Genome-wide identification of novel long non-coding RNAs and their possible roles in

hypoxic zebrafish brain

Bodhisattwa Banerjee [1][*], Debaprasad Koner [2], David Karasik [1], Nirmalendu Saha [2]

[1]Musculoskeletal Genetics Laboratory, The Azrieli Faculty of Medicine, Bar-Ilan University,

Safed 1311502, Israel

[2] Biochemical Adaptation Laboratory, Department of Zoology, North-Eastern Hill University,

Shillong 793022, India

[*]Corresponding author:

Dr. Bodhisattwa Banerjee, PhD

Musculoskeletal Genetics Laboratory,

The Azrieli Faculty of Medicine,

Bar-Ilan University,

Safed 1311502, Israel

Abstract:

Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are the master regulators of numerous biological processes.

Hypoxia causes oxidative stress with severe and detrimental effects on brain function and acts as

a critical initiating factor in the pathogenesis of Alzheimer's disease (AD). From the RNA-Seq in

the forebrain (Fb), midbrain (Mb), and hindbrain (Hb) regions of hypoxic and normoxic

zebrafish, we identified novel lncRNAs, whose potential *cis* targets showed involvement in

neuronal development and differentiation pathways. Under hypoxia, several lncRNAs and

mRNAs were differentially expressed. Co-expression studies indicated that the Fb and Hb

regions' potential lncRNA target genes were involved in the AD pathogenesis. In contrast, those

in Mb (*cry1b*, *per1a*, *cipca*) were responsible for regulating circadian rhythm. We identified

specific lncRNAs present in the syntenic regions between zebrafish and humans, possibly

functionally conserved. We thus identified several conserved lncRNAs as the probable regulators

of AD genes (*adrb3b, cav1, stat3, bace2, apoeb, psen1, s100b*).

31
32
33   *Keywords:* long non-coding RNAs; hypoxia; Alzheimer's disease; brain regions; zebrafish

1.   Introduction

Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) were previously considered as "transcriptional noise" and deemed as "non-functional". Recent technical advances in the high-throughput genomic platforms have revealed that despite active transcription of about 85% of the human genome [1,2], only 1 – 2% of it is protein-coding (PC). Thus, the vast majority of transcripts are represented by ncRNAs. Two major classes of ncRNAs have been classified according to their length: small ncRNAs (under 200 nt) and long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) (200 nt to as long as several kilobase pairs). Like the mRNAs, most of the lncRNAs undergo splicing, 5′ capping, 3′ polyadenylation and are usually transcribed by RNA polymerase II. Like coding transcripts, lncRNAs, too, have epigenetic markers [3] and may contain polymorphisms [4]. The lncRNAs are emerging as critical regulators of major biological processes impacting development, differentiation, and disease [5,6].

Hypoxia is the condition where there is an inadequate oxygen supply in tissues. Approximately 20% of the human body's energy budget is consumed by the brain [7], and thus adequate supply of oxygen is critical for the normal functioning of the neurons. It has been suggested that hypoxia plays an essential role in the initiation of Alzheimer's' disease (AD) pathogenesis as hypoxic conditions increase free radical production in the electron transport chain in the mitochondria leading to increased oxidative stress [8,9].

The zebrafish is a small, hardy freshwater fish native to South Asian countries like India, Nepal, Pakistan, and Bangladesh. Originally zebrafish was used as a model organism for the study of vertebrate development. However, in recent decades large-scale genetic screens were able to identify hundreds of mutant phenotypes similar to human diseases, including the AD [8,10,11]. Several reported studies support the zebrafish model's viability as an alternative way for a better understanding of neurodegenerative diseases, such as AD [12]. Nevertheless, for studies on all these diseases and AD, the focal spot is primarily on the PC genes. However, similar studies using the zebrafish to probe lncRNA function are still in infancy, mostly because of a lack of proper annotation and systematic survey.

To date, only a few studies have systematically determined the lncRNA landscape in the zebrafish. Ulitsky et al. [13] used chromatin marks and poly(A) site mapping and RNA-seq data from three developmental stages and reported more than 550 distinct lncRNAs in zebrafish. Among which 29 lncRNAs showed sequence similarity with their mammalian orthologs.

Similarly, two more studies by Pauli et al. [14] and Kaushik et al. [15] identified 1133 and 442

long non-coding transcripts from eight developmental stages of zebrafish embryogenesis and

different adult tissues, respectively.

However, there are several lacunae in these previous studies. Moreover, no data are available

on the lncRNAs expressed in the fish brain's sub-regions. Therefore, in this study, we used a

strand-specific RNA-sequencing (ssRNA-Seq) method to identify and characterize novel

lncRNAs (previously unreported) and their potential target PC genes in three different brain

regions of the zebrafish. Furthermore, to elucidate the roles of these lncRNAs and their potential

target genes under hypoxia, we constructed co-expression networks of lncRNAs and mRNAs in

these brain sub-regions. There is very little sequence conservation of many lncRNAs between

species, mostly conservation is restricted to short sequence stretches [16]. However, many

lncRNAs were derived from syntenic loci between different species and thus thought to be

functionally conserved [16]. Therefore, we ascertained the conserved syntenic loci of important

novel lncRNAs between zebrafish and humans in this current study.

2.   Results

2.1 Genome-wide identification of novel lncRNAs

From the adult zebrafish brain regions (forebrain, midbrain, and hindbrain) RNA-Seq

library, a total of ~530 million reads were obtained. GC content and Q30 content in these data

averaged at 43.8% and 93.94%, respectively (Supplementary Table S1). An average of 78.77%,

79.83%, and 81.03% of reads mapped uniquely to the zebrafish genome (GRCz11) in the Fb,

Mb, and Hb regions, respectively (Supplementary Table S2). We have used stringent criteria to

develop a pipeline for identifying novel lncRNAs (Fig. 1A). StringTie with --merge option was

used to merge all the GTF files from the three brain sub-regions and produce a non-redundant set

of transcripts of the zebrafish brain. Using the filtering pipeline (Fig. 1A), a total of 35060

lncRNA transcripts were identified. Furthermore, using the BLASTN search (E value $1e^{-5}$)

against the lncRNA sequences of the ZFLNC database resulted in the detection of 4172 novel

lncRNA transcripts (3527 novel lncRNA genes) (Supplementary file S1). The ZFLNC database

is the most comprehensive database of zebrafish lncRNAs to date. The data consists of resources

from NCBI, ENSEMBL, NONCODE, zflncRNApedia, and literature [17]. Thus, the transcripts

without a BLAST hit against the ZFLNC data were considered as "novel". Genomic locations of

the novel lncRNA transcripts were identified using Ensembl genome browser (Fig. 1B). Among

these novel lncRNAs majority (58%) was intergenic, 27% were intronic, and 12% were antisense

(Fig. 1C). The majority (45%) of the novel lncRNAs identified in this study were 400 – 700 bp

in length, whereas 16% of these were longer than 1000 bp in size (Fig. 1D). We identified the

common lncRNAs in the Fb, Mb, and Hb regions and observed that 204 lncRNAs were mutual

among all three regions (Supplementary fig. S1).

2.2 Functional annotation of the novel lncRNAs

The PC genes present 100 kb up or downstream of the novel lncRNAs were considered *cis*

target genes. We could identify 2564 potential *cis* target PC genes (Supplementary file S2). To

obtain further insight into the functional role of these lncRNAs, we performed GO (Biological

process) and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis (Fig. 2, Supplementary fig. 2 and

Supplementary file S3 – S4). The top 20 terms enriched in the GO biological process include

nervous system development, neurogenesis, multicellular organism development, system

development. Similarly, three functional categories were enriched in the KEGG pathway

analysis, including the calcium signaling pathway, apelin signaling pathway, and cell adhesion

molecules (CAMs). We identified 158 lncRNA and mRNA pairs that co-expressed.

2.3 Differential expression of novel lncRNAs and mRNAs under hypoxia

Differential expression of the lncRNA and mRNA genes in three brain sub-regions were

measured using the edgeR tool. The lncRNAs and mRNAs in the hypoxic group (1.1±0.6 mg/l of

dissolved oxygen) of fish were compared to the normoxic (7.6±0.4 mg/l of dissolved oxygen)

group, and the genes with fold change >2 and FDR <0.05 were considered as differentially

expressed (DE). A total of 836, 920, and 1647 novel lncRNA (Supplementary file S5A – S5C)

and 2723, 2379, and 6267 PC and Ensembl annotated lncRNA DE genes were detected in the Fb,

Mb, and Hb regions, respectively (Supplementary file S6A – S6C). Many DE PC genes were

implicated in positive or negative regulation of neurodegenerative diseases like AD (i.e., *adrb3b*,

apoeb, *psen1*, *s100b*, *bace2*, *stat3*, *cav1*, *apoa1a*, *hspb1*, etc.). Volcano plots of the DE (up- or

downregulated) lncRNAs (Fig. 3A) and mRNAs (Fig. 3B) identified the genes with large fold

changes that are also statistically significant. Furthermore, we compared the number of DE

lncRNAs and mRNAs between the three brain sub-regions. Venn diagram showed that 470 DE

novel lncRNAs (Fig. 3C) were shared between all the three brain regions, whereas 1209 DE

mRNAs (Fig. 3D) were common in all three brain regions. We used hierarchical cluster analysis

to illustrate the expression patterns of DE lncRNAs and DE mRNAs in each brain region. The

heatmap of the top 100 DE lncRNA and mRNA genes showed a clear separation between the

normoxic and hypoxic groups in the three brain sub-regions (Figs. 3E and 3F).

Moreover, enrichment analysis of the DE mRNAs in each brain sub-region was performed

using the GO biological process, KEGG, and Disease.ZFIN databases. The GO enrichment

analysis of the Fb region showed that the most significantly upregulated mRNAs were associated

with developmental process, anion transport, multicellular organism development (Fig. 4A and

Supplementary Table S3). Only one KEGG pathway, namely the MAPK signaling pathway, was

enriched in the Fb region (Fig. 4B and Supplementary Table S4). In the Mb region, circadian

regulation of gene expression, circadian rhythm, development process, and anatomical structure

development were the four most significantly enriched GO terms (Fig. 4D and Supplementary

Table S6). A single KEGG pathway, ECM-receptor interaction, was enriched in the Mb region

(Fig. 4E and Supplementary Table S7). At the same time, 15 GO terms were enriched in the Hb

region. Eight were significantly upregulated, including organic anion transport, organic acid

transport, and chondrocyte morphogenesis (Fig. 4G and Supplementary Table S9). Four KEGG

pathways were also enriched in the Hb region (Fig. 4H and Supplementary Table S10). The top

DE mRNAs in all the three regions when using Disease.ZFIN were significantly enriched in type

2 diabetes mellitus, myocardial infarction (Figs. 4C, 4F, 4I, and Supplementary Table S5, S8,

and S11). Additionally, in both Fb and Hb regions, DE mRNAs were significantly enriched for

AD (Fig. 4C and 4I).

2.4 Construction of co-expression network of lncRNAs and mRNAs

Using weighted correlation network analysis in WGCNA (18 samples), we created clusters

(modules) of highly correlated lncRNA and PC genes in each brain region. A uniquely colored

label was assigned to each of the modules (Fig. 5A, 5B, 5C). In the Fb region, the lncRNAs and

the correlated mRNAs were grouped into 9 clusters, with a range of 26 (magenta) to 720

(turquoise) genes in each cluster module (Fig. 5A). All the modules produced a co-expression

network between the DE novel lncRNAs and mRNAs. However, the turquoise module had the

highest number of such interactions. Based on the turquoise module, 170 lncRNAs produced a

co-expression network with 560 mRNAs. Enrichment analysis of the turquoise module against

the Disease.ZFIN database showed that genes were enriched for eight diseases, including

Alzheimer's disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus, myocardial infarction, and obesity (Supplementary

fig. S1A and Supplementary Table S12).

Similarly, the DE lncRNAs and mRNAs were grouped into 13 and 4 modules, and the

turquoise and blue modules were used for the generation of co-expression network in the Mb and

Hb regions, respectively. Remarkably, the DE co-expression network genes in all three brain

regions were enriched for the myocardial infarction, type 2 diabetes mellitus when we used

Disease.ZFIN database (Supplementary figures S1A – S1C and Supplementary Tables S12 –

S14). In addition to it, the genes in the co-expression networks in the Hb region were

significantly enriched for AD (Supplementary fig. S1C and Supplementary Tables S14).

2.5 Potential regulatory lncRNA subnetwork

The network centrality was applied in this study to identify potential regulatory lncRNAs in

the co-expression network. A Cytoscape plugin, cytoHubba, was used to determine the critical

nodes and the hub genes in all the three brain regions' co-expression networks. cytoHubba uses

eleven analysis methods to compute the node scores, among which MCC has a better

performance. The top 25 hub genes based on the MCC algorithm were selected for each brain

region. The hub gene network in the Fb region consisted of 13 novel lncRNAs and 9 PC genes,

and 3 annotated lncRNA (Fig. 5D). Majority of the PC genes (*adrb3b*, *ctss2.2*, *hspb1*, *cav1*,

vegfaa, *stat3*, *bace2*) in the hub were involved in AD pathogenesis. The lncRNAs

MSTRG.80147, MSTRG.1801, MSTRG.64808, MSTRG.65565, MSTRG.79626,

MSTRG.48541, MSTRG.69937, and MSTRG.27237 had top scores and thus were likely to be

the key regulators of these genes.

Similarly, 9 novel lncRNA, 14 PC and two annotated lncRNA genes were present in the Mb

region's hub genes subnetwork (Fig. 5E). Six lncRNA genes, MSTRG.37371, MSTRG.30829,

MSTRG.57469, MSTRG.44453, MSTRG.19346, MSTRG.9209 had the highest score thus most

likely acted as the potential regulators of the PC genes. Most of the PC genes (*cipca*, *ciartb*,

ciarta, *per1a*, *nr1d2a*, *cry1b*, *per1b*, *cry2*) were involved in the circadian rhythm and circadian

regulation of gene expression.

Moreover, in the Hb region, seven novel lncRNAs (i.e., MSTRG.10336, MSTRG.74008,

MSTRG.39736, MSTRG.5200, MSTRG.25821, MSTRG.42026, MSTRG.31553) and 17 PC

genes and a single annotated lncRNA (CABZ01100185.1) created the hub gene network (Fig.

5F). The PC genes were mostly implicated in AD, and among these eight PC genes, i.e., *stat3*,

caspa, *cav1*, *s100b*, *lrpap1*, *apoa1a*, *apoeb*, *psen1* had the highest score.

2.6 Syntenic conservation and genomic features of the hub lncRNAs

189  Comparative genomic analysis between zebrafish and humans showed multiple potential

190 regulatory lncRNAs present in the hub gene network appear in syntenic positions without any or

191 little sequence conservation. The syntenic regions were determined using the Ensembl genome

192 browser. Among the brain novel lncRNAs, 394 were located in syntenic regions (Supplementary

193 Table S16). We had also identified the genomic features of the critical lncRNAs (synteny

194 conserved with humans) using the histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation (H3K4me3), histone H3

195 lysine 36 trimethylation (H3K36me3), CAGE-seq, and JASPAR TFBS (transcription factor

196 binding sites) tracks in the UCSC genome browser. In the Fb region, four potential regulatory

197 lncRNAs, MSTRG.64808, MSTRG.65565, MSTRG.48541, MSTRG.27237 were present in the

198 syntenic areas with human chromosomes (Figs. 6A – 6H). Among these, MSTRG.64808 and

199 MSTRG.48541 even have sequence conservation with human chromosome 5 and chromosome

200 8, respectively (Figs. 6B and 6F). Similarly, in the Mb region, two lncRNAs, MSTRG.30829 and

201 MSTRG.19346, shared a syntenic region with humans (Figs. 7A – 7D). Moreover, four lncRNAs

202 MSTRG.42026, MSTRG.25821, MSTRG.31553, and MSTRG.5200 present in the Hb region's

203 hub gene network also had synteny with human chromosomes (Figs. 7E – 7L). The genomic

204 features of the potentially conserved lncRNA genes are shown in Supplementary Table S17. The

205 majority of the lncRNAs were intergenic.

206 *2.7 Validation of RNA-Seq data by RT-qPCR*

207  An equal number (four) of DE lncRNAs and DE mRNAs were randomly selected from the

208 Fb, Mb, and Hb regions, and their expression was validated by RT-qPCR analysis. The

209 expression patterns of the selected lncRNAs and mRNAs showed a similar trend in these two

210 methods. These results (Fig. 8) validate the reliability of the RNA-Seq data.

211 **3. Discussion**

212  Among the small animal models, zebrafish has gained popularity as one of the valuable ones

213 relevant for a study of vertebrate gene function in general. Thus, a high number (~70%) of

214 human genes have at least a single zebrafish orthologue [18]. Moreover, zebrafish and the human

215 brain have a high conservation in the neuroanatomical structures [19,20] and neurochemical

216 pathways [21]. The zebrafish forebrain medial, dorsal, and lateral pallium has strong similarities

217 with the humans' amygdala, isocortex, and hippocampus, respectively [22,23]. Moreover,

218 despite the size difference of particular zebrafish brain structures, major brain components, such

219 as the diencephalon or the cerebellum, are highly conserved with the human brain [24]. Despite

lacking the complex advanced cognitive behaviors evident in rodent models, zebrafish has

proven to be very informative for studying human diseases, including AD [8]. Multiple studies

have established the zebrafish as an important model for human disease-related PC genes. In

contrast, knowledge of biology, function, and potential of lncRNAs as biomarkers and treatment

targets in genetic diseases is still in its infancy. Very few studies have determined the lncRNA

landscape in the zebrafish, and there are no reports on the sub-region specific expression of

lncRNAs in the zebrafish brain. The only research elucidating brain lncRNAs of zebrafish was

conducted by Kaushik et al. [15]. However, there were two significant problems in their study:

(1) the method used for RNA isolation. They used the poly-A enrichment method. At times (but

not always), lncRNAs are processed similarly to the mRNAs (e.g., capped, spliced, and

polyadenylated) [25]. Hence, the RNA sample's poly-A enrichment is not ideal for capturing all

the lncRNAs expressed. (2) Also, they have used an older zebrafish genome version (Zv9) for

alignment. The latest genome version and annotations should be used for accurate identification

of lncRNAs [26]. So, to avoid these potential inaccuracies, in this study, we used ssRNA-Seq,

the latest zebrafish genome (GRCz11), and a stringent filtering pipeline to systematically

identify novel lncRNAs, and determined their differential expression pattern in three sub-regions

of the brain under hypoxia. The fish model of brain hypoxia was obtained by the method

described by Nik et al. [27].

A total of 2564 PC genes were identified as the potential *cis* targets of the novel lncRNAs in

the total brain. GO Enrichment analysis of these targets showed that most genes were implicated

in neuronal development, neurogenesis, multicellular organism development, and system

development. KEGG pathway enrichment showed that most genes were enriched for calcium

signaling and apelin signaling pathways. Numerous studies had revealed that multiple lncRNAs

were involved in mammalian neurogenesis [28,29] as well as in neural development [30]. Neural

physiology and viability are dependent upon the intracellular Ca^{2+}-signaling. Disruptions in Ca^{2+}

homeostasis lead to various neurodegenerative diseases, including AD [31]. The interplay

between amyloid-β (Aβ) and Ca^{2+} is crucial in AD pathogenesis [31]. Binding of an important

neuropeptide, apelin, to its receptor APJ triggers a diversity of physiological effects such as

vasoconstriction and dilation, the control of blood pressure and blood flow, and had recently

been implicated in neuroprotection [32]. Multiple studies have shown that apelin can prevent the

production of Aβ and reduce its amounts by increasing its degradation [32]. From this study, it

could be inferred that the novel lncRNA targets were mostly implicated in neural functioning and

AD pathogenesis.

Consequently, from this study, it could be inferred that most of the novel lncRNAs detected

in this study were involved in the regulatory role of neurodevelopmental procedures. We

identified a largely region-specific expression of the lncRNAs. Altogether, the expression profile

of the Fb, Mb, and Hb region was distinct. Although a relatively small number of novel lncRNAs

(204) were shared among all three different regions indicating that these lncRNAs might have

critical regulatory roles for maintenance of all these regions, this was consistent with a

significant number of studies showing that lncRNAs have brain region-specific expression

pattern [15,33–35]. The knowledge that the functional roles of many lncRNAs in the brain are

spatially specific is relevant to understanding their target PC genes' functions.

Several studies had implicated brain hypoxia for the progression of AD. Many

cardiovascular risk factors and vascular and traumatic brain injury have a strong correlation with

AD pathogenesis [30]. Hypoxia in the human brain due to vascular function failure leads to a

regionalized effect, extending progressively with decreased vascular functions [36]. The DE

mRNA genes in the Fb and Mb regions in this study were also enriched for vasculogenesis,

vasculature development, blood vessel morphogenesis, and diseases like myocardial infarction,

pulmonary hypertension, and congestive heart failure, indicating a role in cardiovascular

diseases. Most lncRNA expressions in the brain of AD patients show a decreasing pattern

[37,38]. Our data follow a similar trend, where the majority of the novel lncRNAs were

downregulated in hypoxic fish brains.

The co-expression analysis of the DE novel lncRNA, PC, and annotated lncRNA genes

produced huge co-expression networks in the three brain regions. We identified the hub genes, or

the potentially critical lncRNA and PC genes in a network. A single lncRNA had interactions

with multiple PC genes as well as with other lncRNA genes. In the Fb region, the correlated DE

mRNA targets showed a regulatory role in AD. The top 25 hub genes included several lncRNA

genes, among which some of the top-scored lncRNAs, MSTRG.64808, MSTRG.48541,

MSTRG.79626, MSTRG.1801, and MSTRG.27237 have correlated with the zebrafish

orthologues (*adrb3b*, *cav1*, *ctss2.2*, and *stat3*) of the human *ADRB3* (adrenoceptor beta 3), *CAV1*

(caveolin 1), *CTSS* (cathepsin S), and *STAT3* (signal transducer and activator of transcription 3),

and all the mRNAs were significantly upregulated. The *ADRB3* gene product, a beta-3-

adrenergic receptor, is predominantly located in adipose tissues and functions in thermogenesis
regulation, oxygen consumption, and adipocyte lipolysis [39]. Nalls et al. [40] identified this
gene as associated with the progression of late-onset AD. CAV1 interacts with the beta-site
amyloid precursor protein cleaving enzyme 1 (BACE1) and protein kinase C (PKC) to modulate
the β and γ secretase activity of amyloid precursor protein, respectively [41,42]. Like our study,
increased *CAV1* gene expression has been reported in the AD patients' brains [41]. Cerebral
hypoxia causes neuroinflammation, which has also been linked to AD pathogenesis [43].
Consequently, various pro-inflammatory transcription factor genes such as *STAT3* and *CEBPB*
(CCAAT enhancer binding protein beta) were activated in the AD and associated with the late-
onset AD pathogenesis [44]. It is further emphasized by Reichenbach and colleagues [45], where
they have demonstrated activation of STAT3 in both the AD mouse model and human AD
patients. CEBPB is crucial in regulating cytokines and genes involved in the immune and
inflammatory response. Interestingly, in our current study, *cebpb* was predicted as a target gene
of two lncRNAs, MSTRG.30829 and MSTRG.19346, in the Mb region. The lncRNAs were
significantly downregulated, whereas the potential target gene *cebpb* was upregulated multifold.
These data altogether re-emphasized the importance of inflammatory responses in hypoxia-
induced AD. Moreover, the *bace2* gene was also identified as the target of lncRNAs
MSTRG.64808 and MSTRG.27237. The gene *bace2* is the zebrafish homolog of the human
BACE2 involved in AD pathogenesis [46]. Bace2 efficiently cleaves the amyloid beta A4
precursor protein (APP) in the middle of the Aβ domain [46]. Enrichment analysis of the co-
network module using Disease.ZFIN database predicted AD as the top significantly enriched
disease.
In the present study, the Hb region has a higher number of correlated DE lncRNA and
mRNA genes than the other two regions due to its much higher DE genes. Furthermore, in the
Hb region, the lncRNAs MSTRG.42026, MSTRG.25821, MSTRG.39736 were identified as the
potential regulators of the *apoeb* gene. The gene *apoeb* is a zebrafish orthologue of human
APOE (apolipoprotein E), one of the most substantial genetic risk factors for AD [47,48].
Although minimal research has yet been conducted on APOE functions in zebrafish, some
studies elucidating the *apoea* [49] and *apoeb* [50] reported their expression in the retina, yolk
syncytial layer, and microglial cell [51]. Additionally, MSTRG.5200, MSTRG.25821,
MSTRG.74008, and MSTRG.10336 lncRNAs were in a co-expression network with multiple DE

genes, namely *psen1* (presenilin 1), *s100b* (S100 calcium-binding protein, beta (neural)), and

caspa (caspase a). Nik et al. [52] have shown an upregulation of *psen1*, *psen2*, *appa*, *appb*, and

bace2 in the zebrafish adult brain under hypoxia. Importantly, human brains showed a similar

pattern of expression [53–55]. S100B acts as a pro-inflammatory cytokine, and several studies

reported high levels of S100B in AD patients [56] and mouse models of AD [56]. Similarly,

s100b expression in the zebrafish brain region was elevated. The zebrafish *caspa* is the

orthologue of the human *CASP1* (caspase 1) gene, and its expression was several-fold

upregulated in the hypoxic fish brain (Hb region) compared to their normoxic counterparts.

Several studies had associated *CASP1* upregulation with activation of the inflammasome

complex in mammals, which leads to AD pathogenesis [57–59].

Of note, in the Fb and Hb brain regions, expression of two essential genes present in the hub

gene network, *hspb1*, and *apoa1a*, were significantly downregulated in hypoxic fish. The gene

hspb1 in the Fb shared the hub co-expression network with MSTRG.64808, MSTRG.48541,

MSTRG.18299, and MSTRG.1801. Furthermore, the gene *apoa1a* was potentially regulated by

MSTRG.5200, MSTRG.25821, and MSTRG.74008 lncRNA genes. The gene products of the

mammalian counterparts of these two genes (HSPB1 and APOA1) function as neuroprotectors.

The HSPB1 inhibits the formation of the microtubule-associated protein tau fibril in AD by

interacting with it [60]. Similarly, the HDL-cholesterol transporter APOA1 binding to the Aβ1-

40 maintains the Aβ in solution, thus preventing its deposit in the brain and fibril formation [61].

The AD patients also have significantly lower APOA1 levels compared to the controls [62].

Curiously, in the Mb region, four lncRNAs, namely MSTRG.37371, MSTRG.19346,

MSTRG.30829, and MSTRG.44453 were identified as the probable regulator of the circadian

clock genes, *per1a, per1b, cry1b*, and *cry2* genes. The period (*PER1*, *PER2*, and *PER3*) and

cryptochrome (*CRY1*, *CRY2*) genes coordinate biological rhythms by repressing the expression

of *CLOCK* and *BMAL1* genes in a periodic manner [63]. Moreover, multiple studies have

demonstrated that acute and chronic hypoxia changes the expression of *per1a* and *per1b* in

zebrafish, whereas the hypoxia response is, in turn, regulated by the clock genes [63–65].

Neurodegenerative diseases, such as AD, affect the proper functioning of circadian clock genes

and may disrupt the timely organization amid different areas of the brain [66].

The sequence-function relationship in classical non-coding RNAs was greatly influenced by

comparative sequence analysis [67]. Identifying lncRNA evolution might discover essential

regions in lncRNAs and highlight the features that drive their functions. However, the lncRNAs

are poorly conserved across species [16]. However, their sequence conservation is less than PC

genes, more than introns or random intergenic regions. A comparison of mammalian and

zebrafish lncRNAs by Ulitsky et al. [16] showed that only 29 lincRNAs were conserved across

species. However, they demonstrated that many lincRNAs in zebrafish were derived from

syntenic loci between these species and might have similar functions. In the current study, we

have used synteny annotations between zebrafish and humans from the Ensembl. Synteny is

described as the conserved order of aligned genomic blocks between species, and it is calculated

from the pairwise genome alignments. We identified 394 lncRNA transcripts (8.4%) from the

total brain novel lncRNAs present in the syntenic loci with humans. Furthermore, we identified

four, two, and four lncRNA genes in Fb, Mb, and Hb regions, respectively, from the hub gene

co-expression networks located in the syntenic loci with humans. These lncRNAs were involved

in the correlation network with several important DE PC genes implicated in AD pathogenesis.

Therefore, these lncRNAs might be functionally conserved across species, despite their lack of

sequence similarity.

4.　Conclusion

In summary, we performed ssRNA-Seq in the three brain regions, namely Fb, Mb, and Hb

of normoxic and hypoxic zebrafish and identified novel lncRNAs in the total brain as well as

specific sub-regions. The functional enrichment studies of the potential *cis* targets of the novel

lncRNAs suggested that they were involved in neuronal development and differentiation

pathways. These genes were also identified as enriched for calcium and apelin signaling

pathways. Under hypoxia, multiple lncRNAs and mRNAs were differentially expressed. The

lncRNA expression pattern showed a difference between the brain regions. It could be inferred

from the current study that in the Fb and Hb regions, the potential lncRNA targets were the genes

implicated in the AD pathogenesis. In the Mb region, the potential target genes were involved in

the circadian rhythm and regulation of circadian gene expression. From the present data, we

identified multiple lncRNAs present in the syntenic genomic regions between zebrafish and

humans, and thus potentially functionally conserved. We identified the lncRNAs MSTRG.64808,

MSTRG.79626, MSTRG.48541, and MSTRG.27237 in the Fb region and lncRNAs

MSTRG.25821, MSTRG.31553, MSTRG.5200, and MSTRG.42026 in the Hb region as the

potential regulators of several AD genes (*adrb3b, cav1, ctss2.2, stat3*, *bace2*, *apoeb*, *psen1*,

s100b, and *caspa*). However, further studies are necessary to elaborate on the specific functions

of these lncRNAs in the pathogenesis of hypoxia-induced AD.

5.   Materials and methods

5.1 Zebrafish maintenance and experimental procedures

The adult wild-type zebrafish were maintained in our laboratory as per the approved

guidelines of the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of North-Eastern Hill University.

Zebrafish were bred and maintained at 28°C on a 14 h light/10 h dark cycle. 1-year-old adult

zebrafish (AB strain) were used for all experiments (n =24). No sex differentiation of the fish

was performed. The experiments conducted under low oxygen conditions were performed

following the method described by Nik et al., 2014 [27]. Briefly, oxygen was depleted by

bubbling nitrogen gas through the water, and dissolved oxygen level (DOL) was measured by a

portable dissolved oxygen meter (Horiba Scientific, Japan). The DOL in the hypoxia group was

1.15 ± 0.6 mg/l. Another group of fish (n = 12) was kept under normal oxygen conditions

$(6.6 \pm 0.45$ mg/l). The hypoxic conditions were maintained for 3 hours, and immediately after the

treatment, three biological replicates, each consisting of 3 zebrafish, were used for isolation of

RNA. A total of 18 fish (9 hypoxic and 9 normoxic) were sacrificed after anesthetizing with

0.03% tricaine (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany), and brains were separated and placed in ice-cold

HBSS (1X Hank's basal salt solution, 2.5 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 7.4, 35 mM glucose)(Sigma-

Aldrich, USA). Three sub-regions of the brain were dissected, and RNA was isolated using the

NucleoSpin RNA XS kit (Macherey-Nagel, Germany) following the manufacture's protocol. The

RNA quality and concentration were measured in the QIAxcel Advanced system (Qiagen,

Germany) using QIAxcel RNA QC Kit v2.0 (Qiagen) and Qubit 3.0 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher

Scientific, USA). The RNA samples with an RNA integrity score (RIS) value of more than eight

were used in further processing.

5.2 Library construction and sequencing

Ribosomal RNA was depleted from the total RNA using the Ribo-Zero Gold rRNA removal

kit (Human/Mouse/Rat) (Illumina, USA). The ribo-depleted RNA was used to create an ssRNA-

Seq library, according to the TruSeq® Stranded Total RNA sample preparation guide. The

sequencing was performed on the NovaSeq 6000 system (Illumina) using 2×100 bp chemistry by

Macrogen Inc., South Korea. The sequencing data have been deposited in the NCBI's Gene

Expression Omnibus with the GEO accession number **GSE153687**.

406	*5.3 Transcriptome assembly and novel lncRNA detection*
407	Reads with a quality score of ≥30 were selected for the downstream analysis. The raw reads
408	were aligned to the latest zebrafish genome (GRCz11) from Ensembl using STAR v2.7.3 [69],
409	and transcripts were assembled by StringTie [70] using the reference annotation from Ensembl.
410	The transcripts of < 200 bp length and monoexonic were excluded from further analysis. Several
411	stringent filtration steps were applied to obtain the lncRNAs (Fig. 1A). At first, the combined
412	data from Stringtie --merge were used in the FEELnc tool [71] to get a potential list of lncRNAs.
413	Next, the coding potential of the assigned lncRNAs was again evaluated using CPC2 [72] and
414	CNIT [73] tool. Any transcript assigned as coding by any of the software were discarded.
415	Furthermore, these lncRNAs were evaluated by Pfam search, and transcripts with E value $\geq 1e^{-3}$
416	were omitted from further analysis. Finally, the RepeatMasker tool was utilized to identify the
417	sequences with repetitive regions, and those transcripts were excluded. The total lncRNAs
418	obtained were matched against the lncRNAs from the ZFLNC database [17] using BLASTN
419	(coverage ≥ 55% and E value $\leq 1e^{-5}$), and those without a match were deemed as candidate
420	novel lncRNAs in zebrafish brain regions. Multiple lncRNAs located in intronic regions of a
421	single gene were also discarded to produce final list of novel lncRNA transcripts. The novel
422	lncRNAs were classified according to their localization and the direction of transcription of
423	proximal RNA transcripts using the FEELnc_classifier module of the FEELnc pipeline [71].
424	*5.4 Prediction of lncRNA targets*
425	Potential target PC genes of the novel lncRNAs were predicted based on *cis* function. The
426	closest PC gene 100 kb upstream and downstream of lncRNAs were screened using the FEELnc
427	pipeline [71]. Functional enrichment of these target genes using the GO biological process and
428	KEGG pathways were done using the ShinyGO v0.61 web-server [74].
429	*5.5 Differential expression of lncRNAs*
430	The raw read counts of the lncRNAs and mRNAs were determined by the featureCounts
431	program [75], and differential expression analysis was performed using edgeR. Benjamini-
432	Hochberg method for controlling the false discovery rate (FDR) was used for adjusting the *p*-
433	value. Only the genes with FDR < 0.05 and fold change > 2 were considered differentially
434	expressed (DE). Enrichment analysis of the DE lncRNA-targeted PC genes among GO biological
435	process, KEGG pathways, and Disease.ZFIN databases were conducted using iDEP (integrated
436	Differential Expression and Pathway analysis) web-server [76].

5.6 Co-expression network construction and functional enrichment analysis

The co-expression network of DE lncRNAs and mRNAs (18 samples) were constructed

using Weighted Gene Co-expression Network Analysis (WGCNA) package [77] on the iDEP

web-server. All parameters were set as the default. Different modules were detected using the

dynamic tree cutting method, and the network of each module was visualized using Cytoscape

v3.8.1 [78]. A Cytoscape plugin, cytoHubba [79] were used to select significant modules and

analyze the network centrality, identifying the nodes perceived to be potential regulators of the

underlying biological processes.

5.7 Validation of expression of lncRNAs

The differential expression profiles of lncRNAs and mRNAs between three brain regions

were validated using a total of 12 lncRNAs and mRNAs (4 from each region) by qPCR analysis.

The lncRNA and mRNA-specific primers (Supplementary Table S15) were designed by Primer-

BLAST. Total RNA isolated earlier using the NucleoSpin RNA XS kit (Macherey-Nagel) was

converted to the first-strand cDNA following the method described earlier [80]. qPCR reactions

were performed in a StepOne plus Real time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using

SYBR® Premix Ex Taq™ II (Takara Clontech, Japan). Melting curve analysis was used to re-

confirm the amplification of only a single PCR product. Fold changes of genes in hypoxic fish

compared to normoxic controls were calculated using the modified $\Delta\Delta C_T$ method [81].

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a National Postdoctoral Fellowship project sanctioned to BB

(PDF/2016/002013) by the SERB, New Delhi, India, and to NS by the National Agricultural

Science Fund, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi (ABA-7011/ 1018-19/240).

NS acknowledges the FIST-II program (SR/FST/LS1-615/ 2014) by the DST, New Delhi. We

thank Dr. Sumit Mukherjee, Bar-Ilan University, Israel, for his suggestions on bioinformatics

works.

462
References

[1]   S. Djebali, C.A. Davis, A. Merkel, A. Dobin, T. Lassmann, A. Mortazavi, A. Tanzer, J.
Lagarde, W. Lin, F. Schlesinger, C. Xue, G.K. Marinov, J. Khatun, B.A. Williams, C.
Zaleski, J. Rozowsky, M. Röder, F. Kokocinski, R.F. Abdelhamid, T. Alioto, I.
Antoshechkin, M.T. Baer, N.S. Bar, P. Batut, K. Bell, I. Bell, S. Chakrabortty, X. Chen, J.
Chrast, J. Curado, T. Derrien, J. Drenkow, E. Dumais, J. Dumais, R. Duttagupta, E.
Falconnet, M. Fastuca, K. Fejes-Toth, P. Ferreira, S. Foissac, M.J. Fullwood, H. Gao, D.

Gonzalez, A. Gordon, H. Gunawardena, C. Howald, S. Jha, R. Johnson, P. Kapranov, B. King, C. Kingswood, O.J. Luo, E. Park, K. Persaud, J.B. Preall, P. Ribeca, B. Risk, D. Robyr, M. Sammeth, L. Schaffer, L.-H. See, A. Shahab, J. Skancke, A.M. Suzuki, H. Takahashi, H. Tilgner, D. Trout, N. Walters, H. Wang, J. Wrobel, Y. Yu, X. Ruan, Y. Hayashizaki, J. Harrow, M. Gerstein, T. Hubbard, A. Reymond, S.E. Antonarakis, G. Hannon, M.C. Giddings, Y. Ruan, B. Wold, P. Carninci, R. Guigó, T.R. Gingeras, Landscape of transcription in human cells, Nature. 489 (2012) 101–108. doi:10.1038/nature11233.

[2]   M.J. Hangauer, I.W. Vaughn, M.T. McManus, Pervasive Transcription of the Human Genome Produces Thousands of Previously Unidentified Long Intergenic Noncoding RNAs, PLoS Genet. 9 (2013) e1003569. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003569.

[3]   S. Sati, S. Ghosh, V. Jain, V. Scaria, S. Sengupta, Genome-wide analysis reveals distinct patterns of epigenetic features in long non-coding RNA loci, Nucleic Acids Res. 40 (2012). doi:10.1093/nar/gks776.

[4]   H. Ling, R. Spizzo, Y. Atlasi, M. Nicoloso, M. Shimizu, R.S. Redis, N. Nishida, R. Gafa, J. Song, Z. Guo, C. Ivan, E. Barbarotto, I. De Vries, X. Zhang, M. Ferracin, M. Churchman, J.F. van Galen, B.H. Beverloo, M. Shariati, F. Haderk, M.R. Estecio, G. Garcia-Manero, G.A. Patijn, D.C. Gotley, V. Bhardwaj, I. Shureiqi, S. Sen, A.S. Multani, J. Welsh, K. Yamamoto, I. Taniguchi, M.-A. Song, S. Gallinger, G. Casey, S.N. Thibodeau, L. Le Marchand, M. Tiirikainen, S.A. Mani, W. Zhang, R. V. Davuluri, K. Mimori, M. Mori, A.M. Sieuwerts, J.W.M. Martens, I. Tomlinson, M. Negrini, I. Berindan-Neagoe, J.A. Foekens, S.R. Hamilton, G. Lanza, S. Kopetz, R. Fodde, G.A. Calin, CCAT2, a novel noncoding RNA mapping to 8q24, underlies metastatic progression and chromosomal instability in colon cancer, Genome Res. 23 (2013) 1446–1461. doi:10.1101/gr.152942.112.

[5]   R.A. Flynn, H.Y. Chang, Long Noncoding RNAs in Cell-Fate Programming and Reprogramming, Cell Stem Cell. 14 (2014) 752–761. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2014.05.014.

[6]   J.J. Quinn, H.Y. Chang, Unique features of long non-coding RNA biogenesis and function, Nat. Rev. Genet. 17 (2016) 47–62. doi:10.1038/nrg.2015.10.

[7]   S. Herculano-Houzel, Scaling of brain metabolism with a fixed energy budget per neuron: Implications for neuronal activity, plasticity and evolution, PLoS One. 6 (2011) e17514. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017514.

[8]   M. Newman, E. Ebrahimie, M. Lardelli, Using the zebrafish model for Alzheimer's disease research, Front. Genet. 5 (2014) 189. doi:10.3389/fgene.2014.00189.

[9]   E.L. Bell, T.A. Klimova, J. Eisenbart, C.T. Moraes, M.P. Murphy, G.R.S. Budinger, N.S. Chandel, The Qo site of the mitochondrial complex III is required for the transduction of hypoxic signaling via reactive oxygen species production , J. Cell Biol. 177 (2007) 1029–1036. doi:10.1083/jcb.200609074.

[10]   G.J. Lieschke, P.D. Currie, Animal models of human disease: Zebrafish swim into view, Nat. Rev. Genet. 8 (2007) 353–367. doi:10.1038/nrg2091.

[11]   K. Dooley, Zebrafish: a model system for the study of human disease, Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 10 (2000) 252–256. doi:10.1016/S0959-437X(00)00074-5.

[12]   E.M. Caramillo, D.J. Echevarria, Alzheimer's disease in the zebrafish: Where can we take it?, Behav. Pharmacol. 28 (2017) 179–186. doi:10.1097/FBP.0000000000000284.

[13]   I. Ulitsky, A. Shkumatava, C.H. Jan, H. Sive, D.P. Bartel, Conserved function of lincRNAs in vertebrate embryonic development despite rapid sequence evolution, Cell.

516   147 (2011) 1537–1550. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.11.055.

517   [14]   A. Pauli, E. Valen, M.F. Lin, M. Garber, N.L. Vastenhouw, J.Z. Levin, L. Fan, A.
518   Sandelin, J.L. Rinn, A. Regev, A.F. Schier, Systematic identification of long noncoding
519   RNAs expressed during zebrafish embryogenesis., Genome Res. 22 (2012) 577–91.
520   doi:10.1101/gr.133009.111.

521   [15]   K. Kaushik, V.E. Leonard, S. Kv, M.K. Lalwani, S. Jalali, A. Patowary, A. Joshi, V.
522   Scaria, S. Sivasubbu, Dynamic expression of long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) in adult
523   zebrafish., PLoS One. 8 (2013) e83616. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083616.

524   [16]   I. Ulitsky, A. Shkumatava, C.H. Jan, H. Sive, D.P. Bartel, Conserved Function of
525   lincRNAs in Vertebrate Embryonic Development despite Rapid Sequence Evolution, Cell.
526   147 (2011) 1537–1550. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2011.11.055.

527   [17]   X. Hu, W. Chen, J. Li, S. Huang, X. Xu, X. Zhang, S. Xiang, C. Liu, ZFLNC: a
528   comprehensive and well-annotated database for zebrafish lncRNA, Database. 2018
529   (2018). doi:10.1093/database/bay114.

530   [18]   K. Howe, M.D. Clark, C.F. Torroja, J. Torrance, C. Berthelot, M. Muffato, J.E. Collins, S.
531   Humphray, K. McLaren, L. Matthews, S. McLaren, I. Sealy, M. Caccamo, C. Churcher,
532   C. Scott, J.C. Barrett, R. Koch, G.-J. Rauch, S. White, W. Chow, B. Kilian, L.T. Quintais,
533   J.A. Guerra-Assunção, Y. Zhou, Y. Gu, J. Yen, J.-H. Vogel, T. Eyre, S. Redmond, R.
534   Banerjee, J. Chi, B. Fu, E. Langley, S.F. Maguire, G.K. Laird, D. Lloyd, E. Kenyon, S.
535   Donaldson, H. Sehra, J. Almeida-King, J. Loveland, S. Trevanion, M. Jones, M. Quail, D.
536   Willey, A. Hunt, J. Burton, S. Sims, K. McLay, B. Plumb, J. Davis, C. Clee, K. Oliver, R.
537   Clark, C. Riddle, D. Elliott, G. Threadgold, G. Harden, D. Ware, S. Begum, B.
538   Mortimore, G. Kerry, P. Heath, B. Phillimore, A. Tracey, N. Corby, M. Dunn, C. Johnson,
539   J. Wood, S. Clark, S. Pelan, G. Griffiths, M. Smith, R. Glithero, P. Howden, N. Barker, C.
540   Lloyd, C. Stevens, J. Harley, K. Holt, G. Panagiotidis, J. Lovell, H. Beasley, C.
541   Henderson, D. Gordon, K. Auger, D. Wright, J. Collins, C. Raisen, L. Dyer, K. Leung, L.
542   Robertson, K. Ambridge, D. Leongamornlert, S. McGuire, R. Gilderthorp, C. Griffiths, D.
543   Manthravadi, S. Nichol, G. Barker, S. Whitehead, M. Kay, J. Brown, C. Murnane, E.
544   Gray, M. Humphries, N. Sycamore, D. Barker, D. Saunders, J. Wallis, A. Babbage, S.
545   Hammond, M. Mashreghi-Mohammadi, L. Barr, S. Martin, P. Wray, A. Ellington, N.
546   Matthews, M. Ellwood, R. Woodmansey, G. Clark, J.D. Cooper, A. Tromans, D.
547   Grafham, C. Skuce, R. Pandian, R. Andrews, E. Harrison, A. Kimberley, J. Garnett, N.
548   Fosker, R. Hall, P. Garner, D. Kelly, C. Bird, S. Palmer, I. Gehring, A. Berger, C.M.
549   Dooley, Z. Ersan-Ürün, C. Eser, H. Geiger, M. Geisler, L. Karotki, A. Kirn, J. Konantz,
550   M. Konantz, M. Oberländer, S. Rudolph-Geiger, M. Teucke, C. Lanz, G. Raddatz, K.
551   Osoegawa, B. Zhu, A. Rapp, S. Widaa, C. Langford, F. Yang, S.C. Schuster, N.P. Carter,
552   J. Harrow, Z. Ning, J. Herrero, S.M.J. Searle, A. Enright, R. Geisler, R.H.A. Plasterk, C.
553   Lee, M. Westerfield, P.J. de Jong, L.I. Zon, J.H. Postlethwait, C. Nüsslein-Volhard, T.J.P.
554   Hubbard, H.R. Crollius, J. Rogers, D.L. Stemple, The zebrafish reference genome
555   sequence and its relationship to the human genome, Nature. 496 (2013) 498–503.
556   doi:10.1038/nature12111.

557   [19]   T. Mueller, M.F. Wullimann, An Evolutionary Interpretation of Teleostean Forebrain
558   Anatomy, Brain. Behav. Evol. 74 (2009) 30–42. doi:10.1159/000229011.

559   [20]   M.F. Wullimann, B. Rupp, H. Reichert, M.F. Wullimann, B. Rupp, H. Reichert, The brain
560   of the zebrafish Danio rerio: an overview, in: Neuroanat. Zebrafish Brain, Birkhäuser
561   Basel, 1996: pp. 7–17. doi:10.1007/978-3-0348-8979-7_4.

[21] M.F. Wullimann, B. Rupp, H. Reichert, M.F. Wullimann, B. Rupp, H. Reichert,
Introduction: neuroanatomy for a neurogenetic model system, in: Neuroanat. Zebrafish
Brain, Birkhäuser Basel, 1996: pp. 1–5. doi:10.1007/978-3-0348-8979-7_1.

[22] M.R. Braford, Jr., Comparative Aspects of Forebrain Organization in the Ray-Finned
Fishes: Touchstones or Not?, Brain. Behav. Evol. 46 (1995) 259–274.
doi:10.1159/000113278.

[23] S. Saleem, R.R. Kannan, Zebrafish: an emerging real-time model system to study
Alzheimer's disease and neurospecific drug discovery, Cell Death Discov. 4 (2018) 45.
doi:10.1038/s41420-018-0109-7.

[24] V. Tropepe, H.L. Sive, Can zebrafish be used as a model to study the neurodevelopmental
causes of autism?, Genes, Brain Behav. 2 (2003) 268–281. doi:10.1034/j.1601-
183X.2003.00038.x.

[25] M. Rutenberg-Schoenberg, A.N. Sexton, M.D. Simon, The Properties of Long Noncoding
RNAs That Regulate Chromatin, Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet. 17 (2016) 69–94.
doi:10.1146/annurev-genom-090314-024939.

[26] T. Weirick, G. Militello, R. Müller, D. John, S. Dimmeler, S. Uchida, The identification
and characterization of novel transcripts from RNA-seq data., Brief. Bioinform. 17 (2015)
1–8. doi:10.1093/bib/bbv067.

[27] S.H.M. Nik, M. Newman, S. Ganesan, M. Chen, R. Martins, G. Verdile, M. Lardelli,
Hypoxia alters expression of Zebrafish Microtubule-associated protein Tau (mapta,
maptb) gene transcripts, BMC Res. Notes. 7 (2014) 1–9. doi:10.1186/1756-0500-7-767.

[28] D. Antoniou, A. Stergiopoulos, P.K. Politis, Recent advances in the involvement of long
non-coding RNAs in neural stem cell biology and brain pathophysiology, Front. Physiol. 5
(2014) 155. doi:10.3389/fphys.2014.00155.

[29] A.D. Ramos, F.J. Attenello, D.A. Lim, Uncovering the roles of long noncoding RNAs in
neural development and glioma progression, Neurosci. Lett. 625 (2016) 70–79.
doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2015.12.025.

[30] T.C. Roberts, K. V. Morris, M.J.A. Wood, The role of long non-coding RNAs in
neurodevelopment, brain function and neurological disease, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol.
Sci. 369 (2014). doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0507.

[31] A. Demuro, I. Parker, G.E. Stutzmann, Calcium signaling and amyloid toxicity in
Alzheimer disease, J. Biol. Chem. 285 (2010) 12463–12468.
doi:10.1074/jbc.R109.080895.

[32] J. Masoumi, M. Abbasloui, R. Parvan, D. Mohammadnejad, G. Pavon-Djavid, A.
Barzegari, J. Abdolalizadeh, Apelin, a promising target for Alzheimer disease prevention
and treatment, Neuropeptides. 70 (2018) 76–86. doi:10.1016/j.npep.2018.05.008.

[33] S.J. Liu, T.J. Nowakowski, A.A. Pollen, J.H. Lui, M.A. Horlbeck, F.J. Attenello, D. He,
J.S. Weissman, A.R. Kriegstein, A.A. Diaz, D.A. Lim, Single-cell analysis of long non-
coding RNAs in the developing human neocortex, Genome Biol. 17 (2016) 67.
doi:10.1186/s13059-016-0932-1.

[34] M.N. Ziats, O.M. Rennert, Aberrant Expression of Long Noncoding RNAs in Autistic
Brain, J. Mol. Neurosci. 49 (2013) 589–593. doi:10.1007/s12031-012-9880-8.

[35] B.M. Kadakkuzha, X.-A. Liu, J. McCrate, G. Shankar, V. Rizzo, A. Afinogenova, B.
Young, M. Fallahi, A.C. Carvalloza, B. Raveendra, S. V Puthanveettil, Transcriptome
analyses of adult mouse brain reveal enrichment of lncRNAs in specific brain regions and
neuronal populations., Front. Cell. Neurosci. 9 (2015) 63. doi:10.3389/fncel.2015.00063.

[36] J.L. Eberling, W.J. Jagust, B.R. Reed, M.G. Baker, Reduced temporal lobe blood flow in
alzheimer's disease, Neurobiol. Aging. 13 (1992) 483–491. doi:10.1016/0197-
4580(92)90076-A.
[37] X. Zhou, J. Xu, Identification of Alzheimer's disease–associated long noncoding RNAs,
Neurobiol. Aging. 36 (2015) 2925–2931. doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2015.07.015.
[38] C. Shi, L. Zhang, C. Qin, Long non-coding RNAs in brain development, synaptic biology,
and Alzheimer's disease, Brain Res. Bull. 132 (2017) 160–169.
doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2017.03.010.
[39] D.S. Meyers, S. Skwish, K.E.J. Dickinson, B. Kienzle, C.M. Arbeeny, β_3-Adrenergic
Receptor-Mediated Lipolysis and Oxygen Consumption in Brown Adipocytes from
Cynomolgus Monkeys, J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 82 (1997) 395–401.
doi:10.1210/jcem.82.2.3738.
[40] M.A. Nalls, R.J. Guerreiro, J. Simon-Sanchez, J.T. Bras, B.J. Traynor, J.R. Gibbs, L.
Launer, J. Hardy, A.B. Singleton, Extended tracts of homozygosity identify novel
candidate genes associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease, Neurogenetics. 10 (2009)
183–190. doi:10.1007/s10048-009-0182-4.
[41] M.-J. Kang, Y.H. Chung, C.-I. Hwang, M. Murata, T. Fujimoto, I.-H. Mook-Jung, C.I.
Cha, W.-Y. Park, Caveolin-1 upregulation in senescent neurons alters amyloid precursor
protein processing, Exp. Mol. Med. 38 (2006) 126–133. doi:10.1038/emm.2006.16.
[42] C. Hattori, M. Asai, H. Onishi, N. Sasagawa, Y. Hashimoto, T.C. Saido, K. Maruyama, S.
Mizutani, S. Ishiura, BACE1 interacts with lipid raft proteins, J. Neurosci. Res. 84 (2006)
912–917. doi:10.1002/jnr.20981.
[43] R. Lall, R. Mohammed, U. Ojha, What are the links between hypoxia and Alzheimer's
disease?, Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat. Volume 15 (2019) 1343–1354.
doi:10.2147/NDT.S203103.
[44] X. Li, J. Long, T. He, R. Belshaw, J. Scott, Integrated genomic approaches identify major
pathways and upstream regulators in late onset Alzheimer's disease, Sci. Rep. 5 (2015)
12393. doi:10.1038/srep12393.
[45] N. Reichenbach, A. Delekate, M. Plescher, F. Schmitt, S. Krauss, N. Blank, A. Halle, G.C.
Petzold, Inhibition of Stat3-mediated astrogliosis ameliorates pathology in an Alzheimer's
disease model, EMBO Mol. Med. 11 (2019). doi:10.15252/emmm.201809665.
[46] J. Walter, Fishing for function - distinct roles of Bace1 and Bace2 in Zebrafish
development, J. Neurochem. 127 (2013) 435–437. doi:10.1111/jnc.12200.
[47] T.-P. V. Huynh, A.A. Davis, J.D. Ulrich, D.M. Holtzman, Apolipoprotein E and
Alzheimer's disease: the influence of apolipoprotein E on amyloid-β and other
amyloidogenic proteins, J. Lipid Res. 58 (2017) 824–836. doi:10.1194/jlr.R075481.
[48] M.E. Belloy, V. Napolioni, M.D. Greicius, A Quarter Century of APOE and Alzheimer's
Disease: Progress to Date and the Path Forward, Neuron. 101 (2019) 820–838.
doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2019.01.056.
[49] P.A. Raymond, L.K. Barthel, R.L. Bernardos, J.J. Perkowski, Molecular characterization
of retinal stem cells and their niches in adult zebrafish, BMC Dev. Biol. 6 (2006) 36.
doi:10.1186/1471-213X-6-36.
[50] Z. Pujic, Y. Omori, M. Tsujikawa, B. Thisse, C. Thisse, J. Malicki, Reverse genetic
analysis of neurogenesis in the zebrafish retina, Dev. Biol. 293 (2006) 330–347.
doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.12.056.
[51] K.N. Veth, J.R. Willer, R.F. Collery, M.P. Gray, G.B. Willer, D.S. Wagner, M.C. Mullins,

A.J. Udvadia, R.S. Smith, S.W.M. John, R.G. Gregg, B.A. Link, Mutations in Zebrafish lrp2 Result in Adult-Onset Ocular Pathogenesis That Models Myopia and Other Risk Factors for Glaucoma, PLoS Genet. 7 (2011) e1001310. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1001310.

[52] S.H. Moussavi Nik, L. Wilson, M. Newman, K. Croft, T.A. Mori, I. Musgrave, M. Lardelli, The BACE1-PSEN-AβPP regulatory axis has an ancient role in response to low oxygen/oxidative stress, J. Alzheimer's Dis. 28 (2012) 515–530. doi:10.3233/JAD-2011-110533.

[53] R. Pluta, Pathological Opening of the Blood-Brain Barrier to Horseradish Peroxidase and Amyloid Precursor Protein following Ischemia-Reperfusion Brain Injury, Chemotherapy. 51 (2005) 223–226. doi:10.1159/000086924.

[54] R. De Gasperi, M. Sosa, S. Dracheva, G.A. Elder, Presenilin-1 regulates induction of hypoxia inducible factor-1α: altered activation by a mutation associated with familial Alzheimer's disease, Mol. Neurodegener. 5 (2010) 38. doi:10.1186/1750-1326-5-38.

[55] X. Zhang, W. Le, Pathological role of hypoxia in Alzheimer's disease, Exp. Neurol. 223 (2010) 299–303. doi:10.1016/j.expneurol.2009.07.033.

[56] J.S. Cristóvão, C.M. Gomes, S100 Proteins in Alzheimer's Disease, Front. Neurosci. 13 (2019) 463. doi:10.3389/fnins.2019.00463.

[57] M.T. Heneka, M.P. Kummer, A. Stutz, A. Delekate, S. Schwartz, A. Vieira-Saecker, A. Griep, D. Axt, A. Remus, T.C. Tzeng, E. Gelpi, A. Halle, M. Korte, E. Latz, D.T. Golenbock, NLRP3 is activated in Alzheimer's disease and contributes to pathology in APP/PS1 mice, Nature. 493 (2013) 674–678. doi:10.1038/nature11729.

[58] J. Flores, A. Noël, B. Foveau, J. Lynham, C. Lecrux, A.C. LeBlanc, Caspase-1 inhibition alleviates cognitive impairment and neuropathology in an Alzheimer's disease mouse model, Nat. Commun. 9 (2018) 1–14. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06449-x.

[59] C. Venegas, M.T. Heneka, Inflammasome-mediated innate immunity in Alzheimer's disease, FASEB J. 33 (2019) 13075–13084. doi:10.1096/fj.201900439.

[60] H.E.R. Baughman, A.F. Clouser, R.E. Klevit, A. Nath, HspB1 and Hsc70 chaperones engage distinct tau species and have different inhibitory effects on amyloid formation, J. Biol. Chem. 293 (2018) 2687–2700. doi:10.1074/jbc.M117.803411.

[61] R.P. Koldamova, I.M. Lefterov, M.I. Lefterova, J.S. Lazo, Apolipoprotein A-I directly interacts with amyloid precursor protein and inhibits Aβ aggregation and toxicity, Biochemistry. 40 (2001) 3553–3560. doi:10.1021/bi002186k.

[62] A.R. Koudinov, T.T. Berezov, A. Kumar, N. V. Koudinova, Alzheimer's amyloid β interaction with normal human plasma high density lipoprotein: association with apolipoprotein and lipids, Clin. Chim. Acta. 270 (1998) 75–84. doi:10.1016/S0009-8981(97)00207-6.

[63] A.M. Sandbichler, B. Jansen, B.A. Peer, M. Paulitsch, B. Pelster, M. Egg, Metabolic Plasticity Enables Circadian Adaptation to Acute Hypoxia in Zebrafish Cells, Cell. Physiol. Biochem. 46 (2018) 1159–1174. doi:10.1159/000489058.

[64] Y. Wu, D. Tang, N. Liu, W. Xiong, H. Huang, Y. Li, Z. Ma, H. Zhao, P. Chen, X. Qi, E.E. Zhang, Reciprocal Regulation between the Circadian Clock and Hypoxia Signaling at the Genome Level in Mammals, Cell Metab. 25 (2017) 73–85. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2016.09.009.

[65] M. Egg, L. Köblitz, J. Hirayama, T. Schwerte, C. Folterbauer, A. Kurz, B. Fiechtner, M. Möst, W. Salvenmoser, P. Sassone-Corsi, B. Pelster, Linking Oxygen to Time: The

Bidirectional Interaction Between the Hypoxic Signaling Pathway and the Circadian Clock, Chronobiol. Int. 30 (2013) 510–529. doi:10.3109/07420528.2012.754447.

[66] F. Bellanti, G. Iannelli, M. Blonda, R. Tamborra, R. Villani, A. Romano, S. Calcagnini, G. Mazzoccoli, M. Vinciguerra, S. Gaetani, A.M. Giudetti, G. Vendemiale, T. Cassano, G. Serviddio, Alterations of Clock Gene RNA Expression in Brain Regions of a Triple Transgenic Model of Alzheimer's Disease, J. Alzheimer's Dis. 59 (2017) 615–631. doi:10.3233/JAD-160942.

[67] D.P. Bartel, MicroRNAs: Target Recognition and Regulatory Functions, Cell. 136 (2009) 215–233. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.01.002.

[68] P. Kolasinska-Zwierz, T. Down, I. Latorre, T. Liu, X.S. Liu, J. Ahringer, Differential chromatin marking of introns and expressed exons by H3K36me3, Nat. Genet. 41 (2009) 376–381. doi:10.1038/ng.322.

[69] A. Dobin, C.A. Davis, F. Schlesinger, J. Drenkow, C. Zaleski, S. Jha, P. Batut, M. Chaisson, T.R. Gingeras, STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner, Bioinformatics. 29 (2013) 15–21. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.

[70] M. Pertea, D. Kim, G.M. Pertea, J.T. Leek, S.L. Salzberg, Transcript-level expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and Ballgown, Nat. Protoc. 11 (2016) 1650–1667. doi:10.1038/nprot.2016.095.

[71] V. Wucher, F. Legeai, B. Hédan, G. Rizk, L. Lagoutte, T. Leeb, V. Jagannathan, E. Cadieu, A. David, H. Lohi, S. Cirera, M. Fredholm, N. Botherel, P.A.J. Leegwater, C. Le Béguec, H. Fieten, J. Johnson, J. Alföldi, C. André, K. Lindblad-Toh, C. Hitte, T. Derrien, FEELnc: A tool for long non-coding RNA annotation and its application to the dog transcriptome, Nucleic Acids Res. 45 (2017) gkw1306. doi:10.1093/nar/gkw1306.

[72] Y.J. Kang, D.C. Yang, L. Kong, M. Hou, Y.Q. Meng, L. Wei, G. Gao, CPC2: A fast and accurate coding potential calculator based on sequence intrinsic features, Nucleic Acids Res. 45 (2017) W12–W16. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx428.

[73] J.-C. Guo, S.-S. Fang, Y. Wu, J.-H. Zhang, Y. Chen, J. Liu, B. Wu, J.-R. Wu, E.-M. Li, L.-Y. Xu, L. Sun, Y. Zhao, CNIT: a fast and accurate web tool for identifying protein-coding and long non-coding transcripts based on intrinsic sequence composition, Nucleic Acids Res. 47 (2019) W516–W522. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz400.

[74] S.X. Ge, D. Jung, R. Yao, ShinyGO: a graphical gene-set enrichment tool for animals and plants, Bioinformatics. 36 (2019) 2628–2629. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btz931.

[75] Y. Liao, G.K. Smyth, W. Shi, featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for assigning sequence reads to genomic features, Bioinformatics. 30 (2013) 923–930. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656.

[76] S.X. Ge, E.W. Son, R. Yao, iDEP: an integrated web application for differential expression and pathway analysis of RNA-Seq data, BMC Bioinformatics. 19 (2018) 534. doi:10.1186/s12859-018-2486-6.

[77] P. Langfelder, S. Horvath, WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis, BMC Bioinformatics. 9 (2008) 559. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559.

[78] P. Shannon, A. Markiel, O. Ozier, N.S. Baliga, J.T. Wang, D. Ramage, N. Amin, B. Schwikowski, T. Ideker, Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks., Genome Res. 13 (2003) 2498–504. doi:10.1101/gr.1239303.

[79] C.-H. Chin, S.-H. Chen, H.-H. Wu, C.-W. Ho, M.-T. Ko, C.-Y. Lin, cytoHubba: identifying hub objects and sub-networks from complex interactome, BMC Syst. Biol. 8

(2014) S11. doi:10.1186/1752-0509-8-S4-S11.

[80] B. Banerjee, D. Koner, R. Hasan, N. Saha, Molecular characterization and ornithine-urea
cycle genes expression in air-breathing magur catfish (Clarias magur) during exposure to
high external ammonia, Genomics. 112 (2020) 2247–2260.
doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.12.021.

[81] K.J. Livak, T.D. Schmittgen, Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time
quantitative PCR and the $2-\Delta\Delta CT$ method, Methods. 25 (2001) 402–408.
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262.

 Figure legends:

Fig. 1. (A) Pipeline for identifying novel lncRNAs from the normoxic and hypoxic zebrafish

brain regions. (B) Genomic distribution of the novel lncRNA transcripts. (C) Numbers of

lncRNAs in each of the four main classes, as defined by their genomic location relative to

neighboring or overlapping genes. (D) Length distribution of lncRNAs. X-axis: length of

lncRNAs in bp; Y-axis: count.

Fig. 2. Enrichment analysis of the *cis* target PC genes using (A) GO biological process (B)

KEGG pathway

Fig. 3. Volcano plot for identification statistically significant DE (up- or downregulated) (A)

lncRNA genes and (B) mRNA genes. In this plot the genes are colored if they pass the thresholds

for FDR and log fold change, red if they are upregulated and blue if they are downregulated.

(C) Venn diagram showing the DE lncRNAs in three different brain regions between hypoxic

and normoxic fish; (D) Venn diagram showing the DE mRNAs in three different brain regions

between hypoxic and normoxic fish. Fbc: forebrain normoxia control, Fbt: forebrain hypoxia

treated, Mbc: midbrain normoxia control, Mbt: midbrain hypoxia treated, Hbc: hindbrain

normoxia control, Hbt: hindbrain hypoxia treated.

Hierarchical clustering with heatmap of top 100 (E) DE lncRNA genes and (F) DE mRNA genes

in all three brain regions between hypoxic and normoxic fish. The red color represents

upregulated expression, and the blue color represents downregulated expression.

Fig. 4. Enrichment analysis of the DE PC genes in Fb region (A) using GO biological process

(B) using KEGG pathway (C) using Disease.ZFIN, in Mb region (D) using GO biological

process (E) using KEGG pathway (F) using Disease.ZFIN, in Hb region (G) using GO biological

process (H) using KEGG pathway (I) using Disease.ZFIN.

Fig. 5. Different modules generated by the WGCNA. Hierarchical clustering dendrogram of co-

expressed lncRNAs and mRNAs in modules. The colored strips below each dendrogram

demonstrate the module designation identified through the clusters of co-expressed genes. (A) Fb

region (B) Mb region (C) Hb region.

The 25 top scoring hub gene co-expression network based on the MCC algorithm of lncRNA and

PC gene. A redder color represents the higher-scoring ones. (D) Fb region (E) Mb region, (F) Hb

region. The triangles denote the novel lncRNAs; the diamonds denote annotated lncRNAs; the

squares denote PC genes.

Fig. 6. Synteny and genomic features of novel lncRNAs in Fb region

(A), (C), (E), (G), The center chromosome represents the zebrafish chromosome, and the smaller

chromosomes show syntenic regions with humans. Blocks are colored according to the

chromosome number on the second species. These blocks are connected by lines. The small red

box marks the location of the gene of interest and its homologue.

(B), (D), (F), (H) Genomic features of the novel lncRNAs from the UCSC genome browser.

Fig. 7. Synteny and genomic features of novel lncRNAs in Mb region

(A), (C), The center chromosome represents the zebrafish chromosome, and the smaller

chromosomes show syntenic regions with humans. Blocks are colored according to the

chromosome number on the second species. These blocks are connected by lines. The small red

box marks the location of the gene of interest and its homologue.

(B), (D), Genomic features of the novel lncRNAs from the UCSC genome browser.

Synteny and genomic features of novel lncRNAs in Hb region

(E), (G), (I), (K), The center chromosome represents the zebrafish chromosome, and the smaller

chromosomes show syntenic regions with humans. Blocks are colored according to the

chromosome number on the second species. These blocks are connected by lines. The small red

box marks the location of the gene of interest and its homologue.

(F), (H), (J), (L) Genomic features of the novel lncRNAs from the UCSC genome browser.

Fig. 8. The RT-qPCR validation results of DE lncRNAs for (A) Fb, (C) Mb, and (E) Hb and DE

mRNAs for (B) Fb, (D) Mb, and (F) Hb regions of the zebrafish brain, respectively.

Fig. 1

A

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8