Global transcriptional regulation by cell-free supernatant of *Salmonella* Typhimurium

peptide transporter mutant leads to inhibition of intra-species biofilm initiation

Kasturi Chandra[1], Prerana Muralidhara[2], Sathisha Kamanna[3], Utpal S. Tatu[3], Dipshikha

Chakravortty[1,4,#]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India.

[2]Department of Molecular Biology, Yuvaraja's College, Mysore, Karnataka, India.

[3]Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India.

[4]Centre for BioSystems Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore,

India.

 # Corresponding author

Dipshikha Chakravortty

Email: dipa@iisc.ac.in

Telephone: +91 80 22932842

Keywords: *Salmonella* Typhimurium, Biofilm, flagella, H-NS, EPS, yjiY, oxidative stress

Abstract

Salmonella **is a genus of widely spread Gram negative, facultative anaerobic bacteria, which is known to cause ¼th of the diarrhoeal morbidity and mortality globally. It causes typhoid fever and gastroenteritis by gaining access to the host gut through contaminated food and water.** *Salmonella* **utilizes its biofilm lifestyle to strongly resist antibiotics and persist in the host. Although the biofilm removal or dispersal have been studied widely, the inhibition of initiation** *Salmonella* **biofilm has not been studied much. This study was conducted to determine the anti-biofilm property of the cell-free supernatant obtained from a carbon-starvation inducible proline peptide transporter mutant (Δ*yjiY*) strain. Our study shows that** *Salmonella* **Δ*yjiY* culture supernatant inhibits biofilm initiation by regulating biofilm associated transcriptional network. This work demonstrates that highly abundant proteases like ecotin, HslV and GrpE cleave the protein aggregates, whereas global transcription regulators H-NS, FlgM regulate expression of SPIs and flagellar genes. Relatively low abundance of flavoredoxin, glutaredoxin, thiol peroxidase etc. leads to accumulation of ROS within the biofilm, and subsequent toxicity. This work further suggests that targeting these oxidative stress relieving proteins might be a good druggable choice to reduce** *Salmonella* **biofilm.**

Introduction

Salmonella is one of the most common foodborne pathogens that causes one of the 4 major diarrheal diseases, salmonellosis[1, 2]. The biofilm life form of this bacteria makes it a hardy human pathogen that can survive several weeks in a dry environment and many months in water[3]. Biofilm refers to a community of bacteria adherent to biotic/abiotic substratum, held

together by its secreted extracellular polymeric substances. Biofilms are highly resistant to

antibiotics, disinfectants etc. and biofilms on medical devices, catheters, implants are a major

cause of hospital acquired infections[4, 5]. Recent reports suggest that *Salmonella* can form

biofilm on gall bladder, leading to chronic infection[6, 7]. The major constituents of *Salmonella*

biofilm are curli, cellulose and BapA. Curli facilitates intercellular and cell to surface

interaction, cellulose enables long range contact and provides the characteristic stickiness to

the biofilm and BapA strengthens curli interactions and stabilizes the biofilm[8]. A major cue

for biofilm formation is nutritional stress. Previously we found that deletion of carbon

starvation gene, *yjiY* leads to deficiency in biofilm formation[9]. Antibiotic stress, DNA

damage, cold stress, acid stress etc. can also regulate the transcription of *yjiY* gene[10, 11, 12]. In

S. Typhimurium, YjiY is known to regulate virulence by affecting the flagellar class III

genes, and the deletion of *yjiY* leads to reduced colonization in mouse[9, 13], upregulation of the

virulence factor *mgtC* leading to biofilm deficiency[14]. This could indicate the possible role of

YjiY in survival and defense against host induced stress in bacteria.

Recently studies corroborate that various culture supernatants of commensal bacteria can

inhibit colonization and biofilm formation by their pathogenic counterparts. This inhibitory

activity of culture supernatants can be seen across Gram positive, Gram negative bacteria as

well as across kingdoms. Iwase *et al.* showed that *Staphylococcus epidermidis* Esp inhibits

biofilm formation and nasal colonization by pathogenic *Staphylococcus aureus*[15]. In another

study probiotic *Escherichia coli* Nissle (EcN) inhibited biofilm formation in pathogenic

EHEC, by a secreted bifunctional (protease and chaperone) protein DegP[16]. There are various

other modes of interspecies biofilm regulation such as biofilm dispersal proteins[17], indole-

mediated biofilm regulation[18], quorum sensing molecules, and antimicrobial peptides[19]. The

inhibition can also be inter-kingdom, such as *Aspergillus* biofilm inhibition by *Pseudomonas*

culture supernatants[20]. While there are a few strategies to deal with preformed biofilms[21, 22],

the inhibition of biofilm initiation is comparatively less explored in human pathogens. In our

lab we found that *Salmonella* WT could not form biofilm when co-cultured with Δ*yjiY* strain.

This study was designed to investigate the novel biofilm inhibitory activity of biofilm

deficient strain *Salmonella* Typhimurium Δ*yjiY*.

In this study, we are reporting a novel mechanism of biofilm initiation inhibition by complex

transcriptional regulatory network. This complex network causes adhesion impairment,

flagellar motility inhibition, cleavage of protein aggregates and quorum sensing inhibition.

Further we have shown that STM Δ*yjiY* supernatant can also inhibit biofilm formation by *E.*

coli. We have also shown that the supernatant treatment can impair the invasion of the

pathogen in *C. elegans* gut, and thus reducing its virulence.

Results

*Salmonella Δ*yjiY* **culture supernatant inhibits WT biofilm formation**

In order to understand the biofilm inhibitory property of STM Δ*yjiY* culture supernatant, we

inoculated WT bacteria in biofilm inducing low salinity media with or without the culture

supernatant from different strains. The Δ*yjiY* bacterial culture supernatant exhibited the

biofilm inhibitory property (**Fig. 1A**), which was absent in the supernatant of the strain where

yjiY was trans-complemented in a plasmid (STM Δ*yjiY*:pQE60-*yjiY*). Previous study showed

that Δ*yjiY* is a biofilm deficient strain[14]. To check if the biofilm inhibitory property is specific

to Δ*yjiY* supernatant, we inoculated WT strain with biofilm deficient strain Δ*csgD* (**Fig.**

S1A). We observed the inhibition only in case of Δ*yjiY* supernatant indicating that the

inhibitory molecules are unique to the Δ*yjiY* secretome, and are independent of the activity of

CsgD. To further validate that the inhibition is a cell-free phenomenon, we inoculated WT

bacteria along with either live cells of other strains along with spent culture media (co-

culture), supernatant-free cell pellets, cell free supernatant, or whole cell lysate, and

quantified the biofilm on solid-liquid-air interface. Although in all the setups ΔyjiY showed

significant inhibition on biofilm formation, the maximum inhibition was observed with ΔyjiY

culture supernatant (**Fig. 1B**). The supernatants were concentrated using Amicon ultra filter

device and total protein was quantified. We found that m̲inimum b̲iofilm i̲nhibitory

c̲oncentration (**MBIC**; minimum concentration of total protein required to inhibit biofilm

formation by WT strain) falls between 15-20 ng protein/ml (**Fig. 1C**), therefore we used

supernatant containing 20ng protein/ml of sup for further experiments. We did not find any

difference in the growth (**Fig. S1B**), suggesting the supernatant lacks any bactericidal or

bacteriostatic property. To determine if the secretion of the inhibitory component(s), is

dependent on the culture media, we grew the bacteria in a minimal media (M9 media

supplemented with 0.5% glucose) that exerts nutrition stress and used the supernatant to treat

WT bacteria. We observed that the biofilm inhibitory molecule(s) were active even in

minimal media (**Fig. 1D**), suggesting that the production of the inhibitory molecule(s) is not

dependent on the nutritional condition and it is an intrinsic property of the ΔyjiY strain. We

have also checked the temporal expression or accumulation of the inhibitory component(s) by

inoculating WT strain with culture supernatant harvested from 2, 3, 4 and 5 day old ΔyjiY

culture. Our results suggest that the optimum concentration of the inhibitory component(s)

is/are reached after 3 days of growth (**Fig. S1C**), which remains unchanged on 4-5th days of

growth. Therefore, we have used filtered culture supernatant from 3 days old ΔyjiY culture

for further experiments.

ΔyjiY culture supernatant weakens the WT biofilm and interferes with cell structure

Characteristics EPS components are cellulose (produced by *bcsA* encoded cellulose

synthase), curli fimbriae (encoded by *csgAB*), BapA and LPS[23]. We observed a significant

reduction of the EPS bound congo red fluorescence intensity (**Fig. 2A, S2A**) and biofilm

thickness (**Fig. S2B, S2C**) upon ΔyjiY sup treatment. We also found that ΔyjiY sup treatment

significantly reduced the strength of the biofilm pellicle (**Fig. S2D, S2E, 2B**) than that of

untreated or WT supernatant treated samples. SEM and AFM analysis of the biofilm surface

showed that the Δ*yjiY* supernatant treated biofilms lack the characteristic dome shape of a

proper biofilm (**Fig. 2C, S2F**). We also noticed that the median cell length increased upon

Δ*yjiY* supernatant treatment (1.58±0.30 µm) as compared to the untreated WT cells

(1.38±0.34 µm) (**Fig. 2D, 2E, S2G**), hinting towards the presence of multiple regulatory

components in the Δ*yjiY* supernatant that can modulate multiple phenotypic effects.

The active molecule(s) is/are protein(s)

Previous studies have shown that secreted components from some bacteria can inhibit biofilm

formation by the wild type strain or closely related species[15, 16, 20]. In order to delineate the

chemical nature of the inhibitory molecule(s) present in Δ*yjiY* supernatant, we treated the

supernatant with chemical agents, such as a divalent cation chelator (EDTA), protease

(Proteinase K), protease inhibitor (PMSF), RNase and DNase and quantified the biofilm

inhibition. We found that upon pre-treatment with different concentrations of EDTA, the

inhibitory property remained intact. We found that 10mM EDTA enhanced the biofilm

formation with both treated and untreated WT strain (**Fig. 3A**). Since EDTA is known to

chelate divalent cations and inhibit a few proteases at higher concentrations[24, 25, 26, 27], our

data signifies the requirement of divalent cations and/or active proteases for inhibition of

biofilm. Upon treating the supernatants with 20mg/ml Proteinase K, the ability of Δ*yjiY*

supernatant to inhibit biofilm formation was significantly reduced, suggesting that the

inhibitory molecule(s) are protein(s) (**Fig. 3B**). Since activity of many proteins are sensitive

to even small changes in pH and temperature, we checked the activity of Δ*yjiY* supernatant at

different pH and temperatures. Surprisingly, we found that the active component(s) is/are

heat stable at both the temperatures (**Fig. 3C**) and stable over a wide range of acidic and

alkaline pH (**Fig. 3D**), although there was a small reduction in biofilm inhibition at pH 9.0.

As recent studies show that several small non-coding RNA regulate biofilm formation and

other virulence traits in *Vibrio cholerae* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*[28, 29], we tested the

stability of the component(s) after treating the supernatant with RNase. Although the

inhibition was lost upon RNase treatment and incubation at 37°C, we found a similar loss of

inhibition with only heating the supernatant at 37°C (**Fig. S3A**), suggestive of the heat, rather

RNase treatment, to be the reason for the loss of inhibition. We also fractionated the

supernatant using Amicon 3k MWCO ultra filter device, and we found that the active

component(s) of Δ*yjiY* supernatant is/are of >3kDa molecular weight (**Fig. S3B**), quashing

the role of small molecules and ions in the biofilm inhibition by Δ*yjiY* supernatant. Since the

inhibitory activity was abolished upon proteinase treatment, we attributed the inhibition to

protein components and quantified the total protein for further experiments.

Active molecules inhibit biofilm only during the initial phases, and cannot disrupt

mature biofilm

In order to check the effect of the active molecule on mature biofilm, we treated matured

biofilm pellicle with the supernatants and checked for dispersion. Our results showed that the

Δ*yjiY* supernatant could not disrupt pre-formed biofilm, hinting towards the effect of the

active molecule(s) at the biofilm initiation (**Fig. 4A**). To answer if the supernatant treated WT

cells remain biofilm defective in the absence of the inhibitory molecules, the Δ*yjiY*

supernatant treated WT cells were re-inoculated in biofilm medium without the supernatant,

and monitored for pellicle formation. We observed that the inhibition is diminished in the

absence of the supernatant (**Fig. 4B**), although the defect reappeared when these cells were

re-treated with the inhibitory supernatant. Together our data suggests that the supernatant

mediated initial molecular reprogramming is required for biofilm inhibition, and the

inhibitory molecules do not alter the inherent biofilm forming ability of the WT cells.

168 **The inhibitory molecules impair flagella-mediated initial attachment to abiotic surface**

169 *Salmonella* biofilm formation can be divided into 4 distinct steps, (i) initial attachment to the

170 abiotic surface, (ii) secretion of adhesive components, (iii) maturation and (iv) dispersion of

171 biofilm upon relief of the stress[30]. Since the biofilm initiation was inhibited and retained

172 beyond 72 hours, we checked the expression of biofilm-associated genes (*csgD, bcsA, fliC,*

173 *invF, sopD)* and virulence factors (*phoP, sodA, mgtC*) from untreated or treated WT cells, 72

174 hours post inoculation. We found *csgD, bcsA, fliC* and *invF* expression was significantly

175 downregulated in Δ*yjiY* supernatant treated WT cells (**Fig. 4C**). Since, flagella-mediated

176 initial attachment initiates biofilm formation[31, 32], we investigated the effect of Δ*yjiY*

177 supernatant on flagellar motility. We observed that Δ*yjiY* supernatant treatment significantly

178 reduced both swimming and swarming motility (**Fig. 4D, 4E** and **S4A**), indicating a flagella-

179 mediated motility defect. We further observed the downregulation of *fliC* sets in as early as 4-

180 6 hours post inoculation with the Δ*yjiY* supernatant (**Fig. S4B**). Interestingly, we observed an

181 increase in the *fliC* expression at 12 hour post inoculation. We reasoned the adhesion

182 deficiency arises from the sequential effect of initial downregulation of *fliC*, followed by an

183 increase in planktonic population. SEM analysis showed that Δ*yjiY* supernatant treated

184 bacteria had significantly less number of flagella (**Fig. 4F, S4C**). Altogether these results

185 indicate a defect in flagella-mediated initial attachment of the bacteria, putting them at risk of

186 biofilm deficiency.

187 **Δ*yjiY* supernatant reduces adhesion and virulence of *Salmonella* both *in vitro* and in *C.***

188 ***elegans* gut**

189 Initial attachment of *Salmonella* on the host gut epithelial cells requires swimming through

190 the mucus layer[33]. SPI1 encoded Invs, Sops and Sips are important in the initial invasion[34].

191 Since Δ*yjiY* supernatant treatment downregulated *invF* and *sopD* expression, we checked the

infectivity of the supernatant treated cells in mammalian intestinal epithelial cells, Int407.

Invasion assay shows that the Δ*yjiY* supernatant treated cells are defective in initial invasion,

although these cells show significantly higher intracellular proliferation as compared to the

untreated cells (**Fig. 5A** and **5B**), suggesting that the Δ*yjiY* supernatant only makes the cells

invasion defective by inhibiting flagella-mediated adhesion. In order to check the infectivity

of the Δ*yjiY* supernatant treated cells in a systemic condition, we fed young adult *C. elegans*

N2 worms with RFP-STM-WT bacteria and quantified the gut colonization. The micrograph

images and CFU analysis show that Δ*yjiY* supernatant treated RFP-STM-WT cells were able

to colonize the gut lumen when fed continuously, but not persist (**Fig. 5C, 5D**). Therefore,

our data suggest that the Δ*yjiY* supernatant treatment impairs *in vitro* invasion and *in vivo*

colonization.

The inhibitory molecules inhibit biofilm formation in closely related species

We next checked the effectivity of STM Δ*yjiY* supernatant on the biofilms of common human

pathogens. We inoculated *E. coli* DH5α, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA01, *Klebsiella*

pneomoniae, *and Staphylococcus aureus* wild-type strains with STM WT, STM Δ*yjiY*

supernatants, and quantified the biofilm. We found that the STM Δ*yjiY* supernatant

significantly inhibited only *E. coli* DH5α biofilm (**Fig. 5E**). Therefore, we concluded that the

Δ*yjiY* supernatant can cross-react with closely related enterobacteriaceae, *E. coli*, but not

effective against the distant members like *Klebsiella pneumoniae* or a different family

(*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*) or phylum (*Staphylococcus aureus*).

The active components are majorly global transcription factors that regulates multiple

cellular processes

To further identify the biofilm inhibitory molecule(s), concentrated supernatants from WT

and Δ*yjiY* culture were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE. After colloidal CBB staining, we

clearly visualized 14 differential bands in Δ*yjiY* supernatant as compared to WT supernatant

(**Fig. 6A**). We next analysed the secretome samples in LC Q-TOF MS/MS. Among the total

of 244 proteins, 188 proteins were present in both the supernatants in differential level, 38

proteins were detected only in the WT supernatant, and 55 proteins in Δ*yjiY* supernatant (**Fig.**

6B). These proteins may have resulted from cell lysis during growth or they were secreted in

the supernatant via active secretion system. After careful data-mining, among the proteins

found only in Δ*yjiY* supernatant (listed in supplementary table 1), probable transcriptional

regulatory protein YebC, anti-sigma28 factor FlgM, a serine protease ecotin and transcription

termination/anti-termination protein NusG were selected for further analysis. Many

transcriptional regulator (H-NS, Rnk, StpA), cold shock proteins (CspE, CspC), chaperones

(GrpE), ATP-dependent protease (HslV), proteins related to oxidative stress and iron

homeostasis (FldA, SodB, YdhD, Tph and Ftn, Bcp, Bfr, respectively) were differentially

present in both the supernatants (listed in supplementary table 2). Since H-NS is a global

transcription regulator involved in multiple cellular pathways, we further performed protein

interaction analysis of H-NS by STRING. The network suggests that H-NS is involved in

flagellar motility, replication regulation, transport of outer membrane lipoproteins and

assembly of LPS (**Fig. 6C**), many of which were altered upon Δ*yjiY* supernatant treatment.

We also constructed the interaction map among the protein hits found in LC QTOF MS/MS

analysis by STRING (**Fig. 6D**).

Discussion

Salmonella utilizes its biofilm formation ability to evade host defence, colonize in host,

persist in asymptomatic host and for its transmission to a new host[35]. The transition from

planktonic to biofilm mode of life depends upon various stress factors. The master regulator

CsgD controls the production of EPS components such as cellulose, tafi, curli fimbriae etc.

by regulating AdrA. BapA is important both for biofilm production and attachment to

intestinal epithelium[36]. In this study we have shown how metabolic stress decides the fate of

the infectious WT strain. From preliminary data, we found that co-culture of STM WT and

Δ*yjiY* led to biofilm defect in WT strain. Although various studies have shown that inter-

species and intra-species biofilm inhibitions exist in nature[15, 20, 37], in *Salmonella,* this

phenotype in novel. Therefore, we carried out experiments to understand the underlying

mechanism.

In this study we found that the biofilm inhibition was unique to Δ*yjiY* sup and the inhibition

was reversed when WT cells were treated with culture supernatant from complement strain

(STM Δ*yjiY*:pQE60-*yjiY*). We also observed that the Δ*yjiY* sup had only biofilm inhibitory

effect and not bactericidal property as observed from the growth analysis of the WT strain.

Further, the exopolysaccharide cellulose was found to be sparse in the biofilm of Δ*yjiY* sup

treated WT cells. Since only cellulose mutant *Salmonella* Typhimurium was proficient in

adhering to tumour cells[38], our data imply the presence of multiple inhibitory factors involved

in the inhibition by the supernatant. While incubation of the Δ*yjiY* sup at 37˚C was found to

reduce the inhibition, heating at 65˚C and 95˚C enhanced the inhibition, indicating that the

inhibitory molecules might be heat-shock proteins or high temperature inducible stress

proteins. Fascinatingly we observed that the effect of the inhibitory molecules is temporary,

and they do not cause any genetic change to alter the inherent biofilm forming competency of

the WT cells. The Δ*yjiY* sup was also found to exert its anti-biofilm activity only when

administered at the beginning of biofilm formation, suggesting a modification in the complex

network through which biofilm develops. In the Δ*yjiY* sup treated WT cells, the expression of

biofilm genes and virulence genes was reduced significantly. *sodA* downregulation suggests

that these cells are prone to ROS assault. Previous study from our group have shown that

YjiY depletion upregulates *mgtC* leading to biofilm defect[14]. Interestingly, we observed

downregulation of *mgtC*, implying that the biofilm inhibition by Δ*yjiY* sup and the inherent

biofilm defect of Δ*yjiY* strain follow different mechanisms. The *ΔyjiY* sup treated WT cells

showed significantly less invasion in Int407 cells. It was recently proposed that *Salmonella*

persist in *C. elegans* gut by forming biofilm[39]. Δ*yjiY* supernatant treated WT cells showed a

concomitant reduction in colonization in worms gut, suggesting an *in vivo* biofilm defect. We

also observed absence of flagella and other protein aggregates upon Δ*yjiY* sup treatment,

leading to a defect in cell aggregate formation and biofilm initiation. While there was a

temporal increase in *fliC* in Δ*yjiY* sup treated WT cells, these cells exhibited defective

motility after 72 hours of treatment, further validating the importance of flagella-mediated

motility in the initial attachment of the bacteria to the substratum. Interestingly, we found that

the STM Δ*yjiY* sup effectively inhibited *E. coli* biofilm. Although *Salmonella* had diverged

from *E. coli* by acquiring virulence-associated genes, they share many evolutionarily

conserved cellular pathways[40, 41, 42]. Therefore this observation reiterates that the inhibitory

effects are more profound among closely related species. In the proteomics analysis of the

Δ*yjiY* supernatant, we specifically detected three potential inhibitory candidates: proteinase K

sensitive ecotin[43], anti-sigma28 factor FlgM (a negative transcriptional regulator of class III

flagellar genes[44, 45]) and YebC (negatively regulates quorum sensing in *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa PA01[46]). Abundance of FlgM correlates with the absence of flagella in the Δ*yjiY*

supernatant treated WT cells. Since, NusG works synergistically with the global

transcriptional regulator H-NS that binds specifically to AT-rich SPIs in *Salmonella* genome

and represses the genes[47, 48]. Interestingly, in *E. coli,* H-NS has been linked to cell cycle,

since the cells attempt to optimize H-NS concentration by maintaining a constant ratio of H-

NS to chromosomal DNA in the cell[49], which might explain the increase in cell length after

Δ*yjiY* supernatant treatment. HslV, a heat-inducible ATP-dependent protease subunit of a

proteasome-like degradation complex[50] and GrpE, which is involved in removal of protein

aggregates leading to unsuccessful biofilm formation[51] were found in higher abundance in

Δ*yjiY* supernatant. Doyle *et al.*[52] showed that excess GrpE inhibits the interaction between

DnaK and regulatory protein, RepA. Since RepA helps maintaining copy number of plasmids

in *E. coli*[53], inactivation of RepA might explain the cell elongation upon Δ*yjiY* supernatant

treatment.

Oxidative stress proteins such as flavoredoxin, SodB, glutaredoxin, thiol peroxidase (Tph),

thioredoxin-dependent thiol peroxidase (Bcp) were less abundant in Δ*yjiY* supernatant,

among which Tph and SodB help reducing oxidative stress in STEC biofilm[54]. In *E. faecalis*,

Tph is required for *in vitro* oxidative stress response and survival inside murine

macrophages[55]. Iron availability has been shown to both positively and negatively regulate

biofilm formation through complex network systems[56]. Although high Fe can lead to ROS

production, Kang and Kirienko suggested that iron uptake and homeostasis is essential for

successful biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*[57]. Fe-storage proteins

bacterioferritin (Bfr) and ferritin A (Ftn), required to prevent ROS generation via Fenton

reaction and DNA damage, are functionally very large proteins with a core to accommodate

3000 Fe atoms. Fe-rich conditions induces *E. coli* FtnA and Bfr due to loss of repression by

small RNA RyhB[58]. Similarly, *Salmonella* Typhimurium Bfr is involved in reducing

intracellular Fe toxicity and absence of Bfr causes increased intracellular free Fe^{2+} ion and

oxidative stress[59].

Therefore, we conclude that Δ*yjiY* supernatant treatment inhibits the biofilm formation by

WT in three major ways- (i) NusG-HNS mediated transcriptional repression of AT-rich SPI-

encoded genes, making the WT bacteria less virulent; (ii) FlgM mediated downregulation of

class III flagellar genes, impairing flagella-mediated initial attachment to abiotic surfaces,

and (iii) high abundance of proteases and proteolytic molecules, hindering cell-cell adhesion,

cellular aggregate formation in the EPS matrix. We reasoned the lower abundance of redox

homeostasis proteins and ferritin-like molecules in Δ*yjiY* supernatant might facilitate

accumulation of toxic oxidative species, causing cellular stress and toxicity. In this light,

these oxidative stress relieving proteins can be exploited as potential druggable targets to

inhibit *Salmonella* biofilm initiation.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains

All *Salmonella* Typhimurium strains used in this study are listed in Table 1 with their genetic

description. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium strain 14028S was used as the wild

type strain, and was also the parental background for all the mutant strains used in this study,

i.e. Δ*yjiY*, Δ*csgD*, Δ*fliC* and Δ*fliC* Δ*fljB*. All strains were grown and maintained in Lennox

broth (LB; 0.5% NaCl, 1% casein enzyme hydrolysate and 0.5% yeast extract) at 37°C under

shaking conditions. STM GFP, STM mCherry (RFP-STM-WT) and STM *ΔyjiY:yjiY* were

cultured in Lennox broth with 50μg/ml Ampicillin at 37°C in shaking condition. *E.coli*

DH5α, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* were

grown in Lennox Broth at 37°C in shaking condition.

List of strains used in this study.

Strain name	Description	Reference
S. Typhimurium ATCC 14028S (STM_WT)	Wild type (WT)	Kind gift from Prof. M. Hensel (Division of Microbiology, University of Osnabrück, Germany)

Δ*yjiY*	Isogenic knockout strain for the gene *yjiY*; Kan[r]	Garai *et al.* (2016)
Δ*csgD*	Isogenic knockout strain for the gene *csgD*; Chl[r]	Srinandan *et al.* (2015)
Δ*fliC*	Isogenic knockout strain for the gene *fliC*; Chl[r]	Garai *et al.* (2016)
Δ*yjiY*-pQE60-*yjiY*	Isogenic complement strain for Δ*yjiY* expressing *yjiY* under the promoter of T5 present in the plasmid pQE60; Amp[r]	Garai *et al.* (2016)
Δ*fliC* Δ*fljB*	Isogenic double knockout strain for *fliC* and *fljB*; Kan[r] Chl[r]	Karmakar *et al.* (2019)
STM mCherry (RFP-STM-WT)	STM 14028s wildtype strain carrying pFPV-mCherry plasmid, Amp[r]	This study
STM GFP	*S.* Typhimurium rpsM promoter driving gfpmut3 expression through pFPV25.1 plasmid, Amp[r]	This study

Growth conditions for biofilm formation

334   LB without NaCl, i.e. 1% casein enzyme hydrolysate and 0.5% yeast extract, was used as

335   biofilm media. Overnight cultures grown in LB were subcultured in 2 ml biofilm medium at

336   the dilution of 1:100 in a flat-bottom 24-well polystyrene plate (Tarsons) and incubated at

337   28°C for 72 hours without shaking. All images of biofilm in the form of a pellicle were taken

338   with a digital camera (Olympus Stylus VH-520).

Preparation of cell free supernatant

To 2 mL of biofilm media, 1:100 dilution of overnight grown culture was added in flat-

bottom 24-well polystyrene plate (Tarsons). The plate was incubated at 28°C without shaking

for 72 hours. After 72 hours, the media from each well was collected, centrifuged twice at

10000 rpm for 15 minutes, the supernatant was collected and filtered using a 0.2µm filter and

stored at -20°C. To collect supernatant from M9 (0.5% glucose) media, the cultures were

grown at 37°C in shaking conditions. After 72 hours, the supernatant was collected as

described above.

Bacterial Biofilm Inoculation with culture supernatant

To test the effect of the 3 day culture supernatant (chemically treated and untreated) on

biofilm formation, 20 µl of STM WT overnight culture and indicated volume of the

supernatants (WT sup, $\Delta yjiY$ sup, $\Delta yjiY:yjiY$ sup and $\Delta csgD$ sup) was added to 2 mL of

biofilm media, and incubated under biofilm inducing condition. **Cell pellets** were collected

from 3 day old biofilm culture by harvesting the contents of the flat-bottom 24-well

polystyrene plates (Tarsons), centrifuging at 10000 rpm for 15 minutes. The cells were

resuspended in fresh biofilm inducing media and added at the concentration of 0.5×10^7

CFU/ml of biofilm media and 20 µl of STM WT overnight culture, and incubated under

biofilm inducing condition. For **co-culture** experiments, the overnight cultures of the strains

were added in 1:1 ratio to 2 mL of biofilm media and incubated under biofilm inducing

condition. **Cell lysates** were prepared by adding 30 µl 1x TME buffer (25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 1

mM EDTA pH 8.0, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol) and sonicating the bacterial samples (STM

WT, STM $\Delta yjiY$, and STM $\Delta yjiY:yjiY$). The samples were centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 10

minutes and 2 µl supernatant was used for inoculation along with 20 µl STM WT overnight

culture and 2 ml of biofilm media and incubated under biofilm inducing condition.

Crystal Violet Staining

To quantify the biofilm at the solid-liquid interface, crystal violet (CV) staining was carried

out as mentioned in Chandra *et al*[14]. Briefly, the protocol followed for biofilm formation was

the same as mentioned above. After 72 hours, the media in each well was discarded and the

plates were thoroughly washed with RO water to remove all planktonic cells. The plates were

then dried and 2 mL of 1% CV was added into each well. After 15 minutes, the CV was

removed, and the excessive stain was thoroughly rinsed with RO water. The stained biofilm

was destained with 70% ethanol and the intensity of color of the destained solution was

quantified at OD_{595} in Tecan plate reader (Infinite Pro 200). The absorbance was plotted in

GraphPad Prism 6 and significance values determined using Student's t-test or two-way

ANOVA.

Confocal microscopy

Sterile square coverslips (18 mm) were placed in flat-bottom 12-well polystyrene plate

(Tarsons). Cultures were inoculated for biofilm formation as mentioned previously. After 72

hours, biofilm appeared on the coverslip at the liquid–air interface, in the form of a thin line

spanning the width of the coverslip (18 mm). The coverslip was washed thoroughly with

water to remove planktonic cells and stained with Congo red (20 mg/ml in water) for 20 min

at room temperature. After washing with water, the coverslip was mounted on a slide and

imaged for biofilm distribution, with a laser scanning confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM 710)

using a 40x objective. Z stacks were taken to generate a three-dimensional image. The MFI

of the images were calculated using the ImageJ software. The MFI and thickness of the

biofilm were plotted using GraphPad Prism 6. Single layer of cells were imaged and cell

length of ~1000 cells from each coverslips was measured using ImageJ.

Glass bead assay

387 To test the strength of the pellicle at the air-liquid interface, medium sized (0.5mm to 1mm)

388 glass beads were added one by one onto the pellicle. The initial weight of the glass beads was

389 noted, and the number of glass beads added until the pellicle just collapsed was noted down

390 and plotted using GraphPad Prism 6.

Scanning electron microscopy

Biofilm was allowed to form on coverslips as mentioned in the previous section. After

thorough washing with water, the sample was fixed in 2.5% gluteraldehyde for 48 hours at

room temperature. Excess gluteraldehyde was removed by washing with water and the

sample was dehydrated by gradient washes in increasing concentrations of 30%, 50%, 75%,

85% and 95% ethanol. The coverslips were then air dried under vacuum before coating with

gold (JOEL-JFC-1100E ion sputtering device) for imaging by scanning electron microscope.

For checking flagellar morphology, 20 µl of STM WT overnight culture and 20 µl of

supernatant treated STM WT were smeared on autoclaved 18mm coverslips, air dried, and

processed using the abovementioned protocol. Flagellar structure was imaged using field

emission-SEM (FEI Sirion, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) scanning electron microscope.

Atomic Force Microscopy

403 Sterile 18 mm square coverslips were placed in flat-bottom 12-well polystyrene plates

404 (Tarsons) and biofilm inoculation was done. After 72 hours, the coverslips were removed and

405 washed with sterile MilliQ water. The coverslips were dried and AFM analysis was done

406 using XEISS AFM systems and was analyzed using XEI software.

Supernatant conditioning

The supernatant was treated with different concentrations of EDTA (2.5 mM, 5 mM, 7.5 mM and 10 mM) and incubated at 65°C for 1 hour. To 200 µl of supernatant, 10 µl of proteinase-K (NEB, stock 20 mg/ml) was added and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. The proteinase was inactivated with 0.5 mM PMSF and incubated at 28°C for 1 hour. The supernatant was heated to 37°C, 65°C or 95°C for 15 minutes and immediately frozen at -20°C. The pH of the biofilm inducing media as well as that of the supernatant were adjusted using concentrated HCl and 10N NaOH to obtain pH of 1, 4, 7.4, 9 and 11. The supernatants were also treated with 10 µl RNase (stock 1 mg/ml) for 1 hour at 37°C. Post treatment, the supernatants were used to inoculate biofilm in order to check the activity of the inhibitory molecule(s).

Preformed biofilm disruption

STM WT was allowed to form biofilm as described earlier. 72 hours post inoculation, the formed biofilms were treated with 20, 50 or 100 µl (1% (v/v), 2.5% (v/v) or 5% (v/v), respectively) supernatants and was incubated at 28°C for 72 hours and biofilm was quantified using CV staining.

Recovery of biofilm formation

STM WT was allowed to form biofilm in the presence of supernatants as described earlier. 72 hours post inoculation, the cells were harvested by centrifuging the culture at 10000 rpm for 15min. 20 µl of these treated or untreated cells were inoculated in fresh biofilm media (with and without supernatants for retreatment or recovery, respectively) and incubated at 28°C for 72 hours and biofilm was quantified using CV staining.

Supernatant concentrating and separation based on molecular weight

The supernatants from biofilm culture were harvested and filter sterilized as previously

mentioned. 4 ml of the sups were transferred to the Amicon ultra filter device (Amicon®

Ultra-4 centrifugal filter device, 3k MWCO, UFC800324) and centrifuged at 4000g for 30

min in a swing bucket rotor. The concentrated solute from the bottom of the filter device was

collected by inserting a pipette.

Quantitative RT PCR

STM WT was allowed to form biofilm in the presence or absence of supernatants as

described earlier. After 72 hours, the biofilm population as well as the planktonic cells were

harvested by thorough pipetting and centrifugation. From these cells, RNA was isolated by

the TRIzol method (Takara). cDNA was synthesized with reverse transcriptase (GCC

Biotech). Quantitative PCR was carried out using SYBR Green Q-PCR kit (Takara).

List of oligonucleotides used in this study.

Gene	RT primer sequence (5'-3')
csgD	FP: ACGATTATCCCTACCGTGAA RP: GCGGACTCGGTGCTGTTGTA
bcsA	FP: CTGCACCAGTCCGTAAAACA RP: AGTTTGTGGCGATCTTCGATT
bapA	FP: ACGGTGACTACGCCTAAAAC RP: CTTCCGCCTCAGTCACTTTT
fliC	FP: CTAAACAAACTGGGTGGCGC RP: GCACCCAGGTCAGAACGTAA

fimA	FP: TTCGGATCGCAGTCATTCAG RP: GTTGCGGCTGATCCTACTC
invF	FP: AGATCGTAAACGCTGCGAGT RP: CTGCTGCACAAACGACGAAA
phoP	FP: GATCTCTCACGCCGGGAATT RP: TGACATCGTGCGGATACTGG
sodA	FP: CCTGCCGGTTGAAGAACTGA RP: GGTTGCTGCTGCTTTTTCGA
ssaV	FP: TATTGATAGGCGCGGACGCTA RP: CGCCTTATGGGCCATGTCTTT
mgtC	FP: GGACCGAACCTAACCCTTG RP: AACTCCCAATATCCGCTGAG
16s rRNA	FP: GTGAGGTAACGGCTCACCAA RP: TAACCGCAACACCTTCCTCC

In vitro motility assay

2 µl of bacterial samples (treated or untreated) were spotted onto the 0.3% agar plates

supplemented with 0.5% yeast extract, 1% casein enzyme hydrolysate, 0.5% NaCl and 0.5%

glucose (swim agar plates) or 0.5% agar plates supplemented with 0.5% yeast extract, 1%

casein enzyme hydrolysate, 0.5% NaCl and 0.5% glucose (swarm agar plates). The plates

were incubated at 37˚C and images were taken every 2 hours using a digital camera

(Olympus). The diameters of the motility halos were measured using ImageJ. At least five

replicate plates were used for each condition, and statistical significance was calculated using

Student's *t*-test.

Transmission Electron microscope

Flagella and fimbriae were visualised using the protocol described in Garai et al 2016[9].

Briefly, overnight STM WT were inoculated with or without supernatants in biofilm media

and kept under biofilm inducing condition for 72 hours. After 72 hours, both biofilm and

planktonic cells were collected and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde overnight at 4°C.

Similarly fixed overnight cultures of STM WT and STM Δ*fliC* Δ*fljB* were used as positive

and negative control, respectively. 2 µl of the cell suspension was added on copper grid, air

dried, stained with 1% uranyl acetate for 30 sec, and visualised under transmission electron

microscope.

***In vitro* intracellular survival assay**

Int407 (ATCC® CCL-6™) were seeded at a density of 2×10^5 cells per well in flat-bottom 24-

well polystyrene plates (Tarsons). 72 hours old supernatant treated or untreated biofilm

cultures were sub-cultured, grown to mid-log phase and used for infection of Int407 at MOI

10. The plates were incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2 for 20 min to allow infection of the cells.

The cells were incubated for an hour in 100µg/ml Gentamicin. The cells were then washed,

and grown in DMEM containing 25µg/ml Gentamicin. After 1h, 2h and 16h, the cells were

lysed with 0.1% Triton-X100 and bacterial load was estimated by plating on SS- agar plates.

***In vivo* virulence in *C. elegans* N2 worms**

STM-RFP bacteria were treated with the supernatants, and kept for biofilm formation for 72

hours. After that, STM-RFP cells were harvested as mentioned before, and 10^7 CFU were

seeded on NGM plates, incubated for 12 hours at 37 °C. *E. coli* OP50 fed *Caenorhabditis*

elegans N2 young adults were harvested in M9 buffer, counted and approximately 150

worms were fed with supernatant treated or untreated 10^7CFU of STM WT RFP bacteria for

12 hours. The worms were then harvested in 1ml M9 buffer, washed 6-8 times to remove

extracellular *Salmonella*. 10 worms from each sample were transferred to sterile M9 buffer

containing 0.5mm sterile glass beads. The worms were lysed, and the gut content of the

worms was plated on SS-agar plates. ~20 worms were taken for laser scanning confocal

microscopy analysis using Zeiss LSM 880 with Multiphoton mode. ~50 worms were

transferred to *E. coli* OP50 plate and fed for another 12 hours. After 12 hours, the worms

were sampled in a similar manner for CFU analysis and imaging.

SDS-PAGE and colloidal Coomassie Blue staining

The proteins in the supernatants were quantified by Bradford's assay and equal quantity of

the STM WT and STM Δ*yjiY* supernatants were loaded onto the SDS-PAGE gel (5%

stacking, 10% resolving). The gel was then stained with colloidal Coomassie Brilliant Blue

R-250 for 3 days and then washed with water to remove excessive stain and visualize the

band.

LC QTOF MS/MS analysis of the supernatants

Total protein from the 10-fold concentrated supernatants was subjected to in-solution trypsin

digestion for LC Q-TOF MS analysis. Briefly, 200 mg of total protein was resuspended in

200 µl of 50 mM Ammonium bicarbonate. To this, 10 mM DTT was added, and incubated at

56˚C for 30 min, followed by alkylation with 20 mM iodoacetamide and incubation at 37˚C

for 30 min in dark. For protein digestion, trypsin solution was added to the sample to a final

protease to protein ratio of 1:50 (w/w) and incubated at 37˚C for 16 hours, with frequent

shaking. The reaction was stopped by adding 10 µl of 0.1% formic acid, and samples were

stored at -20˚C until loaded on the Agilent AdvanceBio Peptide Map column (2.1 ×150 mm,

2.7μ). 20 μl of the digested samples were injected to the column, and analysed at a flow rate

of 0.4 mL/min using 0.1% formic acid in water as mobile phase A and 0.1% formic acid in

acetonitrile as mobile phase B. All the samples were analyzed using Agilent 1290 Infinity II

LC System coupled with Agilent AdvanceBio Q-TOF (6545XT). The MS and MS/MS scan

were acquired in the positive mode and stored in centroid mode. The MS data acquisition

parameters were as follows- Vcap was set at 3500V, drying gas flow rate and temperature

was set at 13 mL/min and 325 °C, respectively. A collision energy with a slope of 3.6 V/100

Da and an offset of 4.8 V was used for fragmentation. The Precursor ion data were captured

in a mass range of m/z 200– 2700 and fragment ions data were acquired between m/z 50–

2800. The raw data were analysed using MaxQuant software (v1.6.2.10) and processed

through MS excel sheet. The database analysis was performed against *Salmonella*

Typhimurium proteome in UniProt (Proteome ID: UP000002695). Following search

parameters were used for the database analysis: Precursor mass tolerance: 10ppm, fragment

mass tolerance: 40ppm, fixed modifications: carbamidomethyl (C), variable modification:

oxidation.

Statistical analysis

512 Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism software. Student's t-test and one-

513 way or two-way ANOVA tests were performed as indicated. The results are expressed as

514 mean ± SD or mean ± SEM. Group sizes, experiment number, and p values for each

515 experiment are described in figure legends.

Acknowledgements

We thank the electron microscopy facility of IISc, AFMM facility of IISc, Atomic force

microscopy facility of BSSE, IISc and confocal microscopy facility and real-time facility of

Dept. of Microbiology and Cell Biology, IISc. We appreciate Mr. Priyabrata from TH lab in

MRDG, IISc, for the helping with the TEM sample preparation. We are very grateful to Dr.

Kapudeep Karmakar and Ms. Meghanashree for their valuable inputs.

Funding

This work was supported by the DAE SRC fellowship (DAE00195) and DBT-IISc

partnership umbrella program for advanced research in BioSystems Science and Engineering

to DC. Infrastructure support from ICMR (Centre for Advanced Study in Molecular

Medicine), DST (FIST), and UGC (special assistance) is acknowledged.

Author Contribution

KC and DC conceived the study and designed experiments. KC and PM performed

experiments. SK performed and UST provided valuable inputs for the LC QTOF MS/MS

experiment. KC analyzed the data, prepared the figures and wrote the manuscript. DC

supervised the work. All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1.   Bakhshi B, Dehghan-Mouriaabadi A, Kiani P. Heterogeneity of Multidrug-Resistant

Salmonella enterica Isolates with Increasing Frequency of Resistance to Ciprofloxacin

During a 4-Year Period in Iran. *Microbial drug resistance* 2018, **24**(4)**:** 479-488.

2.   Michael GB, Schwarz S. Antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic nontyphoidal

Salmonella: an alarming trend? *Clinical microbiology and infection : the official*

publication of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases

2016, **22**(12)**:** 968-974.

3.   WHO. Salmonella (non -typhoidal) Fact sheet.   2018   [cited]Available from:

https://www.who.int/en/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/salmonella-(non-typhoidal)

4.   Krzysciak P, Chmielarczyk A, Pobiega M, Romaniszyn D, Wojkowska-Mach J.

Acinetobacter baumannii isolated from hospital-acquired infection: biofilm

production and drug susceptibility. *APMIS : acta pathologica, microbiologica, et*

immunologica Scandinavica 2017, **125**(11)**:** 1017-1026.

5.   Di Lodovico S, Cataldi V, Di Campli E, Ancarani E, Cellini L, Di Giulio M.

Enterococcus hirae biofilm formation on hospital material surfaces and effect of new

biocides. *Environmental health and preventive medicine* 2017, **22**(1)**:** 63.

6.   Di Domenico EG, Cavallo I, Pontone M, Toma L, Ensoli F. Biofilm Producing

Salmonella Typhi: Chronic Colonization and Development of Gallbladder Cancer.

International journal of molecular sciences 2017, **18**(9).

7.   Prouty AM, Schwesinger WH, Gunn JS. Biofilm formation and interaction with the

surfaces of gallstones by Salmonella spp. *Infection and immunity* 2002, **70**(5)**:** 2640-

2649.

8.   Grantcharova N, Peters V, Monteiro C, Zakikhany K, Romling U. Bistable expression

of CsgD in biofilm development of Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium. *Journal*

of bacteriology 2010, **192**(2)**:** 456-466.

9.   Garai P, Lahiri A, Ghosh D, Chatterjee J, Chakravortty D. Peptide utilizing carbon

starvation gene yjiY is required for flagella mediated infection caused by Salmonella.

Microbiology 2016, **162**(1)**:** 100-116.

10. Khil PP, Camerini-Otero RD. Over 1000 genes are involved in the DNA damage

response of Escherichia coli. *Molecular microbiology* 2002, **44**(1)**:** 89-105.

11.   Soufi B, Krug K, Harst A, Macek B. Characterization of the E. coli proteome and its

566   modifications during growth and ethanol stress. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2015, **6:**

567   103.

568   12.   Goswami M, Narayana Rao A. Transcriptome Profiling Reveals Interplay of

569   Multifaceted Stress Response in Escherichia coli on Exposure to Glutathione and

570   Ciprofloxacin. *mSystems* 2018, **3**(1).

571   13.   Garai P, Chandra K, Chakravortty D. Bacterial peptide transporters: Messengers of

572   nutrition to virulence. *Virulence* 2017, **8**(3)**:** 297-309.

573   14.   Chandra K, Garai P, Chatterjee J, Chakravortty D. Peptide transporter YjiY influences

574   the expression of the virulence gene mgtC to regulate biofilm formation in

575   Salmonella. *FEMS microbiology letters* 2017, **364**(24).

576   15.   Iwase T, Uehara Y, Shinji H, Tajima A, Seo H, Takada K*, et al.* Staphylococcus

577   epidermidis Esp inhibits Staphylococcus aureus biofilm formation and nasal

578   colonization. *Nature* 2010, **465**(7296)**:** 346-349.

579   16.   Fang K, Jin X, Hong SH. Probiotic Escherichia coli inhibits biofilm formation of

580   pathogenic E. coli via extracellular activity of DegP. *Scientific reports* 2018, **8**(1)**:**

581   4939.

582   17.   Hong SH, Hegde M, Kim J, Wang X, Jayaraman A, Wood TK. Synthetic quorum-

583   sensing circuit to control consortial biofilm formation and dispersal in a microfluidic

584   device. *Nature communications* 2012, **3:** 613.

585   18.   Lee J, Jayaraman A, Wood TK. Indole is an inter-species biofilm signal mediated by

586   SdiA. *BMC microbiology* 2007, **7:** 42.

587   19.   Jayaraman A, Hallock PJ, Carson RM, Lee CC, Mansfeld FB, Wood TK. Inhibiting

588   sulfate-reducing bacteria in biofilms on steel with antimicrobial peptides generated in

589   situ. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology* 1999, **52**(2)**:** 267-275.

590   20.   Mowat E, Rajendran R, Williams C, McCulloch E, Jones B, Lang S*, et al.*

591   Pseudomonas aeruginosa and their small diffusible extracellular molecules inhibit

592   Aspergillus fumigatus biofilm formation. *FEMS microbiology letters* 2010, **313**(2)**:**

593   96-102.

594   21.   Gnanadhas DP, Elango M, Janardhanraj S, Srinandan CS, Datey A, Strugnell RA*, et*

595   *al.* Successful treatment of biofilm infections using shock waves combined with

596   antibiotic therapy. *Scientific reports* 2015, **5:** 17440.

597   22.   Datey A, Thaha CSA, Patil SR, Gopalan J, Chakravortty D. Shockwave Therapy

598   Efficiently Cures Multispecies Chronic Periodontitis in a Humanized Rat Model.

599   *Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology* 2019, **7:** 382.

600   23.   Steenackers H, Hermans K, Vanderleyden J, Sigrid CJ, Keersmaecker D. Salmonella

601   biofilms: An overview on occurrence, structure, regulation and eradication. *Food*

602   *research international* 2012, **45**(2)**:** 502-531.

603   24.   Yuksel FN, Buzrul S, Akcelik M, Akcelik N. Inhibition and eradication of Salmonella

604   Typhimurium biofilm using P22 bacteriophage, EDTA and nisin. *Biofouling* 2018,

605   **34**(9)**:** 1046-1054.

606   25.   Todorov SD, de Paula OAL, Camargo AC, Lopes DA, Nero LA. Combined effect of

607   bacteriocin produced by Lactobacillus plantarum ST8SH and vancomycin, propolis or

608   EDTA for controlling biofilm development by Listeria monocytogenes. *Revista*

609   *Argentina de microbiologia* 2018, **50**(1)**:** 48-55.

610   26.   Gockerman A, Clemmons DR. Porcine aortic smooth muscle cells secrete a serine

611   protease for insulin-like growth factor binding protein-2. *Circulation research* 1995,

612   **76**(4)**:** 514-521.

613   27.   Bahgat M, Doenhoff M, Kirschfink M, Ruppel A. Serine protease and phenoloxidase

614   activities in hemocytes of Biomphalaria glabrata snails with varying susceptibility to

615   infection with the parasite Schistosoma mansoni. *Parasitology research* 2002, **88**(6)**:**

616   489-494.

617   28.   Papenfort K, Forstner KU, Cong JP, Sharma CM, Bassler BL. Differential RNA-seq

618   of Vibrio cholerae identifies the VqmR small RNA as a regulator of biofilm

619   formation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*

620   *America* 2015, **112**(7)**:** E766-775.

621   29.   Janssen KH, Diaz MR, Gode CJ, Wolfgang MC, Yahr TL. RsmV, a Small Noncoding

622   Regulatory RNA in Pseudomonas aeruginosa That Sequesters RsmA and RsmF from

623   Target mRNAs. *Journal of bacteriology* 2018, **200**(16).

624   30.   Coughlan LM, Cotter PD, Hill C, Alvarez-Ordonez A. New Weapons to Fight Old

625   Enemies: Novel Strategies for the (Bio)control of Bacterial Biofilms in the Food

626   Industry. *Frontiers in microbiology* 2016, **7:** 1641.

627   31.   O'Toole GA, Kolter R. Flagellar and twitching motility are necessary for

628   Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm development. *Molecular microbiology* 1998, **30**(2)**:**

629   295-304.

630   32.   Ammendola S, D'Amico Y, Chirullo B, Drumo R, Ciavardelli D, Pasquali P, *et al.*

631   Zinc is required to ensure the expression of flagella and the ability to form biofilms in

632   Salmonella enterica sv Typhimurium. *Metallomics : integrated biometal science*

633   2016, **8**(10)**:** 1131-1140.

33.   Haraga A, Ohlson MB, Miller SI. Salmonellae interplay with host cells. *Nature*

reviews Microbiology 2008, **6**(1)**:** 53-66.

34.   Lou L, Zhang P, Piao R, Wang Y. Salmonella Pathogenicity Island 1 (SPI-1) and Its

Complex Regulatory Network. *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology* 2019,

9: 270.

35.   Fux CA, Costerton JW, Stewart PS, Stoodley P. Survival strategies of infectious

biofilms. *Trends in microbiology* 2005, **13**(1)**:** 34-40.

36.   Fabrega A, Vila J. Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium skills to succeed in the

host: virulence and regulation. *Clinical microbiology reviews* 2013, **26**(2)**:** 308-341.

37.   Chen H, Fang Q, Tu Q, Liu C, Yin J, Yin Y*, et al.* Identification of a contact-

dependent growth inhibition system in the probiotic Escherichia coli Nissle 1917.

FEMS microbiology letters 2018, **365**(11).

38.   Simm R, Ahmad I, Rhen M, Le Guyon S, Romling U. Regulation of biofilm

formation in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. *Future microbiology* 2014,

9(11)**:** 1261-1282.

39.   Desai SK, Padmanabhan A, Harshe S, Zaidel-Bar R, Kenney LJ. Salmonella biofilms

program innate immunity for persistence in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proceedings of*

the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 2019, **116**(25)**:**

12462-12467.

40.   Ochman H, Groisman EA. The origin and evolution of species differences in

Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium. *Exs* 1994, **69:** 479-493.

41.   Chattopadhyay S, Paul S, Kisiela DI, Linardopoulou EV, Sokurenko EV. Convergent

656   molecular evolution of genomic cores in Salmonella enterica and Escherichia coli.

657   *Journal of bacteriology* 2012, **194**(18)**:** 5002-5011.

658   42.   Meysman P, Sanchez-Rodriguez A, Fu Q, Marchal K, Engelen K. Expression

659   divergence between Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium

660   reflects their lifestyles. *Molecular biology and evolution* 2013, **30**(6)**:** 1302-1314.

661   43.   Subramaniam S, Muller VS, Hering NA, Mollenkopf H, Becker D, Heroven AK*, et*

662   *al.* Contribution of the Cpx envelope stress system to metabolism and virulence

663   regulation in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. *PloS one* 2019, **14**(2)**:**

664   e0211584.

665   44.   Saito T, Ueno T, Kubori T, Yamaguchi S, Iino T, Aizawa SI. Flagellar filament

666   elongation can be impaired by mutations in the hook protein FlgE of Salmonella

667   typhimurium: a possible role of the hook as a passage for the anti-sigma factor FlgM.

668   *Molecular microbiology* 1998, **27**(6)**:** 1129-1139.

669   45.   Chadsey MS, Karlinsey JE, Hughes KT. The flagellar anti-sigma factor FlgM actively

670   dissociates Salmonella typhimurium sigma28 RNA polymerase holoenzyme. *Genes &*

671   *development* 1998, **12**(19)**:** 3123-3136.

672   46.   Liang H, Li L, Dong Z, Surette MG, Duan K. The YebC family protein PA0964

673   negatively regulates the Pseudomonas aeruginosa quinolone signal system and

674   pyocyanin production. *Journal of bacteriology* 2008, **190**(18)**:** 6217-6227.

675   47.   Bossi L, Ratel M, Laurent C, Kerboriou P, Camilli A, Eveno E*, et al.* NusG prevents

676   transcriptional invasion of H-NS-silenced genes. *PLoS genetics* 2019, **15**(10)**:**

677   e1008425.

48.   Dorman CJ. H-NS, the genome sentinel. *Nature reviews Microbiology* 2007, **5**(2)**:**

157-161.

49.   Free A, Dorman CJ. Coupling of Escherichia coli hns mRNA levels to DNA synthesis

by autoregulation: implications for growth phase control. *Molecular microbiology*

1995, **18**(1)**:** 101-113.

50.   Kobayashi H, Miyamoto T, Hashimoto Y, Kiriki M, Motomatsu A, Honjoh K*, et al.*

Identification of factors involved in recovery of heat-injured Salmonella Enteritidis.

Journal of food protection 2005, **68**(5)**:** 932-941.

51.   Gur E, Biran D, Shechter N, Genevaux P, Georgopoulos C, Ron EZ. The Escherichia

coli DjlA and CbpA proteins can substitute for DnaJ in DnaK-mediated protein

disaggregation. *Journal of bacteriology* 2004, **186**(21)**:** 7236-7242.

52.   Doyle SM, Shastry S, Kravats AN, Shih YH, Miot M, Hoskins JR*, et al.* Interplay

between E. coli DnaK, ClpB and GrpE during protein disaggregation. *Journal of*

molecular biology 2015, **427**(2)**:** 312-327.

53.   del Solar GH, de al Campa AG, Perez-Martin J, Choli T, Espinosa M. Purification

and characterization of RepA, a protein involved in the copy number control of

plasmid pLS1. *Nucleic acids research* 1989, **17**(7)**:** 2405-2420.

54.   Kim YH, Lee Y, Kim S, Yeom J, Yeom S, Seok Kim B*, et al.* The role of periplasmic

antioxidant enzymes (superoxide dismutase and thiol peroxidase) of the Shiga toxin-

producing Escherichia coli O157:H7 in the formation of biofilms. *Proteomics* 2006,

6(23)**:** 6181-6193.

55.   La Carbona S, Sauvageot N, Giard JC, Benachour A, Posteraro B, Auffray Y*, et al.*

Comparative study of the physiological roles of three peroxidases (NADH peroxidase,

Alkyl hydroperoxide reductase and Thiol peroxidase) in oxidative stress response,

survival inside macrophages and virulence of Enterococcus faecalis. *Molecular*

microbiology 2007, **66**(5)**:** 1148-1163.

56.   Wu Y, Outten FW. IscR controls iron-dependent biofilm formation in Escherichia coli

705   by regulating type I fimbria expression. *Journal of bacteriology* 2009, **191**(4)**:** 1248-

706   1257.

707   57.   Kang D, Kirienko NV. Interdependence between iron acquisition and biofilm

708   formation in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Journal of microbiology* 2018, **56**(7)**:** 449-457.

709   58.   Masse E, Gottesman S. A small RNA regulates the expression of genes involved in

710   iron metabolism in Escherichia coli. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

711   *of the United States of America* 2002, **99**(7)**:** 4620-4625.

712   59.   Velayudhan J, Castor M, Richardson A, Main-Hester KL, Fang FC. The role of

713   ferritins in the physiology of Salmonella enterica sv. Typhimurium: a unique role for

714   ferritin B in iron-sulphur cluster repair and virulence. *Molecular microbiology* 2007,

715   **63**(5)**:** 1495-1507.

Figure Legends

Fig 1. *Salmonella* biofilm deficient Δ*yjiY* inhibits biofilm formation by WT strain

A. Biofilm formation ability of the WT strain after 72 hours of inoculation in presence or

absence of WT, Δ*yjiY,* Δ*yjiY:yjiY* and Δ*csgD* culture supernatant was checked. Crystal violet

staining was carried out to check the biofilm formed on the solid-liquid interphase (Data are

presented as Mean ± SEM of 12 independent experiments). B. Similarly biofilm formation

assay was carried out in presence or absence of overnight grown different bacterial strains

(co-culture), washed bacterial pellet (cell pellet), cell free supernatant (culture supernatant)

and cell lysates (Data are presented as Mean ± SEM of 4 independent experiments). C.

Different concentration of the cell free supernatant was used to check the minimum biofilm

inhibitory concentration (MBIC) of Δ*yjiY* supernatant (Data are presented as Mean ± SEM of

4 independent experiments). D. Biofilm inhibitory property of the Δ*yjiY* supernatant was

checked with supernatant isolated from bacteria grown in shaking condition in LB media and

minimal M9 media (Data are presented as Mean ± SEM of 4 independent experiments).

Student's t-test was used to analyze the data; p values ****<0.0001, ***<0.001, **<0.01,

*<0.05.

Fig 2. *Salmonella ΔyjiY* cell free supernatant significantly reduces the biofilm biomass

by reducing cell-cell adhesion

A. Representative images of biofilm formed on coverslips that were stained with Congo red

and imaged using a confocal microscope to generate 3D images and quantify cellulose

biomass. Scale is shown on the X- and Y-axes. B. The tensile strength of the biofilm was

measured by glass bead assay. Weight of glass beads required to just sink the biofilm to

bottom, was plotted (Data are presented as Mean ± SEM of 5 independent experiments). C.

Representative scanning electron micrograph of biofilm formed on a coverslip. Scale bar is

10 µm. D. Cell length of the biofilm inoculated treated or untreated STM WT cells was

measured using ImageJ, and plotted (Data are presented as Mean ± SEM of 1200 cells were

measured from 3 independent experiments). E. Representative confocal images of biofilm

cells showing a difference in cell length. Scale bar is 5 µm (1000-1200 cells were measured

from 3 independent experiments for each treatment). Student's t-test was used to analyze the

data; p values ****<0.0001, ***<0.001, **<0.01, *<0.05.

Fig 3. The physicochemical property of the inhibitory molecules is proteinaceous

A. The cell-free supernatants were treated with different concentrations of EDTA and

checked for their ability to inhibit biofilm formation by STM WT (Data are presented as

Mean $\pm$ SEM of 3 independent experiments). B. The supernatants were treated with

proteinase K, and heated to 37 ˚C for 1 hour, and checked for the biofilm inhibitory activity.

PMSF was used to inactivate proteinase K after 1 hour, and was also used as a control (Data

are presented as Mean $\pm$ SEM of 3 independent experiments). C. The supernatants were

heated to 65 ˚C and 95 ˚C to check the thermostability of the active component(s), followed

by biofilm inoculation with the treated or untreated sups (Data are presented as Mean $\pm$ SEM

of 3 independent experiments). D. The pH sensitivity of biofilm inhibitory action of the

supernatants were checked. The pH of the supernatants as well as the biofilm media was

made acidic (pH 1.0, 4.0) or alkaline (pH 9.0, 11.0) with concentrated HCl or NaOH,

respectively, and checked for the activity of the active molecule(s) (Data are presented as

Mean $\pm$ SEM of 3 independent experiments). Two-way ANOVA and Student's t-test were

used to analyze the data; p values ****<0.0001, ***<0.001, **<0.01, *<0.05.

Fig 4. The supernatant interrupts biofilm formation by transcriptional switching during

initiation of micro-colony formation

A. Varied concentrations of the STM $\Delta yjiY$ supernatant were used to pre-formed mature

biofilm of STM WT and the ability of the supernatant to disintegrate the biofilm was checked

(Data are presented as Mean $\pm$ SEM of 5 independent experiments). B. The extent of

inhibitory effect of the supernatants were checked by recovery and repeated exposure of the

WT strain to the supernatant (Data are presented as Mean $\pm$ SEM of 3 independent

experiments). C. RNA was isolated from untreated STM WT cells or WT cells treated with the indicated supernatants for 72 hours. RTPCR was done for indicated genes, and C_T values were first normalized to 16s rRNA gene and then normalized to that of untreated WT cells. Y axis values above and below 1 denotes upregulation and downregulation, respectively (Data are presented as Mean $\pm$ SEM of 3 independent experiments). D. *In vitro* motility of the treated and untreated STM WT cells were checked on 0.3% swim agar plates or 0.5% swarm agar plates. The radius of the motile zone was imaged after different time, measured using ImageJ and plotted (Data are presented as Mean $\pm$ SEM of 4 independent experiments). E. The flagellar status of the treated or untreated cells (approximately 50 cells in each samples, in two different experiments) was imaged using scanning electron microscope. White arrows show the flagella. Scale bar is 500 nm. Two-way ANOVA and Student's t-test were used to analyze the data; p values ****<0.0001, ***<0.001, **<0.01, *<0.05.

Fig 5. STM Δ*yjiY* supernatant treatment impairs virulence of STM WT

A. *In vitro* invasiveness of the supernatant treated WT bacteria was checked by infecting adherent human epithelial Int407 cell line and percent invasion was plotted. Log phase WT culture was used as a control (Data are presented as Mean $\pm$ SEM of 3 independent experiments). B. Survival of intracellular *Salmonella* in Int407 cells was checked by gentamicin protection assay. The intracellular bacterial fold proliferation was measured as the ratio of intracellular bacterial CFU after 16 hours to the CFU at 2 hours (Data are presented as Mean $\pm$ SEM of 3 independent experiments). C. Representative images of 3 days supernatant treated bacterial colonization in young adult *C. elegans* N2 worms. Bacterial colonization in the gut lumen was checked after 12 hours of continuous STM WT feeding and/or 12 hours STM WT feeding, followed by 12 hours *E. coli* OP50 feeding (approximately total 25 worms were imaged in 2 independent experiments). Scale bar is 20 μm. D. Bacterial CFU from infected *C. elegans* was determined by lysing 10 worms (in

triplicates) in M9 buffer followed by dilution plating (Data are presented as Mean $\pm$ SEM of

2 independent experiments). Student's t-test was used to analyze the data; p value *<0.05. E.

Biofilm inhibitory effect of STM $\Delta yjiY$ supernatant on few other enterobacteriaceae

pathogens was checked by inoculation the strains with 1% v/v supernatants (Ec- *Escherichia*

coli DH5α, Pa01- *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain PA01, Kpn- *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, Sa-

Staphylococcus aureus, Data are presented as Mean $\pm$ SEM of 3 independent experiments).

Two-way ANOVA and Student's t-test were used to analyze the data; p values ****<0.0001,

***<0.001, **<0.01, *<0.05.

Fig 6. Global transcriptional regulator H-NS along with NusG causes major

transcriptional regulation leading to biofilm inhibition and motility inhibition

A. SDS PAGE of the STM WT and STM $\Delta yjiY$ supernatant showing presence of multiple

differential bands in the supernatants. B. Venn diagram showing proteins present in the

supernatants detected in LC QTOF MS/MS analysis, blue and red circles represent number of

proteins found in STM WT and $\Delta yjiY$ culture supernatants, respectively. C. Protein

interaction analysis of DNA binding protein H-NS (DD95_04030) by STRING. D. Protein

interaction analysis of all the proteins identified differentially or uniquely in $\Delta yjiY$

supernatant shows many of these proteins interact with known virulence factors of

Salmonella. E. Hypothetical model of the biofilm inhibition by $\Delta yjiY$ supernatant. The model

depicts that transcription factors, proteases, QS-quenching molecules are secreted actively or

released during cell lysis in $\Delta yjiY$ supernatant, which upon entering into the WT cells by

either active transport or membrane diffusion, regulates biofilm formation and motility.

Proteases present in the $\Delta yjiY$ culture supernatant inhibits biofilm formation by cleaving the

protein aggregates required for cell-cell adhesion and attachment to abiotic surfaces.

Figure 1: *Salmonella* biofilm deficient Δ*yjiY* inhibits biofilm formation by WT strain

Figure 2: *Salmonella ΔyjiY* cell free supernatant significantly reduces the biofilm biomass by reducing cell-cell adhesion

Figure 3: The physicochemical property of the inhibitory molecules is proteinaceous

Figure 4: The supernatant interrupts biofilm formation by transcriptional switching during initiation of micro-colony formation

Figure 5: STM Δ*yjiY* supernatant treatment impairs virulence of STM WT

Figure 6: Global transcriptional regulator H-NS along with NusG causes major transcriptional regulation leading to biofilm inhibition and motility inhibition