A community-driven resource for genomic surveillance of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* at Pathogenwatch

Leonor Sánchez-Busó[1,^,*], Corin A. Yeats[1], Ben Taylor[1,2], Richard Goater[2], Anthony Underwood[2], Khalil Abudahab[2], Silvia Argimón[2], Kevin C. Ma[3], Tatum D. Mortimer[3], Michelle J. Cole[4,^], Yonatan H. Grad[3,5,^], Irene Martin[6,^], Brian H. Raphael[7,^], William M. Shafer[8,9,^], Gianfranco Spiteri[10,^], Katy Town[7,^], Teodora Wi[11,^], Simon R. Harris[12], Magnus Unemo[13,^] and David M. Aanensen[1,2,^,*], representing the *N. gonorrhoeae* Pathogenwatch Scientific Steering Group.

[1] Centre for Genomic Pathogen Surveillance**,** Big Data Institute, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom.

[2] Centre for Genomic Pathogen Surveillance, Wellcome Sanger Institute, Wellcome Genome Campus, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom.

[3] Department of Immunology and Infectious Diseases, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America.

[4] National Infection Service, Public Health England, London, United Kingdom.

[5] Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, United States of America.

[6] National Microbiology Laboratory, Public Health Agency of Canada, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.

[7] Division of STD prevention, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD and TB Prevention, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America.

[8] Department of Microbiology and Immunology and Emory Antibiotic Resistance Center, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, United States of America.

[9] Laboratories of Bacterial Pathogenesis, Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Decatur, Georgia, United States of America.

[10] European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Stockholm, Sweden.

[11] Department of the Global HIV, Hepatitis and STI programmes, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.

[12] Microbiotica, Biodata Innovation Centre, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom.

[13] World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Gonorrhoea and Other STIs, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden.

^Current members of the *N. gonorrhoeae* Pathogenwatch Scientific Steering Group.

*Corresponding authors: Leonor Sánchez-Busó (leo.sanchez-buso@cgps.group) and David M. Aanensen (david.aanensen@cgps.group).

37   **Keywords:** *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, Pathogenwatch, public health, genomics, epidemiology,
38   surveillance, antimicrobial resistance.
39

Abstract

Background: Antimicrobial resistant (AMR) *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* is an urgent threat to public
health, as strains resistant to at least one of the two last line antibiotics used in empiric therapy of
gonorrhoea, ceftriaxone and azithromycin, have spread internationally. With new treatment
options not yet available, this has prompted a call for collaborative action on global surveillance
for this sexually transmitted pathogen. Whole genome sequencing (WGS) data can be used to
identify new AMR clones, outbreaks, transmission networks and inform the development of point-
of-care tests for antimicrobial susceptibility, novel antimicrobials and vaccines. Community driven
tools that provide an easy access to and analysis of genomic and epidemiological data is the way
forward for public health surveillance.

Methods: Here we present a public health focussed scheme for genomic epidemiology of *N.
gonorrhoeae* using Pathogenwatch (https://pathogen.watch/ngonorrhoeae), which enables the
processing of raw or assembled genomic data. We implement backwards compatibility with
MLST, NG-MAST and NG-STAR typing schemes as well as an exhaustive library of genetic AMR
determinants associated with resistance to eight antibiotics. A collection of over 12,000 *N.
gonorrhoeae* genome sequences from public archives has been quality-checked, assembled and
made public together with available metadata for contextualization.

Results: An international advisory group of experts in epidemiology, public health, genetics and
genomics of *N. gonorrhoeae* was convened to identify public health needs in the field and inform
on the utility of current and future analytics in the platform, including a customised library of
genetic AMR determinants. After uploading genome data, this platform automatically provides
typing information, detects genetic determinants of AMR for eight antibiotics including
azithromycin and the extended-spectrum cephalosporins ceftriaxone and cefixime, and infers
resistance based on the specific combination of mechanisms. Furthermore, genomes are
contextualised with globally available genomic data to aid epidemiological investigation.

Conclusions: The *N. gonorrhoeae* scheme in Pathogenwatch provides customized bioinformatic
pipelines guided by expert opinion that can be adapted to public health agencies and departments
with little expertise in bioinformatics and lower resourced settings with internet connection but
limited computational infrastructure. This advisory group will assess and identify ongoing public
health needs in the field of gonococcal AMR in order to further enhance utility with modified or
new analytic methods.

Background

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is an urgent threat to public health. *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, the strictly human pathogen causing the sexually-transmitted infection (STI) gonorrhoea, has developed or acquired resistance to the last-line antibiotics used in empiric therapy to treat the infection, and thus has become one of the major global priorities in order to tackle AMR. In 2017, due to the increase in AMR infections and the absence of an effective vaccine, the World Health Organization (WHO) included *N. gonorrhoeae* as a high priority pathogen in need of research and development of new antimicrobials and ideally a vaccine (1). In 2019, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) again included the gonococcus on the list of urgent threats in the United States (2). The most recent WHO estimates from 2016 indicate an annual global incidence of 87 million cases of gonorrhoea among adults (3, 4). Untreated cases can develop complications including an increased acquisition and transmission of HIV. In women, long-term infections can cause infertility, pelvic inflammatory disease, ectopic pregnancy, miscarriage or premature labour (5). Infections during pregnancy can transmit to newborns at birth causing eye damage that can have permanent effects on vision (6).

Strains of *N. gonorrhoeae* resistant to every recommended treatment have rapidly emerged, including resistance to penicillins, tetracyclines, fluoroquinolones, macrolides and the extended-spectrum cephalosporins (ESCs) (5-7). The current recommended treatment in many countries is a dual therapy with injectable ceftriaxone plus oral azithromycin, although reports of decreased susceptibility to ceftriaxone as well as azithromycin resistance have increased globally (7, 8). One case of failure of dual treatment was reported in 2016 in the United Kingdom (UK) (9). Additionally, in 2018 a gonococcal strain with resistance to ceftriaxone combined with high-level resistance to azithromycin was detected in both the UK and Australia (10, 11). A ceftriaxone-resistant clone (FC428) has been transmitted internationally, raising concerns about the long-term effectiveness

of the current treatment in the absence of an available alternative (12). In some countries such

as in Japan, China and since 2019 in the UK, a single dose of ceftriaxone 1 gram is recommended

due to the increasing incidence of azithromycin resistance in *N. gonorrhoeae* and other STI

pathogens such as *Mycoplasma genitalium* (13). Extensive investigations have been ongoing for

years to unveil the genetic mechanisms that explain most of the observed susceptibility patterns

for the main classes of antimicrobials for *N. gonorrhoeae*. For ciprofloxacin, nearly all of the

resistant strains have the GyrA S91F amino acid alteration (14-16), however, resistance

prediction from genomic data is not as straightforward for other antibiotics. Known resistance

mechanisms often involve additive or suppressive effects as well as epistatic interactions that all

together explain just part of the observed phenotypic resistance. For example, there is good

evidence that many mosaic structures of the *penA* gene are associated with decreased

susceptibility of ESCs (17, 18), however, mosaics do not explain all cases of ESC resistance,

especially for ceftriaxone, and some mosaic *penA* alleles do not cause decreased susceptibility

or resistance to this antibiotic (17-20). On top of these, variants that overexpress the MtrCDE

efflux pump, mutations in *porB* that reduce drug influx and non-mosaic mutations in penicillin-

binding proteins also contribute to decreased susceptibility to ESCs (21). Furthermore, mutations

in the *rpoB* and *rpoD* genes, encoding subunits of the RNA polymerase, have been recently

related to resistance to ESCs in clinical *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates (22). Mutations in the 23S rRNA

gene (A2045G and C2597T in *N. gonorrhoeae* nomenclature, coordinates from the WHO 2016

reference panel (23), A2059G and C2611T in *Escherichia coli*) are frequently associated with

azithromycin resistance, as do variants in *mtrR* or its promoter that increase the expression of the

MtrCDE efflux pump (5). Recently, epistatic interactions between a mosaic *mtr* promoter region

and a mosaic *mtrD* gene have also been reported to increase the expression of this pump,

contributing to macrolide resistance (24, 25). Mutations in *rplD* have also been associated with

reduced susceptibility to this antibiotic (26) and contrarily, loss-of-function mutations in *mtrC* have

been linked to increased susceptibility to several antibiotics including azithromycin (27).

A myriad of methods have been used to discriminate among strains of *N. gonorrhoeae*, from

phenotypic to DNA-based techniques (28), but whole genome sequencing (WGS) can provide

the complete genome information of a bacterial strain. The cost of amplifying all loci of the different

typing schemes via nucleic acid amplification and traditional Sanger sequencing can be more

expensive than the cost of WGS of one bacterial genome in many settings. With WGS, multiple

genetic AMR mechanisms as well as virulence and typing regions can be targeted simultaneously

with the appropriate bioinformatic tools and pipelines. It also provides a significant improvement

in resolution and accuracy over traditional molecular epidemiology and typing methods, allowing

a genome-wide comparison of strains that can: identify AMR clones, outbreaks, transmission

networks, national and international spread, known and novel resistance mechanisms as well as

also inform on the development of point-of-care tests for antimicrobial susceptibility, novel

antimicrobials and vaccines (29, 30). However, implementation of WGS for genomic surveillance

poses practical challenges, especially for Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs), due to the

need of a major investment to acquire and maintain the required infrastructure. The cost of

sequencing is decreasing very rapidly in well-resourced settings, especially in large sequencing

centres, but it is still prohibitive for routine surveillance in many others.

WGS produces a very high volume of data that needs to be pre-processed and analysed using

bioinformatics. Bioinformatics expertise is not always readily available in laboratory and public

health settings, and currently there are no international standards and proficiency trials for which

algorithms to use to process WGS data. There are several open source tools specialised in each

step of the pipeline as well as proprietary software containing workflows that simplify the analyses.

However, these are less customizable and may not be affordable for all (31, 32). Choosing the

best algorithms and parameters when analysing genomic data is not straightforward as it requires

a fair knowledge of the pathogen under study and its genome diversity. Multiple databases

containing genetic determinants of AMR for bacterial pathogens are available (31, 32), however,

choosing which one is most complete for a particular organism frequently requires an extensive

literature search. Public access web-based species-specific tools and AMR databases revised

and curated by experts would be the most approachable option for both well-resourced and LMICs

with a reliable internet connection. Very importantly though, the full benefits of using WGS for

both molecular epidemiology and AMR prediction can only be achieved if the WGS data are linked

to phenotypic data for the gonococcal isolates and, as much as feasible, epidemiological data for

the patients.

Here, we present a public health focussed system to facilitate genomic epidemiology of *N.*

gonorrhoeae within Pathogenwatch (https://pathogen.watch/ngonorrhoeae), which includes the

latest analytics for typing, detection of genetic AMR determinants and prediction of AMR from *N.*

gonorrhoeae genome data, linked to metadata where available, as well as a collection of over

12,000 gonococcal genomes from public archives for contextualization. We formed an advisory

group including experts in the field of *N. gonorrhoeae* epidemiology, public health, AMR, genetics

and genomics to consult on the development and design of the tool, such as the analytics and

genetic AMR mechanisms to include, in order to adapt the platform for ongoing public health

needs. We present this scheme as a community-steered model for genomic surveillance of other

pathogens.

Methods

Generation of the N. gonorrhoeae core genome library

Pathogenwatch implements a library of core genome sequences for several supported organisms.

In the case of *N. gonorrhoeae*, a core gene set was built from the 14 reference genomes that

constitute the 2016 WHO reference strain panel (23) using the pangenome analysis tool Roary

(33) as described in Harris *et al* (2018) (16). Briefly, the minimum percentage of identity for blastp

was set to 97% and the resulting core genes were aligned individually using MAFFT. The resulting

genes with a percentage of identity above 99% were post-processed as described in (34).

Overlapping genes were merged into pseudocontigs and clusters representing paralogs or

fragment matches were removed. Representative sequences from each cluster were selected as

the longest compared to a consensus obtained from the cluster alignment. The final core gene

set contains 1,542 sequences that span a total of 1,470,119 nucleotides. A BLAST database was

constructed from these core segments and used to profile new assemblies.

Profiling new assemblies

New genome assemblies can be uploaded by a user (drag and drop) or calculated from high-

throughput short read data directly within Pathogenwatch using SPAdes (35) as described in (36).

A taxonomy assignment step for species identification is performed on the uploaded assemblies

by using Speciator (37). New assemblies are then queried against a species-specific BLAST

database using blastn. For *N. gonorrhoeae*, every core loci needs to match at least 80% of its

length to be considered as present. Further filtering steps are applied to remove loci that can be

problematic for tree building, such as a paralogs or loci with unusually large number of variant

sites compared to an estimated substitution rate on the rest of the genome, as described in (38).

The overall substitution rate is calculated as the number of total differences in the core library

divided by the total number of nucleotides. Indels are ignored to minimise the noise that could be

caused by assembly or sequencing errors. The expected number of substitutions per locus is

determined by multiplying this substitution rate by the length of the representative sequence.

The number of substitutions observed for each locus between the new assembly and the

reference sequence are scaled to the total number of nucleotides that match the core library,

creating a pairwise score that it is saved on a distance matrix and is used for tree construction,

as described in (39).

Algorithms for sequence typing and cgMLST clustering

Alleles and sequence types (STs) for Multi-Locus Sequence Typing (MLST) (40) and cgMLST

(core genome MLST, *N. gonorrhoeae* cgMLST v1.0) (41) were obtained from PubMLST (42, 43),

for *N. gonorrhoeae* Multi-Antigen Sequence Typing (NG-MAST) (44) from (45) and for *N.*

gonorrhoeae Sequence Typing for Antimicrobial Resistance (NG-STAR) (46) from (47). A search

tool implemented as part of Pathogenwatch is used to make the assignments for MLST, cgMLST

and NG-STAR, while NGMASTER (48) is used for NG-MAST. Briefly, exact matches to known

alleles are searched for, while novel sequences are assigned a unique identifier. The combination

of alleles is used to assign a ST as described in (49). Databases are regularly updated and novel

alleles and STs should be submitted by the user to the corresponding schemes for designation.

cgMLST typing information is used for clustering individual genomes with others in the

Pathogenwatch database as described in (50). Users can select the clustering threshold (i.e.

number of loci with differing alleles) and a network graph is calculated within individual genome

reports.

AMR library and detection of genetic AMR determinants

Genes and point mutations (single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and indels) were detected

using PAARSNP v2.4.9 (51). PAARSNP also provides a prediction of AMR phenotype inferred

from the combination of identified mechanisms. Genetic determinants described in the literature

as involved in AMR in *N. gonorrhoeae* were collated into a library in TOML format (version 0.0.11).

A test dataset containing 3,987 isolates from 13 studies (16, 19, 23, 52-61) (Additional file 1: Table

S1) providing minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) information for six antibiotics

(benzylpenicillin, tetracycline, ciprofloxacin, cefixime, ceftriaxone and azithromycin) was used to

benchmark and to curate this library. A validation benchmark was posteriorly run with a dataset

of 1,607 isolates from 3 other publications (62-64) with MIC information for the same six antibiotics

plus spectinomycin (Additional file 1: Table S1). EUCAST clinical breakpoints v9.0 (65) were used

for S (susceptibility), I (intermediate resistance/decreased susceptibility) or R (resistance) (SIR)

categorical interpretation of MICs for all antibiotics except for azithromycin, for which the

epidemiological cut-off (ECOFF) was used. As a result of the benchmark analyses, sensitivity,

specificity and positive/negative predictive values (PPV/NPV) were obtained for the AMR

mechanisms implemented in the library and, globally, for each of the antibiotics. Confidence

intervals for these statistics were calculated using the *epi.tests* function in the *epiR* R package

v1.0-14 (66). Individual or combined AMR mechanisms with a PPV below 15% were discarded

from the library to optimise the overall predictive values. Visual representations of the observed

ranges of MIC values for a particular antibiotic for each of the observed combinations of genetic

AMR mechanisms on the test dataset were used to identify and assess combinations of

mechanisms that have an additive or suppressive effect on AMR. These were included in the

library.

As part of the quality assessment of the AMR library, we ran the 2016 WHO *N. gonorrhoeae*

reference genomes 2016 panel (n=14) through Pathogenwatch and compared the detected list

of genetic AMR mechanisms with the list published in the original study (23). For the WHO U

strain, a discrepancy on a mutation in *parC* was further investigated by mapping the original raw

Illumina data (European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) run accession ERR449479) to the reference

genome assembly (ENA genome accession LT592159.1) and visualized using Artemis (67).

In short-read assemblies, the four copies of the 23S rDNA gene are collapsed into one, thus the

detection of the A2045G and C2597T mutations is dependent on the consensus bases resulting

from the number of mutated copies (57, 60, 68).

Quality check and assembly of public sequencing data

Public *N. gonorrhoeae* genomes with geolocation data were obtained from the ENA in November

2019. This list was complemented by an exhaustive literature search of studies on *N. gonorrhoeae*

genomics without metadata submitted to the ENA but instead made available as supplementary

information in the corresponding publications. Raw paired-end short read data from a list of

12,192 isolates was processed with the GHRU assembly pipeline v1.5.4 (69). This pipeline runs

a Nextflow workflow to quality-check (QC) paired-end short read fastq files before and after

filtering and trimming, assembles the data and quality-checks the resulting assembly. In this

pipeline, QC of short reads was performed using FastQC v0.11.8 (70). Trimming was done with

Trimmomatic v0.38 (71) by cutting bases from the start and end of reads if they were below a

Phred score of 25, trimming using a sliding window of size 4 and cutting once the average quality

within the window fell below a Phred score of 20. Only reads with length above a third of the

original minimum read length were kept for further analyses. After trimming, reads were corrected

using the kmer-based approach implemented in Lighter v1.1.1 (72) with a kmer length of 32 bp

and a maximum number of corrections allowed within a 20 bp window of 1. ConFindr v0.7.2 was

used to assess intra- and inter-species contamination (73). Mash v2.1 (74) was applied to

estimate genome size using a kmer size of 32 bp and Seqtk v1.3 (75) to down sample fastq files

if the depth of coverage was above 100x. Flash v1.2.11 (76) was used to merge reads with a

minimum overlap length of 20 bp and a maximum overlap of 100 bp to facilitate the subsequent

assembly process. SPAdes v3.12 (35) was used for genome assembly with the --careful option

selected to reduce the number of mismatches and short indels with a range of kmer lengths

depending on the minimum read length. The final assemblies were quality-checked using Quast

v5.0.2 (77) and ran through the species identification tool Bactinspector (78). QC conditions were

assessed and summarised using Qualifyr (79).

Fastq files with poor quality in which the trimming step discarded all reads from either one or both

pairs were excluded from the analyses. Assemblies with an N50 below 25,000 bp, a number of

contigs above 300, a total assembly length above 2.5 Mb or a percentage of contamination above

5% were also excluded.

Metadata for public genomes

Geolocation data (mainly country), collection dates (day, month and year when available), ENA

project accession and associated Pubmed ID were obtained from the ENA API for all the genomes

in the pipeline (80). A manual extensive literature search was performed to identify the

publications containing the selected genomes. In order to complete published studies as much

as possible, extra genomes were downloaded and added to the dataset. Metadata for the final

set was completed with the information contained in supplementary tables on the corresponding

publications, including MIC data. Submission date was considered instead of collection date when

the latter was not available, however, this occurred in only a few cases (<0.5%).

Results

Upload and analyse N. gonorrhoeae genome data

Data can be uploaded in the form of assemblies or raw data (fastq format) into Pathogenwatch, which allows users to run different analytics on genomic data simultaneously (Figure 1). If raw data is provided, an assembly is calculated before running the analyses. These analytics include four typing schemes for *N. gonorrhoeae* as well as a genotypic AMR prediction using a customized AMR library that includes known genetic mechanisms of resistance for 8 antimicrobials: ceftriaxone, cefixime, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, spectinomycin, tetracycline, benzylpenicillin and sulfonamides. Statistics on the quality of the assemblies are also provided in the form of matches to the core genome, total genome length, N50, number of contigs, number of non-ATCG bases and GC content (Additional file 2: Figure S1).

Genomes from one or multiple studies can be grouped into collections (Figure 2 and Additional file 2: Figure S2), and the genomic data are automatically processed by comparing with a core *N. gonorrhoeae* genome built from WHO reference strain genomes (16, 23). A phylogenetic tree, inferred using the Neighbour-Joining algorithm on core SNPs, is obtained as a result, representing the genetic relationship among the isolates in the collection. Metadata can be uploaded at the same time as the genome data, and if the collection location coordinates for an isolate are provided, this information is plotted into a map (Additional file 2: Figure S1). If date or year of isolation is also provided, this information is represented in a timeline. The three panels on the main collection layout - the tree, the map and a table or timeline – are functionally integrated so filters and selections made by the user update all of them simultaneously. Users can also easily switch among the metadata and the results of the main analytics: typing, genome assembly statistics, genotypic AMR prediction, AMR-associated SNPs, AMR-associated genes and the timeline (Additional file 2: Figure S1). A video demonstrating the usage and main features of Pathogenwatch is available (81).

Upload genomes
- Drag and drop new assemblies (fasta) or reads (fastq) to upload.
- After upload, typing and AMR modules are run automatically.

Browse genomes
- List of public and private genomes with species identification, MLST, country and date information.
- Map and assembly statistics.
- Group genomes into collections.

Explore collection
- Collection tree of selected genomes.
- Map and timeline.
- Metadata table.
- Typing: MLST, NG-STAR, NG-MAST.
- AMR: Antibiotics, Genes, SNPs.

Contextualise genomes
- Contextualise new genomes with other public or private genomes.
- Over 12,000 public genomes from 28 studies available.

View genome reports
Individual genome reports containing:
- Species identification and metadata.
- Typing: MLST, NG-STAR, NG-MAST.
- AMR: genetic determinants/prediction.
- cgMLST-based clustering.

Find close genomes
- Find close genomes in Pathogenwatch based on cgMLST allele differences.

Figure 1. Main workflow in Pathogenwatch. New genomes can be uploaded and combined with public data for
contextualisation. The collection view allows data exploration through a combined phylogenetic tree, a map, a timeline
and the metadata table, which can be switched to show typing information (Multi-Locus Sequence Typing, MLST; *N.*
gonorrhoeae Sequence Typing for Antimicrobial Resistance, NG-STAR; and *N. gonorrhoeae* Multi-Antigen Sequence
Typing, NG-MAST) as well as known genetic AMR mechanisms for eight antibiotics. Genome reports summarise the
metadata, typing and AMR marker results for individual isolates and allow finding other close genomes in
Pathogenwatch based on core genome MLST (cgMLST). SNPs: single nucleotide polymorphisms.

Figure 2. Main display of a Pathogenwatch collection, showing a phylogenetic tree, a map and a table of SNPs associated to AMR of 395 *N. gonorrhoeae* genomes from a global study (58, 82). Isolates carrying three mosaic *penA* marker mutations are marked in red in the tree and the map. The table can be switched to show the metadata, a timeline, typing results (Multi-Locus Sequence Typing, MLST; *N. gonorrhoeae* Sequence Typing for Antimicrobial Resistance, NG-STAR and *N. gonorrhoeae* Multi-Antigen Sequence Typing, NG-MAST) as well as AMR analytics (known genetic mechanisms and genotypic AMR prediction) implemented in the platform. Further detail is shown in Additional file 2: Figure S1

Sequence typing schemes: cgMLST, MLST, NG-MAST and NG-STAR

Pathogenwatch implements four sequence typing schemes for *N. gonorrhoeae*: cgMLST (41),

MLST (40), NG-MAST (44) and NG-STAR (46) (Table 1). Each of the schemes is based on a

group of loci for which individual allele numbers are assigned relying on an existing database of

allele sequences. A unique ST is generated from the combination of allele numbers to represent

each isolate. The cgMLST scheme includes 1,649 loci from the *N. gonorrhoeae* cgMLST v1.0

scheme in PubMLST (43) and it is used for clustering individual genomes with others in the

database based on allele differences (Additional file 2: Figure S3). The MLST scheme, also

hosted in PubMLST, includes 7 housekeeping genes and gene fragments more conserved and

slowly evolving in the *Neisseria* genus. NG-MAST includes internal fragments from two highly

polymorphic and rapidly evolving outer membrane protein genes, *porB* and *tbpB*. NG-STAR was

developed more recently with the aim of standardizing the nomenclature associated with AMR

determinants as well as having a typing scheme that would distinguish among lineages with different AMR mechanisms. It includes 7 genes associated with resistance to β-lactams, macrolides and fluoroquinolones (Table 1).

Table 1. *N. gonorrhoeae* sequence typing schemes implemented in Pathogenwatch.

Typing scheme*	Loci (number)	Note	Pathogenwatch implementation	References
cgMLST	(N=1,649)	*N. gonorrhoeae* cgMLST v1.0	Typing algorithm, database from PubMLST	(41-43, 83)
MLST	*abcZ, adk, aroE, fumC, gdh, pdhC, pgm* (N=7)	Housekeeping genes in *Neisseria* spp.	In-house MLST tool, database from PubMLST	(40, 42, 43, 83)
NG-MAST	*porB, tbpB* (N=2)	Genes encoding highly-variable membrane proteins	NG-MASTER, database from NG-MAST website	(44, 45, 48)
NG-STAR	*penA, mtrR, porB, ponA, gyrA, parC, 23S rDNA* (N=7)	Genes involved in antimicrobial resistance	In-house MLST tool, database from NG-STAR website	(46, 47, 83)

* Typing scheme: cgMLST = core genome Multi-Locus Sequence Typing, MLST = Multi-Locus Sequence Typing, NG-MAST = *N. gonorrhoeae* Multi-Antigen Sequence Typing, NG-STAR = *N. gonorrhoeae* Sequence Typing for Antimicrobial Resistance.

Library of genetic AMR mechanisms: test and validation

We compiled described genetic AMR mechanisms previously reported for *N. gonorrhoeae* up to the writing of this manuscript into the AMR library in Pathogenwatch (Table 2).

Table 2. List of *N. gonorrhoeae* genetic antimicrobial resistance (AMR) determinants in Pathogenwatch. References that report evidence of association of each mechanism to AMR in clinical isolates and/or where their role on AMR has been confirmed in the laboratory through, i.e. transformation experiments, are included in the table. Effect: R = Resistance, I = Intermediate resistance (decreased susceptibility), A = Additive effect, N = Negative effect. R and I follow the EUCAST clinical breakpoints except for azithromycin, for which the epidemiological cut-off (ECOFF) is reported and used instead.

Antibiotic (MIC breakpoint mg/L)	Genetic AMR determinants	Effect	Evidence (References)
Azithromycin (R: MIC>1, ECOFF)	*23S* rDNA 2045A>G substitution (2059A>G in *E. coli*)	R	(68)
	23S rDNA 2597C>T substitution (2611C>T in *E. coli*)	R	(84)
	ermA, ermB, ermC, ermF genes	R	(85, 86)
	ereA, ereB genes	R	(23)
	mefA gene	R	(86, 87)
	macAB promoter -48G>T substitution*	R	(88)
	mtr mosaic**		
	N. meningitidis-like mosaic (n=1)	R	(24)
	N. lactamica-like mosaic (n=2)	R	(24)
	mtrD mosaic**		
	N. meningitidis-like mosaic (n=1)	R	(24)
	N. lactamica-like mosaic (n=2)	R	(24)
	mtrR promoter -57delA*	A	(89, 90)
	mtrR G45D	A	(91, 92)
	mtrC loss-of-function	N	(27)
	rplV ARAK tandem duplication (position 90)	R	(19)
	rplV KGPSLK tandem duplication (position 83)	R	(19)
	rplD G70D	A	(26)
Ceftriaxone*** (R: MIC>0.125)	*penA* mosaic (A311V, I312M, V316P/T, T483S and G545S)	R	(93-95)
	penA V316P, T483S, A501P/V, G542S	R	(93, 94)
	rpoB P157L, G158V, R201H	R	(22)
	rpoD D92-95 deletion, E98K	I	(22)
Cefixime*** (R: MIC>0.125)	*mtrR* G45D	A	(91, 92)
	penA mosaic (I312M, V316T, G545S)	R	(93-95)
	penA mosaic (A311V, I312M, V316P/T, T483S and G545S)	R	(93-95)
	penA V316P, T483S, A501P	I	(93, 94)
	rpoB P157L, G158V, R201H	I	(22)
	rpoD D92-95 deletion, E98K	I	(22)
Ciprofloxacin (I: 0.03<MIC≤0.06; R: MIC>0.06)	*gyrA* S91F, D95A/N	R	(96)
	gyrA D95G	I	(96)
	norM promoter -7A>G, -104C>T substitutions*	I	(97)
	parC D86N, S87R	R	(96)
	parC S87I/N, S88P, E91K	I	(96)
	parE G410V	I	(98)
Tetracycline**** (I: 0.5<MIC≤1; R: MIC>1)	*mtrR* A39T, G45D	A	(91, 92)
	mtrR loss-of-function	I	(23)
	mtrR promoter -56A>C substitution, -57delA deletion*	I	(24, 89, 90)
	mtrR promoter -131G>A (*mtrC* -120G>A substitution, *mtr120*)*	I	(91)
	rpsJ V57M	I	(99)
	tetM gene	R	(100)

Penicillins (I: 0.06<MIC≤1; R: MIC>1)	*blaTEM* gene	R	(101)
	mtrR G45D	I	(91, 92)
	mtrR A39T	A	(91)
	mtrR loss-of-function	I	(23)
	mtrR promoter -56A>C, -57delA*	I	(24, 90)
	mtrR promoter -131G>A (*mtrC* -120G>A substitution, *mtr120*)*	I	(91)
	penA I312M, V316P/T, ins346D, T483S, A501P/T/V, G542S, G545S, P551S	I	(93, 94)
	penA mosaic (I312M, V316T, G545S)	A	(93-95)
	ponA1 L421P	I	(102)
	porB1b G120K, A121N/D	I	(103)
Spectinomycin (R: MIC>64)	*16S* rDNA 1184C>T (1192C>T in *E. coli*)	R	(104)
	rpsE T24P	R	(105)
	rpsE V27- deletion, K28E	R/A	(105)
Sulfonamides *****	*folP* R228S	R	(23, 106)

377
*Nomenclature of the mutations on the *macAB, mtrR* and *norM* promoter regions is based on *N. gonorrhoeae* coordinates considering
the distance from the start of the *macAB, mtrR* and *norM* genes, respectively. **Note that mosaics are caused by recombination events,
which can have variable breakpoints with different effects on azithromycin MIC if any. In this version, we have included the three
mosaics described by Wadsworth *et al.* (24), but the list will be expanded as new mosaic *mtr* (intergenic region between *mtrR* and
mtrC) and *mtrD* alleles having an effect on azithromycin MICs are published. ***The list of genetic AMR mechanisms for the ESCs
ceftriaxone and cefixime do not include all known *porB1b* or *mtrR*-associated variants as their effect was found not to be relevant in
increasing MIC on the benchmark analyses for phenotypic AMR prediction purposes despite the experimental evidence reported in
Zhao *et al.* (107). In case of strains carrying *penA*-associated mutations, their immediate predicted phenotype is that of those carrying
penA-associated variants. ****The list of genetic AMR mechanisms for tetracycline does not include *porB1b* mutations as their effect
was found not to be relevant in increasing MIC on the benchmark analyses for phenotypic AMR prediction purposes. *****Sulfonamides
are not a treatment alternative for gonorrhoea, however the *folP* R228S mutation is kept in this version of the library for surveillance
purposes.

This list was benchmarked using a test dataset of 3,987 *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates from 13 different

studies containing MIC information for at least part of the following six antibiotics: ceftriaxone,

cefixime, azithromycin, ciprofloxacin, benzylpenicillin and tetracycline (Additional file 1: Table S1).

EUCAST clinical breakpoints were applied for five of the antimicrobials except for azithromycin,

for which the adoption of an ECOFF>1 mg/L is now recommended to distinguish isolates with

azithromycin resistance determinants, instead of a clinical resistance breakpoint (108, 109). A

visualization of the range of MICs on each particular combination of genetic AMR mechanisms

observed on the isolates from the benchmark test dataset (Figure 3a-b and Additional file 2:

Figures S4-S9) revealed combinations that show an additive effect on AMR. These combinations

were included in the AMR library to improve the accuracy of the genotypic prediction. For

example, *rpsJ* V57M and some *mtrR*-associated mutations individually cause decreased

susceptibility or intermediate resistance to tetracycline (MICs between 0.5-1 mg/L), however, a

combination of these variants can increase MICs above the EUCAST resistance breakpoint for

tetracycline (MICs>1 mg/L) (Additional file 2: Figure S8). This is the case of the combination of

rpsJ V57M with the *mtrR* promoter -57delA mutation (N=681 isolates, 94.9% positive predictive

value, PPV) or with *mtrR* promoter -57delA and *mtrR* G45D (N=83 isolates, 93.9% PPV). Several

combinations of *penA, ponA1, mtrR* and *porB1b* mutations were observed to be able to increase

the benzylpenicillin MIC above the resistant threshold in most of the cases (Additional file 2: Figure

S9). This is the case of the *porB1b* mutations combined with *mtrR* A39T (N=31 isolates, 100%

PPV), with the *mtrR* promoter -57delA deletion (N=286 isolates, 96.5% PPV) or with *mtrR*

promoter -57delA and *ponA1* L421P (N=269 isolates, 96.3%). Despite mosaic *penA* not being a

main driver of resistance to penicillins, a combination of the *porB1b* mutations with the three main

mosaic *penA* mutations (G545S, I312M and V316T) was also observed to produce a resistant

phenotype in all cases (N=17 isolates, 100% PPV). A recent publication showed that loss-of-

function mutations in *mtrC* increased susceptibility to azithromycin and are associated with

isolates from the cervical environment (27). We included the presence of a disrupted *mtrC* as a

modifier of antimicrobial susceptibility in the presence of an *mtr* mosaic, as it did not show a

significant effect in the presence of 23S rDNA A2045G and C2597T mutations.

Figure 3. Distribution of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values (mg/L) for the last-line antibiotics for *N. gonorrhoeae* azithromycin (a) and ceftriaxone (b) in a collection of 3,987 *N. gonorrhoeae* isolates with different combinations of genetic antimicrobial resistance (AMR) mechanisms. Only combinations observed in at least 5 isolates are shown (see Additional file 2: Figure S4-S9 for expanded plots for six antibiotics). Dashed horizontal lines on the violin plots mark the EUCAST epidemiological cut-off (ECOFF) for azithromycin and EUCAST clinical breakpoint for ceftriaxone. Point colours inside violins represent the genotypic AMR prediction by Pathogenwatch on each combination of mechanisms (indicated by black circles connected vertically; horizontal thick grey lines connect combinations of mechanisms that share an individual determinant). Barplots on the top show the abundance of isolates with each combination of mechanisms. Bar colours represent the differences between the predicted and the observed SIR (i.e. red for a predicted susceptible mechanism when the observed phenotype is resistant). (c) Radar plots comparing the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values (PPV/NPV) for six antibiotics for the test and validation benchmark analyses. AZM = Azithromycin, CFM = Cefixime, CIP = Ciprofloxacin, CRO = Ceftriaxone, PEN = Benzylpenicillin, TET = Tetracycline.

Results from the benchmark (Additional file 1: Table S2) show sensitivity values (true positive rates, TP/(TP+FN); TP=True Positives, FN=False Negatives) above 96% for tetracycline (99.2%), benzylpenicillin (98.1%), ciprofloxacin (97.1%) and cefixime (96.1%), followed by azithromycin (71.6%) and ceftriaxone (33.3%). These results reflect the complexity of the resistance

mechanisms for azithromycin and ceftriaxone, where the known genetic determinants explain only part of the antimicrobial susceptibility. However, specificity values (true negative rates, TN/(TN+FP); TN=True Negatives, FP=False Positives) for these two antibiotics as well as ciprofloxacin were above 99% (Additional file 1: Table S2), demonstrating that the genetic mechanisms included in the database have a role in AMR. The specificity value for cefixime was lower but nearly 90%, mainly due to the high number of isolates with an MIC below the threshold but with three mutations characterising a mosaic *penA* allele (G545S, I312M and V316T, TP=367, TN=323, PPV=53.2%; Additional file 1: Table S3). Benzylpenicillin and tetracycline showed specificity values of 77.3% and 61.3%, respectively. In the first case, all the mechanisms included in the library showed a PPV value above 94%. For tetracycline, a considerable number of false positive results are mainly caused by the presence of *rpsJ* V57M, for which PPV=83.8% (TP=1083, FP=209; Additional file 1: Table S3). However, this mutation was kept in the AMR library because it can cause intermediate resistance to tetracycline on its own (Additional file 2: Figure S8).

Results from the benchmark analysis on the 3,987-isolates dataset were used to curate and optimize the AMR library. Thus, in order to objectively validate it, the benchmark analysis was also run on a combination of three different collections (N=1,607, Additional file 1: Table S1) with available MIC information for seven antibiotics including spectinomycin (Additional file 1: Table S4) (63, 64, 110). Results from the test and validation benchmark runs were compared, showing that sensitivity values on the six overlapping antibiotics were very similar, with the validation set performing even better for azithromycin and ceftriaxone (Figure 3c). In terms of specificity, both datasets performed equally well for all antibiotics except for benzylpenicillin, in which specificity drops in the validation dataset. This is due to the *penA*_ins346D mutation (TP=1125, FP=83) and the *blaTEM* genes (TP=525, FP=36), which despite showing false positives, have a PPV above 93% (Additional file 1: Table S4). In general, discrepancies found between the test and the validation datasets can be explained by particular mechanisms that on their own show high

predictive values and affect antibiotics for which we do not currently understand all the factors

involved in resistance, such as azithromycin and the ESCs (Additional file 1: Table S4).

An additional quality assessment of the AMR library was performed using the 14 *N. gonorrhoeae*

reference genomes from the WHO 2016 panel (23), which were uploaded into Pathogenwatch.

All the genetic AMR determinants described as present in these isolates and implemented in the

Pathogenwatch AMR library were obtained as a result (Additional file 1: Table S5). Only one

discrepancy was found when compared to the original publication. The WHO U strain was

reported as carrying a *parC* S87W mutation. However, mapping the original Illumina data from

this isolate with the final genome assembly revealed that this strain carries a wild type allele

(Additional file 2: Figure S10). MLST and NG-MAST types were the same as those reported in

the original publication (note that NG-STAR was not available at that time) and the *porA* mutant

gene was found in WHO U as previously described. This mutant *porA* has nearly a 95% nucleotide

identity to *N. meningitidis* and 89% to *N. gonorrhoeae*, and it is included as screening because it

has previously been shown to cause false negative results in some molecular detection tests for

N. gonorrhoeae (111).

Over 12,000 public genomes available

All *N. gonorrhoeae* short-read sequencing raw data with geolocation data (minimum of country

and preferably also year) and associated to a scientific publication was downloaded from the

ENA. This collection was expanded after an exhaustive literature search on studies that did not

upload geolocation data to the ENA but released as a part of scientific publication(s). Over 12,000

genomes were assessed for sequencing quality data and contamination, assembled using a

common pipeline and thresholds as well as post-assembly quality check (Additional file 3). Data

for 11,461 isolates were successfully assembled and passed all quality cut-offs, providing 12,515

isolates after including the previously-available Euro-GASP 2013 dataset (16). New assemblies

were uploaded and made public on Pathogenwatch, which now constitutes the largest repository

of curated *N. gonorrhoeae* genomic data with associated metadata, typing and AMR information

at the time of submission of this manuscript. Updated data spans 27 different publications (19,

44, 48, 52-55, 57-59, 61-64, 110, 112-125) and is organized into individual collections associated

with the different studies (Additional file 1: Table S6). Available metadata was added for the

genomes from these publications while basic metadata fields were kept for others (country,

year/date and ENA project number).

The *N. gonorrhoeae* public data available on Pathogenwatch spans nearly a century (1928-2018)

and almost 70 different countries (Additional file 2: Figure S11). However, sequencing efforts are

unevenly distributed around the world, and over 90% of the published isolates were isolated in

only 10 countries, headed by the United Kingdom (N=3,476), the United States (N=2,774) and

Australia (N=2,388) (Additional file 1: Table S7, Figure 4). A total of 554 MLST, 1,670 NG-MAST

and 1,769 NG-STAR different STs were found in the whole dataset, from which a considerable

number were new profiles caused by previously undetected alleles or new combinations of known

alleles (N=92 new MLST STs, N=769 new NG-STAR STs and N=2,289 isolates with new NG-

MAST *porB* and/or *tbpB* alleles). These new alleles and profiles were submitted to the

corresponding scheme servers.

Figure 4. Summary of the geolocalization and collection date of 12,515 public *N. gonorrhoeae* genomes in Pathogenwatch. Coloured bars represent the genotypic antimicrobial resistance (AMR) prediction based on the mechanisms included in the library. AZM = Azithromycin, CFM = Cefixime, CIP = Ciprofloxacin, CRO = Ceftriaxone, PEN = Benzylpenicillin, TET = Tetracycline.

Genomic studies are often biased towards AMR isolates, and this is reflected in the most

abundant STs found for the three typing schemes within the public data. Isolates with MLST

ST1901, ST9363 and ST7363, which contain resistance mechanisms to almost every antibiotic

included in the study, represent over 25% of the data (Figure 5). Isolates with MLST ST1901 and

ST7363 are almost always resistant to tetracycline, sulfonamides, benzylpenicillin and

ciprofloxacin and nearly 50% of isolates from these two types harbour resistance mechanisms to

cefixime. Ciprofloxacin resistance is not widespread among ST9363 isolates, in which

azithromycin resistance can approach to nearly 50% of the isolates for this ST (Figure 5). NG-

STAR ST63 (carrying the non-mosaic *penA-2* allele, *penA* A517G and *mtrR* A39T mutations as

described in (47)) is the most represented in the dataset and carries resistance mechanisms to

tetracycline, sulfonamides, and benzylpenicillin, but is largely susceptible to spectinomycin,

ciprofloxacin, the ESCs cefixime and ceftriaxone and azithromycin. NG-STAR ST90 isolates,

conversely, are largely resistant to cefixime, ciprofloxacin and benzylpenicillin as they carry the

key resistance mutations in mosaic *penA-34*, as well as in the *mtrR* promoter, *porB1b*, *ponA*, *gyrA*

and *parC* (as described in (47)). NG-MAST ST1407 is commonly associated with MLST ST1901

and is the second most represented ST in the dataset following NG-MAST ST2992, which mainly

harbours resistance to tetracycline, benzylpenicillin and sulfonamides (Figure 5).

Figure 5. Predicted antimicrobial resistance (AMR) profiles of the top five Multi-Locus Sequence Typing (MLST), *N. gonorrhoeae* Sequence Typing for Antimicrobial Resistance (NG-STAR) and *N. gonorrhoeae* Multi-Antigen Sequence Typing (NG-MAST) types in the *N. gonorrhoeae* public data in Pathogenwatch. The main graph shows the proportion of resistant (including intermediate phenotypes, in red) versus susceptible genomes (in dark blue) from each sequence type (ST) and antibiotic. Bars on the top show the number of isolates from each ST coloured by the number of antibiotics the genomes are predicted to be resistant to.

 Data sharing and privacy

Sequencing data and metadata files uploaded by the user are kept within the user's private

account. Genomes can be grouped into collections and these can be toggled between private

and accessible to collaborators via a URL. Collection URLs include a 12-letter random string to

secure them against brute force searching. Setting a collection to 'off-line mode' allows users to

work in challenging network conditions, which may be beneficial in LMICs – all data are held within

the browser. Users can also integrate private and potentially confidential metadata into the display

without uploading it to the Pathogenwatch servers (locally within the browser on a user's

machine).

Discussion

We present a public health focussed *N. gonorrhoeae* framework within Pathogenwatch, an open

access platform for genomic surveillance supported by an expert group that can be adapted to

any public health or microbiology laboratory. Little bioinformatics expertise is required, and users

can choose to either upload raw short read data or assembled genomes. In both cases, the upload

of high-quality data is encouraged in the form of quality-checked reads and/or quality-checked

assemblies. Recent benchmark analyses show particular recommendations for long-read or

hybrid data (126) as well as short read-only data (35, 127). On upload, several analyses are run

on the genomes, and results for the three main typing schemes (MLST, NG-MAST and NG-STAR)

as well as the detection of genetic determinants of AMR and a prediction of phenotypic resistance

using these mechanisms can be obtained simultaneously. The library of AMR determinants

contained in Pathogenwatch for *N. gonorrhoeae* has been revised and extended to include the

latest mechanisms and epistatic interactions with experimental evidence of decreasing

susceptibility or increasing resistance to at least one of eight antibiotics (Tables 2 and 3). A

benchmark analysis on a test and validation datasets revealed sensitivity and/or specificity values

>90% for most of the tested antibiotics (Additional file 1: Table S2).

The continuous increase in reporting of *N. gonorrhoeae* AMR isolates worldwide led to a call for

international collaborative action in 2017 to join efforts towards a global surveillance scheme. This

was part of the WHO global health sector strategy on STIs (2016-2021), which set the goal of

ending STI epidemics as a public health concern by year 2030 (7, 8). Several programmes are

currently in place at different global, regional or national levels to monitor gonorrhoea AMR trends,

emerging resistances and refine treatment guidelines and public health policies. This is the case

of, for example, the WHO Global Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Programme (WHO

GASP) (8), the Euro-GASP in Europe (6, 16, 128), the Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project

(GISP) in the United States (129), the Canadian Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance

Programme (130), the Gonococcal Surveillance Programme (AGSP) in Australia (131) or the

Gonococcal Resistance to Antimicrobials Surveillance Programme (GRASP) in England and

Wales (132). The WHO in collaboration with CDC has recently started an enhanced GASP

(EGASP) (133) in some sentinel countries such as the Philippines and Thailand (134), aimed at

collecting standardized and quality-assured epidemiological, clinical, microbiological and AMR

data. On top of these programs, WHO launched the Global AMR Surveillance System (GLASS)

in 2015 to foster national surveillance systems and enable standardized, comparable and

validated AMR data on priority human bacterial pathogens (135). Efforts are now underway to link

GASP to GLASS. However, gonococcal AMR surveillance is still suboptimal or even lacking in

many locations, especially in LMICs, such as some parts of Asia, Central and Latin America,

Eastern Europe and Africa, which worryingly have the greatest incidence of gonorrhoea (3).

LMICs often have access to antimicrobials without prescription, have limited access to an optimal

treatment, lack the capacity needed to perform a laboratory diagnosis due to limited or non-

existent quality-assured laboratories, microbiological and bioinformatics expertise or training,

insufficient availability and exorbitant prices of some reagents on top of a lack of funding, which

altogether compromises infection control.

High throughput sequencing approaches have proved invaluable over traditional molecular

methods to identify AMR clones of bacterial pathogens, outbreaks, transmission networks and

national and international spread among others (29, 30). Genomic surveillance efforts to capture

the local and international spread of *N. gonorrhoeae* have resulted in several publications within

the last decade involving high throughput sequence data of thousands of isolates from many

locations across the world. The analysis of this data requires expertise, not always completely

available, in bioinformatics, genomics, genetics, AMR, phylogenetics, epidemiology, etc. For

lower-resourced settings, initiatives such as the NIHR Global Health Research Unit, Genomic

Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance (136) are essential to build genomic surveillance

capacity and provide the necessary microbiology and bioinformatics training for quality-assured

genomic surveillance of AMR.

One of the strengths of genomic epidemiology is being able to compare new genomes with

existing data from a broader geographical level, which provides additional information on, i.e. if

new cases are part of a single clonal expansion or multiple introductions from outside a specific

location. Currently, over 12,000 isolates of *N. gonorrhoeae* have been sequenced using high

throughput approaches and publicly deposited on the ENA linked to a scientific publication. We

have quality-checked and assembled these data using a common pipeline and we make it

available through Pathogenwatch, with the aim of representing as much genomic diversity of this

pathogen as possible to serve as background for new analyses. These public genomes are

associated with at least 27 different scientific publications, and have been organized in

Pathogenwatch as individual collections (Additional file 1: Table S6).

In this study, we have gathered an advisory group of *N. gonorrhoeae* experts in different fields

such as AMR, microbiology, genetics, genomics, epidemiology and public health who will consult

and discuss current and future analytics to be included to address the global public health needs

of the community. We suggest this strategy as a role model for other pathogens in this and other

genomic surveillance platforms, so the end user, who may not have full computational experience

in some cases, can be confident that the analytics and databases underlying this tool are

appropriate, and can have access to all the results provided by Pathogenwatch through uploading

the data via a web browser. We are aware that this is a constantly moving field and analytics will

be expanded and updated in the future. These updates will be discussed within an advisory group

to make sure they are useful in the field and the way results are reported is of use to different

profiles (microbiologists, epidemiologists, public health professionals, etc.).

Future analytics that are under discussion include the automatic submission of new MLST, NG-

STAR and NG-MAST STs and alleles to the corresponding servers and the automatic submission

of data to public archives such as the ENA. Including a separate library to automatically screen

targets of potential interest for vaccine design (137-139) as well as targets of new antibiotics on

phase II or III clinical trials (i.e. zoliflodacin (140) or gepotidacin (141)) can also be an interesting

addition to the scheme. Regarding AMR, new methods for phenotypic prediction using genetic

data are continuously being reported (56, 142, 143), especially those based on machine learning

algorithms (144), and will be considered for future versions of the platform.

Conclusions

In summary, we present a genomic surveillance platform adapted to *N. gonorrhoeae*, one of the

main public health priorities compromising the control of AMR infections, where decisions on

existing and updated databases and analytics as well as how results are reported will be

discussed with an advisory board of experts in different public health areas. This will allow

scientists from both higher or lower resourced settings with different capacities regarding high

throughput sequencing, bioinformatics and data interpretation, to be able to use a reproducible

and quality-assured platform where analyse and contextualise genomic data resulting from the

investigation of treatment failures, outbreaks, transmission chains and networks at different

regional scales. This open access and reproducible platform constitutes one step further into an

international collaborative effort where countries can keep ownership of their data in line with

national STI and AMR surveillance and control programs while aligning with global strategies for

a joint action towards battling AMR *N. gonorrhoeae*.

List of abbreviations

AGSP: Australian Gonococcal Surveillance Programme

AMR: Antimicrobial Resistance

AZM: Azithromycin

CDC: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

CFM: Cefixime

cgMLST: Core Genome Multi-Locus Sequence Typing

CIP: Ciprofloxacin

CRO: Ceftriaxone

ECOFF: Epidemiological Cut-Off

EGASP: Enhanced Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Programme

ENA: European Nucleotide Archive

ESCs: Extended Spectrum Cephalosporins

EUCAST: European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Euro-GASP: European Global Antimicrobial Surveillance Programme

FN: False Negative

FP: False Positive

GASP: Global Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Programme

GISP: Gonococcal Isolate Surveillance Project

GRASP: Gonococcal Resistance to Antimicrobials Surveillance Programme

HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus

LMICs: Low and Middle-Income Countries

MIC: Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

MLST: Multi-Locus Sequence Typing

NG-MAST: *N. gonorrhoeae* Multi-Antigen Sequence Typing

NG-STAR: *N. gonorrhoeae* Sequence Typing for Antimicrobial Resistance

NPV: Negative Predictive Value

PEN: Benzylpenicillin

PPV: Positive Predictive Value

SNPs: Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms

ST: Sequence Type

STI: Sexually-Transmitted Infection

TET: Tetracycline

TN: True Negative

TP: True Positive

UK: United Kingdom

WGS: Whole Genome Sequencing

WHO: World Health Organization

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The assemblies included in the current version of the *N. gonorrhoeae* Pathogenwatch scheme

and used for the AMR benchmark analyses were generated from raw sequencing data stored in

the ENA. Project accession numbers are included in Additional File 1: Tables S1 and S6. The

generated assemblies can be downloaded from Pathogenwatch. The AMR library can be

accessed from: https://gitlab.com/cgps/pathogenwatch/amr-libraries/-/blob/master/485.toml. The

code to reproduce the figures and analyses in this manuscript can be found in

https://gitlab.com/cgps/pathogenwatch/publications.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

Pathogenwatch is developed with support from Li Ka Shing Foundation (Big Data Institute,

University of Oxford) and Wellcome (099202). LSB and DMA are supported by the Li Ka Shing

Foundation (Big Data Institute, University of Oxford) and the Centre for Genomic Pathogen

Surveillance (CGPS, http://pathogensurveillance.net). DMA and SA are supported by the National

Institute for Health Research (UK) Global Health Research Unit on Genomic Surveillance of AMR

(16_136_111). The department of MJC receives funding from the European Centre for Disease

Prevention and Control and the National Institute for Health Research (Health Protection

Research Unit) for gonococcal whole-genome sequencing. YHG was supported by the NIH/NIAID

grant R01 AI132606. KCM is supported by the NSF GRFP grant number DGE1745303. TDM is

supported by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases at the National Institutes of

Health [1 F32 AI145157-01]. WMS is a recipient of a Senior Research Career Scientist Award

from the Biomedical Laboratory Research and Development Service of the Department of

Veterans. Work on antibiotic resistance in his laboratory is supported by NIH grants R37 AI-

021150 and R01 AI-147609. The content of this article is solely the responsibility of the authors

and does not necessarily represent the official views of the Department of Veterans Affairs, The

National Institutes of Health or the United States Government. The findings and conclusions in

this article are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official position of the

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The WHO Collaborating Centre for Gonorrhoea and

other STIs directed by MU receives funding from the European Centre for Disease Prevention

and Control and the World Health Organization. This publication made use of the Neisseria Multi-

Locus Sequence Typing website (https://pubmlst.org/neisseria/) sited at the University of Oxford

(43) and funded by Wellcome and European Union.

Authors' contributions

DMA conceived the Pathogenwatch application. CY, RG, KA, BT, AU and DMA developed the

Pathogenwatch application. LSB and DMA contributed to the conception and design of the work.

CY and LSB generated, updated and benchmarked the *N. gonorrhoeae* AMR library. BT, CY, AU

and LSB obtained, quality-checked and reassembled the raw data from the ENA. LSB revised the

assembled data, obtained all metadata available from the corresponding scientific publications

and created collections. LSB drafted the manuscript. LSB, DMA, CY, SA, KCM, TDM, MJC, YHG,

IM, BHR, WMS, GS, KT, TW and MU contributed to the acquisition, interpretation and discussion

of the data. LSB, CY and LSB analysed the data. All authors read and approved the final

manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank MJC, YHG, IM, BHR, WMS, GS, KT, TW and MU for their support on the

development of the *N. gonorrhoeae* Pathogenwatch scheme and the creation of the *N.*

gonorrhoeae Pathogenwatch Scientific Steering Group.

References

1.   World Health Organization. Global priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria to guide research, discovery, and development of new antibiotics. http://www.who.int/medicines/publications/WHO-PPL-Short_Summary_25Feb-ET_NM_WHO.pdf. 2017.

2.   Centres for Disease Prevention and Control. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Atlanta, GA. Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, 2019. https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/pdf/threats-report/2019-ar-threats-report-508.pdf. 2019.

3.   Rowley J, Vander Hoorn S, Korenromp E, Low N, Unemo M, Abu-Raddad LJ, et al. Chlamydia, gonorrhoea, trichomoniasis and syphilis: global prevalence and incidence estimates, 2016. Bull World Health Organ. 2019;97(8):548-62P.

4.   World Health Organization. Report on global sexually transmitted infection surveillance 2018. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/277258/9789241565691-eng.pdf?ua=1. 2019.

5.   Unemo M, Shafer WM. Antimicrobial resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae in the 21st century: past, evolution, and future. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2014;27(3):587-613.

6.   Cole MJ, Spiteri G, Town K, Unemo M, Hoffmann S, Chisholm SA, et al. Risk factors for antimicrobial-resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae in Europe. Sex Transm Dis. 2014;41(12):723-9.

7.   Wi T, Lahra MM, Ndowa F, Bala M, Dillon JR, Ramon-Pardo P, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae: Global surveillance and a call for international collaborative action. PLoS Med. 2017;14(7):e1002344.

8.   Unemo M, Lahra MM, Cole M, Galarza P, Ndowa F, Martin I, et al. World Health Organization Global Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Program (WHO GASP): review of new data and evidence to inform international collaborative actions and research efforts. Sex Health. 2019;16(5):412-25.

9.   Fifer H, Natarajan U, Jones L, Alexander S, Hughes G, Golparian D, et al. Failure of Dual Antimicrobial Therapy in Treatment of Gonorrhea. N Engl J Med. 2016;374(25):2504-6.

10.   Eyre DW, Sanderson ND, Lord E, Regisford-Reimmer N, Chau K, Barker L, et al. Gonorrhoea treatment failure caused by a Neisseria gonorrhoeae strain with combined ceftriaxone and high-level azithromycin resistance, England, February 2018. Euro Surveill. 2018;23(27).

11.   Whiley DM, Jennison A, Pearson J, Lahra MM. Genetic characterisation of Neisseria
gonorrhoeae resistant to both ceftriaxone and azithromycin. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018;18(7):717-
8.
12.   Lahra MM, Martin I, Demczuk W, Jennison AV, Lee KI, Nakayama SI, et al. Cooperative
Recognition of Internationally Disseminated Ceftriaxone-Resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae
Strain. Emerg Infect Dis. 2018;24(4).
13.   Fifer H, Saunders J, Soni S, Sadiq ST, FitzGerald M. 2018 UK national guideline for the
management of infection with Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Int J STD AIDS. 2020;31(1):4-15.
14.   Deguchi T, Yasuda M, Asano M, Tada K, Iwata H, Komeda H, et al. DNA gyrase
mutations in quinolone-resistant clinical isolates of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother. 1995;39(2):561-3.
15.   Tanaka M, Takahashi K, Saika T, Kobayashi I, Ueno T, Kumazawa J. Development of
fluoroquinolone resistance and mutations involving GyrA and ParC proteins among Neisseria
gonorrhoeae isolates in Japan. J Urol. 1998;159(6):2215-9.
16.   Harris SR, Cole MJ, Spiteri G, Sanchez-Buso L, Golparian D, Jacobsson S, et al. Public
health surveillance of multidrug-resistant clones of Neisseria gonorrhoeae in Europe: a genomic
survey. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018;18(7):758-68.
17.   Spratt BG. Hybrid penicillin-binding proteins in penicillin-resistant strains of Neisseria
gonorrhoeae. Nature. 1988;332(6160):173-6.
18.   Ohnishi M, Golparian D, Shimuta K, Saika T, Hoshina S, Iwasaku K, et al. Is Neisseria
gonorrhoeae initiating a future era of untreatable gonorrhea?: detailed characterization of the
first strain with high-level resistance to ceftriaxone. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
2011;55(7):3538-45.
19.   Grad YH, Harris SR, Kirkcaldy RD, Green AG, Marks DS, Bentley SD, et al. Genomic
epidemiology of gonococcal resistance to extended spectrum cephalosporins, macrolides, and
fluoroquinolones in the US, 2000-2013. J Infect Dis. 2016;214:1579-87.
20.   Abrams AJ, Kirkcaldy RD, Pettus K, Fox JL, Kubin G, Trees DL. A Case of Decreased
Susceptibility to Ceftriaxone in Neisseria gonorrhoeae in the Absence of a Mosaic Penicillin-
Binding Protein 2 (penA) Allele. Sex Transm Dis. 2017;44(8):492-4.
21.   Lindberg R, Fredlund H, Nicholas R, Unemo M. Neisseria gonorrhoeae isolates with
reduced susceptibility to cefixime and ceftriaxone: association with genetic polymorphisms in
penA, mtrR, porB1b, and ponA. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2007;51(6):2117-22.
22.   Palace SG, Wang Y, Rubin DH, Welsh MA, Mortimer TD, Cole K, et al. RNA polymerase
mutations cause cephalosporin resistance in clinical Neisseria gonorrhoeae isolates. Elife.
2020;9.
23.   Unemo M, Golparian D, Sanchez-Buso L, Grad Y, Jacobsson S, Ohnishi M, et al. The
novel 2016 WHO Neisseria gonorrhoeae reference strains for global quality assurance of
laboratory investigations: phenotypic, genetic and reference genome characterization. J
Antimicrob Chemother. 2016;71(11):3096-108.
24.   Wadsworth CB, Arnold BJ, Sater MRA, Grad YH. Azithromycin Resistance through
Interspecific Acquisition of an Epistasis-Dependent Efflux Pump Component and Transcriptional
Regulator in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. mBio. 2018;9(4).
25.   Rouquette-Loughlin CE, Reimche JL, Balthazar JT, Dhulipala V, Gernert KM, Kersh EN,
et al. Mechanistic Basis for Decreased Antimicrobial Susceptibility in a Clinical Isolate of
Neisseria gonorrhoeae Possessing a Mosaic-Like mtr Efflux Pump Locus. mBio. 2018;9(6).
26.   Ma KC, Mortimer TD, Duckett MA, Hicks AL, Wheeler NE, Sánchez-Busó L, et al.
Increased power from bacterial genome-wide association conditional on known effects identifies
Neisseria gonorrhoeae macrolide resistance mutations in the 50S ribosomal protein L4. bioRxiv.
2020.
27.   Ma KC, Mortimer TD, Hicks AL, Wheeler NE, Sánchez-Busó L, Golparian D, et al.
Increased antibiotic susceptibility in Neisseria gonorrhoeae through adaptation to the cervical
environment. bioRxiv. 2020.
28.   Unemo M, Dillon JA. Review and international recommendation of methods for typing
neisseria gonorrhoeae isolates and their implications for improved knowledge of gonococcal
epidemiology, treatment, and biology. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2011;24(3):447-58.

29.   Loman NJ, Pallen MJ. Twenty years of bacterial genome sequencing. Nat Rev Microbiol.
2015;13(12):787-94.
30.   Balloux F, Bronstad Brynildsrud O, van Dorp L, Shaw LP, Chen H, Harris KA, et al. From
Theory to Practice: Translating Whole-Genome Sequencing (WGS) into the Clinic. Trends
Microbiol. 2018;26(12):1035-48.
31.   Boolchandani M, D'Souza AW, Dantas G. Sequencing-based methods and resources to
study antimicrobial resistance. Nat Rev Genet. 2019;20(6):356-70.
32.   Hendriksen RS, Bortolaia V, Tate H, Tyson GH, Aarestrup FM, McDermott PF. Using
Genomics to Track Global Antimicrobial Resistance. Front Public Health. 2019;7:242.
33.   Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MT, et al. Roary: rapid
large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31(22):3691-3.
34.   Centre for Genomic Pathogen Surveillance. Pathogenwatch technical descriptions. Core
assignment. https://cgps.gitbook.io/pathogenwatch/technical-descriptions/core-genome-
tree/core-assignment. Accessed 30 June 2020.
35.   Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, et al. SPAdes: a
new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol.
2012;19(5):455-77.
36.   Centre for Genomic Pathogen Surveillance. Pathogenwatch technical descriptions. Short
read assembly. https://cgps.gitbook.io/pathogenwatch/technical-descriptions/short-read-
assembly. Accessed 30 June 2020.
37.   Centre for Genomic Pathogen Surveillance. Pathogenwatch technical descriptions.
Speciator. https://cgps.gitbook.io/pathogenwatch/technical-descriptions/species-
assignment/speciator. Accessed 30 June 2020.
38.   Centre for Genomic Pathogen Surveillance. Pathogenwatch technical descriptions. Core
filter. https://cgps.gitbook.io/pathogenwatch/technical-descriptions/core-genome-tree/core-filter.
Accessed 30 June 2020.
39.   Centre for Genomic Pathogen Surveillance. Pathogenwatch technical descriptions. Tree
construction. https://cgps.gitbook.io/pathogenwatch/technical-descriptions/core-genome-
tree/tree-construction. Accessed 30 June 2020.
40.   Bennett JS, Jolley KA, Sparling PF, Saunders NJ, Hart CA, Feavers IM, et al. Species
status of Neisseria gonorrhoeae: evolutionary and epidemiological inferences from multilocus
sequence typing. BMC Biol. 2007;5:35.
41.   Harrison OB, Cole K, Peters J, Cresswell F, Dean G, Eyre DW, et al. Genomic analysis
of urogenital and rectal Neisseria meningitidis isolates reveals encapsulated hyperinvasive
meningococci and coincident multidrug-resistant gonococci. Sex Transm Infect. 2017;93(6):445-
51.
42.   PubMLST. Neisseria Multi-Locus Sequence Typing website.
https://pubmlst.org/neisseria/. Accessed 30 June 2020.
43.   Jolley KA, Bray JE, Maiden MCJ. Open-access bacterial population genomics: BIGSdb
software, the PubMLST.org website and their applications. Wellcome Open Res. 2018;3:124.
44.   Martin IM, Ison CA, Aanensen DM, Fenton KA, Spratt BG. Rapid sequence-based
identification of gonococcal transmission clusters in a large metropolitan area. J Infect Dis.
2004;189(8):1497-505.
45.   NG-MAST. Neisseria gonorrhoeae Multi-Antigen Sequence Typing database.
http://www.ng-mast.net/. Accessed 30 June 2020.
46.   Demczuk W, Sidhu S, Unemo M, Whiley DM, Allen VG, Dillon JR, et al. Neisseria
gonorrhoeae Sequence Typing for Antimicrobial Resistance, a Novel Antimicrobial Resistance
Multilocus Typing Scheme for Tracking Global Dissemination of N. gonorrhoeae Strains. J Clin
Microbiol. 2017;55(5):1454-68.
47.   NG-STAR. Neisseria gonorrhoeae Sequence Typing for Antimicrobial Resistance.
https://ngstar.canada.ca/. Accessed 30 June 2020.
48.   Kwong JC, Gonçalves da Silva A, Dyet K, Williamson DA, Stinear TP, Howden BP, et al.
NGMASTER: in silico Multi-Antigen Sequence Typing for Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Microb
Genom. 2016;2(8):e000076.

49.   Centre for Genomic Pathogen Surveillance. Pathogenwatch technical descriptions.
MLST. https://cgps.gitbook.io/pathogenwatch/technical-descriptions/typing-methods/mlst.
Accessed 30 June 2020.
50.   Centre for Genomic Pathogen Surveillance. Pathogenwatch technical descriptions.
cgMLST clustering. https://cgps.gitbook.io/pathogenwatch/technical-descriptions/cgmlst-
clusters. Accessed 30 June 2020.
51.   Centre for Genomic Pathogen Surveillance. Pathogenwatch technical descriptions.
PAARSNP. https://cgps.gitbook.io/pathogenwatch/technical-descriptions/antimicrobial-
resistance-prediction/paarsnp. Accessed 30 June 2020.
52.   Chisholm SA, Wilson J, Alexander S, Tripodo F, Al-Shahib A, Schaefer U, et al. An
outbreak of high-level azithromycin resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae in England. Sex Transm
Infect. 2015;0:1-3.
53.   Golparian D, Harris SR, Sanchez-Buso L, Hoffmann S, Shafer WM, Bentley SD, et al.
Genomic evolution of Neisseria gonorrhoeae since the preantibiotic era (1928-2013):
antimicrobial use/misuse selects for resistance and drives evolution. BMC Genomics.
2020;21(1):116.
54.   Demczuk W, Lynch T, Martin I, Van Domselaar G, Graham M, Bharat A, et al. Whole-
genome phylogenomic heterogeneity of Neisseria gonorrhoeae isolates with decreased
cephalosporin susceptibility collected in Canada between 1989 and 2013. J Clin Microbiol.
2015;53(1):191-200.
55.   Demczuk W, Martin I, Peterson S, Bharat A, Van Domselaar G, Graham M, et al.
Genomic Epidemiology and Molecular Resistance Mechanisms of Azithromycin-Resistant
Neisseria gonorrhoeae in Canada from 1997 to 2014. J Clin Microbiol. 2016;54(5):1304-13.
56.   Eyre DW, De Silva D, Cole K, Peters J, Cole MJ, Grad YH, et al. WGS to predict
antibiotic MICs for Neisseria gonorrhoeae. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2017;72(7):1937-47.
57.   Fifer H, Cole M, Hughes G, Padfield S, Smolarchuk C, Woodford N, et al. Sustained
transmission of high-level azithromycin-resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae in England: an
observational study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018;18(5):573-81.
58.   Sanchez-Buso L, Golparian D, Corander J, Grad YH, Ohnishi M, Flemming R, et al. The
impact of antimicrobials on gonococcal evolution. Nat Microbiol. 2019.
59.   Grad YH, Kirkcaldy RD, Trees D, Dordel J, Harris SR, Goldstein E, et al. Genomic
epidemiology of Neisseria gonorrhoeae with reduced susceptibility to cefixime in the USA: a
retrospective observational study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2014;14(3):220-6.
60.   Jacobsson S, Golparian D, Cole M, Spiteri G, Martin I, Bergheim T, et al. WGS analysis
and molecular resistance mechanisms of azithromycin-resistant (MIC >2 mg/L) Neisseria
gonorrhoeae isolates in Europe from 2009 to 2014. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2016.
61.   Lee RS, Seemann T, Heffernan H, Kwong JC, Goncalves da Silva A, Carter GP, et al.
Genomic epidemiology and antimicrobial resistance of Neisseria gonorrhoeae in New Zealand.
J Antimicrob Chemother. 2018;73(2):353-64.
62.   Town K, Harris S, Sanchez-Buso L, Cole MJ, Pitt R, Fifer H, et al. Genomic and
Phenotypic Variability in Neisseria gonorrhoeae Antimicrobial Susceptibility, England. Emerg
Infect Dis. 2020;26(3):505-15.
63.   Yahara K, Nakayama SI, Shimuta K, Lee KI, Morita M, Kawahata T, et al. Genomic
surveillance of Neisseria gonorrhoeae to investigate the distribution and evolution of
antimicrobial-resistance determinants and lineages. Microb Genom. 2018;4(8).
64.   Kwong JC, Chow EPF, Stevens K, Stinear TP, Seemann T, Fairley CK, et al. Whole-
genome sequencing reveals transmission of gonococcal antibiotic resistance among men who
have sex with men: an observational study. Sex Transm Infect. 2018;94(2):151-7.
65.   European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Breakpoint tables for
interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 9.0.
https://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_9.0_Brea
kpoint_Tables.pdf. Accessed 30 June 2020.
66.   Stevenson M, Nunes T, Heuer C, Marshall J, Sanchez J, Thornton R, et al. epiR: Tools
for the Analysis of Epidemiological Data. R package version 1.0-14. https://CRAN.R-
project.org/package=epiR. 2020.

67.   Carver T, Harris SR, Berriman M, Parkhill J, McQuillan JA. Artemis: an integrated
platform for visualization and analysis of high-throughput sequence-based experimental data.
Bioinformatics. 2012;28(4):464-9.
68.   Chisholm SA, Dave J, Ison CA. High-level azithromycin resistance occurs in Neisseria
gonorrhoeae as a result of a single point mutation in the 23S rRNA genes. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother. 2010;54(9):3812-6.
69.   Underwood A. Global Health Research Unit (GHRU) assembly pipeline.
https://gitlab.com/cgps/ghru/pipelines/assembly. Accessed 30 June 2020.
70.   Babraham Bioinformatics. FastQC.
https://bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/. Accessed 30 June 2020.
71.   Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(15):2114-20.
72.   Song L, Florea L, Langmead B. Lighter: fast and memory-efficient sequencing error
correction without counting. Genome Biol. 2014;15(11):509.
73.   Low AJ, Koziol AG, Manninger PA, Blais B, Carrillo CD. ConFindr: rapid detection of
intraspecies and cross-species contamination in bacterial whole-genome sequence data. PeerJ.
2019;7:e6995.
74.   Ondov BD, Treangen TJ, Melsted P, Mallonee AB, Bergman NH, Koren S, et al. Mash:
fast genome and metagenome distance estimation using MinHash. Genome Biol.
2016;17(1):132.
75.   Li H. Seqtk. https://github.com/lh3/seqtk. Accessed 30 June 2020.
76.   Magoc T, Salzberg SL. FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome
assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2011;27(21):2957-63.
77.   Gurevich A, Saveliev V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for
genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2013;29(8):1072-5.
78.   Underwood A. Bactinspector. https://gitlab.com/antunderwood/bactinspector. Accessed
30 June 2020.
79.   Underwood A. Qualifyr. https://gitlab.com/cgps/qualifyr. Accessed 30 June 2020.
80.   European Nucleotide Archive API portal. https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/portal/api/. Accessed
30 June 2020.
81.   Sánchez-Busó L. N. gonorrhoeae Pathogenwatch video demo.
https://vimeo.com/434706201. 2020.
82.   Centre for Genomic Pathogen Surveillance. Pathogenwatch collection. Sánchez-Busó et
al. (2019). https://pathogen.watch/collection/9stz0m94hin7-sanchez-buso-et-al-2019.
83.   Page A, Taylor B, Keane J. Multilocus sequence typing by blast from de novo
assemblies against PubMLST. Journal of Open Source Software. 2016;1(8).
84.   Ng LK, Martin I, Liu G, Bryden L. Mutation in 23S rRNA associated with macrolide
resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2002;46(9):3020-5.
85.   Roberts MC, Chung WO, Roe D, Xia M, Marquez C, Borthagaray G, et al. Erythromycin-
resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae and oral commensal Neisseria spp. carry known rRNA
methylase genes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1999;43(6):1367-72.
86.   Cousin S, Jr., Whittington WL, Roberts MC. Acquired macrolide resistance genes in
pathogenic Neisseria spp. isolated between 1940 and 1987. Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
2003;47(12):3877-80.
87.   Luna VA, Cousin S, Jr., Whittington WL, Roberts MC. Identification of the conjugative
mef gene in clinical Acinetobacter junii and Neisseria gonorrhoeae isolates. Antimicrob Agents
Chemother. 2000;44(9):2503-6.
88.   Rouquette-Loughlin CE, Balthazar JT, Shafer WM. Characterization of the MacA-MacB
efflux system in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2005;56(5):856-60.
89.   Veal WL, Nicholas RA, Shafer WM. Overexpression of the MtrC-MtrD-MtrE efflux pump
due to an mtrR mutation is required for chromosomally mediated penicillin resistance in
Neisseria gonorrhoeae. J Bacteriol. 2002;184(20):5619-24.

90.   Cousin SL, Jr., Whittington WL, Roberts MC. Acquired macrolide resistance genes and the 1 bp deletion in the mtrR promoter in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2003;51(1):131-3.

91.   Warner DM, Shafer WM, Jerse AE. Clinically relevant mutations that cause derepression of the Neisseria gonorrhoeae MtrC-MtrD-MtrE Efflux pump system confer different levels of antimicrobial resistance and in vivo fitness. Mol Microbiol. 2008;70(2):462-78.

92.   Shafer WM, Balthazar JT, Hagman KE, Morse SA. Missense mutations that alter the DNA-binding domain of the MtrR protein occur frequently in rectal isolates of Neisseria gonorrhoeae that are resistant to faecal lipids. Microbiology. 1995;141 (Pt 4):907-11.

93.   Tomberg J, Unemo M, Davies C, Nicholas RA. Molecular and structural analysis of mosaic variants of penicillin-binding protein 2 conferring decreased susceptibility to expanded-spectrum cephalosporins in Neisseria gonorrhoeae: role of epistatic mutations. Biochemistry. 2010;49(37):8062-70.

94.   Tomberg J, Unemo M, Ohnishi M, Davies C, Nicholas RA. Identification of amino acids conferring high-level resistance to expanded-spectrum cephalosporins in the penA gene from Neisseria gonorrhoeae strain H041. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(7):3029-36.

95.   Unemo M, Golparian D, Nicholas R, Ohnishi M, Gallay A, Sednaoui P. High-level cefixime- and ceftriaxone-resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae in France: novel penA mosaic allele in a successful international clone causes treatment failure. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012;56(3):1273-80.

96.   Belland RJ, Morrison SG, Ison C, Huang WM. Neisseria gonorrhoeae acquires mutations in analogous regions of gyrA and parC in fluoroquinolone-resistant isolates. Mol Microbiol. 1994;14(2):371-80.

97.   Rouquette-Loughlin C, Dunham SA, Kuhn M, Balthazar JT, Shafer WM. The NorM efflux pump of Neisseria gonorrhoeae and Neisseria meningitidis recognizes antimicrobial cationic compounds. J Bacteriol. 2003;185(3):1101-6.

98.   Lindback E, Rahman M, Jalal S, Wretlind B. Mutations in gyrA, gyrB, parC, and parE in quinolone-resistant strains of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. APMIS. 2002;110(9):651-7.

99.   Hu M, Nandi S, Davies C, Nicholas RA. High-level chromosomally mediated tetracycline resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae results from a point mutation in the rpsJ gene encoding ribosomal protein S10 in combination with the mtrR and penB resistance determinants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49(10):4327-34.

100.   Morse SA, Johnson SR, Biddle JW, Roberts MC. High-level tetracycline resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae is result of acquisition of streptococcal tetM determinant. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1986;30(5):664-70.

101.   Ashford WA, Golash RG, Hemming VG. Penicillinase-producing Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Lancet. 1976;2(7987):657-8.

102.   Ropp PA, Hu M, Olesky M, Nicholas RA. Mutations in ponA, the gene encoding penicillin-binding protein 1, and a novel locus, penC, are required for high-level chromosomally mediated penicillin resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2002;46(3):769-77.

103.   Olesky M, Hobbs M, Nicholas RA. Identification and analysis of amino acid mutations in porin IB that mediate intermediate-level resistance to penicillin and tetracycline in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2002;46(9):2811-20.

104.   Bilgin N, Richter AA, Ehrenberg M, Dahlberg AE, Kurland CG. Ribosomal RNA and protein mutants resistant to spectinomycin. EMBO J. 1990;9(3):735-9.

105.   Unemo M, Golparian D, Skogen V, Olsen AO, Moi H, Syversen G, et al. Neisseria gonorrhoeae strain with high-level resistance to spectinomycin due to a novel resistance mechanism (mutated ribosomal protein S5) verified in Norway. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(2):1057-61.

106.   Fiebelkorn KR, Crawford SA, Jorgensen JH. Mutations in folP associated with elevated sulfonamide MICs for Neisseria meningitidis clinical isolates from five continents. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2005;49(2):536-40.

107.   Zhao S, Duncan M, Tomberg J, Davies C, Unemo M, Nicholas RA. Genetics of
chromosomally mediated intermediate resistance to ceftriaxone and cefixime in Neisseria
gonorrhoeae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2009;53(9):3744-51.
108.   Kersh EN, Allen V, Ransom E, Schmerer M, Cyr S, Workowski K, et al. Rationale for a
Neisseria gonorrhoeae Susceptible-only Interpretive Breakpoint for Azithromycin. Clin Infect Dis.
2020;70(5):798-804.
109.   Cole MJ, Tan W, Fifer H, Brittain C, Duley L, Hepburn T, et al. Gentamicin, azithromycin
and ceftriaxone in the treatment of gonorrhoea: the relationship between antibiotic MIC and
clinical outcome. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2020;75(2):449-57.
110.   Town K, Field N, Harris SR, Sanchez-Buso L, Cole MJ, Pitt R, et al. Phylogenomic
analysis of Neisseria gonorrhoeae transmission to assess sexual mixing and HIV transmission
risk in England: a cross-sectional, observational, whole-genome sequencing study. Lancet
Infect Dis. 2020;20(4):478-86.
111.   Ison CA, Golparian D, Saunders P, Chisholm S, Unemo M. Evolution of Neisseria
gonorrhoeae is a continuing challenge for molecular detection of gonorrhoea: false negative
gonococcal porA mutants are spreading internationally. Sex Transm Infect. 2013;89(3):197-201.
112.   Williamson DA, Chow EPF, Gorrie CL, Seemann T, Ingle DJ, Higgins N, et al. Bridging
of Neisseria gonorrhoeae lineages across sexual networks in the HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis
era. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):3988.
113.   De Silva D, Peters J, Cole K, Cole MJ, Cresswell F, Dean G, et al. Whole-genome
sequencing to determine transmission of Neisseria gonorrhoeae: an observational study. Lancet
Infect Dis. 2016;16(11):1295-303.
114.   Mortimer TD, Pathela P, Crawley A, Rakeman JL, Lin Y, Harris SR, et al. The
distribution and spread of susceptible and resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae across demographic
groups in a major metropolitan center. medRxiv. 2020;2020.04.30.20086413.
115.   Alfsnes K, Eldholm V, Olsen AO, Brynildsrud OB, Bohlin J, Steinbakk M, et al. Genomic
epidemiology and population structure of Neisseria gonorrhoeae in Norway, 2016-2017. Microb
Genom. 2020.
116.   Thomas JC, Seby S, Abrams AJ, Cartee J, Lucking S, Vidyaprakash E, et al. Evidence
of Recent Genomic Evolution in Gonococcal Strains With Decreased Susceptibility to
Cephalosporins or Azithromycin in the United States, 2014-2016. J Infect Dis. 2019;220(2):294-
305.
117.   Schmerer MW, Abrams AJ, Seby S, Thomas JCt, Cartee J, Lucking S, et al. Genomic
Characterization of Neisseria gonorrhoeae Strains from 2016 U.S. Sentinel Surveillance
Displaying Reduced Susceptibility to Azithromycin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2020;64(5).
118.   Lan PT, Golparian D, Ringlander J, Van Hung L, Van Thuong N, Unemo M. Genomic
analysis and antimicrobial resistance of Neisseria gonorrhoeae isolates from Vietnam in 2011
and 2015-16. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2020;75(6):1432-8.
119.   Didelot X, Dordel J, Whittles LK, Collins C, Bilek N, Bishop CJ, et al. Genomic Analysis
and Comparison of Two Gonorrhea Outbreaks. MBio. 2016;7(3).
120.   Osnes MN, Didelot X, de Korne-Elenbaas J, Alfsnes K, Brynildsrud OB, Syversen G, et
al. The sudden emergence of a Neisseria gonorrhoeae strain with reduced susceptibility to
extended-spectrum cephalosporins, Norway. bioRxiv. 2020;2020.02.07.935825.
121.   Cehovin A, Harrison OB, Lewis SB, Ward PN, Ngetsa C, Graham SM, et al.
Identification of Novel Neisseria gonorrhoeae Lineages Harboring Resistance Plasmids in
Coastal Kenya. J Infect Dis. 2018;218(5):801-8.
122.   Buckley C, Forde BM, Trembizki E, Lahra MM, Beatson SA, Whiley DM. Use of whole
genome sequencing to investigate an increase in Neisseria gonorrhoeae infection among
women in urban areas of Australia. Sci Rep. 2018;8(1):1503.
123.   Ryan L, Golparian D, Fennelly N, Rose L, Walsh P, Lawlor B, et al. Antimicrobial
resistance and molecular epidemiology using whole-genome sequencing of Neisseria
gonorrhoeae in Ireland, 2014-2016: focus on extended-spectrum cephalosporins and
azithromycin. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2018;37(9):1661-72.

124.   Wind CM, de Vries E, Schim van der Loeff MF, van Rooijen MS, van Dam AP, Demczuk
WHB, et al. Decreased Azithromycin Susceptibility of Neisseria gonorrhoeae Isolates in Patients
Recently Treated with Azithromycin. Clin Infect Dis. 2017;65(1):37-45.
125.   Ezewudo MN, Joseph SJ, Castillo-Ramirez S, Dean D, Del Rio C, Didelot X, et al.
Population structure of Neisseria gonorrhoeae based on whole genome data and its relationship
with antibiotic resistance. PeerJ. 2015;3:e806.
126.   Wick RR, Holt KE. Benchmarking of long-read assemblers for prokaryote whole genome
sequencing. F1000Res. 2019;8:2138.
127.   Souvorov A, Agarwala R, Lipman DJ. SKESA: strategic k-mer extension for scrupulous
assemblies. Genome Biol. 2018;19(1):153.
128.   Spiteri G, Cole M, Unemo M, Hoffmann S, Ison C, van de Laar M. The European
Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Programme (Euro-GASP)--a sentinel approach in the
European Union (EU)/European Economic Area (EEA). Sex Transm Infect. 2013;89 Suppl
4:iv16-8.
129.   Schwarcz SK, Zenilman JM, Schnell D, Knapp JS, Hook EW, 3rd, Thompson S, et al.
National surveillance of antimicrobial resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. The Gonococcal
Isolate Surveillance Project. JAMA. 1990;264(11):1413-7.
130.   Public Health Agency of Canada National Microbiology Laboratory. National surveillance
of antimicrobial susceptibilities of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Annual summary 2017.
https://www.canada.ca/en/public-health/services/publications/drugs-health-products/national-
surveillance-antimicrobial-susceptibilities-neisseria-gonorrhoeae-annual-summary-2017.html.
2017.
131.   Lahra MM, Enriquez R, George CRR. Australian Gonococcal Surveillance Programme
Annual Report, 2017. Commun Dis Intell (2018). 2019;43.
132.   Paine TC, Fenton KA, Herring A, Turner A, Ison C, Martin I, et al. GRASP: a new
national sentinel surveillance initiative for monitoring gonococcal antimicrobial resistance in
England and Wales. Sex Transm Infect. 2001;77(6):398-401.
133.   Weston EJ, Wi T, Papp J. Strengthening Global Surveillance for Antimicrobial Drug-
Resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae through the Enhanced Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance
Program. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017;23(13).
134.   Sirivongrangson P, Girdthep N, Sukwicha W, Buasakul P, Tongtoyai J, Weston E, et al.
The first year of the global Enhanced Gonococcal Antimicrobial Surveillance Programme
(EGASP) in Bangkok, Thailand, 2015-2016. PLoS One. 2018;13(11):e0206419.
135.   Seale AC, Gordon NC, Islam J, Peacock SJ, Scott JAG. AMR Surveillance in low and
middle-income settings - A roadmap for participation in the Global Antimicrobial Surveillance
System (GLASS). Wellcome Open Res. 2017;2:92.
136.   NIHR-GHRU. Global Health Research Unit, Genomic Surveillance of Antimicrobial
Resistance. https://ghru.pathogensurveillance.net/. Accessed 30 June 2020.
137.   Gottlieb SL, Ndowa F, Hook EW, 3rd, Deal C, Bachmann L, Abu-Raddad L, et al.
Gonococcal vaccines: Public health value and preferred product characteristics; report of a
WHO global stakeholder consultation, January 2019. Vaccine. 2020.
138.   Marjuki H, Topaz N, Joseph SJ, Gernert KM, Kersh EN, Antimicrobial-Resistant
Neisseria gonorrhoeae Working G, et al. Genetic Similarity of Gonococcal Homologs to
Meningococcal Outer Membrane Proteins of Serogroup B Vaccine. mBio. 2019;10(5).
139.   Russell MW, Jerse AE, Gray-Owen SD. Progress Toward a Gonococcal Vaccine: The
Way Forward. Front Immunol. 2019;10:2417.
140.   Taylor SN, Marrazzo J, Batteiger BE, Hook EW, 3rd, Sena AC, Long J, et al. Single-
Dose Zoliflodacin (ETX0914) for Treatment of Urogenital Gonorrhea. N Engl J Med.
2018;379(19):1835-45.
141.   Taylor SN, Morris DH, Avery AK, Workowski KA, Batteiger BE, Tiffany CA, et al.
Gepotidacin for the Treatment of Uncomplicated Urogenital Gonorrhea: A Phase 2,
Randomized, Dose-Ranging, Single-Oral Dose Evaluation. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;67(4):504-12.
142.   Institute of Medicine BoGH, Forum on Microbial Threats. Antibiotic Resistance:
Implications for Global Health and Novel Intervention Strategies: Workshop Summary. The

National Academies Collection: Reports funded by National Institutes of Health. Washington
(DC)2010.
143.   Demczuk W, Martin I, Sawatzky P, Allen V, Lefebvre B, Hoang L, et al. Equations To
Predict Antimicrobial MICs in Neisseria gonorrhoeae Using Molecular Antimicrobial Resistance
Determinants. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2020;64(3).
144.   Hicks AL, Wheeler N, Sanchez-Buso L, Rakeman JL, Harris SR, Grad YH. Evaluation of
parameters affecting performance and reliability of machine learning-based antibiotic
susceptibility testing from whole genome sequencing data. PLoS Comput Biol.
2019;15(9):e1007349.
1142
1143