Variability of an early developmental cell population underlies stochastic

laterality defects

Roberto Moreno-Ayala[1], Pedro Olivares-Chauvet[1], Ronny Schäfer[1], Jan Philipp Junker[1,*]

[1] Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine, Berlin Institute for Medical Systems Biology

[*] Correspondence: janphilipp.junker@mdc-berlin.de

Summary

Embryonic development seemingly proceeds with almost perfect precision. However, it is

largely unknown how much microscopic variability is hidden beneath this macroscopic

accuracy. Here, we quantified embryo-to-embryo variability in vertebrate development, by

studying cell number variation in the zebrafish endoderm. We noticed that the size of a sub-

population of the endoderm, the dorsal forerunner cells (which later form the left-right

organizer), is highly variable between individual embryos. We found that the frequency of left-

right laterality defects is increased drastically in embryos with a low number of dorsal

forerunner cells, and we observed that these fluctuations are largely nonhereditary. Hence, a

stochastic variation in early development leads to a remarkably strong macroscopic phenotype.

These fluctuations appear to be caused by variable deposition of maternal factors involved in

specification of the dorsal forerunner cells. In summary, we here dissect cause and consequence

of embryo-to-embryo variability in a vertebrate model.

Introduction

During embryogenesis, cells acquire their identity due to a combination of external signaling

cues and internal competence factors. Embryonic development is remarkably robust towards

fluctuations of regulatory factors such as morphogen levels (Barkai and Shilo 2009; Briscoe

and Small 2015) or genetic variation (El-Brolosy et al. 2019). However, developmental

buffering of fluctuations is not perfect, and phenotypic variation can even be observed in

mutants from isogenic *C. elegans* strains raised under identical environmental conditions due

to noisy gene expression and stochastic variation in genetic interaction partners (Burga,

Casanueva, and Lehner 2011; A. Raj et al. 2010).

Differences in the size of progenitor populations may be another important source of

phenotypic variability in higher organisms. However, the degree of variability in cellular

ontogenies and their potential phenotypic consequences remain largely unknown. Some notable

exceptions are: changes in the subdivision of the primordium that gives rise to the head sensory

organs lead to fluctuations in ommatidia number at different levels (inter-individual, inter-strain

and inter-specific) in the *Drosophila* genus (Ramaekers et al. 2019; Gaspar et al. 2020); sexual

dimorphism and left-right asymmetry of ommatidia number in the ant *Temnothorax albipennis*

are related to differences in mating and motion behavior, respectively (Hunt et al. 2018). Here,

we set out to systematically quantify the degree of inter-individual cell number variation and

its phenotypic consequences, using endoderm specification in the early zebrafish embryo as a

model system.

The endoderm, which is induced by high levels of Nodal signaling during early

development, contributes to the formation of liver, pancreas, intestine, stomach, pharynx and

swim bladder (Warga and Nüsslein-Volhard 1999). The dorsal forerunner cells (DFCs) are a

small group of cells, considered a subset of the endoderm (Alexander et al. 1999; Warga and

Kane 2018) (Figure 1A). They are the precursors of the Kupffer's vesicle (KV) (Melby, Warga,

and Kimmel 1996), the organ that determines left-right asymmetry in the embryo (Essner et al.

2005) (Figure 1B). Since the endoderm is the smallest germ layer during early zebrafish

development (Shah et al. 2019) and the DFCs comprise only a few dozen cells (Oteíza et al.

2008; Gokey, Dasgupta, and Amack 2015), we asked if naturally occurring embryo-to-embryo

variation in cell numbers in wild-type embryos could have any phenotypic consequences.

Results

To characterize cell number variability during zebrafish early embryogenesis, we counted the number of endodermal cells and DFCs at the 75% epiboly stage using a Tg[*sox17*:GFP] reporter line (Figure S1A-D). We found that the number of endodermal cells exhibits considerable variation, with ~500-800 cells per embryo (Figure 1C). However, the number of DFCs is even more variable, ranging from ~10 to ~50 cells per embryo (Figure 1D). This variation of DFC numbers persists at a later stage when they have formed the KV (Figure 1E, Figure S1E), suggesting that no corrective mechanisms are triggered in the animals with particularly high or low numbers of DFCs. We did not find a positive correlation between total cell number and either the endoderm or the DFCs population (Figure 1C, D), indicating that this variation is not due to staging differences. Furthermore, we found no association between the number of DFCs and the number of endodermal cells (Figure S1F), which suggests that the fluctuations of these two cell populations have independent sources.

The surprisingly large fluctuations in DFC numbers prompted us to investigate possible phenotypic consequences of this variation at later developmental stages. Since the DFCs give rise to the KV, the organ establishing left-right asymmetry, we focused on investigating possible laterality defects. Previous experimental studies, as well as mathematical modeling, suggested that the size of the KV needs to exceed a certain threshold in order to enable reliable left-right patterning (Sampaio et al. 2014; Gokey et al. 2015). As the heart is the first organ that is formed during zebrafish development, and since its laterality can be assessed easily in live embryos, we examined the percentage of embryos with defective heart laterality (DHL) in different clutches of embryos (Tüpfel long-fin (TL) wildtype strain) at prim-22 stage (Figure 2A; Video 1). We found a DHL average of 3.9% [standard deviation (sd): 3.0%, 53 independent observations (IO), total amount of scored embryos (n): 6081]. Even though this is a remarkably high frequency for a wildtype population, this value is in very good agreement with previous

reports based on *in situ* hybridization of lower sample numbers (Wang et al. 2011: 3%, n = 650;

Noël et al. 2013: 6%, n = 387).

We then evaluated whether a change in the environmental conditions could unmask an

underlying sensitivity in individuals that would otherwise present normal organ laterality.

Previous reports have observed an influence of temperature on the penetrance of zebrafish

mutant phenotypes (Imai et al. 2001). The incubation temperature for zebrafish embryos ranges

from 25°C to 33°C, with 28.5ºC being the (Kimmel et al. 1995). Increasing the temperature to

33ºC led to a remarkable increase of the DHL average to 26.4% (sd = 9%, IO = 89, n = 9557)

(Figure 2A). We observed no difference in the mortality rate, and the fraction of abnormal

embryos (which were excluded from analysis in any condition) remained moderate (4.6% and

12.1% of the embryos showed a swollen heart cavity or tail defects at 28.5°C and 33°C,

respectively). Taken together, these observations suggest that establishment of left-right

laterality in zebrafish is relatively unstable, which we hypothesized might be due to the variable

number of DFCs.

To test this idea, we set out to determine whether the measured fluctuations in DFC

numbers are directly linked to the observed laterality variation. To do so, we counted the

number of DFCs by live microscopy at 60% epiboly stage and assessed the laterality of the

heart and the liver at later stages, after incubation at 33ºC. We found that the number of DFCs

at 60% epiboly is strongly predictive of laterality defects by long pec stage (Figure 2B), which

establishes a direct association between DFC numbers and laterality defects. Of note, we

observed that the fraction of embryos with laterality defects is ~50% for those individuals with

less than ~20 DFCs, suggesting that laterality is established randomly (and hence half of the

time correctly) in embryos with low DFC number. In summary, we found that an early

fluctuation of the size of a small cell population is correlated with a macroscopic defect at later

stages, rather than be being corrected over the course of development.

We then aimed to exploit the influence of temperature as a tool to better understand the

mechanism of how variability in DFC numbers influences the frequency of heart laterality

defects. To this end, we tested several temperature shift treatments spanning early development

(1-cell to bud stage), early somitogenesis (bud to 14-somites stage) and organogenesis

(following 14-somite stage) (Figure 2C). Interestingly, we found that treating the embryos

during early somitogenesis alone, the time at which the KV is formed, was sufficient to generate

a similar DHL frequency as with continuous incubation at 33°C (Figure 2C, III). This result

suggests that the temperature treatment mostly influences KV function (and hence global left-

right patterning). However, temperature does not have a major influence on specification of

DFCs, which happens before somitogenesis, or heart looping, which happens after

somitogenesis. To corroborate this hypothesis, we decided to investigate potential defects of

global left-right patterning mediated by the KV. Rotational movements by cilia create a

directional fluid flow in the extracellular space that triggers the activation of Nodal signaling

on the left lateral plate mesoderm by inducing degradation of the Nodal antagonist *dand5* on

the left side. This leads to expression of the Nodal ligand *southpaw* (*spaw*) only on the left side

of the lateral plate mesoderm (Hashimoto et al. 2004), which is the molecular signal used to

establish organ laterality. Indeed, we found that asymmetric expression of *dand5* was reduced

at 33ºC compared to 28.5ºC (Figure S2A,B), suggesting that the elevated temperature interferes

with the patterning activity of the KV.

To gain further insight into how the number of cells is related to the function of the KV,

we measured the size of the KV as well as the number of cells (Figure S2C-E). We found that,

as expected, the size of the KV and the number of cells are correlated ($R^2 = 0.78$ for 28.5ºC,

0.58 for 33ºC). However, while the number of cells remained similar, the size of the KV lumen

was significantly decreased at 33ºC compared to 28.5ºC. Taken together, these results suggest

that an elevated temperature leads to a lowering of the cell threshold required for reliable

functioning of the KV, which is mediated at least partially via a reduction of the size of the

lumen.

In line with these observations, we found a gradient of expression patterns for the early

left-right marker gene *spaw*, ranging from the expected pattern (i.e. *spaw* only on the left) to

bilateral expression (*spaw* visible on both sides, although not necessarily at the same level) and

complete reversal (i.e. *spaw* only on the right) (Figure 2D). We hypothesized that these defects

on the level of *spaw* expression should produce concordant laterality defects in different organs

that exhibit left-right asymmetry, such as the heart and liver. Indeed, we found that in most, but

not all, cases the embryos with reversed heart laterality also exhibited reversed liver laterality

(Figure 2E). We speculate that discordant organ laterality might occur in case of almost

perfectly balanced bilateral *spaw* expression (i.e., the same expression levels on both sides),

leading to a scenario where in some cases the two organs would develop their laterality largely

randomly and independently from each other.

After observing this strong and macroscopically visible phenotype caused by cell

number fluctuations at very early developmental stages, we wanted to understand the origin of

the DFC number fluctuations. First, we set out to distinguish stochastic fluctuations from

genetically determined variation of cell numbers. To identify possible genetic factors, we raised

embryos with a reversed heart loop to adulthood and evaluated the DHL frequency on their

progeny. As a control, embryos with normal heart laterality were raised as well. To our surprise,

we detected no significant differences in the percentage of reversed heart laterality in the

offspring compared to the control group, at either 28.5°C or 33°C (Figure 3A), suggesting that

this phenotype is largely non-genetic.

The stochastic origin of the observed laterality defects is a striking finding, with

potentially important conceptual consequences for our interpretation of variable disease

phenotypes (see Discussion). However, the stochastic nature of the phenotype numbers makes

it more difficult to identify the molecular origin of DFC number fluctuations. We therefore tried

to identify general principles that underlie DFC number variability. As both the DFCs and the

surrounding dorsal domain are induced by high levels of Nodal in a non-cell-autonomous

manner (Oteíza et al. 2008; Hagos and Dougan 2007), we reasoned that there could be a direct

correlation between the number of cells forming the dorsal organizer and the DFCs number;

however, we didn't find such an association (Figure S3A,B). Furthermore, we only found a

weak association between maternal age and DHL frequency at 28.5ºC (Figure S3C).

Next, since DHL has been considered a spontaneous strain-dependent defect (Malicki

et al. 2011), we compared the frequencies of reversed heart laterality between embryos with TL

genetic background (the one used so far) versus AB. Interestingly, we found significantly lower

DHL frequencies for AB embryos at 28.5ºC (1.3%, sd = 1.3%, IO = 22, n = 2714) and 33°C

(7.7%, sd = 4.1%, IO = 34, n = 3353) compared to TL (Figure 3B). In line with this observation,

we found that the number of DFCs and KV cells was higher in AB versus TL (Figure 3C), and

that the antero-posterior cilia distribution is more asymmetric in AB than in TL (Figure S3D,E).

The strain-specific differences in DFC numbers gave us a handle to explore the

molecular mechanism in more detail. Specifically, we hypothesized that the fluctuations might

be maternally controlled, since DFCs begin to be emerge 1 hour after maternal-to-zygotic

transition. To test this hypothesis, we crossed AB females with TL males and vice versa. Indeed,

we found that the number of DFCs depends mostly on the mother's genetic background, as the

cell numbers of the two hybrid crosses were different from each other (Figure 3D , with the TL

(female) / AB (male) cross resembling the TL/TL cross, and the AB (female) / TL (male) cross

resembling the AB/AB cross (Figure 3C,D). Consequently, a major source of the observed

fluctuations in the embryo must lie in the development of the oocytes in the mother. When

comparing TL and AB embryos by RNA-seq, we found 94 genes that were consistently

differentially expressed in the period before and after the zygotic genome activation (2.25 hpf

and 3.25 hpf, respectively) as well as the time window during which the DFCs are specified

(4.25 - 5.25 hpf) (Figure 3E, Supplemental Figure 4, Table 1). 12 of the differentially expressed

genes are reported to have an early expression in specific cell types or structures (Figure 3F;

ZFIN database of gene expression patterns). It is very likely that most of these differentially

expressed genes are related to processes other than DFC specification that differ between the

two strains. However, we were intrigued to find that 7 of these 12 genes with a reported early

spatial pattern are expressed in cell types related to DFC specification – the enveloping layer

(EVL), from which the DFCs are derived (Cooper and D'Amico 1996; Oteíza et al. 2008), and

the yolk syncytial layer (YSL), which remains connected to the DFCs until 4hpf (Cooper and

D'Amico 1996).

We found a high correlation in the expression levels of several of the differentially

expressed YSL/EVL genes across the TL embryos (Figure 3G, Supplemental Figure 4). This

raises the possibility that variation of some upstream factor (or a combination of factors) acting

during oocyte development may be responsible for the observed variable but correlated

expression. Interestingly, the mutant for one of these genes, *ctsba,* has been reported to have a

reduced number of EVL cells (Langdon et al. 2016). However, it is unlikely that the genes

identified here are the only ones responsible for variable DFC numbers, since additional factors

(such as fluctuations in protein levels) may contribute equally. Furthermore, additional genes

without a reported YSL/EVL expression might also be involved in this phenomenon. In

summary, these experiments suggest that variable deposition of maternal factors, possibly

involved in YSL/EVL specification and function, may be responsible for the observed

fluctuations in DFC numbers.

Discussion

We found that stochastic fluctuations of a small cell population generated during the earliest

stages of embryogenesis (the DFCs) are not corrected over the course of development, but

instead persist and give rise to a macroscopic phenotype (defects of organ laterality). While it

was reported before that left-right patterning in wildtype zebrafish is surprisingly variable (Noël

et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2011) and that there is a size threshold for reliable functioning of the KV (Sampaio et al. 2014; Gokey et al. 2015), we now show that these phenomena are linked and originate in fluctuations of DFC numbers that are at least partially caused by variable deposition of maternal factors.

Here we show that the number of cells in the KV is important for proper function. However, other studies have shown that the internal architecture is equally critical, since a higher density of cilia in the anterio-dorsal region is needed to create a proper leftward flow (Kreiling et al. 2007; Okabe, Xu, and Burdine 2008). We observed differences in cilia density ratio between AB and TL strains (Figure S3E), but no correlation to the cilia number (data not shown). If and how variation in DFC number is linked to morphological asymmetries in the KV is an interesting question, which would require additional live experiments.

The sizes of progenitor populations in vertebrate embryos are inherently variable due to environmental, genetic and stochastic fluctuations. Without feedback control, the size of the final cell population would dependent linearly on the size of the progenitor pool and hence be highly volatile (Lander et al. 2009). It is therefore remarkable that in this case there seem to be no corrective mechanisms that reduce cell number variability and thereby ensure robust left-right patterning. Furthermore, while mouse embryos pass a size checkpoint at around the time of gastrulation (Snow and Tam 1979; Lewis and Rossant 1982), the externally developing zebrafish embryos don't seem to pass such a checkpoint and are apparently evolved to maximize the speed of development, even at the cost of occasional laterality defects.

Here we investigated the consequences of developmental variability in a specific cell population, the DFCs, and it remains to be answered how general such phenomena are in other cell populations. How variable vertebrate development is, and which degree of fluctuations is still compatible with normal development, are important open questions, which will require novel experimental approaches such as high-throughput lineage tracing (Spanjaard et al. 2018; B. Raj et al. 2018; Alemany et al. 2018).

While stochastic developmental defects of this frequency and severity are probably not

very common in wildtype mammals, it is likely that similar mechanisms as the one described

here may underlie incomplete penetrance of mutations in model organisms (A. Raj et al. 2010;

Burga, Casanueva, and Lehner 2011) as well as in humans. Furthermore, there is increasing

evidence that disease phenotypes that manifest late in life, like Alzheimer's disease, may

already originate in development (Arendt, Stieler, and Ueberham 2017). A similar phenomenon

may occur in type I diabetes – an emerging view is that the initial pool of beta cells at the end

of development is variable between individuals, leading to increased disease susceptibility in a

subset of the population (Atkinson et al. 2015).We therefore speculate that early stochastic

fluctuations of progenitor cell pools might at least partially contribute to a variety of human

disease phenotypes.

Author contributions

J.P.J. and R.M.A. conceived and designed the project. R.M.A performed experiments and

analyzed the data, with support by P.O.C. and R.S.. J.P.J. guided experiments and data analysis.

All authors discussed and interpreted the results. R.M.A. and J.P.J. wrote the manuscript, with

input from P.O.C..

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support by the MDC/BIMSB core facilities for zebrafish and advanced light

microscopy (especially Anca Margineanu), Jana Richter for help with animal experiments and

Nancy Coconi-Linares for artwork. Work in J.P.J.'s laboratory was funded by a European

Research Council Starting Grant (ERC-StG 715361 SPACEVAR). R.M.A. was supported by a

CONACyT (Mexico) postdoctoral fellowship (CVU 269440).

Declaration of interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

     Figures

Figure 1. Cell number variability during early embryogenesis. (A) Maximum projection of

confocal images of a Tg[*sox17*:GFP] embryo at 75% epiboly stage showing the endoderm (red),

DFCs (green) and nuclei (blue). Scale bar = 80µm. (B) Graphical representation of and 8-somite

stage embryo and the KV (based on figures from Dasgupta et al. 2018), together with its role

in determining organ laterality. (C-E) Cell number in endoderm (n = 49), DFCs (n = 49) and

KV (n = 37) for individual embryos. The coefficient of variation (C_V) is indicated at the bottom

right, (C-D) also show the total cell number on the x-axis (C_V = 4.8%) and the coefficient of

determination (R^2).

Figure 2. Fluctuations of DFC numbers lead to defects of organ laterality. (A) Heart

laterality phenotypes scheme observed at prim-22 stage: normal (d-loop) and defective, which

includes reversed (s-loop) and no heart loop, observed in embryos incubated at 28.5ºC (blue)

and 33ºC (orange). The column scatter plots show the percentage of embryos with defective

heart laterality per individual clutch analyzed at 28.5º (marked by the horizontal light blue bar)

and 33ºC (orange bar). The small circles indicate a clutch size of 50-150 and the bigger ones a

range of 151-250 embryos. (B) Confocal z-projection of the dorsal side of a Tg[*sox17*:GFP]

(green) live embryo injected with H2B-mRFP (pink). The plot shows the DFC number

distribution at 60% epiboly and the resulting heart and liver laterality in the individual embryos

assessed by *in situ* hybridization (n = 31). (C) Graphical representation of the different

temperature shift treatments: the first third of the gray bar represents the period between 1-cell

and bud stage, the second until the 14-somite stage, and the third until the period of collection

(long pec stage). Circle size as in (A). Bud and 14-somite schemes are based on Kimmel et al.

1995. (D) Relative frequency of different *spaw* expression patterns in embryos incubated at 28.5º and 33ºC. *in situ* hybridization photographs of normal (green), reversed (dark blue) and bilateral (gray) *spaw* expression in 18-somite stage embryos are shown to the right. (E) Relative frequency of embryos with normal, reversed or bilateral liver, separated into embryos with normal or defective heart laterality (incubated at 28.5º or 33ºC). To the right: Liver laterality as measured by *foxA3 in situ* hybridization.

Figure 3. Heart laterality defects are a stochastic phenomenon that is linked to variable deposition of maternal factors. (A) Percentage of embryos with defective heart laterality in the progeny of individuals that showed either normal or reversed heart laterality at prim-22 stage. Incubation temperature 28.5ºC (light blue) or 33ºC (orange). The small points indicate a clutch size ranging of 50-150 and the bigger points for a range of 151-250. (B) Percentage of embryos with defective heart laterality per individual clutch analyzed at 28.5º and 33ºC in TL (same data as Figure 2A) and AB embryos. (C) Number of DFCs / KV cells at shield and 8-

somite stage; for shield stage: n = 78 and 75 for AB and TL, respectively, p < 0.001; for 8-

somite stage: n = 94 and 97 AB and TL, respectively, p < 0.001). (D) KV cell numbers at 8-

somite stage in crosses between individual AB and TL males and females, AB/TL vs TL/AB p

<0.001 (n=76 for both); AB vs AB/TL p = 0.461; TL vs TL/AB p = 0.01; AB vs TL/AB p

<0.001; TL vs AB/TL p < 0.001. (E) Volcano plot ($\log_2$ of the counts per million (CPM) and

fold change (FC)) showing differentially expressed genes in TL compared to AB embryos at

2.25 hpf determined by RNA-seq. The top 20 DE genes are shown. (F) Summary of reported

embryonic expression patterns for the down- and upregulated genes. (G) Pairwise Pearson

correlation between the downregulated genes at 2.25hpf for TL embryos. The gene names are

color-coded by embryonic structure as in (F). Color scale to the right. The boxplots in (C) and

(D) display the median; the hinges represent the first and third quartiles and the whiskers

represent 1.5 of the inter-quartile range from the hinge.

Methods

Zebrafish Husbandry and Staging

All animal procedures were conducted according to local authorities guidance (LAGeSo,

Berlin, Germany). Adult zebrafish were maintained and bred under standard conditions.

Embryos were left to develop in egg water (0.6 g/L dissolved in dH2O; Red Sea Salt, Red Sea,

containing methylene blue, 0.002 g/L) to the desired stage at 28.5C unless otherwise stated.

Staging was done based on Kimmel et al. 1995. It was not possible to record the sex of the

embryos examined due to their developmental stage.

Zebrafish strains

AB and Tüpfel Long Fin (TL) strains were obtained from the European Zebrafish

Research Center (EZRC). The Tg[*sox17*:GFP] strain was reported in (Sakaguchi et al. 2006).

To change its genetic background to TL, the fish were crossed with TL fish. By crossing the

resulting males with TL females, we obtained similar DHL percentages as in the TL wildtype

strain.

Immunolocalization

Embryos at the desired stage were fixed overnight at 4ºC in PFA 4% in PBS. The

following day, they were washed 3x with PBSTx (1x PBS with TritonX 1%) for 5 minutes and

dechorionated. They were incubated in blocking buffer (2% BSA, 5% Goat Serum in PBSTx)

for 2 hours at room temperature (RT), followed by an overnight incubation at 4ºC with one or

a combination of the following primary antibodies diluted in blocking buffer: mouse anti-

acetylated Tubulin (1:200, Sigma Aldrich T6793), chicken or rabbit anti-GFP (1:1000, Abcam

ab13970 and ab290, respectively), rabbit anti-Flh (1:200, Sigma Aldrich SAB2702443) and

rabbit anti-Laminin-$\alpha1\beta1\gamma1$ (1:100, Sigma Aldrich L9393). On the next day, they were washed

3x with PBSTx and 1x with blocking buffer, 30 minutes each at RT, followed by an overnight

incubation at 4ºC with Hoechst 1:1000 and secondary antibody diluted in blocking buffer

(1:200): goat anti-chicken Alexa-488 (Thermo Fisher A11039), goat anti-mouse Alexa-647

(Thermo Fisher A32728) or goat anti-rabbit Alexa-568 (Thermo Fisher A11011). Finally, 3x

PBSTx washes, 30 minutes each at RT.

Imaging

Endodermal, DFCs and total cell number in 75% epiboly embryos: after anti-GFP

immunolocalization, the embryos were washed with 50% glycerol/50% PBSTx for ten minutes

and then with 100% glycerol overnight at 4ºC. For flat mount, a layer of laboratory labeling

tape (13mm wide) was attached to each side of a coverslip to make a bridge and leave enough

room for the flat embryo (~120μm). A single embryo was put on a coverslip with a drop of

glycerol. For flattening, a closed forceps was introduced in the vegetal pole and then opened to

break and split the yolk cell in half. As many yolk granules as possible were removed to avoid

damaging the blastoderm, then another coverslip was put on top. The tissue was oriented facing

the bottom to be imaged with an SP8 inverted confocal microscope. After obtaining an image

stack for the endodermal and total cell number, another stack was obtained for the DFCs region

with lower laser power and higher zoom factor, since the GFP signal intensity in these cells is

considerably higher than in the endodermal cells. SP8 microscope acquisition parameters: 20x

multi-immersion objective, format = 1024 x 1024, speed = 600, zoom factor = 0.75 (except for

DFCs, 2 or 4 when all cells fit), line average = 2, Z-step size = 3, gating = 0.3 - 6 for both

channels, laser intensity Z-compensation for each image, tiling = 2x2 in most images, with 15%

overlapping. The images were automatically stitched with the Leica software.

For imaging DFCs at shield, cilia at 8-somite stage and total amount of cells at different

stages (3.25-5.25hpf), the embryos were mounted in 1% low melting point agarose, and imaged

on an upright Zeiss 880 confocal microscope, with the following settings: 20x water-dipping

objective, format = 512 x 512, speed = 8, zoom factor = 1, line average = 2, Z-step size = 3. For

the total amount of cell counting a 2-photon Chameleon laser was used, tiling = 2x2, with 15%

overlapping. The images were automatically stitched with the Zeiss software.

Live imaging

For KV measurements at 8-somite stage, embryos incubated at 28.5º or 33ºC were

mounted on a 1.5% agarose injection dish with little liquid. The embryos were oriented inside

the chorion to image the KV. Afterwards, 3% methylcellulose in E3 embryo medium (5mM

NaCl, 0.17mM KCl, 0.33mM $CaCl_2$, 0.33mM $MgSO_4$) was added to cover the embryos and,

thus, retain the order of the individual embryos. The embryos were incubated at the initial

temperature until prim-22 for heart laterality analysis. Per session, around 30 embryos were

imaged for each condition.

For DFC counting, individual Tg[*sox17*:GFP] (injected with ~60 pg of H2B-mRFP

mRFP1 at one-cell stage) shield stage embryos were transferred to glass-bottom Petri dishes,

most of the liquid was removed and ~0.5 mL of 3% methylcellulose in E3 embryo medium was

added. Then, the embryos were manually dechorionated with forceps and oriented with the

shield facing the bottom of the dish for imaging on the Leica SP8 confocal microscope. After

imaging, the dish was filled with E3 embryo medium and incubated at 33ºC until long pec stage

and fixed for *in situ* hybridization.

in situ **hybridization**

Whole-mount in situ hybridizations were performed essentially as described previously

(Thisse & Thisse, 2008). The following probes were used: *sox32* (Bjornson et al. 2005); *foxA3*

and *myl7* (Noël et al. 2013); and *spaw* and *dand5* (Sampaio et al. 2014).The *amhc* probe was

kindly provided by Daniela Panáková.

mRNA synthesis

The H2B-mRFP1 mRNA was synthesized using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE kit

(Thermo Fisher AM1345) according to the manufacturer's recommendations.

Temperature shift treatment

After collection, the embryos were put immediately in warmed water, either to 28.5ºC

or 33ºC. For the temperature shift, the embryos were transferred to a Petri dish filled with water

at the desired temperature.

Single embryo RNA-seq

A total of 6 AB and 6 TL embryos were individually collected per stage (2.25, 3.25,

4.25 and 5.25 hpf) in LoBind tubes (Eppendorf) in two independent experiments (each of which

contained half of the samples for each condition). 0.5mL Trizol and 0.5µl GlycoBlue were

added to each samples, and RNA extraction was carried according to the manufacturer's

recommendations. Each sample was barcoded, pooled and the libraries were prepared according

to the CEL-seq2 protocol (Hashimshony et al. 2016) with different RPI indices for each

timepoint and paired-end sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 500 (read length 12 nt for barcode

read and 63 nt for transcript read).

Sequencing data analysis

Basecalling was done with bcl2fastq v2.19.0.316. The resulting reads were

demultiplexed with *scruff* (Wang et al. 2019). Mapping was done with STAR 2.7.1a (Dobin et

al. 2013) using quantMode GeneCounts and the DanRer11v96 transcriptome as reference.

Differentially expressed (DE) genes were obtained with edgeR (Robinson, McCarthy, and

Smyth 2009). Genes with a False Discovery Rate < 0.01, log Counts Per Million > 4 and log

Fold Change < -1 for downregulated and > 1 for upregulated genes were considered DE. Spatial

and temporal expression was obtained on The Zebrafish Information Network (Bradford et al.

2011).

Plots

All plots were generated in R using the following additional libraries: ggplot2 graphing

package, ggbeswarm for column scatter plots, ggExtra for marginal histograms and

ComplexHeatMap for heatmaps; for which, a logarithmic transformation of the counts per

million (CPM) values obtained with edgeR was applied first. Volcano plots were generated

with VolcaNoseR (Goedhart and Luijsterburg 2020).

Cell counting

The endodermal cells were counted with the Imaris (Bitplane) Surface module:

background subtraction: on, diameter of largest sphere: 15 μm, splicing touching: on, seed

points diameter: 8 μm. For each sample, the number was visually corroborated. The total cell

number was estimated with the Fiji (Schindelin et al. 2012) ITCN plugin. A Z-projection was

obtained for each stack, the ITCN parameters were: width 12, minimum distance 6, threshold:

0.5.

For quantification of dorsal domain cells and DFCs, cell number was estimated

manually for cilia with the Cell Counter Fiji plugin. The cilia number was used as a proxy for

the KV cell number, since the cells are monociliated. DFCs numbers were first estimated with

ITCN (width 18, minimum distance 9, threshold: 0.5) and visually corrected afterwards. For

the total amount of cell estimation, the cells were counted with Imaris, same parameters

mentioned above.

The Flh positive cells were counted with ITCN plugin (same parameters used for DFCs mention

above). In all cases the embryos were imaged immediately after immunolocalization. The

number of dorsal domain cells was obtained by subtracting the DFCs number from the number

of Flh positive cells, since Flh is also expressed in the DFCs.

Statistics

The coefficients of determination were obtained with R. The p-values are obtained with a

randomization test done with PlotsOfDifferences (Goedhart 2019). All statistical parameters,

including samples numbers and median are shown in the figures, described in the figure legends

or in the main text.

Supplemental files

Video 1. Heart loop phenotypes observed at prim-22 stage. Heart laterality phenotypes on

live embryos; normal/dextral loop (d-loop); defective includes: reversed/sinistral loop (s-loop)

and no loop, shown side by side on a ventral view.

Table 1. Shared DE genes. Function, earliest expression, spatial expression, DE expression,

and embryonic structure information from ZFIN for the differentially expressed genes found

across the timepoints selected for AB vs TL comparison.

References

Alemany, Anna, Maria Florescu, Chloé S. Baron, Josi Peterson-Maduro, and Alexander Van

Oudenaarden. 2018. "Whole-Organism Clone Tracing Using Single-Cell Sequencing."

Nature 556 (7699): 108–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25969.

Alexander, J, M Rothenberg, G L Henry, and D Y Stainier. 1999. "Casanova Plays an Early

and Essential Role in Endoderm Formation in Zebrafish." *Developmental Biology* 215:

343–57. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1999.9441.

Amack, Jeffrey D., and HJ. Yost. 2004. "The T Box Transcription Factor No Tail in Ciliated

Cells Controls Zebrafish Left-Right Asymmetry." *Current Biology* 128 (2): 189–90.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.

Arendt, Thomas, Jens Stieler, and Uwe Ueberham. 2017. "Is Sporadic Alzheimer′s Disease a

Developmental Disorder?" *Journal of Neurochemistry* 143 (4): 396–408.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.14036.

Atkinson, Mark, Matthias von Herrath, Alvin C Powers, and Michael Clare-Salzler. 2015.

"Current Concepts on the Pathogenesis of Type 1 Diabetes d Considerations for

Attempts to Prevent and Reverse the Disease." *Diabetes Care* 38 (June): 979–88.

https://doi.org/10.2337/dc15-0144.

Barkai, Naama, and Ben Zion Shilo. 2009. "Robust Generation and Decoding of Morphogen

Gradients." *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* 1 (5): 1–15.

https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a001990.

Bjornson, Christopher R R, Kevin J P Griffin, Gist H. Farr, Akira Terashima, Charis Himeda,

Yutaka Kikuchi, and David Kimelman. 2005. "Eomesodermin Is a Localized Maternal

Determinant Required for Endoderm Induction in Zebrafish." *Developmental Cell* 9 (4):

523–33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2005.08.010.

Bradford, Yvonne, Tom Conlin, Nathan Dunn, David Fashena, Ken Frazer, Douglas G.

Howe, Jonathan Knight, et al. 2011. "ZFIN: Enhancements and Updates to the Zebrafish

Model Organism Database." *Nucleic Acids Research* 39 (SUPPL. 1): 822–29.

https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq1077.

Briscoe, James, and Stephen Small. 2015. "Morphogen Rules: Design Principles of Gradient-

Mediated Embryo Patterning." *Development* 142 (23): 3996–4009.

https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.129452.

Burga, Alejandro, M. Olivia Casanueva, and Ben Lehner. 2011. "Predicting Mutation

Outcome from Early Stochastic Variation in Genetic Interaction Partners." *Nature* 480

(7376): 250–53. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10665.

Cooper, M S, and L a D'Amico. 1996. "A Cluster of Noninvoluting Endocytic Cells at the

Margin of the Zebrafish Blastoderm Marks the Site of Embryonic Shield Formation."

Developmental Biology 180 (0294): 184–98. https://doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1996.0294.

Dasgupta, Agnik, Matthias Merkel, Madeline J Clark, Andrew E Jacob, Jonathan Edward

Dawson, M Lisa Manning, and Jeffrey D Amack. 2018. "Cell Volume Changes

Contribute to Epithelial Morphogenesis in Zebrafish Kupffer's Vesicle." *ELife* 7: 1–34.

https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.30963.

Dobin, Alexander, Carrie A. Davis, Felix Schlesinger, Jorg Drenkow, Chris Zaleski, Sonali

Jha, Philippe Batut, Mark Chaisson, and Thomas R. Gingeras. 2013. "STAR: Ultrafast

Universal RNA-Seq Aligner." *Bioinformatics* 29 (1): 15–21.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635.

El-Brolosy, Mohamed A., Zacharias Kontarakis, Andrea Rossi, Carsten Kuenne, Stefan

Günther, Nana Fukuda, Khrievono Kikhi, et al. 2019. "Genetic Compensation Triggered

by Mutant MRNA Degradation." *Nature* 568 (7751): 193–97.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1064-z.

Essner, Jeffrey J, Jeffrey D Amack, Molly K Nyholm, Erin B Harris, and H Joseph Yost.

2005. "Kupffer's Vesicle Is a Ciliated Organ of Asymmetry in the Zebrafish Embryo

That Initiates Left-Right Development of the Brain, Heart and Gut." *Development* 132

(6): 1247–60. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01663.

Gaspar, Pedro, Saad Arif, Lauren Sumner-rooney, Maike Kittelmann, Andrew J Bodey,

David L Stern, Maria D S Nunes, and Alistair P Mcgregor. 2020. "Characterization of

the Genetic Architecture Underlying Eye Size Variation Within Drosophila

Melanogaster and Drosophila Simulans." *G3* 10 (March): 1005–18.

Goedhart, Joachim. 2019. "PlotsOfDifferences - a Web App for the Quantitative Comparison

of Unpaired Data." *BioRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/578575.

Goedhart, Joachim, and Martijn S Luijsterburg. 2020. "VolcaNoseR – a Web App for

Creating , Exploring and Sharing Volcano Plots." *BioRxiv*.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.07.082263 .

Gokey, Jason J., Agnik Dasgupta, and Jeffrey D. Amack. 2015. "The V-ATPase Accessory

Protein Atp6ap1b Mediates Dorsal Forerunner Cell Proliferation and Left-Right

Asymmetry in Zebrafish." *Developmental Biology* 407 (1): 115–30.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2015.08.002.

Gokey, Jason J., Yongchang Ji, Hwee Goon Tay, Bridget Litts, and Jeffrey D. Amack. 2015.

"Kupffer's Vesicle Size Threshold for Robust Left-Right Patterning of the Zebrafish

Embryo." *Developmental Dynamics*, 22–33. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.24355.

Hagos, Engda G, and Scott T Dougan. 2007. "Time-Dependent Patterning of the Mesoderm

and Endoderm by Nodal Signals in Zebrafish." *BMC Developmental Biology* 18: 1–18.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-213X-7-22.

Hashimoto, Hisashi, Michael Rebagliati, Nadira Ahmad, Osamu Muraoka, Tadahide

Kurokawa, Masahiko Hibi, and Tohru Suzuki. 2004. "The Cerberus/Dan-Family Protein

Charon Is a Negative Regulator of Nodal Signaling during Left-Right Patterning in

Zebrafish." *Development* 131 (8): 1741–53. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01070.

Hashimshony, Tamar, Naftalie Senderovich, Gal Avital, Agnes Klochendler, Yaron de

Leeuw, Leon Anavy, Dave Gennert, et al. 2016. "CEL-Seq2: Sensitive Highly-

Multiplexed Single-Cell RNA-Seq." *Genome Biology* 17 (1): 1–7.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-0938-8.

Hunt, Edmund R, Ciara Dornan, Ana B Sendova-franks, and Nigel R Franks. 2018.

"Asymmetric Ommatidia Count and Behavioural Lateralization in the Ant Temnothorax

Albipennis." *Scientific Reports*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-23652-4.

Imai, Y, M a Gates, a E Melby, D Kimelman, a F Schier, and W S Talbot. 2001. "The

Homeobox Genes Vox and Vent Are Redundant Repressors of Dorsal Fates in

Zebrafish." *Development* 128 (12): 2407–20.

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11493559.

Kimmel, Charles B., William Ballard, Seth R Kimmel, Bonnie Ullman, and Thomas F.

Schilling. 1995. "Stages of Embryonic Development of the Zebrafish." *Developmental*

Dynamics 203: 255–310.

Kreiling, Jill A., Prabhat, Geoffrey Williams, and Robbert Creton. 2007. "Analysis of

Kupffer's Vesicle in Zebrafish Embryos Using a Cave Automated Virtual Environment."

Developmental Dynamics 236 (7): 1963–69. https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21191.

Lander, Arthur D, Kimberly K Gokoffski, Frederic Y M Wan, Qing Nie, and Anne L Calof.

2009. "Cell Lineages and the Logic of Proliferative Control" 7 (1).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000015.

Langdon, Yvette G., Ricardo Fuentes, Hong Zhang, Elliott W. Abrams, Florence L. Marlow,

and Mary C. Mullins. 2016. "Split Top: A Maternal Cathepsin B That Regulates

Dorsoventral Patterning and Morphogenesis." *Development* 143 (6): 1016–28.

https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.128900.

Lewis, N. E., and J. Rossant. 1982. "Mechanism of Size Regulation in Mouse Embryo

Aggregates." *Journal of Embryology and Experimental Morphology* Vol. 72 (1): 169–

81.

Malicki, Jarema, Andrei Avanesov, Jade Li, Shiaulou Yuan, and Zhaoxia Sun. 2011. *Analysis*

of Cilia Structure and Function in Zebrafish. Methods in Cell Biology. Third Edit. Vol.

101. Elsevier Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-387036-0.00003-7.

Megason, Sean G. 2009. *In Toto Imaging of Embryogenesis with Confocal Time-Lapse*

Microscopy. Mol Biol. Vol. 546. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-239-1_1.

Melby, a E, R M Warga, and C B Kimmel. 1996. "Specification of Cell Fates at the Dorsal

Margin of the Zebrafish Gastrula." *Development* 122: 2225–37.

Noël, Emily S, Manon Verhoeven, Anne Karine Lagendijk, Federico Tessadori, Kelly Smith,

Suma Choorapoikayil, Jeroen den Hertog, and Jeroen Bakkers. 2013. "A Nodal-

Independent and Tissue-Intrinsic Mechanism Controls Heart-Looping Chirality." *Nature*

Communications 4 (August 2015): 2754. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3754.

Okabe, Noriko, Bo Xu, and Rebecca D. Burdine. 2008. "Fluid Dynamics in Zebrafish

Kupffer's Vesicle." *Developmental Dynamics* 237 (12): 3602–12.

https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.21730.

Oteíza, Pablo, Mathias Köppen, Miguel L Concha, and Carl-Philipp Heisenberg. 2008.

"Origin and Shaping of the Laterality Organ in Zebrafish." *Development* 135 (16): 2807–

13. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.022228.

Raj, Arjun, Scott a Rifkin, Erik Andersen, and Alexander van Oudenaarden. 2010.

"Variability in Gene Expression Underlies Incomplete Penetrance." *Nature* 463 (7283):

913–18. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08781.

Raj, Bushra, Daniel E. Wagner, Aaron McKenna, Shristi Pandey, Allon M. Klein, Jay

Shendure, James A. Gagnon, and Alexander F. Schier. 2018. "Simultaneous Single-Cell

Profiling of Lineages and Cell Types in the Vertebrate Brain." *Nature Biotechnology* 36

(5): 442–50. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4103.

Ramaekers, Ariane, Annelies Claeys, Martin Kapun, Emmanuèle Mouchel-Vielh, Delphine

Potier, Simon Weinberger, Nicola Grillenzoni, et al. 2019. "Altering the Temporal

Regulation of One Transcription Factor Drives Evolutionary Trade-Offs between Head

Sensory Organs Article Altering the Temporal Regulation of One Transcription Factor

Drives Evolutionary Trade-Offs between Head Sensory Organs." *Developmental Cell*

50: 780–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2019.07.027.

Robinson, Mark D., Davis J. McCarthy, and Gordon K. Smyth. 2009. "EdgeR: A

Bioconductor Package for Differential Expression Analysis of Digital Gene Expression

Data." *Bioinformatics* 26 (1): 139–40. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616.

Sakaguchi, Takuya, Yutaka Kikuchi, Atsushi Kuroiwa, Hiroyuki Takeda, and Didier Y R

Stainier. 2006. "The Yolk Syncytial Layer Regulates Myocardial Migration by

Influencing Extracellular Matrix Assembly in Zebrafish." *Development* 133 (20): 4063–

72. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.02581.

Sampaio, Pedro, Rita R. Ferreira, Adán Guerrero, Petra Pintado, Bárbara Tavares, Joana

Amaro, Andrew A. Smith, Thomas Montenegro-Johnson, David J. Smith, and Susana S.

Lopes. 2014. "Left-Right Organizer Flow Dynamics: How Much Cilia Activity Reliably

Yields Laterality?" *Developmental Cell* 29 (6): 716–28.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2014.04.030.

Schindelin, Johannes, Ignacio Arganda-Carreras, Erwin Frise, Verena Kaynig, Mark Longair,

Tobias Pietzsch, Stephan Preibisch, et al. 2012. "Fiji: An Open-Source Platform for

Biological-Image Analysis." *Nature Methods* 9 (7): 676–82.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019.

Shah, Gopi, Konstantin Thierbach, Benjamin Schmid, Johannes Waschke, Anna Reade,

Mario Hlawitschka, Ingo Roeder, Nico Scherf, and Jan Huisken. 2019. "Multi-Scale

Imaging and Analysis Identify Pan-Embryo Cell Dynamics of Germlayer Formation in

Zebrafish." *Nature Communications* 10 (1): 5753. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-

13625-0.

Snow, M.H.L., and P.P.L Tam. 1979. "Is Compensatory Growth a Complicating Factor in

Mouse Teratology?" *Nature* 279 (9): 555–57.

https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004.

Spanjaard, Bastiaan, Bo Hu, Nina Mitic, Pedro Olivares-Chauvet, Sharan Janjuha, Nikolay

Ninov, and Jan Philipp Junker. 2018. "Simultaneous Lineage Tracing and Cell-Type

Identification Using CRISPR–Cas9-Induced Genetic Scars." *Nature Biotechnology*

2018, no. April. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.4124.

Thisse, Christine, and Bernard Thisse. 2008. "High-Resolution in Situ Hybridization to

Whole-Mount Zebrafish Embryos." *Nature Protocols* 3 (1): 59–69.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2007.514.

Wang, Guangliang, Adam B Cadwallader, Duck Soo Jang, Michael Tsang, H Joseph Yost,

and Jeffrey D Amack. 2011. "The Rho Kinase Rock2b Establishes Anteroposterior

Asymmetry of the Ciliated Kupffer's Vesicle in Zebrafish." *Development* 138 (1): 45–

54. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.052985.

Wang, Zhe, Junming Hu, W. Evan Johnson, and Joshua D. Campbell. 2019. "Scruff: An

R/Bioconductor Package for Preprocessing Single-Cell RNA-Sequencing Data." *BMC*

Bioinformatics 20 (1): 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-019-2797-2.

Warga, R M, and C Nüsslein-Volhard. 1999. "Origin and Development of the Zebrafish

Endoderm." *Development* 126 (4): 827–38.

Warga, Rachel M., and Donald A. Kane. 2018. "Wilson Cell Origin for Kupffer's Vesicle in

the Zebrafish." *Developmental Dynamics* 247 (9): 1057–69.

https://doi.org/10.1002/dvdy.24657.