Title: The role of CLV signalling in the negative regulation of mycorrhizal

colonisation and nitrogen response of tomato

Chenglei Wang[1], Karen Velandia [1], Choon-Tak Kwon[2], Kate E. Wulf[1], David

S. Nichols[3], James B. Reid[1], Eloise Foo*[1]

[1] Discipline of Biological Sciences, School of Natural Sciences, University of

Tasmania, Private Bag 55, Hobart, Tasmania, 7001, Australia

[2] Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1 Bungtown Road, Cold Spring Harbor, NY

11724

[3] Central Science Laboratories, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania,

7001, Australia

*For correspondence: Eloise.foo@utas.edu.au, +61 6226 2605

Chenglei.Wang@utas.edu.au

kate.wulf@utas.edu.au

karen.velandia@utas.edu.au

jim.reid@utas.edu.au

ckwon@cshl.edu

D.Nichols@utas.edu.au

Running title: Negative regulation of mycorrhizal symbioses in tomato

Highlight: We describe the role of CLV signalling elements in the negative

regulation of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses of tomato, including

influencing nitrate but not phosphate suppression of mycorrhizal colonisation.

Abstract

Plants form mutualistic nutrient acquiring symbioses with microbes, including

arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. The formation of these symbioses is costly and

plants employ a negative feedback loop termed autoregulation of mycorrhizae

(AOM) to limit arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM) formation. We provide evidence

for the role of one leucine-rich-repeat receptor like kinase (FAB), a

hydroxyproline *O*-arabinosyltransferase enzyme (FIN) and additional evidence

for one receptor like protein (*Sl*CLV2) in the negative regulation of AM

formation in tomato. Reciprocal grafting experiments suggest that the *FAB*

gene acts locally in the root, while the *SlCLV2* gene may act in both the root

and the shoot. External nutrients including phosphate and nitrate can also

strongly suppress AM formation. We found that FAB and FIN are required for

nitrate suppression of AM but are not required for the powerful suppression of

AM colonisation by phosphate. This parallels some of the roles of legume

homologs in the autoregulation of the more recently evolved symbioses with

nitrogen-fixing bacteria leading to nodulation. This deep homology in the

symbiotic role of these genes suggests that in addition to the early signalling

events that lead to the establishment of AM and nodulation, the autoregulation

pathway might also be considered part of the common symbiotic toolkit that

enabled plants to form beneficial symbioses.

Key words: arbuscular mycorrhizae, autoregulation, CLAVATA, nitrogen,

phosphorous, tomato

Introduction

Plants can form beneficial symbiotic relationships with a variety of soil microbes. The symbiosis with arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi is ancient and widespread, occurring in over 80% of terrestrial plants and supplying plants with previously inaccessible nutrients and enhancing stress tolerance (Martin *et al.*, 2017; Pozo *et al.*, 2010; Smith and Read, 2010). Nodulation is the symbiosis between nitrogen fixing rhizobial bacteria and predominantly legumes and is thought to have evolved in part by recruiting part of the pre-existing AM signalling pathway, including the common symbiotic pathway that enables initial communication and symbiotic establishment (Delaux *et al.*, 2015; Radhakrishnan *et al.*, 2020). As the formation of these symbioses are energetically costly (Douds *et al.*, 2000; Schulze *et al.*, 1999), the plant must tightly control the ultimate extent of the symbioses. Autoregulation enables plants to limit the extent of symbioses via a negative feedback loop, reviewed by Wang *et al.* (2018). Studies in legumes indicate at least some of the genetic elements in autoregulation of nodulation (AON) overlap with elements of autoregulation of mycorrhizae (AOM) but have also highlighted some important differences (e.g. Catford, 2003; Foo *et al.*, 2016; Müller *et al.*, 2019). However, until now our genetic understanding of the genes and signals that limit mycorrhizal colonisation has been largely limited to legumes. In this paper, we use the model non-legume tomato to provide fundamental information of this key genetic program.

Our understanding of AON is relatively advanced from studies in legumes including *Medicago truncatula, Lotus japonicus,* soybean (*Glycine max*) and pea (*Pisum sativum*). The systemic AON feedback loop begins with root events associated with nodulation inducing a specific subset of CLE peptides, some of which are tri-arabinosylated by a hydroxyproline *O*-arabinosyltransferase enzyme (*Ps*NOD3, *Mt*RDN1 and *Lj*PLENTY) (Hastwell *et al.*, 2018; Imin *et al.*, 2018; Kassaw *et al.*, 2017; Okamoto *et al.*, 2013; Yoro *et al.*, 2019). In *L. japonicus* CLE peptides are translocated to the shoot and it is clear that perception by shoot acting receptor complex(es) occurs

across several species. Key players in this perception system are leucine-rich-

repeat receptor like kinases (LRR-RLK) including CLAVATA1 (CLV1) - like

(*Gm*NARK, *Lj*HAR1, *Mt*SUNN and *Ps*SYM29) (Krusell *et al.*, 2002;

Nishimura *et al.*, 2002; Schnabel *et al.*, 2005; Searle *et al.*, 2003), KLV

(Miyazawa *et al.*, 2010; Oka-Kira *et al.*, 2005), the pseudo-kinase CRN

(Crook *et al.*, 2016) as well as the leucine-rich-repeat receptor like protein

CLV2 (Krusell *et al.*, 2011). The perception of the CLE signal(s) activates a

shoot-derived signal(s) that is transported to the root and inhibits further

nodule formation (Lin *et al.*, 2010; Okamoto *et al.*, 2009; Sasaki *et al.*, 2014).

Key downstream root acting players include the kelch repeat-containing F-box

protein TML (Gautrat *et al.*, 2019; Magori *et al.*, 2009; Takahara *et al.*, 2013),

and the transcriptional regulation of nod factor receptors (Gautrat *et al.*, 2019).

A shoot-derived systemic miRNA, miR2111, maintains susceptible status in

non-nodulated roots and can suppress subsequent nodulation by activating

TML in a *HAR1* dependent manner (Tsikou *et al.*, 2018). Indeed, miR2111

appears to be a central player in both negative and positive regulation of

nodulation as it also acts downstream of the carboxyl-terminally encoded

peptide (CEP) perception system via the CRA2 receptor that positively

regulates nodule formation (Gautrat *et al.*, 2020; Imin *et al.*, 2013; Laffont *et*

al., 2019). It is also important to note that split-root studies with *sunn* and *rdn1*

mutants in *M. truncatula* suggest that there are likely to be multiple systemic

regulatory pathways controlling nodulation (Kassaw *et al.*, 2015).

Disruption in elements of the AON pathway lead to an excess nodulation

(super/hypernodulation) phenotype and early studies revealed that the *clv1-like*

mutants in legumes (*Mtsunn, Ljhar1, Gmnark* and *Pssym29*) also developed

supermycorrhizal phenotypes (Morandi *et al.*, 2000; Sakamoto and Nohara,

2009; Solaiman *et al.*, 2000), highlighting the importance of this receptor for

both AON and AOM. Recently, the *rdn1* mutant of *M. truncatula* has also

been reported to display elevated AM colonisation (Karlo *et al.*, 2020). This

overlap is consistent with elegant studies in legumes and non-legumes that

revealed rhizobium and/or nodulation can suppress mycorrhizal development

and vice versa (Catford, 2003; Khaosaad *et al.*, 2010; Sakamoto *et al.*, 2013).

In contrast to this conservation, nodulation and mycorrhizae induce the

expression of a specific sub-set of CLE peptides (de Bang *et al.*, 2017a; Handa

et al., 2015; Karlo *et al.*, 2020; Müller *et al.*, 2019). Indeed, recent studies in

M. truncatula have established that specific CLE peptides suppress the

formation of AM (Karlo *et al.*, 2020; Müller *et al.*, 2019). Overexpression of

MtCLE53 and *MtCLE33* led to significantly reduced mycorrhizal colonisation

compared with the control construct, and this suppression was dependant on

the *CLV1-like* gene *SUNN* and *RDN1*. A role for tri-arabinosylation in

activation of *MtCLE53* was supported by the fact that overexpression of a

modified version of *MtCLE53* that may be unable to be tri-arabinosylated did

not influence AM (Karlo *et al.*, 2020). In contrast to the important role for

MtCLE53, overexpression of the nodulation induced *CLE*, *MtCLE13*, did not

suppress AM colonisation (Müller *et al.*, 2019). Intriguingly, AM fungi

themselves can also produce CLE peptides that appear to promote colonisation

(Le Marquer *et al.*, 2018). The genetic components of AOM in non-legumes is

only now emerging, with roles for *CLV1-like* genes and *CLV2* suggested by

the elevated AM colonisation observed in the *Brachypodium distachyon clv1-*

like mutant *fon1-1* and transgenic lines of tomato disrupted in *CLV2*

respectively (Müller *et al.*, 2019; Wang *et al.*, 2018).

Plants strongly regulate symbioses in response to nutrient availability. High

phosphorus supply suppresses AM formation across species, while it promotes

nodulation (e.g. Breuillin *et al.*, 2010; Foo, 2017). Nitrogen supply strongly

suppresses nodulation (e.g. van Noorden *et al.*, 2016) and in some species has

also been observed to suppress AM, although neutral and positive effects of

nitrogen on AM have also been reported (Lim et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2012;

Bonneau et al., 2013; Corrêa et al., 2014; Nouri et al., 2014). Indeed,

mycorrhizal-induced ammonium and nitrate plant transporters have been

identified (e.g. Guether *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*, 2020). There is genetic

evidence that nitrogen, and possibly phosphorous, interact with elements of

the AON pathway to regulate nodulation. For example, plant mutants

disrupted in the CLV1-like protein, KLV, and RDN1 in some species display

reduced sensitivity to nitrate suppression of nodulation (Carroll *et al.*, 1985;

Jacobsen and Feenstra, 1984; Lim *et al.*, 2011; Oka-Kira *et al.*, 2005;

Schnabel *et al.*, 2005; Searle *et al.*, 2003). Further, the pea *Psnark (clv1-like)*

mutant does not suppress nodulation under low phosphate (Foo *et al.*, 2013a).

In addition, CLE peptides whose expression responds to altered nutrients such

as nitrate and phosphorous have been characterized in legume and non-legume

systems and regulate a variety of nutrient responses, including legume

nodulation (Araya *et al.*, 2014; de Bang *et al.*, 2017b; Karlo *et al.*, 2020;

Müller *et al.*, 2019; Okamoto *et al.*, 2009). However, the role of these

elements in regulating AM in response to nutrient status is underexplored. In

pea, *M. truncatula* and soybean, although *CLV1-like* genes *PsSYM29*,

MtSUNN and *GmNARK* are required to negatively regulate AM formation,

these genes do not appear to be required to do this in response to phosphate as

Pssym29, Mtsunn and *Gmnark* mutants still suppress AM under high

phosphate (Foo *et al.*, 2013a; Müller *et al.*, 2019; Wyss *et al.*, 1990).

However, the possibility that nitrogen influences AM formation via this

pathway has not yet been addressed.

Elements downstream of AOM are still unclear. One potential player that has

been proposed is strigolactone, the root exuded plant hormone that can

promote establishment of mycorrhizal symbiosis by promoting arbuscular

mycorrhizal fungal spore gemination and hyphal branching (Akiyama *et al.*,

2005; Besserer *et al.*, 2006). This is based on the observation that in *M.*

truncatula overexpression of *MtCLE53* or *MtCLE33* down-regulated

strigolactone biosynthesis and that the low colonisation rates of these lines

could be elevated with strigolactone application (Müller *et al.*, 2019).

However, strigolactone levels were not elevated in the *sunn* mutant of *M.*

truncatula or the pea *sym29, clv2* or *nod3* mutants and double mutant studies

in pea clearly indicate strigolactones do not act downstream of AON (Müller

et al., 2019, Foo et al., 2014). Given the potent role for strigolactones in the

up-steam establishment of AM symbioses it is difficult to establish if

strigolactones also act downstream of AOM.

Tomato mutants disrupted in the *CLV1-like* gene (*fab*), *CLV2* gene *(Slclv2)*

and *RDN1-like* gene (*fin*) are available and have been previously characterised

for their role in shoot apical meristem identity and root development (Xu *et*

al., 2015). Previous studies also suggested a role for *SlCLV2* in the negative

regulation of AM symbioses (Wang *et al.*, 2018). In this paper, the hypothesis

that *FAB* and *FIN* play a role in the regulation of AM development of non-

legume tomato was examined, and the hypothesis that nitrate and phosphate

act through these genes to regulate AM was tested. The *FAB* and *FIN* genes

are shown to exert a negative influence on AM formation and are required for

the nitrate suppression of AM in tomato. However, they do not influence the

strong suppression of AM formation by phosphate. In contrast to the shoot

acting role of *CLV1-* and *CLV2-like* genes in legumes in regulating symbioses,

we found only limited evidence that *FAB* and *SlCLV2* act outside root tissues

to influence AM.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

One study was conducted with the tomato *Solanum lycopersicum* wild type

(WT) cv. Money Maker. All other experiments employed the tomato WT cv.

M82, and mutants on this background, *fab*, *fin-n2326* and *fin-e4489* and the

CRISPR generated mutant *Slclv2-2* (Xu *et al.*, 2015). The *fab* mutant carries a

single base pair substitution resulting in an alanine to valine substitution in the

kinase domain, the *fin-n2326* mutant has a large sequence deletion that results

in the absence of transcripts and the *fin-e4489* mutant has a 1bp missense

mutation that results in a premature stop codon (Xu *et al.*, 2015). Mutations

introduced in *Slclv2-2* are outlined in Suppl Fig. S1 at *JXB* online.

Growth conditions

Tomato seeds were germinated in potting mix and transplanted two weeks

after, sowing into 2L pots containing a 1:1 mixture of vermiculite and gravel

(plus inoculum), topped with vermiculite. Unless otherwise stated, plants were

grown under glasshouse conditions (18 h photoperiod). For experiments using

tomato *clv2* mutants, the plants were grown in controlled glasshouse (25 °C

day/20 °C night, 18 h photoperiod). Unless otherwise stated, tomato plants

were supplied with 75ml/pot modified Long Ashton nutrient solutions (Hewitt,

1966) containing 5 mM KNO_3 and 0.5 mM NaH_2PO_4 twice a week (1 - 3

weeks after transplanting) and three times a week (from 3 weeks after

transplanting).

Inoculum for mycorrhizal experiments was live corn pot culture originally

inoculated with spores of *Rhizophagus irregularis* (INOQ Advantage, INOQ

GMBH, Germany), grown under glasshouse conditions that received modified

Long Ashton nutrient solution containing 3.7mM KNO_3 and 0.05mM

NaH_2PO_4 once a week. The inoculum contained colonised root segments,

external hyphae and spores. For standard experiments, the growth substrate

(80%) was mixed with corn pot culture (20%). For high dose of inoculum

treatments, inoculum was increased to 40% corn pot culture.

For grafting experiments, wedge grafts were performed in the hypocotyl three

days after transplantation of rootstocks and the grafts maintained in a humid

environment for approx. 5 - 7 days and then gradually reintroduced to ambient

conditions.

Root staining and scoring

Tomato plants were harvested 6-8 weeks after transplanting. The root and

shoot were separated, fresh weight recorded and the tomato roots were cut into

1-1.5 cm segments, except for hyphopodia measurements where whole roots

were gently removed and placed in nylon Biopsy Bags (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, USA) inside tissue processing cassettes (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

USA).

Unless otherwise noted the ink and vinegar method was used for mycorrhizal

staining (Vierheilig *et al.*, 1998). Mycorrhizal colonisation of roots was scored

according to McGonigle *et al.* (1990), where 150 intersects were observed

from 25 root segments per plant. The presence of arbuscules, vesicles and

intraradical hyphae at each intersect was scored separately. The total

colonization of mycorrhizae was calculated as the percentage of intersects that

have presence of any fungal structures and arbuscule frequency was calculated

from the percentage of intersects that contained arbuscules. For the

hyphopodia experiment, cassettes containing root samples were covered with

5% KOH at 58 °C overnight, rinsed with water and 3.5% HCl, and then

stained in 0.05% trypan blue lactoglycerol solution for 12 h at 58 °C. The

number of hyphopodia was scored on 15 root segments per plant and is

presented as the total number of hyphopodia per cm of root length.

Nitrate and phosphorous influence on AM

For the nitrate experiments, plants were grown in the presence of mycorrhizal

inoculum and supplied with modified LANS with 0.5mM NaH_2PO_4 and

various concentrations of KNO_3 (ranging from 0.625mM to 10mM for

examining N impact on WT). Two N concentration (0.625mM and 10mM)

were selected for examining N impact on mycorrhizal colonization in mutants.

For the phosphate experiments, plants were grown in the presence of

mycorrhizal inoculum and supplied with modified LANS with 5mM KNO_3

and two concentrations of NaH_2PO_4 (0.05 and 5mM).

Strigolactone extraction and quantification

Tomato plants for strigolactone analysis received 2.5mM KNO_3 and 0.5mM

NaH_2PO_4 nutrient solution. Root exudate was collected from individual plants

and strigolactones extracted and measured by UPLC/MS-MS as outlined in

(Foo and Davies, 2011). Strigolactone standards, $[6'-^2H_1]$-orobanchol, $[6'-^2H_1]$-

orobanchyl acetate, $[6'-^2H]$ 5-deoxystrigol and $[6'-^2H_1]$-fabacyl acetate, were

added to each sample solution as internal standards. As there is no labelled

solanacol standard available, an un-labled solanacol sample (kindly provided

by A/Prof Chris McErlean and Dr Bart Janssen) was run as an external control

and after initial analysis, all samples were spiked with solanocal and re-run to

ensure solanacol could be detected in the sample matrix. For solanacol,

transitions monitored were 343 > 97, 343 > 183 and 343 > 228 and other for

other strigolactones the ions monitored were as reported previously (Foo and

Davies, 2011). The endogenous strigolactone levels were calculated from the

ratio of endogenous to standard peak areas per gram root fresh weight.

Phylogenetic analysis

The full length amino acid sequence of CLV1, CLV2 and RDN1 related

proteins was used for phylogenetic analyses. The multiple sequence alignment

was generated using the Muscle algorithm (Edgar, 2004). The phylogenetic

tree was constructed using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the

Whelan And Goldman + Freq. model (Whelan and Goldman, 2001). The trees

with the highest log likelihood are shown. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic

search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ

algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using a JTT model, and

then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. A discrete

Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among

sites (5 categories). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured

in the number of substitutions per site. All positions with less than 90% site

coverage were eliminated. That is, fewer than 10% alignment gaps, missing

data, and ambiguous bases were allowed at any position. Evolutionary

analyses were conducted in MEGA7 (Kumar *et al.*, 2016).

Statistical analyses

The data were analysed using SPSS software (vision 20, IBM). The normal

distribution of data and the homogeneity of variances were analysed with the

Shapiro-Wilk test ($P<0.05$) and homogeneity test ($P<0.05$), respectively.

When both tests were not significant, the data were subjected to either one-

way or two-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey's post-hoc test to compare the

means of different groups (if there were more than 2 groups). For the data that

were either not normally distributed or did not have equal error variances, the

data were log or square root transformed and ANOVA analysed on the

transformed data.

Results

***FAB* and *FIN* are required to suppress arbuscular mycorrhizal**

development in tomato

Tomato mutants disrupted in *FAB* and *FIN* displayed a significant increase in

the mycorrhizal colonisation of the root under the fertilization conditions used,

developing approximately 35-50% more total colonisation and arbuscules

compared to WT (Fig.1 A, B). For *fab* and both *fin-n2326* lines, this increase

in root colonisation was correlated with a significant increase in number of

hyphopodia, the fungal entry points along a given length of root, compared to

WT (Fig.1 C), suggesting *FAB* and *FIN* may suppress early stages in

mycorrhizal development, at or before hyphal entry. Previously published

experiments demonstrated that *Slclv2* mutant lines displayed significantly

more AM colonisation than WT (Wang *et al.*, 2018). As found previously for

SlCLV2 (Wang *et al.*, 2018), *FAB* and *FIN* appear to influence the amount but

not the structure of mycorrhizal features, as the hyphopodia, arbuscules,

hyphae and vesicles that formed in mutants disrupted in these genes appeared

similar in size and structure to WT (Fig.1 D, data not shown). Phylogenetic

analysis using full length amino acid sequences from legume and non-legume

families indicate FAB (Solyc04g081590) is related to other CLV1-like

proteins, *Sl*CLV2 (Solyc04g056640) is related to *Arabidopsis* and legume

CLV2 proteins, and FIN (Solyc11g064850) is related to hydroxyproline *O*-

arabinosyltransferase enzymes that influence nodulation in legumes (*Ps*NOD3,

*Mt*RDN1 and *Lj*PLENTY; Suppl Fig.S2 at *JXB* online).

It is important to note that the elevated colonisation of these mutants was not

due to increased root or shoot size, as the mutants developed smaller root

systems than WT under mycorrhizal conditions (approx. 30-50 % less) and

this was mirrored by a similar reduction in shoot size in *fab* and *Slclv2* but not

fin (Suppl Fig. S3A-D at *JXB* online). Indeed, the intersect scoring method

employed in this study should not be influenced by root size or length. A

detailed examination of root development of these mutants indicated no

significant effects on root architecture of *fab*, *Slclv2* or *fin* seedlings and only a

small reduction in root size in mature non-mycorrhizal *Slclv2* and *fin* mutants

compared to WT (Wang *et al.,* 2020b). However, to investigate if root access

to inoculum could be responsible for the observed mycorrhizal phenotype, the

mycorrhizal colonisation of *fab* mutants and WT plants under two different

doses of inoculum was examined (Suppl Fig.S4 at *JXB* online). A two-way

ANOVA analysis found a strong genotype effect as expected (P<0.01), but no

significant inoculum effect or genotype by inoculum interaction. This suggests

that the increased mycorrhizal colonisation rate in *fab* mutants is not simply

due to inoculum access under the conditions used.

Nitrate but not phosphate suppression of mycorrhizal colonisation in

tomato requires *FAB* and *FIN*

As has been found for *Petunia hybrida*, rice and *M. truncatula* (Bonneau *et al.*,

2013; Corrêa *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et al.*, 2012; Nouri *et al.*, 2014), application of

nitrate significantly suppressed mycorrhizal colonisation in tomato in a dose

dependant manner (Fig.2 A,B). In contrast, both shoot and root fresh weight

and the shoot:root ratio increased significantly with the increase in nitrate

levels (Suppl Fig.S5 A-F at *JXB* online). Clearly, nitrate suppresses mycorrhizal

colonisation in tomato, and this suppression is not an indirect effect of low

nitrate limiting plant vigour and thus AM formation, as the low nitrate limited

plant growth but elevated mycorrhizal colonisation rates.

The mycorrhizal colonisation of WT, *fab* and *fin* plants grown under high and

low nitrate conditions was examined (Fig.2 C). As seen in the previous

experiment with cv. Money Maker, the total colonisation and arbuscule rate of

WT cv. M82 plants growing under high nitrate were both significantly lower

than that under low nitrate conditions. Under high nitrate, both *fab* and *fin*

mutant plants have significantly elevated arbuscule colonisation compared to

WT plants under high nitrate (10mM; Fig. 2C). This is consistent with

elevated colonisation seen in *fab* and *fin* plants when grown under relatively

high nitrate (5mM; Fig 1). However, an increase in colonisation in response to

low nitrate was not observed in *fab* and *fin* mutant plants. In fact, under low

nitrate, high rates of colonisation were observed in WT, *fab* and *fin* mutant

roots. Two-way ANOVA analysis revealed a strong genotype by treatment

interaction ($P < 0.001$) on both arbuscule and total colonisation, demonstrating

that *fab* and *fin* mutants respond differently to nitrate than WT plants. This

suggests that *FAB* and *FIN* are required for nitrate suppression of AM and this

explains why elevated colonisation is only observed in *fin* and *fab* mutant

plants compared to WT when grown under high nitrate (Fig.1 and 2 C) but not

low nitrate (Fig.2 C). As observed in WT, the shoot and root growth of the *fab*

and *fin* mutant plants were severely restricted under low nitrate conditions

compared to high nitrate (Suppl Fig.S5 D-F). This is consistent with non-

mycorrhizal seedling studies, that found that the root development of *fab* and

fin seedlings responded to altered nitrogen in a similar way to WT (Wanf et al.,

2020).

Phosphate is a potent inhibitor of AM colonisation. Indeed, total AM

colonisation and arbuscule colonisation of WT roots was reduced more than

10-fold by application of high phosphate compared to WT plants that received

low phosphate (Fig.3). This strong suppression of AM colonisation was still

observed in *fab* and *fin* mutant plants. Indeed, AM colonisation rates under

high phosphate were not significantly different amongst genotypes. Under

very low phosphate (0.05mM, Fig.3), a small but not significant increase in

arbuscule colonisation rate was seen in *fin* and *fab* mutants compared to WT

and this is in contrast to the significant increase in colonisation seen in these

mutants when grown under more moderate phosphate limitation (0.5mM; Fig.

1). This suggests that even under relatively high nitrogen (5mM), severe

phosphate limitation (0.05mM) strongly promotes mycorrhizal colonisation

and this can override the effect of *FIN* or *FAB* on colonisation. Two-way

ANOVA analysis revealed a strong treatment effect and small genotype effect

but no genotype by treatment interaction for both arbuscule and total

colonisation, indicating that *fab* and *fin* mutants respond in a similar way to

phosphate as WT plants. As observed in WT, the shoot and root growth of the

fab and *fin* mutant plants was severely restricted under low phosphate

conditions compared to high phosphate (Suppl Fig.S6 at *JXB* online).

The *FAB* gene acts in the root, while the *SlCLV2* gene may act in both the shoot and root

Grafting and/or split root studies have revealed the *CLV1-like* and *CLV2* genes act in the shoot to suppress nodulation, while *RDN1-like* genes are root acting (e.g. Delves *et al.*, 1986; Sagan, 1996; Schnabel *et al.*, 2011). In addition, there have been at least some studies that suggest *GmNARK* acts in the shoot to suppress AM, although this is not supported by all studies (Meixner *et al.*, 2005; Meixner *et al.*, 2007; Sakamoto and Nohara, 2009). The shoot or root acting nature of *FAB* and *SlCLV2* was tested by reciprocal grafting experiments (Fig.4). As observed in intact plants, colonisation of the root by arbuscules was significantly higher in *fab/fab* (shoot/root stock) self-grafted plants than the WT/WT self-grafts (Fig. 4 A). Importantly, in reciprocal grafts elevated arbuscule rate was only observed when grafts contained *fab* mutant roots. Arbuscule root colonisation was not influenced by shoot genotype, indicating the *FAB* gene appears to act in the root to suppress AM formation. In contrast, only *Slclv2-2/Slclv2-2* self-grafted plants showed significantly higher total colonisation and arbuscule numbers than the WT/WT self-grafted plants (Fig. 4 B). The other graft combinations, WT/*Slclv2-2* and *Slclv2-2*/WT, did not show any significant difference in the extent of colonisation compared with the WT self-graft, suggesting that the *SlCLV2* gene may be acting in both the root and shoot to suppress mycorrhizal colonisation.

Strigolactone levels in *fab* and *fin* mutants

Strigolactone levels in the root exudates from the *fab* and *fin* mutants and WT plants were examined under both mycorrhizal colonised and un-colonised conditions (Fig. 5A). Tomatoes produce a variety of strigolactone compounds and orobanchol, orobanchyl acetate and fabacyl acetate were detected in at least some extracts. With one exception, no significant differences in strigolactone levels between mutant and WT plants were observed. The only exception was under non-mycorrhizal conditions, with a small but significant

(P<0.05) increase in fabacyl acetate levels in *fin* compared to *fab* and WT.

Please note, as the colonised and uncolonised plants were grown at different

times they should not be directly compared.

Discussion

Studies in this paper suggest roles of an hydroxyproline *O*-

arabinosyltransferase enzyme (FIN), an LRR receptor kinase (FAB) and one

LRR receptor like protein (*Sl*CLV2) in the negative regulation of AM

colonisation in tomato. Nitrate repression of AM also appears to require FAB

and FIN, while phosphate appears to influence AM independently of these

genes and may override the nitrate response.

The mutant studies indicate suppression of mycorrhizal colonisation of tomato

requires the hydroxyproline *O*-arabinosyltransferase enzyme FIN (Fig.1). FIN

appears to be important for arabinosylation of CLE peptides active in shoot

apical meristem maintenance (Xu *et al.*, 2015). The closest homologs in

legumes have been suggested to arabinosylate some CLE peptides essential for

AON (Hastwell *et al.*, 2018; Imin *et al.*, 2018; Kassaw *et al.*, 2017; Yoro *et al.*,

2019) and *RDN1* is required for MtCLE53 to suppress AM colonisation in *M.*

truncatula (Karlo *et al.*, 2020). Both FAB and *Sl*CLV2 receptors are required

to suppress AM symbioses in tomato (Fig.1 and Wang *et al.* (2018)) and

grafting indicates FAB appears to act in the root and the shoot and root for

*Sl*CLV2 (Fig.4). The role of the *FAB* gene in suppressing mycorrhizal

colonisation is consistent with the role of *CLV1-like* genes in the negative

regulation of mycorrhizal colonisation of legumes (Meixner *et al.*, 2005;

Morandi *et al.*, 2000; Müller *et al.*, 2019; Solaiman *et al.*, 2000). However, it

is still unclear if *CLV1-like* genes act in the shoot to suppress mycorrhizae.

Our grafting results suggest *FAB* acts in the root and not the shoot. Similarly

in one split root study with soybean *En6500* mutant, disrupted in the *CLV1-*

like NARK gene, showed this mutant line retained the ability to systemically

suppress AM (Meixner *et al.*, 2007) . However, grafting and split root studies

with this and other soybean *nark* mutant alleles do suggest *NARK* can act in

the shoot to suppress AM (Meixner *et al.*, 2005; Meixner *et al.*, 2007;

Sakamoto and Nohara, 2009; Schaarschmidt *et al.*, 2013) indicating a *CLV1-*

like gene in soybean may act in the shoot to suppress AM.

As has been found for nitrate regulation of nodulation, in tomato the *CLV1-*

like FAB and *RDN1-like FIN* are required for nitrate suppression of AM.

Application of high nitrate to tomato suppressed mycorrhizal colonisation and

this suppression was absent in *fab* and *fin* mutant plants (Fig.2). This appears

to be a specific role for these genes in nitrogen regulation of mycorrhizal

colonisation, as these mutants still regulate root architecture in response to

nitrogen (NEW ROOT PAPER). This role for FAB and FIN in nitrate

response is striking as it suggests deep conservation of the role of these genes

in nitrate regulation of symbioses. Future work will explore which other

elements of the pathways including which specific CLE(s) might be

responsible for this nitrate regulation of AM. In contrast, the very strong

suppression of AM colonisation by high phosphate did not require FAB and

FIN, as the suppression of AM by phosphate was maintained in lines disrupted

in these genes (Fig.3). This is also consistent with studies in homologous

legume mutants, which found that phosphate suppression of AM was not

disrupted in *clv1-like* mutants in pea, *M. truncatula* and soybean (Foo, 2014;

Müller *et al.*, 2019; Wyss *et al.*, 1990). Thus, phosphate regulates AM via

pathway(s) independent of CLV1-like proteins across species and FIN in

tomato. Given the suite of CLE peptides, modifying enzymes and receptors in

each plant, the possibility that particular stimuli (e.g. symbioses, nitrate,

phosphate, etc) acting through specific combinations of signals and receptors

is likely.

Strigolactones are at least one, but not the only signal through which

phosphate suppresses AM (Balzergue *et al.*, 2011; Breuillin *et al.*, 2010; Foo

et al., 2013b). It is difficult to distinguish if strigolactones are acting not only

as an upstream signal but also as a downstream signal in the AOM pathway.

This is because strigolactones are essential for substantial colonisation to

occur and it is clear that a certain level of colonisation is required to induce

AOM (Vierheilig, 2004). It is intriguing that the *FAB* and *RDN1* genes appear

to suppress colonisation in part through suppressing fungal entry and this is

consistent with studies in *M. truncatula* with *CLE53/33* overexpression lines

(Müller *et al.*, 2019). However, strigolactones levels are not elevated in *clv1-*

like mutants of pea, *M. truncutula* or tomato or *rdn1-like* mutants in pea and

tomato (Foo *et al.*, 2014; Müller *et al.*, 2019), meaning elevated strigolactone

exudation does not appear to be the cause of elevated AM colonisation of

these mutants. Clearly, further clarification of the interaction between

strigolactones and these genes is required.

Grafting studies presented here suggest in tomato *SlCLV2* can act in the shoot

and root to suppress AM. This is in contrast to the clear role for this gene in

the shoot but not the root during AON of legumes. However, as the single

study in pea examining the role of *CLV2* in AM regulation of legumes found

no influence of this gene on AM formation (Morandi *et al.*, 2000), further

studies are required to clarify the action of *CLV2* in AM regulation of legumes

and non-legumes. It is important to note that split root studies indicated that

negative regulation of AM can act systemically (Vierheilig *et al.*, 2000).

Therefore, it will be important to clarify which genes and signals may mediate

this long-distance effect and which may act locally in the root to limit AM

formation. Studies with *M. truncutula* plants indicated that although *MtCLE53*

expression was induced by AM locally, a systemic effect of this peptide on

AM was suggested by transgenic studies with chimeric roots overexpressing

MtCLE53 (Karlo *et al.*, 2020). However, further studies are required to clarify

the tissue(s) in which other elements of the pathway act. Future studies could

also begin to explore the nature of the receptor complex(es) that may be

important for negative regulation of AM by specific CLE peptides, including

examining if CLV1-like and CLV2 proteins work in parallel or as co-receptors

and the role of other receptors that play roles in AON (e.g. KLV and CRN).

Indeed, recent research has shown that compensation mechanisms operate in

the CLE - CLV signalling pathway to control shoot apical meristem

homeostasis through both ligands and receptors (Nimchuk *et al.*, 2015;

Rodriguez-Leal *et al.*, 2019).

Work presented in this paper enables us to build a model of the genetic

regulation of mycorrhizal colonization in a non-legume tomato. In addition to

roles for *CLV1*- and *RDN1*-like genes previously identified in legumes (and

for a *CLV1-like* gene in *Brachypodium*), this model indicates these genes are

important for nitrate but not phosphate regulation of mycorrhizal colonization

and includes an important role for *SlCLV2* (Fig. 6). Specific CLE peptides

have been identified to act via SUNN and RDN1 in *M.truncutula* (Karlo *et al.*,

2020; Müller *et al.*, 2019), and future studies will examine whether similar

CLE peptides influence AM in tomato. The expansion of our understanding of

the negative regulation of AM into non-legume systems and the parallels this

pathway shares with AON in legumes, including nitrate regulation, suggests

that negative regulation of symbioses is a conserved symbiotic program. In

addition to the common symbiotic pathway essential for early signaling and

infection events in nodulation and AM (Radhakrishnan *et al.*, 2020), we might

consider this negative regulation of symbioses via autoregulation as the

bookend to this pathway and therefore a component of the so called 'common

symbiotic pathway'(Chiu and Paszkowski, 2020). Indeed, recent studies have

suggested AON may influence a subset of genes, including the Nod-factor

receptor NFP (Gautrat *et al.*, 2019), integrating these two programs. It is also

interesting to consider that although the CLV-CLE pathway shares an

orthologous role in shoot apical meristem control in non-legumes, no clear

role for *CLV1*-like genes in shoot development of legumes has been observed

(e.g. Krusell *et al.*, 2002; Nishimura *et al.*, 2002). Thus, an orthologous role

for CLV1-like proteins in suppression of AM in both legumes and non-

legumes (tomato this paper, and *Brachypodium* (Müller *et al.*, 2019))

describes a common function for this gene across legumes and non-legumes.

A deeper understanding of how plants manage interactions with nutrient

acquiring microbes provides a basis for future advances in plants acquiring

maximum benefit from these symbiotic relationships.

Supplementary data

Suppl Figure 1. Outline of CRISPR guide RNA and mutations introduced in *Slclv2-2* lines.

Suppl Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree of (A) CLV1-like, (B) CLV2, and (C) HPAT family proteins.

Suppl Figure 3. Growth parameters of WT (cv. M82), *fab, Slclv2 and fin* mutants grown with mycorrhizal inoculum as shown in Fig.1 for *fab* and *fin* and from Wang *et al.* (2018) for *Slclv2*.

Suppl Figure 4. Mycorrhizal colonisation of WT and *fab* plants mutants grown with standard (20%) or high (40%) inoculum doses.

Suppl Figure 5. Growth parameters of WT (cv. Money Maker), WT (cv. M82), *fin-n2326* and *fab* mutants grown under various KNO_3 treatments.

Suppl Figure 6. Growth parameters of WT (cv. M82), *fin-n2326* and *fab* mutants growing under two NaH_2PO_4 treatments (0.05mM or 5mM).

Data availability statement

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and within its supplementary materials published online.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Prof Zachary Lippman (Cold Spring Harbour, USA) for his kind gift of tomato mutant lines and for helpful discussions. Many thanks to Prof Koichi Yoneyama (Utsunomiya University) and Dr Kohki Akiyama

(Osaka Prefecture University) for their kind gifts of measured quantities of

labeled strigolactones and to A/Prof Chris McErlean (University of Sydney)

and Dr Bart Janssen (The New Zealand Institute for Plant & Food Research

Limited) for the gift of unlabeled solonocal. We thank Michelle Lang, Tracey

Winterbottom, Shelley Urquhart and Valerie Hecht (University of Tasmania)

for technical advice and assistance.

E.F. was supported in part through the ARC Future Fellowship FT140100770,

the work carried out in Australia was funded through the ARC Discovery

project DP140101709 and C.W. and K.W. were supported by a Tasmania

Graduate Research Scholarship and an Australian Research Training Program

scholarship respectively. C-T.K. was supported by the National Science

Foundation Plant Genome Research Program (grant no. IOS-1546837).

List of author contributions

E.F. conceived the project; C-T.K. generated the CRISPR lines; E.F. and

J.B.R. supervised the other experiments; C.W. performed the experiments and

analyses except the phosphate experiment that was performed by K.W; D.S.N.

developed the UPLC/M-MS method; K.V.P. provided technical assistance;

E.F., C.W. and J.B.R. wrote the manuscript. E.F. agrees to serve as the author

responsible for contact and ensures communication.

References
Akiyama K, Matsuzaki K-i, Hayashi H. 2005. Plant sesquiterpenes induce
hyphal branching in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Nature **435**, 824-827.
Araya T, Miyamoto M, Wibowo J, Suzuki A, Kojima S, Tsuchiya YN,
Sawa S, Fukuda H, von Wirén N, Takahashi H. 2014. CLE-CLAVATA1
peptide-receptor signaling module regulates the expansion of plant root

systems in a nitrogen-dependent manner. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences **111**, 2029.
Balzergue C, Puech-Pages V, Becard G, Rochange SF. 2011. The
regulation of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis by phosphate in pea involves
early and systemic signalling events. J Exp Bot **62**, 1049-1060.
Besserer A, Puech-Pagès V, Kiefer P, Gomez-Roldan V, Jauneau A, Roy S,
Portais J-C, Roux C, Bécard G, Séjalon-Delmas N. 2006. Strigolactones
stimulate arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi by activating mitochondria. PLoS
Biology **4**.
Bonneau L, Huguet S, Wipf D, Pauly N, Truong HN. 2013. Combined
phosphate and nitrogen limitation generates a nutrient stress transcriptome
favorable for arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in Medicago truncatula. New
Phytologist **199**, 188-202.
Breuillin F, Schramm J, Hajirezaei M, Ahkami A, Favre P, Druege U,
Hause B, Bucher M, Kretzschmar T, Bossolini E, Kuhlemeier C,
Martinoia E, Franken P, Scholz U, Reinhardt D. 2010. Phosphate
systemically inhibits development of arbuscular mycorrhiza in Petunia hybrida
and represses genes involved in mycorrhizal functioning. Plant Journal **64**,
1002-1017.
Carroll BJ, McNeil DL, Gresshoff PM. 1985. A Supernodulation and
Nitrate-Tolerant Symbiotic (nts) Soybean Mutant. Plant Physiology **78**, 34-40.
Catford JG. 2003. Suppression of arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization and
nodulation in split-root systems of alfalfa after pre-inoculation and treatment
with Nod factors. Journal of Experimental Botany **54**, 1481-1487.
Chiu CH, Paszkowski U. 2020. Receptor-Like Kinases Sustain Symbiotic
Scrutiny. Plant Physiol **182**, 1597-1612.
Corrêa A, Cruz C, Pérez-Tienda J, Ferrol N. 2014. Shedding light onto
nutrient responses of arbuscular mycorrhizal plants: Nutrient interactions may
lead to unpredicted outcomes of the symbiosis. Plant Science **221-222**, 29-41.
Crook AD, Schnabel EL, Frugoli JA. 2016. The systemic nodule number
regulation kinase SUNN in Medicago truncatula interacts with MtCLV2 and
MtCRN. Plant Journal **88**, 108-119.
de Bang T, Lundquist PK, Dai X, Boschiero C, Zhuang Z, Pant P, Torres-
Jerez I, Roy S, Nogales J, Veerappan V, Dickstein R, Udvardi MK, Zhao
PX, Scheible W. 2017a. Genome-wide Identification of Medicago Peptides
involved in Macronutrient Responses and Nodulation. Plant Physiology.
de Bang TC, Lay KS, Scheible W-R, Takahashi H. 2017b. Small peptide
signaling pathways modulating macronutrient utilization in plants. Current
Opinion in Plant Biology **39**, 31-39.
Delaux PM, Radhakrishnan GV, Jayaraman D, Cheema J, Malbreil M,
Volkening JD, Sekimoto H, Nishiyama T, Melkonian M, Pokorny L,
Rothfels CJ, Sederoff HW, Stevenson DW, Surek B, Zhang Y, Sussman
MR, Dunand C, Morris RJ, Roux C, Wong GK, Oldroyd GE, Ane JM.
2015. Algal ancestor of land plants was preadapted for symbiosis. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A **112**, 13390-13395.
Delves AC, Mathews A, Day DA, Carter AS, Carroll BJ, Gresshoff PM.
1986. Regulation of the soybean-Rhizobium nodule symbiosis by shoot and
root factors. Plant Physiology **82**, 588-590.
Douds DD, Pfeffer PE, Shachar-Hill Y. 2000. Carbon Partitioning, Cost, and
Metabolism of Arbuscular Mycorrhizas. In: Kapulnik Y, Douds DD, eds.

Arbuscular Mycorrhizas: Physiology and Function. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 107-129.

Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res **32**, 1792-1797.

Foo E. 2014. Something old, something new: Auxin and strigolactone interact in the ancient mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Signaling & Behavior **8**, e23656.

Foo E. 2017. Role of plant hormones and small signalling molecules in nodulation under P stress. Legume nitrogen fixation in soils with low phosphorous availability, 153-167.

Foo E, Davies NW. 2011. Strigolactones promote nodulation in pea. Planta **234**, 1073-1081.

Foo E, Ferguson BJ, Reid JB. 2014. The potential roles of strigolactones and brassinosteroids in the autoregulation of nodulation pathway. Ann Bot **113**, 1037-1045.

Foo E, Heynen EM, Reid JB. 2016. Common and divergent shoot-root signalling in legume symbioses. New Phytol **210**, 643-656.

Foo E, Yoneyama K, Hugill C, Quittenden LJ, Reid JB. 2013a. Strigolactones: Internal and external signals in plant symbioses? Plant Signal Behav **8**, e23168.

Foo E, Yoneyama K, Hugill CJ, Quittenden LJ, Reid JB. 2013b. Strigolactones and the regulation of pea symbioses in response to nitrate and phosphate deficiency. Mol Plant **6**, 76-87.

Gautrat P, Laffont C, Frugier F. 2020. Compact Root Architecture 2 Promotes Root Competence for Nodulation through the miR2111 Systemic Effector. Current Biology.

Gautrat P, Mortier V, Laffont C, De Keyser A, Fromentin J, Frugier F, Goormachtig S. 2019. Unraveling new molecular players involved in the autoregulation of nodulation in Medicago truncatula. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 1407-1417.

Guether M, Neuhauser B, Balestrini R, Dynowski M, Ludewig U, Bonfante P. 2009. A mycorrhizal-specific ammonium transporter from Lotus japonicus acquires nitrogen released by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Plant Physiol **150**, 73-83.

Handa Y, Nishide H, Takeda N, Suzuki Y, Kawaguchi M, Saito K. 2015. RNA-seq Transcriptional Profiling of an Arbuscular Mycorrhiza Provides Insights into Regulated and Coordinated Gene Expression in Lotus japonicus and Rhizophagus irregularis. Plant Cell Physiol **56**, 1490-1511.

Hastwell AH, Corcilius L, Williams J, Gresshoff PM, Payne RJ, Ferguson BJ. 2018. Triarabinosylation is required for nodulation-suppressive CLE peptides to systemically inhibit nodulation in Pisum sativum. Plant, Cell & Environment.

Hewitt EJ. 1966. *Sand and water culture methods used in the study of plant nutrition*: Commenwealth Agricultural Bureaux.

Imin N, Mohd-Radzman NA, Ogilvie HA, Djordjevic MA. 2013. The peptide-encoding CEP1 gene modulates lateral root and nodule numbers in Medicago truncatula. Journal of Experimental Botany **64**, 5395-5409.

Imin N, Patel N, Corcilius L, Payne RJ, Djordjevic MA. 2018. CLE peptide tri-arabinosylation and peptide domain sequence composition are essential for

SUNN-dependent autoregulation of nodulation in Medicago truncatula. New

Phytologist **218**, 73–80.

Jacobsen E, Feenstra WJ. 1984. A new pea mutant with efficient nodulation
in the presence of nitrate. Plant Science Letters **33**, 337-344.

Karlo M, Boschiero C, Landerslev KG, Blanco GS, Wen J, Mysore KS,
Dai X, Zhao PX, de Bang TC. 2020. The CLE53-SUNN genetic pathway
negatively regulates arbuscular mycorrhiza root colonization in Medicago
truncatula. Journal of Experimental Botany.

Kassaw T, Jr. W, Frugoli J. 2015. Multiple Autoregulation of Nodulation
(AON) Signals Identified through Split Root Analysis of Medicago truncatula
sunn and rdn1 Mutants. Plants **4**, 209.

Kassaw T, Nowak S, Schnabel E, Frugoli JA. 2017. ROOT DETERMINED
NODULATION1 is required for M. truncatula CLE12, but not CLE13 peptide
signaling through the SUNN receptor kinase. Plant Physiology, pp.00278.

Khaosaad T, Staehelin C, Steinkellner S, Hage-Ahmed K, Ocampo JA,
Garcia-Garrido JM, Vierheilig H. 2010. The Rhizobium sp. strain NGR234
systemically suppresses arbuscular mycorrhizal root colonization in a split-
root system of barley (Hordeum vulgare). Physiol Plant **140**, 238-245.

Krusell L, Madsen LH, Sato S, Aubert G, Genua A, Szczyglowski K, Duc
G, Kaneko T, Tabata S, de Bruijn F, Pajuelo E, Sandal N, Stougaard J.
2002. Shoot control of root development and nodulation is mediated by a
receptor-like kinase. Nature **420**, 422-426.

Krusell L, Sato N, Fukuhara I, Koch BEV, Grossmann C, Okamoto S,

Oka-Kira E, Otsubo Y, Aubert G, Nakagawa T, Sato S, Tabata S, Duc G,

Parniske M, Wang TL, Kawaguchi M, Stougaard J. 2011. The Clavata2
genes of pea and Lotus japonicus affect autoregulation of nodulation. Plant
Journal **65**, 861-871.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary
Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Molecular Biology and
Evolution **33**, 1870-1874.

Laffont C, Huault E, Gautrat P, Endre G, Kalo P, Bourion V, Duc G,
Frugier F. 2019. Independent Regulation of Symbiotic Nodulation by the
SUNN Negative and CRA2 Positive Systemic Pathways. Plant Physiology,
pp.01588.02018.

Le Marquer M, Bécard G, Frei dit Frey N. 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal
fungi possess a CLAVATA3/embryo surrounding region-related gene that
positively regulates symbiosis. New Phytologist **0**.

Lim CW, Lee YW, Hwang CH. 2011. Soybean nodule-enhanced CLE
peptides in roots act as signals in GmNARK-mediated nodulation suppression.
Plant & Cell Physiology **52**, 1613-1627.

Lin YH, Ferguson BJ, Kereszt A, Gresshoff PM. 2010. Suppression of

hypernodulation in soybean by a leaf-extracted, NARK- and Nod factor-

dependent, low molecular mass fraction. New Phytologist **185**, 1074-1086.

Liu Y, Shi G, Mao L, Cheng G, Jiang S, Ma X, An L, Du G, Collins
Johnson N, Feng H. 2012. Direct and indirect influences of 8yr of nitrogen
and phosphorus fertilization on Glomeromycota in an alpine meadow
ecosystem. New Phytologist **194**, 523-535.

Magori S, Oka-Kira E, Shibata S, Umehara Y, Kouchi H, Hase Y,
Tanaka A, Sato S, Tabata S, Kawaguchi M. 2009. TOO MUCH LOVE, a
Root Regulator Associated with the Long-Distance Control of Nodulation in
Lotus japonicus. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions® **22**, 259-268.
Martin FM, Uroz S, Barker DG. 2017. Ancestral alliances: Plant mutualistic
symbioses with fungi and bacteria. Science **356**.
McGonigle TP, Miller MH, Evans DG, Fairchild GL, Swan JA. 1990. A
new method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by
vesicular—arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytologist **115**, 495-501.
Meixner C, Ludwig-Muller J, Miersch O, Gresshoff P, Staehelin C,
Vierheilig H. 2005. Lack of mycorrhizal autoregulation and phytohormonal
changes in the supernodulating soybean mutant nts1007. Planta **222**, 709-715.

Meixner C, Vegvari G, Ludwig-Müller J, Gagnon H, Steinkellner S,
Staehelin C, Gresshoff P, Vierheilig H. 2007. Two defined alleles of the
LRR receptor kinase GmNARK in supernodulating soybean govern differing
autoregulation of mycorrhization. Physiologia Plantarum **130**, 261-270.
Miyazawa H, Oka-Kira E, Sato N, Takahashi H, Wu GJ, Sato S, Hayashi
M, Betsuyaku S, Nakazono M, Tabata S, Harada K, Sawa S, Fukuda H,
Kawaguchi M. 2010. The receptor-like kinase KLAVIER mediates systemic
regulation of nodulation and non-symbiotic shoot development in Lotus
japonicus. Development **137**, 4317-4325.
Morandi D, Sagan M, Prado-Vivant E, Duc G. 2000. Influence of genes
determining supernodulation on root colonization by the mycorrhizal fungus
Glomus mosseae in Pisum sativum and Medicago truncatula mutants.
Mycorrhiza **10**, 37-42.
Müller LM, Flokova K, Schnabel E, Sun X, Fei Z, Frugoli J,
Bouwmeester HJ, Harrison MJ. 2019. A CLE–SUNN module regulates
strigolactone content and fungal colonization in arbuscular mycorrhiza. Nature
Plants **5**, 933-939.
Nimchuk ZL, Zhou Y, Tarr PT, Peterson BA, Meyerowitz EM. 2015.
Plant stem cell maintenance by transcriptional cross-regulation of related
receptor kinases. Development **142**, 1043.
Nishimura R, Hayashi M, Wu G-J, Kouchi H, Imaizumi-Anraku H,
Murakami Y, Kawasaki S, Akao S, Ohmori M, Nagasawa M, Harada K,
Kawaguchi M. 2002. HAR1 mediates systemic regulation of symbiotic organ
development. Nature **420**, 426-429.
Nouri E, Breuillin-Sessoms F, Feller U, Reinhardt D. 2014. Phosphorus and
Nitrogen Regulate Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis in Petunia hybrida.
PLOS ONE **9**, e90841.

Oka-Kira E, Tateno K, Miura Ki, Haga T, Hayashi M, Harada K, Sato S,
Tabata S, Shikazono N, Tanaka A, Watanabe Y, Fukuhara I, Nagata T,
Kawaguchi M. 2005. klavier (klv), A novel hypernodulation mutant of Lotus
japonicus affected in vascular tissue organization and floral induction. Plant
Journal **44**, 505-515.
Okamoto S, Ohnishi E, Sato S, Takahashi H, Nakazono M, Tabata S,
Kawaguchi M. 2009. Nod factor/nitrate-induced CLE genes that drive HAR1-
mediated systemic regulation of nodulation. Plant Cell Physiol **50**, 67-77.

Okamoto S, Shinohara H, Mori T, Matsubayashi Y, Kawaguchi M. 2013.
Root-derived CLE glycopeptides control nodulation by direct binding to
HAR1 receptor kinase. Nature Communications **4**, 2191.
Pozo MJ, Jung SC, López-Ráez JA, Azcón-Aguilar C. 2010. Impact of
Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis on Plant Response to Biotic Stress: The
Role of Plant Defence Mechanisms. In: Koltai H, Kapulnik Y, eds. *Arbuscular*
Mycorrhizas: Physiology and Function. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands,
193-207.
Radhakrishnan GV, Keller J, Rich MK, Vernié T, Mbadinga DLM,
Vigneron N, Cottret L, San Clemente H, Libourel C, Cheema J. 2020. An
ancestral signalling pathway is conserved in intracellular symbioses-forming
plant lineages. Nature Plants, 1-10.
Rodriguez-Leal D, Xu C, Kwon C-T, Soyars C, Demesa-Arevalo E, Man J,
Liu L, Lemmon ZH, Jones DS, Van Eck J, Jackson DP, Bartlett ME,
Nimchuk ZL, Lippman ZB. 2019. Evolution of buffering in a genetic circuit
controlling plant stem cell proliferation. Nature Genetics **51**, 786-792.
Sagan M. 1996. Sym28 and Sym29, two new genes involved in regulation of
nodulation in pea (Pisum sativum L.). Symbiosis **20**, 229-245.
Sakamoto K, Nohara Y. 2009. Soybean (Glycine max [L.] Merr.) shoots
systemically control arbuscule formation in mycorrhizal symbiosis. Soil
Science and Plant Nutrition **55**, 252-257.
Sakamoto K, Ogiwara N, Kaji T. 2013. Involvement of autoregulation in the
interaction between rhizobial nodulation and AM fungal colonization in
soybean roots. Biology and Fertility of Soils **49**, 1141-1152.
Sasaki T, Suzaki T, Soyano T, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Kawaguchi M.
2014. Shoot-derived cytokinins systemically regulate root nodulation. Nat
Commun **5**, 4983.
Schaarschmidt S, Gresshoff PM, Hause B. 2013. Analyzing the soybean
transcriptome during autoregulation of mycorrhization identifies the
transcription factors GmNF-YA1a/b as positive regulators of arbuscular
mycorrhization. Genome biology **14**, 1.
Schnabel E, Journet EP, de Carvalho-Niebel F, Duc G, Frugoli J. 2005.
The Medicago truncatula SUNN gene encodes a CLV1-like leucine-rich
repeat receptor kinase that regulates nodule number and root length. Plant
Molecular Biology **58**, 809-822.
Schnabel EL, Kassaw TK, Smith LS, Marsh JF, Oldroyd GE, Long SR,
Frugoli JA. 2011. The ROOT DETERMINED NODULATION1 Gene
Regulates Nodule Number in Roots of Medicago truncatula and Defines a
Highly Conserved, Uncharacterized Plant Gene Family. Plant Physiology **157**,
328-340.
Schulze J, Adgo E, Merbach W. 1999. Carbon costs associated with N2
fixation in Vicia faba L. and Pisum sativum L. over a 14-day period. Plant
Biology **1**, 625-631.
Searle IR, Men AE, Laniya TS, Buzas DM, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Carroll
BJ, Gresshoff PM. 2003. Long-distance signaling in nodulation directed by a
CLAVATA1-like receptor kinase. Science **299**, 109-112.
Smith SE, Read DJ. 2010. *Mycorrhizal symbiosis*: Academic press.
Solaiman MZ, Senoo K, Kawaguchi M, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Akao S,
Tanaka A, Obata H. 2000. Characterization of Mycorrhizas Formed by

Glomus sp. on Roots of Hypernodulating Mutants of Lotus japonicus. Journal
of Plant Research **113**, 443-448.
Takahara M, Magori S, Soyano T, Okamoto S, Yoshida C, Yano K, Sato
S, Tabata S, Yamaguchi K, Shigenobu S, Takeda N, Suzaki T, Kawaguchi
M. 2013. Too much love, a novel Kelch repeat-containing F-box protein,
functions in the long-distance regulation of the legume-Rhizobium symbiosis.
Plant & Cell Physiology **54**, 433-447.
Tsikou D, Yan Z, Holt DB, Abel NB, Reid DE, Madsen LH, Bhasin H,
Sexauer M, Stougaard J, Markmann K. 2018. Systemic control of legume
susceptibility to rhizobial infection by a mobile microRNA. Science **362**, 233.
van Noorden GE, Verbeek R, Dinh QD, Jin J, Green A, Ng JL, Mathesius
U. 2016. Molecular Signals Controlling the Inhibition of Nodulation by
Nitrate in Medicago truncatula. Int J Mol Sci **17**.
Vierheilig H. 2004. Further root colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
in already mycorrhizal plants is suppressed after a critical level of root
colonization. Journal of Plant Physiology **161**, 339-341.
Vierheilig H, Coughlan AP, Wyss U, Piché Y. 1998. Ink and Vinegar, a
Simple Staining Technique for Arbuscular-Mycorrhizal Fungi. Applied and
Environmental Microbiology **64**, 5004-5007.
Vierheilig H, Garcia-Garrido J, Wyss U, Piché Y. 2000. Systemic
suppression of mycorrhizal colonization of barley roots already colonized by
AM fungi. Soil Biology and Biochemistry **32**, 589-595.
Wang C, Reid JB, Foo E. 2018. The Art of Self-Control – Autoregulation of
Plant–Microbe Symbioses. Frontiers in Plant Science **9**.
Wang S, Chen A, Xie K, Yang X, Luo Z, Chen J, Zeng D, Ren Y, Yang C,
Wang L, Feng H, Lopez-Arredondo DL, Herrera-Estrella LR, Xu G.
2020a. Functional analysis of the OsNPF4.5 nitrate transporter reveals a
conserved mycorrhizal pathway of nitrogen acquisition in plants. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A **117**, 16649-16659.
Wang C, Reid JB, Foo E. 2020b.The role of CLV1, CLV2 and HPAT
homologs in the nitrogen-regulation of root development. Physiologia
Plantarum. *In press*. **doi:** https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.01.232546
Whelan S, Goldman N. 2001. A general empirical model of protein evolution
derived from multiple protein families using a maximum-likelihood approach.
Molecular Biology and Evolution **18**, 691-699.
Wyss P, Mellor RB, Wiemken A. 1990. Mutants of Soybean (Glycine max)
unable to Suppress Nodulation in the Presence of Nitrate Retain the Ability to
Suppress Mycorrhization in the Presence of Phosphate. Journal of Plant
Physiology **136**, 507-509.
Xu C, Liberatore KL, MacAlister CA, Huang Z, Chu Y-H, Jiang K,
Brooks C, Ogawa-Ohnishi M, Xiong G, Pauly M, Van Eck J,
Matsubayashi Y, van der Knaap E, Lippman ZB. 2015. A cascade of
arabinosyltransferases controls shoot meristem size in tomato. Nat Genet **47**,
784-792.
Yoro E, Nishida H, Ogawa-Ohnishi M, Yoshida C, Suzaki T,
Matsubayashi Y, Kawaguchi M. 2019. PLENTY, a hydroxyproline O-
arabinosyltransferase, negatively regulates root nodule symbiosis in Lotus
japonicus. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 507-517.
919

Figure legends

Figure 1. Mycorrhizal phenotype of WT (wild type), *fab* and *fin* mutants

grown under 5mM KNO_3 and 0.5mM NaH_2PO_4. (A, B) Percentage of root

colonised by any fungal structure and percentage of root colonised with

arbuscules, (C) number of hyphopodia per cm of root and (D) photos of

typical colonised roots, scale bar is 1mm. (A-C) Data are mean ± standard

error (SE) (n=11 - 12 for A, n=6 for B and n= 5 for C). For (A) * indicates

values that are significantly different to WT (P<0.05), for (B, C) within a

parameter letters indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by

Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

Figure 2. Mycorrhizal colonisation of tomato under various nitrate treatments

(0.625-10mM KNO_3) and 0.5mM NaH_2PO_4. (A) Total colonisation and (B)

arbuscules of WT (cv. Money Maker) plants grown under different N

treatments. (C) WT (cv. M82), *fin-n2326* and *fab* mutants growing under two

KNO_3 treatments (0.625 or 10mM). Data shown are mean ± SE (n=5-6).

Within a parameter different letters within a parameter indicate values that are

significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

Figure 3. Mycorrhizal colonisation of tomato under low (0.05mM) and high

(5mM) phosphate treatments and 5mM KNO_3. (A) Total colonisation and (B)

arbuscules of WT (cv. M82), *fin-n2326* and *fab* mutants growing under two

NaH_2PO_4 treatments (0.05 or 5mM). Data shown as mean ± standard error (SE)

(n=5). Within a parameter different letters indicate values within a parameter

that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

Figure 4. Mycorrhizal colonisation in reciprocal grafts between (A) WT and

fab and (B) WT and *Slclv2-2* (scion/root stock) grown under 5mM KNO_3 and

0.5mM NaH_2PO_4. Data are shown as mean ± SE (n=8 for *fab* experiment, 8-11

for *Slclv2-2* experiment). Within a parameter different letters indicate values

that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

Figure 5. Strigolactone levels in root exudates from tomato WT, *fab* and *fin-n2326* mutants that were colonised by mycorrhizae and grown with 5mM KNO$_3$ and 0.5mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$ (A) or in absence of mycorrhizal inoculum (B). N.B. orobanchol was below detection limit in extracts shown in panel A. Data are shown as mean ± SE (n=5). Different letters indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test, P < 0.05). *n.d. not detected.*

Figure 6. A model of the action of FAB, *Sl*CLV2 and FIN on mycorrhizal colonization of tomato, including the influence of nitrate (N) and phosphate (P). Flat-ended lines indicate a negative influence, while arrows indicate a positive influence. Question marks and dotted lines indicate unclear or as yet untested elements.

C

Figure 1. Mycorrhizal phenotype of WT (wild type), *fab* and *fin* mutants grown with 5 mM KNO₃ and 0.5 mM NaH₂PO₄. (A, B) Percentage of root colonised by any fungal structure and percentage of root colonised with arbuscules, (C) number of hyphopodia per cm of root and (D) photos of typical colonised roots, scale bar is 1mm. (A-C) Data are mean ± standard error (SE) (n=11 - 12 for A, n=6 for B and n= 5 for C). For (A) * indicates values that are significantly different to WT ($P<0.05$), for (B, C) within a parameter different letters indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test ($P < 0.05$).

D

Figure 2. Mycorrhizal colonisation of tomato under various nitrate treatments (0.625-10mM KNO₃) and 0.5mM NaH₂PO₄. (A) Total colonisation and (B) arbuscules of WT (cv. Money Maker) plants grown under different N treatments. (C) WT (cv. M82), *fin-n2326* and *fab* mutants growing under two KNO₃ treatments (0.625 or 10mM). Data shown are mean ± SE (n=5-6). Within a parameter different letters within a parameter indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

Figure 3. Mycorrhizal colonisation of tomato under under low (0.05mM) or high (5mM) phosphate treatments and 5mM KNO_3 . Total colonisation and arbuscules of WT (cv. M82), *fin-n2326* and *fab* mutants growing under 5mM KNO_3 and two NaH_2PO_4 treatments (0.05 or 5mM). Data shown as mean ± standard error (SE) (n=5). Within a parameter different letters indicate values within a parameter that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

Figure 4. Mycorrhizal colonisation in reciprocal grafts grown under 5mM KNO_3 and 0.5mM NaH_2PO_4 between (A) WT and *fab* and (B) WT and *Slclv2-2* (scion/root stock) grown with 5 mM KNO_3 and 0.5 mM NaH_2PO_4. Data are shown as mean ± SE (n=8 for *fab* experiment, 8-11 for *Slclv2-2* experiment). Within a parameter different letters indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

Figure 5. Strigolactone levels in root exudates from tomato WT, *fab* and *fin-n2326* mutants that were colonised by mycorrhizae and grown under 5mM KNO_3 and 0.5mM NaH_2PO_4. (A) or in absence of mycorrhizal inoculum (B). N.B. orobanchol was below detection limit in extracts shown in panel A. Data are shown as mean ± SE (n=5). Different letters indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test, P < 0.05). *n.d. not detected.*

Figure 6. A model of the action of FAB, *Sl*CLV2 and FIN on mycorrhizal colonization of tomato, including the influence of nitrate (N) and phosphate (P). Flat-ended lines indicate a negative influence, while arrows indicate a positive influence. Question marks and dotted lines indicate unclear or as yet untested elements.