Phylogenetically conserved peritoneal fibrosis response to an immunologic adjuvant in ray-finned fishes

Running title: Peritoneal fibrosis in fish

Authors: Milan VRTÍLEK[1]*, Daniel I. BOLNICK[2]

Affiliations:

[1]The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Vertebrate Biology, Květná 8, 603 65 Brno, Czech Republic

[2]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, 75 N. Eagleville Road, Unit 3043, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269, USA

*Corresponding author: The Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Vertebrate Biology, Květná 8, 603 65 Brno, Czech Republic; email: vrtilek@ivb.cz

Version: July 7, 2020

Word count: 5073

Tables: 2

Figures: 2

Keywords: Actinopterygii, comparative experiment, immunity, peritoneal fibrosis, stickleback, vaccination

ABSTRACT

Maintenance of body homeostasis and protection from infection are fundamental to survival. While recognition of self and non-self appeared early in the evolution of metazoans, immunity remains one of the fastest evolving traits to keep up with dynamic challenges from parasites. The immune system thus intertwines ancient innate immune pathways with recently evolved adaptive pattern-recognition units. Here, we focus on peritoneal fibrosis, an effective, yet costly, defense to eliminate infection by a specialist tapeworm parasite, *Schistocephalus solidus* (Cestoda), observed in only some populations of threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*, Perciformes). We asked whether stickleback fibrosis is a derived species-specific trait or an ancestral immune response that was widely distributed across ray-finned fish (Actinopterygii). First, we reviewed literature published on fibrosis in fish in general and found that peritoneal fibrosis specifically is very rarely reported in ray-finned fish. Then, we experimentally tested for peritoneal fibrosis with parasite-specific and non-specific immune challenges in deliberately selected species across fish tree of life. Peritoneal injection with a common non-specific vaccination adjuvant (Alum) showed that most of the tested species were capable to develop fibrosis. On the other hand, the species were largely indifferent to the tapeworm antigen homogenate. One specific fish clade – Characidae - did not respond to any of the treatments. We therefore show that despite being rarely reported in the literature, peritoneal fibrosis is a common and deeply conserved fish response to a non-specific immune challenge. We outline directions for further research on mechanisms and evolution of peritoneal fibrosis in fish, and also discuss new perspective on peritoneal fibrotic pathology in human patients.

IMPACT STATEMENT

Immunity is a crucial and rapidly evolving system due to the coevolutionary arms race between pathogens and their hosts. Yet, many key features of the vertebrate immune system are ancient. This apparent contradiction raises a key question: are immune functions widely similar among animals, or rapidly evolving to each populations' needs? To address this question, we used experimental immune challenges to evaluate an immune response (peritoneal fibrosis) in phylogenetically diverse set of fish species. Peritoneal fibrosis can be a major form of pathology in humans as well. In some populations of threespine stickleback, peritoneal fibrosis is induced by cestode infection (or injection of cestode proteins or alum adjuvant), and serves to limit cestode growth. First, we performed a comprehensive literature search and show that peritoneal fibrosis has not been widely documented previously in other fish species. We then experimentally tested the ability of 17 species, drawn from across the fish tree of life, to initiate the peritoneal fibrosis response to artificial immune challenges. Our results show that the peritoneal fibrosis response towards a general immune challenge (Alum adjuvant injection) is an ancestral trait in fish, but has been lost entirely in some clades. In contrast, only few species initiated fibrosis when exposed to protein from a tapeworm specialized to infect stickleback. Our comparative experiment thus brings new insights into the evolution of peritoneal fibrosis in fish, showing that it is a common response to a non-specific cue, which can be employed in responding to species-specific parasites.

INTRODUCTION

The comparative immunology research available to date makes it clear that many of the fastest-evolving and most polymorphic genes in vertebrates are involved in immunity (Litman and Cooper 2007). Most notable is the diversity and evolutionary reshuffling of the genes coding Toll-like receptors (TLR) (Solbakken et al. 2017; Velová et al. 2018), or proteins of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) (Roth et al. 2020). Conversely, the broad outlines of innate immunity are ancient, such as one of the most ancestral immune cytokines, transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), which seems to be conserved across the animal kingdom (Herpin et al. 2004). And yet, even some highly conserved immune genes and processes have been lost or changed past recognition in certain vertebrate clades, such as the loss of MHCII genes in the Atlantic cod (Malmstrøm et al. 2013). This contrast between deep evolutionary conservation, and rapid co-evolutionary dynamics, is puzzling. What features of the immune system are highly conserved, and what are evolutionarily labile? Here, we measure the extent of evolutionary conservation of a key immune phenotype, fibrosis.

Vertebrates possess, in principle, two functionally distinct strategies combining innate and adaptive immunity to cope with infection according to parasite type (Flajnik and Du Pasquier 2004; Allen and Maizels 2011). Type 1 immune response is triggered by fast reproducing pathogens, as microbes, with the aim to quickly eliminate the infection through pro-inflammatory trajectory (Allen and Maizels 2011). On the other hand, type 2 immune response is typically directed to reduce the effect of a multicellular parasite, such as a helminth worm, by containment and encapsulation (Allen and Maizels 2011; Gause et al. 2013). Type 2 immunity largely shares signaling pathways with tissue repair and wound healing (Gause et al. 2013; Thannickal et al. 2014). Perpetual tissue damage and wound healing may, however, result in excessive accumulation of fibrous connective matter called

fibrosis (Wynn and Ramalingam 2012; Thannickal et al. 2014). This fibrosis has been found

to effectively suppress growth of certain parasites, or even lead to parasite death (Weber et al.,

in preparation). However, the benefits of tissue repair and parasite containment can come with

a cost from chronic type 2 immune response during persistent or recurrent infections, which

may develop into serious health issues or even death (Wynn and Ramalingam 2012; Gause et

al. 2013). We used both literature review and experimental immune challenges to document

the evolution of fibrosis across the fish tree of life.

Recent findings on inter-population variation in helminth resistance from threespine

stickleback fish (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) suggest that anti-helminthic fibrosis responses may

be a fast-evolving immune trait (Weber et al. 2017a). Stickleback are originally a marine

species of fish that has only recently invaded freshwater habitats across Northern Hemisphere,

especially in post-glacial coastal areas. These freshwater colonists experience greater risk of

acquiring a tapeworm (*Schistocephalus solidus*, Cestoda), because they feed on freshwater

cyclopoid copepods that are the tapeworm's first host (Barber and Scharsack 2010; Rahn et

al. 2016). When ingested, the tapeworm larva migrates through intestinal wall to peritoneal

cavity of the fish and grows to its final size, often >30% the host's mass (Ritter et al. 2017).

The threespine stickleback is the obligate intermediate host of this specialized parasite. Some

populations of stickleback have evolved a capacity to suppress *S. solidus* growth by

encapsulating it in fibrotic tissue, sometimes leading to successfully killing and eliminating

the parasite (Weber et al. 2017b).

This presumably beneficial form of resistance has costs, however, in greatly suppressing

female gonad development and male reproduction (De Lisle and Bolnick, in preparation;

Weber et al., in preparation). These costs may explain why the intensive peritoneal response

to *S. solidus* has evolved in only some lake populations, and in some geographic regions of

the sticklebacks' range (Weber et al. 2017a). In the other populations, stickleback have apparently adopted a non-fibrotic tolerance response to reproduce despite infection (Weber et al. 2017a). These non-fibrotic populations exhibit active up-regulation of fibrosis-suppression genes in response to cestode infection (Lohman et al. 2017; Fuess et al., in preparation). The ancestral marine populations come very rarely into contact with *S. solidus* which does not hatch in saline water (Barber and Scharsack 2010), and do not exhibit observable fibrosis in the wild or in captivity (D. Bolnick, unpublished data; Hund et al. (2020)). These various marine and freshwater populations have been diverging only since Pleistocene deglaciation (~12,000 years), indicating that their fibrosis response has evolved surprisingly quickly for such a fundamental immune process.

The peritoneal fibrosis can reliably be provoked in both fibrotic and non-fibrotic populations of stickleback by a generalized immune challenge (injection with a non-specific Alum adjuvant) (Hund et al. 2020), while only the resistant populations initiate fibrosis in response to tapeworm protein injection. So, the physiological capacity to initiate fibrosis seems conserved in stickleback and its sensitivity to the tapeworm fast-evolving. We therefore wished to determine whether this peritoneal fibrosis is similarly labile, or conserved across a broader range of fish species. In particular, *S. solidus* is a specialist parasite with complex life cycle that invades fish peritoneal cavity (Barber and Scharsack 2010). Peritoneal fibrosis could be a stickleback-specific immune trait, or may be co-opted from a widely conserved response. Is then peritoneal fibrosis an ancestral character state dating back to the origin of teleosts, or beyond?

To address this question, we begin with a broad literature review of fibrosis in fishes to systematically summarize documented instances for the first time. Then, we present an experimental test of how widely ray-finned fish (Actinopterygii) exhibit peritoneal fibrosis

response. We chose a diverse set of species, deliberately meant to be spread across the phylogenetic tree of Actinopterygii. Drawing on a recent experimental study of fibrosis response in stickleback (Hund et al. 2020), we challenged selected fish species with a generalized immune stimulant (Alum vaccination adjuvant), and with antigens from a specialist helminth parasite (*S. solidus*) that induces peritoneal fibrosis in some populations of threespine stickleback.

Literature review: Peritoneal fibrosis in fish is known but not well documented.

Our first aim was to gather an overview of publication record encompassing peritoneal fibrosis in fish. To do so, we performed two searches using Web of Science database on September 30, 2019. The first search was oriented towards parasite-induced fibrosis in fish with terms: (parasit*) AND (fibro*) AND ((teleost) OR (fish)). The other literature search was focused on fibrosis in fish in general while we tried to avoid articles on human subjects: (fibrosis AND (fish OR teleost) NOT (human)).

We collected 1459 entries in total, of which 1335 articles were retained after double entries removal. We considered an article to be suitable for our study if it was on ray-finned fish (Actinopterygii) and contained information on any signs related to fibrosis, like organ adhesion, spontaneous proliferation of fibroblasts (fibroma, fibrosarcoma), healing fibroplasia (scarification), or encapsulation (of a parasite or an implant, for example), that could be inferred from the title or article abstract. Encapsulation typically meant that an extra-bodily particle was surrounded with a layer of fibroblasts and extracellular matter (e.g., collagen fibers). We then sorted the suitable articles, by going into their main text, according to three criteria - the explicit presence of fibrosis or capsule ("fibrosis only", "capsule only", "both",

"neither"), its topology ("viscera", "other", or "multiple") and assumed cause ("parasite",

"toxicity", "treatment", "tumor", or "unknown"). For topology (location) classification, we

took internal organs related to excretory system, digestive tract, or reproduction (kidney, liver,

gas bladder, gut, gonads, including also peritoneum) as "viscera" and the remaining organs or

tissues, such as gills, skin, muscle, brain, heart, etc. as "other". When tissues of both types

were affected, we labelled article as "multiple". We then grouped articles with respect to the

given cause of the fibrosis-related marks or encapsulation, where "parasite" was for infection

with a uni- or multi-cellular parasite, "toxicity" was when the study monitored known

environmental pollution (e.g., heavy metals), "treatment" was for deliberate manipulation

with fish body or their living conditions (e.g., adding estrogen into water to test the effect on

male physiology), "tumor" was when fibrosis happened spontaneously (e.g., fibrosarcoma)

and "unknown" pooled studies where the cause could not be identified. We extracted fish

species names and sorted them into orders and higher taxonomic categories according to the

recent phylogenetic resolution of the Actinopterygii tree of life by Hughes et al. (2018) and

Rabosky et al. (2018). We then used this dataset to offer an insight into the published

literature on fish fibrosis. Table 1 shows a summary of articles with regard to different fish

phylogenetic groups, the presence of fibrosis and/or encapsulation, its location and cause. For

brevity, we pooled less represented fish orders (with <6 articles) into "other" category and

also grouped articles with species from more orders into "multiple".

Table 1. Overview of the literature search.

185   In the articles we collected, general fibrotic response was documented from a wide

186   array of ray-finned fish (Actinopterygii). We found 375 out of the 1335 articles (i.e. 28%) to

187   be suitable for our study. The most-represented species came from the orders Cypriniformes

(61 [including articles with multiple species]; 16% of relevant articles) and Salmoniformes (51; 14%) (Table 1). Authors identified signs of fibrosis during an autopsy, though some cases were observed from fish integument as well (e.g. capsules of skin parasites). Most of the suitable articles reported parasitism (197; 53%) or treatment (81; 22%) as the cause. Overall, fibrosis was present on its own (175; 47%) or in combination with encapsulation (22; 6%). We recorded, however, also large number of cases of encapsulation without additional signs of fibrosis (116; 31%) (Table 1). This demonstrates that fibrotic pathology is not a widely required side-effect of encapsulation.

The extent of fibrosis was usually described only qualitatively, along with the identity of the affected organs or tissues. Taking articles related to fibrosis (reporting fibrosis alone or in combination with encapsulation, 198 articles), its incidence was mainly confined to visceral organs (152 cases, 77%). Visceral fibrosis was, however, mainly interstitial fibrosis often represented by tissue scarification after damage. We found only 7 articles that were dealing with peritoneal fibrosis specifically. These seven articles are diverse with regard to species taxonomic position and the cause of the fibrosis response (e.g., tapeworm infection, vaccination, radio-transmitter implantation) (Table 1).

From this literature survey, we conclude that fibrosis is known in a number of fish species. Yet, the peritoneal fibrosis is very scarcely reported which limits our ability to draw broader conclusions about its evolutionary history or its function. To reach more systematic understanding of peritoneal fibrosis evolution specifically, we initiated a phylogenetically structured experimental study of ray-finned fish fibrotic response to immune challenges.

METHODS

Species selected for the experiment

To conduct a phylogenetically broad assay of peritoneal fibrosis response in ray-finned fishes (Actinopterygii), we experimentally vaccinated 17 species of fish (Fig. 1, listed in Table 2). These species were chosen to achieve broad phylogenetic diversity, but were restricted to commercially available small fish (body length of 1-4", or 2-10 cm, and live weight 0.2-6.0 g). We obtained most of the fish species from a local ornamental fish reseller. Local trout hatchery donated fingerlings of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). We received eggs of the turquoise killifish (*Nothobranchius furzeri*, population MZCS 222) from a stock retained at the Institute of Vertebrate Biology CAS in Brno, Czech Republic. We hatched and maintained the killifish according to breeding protocol (Polačik et al. 2016) until they reached two months when fully mature. We also included wild-caught threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) that came from two distinct populations (Loch Hosta and Loch a' Bharpa), both originating from North Uist, Scotland, UK, provided by Andrew MacColl (University of Nottingham, UK) and maintained at the University of Connecticut, CT, USA for six months before the experiment.

Table 2. Species selected for the experimental test.

Fish housing

We standardized housing conditions across most of the species. We planned to have 5-7 individuals per species per treatment. We prepared 20-gal (~76 L) tanks with reverse-osmosis (RO) water conditioned to conductivity between 700-800 µS/cm with sea salt (Instant Ocean®). We adjusted salinity for marine species to 35 mg/kg. Each tank contained air driven

sponge filter and heater with temperature set to 25 °C (water temperature ranged between

24.5-26 °C). We also provided a seaweed-like plastic shelter for fish. We fed fish every

morning with frozen bloodworms (Chironomidae), mysis shrimp (Mysidae), or dried sushi

nori seaweed (*Pyropia* sp.) according to species-specific diet requirements. We held the

rainbow trout at water temperature of 12 °C as our standard temperature would be stressful to

them. Similarly, stickleback are sensitive to high temperatures and we therefore kept them in

their original recirculation system at 19 °C and 1900 µS/cm throughout the treatment. All the

other fish were habituated to the common tank setup for at least five days before treatment.

Reagents

We used three different treatments to test the peritoneal fibrosis response across different fish

species: a saline solution control, purified cestode protein, and Alum which is a generalized

stimulant used in immunization vaccines. These treatments are based on a recent experiment

evaluating between-population differences in peritoneal fibrosis in threespine stickleback

(Hund et al. 2020). All three treatments were delivered via peritoneal injection (the site of *S.*

solidus infection).

The control treatment was an injection of 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (20 µL

per 1 g of species average of live weight), which was also the solution for delivering the other

treatments. The second treatment consisted of tapeworm antigen homogenate (abbreviated

TH) suspended in PBS. Hund et al. (2020) showed that injection of 9 mg of TH per 1kg live

fish mass (0.009 mg/g) induced rapid fibrosis only in tapeworm-resistant stickleback

populations. We obtained *S. solidus* tapeworms dissected from wild-caught threespine

stickleback (Gosling Lake, Vancouver Island, BC, Canada). We used two tapeworms to

prepare the homogenate, sonified them in PBS on ice and then centrifuged the suspension at

4000 rt/min at 4 °C for 20 minutes. We assessed overall protein concentration in the upper

fraction of the solution using RED 660^{TM} protein assay (G-Biosciences) measured in

triplicates and then diluted the sample to 0.45 mg/mL. We aimed at injecting 20 µL of the

solution per 1 g of live fish weight and to obtain the desired dose 0.009 mg of tapeworm

protein for 1 g of fish weight (or 9 mg/kg, as in Hund et al. (2020)). We then aliquoted the

homogenate in 0.6-ml Eppendorf tubes and stored at -20 °C for later injections.

The third treatment was a 1% Alum solution (20 µL per 1 g of species average of fish

live weight). Alum promotes activation of innate immune response and is commonly used as

a vaccine adjuvant (Kool et al. 2012). We dissolved 2% AlumVax Phosphate (OZ

Biosciences) in 1:1 with PBS. This concentration of Alum induces peritoneal fibrosis in

marine and freshwater stickleback population irrespective of their tapeworm resistance (Hund

et al. 2020).

Injections

At their arrival to our fish facility (University of Connecticut, CT, USA), we weighed each

fish species on a balance to 0.01 g (Gene Mate GP-600) to estimate total volume of solution

to be injected per individual (based on species average live mass). We injected 20 µL of the

solution per 1 g of average live weight with Ultra-Fine insulin syringes. We always filled

syringes aseptically under laminar flow cabinet 1-2 days before the injections, stored them at

4 °C and used 1 syringe per individual. We injected fish peritoneally through their left flank

after anaesthesia with MS-222 (200 mg/L for up to two minutes). Fish were then allowed to

recover from anaesthesia in a highly aerated water from their tank. We returned them to their

original tank once they were swimming upright, but typically after more than five minutes

after the injection. The different treatment groups were held in separate tanks. All aspects of

the experiment were approved in advance by the University of Connecticut Institutional

Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC Protocol A18-008).

Dissections and scoring fibrosis level

We euthanized fish five days post-injection, using an overdose of MS-222 (500 mg/L, > 5

min). We dissected trout at day 10 of treatment because of the lower temperature in their

tanks, and stickleback on two occasions, at days 5 and 10 of treatment, as lower temperature

slows immune response (Rijkers et al. 1980). We also dissected 1-2 individuals from each

species prior the injections to examine species-specific anatomy, assess the baseline level of

peritoneal fibrosis before injections, and locate their cephalic kidney. We dissected fish

immediately after euthanasia under stereo-microscope, photographed and scored their level of

fibrosis, using an ordinal categorical score. The peritoneal fibrosis score ranged between 0

and 3. Zero represents the absence of noticeable fibrosis, where the internal organs (liver,

intestine, gonads) move freely apart from each other and from the peritoneal wall when

moved with tweezers. Level 1 was for organs adhered together forming an interconnected

conglomerate that moves as a unit. Level 2 was scored when the internal organs also attached

to the peritoneal wall, but it was still possible to free them. Level 3 was the extreme form of

organ adhesion where the peritoneal lining tore apart and remained attached to the organs

after the body cavity had to be forcibly opened. Note that peritoneal fibrosis levels 1, 2, and 3

used here correspond with levels 2, 3, and 4, respectively, used by Hund et al. (2020). For

illustration of the 0-4 scale (Hund et al. 2020) see video at https://youtu.be/yKvcRVCSpWI.

We took a sample of both cephalic kidneys and peritoneal tissue from the left side of fish

body cavity (the injection side) during the dissection for future analysis of gene expression,

stored in RNAlater for future analysis. We also recorded total and dissected weight of the

dead fish (0.001 g, Sartorius Element ELT202), fish sex (where it could be determined), and

the presence of any internal parasites.

Data analysis

We formally tested the interaction between the effect of treatment (PBS, TH, Alum) and

experimental species identity on individual fibrosis score using generalized least squares

(GLS) model (function gls, library "nlme" v.3.1-148, Pinheiro et al. 2018). The response

variable was fibrosis level (ordered integers 0-3) scored from the individual's left flank. We

set treatment, species and their interaction as fixed model effects. We attempted to originally

analyze the ordinal response variable using Cumulative link models (CLM, function clm,

library "ordinal" v.2019.12-10, Christensen 2019), but CLM with treatment–species

interaction failed to converge due to model singularity (e.g., too many groups with zero

variance because all individuals had identical fibrosis scores).

To assess phylogenetic signal in the experimental data, we created a species tree based

on the recent comprehensive phylogeny of ray-finned fishes by Hughes et al. (2018) with

estimated divergence times. We then obtained phylogenetic signal (i.e. the tendency of related

species to show similar response) and ancestral character state for two species "traits": the

maximum level of peritoneal fibrosis in a species and species relative average response in the

positive control (difference between species average fibrosis level in the negative control

(PBS) and species average fibrosis in the positive control (Alum)). We measured

phylogenetic signal with Pagel's λ (function phylosig, with method specification to "lambda",

library "phytools" v.0.7-47 (Revell 2012)). We then estimated ancestral character state with

maximum likelihood approach using function anc.ML (specifying Brownian motion mode of

evolution, library "phytools"). All analyses were performed in R software v. 4.0.1 (R Core

Developmental Team 2019).

RESULTS

The level of fibrosis differed between experimental treatments and across species (GLS,

treatment-species interaction, $F_{34,251}$ = 7.93, P < 0.001) (Fig. 1,2). For most species, we

observed no detectable or low fibrosis in control-injected (PBS) fish as well as in the

tapeworm homogenate (TH) treatment (Fig. 2). However, there were two species, the

common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) and the channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), with variable

individual response both in the PBS control and TH treatment (Fig. 2).

Figure 1. Ancestral state reconstruction of species maximum peritoneal fibrosis.

In contrast to the negative control and TH, the positive control (Alum injection) induced

strong peritoneal fibrosis in most of the species (15 of 17). The response was typically high to

extreme (fibrosis level 2 or 3, Fig. 2). The exceptions were two species of tetras, the Mexican

tetra (*Astyanax mexicanus*) and the bleeding-heart tetra (*Hyphessobrycon erythrostigma*),

which did not respond to any of the treatments (Fig. 2).

Phylogenetic signal for species maximum fibrosis and species relative response to the

positive control (average Alum vs. PBS difference) both appeared to be strong, significantly

different from random evolution (Pagel's λ > 0.987 and P < 0.005, for both traits). Ancestral

state at the base of the ray-finned fishes, i.e. at the divergence between the Senegal bichir

(*Polypterus senegalus*) and the other species from our experiment, was estimated 1.862 for

the species maximum fibrosis (Fig. 1) and 1.325 for species' relative fibrosis response to the

Alum treatment (average difference between Alum vs. PBS).

Figure 2. Peritoneal fibrosis in the experimental fish species.

DISCUSSION

This study represents one of the first comparative experimental assays of the macroevolution

of an immune response. We focused on evaluating the prevalence of peritoneal fibrosis

response across fishes, because this response has been shown to contribute to parasite growth

suppression and elimination in threespine stickleback. We show that published literature

contains little data on peritoneal fibrosis in ray-finned fishes. To fill this gap, we

experimentally tested the prevalence of peritoneal fibrosis in a wide array of species across

the phylogeny of Actinopterygii. Our immune challenge resulted in a variable level of fibrosis

between treatments and among species. Response to homogenate from the stickleback-

specialized tapeworm was weak at best. The positive control treatment (Alum), on the other

hand, provoked strong peritoneal fibrosis in most of the species tested except one specific

lineage – two species of tetras (Characidae, Characiformes). The results therefore suggest

that, despite being rarely observed or reported, peritoneal fibrosis is a phylogenetically

widespread aspect of fish immunity. Ancestral character state reconstruction indicates that the

fibrotic response to the vaccination adjuvant (Alum) is phylogenetically conserved at least to

the origin of ray-finned fishes (Fig. 1), estimated around 380 million years ago (Hughes et al.

2018). However, the fibrotic response is more pronounced in some fish clades, and absent in

others (Characidae).

Lack of peritoneal fibrosis in publications across ray-finned fish

The literature search indicated that fish initiate fibrosis most frequently in response to tissue

injury and/or parasitism. Thus, we can identify two main roles of fibrosis – maintenance of

homeostasis in damaged tissue, and formation of physical barrier around an invader

(encapsulation). Indeed, Gause et al. (2013) proposed an evolutionary hypothesis for the

origin of parasite encapsulation from the ancestral repair response to tissue mechanical

damage. The article collection also contained several cases (almost 1/3 of the articles

mentioning fibrosis or encapsulation), where parasite encapsulation happened without more

wide-spread fibrosis.

Our study was motivated by inter-population variation in threespine sticklebacks'

ability to encapsulate parasitic tapeworm *S. solidus* (Weber et al. 2017b). The inter-population

variation probably stems from an evolutionary trade-off between the benefit of resistance

(early encapsulation of the worm) and the risk of organ adhesion, excessive fibroblast

proliferation, and ultimately partial sterility in the stickleback (Weber et al., in preparation;

De Lisle and Bolnick, in preparation). Previous records on peritoneal fibrosis in threespine

stickleback mostly lacking (but see Hoffman 1975, p.175), although the phenomenon is found

in numerous populations across the species' circumpolar range. This is in line with the

literature search, where we found only very few studies reporting peritoneal fibrosis in fish. In

these few articles, peritoneal fibrosis was associated with serious intrusion of body integrity,

e.g., radio-transmitter implantation (Mangan 1998), vaccination with bacteria (Colquhoun et

al. 1998), or, similarly to the stickleback, tapeworm infection (Abdelsalam et al. 2016).

Apparently, the stress has to be intensive and/or chronic to trigger peritoneal fibrosis. The

question thus remained whether peritoneal fibrosis is that rare and highly specific response

across fish species. We used a phylogenetically informed immune challenge experiment with

selected representatives across the fish tree of life to answer this question.

Peritoneal fibrosis in response to vaccination adjuvant was prevalent in most fish species

We successfully triggered peritoneal fibrosis in most of the fish species tested with the

positive control (Alum). Alum is a commonly used vaccination adjuvant that causes influx of

multiple types of immune cells into the injected region and alerts individual's immune system

(Kool et al. 2012). Yet, the particular mechanism of how Alum promotes vaccination is still

unknown. In the case of peritoneal fibrosis, the Alum crystals may in fact act as an irritating

agent that stimulates the type 2 immune response leading to containment of the body non-self

(Gause et al. 2013). The universal response to the Alum injection demonstrates that the

capacity for peritoneal fibrosis is widely distributed across ray-finned fish phylogeny.

Absence of fish peritoneal fibrosis in the published literature may thus stem from high

specificity (peritoneal cavity invasion), low severity/chronicity of the common stressors, or

the phenomenon may simply have been overlooked as was until recently in stickleback. In

addition, even though *S. solidus* is a species-specific parasite of the threespine stickleback, the

peritoneal fibrosis response itself is not necessarily triggered by a specific antigen (Hund et al.

2020). It rather seems that its expression might be regulated (Lohman et al. 2017; Fuess et al.,

in preparation).

The experimental exceptions

Individual variation. The homogenate prepared from *S. solidus* tapeworm caused peritoneal fibrosis only in two tested species and one population of the threespine stickleback. In common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) and channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), the level of peritoneal fibrosis varied among individuals both in the TH and negative control (PBS) treatments. We recorded similar pattern also in threespine stickleback from Loch Hosta population after 10 days post-injection. The response was comparable between TH and the negative control (PBS). Based on the individual variation and the pre-treatment dissections, it seems like different individuals might be more or less sensitive to the injections in the carp, catfish and Loch Hosta stickleback. Individual variation in these three groups contrasts with the largely uniform response exhibited in each treatment by the remaining species.

General indifference to the TH treatment. Schistocephalus solidus is a parasite specialist of the threespine stickleback. The cue to trigger peritoneal fibrosis in threespine stickleback in response to the tapeworm is probably specific, as shown by some populations that fail to respond to certain genotypes of *S. solidus* (Weber et al. 2017a). Parasite community in North Uist stickleback is relatively rich and includes *S. solidus* (Rahn et al. 2016). The Scottish stickleback were also observed to show peritoneal fibrosis in the wild, with a' Bharpa population having strong response and Hosta population absent (A. MacColl, pers. comm.). The tapeworm protein effective dose used here (9 mg/g of fish live weight) triggered strong fibrosis in a naturally fibrotic lake population from Vancouver Island (Hund et al. 2020), but it is possible that the Scottish stickleback do not recognize tapeworms from western Canada. The specific cue that triggers peritoneal fibrosis in response to the tapeworm infection is unknown, though presumably protein-based, and the work on its identification currently ongoing.

Absence of peritoneal fibrosis response. Our experimental data show that peritoneal

fibrosis is widespread across fish phylogeny, except for two related species – the Mexican

tetra (*Astyanax mexicanus*) and the bleeding-heart tetra (*Hyphessobrycon erythrostigma*),

which did not respond to any of the treatments. The adaptation of some populations of

Mexican tetra to the freshwater cave systems offers an attractive interpretation for the absent

peritoneal response. The underground water habitats are traditionally viewed as poor in

nutrients and local communities, including parasites (Culver and Pipan 2009). Thus, taking

into account the risks associated with the peritoneal fibrosis described in the threespine

stickleback, maintenance of such response could be too costly for the Mexican tetra.

However, the cave environment of wild populations of Mexican tetras does not have to be as

poor as assumed (Simon et al. 2017). More importantly, we provide an evidence that the lack

of the peritoneal fibrosis response might be more general among Characiformes, recording

null response in the South-American bleeding-heart tetra as well. The non-specific immunity

of these two tetra species might therefore differ from the other ray-finned fish, and is a

tempting target for more research. The family Characidae containing the two tetras consists of

over a thousand species widely distributed in fresh waters from Texas, USA to Argentina. It

would be interesting to uncover phylogenetic extent of the absence of peritoneal fibrosis in

more detail, including maybe also African lineages of Characiformes. Interestingly, our

literature search indicates that Characiformes are able to encapsulate parasites and also show

signs of (interstitial) tissue fibrosis (Table 1).

Outlook

One particular avenue for future research would be to understand proximate mechanisms of

peritoneal fibrosis in general. Examining why tetras do not express peritoneal fibrosis even

after Alum peritoneal injection, while other tested species did, could help to better understand

the regulation of the response. The lack of cue recognition does not seem probable as Alum is

a non-specific (general) immune challenge. Is then the absence caused by down- or up-

regulation of specific immune pathways, or their complete absence? This is a particularly

pressing question with potential clinical application. Long-term peritoneal dialysis in human

patients with kidney failure may occasionally lead to peritoneal fibrosis and pathological

encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis (EPS) (Braun et al. 2012). EPS is a rare complication of the

peritoneal dialysis characteristic with thickened peritoneal membrane, bowel obstruction; and

is fatal in majority of the diagnosed cases (Kawanishi and Moriishi 2005). Ray-finned fish

share the main immune domains with human from jawed vertebrate radiation (Litman et al.

2005) and the peritoneal fibrosis appears superficially similar to EPS (fibroblast activation,

fibrin production). Perhaps further research on tetras could offer a new perspective in the

pursuit of the lethal human EPS elimination. Research into fish species that activate fibrosis

in response to specific immune challenges (e.g., *S. solidus*) may help identify molecular

patterns that trigger fibrosis.

Conclusion

As proposed by Gause et al. (2013), fibrosis is probably an ancient trait evolved from wound

healing; the formerly repairing mechanism now suits also coping with endoparasites. We

showed that, despite being rarely reported in the published literature, the potential to develop

peritoneal fibrosis is widespread across fish phylogeny and it can be triggered through a

general treatment (Alum peritoneal injection) in almost all tested fish. The comparative

immunology experiment, such as the one we performed, is particularly powerful and broad

approach to infer historical origin, evolutionary rate of the immune traits, and to identify

interesting atypical lineages (Weber and Agrawal 2012). By investigating those exceptions,

we may consequently focus on documenting genetic mechanisms and adaptive value of

different character states.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank to Katherine R. Lewkowicz, Meghan F. Maciejewski, Lauren E.

Fuess, Amanda K. Hund, Mariah L. Kenney, Foen Peng and Stephen P. De Lisle (members of

the Bolnick Lab, University of Connecticut) for their help throughout the fish experiment and

discussions on peritoneal fibrosis. Comments by Amanda K. Hund, Martin Reichard, Jakub

Žák, Radim Blažek, Markéta Ondračková and Matej Polačik (Institute of Vertebrate Biology,

CAS) helped to improve the manuscript. We are thankful to Quinebaug Valley State Fish

Hatchery (CT, USA) for providing rainbow trout. MV's stay at the University of Connecticut

was supported by Fulbright Commission fellowship for research scholars. The project was

funded by NIH project (NIAID grant 1R01AI123659-01A1) held by DB. The experimental

work was approved by UCONN AICUC, Protocol No. A18-0008.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DB and MV designed the study, MV conducted experimental work, performed data collection

and analysis, MV and DB wrote the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Abdelsalam, M., R. Abdel-Gaber, M. A. Mahmoud, O. A. Mahdy, N. I. M. Khafaga, and M. Warda. 2016. Morphological, molecular and pathological appraisal of *Callitetrarhynchus gracilis* plerocerci (Lacistorhynchidae) infecting Atlantic little tunny (*Euthynnus alletteratus*) in Southeastern Mediterranean. J. Adv. Res. 7:317–326.

Allen, J. E., and R. M. Maizels. 2011. Diversity and dialogue in immunity to helminths. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 11:375–388.

Barber, I., and J. P. Scharsack. 2010. The three-spined stickleback-*Schistocephalus solidus* system: An experimental model for investigating host-parasite interactions in fish. Parasitology 137:411–424.

Braun, N., P. Fritz, C. Ulmer, J. Latus, M. Kimmel, D. Biegger, G. Ott, F. Reimold, K. P. Thon, J. Dippon, S. Segerer, and M. D. Alscher. 2012. Histological criteria for encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis - A standardized approach. PLoS One 7:18–21.

Colquhoun, D. J., E. Skjerve, and T. T. Poppe. 1998. *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, infectious pancreatic necrosis virus and environmental stress as potential factors in the development of vaccine related adhesions in Atlantic Salmon, *Salmo salar* L. J. Fish Dis.

21:355–364.

Christensen, R. H. B. 2019. ordinal - Regression Models for Ordinal Data. R package version

2019.12-10. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ordinal.

Culver, D. C., and T. Pipan. 2009. The Biology of Caves and Other Subterranean Habitats.

Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

De Lisle, S. P., and D. I. Bolnick. Reproductive fitness costs of an immune response in the

wild. In Prep.

Flajnik, M. F., and L. Du Pasquier. 2004. Evolution of innate and adaptive immunity: Can we

draw a line? Trends Immunol. 25:640–644.

Gause, W. C., T. A. Wynn, and J. E. Allen. 2013. Type 2 immunity and wound healing:

Evolutionary refinement of adaptive immunity by helminths. Nat. Rev. Immunol.

13:607–614.

Herpin, A., C. Lelong, and P. Favrel. 2004. Transforming growth factor-β-related proteins:

An ancestral and widespread superfamily of cytokines in metazoans. Dev. Comp.

Immunol. 28:461–485.

Hoffman, G. L. 1975. Lesions due to internal helminths of freshwater fishes, pp. 151-188. in

Ribelin, W. E. and G. Migaki (eds.). The Pathology of Fishes. University of Wisconsin

Press, Madison, WI, USA.

Hughes, L. C., G. Ortí, Y. Huang, Y. Sun, C. C. Baldwin, A. W. Thompson, D. Arcila, R.

Betancur-Rodriguez, C. Li, L. Becker, N. Bellora, X. Zhao, X. Li, M. Wang, C. Fang, B.

Xie, Z. Zhoui, H. Huang, S. Chen, B. Venkatesh, and Q. Shi. 2018. Comprehensive

phylogeny of ray-finned fishes (Actinopterygii) based on transcriptomic and genomic

data. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 115:6249–6254.

Hund, A. K., L. E. Fuess, M. L. Kenney, M. F. Maciejewski, J. M. Marini, K. C. Shim, and D.

I. Bolnick. 2020. Rapid evolution of parasite resistance via improved recognition and

accelerated immune activation and deactivation. bioRxiv 1–35. DOI:

10.1101/2020.07.03.186569.

Kawanishi, H., and M. Moriishi (2005). Epidemiology of encapsulating peritoneal sclerosis in

Japan. Perit. Dial. Int. 25:S14–S18.

Kool, M., K. Fierens, and B. N. Lambrecht. 2012. Alum adjuvant: Some of the tricks of the

oldest adjuvant. J. Med. Microbiol. 61:927–934.

Litman, G. W., J. P. Cannon, and L. J. Dishaw. 2005. Reconstructing immune phylogeny:

New perspectives. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 5:866–879.

Litman, G. W., and M. D. Cooper. 2007. Why study the evolution of immunity? Nat.

Immunol. 8:547–548.

Lohman, B. K., N. C. Steinel, J. N. Weber, and D. I. Bolnick. 2017. Gene expression

contributes to the recent evolution of host resistance in a model host parasite system.

Front. Immunol. 8.

Malmstrøm, M., S. Jentoft, T. F. Gregers, and K. S. Jakobsen. 2013. Unraveling the evolution

of the Atlantic cod's (*Gadus morhua* L.) alternative immune strategy. PLoS One 8:1–9.

Mangan, B. P. 1998. Long-term retention of a radio transmitter by a muskellunge. J. Freshw.

Ecol. 13:485–487.

Pinheiro, J., D. Bates, S. DebRoy, D. Sarkar, and R Core Team. 2018. nlme: Linear and

nonlinear mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-137. https://CRAN.R-

project.org/package=nlme

Polačik, M., R. Blažek, and M. Reichard. 2016. Laboratory breeding of the short-lived annual

killifish *Nothobranchius furzeri*. Nat. Protoc. 11:1396–1413.

R Core Team. 2020. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation

for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/

Rabosky, D. L., J. Chang, P. O. Title, P. F. Cowman, L. Sallan, M. Friedman, K. Kaschner, C.

Garilao, T. J. Near, M. Coll, and M. E. Alfaro. 2018. An inverse latitudinal gradient in

speciation rate for marine fishes. Nature 559:392–395.

Rahn, A. K., E. Eßer, S. Reher, F. Ihlow, A. D. C. MacColl, and T. C. M. Bakker. 2016.

Distribution of common stickleback parasites on North Uist, Scotland, in relation to

ecology and host traits. Zoology 119:395–402.

Revell, L. J. 2012. phytools: An R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other

things). Methods Ecol. Evol. 3:217–223.

Rijkers, G. T., E. M. Frederix-Wolters, and W. B. van Muiswinkel. 1980. The immune system

of cyprinid fish. Kinetics and temperature dependence of antibody-producing cells in

carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). Immunology, 41:91–97.

Ritter, M., M. Kalbe, and T. Henrich. 2017. Virulence in the three-spined stickleback specific

parasite *Schistocephalus solidus* is inherited additively. Exp. Parasitol. 180:133–140.

Roth, O., M. H. Solbakken, O. K. Tørresen, T. Bayer, M. Matschiner, H. T. Baalsrud, S. N. K.

Hoff, M. S. O. Brieuc, D. Haase, R. Hanel, T. B. H. Reusch, and S. Jentoft. 2020.

Evolution of male pregnancy associated with remodeling of canonical vertebrate

immunity in seahorses and pipefishes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 117:201916251.

Simon, V., R. Elleboode, K. Mahé, L. Legendre, P. Ornelas-Garcia, L. Espinasa, and S.

Rétaux. 2017. Comparing growth in surface and cave morphs of the species *Astyanax*

mexicanus: Insights from scales. Evodevo 8:23.

Solbakken, M. H., K. L. Voje, K. S. Jakobsen, and S. Jentoft. 2017. Linking species habitat
and past palaeoclimatic events to evolution of the teleost innate immune system. Proc. R.
Soc. B Biol. Sci. 284.

Thannickal, V. J., Y. Zhou, A. Gaggar, and S. R. Duncan. 2014. Fibrosis: ultimate and
proximate causes. J. Clin. Invest. 124:4673–4677.

Velová, H., M. W. Gutowska-Ding, D. W. Burt, and M. Vinkler. 2018. Toll-like receptor
evolution in birds: Gene duplication, pseudogenization, and diversifying selection. Mol.
Biol. Evol. 35:2170–2184.

Weber, J. N., M. Kalbe, K. C. Shim, N. I. Erin, N. C. Steinel, L. Ma, and D. I. Bolnick.
2017a. Resist globally, infect locally: A transcontinental test of adaptation by stickleback
and their tapeworm parasite. Am. Nat. 189:43–57.

Weber, J. N., N. C. Steinel, K. C. Shim, and D. I. Bolnick. 2017b. Recent evolution of
extreme cestode growth suppression by a vertebrate host. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
114:6575–6580.

Weber, M. G., and A. A. Agrawal. 2012. Phylogeny, ecology, and the coupling of
comparative and experimental approaches. Trends Ecol. Evol. 27:394–403.

Weber, J., N. C. Steinel, K. C. Shim, D. Rennison, F. Peng, S. P. De Lisle, L. E. Fuess, and
D. I. Bolnick. An evolutionary Pyrrhic victory via a costly but effective immune
response to control cestode infection. In Prep.

Wynn, T. A., and T. R. Ramalingam. 2012. Mechanisms of fibrosis: Therapeutic translation
for fibrotic disease. Nat. Med. 18:1028–1040.

622 **TABLES**

623 Table 1. Overview of the literature search for presence of peritoneal fibrosis in fish. Highlighted in gray are records of peritoneal fibrosis

624 (column) and articles from Characiformes (row). Characiformes include the two tetra species that did not respond with peritoneal fibrosis

625 to any of the treatments in the immune challenge experiment.

Higher taxonomic rank	Order	N	Fibrosis or capsule				peritoneal fibrosis	Position			Cause				
			fibrosis only	capsule only	both	neither		viscera	other	multiple	parasite	toxicity	treatment	tumor	unknown
Anabantaria	Anabantiformes	9	6	1	2			4	4	1	4		5		
Carangaria	Pleuronectiformes	18	11	4		3	1	10	8		10	3	1	2	2
Elopomorpha	Anguilliformes	13	6	6	1		1	10	3		10	1	2		
Eupercaria	Centrarchiformes	12	4	5	3			10	1	1	12				
Eupercaria	Moronidae*	7	6	1				4	2	1	3	1	1		2
Eupercaria	Perciformes	19	4	11	1	3		10	9		16		2	1	
Eupercaria	Spariformes	9		5		4		4	5		5			4	
Eupercaria	Sciaenidae*	8	5	3				2	5	1	5		2		1
Eupercaria	Scorpaeniformes	6	3	2		1		3	3		3	1	1	1	
Zeiogadaria	Gadiformes	10	3	7				5	4	1	9		1		
Otophysa	Characiformes	12	2	8		2		7	5		8	1	1	2	
Otophysa	Cypriniformes	56	23	19	5	9		32	24		26	5	17	8	
Otophysa	Siluriformes	26	21	3		2		21	5		11	1	12	2	
Ovalentaria	Cichliformes	11	7	1	1	2		8	3		2	3	4	2	
Ovalentaria	Cyprinodontiformes	12	8	2	1	1		6	6		5	1	6		
Ovalentaria	Mugiliformes	11	1	4		6	1	1	9	1	4		1	6	
Pelagiaria	Scombriformes	9	1	4	2	2	1	2	7		7			2	
Protacanthopterygii	Salmoniformes	50	29	10	3	8	1	31	19		20	2	13	7	8

other		53	26	14	3	10	1	37	13	3	26	6	11	9	1
multiple		24	9	6	1	8	1	13	9	2	11	4	1	7	1
TOTAL		375	175	116	23	61	7	220	144	11	197	29	81	53	15

626   *incertae sedis* – the taxonomic position of the family within the higher taxonomic group is not well resolved

Table 2. Species selected for the experimental test of peritoneal fibrosis response. We show the sample size, N, and average with standard deviation (SD) for live weight before dissection in grams, W_{total}, in each experimental treatment. Note that Species # corresponds to species number in Fig. 1. We also present data for two species that we did not use in the analysis as well as for the stickleback that were kept in the treatment for 10 days ("10d"). The clown feather-back (*Chitala ornata*) were extremely fibrosed (level 3) before the experiment started. The kissing gourami (*Helostoma temminckii*) developed white spot disease during the experiment.

Species #	Common name	Species	Order	Higher taxonomic rank	Control (PBS)		Tapeworm homogenate		Alum		Origin
					N	W_{total}	N	W_{total}	N	W_{total}	
1	Senegal bichir	*Polypterus senegalus*	Polypteriformes	Cladistia	6	3.98(0.75)	6	4.09(0.71)	6	4.09(0.72)	captive-bred
2	common carp	*Cyprinus carpio*	Cypriniformes	Otophysa	7	1.87(0.34)	7	1.72(0.46)	7	1.68(0.33)	captive-bred
3	zebrafish	*Danio rerio*	Cypriniformes	Otophysa	6	0.29(0.04)	7	0.29(0.08)	7	0.28(0.06)	captive-bred
4	channel catfish	*Ictalurus punctatus*	Siluriformes	Otophysa	7	2.24(0.53)	7	2.28(0.62)	7	2.15(0.39)	captive-bred
5	Mexican tetra	*Astyanax mexicanus*	Characiformes	Otophysa	6	0.82(0.17)	6	0.90(0.16)	6	0.91(0.08)	captive-bred
6	bleeding-heart tetra	*Hyphesobrycon erythrostigma*	Characiformes	Otophysa	5	0.97(0.24)	6	0.98(0.36)	6	1.09(0.21)	wild
7	rainbow trout	*Oncorhynchus mykiss*	Salmoniformes	Protacanthopterygii	5	4.53(0.88)	5	4.24(1.03)	5	4.21(1.00)	captive-bred
8	pajama cardinalfish	*Sphaeramia nematoptera*	Kurtiformes	Gobiaria	5	2.22(0.09)	5	2.21(0.45)	5	2.30(0.68)	captive-bred
9	peacock gudgeon	*Tateurndina ocellicauda*	Gobiiformes	Gobiaria	5	0.54(0.09)	4	0.68(0.11)	4	0.57(0.03)	captive-bred
10	green chromis	*Chromis viridis*	Pomacentridae*	Ovalentaria	4	1.37(0.11)	5	1.42(0.39)	5	1.35(0.35)	wild
11	jewelled blenny	*Salarias fasciatus*	Blenniiformes	Ovalentaria	4	1.57(0.54)	5	1.32(0.50)	3	1.68(0.97)	wild
12	turquoise killifish	*Nothobranchius furzeri*	Cyprinodontiformes	Ovalentaria	6	0.79(0.39)	6	0.77(0.38)	5	0.76(0.27)	captive-bred
13	green swordtail	*Xiphophorus hellerii*	Cyprinodontiformes	Ovalentaria	6	1.43(0.28)	6	1.29(0.16)	6	1.47(0.34)	captive-bred
14	Nile tilapia	*Oreochromis niloticus*	Cichliformes	Ovalentaria	7	2.18(0.69)	7	2.07(0.81)	7	1.95(0.70)	captive-bred
15	Hosta stickleback	*Gasterosteus aculeatus*	Perciformes	Eupercaria	6	0.31(0.24)	6	0.28(0.14)	6	0.30(0.16)	wild
	Hosta stickleback (10d)			Eupercaria	6	0.36(0.14)	6	0.38(0.19)	6	0.38(0.17)	wild

15	a' Bharpa stickleback	*Gasterosteus aculeatus*	Perciformes	Eupercaria	6	0.27(0.05)	6	0.29(0.06)	6	0.30(0.13)	wild
	a' Bharpa stickleback (10d)			Eupercaria	5	0.37(0.07)	6	0.33(0.07)	5	0.30(0.03)	wild
16	blue-gill sunfish	*Lepomis macrochirus*	Centrarchiformes	Eupercaria	6	0.85(0.14)	6	0.79(0.18)	6	0.77(0.15)	captive-bred
17	spotted green pufferfish	*Dichotomyctere nigroviridis*	Tetraodontiformes	Eupercaria	3	1.49(0.27)	4	1.40(0.38)	4	1.29(0.36)	captive-bred
x	clown feather-back	*Chitala ornata*	Osteoglossiformes	Osteoglossomorpha	1	4.70	0	-	0	-	captive-bred
x	kissing gourami	*Helostoma temminckii*	Anabantiformes	Anabantaria	5	4.56(0.78)	0	-	1	5.66	captive-bred

633   *incertae sedis* – the taxonomic position of the family within the higher taxonomic group is not well resolved

 FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. Ancestral state reconstruction of species maximum peritoneal fibrosis. The values at

the branching nodes give estimate of the ancestral level of maximum peritoneal fibrosis level.

Tree structure and branch lengths are based on recent reconstruction of phylogeny of ray-

finned fishes (Actinopterygii) (Hughes et al. 2018). The squares of different intensity of

purple color show average level of peritoneal fibrosis per treatment in each tested species.

Treatment abbreviations are PBS: phosphate-buffered saline solution (control), TH: tapeworm

antigen homogenate, A: Alum vaccine adjuvant. Fish species drawings by M. F. Maciejewski

(not to scale).

Figure 2. Peritoneal fibrosis in the experimental fish species. Individual points in the species

plots show recorded level of peritoneal fibrosis scored from their left flank (the side of the

injection). Note that the three-spine stickleback (both populations of *G. aculeatus*) that stayed

in the experiment for 10 days are shown in grey as they did not enter data analysis. For

completeness, we also present data for two unused species in grey - kissing gourami (*H.*

temminckii) and clown feather-back (*Ch. ornata*).

Fibrosis level

Treatment