Profiling the initial burst of beneficial genetic diversity to anticipate evolution of a cell population

Daniel E. Deatherage and Jeffrey E. Barrick*

Department of Molecular Biosciences, Center for Systems and Synthetic Biology,

The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, U.S.A.

* Email: jbarrick@cm.utexas.edu

Data Availability Statement: DNA sequence files are available from the NCBI Sequence Read

Archive (accession number PRJNA601748). Code and processed data files are available on

GitHub (https://github.com/barricklab/adaptome-capture).

Funding: This work was supported by the Cancer Prevention & Research Institute of Texas

(CPRIT) (RP130124), the National Institutes of Health (R00-GM087550), the National Science

Foundation (CBET-1554179 and DEB-1813069), and the NSF BEACON Center for the Study of

Evolution in Action (DBI-0939454). D.E.D. acknowledges support from a University of Texas at

Austin CPRIT research traineeship (RP101501). The funders had no role in study design, data

collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: J.E.B. is the owner of Evolvomics LLC. D.E.D. has been a paid

consultant for Evolvomics LLC.

Abstract

Clonal populations of cells continuously evolve new genetic diversity, but it takes a significant amount of time for the progeny of a single cell with a new beneficial mutation to outstrip both its ancestor and competitors to fully dominate a population. If genotypes with these driver mutations can be discovered earlier—while they are still extremely rare—it may be possible to anticipate the future evolution of these populations. For example, one could diagnose the likely course of incipient diseases, such as cancer and bacterial infections, and better judge which treatments will be effective, by tracking rare drug-resistant variants. To test this approach, we replayed the first 500 generations of a >70,000-generation *Escherichia coli* experiment and examined the trajectories of new mutations in eight genes known to be under positive selection in this environment in six populations. By employing a deep sequencing procedure using molecular indexes and target enrichment we were able to track 236 beneficial mutations at frequencies as low as 0.01% and infer selection coefficients for 180 of these. Distinct molecular signatures of selection on protein structure and function were evident for the three genes in which beneficial mutations were most common (*nadR*, *pykF*, and *topA*). We detected mutations hundreds of generations before they became dominant and tracked beneficial alleles in genes that were not mutated in the long-term experiment until thousands of generations had passed. Therefore, this targeted adaptome sequencing approach can function as an early warning system to inform interventions that aim to prevent undesirable evolution.

Introduction

New genetic variation naturally arises in lineages of cells and organisms during genome replication and repair. These *de novo* mutations are the main drivers of adaptive evolution in many populations, particularly those with little or no recombination or standing genetic variation. In large laboratory populations of asexual microbes, numerous lineages with different beneficial mutations arise and contend within a population before any one outcompetes the ancestor and its competitors [1–3]. This 'clonal interference' leads to heterogeneous populations with many lineages simultaneously adapting via distinct sets of mutations, though often these mutations are in a small subset of genes that are under the strongest selection [4–6].

In human cancers and chronic microbial infections, single cells clonally expand in a similar fashion by evolving driver mutations that lead to disease progression and drug resistance. Both solid tumors and blood cancers have been shown to be genetically heterogeneous [7–9]. *De novo* mutations within these cell populations are responsible for neoplastic progression [10], differences in responses to chemotherapy [11], and relapse [12]. Populations of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and other bacteria that persistently infect the lungs of cystic fibrosis patients become increasingly invasive and antibiotic resistant over time [13–15]. In these cases, there are also specific genetic loci that are repeatedly mutated in different individuals. Better predicting the future evolution of each of these types of cell populations and others would inform treatment decisions and improve medical outcomes.

Cells used in biomanufacturing are also prone to evolving unwanted genetic heterogeneity [16,17]. Typically, these cells have been heavily engineered to optimize the titer of a product of interest at the expense of rapid cellular replication [18,19]. Therefore, there are strong selective pressures for 'escape mutations' that cause production to decline. Usually escape mutations

directly inactivate one or more key genes in the engineered pathway. The resulting nonproducing

cells can become dominant during the many cell divisions that are necessary to scale these

processes up to large bioreactors [20–22]. The ability to predict the future evolution of

nonproducing cells before attempting scale-up could guide strain design decisions and thereby

improve the efficiency of industrial processes.

Evolution experiments conducted in controlled laboratory environments reproduce key

aspects of microbial evolution that are observed in chronic infections and bioreactors [23,24]. In

theory, profiling rare mutations in the earliest stages of clonal interference using high-throughput

DNA sequencing should allow one to anticipate the future evolution of these populations.

However, these studies have generally been limited by sequencing depth and per-base error rates

to reliably identifying mutations that are present in at least one sample at a frequency above ~1-

10% when they have already succeeded in becoming dominant [1,3,25,26]. Theory and

simulations predict that many more highly beneficial mutations evolve in these populations but

never reach such high frequencies before they are driven extinct [4,6], and recent studies that

track the evolution of barcoded lineages of microbes show that this is the case [27,28].

Here, we used methods for selectively increasing sequencing depth and lowering sequencing

error rates to deeply profile the initial burst of rare beneficial mutations in laboratory populations

of *E. coli*. We directly identified diverse beneficial mutations in six genes when they were orders

of magnitude lower in frequency and hundreds of generations earlier than could be accomplished

by standard metagenomic sequencing methods. By comparing our results to the long history of a

>70,000-generation *E. coli* evolution experiment that used the same ancestral strains and nearly

identical culture conditions [29], we evaluate the potential of this type of targeted adaptome

analysis for anticipating the future evolution of cell populations.

Results

Replaying the beginning of a long-term evolution experiment

We tracked new mutations in nine replicate *E. coli* populations that were propagated via daily

serial transfers in glucose-limited minimal medium for 500 generations. Our experiment used the

same *E. coli* strains as the Lenski long-term evolution experiment (LTEE) and similar growth

conditions (see **Methods**). Each population was inoculated with a 50/50 mixture of the two

neutrally marked LTEE ancestor strains to visualize the initial selective sweep [30]. Most

populations maintained a roughly equal representation of descendants of both ancestral strains

through the first 300 generations (**Fig. 1**). These dynamics are in agreement with what has

previously been observed in studies of the LTEE, where few mutations reach a high frequency in

the first few hundred generations of evolution [3].

Figure 1. Replaying the first selective sweep of a long-term evolution experiment. Nine *E. coli* populations were initiated from equal mixtures of two variants of the ancestral strain that differ in a neutral genetic marker for arabinose utilization (Ara). We observed the evolutionary dynamics of these populations over ~500 generations of regrowth from 75 daily 1:100 serial transfers by periodically plating dilutions of each population on indicator agar. The ratio of Ara$^+$ cells (pink colonies) to Ara$^-$ cells (red colonies) diverges from 1:1 when descendants of one ancestor type accumulate enough of a fitness advantage due to *de novo* beneficial mutations that they take over. We focused further analysis on six of the nine populations (thick lines).

Reconstructing the trajectories of new beneficial mutations

We next performed deep sequencing of eight genes at ~25 generation increments over all 500

generations of the evolution experiment for four of the nine populations. These eight genes

(*nadR*, *pykF*, *topA*, *spoT*, *fabR*, *ybaL*, *hslU*, and *iclR*) are known to be targets of selection in the

LTEE [3,31]. Illumina libraries containing molecular indexes [32] were prepared for sequencing

and enriched for the regions of interest using solution based hybridization [33]. Consensus

sequence reads were generated based on groups of reads with identical molecular indexes and

aligned to the *E. coli* genome to predict mutations, including using split-read mapping to identify

transposon insertions and large deletions (**Fig. 2A**). The enrichment procedure was effective. In

the sample with the median number of total consensus reads, the average coverage depth across

each of the eight genes of interest exceeded 5,000 (**Fig. 2B**). After analyzing patterns in mutation

frequencies over time to eliminate other systematic biases (see Methods), we were able to track

the evolution and competition of 180 *de novo* mutations, including when many were present in

less than 0.1% of the cells in a population (**Fig. 2C**, **Fig. 3**).

Figure 2. Profiling many beneficial mutations in the first selective sweep by deep
sequencing. (A) Schematic of the deep sequencing approach. Genomic DNA is directly isolated
from the *E. coli* populations and prepared for Illumina sequencing with unique molecular indexes
(colored ends attached to red/green double stranded DNA). DNA fragments matching the
targeted genome regions (green centers) are captured by probes (blue) bound to magnetic beads
and other sequences are washed away (red centers). Reads with the same unique molecular
index, which were amplified from the same original genomic DNA fragment, are used to
construct a consensus read to eliminate sequencing errors. Consensus reads are mapped to the
reference genome to call sequence variants. (B) Enrichment of reads mapping to eight genes
known to be early targets of selection in this environment from the long-term evolution
experiment. The final coverage depth of consensus reads in and around these genes is shown for
a typical sample (population A7 at 500 generations). (C) Frequency trajectories for mutations in
the eight targeted genes as well as the sum total frequency in population A1 over the complete
time course of the evolution experiment. When a mutation was not detected at a time point, its
trajectory is shown as passing through a frequency of 0.0001% (outside of the graphed region).
(D) Mutation frequency trajectories for population A1 during the window from 133 to 213
generations when mutations were first reaching detectable frequencies as they outcompeted the
ancestral genotype. At time points when a mutation was not detected, its frequency is shown as
0.001% (at the bottom of the plot). (E) Estimate of average population fitness between the time
points in the window when mutations were first detected. The frequency trajectories of the
beneficial mutations in the initial sweep shown in D were used to jointly estimate population
fitness and the individual selection coefficients of each mutation. Error bars are 95% confidence
intervals on fitness estimations.

Figure 3. Frequency trajectories of mutations in the remaining populations. The same plots
described in Figure 2C-E for population A1 are shown for populations A2, A3, and A7 (top three
sets of panels). For populations A6 and A9, sequencing was only performed at time points during
the selective sweep window so only the plots corresponding to Figure 2D-E are shown (bottom
two sets of panels).

Mutation trajectories in all four populations exhibited a burst of genetic diversity in the

targeted genes followed by loss of this diversity. The initial dynamics are expected to be largely

driven by new genotypes that each evolve a single beneficial mutation very early in the

experiment. If their descendants escape stochastic loss, they will gradually increase in frequency

over the first few hundred generations as they outcompete the ancestral genotype. Once the

population becomes dominated by these first-step mutants, their frequency trajectories plateau

because of clonal interference: they are now mainly competing against one another and are

relatively evenly matched. In populations A1, A2, and A7, the total frequencies of the mutations

we identified sums to 50-62% at generation 270, indicating that each population is mostly

composed of genotypes with a single mutation in one of the focal genes. We recovered less of

the initial beneficial mutation diversity in population A3 where this sum was only 13%.

After around 300 generations, there is a steady decline in the frequencies of most mutations

in the eight targeted genes. At this point, new more-fit genotypes that have evolved from the

single-step mutants begin to exert their influence and displace them. Many of the most successful

second-step genotypes are descended from cells that already have a mutation in one of the

targeted genes. The original mutations serve as markers for the further expansion of these

subpopulations after a period during which their frequencies stagnate or decrease, but the new

beneficial mutations responsible for this further increase in fitness are outside of the genomic

regions we surveilled. The converse situation, in which a beneficial mutation in one of the eight

focal genes appears in a cell with an untracked beneficial mutation elsewhere in the genome, also

occurs in a few cases. Most strikingly, a new mutation in *pykF* that only appears after 300

generations in population A3 rapidly increases in frequency and becomes dominant, strongly

suggesting that it appeared in a genetic background with a prior, unknown beneficial mutation.

Selection coefficients can be inferred from initial mutation trajectories

We next sought to calculate the fitness benefits of individual mutations by tracking how rapidly

their frequencies rose early in the experiment when they were largely competing versus the

ancestral genotype because all new mutations in the population were still rare. To that end, we

performed additional sequencing on six populations (including the four already sequenced at 25

generation increments) at ~13-generation increments in a time window from 133 to 213

generations (**Fig. 2D**, **Fig. 3**). We were able to track a total of 161 mutations during this time,

including 56 that were not identified in the complete time courses. More than 95% of these

mutations occurred in just three of the targeted genes: *nadR*, *pykF*, and *topA* **(Fig. 4A)**.

Figure 4. Characteristics of beneficial mutations in the initial selective sweep. (A) Total
number of beneficial mutations in each targeted gene identified in the window time courses from
133 and 213 generations for all six *E. coli* populations that were profiled. (B) Distribution of
selection coefficients of beneficial mutations determined from the window time courses in the
three genes that were the dominant targets of selection. Vertical red lines show the mean of each
distribution. (C) Spectrum of beneficial mutation types in the three genes that were the dominant
targets of selection in the window time courses.

We were able to estimate a selection coefficient for each of the 161 mutations predicted in

the window time courses by fitting a binomial logistic model to the counts of reads supporting

the variant versus reference sequences over time. In all populations, there is a slight deceleration

in the rate at which the frequencies of the new mutations increase at generation 166 and later that

coincides with the onset of clonal interference (**Fig. 2E**, **Fig. 3**), At this point, genotypes with

beneficial mutations begin to make up an appreciable fraction of the population and compete

against one another rather than effectively only versus their ancestor. After correcting for this

increase in overall population fitness (see Methods), the mean selection coefficient that we

inferred for the tracked mutations in all six populations was 6.32% with a standard deviation of

0.74%. Although the distributions of selection coefficients estimated for mutations in *nadR*,

pykF, and *topA* overlap **(Fig. 4B)**, there was a significant stratification among these genes.

Mutations in *nadR* were 0.27% more beneficial than mutations in *topA*, on average, and this

difference was significant ($p = 0.024$, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). In turn, mutations in *topA*

were 0.39% more beneficial than those in *pykF* ($p = 0.00035$, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). The

six mutations in other genes (*spoT*, *yijC*, and *ybaL*) for which we were able to estimate selection

coefficients were roughly as beneficial as mutations in *nadR*, *pykF*, and *topA*.

Beneficial mutations reveal different signatures of selection on gene function

Of the 236 mutations that we were able to track in complete or window time courses, 218 were

in the *nadR*, *pykF*, or *topA* genes. The large sets of beneficial mutations in these genes gave us

the statistical power to test for several signatures of molecular evolution to predict what types of

changes in the function of each gene improved *E. coli* fitness in this environment. Each of the

three genes exhibited a distinct spectrum of beneficial mutations (**Fig. 4C**). In some cases,

different types of mutations were also unevenly distributed throughout the sequences of these

three commonly hit genes and had noticeably different effects on bacterial fitness (**Fig. 5A**).

Figure 5. Mutations in the three genes that were the dominant targets of selection. (A)
Locations and properties of all mutations found in each of the three genes that were the dominant
targets of selection during the evolution experiment. Colors represent the type of mutation.
Symbols indicate whether each mutation was detected in the window time course, the complete
time course, or both. The reading frame of each gene is shown above each panel with protein
domains labeled. Vertical dashed grey lines represent the start and end of each gene. Error bars
are 95% confidence limits on selection coefficients determined for the mutations detected in the
window time courses. Horizontal red lines represent the average selection coefficient for all

mutations in a gene. Mutations that were only detected in the complete time course are shown in
the band below each graph because they do not have estimated selection coefficients. (B)
Structural context of mutations in PykF. Sites of nonsymonymous mutations are highlighted by
showing space-filling models of the substituted amino acid residues. All four subunits of the
PykF homotetramer are shown. (C) Structural context of mutations in the catalytic core of TopA.
Sites of nonsymonymous mutations are highlighted by showing space-filling models of the
substituted amino acid residues. Only domains D1-D4 are present in the structure. The DNA
strand interacting with TopA is shown as a stick model.

The *E. coli nadR* gene has three distinct functions related to NAD biosynthesis: (1) the N-

terminal domain is a helix-turn-helix that binds to DNA so that it can act as a negative

transcriptional regulator of NAD salvage and transport pathways; (2) the internal domain is an

NMN adenylyltransferase [34]; and (3) the C-terminal domain is predicted to have

ribosylnicotinamide kinase activity [35]. Large deletions, frameshifts from small insertions or

deletions (indels), insertions of transposable insertion sequence (IS) elements, and base

substitutions creating stop codons dominate the *nadR* mutational spectrum (**Fig. 4C**). These

disruptive mutations, which are expected to result in complete loss of gene function, are

significantly overrepresented versus nonsynonymous base substitutions in the first two domains

of the gene compared to the remainder (11.4 odds ratio, $p = 4.2 \times 10^{-6}$, one-tailed Fisher's exact

test) (**Fig. 5A**). Yet, there is no evidence of a greater selection coefficient for disruptive

mutations compared to nonsynonymous mutations overall ($p = 0.19$, one-tailed Kolmogorov-

Smirnov test). These results suggest that complete inactivation of *nadR* yields the maximum

benefit possible for a mutation in this gene, through disrupting all three of its distinct functions

may not be necessary for achieving this full benefit. Consistent with this prediction, deletion of

nadR is highly beneficial in the LTEE environment [36].

Pyruvate kinase 1 (*pykF*) catalyzes the final step of glycolysis, transferring a phosphate group

from phophoenolpyruvate (PEP) to ADP to generate pyruvate and ATP. It is a key enzyme in

regulating glycolytic flux [37,38]. We observed an intermediate representation of disruptive

mutations in *pykF*, fewer than in *nadR* but more than in *topA* (**Fig. 4C**). Interestingly,

nonsynonymous base substitutions in *pykF* tend to have a larger selection coefficient than

disruptive mutations ($p = 0.00390$, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) (**Fig. 5A**). This finding is in

agreement with a recent study of various *pykF* alleles that arose in the LTEE which found that

nearly all *pykF* point mutations were more beneficial than deletion of the *pykF* gene, both in the

ancestor and in evolved genetic backgrounds [39]. PykF forms a homotetramer in which each

polypeptide is folds into three structural domains [40,41]. The central domain C forms the active

site at the interface with domain B and the binding site for the allosteric effector fructose 1,6-

bisphosphate at the interface with domain A. The nonsynonymous mutations that we observed

are more concentrated than expected in domain C versus the other structural domains ($p =$

0.0050, one-tailed binomial test) (**Fig. 5B**). Overall, these results suggest that complete

inactivation of *pykF* is highly beneficial in the environment of our evolution experiment, but

mutations that alter its activity—likely in ways that reduce glycolytic flux—are even more so. It

has been suggested that reducing *pykF* activity is beneficial in the similar glucose-limited

conditions of the LTEE because this allows more PEP to be used for import of glucose into cells

by the phosphotransfer system [42].

DNA topoisomerase I (*topA*) relaxes negative supercoiling introduced into the chromosome

by replication and transcription [43]. The mutations we observed in *topA* are almost exclusively

single-base substitutions (**Fig. 4C**), suggesting that modulating the activity of this enzyme

provides a fitness benefit. Indeed, complete loss of *topA* function is lethal to *E. coli* without

compensatory mutations in DNA gyrase [44,45]. The structure of *E. coli* TopA consists of four

N-terminal domains (D1-D4) that make up the catalytic core and five C-terminal zinc finger and

ribbon domains (D5-D9) [46]. The few out-of-frame indels and the large deletion that we

observe truncate TopA within domains D7-D9, which interact with single-stranded DNA and

RNA polymerase but are not critical for catalysis. Considering only the catalytic core, we find

that nonsynonymous mutations are concentrated in domains D1 and D4 versus D2 and D3 (p =

0.0060, one-tailed binomial test) (**Fig. 5C**). D1 and D4 together form the ssDNA binding groove

leading to the active site, and D1 also forms part of the active site at its interface with D3 [47].

Several base substitutions in *topA* have been shown to increase positive supercoiling in evolved

LTEE strains [48,49]. The exact reason that this change in supercoiling is beneficial is unknown,

but it may be linked to increasing the expression of ribosomal RNAs [48], altering gene

regulation responses to starvation or stress [49], and/or increasing gene expression divergently

transcribed operons [50].

Discussion

We examined bacterial evolution during the initial stages of clonal competition when there is a

burst of beneficial genetic diversity as many new subpopulations with different mutations evolve

and begin to displace the ancestral genotype. We focused on eight genes known to accumulate

adaptive mutations in the >70,000 generation Lenski long-term evolution experiment (LTEE)

with *E. coli* that used nearly the same environment as our experiments. The only difference was

that we added four times as much of the limiting nutrient (glucose). By combining Illumina

sequencing of reads that incorporate molecular indexes for error correction, hybridization-based

capture of DNA encoding these genes, and dense temporal sampling, we were able to identify

more beneficial mutations and track them at much lower frequencies than is possible with

standard metagenomic sequencing. We detected a total of 236 mutations in the focal genes: 180

in the complete time courses of four populations and 161 in the window time courses of these

populations and two others, with 105 mutations overlapping between the two sets.

By densely sampling and deeply sequencing *E. coli* populations, we were able to characterize

many beneficial mutations that never reach the detection limits of standard Illumina sequencing

before they become casualties of clonal interference. Only 13 of the 180 mutations we detected

in the complete time courses ever achieved a frequency of 5% or more, which can be reliably

distinguished from noise by standard metagenomic sequencing, and only seven were this

common for 100 or more generations, such that they were likely to be detected by a typical time-

sampling scheme. Considering both the complete and window time courses we characterized 177

and 27 mutations that never reached 1% or 0.1% thresholds, respectively, at any of our sampled

time points. Our success in recovering rare variants meant that we discovered more examples of

beneficial mutations in the three commonly mutated genes (*topA*, *pykF*, and *nadR*) than have

been reported in many prior studies of the evolution of the twelve LTEE populations

[3,31,36,42,51,52]. These large sets of mutations enabled us to identify distinct molecular

signatures of adaptation in each protein.

We profiled evolution driven by mutations in eight genes known to be targets of selection in

the LTEE. Mutations in four of these (*topA*, *pykF*, *spoT*, and *fabR*) reach high frequencies within

the first 1,000 generations of the LTEE in multiple populations [3,52]. Mutations in the other

four (*hslU*, *nadR*, *ybaL*, and *iclR*) are also common in the LTEE, but they typically occur later

(often within the first 2,000 to 10,000 generations) [3,31]. Nearly all mutations in these genes in

our evolution experiment were in *topA*, *pykF*, and *nadR*, but we also found multiple mutations

that were similarly beneficial in *spoT*, *fabR*, and *ybaL*. Mutations in *nadR* were more widespread

than expected in our experiment and may be more likely to completely disrupt its function than

beneficial alleles that evolve in the LTEE [51]. Mutations in *spoT* and *fabR* were rarer than

expected from the LTEE. One possible explanation for these slight differences is the increased

concentration of glucose in our experiment compared to the LTEE. These minor deviations are

also reminiscent of how changing a different aspect of the environment (temperature) re-focuses

the mutations of largest benefit that succeed early onto different subsets of genes, nearly all of

which eventually accumulate beneficial mutations later in the LTEE environment, in related

evolution experiments [53,54]. Despite these subtle differences, we were still able to account for

majority of the genetic variation present in three of four of the four populations that we profiled

over the entire 500 generations by analyzing evolution in the eight candidate genes.

We also wanted to understand to what extent we gained early warning of driver mutations by

deeply profiling evolution in genes we expected to be under strong selection. In general, we were

able to begin tracking most mutations when they were above a frequency of 0.01%. This level of

profiling enabled us to first detect mutations an average of 75, 152, and 290 generations before

they surpassed frequencies of 0.1%, 1%, and 5%, respectively. Under the conditions of our

experiment these intervals take roughly 11, 23, and 44 days, respectively; so, even though we

made these predictions retrospectively, there would have been sufficient time to complete the

DNA isolation, library preparation, sequencing, and analysis steps quickly enough for this

approach to give early warning of specific genetic variants driving evolution of these

populations. The amount of lead time becomes disproportionately longer at higher frequencies

due to clonal interference between beneficial mutations. The chances and timescales of earlier

detection are expected to increase even more when there are ecological interactions or spatial

structure that further slow the takeover of new variants, as has been demonstrated and discussed

in other microbial evolution experiments [26,55,56].

A further prediction is that the genes in which we observe early, but unsuccessful beneficial

mutations will sustain mutations again and again until they are successful in a population's

evolutionary future. This prediction is limited by the nature of epistatic interactions. In the LTEE

and other microbial evolution experiments, diminishing returns epistasis dominates between

beneficial mutations in different genes [57–61]. That is, mutations in one gene that improve the

fitness of the ancestor tend to still be beneficial to evolved genotypes containing beneficial

mutations in other genes, just less so than when those other mutations are not present.

Subpopulations with mutations in both *nadR* and *pykF* evolve by 20,000 generations in all 12

LTEE populations, and cells that also contain a mutation in *topA* are found in six of the LTEE

populations at this point [31]. By this time, mutations in *ybaL* and *spoT* are also found in nine

and six LTEE populations, respectively. So, for five of the six genes in which we detected

multiple mutations in the initial burst phase, it is likely that nearly all of them would have

eventually accumulated beneficial mutations if we continued our experiment.

The genes in which we did not detect multiple mutations (*fabR*, *iclR*, and *hslU*) likely

represent other scenarios. Mutations in *fabR* transiently appear within the first 2,000 generations

of the LTEE [52]. They interact unfavorably with beneficial mutations in *spoT* and other genes,

such that a *fabR* mutation essentially precludes further adaptation by mutating the other set of

genes and vice-versa [52,62]. So, *fabR* mutations are unlikely to re-emerge in the future of these

populations. On the other hand, mutations in *iclR* and *hslU* appear to either require the presence

of mutations in other genes to become highly beneficial or may not be able to experience any

mutations that are beneficial enough to make them competitive early on in the LTEE. Of the 12

LTEE populations, 11 have cells mutations in *iclR* and 11 have mutations in *hslU* by 20,000

generations, which makes them more common than mutations in *spoT* and *ybaL* in the long run.

The nature of epistasis and the limits that it imposes on predicting the future evolution of a

cell population could be further probed using our approach in several ways. One could repeat the

evolution experiment beginning with genotypes containing different first-step beneficial

mutations as starting points to more finely map the fitness landscape. One could also interrogate

the diverse collections of cells containing different beneficial alleles that we have evolved, by

taking the 150-generation populations and further evolving them under different conditions to

map genotype by environment effects, for example. Such experiments might also reveal latent

beneficial mutation in other genes (e.g., *iclR* and *hslU*) that were able to outcompete the ancestor

in our experiment but remained below the detection limit because they were not as beneficial as

mutations in *topA*, *pykF*, and *nadR* in this environment. There is precedent for changes in the

environment deflecting selection to different subsets of the same genes. In an offshoot of the

LTEE that began with a clone that had *spoT*, *topA*, and *pykF* mutations, selection was focused on

hslU, *iclR*, or *nadR* depending on changes in temperature [54].

Alternative and complementary methods exist for deeply profiling the evolutionary

possibilities inherent in the fitness landscape of a cell, i.e., its evolvome. We tracked spontaneous

beneficial mutations within targeted genome regions, or a portion of what one could more

specifically describe as the adaptome [63]. Amplicon sequencing can also capture mutations in a

subset of the genome with deep coverage. We used hybridization-based enrichment, which did

not require any experimental optimization for different targets and is less likely to introduce

biases in inferring the frequencies of mutations, like IS insertions, that change amplicon sizes

[64]. With enough input DNA and enough sequencing, our approach could be scaled to more

genes or the whole genome. Tracking the frequencies of barcoded cells and their progeny has

been used to characterize the statistical properties of much larger collections of naturally

occurring beneficial mutations and when they are much rarer within populations [27,28].

However, one must barcode individuals in the population to apply this method, which may be

difficult in certain cell types or in clinical samples, and additional genome sequencing after an

experiment is completed is required to discover the identities of the beneficial mutations linked

to barcodes. Other methods such as deep-mutational scanning [65] or CRISPR-enabled trackable

genome engineering [66] can simultaneously interrogate large libraries of mutants to map

evolvomes. However, since they artificially construct variant libraries, they do not necessarily

provide information about which genetic variants are accessible by spontaneous mutations and

would therefore be expected to contribute the most to a cell's adaptome.

Exhaustively mapping paths that clonal evolution is likely to follow is of particular interest

and utility in systems that evolve repeatedly from a defined starting point. These range from

bioreactors that are seeded with the same strain in different production runs to lung infections in

cystic fibrosis patients that start from similar, but not identical, opportunistic pathogens. The

ability to identify mutations in key genes while they are still very rare may also be used to

improve the early detection and predicting drug resistance in other human infections and cancer.

The evolutionary dynamics will be more complex in many of these systems, but they may also

unfold more slowly. For example, biofilm formation and the necessity of invading already

colonized niches will slow the dynamics of competition. This potentially makes the therapeutic

window for detecting incipient evolution by profiling the adaptome even greater.

Materials and Methods

Evolution experiment

Strains and growth conditions are derived from the Lenski long-term evolution experiment

[29,67]. Nine clonal isolates of *E. coli* B strain REL606 and nine of strain REL607 were grown

overnight at 37°C with orbital shaking over a one-inch diameter at 120 RPM in 10 mL of Davis

Minimal (DM) media containing 100 µg/L glucose (DM100). This is a slightly higher

concentration of glucose than the 25 µg/L glucose (DM25) used in the LTEE. Day 0 cultures

containing 10 mL of fresh DM100 were inoculated with 50 µL of one REL606 culture and 50 µL

of one REL607 culture for overnight growth in the same conditions. The remaining culture

volume was archived at −80°C with 2 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) added as cryoprotectant.

Daily transfer of 100 µL of overnight culture to 10 mL of fresh DM100 and archival of the

remaining culture volume in the same way continued through 75 daily transfers. Periodically 1

µL of culture was diluted 10,000-fold in sterile saline and plated on tetrazolium arabinose (TA)

agar to allow growth of ~200 colonies. REL606 and REL607 differ by a mutation in an

arabinose utilization gene that makes REL606 (Ara$^-$) colonies red and REL607 (Ara$^+$) colonies

pink [29]. The ratio of red to pink colonies was used to monitor these populations for selective

sweeps [62,68].

DNA isolation and library preparation

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was isolated from frozen population samples by first thawing each 15

mL conical tube on ice. Of the ~12 mL total volume of culture plus cryoprotectant, 1.2 mL was

transferred to a 2 mL cryovial and refrozen. The remaining ~10.8 mL was centrifuged at 6,500 ×

g at 4°C for 15 minutes. The resulting cell pellets were transferred with a volume of remaining

solution to 1.7 mL Eppendorf tubes. Then, gDNA was isolated using the PureLink Genomic

DNA Mini kit (Life Technologies). For each sample, 1 µg of gDNA was randomly fragmented

on a Covaris S2 focused-ultrasonicator.

Illumina libraries were constructed using the Kappa Biosystems LTP Library Preparation Kit

with the following modifications. End-repaired, fragmented DNA was T-tailed (rather than A-

tailed) in a 50 µl reaction including 10 mM dTTP and 5 units of Klenow fragment, exo⁻ (New

England Biolabs). Illumina adapters containing 12-base molecular indexes were ligated to the T-

tailed fragments as previously described [32], except full-length adapter sequences containing

unique external sample barcodes were directly ligated to the T-tailed dsDNA inserts to reduce

the risk of cross-contamination between samples. The full list of DNA sequence adaptors used is

provided in **Table S1**.

Probe design and target capture

Oligonucleotide probes consisting of 60-base xGen Lockdown probes (Integrated DNA

Technologies) were designed to tile across each of the eight genes of interest including upstream

promoter elements. Probes for each gene were compared to the entire *E. coli* B strain REL606

reference genome (GenBank: NC_012967.1) [69] using BLASTN [70]. The starting positions of

all probes in a set were shifted by one base at a time until every probe had only a single

significant predicted binding location (match with E-value $< 2 \times 10^{-5}$). The sequences of the final

set of 242 probes are provided in **Table S2**.

Capture was performed using a SeqCap EZ Exome Enrichment kit v3.0 (NimbleGen) with

several modifications to the protocol. First, 18 to 20 population samples with unique barcodes

were pooled together in a single capture reaction that contained a total of 1 µg of library DNA

from all samples, 1 mmol of a universal blocking oligo, and 1 mmol of a degenerate barcode

blocking oligo. The sequences of these blocking oligos are provided in **Table S3**. Second, after

hybridization for 72 h, DNA fragments hybridized to the biotinylated probes were recovered

using MyOne Streptavidin C1 Dynabeads (Life Technologies). Third, a final 8-cycle PCR step

was performed with HiFi Hotstart DNA Polymerase (Kappa Biosystems).

Sequencing and read processing

Paired-end 101- or 125-base sequencing of the final libraries was performed on an Illumina

HiSeq 2000 at the University of Texas at Austin the Genome Sequencing and Analysis Facility

(GSAF). Read sequences have been deposited into the NCBI Sequence Read Archive

(PRJNA601748). Raw reads were used to generate Consensus Sequence Reads (CSR) using

custom Python scripts that carried out the following steps. First, the beginning of each read was

evaluated for the presence of the expected 5′-end tag, consisting of the random twelve-base

molecular index (MI) followed by four fixed bases (5′-N_{12}CAGT). Read pairs lacking the correct

5′-end tag on either read were discarded. For remaining read pairs, the MIs from each read were

concatenated to create a 24-base dual-MI that uniquely identifies the original DNA fragment that

was amplified and sequenced. To group all reads corresponding to the same initial DNA

molecule, a FASTA file of all dual-MIs was used as input into the *ustacks* program from the

Stacks software pipeline (Version 1.48) [71] with the following options: a single read was

sufficient to seed a stack, a single mismatch within the 24 base MI was allowed in assigning a

read to a stack, secondary reads and haplotypes were disabled, and stacks with high coverage

were preserved. Then, CSRs were generated for all MI groups sequenced at least twice by taking

the straight consensus of all reads that were merged into that stack. If no base exceeded 50%

frequency at a given position in this set of reads, then that base was set as unknown (N).

Variant calling

We used the *breseq* pipeline [52,72,73] (version 0.26.0) to call single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) and structural variants (SVs) from the CSRs. We divided the genome sequence of the ancestral *E. coli* REL606 strain into two types of reference regions for mapping in this analysis. The eight regions of the genome tiled with probes—extended with hundreds of bases of flanking sequence on both sides—were input as "targeted" sequences, and the remainder of the genome with the identical eight regions masked to degenerate N bases was supplied as a "junction-only" reference (to which reads are mapped without variant calling). All 116 samples were analyzed using *breseq* in polymorphism prediction mode with all bias, minimum allele frequency, and read-count filters disabled. Evidence items in the Genome Diff (GD) files for all samples were combined using the *gdtools* utility program to generate a single merged GD file with each piece of evidence listed a single time, regardless of how many times it was detected in different samples. We then re-ran *breseq* using the same parameters except that this GD file was supplied as an input user-evidence file to force output of variant and reference information for these putative variants in every sample. Then, we extracted the number of variant reads supporting each putative variant allele and the total number of reads at that reference location from the GD file output by *breseq* and performed subsequent statistical tests and fitting steps in R (version 3.2.2) [74]. Scripts and data files for this analysis are available in GitHub (https://github.com/barricklab/adaptome-capture).

Since this original analysis was conducted at the level of *breseq* evidence (i.e., single columns of read pileups on the reference genome or instances of new sequence junctions), we next merged sets of observations that were consistent with a single mutational event when they

also had frequency trajectories that tracked together. To identify these candidates for merging,

we analyzed each of the six window (generation 133 to 213) and four complete (generation 0 to

500) time courses separately. We only considered mutations that exceeded a threshold frequency

of 0.03% at some time during each time course as candidates for merging. Read alignment (RA)

evidence items were merged when they were located within 6 base pairs of one another and

within a normalized Canberra distance of 0.1 between the vectors of their frequency observations

across all of the time points in a dataset. All RA evidence pairs of this kind were found to co-

occur in the same sequencing reads. For these cases, the read counts for the first linked mutation

were used to represent the entire event. For example, if a deletion of three base pairs was

predicted by missing bases at positions x, y, and z; then the frequency of missing the first base

(x) was assigned to the entire three-base deletion mutation. For new junction (JC) evidence we

performed the same merging procedure but allowed linked mutations to be within a larger

window of 20 base pairs and within a normalized Canberra distance of 0.5. JC pairs passing

these criteria were only merged if they were also consistent with an IS-element insertion in terms

of their relative orientation and spacing. In this case the variant and total read counts were added

together for the two different junctions, as the junctions on each side of the inserted IS element

provide independent information for estimating the frequency of this type of mutation.

Time course filtering and selection coefficient estimation

After merging evidence of genetic variants into lists of putative mutations, we further eliminated

putative evolved alleles from consideration using several filtering steps. For the complete time

courses, we first required that non-zero frequencies be observed for a mutation in samples from

at least two different time points. We next applied a filter to eliminate spurious variants that can

be recognized as arising from systematic sequencing or alignment errors because they do not

exhibit the correlated changes in frequency over time expected for the frequency trajectories of

real mutations [1]. Specifically, we required that the time-series of estimated frequencies for a

mutation over all analyzed time points have an autocorrelation value ≥ 0.55.

For the window time courses, we further required that the estimated frequency of a putative

mutation be $\geq 10^{-4}$ at each of the last three time points that were sequenced (generations 193,

206, and 213). Then, we fit a binomial logistic model with slope and x-intercept terms to the time

courses of counts of variant and reference (total minus variant) observations for each mutation.

We filtered out any mutations for which this fit had an AIC < 200, Bonferroni-corrected p-value

for the slope differing from zero of > 0.05, or an x-intercept < -15. The slope fit from the

frequency trajectory of each mutation is an estimate of the selection coefficient of each mutation,

assuming the trajectories reflect competition purely against the ancestral strain. However, in the

latter half of the window time courses we detected a significant deviation from linearity for all

mutation trajectories, indicating that the overall population fitness had increased to a degree that

it decreased the rate at which all newly evolved genotypes with beneficial mutations increased in

frequency. The figures show the best models for a stepwise increase in population fitness

between the sequenced time points that improved the fits for all mutations in each population

considered separately. Because there was significant uncertainty in these estimates and the

fitness trajectories are expected to be highly similar between different populations, we used a

consensus model with one step-wise increase in fitness over time that best improved the fits for

all mutations from all populations to correct the estimated selection coefficients for this effect.

Mutation statistics and plots

One *nadR* mutation from population A2 was a noticeable outlier in terms of its large apparent

fitness benefit of 9.2%. Given that the next-highest observed selection coefficient for a mutation

was 8.0%, it is likely that the lineage with this *nadR* mutation also sustained a secondary

beneficial mutation early enough that they rose to detectable frequencies together. Therefore, we

removed this mutation before analyzing or graphing the characteristics of the set of likely single-

step mutations. Graphs were generated in R using the ggplot2 package [75].

Protein structure analysis

Structural domains in NadR, PykF, and TopA were defined according to UniProt and papers

reporting x-ray crystal structures. Mutations in PykF were mapped onto Protein Data Bank

structure 4YNG [41]. Mutations in TopA were mapped onto Protein Data Bank structure 1MW8

[47]. Protein structures were visualized using Pymol v2.3.5 (Schrödinger LLC).

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Texas Advanced Computing Center (TACC) at The University of

Texas at Austin for providing high-performance computing resources.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Adapter sequences used in DNA library preparation

Table S2 Sequences of pulldown probes

Table S3 Blocking oligos used to limit read-to-read binding during pulldown

Author contributions

Conceptualization: DED JEB.

Data Curation: DED JEB.

Funding Acquisition: DED JEB.

Investigation: DED.

Methodology: DED JEB.

Software – DED JEB.

Supervision – JEB.

Visualization: DED JEB.

Writing – Original Draft Preparation: DED JEB.

Writing – Review & Editing: DED JEB.

References

583
584   1.   Lang GI, Rice DP, Hickman MJ, Sodergren E, Weinstock GM, Botstein D, et al.
585   Pervasive genetic hitchhiking and clonal interference in forty evolving yeast populations.
586   Nature. 2013;500: 571–574. doi:10.1038/nature12344

587   2.   Maddamsetti R, Lenski RE, Barrick JE. Adaptation, clonal interference, and frequency-
588   dependent interactions in a long-term evolution experiment with *Escherichia coli*.
589   Genetics. 2015;200: 619–631. doi:10.1534/genetics.115.176677

590   3.   Good BH, McDonald MJ, Barrick JE, Lenski RE, Desai MM. The dynamics of molecular
591   evolution over 60,000 generations. Nature. 2017;551: 45–50. doi:10.1038/nature24287

592   4.   Gerrish PJ, Lenski RE. The fate of competing beneficial mutations in an asexual
593   population. Genetica. 1998;102–103: 127–144.

594   5.   Park S-C, Krug J. Clonal interference in large populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
595   2007;104: 18135–18140.

596   6.   Desai MM, Walczak AM, Fisher DS. Genetic diversity and the structure of genealogies in
597   rapidly adapting populations. Genetics. 2012;193: 565–585.
598   doi:10.1534/genetics.112.147157

599   7.   Merlo LMF, Pepper JW, Reid BJ, Maley CC. Cancer as an evolutionary and ecological
600   process. Nat Rev Cancer. 2006;6: 924–935. doi:10.1038/nrc2013

601   8.   Thomas RK, Nickerson E, Simons JF, Jänne PA, Tengs T, Yuza Y, et al. Sensitive
602   mutation detection in heterogeneous cancer specimens by massively parallel picoliter

reactor sequencing. Nat Med. 2006;12: 852–855. doi:10.1038/nm1437

9.   Marusyk A, Almendro V, Polyak K. Intra-tumour heterogeneity: A looking glass for
cancer? Nat Rev Cancer. 2012;12: 323–334. doi:10.1038/nrc3261

10. Merlo LMF, Shah NA, Li X, Blount PL, Vaughan TL, Reid BJ, et al. A comprehensive
survey of clonal diversity measures in Barrett's esophagus as biomarkers of progression to
esophageal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Prev Res (Phila). 2010;3: 1388–1397.
doi:10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-10-0108

11. Landau DA, Carter SL, Stojanov P, McKenna A, Stevenson K, Lawrence MS, et al.
Evolution and impact of subclonal mutations in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Cell.
2013;152: 714–26. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.01.019

12. Ding L, Ley TJ, Larson DE, Miller C a., Koboldt DC, Welch JS, et al. Clonal evolution in
relapsed acute myeloid leukaemia revealed by whole-genome sequencing. Nature.
2012;481: 506–10. doi:10.1038/nature10738

13. Marvig RL, Sommer LM, Molin S, Johansen HK. Convergent evolution and adaptation of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa within patients with cystic fibrosis. Nat Genet. 2015;47: 57–64.
doi:10.1038/ng.3148

14. Winstanley C, O'Brien S, Brockhurst MA. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* evolutionary
adaptation and diversification in cystic fibrosis chronic lung infections. Trends Microbiol.
2016;24: 327–337. doi:10.1016/j.tim.2016.01.008

15. Stefani S, Campana S, Cariani L, Carnovale V, Colombo C, Lleo MM, et al. Relevance of
multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infections in cystic fibrosis. Int J Med
Microbiol. 2017;307: 353–362. doi:10.1016/j.ijmm.2017.07.004

16. Renda BA, Hammerling MJ, Barrick JE. Engineering reduced evolutionary potential for
synthetic biology. Mol Biosyst. 2014;10: 1668–1678. doi:10.1039/c3mb70606k

17. Rugbjerg P, Sommer MOA. Overcoming genetic heterogeneity in industrial
fermentations. Nat Biotechnol. 2019;37: 869–876. doi:10.1038/s41587-019-0171-6

18. Lee SY, Kim HU. Systems strategies for developing industrial microbial strains. Nat
Biotechnol. 2015;33: 1061–72. doi:10.1038/nbt.3365

19. Nielsen J, Keasling JD. Engineering cellular metabolism. Cell. 2016;164: 1185–1197.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.02.004

20. Sandoval CM, Ayson M, Moss N, Lieu B, Jackson P, Gaucher SP, et al. Use of
pantothenate as a metabolic switch increases the genetic stability of farnesene producing
Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Metab Eng. 2014;25: 215–226.
doi:10.1016/j.ymben.2014.07.006

21. Rugbjerg P, Myling-Petersen N, Porse A, Sarup-Lytzen K, Sommer MOA. Diverse
genetic error modes constrain large-scale bio-based production. Nat Commun. 2018;9:
787. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03232-w

22. Zelder O, Hauer B. Environmentally directed mutations and their impact on industrial
biotransformation and fermentation processes. Curr Opin Microbiol. 2000;3: 248–251.

642    doi:10.1016/S1369-5274(00)00084-9

643 23. Barrick JE, Lenski RE. Genome dynamics during experimental evolution. Nat Rev Genet.
644    2013;14: 827–839. doi:10.1038/nrg3564

645 24. Gresham D, Dunham MJ. The enduring utility of continuous culturing in experimental
646    evolution. Genomics. 2014;104: 399–405. doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2014.09.015

647 25. Barrick JE, Lenski RE. Genome-wide mutational diversity in an evolving population of
648    *Escherichia coli*. Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol. 2009;74: 119–129.

649 26. Traverse CC, Mayo-Smith LM, Poltak SR, Cooper VS. Tangled bank of experimentally
650    evolved *Burkholderia* biofilms reflects selection during chronic infections. Proc Natl Acad
651    Sci U S A. 2013;110: E250–E259. doi:10.1073/pnas.1207025110

652 27. Levy SF, Blundell JR, Venkataram S, Petrov DA, Fisher DS, Sherlock G. Quantitative
653    evolutionary dynamics using high-resolution lineage tracking. Nature. 2015;519: 181–
654    186. doi:10.1038/nature14279

655 28. Venkataram S, Dunn B, Li Y, Agarwala A, Chang J, Ebel ER, et al. Development of a
656    comprehensive genotype-to-fitness map of adaptation-driving mutations in yeast. Cell.
657    2016;166: 1585-1596.E22. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.002

658 29. Lenski RE, Rose MR, Simpson SC, Tadler SC. Long-term experimental evolution in
659    *Escherichia coli*. I. Adaptation and divergence during 2,000 generations. Am Nat.
660    1991;138: 1315–1341.

661 30. Hegreness M, Shoresh N, Hartl D, Kishony R. An equivalence principle for the
662    incorporation of favorable mutations in asexual populations. Science. 2006;311: 1615–
663    1617.

664 31. Tenaillon O, Barrick JE, Ribeck N, Deatherage DE, Blanchard JL, Dasgupta A, et al.
665    Tempo and mode of genome evolution in a 50,000-generation experiment. Nature.
666    2016;536: 165–170. doi:10.1038/nature18959

667 32. Schmitt MW, Kennedy SR, Salk JJ, Fox EJ, Hiatt JB, Loeb LA. Detection of ultra-rare
668    mutations by next-generation sequencing. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109: 14508–
669    14513. doi:10.1073/pnas.1208715109

670 33. Bainbridge MN, Wang M, Burgess DL, Kovar C, Rodesch MJ, D'Ascenzo M, et al.
671    Whole exome capture in solution with 3 Gbp of data. Genome Biol. 2010;11: R62.
672    doi:10.1186/gb-2010-11-6-r62

673 34. Raffaelli N, Lorenzi T, Mariani PL, Emanuelli M, Amici A, Ruggieri S, et al. The
674    *Escherichia coli* NadR regulator is endowed with nicotinamide mononucleotide
675    adenylyltransferase activity. J Bacteriol. 1999;181: 5509–5511.

676 35. Kurnasov O V., Polanuyer BM, Ananta S, Sloutsky R, Tam A, Gerdes SY, et al.
677    Ribosylnicotinamide Kinase Domain of NadR Protein: Identification and Implications in
678    NAD Biosynthesis. J Bacteriol. 2003;185: 698–698. doi:10.1128/JB.185.2.698.2003

679 36. Barrick JE, Yu DS, Yoon SH, Jeong H, Oh TK, Schneider D, et al. Genome evolution and
680    adaptation in a long-term experiment with *Escherichia coli*. Nature. 2009;461: 1243–

1247.

37.   Siddiquee KAZ, Arauzo-Bravo MJ, Shimizu K. Effect of a pyruvate kinase (*pykF*-gene)
knockout mutation on the control of gene expression and metabolic fluxes in *Escherichia*
coli. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2004;235: 25–33. doi:10.1016/j.femsle.2004.04.004

38.   Kochanowski K, Volkmer B, Gerosa L, Van Rijsewijk BRH, Schmidt A, Heinemann M.
Functioning of a metabolic flux sensor in *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2013;110: 1130–1135. doi:10.1073/pnas.1202582110

39.   Peng F, Widmann S, Wünsche A, Duan K, Donovan KA, Dobson RCJ, et al. Effects of
beneficial mutations in *pykF* gene vary over time and across replicate populations in a
long-term experiment with bacteria. Mol Biol Evol. 2018;35: 202–210.
doi:10.1093/molbev/msx279

40.   Mattevi A, Valentini G, Rizzi M, Speranza ML, Bolognesi M, Coda A. Crystal structure
of *Escherichia coli* pyruvate kinase type I: molecular basis of the allosteric transition.
Structure. 1995;3: 729–741. doi:10.1016/S0969-2126(01)00207-6

41.   Donovan KA, Atkinson SC, Kessans SA, Peng F, Cooper TF, Griffin MDW, et al.
Grappling with anisotropic data, pseudo-merohedral twinning and pseudo-translational
noncrystallographic symmetry: A case study involving pyruvate kinase. Acta Crystallogr
Sect D Struct Biol. 2016;72: 512–519. doi:10.1107/S205979831600142X

42.   Woods R, Schneider D, Winkworth CL, Riley MA, Lenski RE. Tests of parallel molecular
evolution in a long-term experiment with *Escherichia coli*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2006;103: 9107–9712. doi:10.1073/pnas.0602917103

43.   Massé E, Drolet M. Relaxation of transcription-induced negative supercoiling is an
essential function of *Escherichia coli* DNA topoisomerase I. J Biol Chem. 1999;274:
16654–16658. doi:10.1074/jbc.274.23.16654

44.   Dinardo S, Voelkel KA, Sternglanz R, Reynolds AE, Wright A. *Escherichia coli* DNA
topoisomerase I mutants have compensatory mutations in DNA gyrase genes. Cell.
1982;31: 43–51. doi:10.1016/0092-8674(82)90403-2

45.   Pruss GJ, Manes SH, Drlica K. *Escherichia coli* DNA topoisomerase I mutants: Increased
supercoiling is corrected by mutations near gyrase genes. Cell. 1982;31: 35–42.
doi:10.1016/0092-8674(82)90402-0

46.   Tan K, Zhou Q, Cheng B, Zhang Z, Joachimiak A, Tse-Dinh YC. Structural basis for
suppression of hypernegative DNA supercoiling by *E. coli* topoisomerase I. Nucleic Acids
Res. 2015;43: 11031–11046. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1073

47.   Perry K, Mondragón A. Structure of a complex between *E. coli* DNA topoisomerase I and
single-stranded DNA. Structure. 2003;11: 1349–1358. doi:10.1016/j.str.2003.09.013

48.   Crozat E, Philippe N, Lenski RE, Geiselmann J, Schneider D. Long-term experimental
evolution in *Escherichia coli*. XII. DNA topology as a key target of selection. Genetics.
2005;169: 523–532.

49.   Crozat E, Winkworth C, Gaffé J, Hallin PF, Riley MA, Lenski RE, et al. Parallel genetic
and phenotypic evolution of DNA superhelicity in experimental populations of

Escherichia coli. Mol Biol Evol. 2010;27: 2113–2128.

50.   Houdaigui B El, Forquet R, Hindré T, Schneider D, Nasser W, Reverchon S, et al.
Bacterial genome architecture shapes global transcriptional regulation by DNA
supercoiling. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47: 5648–5657. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz300

51.   Ostrowski EA, Woods RJ, Lenski RE. The genetic basis of parallel and divergent
phenotypic responses in evolving populations of *Escherichia coli*. Proc R Soc B.
2008;275: 277–284. doi:10.1098/rspb.2007.1244

52.   Deatherage DE, Traverse CC, Wolf LN, Barrick JE. Detecting rare structural variation in
evolving microbial populations from new sequence junctions using *breseq*. Front Genet.
2015;5: 468. doi:10.3389/fgene.2014.00468

53.   Tenaillon O, Rodríguez-Verdugo A, Gaut RL, McDonald P, Bennett AF, Long AD, et al.
The molecular diversity of adaptive convergence. Science. 2012;335: 457–461.
doi:10.1126/science.1212986

54.   Deatherage DE, Kepner JL, Bennett AF, Lenski RE, Barrick JE. Specificity of genome
evolution in experimental populations of *Escherichia coli* evolved at different
temperatures. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2017;114: E1904–E1912.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1616132114

55.   Frenkel EM, McDonald MJ, Van Dyken JD, Kosheleva K, Lang GI, Desai MM. Crowded
growth leads to the spontaneous evolution of semistable coexistence in laboratory yeast
populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2015;112: 11306–11311. doi:10.1073/pnas.1506184112

56.   Baym M, Lieberman TD, Kelsic ED, Chait R, Gross R, Yelin I, et al. Spatiotemporal
microbial evolution on antibiotic landscapes. Science. 2016;353: 1147–1151.
doi:10.1126/science.aag0822

57.   Khan AI, Dinh DM, Schneider D, Lenski RE, Cooper TF. Negative epistasis between
beneficial mutations in an evolving bacterial population. Science. 2011;332: 1193–1196.

58.   Chou H-H, Chiu H-C, Delaney NF, Segrè D, Marx CJ. Diminishing returns epistasis
among beneficial mutations decelerates adaptation. Science. 2011;332: 1190–1192.

59.   Wiser MJ, Ribeck N, Lenski RE. Long-term dynamics of adaptation in asexual
populations. Science. 2013;342: 1364–1367. doi:10.1126/science.1243357

60.   Kryazhimskiy S, Rice DP, Jerison ER, Desai MM. Global epistasis makes adaptation
predictable despite sequence-level stochasticity. Science. 2014;344: 1519–1522.
doi:10.1126/science.1250939

61.   Wei X, Zhang J. Patterns and mechanisms of diminishing returns from beneficial
mutations. Mol Biol Evol. 2019;36: 1008–1021. doi:10.1093/molbev/msz035

62.   Woods RJ, Barrick JE, Cooper TF, Shrestha U, Kauth MR, Lenski RE. Second-order
selection for evolvability in a large *Escherichia coli* population. Science. 2011;331: 1433–
1436.

63.   Ryall B, Eydallin G, Ferenci T. Culture history and population heterogeneity as
determinants of bacterial adaptation: the adaptomics of a single environmental transition.

Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 2012;76: 597–625. doi:10.1128/MMBR.05028-11

64. Fischer S, Greipel L, Klockgether J, Dorda M, Wiehlmann L, Cramer N, et al. Multilocus
amplicon sequencing of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cystic fibrosis airways isolates
collected prior to and after early antipseudomonal chemotherapy. J Cyst Fibros. 2017;16:
346–352. doi:10.1016/j.jcf.2016.10.013

65. Fowler DM, Fields S. Deep mutational scanning: a new style of protein science. Nat
Methods. 2014;11: 801–807. doi:10.1038/nmeth.3027

66. Garst AD, Bassalo MC, Pines G, Lynch SA, Halweg-Edwards AL, Liu R, et al. Genome-
wide mapping of mutations at single-nucleotide resolution for protein, metabolic and
genome engineering. Nat Biotechnol. 2017;35: 48–55. doi:10.1038/nbt.3718

67. Lenski RE, Travisano M. Dynamics of adaptation and diversification: a 10,000-generation
experiment with bacterial populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1994;91: 6808–6814.

68. Hegreness M, Kishony R. Analysis of genetic systems using experimental evolution and
whole-genome sequencing. Genome Biol. 2007;8: 201.

69. Jeong H, Barbe V, Lee CH, Vallenet D, Yu DS, Choi S-H, et al. Genome sequences of
Escherichia coli B strains REL606 and BL21(DE3). J Mol Biol. 2009;394: 644–652.

70. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al. BLAST+:
architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10: 421. doi:10.1186/1471-
2105-10-421

71. Catchen J, Hohenlohe PA, Bassham S, Amores A, Cresko WA. Stacks: an analysis tool
set for population genomics. Mol Ecol. 2013;22: 3124–3140. doi:10.1111/mec.12354

72. Deatherage DE, Barrick JE. Identification of mutations in laboratory-evolved microbes
from next-generation sequencing data using *breseq*. Sun L, Shou W, editors. Methods Mol
Biol. 2014;1151: 165–188. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-0554-6_12

73. Barrick JE, Colburn G, Deatherage DE, Traverse CC, Strand MD, Borges JJ, et al.
Identifying structural variation in haploid microbial genomes from short-read
resequencing data using *breseq*. BMC Genomics. 2014;15: 1039. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-
15-1039

74. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna,
Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2016.

75. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. New York: Springer-Verlag;
2016.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5