The fiber knob protein of human adenovirus type 49 mediates

highly efficient and promiscuous infection of cancer cell lines

using a novel cell entry mechanism

Alexander T. Baker[1,2], Gareth Marlow[1], James A. Davies[1], Elise Moses[1], Rosie M. Mundy[1], David

K. Cole[3], Pierre J. Rizkallah[3], Alan L. Parker[1*]

[1]Division of Cancer and Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4XN,
UK.
[2]Current address: Department of Haematology and Oncology, Mayo Clinic, 13208 E Shea Blvd,
Scottsdale, Arizona, 85259, USA
[3]Division of Infection and Immunity, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14
4XN, UK.
*Corresponding Author, ParkerAL@Cardiff.ac.uk
14
15
Correspondence:
Dr Alan Parker
Division of Cancer and Genetics
Cardiff University
Heath Park
Cardiff
CF14 4XN
Telephone: +44 2922 510 231
Email: ParkerAL@cardiff.ac.uk

25
Word count
Abstract: 249
Importance: 150
Main text: 4638
Figure legends: 929
Figure count: 8 Figures (+1 Supplementary Table & 3 Supplementary Figures)
32
33
34   Running title: Ad49 fiber knob permits promiscuous cell entry

Abstract

The human adenovirus (HAdV) phylogenetic tree is diverse, divided across seven species and comprising over 100 individual types. Species D HAdV are rarely isolated with low rates of pre-existing immunity, making them appealing for therapeutic applications. Several species D vectors have been developed as vaccines against infectious diseases where they induce robust immunity in pre-clinical models and early phase clinical trials. However, many aspects of the basic virology of species D HAdV, including their basic receptor usage and means of cell entry, remain understudied.

Here, we investigated HAdV-D49, which previously has been studied for vaccine and vascular gene transfer applications. We generated a pseudotyped HAdV-C5 presenting the HAdV-D49 fiber knob protein (HAdV-C5/D49K). This pseudotyped vector was efficient at infecting cells devoid of all known HAdV receptors, indicating HAdV-D49 uses an unidentified cellular receptor. Conversely, a pseudotyped vector presenting the fiber knob protein of the closely related HAdV-D30 (HAdV-C5/D30K), differing in just four amino acids from HAdV-D49, failed to demonstrate the same tropism. These four amino acid changes resulted in a change in isoelectric point of the knob protein, with HAdV-D49K presenting a highly basic region around the apex compared to HAdV-D30K. Structurally and biologically we demonstrate that HAdV-D49 knob protein is unable to engage CD46, whilst potential interaction with CAR is extremely limited by extension of the DG loop. HAdV-C5/49K efficiently transduced cancer cell lines of pancreatic, breast, lung, oesophageal and ovarian origin, indicating it may have potential for oncolytic virotherapy applications, especially for difficult to transduce tumour types.

Importance

Adenoviruses are powerful tools experimentally and clinically. To maximise efficacy, the development of serotypes with low levels of pre-existing immunity in the population is desirable. Consequently, attention has focussed on those derived from species D, which have proven robust vaccine platforms. This widespread usage is despite limited knowledge underpinning their basic biology and cellular tropism.

We investigated the tropism of HAdV-D49, demonstrating it uses a novel cell entry mechanism that bypasses all known HAdV receptors. We demonstrate, biologically, that a pseudotyped HAdV-C5/D49K vector efficiently transduces a wide range of cell lines, including those presenting no known adenovirus receptor. Structural investigation suggests that this broad tropism is the result of a highly basic surface electrostatic potential, since a homologous pseudotyped vector with a more acidic surface potential, HAdV-C5/D30K, does not have a similar pan-tropism. Therefore, HAdV-C5/D49K may form a powerful vector for therapeutic applications capable of infecting difficult to transduce cells.

Introduction:

Human adenoviruses are divided into seven species, A-G[1]. These non-enveloped, icosahedral viruses have garnered significant interest as therapeutic vectors where they can be grown and purified to high titers, and where the double-stranded DNA genome is readily amenable to genetic modification to enable the overexpression of therapeutic transgenes[2,3]. Similar techniques can also be applied to genetically alter the virus structural genes, creating modified virus tropisms which are retained by progeny virions after replication.

Clinically, adenoviruses have been developed as vectors for gene therapy, vaccines, and as oncolytic virotherapies[1,4,5]. However, all these applications can be hampered by pre-existing immunity against the therapeutic vector in the population resulting from prior exposure to the wild type pathogen. Such pre-existing immunity is likely to reduce the therapeutic index of such systems, due to rapid and efficient removal of the engineered therapeutic agent by the reticuloendothelial system[6,7]. This is especially relevant where the therapeutic is based on the most commonly studied species C adenovirus, human adenovirus type 5 (HAdV-C5), where neutralising antibodies are found in ~90% of patients from sub-Saharan Africa and ~30% of a Scottish patient cohort[8,9].

A promising means to circumvent pre-existing immunity is through the development of viruses with naturally low seroprevalence rates as therapeutic agents. For example, vaccines have been developed using chimpanzee adenoviruses which have little to no seroprevalence in humans. However, it appears a significant percentage of the population may still harbour some immunity to chimpanzee adenoviruses, as observed in a cohort from China[10].

Most attempts to develop adenoviruses with low seroprevalence have focused on those derived from species B or D, due to their comparative rarity[5,9,11]. The most clinically advanced of these are HAdV-D26 and Enadenotucirev (formerly ColoAd1)[12]. Enadenotucirev was developed by evolution of a panel of

different adenovirus strains to select for recombinants with rapid replication in tumour cell. The

resultant selected recombinant was predominantly HAdV-B11 with some elements of HAdV-B3, and has

progressed into clinical trials as a cancer therapeutic[13,14]. HAdV-D26, is a replication deficient vector and

the basis of the Ad26.ZEBOV vaccine against Ebola virus, currently under evaluation in the PREVAIL and

PREVAC studies[15,16].

Many species D adenoviruses have previously been evaluated for their potential as vaccines, gene

therapies, and oncolytic viruses[1,5,9,11]. One with particularly low seroprevalence rates is HAdV-D49. In a

cohort of 100 Belgian individuals only 2% had HAdV-D49 positive sera, whilst no pre-existing immunity

against HAdV-D49 was detected in 103 Scottish patients[8,17]. Prevalence is somewhat higher in sub-

saharan Africa with 22% of 200 patients presenting neutralising antibodies (nAbs), highlighting

significant geographical variation in seroprevelance[9].

HAdV-D49 was first isolated from the faeces of a human with no observed disease, and later from Dutch

patients[18,19]. It was then isolated from nosocomial epidemic keratoconjunctivitis infections[20,21], but is

most associated with patients who are immunocompromised due to HIV infection[22]. A study of

adenovirus infections in patients from the UK and Netherlands found 11 instances of HAdV-D49

infection in 183 HIV positive patients (6% HAdV-D49 positive), compared to just two instances in 2301

tested healthy patients (0.09% HAdV-D49 positive)[19].

Previous studies suggest that HAdV-D49 may be effective as a vaccine vector. A vaccine vector based on

HAdV-D49 has been evaluated previously for its ability to protect against simian immunodeficiency virus

challenge. This vector induced strong anti-SIVGag CD8$^+$ mediated immunity to SIV, greater than the

comparable HAdV-C5 based vector[23]. Another study sought to exploit HAdV-D49 a gene therapy to

reduce excessive cell smooth muscle proliferation in vascular conduits following bypass grafting. This

study demonstrated that HAdV-D49 was efficient at infecting endothelial cells and vascular smooth

muscle cells, even after short exposure times[8]. Studies in CAR, CD46, and α2-3 linked sialic acid

expressing cells suggest HAdV-D49 may engage CD46 as a cellular receptor, although the effects

observed were small[23].

Despite these studies and the development of HAdV-D49 as a therapeutic agent, there remains little

information surrounding the basic biology of HAdV-D49 and its means of cellular engagement. Here, we

structurally and functionally investigate the tropism of HAdV-D49, focussing on the fiber knob protein as

the major mediator of cellular attachment, and evaluate the potential utility of a pseudotyped HAdV-

C5/D49K vector to infect a range of cancer cell lines.

Results and Discussion

HAdV-C5/D49K is not dependent on any known adenovirus receptor for cell entry

To investigate the receptor usage of human adenovirus type 49 fiber knob protein we created a replication incompetent HAdV-C5 vector pseudotyped with the fiber knob protein of HAdV-D49 (HAdV-C5/D49K), expressing a green fluorescent protein (GFP) transgene. This is a well-established means to investigate the fiber knob in the context of a well understood, replication incompetent virus[1,24]. Using this pseudotyped vector, we performed transduction assays in CHO cells expressing common adenovirus receptors (Figure 1). CHO-K1 cells do not express any known adenovirus receptors. CHO-CAR cells are express the HAdV-C5 receptor coxsackie and adenovirus receptor (CAR), whilst CHO-BC1 cells have been express the BC1 isoform of CD46, the major receptor for species BI which includes HAdV-B35.

We compared the transduction of HAdV-C5/D49K to similar GFP expressing replication incompetent vectors HAdV-C5 and HAdV-C5/B35K, which utilise CAR and CD46 as receptors, respectively[24–26]. As expected, HAdV-C5/D35K was unable to transduce CHO-CAR efficiently, due to the lack of CD46, whilst HAdV-C5 transduced them with high efficiency, due to the presence of CAR. HAdV-C5/D49K transduced CHO-CAR cells efficiently, but slightly less efficiently (by ~20%) compared to HAdV-C5 (Figure 1A). In CHO-BC1 cells HAdV-C5 was inefficient, due to the lack of CAR expression, whilst HAdV-C5/B35 transduced with almost 100% efficiency, due to the presence of high affinity HAdV-B35 receptor, CD46.

HAdV-C5/D49K demonstrated similar ability to HAdV-C5-B35K to transduce CHO-BC1 cells (Figure 1B). In CHO-K1 cells neither HAdV-C5 nor HAdV-C5/B35K were able to efficiently transduce the cells due to the lack of a suitable cell surface receptor. HAdV-C5/D49K, however, transduced these cells highly efficiently, indicating that HAdV-D49K is able to infect cells efficiently and independently of CAR or CD46 (Figure 1C), thus indicating that HAdVC5/D49K engages an alternative cellular receptor. Interestingly, we

also observed, in this and later experiments, that HAdV-C5/D49K is somewhat less efficient at infecting

CHO-CAR cells (Figure 1A) compared to non-CAR expressing CHO cell types (Figure 1B-C). These data

indicating the that presence of CAR may actively reduce the transduction efficiency of HAdVC5/D49K

compared to levels of transduction in the absence of CAR in the same cell line background.

We performed similar transduction assays in CHO-K1 and SKOV-3 ovarian cancer cells with and without

pre-treatment with either Heparinase or Neuraminidase to determine the ability of HAdVC5/D49K to

engage heparan sulphate proteoglycans (HSPGs) or sialic acid, respectively, to mediate cellular infection

(Figure 2). As a positive control for heparinase activity we compared HAdV-C5/D49K infectivity to that of

HAdV-C5 in the presence and absence of FX[27]. We observed in CHO-K1 and SKOV-3 cells that

transduction levels of HAdV-C5 alone were poor (Figure 2A, B), but were significantly enhanced by the

presence of FX, enabling engagement of heparan sulphate proteoglycans (HSPGs) via "FX-bridging" the

hexon protein[28–30]. Treatment with heparinase to cleave cellular HSPGs reduced transduction efficiency

back to that of HAdV-C5 alone. HAdV-C5/D49K transduction efficiency was unaffected by treatment with

heparinase (Figure 2A, B), indicating that HAdV-D49 is unlikely to utilise HSPGs for cell entry.

Treatment with neuraminidase to remove cellular sialic acid did not affect the ability of any of the

viruses to transduce CHO-K1 cells (Figure 2C), as we previously demonstrated to show the involvement

of sialic acid in HAdV-C5/D26K infection[24]. In SKOV-3 cells, removal of sialic acid enhanced the

transduction mediated by HAdV-C5/D49K and HAdV-C5/B35K, an effect which we have previously

observed by neuraminidase treatment in SKOV-3 cells (Figure 2D)[24,31,32]. This effect could be a result of

the removal of sialic acid, enhancing non-specific charge based interactions between the cell surface and

viral capsid. Regardless, these data do not support a role for sialic acid in HAdV-C5/D49K cell infection.

The transduction affinity of HAdV-C5/D49K in the experiments in Figure 2 was noticeably weaker than in

the CHO cell experiments (Figure 1). This is due to the methodology used in each experiment. In the

transduction experiments (Figure 1), cells were incubated with virus at 37°C for three hours. For studies

evaluating the role of sialic acid and HSPGs, cells were pre-treated with enzyme for one hour at 37°C.

Then virus was then incubated with cells on ice for one hour following enzymatic digestion to prevent

repair and reconstitution of the cleaved heparin/sialic acid. This incubation on ice (and for a shorter

period of time) likely limits viral internalisation during the absorption step, seemingly more profoundly

for HAdV-C5/D49K than for the HAdV-C5.

Desmoglein 2 (DSG2) is the remaining well-established adenovirus receptor. It is described to interact

with species BII adenovirus, including HAdV-B3K, via low affinity, avidity dependent mechanism[33]. We

investigated whether HAdV-D49K might also interact with DSG2 by utilising surface plasmon resonance

(SPR), which we have previously used to establish a 66.9μM affinity between DSG2 and HAdV-D3K[34].

HAdV-D49K had no detectable affinity for DSG2 (Figure 3A), an unsurprising finding as DSG2 has never

been observed as a receptor for any adenovirus outside of species B.

HAdV-D49 fiber knob can interact with CAR, but does not require it for cell entry

We also used SPR to further probe the binding affinity of HAdV-D49K, and a mutant version, HAdV-

D49.KO1.K, for CD46 and CAR. This HAdV-D49.KO1.K mutant harbours the KO1 mutation previously

shown to ablate CAR binding in HAdV-C5K. The structure of the HAdV-D49.KO1.K fiber knob is presented

(see Supplemental Table 1 and Supplemental Figure 1)[35]. As precited, we did not observe binding

between either fiber knob protein and CD46. However, we were surprised to observe HAdV-D49K has a

detectable 0.19μM affinity for CAR which was ablated by the addition of the KO1 mutation (Figure 3A).

We next performed IC_{50} binding studies using recombinant HAdV-D49K protein on CHO-CAR and CHO-

BC1 cells and assessed the ability of the recombinant fiber knob protein to inhibit the binding of anti-

CAR or CD46 antibodies, respectively (Figure 3B). HAdV-D49K was able to block anti-CAR antibody

binding to CHO-CAR cells in a dose dependent manner (IC_{50} = 0.16μg/10^5 cells, Figure 3B). However, no

IC$_{50}$ could be derived by using HAdV-D49K to block CD46 on CHO-BC1 cells, where HAdV-D49K was

unable to achieve more than 20% inhibition of antibody binding, suggesting weak or incidental CD46

interactions (Figure 3B). Therefore, these data support the findings from SPR and transduction

experiments that HAdV-D49K may engage CAR with low affinity, but does not engage CD46.

Our earlier findings indicated that HAdV-C5/D49K is not dependent upon CAR for cell entry (Figure 1),

however our *in vitro* biological inhibition and SPR assays demonstrate CAR binding affinity (Figure 3A, B).

We further investigated this finding by performing transduction blocking experiments of using the CAR

engaging HAdV-C5, and HAdV-C5/D49K with recombinant fiber knob protein of each virus in CHO-CAR

and CHO-K1 cells. As predicted, pre-incubation of CHO-CAR cells with recombinant HAdV-C5K efficiently

inhibited HAdV-C5 infection (Figure 3C), whilst blocking with HAdV-D49K inhibited infection by HAdV-C5

by approximately 50% (Figure 3D). Infecting CHO-CAR cells with HAdV-C5/D49K pseudotype and

attempting to block using HAdV-C5K (Figure 3E) or HAdV-D49K (Figure 3F) did not significantly inhibit

transduction efficiency. Finally, infection of CHO-K1 cells by HAdV-C5/D49K and blocking with HAdV-C5K

did not significantly inhibit transduction efficiency (Figure 3G), whilst blocking with HAdV-D49K reduced

transduction efficiency by approximately 50% (Figure 3H).

These data confirm that HAdV-D49K is capable of binding to CAR, at approximately 1000x lower affinity

than HAdV-C5[34], but in a manner able to inhibit HAdV-C5 binding. These data confirm HAdV-C5/D49K is

capable of entering cells though a non-CAR mediated pathway, since HAdV-C5K cannot inhibit HAdV-

C5/D49K transduction in CHO-CAR cells (Figure 3E). Interestingly, HAdV-C5/D49K was able to inhibit its

own viral infection only in the absence of CAR (Figure 3H).

One potential explanation for this activity is that the unknown alternative receptor to CAR has a lower

affinity for HAdV-D49K than CAR. Therefore, in the presence of CAR the recombinant fiber knob would

be sequestered on the higher affinity CAR receptor leaving the alternative receptor free to interact with

the virus. A very low affinity receptor would also likely depend upon avidity, so might not be observed

with single trimers of HAdV-D49K; a similar effect has previously been observed with HAdV-B3K and

DSG2[36]. This is supported by the observation what HAdV-D49K cannot transform cells as efficiently when

incubated on ice in the absence of CAR, while HAdV-C5 and HAdV-C5/B35K, which form high affinity

receptor interactions, are unencumbered (Figure 2).

HAdV-D49K may bind cells through a charge dependent mechanism

To investigate other closely related HAdV with homologous fiber-knob proteins we performed a BLASTp

search using the HAdV-D49K amino acid sequence. This search revealed that the HAdV-D30K protein is

highly homologous to HAdV-D49K, differing in just 4 amino acid residues (Figure 4A).

We solved the crystal structures of HAdV-D30K (Figure 4B, Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary

Figure 2) and HAdV-D49K (Figure 4C, Supplementary Table 1, Supplementary Figure 3) and demonstrate

that structurally, they are highly homologous (RMSD = 0.292Å^2). Residue 338 is not surface exposed on

either fiber knob protein and is likely to be functionally homologous (HAdV-D49 = Isoleucine338, HAdV-

D30 = Valine338). However, the remaining 3 residue differences, E339K, G331A, and Q332K (HAdV-D30K

$\rightarrow$ D49K) are surface exposed at the apex of each fiber knob monomer (Figure 4D, E). The E339K and

Q332K substitutions have opposing charges.

We investigated the relative transduction efficiency of HAdV-C5/D30K compared to HAdV-C5/D49K,

HAdV-C5, HAdV-C5.KO1, and HAdV-C5/D49.KO1.K in CHO-CAR (Figure 4F) and CHO-K1 cells (Figure 4G).

HAdV-C5 infected CHO-CAR cells efficiently whilst the CAR-binding ablated KO1 mutant version did not,

whilst HAdV-C5/49K and the corresponding mutant HAdV-C5/49KO1.K infected CHO-CAR cells with

similar efficiency as observed for HAdV-C5/D49K in Figure 1A. HAdV-C5/D30K infected CHO-CAR cells

with approximately 40% efficiency (Figure 4F). In CHO-K1 cells HAdV-C5/D49K and the KO1 mutant were

the only viruses which achieved efficient transduction. Surprisingly, given the high homology, to HAdV-

C5/D49K, the HAdV-C5/D30K pseudotype was inefficient in transducing CHO-K1 cells (<5% GFP$^+$, Figure

4G).

This profound difference in transduction efficiency between HAdV-C5/D30K and HAdV-C5/D49K must be

dependent upon the 3 surface exposed amino acid differences. We further investigated the effect of the

opposing charges at residue substitutions 339 and 332 (Figure 4A-E) by modelling the electrostatic

surface potential of the two fiber knob proteins, based on our crystal structures (Figure 5). The surface

potential maps reveal that whilst structural homology was high, they present radically different

electrostatic surface potential distributions. HAdV-D30K is significantly more acidic (pI = 5.57) than

HAdV-D49K (pI = 8.26) (Figure 5).

Thus, it seems probable that the interaction requires the basic electrostatic potential. This is

commensurate with the previous inference that its receptor is likely to be low affinity, as electrostatic

interfaces are often observed to be weaker than their ionic counterparts.

HAdV-C5/D49K is able to efficiently infect a large range of cancer cell lines

Given HAdV-C5/D49K infects cells independently of known adenovirus receptors we hypothesised that it

may form the basis of an efficient vector for cancer virotherapy applications. We therefore compared its

transduction efficiency to HAdV-C5 in panels of pancreatic (Figure 6), breast (Figure 7), and oesophageal,

colorectal, ovarian, and lung cancer cell lines (Figure 8).

In pancreatic cancer cell lines HAdV-C5/D49K was consistently more efficient at cellular transduction

than HAdV-C5. This improved activity ranged between 4.6x more efficient in MIA PaCa2 cells to 210.9x

more efficient in BxPc3 cells. The most effectively transduced cell line was Panc10 cells, producing 6x10^6

RLU/mg of fluorescence, compared to the least efficient at just 4x10^5 RLU/mg in Panc0403 cells. This

suggests that the large differences between HAdV-C5/D49K and HAdV-C5 transduction levels are likely

due to the variability in the expression of CAR (Figure 6). A similarly broad range of different relative

infection efficiencies were observed in the breast cancer cell lines studied. In MCF7 cells and BT20 cells

HAdV-C5/D49K was nearly 500 fold more efficient at transducing the cells due to these cells expressing

little CAR, with consequent poor levels of HAdV-C5 mediated transduction (Figure 7).

DLD-1 colorectal cancer cells were efficiently transduced by both HAdV-C5 and HAdV-C5/D49K vectors,

at $5x10^6$ and $6x10^6$ RLU/mg respectively. This is the only cell line where no significant difference in

infectivity was observed. A427 lung carcinoma cells are the only line where HAdV-C5 mediated cellular

transduction was more efficient than HAdV-C5/D49K (1.6x). Whilst HAdV-C5/D49K transduced A427 cell

very efficiently ($6x10^6$ RLU/mg) HAdV-C5 achieved unusually high transduction efficiency ($1x10^7$ RLU/mg)

(Figure 7). Therefore, this result is not due to inefficient HAdV-C5/D49K transduction, but unusually

efficient HAdV-C5 transduction.

Conclusions

Previous experiments using whole HAdV-D49 virus concluded that it utilises CD46 as its primary cellular

receptor[23]. The data described, generated using either purified HAdV-D49 fiber knob protein or a

pseudotyped HAdV-C5/D49K vector, demonstrate CD46 to be implausible as a receptor for HAdV-D49.

We demonstrate HAdV-D49 fiber knob has weak affinity for CAR, although it is not dependent upon this

interaction to mediate efficient cell entry, and in fact the presence of CAR may even inhibit cellular

transduction. In support of this we show a mutant vector, HAdV-C5/D49.KO1.K, containing mutations

within the fiber knob domain which ablate CAR affinity, was still able to efficiently transduce cells. Based

on the low efficiency by which HAdV-C5/D49K transduced cells when absorbed on ice and the

observation that HAdV-D49K is only capable of inhibiting HAdV-C5/D49K transduction in the absence of

CAR, we tentatively suggest that the unknown receptor is bound with weak affinity and may be avidity

dependent.

Regardless of the mechanism of interaction this study strongly suggests there is an as yet unknown

adenovirus receptor which mediates efficient transduction of a broad range of cell lines. This is

demonstrated by its ability to efficiently infect every cell line tested, throughout this study. The weakest

observed transduction was in Panc0403 cells where it achieved 4×10^5 RLU/mg of luminescence. Whilst

this is not a particularly strong transduction efficiency, it is still significantly higher (33x, P<0.05) than

that of HAdV-C5. It is likely, therefore, that the HAdV-C5/D49K vector described here may be useful in

biotechnology applications to efficiently express proteins in difficult to transduce cell lines.

HAdV-C5/D49K represents a highly efficient gene transfer vehicle which is not restricted by any known

adenovirus tropism. It possesses a broad range of infectivity and has potential as both a laboratory

reagent, for the transient expression of transgenes, and as a therapeutic vaccine or oncolytic virus. For

oncolytic applications, it is likely that further refinement, such as the introduction of mutations known

to confer tumour selective replication, such as dl24 mutation[37–39] or the use of tumour specific

promoters such as hTERT[40] or survivin[41] to drive transgene therapeutic expression selectively within

tumour cells will be necessary to ensure tight tumour selectivity.

Materials and Methods

GFP transduction assay

Adherent cells were seeded into a Nunc delta surface 96-well cell culture plate (ThermoFisher) at a density of 5×10^4 cells/well in 200µl of cell culture media and left to adhere overnight at 37˚C in a 5% CO_2 humidified atmosphere. Media was removed and cells washed twice with 200µl of PBS. Virus was added at the desired concentration in 200µl of serum free RMPI 1640 and incubated under cell culture conditions for 3hrs. The virus containing media was then removed and replaced with complete cell culture media and the cells incubated for a further 45hrs. Cell culture media was then removed, the cells washed twice with 200µl of PBS, trypsinised in 50µl of 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA (Gibco), and dissociated by pipetting. The trypsinised cells were transferred to a 96-well V-bottom plate (ThermoFisher), neutralised with 100µl of complete cell culture media, and pelleted by centrifugation at 1200RPM for 3mins. Supernatant was removed, the cells washed once in 200µl of PBS, and resuspended in 100µl of 2% PFA (PBS containing 2% w/v paraformaldehyde) and incubated at 4˚C for 15mins. Cells were again pelleted, washed twice in 200µl PBS, then resuspended in 200µl PBS prior to analysis by flowcytometry.

Samples were analysed by flow cytometry on Attune NxT (ThermoFisher), voltages were set prior to each experiment, for each cell type, using an uninfected cell population treated identically. Data was analysed using FlowJo v10 (FlowJo, LLC), gating sequentially on singlets, cell population, and GFP positive cells. Levels of infection were defined as the percentage of GFP positive cells (% +ve), and/or Total Fluorescence (TF), defined as the percentage of GFP positive cells multiplied by the median fluorescent intensity (MFI) of the GFP positive population. These measures are distinct in that % +ve describes the total proportion of cells infected, and TF describes the total efficiency of transgene delivery.

Luciferase transduction assay

Luciferase infectivity assays were performed using the luciferase assay system kit (Promega). Cells were

seeded into a Nunc delta surface 96-well cell culture plate (ThermoFisher) at a density of $2x10^4$

cells/well in 200µl of cell culture media and left to adhere overnight at 37˚C in a 5% CO_2 humidified

atmosphere. Media was removed and cells washed once with 200µl of PBS. Luciferase transgene

encoding replication incompetent viruses were added to the necessary wells at the required titre in

200µl of serum free RMPI 1640 and incubated under cell culture conditions for 3hrs. The virus

containing media was then removed and replaced with complete cell culture media and the cells

incubated for a further 45hrs. Cell culture media was then removed, the cells washed twice with 200µl

of PBS, and were then lysed in 100µl of cell culture lysis buffer (part of the Promega kit) diluted to 1x in

ddH_2O. The plate was then frozen at -80˚C.

After thaw, 10µl of lysate from the cell culture plate mixed then was transferred to a white Nunc 96-

microwell plate (ThermoFisher) and 100µl of luciferase assay reagent (Promega Kit) added. Luciferase

activity was then measured in relative light units (RLU) by plate reader (Clariostar, BMG Labtech). Total

protein concentration was determined in the lysate using the Pierce BCA protein assay kit

(Thermofisher) according to the manufacturers protocol, absorbance was measured on an iMark

microplate absorbance reader (BioRad).

Relative virus infection was determined by normalising the measured luciferase intensity to the total

protein concentration (RLU was divided by protein concentration). This gave a final infectivity readout in

RLU/mg of protein.

Blocking of virus infection with recombinant fiber knob protein

This assay was also performed using the luciferase assay system kit (Promega). Cells were seeded into a

Nunc delta surface 96-well cell culture plate (ThermoFisher) at a density of $20x10^3$ cells/well in 200µl of

cell culture media and left to adhere overnight at 37˚C in a 5% CO_2 humidified atmosphere. Media was

removed and cells washed 2x with 200µl of cold PBS and the plate cooled on ice. 20pg/cell of

recombinant adenovirus fiber knob was added to each well in 200µl of cold PBS and incubated on ice in

a 4˚C cold room for 1hr. Media was then removed and luciferase transgene encoding replication

incompetent viruses added to the necessary wells at the required titre in 200µl of cold serum free RMPI

1640 and incubated on ice in a 4˚C cold room for 1hr. The virus containing media was then removed and

replaced with complete cell culture media and the cells incubated for a further 45hrs under normal cell

culture conditions. From this point forward the assay is identical to the GFP and luciferase transduction

assays.

Heparinase and Neuraminidase transduction assays

Cells were seeded at a density of $5x10^4$ cells/well in a flat bottomed 96 well cell culture plate and

incubated overnight at 37°C to adhere. Cells were washed twice with 200µl of PBS. 50µl of

neuraminidase (from Vibrio Cholera, Merk) at a concentration of 50mU/ml, or 50µl of Heparinase III

(from Flavobacterium heparinum, Merck) at a concentration of 1U/ml was diluted in serum free media,

added to the appropriate wells, and incubated for 1hr at 37°C. Cells were cooled on ice and washed

twice with 200µl of PBS. Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) expressing, replication incompetent viruses

were added to the appropriate wells at a concentration of 5000 viral particles per cell, in 100µl of serum

free media, at 4°C, and incubated on ice for 1hr. Serum free media alone was added to uninfected

control wells. Cells were washed twice with 200µl of cold PBS, complete media added (DMEM, 10% FCS)

and incubated for 48hrs at 37°C. Cells were then trypsinised and transferred to a 96 well V-bottom plate,

washed twice in 200µl of PBS and fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde containing PBS for 20mins before wash,

and resuspension in 200µl of PBS.

Samples were analysed by flow cytometry on Attune NxT (ThermoFisher), voltages were set prior to

each experiment, for each cell type, using an uninfected cell population treated identically. Data was

analysed using FlowJo v10 (FlowJo, LLC), gating sequentially on singlets, cell population, and GFP

positive cells. Levels of infection were defined as the percentage of GFP positive cells (% +ve), and/or

Total Fluorescence (TF), defined as the percentage of GFP positive cells multiplied by the median

fluorescent intensity (MFI) of the GFP positive population. These measures are distinct in that % +ve

describes the total proportion of cells infected, and TF describes the total efficiency of transgene

delivery.

Surface Plasmon Resonance

Surface plasmon resonance was performed, in triplicate, as previously described, using recombinant

HAdV-D49K protein[34]. Approximately 5000 RU of Recombinant Human Desmoglein-2 Fc Chimera Protein

(R&D Systems, Catalogue number 947-DM-100) was amine coupled to a CM5 sensor chip at a slow flow-

rate of 10 µl/min to ensure uniform distribution on the chip surface.

Competition Inhibition Assay

Competition inhibition assays of antibody binding to cell surface receptors were performed as previously

described[34].

Generation of recombinant fiber knob proteins

Recombinant fiber knob proteins used in transduction inhibition, antibody blocking, and crystallisation

experiments were produced as previous described[24,34]. Briefly, pQE-30 vectors containing the sequence

of the relevant fiber knob protein, spanning from 13 amino acids preceeding the TLW motif to the stop

codon, were transformed into SG13009 E.coli harbouring the pREP-4 plasmid. 1L of these E.coli were

grown to OD0.6 and protein expression induced with a final concentration of 0.5mM IPTG. E.coli were

harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in 50ml lysis buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 1%

(v/v) NP40, 1 mg/ml Lysozyme, 1 mM β-mercaptoethanol). Sample was then loaded onto a HisTrap FF

Crude column and eluted by imidazole. Fractions determined to contain protein of interest were then

concentrated to <1ml total volume and purified by size exclusion chromatography using a Superdex 200

10/300 GL Increase column.

Fiber knob protein crystallisation and structure determination by X-Ray

crystallography

HAdV-D49K, HAdV-D49.KO1.K, and HAdV-D30K were crystallised in the same manner as previously

described[24,34]. Both HAdV-D49K and HAdV-D49.KO1.K crystals formed in 0.1M MMT, 25% w/v PEG1500,

whilst HAdV-D30K crystallised in 0.1M SPG, 25% w/v PEG 1500. All crystals formed in 2-7 days. Data

collection statistics, PDB deposition numbers, sample map density images, and validation statistics are

described in supplementary table 1 and supplementary figures 1-3.

Calculation of electrostatic surface potentials and pIs

Electrostatic surface potential and isoelectric points were calculated at pH 7.2 using the PDB2PQR Server

(V 2.1.1)[42] as previous described[24].

RMSD calculation, sequence alignment, and imaging of crystal structures

Alignments were performed using the Clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment algorithm and

visualised with BioEdit[43,44]. RMSD calculations were performed using the 'align' command in PyMOL 2.0,

which was also used to visualise protein structures[45].

Acknowledgements

ATB was funded by a Tenovus Cancer Care PhD studentship (reference PhD2015/L13). GM was supported by a Cancer Research UK ECMC centre award (C7838/A25173). JAD is funded by a Cancer Research UK Biotherapeutic Programme award (reference C52915/A29104). EM is supported by a Wellcome Trust ISSF Translational Kickstarter Award (reference 517732). RMM was supported by the Cardiff University Research Opportunities Placement (CUROP). PJR and ALP are funded by HEFCW. The authors acknowledge the Diamond Light Source for beamtime (proposal MX18812) and the staff of beamline I03 for assistance with diffraction data collection. The authors acknowledge Johanne Pentier for technical assistance with SPR data collection, as well as Aaron Wall and Anna Fuller for assistance with FPLC.

References

1. Baker, A. T., Aguirre-Hernández, C., Halldén, G. & Parker, A. L. Designer Oncolytic Adenovirus: Coming of Age. *Cancers* **10**, 201 (2018).

2. Russell, W. C. Adenoviruses: update on structure and function. *J. Gen. Virol.* **90**, 1–20 (2009).

3. Stanton, R. J., McSharry, B. P., Armstrong, M., Tomasec, P. & Wilkinson, G. W. G. Re-engineering adenovirus vector systems to enable high-throughput analyses of gene function. *BioTechniques* **45**, 659–662, 664–668 (2008).

4. Alba, R., Baker, AndrewH. & Nicklin, StuartA. Vector Systems for Prenatal Gene Therapy: Principles of Adenovirus Design and Production. in *Prenatal Gene Therapy* (eds. Coutelle, C. & Waddington, S. N.) 55–84 (Humana Press, 2012). doi:10.1007/978-1-61779-873-3_4.

5. Weaver, E. A. & Barry, M. A. Low Seroprevalent Species D Adenovirus Vectors as Influenza Vaccines. *PLOS ONE* **8**, e73313 (2013).

6. Atasheva, S., Yao, J. & Shayakhmetov, D. M. Innate immunity to adenovirus: lessons from mice. *FEBS Lett.* **593**, 3461–3483 (2019).

7. Ahi, Y. S., Bangari, D. S. & Mittal, S. K. Adenoviral Vector Immunity: Its Implications and circumvention strategies. *Curr. Gene Ther.* **11**, 307–320 (2011).

8. Dakin, R. S., Parker, A. L., Delles, C., Nicklin, S. A. & Baker, A. H. Efficient transduction of primary vascular cells by the rare adenovirus serotype 49 vector. *Hum. Gene Ther.* **26**, 312–319 (2015).

9. Abbink, P. *et al.* Comparative Seroprevalence and Immunogenicity of Six Rare Serotype Recombinant Adenovirus Vaccine Vectors from Subgroups B and D. *J. Virol.* **81**, 4654–4663 (2007).

10. Zhao, H. *et al.* Seroprevalence of Neutralizing Antibodies against Human Adenovirus Type-5 and Chimpanzee Adenovirus Type-68 in Cancer Patients. *Front. Immunol.* **9**, (2018).

11. Teigler, J. E., Iampietro, M. J. & Barouch, D. H. Vaccination with Adenovirus Serotypes 35, 26, and 48

Elicits Higher Levels of Innate Cytokine Responses than Adenovirus Serotype 5 in Rhesus Monkeys. *J.*

Virol. **86**, 9590–9598 (2012).

12. Dyer, A. *et al.* Oncolytic Group B Adenovirus Enadenotucirev Mediates Non-apoptotic Cell Death

with Membrane Disruption and Release of Inflammatory Mediators. *Mol Ther Oncolytics* **4**, 18–30

(2017).

13. Di, Y., Seymour, L. & Fisher, K. Activity of a group B oncolytic adenovirus (ColoAd1) in whole human

blood. *Gene Ther.* **21**, 440–443 (2014).

14. Human adenovirus B strain ColoAd1, complete genome. (2009).

15. Long-term Safety Follow-up of Participants Exposed to the Candidate Ebola Vaccines Ad26.ZEBOV

and/or   MVA-BN-Filo   -   Full   Text   View   -   ClinicalTrials.gov.

https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02661464?term=adenovirus&recrs=a&cond=ebola&rank=1.

16. A Study to Assess Ebola Vaccines ChAd3-EBO-Z and Ad26.ZEBOV - Full Text View - ClinicalTrials.gov.

https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT02495246?term=ad26&draw=1&rank=2.

17. Vogels, R. *et al.* Replication-Deficient Human Adenovirus Type 35 Vectors for Gene Transfer and

Vaccination: Efficient Human Cell Infection and Bypass of Preexisting Adenovirus Immunity. *J. Virol.*

77, 8263–8271 (2003).

18. Schnurr, D. & Dondero, M. E. Two New Candidate Adenovirus Serotypes. *Intervirology* **36**, 79–83

(1993).

19. De Jong, J. C. *et al.* Adenoviruses from Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected Individuals,

Including Two Strains That Represent New Candidate Serotypes Ad50 and Ad51 of Species B1 and

D, Respectively. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **37**, 3940–3945 (1999).

20. Ishiko, H. *et al.* Novel Human Adenovirus Causing Nosocomial Epidemic Keratoconjunctivitis. *J. Clin.*

Microbiol. **46**, 2002–2008 (2008).

21. Human adenovirus 49 gene for fiber protein, partial cds, strain: T87-677. (2016).

22. Al Qurashi, Y. M. A., Alkhalaf, M. A., Lim, L., Guiver, M. & Cooper, R. J. Sequencing and phylogenetic

analysis of the hexon, fiber, and penton regions of adenoviruses isolated from AIDS patients. *J. Med.*

Virol. **84**, 1157–1165 (2012).

23. Lemckert, A. A. C. *et al.* Generation of a novel replication-incompetent adenoviral vector derived

from human adenovirus type 49: manufacture on PER.C6 cells, tropism and immunogenicity. *J. Gen.*

Virol. **87**, 2891–2899 (2006).

24. Baker, A. T., Mundy, R. M., Davies, J. A., Rizkallah, P. J. & Parker, A. L. Human adenovirus type 26

uses sialic acid–bearing glycans as a primary cell entry receptor. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaax3567 (2019).

25. Gaggar, A., Shayakhmetov, D. M. & Lieber, A. CD46 is a cellular receptor for group B adenoviruses.

Nat. Med. **9**, 1408–1412 (2003).

26. Roelvink, P. W. *et al.* The Coxsackievirus-Adenovirus Receptor Protein Can Function as a Cellular

Attachment Protein for Adenovirus Serotypes from Subgroups A, C, D, E, and F. *J. Virol.* **72**, 7909–

7915 (1998).

27. Alba, R. *et al.* Coagulation factor X mediates adenovirus type 5 liver gene transfer in non-human

primates (*Microcebus murinus*). *Gene Ther.* **19**, 109–113 (2012).

28. Alba, R. *et al.* Identification of coagulation factor (F)X binding sites on the adenovirus serotype 5

hexon: effect of mutagenesis on FX interactions and gene transfer. *Blood* **114**, 965–971 (2009).

29. Parker, A. L. *et al.* Multiple vitamin K-dependent coagulation zymogens promote adenovirus-

mediated gene delivery to hepatocytes. *Blood* **108**, 2554–2561 (2006).

30. Waddington, S. N. *et al.* Adenovirus Serotype 5 Hexon Mediates Liver Gene Transfer. *Cell* **132**, 397–

409 (2008).

31. Burmeister, W. P., Guilligay, D., Cusack, S., Wadell, G. & Arnberg, N. Crystal Structure of Species D

Adenovirus Fiber Knobs and Their Sialic Acid Binding Sites. *J. Virol.* **78**, 7727–7736 (2004).

32. Arnberg, N., Edlund, K., Kidd, A. H. & Wadell, G. Adenovirus Type 37 Uses Sialic Acid as a Cellular

Receptor. *J. Virol.* **74**, 42–48 (2000).

33. Vassal-Stermann, E. *et al.* CryoEM structure of adenovirus type 3 fibre with desmoglein 2 shows an

unusual mode of receptor engagement. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1181 (2019).

34. Baker, A. T. *et al.* Diversity within the adenovirus fiber knob hypervariable loops influences primary

receptor interactions. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 741 (2019).

35. Jakubczak, J. L. *et al.* Adenovirus Type 5 Viral Particles Pseudotyped with Mutagenized Fiber Proteins

Show Diminished Infectivity of Coxsackie B-Adenovirus Receptor-Bearing Cells. *J. Virol.* **75**, 2972–

2981 (2001).

36. Vassal-Stermann, E. *et al.* Mapping of Adenovirus of serotype 3 fibre interaction to desmoglein 2

revealed a novel 'non-classical' mechanism of viral receptor engagement. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, (2018).

37. Uusi-Kerttula, H. *et al.* Ad5NULL-A20 - a tropism-modified, αvβ6 integrin-selective oncolytic

adenovirus for epithelial ovarian cancer therapies. *Clin. Cancer Res.* clincanres.1089.2018 (2018)

doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-1089.

38. Loskog, A. *et al.* Immunostimulatory AdCD40L gene therapy combined with low-dose

cyclophosphamide in metastatic melanoma patients. *Br J Cancer* **114**, 872–80 (2016).

39. Man, Y. K. S. *et al.* The Novel Oncolytic Adenoviral Mutant Ad5-3Δ-A20T Retargeted to αvβ6

Integrins Efficiently Eliminates Pancreatic Cancer Cells. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **17**, 575–587 (2018).

40. Onimaru, M. *et al.* Combination with low-dose gemcitabine and hTERT-promoter-dependent

conditionally replicative adenovirus enhances cytotoxicity through their crosstalk mechanisms in

pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Lett* **294**, 178–86 (2010).

41. Wang, W. *et al.* Survivin promoter-regulated oncolytic adenovirus with Hsp70 gene exerts effective

antitumor efficacy in gastric cancer immunotherapy. *Oncotarget* **5**, 150–160 (2013).

42. Jurrus, E. *et al.* Improvements to the APBS biomolecular solvation software suite. *Protein Sci. Publ.*

Protein Soc. **27**, 112–128 (2018).

43. Sievers, F. *et al.* Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using

Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* **7**, 539–539 (2011).

44. Li, W. *et al.* The EMBL-EBI bioinformatics web and programmatic tools framework. *Nucleic Acids Res.*

43, W580-4 (2015).

45. Schrödinger, LLC. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.0.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: HAdV-C5/D49K infection is not dependent upon CAR or CD46. GFP transduction assays show

that both HAdV-C5 and HAdV-C5/D49K is capable of efficiently transducing CHO-CAR cells, Chinese

Hamster Ovary cells expressing human CAR (A). CHO-BC1 cells, expressing human CD46 isoform BC1, are

efficiently transduced by both HAdV-C5/B35K and HAdV-C5/D49K (B). CHO-K1 cells, which do not

express any known adenovirus fiber-knob receptors are not efficiently transduced by HAdV-C5 or HAdV-

C5/B35K, but is efficiently transduced by HAdV-C5/D49K (C).

Figure 2: HAdV-C5/49K transduction is not dependent upon HSPGs, sialic acid bearing glycans or

Desmoglein 2 (DSG2). Treatment of CHO-K1 (A) or SKOV-3 (B) cells with heparinase diminishes the

infectivity of HAdV-C5 via the FX dependent pathway, but HAdV-C5/D49K transduction efficiency is

unaffected by heparinase treatment. Cleavage of cell surface sialic acid has no effect upon the

transduction efficiency of any of the tested viruses in CHO-K1 cells (C), however, in SKOV-3 cells both

HAdV-C5/B35K and HAdV-C5/D49K efficiency increases following neuraminidase treatment (D). Cells

were infected with 5,000 viral particles per cell of replication deficient HAdV-C5, HAdV-C5/B35K, or

HAdV-C5/49K expressing a GFP transgene, n=3 error is ±SD. *= $P<0.05$, **= $P<0.01$, ***= $P<0.005$, ,

****= $P<0.001$.

Figure 3: HAdV-D49K interacts with CAR but is not dependent upon it as an entry receptor. Surface

plasmon resonance experiments show that HAdV-D49K is capable of binding to CAR with a KD of

0.19μM, however the HAdV-D49.KO1.K mutant cannot bind to CAR and neither protein forms a

measurable interaction with DSG2 or CD46 (A). Antibody binding inhibition assays show that HAdV-D49K

blocks anti-CAR antibody binding to CHO-CAR cells with an IC50 value of 0.16μg/10^5 cells but is unable to

inhibit abti-CD46 antibody binding to CHO-BC1 cells by more than 25% (B). Preincubation of CHO-CAR

cells with HAdV-C5K inhibits HAdV-C5 infection (C), preincubation with HAdV-D49K also inhibits HAdV-

C5 infection by approximately 50% (D). Conversely HAdV-C5/D49K transduction was uninhibited in by

either HAdV-C5K (E) or HAdV-D49K (F) in CHO-CAR cells. In CHO-K1 cells there was no significant

decrease in HAdV-C5/D49K transduction efficiency when blockaded by HAdV-C5K (G), but an

approximately 50% decrease in transduction was observed in CHO-K1 cells following blockade with

HAdV-D49K (H). Cells were infected with 5,000 viral particles per cell of replication deficient HAdV-C5 or

HAdV-C5/D49K expressing a luciferase transgene, with and without blockade by 20µg of recombinant

HAdV-C5 or HAdV-D49 fiber knob protein. n=3 error is ±SD. *= P<0.05, **= P<0.01, ***= P<0.005, ****=

P<0.001.

Figure 4: HAdV-D49K differs from HAdV-D30K in only three surface exposed amino acids but is

dependent upon CAR to infect CHO cells. ClustalΩ sequence alignment (numbering based on whole

fiber sequence) of HAdV-D30K and HAdV-D49K reveals four amino acid differences (A). Viewed from the

apex down the three fold axis, as if towards the viral capsid, the crystal structures of HAdV-D30K (B) and

HAdV-D49K reveal that three of these residues are surface exposed. These residues can be seen

projecting into the solvent from loops on the apex of HAdV-D30K (D) and HAdV-D49K (E), residue

numbers and names correspond to the fiber-knob protein depicted in that frame. Sticks representing

residues belonging to HAdV-D30K and HAdV-D49K are seen in pink and green, respectively. Transduction

assays demonstrate that HAdV-C5/D30K is capable of infecting CHO-CAR cells with moderate efficiency

(F) it is not capable of infecting CHO-K1 cells (G), whilst the HAdV-C5/D49.KO1.K mutant is capable of

infecting both cell lines. Cells were infected with 5,000 viral particles per cell of replication deficient

HAdV-C5 or HAdV-C5/D49K expressing a luciferase transgene, with and without blockade by 20µg of

recombinant HAdV-C5 or HAdV-D49 fiber knob protein.

Figure 5: The differences in residues between HAdV-D30K and HAdV-D49K have a large effect on the

surface electrostatic potential of the fiber knob. The calculated pI of HAdV-D30K and HAdV-D49K is

very different as a result of the residue changes, which are shown as green sticks as they occur in that

fiber knob protein. The calculated electrostatic surface potential at pH7.35 is projected on a -10mV to

+10mV ramp (Red to Blue). HAdV-D49K can be seen to have much more basic potential around the apex

where the residue substitutions are.

Figure 6: HAdV-C5/D49K transduces human pancreatic cancer cell lines with high efficiency.

Transduction efficiency was assessed using HAdV-C5/D49K (shown as Ad5/49k in the figure) and HAdV-

C5 (shown as Ad5 in the figure) in all tested pancreatic cancer cell lines (names given above plots). Cells

were infected with 5,000 viral particles per cell of replication deficient HAdV-C5 or HAdV-C5/49K

expressing a luciferase transgene, n=3. *= P<0.05, **= P<0.01, ***= P<0.005, ****= P<0.001

Figure 7: HAdV-C5/D49K transduces human breast cancer cell lines with high efficiency. Transduction

efficiency was assessed using HAdV-C5/D49K (shown as Ad5/49k in the figure) and HAdV-C5 (shown as

Ad5 in the figure) in all tested pancreatic cancer cell lines (names given above plots). Cells were infected

with 5,000 viral particles per cell of replication deficient HAdV-C5 or HAdV-C5/49K expressing a

luciferase transgene, n=3. *= P<0.05, **= P<0.01, ***= P<0.005, ****= P<0.001

Figure 8: HAdV-C5/D49K can transduce human lung, oesophageal, colorectal, and ovarian cancer cell

lines with high efficiency. Transduction efficiency was assessed using HAdV-C5/D49K (shown as

Ad5/49k in the figure) and HAdV-C5 (shown as Ad5 in the figure) in all tested cancer cell lines (names

given above plots). NCI-H460, A427, and A549 cells are lung cancer cell lines, Kyse-30, DLD-1, and SKOV-

3 cells are oesophageal, colorectal, and ovarian cancer cell lines, respectively (names given above plots).

Cells were infected with 5,000 viral particles per cell of replication deficient HAdV-C5 or HAdV-C5/49K

expressing a luciferase transgene, n=3 error is ±SD. *= P<0.05, **= P<0.01, ***= P<0.005, ****= P<0.001

Figure 1

Figure 2

A

	HAdV-D49K			HAdV-D49.K01.K		
	K_{on} (M^{-1} s^{-1})	K_{off} (s^{-1})	K_D (μM)	K_{on} (M^{-1} s^{-1})	K_{off} (s^{-1})	K_D (μM)
CAR	6.1×10^3	0.0012	0.19	nb	nb	No binding
CD46	nb	nb	No binding	nb	nb	No binding
DSG2	nb	nb	No binding	nb	nb	No binding

nm = kinetics too fast to measure
nb = no binding

B

Inhibition of CAR antibody binding by HAdV-D49K
Inhibition of CD46 antibody binding by HAdV-D49K

IC_{50} = nm

IC_{50} = 0.16ug/10^5 Cells

C CHO-CAR infected by HAdV-C5 +/- HAdV-C5K

D CHO-CAR infected by HAdV-C5 +/- HAdV-D49K

E CHO-CAR infected by HAdV-C5/D49K +/- HAdV-C5K

F CHO-CAR infected by HAdV-C5/D49K +/- HAdV-D49K

G CHO-K1 infected by HAdV-C5/D49K +/- HAdV-C5K

H CHO-K1 infected by HAdV-C5/D49K +/- HAdV-D49K

Figure 3

Figure 4

HAdV-D30K

HAdV-D49K

E → K

GQ → AK

pI = 5.57

pI = 8.26

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8