The rise of disease ecology

Ellen E. Brandell[1], Daniel J. Becker[2,3], Laura Sampson[1], Kristian M. Forbes[4]

1. Center for Infectious Disease Dynamics & Department of Biology, Huck Institute of the Life Sciences, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802
2. Department of Biology, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405
3. Center for the Ecology of Infectious Disease, University of Georgia, Athens GA 30602
4. Department of Biological Sciences, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville AR 72701

*Corresponding author:
Ellen E. Brandell
2327 University Way #2
Bozeman, MT 59715
Phone: 248-568-2151
Email: ebrandell08@gmail.com

Keywords: host-pathogen interactions, infectious disease ecology, machine learning, questionnaire, research trends

ABSTRACT

Disease ecology is an interdisciplinary field that has recently rapidly grown in size and influence. We described the composition and educational experiences of disease ecology practitioners and identified changes in research foci. We combined a global survey with a literature synthesis involving machine-learning topic detection. Disease ecology practitioners have diversified in the last decade in terms of gender identity and institution, with weaker diversification in terms of race and ethnicity. Topic detection analysis of over 18,500 research articles revealed research foci that have declined (e.g., HIV), increased (e.g., infectious disease in bats), and have remained common (e.g., malaria ecology, influenza). The steady increase in topics such as climate change, and emerging infectious diseases, superspreaders indicate that disease ecology as a field of research will continue advancing our understanding of complex host-pathogen interactions and forms a critical and adaptable component of the global response to emergent health and environmental threats.

INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases are one of the leading sources of human, domestic animal, and wildlife mortality, killing an estimated 17 million people each year (Brand 2013; World Health Organization 1996, 2018), threatening economic security through crop and production animal losses (Benavides et al. 2017; Ahmed et al. 2019), and causing declines of endangered species (Scheele et al. 2019). Moreover, infectious disease outbreaks are predicted to be exacerbated by contemporary issues such as climate change, high human population density, and fragmentation of natural environments (Daszak et al. 2001; Patz et al. 2004; Altizer et al. 2013). It is vital to establish a strong foundation and specialization for research on infectious diseases in their ecological and evolutionary context in order to maintain our standard of living and enhance wildlife and ecosystem health; for example, we continue to face challenges such as

emerging pathogens (e.g. SARS-CoV-2; Andersen et al. 2020), pathogen evolution (Van

Boeckel et al. 2019), and the need for innovative interventions (Sokolow et al. 2019).

Disease ecology is the study of how pathogens and parasites move through host species,

populations, and landscapes, considering both abiotic and biotic factors, as well as the

consequences of their infections. It is a relatively new and rapidly expanding research focus

within ecology and evolutionary biology that draws heavily on early foundations in population

biology (Anderson & May 1979; May & Anderson 1979), and integrates many biological science

fields including parasitology, epidemiology, microbiology, and immunology (Grenfell et al. 1995;

Hudson et al. 2002; Wilson et al. 2019). Disease ecologists investigate a range of practical and

fundamental questions relevant to humans, other animals and plants, ranging from the natural

origins of disease outbreaks; to heterogeneities in pathogen susceptibility, transmission, and

impact; and the effectiveness of intervention strategies (Hudson et al. 1998; Condeso &

Meentemeyer 2007; Joseph et al. 2013; VanderWaal & Ezenwa 2016; Olival et al. 2017).

Disease ecology, in part, adapted and developed population biology theory to address societal

needs (Scheiner & Rosenthal 2004; Johnson et al. 2015; Koprivnikar & Johnson 2016). Key

among these is the urgency to understand and address novel disease threats, which are rooted

in natural systems but are often exacerbated by societal inequalities (Carlson & Mendenhall

2019). For example, the impacts of habitat degradation on pathogen spillover is an expanding

area of research that can be used to guide risk assessments and environmental policy (Patz et

al. 2004). At the same time, infrastructure has developed around disease ecology, such as a

specialized National Science Foundation and National Institutes of Health funding program and

conference series (Scheiner & Rosenthal 2004), which have helped to direct research effort and

create networks amongst researchers.

Still, many questions remain as to the composition of disease ecology practitioners, core

research foci, and how research trends have changed to meet societal needs. Answering these

questions will help to improve training pathways and prioritize research emphases for future

research. However, understanding these complex and interrelated factors as they apply to an

interdisciplinary research topic requires diverse and innovative approaches.

Here we characterize both the practitioners and field of disease ecology by addressing the

following questions:

(1) Who comprises the field in terms of education, demographics, and research foci?

(2) Which are the most influential scientific articles and journals?

(3) And significantly, how have research trends emerged and changed over time? For

example, do they follow global health priorities such as disease outbreaks?

To answer these questions, we surveyed self-declared disease ecologists globally and

conducted a literature synthesis with machine-learning topic detection (Loper & Bird 2002; Bird

et al. 2009; Blei 2012). Systematic and quantitative approaches to literature syntheses are

increasingly favored over narrative-based reviews (Lajeunesse 2010; Hedges & Olkin 2014;

Haddaway & Watson 2016). However, increasingly high volumes of published research across

scientific fields raise difficulties with conducting such systematic syntheses and require novel

approaches (Lajeunesse 2016; Nunez-Mir et al. 2016). Following recent adoptions of data

mining approaches to systematic reviews (Han & Ostfeld 2019), we apply topic detection using

non-negative matrix factorization to characterize the research core and trajectory of disease

ecology. More broadly, our approach can provide a quantitative synthesis framework to examine

the composition and trends in other fields that cross traditional disciplinary boundaries.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Survey

We developed a survey instrument (Pennsylvania State University Institutional Review Board Study 00010582; Supporting Information) to quantify the demographics and research core of disease ecology. The survey was disseminated on disease ecology email listservs such as conference attendees (e.g., the past five years of Ecology and Evolution of Infectious Disease conferences, Ecological Society of America), specific research groups (e.g., VectorBiTE), scientific organizations (e.g., American Society of Parasitologists, Ecological Society of America disease ecology section), and institutional research centers (e.g., Pennsylvania State University Center for Infectious Disease Dynamics, University of Georgia Center for the Ecology of Infectious Diseases). We also distributed the survey to prominent non-USA research groups (in e.g. South America, Europe, Australia) to diversify our survey respondents and therefore better represent the true population of disease ecologists. Survey deployment was necessarily biased towards established research centers and active researchers in the disciplinary community, and likely missed some individual scientists and institutional groups.

The survey was open from November 2018 until January 2019, closing once the response rate dropped below two new responses per day for one consecutive week. All survey respondents were self-declared disease ecology practitioners, who were informed about the potential use of results in a consent statement. The survey asked respondents questions on their demographics, institution, education, types and topics of current research, opinion on the importance of different topics in the field, and influential scientific articles and journals. It included a combination of multiple choice and short answer response questions. A full copy of the survey and description of the data cleaning procedure is available in the Supporting Information.

Literature search

Our objective was to compile an extensive corpus robustly representative of publications in

disease ecology, not to include every article *per se*. To reduce bias, both search terms and

included journals were based on survey results. We included journals that were listed by at least

four survey respondents as significant to the field (n = 42), as well as *Nature* and *Science*. To

generate the original list of search terms, we compiled a set of papers that cited the foundational

paper in disease ecology (Anderson & May 1979), and then used topic detection algorithms to

generate the key word list that was used to inform the final literature search criteria. Topic

detection was performed using the *nltk* library in Python 2.7 (Bird et al. 2009).

Figure 1. Workflow of systematic literature search and corpus development. Box coloration

denotes different stages of corpus development: literature compilation (blue), corpus

assessment and validation (orange), and corpus completion (red). The unfilled arrow denotes

repeating of the workflow to optimize corpus accuracy.

The literature search was conducted in Web of Science for the years 1975 to 2018. Each article

had to meet specific criteria using Boolean filters, including a focus on studying a pathogen or

parasite, host infections (to distinguish from solely environmental persistence of

microorganisms), and individual-level or higher-order dynamics (e.g. not cellular processes, with

the exception of those analyzed as a population-level process). The full list of search terms is

provided in the Supporting Information, alongside a set of exclusionary terms to remove similar

but non-disease ecology articles. Web of Science categories were used to narrow our search

and also reduce false-positive inclusions. Finally, articles with fewer than four citations were

removed as a form of quality control.

To evaluate false-positives, two authors (DJB and KMF) independently evaluated the same 100

randomly selected articles and classified them as 'disease ecology' or 'outside the field'. Papers

that fell outside the field predominantly described bacterial communities, within-host behavior or

adaptations, or genetics/genomics. Over 75% of the articles in the final corpus were classified

as disease ecology, and consensus was strong among evaluators (94% agreement, Cohen's

$\kappa=0.84$). Within-host studies were accepted if they focused on population-level processes (e.g.,

Cressler et al. 2014).

To evaluate false-negatives, we cross-validated our corpus using our survey data. Specifically,

we assessed whether articles that were identified by at least two respondents as influential were

present in our corpus. We calculated the proportion of papers that were included in our corpus

out of the list of such articles, with the requirement that at least 70% of papers had to be

included. Of the influential articles identified by survey respondents (written ≥2 times) restricted

to journals used in building the corpus, approximately 71% (50/70) were present in the corpus.

Yet the 'most influential' articles had a higher probability of being included: the corpus included

85% of articles written four or more times, 75% of articles written three times, and 63% of

articles written twice. We adjusted the search and exclusion terms twice using the workflow

described in Figure 1 (unfilled arrow) to obtain a corpus with high classification and cross-

validation success.

Literature analysis

We conducted topic detection on the validated corpus using non-negative matrix factorization.

Topic clusters represent a set of co-occurring words that can be used to define an area of

research. The number of topic clusters (i) and words per topic (j) were the only parameters

imposed on the literature analysis. To select appropriate values for i and j, we ran topic

detection for a range of values and combinations of i and j and assessed outputs. If i was too

small or too large, we were unable to detect temporal variation in that topic. If j was too small or

too large, the topics were not clearly defined. For example, a topic with only five words may not

be interpretable; similarly, a topic with 30 words may be too broad to assign meaning. We used i

= 15 and j = 15, so our corpus was analyzed for 15 topics with 15 words each.

We used K-means clustering from the *nltk* Python library to construct topics, where each topic

comprised 15 commonly co-occuring words. We assigned a name to each topic to describe its

theme. For example, we named a topic containing immunodeficiency, HIV, patient, therapy,

drug, AIDS, background, treatment, and risk, as an *HIV topic*. We gave each topic name a

'confidence' measurement of 1–3, from high to low confidence in identifying the topic. In addition

to topics that emerged from the literature, we also generated and assessed our own topic lists

based on key research areas, such as climate change, dilution effect, superspreaders, network

analysis, EIDs, bovine tuberculosis, infectious diseases in bats and rodents, and chytrid fungus

(Fig. 4). To ensure topic trends were not confounded by an increase in the total number of

published articles through time, we constructed a baseline topic using neutral words that should

be in all disease ecology articles: analysis, study, and paper. We evaluated temporal trends in

publications for each theme using generalized additive models (GAMs) fit using the *mgcv*

package in R (Wood 2006). The proportion of words in each topic relative to all words was

modeled as a binomial response using thin plate splines with shrinkage for publication year.

Lastly, to assess covariation among topics, we estimated Spearman's rank correlation

coefficients (ρ) at the zero-year lag.

RESULTS

Survey

A total of 413 self-declared disease ecologists participated in the survey. The average

respondent was 36.1 years old (21-76, median: 34; n=348). 76.7% of participants (n=408)

considered at least half of their research to fall within disease ecology. Participants that

considered ≥75% of their research to be disease ecology were concentrated from ages 25–40,

and most self-identified as women (60%) (n=344). More broadly, 56.1% of participants identified

as women (n=231), 42.5% as men (n=175), 0.7% as other (n=3), and 0.7% preferred not to say

(n=3). We report on participants that chose to disclose a gender identity for results regarding

gender.

Most respondents identifying as women were younger (age ≤25–35) than most respondents

identifying as men (age 26–50). The youngest age category (≤25 years) was 68.9% women

(n=45), and the oldest age category (60+ years) was 85.0% men (n=20). Current positions held

by survey participants were: undergraduate student (1.2%, n=5), Master's student (2.9%; n=12),

PhD student (24.5%; n=100), post-doctoral researcher (21.1%; n=86), faculty (39.5%; n=161),

researcher (9.1%; n=37), and other (1.7%; n=7). Respondents identifying as women comprised

most of each academic position except Master's student and faculty (Table S1). In general,

most PhD students and post-doctoral researchers were young and identified as women. Most

Masters' students were young and identified as men, and most faculty were middle-aged and

identified as men (Tables S1–S3, Fig. S2C). Participants that did not identify with a strict gender

binary were distributed across age (≤25–50) and position categories.

We identified clear trends in education and demographics of survey respondents. 92.7% of

participants had completed their undergraduate degree by 2018 (n=382), 50.0% had completed

their Master's degree by 2018 (n=206), and 73.3% had completed their PhD by 2018 (n=302).

8.3% of participants had also earned an additional degree, most commonly as a Doctor of

Veterinary Medicine (n=18). Nearly half of respondents had a PhD but not a Master's degree

(45.4%; n=187). The total number of graduate degrees earned per year among disease ecology

researchers has dramatically increased since the year 2000 (Fig. 2A).

Most participants identifying as non-white were less than 36 years old, indicating a recent,

though minor, diversification of disease ecology practitioners. This was especially pronounced

when analyzed by education: the average proportion of participants identifying as non-white

who have earned a Master's degree has nearly doubled from pre-2000 to post-2000 (10.2% to

19.1%), and had risen to 24.3% in the last decade (Fig. 2D). The proportion of participants

identifying as non-white who have earned a PhD increased from pre-2000 to post-2000 but has

since remained stable (16-19%; Fig. 2D).

The proportion of Master's and PhD degrees earned in low- and middle-income countries has

also recently increased (Fig. 2B). The proportion of participants identifying as women who have

earned Master's degrees has substantially increased from a mean of 24.5% pre-2000 to 53.8%

post-2000. From 2000-2018, this proportion was approximately stable at around 55%. Similarly,

the proportion of participants identifying as women who have earned PhDs substantially

increased from a mean of 30.5% pre-2000, to 52.4% post-2000; this proportion has continued to

increase to 64.2% since 2010 (Fig. 2C).

Figure 2. Plots showing demographic trends in survey participants that indicated they earned a

Masters (top row) or PhD (bottom row) degree by 2018**. (A)** Displays the number of degrees

earned by year, and the stacked bar plots show the proportion of degree earners by **(B)** high or

low/middle income countries, **(C)** self-declared gender identity, and **(D)** self-declared

race/ethnicity. Sample sizes are displayed above their respective bars and years included 1980-

2019; some years may be excluded if no degree earners indicated the information of interest.

Figure 3. Summary of disease ecology demographics and research topics/types from survey

participants. Pie charts display **(A)** current position or institution type, **(B)** self-declared race or

ethnicity, and **(C)** country of residence; research topics and types are categorized by **(D)** field of

PhD thesis, **(E)** current study taxa, and **(F)** type of primary research.

The most popular areas of research were ranked as: epidemiology, mathematical modeling,

population ecology, wildlife ecology/management, parasitology, community ecology, and

infectious disease evolution/life history (up to 5 responses were included per person; n

participants=410, n responses=1739). The least common areas (<60 responses) included

behavioral ecology, bioinformatics, field and laboratory techniques, movement ecology, virology,

landscape ecology, and zoology.

Participants could rank up the ten aggregated areas of research (e.g. all types of ecology were

combined) by importance (n=152). The 'most important' area was by far ecology, then infectious

disease evolution/life history, epidemiology, and field/laboratory techniques. The 'least

important' areas were parasitology, immunology, field/laboratory techniques,

microbiology/virology, and genetics/genomics/bioinformatics. Ecology was also listed as least

important, suggesting that most participants considered ecology as either the most or least

important area of research; however, the number of responses for the former was nearly three

times greater than for the latter.

Survey respondents were asked to write in scientific journals and articles that they believed

were the most influential in disease ecology (Table 1). Influential articles (written in at least

twice, n=76) were most often published in *Science* (n=17), *Nature* (n=10), *Trends in Ecology &*

Evolution (n=9), *Ecology Letters* (n=8), and *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

(n=6), generally matching the influential journal rankings. See Supporting Information for full

lists of both articles and journals.

Table 1. The five highest ranking scientific journals (left) and articles (right) based on survey

responses. Survey respondents were asked to pick journals other than *Science* or *Nature*.

Influential journals	n	Influential articles	n
Proceedings of the Royal Society - Biology	98	Hudson et al. 1998. Prevention of Population Cycles by Parasite Removal. *Science.*	18
Ecology Letters	90	Anderson & May. 1979. Population biology of infectious diseases: Part I. *Nature.*	13
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America	85	Anderson & May. 1978. Regulation and Stability of Host-Parasite Population Interactions: I. Regulatory Processes. *Journal of Animal Ecology.*	12
Ecology	72	Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005. Superspreading and the effect of individual variation on disease emergence. *Nature.*	10

Journal of Animal Ecology	60	Keesing et al. 2006. Effects of species diversity on disease risk. *Ecology Letters*.	9

Literature search & analyses

We compiled a list of 42 journals that at least four survey participants said were the most

important in disease ecology, plus *Science* and *Nature*. We searched these 44 journals for

relevant articles in the field using the algorithm described below, and our final corpus comprised

18,695 articles. Our validation processes demonstrated that approximately 75% of these articles

were properly classified. Articles span from 1975 to 2018, with most published after 2000,

indicating a rapid and considerable expansion of the field. However, some journals were not

available in Web of Science until the 1980s-1990s, so article availability may slightly bias our

corpus in the early years.

Topic clusters are classified into two categories: those that emerged and were identifiable from

the literature, and those that we deliberately searched for using key term searches. Of emergent

topics, malaria and mosquito-borne pathogens appeared most frequently in the topic clusters

(3/15), followed by experimental infection trials (2/10). Other clear topics included HIV,

influenza, vaccine research, host-pathogen coevolution, and infectious disease modeling. Some

topics were more ambiguous but still identifiable, such as wildlife pathogens, tick-borne

pathogens with rodent hosts, and serological analyses. Overall, we had high confidence

assigning names to topic clusters emerging from the literature, indicating defined areas of

research in the corpus (for more, see Supporting Information).

Many of the topics that emerged from the disease ecology literature, such as malaria, influenza,

and vaccination, have remained constant over time (Fig. 4B). Others, such as HIV and serology,

have declined over time, and host-pathogen coevolution has instead steadily increased. These

emergent topics comprised a notable portion of the disease ecology literature and were more

prominent than author-selected topics. We constructed a neutral topic for comparison, which

was constant through time (Fig. 4B, gray line in panels), thus validating the observed temporal

changes in these topics.

Using key term searches, we next explored select topic trends: climate change, emerging

infectious diseases (EIDs), the dilution effect, superspreaders, network analysis, pathogens in

rodents and bats, bovine tuberculosis, and chytrid fungus in amphibians (Fig. 4B). As with

emergent topics, our topic detection was sensitive to detecting changes in frequency over time,

identifying global and local peaks and troughs. For instance, research on pathogens in bats had

a small peak around the time of the first SARS epidemic (2002-2004), and bat disease research

has steadily increased since 1979; however, overall, research on bat pathogens has been a

small proportion of all disease ecology literature. Research on rodent pathogens was greatest in

the 1990s and has generally declined, although recent years have also seen an increase in

rodent-related disease publications. Many topics have steadily gained recognition in the

literature, and continue to grow, such as EIDs, climate change, the dilution effect, network

analyses, and superspreaders. Bovine tuberculosis and chytrid fungus literature, on the other

hand, appear to have declined or plateaued in more recent years.

Figure 4. Timelines of events relevant to disease ecology and research trends. **(A)** A timeline of

select human (filled circles) and wildlife (open circles) infectious disease events from 1979-

2019. The approximate number of humans infected is represented by circle size (scaled by

log/4). Analogous estimates are rare for wildlife diseases, thus these circles are an equivalent

arbitrary size. Stars denote notable events for the development of the field of disease ecology.

(B) Frequency of selected topics (green = emerged from the literature corpus, purple = selected

by authors) compared with the neutral topic (gray) from 1976-2018; 1976-1989 are binned in the

first data point. Thick lines and ribbons show the fitted values and 95% confidence intervals

from the GAMs.

Topic trends displayed strong degrees of cross-correlation, with both positive and negative

covariation in annual trends (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient ρ=-0.89-0.88; Fig. S6).

Particularly strong positive correlations were observed for superspreaders and network

analyses (ρ=0.88), superspreaders and the dilution effect (ρ=0.85), EIDs and bats (ρ=0.83),

EIDs and the dilution effect (ρ=0.83), EIDs and network analysis (ρ=0.82), superspreaders and

bat pathogens (ρ=0.80). Especially strong negative correlations were observed for EIDs and

serology (ρ=-0.89), serology and the dilution effect (ρ=-0.87), serology and bat disease (ρ=-

0.81), influenza and HIV (ρ=-0.77), climate change and HIV (ρ=-0.76), network analysis and

serology (ρ=-0.70), influenza and rodent disease (ρ=-0.68), climate change and serology (ρ=-

0.68), bovine tuberculosis and serology (ρ=-0.67), malaria and network analysis (ρ=-0.65), and

malaria and rodent disease (ρ=-0.65).

DISCUSSION

Interdisciplinary research fields often rapidly grow to address important societal needs, and

retrospective analysis of their evolution can help improve their future trajectory and growth.

Disease ecology in particular is a growing field of research that formed from the application of

population dynamics to parasite and pathogen biology to provide dynamical insights and identify

intervention strategies (Anderson & May 1979; May & Anderson 1979; Grenfell et al. 1995;

Wilson et al. 2019). By combining a survey with a unique and powerful quantitative literature

synthesis, we here demonstrate the increasing demographic and institutional diversity of

disease ecology practitioners alongside the breadth of research activities. Certain topical

themes that emerged from our literature corpus, such as influenza, malaria, and vaccination,

have remained prominent foci of disease ecology, whereas an increase in *a priori* selected

topics such as emerging infectious diseases, climate change, and effects of biodiversity loss

emphasize how this expanding field has grown to meet global health crises and priorities.

Further, addressing diversity and allocating resources toward these growing topics could

promote equity within disease ecology, improve training programs, designate funding

opportunities, and provide the infrastructure for concentrated advancements.

Self-declared disease ecology practitioners are becoming more diverse in terms of country of

education, gender identity, and institution (Fig. 1). This echoes similar trends in conservation

(Jones & Solomon 2019), engineering (National Science Foundation 2020), and ecology as a

whole (Fox et al. 2018, 2019). Diversity in the workplace and educational institutions is

fundamentally important but also increases performance, cooperation, problem-solving, and

student retention (Milem 2003; Roberge & Van Dick 2010; Drury et al. 2011). Demographic

expansions also facilitate an influx of new ideas and perspectives into a field. The highest

demographic and institutional diversity in disease ecology existed in younger age groups (<36

years old), graduate programs, and post-doctoral positions (Table S2,S3). This may be due to

increasing levels of education globally (Group of Eight 2013, Unesco Institute for Statistics), or

targeted programs to increase diversity in science and mathematics, particularly focused on

recruiting women (Burke & Mattis 2007; Huntoon & Lane 2007). Another non-mutually exclusive

driver of these trends could be the failed retention of minorities and women in later career

stages (Blickenstaff 2005; Diekman et al. 2010; Shaw & Stanton 2012). Although we identified

some increases in diversity within disease ecology, concerted efforts to improve equity must

continue and explicitly address retention. This is especially salient in terms of racial and ethnic

diversity.

The second part of our study comprised an extensive literature synthesis. Literature reviews can

be compromised by author bias when search terms are subjectively selected (reviewed in Okoli

2015). We organically constructed a literature corpus that reduced the likelihood of author bias

by utilizing key terms derived through topic detection and including journals identified as

important to the field by a large group of self-declared disease ecologists. Additional validations

of papers mistakenly included or excluded enabled us to reach a final corpus of over 18,500

papers that represent the majority of all disease ecology publications. Ecological literature

reviews often fail to account for search bias and do not provide transparency in inclusion criteria

or type I and type II error rates (Haddaway & Watson 2016). Additionally, ecological literature

reviews usually consist of a <2,000 article corpus and often much fewer (Poff & Zimmerman

2010; Wortley et al. 2013; Lowry et al. 2013; Han & Ostfeld 2019). Using our robust corpus

formulation and validation (Fig. 1), we are confident we were able to identify true, broad patterns

across the literature at an unprecedented scale.

Topic detection of publications revealed research priorities and their changes over time. For

example, HIV research output peaked in the 1990s but in recent years has declined to be lower

than most other topics in the field. While a direct association is difficult to demonstrate, the

decrease in HIV-related publications has roughly coincided with advances in HIV treatments

(hiv.gov). Likewise, although we also observed significant temporal fluctuations in publications

related to malaria, their frequency has remained remarkably constant since the late 1990s. This

likely reflects ongoing and continued efforts to reduce public health burdens of this disease and

to understand complex interactions between mosquito vectors, human hosts, and the

environment (Suh et al. 2020), especially in the context of emerging human pathogens (e.g.,

Plasmodium knowlesi) (Lee et al. 2011). We also identified broader concept-based trends in

disease ecology literature. In particular, research on the dilution effect has undergone several

spikes following key findings (Keesing et al. 2006; Civitello et al. 2015) and a steady increase in

publication rate. Similarly, predicting how climate changes may alter pathogen spread continues

to be of growing research interest (Altizer et al. 2013; Ryan et al. 2019), as does research on

superspreaders (Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005). More broadly, these temporal patterns in publications

suggest that work related to biodiversity and infectious disease, climate change, pathogen

spillover, heterogeneity in pathogen transmission, and new tools to analyze epidemiological

data will all continue to be active areas of inquiry, and fruitful for future funding and graduate

training.

Research on emerging infectious diseases and bats has increased through time, consistent with

bats being established reservoir hosts for pathogens such as Nipah virus, SARS, Marburg virus,

and Hendra virus, and with infection-related population declines in bats through white-nose

syndrome (Calisher et al. 2006; Frick et al. 2010; Fig. 4A). However, although disease ecology

publications on bat pathogens have increased markedly in recent years, they still remain

relatively understudied compared to our neutral term and rodent pathogens, and comprise only

a small proportion of emerging infectious disease research in general. It is worth noting that our

search does not include the current SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Due to its global impacts, we

expect a large spike in disease ecology research on pathogens with their evolutionary origins in

bats and the role of intermediate hosts in spillover, as evidenced by recent publications (e.g.,

Andersen et al. 2020).

In general, research on epidemics tended to be responsive rather than anticipatory, such that

we observed an immediate spike in publications on high-profile pathogens followed by a decline

or plateau (e.g., bovine tuberculosis and chytrid fungus). Emergent topics were remarkably

stable through time, with the exception of HIV and host-pathogen coevolution, which have

respectively decreased and increased. Research focusing on concepts (e.g., dilution effect,

superspreaders, coevolution) or approaches (e.g., network analyses) rather than specific hosts

or pathogens tended to rise more gradually and remain a notable proportion of the literature. On

the other hand, mosquito-borne pathogens and influenza have been defining topics over the

entire time series, which we expect to persist for the foreseeable future. Although our analysis of

cross-correlation between the topic time series is associative, we observed several especially

interesting relationships. In particular, publications on bat disease, chytrid fungus, climate

change, the dilution effect, superspreaders, and emerging infectious diseases were all positively

correlated over time, suggesting a general increase in research on emergent disease risks to

wildlife and humans in relation to anthropogenic change and heterogeneities in pathogen

transmission (Daszak et al. 2001; Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005; Jones et al. 2008). From another

perspective, publications on serology have steadily declined over time and were negatively

associated with topics such as bat disease and emerging infectious diseases, possibly

indicating advances in rapid and affordable sequencing efforts to quantify pathogen diversity

(Lipkin 2013). Lastly, negative cross-correlations also show areas where research overlap may

be low but could be insightful, such as applying network analyses to studies of malaria or how

climate change will affect HIV (Wesolowski et al. 2012; Talman et al. 2013).

Using a global survey and large-scale quantitative literature synthesis, we here demonstrated

that disease ecology is a rapidly growing field that is becoming more diverse, although

continued efforts are critically needed to enhance retention. Our analysis identified trends and

patterns in research topics addressed by disease ecologists that can help prioritize future

training, funding, and research efforts. Together, these findings indicate that disease ecology as

a field is well equipped to continue increasing our understanding of the complex and diverse

interactions among pathogens, hosts, and the environment. As such, its practitioners form a

rapidly growing and critical component of the global response to emerging health priorities and

environmental threats. More broadly, our quantitative synthesis framework used here could help

examine the composition and trends of other major research topics that cross traditional

disciplinary boundaries.

Data Availability Statement

Pending acceptance, appropriate data and code will be published in a Dryad Repository and

listed here.

Author Contributions

EEB designed, disseminated, and analyzed the survey, conducted the literature search in Web

of Science and drafted most of the initial manuscript; LS conducted the topic detection; DJB and

KMF conducted the article classification and wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors

contributed to conceptual development of the study, editing, and approved the final version of

the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

Our survey was considered exempt under the Pennsylvania State University Institutional

Review Board (STUDY00010582). We thank all participants who completed the online survey

as well as helpful feedback from participants at the 2019 Ecology and Evolution of Infectious

Diseases conference. We are grateful to Vanessa Ezenwa and Peter Hudson for constructive

comments on an earlier version of this report.

References

Ahmed, H., J. Yoder, W. A. de Glanville, A. Davis, T. J. Kibona, B. T. Mmbaga, F.

Lankester, E. S. Swai, and S. Cleaveland. 2019. Economic burden of livestock

disease and drought in Northern Tanzania. Journal of Development and Agricultural

Economics 11:140–151.

Altizer, S., R. S. Ostfeld, P. T. J. Johnson, S. Kutz, and C. D. Harvell. 2013. Climate

change and infectious diseases: From evidence to a predictive framework. Science

341:514–519.

Andersen, K. G., A. Rambaut, W. I. Lipkin, E. C. Holmes, and R. F. Garry. 2020. The

proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. Nature medicine 26:450–452.

Anderson, R. M., B. Anderson, and R. M. May. 1992. Infectious diseases of humans:

dynamics and control. Oxford University Press.

Anderson, R. M., and R. M. May. 1979. Population biology of infectious diseases: Part I.

Nature 280:361–367.

Benavides, J. A., E. Rojas Paniagua, K. Hampson, W. Valderrama, and D. G. Streicker.

2017. Quantifying the burden of vampire bat rabies in Peruvian livestock. PLoS

Neglected Tropical Diseases 11:1–17.

Bird, S., E. Klein, and E. Loper. 2009. Natural language processing with Python: analyzing

text with the natural language toolkit. O'Reilly Media, Inc.

Blei, D. M. 2012. Probabilistic topic models. Communications of the ACM 55:77–84.

Blickenstaff, J. C. 2005. Women and science careers: leaky pipeline or gender filter?

Gender and education 17:369–386.

Van Boeckel, T. P., J. Pires, R. Silvester, C. Zhao, J. Song, N. G. Criscuolo, M. Gilbert, S.

Bonhoeffer, and R. Laxminarayan. 2019. Global trends in antimicrobial resistance in

animals in low-and middle-income countries. Science 365:eaaw1944.

Brand, C. J. 2013. Wildlife mortality investigation and disease research: Contributions of

the USGS National Wildlife Health Center to endangered species management and

recovery. EcoHealth 10:446–454.

Burke, R. J., and M. C. Mattis, editors. 2007. Women and minorities in science, technology,

engineering, and mathematics: Upping the numbers. Edward Elgar Publishing.

Calisher, C., J. Childs, H. Field, K. Holmes, and T. Schountz. 2006. Bats: important

reservoir hosts of emerging viruses. Clinical microbiology reviews 19:531–545.

Carlson, C. J., and E. Mendenhall. 2019. Preparing for emerging infections means

expecting new syndemics. The Lancet 394:297.

Civitello, D. J., J. Cohen, H. Fatima, N. T. Halstead, J. Liriano, T. A. McMahon, C. N.

Ortega, E. L. Sauer, T. Sehgal, S. Young, and J. . Rohr. 2015. Biodiversity inhibits

parasites: broad evidence for the dilution effect. Proceedings of the National Academy

of Sciences 112:8667–8671.

Condeso, T. E., and R. K. Meentemeyer. 2007. Effects of landscape heterogeneity on the

emerging forest disease sudden oak death. Journal of Ecology 95:364–375.

Cressler, C. E., W. A. Nelson, T. Day, and E. Mccauley. 2014. Disentangling the interaction

among host resources, the immune system and pathogens. Ecology Letters 17:284–

293.

Daszak, P., A. A. Cunningham, and A. D. Hyatt. 2001. Anthropogenic environmental

change and the emergence of infectious diseases in wildlife. Acta Tropica 78:103–

116.

Diekman, A. B., E. R. Brown, A. M. Johnston, and E. K. Clark. 2010. Seeking congruity

between goals and roles: A new look at why women opt out of science, technology,

engineering, and mathematics careers. Psychological science 21:1051–1057.

Drury, B. J., J. O. Siy, and S. Cheryan. 2011. When do female role models benefit women?

The importance of differentiating recruitment from retention in STEM. Psychological

Inquiry 22:265–269.

Fox, C. W., M. A. Duffy, D. J. Fairbairn, and J. A. Meyer. 2019. Gender diversity of editorial

boards and gender differences in the peer review process at six journals of ecology

and evolution. Ecology and Evolution 9:13636–13649.

Fox, C. W., J. P. Ritchey, and C. E. T. Paine. 2018. Patterns of authorship in ecology and

evolution: First, last, and corresponding authorship vary with gender and geography.

Ecology and Evolution 8:11492–11507.

Frick, W. F., J. F. Pollock, A. C. Hicks, K. E. Langwig, D. S. Reynolds, G. G. Turner, C. M.

Butchkoski, and T. H. Kunz. 2010. An emerging disease causes regional population

collapse of a common North American bat species. Science 329:679–682.

Grenfell, B. T., A. P. Dobson, and H. K. Moffatt. 1995. Ecology of infectious diseases in

natural populations. Volume 7. Cambridge University Press.

Group of Eight. 2013. The Changing PhD.

Haddaway, N. R., and M. J. Watson. 2016. On the benefits of systematic reviews for

wildlife parasitology. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife

5:184–191.

Han, B. A., and R. S. Ostfeld. 2019. Topic modeling of major research themes in disease

ecology of mammals. Journal of Mammalogy 100:1008–1018.

Hedges, L. V., and I. Olkin. 2014. Statistical methods for meta-analysis. Academic press.

hiv.gov. 2019. A timeline of HIV/AIDS. https://www.hiv.gov/sites/default/files/aidsgov-

timeline.pdf.

Hudson, P. J., A. P. Dobson, and D. Newborn. 1998. Prevention of Population Cycles by

Parasite Removal. Science 282:2256–2258.

Hudson, P. J., A. Rizzoli, B. T. Grenfell, H. Heesterbeek, and A. P. Dobson. 2002. Ecology

of wildlife diseases. Oxford University Press.

Huntoon, J. E., and M. J. Lane. 2007. Diversity in the geosciences and successful

strategies for increasing diversity. Journal of Geoscience Education 55:447–457.

Johnson, P. T., J. C. De Roode, and A. Fenton. 2015. Why infectious disease research

needs community ecology. Science 349:1259504.

Jones, K. E., N. G. Patel, M. A. Levy, A. Storeygard, D. Balk, J. L. Gittleman, and P.

Daszak. 2008. Global trends in emerging infectious diseases. Nature 451:990–993.

Jones, M. S., and J. Solomon. 2019. Challenges and supports for women conservation

leaders. Conservation Science and Practice 1:e36.

Joseph, M. B., J. R. Mihaljevic, A. L. Arellano, J. G. Kueneman, D. L. Preston, P. C. Cross,

and P. T. Johnson. 2013. Taming wildlife disease: bridging the gap between science

and management. Journal of Applied Ecology 50:702–712.

Keesing, F., R. D. Holt, and R. Ostfeld. 2006. Effects of species diversity on disease risk.

Ecology Letters 9:485–498.

Koprivnikar, J., and P. T. J. Johnson. 2016. The Rise of Disease Ecology and Its

Implications for Parasitology— A Review. Journal of Parasitology 102:397–409.

Lajeunesse, M. J. 2010. Achieving synthesis with meta-analysis by combining and

comparing all available studies. Ecology 91:2561–2564.

Lajeunesse, M. J. 2016. Facilitating systematic reviews, data extraction and meta-analysis

with the metagear package for R. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 7:323–330.

Lee, K. S., P. C. Divis, S. K. Zakaria, A. Matusop, R. A. Julin, D. J. Conway, J. Cox-Singh,

and B. Singh. 2011. Plasmodium knowlesi: reservoir hosts and tracking the

emergence in humans and macaques. PLoS Pathogens 7.

Lipkin, W. I. 2013. The changing face of pathogen discovery and surveillance. Nature

Reviews Microbiology 11:133–141.

Lloyd-Smith, J. O., S. J. Schreiber, P. E. Kopp, and W. M. Getz. 2005. Superspreading and

the effect of individual variation on disease emergence. Nature 438:355–359.

Loper, E., and S. Bird. 2002. NLTK: the natural language toolkit. arXiv preprint cs/0205028.

Lowry, E., E. J. Rollinson, A. J. Laybourn, T. E. Scott, M. E. Aiello-Lammens, S. M. Gray, J.

Mickley, and J. Gurevitch. 2013. Biological invasions: a field synopsis, systematic

review, and database of the literature. Ecology and Evolution 3:182–196.

May, R. M., and R. M. Anderson. 1979. Population biology of infectious diseases: Part II.

Nature 280:455–461.

Milem, J. F. 2003. The educational benefits of diversity: Evidence from multiple sectors.

Pages 126–169 Compelling interest: Examining the evidence on racial dynamics in

higher education.

National Science Foundation. 2020. Women, Minorities, and Persons with Disabilities in

Science and Engineering. https://www.nsf.gov/statistics/2017/nsf17310/digest/fod-

women/engineering.cfm.

Nunez-Mir, G. C., B. V. Iannone, B. C. Pijanowski, N. Kong, and S. Fei. 2016. Automated

content analysis: addressing the big literature challenge in ecology and evolution.

Methods in Ecology and Evolution 7:1262–1272.

Okoli, C. 2015. A guide to conducting a standalone systematic literature review.

Communications of the Association for Information Systems 37.

Olival, K. J., P. R. Hosseini, C. Zambrana-Torrelio, N. Ross, T. L. Bogich, and P. Daszak.

2017. Host and viral traits predict zoonotic spillover from mammals. Nature 546:646–

650.

Patz, J. A., P. Daszak, G. M. Tabor, A. A. Aguirre, M. Pearl, J. Epstein, N. D. Wolfe, A. M.

Kilpatrick, J. Foufopoulos, D. Molyneux, D. J. Bradley, F. P. Amerasinghe, R. W.

Ashford, D. Barthelemy, R. Bos, D. J. Bradley, A. Buck, C. Butler, E. S. Chivian, K. B.

Chua, G. Clark, R. Colwell, U. E. Confalonieri, C. Corvalan, A. A. Cunningham, J.

Dein, A. P. Dobson, J. G. Else, J. Epstein, H. Field, P. Furu, C. Gascon, D. Graham,

A. Haines, A. D. Hyatt, A. Jamaluddin, E. F. Kleinau, F. Koontz, H. S. Koren, S.

LeBlancq, S. Lele, S. Lindsay, N. Maynard, R. G. McLean, T. McMichael, D.

Molyneux, S. S. Morse, D. E. Norris, R. S. Ostfeld, M. C. Pearl, D. Pimentel, L.

Rakototiana, O. Randriamanajara, J. Riach, J. P. Rosenthal, E. Salazar-Sanchez, E.

Silbergeld, M. Thomson, A. Y. Vittor, L. Yameogo, and V. Zakarov. 2004. Unhealthy

landscapes: Policy recommendations on land use change and infectious disease

emergence. Environmental Health Perspectives 112:1092–1098.

Poff, N. L., and J. K. Zimmerman. 2010. Ecological responses to altered flow regimes: a

598   literature review to inform the science and management of environmental flows.

599   Freshwater Biology 55:194–205.

600   Roberge, M.-É., and R. Van Dick. 2010. Recognizing the benefits of diversity: When and

601   how does diversity increase group performance? Human Resource management

602   review 20:295–308.

603   Ryan, S. J., C. J. Carlson, E. A. Mordecai, and L. R. Johnson. 2019. Global expansion and

604   redistribution of Aedes-borne virus transmission risk with climate change. PLoS

605   Neglected Tropical Diseases 13.

606   Scheele, B. C., F. Pasmans, L. F. Skerratt, L. Berger, A. Martel, W. Beukema, A. A.

607   Acevedo, P. A. Burrowes, T. Carvalho, A. Catenazzi, and I. De la Riva. 2019.

608   Amphibian fungal panzootic causes catastrophic and ongoing loss of biodiversity.

609   Science2 363:1459–1463.

610   Scheiner, S. M., and J. P. Rosenthal. 2006. Ecology of infectious disease: Forging an

611   alliance. EcoHealth 3:204–208.

612   Shaw, A. K., and D. E. Stanton. 2012. Leaks in the pipeline: Separating demographic

613   inertia from ongoing gender differences in academia. Proceedings of the Royal

614   Society B: Biological Sciences 279:3736–3741.

615   Sokolow, S. H., N. Nova, K. M. Pepin, A. J. Peel, J. R. Pulliam, K. Manlove, P. C. Cross, D.

616   J. Becker, R. K. Plowright, H. McCallum, and G. A. De Leo. 2019. Ecological

617   interventions to prevent and manage zoonotic pathogen spillover. Philosophical

618   Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 374:20180342.

619   Suh, E., M. K. Grossman, J. L. Waite, N. L. Dennington, E. Sherrard-Smith, T. S. Churcher,

620   and M. B. Thomas. 2020. The influence of feeding behaviour and temperature on the

621   capacity of mosquitoes to transmit malaria. Nature Ecology & Evolution:1–12.

Talman, A., S. Bolton, and J. L. Walson. 2013. Interactions between HIV/AIDS and the

environment: Toward a syndemic framework. American Journal of Public Health

103:253–261.

World Health Organization. 1996. Press release: Infectious diseases kill over 17 million

people a year: WHO warns of global crisis.

https://www.who.int/whr/1996/media_centre/press_release/en/.

World Health Organization. 2018. The top 10 causes of death. https://www.who.int/news-

room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death.

UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS) Higher Education. 2020. http://data.uis.unesco.org/,

http://uis.unesco.org/en/topic/higher-education.

VanderWaal, K. L., and V. O. Ezenwa. 2016. Heterogeneity in pathogen transmission:

mechanisms and methodology. Functional Ecology 30:1606–1622.

Wesolowski, A., N. Eagle, A. J. Tatem, D. L. Smith, A. M. Noor, R. W. Snow, and C. O.

Buckee. 2012. Quantifying the impact of human mobility on malaria. Science

338:267–270.

Wilson, K., A. Fenton, and D. Tompkins. 2019. Wildlife Disease Ecology: Linking Theory to

Data and Application. Cambridge University Press.

Wood, S. N. 2006. Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R. Edition 1.

Chapman & Hill/CRC.

Wortley, L., J. M. Hero, and M. Howes. 2013. Evaluating ecological restoration success: a

review of the literature. Restoration Ecology 21:537–543.