Liquid-liquid phase separation driven compartmentalization of reactive nucleoplasm

Rabia Laghmach & Davit A Potoyan

Department of Chemistry, Iowa State University Ames IA 50011
Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics and Molecular Biology, Iowa State University Ames IA 50011
Bioinformatics and Computational Biology program, Iowa State University Ames IA 50011

E-mail: `potoyan@iastate.edu`

June 2020

Abstract. The nucleus of eukaryotic cells harbors active and out of equilibrium environments conducive to diverse gene regulatory processes. On a molecular scale, gene regulatory processes take place within hierarchically compartmentalized sub-nuclear bodies. While the impact of nuclear structure on gene regulation is widely appreciated, it has remained much less clear whether and how gene regulation is impacting nuclear order itself. Recently, the liquid-liquid phase separation emerged as a fundamental mechanism driving the formation of biomolecular condensates, including membrane-less organelles, chromatin territories, and transcriptional domains. The transience and environmental sensitivity of biomolecular condensation are strongly suggestive of kinetic gene-regulatory control of phase separation. To better understand kinetic aspects controlling biomolecular phase-separation, we have constructed a minimalist model of the reactive nucleoplasm. The model is based on the Cahn-Hilliard formulation of ternary protein-RNA-nucleoplasm components coupled to non-equilibrium and spatially dependent gene expression. We find a broad range of kinetic regimes through an extensive set of simulations where the interplay of phase separation and reactive timescales can generate heterogeneous multi-modal gene expression patterns. Furthermore, the significance of this finding is that heterogeneity of gene expression is linked directly with the heterogeneity of length-scales in phase-separated condensates.

1. Introduction

Phase separation is a fundamental mechanism for the emergent order in an ordinary and biological matter [1, 2]. Recently, phase separation of bio-molecules has also become a cornerstone physical mechanism for understanding the intracellular organization [2, 3, 4]. A wide range of membrane-less compartments are found to form through biomolecular phase separation, including nucleoli [5, 6, 7], stress granules [8, 9, 10], chromatin domains [11, 12, 13] and transcriptional centers [14, 15]. The primary components driving phase separation inside cells include proteins and nucleic acids with multivalent interaction centers [16, 17], which are also part of various gene regulatory networks. Specifically, the nucleus of eukaryotes contains a large fraction of proteins that undergo phase separation, which and are linked with transcription and chromatin architecture reorganization activities [18]. There have been several proposals of the functional roles that may include catalysis of biochemical reactions, noise buffering and inducing ultra-sensitive signals [19, 20, 21]. However, understanding the mechanistic picture that links phase separation to the functional gene regulatory processes inside the nucleus has remained elusive [20] due to heterogeneous, multi-scale, and non-equilibrium nature of the nuclear environment [22].

In this work, we propose a minimal model of a reactive nucleoplasm (Fig. 1) with an objective to illustrate, in a proof of principle manner; (i) How spatially resolved non-equilibrium reactive en-events generate qualitatively distinct from equilibrium phase behavior and (ii) How the interplay of various kinetic timescales in the system impacts gene expression patterns. The minimal reactive nucleoplasm model consists of a ternary solution filled with incompressible fluid consisting of "active" protein, RNA components, and "passive" nucleoplasmic buffer. The mathematical formulation of the model is based on a generic ternary diffuse interface model of one-step transcription/translation and phase-separation inside the nucleoplasm. The model resolves the formation and dissolution of protein-RNA droplets as well as reactive events of influx/creation and outflux/degradation of proteins and RNA. By an extensive set of simulations exploring the interplay of timescales in the system, we found a broad kinetic regime dominated by length-scale heterogeneity of phase-separated droplets which correspond to heterogeneous gene expression patterns.

Various simple mathematical models have been used to explore generic aspects of equilibrium and non-equilibrium phase-separation as well as the physical properties of resulting condensates [23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. The most relevant to the present contribution is the work by Berry et al. [27], where authors have considered a binary and ternary fluid model of nucleoplasm, which couples phase separation with first-order exchange among soluble and insoluble components. Authors have simulated different stages of spinodal decomposition and explored its impact on Ostwald ripening of droplets. It was shown that kinetics of the RNA flux accelerates the ripening of droplets, thereby showing a link between the thermodynamics of phase separation and kinetics of droplet formation. Other recent notable studies worth highlighting here

include the work by Yamamoto et al. [28], which have studied the non-spatial model of architectural RNA phase separation coupled with non-equilibrium production. Finally, an important theoretical framework by Ilker and Joanny [30] establishes and equivalence of phase-separation kinetics with the Cahn-Hilliard effective temperature.

Some of the key distinctions of the present model from previous studies include (i) Spatially resolved formulation of gene expression and phase separation (ii) Explicit connection of phase-separated patterns with transcription and translation models of gene expression (iii) Exploring the impact of dynamic turnover in RNA-protein-nucleoplasm ternary diagram on global patterning. Thus, the present work clarifies as a first step the dual nature of nuclear order and gene expression, and provides a useful theoretical framework for understanding equilibrium and non-equilibrium origins of intra-nuclear patterning.

2. Minimal reactive nucleoplasm model

In order to explore the kinetics of phase separation of RNA-proteins-nucleoplasm reacting mixture, we use a local thermodynamic approach that describes the phase separation of a ternary mixture based on the Flory-Huggins model [31, 32] for polymer solutions coupled with chemical reaction-diffusion equations (Fig. 1). In this section, we describe the mathematical formulation of the model of a "minimal reactive nucleoplasm:" where the liquid-liquid phase separation of single RNA and protein components is coupled with reactive events of transcription, translation, and degradation.

Figure 1. (A) Ternary phase diagram for protein-RNA-nucleoplasm components. Shown are also binodal and spinodal lines (B) Schematic of the minimal reactive nucleoplasm model. Shown are reactions and their spatial profiles

A minimal one-step model of independent, unregulated transcription and translation [33] is used to set the lifetimes of protein and RNA components comprising the nucleopalsmic milieu defined by the following the chemical reactions:

$$\emptyset \xrightarrow{k_R} R \xrightarrow{k_d} \emptyset,$$
$$\emptyset \xrightarrow{k_P} P \xrightarrow{k_d} \emptyset \tag{1}$$

where the k_R, k_P and k_d are the rate coefficients for transcription, translation and degradation, respectively. The kinetics of phase separation of ternary reactive protein-RNA-nucleoplasm mixture is given by the following set of reaction-diffusion equations:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial t} = \nabla \left(M(\varphi_1) \nabla \left(\frac{\delta F[\varphi_1, \varphi_2]}{\delta \varphi_1} \right) \right) + f_1(r)[k_R(1 - \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) - k_d \varphi_1] \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_2}{\partial t} = \nabla \left(M(\varphi_2) \nabla \left(\frac{\delta F[\varphi_1, \varphi_2]}{\delta \varphi_2} \right) \right) + f_2(r)[k_P(1 - \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) - k_d \varphi_2] \tag{3}$$

where φ_i are the order parameter variables associated with the local concentration of ith-component forming the mixture, and the F is the free energy functional describing the thermodynamics of ternary mixture. The total local density in the system is considered constant, respecting the incomprehensibility condition expressed as: $\sum_i \varphi_i = 1$. The function $f_1(r)$ and $f_2(r)$ are used to define the spatially heterogeneous distribution of components mimicking RNA expression inside nucleus and protein translation and flow into nucleus. The RNA is created in the center of nucleoplasm thereby mimicking a transcriptional process [34]. The protein component is flown into the nucleus from the nuclear boundaries thereby mimicking translation [34]. For simplicity we assume the mobility coefficients for all components to be constant $M(\varphi_1) = M(\varphi_2) = M$. Heterogeneous mobility is an interesting aspect of nucleoplasm [35], however, and is undoubtedly deserving of a separate investigation.

The free energy functional taken in this model is based on the Flory-Huggins energy formulation of the ternary mixture system [36, 37], which is given by:

$$F[\varphi_1, \varphi_2] = \int_\Omega d\mathbf{\Omega} \left[\sum_i^3 \frac{\varphi_i}{N_i} \ln(\varphi_i) + \sum_{i \neq j} \chi_{ij} \, \varphi_i \, \varphi_j + \frac{\kappa_{\varphi_1}}{2} (\nabla \varphi_1)^2 + \frac{\kappa_{\varphi_2}}{2} (\nabla \varphi_2)^2 \right] \tag{4}$$

where χ_{ij} are interaction parameters between species $i - j$, N_i is the degree of polymerization, and the square-gradient coefficients κ_{φ_i} are controlling the interfacial free energies.

The dynamic equations are brought to a dimensionless form, which reveals the essential time- and length-scales of the problem. Let us introduce l, the characteristic length of the system, and τ is the characteristic time. The dimensionless form of the kinetic equations yields four dimensionless parameters that control the dynamics of phase-separation where components are undergoing chemical reactions. The $\tau_D/\tau = l^2/(M \times \tau) = 1$ is the ratio between diffusion timescale and characteristic time which is fixed to be a unit. The $\tau/\tau_T = \tau \times k_T$ is the ratio between characteristic time and time-scale associated with transcription. The $\tau/\tau_P = \tau \times k_P$ is the ratio between characteristic time and time-scale associated with protein formation. The $\tau/\tau_d = \tau \times k_d$

is ratio between characteristic time and time-scale associated with degradation. To solve the dynamic equations (2)–(3) in the dimensionless form, we use a fully implicit finite element C++ library from the Multiphysics Object-Oriented Simulation Environment (MOOSE) [38]. The simulations were performed on a rectangular domain of dimensions $L_x \times L_y$: (50×50), with periodic boundary conditions. A quadrilateral element QUAD4 with four nodes was used for domain meshing with the refinement. The total number of elements used for the fine mesh is 10000. The time step of integration Δt is fixed at 0.05 [a.u.]. In this work, we only consider the case of a symmetric ternary mixture undergoing a chemical reaction with $\chi_{12} = \chi_{23} = \chi_{13} = 3$ that ensures phase-separation of protein-RNA droplets in the absence of chemical reactions(Fig. 1A). The other parameters of simulations were set to $\kappa_{\phi_1} = \kappa_{\phi_2} = 0.03125$; and chain lengths N_i, or degree of polymerization, are assumed to be the same: $N_1 = N_2 = N_3 = 1$. The initial configuration of phase-field variables is generated by $\varphi_i(r) = \langle \varphi_i \rangle + \delta\varphi_i$, where $\langle \varphi_i \rangle$ is an initial average concentration associated with φ_i, and $\delta\varphi_i$ is a small random perturbation amplitude. For all simulations presented here, the initial average of species i are set to $\langle \varphi_1 \rangle = 0.3$ and $\langle \varphi_2 \rangle = 0.09$, with $\delta\varphi_1 \in [-0.05, 0.05]$ and $\delta\varphi_2 \in [-0.01, 0.01]$.

3. Results

Here we report the findings obtained by analyzing the results of simulations with a minimal reactive nucleoplasm model. We have organized the results in three broad kinetic regimes, which are described by three orders of magnitude in degradation time-scale $\tau_d = \tau, 10\tau, 100\tau$ with respect to the diffusion time-scale τ. These time-scales correspond to different rates of turnover of nucleoplasmic components from fast to slow. For each regime, we have carried out extensive grid-based kinetic parameter sweeps exploring the coupling of transcriptional and transnational time-scales on the backdrop of fixed phase-separating free energy landscape of RNA-protein-nucleoplasm components. Despite the incredible simplicity of the minimal reactive nucleoplasm model, the time course of simulations (Fig. 2-4) has revealed a non-trivial patterning of nucleoplasm which are a dramatic departure from equilibrium thermodynamics of ternary phase separation in the absence of spatially non-uniform reaction-diffusion.

We start by investigating the fast dynamical regime corresponding to $\tau_d = \tau$. Fig. 2 shows the spatial and temporal evolution of RNA-protein components in a reactive nucleoplasm environment. Four interesting cases are highlighted in Fig. 2, corresponding to different time-scale separation between translation τ_P and transcription τ_R processes. When $\tau_P = \tau_R = \tau$ we observe rapid coarsening dynamics and emergence of RNA droplets with stable protein front (Fig. 2A). Coarsening dynamics for either protein front or RNA droplets are also observed for fast translation/slow transcription or slow translation/fast transcription, respectively (Fig. 2BC). Naturally, it is expected that the more rapid degradation timescales for RNA and protein lead to the disappearance of both RNA droplets and protein fonts(Fig. 2D). Thus, we can conclude that in the fast dynamical regime, nucleoplasm patterns are entirely set by the kinetic parameters with

Figure 2. Evolution of phase-field variables φ_i for three phase mixture undergoing the chemical reaction with a degradation time-scale fixed at the same of diffusion ($\tau_d = \tau$). From up to bottom: snapshots corresponding to simulation results with A) $\tau_R = \tau_P = \tau$; B) $\tau_R = 100\tau_P = 100\tau$; C) $\tau_P = 100\tau_R = 100\tau$; and D) $\tau_R = \tau_P = 100\tau$.

Figure 3. Evolution of phase-field variables φ_i for three phase mixture undergoing the chemical reaction with a degradation time-scale fixed at the same of diffusion ($\tau_d = 10\tau$). From up to bottom: snapshots corresponding to simulation results with A) $\tau_R = \tau_P = \tau$; B) $\tau_R = 50\tau_P = 50\tau$; C) $\tau_P = 100\tau_R = 100\tau$; and D) $\tau_R = \tau_P = 50\tau$.

thermodynamic free energy landscape taking the back seat.

The formation of RNA-protein patterns is more intricate in the intermediate

Figure 4. Evolution of phase-field variables φ_i for three phase mixture undergoing the chemical reaction with a degradation time-scale fixed at the same of diffusion ($\tau_d = 100\tau$). From up to bottom: snapshots corresponding to simulation results with A) $\tau_P = 10\tau_R = 10\tau$; B) $\tau_R = 10\tau$ $\tau_P = 50\tau$; C) $\tau_R = 50\tau$ $\tau_P = 10\tau$; and D) $\tau_R = \tau_P = 100\tau$.

dynamical regime corresponding to $\tau_d = 10\tau$ (Fig. 3). In the Fig. 3, we show the patterns arising from competing transcription, translation, and degradation with different transcription and translation timescales. When both transcription and translation have comparable time scales (Fig. 3A) to that of diffusion, we find a rapid progression of protein front on the one hand and a rapid RNA seed droplet growth at on the other. In this regime, once the nucleoplasm reaches a non-equilibrium steady state, droplet patterning is now becomes dictated by the thermodynamics of Flory-Huggins interaction parameters, which set the extend of mixing between protein and RNA components. When both the transcription and translation have the same time scale but are now significantly slower than diffusion(Fig. 3D), then the system displays heterogeneity in protein RNA droplet distribution. The situation with faster transcription and the faster translation is predictable: (Fig. 3B and C) the system tends to increase in protein front and RNA nucleating center, respectively.

We now turn to the analysis of the slow dynamical regime corresponding to a degradation timescale $\tau_d = 100\tau$. In this regime, we once again highlight four interesting cases (Fig. 4A-D). When the system has comparable timescales for transcription, translation, and diffusion $\tau_P = \tau_R = \tau$, the nucleoplasmic component gets eliminated, and the system rapidly reaches a balance between protein front and RNA seed. Slowing down the translation $\tau_P = 100\tau_R = 100\tau$ by two orders of magnitude leads to a non-trivial patterning with the RNA seed, nucleoplasm and RNA droplets all con-existing at a non-equilibrium steady-state. On the other hand, slowing down the transcription

(Fig 4C) leads to a steady-state with significantly downsized RNA-seed at the expense of the peripheral protein front. Slowing down both transcription and translation (Fig 4D) leads to a non-trivial patterning with protein front, RNA droplets, and nucleoplasmic environment, but this time with no dominant RNA-seed.

To quantify the emergence of different droplet patterns, we have summarized the phase behavior of a reactive nucleoplasm model via. Global kinetic phase diagram, Fig. 5. Here one can see a global picture of how the interplay of kinetic timescales is favoring uniform vs. binary vs. ternary phases.

Figure 5. Phase diagram: Phase diagram showing the dominant steady-state phase and summarizing various patterns that arise at three kinetic regimes (A) $\tau_d = \tau$; B) $\tau_d = 10\tau$; C) $\tau_d = 100\tau$). The blue star indicates that the RNA domain becomes the dominant phase in the long timescale limit. The green star means that the proteins-droplets become the dominant phase in the long timescale limit. The pink star indicates three coexisting phases of the ternary mixture. In contrast, the red star indicates that RNA-proteins turn totally into the nucleoplasm.

In order to quantify the length-scales of emergent patterns, we have analyzed the azimuthally averaged dynamic structure factors $S(k, t) = \int dk_\Omega S(\mathbf{k}, t)$ associated with each dynamical regime (See Fig. 6 and Supporting Information, Fig S11-S13). Analyzing dynamic structure factors reveals characteristic length-scales of protein/RNA droplets as well as emergent dynamical heterogeneity manifesting in the fast or slow coarsening of the droplets. The computed structure factors show a broad range of kinetically controlled states where one has bi-modality of RNA (or protein) components. This bimodality or more broadly heterogeneity of distribution is directly linked to the heterogeneity of droplet sizes and shapes that one can quantify from simulation images (Fig. 3,4). Multi-modal gene expression is a feature often linked with phenotypic heterogeneity and which has been mostly explained by citing the underlying non-linear dynamics of dichotomous switching noise in a spatially uniform master equation formalism [39, 40, 41]. The results of Fig.6 clearly show that transcriptional heterogeneity can originate purely from the spatially non-uniform nature of gene expression, which is being modulated by timescales of phase separation, transcription, and translation.

Finally, we have computed the length scale of patterns summarizing transitional heterogeneity in three kinetic regimes of the minimal reactive nucleoplasm model (Fig. 7). The length-scales patterns are quantified by using pre-computed azimuthally

Figure 6. The dynamic structure factors for the three representative kinetic regimes with significant time-scale disparity between transcription and translation $\tau_P = 100\tau_R$ (See Supporting Information for all the results). The inset shows the scaling and power law exponent. The three panels stand for three dynamical regimes: (A) $\tau_d = \tau$ (B) $\tau_d = 10\tau$ (C) $\tau_d = 100\tau$.

Figure 7. The dominant length-scale patterns for the three representative kinetic regimes. Each panel shows a combination of transcription and translation time-scales sorted with an increasing time-scale disparity from blue to green to orange. (A) $\tau_d = \tau$ (B) $\tau_d = 10\tau$ (C) $\tau_d = 100\tau$.

averaged dynamic structure factors $R(t) = \frac{\int dk S(\mathbf{k},t)k^{-2}}{\int dk S(\mathbf{k},t)k^{-1}}$ [42]. The length-scale patterns $R(t)$ quantify the dominant length-scales which emerge during the time-evolution of a reactive nucleoplasm. Analyzing the evolution of length-scale patterns for three dynamical regimes, we see clearly that for the fast dynamical regime, there is only one dominating length-scale, which is dictated by the rapid degradation kinetic timescale. For the intermediate and slow dynamical regimes, however, we find heterogeneity of length-scales, which emerges from the disparity in transcription and translational timescales. We note that this heterogeneity has both structural and dynamical manifestations, as one can see by analyzing the power low of length-scale patterns $R(t) \sim At^\alpha$. We find two exponents, one which is characteristic for the early coarsening stage ($\sim 1/3$) and second ($\alpha \sim 3/8$) for the later accelerated phase separating evolution towards a steady state. We note that power laws in the dynamical variables of the nucleoplasmic environment have been detected and characterized in a large number of experiments [35, 43]. These power-law dependencies, however, are hard to disentangle in terms of distinct contributions since their origin may come from any combination

of phase-separation, polymeric effects, confinement, and non-equilibrium motorized activities in the nucleus. In this work, we have only managed to scratch at the surface of the fascinating dynamical patterning potential of the active nucleoplasmic environment. For future studies, it would be interesting to investigate the impact of differential mobility, hydro-dynamical coupling, and as well as investigate gene expression beyond a simple one-step model of unregulated reactions that have not been done in the present contribution.

4. Conclusion

In this work, we introduce a minimal reactive nucleoplasm model combining spatially dependent transcription and translation to liquid-liquid phase separation of RNA and protein components embedded in the backdrop of passive nucleoplasm buffer. We use the minimal reactive-nucleoplasm model to cleanly dissect how the interplay of transcription, translation, and degradation time scales couples with the liquid-liquid phase separation of RNA and protein components in a model ternary solution. By carrying out extensive grid-based sweeps of kinetic parameter space, we uncover various non-trivial patterning and length-scale heterogeneity compared to the classic Flory-Huggins thermodynamic picture for ternary polymeric solutions. Our central finding is the existence of a broad kinetic regime characterized by a slow turnover of components and timescale disparity between transcription and translation under which a phase separating system can display bi-modal distribution. The significance of this finding is that the observed heterogeneity of gene expression is linked directly with the heterogeneity of length-scales in phase-separated condensates. The main findings and the minimal reactive nucleoplasm model thus establishes a useful framework with which one can further elucidate the emergence of nucleoplasm patterns and phenotype heterogeneity from first principles modeling of phase-separation and reaction-diffusion processes.

5. References

[1] M. A. Muñoz, "Colloquium: Criticality and dynamical scaling in living systems," *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 90, p. 031001, July 2018.

[2] J. Berry, C. P. Brangwynne, and M. Haataja, "Physical principles of intracellular organization via active and passive phase transitions," *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, vol. 81, p. 046601, Apr. 2018.

[3] J.-M. Choi, A. S. Holehouse, and R. V. Pappu, "Physical principles underlying the complex biology of intracellular phase transitions," *Annu. Rev. Biophys.*, Jan. 2020.

[4] G. L. Dignon, R. B. Best, and J. Mittal, "Biomolecular phase separation: From molecular driving forces to macroscopic properties," *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry*, vol. 71, pp. 53–75, 2020.

[5] L. Latonen, "Phase-to-Phase with nucleoli - stress responses, protein aggregation and novel roles of RNA," *Front. Cell. Neurosci.*, vol. 13, p. 151, Apr. 2019.

[6] F. Frottin, F. Schueder, S. Tiwary, R. Gupta, R. Körner, T. Schlichthaerle, J. Cox, R. Jungmann, F. U. Hartl, and M. S. Hipp, "The nucleolus functions as a phase-separated protein quality control compartment," *Science*, vol. 365, pp. 342–347, July 2019.

[7] M. Feric, N. Vaidya, T. S. Harmon, D. M. Mitrea, L. Zhu, T. M. Richardson, R. W. Kriwacki, R. V. Pappu, and C. P. Brangwynne, "Coexisting liquid phases underlie nucleolar subcompartments," *Cell*, vol. 165, pp. 1686–1697, June 2016.

[8] B. Van Treeck, D. S. W. Protter, T. Matheny, A. Khong, C. D. Link, and R. Parker, "RNA self-assembly contributes to stress granule formation and defining the stress granule transcriptome," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, vol. 115, pp. 2734–2739, Mar. 2018.

[9] A. Molliex, J. Temirov, J. Lee, M. Coughlin, A. P. Kanagaraj, H. J. Kim, T. Mittag, and J. P. Taylor, "Phase separation by low complexity domains promotes stress granule assembly and drives pathological fibrillization," *Cell*, vol. 163, pp. 123–133, Sept. 2015.

[10] J. A. Riback, C. D. Katanski, J. L. Kear-Scott, E. V. Pilipenko, A. E. Rojek, T. R. Sosnick, and D. A. Drummond, "Stress-Triggered phase separation is an adaptive, evolutionarily tuned response," *Cell*, vol. 168, pp. 1028–1040.e19, Mar. 2017.

[11] Y. Zhang and T. G. Kutateladze, "Liquid-liquid phase separation is an intrinsic physicochemical property of chromatin," *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, Nov. 2019.

[12] S. Palikyras and A. Papantonis, "Modes of phase separation affecting chromatin regulation," *Open Biol.*, vol. 9, p. 190167, Oct. 2019.

[13] R. Laghmach, M. Di Pierro, and D. A. Potoyan, "Mesoscale liquid model of chromatin recapitulates nuclear order of eukaryotes," *Biophysical Journal*, 2019.

[14] D. Hnisz, K. Shrinivas, R. A. Young, A. K. Chakraborty, and P. A. Sharp, "A phase separation model for transcriptional control," *Cell*, vol. 169, no. 1, pp. 13–23, 2017.

[15] A. Boija, I. A. Klein, B. R. Sabari, A. Dall'Agnese, E. L. Coffey, A. V. Zamudio, C. H. Li, K. Shrinivas, J. C. Manteiga, N. M. Hannett, B. J. Abraham, L. K. Afeyan, Y. E. Guo, J. K. Rimel, C. B. Fant, J. Schuijers, T. I. Lee, D. J. Taatjes, and R. A. Young, "Transcription factors activate genes through the Phase-Separation capacity of their activation domains," *Cell*, vol. 175, pp. 1842–1855.e16, Dec. 2018.

[16] S. Boeynaems, S. Alberti, N. L. Fawzi, T. Mittag, M. Polymenidou, F. Rousseau, J. Schymkowitz, J. Shorter, B. Wolozin, L. Van Den Bosch, P. Tompa, and M. Fuxreiter, "Protein phase separation: A new phase in cell biology," *Trends Cell Biol.*, vol. 28, pp. 420–435, June 2018.

[17] K. Rhine, V. Vidaurre, and S. Myong, "RNA droplets," *Annu. Rev. Biophys.*, Feb. 2020.

[18] I. A. Sawyer, J. Bartek, and M. Dundr, "Phase separated microenvironments inside the cell nucleus are linked to disease and regulate epigenetic state, transcription and RNA processing," *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.*, vol. 90, pp. 94–103, July 2018.

[19] A. Klosin, F. Oltsch, T. Harmon, A. Honigmann, F. Jülicher, A. Hyman, and C. Zechner, "Phase separation provides a mechanism to reduce noise in cells," *Science*, vol. 367, no. 6476, pp. 464–468, 2020.

[20] W. Stroberg and S. Schnell, "Do cellular condensates accelerate biochemical reactions? lessons from microdroplet chemistry," *Biophys. J.*, vol. 115, pp. 3–8, July 2018.

[21] Z. Wang, D. A. Potoyan, and P. G. Wolynes, "Stochastic resonances in a distributed genetic broadcasting system: the nf κ b/i κ b paradigm," *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, vol. 15, no. 138, p. 20170809, 2018.

[22] A. Peng and S. C. Weber, "Evidence for and against liquid-liquid phase separation in the nucleus," *Non-coding RNA*, vol. 5, no. 4, 2019.

[23] J. D. Wurtz and C. F. Lee, "Chemical-reaction-controlled phase separated drops: formation, size selection, and coarsening," *Physical review letters*, vol. 120, no. 7, p. 078102, 2018.

[24] L. Pytowski, C. F. Lee, A. C. Foley, D. J. Vaux, and L. Jean, "Liquid–liquid phase separation of type ii diabetes-associated iapp initiates hydrogelation and aggregation," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 117, no. 22, pp. 12050–12061, 2020.

[25] C. F. Lee, C. P. Brangwynne, J. Gharakhani, A. A. Hyman, and F. Jülicher, "Spatial organization of the cell cytoplasm by position-dependent phase separation," *Physical review letters*, vol. 111, no. 8, p. 088101, 2013.

[26] D. Zwicker, R. Seyboldt, C. A. Weber, A. A. Hyman, and F. Jülicher, "Growth and division of

active droplets provides a model for protocells," *Nature Physics*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 408–413, 2017.

[27] J. Berry, S. C. Weber, N. Vaidya, M. Haataja, and C. P. Brangwynne, "Rna transcription modulates phase transition-driven nuclear body assembly," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 112, no. 38, pp. E5237–E5245, 2015.

[28] T. Yamamoto, T. Yamazaki, and T. Hirose, "Phase separation driven by production of architectural rna transcripts," *Soft Matter*, vol. 16, no. 19, pp. 4692–4698, 2020.

[29] K. Gasior, J. Zhao, G. McLaughlin, M. G. Forest, A. S. Gladfelter, and J. Newby, "Partial demixing of rna-protein complexes leads to intradroplet patterning in phase-separated biological condensates," *Physical Review E*, vol. 99, no. 1, p. 012411, 2019.

[30] E. Ilker and J.-F. Joanny, "Phase separation and nucleation in mixtures of particles with different temperatures," *Physical Review Research*, vol. 2, no. 2, p. 023200, 2020.

[31] C. P. Brangwynne, P. Tompa, and R. V. Pappu, "Polymer physics of intracellular phase transitions," *Nature Physics*, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 899–904, 2015.

[32] P. J. Flory, "Thermodynamics of high polymer solutions," *The Journal of chemical physics*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 51–61, 1942.

[33] T. B. Kepler and T. C. Elston, "Stochasticity in transcriptional regulation: origins, consequences, and mathematical representations," *Biophysical journal*, vol. 81, no. 6, pp. 3116–3136, 2001.

[34] B. Alberts, "Molecular biology of the cell," 2018.

[35] C. M. Caragine, S. C. Haley, and A. Zidovska, "Nucleolar dynamics and interactions with nucleoplasm in living cells," *Elife*, vol. 8, p. e47533, 2019.

[36] P. C. Hohenberg and B. I. Halperin, "Theory of dynamic critical phenomena," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 49, no. 3, p. 435, 1977.

[37] J. W. Cahn and J. E. Hilliard, "Free energy of a nonuniform system. i. interfacial free energy," *The Journal of chemical physics*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 258–267, 1958.

[38] C. J. Permann, D. R. Gaston, D. Andrš, R. W. Carlsen, F. Kong, A. D. Lindsay, J. M. Miller, J. W. Peterson, A. E. Slaughter, R. H. Stogner, and R. C. Martineau, "MOOSE: Enabling massively parallel multiphysics simulation," *SoftwareX*, vol. 11, p. 100430, 2020.

[39] Y. T. Lin, P. G. Hufton, E. J. Lee, and D. A. Potoyan, "A stochastic and dynamical view of pluripotency in mouse embryonic stem cells," *PLoS computational biology*, vol. 14, no. 2, p. e1006000, 2018.

[40] P. G. Hufton, Y. T. Lin, T. Galla, and A. J. McKane, "Intrinsic noise in systems with switching environments," *Physical Review E*, vol. 93, no. 5, p. 052119, 2016.

[41] D. A. Potoyan and P. G. Wolynes, "Dichotomous noise models of gene switches," *The Journal of chemical physics*, vol. 143, no. 19, p. 11B612_1, 2015.

[42] M. K. VIVIEN, E. C. MICHAEL, P. A. IGNACIO, J.-C. DESPLAT, and B. PETER, "Inertial effects in three-dimensional spinodal decomposition of a symmetric binary fluid mixture: a lattice boltzmann study," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, vol. 440, pp. 147–203, 2001.

[43] A. Zidovska, "The self-stirred genome: large-scale chromatin dynamics, its biophysical origins and implications," *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development*, vol. 61, pp. 83–90, 2020.