).

3. D. Bachtrog, Y-chromosome evolution: emerging insights into processes of Y-
chromosome degeneration. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **14**, 113–124 (2013).

4. G. S. van Doorn, M. Kirkpatrick, Turnover of sex chromosomes induced by sexual
conflict. *Nature*. **449**, 909–912 (2007).

5. O. Blaser, C. Grossen, S. Neuenschwander, N. Perrin, Sex-chromosome turnovers
induced by deleterious mutation load. *Evolution*. **67**, 635–645 (2013).

6. C. L. R. Delgado, P. D. Waters, C. Gilbert, T. J. Robinson, J. A. M. Graves, Physical
mapping of the elephant X chromosome: conservation of gene order over 105 million years.
Chromosome Res. **17**, 917–926 (2009).

7. B. Vicoso, D. Bachtrog, Numerous transitions of sex chromosomes in Diptera. *PLoS
Biol.* **13**, e1002078 (2015).

8. R. P. Meisel, P. U. Olafson, F. D. Guerrero, K. Konganti, J. B. Benoit, High rate of sex
chromosome turnover in muscid flies. *bioRxiv* (2019), p. 655845.

9. T. Myosho, Y. Takehana, S. Hamaguchi, M. Sakaizumi, Turnover of Sex Chromosomes
in Celebensis Group Medaka Fishes. *G3* . **5**, 2685–2691 (2015).

10.   W. J. Gammerdinger, T. D. Kocher, Unusual Diversity of Sex Chromosomes in
African Cichlid Fishes. *Genes* . **9** (2018), doi:10.3390/genes9100480.

11.   M. V. Chibalina, D. A. Filatov, Plant Y chromosome degeneration is retarded by
haploid purifying selection. *Curr. Biol.* **21**, 1475–1479 (2011).

12.   D. Charlesworth, Plant Sex Chromosomes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **67**, 397–420
(2016).

13.   S. F. McDaniel, J. Atwood, J. G. Burleigh, Recurrent evolution of dioecy in
bryophytes. *Evolution*. **67**, 567–572 (2013).

14.   J. L. Bowman, T. Kohchi, K. T. Yamato, J. Jenkins, S. Shu, K. Ishizaki, S.
Yamaoka, R. Nishihama, Y. Nakamura, F. Berger, C. Adam, S. S. Aki, F. Althoff, T. Araki,
M. A. Arteaga-Vazquez, S. Balasubrmanian, K. Barry, D. Bauer, C. R. Boehm, L.
Briginshaw, J. Caballero-Perez, B. Catarino, F. Chen, S. Chiyoda, M. Chovatia, K. M.
Davies, M. Delmans, T. Demura, T. Dierschke, L. Dolan, A. E. Dorantes-Acosta, D. M.
Eklund, S. N. Florent, E. Flores-Sandoval, A. Fujiyama, H. Fukuzawa, B. Galik, D.
Grimanelli, J. Grimwood, U. Grossniklaus, T. Hamada, J. Haseloff, A. J. Hetherington, A.
Higo, Y. Hirakawa, H. N. Hundley, Y. Ikeda, K. Inoue, S.-I. Inoue, S. Ishida, Q. Jia, M.
Kakita, T. Kanazawa, Y. Kawai, T. Kawashima, M. Kennedy, K. Kinose, T. Kinoshita, Y.
Kohara, E. Koide, K. Komatsu, S. Kopischke, M. Kubo, J. Kyozuka, U. Lagercrantz, S.-S.
Lin, E. Lindquist, A. M. Lipzen, C.-W. Lu, E. De Luna, R. A. Martienssen, N. Minamino, M.
Mizutani, M. Mizutani, N. Mochizuki, I. Monte, R. Mosher, H. Nagasaki, H. Nakagami, S.
Naramoto, K. Nishitani, M. Ohtani, T. Okamoto, M. Okumura, J. Phillips, B. Pollak, A.
Reinders, M. Rövekamp, R. Sano, S. Sawa, M. W. Schmid, M. Shirakawa, R. Solano, A.
Spunde, N. Suetsugu, S. Sugano, A. Sugiyama, R. Sun, Y. Suzuki, M. Takenaka, D.
Takezawa, H. Tomogane, M. Tsuzuki, T. Ueda, M. Umeda, J. M. Ward, Y. Watanabe, K.
Yazaki, R. Yokoyama, Y. Yoshitake, I. Yotsui, S. Zachgo, J. Schmutz, Insights into Land
Plant Evolution Garnered from the Marchantia polymorpha Genome. *Cell*. **171**, 287–
304.e15 (2017).

15.   D. Bachtrog, M. Kirkpatrick, J. E. Mank, S. F. McDaniel, J. C. Pires, W. Rice, N.

345 Valenzuela, Are all sex chromosomes created equal? *Trends Genet.* **27**, 350–357 (2011).

346 16. J. J. Bull, Sex Chromosomes in Haploid Dioecy: A Unique Contrast to Muller's
347 Theory for Diploid Dioecy. *Am. Nat.* **112**, 245–250 (1978).

348 17. S. Immler, S. P. Otto, The evolution of sex chromosomes in organisms with
349 separate haploid sexes. *Evolution*. **69**, 694–708 (2015).

350 18. T. E. Norrell, K. S. Jones, A. C. Payton, S. F. McDaniel, Meiotic sex ratio
351 variation in natural populations of Ceratodon purpureus (Ditrichaceae). *Am. J. Bot.* **101**,
352 1572–1576 (2014).

353 19. S. F. McDaniel, Genetic correlations do not constrain the evolution of sexual
354 dimorphism in the moss Ceratodon purpureus. *Evolution*. **59**, 2353–2361 (2005).

355 20. D. Haig, A. Wilczek, Sexual conflict and the alternation of haploid and diploid
356 generations. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **361**, 335–343 (2006).

357 21. Materials and methods are available as supporting material on *Science* Online.

358 22. R. Fritsch, Index to bryophyte chromosome counts (1991) (available at
359 https://www.schweizerbart.de/publications/detail/isbn/9783443620127/%23).

360 23. P. Szövényi, P.-F. Perroud, A. Symeonidi, S. Stevenson, R. S. Quatrano, S. A.
361 Rensing, A. C. Cuming, S. F. McDaniel, De novo assembly and comparative analysis of the
362 Ceratodon purpureus transcriptome. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **15**, 203–215 (2015).

363 24. S. F. McDaniel, K. M. Neubig, A. C. Payton, R. S. Quatrano, D. J. Cove,
364 RECENT GENE-CAPTURE ON THE UV SEX CHROMOSOMES OF THE MOSS
365 CERATODON PURPUREUS : SEX CHROMOSOME EVOLUTION IN C. PURPUREUS.
366 *Evolution*. **110** (2013), doi:10.1111/evo.12165.

367 25. F. A. Simão, R. M. Waterhouse, P. Ioannidis, E. V. Kriventseva, E. M. Zdobnov,
368 BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy
369 orthologs. *Bioinformatics*. **31**, 3210–3212 (2015).

370 26. One Thousand Plant Transcriptomes Initiative, One thousand plant
371 transcriptomes and the phylogenomics of green plants. *Nature*. **574**, 679–685 (2019).

372 27. D. Lang, K. K. Ullrich, F. Murat, J. Fuchs, J. Jenkins, F. B. Haas, M. Piednoel, H.
373 Gundlach, M. Van Bel, R. Meyberg, Others, The Physcomitrella patens chromosome-scale
374 assembly reveals moss genome structure and evolution. *Plant J.* **93**, 515–533 (2018).

375 28. B. Laenen, B. Shaw, H. Schneider, B. Goffinet, E. Paradis, A. Désamoré, J.
376 Heinrichs, J. C. Villarreal, S. R. Gradstein, S. F. McDaniel, D. G. Long, L. L. Forrest, M. L.
377 Hollingsworth, B. Crandall-Stotler, E. C. Davis, J. Engel, M. Von Konrat, E. D. Cooper, J.
378 Patiño, C. J. Cox, A. Vanderpoorten, A. J. Shaw, Extant diversity of bryophytes emerged
379 from successive post-Mesozoic diversification bursts. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 5134 (2014).

380 29. S. W. Schaeffer, Muller "Elements" in Drosophila: How the Search for the
381 Genetic Basis for Speciation Led to the Birth of Comparative Genomics. *Genetics*. **210**, 3–
382 13 (2018).

30.   R. Bergero, D. Charlesworth, The evolution of restricted recombination in sex
chromosomes. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **24**, 94–102 (2009).

31.   S. A. Montgomery, Y. Tanizawa, B. Galik, N. Wang, T. Ito, T. Mochizuki, S.
Akimcheva, J. L. Bowman, V. Cognat, L. Maréchal-Drouard, H. Ekker, S.-F. Hong, T.
Kohchi, S.-S. Lin, L.-Y. D. Liu, Y. Nakamura, L. R. Valeeva, E. V. Shakirov, D. E. Shippen,
W.-L. Wei, M. Yagura, S. Yamaoka, K. T. Yamato, C. Liu, F. Berger, Chromatin
Organization in Early Land Plants Reveals an Ancestral Association between H3K27me3,
Transposons, and Constitutive Heterochromatin. *Curr. Biol.* **30**, 573–588.e7 (2020).

32.   P. Ferris, B. J. S. C. Olson, P. L. De Hoff, S. Douglass, D. Casero, S. Prochnik,
S. Geng, R. Rai, J. Grimwood, J. Schmutz, I. Nishii, T. Hamaji, H. Nozaki, M. Pellegrini, J.
G. Umen, Evolution of an expanded sex-determining locus in Volvox. *Science.* **328**, 351–
354 (2010).

33.   S. Ahmed, J. M. Cock, E. Pessia, R. Luthringer, A. Cormier, M. Robuchon, L.
Sterck, A. F. Peters, S. M. Dittami, E. Corre, M. Valero, J.-M. Aury, D. Roze, Y. Van de
Peer, J. Bothwell, G. A. B. Marais, S. M. Coelho, A haploid system of sex determination in
the brown alga Ectocarpus sp. *Curr. Biol.* **24**, 1945–1957 (2014).

34.   M. Rövekamp, J. L. Bowman, U. Grossniklaus, Marchantia MpRKD Regulates
the Gametophyte-Sporophyte Transition by Keeping Egg Cells Quiescent in the Absence of
Fertilization. *Curr. Biol.* **26**, 1782–1789 (2016).

35.   D. M. Emms, S. Kelly, OrthoFinder: phylogenetic orthology inference for
comparative genomics. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 238 (2019).

36.   H. Skaletsky, T. Kuroda-Kawaguchi, P. J. Minx, H. S. Cordum, L. Hillier, L. G.
Brown, S. Repping, T. Pyntikova, J. Ali, T. Bieri, A. Chinwalla, A. Delehaunty, K.
Delehaunty, H. Du, G. Fewell, L. Fulton, R. Fulton, T. Graves, S.-F. Hou, P. Latrielle, S.
Leonard, E. Mardis, R. Maupin, J. McPherson, T. Miner, W. Nash, C. Nguyen, P. Ozersky,
K. Pepin, S. Rock, T. Rohlfing, K. Scott, B. Schultz, C. Strong, A. Tin-Wollam, S.-P. Yang,
R. H. Waterston, R. K. Wilson, S. Rozen, D. C. Page, The male-specific region of the
human Y chromosome is a mosaic of discrete sequence classes. *Nature.* **423**, 825–837
(2003).

37.   B. T. Lahn, D. C. Page, Four evolutionary strata on the human X chromosome.
Science. **286**, 964–967 (1999).

38.   T. Hisanaga, K. Okahashi, S. Yamaoka, T. Kajiwara, R. Nishihama, M.
Shimamura, K. T. Yamato, J. L. Bowman, T. Kohchi, K. Nakajima, A cis-acting bidirectional
transcription switch controls sexual dimorphism in the liverwort. *EMBO J.* **38** (2019)
(available at https://www.embopress.org/doi/abs/10.15252/embj.2018100240).

39.   S. M. Eppley, L. K. Jesson, Moving to mate: the evolution of separate and
combined sexes in multicellular organisms. *J. Evol. Biol.* **21**, 727–736 (2008).

40.   D. A. Sasson, J. F. Ryan, A reconstruction of sexual modes throughout animal
evolution. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **17**, 242 (2017).

41.   S. F. McDaniel, A. J. Shaw, Selective sweeps and intercontinental migration in
the cosmopolitan moss Ceratodon purpureus (Hedw.) Brid. *Mol. Ecol.* **14**, 1121–1132

(2005).

42.   M. Luo, R. A. Wing, An improved method for plant BAC library construction.
Methods Mol. Biol. **236**, 3–20 (2003).

43.   B. Ewing, L. Hillier, M. C. Wendl, P. Green, Base-Calling of Automated
Sequencer Traces UsingPhred. I. Accuracy Assessment. *Genome Res.* **8**, 175–185 (1998).

44.   B. Ewing, P. Green, Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using phred. II.
Error probabilities. *Genome Res.* **8**, 186–194 (1998).

45.   D. Gordon, C. Abajian, P. Green, Consed: a graphical tool for sequence finishing.
Genome Res. **8**, 195–202 (1998).

46.   P.-F. Perroud, F. B. Haas, M. Hiss, K. K. Ullrich, A. Alboresi, M. Amirebrahimi, K.
Barry, R. Bassi, S. Bonhomme, H. Chen, Others, The Physcomitrella patens gene atlas
project: large-scale RNA-seq based expression data. *Plant J.* **95**, 168–182 (2018).

47.   D. J. Cove, P.-F. Perroud, A. J. Charron, S. F. McDaniel, A. Khandelwal, R. S.
Quatrano, Culturing the moss Physcomitrella patens. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* **2009**,
db.prot5136 (2009).

48.   E. H. Leder, J. M. Cano, T. Leinonen, R. B. O'Hara, M. Nikinmaa, C. R. Primmer,
J. Merilä, Female-biased expression on the X chromosome as a key step in sex
chromosome evolution in threespine sticklebacks. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **27**, 1495–1503 (2010).

49.   C.-L. Xiao, Y. Chen, S.-Q. Xie, K.-N. Chen, Y. Wang, Y. Han, F. Luo, Z. Xie,
MECAT: fast mapping, error correction, and de novo assembly for single-molecule
sequencing reads. *Nat. Methods*. **14**, 1072–1074 (2017).

50.   C.-S. Chin, D. H. Alexander, P. Marks, A. A. Klammer, J. Drake, C. Heiner, A.
Clum, A. Copeland, J. Huddleston, E. E. Eichler, S. W. Turner, J. Korlach, Nonhybrid,
finished microbial genome assemblies from long-read SMRT sequencing data. *Nat.*
Methods. **10**, 563–569 (2013).

51.   N. C. Durand, M. S. Shamim, I. Machol, S. S. P. Rao, M. H. Huntley, E. S.
Lander, E. L. Aiden, Juicer Provides a One-Click System for Analyzing Loop-Resolution Hi-
C Experiments. *Cell Syst*. **3**, 95–98 (2016).

52.   W. J. Kent, BLAT—The BLAST-Like Alignment Tool. *Genome Res.* **12**, 656–664
(2002).

53.   H. Li, Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with
BWA-MEM. *arXiv [q-bio.GN]* (2013), (available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997).

54.   A. McKenna, M. Hanna, E. Banks, A. Sivachenko, K. Cibulskis, A. Kernytsky, K.
Garimella, D. Altshuler, S. Gabriel, M. Daly, M. A. DePristo, The Genome Analysis Toolkit:
a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome*
Res. **20**, 1297–1303 (2010).

55.   T. D. Wu, J. Reeder, M. Lawrence, G. Becker, M. J. Brauer, GMAP and GSNAP
for Genomic Sequence Alignment: Enhancements to Speed, Accuracy, and Functionality.
Methods Mol. Biol. **1418**, 283–334 (2016).

56.　　　J. Krumsiek, R. Arnold, T. Rattei, Gepard: a rapid and sensitive tool for creating
dotplots on genome scale. *Bioinformatics*. **23**, 1026–1028 (2007).

57.　　　B. J. Haas, A. L. Delcher, S. M. Mount, J. R. Wortman, R. K. Smith Jr, L. I.
Hannick, R. Maiti, C. M. Ronning, D. B. Rusch, C. D. Town, S. L. Salzberg, O. White,
Improving the Arabidopsis genome annotation using maximal transcript alignment
assemblies. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **31**, 5654–5666 (2003).

58.　　　A. F. A. Smit, R. Hubley, P. Green, RepeatMasker Open-4.0. 2013--2015 (2015).

59.　　　A. F. A. Smit, R. Hubley, P. Green, RepeatModeler Open-1.0. 2008--2015.
Seattle, USA: Institute for Systems Biology. Available from: httpwww. repeatmasker. org,
Last Accessed May. **1**, 2018 (2015).

60.　　　A. A. Salamov, V. V. Solovyev, Ab initio gene finding in Drosophila genomic
DNA. *Genome Res.* **10**, 516–522 (2000).

61.　　　G. S. C. Slater, E. Birney, Automated generation of heuristics for biological
sequence comparison. *BMC Bioinformatics*. **6**, 31 (2005).

62.　　　K. J. Hoff, S. Lange, A. Lomsadze, M. Borodovsky, M. Stanke, BRAKER1:
Unsupervised RNA-Seq-Based Genome Annotation with GeneMark-ET and AUGUSTUS.
Bioinformatics. **32**, 767–769 (2016).

63.　　　A. Zwaenepoel, Y. Van de Peer, wgd—simple command line tools for the
analysis of ancient whole-genome duplications. *Bioinformatics*. **35**, 2153–2155 (2019).

64.　　　C. Camacho, G. Coulouris, V. Avagyan, N. Ma, J. Papadopoulos, K. Bealer, T. L.
Madden, BLAST+: architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics*. **10**, 421 (2009).

65.　　　A. J. Enright, S. Van Dongen, C. A. Ouzounis, An efficient algorithm for large-
scale detection of protein families. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **30**, 1575–1584 (2002).

66.　　　Z. Yang, PAML 4: phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
24, 1586–1591 (2007).

67.　　　N. Goldman, Z. Yang, A codon-based model of nucleotide substitution for
protein-coding DNA sequences. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **11**, 725–736 (1994).

68.　　　S. Proost, J. Fostier, D. De Witte, B. Dhoedt, P. Demeester, Y. Van de Peer, K.
Vandepoele, i-ADHoRe 3.0—fast and sensitive detection of genomic homology in
extremely large data sets. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **40**, e11–e11 (2012).

69.　　　G. P. Tiley, M. S. Barker, J. G. Burleigh, Assessing the Performance of Ks Plots
for Detecting Ancient Whole Genome Duplications. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **10**, 2882–2898
(2018).

70.　　　S. A. Rensing, J. Ick, J. A. Fawcett, D. Lang, A. Zimmer, Y. Van de Peer, R.
Reski, An ancient genome duplication contributed to the abundance of metabolic genes in
the moss Physcomitrella patens. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **7**, 130 (2007).

71.　　　J. T. Lovell, J. Jenkins, D. B. Lowry, S. Mamidi, A. Sreedasyam, X. Weng, K.
Barry, J. Bonnette, B. Campitelli, C. Daum, S. P. Gordon, B. A. Gould, A. Khasanova, A.

Lipzen, A. MacQueen, J. D. Palacio-Mejía, C. Plott, E. V. Shakirov, S. Shu, Y. Yoshinaga, M. Zane, D. Kudrna, J. D. Talag, D. Rokhsar, J. Grimwood, J. Schmutz, T. E. Juenger, The genomic landscape of molecular responses to natural drought stress in Panicum hallii. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 5213 (2018).

72.   T. Flutre, E. Duprat, C. Feuillet, H. Quesneville, Considering transposable element diversification in de novo annotation approaches. *PLoS One*. **6**, e16526 (2011).

73.   H. Quesneville, C. M. Bergman, O. Andrieu, D. Autard, D. Nouaud, M. Ashburner, D. Anxolabehere, Combined evidence annotation of transposable elements in genome sequences. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **1** (2005) (available at https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc1185648/).

74.   G. Benson, Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**, 573–580 (1999).

75.   C. Hoede, S. Arnoux, M. Moisset, T. Chaumier, O. Inizan, V. Jamilloux, H. Quesneville, PASTEC: an automatic transposable element classification tool. *PLoS One*. **9**, e91929 (2014).

76.   M. Steinegger, M. Meier, M. Mirdita, H. Vöhringer, S. J. Haunsberger, J. Söding, HH-suite3 for fast remote homology detection and deep protein annotation. *BMC Bioinformatics*. **20**, 473 (2019).

77.   J. Jurka, V. V. Kapitonov, A. Pavlicek, P. Klonowski, O. Kohany, J. Walichiewicz, Repbase Update, a database of eukaryotic repetitive elements. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* **110**, 462–467 (2005).

78.   Y.-W. Yuan, S. R. Wessler, The catalytic domain of all eukaryotic cut-and-paste transposase superfamilies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **108**, 7884–7889 (2011).

79.   H. Tsubouchi, G. S. Roeder, The Mnd1 protein forms a complex with hop2 to promote homologous chromosome pairing and meiotic double-strand break repair. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **22**, 3078–3088 (2002).

80.   D. Lang, B. Weiche, G. Timmerhaus, S. Richardt, D. M. Riaño-Pachón, L. G. G. Corrêa, R. Reski, B. Mueller-Roeber, S. A. Rensing, Genome-wide phylogenetic comparative analysis of plant transcriptional regulation: a timeline of loss, gain, expansion, and correlation with complexity. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **2**, 488–503 (2010).

81.   P. K. I. Wilhelmsson, C. Mühlich, K. K. Ullrich, S. A. Rensing, Comprehensive Genome-Wide Classification Reveals That Many Plant-Specific Transcription Factors Evolved in Streptophyte Algae. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **9**, 3384–3397 (2017).

82.   A. R. Quinlan, I. M. Hall, BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics*. **26**, 841–842 (2010).

83.   R. C. Team, R: a language and environment for statistical computing (version 3.5. 3)[software] (2019).

84.   B. Gel, E. Serra, karyoploteR: an R/Bioconductor package to plot customizable genomes displaying arbitrary data. *Bioinformatics*. **33**, 3088–3090 (2017).

85. A. M. Bolger, M. Lohse, B. Usadel, Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics*. **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

86. D. Kim, B. Langmead, S. L. Salzberg, HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low
memory requirements. *Nat. Methods*. **12**, 357–360 (2015).

87. K. C. Olney, S. M. Brotman, V. Valverde-Vesling, J. Andrews, M. A. Wilson,
Aligning RNA-Seq reads to a sex chromosome complement informed reference genome
increases ability to detect sex differences in gene expression. *BioRxiv*, 668376 (2019).

88. M. Pertea, G. M. Pertea, C. M. Antonescu, T.-C. Chang, J. T. Mendell, S. L.
Salzberg, StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq
reads. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **33**, 290–295 (2015).

89. M. Love, S. Anders, W. Huber, Differential analysis of count data--the DESeq2
package. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 10–1186 (2014).

90. P. Langfelder, S. Horvath, WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation
network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*. **9**, 559 (2008).

91. M. I. Love, W. Huber, S. Anders, Moderated estimation of fold change and
dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* **15**, 550 (2014).

92. G. Csardi, T. Nepusz, Others, The igraph software package for complex network
research. *InterJournal, complex systems*. **1695**, 1–9 (2006).

93. F. Briatte, ggnetwork: Geometries to Plot Networks with'ggplot2'. *R package
version 0. 5*. **1** (2016).

94. A. Alexa, J. Rahnenfuhrer, topGO: enrichment analysis for gene ontology. *R
package version*. **2**, 2010 (2010).

95. G. Yu, F. Li, Y. Qin, X. Bo, Y. Wu, S. Wang, GOSemSim: an R package for
measuring semantic similarity among GO terms and gene products. *Bioinformatics*. **26**,
976–978 (2010).

96. M. Kanehisa, S. Goto, KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes.
Nucleic Acids Res. **28**, 27–30 (2000).

97. J. A. Banks, T. Nishiyama, M. Hasebe, J. L. Bowman, M. Gribskov, C.
dePamphilis, V. A. Albert, N. Aono, T. Aoyama, B. A. Ambrose, N. W. Ashton, M. J. Axtell,
E. Barker, M. S. Barker, J. L. Bennetzen, N. D. Bonawitz, C. Chapple, C. Cheng, L. G. G.
Correa, M. Dacre, J. DeBarry, I. Dreyer, M. Elias, E. M. Engstrom, M. Estelle, L. Feng, C.
Finet, S. K. Floyd, W. B. Frommer, T. Fujita, L. Gramzow, M. Gutensohn, J. Harholt, M.
Hattori, A. Heyl, T. Hirai, Y. Hiwatashi, M. Ishikawa, M. Iwata, K. G. Karol, B. Koehler, U.
Kolukisaoglu, M. Kubo, T. Kurata, S. Lalonde, K. Li, Y. Li, A. Litt, E. Lyons, G. Manning, T.
Maruyama, T. P. Michael, K. Mikami, S. Miyazaki, S.-I. Morinaga, T. Murata, B. Mueller-
Roeber, D. R. Nelson, M. Obara, Y. Oguri, R. G. Olmstead, N. Onodera, B. L. Petersen, B.
Pils, M. Prigge, S. A. Rensing, D. M. Riaño-Pachón, A. W. Roberts, Y. Sato, H. V. Scheller,
B. Schulz, C. Schulz, E. V. Shakirov, N. Shibagaki, N. Shinohara, D. E. Shippen, I.
Sørensen, R. Sotooka, N. Sugimoto, M. Sugita, N. Sumikawa, M. Tanurdzic, G. Theissen,
P. Ulvskov, S. Wakazuki, J.-K. Weng, W. W. G. T. Willats, D. Wipf, P. G. Wolf, L. Yang, A.

D. Zimmer, Q. Zhu, T. Mitros, U. Hellsten, D. Loqué, R. Otillar, A. Salamov, J. Schmutz, H. Shapiro, E. Lindquist, S. Lucas, D. Rokhsar, I. V. Grigoriev, The Selaginella genome identifies genetic changes associated with the evolution of vascular plants. *Science*. **332**, 960–963 (2011).

98.   F.-W. Li, P. Brouwer, L. Carretero-Paulet, S. Cheng, J. de Vries, P.-M. Delaux, A. Eily, N. Koppers, L.-Y. Kuo, Z. Li, M. Simenc, I. Small, E. Wafula, S. Angarita, M. S. Barker, A. Bräutigam, C. dePamphilis, S. Gould, P. S. Hosmani, Y.-M. Huang, B. Huettel, Y. Kato, X. Liu, S. Maere, R. McDowell, L. A. Mueller, K. G. J. Nierop, S. A. Rensing, T. Robison, C. J. Rothfels, E. M. Sigel, Y. Song, P. R. Timilsena, Y. Van de Peer, H. Wang, P. K. I. Wilhelmsson, P. G. Wolf, X. Xu, J. P. Der, H. Schluepmann, G. K.-S. Wong, K. M. Pryer, Fern genomes elucidate land plant evolution and cyanobacterial symbioses. *Nat Plants*. **4**, 460–472 (2018).

99.   S. Alaba, P. Piszczalka, H. Pietrykowska, A. M. Pacak, I. Sierocka, P. W. Nuc, K. Singh, P. Plewka, A. Sulkowska, A. Jarmolowski, W. M. Karlowski, Z. Szweykowska-Kulinska, The liverwort Pellia endiviifolia shares microtranscriptomic traits that are common to green algae and land plants. *New Phytol*. **206**, 352–367 (2015).

100.   S. Gao, H.-N. Yu, Y.-F. Wu, X.-Y. Liu, A.-X. Cheng, H.-X. Lou, Cloning and functional characterization of a phenolic acid decarboxylase from the liverwort Conocephalum japonicum. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **481**, 239–244 (2016).

101.   M. G. Johnson, C. Malley, B. Goffinet, A. J. Shaw, N. J. Wickett, A phylotranscriptomic analysis of gene family expansion and evolution in the largest order of pleurocarpous mosses (Hypnales, Bryophyta). *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **98**, 29–40 (2016).

102.   M. G. Grabherr, B. J. Haas, M. Yassour, J. Z. Levin, D. A. Thompson, I. Amit, X. Adiconis, L. Fan, R. Raychowdhury, Q. Zeng, Z. Chen, E. Mauceli, N. Hacohen, A. Gnirke, N. Rhind, F. di Palma, B. W. Birren, C. Nusbaum, K. Lindblad-Toh, N. Friedman, A. Regev, Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 644–652 (2011).

103.   B. Haas, A. Papanicolaou, Others, TransDecoder (find coding regions within transcripts). *Github, nd https://github. com/TransDecoder/TransDecoder (accessed May 17, 2018)* (2015).

104.   A. Bateman, E. Birney, R. Durbin, S. R. Eddy, R. D. Finn, E. L. Sonnhammer, Pfam 3.1: 1313 multiple alignments and profile HMMs match the majority of proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**, 260–262 (1999).

105.   W. Li, A. Godzik, Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*. **22**, 1658–1659 (2006).

106.   L. Fu, B. Niu, Z. Zhu, S. Wu, W. Li, CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*. **28**, 3150–3152 (2012).

107.   D. M. Emms, S. Kelly, OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. *Genome Biol.* **16**, 157 (2015).

108.   K. Katoh, D. M. Standley, MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version

7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).

109.   M. Suyama, D. Torrents, P. Bork, PAL2NAL: robust conversion of protein
sequence alignments into the corresponding codon alignments. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**,
W609–12 (2006).

110.   S. Capella-Gutiérrez, J. M. Silla-Martínez, T. Gabaldón, trimAl: a tool for
automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics*. **25**,
1972–1973 (2009).

111.   A. Stamatakis, RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-
analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics*. **30**, 1312–1313 (2014).

112.   G. Yu, D. K. Smith, H. Zhu, Y. Guan, T. T. Lam, ggtree : an r package for
visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated
data. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **8**, 28–36 (2017).

113.   G. Yu, T. T.-Y. Lam, H. Zhu, Y. Guan, Two Methods for Mapping and Visualizing
Associated Data on Phylogeny Using Ggtree. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **35**, 3041–3043 (2018).

114.   T. Junier, E. M. Zdobnov, The Newick utilities: high-throughput phylogenetic tree
processing in the UNIX shell. *Bioinformatics*. **26**, 1669–1670 (2010).

115.   J. Huerta-Cepas, F. Serra, P. Bork, ETE 3: Reconstruction, Analysis, and
Visualization of Phylogenomic Data. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**, 1635–1638 (2016).

116.   Z. Zhang, J. Li, X.-Q. Zhao, J. Wang, G. K.-S. Wong, J. Yu, KaKs_Calculator:
calculating Ka and Ks through model selection and model averaging. *Genomics Proteomics*
Bioinformatics. **4**, 259–263 (2006).

Acknowledgements:

The authors thank Ralph Quatrano, David Cove, and the Quatrano laboratory at

Washington University in St. Louis for incubating the *C. purpureus* genome project;

Bernie Hauser, Thomas Colquhoun, and Drake Garner for assisting with the hormone

and light perturbations; Bernard Goffinet, Michelle Mack, Sharon Robinson, Todd

Rosenstiel, Blanka Shaw, and the late Norton Miller for providing field collections.

Susanne Renner, Sally Otto, Mark Kirkpatrick, and Matthew Hahn provided valuable

feedback on a draft of the manuscript. The One Thousand Plant Transcriptome Initiative

generously provided early access to moss and liverwort data, and the University of

Florida Interdisciplinary Center for Biotechnology Research and HiPerGator provided

vital technical support throughout the project. **Funding:** This work was supported by

NSF DEB-1541005 and start-up funds from UF to SFM; microMORPH Cross-

Disciplinary Training Grant, Sigma-Xi Grant-In-Aid of Research, and Society for the

Study of Evolution Rosemary Grant Award to SBC; NSF DEB-1239992 to NJW; Emil

Aaltonen Foundation and the University of Turku to SO; NSF DEB-1541506 to JGB and

SFM. The work conducted by the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute is

supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract

No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. **Author contributions:** Conceptualization: SFM; Data

curation: KB; Formal analysis: SBC, JJ, SS, JTL, FM, AS, GPT, NFP, SAR; Funding

acquisition: SBC, SH, NJW, JGB, SAR, SFM; Investigation: SBC, JJ, ACP, SS, JTL,

FM, AS, GPT, NFP, CC, MW, AL, CD, CS, JCM, REC, LMK, SO, SH, JBL, JGB, SAR,

SFM; Methodology: SBC, ACP, JJ, JS, SFM; Project administration: KB, JG, JS, SFM;

Resources: NJW, MJG, JG, JS, SFM; Supervision: MGJ, SAR, JG, JS, SFM;

Visualization: SBC, JJ, JTL, AS, GPT; Writing – original draft: SBC, SFM; Writing –

review and editing: SBC, ACP, JJ, JTL, FM, AS, GPT, CAS, LMK, JGB NJW, MGJ,

SAR, JG, JS, SFM. All authors approve of the final draft of this manuscript. **Competing**

interests: Authors declare no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:**

Ceratodon purpureus data for this manuscript can be found under NCBI BioProjects

listed in Table S9. Genome assemblies and annotations can be found on Phytozome

(https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/). *Brachythecium rivulare* data can be found under

BioProject PRJNA639184. The Lanisha transposable element can be found in NCBI

GenBank under MT647524. Published sequence data used in this study can be found in

Table S23. Supporting documents for the phylogenomic analysis of sex-linked genes

can be found on Dryad under https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.v41ns1rsm. Requests for *C.*

purpureus lines presented here should be addressed to stuartmcdaniel@ufl.edu.

List of Supplementary Materials:

Materials and Methods

Table S1-30

Fig S1-14

References (41 - 116)

Figures

Fig. 1. Chromosome-scale genome of *C. purpureus* and synteny plots. A) Hi-C contact

map of the *C. purpureus* R40 genome assembly. B) Dot plot between *C. purpureu*s

GG1 and R40 isolates. The U and V sex chromosomes lack a psuedoautosomal region.

C) Self-synteny plot of *C. purpureus* R40 isolate; self-synteny of the GG1 isolate is

similar (Fig. S3). D) Dot plot between *C. purpureus* R40 and *P. patens*, highlighting the

moss ancestral elements (A-G).

Fig. 2. Density plots across *C. purpureus* chromosomes (in Mb). Densities show the

proportion (from 0 to 1) of a 100 Kilobase (Kb) window (90 Kb jump) containing each

feature. The autosomes, U, and V sex chromosomes show different densities for certain

features. However, local density peaks of RLC5 Copia elements on each chromosome

represent candidate centromeric regions, similar to *P. patens* (*27*).

Fig. 3. Evolutionary history of moss and liverwort (Setaphyte) sex chromosomes. A)

capture events across moss and liverwort sex chromosomes. Numbers indicate how

many extant genes were captured based on the topology. The sex chromosome capture

events can be traced back to three ancestral elements (A, B, and D). B) Ks of one-to-

one U-V orthologs plotted on U and V sex chromosomes of *C. purpureus*. Lines connect

one-to-one orthologs on the U and V, where colors correspond to capture events on the

phylogeny: oldest genes, salmon; the third capture event, yellow; and the most recent,

brown. The darker brown lines indicate genes with Ks ≤ 0.02, presumably the most

recently captured genes. C) simplified gene trees showing the homeologous *P. patens*

and *C. purpureus* chromosomes containing homologous genes, corresponding to

ancestral elements D, B, and A.