Long-read-sequenced reference genomes of the seven major lineages

of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) circulating in modern time

Astrid von Mentzer[1,2*#], Grace A. Blackwell[2,3], Derek Pickard[4], Christine J.

Boinett[2], Enrique Joffré[5], Andrew J Page[2,6], Ann-Mari Svennerholm[1], Gordon

Dougan[4] and Åsa Sjöling[5]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of

Gothenburg, Sweden

[2]Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK

[3]EMBL-EBI, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK

[4] University of Cambridge, Department of Medicine, Cambridge, UK

[5] Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Karolinska Institutet

[6]Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK

*corresponding author: avm@sanger.ac.uk or mentzerv@chalmers.se

[#]Currently affiliated with Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden

Abstract

Background: Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) is an enteric pathogen

responsible for the majority of diarrheal cases worldwide. ETEC infections are

estimated to cause 80,000 fatalities per year, with the highest rates of burden, ca 75

million cases per year, amongst children under five years of age in resource-poor

countries. It is also the leading cause of diarrhoea in travellers. Previous large-scale

sequencing studies have found seven major ETEC lineages currently in circulation

worldwide.

Results: We used PacBio long-read sequencing combined with Illumina sequencing to create high-quality complete reference genomes for each of the major lineages with manually curated chromosomes and plasmids. The plasmids carrying ETEC virulence genes were compared to other available long-read sequenced ETEC strains using blastn. The ETEC reference strains harbour between two and five plasmids, including virulence, antibiotic resistance and phage-plasmids. The virulence plasmids carrying the colonisation factors are highly conserved as shown by comparison with plasmids with other ETEC strains and confirm that the plasmids and chromosomes of ETEC are both crucial for ETEC virulence and success as pathogens.

Conclusion: We confirm that the major ETEC lineages all harbour conserved plasmids that have been associated with their respective background genomes for decades. The in-depth analysis of gene content, synteny and correct annotations of plasmids will elucidate other plasmids with and without virulence factors in related bacterial species. These reference genomes allow for fast and accurate comparison between different ETEC strains, and these data will form the foundation of ETEC genomics research for years to come.

Keywords: Reference genome, plasmid, enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*, ETEC, diarrhoea

Background

Diarrheal pathogens are a leading cause of morbidity and mortality globally (WHO, 2017), with enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) accounting for a large proportion

of the diarrhoea cases in resource-poor countries [1]. An estimation of 220 million cases

each year are attributed to ETEC (WHO PPC 2020). The most vulnerable group is

children under five years, but ETEC can also cause disease in adults and is the principal

cause of diarrhoea in travellers. Resource-poor settings, where access to clean water is

limited, enable the spread of ETEC, transmitted via the faecal-oral route through

ingestion of contaminated food or water [2]. The disease severity may range from mild

to cholera-like symptoms with profuse watery diarrhoea. The infection is usually self-

limiting, lasting three to four days and may be treated by water and electrolyte

rehydration to balance the loss of fluids and ions. There is strong evidence to support

that an ETEC vaccine is of key importance to prevent children and adults from

developing ETEC disease [3]. Several efforts are on-going to develop an ETEC

vaccine, with the majority focusing on including immunogenic antigens possibly

capable of inducing protection against a majority of the circulating ETEC clones [4–6].

ETEC bacteria adhere to the small intestine through fimbrial, fibrillar or afimbrial outer

membrane-structures called colonisation factors (CF). Upon colonisation, the bacteria

proliferate and secrete heat-labile toxin (LT) and/or heat-stable toxins, (STh or STp)

causing diarrhoea and often vomiting causing the further spread of the bacteria in the

environment [7].

The ability of an ETEC strain to infect relies on its ability to adhere to cells of a specific

host. To this day, 27 different CFs with human tropism have been described, and

individual ETEC strains usually express 1-3 different CFs [8–14]. The enterotoxins, LT

and ST, can also be subdivided based on structure and function. Human-associated

ETEC strains express one of the 28 different LT-I variants (LTh-1 and LTh-2 are the

most common variants) [15] alone or together with one of the genetically distinct types

of STa; STh and STp [16,17].

We have previously shown that ETEC strains causing human disease can be grouped

into a set of clonal lineages that encompass strains with specific virulence profiles.

Seven of the 21 identified lineages encompass ETEC strains that express the most

commonly found CFs and toxin profiles amongst isolated clinical ETEC strains [4,18].

There is currently one complete ETEC reference genome, H10407 [19], with curated

annotations. Several additional complete ETEC genomes are available [20,21], some

of which are annotated using automated annotation pipelines that often fail at correctly

annotating ETEC specific genes such as CFs. The rapid adaptation of next-generation

sequencing in public health, specifically within bacterial diseases [22,23] and several

large-scale sequencing studies [18,24–28] has led to a sharp increase in the number of

publicly available ETEC genomes. Most of these data were generated with short-read

technologies, such as Illumina. A limitation of short-read sequence data is the inability

to unambiguously resolve repetitive regions of a genome, leading to fragmented de

novo assemblies of the underlying genome, missing regions and genes, and disjointed

synteny. ETEC is a highly diverse pathogen both in the core genome (SNPs) and the

accessory genome, including mobile genetic elements (MGE). Clinically related

MGEs, such as virulence plasmids, vary within ETEC strains. Hence, it is important to

identify lineage-specific reference genomes that are carefully annotated, i.e. manually

curated annotations, and include both chromosome and plasmid(s). Several complete

genomes have been generated using long-read sequencing alone [20,28], however,

circularising some chromosomes and plasmids may be difficult, and small plasmids can

be lost. Assembly issues can be resolved using a hybrid assembly approach combining

long-read and short-read sequencing data. In this report, we describe eight genomes,

eight chromosomes (seven successfully circularised) and 29 plasmids (24 successfully

circularised) with curated annotations, from isolates representing the major ETEC

lineages (L1-L7) that cause disease globally. They are sequenced using both short and

long-read sequencing technologies to provide the highest accuracy currently available.

These reference genomes will form the foundation of ETEC genomics research for

years to come.

Results

Genome analysis of eight representative ETEC isolates

Eight ETEC strains representing the seven major ETEC lineages (L1-L7) comprising

isolates with the most prevalent virulence factor profiles were sequenced, assembled,

circularised and manually curated (Table 1).

L3 includes two different representative strains, one CS7 and one CFA/I positive strain.

All chromosomes except one were circularised (E1779). The average length of the

chromosome was 4,927,521 bases (4,721,269-5,151,162) with an average GC content

of 50.7% (50.4% to 50.9%) and the number of CDS ranging from 4,409 to 4,924 (Table

S1). Each ETEC reference genome contains between two and five plasmids

encompassing plasmid-specific features. Some of which carried virulence genes and/or

antibiotic resistance genes (Table 2, Additional File 2).

Comparative genomics of the chromosome

The chromosomes of the reference strains were aligned and compared using MAUVE,

and the overall structure is conserved across all eight chromosomes (Figure S1). In

total, 8,348 chromosomal genes were identified in the eight ETEC strains with 3,179

genes considered part of the core genome shared by all eight reference strains. The

majority of human commensal *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) strains belong to subgroup A

[29,30]. However, ETEC strains fall into multiple phylogenetic groups (A, B1, B2, D,

E, F and CladeI with the majority found in the phylogenetic groups A and B1 [18]. The

phylogenetic group of the eight ETEC reference strains have previously been

determined using the triplex-PCR scheme [31]. The ETEC references were re-analysed

using ClermonTyping [32] and it was determined that strain E1373 belongs to the

phylogenetic group E while the other reference isolates belong to groups A and B1

(Table 1).

Plasmids

The plasmids of each isolate were annotated using Prokka followed by manual curation

of the annotations including genes part of the conjugation machinery and known

plasmid stability genes. Virulence factors (including CFs, toxins and putative virulence

factors), antibiotic resistance determinants with the Comprehensive Antibiotic

Resistance Database (CARD) [33] as well as complete and partial insertion elements

and prophages were manually annotated. The plasmids were designated

pAvM_strainID_integer, e.g. pAvM_E925_4 (Additional file 2). The first plasmid

reported in this study starts at 4 as three previous plasmids E873p1-3 already have been

deposited to GenBank related to a different project [8].

Plasmids were typed by analysing the presence and variation of specific replication

genes to assign the plasmids to incompatibility (Inc) groups. The Inc groups of the

ETEC reference plasmids were first determined using PlasmidFinder and further

151 classified into subtypes using pMLST [34]. The replicons identified are IncFII,

152 IncFIIA, IncFIIS, IncFIB, IncFIC, IncI1 and IncY. Plasmids with replicon IncY,

153 IncFIIY or IncB/O/K/Z mainly harboured plasmid associated genes, such as stability

154 and transfer genes. Importantly, replicons FII, FIB and I1 were found to be strongly

155 associated with virulence genes as all CF loci, toxins and virulence factors *eatA* and

156 *etpBAC* were present on these plasmids. The majority of all ETEC plasmids analysed

157 here (17/29) belong to IncFII, of which six of the IncFII plasmids have an additional

158 IncFIB replicon. In six of the ETEC reference strains two or three IncFII replicons are

159 present, for example, in strain E925, the plasmids pAvM_E925_4 and 7 both belong to

160 IncFII. However, the plasmids were further subtyped to FII-111 and FII-15,

161 respectively, (Table 2 and Additional file 3), explaining the plasmid compatibility.

163 ***Virulence factors***

164 The CFs expressed by the selected reference strains are CFA/I, CS1-CS3, CS5-CS7 and

165 CS21. Three of the strains (E925, E1649 and E1779) express both LT and ST, two

166 strains (E2980 and E1441) express LT and the strains E36 and E562 express STh, while

167 E1373 express STp (Table 1). A plasmid can harbour multiple virulence genes, usually

168 a CF locus and genes encoding one or two toxins. Interestingly, plasmids do not often

169 harbour multiple CF loci, but on individual plasmids (in the ETEC reference strains

170 described here). Exceptions for this is strain E1779 in which CS5 and CS6 loci are

171 located on the same plasmid (pAvM_E1779_19). In both E925 (L1) and E1649 (L2)

172 the genes encoding CS3 (*cstABGH*), ST (*estA*) and LT (*eltAB)* are located on the same

173 plasmid, both with the FII replicon and of roughly the same size (Table 2). Blastn

174 comparison between the plasmids and additional plasmids that harbour the same

175 virulence genes shows that they are highly conserved (Figure 1). The results correspond

with the close genetic relationship and common ancestry of lineage 1 (L1) and lineage

2 (L2) [18].

Besides CFs and toxins, additional virulence factors were identified in the majority of

the strains (Table 2), with *eatA* and *etpBAC* being the most commonly found.

EatA is an immunogenic mucinase that contributes to virulence by degrading MUC2

which is the major protein component of mucus in the small intestine [35,36]. The

etpABC genes encode an adhesin located on the tip of the flagella and mediate

adherence to host cells [37,38]. Four reference strains (E925, E1649, E36 and E562)

harbour both *eatA* and *etpBAC*. In three strains the *eatA* and/or *etpBAC* are located on

the same plasmid with an FII or FII+FIB replicon along with additional ETEC virulence

genes, except in E562 and E1373, where *eatA* and *etpBA*C are located on an I1+FII

(pAvM_E562_23) and I1 (pAvM_E1373_16) plasmid, respectively, which mainly

contains plasmid associated genes including genes encoding the *pil* operon and *tra*-

operon (pAvM_E562_23). Furthermore, a less explored putative virulence factor is

CexE, which is an extracytoplasmic protein dependent on the expression of the CFA/I

regulator *cfaD* [39], and was first identified in H10407 [40]. Corroborating earlier

findings, the CFA/I positive E36 (L3) and E562 (L6) isolates harbour *cexE*

(pAvM_E36_12 and pAvM_E562_25). In addition, *cexE* is present in pAvM_E925_6,

pAvM_E1779_19 and pAVM_E2980_14, pAvM_E1441_18 and pAvM_E1373_28.

CexE has previously also been identified in several CS5+CS6 positive ETEC and

shown to be upregulated in the presence of bile and sodium glycocholate-hydrate [41].

Bile is known to be involved in the regulation of several ETEC CFs [42,43]. The

location of *cexE* seems to be conserved across specific strains. In pAvM_E36_12,

pAvM_E1441_18, pAvM_E1779_19 and pAvM_E562_25 *cexE* is located upstream of

the *aatPABC* locus, whereas in pAvM_E925_6 and pAvM_E2980_14 *cexE* is located

downstream of *rob* (an AraC family transcriptional regulator) in the opposite direction.

pAvM_E925_4 harbours the *aatPABC* locus; however *cexE* is located on a different

plasmid (pAvM_E925_6) in this strain.

Comparison of plasmids with the same virulence profile

ETEC isolates within a lineage share the same virulence profile, specifically the same

CF profile (Figure S10). We verified that our selected isolates grouped within

previously described lineages with confirmed virulence profiles by phylogenetic

analyses (Figure S2). Blastn of each of the CF positive plasmids from each reference

genome were performed, and the best hit(s) were used for subsequent analysis (Figure

1). Most of the plasmids identified as related to the ETEC reference plasmids were not

annotated, hence, when needed these were annotated using the corresponding ETEC

reference plasmids annotation as a high priority when running Prokka. We show that

plasmids with the same CF and toxin profile from the same lineage are often conserved

(Figure 1). For example, the two plasmids encoding CS3 (pAvM_E925_4 and

pAvM_E1649_8) are highly similar to several CS3 harbouring plasmids from O6:H16

strains collected from various geographical locations between 1975 and 2014, including

E. coli O6:H16 strain M9682-C1 plasmid unnamed2 (CP024277.1) and *E. coli* strain

O6:H16 F5656C1 plasmid unnamed2 (CP024262.1) PacBio sequenced by Smith et al.

[20] (Figure 1a). Furthermore, high coverage and similarity were found between the

plasmids of isolates E1441 (L4), and PacBio sequenced plasmids of ETEC isolates

ATCC 43886/E2539C1 and 2014EL-1346-6 [20]. These isolates were collected in the

seventies [44] and 2014 (from a CDC collection), respectively, and assigned as O25:

H16 which is the O group determined for E1441 in silico (Figure 1e). Plasmids of

E2980 (LT+ CS7, L3) were validated by the PacBio sequenced plasmids of ETEC

isolate E2264 (Figure 1d). Similarly, two plasmids of E1779 (LT, STh+CS5+CS6, L5)

was identified in E2265 (LT, STh+CS5+CS6 [28,41], although E1779 harboured two

additional plasmids. Several additional L5 ETEC genomes have been sequenced within

the GEMS study [45], and high plasmid similarity and conservation in CS5+CS6

positive L5 isolates was evident (Figure 1f).

Overall the results show that ETEC plasmids are specific to lineages circulating

worldwide and conserved over time (Figure 1, Figure S2-S9 for more extensive plasmid

annotation and Figure S10). Thus, the plasmids of major ETEC lineages must confer

evolutionary advantages to their host genomes since they are seldom lost.

Antibiotic resistance

E. coli can become resistant to antibiotics, both via the presence of antibiotic resistance

genes and the acquisition of adaptive and mutational changes in genes encoding efflux

pumps and porins which allows the bacterium to pump out the antibiotic molecules

effectively.

Antibiotic resistance genomic marker(s), both chromosomally located and on plasmids,

were identified using the CARD database [33] (Table 2, Figure S12 and Figure S13 and

Additional file 2). Similar to other studies, IncFII and B/O/K/Z plasmids were found to

harbour genes conferring antibiotic resistance [46]. Furthermore, the phenotypic

antibiotic resistance profile was determined with clinical MIC breakpoints based on

EUCAST (The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing) [47]

(Table S2). Phenotypic antibiotic resistance profiles (Table S2) were supported mainly

by the findings of antibiotic resistance genes, efflux pumps and porins (Figures S4 and

S5 and Table S3), although some differences were found. All ETEC reference strains

are phenotypically resistant to at least two antibiotics of the 14 tested (Table S2).

Resistance against penicillin's, norfloxacin (Nor) and chloramphenicol (Cm) is most

common among these strains. Two of the strains, E1441 and E2980, harbour more than

four antibiotic resistance genes as well as multiple efflux systems and porins (Figure

S12, Figure S13 and Table S3). The plasmid pAvM_E1441_17 carries *aadA1-like*,

dfrA15, *sul1* and *tetA*(A) resistance genes (Table 2), where the first three genes are in a

Class 1 integron which confers resistance to streptomycin, trimethoprim, and

sulphonamide (sulphamethoxazole). The gene *tetA*(A) is part of a truncated T*n1721*

transposon [48]. The E1441 strain was verified as resistant to tetracycline (Tet) and

sulphamethoxazole-trimethoprim (Sxt) while streptomycin was not tested. A *mer*

operon derived from T*n21* is also present the resistance region of pAvM_E1441_17

(Table 2), indicating that the plasmid would also likely confer tolerance to mercury,

although this was not confirmed. Interestingly, this multi-replicon (FII and FIB)

plasmid also harbours the *lng* locus encoding CS21, one of the most prevalent ETEC

CFs. In isolate E2980 virulence plasmid pAvM_E2980_15 harboured multiple

resistance genes in the same region (*bla*TEM-1b, *strA*, *strB* and *sul2)* conferring resistance

to ampicillin, streptomycin and sulphonamides. E2980 was found to be resistant to

ampicillin (Amp) and oxacillin (Oxa), which can be broken down by the beta-lactamase

Bla TEM-1b, (Table 2, Table S2 and Table S3). E562 harbours three antibiotic resistance

genes, *ampC* located in the chromosome and the *tet*(A) and *bla*TEM-1b genes on an FII

plasmid (pAvM_E562_27). The *mer* operon derived from T*n21* is also present in the

region (Table 2 and Table S3). The phenotypic resistance profile of E562 matches the

genomic profile with resistance to tetracycline (Tet), ampicillin (Amp), amoxicillin-

clavulanic acid (Amc) and oxacillin (Oxa) (Table S2). The plasmid pAvM_E36_13

contains a complete copy of Tn*10*, which encodes the *tet*(B), tetracycline resistance

module. Although the AvM_E1373_29 phage-like plasmid is cryptic, related plasmids

such as the pHMC2-family of phage-like plasmids [49] (described below), can harbour

resistance genes such as *bla*CTX-M-14 [50] and *bla*CTX-M-15 [51,52].

Phenotypic intermediate resistance to ampicillin was found in E36 and E1779 encoded

by chromosomal *ampC*. Higher MIC values against ampicillin are found in E2980 and

E562 strains carrying *bla*TEM genes. Phenotypic resistance to ceftazidime (Caz) and

ceftriaxone (Cro) was not found in the isolates, which were consistent with the absence

of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) resistance genes in the sequence data.

Resistance to chloramphenicol (Cm) was found in five isolates, but none of the resistant

isolates contained known resistance genes suggesting that chromosomal mutations or

presence of efflux pumps may account for this reduced susceptibility.

The ETEC reference strains contain several efflux systems which could explain why

the genotypic and phenotypic antibiotic resistance profile did not match for all

antibiotics. All of the isolates harbour multiple efflux pumps located on the

chromosome and plasmids (Table S3 and Figure S12). In E925, a non-synonymous

mutation in *acrF* was identified (G1979A) resulting in a substitution from arginine to

glutamine (A360Q). The effect on the expression and/or function of the AcrEF efflux

pump was not verified.

Phenotypic resistance to norfloxacin (Nor) was found in 6 of the isolates. The isolates

were analysed for chromosomal mutations likely to confer quinolone resistance, using

ResFinder but mutations in *gyrA* were only found in one strain, E2980, at position S83A

which may confer resistance to nalidixic acid, norfloxacin and ciprofloxacin. However,

E2980 was sensitive to Nalidixic acid. Both mutation(s) that alter the target (*gyrA* and

parC), as well as the presence of efflux pumps, can confer resistance to

fluoroquinolones. The majority of the isolates are moderately resistant to Norfloxacin

(and Nalidixic acid), both quinolones, which is most likely due to the presence of two

efflux pumps, AcrAB-R and AcrEF-R, as only one mutation was identified in *gyrA* of

isolate E2980 where usually at least two or more mutations are needed to confer

augmented resistance [53].

Identification of phage-like plasmids in ETEC

Two of the ETEC reference strains (E1649 and E1373) harboured phage-like plasmids

(pAvM_E1649_9 and pAvM_E1373_29) which encode for DNA metabolism, DNA

biosynthesis as well as structural bacteriophage genes (capsid, tail etc.). Both

pAvM_E1649_9 and pAvM_E1373_29 contain genes associated with plasmid

replication, division and maintenance (i.e. *repA* and *parAB*). Phage-like plasmids are

found in various bacterial species, such as *E. coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Yersinia*

pestis, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium,

Salmonella enterica serovar Derby and *Acinetobacter baumanii* [54]. The plasmid

pAvM_E1649_9 belong to the P1 phage-like plasmid family (Figure 2a and Figure

S14a) while pAvM_E1373_29 belongs to the pHCM2-family (Figure 2b and Figure

S14b) that can be traced back to a likely phage origin similar to the *Salmonella* phage,

SSU5 [49]. Both phage-plasmids thus contain replication and/or partition genes of

plasmid origin and a complete set of genes that are phage related in function and

properties (Figure 2 and Figure S14). Significantly, phage-like plasmid

pAvM_E1373_29 falls more within the *E. coli* lineage of pHCM2 phage-like plasmid

rather than those found in *Salmonella* species. This indicates that phage-like plasmids

have diversified within the bacterial species they were isolated.

Blastn searches confirmed high similarity (at least 80% at the DNA across much of

the sequence) of pAvM_E1373_29 to several phage-like plasmids found in *E. coli*

including ETEC O169:H41 isolate F8111-1SC3 [20,55], several $bla_{CTX-M-15}$ positive

phage-like plasmids (pANCO1, pANCO2 [52] and PV234a), as well as a plasmid found

in *E. coli* ST648 from wastewater and ST131 isolate SC367ECC [56]. The P1 phage-

like plasmid pAvM_1649_9 is most similar to p1107-99K, pEC2_5 isolated from

human urine and p2448-3 from a UPEC ST131 isolate isolated from blood. The

similarity is most pronounced at the amino acid level. Conservation and synteny are

evident when pAvM_1649_9 is compared to P1 phage.

Prophages present and their cargo genes

Prophages may insert into chromosomes and bring along genes required for lysogeny

and lytic cycles and cargo genes that are often picked up when DNA is compacted into

the capsid. Cargo genes can significantly benefit the host bacterium by providing

additional elements to defence against phage or immune evasion and finally,

environmental survival. PHASTER analyses identified prophages in the chromosomes

of all ETEC reference isolates and some of the plasmids (Table S4). Putative tellurite

resistance operons in isolates E925, E36, E2980 and E1373 were all located in

prophages. In addition, *eatA* (in E925, and E1649) and *estA* (STh) genes (E36) were

prophage cargo genes.

Many prophage cargo genes identified in this study have properties related to inhibition

of cell division. Among these are a variety of *kil* genes which can enhance host bacterial

survival in the presence of some antibiotics [57]. Some genes that are core entities

within many prophages, such as *zapA* (from E1779_Pph_6), *dicB* and *dicC* (found in

phage E1779_Pph_7), also have similar effects as they can inhibit cell division in the

presence of antibiotics which raise the broader question in terms of how they are

beneficial to the host bacterium.

A different gene of interest is the *yfdR* gene identified in E1779_Pph_7 (gene

E1779_04412). YfdR curtails cellular division by inhibiting DNA replication under

stress conditions encountered by the bacterial cell. Similarly, the *iraM* gene located in

phage E1441_Pph_2 plays a role in RpoS stability.

OmpX homologs were found in numerous phages in this study. They are trans-

membrane located and play a role in virulence as well as antibiotic resistance [58]. PerC

is often associated with EPEC plasmids, where it seems to have a regulatory role for

the attaching and effacing gene, *eaeA* [59]. Its presence as cargo within an ETEC strain

phage, E1779_Pph_7 located on the chromosome, is intriguing. Its ability to regulate

other virulence genes is yet to be determined. Within the same phage, a *gntR*-like

regulatory gene was identified. This gene plays a role in gluconate utilisation and

induction of the Entner-Doudoroff pathway [60].

Discussion

ETEC strains have previously been shown to fall into globally spread genetically

conserved lineages which encompass strains with specific virulence factor profiles [18].

The currently widely used ETEC reference strains H10407 (CFA/I) and E24377A

(CS1+CS3) are highly divergent from other strains with the same virulence profile

sequenced more recently [18] and highlights the need for relevant and representative

ETEC reference strains and genomes. The long-read sequenced isolates presented here

comprise complete reference genomes with separate chromosomal and plasmid

sequences that allow more detailed studies of ETEC and *E. coli* phylogeny. The

reference strains are representative isolates of their respective lineage and cluster

phylogenetically together with different ETEC isolates sequenced by several other

groups (Figure S10).

Previous studies confirmed that ETEC belongs to lineages that have spread globally.

These analyses were mainly dependent on the shared core genome of chromosomal

genes while conservation of plasmids was indicated by the association between the

plasmid-borne toxin and CFs and lineage [18]. Analysis of the plasmids sequenced in

the present study showed that the conservation within ETEC lineages also include

plasmids.

Blast analyses confirm that the plasmids identified in this study are often highly

homologous to other plasmids identified by either long-read or Illumina sequencing

present in GenBank. For instance, the 94.5 kb plasmid pAvM_1441_18 was 98%

identical to two 96 kb and 82 kb plasmids belonging to ETEC O25: H16 isolates ATCC

43886/E2539C1 and 2014EL-1346-6 sequenced by PacBio by Smith *et al.,* [20] (Figure

1e and Figure S6). Plasmid pAvM_E1441_18 is the major virulence plasmid of this

lineage carrying genes encoding LT and CS6.

The larger plasmid in E1441 (pAvM_E1441_17) carries both the genes for ETEC CF

CS21 and antibiotic resistance determinants. Furthermore, complete conjugation

machinery was present suggesting that this is most likely a self-transmissible plasmid,

though this was not confirmed. Movement of such a plasmid would result in the spread

of ETEC virulence genes and AMR determinants.

Interestingly, Wachsmuth et al., [44] analysed transfer frequencies in ETEC O25:H16

isolates (the same serogroup was identified in E1441) and found evidence that

resistance to tetracycline and sulfathiazole was transferred but not the genes encoding

LT [44]. The same study found evidence of two large plasmids of similar size [44]

corroborating our findings of two plasmids of similar size in E1441, one with *eltAB* and

cssABCD without the *tra*-operon (pAvM_E1441_18) and the other putatively mobile

plasmid (pAvM_E1441_17) carrying the *sul1* and *tet*(A) genes as well as the *lng* operon

encoding CF CS21. Since ATCC 43886/E2539C1, E1441 and 2014EL-1346-6, have

been isolated in the 1970s, 1997, and 2014, respectively, our findings indicate that

E1441 represent an ETEC lineage with stable plasmid content and putative ability to

transfer antibiotic resistance and the CS21 operon by transfer of one of the plasmids.

Furthermore, pAvM_E1441_17 is a multi-replicon plasmid. Multi-replicon plasmids

have been described as a way to broaden their host range, i.e. possibility to be

transferred between bacteria of different phylogenetic groups [61,62]. Whether this

plasmid type is found in other *E. coli* remains to be investigated but the finding that the

L4 lineage retains both plasmids in isolates collected over time and worldwide indicate

a strong selective force to keep the extra-chromosomal contents of both plasmids.

The ETEC O169:H41 isolate F8111-1SC3 plasmid unnamed 2 [20,55] is highly similar

to pAvM_E1373_28 (Figure 1h and Figure S9). The F8111-ISC3 isolate is part of a

CDC collection of ETEC isolates from cruise ship outbreaks and diarrheal cases in US

1996-2003. The antibiotic resistance profiles of these isolates were determined [55] and

most isolates of O group 169 were tetracycline resistant consistent with the findings of

the *tet* gene in E1373 isolated in Indonesia in 1996. ETEC diarrhoea caused by

O169:H41 and STp CS6 isolates is repeatedly reported to cause diarrhoea, particularly

in Latin America [45,63–65]. Among the cruise ship isolates is the sequenced and

characterised virulence plasmid pEntYN10 encoding STp and CS6, described as

unstable and easily lost in vitro [63,66]. The E1373 plasmid; AvM_E1373_28 is highly

homologous to pEntYN10 (Figure 1h and Figure S9) and the virulence profile of ETEC

O169: H41 is conserved in isolates collected globally. Hence, the instability of the

plasmid is incongruent with current data indicating that plasmids are stable within this

lineage and serotype.

Interestingly, two distinctive extra-chromosomal elements which are highly similar to

P1 and SSU5 phage were identified among the 8 ETEC reference strains sequenced

(Figure 2, Figure S14 and Table S4). The SSU5-like element carries several genes that

allow it to be functional as a plasmid and belongs to the pHCM2-like family of Phage-

Plasmids (Figure 2b) [49]. These plasmids are devoid of virulence factors, transposons

and antibiotic markers but, they contain a significant number of DNA metabolism and

biosynthesis genes and they may contain bacteriophage inhibitory genes that have not

yet been identified. Interestingly, several SSU5 phage-like plasmids have been shown

to carry the ESBL gene *bla*$_{CTX-M15}$ in extra-intestinal pathogenic *E. coli* isolates [51].

ESBL resistance seems to be absent or low in ETEC and the SSU5 phage-like plasmid

pAvM_E1373_29 does not contain antibiotic resistance genes. A recent study

investigating the distribution of phage-plasmids show that the phage homologs tend to

be more conserved and the plasmid homologs more variable [67]. This is also seen in

the phage-plasmids identified here, e.g., genes that could be advantageous to the host

cell linked to metabolism and biosynthesis.

Conclusion

We provide fully assembled chromosomes and plasmids with manually curated

annotations that will serve as new ETEC reference genomes. The in-depth analysis of

gene content, synteny and correct annotations of plasmids will also help to elucidate

other plasmids with and without virulence factors in related bacterial species. The

ETEC reference genomes compared to other long-read sequenced ETEC genomes

confirm that the major ETEC lineages harbour conserved plasmids that have been

associated with their respective background genomes for decades. This confirms that

the plasmids and chromosomes of ETEC are both crucial for ETEC virulence and

success as pathogens.

Methods

Selection of strains

Initially one to two ETEC strains within each of the lineage (L1-L7)-specific CF profile

were chosen from the University of Gothenburg large collection of ETEC strains [18]

for PacBio sequencing. The strains were selected based on the location and year of

isolation to represent strains isolated from patients with diarrhoea from diverse

geographical locations and at different time-points. After the genomes had been

sequenced, assembled, circularised and annotated a second selection was made for

manual curation of the genomes. This selection was made based on the quality of the

genome assembly and the circularisation. The whole genomes of the ETEC reference

strains were compared with one or two other long-read sequenced ETEC strains

belonging to the same lineage by progressiveMAUVE and showed that the strains are

colinear (Figure S15). One representative ETEC genome from each lineage was

annotated, with emphasis on the plasmids. The physical ETEC reference strains are

available upon request.

Phenotypic toxin and CF analyses

ETEC isolates were identified by culture on MacConkey agar followed by an analysis

of LT and ST toxin expression using GM1 ELISAs [43]. The expression of the different

CFs was confirmed by dot-blot analysis [43]. Isolates had been kept in glycerol stocks

at −70 °C, and each strain has been passaged as few times as possible.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

All ETEC isolates were tested against 14 antimicrobial agents and their minimum

inhibitory concentration was determined by broth microdilution using EUCAST

methodology [47]. The antimicrobial agents were: ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanic,

oxacillin, ceftazidime, ceftriaxone, doxycycline, tetracycline, nalidixic acid,

norfloxacin, azithromycin, erythromycin, chloramphenicol, nitrofurantoin and

sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim. All antibiotics were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

The *E. coli* ATCC 25922 was used as quality control. The MIC was recorded visually

as the lowest concentration of antibiotic that completely inhibits growth.

DNA extraction and sequencing

Strains from each lineage (L1-L7) were SMRT-sequenced on the PacBio RSII. A

hybrid *de novo* assembly was performed combining the reads from both the SMRT-

sequenced and Illumina sequenced strains.

For Single-Molecule Real-Time (SMRT) sequencing (Pacific Bioscience) long intact

strands of DNA are required. The genomic DNA extraction was performed as follows.

Isolates were cultured in CFA broth overnight at 37°C followed by cell suspension in

TE buffer (10 mM Tris and 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0) with 25% sucrose (Sigma) followed

by lysis using 10 mg/ml lysozyme (in 0.25 Tris pH 8.0) (Roche). Cell membranes were

digested with Proteinase K (Roche) and Sarkosyl NL-30 (Sigma) in the presence of

EDTA. RNase A (Roche) was added to remove RNA molecules. A phenol-chloroform

extraction was performed using a mixture of Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol

(25:24:1) (Sigma) in phase lock tubes (5prime). To precipitate the DNA 2.5 volumes

99% ethanol and 0.1 volume 3 M NaAc pH 5.2 was used followed by re-hydration in

10 mM Tris pH 8.0. DNA concentration was measured using NanoDrop

spectrophotometer (NanoDrop). On average 10 μg for PacBio sequencing. Library

preparation for SMRT sequencing was prepared according to the manufacturers'

(Pacific Biosciences) protocol. The DNA was stored in E buffer and sequenced at the

Wellcome Sanger Institute. Isolates were sequenced with a single SMRTcell using the

P6-C4 chemistry, to a target coverage of 40–60X using the PacBio RSII sequencer.

Assembly

The resulting raw sequencing data from SMRT sequencing were *de novo* assembled

using   the   PacBio   SMRT   analysis   pipeline

(https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/SMRT-Analysis)   (v2.3.0)   utilising   the

Hierarchical Genome Assembly Process (HGAP) [68]. For all samples, the unfinished

assembly produced a single, non-circular, chromosome plus some small contigs, some

of which were plasmids or unresolved assembly variants. Using Circlator [69] (v1.1.0),

small self-contained contigs in the unfinished assembly were identified and removed,

with the remaining contigs circularised. Quiver [68] was then used to correct errors in

the circularised region by mapping corrected reads back to the circularised assembly.

As the strains had also been short read sequenced, and this data is of higher base quality,

the short reads from the Illumina sequencing were used in combination with the long

reads using Unicycler [70] to generate high-quality assemblies.

Fully circularised chromosomes and plasmids were achieved for the majority of the

strains. Cross-validation of the assemblies was performed where two or three strains of

a lineage were sequenced (Figure S15). A single assembly from each lineage was

chosen to act as the representative reference genome, with priority given to assemblies

with the most complete and circularised chromosome and plasmids. In total, one

chromosome and 5 out of the 29 plasmids could not be circularised (independent on the

two strains that were sequenced initially) out of the 8 selected representative strains.

These are indicated in Table 2 and Table S1. Between two and five plasmids were

identified in the eight strains. Shorter contigs that could not be assembled properly

contained phage genes and are included in the genomes and annotated as prophages

Table S4). Socru was used to validate the assembly of the chromosome, they all have

biologically valid orientation and order of rRNA operons with a type GS1.0, which is

seen in most *E. coli* in the public domain [71].

Phylogenetic tree

The phylogenetic relationship between the ETEC reference genomes to other ETEC

and *E. coli* commensals and pathotypes was investigated. The following collections

were included: ETEC-362 [18], ECOR [72] and the Horesh collection [73] along with

additional ETEC genomes from several studies [20,24,26,27,45,74,75]. The reads of

identified ETEC genomes from other studies were downloaded from GenBank and

assembled using Velvet. Long-read sequenced ETEC genomes were included in the

tree and were not re-assembled. The phylogroup of the ETEC strains was determined

using ClermonTyping [32] (v20.03). The virulence profile of the ETEC strains was

determined using ARIBA [76] (v2.14.16) with default settings using the custom ETEC

virulence database (https://github.com/avonm/ETEC_vir_db). A total of 1,066

genomes was included in the phylogenetic tree. The alignment of core genes (n = 2,895)

identified by Roary [77] (v3.12.0) was converted to a SNP-only alignment using snp-

sites [78]. A phylogenetic tree was produced with IQ-TREE [79] (v1.6.10) using a GTR

gamma model (GTR+F+I) optimised using the built-in model test and visualised using

the R package ggtree [80].

Gene prediction, annotation and comparative analysis

The final assembly was annotated using Prokka [81] (v1.14.6). The annotations of all

plasmids generated by Prokka were manually checked using the genome viewer

Artemis [82] and Geneious 2018.11.1.5 (http://www.geneious.com) together with

blastp. Annotations of known ETEC virulence genes (colonisation factors, toxins, *eatA*

and *etpBAC*) were added after blast+ [83] analysis using the reference genes available

in the ETEC virulence database (https://github.com/avonm/ETEC_vir_db) and their

annotations updated accordingly. The LT and ST alleles were determined according to

Joffre et al., (https://github.com/avonm/ETEC_toxin_variants_db) [15,17]. Where

required, PFAM domains were searched using jackhammer to back up any identified

protein using blastp (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/hmmer/search/jackhmmer). Blastn

and tblastx were used for plasmid comparison, using both NCBI website or within

BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG) [84] (v0.95).

Incompatibility groups

Due to the discrepancy in databases two approaches was used to determine the Inc

groups of the 25 plasmids. PlasmidFinder was used with a threshold for minimum %

identity at 95% and minimum coverage of 60%. The plasmids were further

characterised by pMLST [34], except for IncY which are a group of prophages that

replicate in a similar manner as autonomous plasmids (Additional File 3). IncB/O/K/Z

plasmids were further typed by blastn comparison to the reference B/O (M93062), K

(M93063) and Z (M93064) replicons.

oriT prediction

The location of the *oriT* in the plasmids, if present, was predicted using oriTFinder [85]

with Blast E-value cut-off set to 0.01.

Genomic antibiotic resistance profiling

The identification of antibiotic resistance genes, located on both the chromosome and

plasmid(s) as well as the presence of efflux pumps and porins known to confer

resistance to antibiotics. The results were obtained by running ARIBA [76] using the

CARD database [86] with the default settings (minimum 90% sequence identity and no

length cut-off). ARIBA combines a mapping/alignment and targeted local assembly

approach to identify AMR genes and variants efficiently and accurately from paired

sequencing reads. The heatmaps were visualised using Phandango [87]. The presence

of chromosomal mutations in *gyrA* and *parC* was determined with ResFinder (v3.2)

from the Center of Genomic Epidemiology [88].

Virulence gene prediction

The ETEC assemblies from the ETEC-NCBI collection (Additional file 4) were

screened using abricate [89] with default settings against the ETEC virulence database

(https://github.com/avonm/ETEC_vir_db) for virulence gene (including *eatA* and

etpBAC) predication. A subset of the isolates in the ETEC-NCBI dataset have

previously been analysed for the presence of EatA where a sample with negative PCR

but positive western blots were included as positive [74]. Here, only isolates harbouring

the *eatA* and *etpBAC* genes are considered positive.

Prophage prediction

The complete FASTA sequence of each ETEC reference genome was searched for

phage genes and prophages using PHASTER (phaster.ca) [90]. The identified intact

prophages are listed in Table S4. All prophage contained cargo genes but only

recognisable genes are stated, not any hypothetical. Additional questionable and not

intact prophages were identified but have not been included here. The prophages have

been given a specific identifier name and are also annotated as a mobile_element in the

submitted chromosome and or plasmid(s) of each strain.

Insertion sequences

Insertion sequences in the plasmids as well as surrounding the CS2 loci located on the

chromosome of E1649 were annotated using both Galileo AMR software [91] and the

ISFinder database [92]. Complete and partial IS elements were annotated (>95%

identity with hits in ISFinder) along with the present genes encoding transposases.

Three new insertion sequences were detected in this analysis and were submitted to

ISFinder as TnEc2, TnEc3 and TnEc4. Transposons and other mobile elements

(integrons and group II introns) were also identified using Galileo AMR and blastn

against public databases.

Supplementary information

Additional file 1: Supplemental Figures and Tables

Additional file 2: Detailed description of ETEC reference plasmids

Additional file 3: Excel file with plasmid classification – Inc groups

Additional file 4: Excel file with metadata of ETEC and *E. coli* genomes included in

the phylogenetic tree

Additional file 5: Excel file with a compiled list of all accession numbers for

chromosomes and plasmids

Acknowledgements

No acknowledgements to mention.

Authors' contributions

AvM conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analysed

the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables,

authored the paper and approved the final draft.

GB and AvM annotated all IS elements, transposons as well as other mobile elements,

contributed to the paper and approved the final draft.

DP and AvM annotated the identified prophages, contributed to the paper and approved

the final draft.

CB performed the *in silico* analysis of the genomic antibiotic resistance profiling,

contributed to the paper and approved the final draft.

EJ performed the antibiotic resistance profiling, contributed to the paper and approved

the final draft.

AJP assembled the genomes, contributed to the paper and approved the final draft.

AMS conceived and designed the experiments contributed to the paper and approved

the final draft.

GD conceived and designed the experiments and approved the final draft.

ÅS conceived and designed the experiments, analysed data, authored the paper and

approved the final draft.

Funding

AvM, AMS and ÅS were supported by the Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research

(grant nr. SB12-0072). AvM was also supported by The Swedish Research Council

(grant nr. 2018-06828) and the Swedish Society for Medical Research (P18-0140). AJP

was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council

(BBSRC); this research was funded by the BBSRC Institute Strategic Programme

Microbes in the Food Chain BB/R012504/1. GD was supported by the Wellcome Trust

(grant WT 098051).

Availability of data

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the articles

and its additional files. The sequencing data generated in this study has been

submitted to EMBL (Additional file 4 and 5). The physical ETEC reference strains

can be requested by contacting the corresponding author Astrid von Mentzer

(avm@sanger.ac.uk or mentzerv@chalmers.se). The database used for annotating

ETEC virulence factors, ETEC virulence database, including the LT and ST alleles

can be found in the github repositories: https://github.com/avonm/ETEC_vir_db and

https://github.com/avonm/ETEC_toxin_variants_db.

An interactive version of the core genome phylogeny of the 1,065 *E. coli* and ETEC

isolates along with the ETEC reference strains (Figure S10) reported here is

accessible at https://microreact.org/project/2ZZzaHzeXbMEw9U2MAk7pK?tt=cr

Availability of material

Requests for obtaining clinical isolates collected as part of this study should be

addressed to the corresponding author. Exchange of clinical isolates should always be

in agreement with the University of Gothenburg.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

Author details

[1]Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of

Gothenburg, Medicinaregatan 7, 413 90 Gothenburg, Sweden

[2]Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, CB10 1SA, Cambridge, UK

[3]EMBL-EBI, Hinxton, CB10 1SA, Cambridge, UK

[4] University of Cambridge Department of Medicine, Addenbrookes Hospital

Box 157, Hills Rd, CB2 0QQ, Cambridge, UK

[5] Department of Microbiology, Tumor and Cell Biology, Biomedicum, Karolinska

Institutet, Solnavägen 9, 171 65 Solna, Stockholm, Sweden

[6]Quadram Institute Bioscience, Norwich Research Park, NR4 7UQ Norwich, UK

[#]Currently affiliated with Chalmers University of Technology, Chalmers Tvärgata 3,

413 58 Gothenburg, Sweden

References

1. Khalil IA, Troeger C, Blacker BF, Rao PC, Brown A, Atherly DE, et al. Morbidity
and mortality due to shigella and enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli diarrhoea: the
Global Burden of Disease Study 1990-2016. The Lancet Infectious diseases.
2018;18:1229–40.

2. Baron S, Evans DJ, Evans DG. Escherichia Coli in Diarrheal Disease. 1996;

3. Svennerholm A, Lundgren A. Recent progress toward an enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli vaccine. Expert Rev Vaccines. 2014;11:495–507.

4. Svennerholm A-M, Lundgren A. Recent progress toward an enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli vaccine. Expert Review of Vaccines. 2012;11:495–507.

5. Lundgren A, Bourgeois L, Carlin N, Clements J, Gustafsson B, Hartford M, et al.
Safety and immunogenicity of an improved oral inactivated multivalent
enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) vaccine administered alone and together
with dmLT adjuvant in a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled Phase I study.
Vaccine. 2014;32:7077–84.

6. Harro C, Bourgeois AL, Sack D, Walker R, DeNearing B, Brubaker J, et al. Live
attenuated enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) vaccine with dmLT adjuvant
protects human volunteers against virulent experimental ETEC challenge. Vaccine.
2019;37:1978–86.

7. Qadri F, Svennerholm A-M, Faruque AS, Sack RB. Enterotoxigenic Escherichia
coli in developing countries: epidemiology, microbiology, clinical features, treatment,
and prevention. Clinical microbiology reviews. 2005;18:465–83.

8. von Mentzer A, Tobias J, Wiklund G, Nordqvist S, Aslett M, Dougan G, et al.
Identification and characterization of the novel colonization factor CS30 based on
whole genome sequencing in enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC). Scientific
reports. 2017;7:465.

9. Gaastra W, Svennerholm A-M. Colonization factors of human enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli (ETEC). Trends Microbiol. 1996;4:444–52.

10. Nada RA, Shaheen HI, Khalil SB, Mansour A, El-Sayed N, Touni I, et al.
Discovery and phylogenetic analysis of novel members of class b enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli adhesive fimbriae. Journal of clinical microbiology. 2011;49:1403–
10.

11. Cádiz L, Torres A, Valdés R, Vera G, Gutiérrez D, Levine MM, et al. Coli
Surface Antigen 26 Acts as an Adherence Determinant of Enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli and Is Cross-Recognized by Anti-CS20 Antibodies. Frontiers in
microbiology. 2018;9:248.

12. Canto FD, O'Ryan M, Pardo M, Torres A, Gutiérrez D, Cádiz L, et al. Chaperone-
Usher Pili Loci of Colonization Factor-Negative Human Enterotoxigenic Escherichia
coli. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology. 2017;6:CD009029.

13. Grewal HM, Valvatne H, Bhan MK, Dijk L van, Gaastra W, Sommerfelt H. A
new putative fimbrial colonization factor, CS19, of human enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli. Infect Immun. 1997;65:507–13.

14. Pichel M, Binsztein N, Viboud G. CS22, a novel human enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli adhesin, is related to CS15. Infection and immunity. 2000;68:3280–
5.

15. Joffre E, von Mentzer A, Ghany MAE, Oezguen N, Savidge T, Dougan G, et al.
Allele variants of enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli heat-labile toxin are globally
transmitted and associated with colonization factors. Christie PJ, editor. Journal of
bacteriology. 2015;197:392–403.

16. Bolin I, Wiklund G, Qadri F, Torres O, Bourgeois AL, Savarino S, et al.
Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli with STh and STp genotypes is associated with
diarrhea both in children in areas of endemicity and in travelers. Journal of clinical
microbiology. 2006;44:3872–7.

17. Joffre E, von Mentzer A, Svennerholm A-M, Sjöling A. Identification of new
heat-stable (STa) enterotoxin allele variants produced by human enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli (ETEC). International journal of medical microbiology : IJMM.
2016;306:586–94.

18. von Mentzer A, Connor TR, Wieler LH, Semmler T, Iguchi A, Thomson NR, et
al. Identification of enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) clades with long-term
global distribution. Nature genetics. 2014;46:1321–6.

19. Crossman LC, Chaudhuri RR, Beatson SA, Wells TJ, Desvaux M, Cunningham
AF, et al. A commensal gone bad: complete genome sequence of the prototypical
enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli strain H10407. Journal of bacteriology.
2010;192:5822–31.

20. Smith P, Lindsey RL, Rowe LA, Batra D, Stripling D, Garcia-Toledo L, et al.
High-Quality Whole-Genome Sequences for 21 Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli
Strains Generated with PacBio Sequencing. Genome announcements. 2018;6:6167.

21. Rasko DA, Rosovitz MJ, Myers GS, Mongodin EF, Fricke WF, Gajer P, et al. The
pangenome structure of Escherichia coli: comparative genomic analysis of E. coli
commensal and pathogenic isolates. Journal of bacteriology. 2008;190:6881–93.

22. Besser J, Carleton HA, Gerner-Smidt P, Lindsey RL, Trees E. Next-generation
sequencing technologies and their application to the study and control of bacterial
infections. Clinical microbiology and infection : the official publication of the
European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases. 2018;24:335–41.

23. Quainoo S, Coolen JPM, Hijum SAFT van, Huynen MA, Melchers WJG, Schaik
W van, et al. Whole-Genome Sequencing of Bacterial Pathogens: the Future of
Nosocomial Outbreak Analysis. Clinical microbiology reviews. 2017;30:1015–63.

24. Sahl JW, Steinsland H, Redman JC, Angiuoli SV, Nataro JP, Sommerfelt H, et al.
A comparative genomic analysis of diverse clonal types of enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli reveals pathovar-specific conservation. Payne SM, editor. Infection
and immunity. 2011;79:950–60.

25. Sahl JW, Rasko DA. Analysis of global transcriptional profiles of enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli isolate E24377A. Infection and immunity. 2012;80:1232–42.

26. Sahl JW, Sistrunk JR, Fraser CM, Hine E, Baby N, Begum Y, et al. Examination
of the Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli Population Structure during Human Infection.
mBio. 2015;6:e00501.

27. Sahl JW, Sistrunk JR, Baby NI, Begum Y, Luo Q, Sheikh A, et al. Insights into
enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli diversity in Bangladesh utilizing genomic
epidemiology. Scientific reports. 2017;7:3402.

28. Begum YA, Rydberg HA, Thorell K, Kwak Y-K, Sun L, Joffre E, et al. In
SituAnalyses Directly in Diarrheal Stool Reveal Large Variations in Bacterial Load
and Active Toxin Expression of Enterotoxigenic Escherichiacoliand Vibrio cholerae.
Limbago BM, editor. mSphere. 2018;3:e00517-17.

29. Li B, Sun J, Han L, Huang X, Fu Q, Ni Y. Phylogenetic groups and pathogenicity
island markers in fecal Escherichia coli isolates from asymptomatic humans in China.
Applied and environmental microbiology. 2010;76:6698–700.

30. Tenaillon O, Skurnik D, Picard B, Denamur E. The population genetics of
commensal Escherichia coli. Nature reviews microbiology. 2010;8:207–17.

31. Clermont O, Bonacorsi S, Bingen E. Rapid and simple determination of the
Escherichia coli phylogenetic group. Applied and environmental microbiology.
2000;66:4555–8.

32. Beghain J, Bridier-Nahmias A, Nagard HL, Denamur E, Clermont O.
ClermonTyping: an easy-to-use and accurate in silico method for Escherichia genus
strain phylotyping. Microbial genomics. 2018;4:690.

33. McArthur AG, Waglechner N, Nizam F, Yan A, Azad MA, Baylay AJ, et al. The
comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Antimicrobial agents and
chemotherapy. 2013;57:3348–57.

34. Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A, Larsen MV, Lund O, Villa L, et al.
PlasmidFinder and pMLST: in silico detection and typing of plasmids. Antimicrobial
agents and chemotherapy. 2014;58:AAC.02412-14-3903.

35. Kumar P, Luo Q, Vickers TJ, Sheikh A, Lewis WG, Fleckenstein JM. EatA, an
Immunogenic Protective Antigen of Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli, Degrades
Intestinal Mucin. Payne SM, editor. Infection and immunity. 2014;82:500–8.

36. Patel SK, Dotson J, Allen KP, Fleckenstein JM. Identification and molecular
characterization of EatA, an autotransporter protein of enterotoxigenic Escherichia
coli. Infection and immunity. 2004;72:1786–94.

37. Fleckenstein JM, Roy K, Fischer JF, Burkitt M. Identification of a two-partner
secretion locus of enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli. Infection and immunity.
2006;74:2245–58.

38. Roy K, Hilliard GM, Hamilton DJ, Luo J, Ostmann MM, Fleckenstein JM.
Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli EtpA mediates adhesion between flagella and host
cells. Nature. 2009;457:594–8.

39. Hibberd ML, McConnell MM, Willshaw GA, Smith HR, Rowe B. Positive
regulation of colonization factor antigen I (CFA/I) production by enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli producing the colonization factors CS5, CS6, CS7, CS17, PCFO9,
PCFO159:H4 and PCFO166. Journal of general microbiology. 1991;137:1963–70.

40. Pilonieta MC, Bodero MD, Munson GP. CfaD-dependent expression of a novel
extracytoplasmic protein from enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli. Journal of
bacteriology. 2007;189:5060–7.

41. Joffre E, Nicklasson M, Álvarez-Carretero S, Xiao X, Sun L, Nookaew I, et al.
The bile salt glycocholate induces global changes in gene and protein expression and
activates virulence in enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli. Scientific reports. 2019;9:108.

42. Nicklasson M, Sjöling Å, von Mentzer A, Qadri F, Svennerholm A-M. Expression
of colonization factor CS5 of enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ETEC) is enhanced in
vivo and by the bile component Na glycocholate hydrate. Hensel M, editor. PLoS
One. 2012;7:e35827.

43. Sjöling Å, Wiklund G, Savarino SJ, Cohen DI, Svennerholm A-M. Comparative
analyses of phenotypic and genotypic methods for detection of enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli toxins and colonization factors. Journal of clinical microbiology.
2007;45:3295–301.

44. Wachsmuth K, Wells J, Shipley P, Ryder R. Heat-labile enterotoxin production in
isolates from a shipboard outbreak of human diarrheal illness. Infect Immun.
1979;24:793–7.

45. Hazen TH, Nagaraj S, Sen S, Permala-Booth J, Canto FD, Vidal R, et al. Genome
and Functional Characterization of Colonization Factor Antigen I- and CS6-Encoding
Heat-Stable Enterotoxin-Only Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli Reveals Lineage and
Geographic Variation. Overall CM, editor. mSystems. 2019;4:209.

46. Rozwandowicz M, Brouwer MSM, Fischer J, Wagenaar JA, Gonzalez-Zorn B,
Guerra B, et al. Plasmids carrying antimicrobial resistance genes in
Enterobacteriaceae. The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy. 2018;73:1121–37.

47. EUCAST EC for AST. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations
(MICs) of antibacterial agents by broth dilution. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111);
2003 Aug p. ix–xv.

48. Waters SH, Rogowsky P, Grinsted J, Altenbuchner J, Schmitt R. The tetracycline
resistance determinants of RP1 and Tn1721: nucleotide sequence analysis. Nucleic
acids research. 1983;11:6089–105.

49. Octavia S, Sara J, Lan R. Characterization of a large novel phage-like plasmid in
Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. FEMS Microbiology Letters. 2015;

50. Liu P, Li P, Jiang X, Bi D, Xie Y, Tai C, et al. Complete genome sequence of
Klebsiella pneumoniae subsp. pneumoniae HS11286, a multidrug-resistant strain
isolated from human sputum. Journal of bacteriology. 2012;194:1841–2.

51. Falgenhauer L, Yao Y, Fritzenwanker M, Schmiedel J, Imirzalioglu C,
Chakraborty T. Complete Genome Sequence of Phage-Like Plasmid pECOH89,
Encoding CTX-M-15. Genome announcements. 2014;2:2227.

52. Colavecchio A, Jeukens J, Freschi L, Rheault J-GE, Kukavica-Ibrulj I, Levesque
RC, et al. Complete Genome Sequences of Two Phage-Like Plasmids Carrying the
CTX-M-15 Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase Gene. Genome announcements.
2017;5:90.

53. Jacoby GA. Mechanisms of Resistance to Quinolones. Clin Infect Dis.
2005;41:S120–6.

54. Gilcrease EB, Casjens SR. The genome sequence of Escherichia coli tailed phage
D6 and the diversity of Enterobacteriales circular plasmid prophages. Virology.
2018;515:203–14.

55. Beatty ME, Bopp CA, Wells JG, Greene KD, Puhr ND, Mintz ED. Enterotoxin-
producing Escherichia coliO169:H41, United States. Emerging infectious diseases.
2004;10:518–21.

56. Cho S, Gupta SK, McMillan EA, Sharma P, Ramadan H, Jové T, et al. Genomic
Analysis of Multidrug-Resistant Escherichia colifrom Surface Water in Northeast
Georgia, United States: Presence of an ST131 Epidemic Strain Containing blaCTX-
M-15on a Phage-Like Plasmid. Microbial Drug Resistance. 2019;mdr.2019.0306.

57. Wang X, Kim Y, Ma Q, Hong SH, Pokusaeva K, Sturino JM, et al. Cryptic
prophages help bacteria cope with adverse environments. Nat Commun. 2010;1:147.

58. Hu WS, Lin J-F, Lin Y-H, Chang H-Y. Outer membrane protein STM3031
(Ail/OmpX-like protein) plays a key role in the ceftriaxone resistance of Salmonella
enterica serovar Typhimurium. Antimicrob Agents Ch. 2009;53:3248–55.

59. Gómez-Duarte OG, Kaper JB. A plasmid-encoded regulatory region activates
chromosomal eaeA expression in enteropathogenic Escherichia coli. Infect Immun.
1995;63:1767–76.

60. Murray EL, Conway T. Multiple Regulators Control Expression of the Entner-
Doudoroff Aldolase (Eda) of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol. 2005;187:991–1000.

61. Villa L, García-Fernández A, Fortini D, Carattoli A. Replicon sequence typing of
IncF plasmids carrying virulence and resistance determinants. The Journal of
antimicrobial chemotherapy. 2010;65:2518–29.

62. Osborn AM, Tatley FM da S, Steyn LM, Pickup RW, Saunders JR. Mosaic
plasmids and mosaic replicons: evolutionary lessons from the analysis of genetic
diversity in IncFII-related replicons. Microbiology+. 2000;146:2267–75.

63. Nishikawa Y, Helander A, OGASAWARA J, MOYER NP, HANAOKA M,
HASE A, et al. Epidemiology and properties of heat-stable enterotoxin-producing
Escherichia coliserotype O169[ratio]H41. Epidemiology and infection. 1998;121:31–
42.

64. Torres OR, González W, Lemus O, Pratdesaba RA, Matute JA, Wiklund G, et al.
Toxins and virulence factors of enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli associated with
strains isolated from indigenous children and international visitors to a rural
community in Guatemala. Epidemiol Infect. 2014;143:1662–71.

65. Sack DA, Shimko J, Torres O, Bourgeois AL, Francia DS, Gustafsson B, et al.
Randomised, double-blind, safety and efficacy of a killed oral vaccine for
enterotoxigenic E. Coli diarrhoea of travellers to Guatemala and Mexico. Vaccine.
2007;25:4392–400.

66. Ban E, Yoshida Y, Wakushima M, Wajima T, Hamabata T, Ichikawa N, et al.
Characterization of unstable pEntYN10 from enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli
(ETEC) O169:H41. Virulence. 2015;6:735–44.

67. Pfeifer E, Sousa JAM de, Touchon M, Rocha EPC. Bacteria have numerous
phage-plasmid families with conserved phage and variable plasmid gene repertoires.
Biorxiv. 2020;2020.11.09.375378.

68. Chin C-S, Alexander DH, Marks P, Klammer AA, Drake J, Heiner C, et al.
Nonhybrid, finished microbial genome assemblies from long-read SMRT sequencing
data. Nature methods. 2013;10:563–9.

69. Hunt M, Silva ND, Otto TD, Parkhill J, Keane JA, Harris SR. Circlator:
automated circularization of genome assemblies using long sequencing reads.
Genome biology. 2015;16:294.

70. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. Unicycler: Resolving bacterial genome
assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. Phillippy AM, editor. PLoS
computational biology. 2017;13:e1005595.

71. Page AJ, Ainsworth EV, Langridge GC. socru: typing of genome-level order and
orientation around ribosomal operons in bacteria. Microb Genom. 2020;

72. Patel IR, Gangiredla J, Mammel MK, Lampel KA, Elkins CA, Lacher DW. Draft
Genome Sequences of the Escherichia coli Reference (ECOR) Collection. Microbiol
Resour Announc. 2018;7:e01133-18.

73. Horesh G, Blackwell GA, Tonkin-Hill G, Corander J, Heinz E, Thomson NR. A
comprehensive and high-quality collection of Escherichia coli genomes and their
genes. Microb Genom. 2021;

74. Kuhlmann FM, Martin J, Hazen TH, Vickers TJ, Pashos M, Okhuysen PC, et al.
Conservation and global distribution of non-canonical antigens in Enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli. Torres AG, editor. PLoS neglected tropical diseases.
2019;13:e0007825.

75. Rasko DA, Canto FD, Luo Q, Fleckenstein JM, Vidal R, Hazen TH. Comparative
genomic analysis and molecular examination of the diversity of enterotoxigenic
Escherichia coli isolates from Chile. Plos Neglect Trop D. 2019;13:e0007828.

76. Hunt M, Mather AE, Sánchez-Busó L, Page AJ, Parkhill J, Keane JA, et al.
ARIBA: rapid antimicrobial resistance genotyping directly from sequencing reads.
bioRxiv. 2017;118000.

77. Page AJ, Cummins CA, Hunt M, Wong VK, Reuter S, Holden MTG, et al. Roary:
Rapid large-scale prokaryote pan genome analysis. Bioinformatics. 2015;31:3691–3.

78. Page AJ, Taylor B, Delaney AJ, Soares J, Seemann T, Keane JA, et al. SNP-sites:
rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA alignments. Microbial
genomics. 2016;2:e000056.

79. Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, Haeseler A von, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: A Fast and
Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies.
Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32:268–74.

80. Yu G. Using ggtree to Visualize Data on Tree-Like Structures. Curr Protoc
Bioinform. 2020;69:e96.

81. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics.
2014;30:2068–9.

82. Carver T, Harris SR, Berriman M, Parkhill J, McQuillan JA. Artemis: an
integrated platform for visualization and analysis of high-throughput sequence-based
experimental data. Bioinform Oxf Engl. 2011;28:464–9.

83. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, et al.
BLAST+: architecture and applications. Bmc Bioinformatics. 2009;10:421.

84. Alikhan N-F, Petty NK, Zakour NLB, Beatson SA. BLAST Ring Image Generator
(BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. Bmc Genomics. 2011;12:402.

85. Li X, Xie Y, Liu M, Tai C, Sun J, acids ZDN, et al. oriTfinder: a web-based tool
for the identification of origin of transfers in DNA sequences of bacterial mobile
genetic elements. academic.oup.com.

86. Jia B, Raphenya AR, Alcock B, Waglechner N, Guo P, Tsang KK, et al. CARD
2017: expansion and model-centric curation of the comprehensive antibiotic
resistance database. Nucleic acids research. 2017;45:D566–73.

87. Hadfield J, Croucher NJ, Goater RJ, Abudahab K, Aanensen DM, Harris SR.
Phandango: an interactive viewer for bacterial population genomics. Bioinform Oxf
Engl. 2017;34:292–3.

88. Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S, Vestergaard M, Rasmussen S, Lund O, et al.
Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. J Antimicrob Chemother.
2012;67:2640–4.

89. Seemann T. Abricate [Internet]. Available from:
https://github.com/tseemann/abricate

90. Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, et al. PHASTER: a better,
faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic acids research. 2016;44:W16-
21.

91. Partridge SR, Tsafnat G. Automated annotation of mobile antibiotic resistance in
Gram-negative bacteria: the Multiple Antibiotic Resistance Annotator (MARA) and
database. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2018;73:883–90.

92. Siguier P, Perochon J, Lestrade L, Mahillon J, Chandler M. ISfinder: the reference
centre for bacterial insertion sequences. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34:D32–6.

1001

1002

1003

1004

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

a

Tra region · finO · repB · repA · msbB
oriT · mok · hok · sok · psiA · psiB · noc
LTh (eltAB)
STh (estA3/4)
stbB · stbA
etpC · etpA · etpB
aatC · aatB · aatA · aatP · rns
CS3 (cstABGH)
ccdA_2 · ccdB_2
msbB'
eatA
ccdA_1 · ccdB_1

pAvM_E1649_8
CS3 LT+STh
120,141 bp

120 kbp · 110 kbp · 100 kbp · 90 kbp · 80 kbp · 70 kbp · 60 kbp · 50 kbp · 40 kbp · 30 kbp · 20 kbp · 10 kbp

1. Strain F5656-C1 plasmid 2
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

2. Strain 2014EL-1346 plasmid 5
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

3. Strain 99-3165 plasmid 2
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

4. Strain 2011EL-1370-2 plasmid 2
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

5. Strain M9682-C1 plasmid 2
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

6. pAvM_E925_4
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

b

Tra region · repA
Type IV pili
rci_2
Tra region
nikB_2 · nikA_2 · oriT_2
pilV' · pilV'
rci_1
Tra region
nikB_1 · nikA_1 · oriT_1 · stbB · stbA · Putative parA · vapB · vapC · cexE · anr
CS1 (cooBACD)

pAvM_E925_6
CS1
82,314 bp

80 kbp · 75 kbp · 70 kbp · 65 kbp · 60 kbp · 55 kbp · 50 kbp · 45 kbp · 40 kbp · 35 kbp · 30 kbp · 25 kbp · 20 kbp · 15 kbp · 10 kbp · 5 kbp

1. Strain FORC-031 pFORC31.3
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

2. Strain 1392/75 p746
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

c

finO_1 · repB_1 · repA_1 · relE_1 · LTh (eltAB)
Tra region 3 · stbA_2 · stbB_2
psiA_1 · psiB_1 · psiB_2 · psiA_2
stbB_1
stbA_1 · stbB_4 · stbA_4 · psiB_6 · psiA_6
traM_4 · traJ_4
CFA/I (cfaBACED')
STh (estA2) · sopB_2 · sopA_2 · repB_4 · repA_3 · relE
etpB · etpA · etpC
eatA
cfaD
cexE · aatP · aatA · aatB · aatC · relE_3 · stbB_3 · stbA_3 · psiA_5 · psiB_5
Tra region 2
hok · mok · psiA_4 · psiB_4 · parB · sopA_1 · sopB_1 · relE_2 · repB_3
CS21(lngX1, R–T, X2, A–J, P)
hok
psiA_3 · psiB_3 · repA_2 · repB_2 · finO_2
Tra region 1

pAvM_E36_12
CFA/I LT+STh
381,858 bp

350 kbp · 300 kbp · 250 kbp · 200 kbp · 150 kbp · 100 kbp · 50 kbp

1. Strain 00-3279 plasmid 1
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

2. Strain 00-3279 plasmid 2
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

3. Strain 00-3279 plasmid 3
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

d

pilK · pilJ · pilI · traC · repA · relE · aatP · aatA · aatB · aatC
pilL · pilM · pilN_2
LTh (eltAB)
CS7 (csvABCEFD)
pilP · pilO · pilN_1
Tra region
csvR · cexE · aatD · parA · stbA · stbB · oriT

pAvM_E2980_14
CS7
112,055 bp

110 kbp · 100 kbp · 90 kbp · 80 kbp · 70 kbp · 60 kbp · 50 kbp · 40 kbp · 30 kbp · 20 kbp · 10 kbp

1. Strain E2264 plasmid 1
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

2. Strain 90-9276 plasmid 2
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

3. Strain 90-9280 plasmid 1
 - 100% identity
 - 90% identity
 - 80% identity

| ■ Virulence factor | ■ Potentially involved in virulence | ■ Regulators | ■ Stability/transfer | ■ Antibiotic/metall resistance | ■ IS elements/Transposons | ■ Diverse functions |

Figure 1: Comparison between the ETEC reference plasmids harbouring colonisation

factors and other PacBio-sequenced ETEC plasmids using blastn.

a) pAvM_E1649_8 (CS3) as reference and pAvM_925_4 (CS3) compared to the

following ETEC plasmids: F5656-C1 plasmid 2 (USA, CP024262.1), 2014-EL-1346-

6 plasmid 5 (2014, USA; CP024237.1), 99-3165 plasmid 2 (USA; CP029980.1),

2011EL-1370-2 plasmid 2 (2011, USA; CP022914.1) and M9682-C1 plasmid 2 (1975,

USA; CP024277.1). **b)** pAvM_E925_6 (CS1) compared to ETEC plasmids

pFORC31.3 (2004, Korea; CP013193.1) and 1392/75 p746 (1973; FN822748.1). **c)**

pAvM_E36_12 (CFA/I) compared to plasmids 1-3 (p1: CP024294.1; p2 CP024295.1;

p3: CP024296.1) from ETEC strain 00-3279 (USA). **d)** pAvM_E2980_14 (CS7)

compared to E2264 plasmid 1 (2006, Bangladesh; CP023350.1), 90-9276 plasmid 2

(1988, Bangladesh; CP024298.1) and 90-9280 plasmid 1 (1988, Bangladesh;

CP024241.1). **e)** pAvM_E1441_18 (CS6) compared to F5505-C1 plasmid 2 (2013,

Sweden; CP023259.1) and ATCC 43886 plasmid 2 (CP024255.1). **f)**

pAvM_E1779_19 (CS5+CS6) compared to 204576 p146 (2010, Mali; CP025908.1),

120899 p146 (2012, Gambia; CP025917.1), E2265 plasmid 1 (2006, Bangladesh;

CP023347.1), 504237 p142 (2010, India; CP025863.1), 602354 p148 (2009,

Bangladesh; CP025848.1) and F5176-C6 plasmid 1 (1997; CP024668.1). **g)**

pAvM_E562_25 (CFA/I) compared to p504239_101 (2010, India; CP025860.1). **h)**

pAvM_E1373_28 (CS6) compared to F8111-1SC3 plasmid 3 (USA; CP024272.1),

pEntYN10 (1991, Japan; AP014654.2), F9792 plasmid (USA; CP023274.1), 2014EL-

1345-2 plasmid 4 (2014, USA; CP024227.1) and F6326-C1 plasmid 2 (1998, USA;

CP024265.1). The thresholds chosen for the blastn are shown in the key below each

plasmid comparison. The colour code for the annotations are listed at the bottom of the

1034   figure. The two most inner rings depict GC content in black and GC Skew- in purple

1035   and GC Skew+ in green. The figures were generated using BRIG [84] v0.95.

1036

1037

1038

1039

1040

1041

1042

1043

1044

1045

1046

1047

1048

1049

1050

1051

1052

1053

1054

1055

1056

1057

Figure 2: Comparisons between the identified ETEC phage-plasmids and other similar

phage-plasmids using blastn. **a)** pAvM_E1649_9 is a P1-like phage-plasmid here

compared to Enterobacteria phage P1 (Escherichia virus P1; NC_005856.1) and

pEC2_5 (*E. coli* strain EC2_5; CP041960.1). **b)** pAvM_E2980_29, a phage-plasmid

similar to the pHCM2 (Salmonella typhi strain CT18; AL513384.1) and SSU5

(Salmonella phage; JQ965645.1) in Salmonella typhi. Blastn comparisons were made

using BRIG [84] (v0.95) with the thresholds indicated to the right of each plasmid

comparison. Selected phage and plasmid annotations are shown in the outer ring.

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

1076

Table 1: Characteristics of the reference ETEC strains.

Strain	Lineage	Phylo-group	MLST	O antigen	CF	Toxin profile	YoI[a]	Location	Subject	Age of subject	D/AS[b]
E925	L1	A	2353	O6	CS1+CS3+CS21	LT+STh	2003	Guatemala	Indigenous	Child <5 yrs	D
E1649	L2	A	4	O6	CS2+CS3+CS21	LT+STh	1997	Indonesia	Traveller	Adult	D
E36	L3	B1	173	O78	CFA/I+CS21	LT+STh	1980	Bangladesh	Indigenous	Adult/child	D
E2980	L3	B1	5305	O114	CS7	LT	2010	Bangladesh	Indigenous	Child <5 yrs	D
E1441	L4	A	1312	O25	CS6+CS21	LT	1997	Kenya	Traveller	Adult	D
E1779	L5	B1	443	O115	CS5+CS6	LT+STh	2005	Bangladesh	Indigenous	Adult	D
E562	L6	A	2332	ON3	CFA/I+CS21	STh	2000	Mexico	Traveller	Adult	D/AS
E1373	L7	E	182	O169	CS6	STp	1996	Indonesia	Traveller	Adult	D

[a] YoI: Year of isolation
[b] D/AS: Diarrhoea or Asymptomatic

Table 2: Description of the plasmids present in the 8 ETEC reference strains.

	Plasmid	Length (bp)	GC (%)	Inc[a]	Plasmid features (no of copies)	Virulence genes	Putative virulence genes	Antibiotic resistance profile (genomic)	Acc. no
L1 **E925** **CS1+CS3+CS21** **LTh+STh**	pAvM_E925_4	116 803	48.4	FII	*ccdAB, hok-sok* (antisense RNA-regulated system), *psiAB, repAB, stbAB, tra* genes	*cstA-G* (CS3), *eltAB1* (LTh), *estA3/4* (STh)	*etpBAC*	-	LR883051
	pAvM_E925_5	82 909	48.6	FII + FIB	*psiAB, repA* (2), *repB, repE, parB, sopAB, tra* genes	*lngX1, R, S, T, X2, A-J, P* (CS21)	-	-	LR883052
	pAvM_E925_6	82 314	47.8	I1	*iib* (colicin 1b), *repA, stbAB, tra* genes, *pil* locus, *vapBC*	*cooB, A, C, D* (CS1)	*cexE*	-	LR883053
	pAvM_E925_7	51 418	45.4	FII	*ccdAB, psiA, repAB, stbAB, tra* genes	-	*eatA_1-5* (two disrupted *eatA* copies)	-	LR883054
L2 **E1649** **CS2*+CS3+CS21** **LTh+STh**	pAvM_E1649_8	120 141	47.2	FII	*ccdAB* (duplicated), *hok-sok* (antisense RNA-regulated system), *psiAB, repAB, stbAB, tra* genes	*cstA-G* (CS3), *eltAB1* (LTh), *estA3/4* (STh)	*eatA, etpBAC*	-	LR882976
	pAvM_E1649_9*	102 017	47.6	Y	P1 addiction system (phage related), *repA, sopAB*		-	-	LR882977
	pAvM_E1649_10	86 517	45.0	FII+FIB	*hok-sok* (antisense RNA-regulated system), *psiAB, repA*(2), *repB, sopAB, tra* genes	*lngX1, R, S, T, X2, A-J, P* (CS21)	-	-	LR882974
	pAvM_E1649_11*	8 834	42.9	No hits	ND	-	-	-	LR882975
L3 **E36** **CFA/I+CS21** **LTh+STh**	pAvM_E36_12*	381 858	49.7	FII + FIB	*stbAB* (4), *psiAB* (6), *tra* genes, *repA* (3), *repB* (3), *sopAB* (2), *hok-sok* (antisense RNA-regulated system), *relE*	*cfaA, B, C, D* (CFA/I), *eltAB15* (LTh), *lngX1, R, S, T, X2, A-J, P* (CS21), *estA2* (STh)	*eatA, etpBAC*	-	LR882998
	pAvM_E36_13	99 448	51.6	B/O/K/Z	*stbAB, relE, repA, pil* genes, *psiAB*	-	-	*tetA, B, C, R mdf(A)-like*	LR882999
L3 **E2980** **CS7** **LTh**	pAvM_E2980_14	112 056	48.1	I1	*parA, pil* genes, *relE, repA, stbAB*	*csvA, B, C, D* (CS7), *eltAB* (LTh)	*cexE*	-	LR882979
	pAvM_E2980_15	72 255	52.4	FII	*psiAB, relE, repAB, stbAB, tra* genes	-	-	*strA, strB, sul2, blaTEM-1B*	LR882980
	pAvM_E2980_16	48 305	50.3	I1-like	*stbAB, repA, vapBC* (TA-system)	-	*eatA, etpBAC*	-	LR882981

L4 **E1441** **CS6+CS21** **LTh (LT17)**	pAvM_E1441_17	130 302	51.3	FII + FIB	*pemI/K* (TA-system), *psiAB, repA* (2)*, repB, sopAB, srnAC* (antisense RNA-regulated system)*, stbAB, tra* genes	*lngX1, R, S, T, X2, A-J, P* (CS21)	-	*aadA1, tetR, tetA, sul1, dfrh1*	LR883013
	pAvM_E1441_18	94 840	47.1	FII	*parB, psiAB, repAB, stbAB*	*cssA, B, C, D* (CS6)*, eltAB17* (LTh)	*etaA_1, eatA_2, cexE*	-	LR883014
L5 **E1779** **CS5+CS6** **LTh+STh**	pAvM_E1779_19	142 377	47.6	FII	*ccdAB* (TA-system)*, cea/cia* (Colicin E)*, psiAB, repAB, stbAB* (2 copies)*, tra* genes*, vapBC* (TA-system)	*csfA, B, C, E, F, D* (CS5)*, cssA, B, C, D* (CS6)*, estA3/4* (STh)	*eatA_1, eatA_2*	-	LR883008
	pAvM_E1779_20	88 759	51.8	FII	*hok-sok* (antisense RNA-regulated system)*, psiAB, tra* genes*, repAB, stbAB*	*eltAB15* (LTh)	-	-	LR883009
	pAvM_E1779_21	82 464	51.0	FIIY	*repA* (2)*, repB, tra* genes*, psiAB, parB, sopAB*	-	-	-	LR883010
	pAvM_E1779_22	61 528	50.5	FII	*repAB, tra* genes	-	-	-	LR883011
L6 **E562** **CFA/I+CS21** **STh**	pAvM_E562_23	109 853	50.5	I1 (+ FII)	*parB, psiAB, stbAB, pil* genes*, tra* genes	-	*eatA, etpBAC*	-	LR883001
	pAvM_E562_24	86 655	48.6	FII + FIB	*psiAB, repA* (2)*, repB, stbAB, tra* genes	*lngX1, R, S, T, X2, A-J, P* (CS21)	-	-	LR883002
	pAvM_E562_25*	81 468	46.7	FII	*psiAB* (truncated *psiA*) *relE/B* (toxin-antitoxin system)*, repAB, stbAB, sopAB*	*cfaA, B, C, D* (CFA/I)*, estA2* (STh)	-	-	LR883003
	pAvM_E562_26	88 318	52.9	B/O/K/Z	*pil* genes*, pndAC* (antisense RNA-regulated system) *psiAB, relE, repA, tra* genes	-	-	-	LR883004
	pAvM_E562_27*	83 375	40.0	FII	*hok-sok* (antisense RNA-regulated system)*, pemI/pemK* (TA system)*, psiAB, parB, repAB, tra* genes	-	-	*blaTEM-1b, tetAR, merRTPCADE* (Tn21)	LR883005
L7 **E1373** **CS6** **STp**	pAvM_E1373_28	146 433	46.1	FII + FIB	*parB, psiAB, relE, repA* (2)*, repB*	*cssA, B, C, D* (CS6)*, estA5* (STp), CS8-like gene cluster, *fae*-related genes	-	-	LR882991
	pAvM_E1373_29	109 318	46.4	FIB	*parB, parB-like, repA*	-	-	-	LR882992

[a]Incompatibility groups were determined by PlasmidFinder [34] and pMLST [34] for subtyping.

*Plasmids not circularised