Nutrient supplementation experiments with saltern microbial communities implicate utilization of

DNA as a source of phosphorus

Running Title: DNA as a microbial community's phosphorus source

Zhengshuang Hua[1], Matthew Ouellette[2,$], Andrea M. Makkay[2], R. Thane Papke[2,*], Olga Zhaxybayeva[1,3*]

[1] Department of Biological Sciences, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA

[2] Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, USA

[3] Department of Computer Science, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH, USA

[$] Current affiliation: The Forsyth Institute, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA and Department of Oral

Medicine, Infection and Immunity, Harvard School of Dental Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

[*]Corresponding authors:

O.Z., olga.zhaxybayeva@dartmouth.edu;

R.T.P., thane@uconn.edu.

Keywords: extracellular DNA, dissolved DNA, hypersaline, haloarchaea, Halobacteria, DNA uptake,

community diversity

Abstract

All environments including hypersaline ones harbor measurable concentrations of dissolved extracellular DNA (eDNA) that can be utilized by microbes as a nutrient. However, it remains poorly understood which eDNA components are used, and who in a community utilizes it. For this study, we incubated a saltern microbial community with combinations of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and DNA, and tracked the community response in each microcosm treatment via 16S rRNA and *rpoB* gene sequencing. We show that microbial communities used DNA only as a phosphorus source, and provision of other sources of carbon and nitrogen was needed to exhibit a substantial growth. The taxonomic composition of eDNA in the water column changed with the availability of inorganic phosphorus or supplied DNA, hinting at preferential uptake of eDNA from specific organismal sources. Especially favored for growth was eDNA from the most abundant taxa, suggesting some haloarchaea prefer eDNA from closely related taxa. Additionally, microcosms' composition shifted substantially depending on the provided nutrient combinations. These shifts allowed us to predict supplemented nutrients from microbial composition with high accuracy, suggesting that nutrient availability in an environment could be assessed from a taxonomic survey of its microbial community.

Introduction

Dissolved extracellular DNA (eDNA) in the environment plays important roles in global cycles of carbon

(C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P). It is found at measurable concentrations in every analyzed habitat

[1–4] and is estimated to amount to gigatons globally [5]. Presence of eDNA in environments is

predominantly influenced by release of DNA via microbial cell lysis from viral infections [6, 7], but also

results from DNA freed up from spontaneous and programmed cell death, autolysis, and direct DNA

secretion [8–11]. Subsequently, eDNA becomes available for resident organisms to utilize, and in some

environments eDNA turnover rates can be as short as a few hours [12]. However, seasonal variability and

type of habitat can increase the residence time of eDNA to months and years [13, 14], despite the

presence and high activity of secreted extracellular nucleases [3]. Adsorption to minerals and particles can

make eDNA unavailable for microorganisms [15], but factors like nutrient obtainability, and the presence

of salt could also contribute to the stability, availability and utilization of the available eDNA [13, 16].

Many microorganisms secrete enzymes that degrade eDNA extracellularly [17]. The subsequent

uptake of the DNA components could be used to support growth by being used as a C, N or P source [18,

19]. Many archaea and bacteria can also take up double-stranded eDNA as a high molecular weight

molecule via a process of natural transformation, and use it either to repair damaged chromosomes or to

increase genetic variation [15, 20]. However, since natural transformation has low efficiency rates and

only one DNA strand is typically used in recombination, most of the eDNA transported into the cell may

be consumed for other purposes, such as an energy source or as input building blocks (e.g., nucleotides)

in metabolic pathways [21–25].

Hypersaline environments are reported to have the highest concentrations of eDNA [3]. These habitats have little to no primary production near the saturation level of NaCl, yet they support a high density of cells, usually dominated by heterotrophic, aerobic, obligate halophilic archaea, informally known as Haloarchaea [26–28]. A nutritionally competent model haloarchaeon *Haloferax volcanii* can grow on eDNA, primarily as a P source [29], suggesting that in hypersaline habitats eDNA plays an important role in the phosphorus cycle and also possibly in carbon and nitrogen cycles. Notably, *Hfx. volcanii* discriminates different eDNA sources for growth, as cultures did not grow on purified *E. coli* or herring sperm DNA, but grew on *Hfx. volcanii* (i.e., its own) or unmethylated *E. coli* DNA [23]. Although some bacteria have demonstrated biased uptake of DNA for natural transformation purposes [30–33], the above observations for *Hfx. volcanii* raise the possibility that many other microorganisms in a haloarchaeal community can grow only on specific eDNA, perhaps based on its methylation status. This remains a largely unexplored phenomenon. To better understand how eDNA influences growth of microbial communities in hypersaline environments, and to investigate eDNA utilization biases associated with organismal source of eDNA by different haloarchaea and hypersaline-adapted bacteria, we conducted microcosm experiments on natural near-saturated hypersaline waters collected from the Isla Cristina solar saltern in southern Spain that were amended by sources of C, N, inorganic phosphorus (P_i) and DNA. We show that eDNA, which was either available in the water column or provided as a supplement, was utilized by the microbial community as a source of phosphorus. Via sequencing of *rpoB* and 16S rRNA genes from DNA collected from both the cells and water column before and after the experiments, we observe that composition of both microbes in the community and eDNA in the water

column changes depending on nutrients, and infer that at least some of these shifts are due to the ability to

use eDNA and to prefer eDNA of specific taxonomic origin or taxonomic relatedness. We also found that

the shifts in taxonomic composition of a saltern microbial community and eDNA in the water column are

substantial enough to be predictive of the provided nutrients.

Materials and Methods

Nutrient supplementation of samples, microcosms' incubation, DNA purification, rpoB *and 16S rRNA*

genes amplification

Isla Cristina water samples were aliquoted into 50mL conical tubes, with each tube receiving 30mL of

water sample. These samples were supplemented with various combinations of C (0.5% w/v glucose), N

(5 mM NH_4Cl), and P_i (1 mM KH_2PO_4) sources, as well as with high molecular weight, undigested

genomic DNA (~6ng/μL of either *Hfx. volcanii* DS2 or *E. coli dam⁻/dcm⁻*) (the listed concentration values

are final concentrations). Each combination of nutrient supplementation was performed in duplicate. The

microcosms were incubated at 42°C and once they reached stationary phase, they were centrifuged and

filtered. DNA was extracted, and *rpoB* and 16S rRNA genes were PCR-amplified. For details see

Supplementary Methods.

Quantification of nutrients in Isla Cristina water samples

The Isla Cristina water samples before and after experiments (including duplicates) were analyzed for

total organic carbon (TOC), total dissolved nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP) and inorganic

phosphorus (P_i). For each microcosm, 5 mL was aliquoted into 50 mL conical tubes, which were then

diluted 1:10 with deionized water. The organic phosphorus in each sample (P_o) was calculated as the

difference between TP and P_i. See **Supplementary Methods** for details.

DNA sequencing, sequence quality control, pair merging, clustering of sequences into the operational

taxonomic units and assignment of taxonomic affiliations

The purified amplicon products were used to construct 69 *rpoB*-based and 28 16S-rRNA-based libraries.

The libraries were sequenced using Illumina MiSeq technology, collectively producing 3 567 797 and 2

277 899 paired-end raw reads for *rpoB* and 16S-rRNA genes, respectively. The raw reads were trimmed

from poor quality regions and pairs were merged as described in **Supplementary Methods**. This

procedure resulted in the 1 174 289 *rpoB* and 1 320 673 16S *rRNA* merged pairs, which were clustered

into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using QIIME v1.9.1 [34] and assigned taxonomic affiliations

using the Ribosomal Database Project classifier v2.2 [35], as detailed in **Supplementary Methods**. Since

E. coli and *Hfx. volcanii* are not indigenous members of the initial microbial community, the DNA

assigned to these taxa were excluded from all further analyses.

Quantification of similarities and differences in taxonomic composition across and within samples

For each sample, relative abundance of its OTUs, overall taxonomic composition and the OTU diversity

were calculated as described in **Supplementary Methods**. Additionally, the samples were clustered via

Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA), using beta-diversity distances calculated from Bray-Curtis and

Jaccard dissimilarity values. The clusters were tested for similarity and differences in the OTU

composition (see **Supplementary Methods** for details).

Examination of the impact of provided nutrients on community composition using linear regression

Linear regression modeling was conducted with provided nutrients (C, N, P_i and P_o) as explanatory

variables and number of OTUs in each sample as dependent variables as described in **Supplementary**

Methods.

Quantification of changes in relative abundances of the abundant haloarchaeal OTUs (ahOTUs) in

response to provided phosphorus

Changes in ahOTU abundance in microcosms and eDNA pools in response to addition of DNA and P_i

were visualized as heatmaps mapped to a cladogram of relationships among ahOTUs (see

Supplementary Methods for details). Additionally, changes in relative abundance of an ahOTU in

microcosms and eDNA pools between two experimental treatments were summarized using two metrics,

$D = (ICW_a - ICW_b) - (ICC_a - ICC_b)$ and $ln(Odds\ ratio) = \ln(\frac{ICW_a/ICW_b}{ICC_a/ICC_b})$, where a and b denote two

compared treatments and ICC_i and ICW_i represent relative abundances of an ahOTU in communities and

eDNA pools of a treatment i, respectively.

Prediction of provided nutrients from taxonomic composition of a sample

Using the relative abundance of ahOTUs in a sample and categorization based on combination of

nutrients provided in the experiments, a random forest classifier [36] was trained and cross validated as

described in **Supplementary Methods**. The performance of classifier trained on the real data was

compared to that of a classifier trained on the re-shuffled samples.

Data availability

Raw sequencing data is available in GenBank under BioProject ID PRJNA646965. **Supplementary**

Data, which includes a script used for raw data quality control, merged pairs, database used for *rpoB-*

based OTU taxonomy assignment, tables of OTU composition per sample, and nucleotide sequences

chosen to represent each OTU, are available in FigShare repository at

https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12609068.

Results

Isla Cristina's microbial communities grow on eDNA as a phosphorus source

Supplementation of microbial communities from the Isla Cristina saltern (Huelva, Spain) with various

combinations of C, N, P_i, and DNA from either *Hfx. volcanii* DS2 (denoted as H) or *E. coli dam⁻/dcm⁻*

(denoted as E) revealed that unless both C and N were provided, no notable growth was observed (**Fig. 1a**

and **1b**). Supplementation with both C and N (+C+N treatment) yielded an average net OD_{600} increase of

0.414 (**Fig. 1a**) and 0.506 (**Fig. 1c**) in the two sets of conducted experiments. Providing P_i in addition to C

and N (+C+N+P_i treatment) resulted in the largest growth (**Fig. 1a** and **Fig. 1c**). Interestingly, samples

that were supplemented with DNA instead of P_i (+C+N+H or +C+N+E treatments) also exhibited a

comparable amount of growth, although net OD_{600} increase was ~12.9% lower than in the +C+N+P_i

treatment (One-way ANOVA test; P-value 0.0036; **Fig. 1c**). Nevertheless, the net OD_{600} increases in the

+C+N+H and +C+N+E treatments were 50% and 54% higher, respectively, than in the +C+N treatment

(One-way ANOVA test; P-values 0.0023 and 0.0018, respectively; **Fig. 1c**). This suggests that besides P_i,

the saltern community can utilize eDNA as a source of phosphorus.

The observed patterns of microbial community growth under different treatments are consistent with

the consumed amounts of C, N, and P_i, as measured by comparing the total organic carbon (TOC), total

nitrogen (TN), and total phosphorus (TP) in the filtered untreated water samples and in the treated filtered

water samples after the communities reached the stationary phase. The pre-incubation communities

contained small quantities of TOC, TN, and TP (**Supplementary Table S1**). In treatments where

communities exhibited no notable growth (i.e., C was not provided), there were also no notable changes

in TOC, TP and TN (**Fig. 1d**). In the microcosms with some growth (C was provided but N was not), a

31-36% decrease in TN was observed (**Fig. 1d** and **Supplementary Table S1**), suggesting that growth

was sustained until naturally occurring utilizable nitrogen resources were depleted. The fastest growing

microcosms, i.e. in which both C and N were supplied, exhibited the largest decreases in TN, TP and TOC

(**Fig. 1d**).

To further investigate the effectiveness of DNA as a source of phosphorus, we examined the

contributions of inorganic (P_i) and organic (P_o) phosphorus to the TP in the microcosms after they reached

stationary phase (**Fig. 1e** and **Supplementary Table S2**). We found that in the microcosms supplemented

with C and N but not provided with P_i (i.e., +C+N, +C+N+H or +C+N+E treatments), the remaining TP

was dominated by P_o (~91%, ~70% and ~74%, respectively). Since the microcosms under the +C+N,

+C+N+H or +C+N+E treatments exhibited substantial growth, we conjecture that while DNA (i.e., P_o)

was used by the communities as a source of phosphorus, P_i is generally preferred when available.

The taxonomic composition of the microbial communities and eDNA pool varies across treatments

To better understand how the different nutrient availability affects both organismal composition of a

community and organismal sources of DNA in the eDNA pool, we sequenced 16S rRNA and *rpoB* genes

from the DNA extracted from the I̲sla C̲ristina living C̲ells (ICC) and the I̲sla C̲ristina W̲ater column

(ICW) before and after the above-described microcosm experiments.

Analysis of the 16S rRNA OTUs revealed that, as expected for a saltern environment, the pre-

incubation community (ICC sample "X" on **Fig. 2a**) is numerically dominated by archaea from class

Halobacteria (relative abundance of 92.9%) and by bacteria from the genus *Salinibacter* (relative

abundance of 6.6%). The remaining 0.5% of OTUs belong to either other archaeal classes or other

bacterial genera (see **Supplementary Data**). Halobacteria and *Salinibacter* also dominate all post-

treatment communities, with their relative abundances in 92.3-99.9% and 0.02-7.2% range, respectively

(**Fig. 2a**).

The DNA in water column is also dominated by eDNA from Halobacteria and *Salinibacter* OTUs

(**Fig. 2a**). However, DNA originating from bacteria on average represents a higher fraction in the eDNA

pools than in the microbial communities, with the largest fraction (27%) found in the pre-incubation water

column (ICW sample "X" on **Fig. 2a)**. Additionally, some eDNA comes from OTUs that were either not

observed in any of the ICC samples (**Fig. 2b**) or were found in the ICC samples in low abundances.

Examples include OTUs from bacterial genus *Bradyrhizobium* and archaeal subphylum

Nanohaloarchaeota (see **Supplementary Results** and **Supplementary Data** for details). These eDNA

fragments may have originated from living microorganisms elsewhere and were transported to the saltern

environment. Alternatively, they may represent resistant-to-consumption eDNA that has slowly

accumulated from the rare members in the pre-incubation community (see **Supplementary Results** for

specific examples.)

Overall, OTUs present at >1% abundance constitute the greatest majority of OTUs in every sample

(**Fig. 2c**). Unfortunately, many haloarchaea are known to carry multiple non-identical copies of 16S rRNA

gene [37–39], with some copies exhibiting within-genome identity as low as 91.3% (**Supplementary**

Table S5). Since this intragenomic heterogeneity is likely to affect accuracy of the 16S rRNA-based

relative abundance estimates, we also amplified the *rpoB* gene, which is known to exist in haloarchaeal

genomes in a single copy, and was shown to resolve genera assignments better than the 16S rRNA gene

[40–42]. Because the 16S rRNA analysis indicates that the microbial communities are dominated by

Halobacteria and do not contain any OTUs from other archaeal classes at > 1% abundance, we amplified

the *rpoB* gene using the Halobacteria-specific primers. Of the 5 905 *rpoB*-based OTUs detected across all

samples, 5 851 (99.1%) are assigned to class Halobacteria, demonstrating that the primers designed to

amplify this class of Archaea have high specificity. Relative abundances of Halobacterial genera observed

in the *rpoB*-based and 16S rRNA-based analyses are comparable, with few discrepancies detailed in

Supplementary Results.

Thirty-four of the *rpoB*-based OTUs have > 1% relative abundance in at least one ICC sample.

Thirty two of the 34 OTUs maintain > 1% relative abundance in at least one ICW sample. Additional 29

halobacterial OTUs have > 1% relative abundance in at least one ICW sample, and found in low

abundance in at least one ICC sample. For the remainder of the analyses we designated these 34 + 29 = 63

OTUs as *abundant halobacterial OTUs* (ahOTUs). Notably, an individual sample contains only a fraction

of the 63 ahOTUs: 16 ± 1.8 of ahOTUs per ICC sample and 18 ± 2.8 ahOTUs per ICW sample. Because

in each sample the cumulative relative abundance of ahOTUs is 67-78% and 80 - 95% for ICC and ICW

samples, respectively (**Fig. 2d**), there are non-negligible differences in the ahOTU composition and their

relative abundances across samples. Below, we examine in detail how these differences relate to nutrient

availability.

Carbon, DNA and inorganic phosphorus alter taxonomic composition of microbial communities

and eDNA pools

Based on the relative abundances of both the *rpoB*-based and the 16S rRNA-based OTUs, the samples

aggregated into three distinct clusters **(Fig. 3** and **Supplementary Fig. S1a)**. Differences in OTU

composition of the three clusters are significant (PERMANOVA: R = 0.74, P = 0.001 [*rpoB*] and R =

0.72, P = 0.001 [16S rRNA]). Cluster 1 consists of the cellular communities' samples that come from the

pre-treatment and all microcosms that either exhibited no notable or some growth (**Fig. 1b**), hereafter

referred as "slow-growing communities". Cluster 2 consists of the water column samples that correspond

to the cellular communities of Cluster 1. Cluster 3 consists of the cellular communities' and water column

samples from all experiments that produced fast-growing microcosms, that is, the microcosms that were

supplemented simultaneously with C and N. Such clear taxonomic separation of fast- and slow-growing

microcosms suggests substantial shifts in community composition in response to nutrient

supplementation. Clusters 1, 2 and 3 also emerge even if OTU abundance is ignored and only OTU

richness is considered (**Supplementary Fig. S2**), suggesting that the observed clustering is not driven

solely by a few abundant community members, but also influenced by rare microorganisms and their

DNA in the water column.

Presence and abundance of specific bacterial and archaeal OTUs, and especially those with > 1%

abundance, are behind the observed shifts in taxonomic composition among the three clusters (**Fig. 2**).

Among Halobacteria, the relative abundances of 48 of the 63 ahOTUs differ significantly among the three

clusters (the Mann-Whitney U test; see **Supplementary Table S6** for P values; **Fig. 4a**). Cluster 1

samples are dominated mostly by Haloferacaceae ahOTUs (**Fig. 4b** and **4c**). In contrast, eDNA from

Halorubraceae ahOTUs (**Fig. 4b** and **4d**) dominate Cluster 2 samples. Finally, in Cluster 3 samples,

Haloferacaceae and Halorubraceae ahOTUs become much less abundant and are replaced by

Haloarculaceae and Halobacteriaceae ahOTUs (**Fig. 4b** and **4e**).

Within the three clusters, there are visibly distinct sub-clustering of samples that imply more

nuanced differences in taxonomic composition of the samples. For the Cluster 1 communities and their

water columns (Cluster 2), the pre-treatment sample, samples without notable growth (no C added) and

some growth (C added) form separate clusters, with further subdivision due to provision of *Hfx. volcanii*

DNA (PERMANOVA: R = 0.75 and 0.84, P = 0.001 and 0.001 [*rpoB*] and R = 0.74 and 0.81, P = 0.008

and 0.007 [16S rRNA]) (**Fig. 3b-c** and **Supplementary Fig. S1b-c**). Within fast-growing microcosms

(Cluster 3), sub-clustering is less pronounced but reflects availability and type of P sources provided in

treatments (**Fig. 3d** and **Supplementary Fig. S1d**). Taxonomically, the observed groups are due to

differences in relative abundance of Halorubraceae, Haloarculaceae and Haloferacaceae OTUs for slow-

growing communities and of Haloferacaceae, Halorubraceae, Haloarculaceae and Halobacteriaceae OTUs

in fast-growing communities (**Fig. 3e**).

Taken together, supplementation of nutrients significantly influences composition of both the

microbial community and the eDNA pool. Linear regression modeling with nutrients as categorical

variables revealed that provision of C is the primary driver of microbial community composition shifts

($R_{adj}^2 = 0.45$; $P = 0.002$; t value: 4.5), suggesting unequal abilities of various taxa to compete for added

glucose. Provision of N alone does not affect community composition, suggesting that community

members have equal abilities in its assimilation. Provision of P_i and DNA significantly alters the

composition of eDNA in the water column ($R_{adj}^2 = 0.327$; $P = 0.001$; t value: 3.6), raising a possibility that

there are organismal preferences for phosphate and specific "taxonomic affiliation" of DNA as a P source.

Shifts in eDNA OTU composition depending on available sources of phosphorus suggest

preferential eDNA uptake

It is surprising that the DNA content of the initial community and the slow-growing microcosms (Cluster

1) are quite different from the eDNA pools of their water columns (Cluster 2). This distinction, albeit less

apparent, also exists in the fast-growing microcosms (Cluster 3; **Fig. 3d**). Assuming that all cells in a

microcosm have equal probability of birth and death, it would be expected to see similar OTU

compositions of a microbial community and of the eDNA from the corresponding water column.

Interestingly, in the slow-growing communities, provision of *Hfx. volcanii* DNA (+H and +C+H

treatments) significantly increases the eDNA OTU richness (the Mann-Whitney U test, P = 1.6×10^{-5};

Supplementary Fig. S3). In the fast-growing communities, the increase in eDNA OTU richness, albeit

not significant, is caused by the addition of P_i (+C+N+P_i treatment; **Supplementary Fig. S3**). Therefore,

we hypothesize that the observed differences in OTU compositions of cellular communities and their

water columns are due to biased eDNA uptake from certain taxonomic groups. Furthermore, we propose

that the availability of *Hfx. volcanii* DNA and inorganic phosphorus in slow- and fast-growing

communities, respectively, results in the reduced uptake of available eDNA as a P source, leading to

eDNA accumulation in the environment and causing the observed changes in eDNA OTU composition.

And in reverse, the observed limited OTU diversity when P sources are scarce may be due to depletion of

eDNA from specific taxa through taxonomically-biased eDNA uptake.

Preferences for eDNA of specific taxonomic origins by the saltern microbial community

Complexity and variability of microcosms across experimental treatments (**Fig. 3e**), as well as impacts of

sequencing depth and sequencing biases, make it difficult to establish exact eDNA preferences of specific

OTUs from our data. However, by comparing relative abundances of specific OTUs in microcosms with

and without additional P sources, we can indirectly infer some taxonomic biases of eDNA uptake in this

saltern microbial community as a whole. Specifically, we will continue to assume that all cells in a

microcosm have equal probability of birth and death. As a result, OTUs that do not substantially change

their relative abundance in treatments with and without an extra P source are not expected to show

substantial changes in the relative abundance of their eDNA in the water column. Deviations from these

patterns would suggest an eDNA uptake bias, and we developed and applied two metrics to quantify such

differences (see **Materials and Methods** for details).

From comparisons of slow-growing microcosms with and without added *Hfx. volcanii* DNA, we

found that when *Hfx. volcanii* DNA is not provided, eDNA from five Halorubraceae and Haloarculaceae

ahOTUs is depleted (see highlighted taxa in **Supplementary Fig. S4** and **Supplementary Table S7**) and

eDNA of 305 additional OTUs become undetectable in the water columns (**Supplementary Table S8**). Of

these 305 OTUs, 98 (32%) belong to Halorubraceae and Haloarculaceae families. These findings suggest

preferential consumption of Halorubraceae and Haloarculaceae eDNA in slow-growing microcosms. In

fast-growing microcosms, eDNA from Haloarculaceae, Halorubraceae and Halobacteriaceae ahOTUs are

depleted in water columns without provided P source (either *Hfx. volcanii* DNA, *E. coli* DNA or P_i; see

highlighted taxa in **Supplementary Fig. S5** and **Supplementary Table S9**). In comparisons between

+C+N and +C+N+P_i treatments, eDNA from 158 OTUs become depleted in the water column without P_i,

and 62 of the 158 OTUs (39%) belong to Haloarculaceae, Halorubraceae and Halobacteriaceae families

(**Supplementary Table S10**). These observations suggest preferential consumption of eDNA from these

three families in fast-growing microcosms. Interestingly, the most abundant of the depleted eDNA

belongs to ahOTUs from families that represent a substantial fraction of the microcosms (Halorubraceae

for the slow-growing and Haloarculaceae for the fast-growing communities; **Fig. 3e**), indicating that

eDNA most closely related to the most abundant OTUs in the community is preferentially consumed.

Intriguingly, several ahOTUs become depleted in the +C+N+P$_i$ water columns when compared to the

other three treatments with fast growth (+C+N, +C+N+H, and +C+N+E) (**Supplementary Fig. S5** and

Supplementary Table S9), suggesting that some eDNA may be more preferred by some organisms than

inorganic phosphorus.

We also hypothesize that the saltern community can also utilize bacterial eDNA, which can be

exemplified by Chitinophagaceae DNA (**Supplementary Fig. S6**). Chitinophagaceae OTUs are not

detected in the pre-incubation cellular community sample, but their DNA is abundant in the pre-

incubation water column. Under all experimental treatments, the Chitinophagaceae OTUs continue to be

undetected in the microbial communities, suggesting they are at best extremely rare community members.

However, their DNA is either absent or found at very low abundance in the treatments' water columns,

suggesting that Chitinophagaceae eDNA in the initial, pre-incubation water column was consumed by the

microcosms' communities.

Provision of large amounts of *Hfx. volcanii* DNA allowed us to identify taxa that likely prefer to

utilize *Hfx. volcanii* DNA as a P source (**Supplementary Table S7**). For example, the ahOTU

denovo3413, which is assigned to *Haloarculaceae* family and has 91.4% of nucleotide identity to

Halapricum sp. strain SY-39 (MF443862.1), has the average relative abundance of 0.08% in the slow-

growing communities without provided *Hfx. volcanii* DNA and 0.05% in the corresponding water

columns. However, when *Hfx. volcanii* DNA is supplied, the abundance of *denovo3413* increases

significantly, to 4.6% in microbial communities and to 4.3% in the water column samples (the Mann-

Whitney *U* test, P $= 7.4\times10^{-5}$ and 1.1×10^{-5}, respectively).

The above inferences do rely on an assumption that all cells in a microcosm have equal probability

of birth and death, which may not hold. Future work that establishes relative growth rates of individual

OTUs in the community will allow more precise estimation of eDNA preferences.

Provided nutrients can be predicted from taxonomic affiliations of microbial community members

and of water column DNA

The shifts in community composition in response to availability of C, N, and P suggest that nutrients

provided in the experiments may be predictable from the OTU composition associated with DNA in cells

and dissolved in water columns. We designed a random forest classifier that takes ahOTU composition as

an input and predicts if a sample falls into one of the five treatment groups: "No C was provided (no C)",

"C but no N were provided (+C)", "Only C and N were provided (no P)", "C, N and P_i were provided

(+P_i)", or "C, N and DNA were provided (+H/+E)". We found that a random forest classifier achieves

95.5% accuracy in a 20-fold cross-validation and 94.6% accuracy in out-of-bag (OOB) error estimate.

These predictions have significantly higher accuracies than those obtained in the classifier trained on the

randomly shuffled samples (Student's t test, $P < 2.2*10^{-16}$ for cross validation approach [**Fig. 5a**] and

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, $P < 2.2*10^{-16}$ for OOB approach [**Fig. 5b**]). Predictions remain robust even for

smaller training data sets (**Fig. 5c**). Overall, 63 ahOTUs can predict the available nutrients with the mean

prediction accuracy of 62%. The predictions are strongly influenced by 24 ahOTUs where each one

contributes ≥1% of the prediction accuracy (**Fig. 5d)** and their removal decreases the overall mean

classifier accuracy by 49%. Consistent with this observation, 23 of the 24 ahOTUs have significantly

different abundances in samples from the five groups (**Fig. 5e**; one-way ANOVA test, all 23 P values <

0.01).

Discussion

Our microcosm experiments with saltern-derived microbial communities revealed that carbon, nitrogen

and phosphorus are limiting nutrients of the microcosms, and by inference the Isla Cristina crystallizer

pond habitat, since their simultaneous provision resulted in the largest growth of cells. Having a readily

accessible carbon source is most crucial for this microbial community, because no notable growth was

observed without supplementation of carbon. Nitrogen, on the other hand, was more easily assimilated

from the naturally available sources, as microcosms with no supplemented nitrogen exhibited growth until

the environmental nitrogen was depleted. Interestingly, the communities supplied with carbon and

nitrogen grew well even if not supplied with inorganic phosphorus, suggesting that they were able to

utilize either provided DNA or naturally occurring eDNA as a source of phosphorus. However, eDNA

(while likely present in all experimental microcosms) evidently could not serve as a source of carbon and

nitrogen, for an unknown reason.

Nutrient usage of eDNA is not unique to the saltern microorganisms, as other microbes are known to

use DNA as a nutrient by enzymatic cleavage of eDNA (either extracellularly or intracellularly) into

deoxyribose, inorganic orthophosphate, and nucleobases [14, 17]. Yet, there is also a possibility that the

observed growth in our microcosms was instead due to utilization of internal cellular DNA as a source of

phosphorus. For example, previous experiments on a model haloarchaeon *Hfx. volcanii* demonstrated that

in the absence of supplemented phosphate *Hfx. volcanii* was able to utilize phosphate stored in dozens of

its chromosome and plasmids copies [23, 29]. Polyploidy was detected in all tested species and strains of

Haloarchaea, and may be common in Haloarchaea [43–45] and Euryarchaeota [46]. Hence, many

microorganisms populating the communities in our experiments could be polyploid and consequently

could use the extra copies of their chromosomes as a P source.

Given the presumed utilization of eDNA as a P source, it is puzzling why so much of the available

eDNA has remained unused. One possibility is that the community reached the stationary phase because it

ran out of other nutrients first. For instance, nitrogen was used up in the fast-growing communities

(**Supplementary Table S1**). Alternatively, the microorganisms may not have been able to utilize all

available eDNA. For instance, aquatic bacteria are hypothesized to specialize on different sizes of DNA

fragments [19], and naturally competent *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* use species-

specific DNA uptake recognition sequences and therefore would not import each other's DNA [47–49].

DNA methylation patterns are also important for discriminating different sources of DNA during the

uptake process [23, 50]. As a result, some eDNA might not be recognized as a P source. Consistent with

these observations, the changes in eDNA composition of the water columns associated with our

experimental microcosms showed that organismal origin of eDNA indeed matters for growth on eDNA,

with some bacterial and archaeal eDNA being clearly preferred. Especially preferred is eDNA from the

most dominant members of the microcosms, suggesting some haloarchaea prefer eDNA that is most

closely related to their own. This preference may be based on DNA methylation patterns [23]. Future

studies are needed to better understand the specific reasons behind the observed biases in eDNA

utilization.

The observed patterns of preferential eDNA consumption and differential growth under various

nutrient availability regimes are associated with substantial shifts in the taxonomic composition and

overall diversity of underlying microcosm communities. While the "bottle effect" [51, 52] can never be

completely ruled out for any laboratory microcosm experiments, lack of growth and absence of rapid

changes in nutrient availability in the microcosms without provided nutrients, as well as similarity of the

pre-incubation and slow-growing communities, suggest that the observed substantial changes to

community composition occur in response to the addition of specific nutrient combinations rather than

due to the bottle effect. Therefore, we conjecture that in salterns the microbial community assembly is

determined by the available resources.

Such resource-based assembly also has been demonstrated in synthetic bacterioplankton

communities [53], and therefore may represent a general principle for a microbial community assembly.

The changes in a microbial community structure in response to environmental challenges are highly

dynamic [54], and are likely due to a combination of differential abilities of lineages to survive under

severe nutrient limitation [55, 56], to compete for assimilation of limited nutrients [57], to compete for

uptake of abundant nutrients [58], to inhibit growth of their competitors [59], and to create mutualistic

relationships via metabolic exchange and cross-feeding [54, 60]. Although relative importance of these

factors in our saltern community remains to be established, differential abundance of Haloferaceae,

Halorubraceae and Halobacteriaceae families in response to availability of carbon and phosphorus

indicate that competition for the available nutrients plays a major role in shaping saltern communities.

Moreover, after addition of limiting nutrients, the microcosms exhibited increased biomass production

and reduction in OTU richness. Such loss of species diversity in response to increase in nutrient

availability has been also demonstrated in bacterial biofilms [61], soil microbial communities [62],

phytoplankton [63] and plants [64], and was interpreted as a support for the niche dimensionality theory

[65]. The theory postulates that biodiversity is maintained under limiting resources because competition

for them can be achieved via different trade-offs, which in turn creates multiple niches that can be

occupied by similar but not identical species or lineages. Removing nutrient limitation eliminates trade-

offs and leads to a decreased diversity. Yet, presence of several closely related and abundant OTUs from

Haloarcula and *Halomicroarcula* genera in the fast-growing microcosms cannot be explained by

competition under the niche dimensionality framework. Therefore, other processes, such as mutual

exchange of metabolic by-products, may be at play to promote their coexistence [60]. Future

metagenomic surveys of metabolic genes within these microcosms will help to test this hypothesis.

Changing microbial communities can in turn have a great impact on the environment they inhabit

[66, 67] . By applying a machine learning algorithm to OTU abundance and nutrient treatments data from

our microcosm experiments, we found that the nutrient availability could be predicted with high accuracy

from the DNA composition of a microbial community and water column. Notably, to achieve the high

accuracy it is sufficient to have data from only few abundant OTUs. Our findings suggest that nutrient

availability in a natural environment (or its past and future changes) could be predicted from a taxonomic

survey of a microbial community, if appropriate training data from laboratory experiments is available.

This could become a useful tool for advancing our understanding of ecological processes happening in

particular environments and for practical applications, like bioremediation projects or treatments of

microbiome-impacted diseases.

Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. Antonio Ventosa, Paulina Corral, and Cristina Sánchez-Porro (University of Sevilla), who

helped with saltern sampling. We also thank Dr. Jialiang Kuang (University of Oklahoma) for discussions

about random forest predictions, and Dr. Shaopeng Li (East China Normal University) for discussions

about community assembly and niche dimensionality. The work was supported through a NASA

Exobiology award NNX15AM09G to R.T.P. and O.Z., and by the Simons Foundation Investigator in

Mathematical Modeling of Living Systems award 327936 to O.Z.

Author contributions

Z.S.H., O.Z., and R.T.P. conceived the study. M.O. and A.M. performed the microcosm experiments,

DNA extraction and sequencing. Z.S.H. performed the metagenomic and statistical analyses. Z.S.H., O.Z.,

R.T.P. and M.O. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the

manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1.   Karl DM, Bailiff MD. The measurement and distribution of dissolved nucleic acids in aquatic

environments. *Limnol Oceanogr* 1989; **34**: 543–558.

2.   Paul JH, Cazares LH, David AW, DeFlaun MF, Jeffrey WH. The distribution of dissolved DNA in an

oligotrophic and a eutrophic river of Southwest Florida. *Hydrobiologia* 1991; **218**: 53–63.

3.   Corinaldesi C, Beolchini F, Dell'anno A. Damage and degradation rates of extracellular DNA in marine

sediments: implications for the preservation of gene sequences. *Mol Ecol* 2008; **17**: 3939–3951.

4.   Carini P, Marsden PJ, Leff JW, Morgan EE, Strickland MS, Fierer N. Relic DNA is abundant in soil and

obscures estimates of soil microbial diversity. *Nat Microbiol* 2016; **2**: 1–6.

5.   Dell'Anno A, Danovaro R. Extracellular DNA plays a key role in deep-sea ecosystem functioning. *Science*

2005; **309**: 2179–2179.

6.   Wilhelm SW, Suttle CA. Viruses and nutrient cycles in the sea: viruses play critical roles in the structure

and function of aquatic food webs. *BioScience* 1999; **49**: 781–788.

7.   Fenchel T. The microbial loop – 25 years later. *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol* 2008; **366**: 99–103.

8.   Azam F, Smith DC, Steward GF, Hagström Å. Bacteria-organic matter coupling and its significance for

oceanic carbon cycling. *Microb Ecol* 1994; **28**: 167–179.

9.   Orellana MV, Pang WL, Durand PM, Whitehead K, Baliga NS. A role for programmed cell death in the

microbial loop. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**: e62595.

10.   Steinmoen H, Knutsen E, Havarstein LS. Induction of natural competence in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*

triggers lysis and DNA release from a subfraction of the cell population. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002;

99: 7681–7686.

11.   Draghi JA, Turner PE. DNA secretion and gene-level selection in bacteria. *Microbiology* 2006; **152**: 2683–

2688.

12.   Paul JH, Jeffrey WH, DeFlaun MF. Dynamics of extracellular DNA in the marine environment. *Appl*

Environ Microbiol 1987; **53**: 170–179.

13.   Salter I. Seasonal variability in the persistence of dissolved environmental DNA (eDNA) in a marine

system: The role of microbial nutrient limitation. *PLoS One* 2018; **13**: e0192409.

14.   Nielsen KM, Johnsen PJ, Bensasson D, Daffonchio D. Release and persistence of extracellular DNA in

the environment. *Environ Biosafety Res* 2007; **6**: 37–53.

15.   Lorenz MG, Wackernagel W. Bacterial gene transfer by natural genetic transformation in the environment.

Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 1994; **58**: 563–602.

16.   Tehei M, Franzetti B, Maurel M-C, Vergne J, Hountondji C, Zaccai G. The search for traces of life: the

protective effect of salt on biological macromolecules. *Extremophiles* 2002; **6**: 427–430.

17.   Mulcahy H, Charron-Mazenod L, Lewenza S. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* produces an extracellular

deoxyribonuclease that is required for utilization of DNA as a nutrient source. *Environ Microbiol* 2010;

12: 1621–1629.

18.   Pinchuk GE, Ammons C, Culley DE, Li S-MW, McLean JS, Romine MF, et al. Utilization of DNA

as a sole source of phosphorus, carbon, and energy by *Shewanella* spp.: ecological and physiological

implications for dissimilatory metal reduction. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008; **74**: 1198–1208.

19.   Lennon JT. Diversity and metabolism of marine Bacteria cultivated on dissolved DNA. *Appl Environ*

Microbiol 2007; **73**: 2799–2805.

20.   Chen I, Dubnau D. DNA uptake during bacterial transformation. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2004; **2**: 241–249.

21.   Finkel SE, Kolter R. DNA as a nutrient: novel role for bacterial competence gene homologs. *J*

501   *Bacteriol* 2001; **183**: 6288–6293.

502   22.   Vorkapic D, Pressler K, Schild S. Multifaceted roles of extracellular DNA in bacterial physiology. *Curr*

503   *Genet* 2016; **62**: 71–79.

504   23.   Chimileski S, Dolas K, Naor A, Gophna U, Papke RT. Extracellular DNA metabolism in *Haloferax*

505   *volcanii*. *Front Microbiol* 2014; **5**.

506   24.   Redfield RJ. Do bacteria have sex? *Nat Rev Genet* 2001; **2**: 634–639.

507   25.   Palchevskiy V, Finkel SE. *Escherichia coli* competence gene homologs are essential for competitive

508   fitness and the use of DNA as a nutrient. *J Bacteriol* 2006; **188**: 3902–3910.

509   26.   Oren A. Population dynamics of halobacteria in the Dead Sea water column1. *Limnol Oceanogr* 1983;

510   **28**: 1094–1103.

511   27.   Elevi Bardavid R, Khristo P, Oren A. Interrelationships between *Dunaliella* and halophilic

512   prokaryotes in saltern crystallizer ponds. *Extremophiles* 2008; **12**: 5–14.

513   28.   Ventosa A, de la Haba RR, Sánchez-Porro C, Papke RT. Microbial diversity of hypersaline

514   environments: a metagenomic approach. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2015; **25**: 80–87.

515   29.   Zerulla K, Chimileski S, Näther D, Gophna U, Papke RT, Soppa J. DNA as a phosphate storage

516   polymer and the alternative advantages of polyploidy for growth or survival. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**:

517   e94819.

518   30.   Burnstein KL, Dyer DW, Sparling PF. Preferential uptake of restriction fragments from a gonococcal

519  cryptic plasmid by competent *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Microbiology* 1988; **134**: 547–557.

520 31. Elkins C, Thomas CE, Seifert HS, Sparling PF. Species-specific uptake of DNA by gonococci is

521  mediated by a 10-base-pair sequence. *J Bacteriol* 1991; **173**: 3911–3913.

522 32. Smith HO, Gwinn ML, Salzberg SL. DNA uptake signal sequences in naturally transformable bacteria.

523  *Res Microbiol* 1999; **150**: 603–616.

524 33. Mell JC, Hall IM, Redfield RJ. Defining the DNA uptake specificity of naturally competent

525  Haemophilus influenzae cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012; **40**: 8536–8549.

526 34. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, et al. QIIME allows

527  analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods* 2010; **7**: 335–336.

528 35. Cole JR, Wang Q, Cardenas E, Fish J, Chai B, Farris RJ, et al. The Ribosomal Database Project: improved

529  alignments and new tools for rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009; **37**: D141–D145.

530 36. Breiman L: Random forests. *Mach Learn* 2001; **45**: pp 5–32.

531 37. Mylvaganam S, Dennis PP. Sequence heterogeneity between the two genes encoding 16S rRNA from the

532  halophilic archaebacterium *Haloarcula marismortui*. *Genetics* 1992; **130**: 399–410.

533 38. Vreeland RH, Straight S, Krammes J, Dougherty K, Rosenzweig WD, Kamekura M. *Halosimplex*

534  *carlsbadense* gen. nov., sp. nov., a unique halophilic archaeon, with three 16S rRNA genes, that grows

535  only in defined medium with glycerol and acetate or pyruvate. *Extremophiles* 2002; **6**: 445–452.

536 39. Boucher Y, Douady CJ, Sharma AK, Kamekura M, Doolittle WF. Intragenomic heterogeneity and

537  intergenomic recombination among haloarchaeal rRNA Genes. *J Bacteriol* 2004; **186**: 3980–3990.

538 40. Case RJ, Boucher Y, Dahllöf I, Holmström C, Doolittle WF, Kjelleberg S. Use of 16S rRNA and *rpoB*

genes as molecular markers for microbial ecology studies. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2007; **73**: 278–

288.

41.   Ki J-S, Zhang W, Qian P-Y. Discovery of marine *Bacillus* species by 16S rRNA and *rpoB* comparisons

and their usefulness for species identification. *J Microbiol Methods* 2009; **77**: 48–57.

42.   Vos M, Quince C, Pijl AS, Hollander M de, Kowalchuk GA. A Comparison of *rpoB* and 16S rRNA

as markers in pyrosequencing studies of bacterial diversity. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**: e30600.

43.   Soppa J. Evolutionary advantages of polyploidy in halophilic archaea. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2013; **41**: 339–

343.

44.   Breuert S, Allers T, Spohn G, Soppa J. Regulated polyploidy in halophilic Archaea. *PLoS One* 2006;

1: e92.

45.   Ludt K, Soppa J. Polyploidy in halophilic archaea: regulation, evolutionary advantages, and gene

conversion. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2019; **47**: 933–944.

46.   Soppa J. Ploidy and gene conversion in Archaea. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2011; **39**: 150–154.

47.   Mathis LS, Scocca JJ. On the role of pili in transformation of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Microbiology,*

1984; **130**: 3165–3173.

48.   Redfield RJ, Findlay WA, Bossé J, Kroll JS, Cameron AD, Nash JH. Evolution of competence and DNA

uptake specificity in the Pasteurellaceae. *BMC Evol Biol* 2006; **6**: 82.

49.   Hamilton HL, Dillard JP. Natural transformation of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*: from DNA donation to

homologous recombination. *Mol Microbiol* 2006; **59**: 376–385.

50.   Beauchamp JM, Leveque RM, Dawid S, DiRita VJ. Methylation-dependent DNA discrimination in natural

transformation of *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2017; **114**: E8053–E8061.

51. Zobell CE, Anderson DQ. Observations on the multiplication of bacteria in different volumes of stored sea water and the influence of oxygen tension and solid surfaces. *Biol Bull* 1936; **71**: 324–342.

52. Ionescu D, Bizic-Ionescu M, Khalili A, Malekmohammadi R, Morad MR, de Beer D, et al. A new tool for long-term studies of POM-bacteria interactions: overcoming the century-old Bottle Effect. *Sci Rep* 2015; **5**: 14706.

53. Fu H, Uchimiya M, Gore J, Moran MA. Ecological drivers of bacterial community assembly in synthetic phycospheres. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2020; **117**: 3656–3662.

54. Zuñiga C, Li C-T, Yu G, Al-Bassam MM, Li T, Jiang L, et al. Environmental stimuli drive a transition from cooperation to competition in synthetic phototrophic communities. *Nat Microbiol* 2019; **4**: 2184–2191.

55. Bergkessel M, Basta DW, Newman DK. The physiology of growth arrest: uniting molecular and environmental microbiology. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2016; **14**: 549–562.

56. Basta DW, Angeles-Albores D, Spero MA, Ciemniecki JA, Newman DK. Heat-shock proteases promote survival of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* during growth arrest. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2020; **117**: 4358–4367.

57. Ni B, Colin R, Link H, Endres RG, Sourjik V. Growth-rate dependent resource investment in bacterial motile behavior quantitatively follows potential benefit of chemotaxis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2020; **117**: 595–601.

58. Teeling H, Fuchs BM, Bennke CM, Krüger K, Chafee M, Kappelmann L, et al. Recurring patterns in bacterioplankton dynamics during coastal spring algae blooms. *eLife* 2016; **5**: e11888.

59. Ratzke C, Gore J. Modifying and reacting to the environmental pH can drive bacterial interactions. *PLoS*

Biol 2018; **16**: e2004248.

60. Goldford JE, Lu N, Bajić D, Estrela S, Tikhonov M, Sanchez-Gorostiaga A, et al. Emergent simplicity in

microbial community assembly. *Science* 2018; **361**: 469–474.

61. Mitri S, Clarke E, Foster KR. Resource limitation drives spatial organization in microbial groups. *ISME J*

2016; **10**: 1471–1482.

62. Wang C, Liu D, Bai E. Decreasing soil microbial diversity is associated with decreasing microbial biomass

under nitrogen addition. *Soil Biol Biochem* 2018; **120**: 126–133.

63. Interlandi SJ, Kilham SS. Limiting resources and the regulation of diversity in phytoplankton

communities. *Ecology* 2001; **82**: 1270–1282.

64. Harpole WS, Sullivan LL, Lind EM, Firn J, Adler PB, Borer ET, et al. Addition of multiple limiting

resources reduces grassland diversity. *Nature* 2016; **537**: 93–96.

65. Hutchinson GE. Concluding Remarks. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol.* Yale University, New

Haven, CT 1957, pp 415–427.

66. Baker BJ, Banfield JF. Microbial communities in acid mine drainage. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2003; **44**:

139–152.

67. Turnbaugh PJ, Ley RE, Mahowald MA, Magrini V, Mardis ER, Gordon JI. An obesity-associated gut

microbiome with increased capacity for energy harvest. *Nature* 2006; **444**: 1027–1031.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1. Microbial growth and associated changes in available nutrients in the experimental

microcosms. (a-c) Growth curves under experimental treatments. Each line represents a separate

experimental treatment. For each treatment, the added nutrients are denoted as "+" followed by a nutrient

symbol of carbon (C), nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P_i), *Hfx. volcanii* DS2 DNA (H) and *E. coli dam⁻/dcm⁻*

DNA (E). Starvation growth curve (S) serves as a control. Error bars represent the standard error of the

mean. **Panel b** shows growth curves of the slow-growing microcosms from **panel a** plotted on a different

scale. **Panels a** and **c** represent two independent sets of experiments. **(d, e)** Change in carbon, nitrogen

and phosphorus after the microbial growth has stopped**.** The plotted changes are mean values from two

experimental replicates. The experimental treatments (X axis) are grouped into three categories based on

whether carbon and/or nitrogen were provided. Nutrient abbreviations: TOC, total organic carbon; TN,

total nitrogen; TP, total phosphorus; P_i, inorganic phosphorus. Organic phosphorus (P_o) was computed as

by subtracting P_i from TP. For "+P_i" treatment, the calculated value of P_o is replaced with an asterisk, due

to errors associated with measurements of TP and P_i for that treatment. Actual values for the measured

nutrient concentrations and the associated calculations are shown in **Supplementary Tables S1** and **S2**.

Figure 2. OTU composition of the microbial communities (ICC) and of eDNA in the associated

water columns (ICW). (a) Relative abundance of 16S rRNA-based OTUs in the pre-incubation

community (X), after starvation (S), and after nutrient-addition experiments. For experimental treatment

abbreviations see **Figure 1** legend. Only a few selected taxonomic groups are highlighted, while other

OTUs are pooled into "Other Archaea" and "Other Bacteria" categories. **(b)** Overlap of 16S rRNA-based

OTUs in ICC and ICW across all samples combined. **(c)** Relative abundances of 16S rRNA-based OTUs

that constitute ≥ 1% of at least one sample (designated as "abundant OTUs") in comparison to the OTUs

with < 1% abundance (denoted as "Other OTUs"). **(d)** Relative abundances of *rpoB*-based OTUs from

class Halobacteria that constitute ≥ 1% of at least one sample (designated as "ahOTUs") in comparison to

the Halobacterial OTUs with < 1% abundance (denoted as "Other Halobacterial OTUs").

Figure 3. Comparison of the *rpoB*-based OTU compositions of the analyzed samples. (**a**) Principal

Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) of all samples using the pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarity as a distance

metric. The distances were calculated using all OTUs in a sample. Circles denote microbial community

samples, while triangles refer to the water column samples. Within these, samples for treatments with

added DNA are shown using filled symbols, while all remaining samples are shown using open symbols.

For the PCoA analyses carried out using 16S rRNA-based OTUs, see **Supplementary Figure S2**. (**b**, **c**

and **d**) PCoA of the samples within each of the three clusters. Same distance measure and same symbol

notations as in panel **a**. (**e**) Taxonomic composition of samples within each of the three clusters. See

Supplementary Table S4 for the actual relative abundance values.

Figure 4. Relative abundance of ahOTUs across three clusters. (**a** and **b**) Relative abundance of

ahOTUs in three clusters summarized in a ternary plot. Each vertex represents one of the three clusters.

Each circle represents an ahOTU. The position of a circle is determined by relative abundance (RA) of the

ahOTU in three clusters, and the circle size is proportional to the ahOTU's average relative abundance

across all 69 samples. In **panel a**, ahOTUs with significantly higher abundance in Cluster 1, 2 or 3 than in

the other two clusters are colored in green, orange and brown, respectively, while OTUs without

significant difference in abundance are shown in grey. In **panel b**, the circles are colored according to the

taxonomic assignment of the ahOTUs. (**c, d** and **e**) Aggregated relative abundances (aRA) of ahOTUs

with significantly higher abundance in one cluster across each of the three clusters. Each point represents

an ahOTU. For each OTU its aRA in a cluster i ($i = 1,2,3$) is defined as $aRA_i = \dfrac{RA_i}{\sum_{j=1}^{3}(RA_j)}$, where RA_i is

the relative abundance of the ahOTU in the cluster i. The distribution of aRAs within a cluster is

summarized by a probability density function and a box-and-whisker plot, with whiskers extending to 1.5

of the interquartile range.

Figure 5. Performance of a random forest classifier in predicting available nutrients from the OTU

composition of a microbial community. (**a**) Prediction accuracy in the 20-fold cross-validation of the

classifier across 1000 training/validation datasets constructed from real and randomly reshuffled data. (**b**)

Distribution of the out-of-bag (OOB) errors across 1000 training/validation datasets constructed from real

and randomly reshuffled data. (**c**) Changes in prediction accuracy with the dataset size, which is shown as

percent of the 69 samples used and as the leave-one-out strategy. (**d**) The decrease in prediction accuracy

of 24 ahOTUs with at least 1% contribution to the predicted accuracy. The OTUs are colored according to

notations shown in **Figure 3**. (**e**) The relative abundance of the top 24 ahOTUs in five categories of

treatments. For each OTU, the relative abundance values were transformed into heatmap colors. The

OTUs are clustered based on their relative abundance across five categories (as described in **Methods**).

Abbreviations of five treatment categories: "+C", C but no N were provided; "no C", No C was provided;

"+H/+E", C, N and DNA was provided; "+P$_i$", C, N and P$_i$ were provided; "No P", only C and N were

provided.

a All samples

PC2 (15.68%)

○ ICC
△ ICW
● ICC + DNA
▲ ICW + DNA

#2

#1

#3

PC1 (41.54%)

b Cluster 1

PC2 (18.76%)

X

+C
+C+P_i
+C+P

S+P
+N+P
+P
+N +N+P_i
+N

No C and no H

+C and no H

+C and +H

+C+H

No C and +H

+H
+N+H +N+H
+H

PC1 (44.55%)

c Cluster 2

PC2 (10.56%)

+N+H
+H
No carbon
+C+H
Carbon

+N
+N+Pi
+N X
S
+Pi +Pi

X
No carbon

+N+Pi

+C+P_i
+C
+C+Pi
Carbon

PC1 (59.01%)

d Cluster 3

PC2 (21.40%)

+C+N+P_i △

○ +C+N+P_i

○
+C+N +C+N+H

+C+N+H

+C+N

+C+N+H

+C+N+E

+C+N+E

+C+N+E

PC1 (41.74%)

e Relative abundance (%)

100 80 60 40 20 0

Cluster 1

X
X
S
+N
+P_i
+N+P_i
+H
+N+H
+C
+C+P_i
+C+H

No carbon

Carbon

Cluster 2

X
X
S
+N
+P_i
+N+P_i
+H
+N+H
+C
+C+P_i
+C+H

No carbon

Carbon

Cluster 3

+C+N
+C+N+P_i
+C+N+H
+C+N+E
+C+N
+C+N+P_i
+C+N+H
+C+N+E

ICC

ICW

Natrialbaceae
■ Other   Natrialbales

Halorubraceae
□ Other
■ *Halorubrum*
■ *Halonotius*   Haloferacales
Haloferacaceae
□ Other
■ *Haloplanus*
■ *Halobellus*
Other
□ Other

Halobacteriaceae
□ Other
■ *Halovenus*
■ *Halostella*
Haloarculaceae
□ Other
■ *Natronomonas*
■ *Halapricum*   Halobacteriales
■ *Halosimplex*
■ *Halorientalis*
■ *Haloarcula*
■ *Halomicroarcula*
Other
□ Other

Other
■ Other Halobacteria

a

Cluster 2

RA₁, %

RA₂, %

Cluster 1

RA₃, %

Cluster 3

Relative abundance
in all samples

10%

1%

0.1%

b

Cluster 2

RA₁, %

RA₂, %

Cluster 1

RA₃, %

Cluster 3

Halorubraceae
- *Halorubrum*
- *Halonotius*

Haloferacaceae
- *Haloplanus*
- *Halobellus*

Halobacteriaceae
- *Halovenus*
- *Halostella*

Haloarculaceae
- *Natronomonas*
- *Halapricum*
- Halosimplex
- *Halorientalis*
- *Haloarcula*
- *Halomicroarcula*
- Unclassified at genus level

c

Aggregated relative abundance

Cluster 1   Cluster 2   Cluster 3

d

Cluster 1   Cluster 2   Cluster 3

e

Cluster 1   Cluster 2   Cluster 3