**1 The rice plastidial phosphorylase participates directly in both sink and source processes**

Kaan Koper[1], Seon-Kap Hwang[1], Magnus Wood[1], Salvinder Singh[2], Asaph Cousins[3], Helmut

Kirchhoff[1], Thomas W. Okita[1, *]

[1] Institute of Biological Chemistry, Washington State University, Pullman WA, 99164, USA

[2] Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat–785013,

Assam, India

[3] School of Biological Sciences, Washington State University, Pullman WA, 99164, USA

[*]Corresponding author

**11 Abstract**

A distinctive structural feature of the higher plant plastidial starch phosphorylase (Pho1)

is a 50 to 82 amino acid long peptide (L50 - L82), which is absent in phosphorylases from non-

plant organisms. To study the function of the rice Pho1 L80 peptide, we complemented a

*pho1⁻* rice mutant (BMF136) with the wildtype Pho1 gene or with a Pho1 gene lacking the L80

region (Pho1ΔL80).  While expression of Pho1 in BMF136 restored normal wildtype phenotype,

the introduction of Pho1ΔL80 enhanced growth rate and plant productivity above wildtype

levels. Mass spectrometry analysis of proteins captured by anti-Pho1 revealed the unexpected

presence of PsaC, the terminal electron acceptor/donor subunit of photosystem I (PSI). This

surprising interaction was substantiated by reciprocal immobilized protein pulldown assays of

seedling extracts and supported by the presence of Pho1 on isolated PSI complexes resolved by

blue native gels. Spectrophotometric studies showed that Pho1ΔL80 plants exhibited modified

PSI and enhanced $CO_2$ assimilation properties.  Collectively, these findings indicate that the

higher plant Pho1 has dual roles as a potential modulator of source and sink processes.

## Introduction

Phosphorylase is a ubiquitous enzyme (EC 2.4.1.1.) present in animals, fungi, protozoa, bacteria, and plants [1]. In animals, yeast and other organisms that use glycogen as a carbohydrate reserve, this enzyme catalyzes the rate-limiting step in degradation of this $\alpha$-1,4-glucan reserve by utilizing inorganic phosphate (Pi) as an acceptor and releasing Glc 1-P for its subsequent metabolism via glycolysis. Over the years, the study of glycogen phosphorylase has been an exquisite model for hormonal regulation of metabolism and, catalytic regulation by allosterism and reversible phosphorylation [2]. These exceptional advancements were recognized by The Nobel Foundation on two separate occasions; the Physiology or Medicine prize was awarded to Carl and Gerti Cori in 1947 [3], and to Edmond Fischer and Edwin Krebs in 1992 [4, 5].

Despite their presence in plants, our understanding of phosphorylase's role in plant metabolism is limited especially when compared to the wealth of knowledge on glycogen phosphorylases. In higher plants, two isoforms of phosphorylase exist: the cytosolic Pho2 (or PhoH) and the plastid-localized Pho1 (PhoL) [6, 7]. These two plant phosphorylases share considerable structural homology to the glycogen phosphorylases, although no evidence to date has shown that the plant enzymes are subjected to regulation by allosterism or reversible phosphorylation. The two enzyme activities have distinct roles in carbohydrate metabolism. The cytosolic Pho2 is essential for the metabolism of maltose, the amylolytic degradation product of starch that is exported from the plastid to the cytosol [8]. Based on the estimated high amounts of intracellular inorganic Pi relative to Glc 1-P levels, the plastidial Pho1 was assumed to be essential for starch turnover similar to the degradative role of glycogen phosphorylase. Such an assumption, however, was not supported by molecular studies where down-regulation of Pho1

activity in the leaves of *Arabidopsis thaliana* [9] and potato [7] had little influence on transitory

starch metabolism, suggesting that this enzyme is not an essential component of leaf starch

metabolism. Some involvement in transitory leaf starch degradation was inferred by the study of

*Arabidopsis thaliana* plant lines containing mutations in Pho1 and a second gene required for

metabolism of maltose, a major product of starch turnover during the night [10].

While Pho1 may be dispensable in starch degradation, substantial evidence is available

that it has a major role in starch biosynthesis.  This is readily evident in developing cereal grains

where Pho1 expression correlates with the synthesis and accumulation of starch [11-16].  Genetic

studies in the green algal *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* [17] and the higher plant rice [11]

demonstrated its substantial contribution in starch biosynthesis. Mutations in the rice Pho1 [11]

or algal PhoB [17]  gene resulted in significantly lower starch accumulation in both organisms,

and the little amount of starch that formed had an abnormal amylopectin structure [11, 17]. In

rice, the influence of Pho1 on the seed starch levels was temperature-dependent [11]. At normal

growth temperature of 28˚C, loss of Pho1 resulted in the formation of seeds ranging in size from

shrunken to pseudonormal/white core, the latter having seed weights of 90% of wildtype.  In

plants grown at 20˚C, however, the majority of the seeds were shrunken or severely shrunken.

These seed phenotypes are consistent with the premise that Pho1 participates in both starch

initiation and maturation of the starch grain. A failure during starch initiation causes the

formation of shrunken seeds, while its absence during starch grain maturation causes a reduction

in the weight of mature seeds.  The temperature dependence of the seed phenotype suggests that

Pho1 is essential for starch initiation at low temperature, but its activity is compensated at normal

temperatures by a factor yet to be identified [11].  In addition to its impact on starch synthesis in

developing seeds, the *pho1*⁻ rice mutant line, BMF136,  matures slower as evident by the smaller

biomass than normal and a delay in flowering and reproduction [11].  Hence, Pho1 activity also

influence plant growth and development in general.

A biosynthetic role for Pho1 is supported by its kinetic properties.  The enzyme exhibits

higher catalytic efficiency in the synthesis direction with equilibrium constants ranging from 13

to 45 depending on type of 1,4-linked α-glucan substrate tested.  The synthetic capability is

readily apparent when the enzyme is incubated with maltohexaose (α1,4-linked $Glc_6$) in the

presence of Pi, conditions favoring degradation [18].  Although the recombinant enzyme

degraded $Glc_6$ to smaller $Glc_4$ and $Glc_5$ products, it readily utilized the released Glc 1-P product

and extended maltohexaose to produce larger malto-oligosaccharides at nearly the same

proportion as the degradation products.

While a biosynthetic role for Pho1 in starch initiation is well established, its exact role

remains unclear. The recombinant barley Pho1 was shown to *de novo* synthesize short-chain α-

glucan chains in the presence of only Glc1P, indicating that the enzyme is capable of self-

priming, *i.e.* does not require an existing α-1,4-linked glucan as a primer [19].  This view was not

supported by a more stringent study by Nakamura *et al.* [20] where the substrate Glc 1-P was

pre-treated with glucoamylase to remove any potential glucan primers [20].   Under these

conditions, the rice Pho1 was incapable of self-priming starch synthesis with maltose ($Glc_2$)

being the smallest primer that Pho1 could extend [20].

A distinctive structural feature of the higher plant Pho1 is the presence of a 50 to 82

amino acid sequence long region (termed L80 in this study) with unknown function located

about in the middle of the primary sequences from various plant species [1]. The L80 region is

unique to the higher plant Pho1.  It is absent in animal and fungi glycogen phosphorylases as

well as in the higher plant Pho2 and the two *Chlamydomonas* phosphorylase enzymes [17].

Molecular modeling of the rice Pho1 indicates that the L80 is an intrinsically disordered peptide,

structurally independent from the rest of the protein.  Consistent with the structural model

prediction, removal of L80 peptide (Pho1ΔL80) has no significant effect on the kinetic properties

of recombinant rice Pho1 and is not required for catalysis [18, 21].

To elucidate the role the Pho1 L80 region in growth processes, we complemented *pho1⁻*

BMF136 with gene sequences containing wildtype Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80. Complementation of

BMF136 with wildtype Pho1 restored grain weights and growth properties to their normal levels.

Surprisingly, expression of Pho1ΔL80 enhanced grain weight and growth properties above

wildtype levels. Protein-protein interaction studies showed that Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 interact

with the PsaC subunit of photosystem I (PSI). While Pho1 plants exhibited PSI properties similar

to wildtype, Pho1ΔL80 (Pho1ΔL80) showed significantly altered PSI properties and enhanced

$CO_2$ assimilation rates. Overall, these results indicate that the higher plant Pho1 has two distinct

roles in starch biosynthesis and, unexpectedly, in modulating PSI activity.

**Results**

*Introduction of Pho1 to BMF136 restores wildtype seed weight while the introduction of*

*Pho1ΔL80 elevates it above wildtype levels*

To gain insight on the function of the non-catalytic L80-peptide domain, the *pho1⁻*

deficient BMF136 rice line was transformed with wildtype Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 gene sequences.

Expression of these two Pho1 cDNA sequences was directed by a near intact, native Pho1

promoter ($P_p$), which was missing a portion of the TC-repeat region located at the 3'promoter

end when compared to that in the sequence database.  These rice DNA constructs also contained

the Rubisco small subunit transit peptide to direct the newly synthesized Pho1 to the plastid.  A

similar pair of Pho1 (A$_p$Pho1) and Pho1ΔL80 (A$_p$Pho1ΔL80) gene sequences were also

constructed with the stronger AGPS2 promoter (A$_p$) **(Figure 1A, Supplementary Figure 1A)**,

**Figure 1:** Expression of Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80 in Pho1-deficient BMF136. (A) Maps (created by SnapGene) of DNA constructs transformed into BMF136. (B) Representative expression levels of Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 in mature seeds of transgenic rice lines. One seed from each plant was crushed and proteins extracted using 200 µL of Urea/SDS extraction buffer. 7.5 µL of the sample was resolved on a 7.5% SDS polyacrylamide gel and then transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane. Anti-Pho1 antibody was used to examine the relative expression levels of Pho1 (105 kDa) and Pho1ΔL80 (95 kDa) for each plant.  Note that BMF 136 appears to express low levels of wildtype Pho1 as well as variant form lacking 56 residues in exon 5.

the latter exhibiting a temporal mRNA expression pattern nearly identical to the Pho1 gene [12].

The amino-terminal part of the Pho1 cDNA was replaced by Pho1 genomic sequence containing

plastid targeting sequence and first intron **(Supplementary Figure 1A)**.

Expression of Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80 in *pho1$^-$* deficient BMF136 and their relative levels in

the parental line BMF136 and wildtype (TC65) were evaluated by immunoblot analysis of

mature seed extracts.  Production of Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80 protein was restored in the BMF136

background, but to different extents (**Figure 1B**). Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 protein levels were lower

in these transgenic rice lines employing the native Pho1 promoter sequences than wildtype, while

expression of $A_p$Pho1and ApPho1$\Delta$L80 rice lines employing the AGPS2 promoter were

comparable to wildtype (**Supplementary Figure 1B**). The reduced Pho1 expression in Pho1 or

Pho1$\Delta$L80 plants could be due to the shorter TC-rich repeat at the 3′-end of the native Pho1

promoter, the use of the Rubisco small subunit transit leader sequence, and/or the absence of the

first intron **(Figure 1A)**.

BMF 136 exhibited two faint polypeptide bands, one at the same size as Pho1 and a

second smaller one.  BMF136 was previously shown to have a GT to AT mutation at the 5'

splice site of the $5^{th}$ intron in the Pho1 gene, which prevented removal of the $5^{th}$ intron and

nonsense-mediated mRNA decay [11].   Additional cDNA sequence analysis showed, however,

that an AG-GT 5'splice site within the $5^{th}$ exon was used instead to produce a variant protein

lacking 53 amino acids of exon 5 **(Figure S2)**.  Thus, it appears that the lower polypeptide band

corresponds to this variant Pho1 subunit while the upper band the wildtype Pho1 was generated

by weak splicing of the $5^{th}$ intron. These results indicate that BMF136 is not a null *pho1*⁻ mutant

and may possess very low level of catalytic activity.

The BMF136 mutant line produces a wide variation of seed types ranging from severely

shrunken to normal-appearing seeds with reduced weight (pseudo-normal) [11].

Complementation of BMF136 plants with the Pho1gene restored both seed weight and seed

weight distribution at levels comparable to wildtype (**Table 1 and Figure 2A, B, C and D**).

Unexpectedly, BMF136 plants expressing the Pho1$\Delta$L80 gene produced seeds with increased

weight (~27% above wildtype levels) and increased seed yields (~33% above wildtype levels)

148 (**Table 1**). The seed weight distribution of Pho1ΔL80 lines was also displaced to a higher range

149 than that observed for wildtype (**Figure 2E and F).**

**Table 1:** Seed weight characteristics of wildtype, BMF mutant, and transgenic rice plants.  Note that BMF plants were harvested later than the other lines, due to delayed maturation, which accounts for the higher total seed weight and average panicle number.

| A<br>Plant Line | Average Seed Weight | | | Total Seed Weight | | | Average Panicles Number | | Seeds per Panicle |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | mg | SEM (+/-) | Change* (%) | g | SEM (+/-) | Change* (%) | # | SEM (+/-) | # |
| Wildtype | 20.78 n=75 | 0.27 | — | 12.32 n=5 | 3.18 | — | 5.57 n=7 | 0.97 | 107 |
| BMF136 | 17.80 n=51 | 0.95 | - 14 † | 13.76 n=6 | 2.73 | 12 | 6.17 n=6 | 0.50 | 125 |
| Pho1-1 | 19.48 n=72 | 0.57 | - 6 † | 13.73 n=6 | 1.58 | 11 | 6.14 n=7 | 0.76 | 115 |
| Pho1-2 | 19.74 n=92 | 0.27 | - 5 † | 14.62 n=8 | 1.87 | 16 | 5.86 n=7 | 0.56 | 126 |
| Pho1ΔL80-1 | 27.38 n=46 | 0.58 | 32 † | 16.94 n=8 | 1.58 | 38 | 8.33 n=9 | 0.99 | 74 |
| Pho1ΔL80-2 | 25.57 n=43 | 0.51 | 23 † | 15.92 n=8 | 1.46 | 29 | 7.44 n=9 | 0.75 | 84 |

n is the number of seeds weighed for average seed weight, number of plants measured for total seed weight and number of plants counted for average panicle number.
*  Change represents the percent difference between each mutant line and wildtype.
† indicates that average seed weights were statistically different from wildtype based on students t-test ($P < 0.05$)

**Figure 2:** Seed weight distribution of (A) wildtype, (B) BMF136, (C-D) Pho1 and (E-F) Pho1ΔL80 plants. Bold black lines show the percentage of seeds with a given weight harvested from the indicated genotype. Faint blue and red dashed lines show the seed weight distribution of wildtype and BMF136 plants, respectively, for comparison with the transgenic mutants.

Interestingly, although protein expression levels of Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80 in Pho1 rice lines

were lower than in wildtype, they were sufficient to restore normal seed weight and seed weight

distribution, indicating only partial amount of Pho1 activity in wildtype plant is needed for

normal starch synthesis during seed development.

*Pho1ΔL80 plants exhibit faster growth rates than the wildtype*

Pho1ΔL80 rice lines produced more seed-mass and flowered about 5 to 7 days earlier

than wildtype.  To study the growth rates in detail, seedlings heights were compared at 7, 14 and

21 days after germination (DAG) (**Figure 3**).  PhoΔL80 lines grew noticeably faster than the

other plant lines, which was readily seen as early as 3-4 DAG **(Table 2).**  Wildtype, BMF136

and Pho1 lines showed similar growth rates during this period (**Tables 2 and 3).** Pho1ΔL80 lines

had more panicles per plant than wildtype when harvested at the same time **(Table 1)**.  The

BMF136 mutant line produced smaller grains on average.  Unlike wildtype and the

**Figure 3:** Growth rates of wildtype, BMF136, Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 plants. (A) 7 DAG, (B) 14 DAG and (C) 21 DAG. The red lines denote the proximate height of the top node. White scale bar located on top right corner represent a length of 2.5 cm. DAG = days after germination.

**Table 2:** Seedling heights of wildtype, BMF mutant, and transgenic rice plants.

| Plant Line | Average Seedling Height 3-4 DAG | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | cm | SEM (+/-) | p-value* |
| Wildtype | 2.10 n=4 | 0.12 | — |
| BMF136 | 2.13 n=4 | 0.13 | 0.89 |
| Pho1-1 | 2.10 n=3 | 0.11 | 1 |
| Pho1-2 | 2.03 n=4 | 0.06 | 0.57 |
| Pho1ΔL80-1 | 2.90 n=4 | 0.13 | 0.003 |
| Pho1ΔL80-2 | 3.00 n=4 | 0.12 | 0.001 |

\* *p*-value was calculated using students t-test with respect to wildtype.

Pho1/Pho1ΔL80 rice lines, whose vegetative growth essentially stopped at the flowering stage, BMF136 continued to grow and produced additional tillers due to delayed maturation. Hence, total seed weights and seeds per panicle values were higher for BMF136 **(Table 1)** than the other lines due to the much longer growth period.

**Table 3:** Heights of wildtype, BMF mutant, and transgenic rice plants under control, phosphorus deficient or nitrogen deficient conditions at 21 DAG.

| Plant Line | Control | | | Phosphorus Deficient | | | Nitrogen Deficient | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| | Height (cm) | SEM (+/-) | Change* (%) | Height (cm) | SEM (+/-) | Change* (%) | Height (cm) | SEM (+/-) | Change* (%) |
| Wildtype | 12.98 n=5 | 0.47 | — | 12.22 n=5 | 0.34 | — | 7.88 n=5 | 0.51 | — |
| BMF136 | 12.42 n=5 | 0.69 | - 4.3 | 12.16 n=5 | 0.36 | - 0.5 | 7.68 n=5 | 0.25 | - 2.5 |
| Pho1-1 | 12.76 n=5 | 0.55 | - 1.7 | 11.72 n=5 | 0.31 | - 4.1 | 7.56 n=5 | 0.62 | - 4.1 |
| Pho1-2 | 13.50 n=4 | 0.31 | 4.0 | 11.64 n=5 | 0.47 | - 4.7 | 7.90 n=5 | 0.26 | 0.3 |
| Pho1Δ80-1 | 15.38 n=5 | 0.94 | 18.5 | 14.50 n=5 | 0.28 | 18.7 [†] | 10.54 n=5 | 0.62 | 33.8 [†] |
| Pho1Δ80-2 | 15.62 n=5 | 0.43 | 20.3 [†] | 14.36 n=5 | 0.78 | 17.5 | 10.90 n=5 | 0.78 | 38.3 [†] |

\* Change represents the percent difference between each mutant line and wildtype under tested condition.
† indicates that average seed weights were statistically different from wildtype based on students t-test ($P < 0.05$)

The growth patterns of these plant lines were also evaluated under nitrogen- or

phosphorus-deficient conditions **(Table 3)**. Analysis of the plant heights at 21 DAG indicated

that Pho1ΔL80 expressing transgenic lines were 34-38% taller than wildtype plants under

nitrogen-deficient conditions. This difference was close to 100% when these plants were grown

under normal conditions **(Table 3)**.   Hence, Pho1ΔL80 lines is more efficient at metabolizing

nitrogen than wildtype.  By contrast, there was no significant percent differences in plant heights

between wildtype and Pho1 lines under normal and phosphorous-deficient conditions **(Table 3)**.

*Pho1 interacts with the PsaC subunit of PSI*

The restoration of average seed weight and seed weight distribution by expression of

wildtype Pho1 in BMF136 supports Pho1's dual role in starch initiation and starch grain

maturation.  The larger seeds produced by Pho1ΔL80 lines indicate that starch production is

elevated during seed development. Pho1ΔL80 rice lines also exhibited higher growth rates than

wildtype plants.  These results indicate that the L80 peptide domain acts as a negative modulator

of starch synthesis and growth.  The effect on growth was thought to be an indirect result of

starch metabolism stimulating photosynthesis [22, 23].  The following results, however, support

a direct effect of Pho1 on photosynthesis and, specifically, PSI.

To gain insight on how Pho1 may influence the growth rates and seed biomass

production in rice plants, proteomic studies were initiated.  Developing seed extracts were

incubated with immobilized anti-Pho1 and the captured proteins were analyzed by mass

spectrometry (**Figure 4**). Compared to the IgG control, the Pho1 antibody captured Pho1 and a

diverse array of polypeptides resolved by silver-stained polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

(**Figure 4A**). Subsequent proteomic analysis of the immunoprecipitated proteins revealed the

prominent presence of 4-α-glucanotransferase I (Dpe1) and the PSI subunit C (PsaC) (**Figure**

**4B**). The presence of Dpe1 in the anti-Pho1 IP was expected as this protein is associated with

**A**

IP antibody:

|  | IgG | Pho1 | IgG | Pho1 |
|--|-----|------|-----|------|

Elute     Elute

WB: anti-Pho1     Silver staining

**B**

| Identifier | Protein | Unique Peptides | Percent Coverage |
|------------|---------|-----------------|------------------|
| LOC_Os07g43390 | Dpe1 | 12 | 30% |
| NP_039445.1 | PsaC | 2 | 32% |

**Figure 4:** Identification of proteins co-immunoprecipitated with Pho1. (A) Immunoblot analysis and silver staining of eluted proteins after immunoprecipitation of Pho1 using anti-Pho1 antibody. The red arrows indicate immunoprecipitated 105 kDa Pho1. Immunoglobulin G (IgG) is used as the antibody control. 10 μL of 100 μL total IP eluate was loaded to each well. (B) Prominent co-immunoprecipitated proteins identified by LC/MS/MS.

Pho1 as a protein complex in rice seed extracts [21]. Interestingly, starch branching enzymes,

which have been established to interact with Pho1 in rice endosperm [20], were not detected.

The interaction of Pho1 with PsaC was unexpected, but it could account for the

differences in growth rates between BMF136, Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 lines.  To obtain further

evidence for a relationship between these two proteins, we first studied the expression patterns of

Pho1 and PsaC in leaves, mid-developing seeds, sheaths, and seedlings (7-10 DAG) by

immunoblot analysis (**Figure 5A**). Young seedlings (whole seedling minus root tissue) had the

highest co- expression of Pho1 and PsaC and were employed in Co-IP studies.  As seen earlier,

anti-Pho1 captured PsaC present in seedling extracts (**Figure 5B**). Due to the relatively low titer

of the commercially available anti-PsaC antibody, a reciprocal PsaC Co-IP could not be

conducted. Thus, to validate the interaction between Pho1 and PsaC, reciprocal pulldown assays

employing immobilized Halo-Pho1, Halo-Pho1ΔL80, and Halo-PsaC were used to further

substantiate the interaction between Pho1 and PsaC.  For the Halo-PsaC pulldowns, $A_P$Pho1 and

**Figure 5:** Verification of the interaction between Pho1 and PsaC. (A) Expression of 105 kDa Pho1 and 9 kDa PsaC in leaves, developing seeds, sheaths and seedlings. Each lane contained 250 µg of total tissue for detecting Pho1 and 750 µg of total tissue for detecting PsaC, except the PsaC leaf sample containing 75 µg of total tissue (B) Co-immunoprecipitation of PsaC when seedling green tissue extracts were incubated with anti-Pho1. Upper panel depicts immunoblot probing with anti-Pho1 while the lower panel was probed with anti-PsaC. The very right panel depicts an over-exposed image to show the low but detectable amounts of PsaC present in the Co-IP. (C) The capture of Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80 from 60 µg of $A_p$Pho1 and $A_p$Pho1ΔL80 green seedling extracts by immobilized Halo-PsaC (left panel) and the capture of PsaC from 60 µg of wildtype green seedlings by using immobilized Halo-Pho1 or Halo-Pho1ΔL80 (right panel).

$A_p$Pho1ΔL80 plants were used as the source of green seedling protein extracts due to the higher

expression of transgenic Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80 proteins in these lines. Reciprocal interactions were

readily evident between PsaC and Pho1 and between PsaC and Pho1ΔL80 (**Figure 5C**).   PsaC

did not interact equally with Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 as the PSI subunit preferred the latter (see right

panel, **Figure 5C**).

Pho1 [11] and PsaC [24] are plastid-localized proteins. While Pho1 is encoded by the

nuclear genome and transported to the plastid stroma, PsaC, on the other hand, is encoded by the

chloroplast genome [25].  PsaC is a soluble protein, and it is bound to PSI facing the stroma side

of the thylakoid membrane [24].  To show whether Pho1 was associated with PSI, intact

thylakoid membranes were isolated from rice seedling chloroplasts and solubilized PSI and other

protein complexes resolved by blue-native PAGE (**Figure 6A**). PSI containing complexes were

**Figure 6:** Identification of the sub-chloroplastic location of Pho1-PsaC interaction. (A) Thylakoid membranes were isolated from purified chloroplasts and protein complexes separated by blue-native PAGE. PSI is detected in bands I (PSI-NDH super-complex, >1000 kDa), II (PSI + LHCI, ~ 550 kDa) and III (PSI, ~ 400 kDa). Bands IV and V lack PSI. (B) Dot-blot analysis for the presence of Pho1 in the bands I to V from blue-native gel.

identified based on previous reports [26-29] and determined to correspond to bands I (PSI-NDH

super-complex, >1000 kDa ), II (PSI + LHCI, 568 kDa) and III (PSI, 379 kDa) (**Figure 6A**).

Proteins from these bands were gel extracted, denatured, dot-blotted and probed with anti-Pho1.

Bands IV and V, which were devoid of PSI, were used as internal controls.  As seen in **Figure**

**6B**, Pho1 signal was detected in PSI containing bands I, II and III but not in the non-PSI control

bands, IV and V.

*Pho1ΔL80 mutants exhibit altered PSI parameters*

To assess whether the interaction between Pho1 and PsaC has physiological significance,

electron transport properties of PSI of the various rice lines were evaluated using a flash-type

spectrophotometer that probe the light-induced redox changes of the reaction center chlorophyll

P700 in intact plants based on difference absorption measurements at 820 nm and 900 nm [30].

From these measurements three PSI parameters were determined as function of light intensities

[30, 31]: (i) The quantum yield of photochemical energy conversion of PSI (YI), (ii) non-

photochemical quantum yield due to PSI donor side limitations, *i.e.* electron transport from cyt

$b_6f$ via plastocyanin ($YN_D$), and (iii) non-photochemical quantum yield due to PSI acceptor side

limitations, *i.e.* electron transport from PSI to ferredoxin ($YN_A$). These three quantum yield

values were determined for wildtype, BMF136, Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 plants (28-35 days after

transplantation, DAT).

The overall trend of the YI, $YN_D$, and $YN_A$ parameters as function of light intensity

(**Figure 7**) was observed as expected [30, 31].  $YN_A$ declines with light intensity due to the

**Figure 7:** PSI properties of wildtype, BMF136, Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 plants investigated through P700 redox state measurements. (A) Non-photochemical quantum yield of the acceptor site limitation, $YN_A$ (+/- SEM) in response to light intensity. (B) Non-photochemical quantum yield of the donor site limitation, $YN_D$ (+/- SEM), in response to light intensity. (C) Quantum yield of the photochemical energy conversion, YI (+/- SEM), in response to light intensity. * p-value < 0.05 with respect to wildtype. The numbers of plants analyzed wildtype (n=12), BMF136 (n=14), Pho1 (n=29), and Pho1ΔL80 (n=32). Measurements are done by two different investigators.

activation of electron sinks, mainly Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle. In contrast, the donor side of

PSI (YN$_D$) becomes more limiting at higher light intensities due to the stronger build-up of a

proton gradient across the thylakoid membrane in the light as electron flow through the

cytochrome b$_6$f slows down (photosynthetic control). Finally, the photochemical energy

conversion efficiency of PSI (YI) declines with increasing light intensities mainly as a

consequence of the increase PSI donor side limitation (YN$_D$) [30].

Among the various plant lines, Pho1ΔL80 was the only one that displayed significant

differences in the various functional PSI parameters. Pho1ΔL80 showed higher PSI acceptor side

limitation (higher YN$_A$) (**Figure 7A**) and a lower PSI donor side limitation (lower YN$_D$)

**(Figures 7B)** compared to the other plant lines.  Interestingly, the increase in acceptor side

limitation and the decrease in donor side limitation in Pho1ΔL80 compensated each other,

leading to an unchanged YI (**Figures 7B and 7C**).  Changes in PSI donor and acceptor side

properties of Pho1ΔL80 were also relatively light intensity independent, *i.e.* YN$_A$ and YN$_D$ show

a constant offset over the measured intensity range (**Figure 7A and 7B**). The alteration of PSI

properties in the Pho1ΔL80 lines was not related to PSII activity as photosystem-II (PSII)

quantum yields showed no difference between the various rice lines **(Figure 8C)**.

*Pho1ΔL80 mutants exhibit higher CO$_2$ assimilation rates*

Gas exchange measurements were conducted to determine if the alterations of the PSI

properties affected the capacity to fix carbon. The net rates of CO$_2$ assimilation were measured

for wildtype, BMF136, Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 plants at 35-45 DAT under constant light intensity

(1500 µmol photon m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) with increasing $CO_2$ ($CO_2$ response), or under constant $CO_2$ (400

ppm) with increasing light intensity (light response), (**Figure 8**).

**Figure 8:** The net rates of $CO_2$ assimilation and chlorophyll florescence of wildtype, BMF136, Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 plants. (A) $CO_2$ assimilation rate (+/- SEM) in response to changing intercellular $CO_2$ concentrations (Ci: 50, 75,100, 200, 400, and 800 PPM) at 1500 µmol photon m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and 27°C. (B) $CO_2$ assimilation rate (+/- SEM) in response to changing light (PAR: 0, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 1500, 2000 µmol photon m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) at 400 PPM $CO_2$ and 27°C.  (C) Photosystem-II quantum yield (+/- SEM) in response to changing light (PAR: 0, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 1500, 2000 µmol photon m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) at 400 PPM $CO_2$ and 27°C. * p-value < 0.05 with respect to wildtype. Numbers of plants examined are wildtype (n=6), BMF136 (n=6), Pho1 (n=14), and Pho1ΔL80 (n=14).

When measured for $CO_2$ response, Pho1ΔL80 lines exhibited higher net rates of $CO_2$

assimilation than wildtype and the other rice lines (**Figure 8A**). In terms of light response,

Pho1ΔL80 lines had higher net rates of $CO_2$ assimilation that trended higher than wildtype above

1,000 µmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (**Figure 8B**). However, this difference was only significant at 2,000

µmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.  While BMF136 trended lower than wildtype above 500 µmol photons m$^{-2}$

s$^{-1}$, the difference was not statistically significant at any point.

*Pho1ΔL80 mutants are susceptible to cold stress*

PSI is known to be particularly sensitive to cold stress [32].  As Pho1 may modulate PSI

activity via its interaction with PsaC *in vivo,* the cold tolerance of wildtype, BMF136, Pho1 and

Pho1ΔL80 plants was investigated. Plants were grown at a constant temperature of 20°C, the

lowest temperature that enables pollen production and successful fertilization to occur in rice

[33]. The contents of PsaB (PSI core protein) of the cold grown wildtype, BMF136, Pho1 and

Pho1ΔL80 plants were estimated at 120 DAT by immunoblot analysis of leaf extracts using anti-

PsaB antibody.  Consistent with the predicted effects of cold temperature on PSI, PsaB amounts

were significantly lower at 20°C than 27°C in all lines (**Figure 9A**). Pho1ΔL80 lines showed a

**Figure 9:**  The response of wildtype, BMF136, Pho1, Pho1ΔL80 lines to cold stress. (A) The PsaB content of 120 DAT rice plants grown under normal (27°C) and cold (20°C) conditions. Leaf extracts (1 µg of chlorophyll) from each plant line was separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with anti-PsaB. (B) The growth phenotypes of plants 150 DAT and 180 DAT.  (C) Biomass of wildtype, BMF mutant, and transgenic rice plants at the end of the trail under cold stress (210 DAT).  Numbers of plants examined are wildtype (n=8), BMF136 (n=8), Pho1-1 (n=8), Pho1-2 (n=8), Pho1ΔL80-1 (n=8) and Pho1ΔL80-2 (n=8).

more severe reduction in PsaB content compared to wildtype, BMF136, or the BMF136

transgenic lines expressing Pho1. Phenotypic characterization of the plants 150 to 180 DAT

showed that Pho1ΔL80 plants were more sensitive to cold temperature (**Figure 9B**) as evident by

increased yellowing of the leaves, burned leaf tips and a larger proportion of dead leaves.

Analysis of the total dry biomass of the cold-treated plants also revealed reduced biomass for

Pho1ΔL80 plants compared to wildtype and BMF136 (**Figure 9C**).

**Discussion**

*Pho1 is a modulator of plant productivity and its L80 region acts as a regulator*

Unlike the degradative role of glycogen phosphorylases, the plant Pho1 ortholog, has an

established biosynthetic role in α-glucan (starch) metabolism [11, 13, 14, 17, 34-36].  In rice, the

downregulation of Pho1 protein expression by mutations resulted in the production of grains

ranging from shrunken to pseudo-normal in appearance but of smaller size. In this study, we

complemented the *pho1⁻* deficient rice mutant, BMF136, with Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80 to determine

whether they could rescue the seed phenotype and to gain insight on the role of the L80.  While

complementation with Pho1 restored average seed weight to wildtype levels, expression of

Pho1ΔL80 increased it above wildtype levels (**Table 1, Figure 2**), indicating a stimulation in

starch accumulation.

As removal of the L80 region does not affect the *in vitro* catalytic or regulatory properties

of the rice Pho1 enzyme [21], the basis for the elevated starch accumulation (seed weight)

remains to be resolved. Pho1 interacts with other starch biosynthetic enzymes including Dpe1

[21] and starch branching enzymes (SBE) [20].  The Pho1 enzyme complexes catalyze an

alternative route of starch biosynthesis [1, 34, 37].  The major starch biosynthetic pathway

involves the synthesis of ADPglucose from Glc1-P and ATP by ADP-glucose

pyrophosphorylase and the subsequent transfer of the glucosyl moiety from ADP-glucose to an

existing glucan primer by starch synthase activities [38, 39]. By contrast, starch synthesis by

Pho1 is much simpler process as it can directly utilize Glc1-P to extend linear and branched α-

glucans. This biosynthetic reaction by Pho1 is stimulated by branching enzymes as the latter

activity effectively increases the substrate concentration by generating multiple branched non-

reducing ends for the addition of glucosyl residues. Dpe1 stimulates Pho1 catalytic activity by

elevating its affinity for substrate and by broadening its range for substrates [21]. The L80

peptide of the sweet potato Pho1 has been suggested to hinder substrate binding of α-glucans

[40]. If the L80 peptide acts as a steric barrier in partially impeding the assembling Pho1 with

SBEs or Dpe1, the removal of the peptide from Pho1 leads to more efficient formation of

protein-protein complexes, which could result in increased biosynthetic activity.

A second causal basis for the elevated starch accumulation in developing grains of

Pho1ΔL80 is that the source strength in rice leaves was increased to deliver more sugars to

developing sink organs. In Arabidopsis and rice [22, 23, 41, 42], photosynthetic capacity and

plant growth correlate with the levels of leaf starch synthesis [41, 42]. One underlying basis for

this correlation is that starch synthesis serves as an effective alternative mechanism for recycling

Pi needed for ATP synthesized by photophosphorylation. If Pho1ΔL80 stimulates starch

synthesis in source green tissues, it would help maintain optimal ATP synthesis and increase the

synthesis and levels of sugars that can be transported to sink organs for starch synthesis.

*Modulation of plant productivity by Pho1 can be an outcome of the stimulation of photosynthesis*

The expression of Pho1ΔL80 also stimulates plant growth and development as evident by

the increase in plant biomass and the earlier onset of panicle emergence and flowering. While the

indirect influence of starch metabolism on photosynthesis could provide the basis for these

enhanced phenotypic properties, the results presented in this study suggest a direct involvement

of Pho1 in modulating photosynthesis.  Pho1 interacts with PsaC as evident by the capture of the

latter protein by anti-Pho1 and by the results obtained from the reciprocal pulldown down assays

(**Figure 4 and 5**).  Further evidence for this Pho1-PsaC interaction is that Pho1 is found

associated with native PSI complexes of thylakoid membranes isolated from rice chloroplasts

(**Figure 6**). Although Pho1 is considered a soluble stromal protein  [14, 35, 36] (**Figure 5A**), its

association with native PSI complexes infers that the enzyme's *in vitro* interaction with PsaC, a

peripheral subunit of the PSI complex located on the stroma side of the membrane [24], occurs *in*

*situ*.

Analysis of the photosynthetic properties of wildtype, BMF136, Pho1, and Pho1ΔL80

transgenic lines indicates that the interaction between Pho1ΔL80 and PsaC has physiological

relevance.  Spectrophotometric studies of these plant lines *in vivo* readily demonstrates a

significant change in the PSI properties of Pho1ΔL80 lines. Compared to wildtype and the other

plant lines, Pho1ΔL80 plants showed a decrease in donor side limitation ($YN_D$), and an increase

in the acceptor side limitation ($YN_A$) **(Figure 7).** Two mutually exclusive hypotheses described

below could explain the role of Pho1 and its L80 region on PSI.

*Hypothesis I: Pho1ΔL80 increases the efficiency of electron transport from PSI*

Pho1ΔL80 plant lines show an increase in PSI acceptor side limitation $YN_A$ (**Figure 7**)

suggesting that the reduction of PSI acceptors is compromised in Pho1ΔL80 plant lines relative

to wildtype, BMF136 and Pho1 lines.  Such a higher $YN_A$ implies that the flow of electrons from

P700 (the primary donor of PSI) to PSI oxidized acceptors (ferredoxin, NADP$^+$) is impaired in

Pho1ΔL80 **(Figure 10A)**. On the other hand, the higher acceptor site limitation may not be due

**Figure 10:** Changes in PSI properties of the Pho1ΔL80 plants compared to wildtype and a potential stability difference for the *in vivo* regulation of Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80. (A) Diagram explain PSI properties based on hypothesis I. Activities of PSII and cytochrome b6f regenerate the PSI donor pool, which is subsequently consumed by PSI. PSI, in turn, reduces oxidized acceptors and the reduced acceptors are used to drive metabolism. Availability of the reduced donor and oxidized acceptor pools determine PSI donor and acceptor site limitations. In Pho1ΔL80 plants, the acceptor pool is more reduced, but donor pool is more oxidized. This change is represented by lower YN$_D$ and higher YN$_A$ in Pho1ΔL80 plants compared to wildtype. (B) Diagram explain PSI properties based on hypothesis II. In Pho1ΔL80 plants, electron flux to acceptors are more restricted (red arrow), while electron flow from donors is enhanced (thick blue arrow). This change is represented by lower YND and higher YNA in Pho1ΔL80 plants compared to wildtype. (C) Stability of Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 (2 µg each) after incubation with rice leaf extracts or with the extraction buffer for 15 min (left) or 60 min (right). Arrows point to the relative stability of Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80 incubated with leaf extracts compared to the extraction buffer.  Blank is leaf extract without addition of recombinant Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80.

to an inhibition of electron flow but is the result of a relative smaller pool of PSI acceptors in the

oxidized state compared to that present in wildtype and the other plant lines **(Figure 10A)**.

Assuming that the PSI acceptor pool sizes are not significantly different among the various plant

lines, the smaller amounts of oxidized PSI electron acceptors in Pho1ΔL80 would correspond to

a larger pool of acceptors in the reduced state. As the PSI properties were measured after

adaptation of the plants to the various light intensities, the $YN_A$ values represent a steady state

condition and not the actual oxidation-reduction turnover rates of PSI acceptors. Hence, if the

turnover rates (oxidized → reduced → oxidized) of PSI acceptors are comparable among the

various plant lines, the larger reduced acceptor pool in Pho1ΔL80 would provide a greater source

of reducing power to support (or drive) metabolism.

If "hypothesis I" is correct, the elevated reduced acceptor levels such as NADPH would

impact other photosynthetic processes. Specifically, elevated NADPH levels would more

efficiently drive the $C_3$ cycle and, in turn, $CO_2$ assimilation. Such a condition would account for

the higher $CO_2$ assimilation rates exhibited by Pho1ΔL80 plants particularly at above ambient

$CO_2$ levels (**Figure 8**). Enhancing NADPH consumption was previously shown to increase

photosynthetic efficiency and biomass production in cyanobacteria [43].

*Hypothesis II: Pho1ΔL80 inhibits electron flow from PSI, but increases the efficiency of electron*

*transport to PSI*

An alternative mechanism is that electron transport from PSI to its downstream acceptors

is more restricted in Pho1ΔL80 plants without a change in the fraction of reduced/oxidized

acceptors. Under this hypothesis, increased acceptor side limitation (**Figure 7**) observed for the

Pho1ΔL80 plants is due to a reduction in intramolecular electron flow from P700 to ferredoxin

and $NADP^+$. However, since the PSI donor side limitation is also decreased and the quantum

yield of photochemical energy conversion at PSI (YI) remained the same for Pho1ΔL80 plants,

the inhibition of the acceptor side is compensated by the enhancement of the donor side (**Figures**

**7B, 7C and Figure 10B**).

If "hypothesis II" is correct, the enhancement of growth in Pho1ΔL80 plants could results

from higher rate of electron transport to PSI via cyt $b_6f$ complex and increased ATP production

by the cyt $b_6f$ complex due to more proton pumping by higher electron transport rates upstream

of PSI (lower $YN_D$) while maintaining normal NADPH production. Enhanced $CO_2$ assimilation

rates at higher $CO_2$ concentration by Pho1ΔL80 plants **(Figure 8B)** could be a reflection of this

property since $CO_2$ assimilation under elevated $CO_2$ makes the photosynthetic electron flux less

sensitive to the inhibition of the PSI acceptor side.  This could indicate compensatory effects

induced by Pho1ΔL80, for example, a change in cyt $b_6f$ complex abundance in thylakoid

membranes.

*Pho1ΔL80 is more susceptible to cold stress*

Although Pho1ΔL80 outperformed wildtype under ideal conditions (**Figure 3**), they were

more severely stressed under cold condition than the wildtype, BMF136, or Pho1 (**Figure 9**).

Phenotypically, Pho1ΔL80 plants showed excessively yellowed leaves (**Figure 9B**) and tissue

burning which initiated at the tip of the leaf blade. This condition reduces the ability of the

Pho1ΔL80 lines to maintain photosynthesis, accounting for their reduced total biomass at the

time of harvest (**Figure 9C**).  While all plant lines showed reduced amounts of PSI at 20°C

(**Figure 9A**), consistent with the expected sensitivity of PSI to cold stress [32], the Pho1ΔL80

lines were the most affected.

Both hypothesis I and II can account on why the Pho1ΔL80 plants are more susceptible

to cold. Hypothesis I assumes that under normal conditions the increased availability of reduced

acceptors in Pho1ΔL80 plants drives metabolism and, in turn, plant growth.  Under cold

conditions, however, the demand for redox equivalents (such as $Fd_{red}^{2-}$, NADPH) is expected to

decrease as metabolic processes that utilize this reducing power slow down due to lower

temperature [44-46].  Such a condition would be especially severe for a naturally cold-sensitive

plant like rice that has limited capacity to adjust its metabolism to cold.  As recycling of reduced

PSI acceptors is lowered, the availability of oxidized PSI acceptors further decreases. Reduction

of PSI in the absence of available oxidized acceptors poses a severe problem because PSI has

sufficient redox potential to reduce molecular oxygen and generate reactive oxygen species

(ROS) when oxidized ferredoxin and $NADP^+$ are not available [32, 47, 48]. If the Pho1ΔL80

plants have enhanced electron flow from PSI to downstream acceptors like the "hypothesis I"

describes, a decrease in the recycling of oxidized acceptors under cold could enhanced flow of

electrons to oxygen generating ROS.

Alternatively, under hypothesis II, the increased cold-sensitivity could be the result of the

deceleration of the electron flux into PSI from the upstream components (i.e. the cyt $b_6f$ complex

activity has a high temperature coefficient). Due to PSI's capacity to generate ROS, plants

regulate electron flow through PSI under conditions where the oxidized acceptor availability and

recycling is low. This is achieved by two main mechanisms. First, linear electron flow to PSI is

lowered to keep it oxidized as oxidized PSI can effectively dissipate light energy [48-50].

Second, cyclic electron flow is generated around the PSI to regenerate and maintain oxidized

acceptors [48, 50]. If the decreased donor side limitation in the Pho1ΔL80 plants indicates an

increased linear electron flow into PSI, the inability to control this under cold can become

problematic. This is especially true if Pho1$\Delta$L80 inhibits the electron flow from PSI to

ferredoxin (as demonstrated by the higher $YN_A$), while not influencing electron flow going into

oxygen. Such a mechanisms would not only maintain a larger pool of reduced PSI due to

enhanced linear electron flow, but it would also inhibit linear or cyclic flow out of PSI, leaving

electron flow into oxygen as the only option and generating ROS.

In either case, resulting ROS and the damage to PSI is especially severe since PSI does

not have an active repair mechanism and damaged PSI are usually degraded together with the

associated pigments [32, 51]. Since the turnover rate of PSI subunits is relatively low, resulting

ROS damage can cause prolonged photoinhibition of PSI activity [32]. In addition to PSI, ROS

can cause widespread damage to other thylakoid membrane complexes [32, 47].  The buildup of

ROS resulting from either one of these hypothetical mechanisms could be responsible for

yellowing and burning of the rice leaf blades in cold-grown Pho1$\Delta$L80 plants.

*The Role of Pho1 L80 region*

The stimulation in photosynthetic performance exhibited by plants expressing Pho1$\Delta$L80

(**Figure 7** and **Figure 8**) indicates that the L80 acts as a negative regulatory element in

modulating PSI activity.  While such regulation by L80 lowers photosynthesis and starch

accumulation under normal growth settings, the peptide may be required under suboptimal

growth conditions.  Under low temperature growth conditions, the L80 region of Pho1 may be

required in how Pho1 modulates PSI activity and diminishes the formation of ROS, and its

deleterious effects on photosynthesis.  Hence, the association of Pho1 with PsaC may play an

active role in the photoinhibition mechanism of PSI when photosynthetic electron transport

becomes imbalanced under suboptimal growth conditions [48].

*Potential regulatory elements in L80*

Analysis of the L80 primary sequence identifies several potential regulatory motifs.  One

prominent one is the presence of a PEST motif located on the C-terminal half of the L80 region

[1]. Although the functionality of the rice PEST motif is unknown, it can potentially alter the

half-life of Pho1 compared to Pho1ΔL80.  The sweet potato Pho1 was previously [52, 53] shown

to be proteolyzed at its L80 region. Interestingly, incubation of recombinant Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80

protein in rice leaf extracts shows that Pho1ΔL80 degrades faster than Pho1 **(Figure 10C)**. This

outcome is consistent with the previously reported role for L80 region of rice Pho1 in improving

the heat stability of the enzyme [54].

Several residues of the maize [55] and sweet potato [40] L80-like domain are

phosphorylated. Although phosphorylation of the rice Pho1 L80 has not been demonstrated, the

phosphorylated Ser residues of the maize Pho1 [55] are conserved in the rice L80.  Such post-

translationally modified Ser on Pho1 L80 can be part of a binding motif for 14-3-3 proteins,

which are known to interact with phosphorylated Ser and Thr residues [56, 57]. Binding of the

14-3-3 to their target proteins can alter the conformation, activity, stability, or intercellular

localization [58, 59]. 14-3-3 proteins are known to influence grain filling in rice [60, 61] , and

several starch biosynthetic enzymes such as starch synthase are known targets of 14-3-3 proteins

[62, 63]. It has been proposed that the dimeric 14-3-3 can act as scaffolding proteins by binding

to multiple phosphorylated starch biosynthetic enzymes and keeping them together in a

phosphorylation dependent manner [64].  Similarly, the association of 14-3-3 with

phosphorylated L80 may attract other proteins that regulate PSI activity.

Computational analysis [57] suggest certain serine and threonine residues upstream and

downstream of L80 could be targeted by 14-3-3 protein **(Supplementary Figure 3)**.

Interestingly, this analysis does not predict any residue on L80 region as a target. Nevertheless,

L80 region could have a role in a potential 14-3-3 regulation through conformational changes or

through influencing interacting partners.

**Conclusion**

Enhanced photosynthetic performance and growth of Pho1ΔL80 plants suggests that

Pho1 has a role in modulating photosynthesis with L80 acting as a regulator.  The cold

sensitivity of Pho1ΔL80 plants supports the view that the L80 is essential under conditions

where metabolic flexibility is diminished. As the L80 region of Pho1 does not influence the

catalytic properties of the enzyme, it is unlikely that the observed phenotype is related to Pho1's

enzymatic role.  Nevertheless, the L80 suppresses Pho1's role in starch biosynthesis.  Although

L80 may simply acts as a steric barrier in reducing the ability of Pho1 to associate with other

starch biosynthetic enzymes, there may be other starch-related processes that require the L80 of

Pho1.

While substantial evidence indicate that Pho1 interacts with PsaC and may modulate PSI

activity, the molecular mechanism of how Pho1 and its L80 region influence PSI activity is

unclear.  Two mutually exclusive hypotheses **(Figure 10A and 10B)** can account for the changes

in the PSI properties exhibited by Pho1ΔL80 plants. Both hypotheses suggest Pho1 influence the

electron flow through PSI, but they disagree on the underlying basis. According to hypothesis I,

in the absence of the negative L80 modulator, Pho1ΔL80 possesses a larger pool of reduced PSI

acceptors that can drive C3 metabolism.  On the other hand, hypothesis II suggests that

Pho1ΔL80 simultaneously inhibit the flow of electrons out of PSI to acceptors while enhancing

electron flow into PSI.  *In vitro* studies that specifically investigate PSI activity in the absence or

presence of Pho1/ Pho1ΔL80 could shed light on the underlying mechanisms and provide

support for one of the two hypotheses.

One curious observation is that although BMF136 (*pho1*⁻ mutant) line matures slower

than wildtype, its photosynthetic properties are not significantly different from wildtype.

BMF136 is a Pho1 knockdown mutant that produces a variant protein that has a small deletion of

56 residues located in the N-terminal half of the primary sequence as well as apparently very

small amounts of wildtype Pho1 subunit **(Figure 1B)**.  As the native Pho1 exists as a dimer, the

two Pho1 subunit types can potentially form three different dimeric forms, two of which are

likely non-catalytic.  The smaller Pho1 variant polypeptide retains much of the normal primary

sequences including the L80 and may still be functional in interacting with PsaC and, in turn,

modulating PSI activity.  Hence, it would be interesting to see whether a rice line harboring a

*pho1*⁻ "knockout" mutation exhibits lower photosynthetic properties than wildtype.

**Materials and methods**

*Plasmid construction and rice transformation*

Rice transformation vectors pSH694 and pSH695 were generated by cloning the

RuBisCO small subunit chloroplast transit peptide sequences in frame to the mature rice Pho1 or

Pho1ΔL80 cDNA sequence [54] under the control of a native Pho1 promoter into pCambia1300.

Rice transformation vectors pKK29 and pKK30 were constructed by replacing the Pho1

promoters and RuBisCO sequences with rice AGPase small subunit 2 (AGPS2) promoter and

the first exon and intron of rice Pho1 harboring native chloroplast transit peptide, respectively.

Backbone vectors for pKK29 and pKK30 constructions were pCambia1300 and pCambia1301,

respectively. The AGPS2 promoter was selected due to its strong expression profile and similar

tissue specificity compared to native Pho1 promoter [12]. These vectors were then transformed

into the Pho1-deficient (*pho1⁻*) mutant rice BMF136 (derivative of *Oryza sativa* L.cv TC65) [11]

using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* AGL1 [65]. Homozygous plants expressing Pho1 or

Pho1ΔL80 were identified by western blot analysis using rice Pho1 antibody [21]. Homozygous

lines (T3 generation) of each transgenic line, the parental line BMF136, and wildtype TC65 were

used in this study. Transgenic rice plants expressing pSH694 and pSH695 are labeled as Pho1

and Pho1ΔL80. Transgenic rice plants generated using pKK29 and pKK30 were labeled as

$A_p$Pho1 and $A_p$Pho1ΔL80, where $A_p$ refers to the AGPS2 promoter.

*Plant growth conditions*

Rice plants used in this study were grown in environment-controlled chambers with

ambient $CO_2$. The photoperiod was set to 12 h of day and 12 h of night with a relative humidity

of 70% and a day temperature of 27°C and a night temperature of 23°C. Light intensity was set

to 650 μmol m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ at the midpoint between the canopy and the base of the plant. Plants were

fertilized twice a week throughout the growth period.

Plants subject to cold stress were grown under the same conditions described above

except a constant day and night temperature of 20°C.

Plants subjected to phosphorus or nitrogen deficiency were grown under the same

conditions described above, except being watered with premixed fertilizer solutions prepared in

distilled water lacking  the respective nutrient [66].  Control plants were watered daily with

complete fertilizer solution [10 mM $Ca(NO_3)_2$, 10 mM $KNO_3$, 4 mM $MgSO_4$, 2 mM $KH_2PO_4$]

plus micronutrient solution (93 µM $H_3BO_3$, 18 µM $MnCl_2$, 1.6 µM $ZnSO_4$, 0.64 µM $CuSO_4$, 0.25

µM $Na_2MoO_4$) and 6 mg/L Sequestrene 330 Fe (Sprint®).  Plants investigated for phosphorus

deficiency were watered daily with a premixed fertilizer solution lacking phosphate, and plants

investigated for nitrogen deficiency were watered daily with a premixed fertilizer solution

lacking nitrate.

*Phenotypic analysis of plants*

Mature seeds were harvested from the plants and air-dried for 7 days at room

temperature. Individual grain weights were determined and averaged for calculating average seed

weight for each line. Individual grain weights were also used for determining seed weight

distribution. The total seed weight per plant was determined by weighing all seeds from each

plant. The number of panicles for each line was counted and averaged to calculate the average

panicle number. The number of seeds per panicle was calculated by dividing the total seed

weight by average seed weight, and then dividing the resulting value by average number of

panicles.

*Protein extraction from plant tissues*

A slightly different protein extraction procedure was used depending on the investigated

rice tissue. For mature rice seeds, dehusked seeds were first powdered and proteins were

extracted by incubating the seed powder in sufficient amount urea/SDS extraction buffer (50 mM

Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, 6.4 M urea, 2% SDS, 1% β-mercaptoethanol, 5% glycerol and 0.02%

bromophenol blue) on ice for 10 min. Softer tissues such as developing seeds, green seedlings

(whole seedling minus roots), leaves and sheaths were first chopped into a manageable size,

crushed in enough urea/SDS buffer using a pestle and incubated on ice for 10 min. Next, samples

were centrifuged at 12,000 RPM and the supernatant fractions containing the extracted proteins

were transferred to new tubes.

*SDS-PAGE*

SDS-PAGE analysis of proteins was performed as described [67]. Briefly, samples

containing native Pho1, transgenic Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80, and recombinant Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80

proteins were separated on a 7.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel with Tris-glycine running buffer (25

mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS, pH 8.3). PsaB proteins were separated on a 10% SDS-

polyacrylamide gel with the same buffer, and PsaC proteins were separated on a 12% SDS-

polyacrylamide gel with Tris-Tricine running buffer (anode buffer: 100 mM tris-HCl, pH 8.9 ;

cathode buffer: 100 mM tris, 100 mM tricine 0.1% SDS, pH 8.3).

*Immunoblot analysis*

Proteins separated on SDS polyacrylamide gels were transferred onto 0.2 µm

nitrocellulose membrane (Pall Corporation). The blotted membrane was blocked with 5% (w/v)

non-fat milk in 1X TBS (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl) and then probed in the same

solution at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: anti-Pho1 (1/2000 dilution) [21], anti-

PsaC (PhytoLab PHY0055A, 1/500 dilution), anti-PsaB (PhytoLab PHY0054A, 1/1500

dilution). Afterwards,  the membrane was washed 4 times with 1X TBS containing 0.1% (v/v)

Tween-20 and incubated with 1/5,000 diluted goat anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-conjugated

secondary antibody (Thermo Scientific) in 5% milk TBS for 1 hour at room temperature with

shaking. The membrane was then washed 4 times with 1X TBS with 0.1% (v/v) Tween-20. The

antibody-antigen complex was detected by using the SuperSignal West Pico PLUS

Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and the FujiFilm LAS-3000 or Amersham

imager 600 analyzers.

*Silver staining*

Silver staining of the gel was performed as described [68] with minor modifications.

Briefly, the SDS-PAGE gel was fixed in in solution containing 50% methanol and 5% acetic acid

for 20 min and washed with 50% methanol and water for 10 min each. The gel was then

incubated in sensitizing solution (0.02% w/v sodium thiosulfate in water) for 1 min and washed

two times with water for 5 min each. The staining reaction was initiated by incubating the gel in

silver solution (0.1% w/v silver nitrate, 0.08% v/v formaldehyde) and then washing twice with

water for 1 min. The gel was then incubated in a solution containing 2% w/v sodium carbonate

and 0.04% formaldehyde and then in 5% acetic acid.

*Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP)*

Polyclonal rabbit antibodies generated against Pho1 [21] were affinity-purified as

described [69]. All steps were performed at 4°C unless otherwise indicated. Twenty µL of

protein A-agarose slurry (Invitrogen) was centrifuged at 1,000 X g for 1 min and washed two

times with PBS [137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10mM $Na_2HPO_4$, 1.8 mM $KH_2PO_4$].  Twenty µg

of affinity-purified anti-Pho1 antibody (or IgG, control) in PBS was allowed to bind overnight to

Protein A agarose beads.  The agarose beads were collected by centrifugation at 1,000 X g for 1

min and then washed three times with 500 µL PBS to remove unbound antibodies. Protein A–

antibody complex was crosslinked by incubating the beads in 100 µL PBS containing 0.45 mM

disuccinimidyl suberate (DSS) at room temperature for 1 h with constant mixing. At the end of

the crosslinking, the solution was removed by centrifugation at 1,000 X g for 1 min and free

antibodies were removed by washing the beads two times with 50 µL of 100 mM glycine buffer,

pH 2.5,  and two times with PBS. Protein A-agarose beads with crosslinked anti-Pho1 (or IgG)

antibody were equilibrated with protein extraction buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM

NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 2 mM ATP, 2 mM NaF, 1 mM  dithiothreitol (DTT), 1 mM

phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 1X protein inhibitor cocktail and 0.5% Nonidet P-40]. In

parallel, 3 g of plant tissue (developing seeds or seedlings) were ground in 3 ml of the same

protein extraction buffer. Tissue extracts were centrifuged at 1,500 X g and then at 15,000 X g to

remove starch and cell debris. One-ml of soluble tissue extract was applied to Protein A-agarose

beads with crosslinked anti-Pho1 antibody (or IgG) and incubated 2-4 h at 4°C with constant

mixing. The mixture was then centrifuged 1,000 X g for 1 min to collect the beads, which were

subsequently washed twice with 500 µL of wash buffer (protein extraction buffer minus Nonidet

P-40) and once with 500 µL PBS to remove unbound proteins. Proteins initially captured by the

anti-Pho1 beads were eluted with 20 µL of the low pH glycine buffer for 5 min at room

temperature followed by a second elution with 60 µL of the low pH glycine buffer for 10 min at

room temperature. Eluted samples were immediately neutralized with 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.8.

Proteins co-immunoprecipitated with anti-Pho1 or by control IgG were analyzed by liquid

chromatography-mass spectrometry (Washington State University Tissue Imaging and

Proteomics Laboratory). Proteins interacting with Pho1 were determined by differentiating

identified proteins in the anti-Pho1 eluate againstthose in the IgG control eluate.

*Protein purification*

OsPho1, OsPho1ΔL80, HALO-Pho1, HALO-Pho1ΔL80, HALO-PsaC and HALO-GFP

were expressed and purified as described [18, 21, 54, 70]. Briefly, protein expression constructs

were grown in *Escherichia coli* EA3457 strain and. protein expression was induced overnight

with 0.15 mM of IPTG when the $OD_{600}$ was between 0.8 to 1.0 at room temperature for OsPho1,

OsPho1ΔL80, and at 16°C for HALO-Pho1, HALO-Pho1ΔL80, HALO-PsaC and HALO-GFP.

The bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation and lysed by sonication.  After clarification

of the extract by centrifugation at 12,000 RPM, the proteins were purified to near homogeneity

by DEAE-Sepharose FF (GE Healthcare) chromatography followed by TALON Superflow (GE

Healthcare, a cobalt-based IMAC resin) affinity chromatography.

*Halo-protein pulldown*

Halo-linked PsaC (HALO-PsaC, pKK32) was generated by PCR amplification of the

PsaC gene from rice chloroplast DNA and by cloning in-frame next to the Halo-tag sequences on

pSH583 [54]. Halo-linked Pho1 (Halo-Pho1, pSH585 [54]), Halo-linked Pho1ΔL80 (Halo-

Pho1ΔL80, pSH642 [54]), Halo-linked GFP (Halo-GFP, pSH583 [54]) and Halo-PsaC were

expressed and purified as described above. Purified Halo-proteins were used for HaloTag®

pulldown assays as described [54]. 100 µL of HaloLink slurry (Promega) was centrifuged at 800

X g for 1 min to collect the resin. The supernatant was discarded, and the resin was washed three

times with 800 µL of binding buffer (25mM HEPES-NaOH pH 7.5, 150mM NaCl, 0.05%

Nonidet P-40). The equilibrated resin was collected by centrifugation at 800 X g for 2 min. 500

µg of the purified HALO-protein was added to the HaloLink resin and incubated at 4°C for 2 h

to allow binding. Unbound proteins were removed by centrifugation at 800 X g for 2 min and the

resin washed two times with binding buffer and two times with the protein extraction buffer (see

above). In parallel, 3 g of whole green seedling tissue were ground in 3 ml of the protein

extraction buffer. Tissue extracts were centrifuged at 1,500 X g and then at 15,000 X g to remove

starch and cell debris. One ml of soluble tissue extract was applied to the HaloLink resin coupled

to Halo-Pho1, Halo-Pho1ΔL80, Halo-PsaC or Halo-GFP and incubated for 2 h at 4°C, followed

by 15 min incubation at room temperature. The mixture was centrifuged at 800 X g for 2 min to

collect the resin, which was subsequently washed three times with wash buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl

pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM NaF, 1 mM DTT). Bound proteins were eluted from the resin by

incubating with 100 µL of Urea/SDS extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.0, 6.4 M urea, 2%

SDS, 1% β-mercaptoethanol, 5% glycerol and 0.02% bromophenol blue) at room temperature for

10 min. Proteins captured by the Halo-tagged bound proteins were collected by centrifugation at

800 X g for 2 min.

*Isolation of rice chloroplasts*

Chloroplasts were isolated from rice green seedlings as described [27] with the following

modifications. All steps were performed at 4°C unless otherwise indicated. Twenty g of green

seedling tissue was powdered in liquid nitrogen and homogenized in cold isolation buffer (50

mM HEPES pH 7.8, 330 mM sorbitol 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM NaF). Homogenate

was filtered through four layers of Miracloth (Millipore). The filtrate was then centrifuged at 200

X g for 3 min to remove cell debris. The supernatant fluid was then transferred to a new tube and

centrifuged at 1,000 x g for 7 min to sediment crude chloroplasts. Chloroplast pellet was

resuspended in 6 ml of the isolation buffer containing 0.1% w/v BSA.  Ten ml of 40% Percoll

(Pharmacia) in the isolation buffer was prepared. 6 ml of the chloroplast suspension was layered

on top of the 10 ml 40% Percoll in the isolation buffer and centrifuged at 1,700 X g for 7 min.

The intact chloroplasts formed a small green pellet at the bottom of the tube. After the Percoll

solution containing broken chloroplasts was carefully removed, the intact chloroplast pellet was

washed twice with the isolation buffer and solubilized in 500 µL of the isolation buffer.

Chlorophyll concentration of the isolated chloroplasts was determined in 80% acetone by using

the Porra method [71].

*Isolation of rice thylakoid membrane complexes*

Thylakoid membrane complexes were isolated as previously described [27]. All steps

were performed at 4°C unless otherwise indicated. Chloroplast suspension containing 100 µg of

chlorophyll was lysed by incubating in hypo-osmotic buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 2 mM

$MgCl_2$, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM NaF, 1mM PMSF) for 30 min at 4°C. Thylakoid membranes were

collected from the lysate by centrifugation at 15,000 X g for 15 min. Sedimented thylakoid

membranes were solubilized in 100 µL of ACA/DDM buffer (750mM aminocaproic acid, 50

mM Bis-Tris, pH 7.0, 0.5 mM EDTA, 4% n-Dodecyl β-D-maltoside). The homogenate was

centrifuged at 15,000 X g for 30 min and the supernatant was transferred to a new tube

containing 20 µL of CBB buffer (5% Coomassie brilliant blue G250 in 750 mM aminocaproic

acid). The samples were then separated on blue native gels to visualize thylakoid membrane

complexes.

*Blue native PAGE*

Blue native PAGE was conducted as previously described [72]. Bis-Tris acrylamide gels

(Invitrogen NativePAGE™) consisting of a continuous 4-16% acrylamide gradient were used for

the separation. Fifteen µL of solubilized thylakoid membrane (equivalent to 80 µg chlorophyll)

was loaded onto each lane. Gel filtration protein standards (Pharmacia) of thyroglobulin (669

kDa), ferritin (440 kDa) and catalase (232 kDa) were used as native molecular weight markers.

Gels were run for ~20 h at 4°C using an anode buffer of 50 mM Bis-Tris (pH 7.0) and a cathode

buffer of 50 mM tricine, 15 mM Bis-Tris (pH 7.0), 0.02% CBB-G250. Separated protein

complexes could be directly visualized without staining.

*Extraction of thylakoid membrane complexes from blue native gels*

All steps were performed at 4°C unless otherwise indicated. Bands of interest containing

the thylakoid membrane complexes were excised from the blue native gels and transferred to

capped tubes. 7-10 stainless steel beads (1.8-2 mm in diameter) were added to each tube.

Samples were frozen at -80°C for 20 min and then transferred to liquid nitrogen. Frozen samples

were pulverized by a bead homogenizer (Omni Bead Ruptor 24 Elite) for 30 sec at a setting of 5

ms.  One-half ml of ice-cold 1X SDS sample buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.0, 2% SDS, 1% β-

mercaptoethanol, 5% glycerol and 0.02% bromophenol blue) was added to each tube. Samples

were vibrated for an additional 30 sec at 5 ms and the tubes were incubated on ice for 20 min to

allow protein diffusion. Samples were centrifuged at 5,000 RPM for 10 min and the supernatant

transferred to a new tube. To collect the remaining solution inside the gel slurry, the metal beads

were removed and the gel slurry was passed through a filtration column (Bio-Rad Econo-Pac

column) by centrifugation at 8,000 RPM for 10 min. Flow-through fractions from the column

were combined with the previously collected supernatant and the 1X SDS sample buffer was

used to adjust the samples to equal volumes.

*Dot-blot analysis*

10 µL each of the thylakoid membrane proteins extracted from blue native gel bands was

spotted on a nitrocellulose membrane (Pall Corporation). After spots on the membrane were

completely air-dried, Pho1 protein was detected using anti-Pho1 as described above.

*Stability assay of Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 in leaf extract*

Four g of rice leaves were homogenized in 100 ml extraction buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl pH

7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM ATP, 0.5% Nonidet P-40), and filtered through four

layers of Miracloth (Millipore). The filtrate was centrifuged at 200 X g for 3 min to remove cell

debris, and the soluble leaf extract was then transferred to a new tube. In order to assess the

degradation of Pho1 and Pho1ΔL80 in the leaf extract, two µg of the purified recombinant Pho1

or Pho1ΔL80 was added to a microcentrifuge tube.  One-hundred µL of leaf extract was added

on top of the recombinant proteins and incubated for 15 or 60 min at room temperature with

gentle shaking. In parallel, the extraction buffer was added instead and incubated under the same

conditions At the end of the incubation, the SDS sample buffer was added to each mixture and

the proteins are immediately separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane

and immunoblotted with anti-Pho1. The degradation level of Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80 was assessed by

comparing the intensity of the Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80 bands from the leaf extract treatment with that

of the extraction buffer-treated Pho1 or Pho1ΔL80.

*Determination of photosystem-I functionality*

P700 redox states is measured with intact rice plants from 820 nm minus 900 nm

absorption changes using a home-built flash-spectrometer with ms time-resolution [73]. From the

P700 redox changes the quantum yield of photochemical energy conversion at PSI (YI) and

quantum yields of non-photochemical energy dissipation due to donor side ($YN_D$) or acceptor

side ($YN_A$) limitations, were derived at different light intensities as described [30]. Rice plants

were used 28-35 days after transplantation (DAT) for the study.  Measurements were conducted

on the widest section of the youngest fully exposed leaf on six to eight different plants (n = 12 to

32) after dark-adaptation at room temperature.

*Gas exchange measurements*

Gas exchange measurements were conducted to calculate photosynthetic parameters as

previously described [74] using LI-6400XT portable photosynthesis system (LI-COR

Biosciences). Rice plants used were 28-49 DAT.  Measurements were conducted at the widest

section of the youngest fully exposed leaves of six to fourteen different plants (n = 6 to 14) after

dark acclimation. Two leaf blades from each plant were used to cover the cuvette surface. The

data was collected between 9 $_{AM}$ and 5 $_{PM}$ in a chamber with an air temperature around 27°C.

**Supplementary Figures 1 to 3**

**Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by Agriculture and Food Research Initiative [grant no. 2018-67013-
27458/project accession no. 1014859] from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture
(T.W.O.,S.K.H. and H.K.), USDA Hatch Umbrella Project #1015621, and Hatch Regional NC-1200
Project. We thank Dr. Rita Giuliani for providing guidance in the $CO_2$ gas exchange studies.

**Competing interests**

None

**References**

1.    Hwang, S.-K., K. Koper, and T.W. Okita, *The plastid phosphorylase as a multiple-role*
*player in plant metabolism.* Plant Science, 2019: p. 110303.

2.    Kresge, N., R.D. Simoni, and R.L. Hill, *The process of reversible phosphorylation: the*
*work of Edmond H. Fischer.* The Journal of biological chemistry, 2011. **286**(3): p. e1-e2.

3.  Cori, C.F. and G.T. Cori, *Polysaccharide phosphorylase.*

https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/medicine/1947/cori-cf/lecture/, 1947.

4.  Fischer, E.H., *Protein phosphorylation and cellular regulation, II.*

https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/medicine/1992/fischer/lecture/, 1992.

5.  Krebs, E.G., *Protein phosphorylation and cellular regulation, I.*

https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/medicine/1992/krebs/lecture/, 1992.

6.  Shimomura, S., M. Nagai, and T. Fukui, *Comparative Glucan Specificities of Two Types*

*of Spinach Leaf Phosphorylase.* The Journal of Biochemistry, 1982. **91**(2): p. 703-717.

7.  Sonnewald, U., A. Basner, B. Greve, and M. Steup, *A second L-type isozyme of potato*

*glucan phosphorylase: cloning, antisense inhibition and expression analysis.* Plant

molecular biology, 1995. **27**(3): p. 567-576.

8.  Lu, Y., J.M. Steichen, J. Yao, and T.D. Sharkey, *The role of cytosolic α-glucan*

*phosphorylase in maltose metabolism and the comparison of amylomaltase in*

*Arabidopsis and Escherichia coli.* Plant physiology, 2006. **142**(3): p. 878-889.

9.  Zeeman, S.C., D. Thorneycroft, N. Schupp, A. Chapple, M. Weck, H. Dunstan, P.

Haldimann, N. Bechtold, A.M. Smith, and S.M. Smith, *Plastidial α-Glucan*

*Phosphorylase Is Not Required for Starch Degradation in Arabidopsis Leaves But Has a*

*Role in the Tolerance of Abiotic Stress.* Plant Physiology, 2004. **135**(2): p. 849-858.

10.  Malinova, I., S. Mahlow, S. Alseekh, T. Orawetz, A.R. Fernie, O. Baumann, M. Steup,

and J. Fettke, *Double knockout mutants of Arabidopsis grown under normal conditions*

*reveal that the plastidial phosphorylase isozyme participates in transitory starch*

*metabolism.* Plant physiology, 2014. **164**(2): p. 907-921.

11.    Satoh, H., K. Shibahara, T. Tokunaga, A. Nishi, M. Tasaki, S.-K. Hwang, T.W. Okita, N.

Kaneko, N. Fujita, and M. Yoshida, *Mutation of the plastidial α-glucan phosphorylase*

*gene in rice affects the synthesis and structure of starch in the endosperm.* The Plant Cell,

2008. **20**(7): p. 1833-1849.

12.    Ohdan, T., P.B. Francisco Jr, T. Sawada, T. Hirose, T. Terao, H. Satoh, and Y.

Nakamura, *Expression profiling of genes involved in starch synthesis in sink and source*

*organs of rice.* Journal of experimental botany, 2005. **56**(422): p. 3229-3244.

13.    Yu, Y., H.H. Mu, B.P. Wasserman, and G.M. Carman, *Identification of the Maize*

*Amyloplast Stromal 112-kD Protein as a Plastidic Starch Phosphorylase.* Plant

Physiology, 2001. **125**(1): p. 351-359.

14.    Schupp, N. and P. Ziegler, *The Relation of Starch Phosphorylases to Starch Metabolism*

*in Wheat.* Plant and Cell Physiology, 2004. **45**(10): p. 1471-1484.

15.    Tickle, P., M.M. Burrell, S.A. Coates, M.J. Emes, I.J. Tetlow, and C.G. Bowsher,

*Characterization of plastidial starch phosphorylase in Triticum aestivum L. endosperm.*

Journal of Plant Physiology, 2009. **166**(14): p. 1465-1478.

16.    Ma, J., Q.-T. Jiang, X.-W. Zhang, X.-J. Lan, Z.-E. Pu, Y.-M. Wei, C. Liu, Z.-X. Lu, and

Y.-L. Zheng, *Structure and expression of barley starch phosphorylase genes.* Planta,

2013. **238**(6): p. 1081-1093.

17.    Dauvillée, D., V. Chochois, M. Steup, S. Haebel, N. Eckermann, G. Ritte, J.-P. Ral, C.

818            Colleoni, G. Hicks, F. Wattebled, P. Deschamps, C. D'Hulst, L. Liénard, L. Cournac, J.-

819            L. Putaux, D. Dupeyre, and S.G. Ball, *Plastidial phosphorylase is required for normal*

820            *starch synthesis in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii.* The Plant Journal, 2006. **48**(2): p. 274-

821            285.

822    18.    Hwang, S.-K., A. Nishi, H. Satoh, and T.W. Okita, *Rice endosperm-specific plastidial α-*

823            *glucan phosphorylase is important for synthesis of short-chain malto-oligosaccharides.*

824            Archives of biochemistry and biophysics, 2010. **495**(1): p. 82-92.

825    19.    Cuesta-Seijo, J.A., C. Ruzanski, K. Krucewicz, S. Meier, P. Hägglund, B. Svensson, and

826            M.M. Palcic, *Functional and structural characterization of plastidic starch*

827            *phosphorylase during barley endosperm development.* PLOS ONE, 2017. **12**(4): p.

828            e0175488.

829    20.    Nakamura, Y., M. Ono, T. Sawada, N. Crofts, N. Fujita, and M. Steup, *Characterization*

830            *of the functional interactions of plastidial starch phosphorylase and starch branching*

831            *enzymes from rice endosperm during reserve starch biosynthesis.* Plant Science, 2017.

832            **264**: p. 83-95.

833    21.    Hwang, S.-K., K. Koper, H. Satoh, and T.W. Okita, *Rice Endosperm Starch*

834            *Phosphorylase (Pho1) Assembles with Disproportionating Enzyme (Dpe1) to Form a*

835            *Protein Complex That Enhances Synthesis of Malto-oligosaccharides.* Journal of

836            Biological Chemistry, 2016. **291**(38): p. 19994-20007.

837     22.     Gibson, K., J.-S. Park, Y. Nagai, Y.-C. Cho, K.-H. Roh, S.-M. Lee, D.-H. Kim, S.-B.

838            Choi, H. Ito, G.E. Edwards, and T.W. Okita, *Exploiting leaf starch as a transient sink to*

839            *increase plant productivity and yields.* Plant Sci., 2011. **181**: p. 275-281.

840     23.     Gibson, K.M., S.-K. Hwang, G.E. Edwards, T.W. Okita, C. Kato, H. Matsui, and H. Ito,

841            *Metabolic engineering of starch for enhanced productivity and yields.* J. Appl. Glycosci.,

842            2003. **50**: p. 201-206.

843     24.     Qin, X., M. Suga, T. Kuang, and J.-R. Shen, *Structural basis for energy transfer*

844            *pathways in the plant PSI-LHCI supercomplex.* Science, 2015. **348**(6238): p. 989-995.

845     25.     Nellaepalli, S., S.-I. Ozawa, H. Kuroda, and Y. Takahashi, *The photosystem I assembly*

846            *apparatus consisting of Ycf3–Y3IP1 and Ycf4 modules.* Nature communications, 2018.

847            **9**(1): p. 2439.

848     26.     Granvogl, B., V. Reisinger, and L.A. Eichacker, *Mapping the proteome of thylakoid*

849            *membranes by de novo sequencing of intermembrane peptide domains.* PROTEOMICS,

850            2006. **6**(12): p. 3681-3695.

851     27.     Shao, J., Y. Zhang, J. Yu, L. Guo, and Y. Ding, *Isolation of thylakoid membrane*

852            *complexes from rice by a new double-strips BN/SDS-PAGE and bioinformatics*

853            *prediction of stromal ridge subunits interaction.* PLoS One, 2011. **6**(5): p. e20342.

854     28.     Dwyer, S.A., W.S. Chow, W. Yamori, J.R. Evans, S. Kaines, M.R. Badger, and S. von

855            Caemmerer, *Antisense reductions in the PsbO protein of photosystem II leads to*

856            *decreased quantum yield but similar maximal photosynthetic rates.* Journal of

857            experimental botany, 2012. **63**(13): p. 4781-4795.

858    29.    Kato, Y., K. Sugimoto, and T. Shikanai, *NDH-PSI supercomplex assembly precedes full*

859            *assembly of the NDH complex in chloroplast.* Plant physiology, 2018. **176**(2): p. 1728-

860            1738.

861    30.    Klughammer, C. and U. Schreiber, *Deconvolution of ferredoxin, plastocyanin, and P700*

862            *transmittance changes in intact leaves with a new type of kinetic LED array*

863            *spectrophotometer.* Photosynthesis Research, 2016. **128**(2): p. 195-214.

864    31.    Schreiber, U., *Saturation pulse method for assessment of energy conversion in PSI.* PAM

865            Application Notes, 2008. **1**: p. 11-14.

866    32.    Sonoike, K., *Photoinhibition of photosystem I.* Physiologia Plantarum, 2011. **142**(1): p.

867            56-64.

868    33.    Cruz, R.P.d., R.A. Sperotto, D. Cargnelutti, J.M. Adamski, T. de FreitasTerra, and J.P.

869            Fett, *Avoiding damage and achieving cold tolerance in rice plants.* Food and Energy

870            Security, 2013. **2**(2): p. 96-119.

871    34.    Fettke, J., T. Albrecht, M. Hejazi, S. Mahlow, Y. Nakamura, and M. Steup, *Glucose 1-*

872            *phosphate is efficiently taken up by potato (Solanum tuberosum) tuber parenchyma cells*

873            *and converted to reserve starch granules.* New Phytologist, 2010. **185**(3): p. 663-675.

874    35.    Duwenig, E., M. Steup, and J. Kossmann, *Induction of genes encoding plastidic*

875            *phosphorylase from spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.) and potato (Solanum tuberosum L.)*

876            *by exogenously supplied carbohydrates in excised leaf discs.* Planta, 1997. **203**(1): p.

877            111-120.

36.    van Berkel, J., J. Conrads-Strauch, and M. Steup, *Glucan-phosphorylase forms in*

*cotyledons of Pisum sativum L.: Localization, developmental change, in-vitro translation,*

*and processing.* Planta, 1991. **185**(3): p. 432-439.

37.    Fettke, J., L. Leifels, H. Brust, K. Herbst, and M. Steup, *Two carbon fluxes to reserve*

*starch in potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) tuber cells are closely interconnected but*

*differently modulated by temperature.* J Exp Bot, 2012. **63**(8): p. 3011-29.

38.    Koper, K., S.-K. Hwang, S. Singh, and T.W. Okita, *Source-sink relationships and its*

*effect on plant productivity: manipulation of primary carbon and starch metabolism*, in

*Genome Engineering for Crop Improvement*, B. Sarmah, Editor. 2020, Springer Nature.

39.    MacNeill, G.J., S. Mehrpouyan, M.A.A. Minow, J.A. Patterson, I.J. Tetlow, and M.J.

Emes, *Starch as a source, starch as a sink: the bifunctional role of starch in carbon*

*allocation.* Journal of Experimental Botany, 2017. **68**(16): p. 4433-4453.

40.    Young, G.-H., H.-M. Chen, C.-T. Lin, K.-C. Tseng, J.-S. Wu, and R.-H. Juang, *Site-*

*specific phosphorylation of L-form starch phosphorylase by the protein kinase activity*

*from sweet potato roots.* Planta, 2006. **223**(3): p. 468-478.

41.    Sun, J., K.M. Gibson, O. Kiirats, T.W. Okita, and G.E. Edwards, *Interactions of nitrate*

*and $CO_2$ enrichment on growth, carbohydrates and Rubisco in Arabidopsis starch*

*mutants. Significance of starch and hexoses.* Plant Physiol., 2002. **130**: p. 1573-1583.

42.    Sun, J., T.W. Okita, and G.E. Edwards, *Modification of carbon partitioning,*

*photosynthetic capacity, and O2 sensitivity in Arabidopsis plants with low ADP-glucose*

*pyrophosphorylase activity.* Plant Physiol., 1999. **119**(1): p. 267-276.

43.    Zhou, J., F. Zhang, H. Meng, Y. Zhang, and Y. Li, *Introducing extra NADPH*

*consumption ability significantly increases the photosynthetic efficiency and biomass*

*production of cyanobacteria.* Metabolic Engineering, 2016. **38**: p. 217-227.

44.    Kramer, D.M. and J.R. Evans, *The Importance of Energy Balance in Improving*

*Photosynthetic Productivity.* Plant Physiology, 2011. **155**(1): p. 70-78.

45.    Clarke, J.E. and G.N. Johnson, *In vivo temperature dependence of cyclic and*

*pseudocyclic electron transport in barley.* Planta, 2001. **212**(5): p. 808-816.

46.    Mackey, K.R.M., A. Paytan, K. Caldeira, A.R. Grossman, D. Moran, M. McIlvin, and

M.A. Saito, *Effect of temperature on photosynthesis and growth in marine*

*Synechococcus spp.* Plant physiology, 2013. **163**(2): p. 815-829.

47.    Asada, K., *Radical production and scavenging in the chloroplasts*, in *Photosynthesis and*

*the Environment*. 1996, Springer. p. 123-150.

48.    Lima-Melo, Y., V.T. Akebcar, A.K. Lobo, R.H. Suosa, M. Tikkanen, E.-M. Aro, J.A.

Silveira, and P.J. Gollan, *Photoinhibition of photosystem I provides oxidative protection*

*during imbalanced photosynthetic electron transport in Arabidopsis thaliana.* Frontiers in

plant science, 2019. **10**: p. 916.

49.    Sharkey, T.D. and S.E. Weise, *The glucose 6-phosphate shunt around the Calvin–Benson*

*cycle.* Journal of Experimental Botany, 2015. **67**(14): p. 4067-4077.

50.    Roach, T. and A. Krieger-Liszkay, *Regulation of photosynthetic electron transport and*

*photoinhibition.* Current Protein and Peptide Science, 2014. **15**(4): p. 351-362.

51.    Kudoh, H. and K. Sonoike, *Irreversible damage to photosystem I by chilling in the light:*
920           *cause of the degradation of chlorophyll after returning to normal growth temperature.*
921           Planta, 2002. **215**(4): p. 541-548.

922    52.    Chen, H.M., S.C. Chang, C.C. Wu, T.S. Cuo, J.S. Wu, and R.H. Juang, *Regulation of the*
923           *catalytic behaviour of L-form starch phosphorylase from sweet potato roots by*
924           *proteolysis.* Physiologia plantarum, 2002. **114**(4): p. 506-515.

925    53.    Lin, Y.-C., H.-M. Chen, I.M. Chou, A.-N. Chen, C.-P. Chen, G.-H. Young, C.-T. Lin, C.-
926           H. Cheng, S.-C. Chang, and R.-H. Juang, *Plastidial starch phosphorylase in sweet potato*
927           *roots is proteolytically modified by protein-protein interaction with the 20S proteasome.*
928           PloS one, 2012. **7**(4): p. e35336-e35336.

929    54.    Hwang, S.-K., S. Singh, B. Cakir, H. Satoh, and T.W. Okita, *The plastidial starch*
930           *phosphorylase from rice endosperm: catalytic properties at low temperature.* Planta,
931           2016. **243**(4): p. 999-1009.

932    55.    Walley, J.W., Z. Shen, R. Sartor, K.J. Wu, J. Osborn, L.G. Smith, and S.P. Briggs,
933           *Reconstruction of protein networks from an atlas of maize seed proteotypes.* Proceedings
934           of the National Academy of Sciences, 2013. **110**(49): p. E4808-E4817.

935    56.    Morrison, D.K., *The 14-3-3 proteins: integrators of diverse signaling cues that impact*
936           *cell fate and cancer development.* Trends in cell biology, 2009. **19**(1): p. 16-23.

937    57.    Madeira, F., M. Tinti, G. Murugesan, E. Berrett, M. Stafford, R. Toth, C. Cole, C.
938           MacKintosh, and G.J. Barton, *14-3-3-Pred: improved methods to predict 14-3-3-binding*
939           *phosphopeptides.* Bioinformatics, 2015. **31**(14): p. 2276-2283.

58. Gökirmak, T., A.-L. Paul, and R.J. Ferl, *Plant phosphopeptide-binding proteins as*

*signaling mediators.* Current opinion in plant biology, 2010. **13**(5): p. 527-532.

59. Denison, F.C., A.-L. Paul, A.K. Zupanska, and R.J. Ferl. *14-3-3 proteins in plant*

*physiology*. in *Seminars in cell & developmental biology*. 2011. Elsevier.

60. Zhang, Z., H. Zhao, J. Tang, Z. Li, Z. Li, D. Chen, and W. Lin, *A proteomic study on*

*molecular mechanism of poor grain-filling of rice (Oryza sativa L.) inferior spikelets.*

PloS one, 2014. **9**(2).

61. Zhang, Z., H. Zhao, F. Huang, J. Long, G. Song, and W. Lin, *The 14-3-3 protein GF14f*

*negatively affects grain filling of inferior spikelets of rice (Oryza sativa L.).* The Plant

Journal, 2019. **99**(2): p. 344-358.

62. Sehnke, P.C., H.J. Chung, K. Wu, and R.J. Ferl, *Regulation of starch accumulation by*

*granule-associated plant 14-3-3 proteins.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2001. **98**(2): p. 765-

70.

63. Comparot, S., G. Lingiah, and T. Martin, *Function and specificity of 14-3-3 proteins in*

*the regulation of carbohydrate and nitrogen metabolism.* J Exp Bot, 2003. **54**(382): p.

595-604.

64. Wang, X., L. Chang, Z. Tong, D. Wang, Q. Yin, D. Wang, X. Jin, Q. Yang, L. Wang, and

Y. Sun, *Proteomics profiling reveals carbohydrate metabolic enzymes and 14-3-3*

*proteins play important roles for starch accumulation during cassava root tuberization.*

Scientific reports, 2016. **6**: p. 19643.

65. Toki, S., *Rapid and efficient Agrobacterium-mediated transformation in rice.* Plant Molecular Biology Reporter, 1997. **15**(1): p. 16-21.

66. Salisbury, F.B. and C.W. Ross, *Plant Physiology*. 1978, Belmont, California: Wadsworth.

67. Laemmli, U.K., *Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4.* nature, 1970. **227**(5259): p. 680.

68. Sørensen, B.K., P. Højrup, E. Østergård, C.S. Jørgensen, J. Enghild, L.R. Ryder, and G. Houen, *Silver staining of proteins on electroblotting membranes and intensification of silver staining of proteins separated by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.* Analytical biochemistry, 2002. **304**(1): p. 33-41.

69. Crofts, A.J., N. Crofts, J.P. Whitelegge, and T.W. Okita, *Isolation and identification of cytoskeleton-associated prolamine mRNA binding proteins from developing rice seeds.* Planta, 2010. **231**(6): p. 1261-1276.

70. Hwang, S.-K., S. Hamada, and T.W. Okita, *Catalytic implications of the higher plant ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase large subunit.* Phytochemistry, 2007. **68**(4): p. 464-477.

71. Porra, R.J., *The chequered history of the development and use of simultaneous equations for the accurate determination of chlorophylls a and b.* Photosynthesis research, 2002. **73**(1-3): p. 149-156.

72. Kügler, M., L. Jänsch, V. Kruft, U.K. Schmitz, and H.-P. Braun, *Analysis of the chloroplast protein complexes by blue-native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (BN-PAGE).* Photosynthesis Research, 1997. **53**(1): p. 35-44.

73.  Tietz, S., S. Puthiyaveetil, H.M. Enlow, R. Yarbrough, M. Wood, D.A. Semchonok, T.

Lowry, Z. Li, P. Jahns, and E.J. Boekema, *Functional implications of photosystem II*

*crystal formation in photosynthetic membranes.* Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2015.

**290**(22): p. 14091-14106.

74.  Giuliani, R., N. Koteyeva, E. Voznesenskaya, M.A. Evans, A.B. Cousins, and G.E.

Edwards, *Coordination of Leaf Photosynthesis, Transpiration, and Structural Traits in*

*Rice and Wild Relatives (Genus Oryza).* Plant Physiology, 2013. **162**(3): p. 1632-1651.