Title: Strain-specific genome evolution in *Trypanosoma cruzi*, the agent of Chagas disease

Wei Wang[1], Duo Peng[1,2], Rodrigo P. Baptista[1,3], Yiran Li[3], Jessica C. Kissinger[1,3,4] and Rick L. Tarleton[1,3, #]

[1] Center for Tropical and Emerging Global Diseases; [2] Department of Cellular Biology; [3] Institute of

Bioinformatics; [4] Department of Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA.

#Corresponding author: tarleton@uga.edu

Running title: Genome evolution in *T. cruzi*

Keywords: Chagas disease, *Trypanosoma cruzi*, immune evasion, gene amplification and diversification,

antigenic variation, strain-specific evolution.

Abstract

The protozoan *Trypanosoma cruzi* almost invariably establishes life-long infections in humans and other

mammals, despite the development of potent host immune responses that constrain parasite numbers.

The consistent, decades-long persistence of *T. cruzi* in human hosts arises at least in part from the

remarkable level of genetic diversity in multiple families of genes encoding the primary target antigens

of anti-parasite immune responses. However, the highly repetitive nature of the genome – largely a

result of these same extensive families of genes – have prevented a full understanding of the extent of

gene diversity and its maintenance in *T. cruzi*. In this study, we have combined long-read sequencing and

proximity ligation mapping to generate very high-quality assemblies of two *T. cruzi* strains representing

the apparent ancestral lineages of the species. These assemblies reveal not only the full repertoire of

gene family members in the two strains, demonstrating extreme diversity within and between isolates,

but also provide evidence of the processes that generate and maintain that diversity, including extensive

gene amplification, dispersion of copies throughout the genome and diversification via recombination

and *in situ* mutations. These processes also impact genes not required for or involved in immune

evasion, creating unique challenges with respect to preserving core genome function while maximizing

genetic diversity.

Introduction

The protozoan parasite *Trypanosoma cruzi* is the causative agent of Chagas disease, the highest impact

parasitic infection in the Americas, affecting 10 to 20 million humans and innumerable animals in many

species. The study of *T. cruzi* and Chagas disease is particularly challenging for a number of reasons,

including the complexity and unique characteristics of its genome. Over 50% of the *T. cruzi* genome is

composed of repetitive sequences, which include numerous families of surface proteins (e.g. *trans-*

sialidases, mucins and mucin-associated surface proteins) with hundreds to thousands of members

each, as well as substantial numbers of transposable elements, microsatellites and simple tandem

repeats (Weston et al. 1999; El-Sayed et al. 2005; De Pablos and Osuna 2012). This repetitive nature

greatly hampered the assembly of the original CL Brener strain reference genome generated in 2005,

resulting in a highly fragmented and draft assembly with extensively collapsed high repeat regions (El-

Sayed et al. 2005). In addition, the CL Brener strain turned out to be a hybrid strain with divergent alleles

at many loci. To scaffold the genome sequence, Weatherly *et al.* took advantage of the bacterial artificial

chromosome (BAC) library sequencing data and combined with synteny analysis of two genomes from

closely related species, *Trypanosoma brucei* and *Leishmania*, obtained the current reference genome

with 41 chromosomes (Weatherly et al. 2009). Nevertheless, a large number of gaps are still present in

the chromosomes of the reference genome, and many unassigned contigs remain, making it impossible

to determine the exact genome content and, in particular, the full repertoires of large gene families.

As in many pathogens, and best documented in the related trypanosomatid *Trypanosoma brucei,*

families of variant surface proteins often serve as both the primary molecular interface with mammalian

hosts and as the predominant target of host immune responses. Classical antigenic variation in these

pathogens consists of the serial expression of a single (or highly restricted number of) antigen variant(s)

in the pathogen population at any one time, with switches to new variants becoming evident once the

host immune response controls the dominant one. This largely "one-at-a-time" strategy appears

particularly effective in pathogens exposed continuously to antibody-mediated immune control

mechanisms. *T. cruzi*, however, appears to take a much different approach to antigenic variation,

generating multiple very large families of genes encoding surface and secreted proteins, many of which

are expressed simultaneously rather than serially. We believe that this strategy may reflect the primarily

intracellular lifestyle of *T. cruzi* in mammalian hosts and the necessity of evading T cell recognition of

infected host cells, although this has yet not been formally proven.

The advent of two advances in genome analysis has made it feasible to revisit and substantially improve

upon the *T. cruzi* genome assembly and to advance our understanding of its composition. The long-read

capability of PacBio Single-Molecule Real-Time (SMRT) sequencing provides read lengths capable of

spanning long repetitive regions. The application of this technology (Berna et al. 2018; Callejas-

Hernandez et al. 2018) as well as nanopore sequencing (Diaz-Viraque et al. 2019) has resulted in much-

improved contiguity and expansion of gene family members in *T. cruzi*. Secondly, proximity ligation

methods have allowed for the scaffolding of assemblies spanning highly repetitive regions. One of the

methods, Hi-C, identifies extant inter-chromosomal interactions by capturing chromosome

conformation, and has been used to create scaffolds at chromosomal scale (Kaplan and Dekker 2013;

Korbel and Lee 2013). A second approach termed Chicago, adapts this same methodology but

reconstitutes the confirmation of DNA *in vitro* by combining the DNA with purified histones and

chromatin assembly factors (Putnam et al. 2016). These proximity ligation methods not only improve

the contiguity of genomes by joining contigs, they also identify misjoins in the contigs and separate

them to increase the accuracy of assemblies (Putnam et al. 2016). The combination of Chicago and Hi-C

has now been applied to many genomes (Robert D. Denton 2018; Theodore S. Kalbfleisch 2018; Elbers

et al. 2019; Salter et al. 2019; Schreiber et al. 2020).

In this study, we have applied SMRT sequencing and proximity ligation methods to produce very high-

quality assemblies from the Brazil (TcI) and Y (TcII) strains of *T. cruzi*. These two strains are

representatives of the most ancestral lines that are hypothesized to have given rise to the 6 discrete

typing units (DTUs, TcI-TcVI) lineages now composing this genetically diverse species (Westenberger et

al. 2005; de Freitas et al. 2006; Zingales et al. 2009; Flores-Lopez and Machado 2011; Zingales et al.

2012; Tomasini and Diosque 2015). Using these chromosomal-level assemblies with minimal gaps, we

are now able to compare the full gene content of representatives of these founding lineages of the *T.*

cruzi species, including the full repertoires of large gene families. Herein, we document a substantial

diversity in individual chromosome content, including frequent allelic variants, but with an overall

conserved gene content outside of the large gene families. Within these gene families, however,

extreme diversification is evident with no genes of the identical sequence within strains or shared

between these strains. These high-quality genomes also reveal the mechanisms behind the expansion

and diversification of the large gene families, presumably in response to immunological pressure, and in

the process, creating other challenges in terms of core genome stability and function.

Results

<u>**Genome Sequencing and Assembly**</u>

PacBio SMRT sequencing provided 1,264,527 (N50=9,560 bp) and 763,579 (N50=12,499 bp) filtered

reads with ~9 Gb and ~6 Gb of sequence data for Brazil clone A4 (Brail A4) and Y clone C6 (Y C6),

respectively, corresponding to ~200x and ~130x coverage based on the predicted genome size. Initial

assembly resulted in sequences of 45.11 Mb and 46.98 Mb for Brazil A4 and Y C6 draft genomes,

respectively, close to the estimated haploid genome size of *T. cruzi* (Souza et al. 2011) (Table 1).

Application of the *in vitro* proximity-ligation tools Hi-C and Chicago (Putnam et al. 2016), decreased the

L50 to half of that of the draft genomes, and the size of the largest scaffolds doubled (Table 1). Filling

gaps and base correction using Illumina reads ultimately resulted in 12 and 14 scaffolds in the Brazil A4

and Y C6 final assemblies, respectively, with a length greater than 1 Mb. Telomeric repeats [(TTAGGG)n]

were identified in 18 Brazil A4 and 15 Y C6 scaffolds, including on both ends of three scaffolds in Brazil

A4, suggesting full chromosome assembly in these cases. The improvement in these new genomes is not

only in integrity (Supplemental Table S1 and Supplemental Fig. S1), but also in filled gaps, recovered

genes and extended repetitive regions (see examples in Supplemental Fig. S2).

Genome assembly	Method used and coverage	Total size (Mbp)	Number of contigs or scaffolds	GC (%)	N50 (bp)	L50	Largest contig or scaffold length (bp)	# of gaps
Brazil A4								
Draft	PacBio RSII (200x)	45.11	677	51.50	227,072	48	1,236,815	0
Scaffolded	Chicago (125x) and Hi-C (46,451x)	45.16	402	51.50	907,746	18	2,710,165	295
Final	PBJelly, Pilon and ICorr	45.56	402	51.53	914,771	17	2,738,928	295
Y C6								
Draft	PacBio Sequel (130x)	46.98	351	51.57	410,475	33	1,547,313	0
Scaffolded	Chicago (2,096x) and Hi-C (21,551x)	47.00	266	51.57	890,993	18	2,951,407	231
Final	PBJelly, Pilon and ICorr	47.22	266	51.58	889,019	18	2,951,016	231

112   **Table 1.** Summary of assembly statistics.

Genome features and content

Due to a lack of apparent chromosome condensation during replication (Henriksson et al. 2002; Souza et

al. 2011), the karyotype of *T. cruzi* has not been completely elucidated. Moreover, chromosome size and

content vary significantly between different *T. cruzi* strains and even among clones of the same strain

based upon pulse-filed gel electrophoresis (PFGE) analysis (Henriksson et al. 2002; Pedroso et al. 2003;

Vargas et al. 2004; Triana et al. 2006; Lima et al. 2013). Based on criteria including size, repeat

proportion, and gene number, 43 scaffolds of Brazil A4 and 40 scaffolds of Y C6 were designated as

chromosomes (Supplemental Fig. S3) and the remainder referred to as smaller scaffolds.

Repetitive sequences occupy 58.8% and 62.3% of the genome for Brazil A4 and Y C6 (Supplemental

Tables S2 and S3), substantially higher than the 50% that was estimated in the reference CL Brener

genome, thus confirming the capability of long-read sequencing and assembly approaches to recover

and place more repetitive DNA content. Approximately 50% of the sequence in chromosomes is

repetitive sequences, compared to ~90% in smaller scaffolds (Supplemental Fig. S3). Using conventional

approaches with manual curation, gene models were identified in Brazil A4 and Y C6, respectively. Based

on BUSCO assessment, the Brazil A4 and Y C6 contain the highest number of single-copy gene sets

among assembled *T. cruzi* genomes (Supplemental Table S4).

A major constituent of the repetitive regions in the *T. cruzi* genome is large gene families, including the

trans-sialidases (TS), mucin associated surface proteins (MASP), mucins, and surface protease GP63 (all

targets of immune responses), as well as retrotransposon hotspot (RHS) proteins and dispersed gene

family 1 proteins (DGF-1) (El-Sayed et al. 2005; Buscaglia et al. 2006; Martin et al. 2006). Our previous

studies indicated the total copy number of TS genes was underestimated using conventional annotation

approaches due in part to the failure to identify new variants and fragments of TS resulting from

frequent recombination (Weatherly et al. 2016). To complete the annotation of the members of large

gene families, we developed a customized workflow (summarized in Supplemental Fig. S4) and applied it

to the six largest gene families. This allowed us to capture the full repertoire of gene family members

(copy numbers of which are summarized in Supplemental Table S5), the distribution of which were

plotted in Fig. 1 and Supplemental Fig. S5. Gene family members are unequally distributed among and

along the chromosomes with several of the largest chromosomes (e.g. TcBrA4_Chr2 and TcYC6_Chr1)

composed nearly entirely of gene family members. In contrast to previous reports suggesting the

members of large gene families were mainly located in telomeric and subtelomeric regions (Carlos

Talavera-López 2018) (El-Sayed et al. 2005), gene family members are not restricted to particular regions

of chromosomes. Moreover, TS, MASP, mucin and GP63 have an overlapping distribution along the

chromosomes, while RHS and DGF-1 genes are more dispersed.

Figure 1. Distribution of large gene families and synteny between chromosomes in Brazil A4 (right, B) and Y C6,

(left, Y). Tracks from outer to inner rings: chromosomes, TS, MASP, mucin, GP63, and synteny blocks.

After consolidating the predictions of large gene families with our conventional annotations, the Brazil

A4 and Y C6 genomes contained 18,708 and 17,650 gene models, respectively (see annotation summary

in Supplemental Table S6). The composition of gene content between two genomes is very similar, with

~25% as members of large gene families, ~40% as hypothetical proteins, and >90% of the remaining

genes are orthologs of those in the related kinetoplastids *T. brucei* and *Leishmania major*. That this gene

model count in the two *T. cruzi* strains is substantially higher than that estimated for *T. brucei* and *L.*

major is likely due to two factors: 1) the high number of large gene family members in *T. cruzi*, and 2) a

greater number of hypothetical genes in *T. cruzi*, a third of which are unique to *T. cruzi*, although the size

distribution of the hypothetical proteins is similar in the 3 species (Supplemental Fig. S6).

Allelic variation

The significant number of small scaffolds and the relatively high gene model numbers in some of the

small scaffolds prompted us to consider whether these small scaffolds might represent regions of allelic

variation between sister chromosomes, as allelic variation is one of the factors that results in

fragmentation during genome assembly for diploid genomes. Although TcI and TcII DTUs represented by

the Brazil and Y strains, respectively, are considered homozygous lineages, we very conservatively

detected 26 and 33 small scaffolds in each genome showing consistent synteny in multiple gene models

to parts of the core chromosomes (Supplemental Table S7). An example is shown in Fig. 2A in which

scaffold TcYC6_Contig191 demonstrates regions of synteny within the 825,025 – 1,379,353 bp region in

the first chromosome of Y C6 (TcYC6_Chr1). Confirmation of this chromosome variant was supplied by

replacing the identified region in TcYC6_Chr1 with TcYC6_Contig191 and then mapping the

chromosomal contacts in the Hi-C data for these 2 alternative versions for TcYC6-Chr1. As shown in Fig.

2B, the Hi-C data are equally strong for both chromosome variants. Using Falcon-Phase, which phases

diploid genome sequences by integrating long reads and Hi-C data (Zev N. Kronenberg 2018), we

identified an additional 18 and 7 allelic variations in Brazil A4 and Y C6, respectively. In combination,

these analyses identified allelic variations in 24 chromosomes of Brazil A4 and 25 of Y C6, including

chromosomes with multiple allelic variants, e.g. the largest chromosome in Y C6 (TcYC6_Chr1), and an

intermediate-sized chromosome in Brazil A4 (TcBrA4_Chr13; Fig. 2C). Thus, we suggest that many of the

small scaffolds are variants of regions in the chromosome-size scaffolds. However, because the majority

of these small scaffolds lack the conserved, non-gene family sequences required to prove synteny, and

Falcon-Phase can only resolve haplotypes bearing divergence of < 5%, identifying the position of all the

small scaffolds on the chromosomes was not possible.

Figure 2. An example of homologous chromosomes with large allelic variations. (A) Synteny between two allelic

variants in Chr1 of Y C6. Synteny blocked are marked with green. (B) Hi-C heat maps of TcYC6_Chr1 (left) and its

homologous chromosome with TcYC6_Contig191 (boxed area) replacing the allelic region in TcYC6_Chr1 (boxed

area). (C) Two chromosomes with multiple allelic variants. Blue blocks indicate genes on the forward strand, and

red blocks indicate genes at the reverse strand.

Structural comparison of the Brazil and Y sequences

The very high genome quality and contiguity provided by the combination of SMRT sequencing and Hi-C

analysis enabled chromosome level comparison of the Brazil (TcI) and Y (TcII) clones (Fig. 1). The

synteny plots show that the majority of chromosomes from one genome collinear with those in the

other genome. For instance, Brazil A4 Chr4 showed continuous synteny to Y C6 Chr4 overall. However,

as expected based upon previous gene mapping studies (Henriksson J 1990) (Henriksson et al. 1995)

(Vargas et al. 2004) (CaroleBranchea 2006), some chromosomes corresponded to different regions in

multiple chromosomes of the other genome, e.g. Brazil A4 Chr1 showed synteny to a combination of

Chr20 (298,235 - 684,393bp), Chr9 (63,384 - 95,053bp) and Chr2 (20,327 - 1,438,658bp) in Y C6. Some

inverted syntenies were also detected, e.g. between 388,900 - 968,190bp on Brazil A4 Chr8 and 11,711 -

556,982bp on Y C6 Chr16 (Fig. 1). Notably, the diversity of sequences encoding members of the large

gene families (see details below) prevented the detection of synteny in a substantial proportion of the

two genomes, including in two of the largest chromosomes (e.g. TcBrA4_Chr2 and TcYC6_Chr1).

Variation in gene models within and between Brazil and Y strains is predominantly in the large gene

families

A large number of genetic variations were identified in the non-repetitive region, including heterozygous

SNPs/Indels within respective strains, and homozygous SNPs/Indels between the two strains

(Supplemental Tables S8, S9 and S10). We also detected aneuploidy in both genomes: 3 and 8

chromosomes in Brazil A4 and Y C6, respectively, exist in copy numbers greater than two, based on the

results of both relative read depth and allele frequency (Supplemental Fig. S7). Among these are the

partially syntenic chromosomes (TcBrA4_Chr24 and TcYC6_Chr10), which also share synteny with

chromosome 31 in CL Brener, reported to be supernumerary in many strains (Reis-Cunha et al. 2015),

thus suggesting a species-wide requirement for > 2 copies of one or more genes in these regions.

Additionally, variation exist in the copy number for a substantial number of individual genes

characterized by OrthoFinder, with ~150 genes showing the greatest variation between the two strains

(Supplemental Table S11). However, with respect to genes unique to either strain, we found 23 (Brazil

A4) and 20 (Y C6) gene loci not present in the other strain and further validated this finding by

examining the raw reads (Supplemental Table S12). All are annotated as hypothetical proteins and most

are small genes located in gene family-rich regions of the genome and thus are likely the products of

recombination events involved in gene family diversification (see below).

To fully assess the variation in the large gene family members between the two strains, we carried out a

best match search for the protein sequence of putatively expressed genes in each large gene family

from Y C6 genome with those in Brazil A4. As a control, the same analysis was performed for a subset of

mostly single-copy genes (BUSCO), as well as a small gene family of 35 members, beta galactofuranosyl

glycosyltransferase (b-gal GT). As shown in Fig. 3, high-identity matches could always be found for the

BUSCO genes, and some of them (22 out of 291) have identical matches (100% identity) in the other

strain. Similar to BUSCO genes, the identity between best matches for b-gal GT is also tightly distributed

in the range of 90-97%. In contrast, all large gene families exhibit a broad distribution of identity for

their best matches relative to the BUSCO genes and b-gal GT genes, especially TS, MASP, mucin and RHS,

with only a small proportion of best matches bearing 90% identity or more. Among the family members

with the greatest similarity between the two strains are the small subset of TS genes containing the

sialidase enzymatic domain as previously described (Cremona et al. 1995), suggesting that this group of

trans-sialidases has been selected for and conserved in both strains.

Figure 3. Protein best match analysis of gene families between Brazil A4 and Y C6.

<u>**Evidence of gene family expansion and diversification**</u>

The very high number and the impressive within- and between- strain variation in the genes composing

the large gene families in *T. cruzi* is indicative of a system under intense evolutionary pressure. We have

taken advantage of the high contiguity of these two genome sequences, as well as the comprehensive

prediction of all members of large gene families, to attempt to understand better how this remarkable

diversity is generated and maintained.

We first examined the genomes for evidence of gene duplication events that could increase the number

of members in gene families. Multidimensional scaling (MDS) plots based on the pair-wise genetic

distances of all members of each gene family in each strain allowed us to identify tightly distributed

gene clusters with high sequence identity (http://shiny.ctegd.uga.edu). In multiple cases, genes within

these clusters were tandemly arrayed individually (TS; Fig. 4A top) or as a set of genes (TS plus MASP;

Page | 13

Fig. 4A bottom). Such tandem amplifications are present in all gene families (except DGF-1) and occur

uniquely in each strain (Supplemental Table S14). A number of unusual amplification events were also

noted, including inverted duplications creating a strand switch in between (Fig. 4B), and an amplification

involving several genes on both strands, replicated a total of 5 times (Fig. 4C), thus creating a complex

set of strand switches.

The majority of tandem amplification of gene families in both *T. cruzi* genomes contained 10 or fewer

replicates (Supplemental Table S14). However, one hypothetical protein (*HP) in the Y C6 occurr in a

tandem array of 29 units with a TcMUCI gene (Fig. 4D). Comparison to the syntenic region in Brazil A4

revealed a single TcMUCI ortholog (and no *HP sequence), indicating that at some point the *HP

sequence was inserted next to the TcMUCI gene in Y C6, and the two genes were amplified together as a

segment (Fig. 4D). Although no particular protein domains were characterized in the *HP gene, 18% of

its sequence share similarity with several MASP sequences, implying that at least part of the gene might

be derived from a MASP. The abnormally high number of replicates in this tandem array as well as the

low diversity in the tandem copies suggest that this might be a recent amplification event. However,

comparison to syntenic regions in other long-read sequenced *T. cruzi* genomes revealed the same

TcMUCI+*HP tandem array in the TCC (TcIV) strain but not in the Dm28c (TcI), Sylvio (TcI), and Bug2148

(TcV) (Fig. 4D). Additionally, phylogenetic analysis grouped all of the replicated copies from Y C6 and TCC

together and distant from the single TcMUCI genes in the other three strains (Fig. 4E). Using the model

of DTU evolution in *T. cruzi* which postulates that the TcVI is derived from a hybridization event between

TcII and TcIII (Westenberger et al. 2005; Tomasini and Diosque 2015), we propose that the TcMUCI+*HP

amplification is an ancient event, occurring after the split of TcI and TcII but prior to the TcII/TcIII

hybridization that yielded TcVI.

Figure 4. Gene amplification events in members of large gene families. (A) Tandem arrays of individual TS genes

(top), and a TS+MASP pair (bottom) clustered based upon genetic distance in the MDS plots. Each chromosome is

displayed as a separate pattern on the MDS plot. T: TS; M: MASP. Alignment of the genes in each MDS cluster

(right) confirms high consensus (grey regions); black regions indicate SNPs and '–' indicate gaps. (B) Mirror-

duplication of one fragmented RHS and two pseudo RHS genes. (C) One RHS (+), one hypothetical protein (+) and

one fragmented glycosyltransferase (-) replicated 5 times, creating multiple strand switches. (D) Syntenic regions

of the TcMUCI+*HP tandem array detected in 6 long-read sequenced *T. cruzi* strains. Synteny of TcMUCI orthologs

are labeled in yellow. (E) Phylogenetic tree of all TcMUCI orthologs from the 6 strains. Note that TcMUCI genes

from Y C6 (purple) and TCC (green) are intermingled in the top portion of the tree. Live MDS plots can be explored

at http://shiny.ctegd.uga.edu.

In addition to tandem clusters of gene family members, MDS analysis also revealed closely related gene

family members located on multiple chromosomes (Fig. 5A). An extreme case is the Y C6 gene cluster in

the bottom right of Fig. 5A which contained 31 TS genes with very high similarity distributed on 11

different chromosomes (Supplemental Fig. S8A). Interestingly, the 13 TS on Chr7 (Fig. 5B, middle) are in

tandem, interspersed with a beta tubulin gene, while the 7 TS on Chr18 (Fig. 5B, top) are in tandem as

TS genes alone (with one beta tubulin gene downstream of TS_7). The remaining 11 TS genes in this

cluster are dispersed in the genome as singlets (3 of them are shown at the bottom of Fig. 5B). Notably,

the sequences upstream and downstream of the TS gene in the TS + beta tubulin array on Chr7 are

homologous to those of the TS_7 gene in the Chr18 array, and the dispersed singlet TS also share a

portion of the upstream and downstream sequences with the other TS in this cluster (Supplemental Fig.

S8B). Together, these results suggest that all 31 TS genes in this cluster originated from one or more

gene amplification/relocation events. Based on the phylogenetic analysis (Supplemental Fig. S8C), we

propose that the TS + beta tubulin tandem copies have been generated in or relocated to Chr7 (13

copies) and Chr18 (1 copy), with another 4 TS copies as single genes beyond the TS + beta tubulin

cassette on Chr18, while the single TS genes on other chromosomes may derive from TS on Chr7.

Figure 5. Examples of relocations of TS genes in Y C6. (A) Tight clusters of TS genes from MDS plot are distributed

on different chromosomes. (B) Diagram of relocations in one of the TS clusters on the bottom right in (A). Blocks in

the same color indicate genes or flanking sequences in high identity. IR: intergenic region. Note that the segment

size is not to scale.

We next used a pipeline previously designed to identify recombination events within TS genes in the CL

Brener genome (Weatherly et al. 2016), to quantify recombination for 4 of the large gene families in the

Brazil and Y strains (Table 2). As expected, recombination events, including multiple events acting on

the same gene, were detected in a large fraction of the genes but were particularly abundant (2-fold

higher) in the TS family relative to the other three families examined. Interestingly, recombination

events in the TS family were detected at a roughly 2-fold higher frequency in the Brazil strain as

compared to the Y or the CL Brener strains.

	Brazil A4				Y C6				CL Brener
	TS	MASP	Mucin	GP63	TS	MASP	Mucin	GP63	TS
# of genes	1644	1118	700	411	1465	1066	797	427	3209
Kb length total	3477.7	1011.9	352.1	460.6	2614.5	1115.2	458.9	619.7	4456.5
# of genes recombined	793	145	38	70	479	154	73	89	787
# of recombination events	2976	190	39	101	1334	221	85	153	2087
% of genes recombined	48.2	13.0	5.4	17.0	32.7	14.4	9.2	20.8	24.5
Average events per gene	1.8	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.7
Average events per kb	0.9	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5
Number of genes with n recombination events									
n=1	137	111	37	51	162	110	61	58	324
n=2	198	24	1	15	114	28	12	19	149
n=3	98	9	0	1	66	11	0	3	110
n=4	128	1	0	1	54	3	0	6	72
n=5	68	0	0	1	33	2	0	1	52
n>5	164	0	0	1	50	0	0	2	80
Max of n	18	4	2	5	12	5	2	6	12

Table 2. Recombination events detected within genes of large gene families in Brazil A4 and Y C6.

As noted previously, our recombination pipeline is highly conservative in detecting relatively recent

events that have not been obscured by subsequent accumulation of SNPs and Indels (Weatherly et al.

2016). Such *in situ* diversification is evident in genes that are clustered in the MDS analysis but

dispersed in the genome. An example is a cluster of GP63 genes in Brazil A4 which have low genetic

distance based on MDS analysis (Supplemental Fig. S9A), but are located on different chromosomes and

display a considerable degree of variation (SNPs and Indels; Supplemental Fig. S9B). However, because

these genes also share similar upstream genes (a TS) and intergenic regions, all of these dispersed genes

were likely derived via gene duplication. This hypothesis is further supported by the result that 9 out of

10 GP63 genes and their corresponding GP63 + flanking sequences (including upstream TS + intergenic

region + GP63 + intergenic region) occupy identical positions in their respective phylogenetic trees

(Supplemental Fig. S9C). Therefore, a TS/GP63 gene pair and associated intergenic regions underwent

one or more duplication and relocation events with subsequent diversification through the

accumulation of SNPs and Indels, yielding multiple, diverse genes spread through the genome.

The potential complexity generated by amplification, relocation, recombination and diversification make

it challenging to track the specific set of events contributing to the evolution of individual gene family

members in *T. cruzi.* However, some gene sets reveal all of these processes at work. Fig. 6C shows four

cassettes located on different chromosomes or in distant sites on the same chromosome, each cassette

with a central MASP and flanking region with high identity (Fig. 6A and B), suggesting a common origin.

SNPs/Indels indicate *in situ* diversification of the MASP genes, especially in the C terminus (Fig. 6B).

Cassette pairs I/II and III/IV share the same upstream gene and flanking sequence (mucin genes in both

cases) while cassette pairs I /III and II/IV shared downstream mucin and GP63 genes, respectively. In

addition, a recombination event was detected in the C terminus of the GP63 in cassette IV, creating

divergence from the GP63 C terminus in cassette IV.

Figure 6. The combination of gene amlification, relocation, recombination and *in situ* diversification of large gene

family members. (A) A tight cluster of 4 MASP genes from MDS plot are distributed on two chromosomes. (B)

Alignment of the 4 MASP genes shows high identity with modest diversification of SNPs/Indels. (C) MASP genes

with flanking intergenic sequences and flanking genes. Blocks with the same color indicate sequences in high

identity. Note that the segment sizes are not to scale.

Potential impact of high genome flexibility on gene expression

Unlike in other classical models of antigenic variation in protozoa, the large gene families in *T. cruzi* are

not restricted to particular regions of chromosomes [e.g. subtelomeric in the case of *T. brucei* (El-Sayed

et al. 2003; Berriman et al. 2005; Hertz-Fowler et al. 2008; Mugnier et al. 2016; Muller et al. 2018)] but

instead are spread throughout the genome (Fig. 1). This presents the complication that the

amplification and dispersion events common in the large gene families of *T. cruzi* might also impact non-

gene family (core) genes as well. To investigate this possibility, we focused on core genes for which

there were > 6 total paralogues for the two genomes, and organized these paralogues on the basis of

gene location (Supplemental Table S11). By doing this, we could identify tandemly distributed genes that

likely resulted from gene amplification. For the over 150 groups of genes in this analysis, many showed

dramatic differences in gene copies in the two *T. cruzi* genomes with 26 instances of double-digit gene

copies in one strain compared to only 1-3 copies in the other. This same high level of variation was also

evident for other *T. cruzi* genomes sequenced using long-read sequencing methods but not in similarly

sequenced *T. brucei* and *Leishmania* isolates (Supplemental Fig. S10). Additionally, dispersion patterns

for these amplified genes differed widely between the Y C6 and Brazil A4 genomes (Supplemental Table

S11). Thus, the mechanisms that provide for the generation and maintenance of diversity in the large

gene families also appear to allow for substantial variation in copy number for selected core genes, and

representing a second major contributor to between-strain genetic variation in *T. cruzi* strains.

Most gene expression in trypanosomatids initiates in the absence of specific promoters and with the

production of multi-gene mRNA transcripts that are then processed into single-gene mature mRNAs.

These polycistronic transcriptional units (PTUs) of genes can be well over >100 kb in length and are

marked by start and stop signals, including base modifications (Clayton 2019). The apparent wide degree

of freedom for amplification and dispersion both within and outside the *T. cruzi* large gene families, and

particularly events that create tandem strand switches as shown in Fig 4C, would be expected to impact

this normal multi-gene PTU structure. Indeed, the average PTU length was ~116.5 and 126.8 kb in the

core gene-rich regions of the Brazil A4 and Y C6, respectively, similar to that in *T. brucei* (148.3 kb).

However, the average PTU length in the gene-family-enriched regions of both *T. cruzi* genomes was less

than ¼ of that (29.3 kb in Brazil A4 and 33.8 kb in Y C6), indicating a disruption of the normal PTU

structure. Interestingly, amplified but conserved tandem gene arrays like the 'mucin + *HP' array in Y C6

discussed above (Fig. 4D) and the previously described TcSMUG family (Yoshida 2006; Nakayasu et al.

2009; Gonzalez et al. 2013) are within large PTUs containing almost no gene family members

(Supplemental Fig. S11A) while many other tandem arrays or apparently diverging genes reside in gene-

family-rich, short PTUs (Supplemental Fig. S11B). The disruption in PTU structure might also hamper the

preservation of transcriptional control mechanisms, in particular the tight controls on transcriptional

termination characterized in other kinetoplastids and mediated by base J and histone H3/4 variants

(Siegel et al. 2009; Cliffe et al. 2010; van Luenen et al. 2012; Reynolds et al. 2014; Reynolds et al. 2016;

Schulz et al. 2016; Kawasaki et al. 2017; Muller et al. 2018). To address this question, we mapped

strand-specific RNA-seq reads to both sense and antisense strands to assess transcriptional termination

relative to PTUs. Surprisingly, we found extensive antisense RNA levels throughout the genome (an

average of sense:antisense=114:1 in Brazil A4 and 84:1 in Y C6). Higher levels of antisense RNAs

occurred at the strand switch regions of long PTUs (Fig.7A and B), but in some cases, matched or

exceeded the sense strand transcripts in gene-family-enriched regions containing shorter PTUs (Fig. 7C).

Thus, unlike *T. brucei* and *Leishmania*, *T. cruzi* does not appear to regulate antisense RNA production so

tightly.

Figure 7. Antisense RNA levels in *T. cruzi* in relation to PTU structure, including both convergent strand switch regions (cSSR, A) and divergent strand switch regions (dSSR, B). (C) Gene-family-enriched regions with frequent strand switches where antisense RNA were detected in higher levels. HP, hypothetical protein; MP, mitochondrial protein; ANM, arginine N-methyltransferase; PCGP, parkin coregulated gene protein; CTD-P, TFIIF-stimulated CTD phosphatase; ARP, ankyrin repeat protein; NDK, nucleoside diphosphate kinase; SAP-rich protein, serine-alanine- and proline-rich protein; m, mucin; p, pseudogene.

Discussion

T. cruzi is a highly heterogeneous specie, with at least six DTUs and with extreme variation in phenotype

and virulence among isolates even of the same DTU. Gaining new understanding of the genetic basis of

this high strain-to-strain variation in disease-causing potential in *T. cruzi* has been challenging due to the

lack of high-quality reference genome. The high content of repetitive sequences in the *T. cruzi* genome

(>50%), including multiple families of surface protein-encoding genes each with >200 members, makes

complete genome assembly from conventional short-read sequences impossible. This study reports very

high-quality genomes for *T. cruzi* strains belonging to the presumed ancestral lineages of this species,

TcI, represented here by the Brazil strain and TcII by the Y strain. This significantly improved resource

was achieved by the application of long-read sequencing techniques and proximity ligation libraries to

better resolve the full repertoires of gene content, thus allowing a detailed comparison of genetic

variation between these strains.

Although DTU-specific associations have been frequently proposed for characteristics such as virulence,

disease presentation, geographic distribution, and host species restrictions, many of these linkages

falter when more extensive sampling is done and none has been linked to DTU-specific genetic

differences (Revollo et al. 1998; Rassi et al. 2012; Nguyen and Waseem 2020). The current dataset

provides the opportunity to begin examination of representative strains of *T. cruzi* lineages that

diverged from each other an estimated 1-3 million years ago (Tomasini and Diosque 2015). The most

surprising revelations from this comparative analysis were not the variability in unique gene content

between these isolates, but rather the extremes of the high similarity in core gene content and the

comparative huge diversity in gene family-rich portions of the genomes. As anticipated based upon

previous strain-based screens (Ackermann et al. 2009) (Reis-Cunha et al. 2015), a considerable degree of

variation exists in the form of SNPs/Indels and additionally, a substantial number of strain-specific copy

number differences were identified. However, the core (non-gene family) genome, contains only ~20

strain-unique gene models, and in all cases, these are hypothetical genes encoding proteins with no

recognizable protein domain structures.

In very sharp contrast, the variation evident in the large gene families of *T. cruzi* is equally remarkable,

demonstrating vast diversity within and between strains with no perfect matches and relatively few

genes of the same family with even a 90% similarity. Structurally, these gene family members make up

~25% of the genome and are spread widely throughout the genome, with some members on every

chromosome and some of the largest chromosomes being almost entirely composed of gene family

members. The use of synteny detection tools and Falcon-Phase validated by Hi-C methods allowed us to

also conservatively document heterozygosity in more than half of the chromosomes in each genome,

and we suspect that this heterozygosity extends to nearly all gene family-rich regions of the genome.

Based upon the total base count of the repeat-rich small scaffolds not assigned to chromosomes, we

estimate that up to 50% of all gene family members have variants on the sister chromosome.

The quality of the genome assemblies also provided the opportunity to document the continuing

diversification of these large gene families and to permit the beginning of an understanding of how this

process might work. Select members of the large gene families in *T. cruzi* have clear and critical

functions in parasite biology, with the best-documented example being the enzyme-active *trans*-

sialidases required for acquisition of sialic acid by *T. cruzi* trypomastigotes (Previato 1985) (Uemura

1992; Cremona et al. 1995) (Frasch 2000). However, the number, diversity, and potential for variation of

genes in these large gene families, and the exposure of the gene products to and response by the host

immune system, argue that these gene families evolve under intense immunological pressure. In this

respect, the three largest and most diverse gene families in *T. cruzi* (TS, MASP and mucin) are similar to

other families of genes involved in antigenic variation in the protozoans *T. brucei* (variant surface

glycoproteins, VSGs), *Plasmodium* (*var* genes) and Giardia (Variant-specific Surface Protein, VSPs) (Cross

1975; Mowatt et al. 1991; Pimenta et al. 1991; Smith et al. 1995; Su et al. 1995). However in contrast to

the "one-at-a-time" models of classical antigenic variation best characterized in the sister kinetoplastid

Trypanosoma brucei (Cross 1975), *T. cruzi* expresses many gene family variants simultaneously. This

difference in strategy may relate to the fact that *T. cruzi* lives predominantly intracellularly in mammals

and must effectively evade cell-mediated (rather than exclusively antibody-mediated) immunity. But

expressing many antigen variants at one time also likely requires a larger antigen repertoir and/or an

enhanced ability to generate new variants. In African trypanosomes, a comparison of the genome

sequences of 2 different **subspecies** (*T. brucei brucei* vs *T. brucei gambienese*) revealed that >86% of the

genes – including most VSGs – varied by <1% between the subspecies and only 69 ortholog pairs

(including 35 VSG gene pairs) had less than 95% nucleotide identify (Jackson et al. 2010). The diversity

of antigen variants in *T. cruzi* among the 2 **strains** examined in this study is vastly greater, with the

average similarity of orthologues pairs ranging from 62.4% to 84.8% in the 5 largest gene families (Fig.

2). This finding suggests high pressure to generate variants and a genetic system that accommodate the

genomic flexibility that such generation would require.

Classically, segmental duplication creates the source material on which mutational and recombinational

events act to derive new genes and new gene functions (Lynch and Conery 2000). The presence of

segmental duplications (one gene or multiple genes as a unit) also encourages additional rounds of

duplications that can rapidly change gene content (Sturtevant 1925; Muller 1936; Lewis 1951). These

processes of gene duplication, recombination and mutation-driven diversification, functioning in concert

to ensure high and constant antigenic diversity, is strongly evident in the large gene families of *T. cruzi*.

Although we are able to track a significant number of these events, all occurring independently in these

two *T. cruzi* strains, we are presumably only observing the most recent occurrences, as recombinations

and mutations ultimately obscure the origins of new genes. Certainly the repeat-rich structure and

dense representation of retrotranposons of the *T. cruzi* genome facilitates maintenance of these

processes and the dispersion of gene family members throughout the genome, and interestingly not

restricted to chromosomes ends as is the case in *T. brucei* (El-Sayed et al. 2003) (Berriman et al. 2005)

(Hertz-Fowler et al. 2008) (Mugnier et al. 2016) (Muller et al. 2018). However, the specific structural

elements that initially established and continue to allow for these apparently constant rearrangements

throughout the genome but without impacting overall genome integrity, remain unidentified. From our

analysis, no consistent pattern of structures, such as the A/T tracks associated with gene application

events in *Plasmodium* (Huckaby et al. 2019) were evident.

The apparent high frequency and continued evolution of gene families in *T. cruzi* also create structures

and products unique among the kinetoplastids, including the lack of segregation of gene families to

chromosome ends, absence of partitioning of expression sites [as in *T. brucei* VSGs (Ersfeld et al. 1999)

Navarro and Gull 2001; (Navarro and Gull 2001; Hertz-Fowler et al. 2008)], tolerance for the generation

of short PTUs and frequent strand switching, and most surprisingly, the tolerance of antisense RNA

production. The latter may well explain the absence of the machinery for RNAi in *T. cruzi* (DaRocha et al.

2004) (Barnes et al. 2012). The presence of abundant and nearly genome-wide antisense RNAs also

suggests that *T. cruzi* does not adhere to the full set of rules for transcription termination as defined in

T. brucei and *Leishmania* (Reynolds et al. 2014) (Kieft et al. 2020).

Interestingly, there are several subsets of gene family members that appear to be exceptions to these

processes of recombination, diversification and distribution throughout the genome. The previously

characterized SMUG families are the best examples. Two subgroups of TcSMUG genes, TcSMUG L and S,

involved in development and infectivity of insect-dwelling stages

(Yoshida 2006; Nakayasu et al. 2009; Gonzalez et al. 2013), distribute as tandem arrays in the respective

subgroups within the same PTU and exhibit minimal diversification. Here we also identify an ancient,

lineage-specific duplication event that created a new hypothetical gene and a mucin gene in a tandem

array and which, like the SMUGS, has remained with minimal changes. It will be of interest to determine

if further diversification of this and other gene family subsets are restricted because of their location in

the genome, or if, like the SMUGS, this hypothetical gene/mucin tandem is under selective pressure due

to their unique function. One common feature of these tandem arrays is that they all locate in and are

flanked by large PTUs (>220 kb) containing only core genes with no members from the large gene

families (other than the mucins in the mucin+*HP array), suggesting that they are maintained in an

environment largely devoid of large-gene-family-related diversification.

An additional strain-dependent difference documented here is the higher recombination frequency in

Brazil A4 compared to Y and in CL Brener (Weatherly et al. 2016). The ~2X greater number of

recombination events in all gene families in Brazil vs Y suggests that this is an inherent property of this

strain and perhaps of DTUI strains in general. Alternatively, because we very conservatively call

recombination events which then eventually become concealed by further mutations/recombinations

over time, it is also possible that the Brazil A4 has been under stronger, or more recent, strong selective

pressure.

The apparent high levels of gene amplification/diversification readily documented in the large gene

families in this species also extends to a fraction of core genes as well, and represents a second major

source of between-strain diversity and perhaps the one primarily responsible for the broad between-

strain phenotypic variation in *T. cruzi*. Retention of these core gene amplifications imply a fitness

benefit, perhaps under certain environmental/host conditions; others may also occur regularly but

engender a fitness cost and thus are lost.

In summary, the careful analysis of these two *T. cruzi* strains soundly confirms the vast genetic diversity

of parasite lines within this species, and identifies the bulk of diversity to be represented in 3

compartments: 1) rapidly evolving families of genes involved in immune evasion, 2) a subset of "core"

genes not linked to evasion but which vary greatly in copy number and perhaps expression, and 3) SNPs

and Indels common to all genomes. We hypothesize that the gene family diversity is driven by immune

selection and that the same processes that provide for this diversity also allow for copy number

variation and diversification of select core genes, and this later process, rather than DTU type, accounts

for much of the biological diversity of *T. cruzi* lines. With these high quality genomes in hand for these

strains, we can now test these hypotheses by further modifying these gene sets and exposing both wild-

type and modified parasite lines to various levels of selection pressure and observing the genomes of

the lineages that emerge.

Supplementary files

Supplemental Figure S1. Overview of the Brazil A4 and Y C6 genomes. Tracks from outer to inner circles

indicate: sizes, chromosomes, gaps, gene density (window size: 20kb, range: 6-23 in Brazil A4, 1-22 for Y

C6), GC content (window size: 10kb, range: 0.36-0.70 in Brazil A4, 0.33-0.70 in Y C6), repetitive content

(window size: 10kb, range: 0-10000), heterozygous SNPs (window size: 20kb, range: 0-120 in Brazil A4,

1-390 in Y C6) and heterozygous Indels (window size: 20kb, range: 65-1 in Brazil A4, 129-1 in Y C6).

Supplemental Figure S2. Assembly improvement compared to CL Brener. (A) An example of filled gaps.

Syntenic regions between Chr1 in Brazil A4 and Chr8 in CL Brener were aligned with the Artemis

Comparison Tool (ACT) (Carver et al. 2005). All five gaps were filled in Brazil A4. (B) An example of

recovered genes. Two pieces of an adenosine monophosphate (AMP) gene were identified flanking a

gap, while the syntenic region in Brazil A4 shows the intact AMP gene. (C) An example of extended

repeats. With 8 copies of histone H4 in Chr2 of CL Brener separated by a gap, the syntenic region of

Brazil had the gap filled, extending the copy number of histone H4 to 41. Blocks: gaps; green bars: genes.

Supplemental Figure S3. Repetitive composition in the scaffolds. Chromosomes are calculated

individually, while small scaffolds are calculated by averaging a range of scaffolds as indicated on the x

axis.

Supplemental Figure S4. Workflow of predicting full repertoire of large gene families (taking TS as an

example).

Supplemental Figure S5. Distribution of large gene families and retrotransposons on the chromosomes.

Rings from outer to inner: chromosomes, retrotransposons, TS, MASP, mucin, GP63, RHS and DGF-1

gene families.

Supplemental Figure S6. Size distribution of hypothetical proteins identified in kinetoplastids. Genomes

of *T. brucei* TRE92 and *L. major* Friedlin were downloaded from TritrypDB database

(https://tritrypdb.org/tritrypdb/) release-44 (Aslett et al. 2010).

Supplemental Figure S7. Analysis of chromosome copy number. (A) Relative read depth of each

chromosome normalized to the mean read depth of all chromosomes at non-repetitive regions.

Chromosomes with more than two copies was indicated in red. (B) Allele frequency calculated by the

proportion of heterozygous SNPs/Indels at the non-repetitive regions of each chromosome. A diploid

chromosome showed the peak of allele frequency around 50% as shown in chr8 and chr31, whereas a

multi-ploid chromosome showed peak of allele frequency lower than 50% as shown in chr24 and chr28

in Brazil A4. Note that 5 chromosomes (Chr35, 36, 38, 39 and 42) in Brazil A4 were not included in this

analysis due to their high proportion of repetitive features.

Supplemental Figure S8. Alignment of TS (A) and their flanking regions (B) of the cluster in Figure 5, as

well as the phylogenetic tree of all the TS genes (C).

Supplemental Figure S9. An example of *in situ* diversification. (A) A tight cluster of GP63 genes from

MDS plot are distributed in different chromosomes. (B) Alignment of these GP63 genes showed high

identity as well as a number of diversifications including SNPs and Indels. (C) Phylogenetic trees of GP63

in the cluster (left), and GP63 plus flanking sequences on both sides (right).

Supplemental Figure S10. Copy numbers of 152 orthologue genes sets from Supplemental Table S11 are

highly correlated (Spearman correlation > 0.7) in pairwise comparisons between *T. brucei* strains and

subspecies and between *Leishmania* species, but poorly correlated between *T. cruzi* strains (range 0.006

- 0.6).

Supplemental Figure S11. Examples of long or short PTUs with tandem gene arrays. (A) Tandem arrays

of conserved gene sets are contained within long PTUs devoid of gene family members. Chromosomes

containing TcSMUG S/L in Brazil A4 (top) and Y C6 (middle), and TcMUCI+*HP in Y C6 (bottom). In

contrast, large gene family members, including some tandemly duplicated genes, show frequent strand

switches and are in short PTUs (B). Blue bars indicate genes other than large gene family members,

while yellow bars indicate large gene families.

Supplemental Table S1. Evaluation of assembly metrics among all available *T. cruzi* genomes assembled

by long-read sequencing. *No scaffolding was applied to these genomes, so no gaps were generated.

**47 are not *de novo* assembled contigs or scaffolds, but rather pseudomolecules produced by aligning

the core regions of scaffolds to the core regions of CL Brener reference genome. Therefore, although the

genome showed higher N50 and lower L50, it left an extensively high number of gaps behind.

***Genome sequence is not available.

Supplemental Table S2. Repetitive sequences characterized in Brazil A4.

Supplemental Table S3. Repetitive sequences characterized in Y C6.

Supplemental Table S4. BUSCO assessment of gene completeness for *T. cruzi* genomes with annotation

available. Not that TCC is a hybrid strain, so its genome is a mixture of two haplotypes, while all other

genomes contain one haplotype.

Supplemental Table S5. Copy number of large gene families characterized in the new genomes.

Supplemental Table S6. Annotation summary.

Supplemental Table S7. Scaffolds that were detected to be allelic variants. Syntenies were examined

between small scaffolds and chromosomes. Only those with multiple syntenic regions throughout the

entire scaffold with part of the chromosome were considered as allelic variants.

Supplemental Table S8. Heterozygous SNPs/Indels identified in Brazil A4.

Supplemental Table S9. Heterozygous SNPs/Indels identified in Y C6.

Supplemental Table S10. Homozygous SNPs/Indels identified between Brazil A4 and Y C6.

Supplemental Table S11. Orthologue groups in *T. cruzi*, *T. brucei* and *Leishmania* species with total gene

count > 6. All sequences were retrieved from TritrypDB database (https://tritrypdb.org/tritrypdb/)

release-44.

Supplemental Table S12. List of unique genes in the respective strains.

Supplemental Table S13. BLAST result of the best match analysis in 6 large gene families between the

two strains.

Supplemental Table S14. Prominent segmental duplications of large gene families identified in Brazil A4

and Y C6.

Methods

Parasite cultures, DNA/RNA extraction and sequencing

Epimastigotes of Brazil and Y were cultured at 26°C in supplemented liver digested- neutralized tryptose

(LDNT) medium as described previously (Xu et al. 2009). Single-cell clones were made for each strain by

depositing epimastigotes into a 96-well plate at a density of 0.5 cell/well by using a MoFlow cell sorter

(Dako-Cytomation, Denmark). One healthy clone that has confirmed to have cycled through all life

stages was chosen for sequencing for each strain. High molecular weight DNA was isolated using

MagAttract HMW DNA kit (Qiagen) before submitting to Duke Center for Genomic and Computational

Biology (GCB) for SMRT sequencing. Brazil A4 was sequenced using PacBio RS II sequencer, while PacBio

Sequel sequencer was used for Y C6.

Genomic DNA of the selected clone of both strains was isolated using QIAamp DNA blood mini kit

(Qiagen) for whole genome sequencing using Illumina HiSeq 150 PE. An RNase treatment step was

included to eliminate RNA in the samples. For RNA-seq sampling, extracellular amastigotes and

trypomastigotes isolated from infected Vero cells were pooled with epimastigotes for total RNA-

extraction. Following ribo-depleted RNA library construction and RNA sequencing using Illumina Nextseq

75PE was performed by Georgia Genomics and Bioinformatics Core (GGBC). Illumina reads from either

DNA or RNA sequencing with mean quality lower than 30 (Phred Score based) were removed for

analysis.

Genome assembly

The draft genome of Brazil A4 was assembled with SMRT Link v3.1, and Y C6 with SMRT Link v5.0. The

parameters were set at default except the expected genome size, which was set at 40 Mb for both

strains. Chicago and Hi-C libraries were constructed and sequenced by Dovetail Genomics, and HiRise

pipeline was run for scaffolding the draft assembly by incorporating data from both libraries. A gap was

generated whenever two contigs were joined or one contig was broken by HiRise and since the distance

between two contigs was unknown, all gaps were given 100 Ns.

Gap filling was performed by PBJelly (English et al. 2012) using the SMRT subreads with the minimum

percent identity at 85%. 122 and 4 gaps were extended for Brazil and Y, respectively. Correction of the

genomes using Illumina short reads was run by Pilon (Walker et al. 2014) and iCORN2 (Otto et al. 2010)

through multiple iterations to eliminate errors from SMRT sequencing.

Repeat annotation

RepeatModeler v1.0.11 (http://www.repeatmasker.org/RepeatModeler) was used to build a *de novo*

repeats library, and then used RepeatMasker v 4.0.7 (http://www.repeatmasker.org) with search engine

parameter as "ncbi".

Genome annotation

To develop open reading frame (ORF) in the new genome sequences, WebApollo 2.0 (Lee et al. 2013)

was deployed with the genome sequence and the following tracks of evidence were added:

1.   Gene prediction from COMPANION (Steinbiss et al. 2016) using *Trypanosoma brucei* as

670   reference.

671   2.   Gene prediction using AUGUSTUS (Stanke et al. 2004; Stanke and Morgenstern 2005) which was

672   self-trained by CL Brener genome.

3.   Annotation transfer from CL Brener by Exonerate (Slater and Birney 2005).

4.   ESTs from available EST sequencing libraries in *T. cruzi* (retrieved from

https://tritrypdb.org/tritrypdb/app/record/dataset/DS_6889a51dab).

5.   Proteins from available Mass spec data for *T. cruzi* (Queiroz et al. 2013).

6.   Strand-specific RNA-seq alignment data, the pipeline of which was followed as previously

described (Kieft et al. 2020).

Each ORF along the genome was manually produced by the integration of all tracks.

InterProScan v5.31-70.0 (Jones et al. 2014) was used to detect protein families, domains and sites with

all 11 default databases. Gene Ontology (GO) term was assigned also by InterProScan based on the

protein domains results. Besides, BLASTP was used to search protein homology against *T. cruzi* CL

Brener, *T. brucei*, *Leishmania major* databases from TriTrypDB release 39

(https://tritrypdb.org/tritrypdb/) and RefSeq non-redundant protein database, respectively, to

determine the best hit for protein naming by in-house scripts. The parameter used for BLASTP was

evalue <1e-10, identity >70% and coverage (length of alignment/length of target protein) >70%.

Predicted pseudogenes were named by homology in RefSeq non-redundant nucleotide database with

evalue <1e-30.

<u>Annotation of large gene families</u>

A customized computational pipeline automated using PERL and Python scripts were developed for

identifying members of large gene families in the genome. First, the annotated members of each gene

family were searched against the Brazil A4 and Y C6 genome using BLASTN (version ncbi-blast-2.8.1+)

with num_alignments and max_hsps arguments set to 100, the perc_identity argument set to 85. BLAST

hits that have an overlap longer than 100 bp were merged if they match members from the same gene

family. BLAST hits that were bracketed by longer hits from the same family were removed. A minimum

length cutoff of 150 bp was applied to the BLAST hits. The remaining BLAST hits were considered new

family member gene candidates. The new candidate genes were BLASTNed against all annotated

transcripts from the genome of *T. cruzi* CL Brener strain (TriTrypDB release 34) (BLAST argument

settings: num_alignments and max_hsps set to 50, perc_identity not set). Candidate genes were

retained only if one of its top two best matches is a member of the candidate gene's corresponding gene

family.

Next, the boundaries of the candidate genes were refined by using model genes of each family in two

steps. (1) Extending the candidate gene boundaries to include possible segments missed by previous

steps. Using model gene sequences of each family to search the new genomes, and compare the

coordinates of the matches to that of candidate genes. If > 50% overlap was found, and the non-

overlapping length was < 1,000 bp, then the boundary of the candidate was extended according to the

genomic match of the model gene. (2) The boundary of candidate genes was next subjected to small-

scale trimmings. The candidate genes were BLASTed against model genes of the corresponding gene

family (num_alignments and max_hsps arguments set to 100, the perc_identity argument set to 85). If a

match was found within 100bp distance to the boundary of candidate genes, the candidate gene

boundary was trimmed to match that of the model gene.

The start of mucin candidate genes was further refined using a conserved signal peptide sequence (in an

alignment format allowing for minor variations). The signal peptide sequences were BLASTNed against

mucin candidate genes (BLAST argument settings: num_alignments and max_hsps set to 200,

perc_identity set to 65, gapopen and gapextend set to 1). Sequence upstream of signal peptide matches

in the candidate genes was removed.

A final trim was applied to the boundaries of all candidate genes, as many of our BLAST steps could lead

to inaccurate boundary identification due to 25% chance of a random matching an extra nucleotide base

at the boundary and 6.25% chance for two extra bases and so forth, which could obscure start and stop

codons. As an attempt to address this issue, we trimmed up to 10 bases which could reveal a start/stop

codon that is in-frame with an existing stop/start codon.

Manual corrections of boundaries for gene family members were performed when necessary.

Hi-C contact matrix

Hi-C contact matrix were analyzed by following the manual of https://github.com/hms-dbmi/hic-data-

analysis-bootcamp/, and then visualized in HiGlass (Kerpedjiev et al. 2018).

Multidimensional scaling

K-tuple distance between genes are calculated with Clustal-Omega 1.2.4 (Sievers et al. 2011) using

unaligned sequences with option parameters: "--full" and "--distmat-out". Full alignment distance

between genes are calculated with Clustal-Omega 1.2.4 using aligned sequences (aligned with Clustal-

Omega 1.2.4 using default parameters) with options parameters: --full --full-iter --distmat-out. MDS is

performed with the "cmdscale" function built-in R 3.6.3 with the input of a matrix of either pairwise K-

tuple distances or full alignment distances. The results of MDS are visualized using the Shiny package

1.4.0.2 in R 3.6.3.

Phylogenetic inference

Multiple sequence alignment was performed using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004). The resulting alignment was

manually edited. Bayesian inference of phylogeny was performed using MrBayes v.3.2.6 (Ronquist et al.

2012) with the following parameters: nst = 6, rates = invgamma, Ngammacat = 8, Ngen = 10,000,000,

nruns = 2, nchains = 4, and burn-infraction = 0.5. Convergence was determined by 25,000 post burn-in

samples from two independent runs. The resulting phylogenetic tree was rendered in Figtree v.1.4.4.

Node support values are given in percent posterior probability.

Data access

All raw and processed sequencing data generated in this study have been submitted to the NCBI

Sequence Read Archive (SRA; https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/) under accession number

SRR118039885- SRR118039888 (Brazil A4) and SRR11845028- SRR11845031 (Y C6). The genome and

annotation data generated in this study have been submitted to the NCBI BioProject database

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/) under accession number PRJNA512864 (Brazil A4) and

PRJNA554625 (Y C6). The assembled and annotated genomes are also accessible in the TritrypDB

database (https://tritrypdb.org/tritrypdb/).

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Todd Minning for initial contributions this project, Dr. Robert Sabatini from the University

of Georgia for insightful discussions, and Dr. Benedikt Brink from Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität for

advice and for testing our data in his genome phasing pipeline. This work was supported by funding

from the National Institutes of Health, (USA) grants R03 AI124228 and R01 AI124692 to RLT.

Disclosure Declaration

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

Ackermann AA, Carmona SJ, Aguero F. 2009. TcSNP: a database of genetic variation in *Trypanosoma*
cruzi. *Nucleic Acids Res* **37**: D544-549.
Aslett M, Aurrecoechea C, Berriman M, Brestelli J, Brunk BP, Carrington M, Depledge DP, Fischer S,
Gajria B, Gao X et al. 2010. TriTrypDB: a functional genomic resource for the Trypanosomatidae.
Nucleic Acids Res **38**: D457-462.
Barnes RL, Shi H, Kolev NG, Tschudi C, Ullu E. 2012. Comparative genomics reveals two novel RNAi
factors in *Trypanosoma brucei* and provides insight into the core machinery. *PLoS Pathog* **8**:
e1002678.
Berna L, Rodriguez M, Chiribao ML, Parodi-Talice A, Pita S, Rijo G, Alvarez-Valin F, Robello C. 2018.
Expanding an expanded genome: long-read sequencing of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Microb Genom* **4**.
Berriman M Ghedin E Hertz-Fowler C Blandin G Renauld H Bartholomeu DC Lennard NJ Caler E Hamlin
NE Haas B et al. 2005. The genome of the African trypanosome *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Science*
309: 416-422.
Buscaglia CA, Campo VA, Frasch AC, Di Noia JM. 2006. *Trypanosoma cruzi* surface mucins: host-
dependent coat diversity. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **4**: 229-236.
Callejas-Hernandez F, Rastrojo A, Poveda C, Girones N, Fresno M. 2018. Genomic assemblies of newly
sequenced *Trypanosoma cruzi* strains reveal new genomic expansion and greater complexity. *Sci*
Rep **8**: 14631.
Carlos Talavera-López JLR-C, Louisa A. Messenger, Michael D. Lewis, Matthew Yeo, Daniella C.
Bartholomeu, José E. Calzada, Azael Saldaña, Juan David Ramírez, Felipe Guhl, Sofía Ocaña-
Mayorga, Jaime A. Costales, Rodion Gorchakov, Kathryn Jones, Melissa Nolan Garcia, Edmundo
C. Grisard, Santuza M. R. Teixeira, Hernán Carrasco, Maria Elena Bottazzi, Peter J. Hotez, Kristy
O. Murray, Mario J. Grijalva, Barbara Burleigh, Michael A. Miles, Björn Andersson. 2018. Repeat-
driven generation of antigenic diversity in a major human pathogen, *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *bioRxiv*
doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/283531.
CaroleBranchea S, LenaÅslundb, BjörnAnderssona. 2006. Comparative karyotyping as a tool for genome
structure analysis of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **147**: 30–38.

Carver TJ, Rutherford KM, Berriman M, Rajandream MA, Barrell BG, Parkhill J. 2005. ACT: the Artemis
Comparison Tool. *Bioinformatics* **21**: 3422-3423.

Clayton C. 2019. Regulation of gene expression in trypanosomatids: living with polycistronic
transcription. *Open Biol* **9**: 190072.

Cliffe LJ, Siegel TN, Marshall M, Cross GA, Sabatini R. 2010. Two thymidine hydroxylases differentially
regulate the formation of glucosylated DNA at regions flanking polymerase II polycistronic
transcription units throughout the genome of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Nucleic Acids Res* **38**: 3923-
3935.

Cremona ML, Sanchez DO, Frasch AC, Campetella O. 1995. A single tyrosine differentiates active and
inactive *Trypanosoma cruzi trans*-sialidases. *Gene* **160**: 123-128.

Cross GA. 1975. Identification, purification and properties of clone-specific glycoprotein antigens
constituting the surface coat of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Parasitology* **71**: 393-417.

DaRocha WD, Otsu K, Teixeira SM, Donelson JE. 2004. Tests of cytoplasmic RNA interference (RNAi) and
construction of a tetracycline-inducible T7 promoter system in *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Mol Biochem
Parasitol* **133**: 175-186.

de Freitas JM, Augusto-Pinto L, Pimenta JR, Bastos-Rodrigues L, Goncalves VF, Teixeira SM, Chiari E,
Junqueira AC, Fernandes O, Macedo AM et al. 2006. Ancestral genomes, sex, and the population
structure of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *PLoS Pathog* **2**: e24.

De Pablos LM, Osuna A. 2012. Multigene families in *Trypanosoma cruzi* and their role in infectivity. *Infect
Immun* **80**: 2258-2264.

Diaz-Viraque F, Pita S, Greif G, de Souza RCM, Iraola G, Robello C. 2019. Nanopore sequencing
significantly improves genome assembly of the protozoan parasite *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Genome
Biol Evol* **11**: 1952–1957.

Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic
Acids Res* **32**: 1792-1797.

El-Sayed NM, Ghedin E, Song J, MacLeod A, Bringaud F, Larkin C, Wanless D, Peterson J, Hou L, Taylor S
et al. 2003. The sequence and analysis of *Trypanosoma brucei* chromosome II. *Nucleic Acids Res*
31: 4856-4863.

El-Sayed NM, Myler PJ, Bartholomeu DC, Nilsson D, Aggarwal G, Tran AN, Ghedin E, Worthey EA, Delcher
AL, Blandin G et al. 2005. The genome sequence of *Trypanosoma cruzi*, etiologic agent of Chagas
disease. *Science* **309**: 409-415.

Elbers JP, Rogers MF, Perelman PL, Proskuryakova AA, Serdyukova NA, Johnson WE, Horin P, Corander J,
Murphy D, Burger PA. 2019. Improving Illumina assemblies with Hi-C and long reads: An
example with the North African dromedary. *Mol Ecol Resour* **19**: 1015-1026.

English AC, Richards S, Han Y, Wang M, Vee V, Qu J, Qin X, Muzny DM, Reid JG, Worley KC et al. 2012.
Mind the gap: upgrading genomes with Pacific Biosciences RS long-read sequencing technology.
PLoS One **7**: e47768.

Ersfeld K, Melville SE, Gull K. 1999. Nuclear and genome organization of *Trypanosoma brucei*. *Parasitol
Today* **15**: 58-63.

Flores-Lopez CA, Machado CA. 2011. Analyses of 32 loci clarify phylogenetic relationships among
Trypanosoma cruzi lineages and support a single hybridization prior to human contact. *PLoS
Negl Trop Dis* **5**: e1272.

Frasch AC. 2000. Functional diversity in the *trans*-sialidase and mucin families in *Trypanosoma cruzi*.
Parasitol Today **16**: 282-286.

Gonzalez MS, Souza MS, Garcia ES, Nogueira NF, Mello CB, Canepa GE, Bertotti S, Durante IM, Azambuja
P, Buscaglia CA. 2013. *Trypanosoma cruzi* TcSMUG L-surface mucins promote development and
infectivity in the triatomine vector *Rhodnius prolixus*. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **7**: e2552.

Henriksson J AL, Macina RA, Franke de Cazzulo BM, Cazzulo JJ, Frasch AC, Pettersson U. 1990.
Chromosomal localization of seven cloned antigen genes provides evidence of diploidy and
further demonstration of karyotype variability in *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **42**:
213–223.
Henriksson J, Dujardin JC, Barnabe C, Brisse S, Timperman G, Venegas J, Pettersson U, Tibayrenc M,
Solari A. 2002. Chromosomal size variation in *Trypanosoma cruzi* is mainly progressive and is
evolutionarily informative. *Parasitology* **124**: 277-286.
Henriksson J, Porcel B, Rydaker M, Ruiz A, Sabaj V, Galanti N, Cazzulo JJ, Frasch AC, Pettersson U. 1995.
Chromosome specific markers reveal conserved linkage groups in spite of extensive
chromosomal size variation in *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **73**: 63-74.
Hertz-Fowler C, Figueiredo LM, Quail MA, Becker M, Jackson A, Bason N, Brooks K, Churcher C, Fahkro S,
Goodhead I et al. 2008. Telomeric expression sites are highly conserved in *Trypanosoma brucei*.
PLoS One **3**: e3527.
Huckaby AC, Granum CS, Carey MA, Szlachta K, Al-Barghouthi B, Wang YH, Guler JL. 2019. Complex DNA
structures trigger copy number variation across the *Plasmodium falciparum* genome. *Nucleic*
Acids Res **47**: 1615-1627.
Jackson AP, Sanders M, Berry A, McQuillan J, Aslett MA, Quail MA, Chukualim B, Capewell P, MacLeod A,
Melville SE et al. 2010. The genome sequence of *Trypanosoma brucei* gambiense, causative
agent of chronic human african trypanosomiasis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **4**: e658.
Jones P, Binns D, Chang HY, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, McWilliam H, Maslen J, Mitchell A, Nuka G et al.
2014. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* **30**: 1236-
1240.
Kaplan N, Dekker J. 2013. High-throughput genome scaffolding from *in vivo* DNA interaction frequency.
Nat Biotechnol **31**: 1143-1147.
Kawasaki F, Beraldi D, Hardisty RE, McInroy GR, van Delft P, Balasubramanian S. 2017. Genome-wide
mapping of 5-hydroxymethyluracil in the eukaryote parasite *Leishmania*. *Genome Biol* **18**: 23.
Kerpedjiev P, Abdennur N, Lekschas F, McCallum C, Dinkla K, Strobelt H, Luber JM, Ouellette SB, Azhir A,
Kumar N et al. 2018. HiGlass: web-based visual exploration and analysis of genome interaction
maps. *Genome Biol* **19**: 125.
Kieft R, Zhang Y, Marand AP, Moran JD, Bridger R, Wells L, Schmitz RJ, Sabatini R. 2020. Identification of
a novel base J binding protein complex involved in RNA polymerase II transcription termination
in trypanosomes. *PLoS Genet* **16**: e1008390.
Korbel JO, Lee C. 2013. Genome assembly and haplotyping with Hi-C. *Nat Biotechnol* **31**: 1099-1101.
Lee E, Helt GA, Reese JT, Munoz-Torres MC, Childers CP, Buels RM, Stein L, Holmes IH, Elsik CG, Lewis SE.
2013. Web Apollo: a web-based genomic annotation editing platform. *Genome Biol* **14**: R93.
Lewis EB. 1951. Pseudoallelism and gene evolution. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* **16**: 159-174.
Lima FM, Souza RT, Santori FR, Santos MF, Cortez DR, Barros RM, Cano MI, Valadares HM, Macedo AM,
Mortara RA et al. 2013. Interclonal variations in the molecular karyotype of *Trypanosoma cruzi*:
chromosome rearrangements in a single cell-derived clone of the G strain. *PLoS One* **8**: e63738.
Lynch M, Conery JS. 2000. The evolutionary fate and consequences of duplicate genes. *Science* **290**:
1151-1155.
Martin DL, Weatherly DB, Laucella SA, Cabinian MA, Crim MT, Sullivan S, Heiges M, Craven SH,
Rosenberg CS, Collins MH et al. 2006. CD8+ T-Cell responses to *Trypanosoma cruzi* are highly
focused on strain-variant *trans*-sialidase epitopes. *PLoS Pathog* **2**: e77.
Mowatt MR, Aggarwal A, Nash TE. 1991. Carboxy-terminal sequence conservation among variant-
specific surface proteins of *Giardia lamblia*. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **49**: 215-227.
Mugnier MR, Stebbins CE, Papavasiliou FN. 2016. Masters of Disguise: Antigenic Variation and the VSG
Coat in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *PLoS Pathog* **12**: e1005784.

Muller HJ. 1936. Bar Duplication. *Science* **83**: 528-530.

Muller LSM, Cosentino RO, Forstner KU, Guizetti J, Wedel C, Kaplan N, Janzen CJ, Arampatzi P, Vogel J,
Steinbiss S et al. 2018. Genome organization and DNA accessibility control antigenic variation in
trypanosomes. *Nature* **563**: 121-125.

Nakayasu ES, Yashunsky DV, Nohara LL, Torrecilhas AC, Nikolaev AV, Almeida IC. 2009. GPIomics: global
analysis of glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored molecules of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Mol Syst Biol*
5: 261.

Navarro M, Gull K. 2001. A pol I transcriptional body associated with VSG mono-allelic expression in
Trypanosoma brucei. *Nature* **414**: 759-763.

Nguyen T, Waseem M. 2020. Chagas Disease (American Trypanosomiasis). In *StatPearls*, Treasure Island
(FL).

Otto TD, Sanders M, Berriman M, Newbold C. 2010. Iterative Correction of Reference Nucleotides
(iCORN) using second generation sequencing technology. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 1704-1707.

Pedroso A, Cupolillo E, Zingales B. 2003. Evaluation of *Trypanosoma cruzi* hybrid stocks based on
chromosomal size variation. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **129**: 79-90.

Pimenta PF, da Silva PP, Nash T. 1991. Variant surface antigens of *Giardia lamblia* are associated with
the presence of a thick cell coat: thin section and label fracture immunocytochemistry survey.
Infect Immun **59**: 3989-3996.

Previato J, Andrade AFB, Pessolani MCV, and Mendonça-Previato L. 1985. Incorporation of sialic acid
into *Trypanosoma cruzi* macromolecules. A proposal for a new metabolic route. *Mol Biochem*
Parasitol **16**: 85–96.

Putnam NH, O'Connell BL, Stites JC, Rice BJ, Blanchette M, Calef R, Troll CJ, Fields A, Hartley PD, Sugnet
CW et al. 2016. Chromosome-scale shotgun assembly using an *in vitro* method for long-range
linkage. *Genome Res* **26**: 342-350.

Queiroz RM, Charneau S, Motta FN, Santana JM, Roepstorff P, Ricart CA. 2013. Comprehensive
proteomic analysis of *Trypanosoma cruzi* epimastigote cell surface proteins by two
complementary methods. *J Proteome Res* **12**: 3255-3263.

Rassi A, Jr., Rassi A, Marcondes de Rezende J. 2012. American trypanosomiasis (Chagas disease). *Infect*
Dis Clin North Am **26**: 275-291.

Reis-Cunha JL, Rodrigues-Luiz GF, Valdivia HO, Baptista RP, Mendes TA, de Morais GL, Guedes R, Macedo
AM, Bern C, Gilman RH et al. 2015. Chromosomal copy number variation reveals differential
levels of genomic plasticity in distinct *Trypanosoma cruzi* strains. *BMC Genomics* **16**: 499.

Revollo S, Oury B, Laurent JP, Barnabe C, Quesney V, Carriere V, Noel S, Tibayrenc M. 1998.
Trypanosoma cruzi: impact of clonal evolution of the parasite on its biological and medical
properties. *Exp Parasitol* **89**: 30-39.

Reynolds D, Cliffe L, Forstner KU, Hon CC, Siegel TN, Sabatini R. 2014. Regulation of transcription
termination by glucosylated hydroxymethyluracil, base J, in *Leishmania major* and *Trypanosoma*
brucei. *Nucleic Acids Res* **42**: 9717-9729.

Reynolds D, Hofmeister BT, Cliffe L, Alabady M, Siegel TN, Schmitz RJ, Sabatini R. 2016. Histone H3
Variant Regulates RNA Polymerase II Transcription Termination and Dual Strand Transcription of
siRNA Loci in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *PLoS Genet* **12**: e1005758.

Robert D. Denton RSK, Jacob W. Malcom, Louis Du Preez, and John H. Malone. 2018. The African Bullfrog
(*Pyxicephalus adspersus*) genome unites the two ancestral ingredients for making vertebrate sex
chromosomes. *bioRxiv* doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/329847.

Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Hohna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA,
Huelsenbeck JP. 2012. MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice
across a large model space. *Syst Biol* **61**: 539-542.

Salter JF, Johnson O, Stafford NJ, 3rd, Herrin WF, Jr., Schilling D, Cedotal C, Brumfield RT, Faircloth BC.
2019. A Highly Contiguous Reference Genome for Northern Bobwhite (*Colinus virginianus*). *G3
(Bethesda)* **9**: 3929-3932.
Schreiber M, Mascher M, Wright J, Padmarasu S, Himmelbach A, Heavens D, Milne L, Clavijo BJ, Stein N,
Waugh R. 2020. A Genome Assembly of the Barley 'Transformation Reference' Cultivar Golden
Promise. *G3 (Bethesda)* **10**: 1823-1827.
Schulz D, Zaringhalam M, Papavasiliou FN, Kim HS. 2016. Base J and H3.V Regulate Transcriptional
Termination in *Trypanosoma brucei*. *PLoS Genet* **12**: e1005762.
Siegel TN, Hekstra DR, Kemp LE, Figueiredo LM, Lowell JE, Fenyo D, Wang X, Dewell S, Cross GA. 2009.
Four histone variants mark the boundaries of polycistronic transcription units in *Trypanosoma
brucei*. *Genes Dev* **23**: 1063-1076.
Sievers F, Wilm A, Dineen D, Gibson TJ, Karplus K, Li W, Lopez R, McWilliam H, Remmert M, Soding J et
al. 2011. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using
Clustal Omega. *Mol Syst Biol* **7**: 539.
Slater GS, Birney E. 2005. Automated generation of heuristics for biological sequence comparison. *BMC
Bioinformatics* **6**: 31.
Smith JD, Chitnis CE, Craig AG, Roberts DJ, Hudson-Taylor DE, Peterson DS, Pinches R, Newbold CI, Miller
LH. 1995. Switches in expression of Plasmodium falciparum *var* genes correlate with changes in
antigenic and cytoadherent phenotypes of infected erythrocytes. *Cell* **82**: 101-110.
Souza RT, Lima FM, Barros RM, Cortez DR, Santos MF, Cordero EM, Ruiz JC, Goldenberg S, Teixeira MM,
da Silveira JF. 2011. Genome size, karyotype polymorphism and chromosomal evolution in
Trypanosoma cruzi. *PLoS One* **6**: e23042.
Stanke M, Morgenstern B. 2005. AUGUSTUS: a web server for gene prediction in eukaryotes that allows
user-defined constraints. *Nucleic Acids Res* **33**: W465-467.
Stanke M, Steinkamp R, Waack S, Morgenstern B. 2004. AUGUSTUS: a web server for gene finding in
eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **32**: W309-312.
Steinbiss S, Silva-Franco F, Brunk B, Foth B, Hertz-Fowler C, Berriman M, Otto TD. 2016. Companion: a
web server for annotation and analysis of parasite genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**: W29-34.
Sturtevant AH. 1925. The Effects of Unequal Crossing over at the Bar Locus in Drosophila. *Genetics* **10**:
117–147.
Su XZ, Heatwole VM, Wertheimer SP, Guinet F, Herrfeldt JA, Peterson DS, Ravetch JA, Wellems TE. 1995.
The large diverse gene family *var* encodes proteins involved in cytoadherence and antigenic
variation of Plasmodium falciparum-infected erythrocytes. *Cell* **82**: 89-100.
Theodore S. Kalbfleisch ESR, Michael S. DePriest Jr., Brian P. Walenz, Matthew S. Hestand, Joris R.
Vermeesch, Brendan L. O'Connell, Ian T. Fiddes, Alisa O. Vershinina, Jessica L. Petersen, Carrie J.
Finno, Rebecca R. Bellone, Molly E. McCue, Samantha A. Brooks, Ernest Bailey, Ludovic Orlando,
Richard E. Green, Donald C. Miller, Douglas F. Antczak, James N. MacLeod. 2018. EquCab3, an
Updated Reference Genome for the Domestic Horse. *bioRxiv* doi:
https://doi.org/10.1101/306928.
Tomasini N, Diosque P. 2015. Evolution of *Trypanosoma cruzi*: clarifying hybridisations, mitochondrial
introgressions and phylogenetic relationships between major lineages. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz
110*: 403-413.
Triana O, Ortiz S, Dujardin JC, Solari A. 2006. *Trypanosoma cruzi*: variability of stocks from Colombia
determined by molecular karyotype and minicircle Southern blot analysis. *Exp Parasitol* **113**: 62-
66.
Uemura H, Schenkman, S., Nussenzweig, V., and Eichinger, D. 1992. Only some members of a gene
family in *Trypanosoma cruzi* encode proteins that express both *trans*-sialidase and
neuraminidase activities. *EMBO J* **11**: 3837–3844.

van Luenen HG, Farris C, Jan S, Genest PA, Tripathi P, Velds A, Kerkhoven RM, Nieuwland M, Haydock A,
Ramasamy G et al. 2012. Glucosylated hydroxymethyluracil, DNA base J, prevents transcriptional
readthrough in *Leishmania*. *Cell* **150**: 909-921.
Vargas N, Pedroso A, Zingales B. 2004. Chromosomal polymorphism, gene synteny and genome size in *T.*
cruzi I and *T. cruzi* II groups. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **138**: 131-141.
Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A, Sakthikumar S, Cuomo CA, Zeng Q, Wortman J, Young
SK et al. 2014. Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and
genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One* **9**: e112963.
Weatherly DB, Boehlke C, Tarleton RL. 2009. Chromosome level assembly of the hybrid *Trypanosoma*
cruzi genome. *BMC Genomics* **10**: 255.
Weatherly DB, Peng D, Tarleton RL. 2016. Recombination-driven generation of the largest pathogen
repository of antigen variants in the protozoan *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *BMC Genomics* **17**: 729.
Westenberger SJ, Barnabe C, Campbell DA, Sturm NR. 2005. Two hybridization events define the
population structure of *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Genetics* **171**: 527-543.
Weston D, Patel B, Van Voorhis WC. 1999. Virulence in *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection correlates with the
expression of a distinct family of sialidase superfamily genes. *Mol Biochem Parasitol* **98**: 105-
116.
Xu D, Brandan CP, Basombrio MA, Tarleton RL. 2009. Evaluation of high efficiency gene knockout
strategies for *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *BMC Microbiol* **9**: 90.
Yoshida N. 2006. Molecular basis of mammalian cell invasion by *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *An Acad Bras Cienc*
78: 87-111.
Zev N. Kronenberg RJH, Stefan Hiendleder, Timothy P. L. Smith, Shawn T. Sullivan, John L. Williams,
Sarah B. Kingan. 2018. FALCON-Phase: Integrating PacBio and Hi-C data for phased diploid
genomes. *bioRxiv* doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/327064.
Zingales B, Andrade SG, Briones MR, Campbell DA, Chiari E, Fernandes O, Guhl F, Lages-Silva E, Macedo
AM, Machado CR et al. 2009. A new consensus for *Trypanosoma cruzi* intraspecific
nomenclature: second revision meeting recommends TcI to TcVI. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* **104**:
1051-1054.
Zingales B, Miles MA, Campbell DA, Tibayrenc M, Macedo AM, Teixeira MM, Schijman AG, Llewellyn MS,
Lages-Silva E, Machado CR et al. 2012. The revised *Trypanosoma cruzi* subspecific nomenclature:
rationale, epidemiological relevance and research applications. *Infect Genet Evol* **12**: 240-253.
1023

1024