Title: The role of CLV signalling in the negative regulation of mycorrhizal

colonisation and nitrogen response of tomato

Chenglei Wang[1], Karen Velandia [1], Choon-Tak Kwon[2], Kate E. Wulf[1], David

S. Nichols[3], James B. Reid[1], Eloise Foo*[1]

[1] Discipline of Biological Sciences, School of Natural Sciences, University of

Tasmania, Private Bag 55, Hobart, Tasmania, 7001, Australia

[2]Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1 Bungtown Road, Cold Spring Harbor, NY

11724

[3]Central Science Laboratories, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania,

7001, Australia

*For correspondence: Eloise.foo@utas.edu.au, +61 6226 2605

Chenglei.Wang@utas.edu.au

kate.wulf@utas.edu.au

karen.velandia@utas.edu.au

jim.reid@utas.edu.au

ckwon@cshl.edu

D.Nichols@utas.edu.au

Running title: Negative regulation of mycorrhizal symbioses in tomato

Highlight: We describe the role of CLV signalling elements in the negative

regulation of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses of tomato, including

influencing nitrate but not phosphate suppression of mycorrhizal colonisation.

Abstract

Plants form mutualistic nutrient acquiring symbioses with microbes, including

arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. The formation of these symbioses is costly and

plants employ a negative feedback loop termed autoregulation of mycorrhizae

(AOM) to limit arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM) formation. We provide evidence

for the role of one leucine-rich-repeat receptor like kinase (FAB), a

hydroxyproline O-arabinosyltransferase enzyme (FIN), one CLE peptide,

*Sl*CLE11 and additional evidence for the one receptor like protein (*Sl*CLV2) in

the negative regulation of AM formation in tomato. Reciprocal grafting

experiments suggest that the *FAB* gene acts locally in the root, while the

SlCLV2 gene may act in both the root and the shoot. External nutrients

including phosphate and nitrate can also strongly suppress AM formation. We

found that FAB and FIN are required for nitrate suppression of AM but are not

required for the powerful suppression of AM colonisation by phosphate. This

parallels some of the roles of legume homologs in the autoregulation of the

more recently evolved symbioses with nitrogen-fixing bacteria leading to

nodulation. This deep homology in the symbiotic role of these genes suggests

that in addition to the early signalling events that lead to the establishment of

AM and nodulation, the autoregulation pathway might also be considered part

of the common symbiotic toolkit that enabled plants to form beneficial

symbioses.

Key words: arbuscular mycorrhiza, autoregulation, CLAVATA, CLE peptide,

nitrogen, phosphorous, tomato

Introduction

Plants can form beneficial symbiotic relationships with a variety of soil microbes. The symbiosis with arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi is ancient and widespread, occurring in over 80% of terrestrial plants and supplying plants with previously inaccessible nutrients and enhancing stress tolerance (Martin *et al.*, 2017; Pozo *et al.*, 2010; Smith and Read, 2010). Nodulation is the symbiosis between nitrogen fixing rhizobial bacteria and predominantly legumes and is thought to have evolved in part by recruiting part of the pre-existing AM signalling pathway, including the common symbiotic pathway that enables initial communication and symbiotic establishment (Delaux *et al.*, 2015; Radhakrishnan *et al.*, 2020). As the formation of these symbioses are energetically costly (Douds *et al.*, 2000; Schulze *et al.*, 1999), the plant must tightly control the ultimate extent of the symbioses. Autoregulation enables plants to limit the extent of symbioses via a negative feedback loop, reviewed by Wang *et al.* (2018). Studies in legumes indicate at least some of the genetic elements in autoregulation of nodulation (AON) and autoregulation of mycorrhizae (AOM) overlap but have also highlighted some important differences (e.g. Catford, 2003; Foo *et al.*, 2016; Müller *et al.*, 2019). However, until now our genetic understanding of the genes and signals that limit mycorrhizal colonisation has been almost entirely limited to legumes. In this paper, we use the model non-legume tomato to provide fundamental information of this key genetic program.

Our understanding of AON is relatively advanced from studies in legumes including *Medicago truncatula, Lotus japonicus,* soybean (*Glycine max*) and pea (*Pisum sativum*). The systemic AON feedback loop begins with root events associated with nodulation inducing a specific subset of CLE peptides, some of which are tri-arabinosylated by hydroxyproline O-arabinosyltransferase enzyme (*Ps*NOD3, *Mt*RDN1 and *Lj*PLENTY) (Hastwell *et al.*, 2018; Imin *et al.*, 2018; Kassaw *et al.*, 2017; Okamoto *et al.*, 2013; Yoro *et al.*, 2019). In *L.japonicus* CLE peptides are translocated to the shoot and it is clear that perception by shoot acting receptor complex(es) occurs

across several species. Key players in this perception system are leucine-rich-

repeat receptor like kinases (LRR-RLK) including CLAVATA1 (CLV1) - like

(*Gm*NARK, *Lj*HAR1, *Mt*SUNN and *Ps*SYM29) (Krusell *et al.*, 2002;

Nishimura *et al.*, 2002; Schnabel *et al.*, 2005; Searle *et al.*, 2003), KLV

(Miyazawa *et al.*, 2010; Oka-Kira *et al.*, 2005), the pseudo-kinase CRN

(Crook *et al.*, 2016) as well as the receptor like protein CLV2 (Krusell *et al.*,

2011). The perception of the CLE signal(s) activates shoot-derived signal(s)

that is transported to the root and inhibit further nodule formation (Lin *et al.*,

2010; Okamoto *et al.*, 2009; Sasaki *et al.*, 2014). Key downstream root acting

players include the kelch repeat-containing F-box protein TML (Gautrat *et al.*,

2019; Magori *et al.*, 2009; Takahara *et al.*, 2013), and the transcriptional

regulation of nod factor receptors (Gautrat *et al.*, 2019). A shoot-derived

systemic miRNA, miR2111, maintains susceptible status in non-nodulated

roots and can suppress subsequent nodulation by activating *TML* in a *HAR1*

dependent manner (Tsikou *et al.*, 2018). Indeed, miR2111 appears to be a

central player in both negative and positive regulation of nodulation as it also

acts downstream of the carboxyl-terminally encoded peptide (CEP) perception

system via the CRA2 receptor that positively regulates nodule formation

(Gautrat *et al.*, 2020; Imin *et al.*, 2013; Laffont *et al.*, 2019). It is also

important to note that split-root studies with *sunn* and *rdn1* mutants in

M.truncatula suggest that there are likely to be multiple systemic regulatory

pathways controlling nodulation (Kassaw *et al.*, 2015).

Disruption in elements of the AON pathway lead to an excess nodulation

(super/hypernodulation) phenotype and early studies revealed that the *clv1-like*

mutants in legumes (*Mtsunn, Ljhar1,Gmnark* and *Pssym29*) also developed

supermycorrhizal phenotypes (Morandi *et al.*, 2000; Sakamoto and Nohara,

2009; Solaiman *et al.*, 2000), highlighting the importance of this receptor for

both AON and AOM. Recently, the *rdn1* mutant of *M.truncatula* has also been

reported to display elevated AM colonisation (Karlo *et al.*, 2020). This overlap

is consistent with elegant studies in legumes and non-legumes that revealed

rhizobium and/or nodulation can suppress mycorrhizal development and vice

versa (Catford, 2003; Khaosaad *et al.*, 2010; Sakamoto *et al.*, 2013). In

contrast to this conservation, nodulation and mycorrhizae induce the

expression of a specific sub-set of CLE peptides (de Bang *et al.*, 2017a; Handa

et al., 2015; Karlo *et al.*, 2020; Müller *et al.*, 2019). Indeed, recent studies in

M.truncatula have established that specific CLE peptides suppress the

formation of AM (Karlo *et al.*, 2020; Müller *et al.*, 2019). Overexpression of

MtCLE53 and *MtCLE33* led to significantly reduced mycorrhizal colonisation

compared with the control construct, and this suppression was dependant on

the *CLV1-like* gene *SUNN* and *RDN1*. A role for tri-arabinosylation in

activation of *MtCLE53* was supported by the fact that overexpression of a

modified version of *MtCLE53* that may be unable to be tri-arabinosylated did

not influence AM (Karlo *et al.*, 2020). In contrast to the important role for

MtCLE53, overexpression of the nodulation induced *CLE*, *MtCLE13*, did not

suppress AM colonisation (Müller *et al.*, 2019). Intriguingly, AM fungi

themselves can also produce CLE peptides that appear to promote colonisation

(Le Marquer *et al.*, 2018).The genetic components of AOM in non-legumes is

only now emerging, with roles for *CLV1-like* genes and *CLV2* suggested by

the elevated AM colonisation observed in the *Brachypodium distachyon clv1-*

like mutant *fon1-1* and transgenic lines of tomato disrupted in *CLV2*

respectively (Müller *et al.*, 2019; Wang *et al.*, 2018).

Elements downstream of AOM are still unclear. Two putative CCAAT-

binding transcription factor genes in soybean, *GmNF-YA1a* and *GmNF-YA1b*

were shown to be transcriptionally regulated downstream of the *GmNARK*

gene, and transgenic studies found these genes may positively regulate

mycorrhizal colonisation rates (Schaarschmidt *et al.*, 2013). Similarly,

RNAseq analysis of *M.truncatula* wild type and *sunn* mutants revealed a

number of differentially expressed genes under mycorrhizal and non-

mycorrhizal conditions, including a NF-YA transcription factor that was

significantly downregulated in a *SUNN*-dependent manner (Karlo *et al.*, 2020).

Another potential player that has been proposed is strigolactone, the root

exuded plant hormone that can promote establishment of mycorrhizal

symbiosis by promoting arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal spore gemination and

hyphal branching (Akiyama *et al.*, 2005; Besserer *et al.*, 2006). This is based

on the observation that in *M. truncatula* overexpression of *MtCLE53* or

MtCLE33 down-regulated strigolactone biosynthesis and that the low

colonisation rates of these lines could be elevated with strigolactone

application (Müller *et al.*, 2019). However, strigolactone levels were not

elevated in the *sunn* mutant of *M. truncatula* or the pea *sym29, clv2* or *nod3*

mutants and double mutant studies in pea clearly indicate strigolactones do not

act downstream of AON (Müller et al., 2019, Foo et al., 2014). Given the

potent role for strigolactones in the up-steam establishment of AM symbioses

it is difficult to establish if strigolactones also act downstream of AOM.

Plants strongly regulate symbioses in response to nutrient availability. High

phosphorus supply suppresses AM formation across species, while it promotes

nodulation (e.g. Breuillin *et al.*, 2010; Foo, 2017). Nitrogen supply strongly

suppresses nodulation (e.g. van Noorden *et al.*, 2016) and in some species has

also been observed to suppress AM, although neutral and positive effects of

nitrogen on AM have also been reported (Lim et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2012;

Bonneau et al., 2013; Corrêa et al., 2014; Nouri et al., 2014). There is genetic

evidence that nitrogen, and possibly phosphorous, interact with elements of

the AON pathway to regulate nodulation. For example, plant mutants

disrupted in the CLV1-like protein, KLV, and RDN1 in some species display

reduced sensitivity to nitrate suppression of nodulation (Carroll *et al.*, 1985;

Jacobsen and Feenstra, 1984; Lim *et al.*, 2011; Oka-Kira *et al.*, 2005;

Schnabel *et al.*, 2005; Searle *et al.*, 2003). Further, the pea *Pssym29 (clv1-like)*

mutant does not suppress nodulation under low phosphate (Foo *et al.*, 2013a).

In addition, CLE peptides whose expression responds to altered nutrients such

as nitrate and phosphorous have been characterized in legume and non-legume

systems and regulate a variety of nutrient responses, including legume

nodulation (Araya *et al.*, 2014; de Bang *et al.*, 2017b; Karlo *et al.*, 2020;

Müller *et al.*, 2019; Okamoto *et al.*, 2009). However, the role of these

elements in regulating AM in response to nutrient status is underexplored. In

pea, *M.truncatula* and soybean, although *CLV1-like* genes *PsSYM29, MtSUNN*

and *GmNARK* are required to negatively regulate AM formation, these genes

do not appear to be required to do this in response to phosphate as *Pssym29,*

Mtsunn and *Gmnark* mutants still suppress AM under high phosphate (Foo *et*

al., 2013a; Müller *et al.*, 2019; Wyss *et al.*, 1990). However, the possibility

that nitrogen influences AM formation via this pathway has not yet been

addressed.

In this paper, tomato mutants previously characterised for their role in shoot

apical meristem identity *fab (clv1-like)*, *Slclv2* and *FIN (rdn1-like)* (Xu *et al.*,

2015) were examined for their influence on AM development, including

nitrate and phosphate regulation of AM. The *FAB* and *FIN* genes exert a

negative influence on AM formation and also in nitrate but not phosphate

suppression of AM in tomato. We found only limited evidence that FAB and

*Sl*CLV2 act outside root tissues to influence AM. In addition, mutant studies

revealed *SlCLE11* as another negative regulator of AM in tomato.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

One study was conducted with the tomato *Solanum lycopersicum* wild type cv.
Money Maker. All other experiments employed the tomato wild type cv. M82,
and mutants on this background, *fab*, *fin-n2326* and *fin-e4489* and CRISPR
generated mutants and *Slclv2-2* (Xu *et al.*, 2015), *Slcle10* and *Slcle11*
(described in this manuscript). These lines were provided by the Lippman lab,
Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, USA. CRISPR–Cas9 mutagenesis for tomato
was performed as described previously (Brooks *et al.*, 2014; Van Eck *et al.*,
2019). Briefly, guide RNAs (gRNAs) were designed using the CRISPRdirect
software (Naito *et al.*, 2015) (https://crispr.dbcls.jp/) and a binary vector
(pAGM4723) was built with a Cas9 driven by cauliflower mosaic virus 35S
promoter and gRNAs driven by the *Arabidopsis* U6 promoter through Golden
Gate cloning(Weber *et al.*, 2011; Werner *et al.*, 2012). The final binary
plasmids were introduced into the tomato cultivar M82 by *Agrobacterium
tumefaciens*–mediated transformation as described previously (Van Eck *et al.*,
2019). First-generation (T_0) transgenic plants were transplanted in soil and
grown in a greenhouse under long-day conditions (16 h light, 26–28 °C/8 h
dark, 18–20 °C; 40–60% relative humidity). All T_0 transgenic plants were
backcrossed to the M82 parent, and genotyped by PCR and sprayed with 400
mg/L kanamycin to confirm absence of the transgene. For genotyping of
CRISPR-generated mutations, genomic DNA was extracted by the standard
CTAB protocol and each targeted gene was amplified by PCR and sequenced
via Sanger sequencing. Stable non-transgenic, homozygous plants were used
for all experiments. The *fab* mutant carries single base pair substitution
resulting in an alanine to valine substitution in the kinase domain, the *fin-
n2326* mutant has a large sequence deletion that results in the transcripts
absence and the *fin-e4489* mutant has a 1bp missense mutation that results in
premature stop codon (Xu *et al.*, 2015). Mutations introduced in *Slclv2-2*,
Slcle10 and *Slcle11* are outlined in Supplementary Fig. S1 at *JXB* online.

 Growth conditions

Inoculum for mycorrhizal experiments was live corn pot culture originally

inoculated with spores of *Rhizophagus irregularis* (INOQ Advantage, INOQ

GMBH, Germany), grown under glasshouse conditions that received modified

Long Ashton nutrient solution containing 3.7mM KNO_3 and 0.05mM

NaH_2PO_4 once a week. The inoculum contained colonised root segments,

external hyphae and spores. For standard experiments, the growth substrate

(80%) was mixed with corn pot culture (20%). For high dose of inoculum

treatments, inoculum was increased to 40% corn pot culture.

Tomato seeds were germinated in potting mix and transplanted two weeks

after sowing into 2L pots containing a 1:1 mixture of vermiculite and gravel

(plus inoculum), topped with vermiculite. Unless otherwise stated, plants were

grown under glasshouse conditions (18 h photoperiod). For experiments using

tomato *clv2* mutants, the plants were grown in controlled glasshouse (25 °C

day/20 °C night, 18 hour photoperiod). Unless otherwise stated, tomato plants

were supplied with 75ml/pot modified Long Ashton nutrient solutions (Hewitt,

1966) containing 5 mM KNO_3 and 0.5 mM NaH_2PO_4 twice a week (1 - 3

weeks after transplanting) and three times a week (from 3 weeks after

transplanting).

For grafting experiments, wedge grafts were performed in the hypocotyl three

days after transplantation of rootstocks and the grafts maintained in a humid

environment for approx. 5 - 7 days and then gradually reintroduced to ambient

conditions.

Root staining and scoring

Tomato plants were harvested 6-8 weeks after transplanting. The root and

shoot were separated, fresh weight recorded and the tomato roots were cut into

1-1.5 cm segments, except for hyphopodia measurements where whole roots

were gently removed and placed in nylon Biopsy Bags (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, USA) inside tissue processing cassettes (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

USA).

Unless otherwise noted the ink and vinegar method was used for mycorrhizal

staining (Vierheilig *et al.*, 1998). Mycorrhizal colonisation of roots was scored

according to McGonigle *et al.* (1990), where 150 intersects were observed

from 25 root segments per plant. The presence of arbuscules, vesicles and

intraradical hyphae at each intersect was scored separately. The total

colonization of mycorrhizae was calculated as the percentage of intersects that

have presence of any fungal structures and arbuscule frequency was calculated

from the percentage of intersects that contained arbuscules. For the

hyphopodia experiment, cassettes containing root samples were covered with

5% KOH at 58 °C overnight, rinsed with water and 3.5% HCl, and then

stained in 0.05% trypan blue lactoglycerol solution for 12 h at 58 °C. The

number of hyphopodia was scored on 15 root segments per plant and is

presented as the total number of hyphopodia per cm of root length.

Strigolactone extraction and quantification

Tomato plants for strigolactone analysis received 2.5mM KNO_3 and 0.5mM

NaH_2PO_4 nutrient solution. Root exudate was collected from individual plants

and strigolactones extracted and measured by UPLC/MS-MS as outlined in

(Foo and Davies, 2011). Strigolactone standards, $[6'-^2H_1]$-orobanchol, $[6'-^2H_1]$-

orobanchyl acetate, $[6'-^2H]$ 5-deoxystrigol and $[6'-^2H_1]$-fabacyl acetate, were

added to each sample solution as internal standards. As there is no labelled

solonacol standard available, an un-labled solonacol sample (kindly provided

by A/Prof Chris McErlean and Dr Bart Janssen) was run as an external control

and after initial analysis, all samples were spiked with solonocal and re-run to

ensure solonacol could be detected in the sample matrix. For solonacol,

transitions monitored were 343 > 97, 343 > 183 and 343 > 228 and other for

other strigolactones the ions monitored were as reported previously (Foo and

Davies, 2011). The endogenous strigolactone levels were calculated from the

ratio of endogenous to standard peak areas per gram root fresh weight.

Phylogenetic analysis

The full length amino acid sequence of CLV1, CLV2, RDN1 and CLE related

proteins was used for phylogenetic analyses. The multiple sequence alignment

was generated using the Muscle algorithm (Edgar, 2004). The phylogenetic

tree was constructed using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the

Whelan And Goldman + Freq. model (Whelan and Goldman, 2001). The trees

with the highest log likelihood are shown. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic

search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ

algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using a JTT model, and

then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. A discrete

Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among

sites (5 categories). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured

in the number of substitutions per site. All positions with less than 90% site

coverage were eliminated. That is, fewer than 10% alignment gaps, missing

data, and ambiguous bases were allowed at any position. Evolutionary

analyses were conducted in MEGA7 (Kumar *et al.*, 2016).

Gene expression studies

For gene expression studies comparing mycorrhizal treatments with WT (cv.

M82), non-mycorrhizal plants received sterilised mycorrhizal inoculum. All

pots received LANS with 2.5 mM KNO_3 and 0.5 mM NaH_2PO_4. Root

colonisation was zero in plants that received sterilised inoculum and

approximately 25-45% in the plants that received live mycorrhizal inoculum.

For gene expression studies under various doses of N or P, tomato WT (cv.

M82) plants were grown with no mycorrhizal inoculum. For the N experiment,

plants received modified LANS nutrient containing 5mM NaH_2PO_4 and 0.625,

2.5 or 10mM KNO_3. For the P experiment plants received modified LANS

with 3.7mM KNO_3 and 0.05, 0.5 or 5mM NaH_2PO_4. For all gene expression

studies roots were cut into 1 - 2cm segments and the roots from three different

plants were mixed well to form one biological replicate. The total RNA was

extracted from ground root samples using an ISOLATE II Plant Mini Kit

(Bioline, Australia), cDNA was synthesised from 1ug of RNA using a

SensiFAST cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bioline, Australia) and real time PCR

reactions were completed in duplicate for each sample using a SensiMix

SYBR (Bioline); 95□ for 10 min, 50 cycles of 95□ for 5s, 60 or 59□ for 40s.

The *SlEF1 (Elongation factor 1α)* gene was used as control (Lacerda *et al.*,

2015). Standard curves were created for each gene using serially diluted

plasmids containing cloned fragments of each amplicon. The average

concentration of technical replicates was calculated. The relative gene

expression of biological replicates was determined by comparing the

concentration of the gene of interest with the *SlEF1* concentration of that

sample.

Nitrate and phosphorous influence on AM

For the nitrate experiments, plants were grown in the presence of mycorrhizal

inoculum and supplied with modified LANS with 0.5mM NaH_2PO_4 and

various concentrations of KNO_3 (ranging from 0.625mM to 10mM for

examining N impact on wild type). Two N concentration (0.625mM and

10mm) were selected for examining N impact on mycorrhizal colonization in

mutants. For the phosphate experiments, plants were grown in the presence of

mycorrhizal inoculum and supplied with modified LANS with 5mM KNO_3

and two concentrations of NaH_2PO_4 (0.05 and 5mM).

Statistical analyses

The data were analysed using SPSS software (vision 20, IBM). The normal

distribution of data and the homogeneity of variances were analysed with the

Shapiro-Wilk test ($P<0.05$) and homogeneity test ($P<0.05$), respectively.

When both tests were not significant, the data were then subjected to either

one-way or two-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey's post-hoc test to compare

the means of different groups (if there were more than 2 groups). For the data

that were either not normally distributed or did not have equal error variance,

the data were log or square root transformed and AONVA analysed on the

transformed data.

Results

***FAB* and *FIN* are required to suppress arbuscular mycorrhizal**

development in tomato

Tomato mutants disrupted in *FAB* and *FIN* displayed a significant increase in

the mycorrhizal colonisation of the root, developing approximately 35-50%

more total colonisation and arbuscules compared to WT (Fig. 1 A,B). For *fab*

and both *fin-n2326* lines, this increase in root colonisation was correlated with

a significant increase in number of hyphopodia, the fungal entry points along a

given length of root, compared to WT (Fig. 1C), suggesting *FAB* and *FIN* may

suppress early stages in mycorrhizal development, at or before hyphal entry.

Previously published experiments demonstrated that *Slclv2* mutant lines

displayed significantly more AM colonisation than WT (Wang *et al.*, 2018)

and this was found in *Slclv2* self-grafted plants compared to wild type self-

grafted plants outlined below (Fig 2B). As found previously for *SlCLV2*

(Wang *et al.*, 2018), *FAB* and *FIN* appear to influence the amount but not the

structure of mycorrhizal features, as the hyphopodia, arbuscules, hyphae and

vesicles that formed in mutants disrupted in these genes appeared similar in

size and structure to WT (Fig. 1D, data not shown). Phylogenetic analysis

using full length amino acid sequences from legume and non-legume families

indicate FAB (Solyc04g081590.2.1) is related to other CLV1-like proteins,

*Sl*CLV2 (Solyc04g056640) is related to *Arabidopsis* and legume CLV2

proteins, and that FIN (Solyc11g064850) is related to hydroxyproline O-

arabinosyltransferase enzymes that influence nodulation in legumes (*Ps*NOD3,

*Mt*RDN1 and *Lj*PLENTY; Supplementary Fig. S2 at *JXB* online).

It is important to note that the elevated colonisation of these mutants was not

due to increased root or shoot size, as the mutants developed smaller root

systems than WT under mycorrhizal conditions (approx. 30-50 % less) and

this was mirrored by a similar reduction in shoot size in *fab* and *Slclv2* but not

fin (Supplementary Fig. S3A-D at *JXB* online). Indeed, the intersect scoring

method employed in this study should not be influenced by root size. However,

to investigate if root access to inoculum could be responsible for the observed

mycorrhizal phenotype, the mycorrhizal colonisation of *fab* mutants and WT

plants under two different doses of inoculum was examined (Supplementary

Fig. S4 at *JXB* online). A two-way ANOVA analysis found a strong genotype

effect as expected (P<0.01), but no significant inoculum effect or genotype by

inoculum interaction. This suggests that the increased mycorrhizal

colonisation rate in *fab* mutants is not simply due to inoculum access under the

conditions used.

The *FAB* gene acts in the root, while the *SlCLV2* gene may act in both the

shoot and root

Grafting and/or split root studies have revealed the *CLV1-like* and *CLV2* genes

act in the shoot to suppress nodulation, while *RDN1-like* genes are root acting

(e.g. Delves *et al.*, 1986; Sagan, 1996; Schnabel *et al.*, 2011). In addition,

there have been at least some studies that suggest *GmNARK* acts in the shoot

to suppress AM, although this is not supported by all studies (Meixner *et al.*,

2005; Meixner *et al.*, 2007; Sakamoto and Nohara, 2009). The shoot or root

acting nature of *FAB* and *SlCLV2* was tested by reciprocal grafting

experiments (Fig. 2). As observed in intact plants, colonisation of the root by

arbuscules was significantly higher in *fab/fab* (shoot/root stock) self-grafted

plants than the WT/WT self-grafts (Fig 2A). Importantly, in reciprocal grafts

elevated arbuscule rate was only observed when grafts contained *fab* mutant

roots. Arbuscule root colonisation was not influenced by shoot genotype,

indicating the *FAB* gene appears to act in the root to suppress AM formation.

In contrast, only *Slclv2-2/Slclv2-2* self-grafted plants showed significantly

higher total colonisation and arbuscule numbers than the WT/WT self-grafted

plants (Fig 2b). The other graft combinations, WT/*Slclv2-2* and *Slclv2-2*/WT,

did not show any significant difference in the extent of colonisation compared

with the WT self-graft, suggesting that the *SlCLV2* gene may be acting in both

the root and shoot to suppress mycorrhizal colonisation.

The *SlCLE11* gene suppresses mycorrhizal colonisation

Tomato contains 15 CLE genes (Zhang *et al.*, 2014), a relatively small number

when compared to 20-40+ found in many other angiosperms (Goad *et al.*,

2016). A multiple sequence alignment of selected CLE peptides was

performed (Supplementary Fig. S5 at *JXB* online). Although bootstrap values

are relatively low, as observed in phylogeny with a very large number of

species in Goad *et al.* (2016), *Sl*CLE11 and *Sl*CLE10 were identified as the

tomato CLE peptides most closely related to CLE peptides involved in AM

(e.g. MtCLE53) and nodulation regulation. Expression analysis did not reveal

any tomato *CLE* genes whose expression was significantly elevated by AM

colonisation or high phosphate treatment (Supplementary Table S1

at *JXB* online). However, *SlCLE10* expression was strongly induced by high

nitrate treatment, while a small but significant increase in expression of

SlCLE2 and *SlCLE14* was also observed under high nitrate (Suppl Table S1).

The mycorrhizal phenotypes of CRISPR generated *Slcle10* and *Slcle11*

mutants was examined (Fig 3). Both the total mycorrhizal colonisation and

arbuscule colonisation was significantly higher in *Slcle11* mutants than WT

plants (30-40%; Fig 3A). In contrast, the mycorrhizal colonisation of *Slcle10*

mutants did not show any significant difference to the WT plants (Fig 3B).

Please note that the population used for the *Slcle10* experiment was potentially

segregating for a mutation in *Slcle2*, but an independent experiment found no

influence of *Slcle2* mutation on AM colonisation (data not shown). The roots

but not the shoots of the *Slcle11* mutant plants were slightly smaller than WT

plants and the shoot and root size of *Slcle10* mutants was similar to WT (Suppl

Fig. S3 E,F). Thus, it appears that the *SlCLE11* gene may play an important

role in suppressing mycorrhizal colonisation in tomato.

Strigolactone levels in *fab* and *fin* mutants

Strigolactone levels in the root exudates from the *fab* and *fin* mutants and WT

plants were examined under both mycorrhizal colonised and un-colonised

conditions (Fig. 4A). Tomatoes produce a variety of strigolactone compounds

and orobanchol, orobanchyl acetate and fabacyl acetate were detected in at

least some extracts. With one exception, no significant differences in

strigolactone levels between mutant and WT plants were observed. The only

exception was under non-mycorrhizal conditions, with a small but significant

(P<0.05) increase in fabacyl acetate levels in *fin* compared to *fab* and WT.

Please note, as the colonised and uncolonised plants were grown at different

times they should not be directly compared.

456 Nitrate but not phosphate suppression of mycorrhizal colonisation in

457 tomato requires *FAB* and *FIN*

As has been found for *Petunia hybrida*, rice and *M. truncatula* (Bonneau *et al.*,

2013; Corrêa *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et al.*, 2012; Nouri *et al.*, 2014), application of

nitrate significantly suppressed mycorrhizal colonisation in tomato in a dose

dependant manner (Fig. 5 A,B). In contrast, both shoot and root fresh weight

and the shoot:root ratio increased significantly with the increase in nitrate

levels (Supplementary Fig. S6A-F at *JXB* online). Clearly, nitrate suppresses

mycorrhizal colonisation in tomato, and this suppression is not an indirect

effect of low nitrate limiting plant vigour and thus AM formation, as the low

nitrate limited plant growth but elevated mycorrhizal colonisation rates.

The mycorrhizal colonisation of WT, *fab* and *fin* plants grown under high and

low nitrate conditions was examined (Fig. 5C). As seen in the previous

experiment with cv. Money Maker, the total colonisation and arbuscule rate of

WT cv. M82 plants growing under high nitrate were both significantly lower

than that under low nitrate conditions. Under high nitrate, both *fab* and *fin*

mutant plants have significantly elevated arbuscule colonisation compared to

WT plants under high nitrate. However, an increase in colonisation in

response to low nitrate was not observed in *fab* and *fin* mutant plants. In fact,

under low nitrate, high rates of colonisation were observed in WT, *fab* and *fin*

mutant roots. Two-way ANOVA analysis revealed a strong genotype by

treatment interaction (P < 0.001) on both arbuscule and total colonisation,

demonstrating that *fab* and *fin* mutants respond differently to nitrate than WT

plants. This suggests that *FAB* and *FIN* are required for nitrate suppression of

AM. As observed in WT, the shoot and root growth of the *fab* and *fin* mutant

plants was severely restricted under low nitrate conditions compared to high

nitrate (Suppl Fig S6D-F).

Phosphate is a potent inhibitor of AM colonisation. Indeed, total AM

colonisation and arbuscule colonisation of WT roots was reduced more than

10-fold by application of high phosphate compared to WT plants that received

low phosphate (Fig 6). This strong suppression of AM colonisation was still

observed in *fab* and *fin* mutant plants. Indeed, AM colonisation rates under

high phosphate were not significantly different amongst genotypes. Under low

phosphate, a small but not significant increase in arbuscule colonisation rate

was seen in *fin* and *fab* mutants compared to WT, consistent with previous

studies (Fig 1, 2 and 5). Two-way ANOVA analysis revealed a strong

treatment effect and small genotype effect but no genotype by treatment

interaction for both arbuscule and total colonisation, indicating that *fab* and *fin*

mutants respond in a similar way to phosphate as WT plants. As observed in

WT, the shoot and root growth of the *fab* and *fin* mutant plants was severely

restricted under low phosphate conditions compared to high phosphate

(Supplementary Fig. S7 at *JXB* online).

Discussion

Studies in this paper suggest roles for a CLE peptide (SlCLE11), an hydroxyproline O-arabinosyltransferase enzyme (FIN), an LRR receptor kinase (FAB) and one LRR receptor like protein (*Sl*CLV2) in the negative regulation of AM colonisation in tomato. Nitrate repression of AM also appears to require FAB and FIN, while phosphate appears to influence AM independently of these genes.

In tomato the *Sl*CLE11 peptide suppresses AM formation, as tomato *Slcle11* mutant lines had significantly reduced AM colonisation (Fig. 3). CLE peptides important for the negative regulation of AM have also been characterised in *M. truncatula* (Karlo *et al.*, 2020; Müller *et al.*, 2019), suggesting specific CLEs negatively regulate AM across legumes and non-legumes. Mutation of another *Sl*CLE peptide, *Sl*CLE10, did not show any change in AM colonisation under the conditions examined. Mutant studies indicate suppression of mycorrhizal colonisation of tomato also requires the hydroxyproline O-arabinosyltransferase enzyme FIN (Fig. 1). FIN appears to be important for arabinosylation of CLE peptides active in shoot apical meristem maintenance (Xu *et al.*, 2015). The closest homologs in legumes have been suggested to arabinosylate some CLE peptides essential for AON (Hastwell *et al.*, 2018; Imin *et al.*, 2018; Kassaw *et al.*, 2017; Yoro *et al.*, 2019) and *RDN1* is required for MtCLE53 to suppress AM colonisation in *M.truncatula* (Karlo *et al.*, 2020).

Both FAB and *Sl*CLV2 receptors are required to suppress AM symbioses in tomato (Fig. 1 and Wang *et al.* (2018)) and grafting indicates FAB appears to act in the root and the shoot and root for *Sl*CLV2 (Fig. 2). The role of the *FAB* gene in suppressing mycorrhizal colonisation is consistent with the role of *CLV1-like* genes in the negative regulation of mycorrhizal colonisation of legumes (Meixner *et al.*, 2005; Morandi *et al.*, 2000; Müller *et al.*, 2019; Solaiman *et al.*, 2000). However, it is still unclear if *CLV1-like* genes act in the shoot to suppress mycorrhizae. Our grafting results suggest *FAB* acts in the

root and not the shoot. Similarly in one split root study with soybean *En6500*

mutant, disrupted in the *CLV1-like NARK* gene, showed this mutant line

retained the ability to systemically suppress AM (Meixner *et al.*, 2007) .

However, grafting and split root studies with this and other soybean *nark*

mutant alleles do suggest *NARK* can act in the shoot to suppress AM

((Meixner *et al.*, 2005; Meixner *et al.*, 2007; Sakamoto and Nohara, 2009;

Schaarschmidt *et al.*, 2013) indicating a *CLV1-like* gene in soybean may act in

the shoot to suppress AM.

Grafting studies presented here suggest in tomato *SlCLV2* can act in the shoot

and root to suppress AM. This is in contrast to the clear role for this gene in

the shoot but not the root during AON of legumes. However, as the single

study in pea examining the role of *CLV2* in AM regulation of legumes found

no influence of this gene on AM formation (Morandi *et al.*, 2000), further

studies are required to clarify the action of *CLV2* in AM regulation of legumes

and non-legumes. It is important to note that split root studies indicated that

negative regulation of AM can act systemically (Vierheilig *et al.*, 2000).

Therefore, it will be important to clarify which genes and signals may mediate

this long-distance effect and which may act locally in the root to limit AM

formation. Studies with *M.truncutula* plants indicated that although *MtCLE53*

expression was induced by AM locally, a systemic effect of this peptide on

AM was suggested by transgenic studies with chimeric roots overexpressing

MtCLE53 (Karlo *et al.*, 2020), but more studies are required to clarify the

tissue in which other elements of the pathway act. Future studies could also

begin to explore the nature of the receptor complex(es) that may be important

for negative regulation of AM by specific CLE peptides, including examining

if CLV1-like and CLV2 proteins work in parallel or as co-receptors and the

role of other receptors that play roles in AON (e.g. KLV and CRN). Indeed,

recent research has shown that compensation mechanisms operate in the CLE

- CLV signalling pathway to control shoot apical meristem homeostasis

through both ligands and receptors (Nimchuk *et al.*, 2015; Rodriguez-Leal *et*

al., 2019).

As has been found for nitrate regulation of nodulation, in tomato the *CLV1-*

like FAB and *RDN1-like FIN* are required for nitrate suppression of AM.

Application of high nitrate to tomato suppressed mycorrhizal colonisation and

this suppression was absent in *fab* and *fin* mutant plants (Fig 5). This result is

striking as it suggests deep conservation of the role of these genes in nitrate

regulation of symbioses. Future work will explore which CLE(s) might be

responsible for this nitrate regulation of AM. In contrast, the very strong

suppression of AM colonisation by high phosphate did not require FAB and

FIN, as the suppression of AM by phosphate was maintained in lines disrupted

in these genes (Fig 6). This is also consistent with studies in homologous

legume mutants, which found that phosphate suppression of AM was not

disrupted in *clv1-like* mutants in pea, *M.truncatula* and soybean (Foo, 2014;

Müller *et al.*, 2019; Wyss *et al.*, 1990). Thus, phosphate regulates AM via

pathway(s) independent of CLV1-like proteins across species and FIN in

tomato. Given the suite of CLE peptides, modifying enzymes and receptors in

each plant, the possibility that particular stimuli (e.g. symbioses, nitrate,

phosphate, etc) acting through specific combinations of signals and receptors

is likely.

Strigolactones are at least one, but not the only signal through which

phosphate suppresses AM (Balzergue *et al.*, 2011; Breuillin *et al.*, 2010; Foo

et al., 2013b). It is difficult to distinguish if strigolactones are acting not only

as an upstream signal but also as a downstream signal in the AOM pathway.

This is because strigolactones are essential for substantial colonisation to

occur and it is clear that a certain level of colonisation is required to induce

AOM (Vierheilig, 2004). It is intriguing that the *FAB* and *RDN1* genes appear

to suppress colonisation in part through suppressing fungal entry and this is

consistent with studies in *M.truncatula* with *CLE53/33* overexpression lines

(Müller *et al.*, 2019). However, strigolactones levels are not elevated in *clv1-*

like mutants of pea, *M.truncutula* or tomato or *rdn1-like* mutants in pea and

tomato (Foo *et al.*, 2014; Müller *et al.*, 2019), meaning elevated strigolactones

exudation do not appear to be the cause of elevated AM colonisation of these

mutants. Clearly, further clarification of the interaction between
strigolactones and these genes is required.

The expansion of our understanding of negative regulation of AM into non-
legume systems and the parallels this pathway shares with AON in legumes,
including nitrate regulation, suggests that negative regulation of symbioses is a
conserved symbiotic program. Therefore, in addition to common symbiotic
pathways essential for early signaling and infection events in nodulation and
AM (Radhakrishnan *et al.*, 2020), we might consider negative regulation of
symbioses via autoregulation as the bookend to this pathway and therefore a
component of the so called 'common symbiotic pathway'(Chiu and
Paszkowski, 2020). Indeed, recent studies have suggested AON may influence
a subset of genes, including Nod-factor receptor NFP (Gautrat *et al.*, 2019),
integrating these two programs. It is also interesting to consider that although
the CLV-CLE pathway shares an orthologous role in shoot apical meristem
control in non-legumes, no clear role for *CLV1*-like genes in shoot
development of legumes has been observed (e.g. Krusell *et al.*, 2002;
Nishimura *et al.*, 2002). Thus, an orthologous role for CLV1-like proteins in
suppression of AM in both legumes and non-legumes (tomato this paper, and
Brachypodium (Müller *et al.*, 2019)) describes a common function for this
gene across legumes and non-legumes. A deeper understanding of how plants
manage interactions with nutrient acquiring microbes provides a basis for
future advances in plants acquiring maximum benefit from these symbiotic
relationships.

Supplementary data

Suppl Figure 1. Outline of CRISPR guide RNA and mutations introduced in

Slcle10, *Slcle11* and *Slclv2-2* lines.

Suppl Fig 2. **Phylogenetic tree of (A) CLV1-like, (B) CLV2, and (C) HPAT**

family proteins.

Suppl Figure 3. Growth parameters of WT (cv. M82), *fab, Slclv2 and fin*

mutants grown with mycorrhizal inoculum as shown in Fig 1 for *fab* and *fin*

and from Wang *et al.* (2018) for *Slclv2*.

Suppl Figure 4. Mycorrhizal colonisation of WT and *fab* plants mutants

grown with standard (20%) or high (40%) inoculum doses.

Suppl Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree of selected CLE peptides.

Suppl Figure 6. Growth parameters of WT (cv. Money Maker), WT (cv.

M82), *fin-n2326* and *fab* mutants grown under various KNO_3 treatments.

Suppl Figure 7. Growth parameters of WT (cv. M82), *fin-n2326* and *fab*

mutants growing under two NaH_2PO_4 treatments (0.05mM or 5mM).

Suppl Table 1. Relative expression of *SlCLE* genes in response to arbuscular

mycorrhizal colonisation, nitrate and phosphate.

Suppl Table 2. Primers used for gene expression studies.

Data availability statement

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and

within its supplementary materials published online.

Acknowledgements

We sincerely thank Prof Zachary Lippman (Cold Spring Harbour, USA) for

his kind gift of tomato mutant lines and for helpful discussions. Many thanks

to Prof Koichi Yoneyama (Utsunomiya University) and Dr Kohki Akiyama

(Osaka Prefecture University) for their kind gifts of measured quantities of

labeled strigolactones and to A/Prof Chris McErlean (University of Sydney)

and Dr Bart Janssen (The New Zealand Institute for Plant & Food Research

Limited) for the gift of unlabeled solonocal. We thank Michelle Lang, Tracey

Winterbottom, Shelley Urquhartand Valerie Hecht (University of Tasmania)

for technical advice and assistance.

E.F. was supported in part through the ARC Future Fellowship FT140100770,

the work carried out in Australia was funded through the ARC Discovery

project DP140101709 and C.W. and K.W. were supported by a Tasmania

Graduate Research Scholarship and an Australian Research Training Program

scholarship respectively. C-T.K. was supported by the National Science

Foundation Plant Genome Research Program (grant no. IOS-1546837).

List of author contributions

E.F. conceived the project; C-T.K. generated the CRISPR lines; E.F. and

J.B.R. supervised the other experiments; C.W. performed the experiments and

analyses except the phosphate experiment that was performed by K.W; D.S.N.

developed the UPLC/M-MS method; K.V.P. provided technical assistance;

E.F., C.W. and J.B.R. wrote the manuscript. E.F. agrees to serve as the author

responsible for contact and ensures communication.

References

Akiyama K, Matsuzaki K-i, Hayashi H. 2005. Plant sesquiterpenes induce hyphal branching in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Nature **435**, 824-827.

Araya T, Miyamoto M, Wibowo J, Suzuki A, Kojima S, Tsuchiya YN, Sawa S, Fukuda H, von Wirén N, Takahashi H. 2014. CLE-CLAVATA1 peptide-receptor signaling module regulates the expansion of plant root systems in a nitrogen-dependent manner. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **111**, 2029.

Balzergue C, Puech-Pages V, Becard G, Rochange SF. 2011. The regulation of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis by phosphate in pea involves early and systemic signalling events. J Exp Bot **62**, 1049-1060.

Besserer A, Puech-Pagès V, Kiefer P, Gomez-Roldan V, Jauneau A, Roy S, Portais J-C, Roux C, Bécard G, Séjalon-Delmas N. 2006. Strigolactones stimulate arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi by activating mitochondria. PLoS Biology **4**.

Bonneau L, Huguet S, Wipf D, Pauly N, Truong HN. 2013. Combined phosphate and nitrogen limitation generates a nutrient stress transcriptome favorable for arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in Medicago truncatula. New Phytologist **199**, 188-202.

Breuillin F, Schramm J, Hajirezaei M, Ahkami A, Favre P, Druege U, Hause B, Bucher M, Kretzschmar T, Bossolini E, Kuhlemeier C, Martinoia E, Franken P, Scholz U, Reinhardt D. 2010. Phosphate systemically inhibits development of arbuscular mycorrhiza in Petunia hybrida and represses genes involved in mycorrhizal functioning. Plant Journal **64**, 1002-1017.

Brooks C, Nekrasov V, Lippman ZB, Van Eck J. 2014. Efficient gene editing in tomato in the first generation using the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats/CRISPR-associated9 system. Plant Physiology **166**, 1292-1297.

Carroll BJ, McNeil DL, Gresshoff PM. 1985. A Supernodulation and

Nitrate-Tolerant Symbiotic (nts) Soybean Mutant. Plant Physiology **78**, 34-40.

Catford JG. 2003. Suppression of arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization and

nodulation in split-root systems of alfalfa after pre-inoculation and treatment

with Nod factors. Journal of Experimental Botany **54**, 1481-1487.

Chiu CH, Paszkowski U. 2020. Receptor-Like Kinases Sustain Symbiotic

Scrutiny. Plant Physiol **182**, 1597-1612.

Corrêa A, Cruz C, Pérez-Tienda J, Ferrol N. 2014. Shedding light onto

nutrient responses of arbuscular mycorrhizal plants: Nutrient interactions may

lead to unpredicted outcomes of the symbiosis. Plant Science **221-222**, 29-41.

Crook AD, Schnabel EL, Frugoli JA. 2016. The systemic nodule number

regulation kinase SUNN in Medicago truncatula interacts with MtCLV2 and

MtCRN. Plant Journal **88**, 108-119.

de Bang T, Lundquist PK, Dai X, Boschiero C, Zhuang Z, Pant P, Torres-

Jerez I, Roy S, Nogales J, Veerappan V, Dickstein R, Udvardi MK, Zhao

PX, Scheible W. 2017a. Genome-wide Identification of Medicago Peptides

involved in Macronutrient Responses and Nodulation. Plant Physiology.

de Bang TC, Lay KS, Scheible W-R, Takahashi H. 2017b. Small peptide

signaling pathways modulating macronutrient utilization in plants. Current

Opinion in Plant Biology **39**, 31-39.

Delaux PM, Radhakrishnan GV, Jayaraman D, Cheema J, Malbreil M,

Volkening JD, Sekimoto H, Nishiyama T, Melkonian M, Pokorny L,

Rothfels CJ, Sederoff HW, Stevenson DW, Surek B, Zhang Y, Sussman

MR, Dunand C, Morris RJ, Roux C, Wong GK, Oldroyd GE, Ane JM.

2015. Algal ancestor of land plants was preadapted for symbiosis. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A **112**, 13390-13395.

Delves AC, Mathews A, Day DA, Carter AS, Carroll BJ, Gresshoff PM.

1986. Regulation of the soybean-Rhizobium nodule symbiosis by shoot and

root factors. Plant Physiology **82**, 588-590.

Douds DD, Pfeffer PE, Shachar-Hill Y. 2000. Carbon Partitioning, Cost, and

Metabolism of Arbuscular Mycorrhizas. In: Kapulnik Y, Douds DD, eds.

Arbuscular Mycorrhizas: Physiology and Function. Dordrecht: Springer

Netherlands, 107-129.

Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy

and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res **32**, 1792-1797.

Foo E. 2014. Something old, something new: Auxin and strigolactone interact

in the ancient mycorrhizal symbiosis. Plant Signaling & Behavior **8**, e23656.

Foo E. 2017. Role of plant hormones and small signalling molecules in

nodulation under P stress. Legume nitrogen fixation in soils with low

phosphorous availability, 153-167.

Foo E, Davies NW. 2011. Strigolactones promote nodulation in pea. Planta

234, 1073-1081.

Foo E, Ferguson BJ, Reid JB. 2014. The potential roles of strigolactones and

brassinosteroids in the autoregulation of nodulation pathway. Ann Bot **113**,

1037-1045.

Foo E, Heynen EM, Reid JB. 2016. Common and divergent shoot-root

signalling in legume symbioses. New Phytol **210**, 643-656.

Foo E, Yoneyama K, Hugill C, Quittenden LJ, Reid JB. 2013a.

Strigolactones: Internal and external signals in plant symbioses? Plant Signal

Behav **8**, e23168.

Foo E, Yoneyama K, Hugill CJ, Quittenden LJ, Reid JB. 2013b.

Strigolactones and the regulation of pea symbioses in response to nitrate and

phosphate deficiency. Mol Plant **6**, 76-87.

Gautrat P, Laffont C, Frugier F. 2020. Compact Root Architecture 2

Promotes Root Competence for Nodulation through the miR2111 Systemic

Effector. Current Biology.

Gautrat P, Mortier V, Laffont C, De Keyser A, Fromentin J, Frugier F,

Goormachtig S. 2019. Unraveling new molecular players involved in the

autoregulation of nodulation in Medicago truncatula. Journal of Experimental

Botany **70**, 1407-1417.

Goad DM, Zhu C, Kellogg EA. 2016. Comprehensive identification and

clustering of CLV3/ESR-related (CLE) genes in plants finds groups with

potentially shared function. New Phytol.

Handa Y, Nishide H, Takeda N, Suzuki Y, Kawaguchi M, Saito K. 2015.

RNA-seq Transcriptional Profiling of an Arbuscular Mycorrhiza Provides

Insights into Regulated and Coordinated Gene Expression in Lotus japonicus

and Rhizophagus irregularis. Plant Cell Physiol **56**, 1490-1511.

Hastwell AH, Corcilius L, Williams J, Gresshoff PM, Payne RJ, Ferguson

BJ. 2018. Triarabinosylation is required for nodulation-suppressive CLE

peptides to systemically inhibit nodulation in Pisum sativum. Plant, Cell &

Environment.

Hewitt EJ. 1966. *Sand and water culture methods used in the study of plant*

nutrition: Commenwealth Agricultural Bureaux.

Imin N, Mohd-Radzman NA, Ogilvie HA, Djordjevic MA. 2013. The

peptide-encoding CEP1 gene modulates lateral root and nodule numbers in

Medicago truncatula. Journal of Experimental Botany **64**, 5395-5409.

Imin N, Patel N, Corcilius L, Payne RJ, Djordjevic MA. 2018. CLE peptide

tri-arabinosylation and peptide domain sequence composition are essential for

SUNN-dependent autoregulation of nodulation in Medicago truncatula. New

Phytologist **218**, 73–80.

Jacobsen E, Feenstra WJ. 1984. A new pea mutant with efficient nodulation

in the presence of nitrate. Plant Science Letters **33**, 337-344.

Karlo M, Boschiero C, Landerslev KG, Blanco GS, Wen J, Mysore KS,

Dai X, Zhao PX, de Bang TC. 2020. The CLE53-SUNN genetic pathway

negatively regulates arbuscular mycorrhiza root colonization in Medicago

truncatula. Journal of Experimental Botany.

Kassaw T, Jr. W, Frugoli J. 2015. Multiple Autoregulation of Nodulation

(AON) Signals Identified through Split Root Analysis of Medicago truncatula

sunn and rdn1 Mutants. Plants **4**, 209.

Kassaw T, Nowak S, Schnabel E, Frugoli JA. 2017. ROOT DETERMINED

NODULATION1 is required for M. truncatula CLE12, but not CLE13 peptide

signaling through the SUNN receptor kinase. Plant Physiology, pp.00278.

Khaosaad T, Staehelin C, Steinkellner S, Hage-Ahmed K, Ocampo JA,

Garcia-Garrido JM, Vierheilig H. 2010. The Rhizobium sp. strain NGR234

systemically suppresses arbuscular mycorrhizal root colonization in a split-

root system of barley (Hordeum vulgare). Physiol Plant **140**, 238-245.

Krusell L, Madsen LH, Sato S, Aubert G, Genua A, Szczyglowski K, Duc

G, Kaneko T, Tabata S, de Bruijn F, Pajuelo E, Sandal N, Stougaard J.

2002. Shoot control of root development and nodulation is mediated by a

receptor-like kinase. Nature **420**, 422-426.

Krusell L, Sato N, Fukuhara I, Koch BEV, Grossmann C, Okamoto S,

Oka-Kira E, Otsubo Y, Aubert G, Nakagawa T, Sato S, Tabata S, Duc G,

Parniske M, Wang TL, Kawaguchi M, Stougaard J. 2011. The Clavata2

genes of pea and Lotus japonicus affect autoregulation of nodulation. Plant

Journal **65**, 861-871.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K. 2016. MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary

Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. Molecular Biology and

Evolution **33**, 1870-1874.

Lacerda ALM, Fonseca LN, Blawid R, Boiteux LS, Ribeiro SG, Brasileiro

ACM. 2015. Reference Gene Selection for qPCR Analysis in Tomato-

Bipartite Begomovirus Interaction and Validation in Additional Tomato-Virus

Pathosystems. PLOS ONE **10**, e0136820.

Laffont C, Huault E, Gautrat P, Endre G, Kalo P, Bourion V, Duc G,

Frugier F. 2019. Independent Regulation of Symbiotic Nodulation by the

SUNN Negative and CRA2 Positive Systemic Pathways. Plant Physiology,

pp.01588.02018.

Le Marquer M, Bécard G, Frei dit Frey N. 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal

fungi possess a CLAVATA3/embryo surrounding region-related gene that

positively regulates symbiosis. New Phytologist **0**.

Lim CW, Lee YW, Hwang CH. 2011. Soybean nodule-enhanced CLE

peptides in roots act as signals in GmNARK-mediated nodulation suppression.

Plant & Cell Physiology **52**, 1613-1627.

Lin YH, Ferguson BJ, Kereszt A, Gresshoff PM. 2010. Suppression of

hypernodulation in soybean by a leaf-extracted, NARK- and Nod factor-

dependent, low molecular mass fraction. New Phytologist **185**, 1074-1086.

Liu Y, Shi G, Mao L, Cheng G, Jiang S, Ma X, An L, Du G, Collins

Johnson N, Feng H. 2012. Direct and indirect influences of 8yr of nitrogen

and phosphorus fertilization on Glomeromycota in an alpine meadow

ecosystem. New Phytologist **194**, 523-535.

Magori S, Oka-Kira E, Shibata S, Umehara Y, Kouchi H, Hase Y,

Tanaka A, Sato S, Tabata S, Kawaguchi M. 2009. TOO MUCH LOVE, a

Root Regulator Associated with the Long-Distance Control of Nodulation in

Lotus japonicus. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions® **22**, 259-268.

Martin FM, Uroz S, Barker DG. 2017. Ancestral alliances: Plant mutualistic

symbioses with fungi and bacteria. Science **356**.

McGonigle TP, Miller MH, Evans DG, Fairchild GL, Swan JA. 1990. A

new method which gives an objective measure of colonization of roots by

vesicular—arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. New Phytologist **115**, 495-501.

Meixner C, Ludwig-Muller J, Miersch O, Gresshoff P, Staehelin C,

Vierheilig H. 2005. Lack of mycorrhizal autoregulation and phytohormonal

changes in the supernodulating soybean mutant nts1007. Planta **222**, 709-715.

Meixner C, Vegvari G, Ludwig-Müller J, Gagnon H, Steinkellner S,

Staehelin C, Gresshoff P, Vierheilig H. 2007. Two defined alleles of the

LRR receptor kinase GmNARK in supernodulating soybean govern differing

autoregulation of mycorrhization. Physiologia Plantarum **130**, 261-270.

Miyazawa H, Oka-Kira E, Sato N, Takahashi H, Wu GJ, Sato S, Hayashi

M, Betsuyaku S, Nakazono M, Tabata S, Harada K, Sawa S, Fukuda H,

Kawaguchi M. 2010. The receptor-like kinase KLAVIER mediates systemic

regulation of nodulation and non-symbiotic shoot development in Lotus

japonicus. Development **137**, 4317-4325.

Morandi D, Sagan M, Prado-Vivant E, Duc G. 2000. Influence of genes

determining supernodulation on root colonization by the mycorrhizal fungus

Glomus mosseae in Pisum sativum and Medicago truncatula mutants.

Mycorrhiza **10**, 37-42.

Müller LM, Flokova K, Schnabel E, Sun X, Fei Z, Frugoli J,

Bouwmeester HJ, Harrison MJ. 2019. A CLE–SUNN module regulates

strigolactone content and fungal colonization in arbuscular mycorrhiza. Nature

Plants **5**, 933-939.

Naito Y, Hino K, Bono H, Ui-Tei K. 2015. CRISPRdirect: software for

designing CRISPR/Cas guide RNA with reduced off-target sites.

Bioinformatics **31**, 1120-1123.

Nimchuk ZL, Zhou Y, Tarr PT, Peterson BA, Meyerowitz EM. 2015.

Plant stem cell maintenance by transcriptional cross-regulation of related

receptor kinases. Development **142**, 1043.

Nishimura R, Hayashi M, Wu G-J, Kouchi H, Imaizumi-Anraku H,

Murakami Y, Kawasaki S, Akao S, Ohmori M, Nagasawa M, Harada K,

Kawaguchi M. 2002. HAR1 mediates systemic regulation of symbiotic organ

development. Nature **420**, 426-429.

Nouri E, Breuillin-Sessoms F, Feller U, Reinhardt D. 2014. Phosphorus and

Nitrogen Regulate Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis in Petunia hybrida.

PLOS ONE **9**, e90841.

Oka-Kira E, Tateno K, Miura Ki, Haga T, Hayashi M, Harada K, Sato S,

Tabata S, Shikazono N, Tanaka A, Watanabe Y, Fukuhara I, Nagata T,

Kawaguchi M. 2005. klavier (klv), A novel hypernodulation mutant of Lotus

japonicus affected in vascular tissue organization and floral induction. Plant

Journal **44**, 505-515.

Okamoto S, Ohnishi E, Sato S, Takahashi H, Nakazono M, Tabata S,

Kawaguchi M. 2009. Nod factor/nitrate-induced CLE genes that drive HAR1-

mediated systemic regulation of nodulation. Plant Cell Physiol **50**, 67-77.

Okamoto S, Shinohara H, Mori T, Matsubayashi Y, Kawaguchi M. 2013.

Root-derived CLE glycopeptides control nodulation by direct binding to

HAR1 receptor kinase. Nature Communications **4**, 2191.

Pozo MJ, Jung SC, López-Ráez JA, Azcón-Aguilar C. 2010. Impact of

Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis on Plant Response to Biotic Stress: The

Role of Plant Defence Mechanisms. In: Koltai H, Kapulnik Y, eds. *Arbuscular*

Mycorrhizas: Physiology and Function. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands,

193-207.

Radhakrishnan GV, Keller J, Rich MK, Vernié T, Mbadinga DLM,

Vigneron N, Cottret L, San Clemente H, Libourel C, Cheema J. 2020. An

ancestral signalling pathway is conserved in intracellular symbioses-forming

plant lineages. Nature Plants, 1-10.

Rodriguez-Leal D, Xu C, Kwon C-T, Soyars C, Demesa-Arevalo E, Man J,

Liu L, Lemmon ZH, Jones DS, Van Eck J, Jackson DP, Bartlett ME,

Nimchuk ZL, Lippman ZB. 2019. Evolution of buffering in a genetic circuit

controlling plant stem cell proliferation. Nature Genetics **51**, 786-792.

Sagan M. 1996. Sym28 and Sym29, two new genes involved in regulation of

nodulation in pea (Pisum sativum L.). Symbiosis **20**, 229-245.

Sakamoto K, Nohara Y. 2009. Soybean (Glycine max [L.] Merr.) shoots

systemically control arbuscule formation in mycorrhizal symbiosis. Soil

Science and Plant Nutrition **55**, 252-257.

Sakamoto K, Ogiwara N, Kaji T. 2013. Involvement of autoregulation in the

interaction between rhizobial nodulation and AM fungal colonization in

soybean roots. Biology and Fertility of Soils **49**, 1141-1152.

Sasaki T, Suzaki T, Soyano T, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Kawaguchi M.

2014. Shoot-derived cytokinins systemically regulate root nodulation. Nat

Commun **5**, 4983.

Schaarschmidt S, Gresshoff PM, Hause B. 2013. Analyzing the soybean

transcriptome during autoregulation of mycorrhization identifies the

transcription factors GmNF-YA1a/b as positive regulators of arbuscular

mycorrhization. Genome biology **14**, 1.

Schnabel E, Journet EP, de Carvalho-Niebel F, Duc G, Frugoli J. 2005.

The Medicago truncatula SUNN gene encodes a CLV1-like leucine-rich

repeat receptor kinase that regulates nodule number and root length. Plant

Molecular Biology **58**, 809-822.

Schnabel EL, Kassaw TK, Smith LS, Marsh JF, Oldroyd GE, Long SR,

Frugoli JA. 2011. The ROOT DETERMINED NODULATION1 Gene

Regulates Nodule Number in Roots of Medicago truncatula and Defines a

Highly Conserved, Uncharacterized Plant Gene Family. Plant Physiology **157**,

328-340.

Schulze J, Adgo E, Merbach W. 1999. Carbon costs associated with N2

fixation in Vicia faba L. and Pisum sativum L. over a 14-day period. Plant

Biology **1**, 625-631.

Searle IR, Men AE, Laniya TS, Buzas DM, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, Carroll

BJ, Gresshoff PM. 2003. Long-distance signaling in nodulation directed by a

CLAVATA1-like receptor kinase. Science **299**, 109-112.

Smith SE, Read DJ. 2010. *Mycorrhizal symbiosis*: Academic press.

Solaiman MZ, Senoo K, Kawaguchi M, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Akao S,

Tanaka A, Obata H. 2000. Characterization of Mycorrhizas Formed by

Glomus sp. on Roots of Hypernodulating Mutants of Lotus japonicus. Journal

of Plant Research **113**, 443-448.

Takahara M, Magori S, Soyano T, Okamoto S, Yoshida C, Yano K, Sato
S, Tabata S, Yamaguchi K, Shigenobu S, Takeda N, Suzaki T, Kawaguchi
M. 2013. Too much love, a novel Kelch repeat-containing F-box protein,
functions in the long-distance regulation of the legume-Rhizobium symbiosis.
Plant & Cell Physiology **54**, 433-447.

Tsikou D, Yan Z, Holt DB, Abel NB, Reid DE, Madsen LH, Bhasin H,
Sexauer M, Stougaard J, Markmann K. 2018. Systemic control of legume
susceptibility to rhizobial infection by a mobile microRNA. Science **362**, 233.

Van Eck J, Keen P, Tjahjadi M. 2019. Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated
transformation of tomato. *Transgenic Plants*: Springer, 225-234.

van Noorden GE, Verbeek R, Dinh QD, Jin J, Green A, Ng JL, Mathesius
U. 2016. Molecular Signals Controlling the Inhibition of Nodulation by
Nitrate in Medicago truncatula. Int J Mol Sci **17**.

Vierheilig H. 2004. Further root colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
in already mycorrhizal plants is suppressed after a critical level of root
colonization. Journal of Plant Physiology **161**, 339-341.

Vierheilig H, Coughlan AP, Wyss U, Piché Y. 1998. Ink and Vinegar, a
Simple Staining Technique for Arbuscular-Mycorrhizal Fungi. Applied and
Environmental Microbiology **64**, 5004-5007.

Vierheilig H, Garcia-Garrido J, Wyss U, Piché Y. 2000. Systemic
suppression of mycorrhizal colonization of barley roots already colonized by
AM fungi. Soil Biology and Biochemistry **32**, 589-595.

Wang C, Reid JB, Foo E. 2018. The Art of Self-Control – Autoregulation of
Plant–Microbe Symbioses. Frontiers in Plant Science **9**.

Weber E, Engler C, Gruetzner R, Werner S, Marillonnet S. 2011. A
modular cloning system for standardized assembly of multigene constructs.
PLoS One **6**.

Werner S, Engler C, Weber E, Gruetzner R, Marillonnet S. 2012. Fast
track assembly of multigene constructs using Golden Gate cloning and the
MoClo system. Bioengineered **3**, 38-43.

Whelan S, Goldman N. 2001. A general empirical model of protein evolution
derived from multiple protein families using a maximum-likelihood approach.
Molecular Biology and Evolution **18**, 691-699.

Wyss P, Mellor RB, Wiemken A. 1990. Mutants of Soybean (Glycine max)

unable to Suppress Nodulation in the Presence of Nitrate Retain the Ability to

Suppress Mycorrhization in the Presence of Phosphate. Journal of Plant

Physiology **136**, 507-509.

Xu C, Liberatore KL, MacAlister CA, Huang Z, Chu Y-H, Jiang K,

Brooks C, Ogawa-Ohnishi M, Xiong G, Pauly M, Van Eck J,

Matsubayashi Y, van der Knaap E, Lippman ZB. 2015. A cascade of

arabinosyltransferases controls shoot meristem size in tomato. Nature Genetics

47, 784-792.

Yoro E, Nishida H, Ogawa-Ohnishi M, Yoshida C, Suzaki T,

Matsubayashi Y, Kawaguchi M. 2019. PLENTY, a hydroxyproline O-

arabinosyltransferase, negatively regulates root nodule symbiosis in Lotus

japonicus. Journal of Experimental Botany **70**, 507-517.

Zhang Y, Yang S, Song Y, Wang J. 2014. Genome-wide characterization,

expression and functional analysis of CLV3/ESR gene family in tomato. BMC

Genomics **15**, 1-12.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Mycorrhizal phenotype of WT (wild type), *fab* and *fin* mutants. (A,

B) Percentage of root colonised by any fungal structure and percentage of root

colonised with arbuscules, (C) number of hyphopodia per cm of root and (D)

photos of typical colonised roots, scale bar is 1mm. (A-C) Data are mean ±

standard error (SE) (n=11 - 12 for A, n=6 for B and n= 5 for C). For (A) *

indicates values that are significantly different to WT (P<0.05), for (B, C)

within a parameter letters indicate values that are significantly different as

assessed by Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

1002

1003 **Figure 2.** Mycorrhizal colonisation in reciprocal grafts between (A) WT and

1004 *fab* and (B) WT and *Slclv2-2* (scion/root stock). Data are shown as mean ± SE

1005 (n=8 for *fab* experiment, 8-11 for *Slclv2-2* experiment). Within a parameter

1006 different letters indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by

1007 Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

1008

1009 **Figure 3.** Mycorrhizal colonisation in WT plants and (A) *Slcle11* and (B)

1010 *Slcle10* mutant plants. Data shown are mean ± SE (n=8 for (A) and n= 4 for

1011 (B)). Values that are significantly different to WT, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01.

1012

Figure 4. Strigolactone levels in root exudates from tomato WT, *fab* and *fin-*

n2326 mutants that were colonised by mycorrhizae (A) or in absence of

mycorrhizal inoculum (B). N.B. orobanchol was below detection limit in

extracts shown in panel A. Data are shown as mean ± SE (n=5). Different

letters indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's

HSD test, P < 0.05). *n.d. not detected.*

1019

Figure 5. Mycorrhizal colonisation of tomato under various nitrate treatments

(A) Total colonisation and (B) arbuscules of WT (cv. Money Maker) plants

grown under different N treatments. (C) WT (cv. M82), *fin-n2326* and *fab*

mutants growing under two KNO_3 treatments (0.625 or 10mM). Data shown

are mean ± SE (n=5-6). Within a parameter different letters within a parameter

indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test

($P < 0.05$).

1027

Figure 6. Mycorrhizal colonisation of tomato under low and high phosphate

treatments. (A) Total colonisation and (B) arbuscules of WT (cv. M82), *fin-*

n2326 and *fab* mutants growing under two NaH_2PO_4 treatments (0.05 or

5mM). Data shown as mean ± standard error (SE) (n=5). Within a parameter

different letters indicate values within a parameter that are significantly

different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test ($P < 0.05$).

1034

1035

Figure 1. Mycorrhizal phenotype of WT (wild type), *fab* and *fin* mutants. (A, B) Percentage of root colonised by any fungal structure and percentage of root colonised with arbuscules, (C) number of hyphopodia per cm of root and (D) photos of typical colonised roots, scale bar is 1mm. (A-C) Data are mean ± standard error (SE) (n=11 - 12 for A, n=6 for B and n= 5 for C). For (A) * indicates values that are significantly different to WT (P<0.05), for (B, C) within a parameter different letters indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

Figure 2. Mycorrhizal colonisation in reciprocal grafts between (A) WT and *fab* and (B) WT and *Slclv2-2* (scion/root stock). Data are shown as mean ± SE (n=8 for *fab* experiment, 8-11 for *Slclv2-2* experiment). Within a parameter different letters indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).

Figure 3. Mycorrhizal colonisation in WT plants and (A) *Slcle11* and (B) *Slcle10* mutant plants. Data shown are mean ± SE (n=8 for (A) and n= 4 for (B)). Values that are significantly different to WT, * P<0.05, ** P<0.01.

Figure 4. Strigolactone levels in root exudates from tomato WT, *fab* and *fin-n2326* mutants that were colonised by mycorrhizae (A) or in absence of mycorrhizal inoculum (B). N.B. orobanchol was below detection limit in extracts shown in panel A. Data are shown as mean ± SE (n=5). Different letters indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test, P < 0.05). *n.d. not detected.*

Figure 5. Mycorrhizal colonisation of tomato under various nitrate treatments (A) Total colonisation and (B) arbuscules of WT (cv. Money Maker) plants grown under different N treatments. (C) WT (cv. M82), *fin-n2326* and *fab* mutants growing under two KNO_3 treatments (0.625 or 10mM). Data shown are mean ± SE (n=5-6). Within a parameter different letters within a parameter indicate values that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test ($P < 0.05$).

Figure 6. Mycorrhizal colonisation of tomato under low and high phosphate treatments. Total colonisation and arbuscules of WT (cv. M82), *fin-n2326* and *fab* mutants growing under two NaH_2PO_4 treatments (0.05 or 5mM). Data shown as mean ± standard error (SE) (n=5). Within a parameter different letters indicate values within a parameter that are significantly different as assessed by Tukey's HSD test (P < 0.05).