Evidence of exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in cats and dogs from households in

Italy

E.I. Patterson[1], G. Elia[2], A. Grassi[3], A. Giordano[4], C. Desario[2], M. Medardo[5], S.L. Smith[6], E.R.

Anderson[1], T. Prince[7], G.T. Patterson[6], E. Lorusso[2], M.S. Lucente[2], G. Lanave[2], S. Lauzi[4], U.

Bonfanti[5], A. Stranieri[4], V. Martella[2], F. Solari Basano[8], V.R. Barrs[9], A.D. Radford[6], U.

Agrimi[10], G. L. Hughes[1], S. Paltrinieri[4], N. Decaro[2]*

[1] Departments of Vector Biology and Tropical Disease Biology, Centre for Neglected Tropical

Disease, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, Liverpool, L3 5QA, UK

[2] Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Valenzano (BA), Italy

[3] I-VET srl, Laboratorio di Analisi Veterinarie, Via Ettore Majorana, 10 - 25020 Flero (BS), Italy

[4] Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Milan, Via Celoria 10, 20133 Milan, Italy;

Veterinary Teaching Hospital, University of Milan, Via dell'Università 6, 26900 Lodi, Italy.

[5] La Vallonèa Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory, via G. Sirtori 9, 20017 Passirana di Rho (MI),

Italy

[6] Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Leahurst

Campus, Chester High Road, Neston, CH64 7TE, UK

[7] NIHR Health Protection Unit in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections, Department of Clinical

Infection, Microbiology and Immunology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool UK.

[8] Arcoblu s.r.l., via Alessandro Milesi 5, 20133 Milan, Italy

9 City University's Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life Sciences, 5/F, Block

1A, To Yuen Building, 31 To Yuen Street, Kowloon, Hong Kong

10 Department of Veterinary Public Health and Food Safety, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Viale

Regina Elena, 299, 00161 Rome, Italy.

*Correspondence to: Nicola Decaro, DVM, PhD, Dipl. ECVM, Department of Veterinary

Medicine, University of Bari, Valenzano, Bari, Italy; tel. 00390804679832; fax

00390804679843; e-mail: nicola.decaro@uniba.it

Abstract: SARS-CoV-2 originated in animals and is now easily transmitted between people.

Sporadic detection of natural cases in animals alongside successful experimental infections of

pets, such as cats, ferrets and dogs, raises questions about the susceptibility of animals under

natural conditions of pet ownership. Here we report a large-scale study to assess SARS-CoV-2

infection in over 500 companion animals living in northern Italy, sampled at a time of frequent

human infection. No animals tested PCR positive. However, 3.4% of dogs and 3.9% of cats had

measurable SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibody titers, with dogs from COVID-19 positive

households being significantly more likely to test positive than those from COVID-19 negative

households. Understanding risk factors associated with this and their potential to infect other

species requires urgent investigation.

One Sentence Summary: SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in pets from Italy.

Main Text: Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in late

December 2019 in Wuhan, Hubei province, China (1), possibly as a spillover from bats to

humans (2), and rapidly spread worldwide becoming a pandemic (3). Although the virus is

believed to spread almost exclusively by human-to-human transmission, there are concerns that some animal species may contribute to the ongoing SARS-CoV-2 pandemic epidemiology (4). To date, sporadic cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection have been reported in dogs and cats. These include detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in respiratory and/or fecal specimens of dogs and cats with or without clinical signs (5-7), as well as of specific antibodies in sera from pets from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) affected areas (7,8). In addition, experimental infection of various animal species has demonstrated that while dogs appear poorly susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection, developing asymptomatic infections and shedding low-titer or no virus, cats develop respiratory pathology and shed high titers of SARS-CoV-2, even being able to infect in-contact animals (9,10). Wide scale testing of susceptible species is needed to assess the extent of animal infection under more natural conditions of husbandry. Here, we conducted an extensive epidemiological survey from March to May 2020 in cats and dogs living in Italy, either in SARS-CoV-2 positive households or living in geographic areas that were severely affected by COVID-19. To our knowledge, this is the largest study to investigate SARS-CoV-2 in companion animals to date.

All animals were sampled by their private veterinary surgeon during routine healthcare visits. Sampling of animals for this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Bari, Italy (approval number 15/2020). A total of 915 dogs and 505 cats were sampled from different Italian regions, mostly Lombardy (605 dogs, 386 cats). Animals were sampled either from regions severely affected by COVID-19 outbreaks in humans or from those that offered convenient access to samples. Oropharyngeal (303 dogs, 177 cats), nasal (183 dogs, 78 cats), and/or rectal (55 dogs, 30 cats) swabs were collected from the sampled pets. For 340 dogs and 188 cats, full signalment and clinical history were available, including

breed, sex, age, exposure to COVID-19 infected humans (COVID-19 positive household, suspected COVID-19 positive household but not confirmed by specific assay, and COVID-19 negative household), presence of respiratory signs (cough, sneezing, conjunctivitis, nasal and/or ocular discharge).

Sera were available for 188 dogs and 63 cats for which complete signalment, history and location were available (Fig. 1). Additional sera were collected from diagnostic laboratories for 200 dogs and 89 cats from the affected areas, but which lacked further historical information.

Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA used two real-time RT-PCR assays targeting nucleoprotein and envelope protein genes as previously described (11). Plaque reduction neutralization tests (PRNT) were using a previously established protocol (8) with SARS-CoV-2/human/Liverpool/REMRQ0001/2020 isolate was cultured as previously described (9). PRNT80 was determined by the highest dilution with □80% reduction in plaques compared to the control.

All of 1420 collected swab samples tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 RNA, including 38 cats and 38 dogs that showed respiratory symptoms at the time of sampling, suggesting absence of active SARS-CoV-2 infection in the tested animals. In addition, 64 of these dogs and 57 of the cats that tested negative were living in households previously confirmed as having had COVID-19.

SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies were detected in 13 dogs (3.35%) and 6 cats (3.95%), with titers ranging from 1:20 to 1:160 and from 1:40 to 1:1280 in dogs and cats, respectively. Of samples from households with known COVID-19 status, neutralizing antibodies were detected in 6 of 47 dogs (12.8%) and 1 of 22 cats (4.5%) from COVID-19 positive households, 1 of 7 dogs (14.3%) and 0 of 3 cats (0%) from suspected COVID-19 positive households and 2 of 133 dogs

(1.5%) and 1 of 38 cats (2.6%) from COVID-19 negative households (Table 1). For those 423

animals where an age was recorded, 0 of 30 aged less than 1 year (0%), 6 of 92 aged 1-3 years

(6.5%), 3 of 102 aged 4-7 years (2.9%) and 6 of 199 aged 8 and over (3.0%) tested positive.

None of the animals with neutralizing antibodies displayed respiratory symptoms at the time of

sampling.

Reference sera or ascitic fluids from animals previously shown to be positive for canine enteric

coronavirus (14), canine respiratory coronavirus (15) and feline coronavirus (16) tested negative

by the PRNT assay for SARS-CoV-2, confirming the specificity of the obtained results (8).

Dogs were significantly more likely to test positive for SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies if

they came from a known COVID-19 positive household (Fisher's exact test, p=0.004) or were

male (Fisher's exact test, p=0.045). In provinces where at least 10 samples were available, there

was a strong positive trend between the proportion of dogs that tested positive and the recorded

burden of human disease (Spearman's r = 0.732, p = 0.051) (Fig. 2). A similar association was

observed for cats but should be viewed with caution as only four provinces met the criteria for

analysis.

Following its original probable transmission to humans from animals, SARS-CoV-2 has spread

globally within the human population with devastating health and economic impacts. To date,

SARS-CoV-2 has been sporadically detected in naturally infected dogs and cats, most of which

were living in close contact with infected humans. Most studies of companion animals are small

in nature, likely because of an inevitable research focus on human disease. Our results from this

extensive study of SARS-CoV-2 infection in owned cats and dogs living in areas where viral

transmission was active in the human population demonstrate that both cats and dogs can

seroconvert under the normal conditions of pet ownership, and where the burdens of disease are

highest in humans.

The link between SARS-CoV-2 household infection and a pet's seropositivity was only apparent

for dogs, possibly suggesting greater interaction between positive people and their household

dogs as compared to cats. This contrasts experimental studies where dogs were less susceptible

to infection (9). In addition, a higher proportion of male dogs were seropositive compared to

female dogs. Future studies in animals and humans should investigate whether this phenomenon

is based in physiological or behavioral differences between males and females. Although there

are clear gender differences in outcomes in human COVID-19 infections, with males at higher

risk of severe disease, there seems to be no evidence for a difference in infection risk (17). None

of the 30 juvenile animals, less than one year-of-age, tested positive. Our findings are consistent

with reports of other seropositive naturally exposed cats and dogs which were all adult (6, 7).

These findings support use of older animals in experimental infections, which are currently

performed on animals less than one year-of-age (9) and may therefore underestimate SARS-

CoV-2 susceptibility.

In contrast to the serology results, all animals tested negative by PCR, including those animals

living in households with confirmed COVID-19 human infection and those with and without

respiratory symptoms. This suggests that whilst pet animals can seroconvert, they may shed virus

for relatively short periods of time. In experimental studies, cats stopped shedding virus by 10

days post infection (dpi) and developed neutralizing antibody responses by 13 dpi (9). Similar

results were reported in experimental infection of dogs, in which virus was detected in faeces up

to 6 dpi, but not in oropharyngeal swabs (6). However, in a naturally infected Pomeranian dog

SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected from nasal swabs by quantitative RT-PCR for at least 13 days

at low titer, whilst the virus was not detected in faecal/rectal samples (7), suggesting that virus

shedding patterns may vary in some animals. Half of the challenged dogs had detectable

antibodies by 14 dpi. These studies and our own highlight similar challenges in detecting SARS-

CoV-2 infection that exist for both humans and animals (18). It is not possible with our field data

set to estimate the time of infection in animals that were seropositive, and restrictions on human

and animal movement during the pandemic may have delayed visits to veterinary practitioners

where sampling occurred. We advocate the inclusion of pets in ongoing assessments of

community and household shedding to improve detection of active infection.

In this extensive epidemiological survey of SARS-CoV-2, we found that companion animals

living in areas of high human infection can become infected. Our results suggest that dogs

warrant further investigation regarding SARS-CoV-2 susceptibility in contrast to experimental

studies which suggested cats were most susceptible (9). We also observed seropositivity rates in

animals comparable to those of humans via community sampling at a similar time in European

countries (19-21). This suggests that infection in companion animals is not unusual. Based on

current knowledge, it is unlikely that infected pets play an active role in SARS-CoV-2

transmission to humans. However, animal-to-human transmission may be more likely under

certain environmental conditions, such as the high animal population densities encountered on

infected mink farms (22). As and when human transmission becomes rarer and contact tracing

becomes more accessible, serological surveillance of pets may be advocated to develop a

wholistic picture of community disease dynamics and ensure that all transmission opportunities

are terminated.

References and Notes:

1. P. Zhou, X. L. Yang, X. G. Wang, B. Hu, L. Zhang, W. Zhang, H. R. Si, Y. Zhu, B. Li, C. L.

    Huang, H. D. Chen, J. Chen, Y. Luo, H. Guo, R. D. Jiang, M. Q. Liu, Y. Chen, X. R.

Shen, X. Wang, X. S. Zheng, K. Zhao, Q. J. Chen, F. Deng, L. L. Liu, B. Yan, F. X.

Zhan, Y. Y. Wang, G. F. Xiao, Z. L. Shi, A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new

coronavirus of probable bat origin. Nature 579, 270-273 (2020).

2. N. Decaro, A. Lorusso, Novel human coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2): a lesson from animal

coronaviruses. Vet. Microbiol. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2020.108693 (2020).

3. World Health Organization, https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-

2019/situation-reports

4. T. McNamara, J. A. Richt, L. Glickman, A critical needs assessment for research in

companion animals and livestock following the pandemic of COVID-19 in humans.

Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2020.2650 (2020).

5. A.S. Abdel-Moneim, E. M. Abdelwhab. Evidence for SARS-CoV-2 Infection of Animal

Hosts. Pathogens. 30;9(7):E529. doi: 10.3390/pathogens9070529 (2020).

6. A. Newman, D. Smith, R. R. Ghai, R. M. Wallace, M. K. Torchetti, C. Loiacono, L. S.

Murrell, A. Carpenter, S. Moroff, J. A. Rooney, C. B. Behravesh. First Reported Cases of

SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Companion Animals - New York, March-April 2020. Morb

Mortal Wkly Rep. 69(23):710-713 (2020).

7. T. H. C. Sit, C.J. Brackman, S. M. Ip, K.W.S. Tam, P.Y.T. Law, E.M.W. To, V.Y.T. Yu, L.D.

Sims, D.N.C. Tsang, D.K.W. Chu, R.A.P.M Perera, L.L.M. Poon, M. Peiris. Infection of

dogs with SARS-CoV-2. Nature. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2334-5 (2020).

8. Q. Zhang, H. Zhang, K. Huang, Y. Yang, X. Hui, J. Gao, X. He, C., Li, W. Gong, Y. Zhang,

C. Peng, X. Gao, H. Chen, Z. Zou, Z Shi, M. Jin, SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing serum

antibodies in cats: a serological investigation. bioRxiv doi: 10.1101/2020.04.01.021196

(2020).

9. J. Shi, Z. Wen, G. Zhong, H., Yang, C. Wang, R. Liu, X. He, L. Shuai, Z. Sun, Y. Zhao, L.

Liang, P. Cui, J. Wang, X. Zhang, Y. Guan, H. Chen, Z. Bu, Susceptibility of ferrets,

cats, dogs, and different domestic animals to SARS-coronavirus-2. Science doi:

10.1126/science.abb7015 (2020).

10. P. J. Halfmann, M. Hatta, S. Chiba, T. Maemura, S. Fan, M. Takeda, N. Kinoshita, S.

Hattori, Y. Sakai-Tagawa, K. Iwatsuki-Horimoto, M. Imai, Y. Kawaoka. Transmission of

SARS-CoV-2 in Domestic Cats. N Engl J Med. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2013400 (2020).

11. V. M. Corman, O. Landt, M. Kaiser, R. Molenkamp, A. Meijer, D. K. Chu, T. Bleicker, S.

Brünink S, J. Schneider, M. L. Schmidt, D. G. Mulders, B. L. Haagmans, B. van der

Veer, S. van den Brink, L. Wijsman, G. Goderski, J. L. Romette, J. Ellis, M. Zambon, M.

Peiris, H. Goossens, C. Reusken, M. P. Koopmans, C. Drosten, Detection of 2019 novel

coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR. Euro Surveill. 25(3). doi: 10.2807/1560-

7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045 (2020).

12. S. L. Rossi, K. E. Russell-Lodrigue, S. Z. Killeen, E. Wang, G. Leal, N. A. Bergren, H.

Vinet-Oliphant, S. C.Weaver, C. J. Roy. IRES Containing VEEV vaccine protects

cynomolgus macaques from IE Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus aerosol challenge.

PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 9(5), e0003797 (2015).

13. E. I. Patterson, T. Prince, E. R. Anderson, A. Casas-Sanchez, S. L. Smith, C. Cansado-

Utrilla, L. Turtle, G. L. Hughes. Methods of inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 for

downstream biological assays. bioRxiv 2020.05.21.108035; doi:

https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.21.108035 (2020).

14. N. Decaro, G. Elia, V. Martella, M. Campolo, V. Mari, C. Desario, M. S. Lucente, E.

Lorusso, T. Kanellos, R. H. Gibbons, C. Buonavoglia. Immunity after natural exposure to

enteric canine coronavirus does not provide complete protection against infection with

the new pantropic CB/05 strain. Vaccine, 2010, 28(3), 724-729 (2010).

15. N. Decaro, C. Desario, G. Elia, V. Mari, M. S. Lucente, P. Cordioli, M. L. Colaianni, V.

Martella, C. Buonavoglia. Serological and molecular evidence that canine respiratory

coronavirus is circulating in Italy. Vet. Microbiol 2007, 121(3-4), 225-230 (2007).

16. E. Lorusso, V. Mari, M. Losurdo, G. Lanave, A. Trotta, G. Dowgier, M. L. Colaianni, A.

Zatelli, G. Elia, D. Buonavoglia, N. Decaro. Discrepancies between feline coronavirus

antibody and nucleic acid detection in effusions of cats with suspected feline infectious

peritonitis. Res. Vet. Sci. 125, 421-424 (2019).

17. E. Petersen, M. Koopmans, U. Go, D. H. Hamer, N. Petrosillo, F. Castelli, M. Storgaard, S.

Al Khalili, L. Simonsen. Comparing SARS-CoV-2 with SARS-CoV and influenza

pandemics. Lancet Infect Dis. S1473-3099(20)30484-9 (2020).

18. S. Temmam, A. Barbarino, D. Maso, S. Behillil, V. Enouf, C. Huon, A. Jaraud, L.

Chevallier, M. Backovic, P. Pérot, P. Verwaerde, L. Tiret, S. van der Werf, M. Eloit,

Absence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in cats and dogs in close contact with a cluster of

COVID-19 patients in a veterinary campus. bioRxiv 2020.04.07.029090 doi:

10.1101/2020.04.07.029090 (2020).

19. L. Valenti, A. Bergna, S. Pelusi, F. Facciotti, A. Lai, M. Tarkowski, A. Berzuini, F. Caprioli,

L. Santoro, G. Baselli, C. Della Ventura, E. Erba, S. Bosari, M. Galli, G. Zehender, D.

Prati. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence trends in healthy blood donors during the COVID-19

Milan outbreak. medRxiv 2020.05.11.20098442; doi:

https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.11.20098442 (2020)

20. M. Pollan, B. Perez-Gomez, R. Pastor-Barriuso, J. Oteo, M.A. Hernan, M. Perez-Olmeda, et
al. Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in Spain (ENE-COVID): a nationwide, population-based
seroepidemiological study. The Lancet. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31483-5
(2020).

21. S. Stringhini, A. Wisniak, G. Piumatti, A.S. Azman, S.A. Lauer, H. Baysson, et al.
Seroprevalence of anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in Geneva, Switzerland
(SEROCoV-POP): a population-based study. The Lancet. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-
6736(20)31304-0 (2020).

22. N. Oreshkova, R.J. Molenaar, S. Vreman, F. Harders, B.B. Oude Munnink, R. W. Hakze-van
der Honing, N. Gerhards, P. Tolsma, R. Bouwstra, R.S. Sikkema, M.G. Tacken, M.M. de
Rooij, E. Weesendorp, M.Y.. Engelsma, C.J. Bruschke, L.A. Smit, M. Koopmans, W.H.
van der Poel, A. Stegeman. SARS-CoV-2 infection in farmed minks, the Netherlands,
April and May 2020. Euro Surveill. 25(23). doi: 10.2807/1560-
7917.ES.2020.25.23.2001005 (2020).

Acknowledgments: SARS-CoV-2 RNA extracts from infected human patients that were used as
positive controls in the real-time RT-PCR assays were kindly provided by Istituto Zooprofilattico
della Puglia e della Basilicata, Foggia, and by Istituto Zooprofilattico dell'Abruzzo e del Molise
"G. Caporale", Teramo, Italy. We are grateful to the vets that contributed to the sample
collection in the COVID-19 severely affected Italian regions. **Funding:** This work was
supported by grants of Fondazione CARIPLO - Misura a sostegno dello sviluppo di

collaborazioni per l'identificazione di terapie e sistemi di diagnostica, protezione e analisi per

contrastare l'emergenza Coronavirus e altre emergenze virali del future, project "Genetic

characterization of SARS-CoV2 and serological investigation in humans and pets to define cats

and dogs role in the COVID-19 pandemic (COVIDinPET)". EIP was supported by the Liverpool

School of Tropical Medicine Director's Catalyst Fund award. SLB and ADR were supported by

the DogsTrust. GLH was supported by the BBSRC (BB/T001240/1), the Royal Society Wolfson

Fellowship (RSWF\R1\180013), NIH grants (R21AI138074 and R21AI129507), UKRI (20197)

and the NIHR (NIHR2000907). GLH and TP are affiliated to the National Institute for Health

Research Health Protection Research Unit (NIHR HPRU) in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections

at University of Liverpool in partnership with Public Health England (PHE), in collaboration

with Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, the University of Oxford, and the University of

Liverpool. GLH is based at LSTM. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not

necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, the Department of Health or Public Health England.

Author contributions: EIP and ND designed the study and wrote the first draft of the

manuscript; SLS, ADR, TP, GTP and GLH edited the manuscript, GE, AG and SP contributed to

the study's design, CD, SLS, ERA, TP, EL, MSL, and GL performed experiments; AG, MM,

AS, SL, UB, VM, FSB, VRB, ADR, UA, GTP and GLH analyzed data. **Competing interests:**

Authors declare no competing interests.; and **Data and materials availability:** All data is

available in the main text or the supplementary materials.

Fig. 1. Distribution of dog and cat samples assayed for neutralizing antibody titer across Italy

and the region of Lombardy. Data on human COVID-19 cases from the Italian Department of

Civil Protection as of May 31, 2020 and population data from the Italian National Institute of

Statistics (ISTAT), January 2019.

Fig. 2. Correlation of percentage of seropositive animals per province and human COVID-19

infection density. Data points were taken from provinces with at least 10 samples. Spearman's

correlation was used to assess association.

Table 1. Seropositivity among dogs and cats, split into risk factor groupings where data was

available. For household and sex, p value determined by Fisher's exact test. Household COVID

+ defined as one or more members of a household with a confirmed positive COVID-19 test. All

the information was not available for all the animals.

	Dogs			Cats		
Risk factor	No. + (total)	%	*p*	No. + (total)	%	*p*
Household			0.004			>0.999
COVID+	6 (47)	12.8%		1 (22)	4.5%	
COVID-	2 (133)	1.5%		1 (38)	2.6%	
Sex			0.045			0.492
Male	7 (83)	8.4%		2 (31)	6.5%	
Female	2 (105)	1.9%		0 (30)	0.0%	
Age (years)			na			na
< 1	0 (20)	0.0%		0 (9)	0.0%	
1-3	5 (70)	7.1%		1 (22)	4.5%	
4-7	2 (83)	2.4%		1 (19)	5.3%	
8+	4 (137)	2.9%		2 (62)	3.2%	

Unknown	2 (78)	2.6%	2 (39)	5.1%

Materials and Methods

Samples

All animals were sampled by their private veterinary surgeon during a healthcare visit for other

reasons. A total of 915 dogs and 505 cats were sampled from different Italian regions, mostly

Lombardy (605 dogs, 386 cats). Animals were sampled either from regions severely affected by

COVID-19 outbreaks in humans or from those that offered convenient access to samples.

Oropharyngeal (303 dogs, 177 cats), nasal (183 dogs, 78 cats), and/or rectal (55 dogs, 30 cats)

swabs were collected from the sampled pets. For 340 dogs and 188 cats, full signalment and

clinical history were available, including breed, sex, age, exposure to COVID-19 infected

humans (COVID-19 positive household, suspected COVID-19 positive household but not

confirmed by specific assay, and COVID-19 negative household), presence of respiratory signs

(cough, sneezing, conjunctivitis, nasal and/or ocular discharge).

Sera were available for 188 dogs and 63 cats for which complete signalment, history and location

were available (Figure 1). Additional sera were collected from diagnostic laboratories for 200

dogs and 89 cats from the affected areas, but which lacked further historical information.

Sampling of animals for this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Department of

Veterinary Medicine, University of Bari, Italy (approval number 15/2020).

Polymerase chain reaction

Sample preparation and RNA extraction were carried out in the biosafety level 3 containment laboratory at the Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Bari, Italy. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA used two real-time RT-PCR assays targeting nucleoprotein and envelope protein genes as previously described *(11)*.

Plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT)

The SARS-CoV-2/human/Liverpool/REMRQ0001/2020 isolate was cultured in Vero E6 cells as previously described (12). PRNTs were performed as previously described (13). Briefly, sera were heat inactivated at 56°C for 1 hour and stored at -20°C until use. Dulbecco's minimal essential medium (DMEM) containing 2% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 0.05 mg/mL gentamicin was used for serial two-fold dilutions of serum. SARS-CoV-2 at 800 PFU/mL was added to an equal volume of diluted serum and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. The virus-serum dilution was inoculated onto Vero E6 cells, incubated at 37°C for 1 hour, and overlaid as in standard plaque assays. Cells were incubated for 48 hours at 37°C and 5% CO_2 then fixed with 10% formalin and stained with 0.05% crystal violet solution. $PRNT_{80}$ was determined by the highest dilution with 80% reduction in plaques compared to the control. Samples with detectable neutralizing antibody titer were repeated as technical replicates for confirmation.

Data analysis

Fisher's exact test was used to analyze differences in antibody detection from households with known COVID-19 infection status, and antibody detection from male and female animals. Spearman correlation was used to analyze the relationship between human COVID-19 case numbers and detection of antibodies in animals. All statistical analyses were performed in GraphPad Prism.

Human COVID-19
cases per 10,000
(as of 31 May 2020)

Animal

dog   cat

#   #

= number positive

Number of
Samples

1 to 10

11 to 50

51 to 100

> 100

Unknown Region

Italy

Valle d'Aosta
Lombardy
Trentino-Alto Adige
Friuli-Venezia Giulia

12
4
1

Veneto

Emilia-Romagna

Piedmont

Marche

Liguria

Umbria

Tuscany

Abruzzo

Molise

Lazio

Apulia

Sardinia

Campania

Basilicata

Calabria

Sicily

Region of Lombardy

Lecco

Monza and
Brianza

Sondrio

Varese

Bergamo

Como

Brescia

3   1

Milano

1   2

Mantua

Cremona

Pavia

Lodi