Elucidating essential genes in plant-associated *Pseudomonas protegens* Pf-5 using transposon insertion sequencing

Belinda K Fabian[a], Christie Foster[a], Amy J Asher[a], Liam DH Elbourne[a], Amy K Cain[a,c], Karl A Hassan[b], Sasha G Tetu[a,c#], Ian T Paulsen[a,c#]

[a] Department of Molecular Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney Australia

[b] School of Environmental and Life Sciences, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, Australia

[c] ARC Centre of Excellence in Synthetic Biology, Macquarie University, Sydney Australia

Running title: Essential genes in plant-associated *P. protegens* Pf-5

Address correspondence to Ian Paulsen, ian.paulsen@mq.edu.au or Sasha Tetu, sasha.tetu@mq.edu.au.

Keywords: gene essentiality, genome-wide analysis, *in silico* essential genes, plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, rhizosphere, TraDIS, transposon mutagenesis

Abstract

Gene essentiality studies have been performed on numerous bacterial pathogens, but essential gene sets have been determined for only a few plant-associated bacteria. *Pseudomonas protegens* Pf-5 is a plant-commensal, biocontrol bacteria that can control disease-causing pathogens on a wide range of crops. Work on Pf-5 has mostly focused on secondary metabolism and biocontrol genes, but genome-wide approaches such as high-throughput transposon mutagenesis have not yet been used in this species. Here we generated a dense *P. protegens* Pf-5 transposon mutant library and used transposon-directed insertion site sequencing (TraDIS) to identify 446 genes essential for growth on rich media. Genes required for fundamental cellular machinery were enriched in the essential gene set, while genes related to nutrient biosynthesis, stress responses and transport were under-represented. Comparison of the essential gene sets of Pf-5 and *P. aeruginosa* PA14, an opportunistic human pathogen, provides insight into the biological processes important for their different lifestyles. Key differences include cytochrome *c* biogenesis, formation of periplasmic disulfide bonds, lipid biosynthesis, ribonuclease activity, lipopolysaccharides and cell surface structures. Comparison of the Pf-5 *in silico* predicted and *in vitro* determined essential gene sets highlighted the essential cellular functions that are over- and underestimated by each method. Expanding essentiality studies into bacteria with a range of lifestyles can improve our understanding of the biological processes important for survival and growth in different environmental niches.

Importance

Essential genes are those crucial for survival or normal growth rates in an organism. Essential gene sets have been identified in numerous bacterial pathogens, but only a few plant-associated bacteria. Employing genome-wide approaches, such as transposon insertion sequencing, allows for the concurrent analysis of all genes of a bacterial species and rapid determination of essential gene sets. We have used transposon insertion sequencing to systematically analyze thousands of *Pseudomonas protegens* Pf-5 genes and gain insights into gene functions and interactions that are not readily available using traditional methods. Comparing Pf-5 essential genes with those of *P. aeruginosa* PA14, an opportunistic human pathogen, provides insight into differences in gene essentiality which may be linked to their different lifestyles.

Introduction

Pseudomonas is a ubiquitous and extremely diverse genus with species occupying a range of niches and lifestyles, spanning from opportunistic human pathogens, such as *P. aeruginosa*, through to plant growth promoting strains, such as *P. protegens* Pf-5 (1). Pseudomonads have a core set of common genes (2), but the mechanisms that underly their niche specializations are not well understood (3). Determining the essential gene complement of related microbes residing in different niches has the potential to shed light on differences in the biochemical functions required for survival and growth in specific environments. As observed in other bacterial taxa, each pseudomonad is expected to have a number of common essential genes together with an additional set of strain-specific essential genes, which may reflect differences in lifestyle (4, 5).

Essential genes are those crucial for survival or normal growth rates in an organism (6, 7).

When bacterial genes are manipulated, essential genes are considered to be those for which

mutations cannot be made as deletion of these genes will be lethal (8). Experimentally

determining which bacterial genes are essential is important for understanding the

mechanisms that control bacterial growth, identifying the mechanisms by which microbes

specialize for their environmental niches, and can assist with validating computational

models of gene essentiality (7, 9, 10). While gene essentiality studies have been performed

on numerous bacterial pathogens, essential gene sets have been determined for only a few

plant-associated bacteria, including *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* SmR1, a plant growth

promoting endophyte, and three nitrogen-fixing root endosymbionts (11, 12).

P. protegens Pf-5 (hereafter referred to as Pf-5) is a plant-commensal, biocontrol bacteria

originally isolated from the roots of cotton plants (13). Pf-5 is known to produce a range of

secondary metabolites with antibacterial and antifungal activities (14) and can control

disease-causing pathogens on a wide range of crops, including cotton, wheat, cucumber and

tomatoes (15-18). Analysis of the Pf-5 genome has shed light on many potential functions

and molecular systems utilized in its rhizospheric lifestyle (14, 19). Work on specific genes

and gene networks has indicated functions for a number of Pf-5 genes, particularly

regulatory and metabolic genes involved in the production of secondary metabolites.

However, genome-wide approaches such as high-throughput transposon mutagenesis have

not yet been used to elucidate gene function or essentiality in this important organism.

Here, we define the essential genome of *P. protegens* Pf-5 using transposon-directed

insertion site sequencing (TraDIS). This method combines high-density random transposon

insertion mutagenesis with high-throughput sequencing to concurrently link genotype and

phenotype for thousands of genes (20, 21). TraDIS and other transposon mutagenesis

techniques have successfully been used to determine the essential gene sets of a wide

range of bacteria (22). We also compare the Pf-5 essential gene set with that of

P. aeruginosa PA14 (hereafter referred to as PA14), an opportunistic human pathogen,

providing insight into biological processes critical for their different lifestyles.

Materials and Methods

Transposon mutant library generation and sequencing

Pseudomonas protegens Pf-5 was isolated from soil of a cotton field in Texas, USA (13) and a

complete genome sequence has been generated for this organism (19; ENA accession

number CP000076). Construction of a *P. protegens* Pf-5 dense Tn*5* mutant library was

carried out using the technique previously described (20, 21). Briefly, a custom transposome

was constructed using EZ-Tn*5* transposase (EpiCentre) and a transposon carrying a

kanamycin (Km) resistance cassette isolated from the plasmid pUT_Km (23). The custom

transposome was electroporated into freshly prepared electrocompetent Pf-5 cells, and the

cells were plated on LB-Km agar (16 ug mL^{-1}). Approximately 125,000 colonies were

collected from each of four independent batches, combined and stored as glycerol stocks at

-80°C. Genomic DNA was isolated from two aliquots of stock containing approximately 2.8 x

10^9 cells and the transposon insertion sites were sequenced using the methods described

previously (21) on an Illumina MiSeq platform to obtain 52 bp single-end genomic DNA

reads.

Bioinformatic analysis

The transposon insertion sites were mapped to the Pf-5 genome and statistically analyzed using the Bio-Tradis pipeline (21). A 1 bp mismatch in the transposon tag was allowed and insertions in the extreme 3' end (final 10%) of each gene were discounted as they may not inactivate the gene. Reads with more than one mapping location were mapped to a random matching location to avoid repetitive elements artificially appearing to be essential (0.4% of reads; 21). The pipeline calculates an insertion index value for each gene. This is the number of transposon insertion sites per gene normalized by the length of that gene. A linear regression of the gene insertion indexes of the replicates was completed in R (24). There was a correlation co-efficient of $R^2 = 0.88$ ($p < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$) between the insertion indexes of the replicates which validates the reproducibility of our replicates and is consistent with the high reproducibility between independent replicates in transposon insertion sequencing studies (25). Essential genes are those with an insertion index lower than the essentiality cut-off value determined by the Bio-Tradis pipeline (26). In this study we required essential genes to have an insertion index lower than the cut-off value in both replicates.

Essential gene analysis

A Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COG) code (27) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) Orthology (KO) term (28, 29) for each Pf-5 gene was gathered using eggNOG mapper v1 (30, 31; Dataset S1). We used eggNOG mapper v2 (31, 32) to find this information for 18 essential genes where there were no orthologs identified by eggNOG mapper v1. COG functional category enrichment analysis of the essential gene set compared to the whole gene set was conducted using Fisher's exact test ($p < 0.05$) and corrected for multiple testing using a 5% false-discovery rate (FDR; 33). The sum of all categories does not

equal the total number of genes in the genome as some genes are assigned multiple COG

codes. The KEGG mapper tool (34) was used to map the essential genes to KEGG pathways.

The presence and type of signal peptides in essential genes were identified using SignalP

v5.0 (Dataset S1; 35) and the presence of transmembrane domains in proteins was

determined using TMHMM v2.0 (Dataset S1; 36). As a transmembrane helix close to the

N terminus is likely to be a signal peptide, proteins were only classed as membrane proteins

if transmembrane helices were detected outside the first 50 residues. Enrichment for genes

with signal peptides or transmembrane helices in the essential gene set compared to the

whole genome was tested using Fisher's exact test ($p < 0.05$) with Bonferroni correction for

testing multiple values.

Pf-5 essential genes were also compared with PA14 essential genes determined by Poulsen

and colleagues, which were based on growth in LB media (5). The Poulsen study determined

the essential protein-coding genes using two statistical methods: the family-wise error rate

(FWER) method, which identified 437 genes as essential, and the false discovery rate (FDR)

method, which identified an additional 159 genes as essential (total of 596 essential genes).

Orthologs of Pf-5 essential genes in PA14 were determined using Proteinortho v5 (37) and

these were used to compare Pf-5 essential genes to the sets from PA14 derived from each

statistical method.

In silico prediction of Pf-5 essential genes was conducted using Geptop 2.0

(http://cefg.uestc.cn/geptop/) with amino acid sequences and an essentiality score cutoff of

0.24 (38). The predicted Pf-5 essential genes were compared with the *in vitro* determined

Pf-5 essential genes. The gene PFL_0842 was originally annotated as a pseudogene, so it

was not assessed by Geptop (marked 'N/A' in Dataset S1).

Data availability

All sequence data generated in this study have been submitted to the EBI European

Nucleotide Archive (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/) under the project accession number

PRJEB39292, within which are the two samples analyzed here ERR4327923 and

ERR4327924.

Results and Discussion

Identification of *P. protegens* Pf-5 essential genes

Our dense mutant library of *P. protegens* Pf-5 was generated using random saturation

mutagenesis with a Tn*5* transposon containing a kanamycin resistance cassette. Over

500,000 transposon mutants were pooled in the construction of the library and the analysis

of sequencing data showed there are ~256,000 unique transposon insertion sites in the

mutant library (Table 1). Transposon insertions occurred evenly throughout the genome

(Figure 1a), with an average of one transposon insertion every ~27 bp and an average of 45

transposon insertion sites in each non-essential protein-coding gene. Multiple insertion sites

in a gene are independent evidence that a gene is not essential under the specific conditions

used (39, 40). Rarefaction analysis showed that the sequencing reached saturation in terms

of the number of unique transposon sites identified in the library (Figure 1c).

Figure 1. *P. protegens* Pf-5 transposon mutant library overview. (A) Distribution of

transposon insertion sites across the genome. The outermost circle in grey shows the Pf-5

genome in Mbp. The length of the blue bars in the middle circle represents the number of

sequencing reads at each unique transposon insertion location. The inner circle in orange

shows the locations of genes characterized as essential with TraDIS. Circular figure created

using the R package circlize (41). (B) Correlation of gene insertion indexes for the two

replicates of the library. Insertion index is calculated as the number of transposon insertion

sites in a gene divided by the gene length. A line through the origin with a slope of 1 is also

shown. (C) Rarefaction analysis showing the relationship between sequencing depth and the

number of insertion sites in the transposon mutant library. Analysis conducted using the

seq_saturation_test.py script available at https://github.com/francesca-short/tradis_scripts

(42) and visualized using the R package ggplot2 (43). (D) A section of the Pf-5 genome

containing both essential (orange) and non-essential (grey) genes. The frequency of

sequence reads at each transposon insertion site is capped at 1 and genes are annotated

with Pf-5 gene names or locus tag numbers. The genes *groL* and *groS* have very few or no

insertions and are essential genes. The genes PFL_4840, PFL_4841 and PFL_4842 have a

high transposon insertion density and are therefore classed as non-essential genes.

Transposon insertion sites are visualized using Artemis (44).

Table 1. *Pseudomonas protegens* Pf-5 transposon mutant library metrics based on Bio-Tradis

analysis.

Replicate	No. of reads with matching transposon tag	No. (%) of aligned reads	No. of unique insertion sites	Insertion index for essentiality cut-off
1	1,320,631	1,278,951 (96.8%)	242,827	0.0076
2	1,555,998	1,504,986 (96.7%)	256,020	0.0089

We found 446 out of 6,109 coding sequences (7.3%) were critical for Pf-5 survival and

growth on LB agar (Dataset S1). Other pseudomonads that have their essential gene sets

characterized also have similar proportions of their genomes determined to be essential

genes. For example, *P. aeruginosa* PA14 has 596 out of 5894 protein-coding genes (10%)

identified as essential when grown on LB (5) and 6% of the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 genome was

found to be essential for growth on a complex medium (45). The few plant-associated

bacteria that have their essential gene sets identified have similar proportions of essential

genes, for example *H. seropedicae* SmR1, a plant endophyte, required 372 out of 4804

protein-coding genes (7.7%) for survival and growth on rich media (12) and 5.6% of the

genome of the root endophyte *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *viciae* 3841 was determined

to be essential (11). Pf-5 and other plant-associated bacteria often have large genomes with

numerous stress response and biosynthetic pathways that are important for survival in their

highly variable environmental niches (19, 46, 47). Many of these pathways were likely not

critical for Pf-5 survival in this study due to the nutrient-rich and low stress conditions.

Transposon insertion density was slightly higher for genes located near the origin of

replication compared to genes located closer to the terminus of replication (Figure 1a). This

is regularly observed in genomic and transcriptomic studies due to ongoing DNA replication

in the bacterial population. In keeping with this, the density of essential genes was also

somewhat lower towards the replication terminus (Figure 1a). Lower numbers of essential

genes in terminus regions may also reflect common patterns of genome arrangement; for

example, terminus regions of bacterial genomes are often poorly conserved and experience

higher rates of lateral gene transfer and rearrangement (48, 49).

The set of genes determined to be essential under the test conditions included PFL_0842,

previously annotated as a pseudogene. Analysis showed that this sequence likely represents

a functional gene, as it has positional orthologs with the same genomic context (part of an

operon with *nusA* and *infB*) in numerous *Pseudomonas* species annotated as *rimP* ribosome

maturation factor (50). Based on this, PFL_0842 has been annotated as *rimP* in this study.

Functions of essential genes

A functional overview of the Pf-5 genome was obtained by classifying the genes using

Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COG) categories (27). 87.3% of Pf-5 coding genes were able

to be assigned a COG code. Several functional categories were significantly over-

represented in the set of essential genes relative to the overall genome, most notably

translation (J); cell cycle control, cell division and chromosome partitioning (D); coenzyme

transport and metabolism (H); nucleotide transport and metabolism (F) as well as

intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport (U); cell wall/membrane/envelope

biogenesis (M); and replication, recombination and repair (L; Figure 2). Other functional

categories were significantly under-represented in the set of essential genes including

secondary metabolite biosynthesis, transport and catabolism (Q); amino acid transport and

metabolism (E); inorganic ion transport and metabolism (P); transcription (K); and signal

transduction mechanisms (T; Figure 2). Genes with unknown function (S) make up a

significantly lower proportion of the essential gene set (10.6%) compared to the whole Pf-5

genome (22%; Figure 2).

Figure 2. Cluster of Orthologous Groups (COG) functional enrichment analysis of

P. protegens Pf-5 essential genes compared to the whole genome. COG category

enrichment was tested using Fisher's exact test and corrected for multiple testing using a

5% false-discovery rate; * indicates significant enrichment or depletion with $p < 0.05$; six

COG categories are not included in the figure: categories A and B contain 2 and 5 non-

essential genes, respectively, and categories R, W, Y and Z contain no genes.

The pattern of enrichment in essential genes belonging to COG categories for fundamental

cellular machinery, such as translation and ribosome structure, coenzyme transport and

metabolism and cell envelope biogenesis, reflects the fact that these functions are critical

for survival and growth. In contrast, genes belonging to the COG category of transcription

were notably under-represented in the essential gene set, presumably as transcriptional

regulatory genes are typically required under changing environmental conditions (51), and

therefore were not essential during growth in stable laboratory conditions with rich media.

At the metabolic pathway level, most of the key pathways were essential in Pf-5, including

the TCA cycle, fatty acid biosynthesis, gluconeogenesis, peptidoglycan biosynthesis and

heme biosynthesis, with all or most of the genes within each of these pathways observed

within the essential gene set. Genes required for glycolysis, however, were not essential in

this study, presumably as the mutant library was grown on LB media which contains high

concentrations of peptides and amino acids which feed into other metabolic pathways.

Similarly, under-representation of genes required for amino acid and inorganic ion transport

and metabolism was observed, likely due to these biosynthetic pathways not being required

for growth on rich media; this has also been observed in other gene essentiality studies

across a wide range of bacterial taxa (52). Secondary metabolite biosynthesis, transport and

catabolism genes were also under-represented in the essential gene set, potentially due to

the limitation of TraDIS methodology in the detection of genes related to public goods (53).

Although Pf-5 encodes 780 transporter proteins (54), only four transport systems were

essential: the LptBFG, MsbA, LolCDE, and CcmABC complexes. The first three of these

transport systems are involved in the biogenesis of the outer membrane, while CcmABC is a

heme chaperone-release system required for the biogenesis of cytochrome *c* (55, 56). The

non-essentiality of other transporters was likely due to functional redundancy, the presence

of gene duplicates, or that they were superfluous for growth in rich media.

Among the set of essential genes, a number remain annotated as hypothetical genes (35

genes or 7% of the essential gene set). The essentiality of these hypothetical genes indicates

they are associated with as yet unknown functions that are critical for Pf-5 survival and

growth on rich media. Given this, characterization of these genes would be of particular

interest and may provide further useful insights into fundamental biological processes in

this plant-associated bacteria.

Essential genes associated with mobile genetic elements

The Pf-5 genome contains six prophage regions (Prophage 01-06) and two large genomic

islands (PFGI-1 and -2), together comprising a total of 226 genes (57). Ten of the essential

Pf-5 genes reside within these genome regions (Dataset S1). This includes PFL_4679,

encoding type IV pilus biogenesis protein PilR which is located within ICE-type genomic

island PFGI-1. This gene is part of a *pil* cluster, orthologs of which in PA14 are also located

within a genomic island and have been found to be involved with conjugative transfer of the

excised island to recipients (58, 59), but were not found to be essential in PA14 Tn-Seq

experiments using rich medium (5). As *pilR* was the sole essential gene from this cluster in

Pf-5, it may be that mutants of this gene were not viable due to accumulation of a toxic

intermediate product such as non-polymerized pilin monomers, rather than due to impaired

pilus formation capacity, which would presumably select against mutation of genes for the

other pilus components.

The F-pyocin-like Prophage 01 contains the essential gene PFL_1210 which encodes the

transcriptional regulator PrtR (57), a Cro/CI-like repressor of pyocin production, which has

been previously found to be essential for *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 in rich media (45, 60).

Essentiality of the *prtR* gene was presumably important for control of production of these

polypeptide toxins to prevent self-lysis. Other prophage regions contain additional

regulators observed to be essential, including two more Cro/C1-type transcriptional

repressors (PFL_2126 in Prophage 04 and PFL_3780 in Prophage 06) and a peptidase

S24-like protein/LexA-like repressor (PFL_1986 in Prophage 03; 57), which may similarly be

required to prevent production of toxins or phage lytic conversion.

Of the remaining five MGE-associated essential genes, two have only predicted functions:

the putative ATP-dependent nuclease PFL_1842 in Prophage 02 and the putative nuclease

PFL_4984 within PFGI-2 (57); the remainder are conserved hypothetical proteins and

potentially important targets for future characterization efforts. The presence of multiple

essential genes within these MGE regions shows the capacity for such horizontally inherited

material to acquire critical functions within bacterial cells, either in aiding stability of the

MGE within the genome (for example, toxin/antitoxin systems) or contributing other

conditionally essential functions.

Protein subcellular localization of essential genes

Enrichment analysis for genes containing signal peptide sequences showed that genes that

encode proteins containing lipoprotein and general secretion (sec) signal peptides were

significantly under-represented in the essential gene set when compared to the Pf-5

genome as a whole (Table 2). Similarly, genes encoding proteins with transmembrane

helices were significantly under-represented in the Pf-5 essential gene set (Table 2). This

under-representation in the essential gene set is consistent with the subcellular localization

of essential genes of 20 other gram-negative bacteria (61). As transmembrane proteins and

those containing signal peptides are secreted outside cells or anchored in cell membranes

they are often involved in interactions with other microorganisms and hosts (62) and

therefore may not have been required under axenic conditions.

Table 2. Bioinformatic prediction of genes with signal peptide and transmembrane helices

in the Pf-5 essential gene set and the whole Pf-5 genome.

Protein subcellular localization	Number in essential gene set	Proportion of essential genes	Number in whole genome	Proportion of all genes
SP	19	4.3%[†]	673	11.0%
TAT	3	0.7%	55	0.9%
LIPO	8	1.8%[†]	260	4.3%
Total signal peptides	**30**	**6.7%**	**988**	**16.2%**
Transmembrane proteins^	40	9.0%[†]	1089	17.8%

323 SP = Sec signal peptide; TAT = Tat signal peptide; LIPO = Lipoprotein signal peptide

324 Enrichment of these genes in essential gene set was tested using Fisher's exact test with

325 Bonferroni correction for testing multiple values.

326 [†] indicates significant underrepresentation in the essential gene set with $p < 0.05$

327 ^ one or more transmembrane helices detected outside the first 50 residues

Comparison of essential genes in *P. protegens* Pf-5 and *P. aeruginosa* PA14

Based on our analysis, there were 446 essential protein-coding genes in Pf-5 when grown on

LB media. A similar Tn-Seq study in PA14 has recently investigated essential protein-coding

genes for this opportunistic human pathogen on LB media (5). This paper utilized two

statistical methods to identify essential genes, the first based on the family-wise error rate

(FWER) method which identified 437 genes as essential and the second using a false

discovery rate (FDR) method which identified 596 genes as essential. We compared our set

of Pf-5 essential genes with both sets from Poulsen et al. (5) and found the FDR set more

closely aligned with our essential gene calls (Dataset S1). The FDR set also included genes

expected to be essential, such as F_0F_1 ATPase, cell division and ribosomal protein genes,

which were not identified by the family-wise error rate analysis (5).

Using the FDR set from Poulsen et al. (5) we investigated the similarities and differences

between the essential gene sets of Pf-5 and PA14, finding that most (80%) of Pf-5 essential

genes overlap with those of PA14, while each species also had a number of unique essential

genes (Figure 3). The majority of the 357 overlapping essential genes relate to basic cellular

functions, such as translation, cell envelope biogenesis, co-enzyme transport and

metabolism, energy production, replication and recombination, and lipid transport and

metabolism.

Figure 3. Comparison of the essential protein-coding genes of *P. protegens* Pf-5 with the

essential genes of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 determined using a false discovery rate adjustment

method (5). The PA14 orthologs of seven Pf-5 essential genes did not have their essentiality

assessed by Poulsen et al. (5). Venn diagram created using Multiple List Comparator

(www.molbiotools.com/listcompare.html).

Genes essential only in Pf-5

There were 38 genes within the Pf-5 essential set which were not flagged as essential in PA14 (Figure 3). This set included genes related to energy generation (cytochrome *c* biogenesis), formation of periplasmic disulfide bonds, three ribosomal proteins, amino acid biosynthesis, cell surface structures and hypothetical proteins.

Two-thirds of the genes in the cytochrome *c* maturation pathway are essential in Pf-5 (*ccmACEF*), but this pattern was not observed in PA14, where only one of these was in the essential set (*ccmB*). The *ccmABCDEF* genes encode proteins that carry out post-translational modifications on *c*-type cytochromes to facilitate their binding to heme (63). The essentiality of this set of genes in Pf-5 is consistent with the importance of generating cytochrome *c* to facilitate heme production.

Two of the genes essential only in Pf-5 encode proteins involved in the formation of disulfide bonds (*dsbD* and *trxB_1*). DsbD is a thiol:disulfide interchange protein which is the sole provider of periplasmic reducing power and has a role in cytochrome *c* maturation (64). In *Escherichia coli* DsbD is important, but not essential for cytochrome *c* maturation as developing cytochromes can use either the DsbD-independent or dependent pathways (64). The PA14 *dsbD* ortholog *dipZ2* and its paralog *dipZ* were both determined to be non-essential when grown on rich media (5). The essentiality of *dsbD* in Pf-5, but not in PA14, may reflect a different balance in the proportion of maturing *c*-type cytochromes that pass through each pathway. The Pf-5 gene *trxB_1* that encodes thioredoxin reductase was essential, but its paralog *trxB_2* was non-essential. In PA14 there are also two paralogs, *trxB_1* and *trxB_2*, but both of these genes were non-essential (5). *Trx* genes in bacteria

supply reducing power to DsbD and play a role in stress responses, such as oxidative stress

in *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* (65). The difference in essentiality of the two Pf-5 *trxB*

paralogs suggests that TrxB_1 may be the main provider of reducing power to DsbD and

that TrxB_2 may be required under stress or other conditions.

Three ribosomal protein genes *rpmE_2, rpmF* and *rpmI* were essential in Pf-5, but not in

PA14. In Pf-5 *rpmE_2* codes for a C+ form of the 50S ribosomal protein L31 which is stable

when bound to a zinc ion; in contrast, *rpmE_1* encodes a C- form of the protein which lacks

metal chelating capacity (66). The essentiality of *rpmE_2* in Pf-5 reflects the zinc replete

conditions in this study, while *rpmE_1* has been found to be conditionally essential for Pf-5

in zinc limited conditions (66). In PA14, both of the genes annotated as *rpmE* were non-

essential when grown on LB (PA14_66710 and PA14_17700; 5). The genes that encode 50S

ribosomal proteins L32 (*rpmF*) and L35 (*rpmI*), whilst not essential in PA14 (5), have been

found to be essential in a number of other bacteria. For example, *rpmF* was essential in

Burkholderia thailandensis E264 (67) and both genes were essential in *Acinetobacter baylyi*

ADP1 (68).

Genes encoding three proteins responsible for the formation of homoserine and its

conversion to threonine (*thrBC* and *hom*) were essential in Pf-5, but not in PA14. These

amino acids are important intermediates in amino acid biosynthesis and are precursors for

the formation of methionine, serine, glycine and cysteine (69). These results suggest that

PA14 was better able to take up threonine from the media in these conditions than Pf-5.

The gene *eda* which encodes 2-dehydro-3-deoxyphosphogluconate aldolase/4-hydroxy-2-

oxoglutarate aldolase was essential in Pf-5 but its PA14 ortholog PA14_23090 was non-

essential. Eda performs a key role in the catabolism of glucose and conversion into pyruvate

through the Entner-Doudoroff pathway (70). This difference in essentiality is likely due to

redundancy for this function in PA14; an *eda* paralog *kdgA* (PA14_23620) was also non-

essential.

Three genes related to lipopolysaccharide formation (*kdsC, PFL_0526, PFL_0563*) were

essential only in Pf-5. Similarly, in PA14 there are a number of essential genes that encode

proteins important for lipopolysaccharide formation and other cell surface features that

were not essential in Pf-5 (*htrB*, *omlA*, *wbpLV*, *rfbACD*, *pstB*, *wecB*). This difference in

essential genes related to the cell membrane and cell surface likely reflects species-specific

differences in many of these components, such as O-antigen, the polysaccharide component

of lipopolysaccharide that extends from the surface of Gram-negative cells (71).

There were also differences in the essentiality of some conserved hypothetical proteins that

are homologous in Pf-5 and PA14. The proteins encoded by PFL_1792 and PFL_2999 were

essential in Pf-5, whereas their orthologs PA14_25620 and PA14_01220, respectively, were

non-essential in PA14. Likewise, there were 16 essential conserved hypothetical proteins in

PA14 but their orthologs were non-essential in Pf-5 (Dataset S1).

Pf-5 essential genes with no PA14 orthologs

There were 43 genes essential in Pf-5 that do not have homologs in PA14 (Figure 3),

including genes related to cell membrane and surface structures, pyoluteorin biosynthesis, a

TonB complex, and 25 conserved hypothetical proteins. The unique nature of the seven

genes related to the cell surface and cell membrane (PFL_2356, PFL_5099-5103 and

PFL_5030) is consistent with the species specificity of these components. The essential gene

pltL from the pyoluteorin biosynthesis gene cluster has no PA14 homolog as this cluster is

not present in PA14.

Genes that encode TonB complexes in both species were essential, but the genes that form

some of the TonB systems are unique to Pf-5 and PA14. In Pf-5 the TonB1 complex (*tonB1*,

exbB1 and *exbD1*) was essential and there is no homologous TonB complex in PA14. In PA14

tonB (no Pf-5 homolog) and *exbD2* (homolog to PFL_2822) were essential. Many bacterial

species only have one TonB system, but some species have multiple TonB systems with

different functional specificities, for example *Vibrio cholerae* CA401S (72) and *P. aeruginosa*

PAO1 (73). Both Pf-5 and PA14 possess multiple TonB complexes; Pf-5 has six annotated

TonB complexes, while PA14 has two. This difference in essentiality of TonB systems of Pf-5

and PA14 is consistent with the differing functionalities of TonB systems observed in other

species.

Genes essential only in PA14

There are 240 PA14 essential genes unique to PA14; only 141 of these have Pf-5 orthologs

(Figure 3). This includes genes that encode proteins involved in four biosynthetic pathways

(aromatic amino acids, biotin, lysine and lipids), cell division, homologous recombination,

ribonuclease activity and 16 conserved hypothetical proteins.

Six genes in the aromatic amino acid biosynthetic pathway were essential in PA14, whereas

all genes in this pathway were non-essential in Pf-5. This pathway, known as the shikimate

pathway, produces chorismate which is the last common precursor of the aromatic amino

acids tryptophan, tyrosine and phenylalanine as well as vitamins E and K and some

siderophores (74, 75). The essentiality of *aroABCEK* and *pheA* show that chorismate is an

important branch-point metabolite for PA14 survival and growth. In contrast, the non-

essentiality of these genes in Pf-5 suggests that this pathway was not required under these

experimental conditions and Pf-5 obtained aromatic amino acids from the media.

Genes encoding five proteins in the biotin biosynthesis pathway (*bioABCDF*) and its

transcriptional repressor (*birA*) were essential in PA14, but non-essential in Pf-5. Biotin, also

known as vitamin H, is a cofactor for enzymes involved in central metabolism carboxylation

reactions (76). These results suggest that Pf-5 was better able to take up biotin from the

media than PA14.

Four genes that encode proteins involved in cell division were essential in PA14, but non-

essential in Pf-5 (*ftsK, minCD, mreD, PFL_0438)*. Cell division proteins are essential for the

normal replication and viability of bacterial cells; for example, *minCD* encodes proteins that

ensure that cell division occurs in the middle of the cell not at a polar site (77) and *mreD*

encodes a shape protein which is involved in the rod shape of cells. When *mreD* is knocked

out cells take on a spherical form (78). Other rod-shaped bacteria, such as *A. baylyi* ADP1,

have been able to dispense with these genes under lab conditions in essentiality studies (68)

but *ftsK* and *mreD* have been reported to be essential in other studies in *P. aeruginosa* (45).

The non-essentiality of these genes in Pf-5 suggests that cells without these proteins

survived in the short timeframe of this experiment, albeit presumably with abnormal

morphologies.

Five genes that code for lipid metabolism proteins were essential in PA14, but not Pf-5. The

genes *fadA* and *fadB* encode the two peptides that form the fatty acid oxidation complex.

This complex is part of the β-oxidation cycle which is responsible for the degradation of long

chain fatty acids into acetyl-coenzyme A (79). In Pf-5 there is a single copy of *fadA*, but

multiple copies of *fadB* (*fadB*, *fadB1x* and *fadB2x*). In *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 expression of each

of the *fadAB* operon homologues is induced by the presence of different fatty acids (80, 81).

There are also three acyl-CoA dehydrogenase family proteins (PFL_0245, PFL_2615 and

PFL_5687) that were essential in PA14, but non-essential in Pf-5. The difference in the

essentiality of these five genes in PA14 and Pf-5 suggests that the two species have different

availabilities of fatty acids and maintain different balances in their lipid metabolism to

achieve membrane homeostasis.

Genes *recBCD* and *ruvA* that encode homologous recombination enzymes were essential in

PA14 but non-essential in Pf-5. A relatively small number of bacterial essential gene studies

have identified these genes as essential (22), despite the importance of Rec-mediated repair

of double stranded DNA breaks. The non-essentiality of *recBCD* and *ruvA* suggests this

function is not essential for Pf-5 under laboratory conditions and timescales.

Genes that encode four ribonucleases were essential in PA14, but non-essential in Pf-5 (*rnc*,

rne, *rnt* and PFL_3322). Ribonucleases (or RNases) have dual functions: they are involved in

both the maturation and degradation of rRNAs, tRNAs, sRNAs and mRNAs (82). In *E. coli*

there is evidence to suggest these ribonucleases may have overlapping functionalities (83),

so these may have been non-essential in Pf-5 due to redundancy.

The genes *dapA_1* and *dapF_2* encoding proteins in the lysine biosynthetic pathway (84)

were in the essential set in PA14 but not Pf-5. This difference in essentiality may occur as

PA14 has a single copy of each gene, whereas Pf-5 has redundancy for these functions (two

copies of each gene). A similar pattern is observed with the gene *fabF* which codes for beta-

ketoacyl-acyl-carrier-protein synthase II, a part of the fatty acid biosynthesis pathway and a

vital enzyme in the biogenesis of phospholipid membranes (85). The two copies of this gene

in Pf-5, *fabF_1 and fabF_2*, were non-essential. Of the two PA14 copies of this gene *fabF1*

was essential and *fabF2* was non-essential (5).

Comparison of *in vitro* determined and *in silico* predicted essential genes

In parallel with the development of transposon library-based approaches for determining

gene essentiality, computational tools have been developed to predict essential gene sets

based on information such as gene orthology and phylogeny (38). Here we compared the

set of essential genes identified *in vitro* by TraDIS with computational predictions by Geptop

2.0 (38). Geptop 2.0 identified 406 protein-coding genes as essential for growth and survival

of Pf-5 (Dataset S1). When compared with the 446 essential protein-coding genes identified

by TraDIS on rich media there were 308 genes in common, 138 genes only identified by

TraDIS, and 98 genes that were only in the computationally predicted set (Figure 4). The 308

genes identified as essential by both methodologies include core cellular functions such as

the processing of information (replication, translation and transcription), energy production,

cell division and maintenance of the cell envelope.

Figure 4. Comparison of *P. protegens* Pf-5 essential protein-coding genes identified *in vitro*

by TraDIS and the essential genes predicted *in silico* by Geptop 2.0 (38). Venn diagram

created using Multiple List Comparator (www.molbiotools.com/listcompare.html).

Out of the 138 essential genes identified only by TraDIS, 14 are related to lipopolysaccharide

biosynthesis and cell surface structures, including *rfaCF*, *waaGP* and a range of lipoprotein,

O-antigen and glycosyltransferase genes. The absence of these genes from the predicted

essential gene set is consistent with the species-specific nature of these pathways and the

use of evolutionary conservation in the Geptop computational approach (38). Thirty-two

essential genes identified only by TraDIS are annotated as hypothetical proteins. As Geptop

uses orthology and phylogeny to predict essential genes it is unsurprising that hypothetical

genes were not included in the predicted essential gene set.

There are a number of genes our work indicates are essential that have not been identified

by Geptop. For example, *fbp* encoding frustose-1,6-bisphosphatase, cell division genes (*zipA*,

ftsB and *minE*), *fis* encoding the DNA binding protein Fis, cytochrome c biogenesis

(*ccmABCEF*), genes encoding proteins involved in the maintenance of membrane stability

(*pal* and *tolABQR*), sulfur relay genes (*tusABCDE*) and iron-sulfur cluster genes (*hscAB*, *iscA*

and ferrodoxin genes *fdx* and PFL_5869). These genes have been found to be essential in

other organisms such as *E. coli*, *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Shewanella oneidensis* (86-88).

This suggests there may be functional categories of genes that are systematically missed by

Geptop.

Ninety-eight Pf-5 protein-coding genes were identified as essential by Geptop but not found

to be essential *in vitro* by TraDIS. There are three major reasons these genes were not

identified as essential in the *in vitro* data. Firstly, there is some redundancy in the genome

for essential functions. For example, the genes *ddlAB* encode D-alanine--D-alanine ligases

which condense two molecules of D-alanine, an essential step in peptidoglycan biosynthesis

(89, 90), yet neither gene was essential *in vitro*, presumably as loss of function of each single

gene can be tolerated. Similarly, *map_1* and *map_2* both encode methionine

aminopeptidases which perform the essential function of cleaving methionine residues from

the N-terminal of nascent proteins (91), but loss of either of these genes did not preclude

growth *in vitro*. Many of the genes encoding subunits of NADH-quinone oxidoreductase

were non-essential in Pf-5 *in vitro* (*nuoBDGHIJKLMN*) but were predicted to be essential by

Geptop. In addition to the *nuo* complex, which encodes a type I NADH dehydrogenase,

there are two other NADH dehydrogenases encoded in the Pf-5 genome (92). These three

NADH dehydrogenases provide Pf-5 with respiratory flexibility, such as in *P. aeruginosa*

where two NADH dehydrogenases were redundant in aerobic conditions (93). As TraDIS

library construction generates single knockout mutants there is limited capacity to identify

essential genes where there is functional redundancy in the genome, which is a recognized

limitation of this technique (94).

Secondly, some genes encode products with essential functions in bacterial cells, but these

functions are only performed under certain conditions. For example, the highly conserved

Clp proteolytic system degrades misfolded and abnormal proteins which accumulate in

response to environmental stresses (95). This essential function is reflected in the Geptop

prediction of *clpP* and *clpX* as essential, but these genes were not identified as essential by

TraDIS, presumably as environmental stresses were low under our library growth

conditions. This pattern is also observed with *dnaJ* which encodes a molecular chaperone

which helps to ensure the correct folding of proteins, particularly under heat shock (96, 97),

and the highly conserved gene p*olA* encoding DNA Polymerase I which repairs damaged

DNA during replication (98). These proteins perform important functions but were not

essential under the stable conditions and short duration of this study. The media and

growth conditions under which transposon libraries are created also influences the

essentiality of genes (7). The rich media used in our *in vitro* experiments may have resulted

in some genes that were predicted to be essential *in silico* not being identified as essential

by TraDIS. For example, three amino acid biosynthetic genes (*aroBCK*) were predicted to be

essential by Geptop, but were non-essential *in vitro*, likely due to the ability of Pf-5 to

acquire amino acids from the media.

Lastly, the experimental timeframe is likely also a factor in some genes being identified by

TraDIS as non-essential. These genes may have important roles at certain stages of cell or

population growth and therefore are identified as essential based on the phylogenetic

approach used by Geptop. For example, the genes *ftsHKX* and *parAB* perform important

roles in cell division (99) and were predicted to be essential by Geptop, but they were

determined to be non-essential by TraDIS. This indicates cells with these individual gene

disruptions may undergo abnormal cell division, potentially resulting in aberrant

morphologies, but the cells were not lost completely from the population. It is likely that

such altered morphologies may become problematic in a longer-term study.

Conclusion

In this study we created a saturated transposon mutant library and used TraDIS to

successfully identify 446 genes that were essential for *P. protegens* Pf-5, a plant-associated

bacteria, to survive and grow on rich media. The essential gene set showed enrichment of

genes required for fundamental cellular machinery, which is consistent with the

composition of essential gene sets in other bacteria. Genes related to nutrient biosynthesis,

stress responses and transport were under-represented, potentially due to the specific

growth conditions used in this study as well as functional redundancy within the genome.

We identified key differences between the essential gene sets of the plant-associated

pseudomonad Pf-5 and the well-studied opportunistic pathogen PA14. These include genes

related to energy generation (cytochrome *c* biogenesis), formation of periplasmic disulfide

bonds, lipid biosynthesis, ribonuclease activity, lipopolysaccharides and cell surface

structures. This information highlights differences in the processes required for survival and

growth of pseudomonads that occupy different environmental niches.

Our comparison of the essential gene sets determined *in silico* and via the *in vitro* TraDIS

approach shows that the prediction of essential genes by Geptop on the basis of

conservation through evolutionary time overestimates the essentiality of some cellular

functions and underestimates others. Despite this, there is still substantial overlap in the

genes identified as essential by these two methods. While both techniques have recognized

limitations, the information from TraDIS studies could be used to evaluate and improve *in*

silico predictive models for essential genes.

Using TraDIS to systematically analyze thousands of genes provides insights into gene

functions and interactions that are not readily available using traditional methods. The Pf-5

transposon mutant library will enable high-throughput studies in a range of growth

conditions, such as competition with soil microbes or stress tolerance. Expanding

essentiality studies beyond bacterial pathogens improves our understanding of the

biological processes important for survival and growth in different environmental niches.

Acknowledgements

The TraDIS sequencing for this study was conducted at The Ramaciotti Centre for Genomics

at the University of New South Wales. *Pseudomonas protegens* Pf-5 was obtained from

Joyce Loper (Oregon State University). The authors thank Dr Francesca Short (Macquarie

University) for advice on TraDIS data analysis and Professor Joyce Loper (Oregon State

University) and Dr Virginia Stockwell (USDA) for helpful discussions. This work is supported

by an Australian Research Council Discovery Grant (#DP160103746) to IP, ST and KH. IP is

supported by an Australian Research Council Laureate Fellowship (#FL140100021), ST is

supported by an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award

(#DE150100009) and BF is the recipient of an Australian Government Research Training

Pathway Scholarship.

References

1. Peix A, Ramírez-Bahena MH, Velázquez E. 2018. The current status on the taxonomy of *Pseudomonas* revisited: An update. Infect, Genet Evol 57:106-116.

2. Hesse C, Schulz F, Bull CT, Shaffer BT, Yan Q, Shapiro N, Hassan KA, Varghese N, Elbourne LDH, Paulsen IT, Kyrpides N, Woyke T, Loper JE. 2018. Genome-based evolutionary history of *Pseudomonas* spp. Environ Microbiol 20:2142-2159.

3. Silby MW, Winstanley C, Godfrey SAC, Levy SB, Jackson RW. 2011. *Pseudomonas* genomes: Diverse and adaptable. FEMS Microbiol Rev 35:652-680.

4. Danchin A, Fang G. 2016. Unknown unknowns: essential genes in quest for function. Microb Biotechnol 9:530-540.

5. Poulsen BE, Yang R, Clatworthy AE, White T, Osmulski SJ, Li L, Penaranda C, Lander ES, Shoresh N, Hung DT. 2019. Defining the core essential genome of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 116:10072-10080.

6. Goodall E, Robinson A, Johnston I, Jabbari S, Turner K, Cunningham A, Lund P, Cole J, Henderson I. 2018. The essential genome of *Escherichia coli* K-12. mBio 9:e02096-17.

7. Rancati G, Moffat J, Typas A, Pavelka N. 2018. Emerging and evolving concepts in gene essentiality. Nat Rev Genet 19:34-49.

8. Bailey J, Cass J, Gasper J, Ngo ND, Wiggins P, Manoil C. 2019. Essential gene deletions producing gigantic bacteria. PLoS Genet 15:e1008195.

9. Joyce AR, Reed JL, White A, Edwards R, Osterman A, Baba T, Mori H, Lesely SA, Palsson B, Agarwalla S. 2006. Experimental and computational assessment of conditionally essential genes in *Escherichia coli*. J Bacteriol 188:8259-8271.

10. Peters JM, Colavin A, Shi H, Czarny TL, Larson MH, Wong S, Hawkins JS, Lu CHS, Koo BM, Marta E, Shiver AL, Whitehead EH, Weissman JS, Brown ED, Qi LS, Huang KC, Gross CA. 2016. A comprehensive, CRISPR-based functional analysis of essential genes in bacteria. Cell 165:1493-1506.

11. Perry BJ, Yost CK. 2014. Construction of a *mariner*-based transposon vector for use in insertion sequence mutagenesis in selected members of the *Rhizobiaceae*. BMC Microbiol 14:298.

12. Rosconi F, de Vries SPW, Baig A, Fabiano E, Grant AJ. 2016. Essential Genes for *In Vitro* Growth of the Endophyte *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* SmR1 as Revealed by Transposon Insertion Site Sequencing. Appl Environ Microbiol 82:6664-6671.

13.   Howell CR, Stipanovic RD. 1979. Control of *Rhizoctonia solani* on cotton seedlings

with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and with an antibiotic produced by the bacterium.

Phytopathology 69:480-482.

14.   Loper JE, Kobayashi DY, Paulsen IT. 2007. The Genomic Sequence of *Pseudomonas*

fluorescens Pf-5: Insights Into Biological Control. Phytopathology 97:233-238.

15.   Howell CR, Stipanovic RD. 1980. Suppression of *Pythium ultimum*-Induced Damping-

Off of Cotton Seedlings by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and its Antibiotic, Pyoluteorin.

Phytopathology 70:712-715.

16.   Kraus J, Loper JE. 1992. Lack of evidence for a role of antifungal metabolite

production by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf-5 in biological-control of Pythium

damping-off of cucumber. Phytopathology 82:264-271.

17.   Pfender WF, Kraus J, Loper JE. 1993. A genomic region from *Pseudomonas*

fluorescens Pf-5 required for pyrrolnitrin production and inhibition of *Pyrenophora*

tritici-repentis in wheat straw. Phytopathology 83:1223-1228.

18.   Saravanan S, Muthumanickam P, Saravanan TS, Santhaguru K. 2013. Antagonistic

potential of fluorescent *Pseudomonas* and its impact on growth of tomato

challenged with phtopathogens. Afr Crop Sci J 21:29-36.

19.   Paulsen IT, Press CM, Ravel J, Kobayashi DY, Myers GSA, Mavrodi DV, DeBoy RT,

Seshadri R, Ren Q, Madupu R, Dodson RJ, Durkin AS, Brinkac LM, Daugherty SC,

Sullivan SA, Rosovitz MJ, Gwinn ML, Zhou L, Schneider DJ, Cartinhour SW, Nelson

WC, Weidman J, Watkins K, Tran K, Khouri H, Pierson EA, Pierson LS, Thomashow LS,

Loper JE. 2005. Complete genome sequence of the plant commensal *Pseudomonas*

fluorescens Pf-5. Nat Biotechnol 23:873-878.

20.   Langridge GC, Phan MD, Turner DJ, Perkins TT, Parts L, Haase J, Charles I, Maskell DJ,

Peters SE, Dougan G, Wain J, Parkhill J, Turner AK. 2009. Simultaneous assay of every

Salmonella Typhi gene using one million transposon mutants. Genome Res 19:2308-

2316.

21.   Barquist L, Mayho M, Cummins C, Cain AK, Boinett CJ, Page AJ, Langridge GC, Quail

MA, Keane JA, Parkhill J. 2016. The TraDIS toolkit: Sequencing and analysis for dense

transposon mutant libraries. Bioinformatics 32:1109-1111.

22. Luo H, Lin Y, Gao F, Zhang C-T, Zhang R. 2014. DEG 10, an update of the database of

essential genes that includes both protein-coding genes and noncoding genomic

elements. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D574-D580.

23. Herrero M, De Lorenzo V, Timmis KN. 1990. Transposon Vectors Containing Non-

Antibiotic Resistance Selection Markers for Cloning and Stable Chromosomal

Insertion of Foreign Genes in Gram-Negative Bacteria. J Bacteriol 172:6557-6567.

24. R Core Team. 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, https://www.R-project.org.

25. Barquist L, Boinett CJ, Cain AK. 2013. Approaches to querying bacterial genomes with

transposon-insertion sequencing. RNA Biol 10:1161-1169.

26. Barquist L, Langridge GC, Turner DJ, Phan MD, Turner AK, Bateman A, Parkhill J, Wain

J, Gardner PP. 2013. A comparison of dense transposon insertion libraries in the

Salmonella serovars Typhi and Typhimurium. Nucleic Acids Res 41:4549-4564.

27. Tatusov RL, Galperin MY, Natale DA, Koonin EV. 2000. The COG database: a tool for

genome-scale analysis of protein functions and evolution. Nucleic Acids Res 28:33-

36.

28. Kanehisa M, Goto S. 2000. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

Nucleic Acids Res 28:27-30.

29. Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Furumichi M, Morishima K, Tanabe M. 2019. New approach for

understanding genome variations in KEGG. Nucleic Acids Res 47:D590-D595.

30. Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Forslund K, Cook H, Heller D, Walter MC, Rattei T,

Mende DR, Sunagawa S, Kuhn M, Jensen LJ, Von Mering C, Bork P. 2016. eggNOG

4.5: A hierarchical orthology framework with improved functional annotations for

eukaryotic, prokaryotic and viral sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 44:D286-D293.

31. Huerta-Cepas J, Forslund K, Coelho LP, Szklarczyk D, Jensen LJ, Von Mering C, Bork P.

2017. Fast genome-wide functional annotation through orthology assignment by

eggNOG-mapper. Mol Biol Evol 34:2115-2122.

32. Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernández-Plaza A, Forslund SK, Cook H,

Mende DR, Letunic I, Rattei T, Jensen LJ, Von Mering C, Bork P. 2019. eggNOG 5.0: A

hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically annotated orthology resource based

on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. Nucleic Acids Res 47:D309-D314.

33.   Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and
730   Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. J Roy Stat Soc Ser B (Stat Method) 57:289-
731   300.

732   34.   Kanehisa M, Sato Y. 2020. KEGG Mapper for inferring cellular functions from protein
733   sequences. Protein Sci 29:28-35.

734   35.   Almagro Armenteros JJ, Tsirigos KD, Sønderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O, Brunak S,
735   von Heijne G, Nielsen H. 2019. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using
736   deep neural networks. Nat Biotechnol 37:420-423.

737   36.   Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL. 2001. Predicting
738   Transmembrane Protein Topology with a Hidden Markov Model: Application to
739   Complete Genomes. J Mol Biol 305:567-580.

740   37.   Lechner M, Findeiß S, Steiner L, Marz M, Stadler PF, Prohaska SJ. 2011. Proteinortho:
741   Detection of (Co-)orthologs in large-scale analysis. BMC Bioinformatics 12:124.

742   38.   Wen Q-F, Liu S, Dong C, Guo H-X, Gao Y-Z, Guo F-B. 2019. Geptop 2.0: An Updated,
743   More Precise, and Faster Geptop Server for Identification of Prokaryotic Essential
744   Genes. Front Microbiol 10:1-6.

745   39.   Chao MC, Abel S, Davis BM, Waldor MK. 2016. The design and analysis of transposon
746   insertion sequencing experiments. Nat Rev Microbiol 14:119-128.

747   40.   Zhao L, Anderson MT, Wu W, Harry HL, Bachman MA. 2017. TnseqDiff: Identification
748   of conditionally essential genes in transposon sequencing studies. BMC
749   Bioinformatics 18:326.

750   41.   Gu Z, Gu L, Eils R, Schlesner M, Brors B. 2014. Circlize implements and enhances
751   circular visualization in R. Bioinformatics 30:2811-2812.

752   42.   Dorman MJ, Feltwell T, Goulding DA, Parkhill J, Short FL. 2018. The Capsule
753   Regulatory Network of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Defined by density-TraDISort. mBio
754   9:e01863-18.

755   43.   Wickham H. 2016. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis, 2 ed. Springer-Verlag,
756   New York.

757   44.   Carver T, Harris SR, Berriman M, Parkhill J, McQuillan JA. 2012. Artemis: An
758   integrated platform for visualization and analysis of high-throughput sequence-
759   based experimental data. Bioinformatics 28:464-469.

760   45.   Turner KH, Wessel AK, Palmer GC, Murray JL, Whiteley M. 2015. Essential genome of
761   *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis sputum. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112:4110-
762   4115.

763   46.   Loper JE, Hassan KA, Mavrodi DV, Davis II EW, Lim CK, Shaffer BT, Elbourne LDH,
764   Stockwell VO, Hartney SL, Breakwell K, Henkels MD, Tetu SG, Rangel LI, Kidarsa TA,
765   Wilson NL, van de Mortel JE, Song C, Blumhagen R, Radune D, Hostetler JB, Brinkac
766   LM, Durkin AS, Kluepfel DA, Wechter WP, Anderson AJ, Kim YC, Pierson III LS, Pierson
767   EA, Lindow SE, Kobayashi DY, Raaijmakers JM, Weller DM, Thomashow LS, Allen AE,
768   Paulsen IT. 2012. Comparative genomics of plant-associated *Pseudomonas* spp.:
769   Insights into diversity and inheritance of traits involved in multitrophic interactions.
770   PLoS Genet 8:e1002784.

771   47.   Levy A, Gonzalez IS, Mittelviefhaus M, Clingenpeel S, Paredes SH, Miao J, Wang K,
772   Devescovi G, Lebeis S, Jin Z, Mcdonald M, Klein AP, Meghan E. 2018. Genomic
773   features of bacterial adaptation to plants. Nat Genet 50:138-150.

774   48.   Suyama M, Bork P. 2001. Evolution of prokaryotic gene order: genome
775   rearrangements in closely related species. Trends Genet 17:10-13.

776   49.   Silby MW, Cerdeño-Tárraga AM, Vernikos GS, Giddens SR, Jackson RW, Preston GM,
777   Zhang X-X, Moon CD, Gehrig SM, Godfrey SAC, Knight CG, Malone JG, Robinson Z,
778   Spiers AJ, Harris S, Challis GL, Yaxley AM, Harris D, Seeger K, Murphy L, Rutter S,
779   Squares R, Quail MA, Saunders E, Mavromatis K, Brettin TS, Bentley SD, Hothersall J,
780   Stephens E, Thomas CM, Parkhill J, Levy SB, Rainey PB, Thomson NR. 2009. Genomic
781   and genetic analyses of diversity and plant interactions of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*.
782   Genome Biol 10:R51.

783   50.   Winsor GL, Griffiths EJ, Lo R, Dhillon BK, Shay JA, Brinkman FSL. 2016. Enhanced
784   annotations and features for comparing thousands of *Pseudomonas* genomes in the
785   Pseudomonas genome database. Nucleic Acids Res 44:D646-D653.

786   51.   Gao Y, Yurkovich JT, Seo SW, Kabimoldayev I, Dräger A, Chen K, Sastry AV, Fang X,
787   Mih N, Yang L, Eichner J, Cho BK, Kim D, Palsson BO. 2018. Systematic discovery of
788   uncharacterized transcription factors in *Escherichia coli* K-12 MG1655. Nucleic Acids
789   Res 46:10682-10696.

790   52.   Grazziotin AL, Vidal NM, Venancio TM. 2015. Uncovering major genomic features of
791   essential genes in Bacteria and a methanogenic Archaea. FEBS J 282:3395-3411.

53. Royet K, Parisot N, Rodrigue A, Gueguen E, Condemine G. 2019. Identification by Tn-
seq of *Dickeya dadantii* genes required for survival in chicory plants. Mol Plant
Pathol 20:287-306.

54. Elbourne LDH, Tetu SG, Hassan KA, Paulsen IT. 2017. TransportDB 2.0: A database for
exploring membrane transporters in sequenced genomes from all domains of life.
Nucleic Acids Res 45:D320-D324.

55. Feissner RE, Richard-Fogal CL, Frawley ER, Kranz RG. 2006. ABC transporter-mediated
release of a haem chaperone allows cytochrome *c* biogenesis. Mol Microbiol 61:219-
231.

56. Davidson AL, Dassa E, Orelle C, Chen J. 2008. Structure, Function, and Evolution of
Bacterial ATP-Binding Cassette Systems. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 72:317-364.

57. Mavrodi DV, Loper JE, Paulsen IT, Thomashow LS. 2009. Mobile genetic elements in
the genome of the beneficial rhizobacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf-5. BMC
Microbiol 9:8.

58. He J, Baldini RL, Déziel E, Saucier M, Zhang Q, Liberati NT, Lee D, Urbach J, Goodman
HM, Rahme LG. 2004. The broad host range pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
strain PA14 carries two pathogenicity islands harboring plant and animal virulence
genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 101:2530-2535.

59. Carter MQ, Chen J, Lory S. 2010. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathogenicity island
PAPI-1 is transferred via a novel type IV pilus. J Bacteriol 192:3249-3258.

60. Matsui H, Sano Y, Ishihara H, Shinomiya T. 1993. Regulation of pyocin genes in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa by positive (*prtN*) and negative (*prtR*) regulatory genes. J
Bacteriol 175:1257-1263.

61. Peng C, Gao F. 2014. Protein localization analysis of essential genes in prokaryotes.
Sci Rep 4:6001.

62. Green ER, Mecsas J. 2016. Bacterial Secretion Systems: An Overview. Microbiol
Spectr 4:VMBF-0012-2015.

63. Kranz RG, Richard-Fogal C, Taylor J-S, Frawley ER. 2009. Cytochrome *c* Biogenesis:
Mechanisms for Covalent Modifications and Trafficking of Heme and for Heme-Iron
Redox Control. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 73:510-528.

64.   Mavridou DAI, Ferguson SJ, Stevens JM. 2012. The interplay between the disulfide

823   bond formation pathway and cytochrome *c* maturation in *Escherichia coli*. FEBS Lett

824   586:1702-1707.

825   65.   Ishiga Y, Ichinose Y. 2016. *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *tomato* OxyR is required for

826   virulence in tomato and *Arabidopsis*. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 29:119-131.

827   66.   Lim CK, Hassan KA, Penesyan A, Loper JE, Paulsen IT. 2013. The effect of zinc

828   limitation on the transcriptome of *Pseudomonas protegens* Pf-5. Environ Microbiol

829   15:702-715.

830   67.   Baugh L, Gallagher LA, Patrapuvich R, Clifton MC, Gardberg AS, Edwards TE, Armour

831   B, Begley DW, Dieterich SH, Dranow DM, Abendroth J, Fairman JW, Fox D, Staker BL,

832   Phan I, Gillespie A, Choi R, Nakazawa-Hewitt S, Nguyen MT, Napuli A, Barrett L,

833   Buchko GW, Stacy R, Myler PJ, Stewart LJ, Manoil C, Van Voorhis WC. 2013.

834   Combining Functional and Structural Genomics to Sample the Essential *Burkholderia*

835   Structome. PLoS ONE 8:e53851.

836   68.   de Berardinis V, Vallenet D, Castelli V, Besnard M, Pinet A, Cruaud C, Samair S,

837   Lechaplais C, Gyapay G, Richez C, Durot M, Kreimeyer A, Le Fèvre F, Schächter V,

838   Pezo V, Döring V, Scarpelli C, Médigue C, Cohen GN, Marlière P, Salanoubat M,

839   Weissenbach J. 2008. A complete collection of single-gene deletion mutants of

840   *Acinetobacter baylyi* ADP1. Mol Syst Biol 4:174.

841   69.   Grundy F, Henkin T. 2002. Synthesis of Serine, Glycine, Cysteine, and Methionine. *In*

842   Sonenshein A, Losick R, Hoch J (ed), *Bacillus subtilis* and Its Closest Relatives. ASM

843   Press, Washington DC. doi:10.1128/9781555817992.ch18.

844   70.   Conway T. 1992. The Entner-Doudoroff pathway: history, physiology and molecular

845   biology. FEMS Microbiol Lett 103:1-28.

846   71.   Lerouge I, Vanderleyden J. 2002. O-antigen structural variation: Mechanisms and

847   possible roles in animal/plant-microbe interactions. FEMS Microbiol Rev 26:17-47.

848   72.   Seliger SS, Mey AR, Valle AM, Payne SM. 2001. The two TonB systems of *Vibrio*

849   *cholerae*: Redundant and specific functions. Mol Microbiol 39:801-812.

850   73.   Zhao Q, Poole K. 2000. A second *tonB* gene in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is linked to

851   the *exbB* and *exbD* genes. FEMS Microbiol Lett 184:127-132.

852   74.   Bentley R. 1990. The Shikimate Pathway — A Metabolic Tree with Many Branches.

853   Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 25:307-384.

854   75.   Tzin V, Galili G, Aharoni A. 2012. Shikimate Pathway and Aromatic Amino Acid
855   Biosynthesis. eLS doi:10.1002/9780470015902.a0001315.pub2.

856   76.   Lin S, Cronan JE. 2011. Closing in on complete pathways of biotin biosynthesis. Mol
857   Biosyst 7:1811-1821.

858   77.   Lutkenhaus J. 2007. Assembly Dynamics of the Bacterial MinCDE System and Spatial
859   Regulation of the Z Ring. Annu Rev Biochem 76:539-562.

860   78.   Bendezú FO, de Boer PAJ. 2008. Conditional Lethality, Division Defects, Membrane
861   Involution, and Endocytosis in *mre* and *mrd* Shape Mutants of *Escherichia coli*. J
862   Bacteriol 190:1792-1811.

863   79.   Fujita Y, Matsuoka H, Hirooka K. 2007. Regulation of fatty acid metabolism in
864   bacteria. Mol Microbiol 66:829-839.

865   80.   Kang Y, Nguyen DT, Son MS, Hoang TT. 2008. The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PsrA
866   responds to long-chain fatty acid signals to regulate the *fadBA5 β*-oxidation operon.
867   Microbiology 154:1584-1598.

868   81.   Son MS, Nguyen DT, Kang Y, Hoang TT. 2008. Engineering of *FRT-lacZ* fusion
869   constructs: Induction of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa fadAB1* operon by medium
870   and long chain-length fatty acids. Plasmid 59:111-118.

871   82.   Deutscher MP. 2006. Degradation of RNA in bacteria: comparison of mRNA and
872   stable RNA. Nucleic Acids Res 34:659-666.

873   83.   Mackie GA. 2013. RNase E: At the interface of bacterial RNA processing and decay.
874   Nat Rev Microbiol 11:45-57.

875   84.   Scapin G, Blanchard JS. 1998. Enzymology of Bacterial Lysine Biosynthesis. *In* Purich
876   DL (ed), Advances in Enzymology and Related Areas of Molecular Biology
877   doi:10.1002/9780470123188.ch8. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York.

878   85.   Parsons JB, Rock CO. 2013. Bacterial lipids: Metabolism and membrane homeostasis.
879   Prog Lipid Res 52:249-276.

880   86.   Akerley BJ, Rubin EJ, Novick VL, Amaya K, Judson N, Mekalanos JJ. 2002. A genome-
881   scale analysis for identification of genes required for growth or survival of
882   *Haemophilus influenzae*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:966-971.

883   87.   Gerdes SY, Scholle MD, Campbell JW, Balázsi G, Ravasz E, Daugherty MD, Somera AL,
884   Kyrpides NC, Anderson I, Gelfand MS, Bhattacharya A, Kapatral V, D'Souza M, Baev
885   MV, Grechkin Y, Mseeh F, Fonstein MY, Overbeek R, Barabási A-L, Oltvai ZN,

Osterman AL. 2003. Experimental Determination and System Level Analysis of Essential Genes in *Escherichia coli* MG1655. J Bacteriol 185:5673-5684.

88. Deutschbauer A, Price MN, Wetmore KM, Shao W, Baumohl JK, Xu Z, Nguyen M, Tamse R, Davis RW, Arkin AP. 2011. Evidence-Based Annotation of Gene Function in *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 Using Genome-Wide Fitness Profiling across 121 Conditions. PLoS Genet 7:e1002385.

89. Zawadzke LE, Bugg TDH, Walsh CT. 1991. Existence of two D-alanine:D-alanine ligases in *Escherichia coli*: cloning and sequencing of the *ddlA* gene and purification and characterization of the DdlA and DdlB enzymes. Biochemistry 30:1673-1682.

90. Barreteau H, Kovač A, Boniface A, Sova M, Gobec S, Blanot D. 2008. Cytoplasmic steps of peptidoglycan biosynthesis. FEMS Microbiol Rev 32:168-207.

91. Gonzales T, Robert-Baudouy J. 1996. Bacterial aminopeptidases: Properties and functions. FEMS Microbiol Rev 18:319-344.

92. Williams HD, Zlosnik JEA, Ryall B. 2006. Oxygen, Cyanide and Energy Generation in the Cystic Fibrosis Pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, p 1-71. *In* Poole RK (ed), Adv Microb Physiol, vol 52. Academic Press.

93. Torres A, Kasturiarachi N, DuPont M, Cooper VS, Bomberger J, Zemke A. 2019. NADH dehydrogenases in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* growth and virulence. Front Microbiol 10:75.

94. Rubin BE, Wetmore KM, Price MN, Diamond S, Shultzaberger RK, Lowe LC, Curtin G, Arkin AP, Deutschbauer A, Golden SS. 2015. The essential gene set of a photosynthetic organism. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112:E6634-E6643.

95. Gottesman S. 1996. Proteases and their targets in *Escherichia coli*. Annu Rev Genet 30:465-506.

96. Ito F, Tamiya T, Ohtsu I, Fujimura M, Fukumori F. 2014. Genetic and phenotypic characterization of the heat shock response in *Pseudomonas putida*. MicrobiologyOpen 3:922-936.

97. Ghazaei C. 2017. Role and mechanism of the Hsp70 molecular chaperone machines in bacterial pathogens. J Med Microbiol 66:259-265.

98. Patel PH, Suzuki M, Adman E, Shinkai A, Loeb LA. 2001. Prokaryotic DNA polymerase I: Evolution, structure, and "base flipping" mechanism for nucleotide selection. J Mol Biol 308:823-837.

918   99.   Du S, Lutkenhaus J. 2017. Assembly and activation of the *Escherichia coli* divisome.

919   Mol Microbiol 105:177-187.