Flagellar perturbations activate adhesion through two distinct pathways in *Caulobacter crescentus*

David M. Hershey[2], Aretha Fiebig[1] and Sean Crosson[1] #

[1] Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI

48824, USA

[2] Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA

Running title: Flagellar signaling in *C. crescentus*

To whom correspondence should be addressed: crosson4@msu.edu; (517) 884-5345

Keywords: Adhesion, flagellum, motility, holdfast, biofilm

Abstract: Bacteria carry out sophisticated developmental programs to colonize exogenous surfaces. The rotary flagellum, a dynamic machine that drives motility, is a key regulator of surface colonization. The specific signals recognized by flagella and the pathways by which those signals are transduced to coordinate adhesion remain subjects of debate. Mutations that disrupt flagellar assembly in the dimorphic bacterium *Caulobacter crescentus* stimulate the production of a polysaccharide adhesin called the holdfast. Using a genome-wide phenotyping approach, we compared surface adhesion profiles in wild-type and flagellar mutant backgrounds of *C. crescentus*. We identified a diverse set of flagellar mutations that enhance adhesion by inducing a hyper-holdfast phenotype and discovered a second set of mutations that suppress this phenotype. Epistasis analysis of the *flagellar signaling suppressor* (*fss*) mutations demonstrated that the flagellum stimulates holdfast production via two genetically distinct pathways. The developmental regulator PleD contributes to holdfast induction in mutants disrupted at both early and late stages of flagellar assembly. Mutants disrupted at late stages of flagellar assembly, which retain an intact rotor complex, induce holdfast production through an additional process that requires the MotAB stator and its associated diguanylate cyclase, DgcB. We have assigned a subset of the *fss* genes to either the stator- or *pleD*-dependent networks and characterized two previously unidentified motility genes that contribute to the stator-dependent pathway. We propose a model in which the flagellum integrates both developmental and mechanical stimuli to coordinate adhesion.

Importance: Understanding how bacteria colonize solid surfaces is of significant clinical, industrial and ecological importance. In this study, we identified genes that are required for *Caulobacter crescentus* to activate surface attachment in response to signals from a macromolecular machine called the flagellum. Genes involved in transmitting information from the flagellum can be grouped into separate pathways, those that control the *C. crescentus* developmental program and those that

are required for flagellar rotation. Our results support a model in which a developmental and a mechanical signaling pathway operate in parallel downstream of the flagellum and converge to regulate adhesion. We conclude that the flagellum serves as a signaling hub by integrating internal and external cues to coordinate surface colonization and emphasize the role of signal integration in linking complex sets of environmental stimuli to individual behaviors.

Introduction: For microorganisms, solid surfaces serve as sites of nutrient accumulation, gateways into host tissues and shelters from environmental stresses (1–3). To access surface-associated niches, bacteria deploy specialized programs for seeking, recognizing and colonizing objects in their surroundings (4). These programs culminate in a pronounced transition away from a free-living, exploratory state and toward an adherent, sessile lifestyle (5–7). Sophisticated signaling networks that integrate a host of environmental cues are used to coordinate the motile-to-sessile switch (8–10). The complexity of these circuits reflects the perilous nature of committing to colonization programs under sub-optimal conditions.

A transenvelope machine called the flagellum drives cellular motility and plays a critical role at numerous stages of surface colonization(11, 12). The flagellum is synthesized in a stepwise process that is controlled by a transcriptional hierarchy(13). Assembly begins with the expression of class II genes that code for a rotor and secretion subcomplex that are inserted in the cytoplasmic membrane(14, 15). Upon completion of the class II program, assembly proceeds outward with the incorporation of an envelope spanning basal body (class III genes) followed by the secretion of an extracellular filament (class IV genes) (16, 17). Stator subcomplexes that surround the rotor utilize ion gradients across the cytoplasmic membrane to generate torque by turning the hook-basal body complex and its associated filament, propelling the cell forward (Fig 1B)(18, 19). Flagellar motors are highly attuned to environmental conditions. They support motility under diverse conditions,

modulate torque in response to changing loads and alter rotational bias to support complex

swimming patterns(20–23).

Paradoxically, flagellar motility must be repressed during sessile growth but is also required

for efficient surface colonization(6, 24–26). During the initial stages of attachment, swimming is

thought to promote productive interactions with target substrates by providing energy needed to

overcome repulsive forces at the liquid-solid interface(27). The flagellum is also thought to play an

additional regulatory role in activating the motile-to-sessile transition by recognizing physical

contact with solid substrates(11). Such tactile sensing events serve as critical cues for initiating

colonization programs, but the mechanistic basis for how bacteria sense and respond to physical

stimuli remains controversial.

The dimorphic bacterium *Caulobacter crescentus* is uniquely adapted to surface colonization.

Cell division in *C. crescentus* is asymmetric and yields to two distinct cell types(28). Newborn

swarmer cells are flagellated, produce type IV pili (T4P) and cannot initiate replication (29, 30).

These motile cells undergo a morphogenic transition to become replication-competent stalked cells

by replacing their flagellum and pili with a specialized envelope extension called the stalk(31).

During the swarmer-to-stalked transition, *C. crescentus* can produce a polysaccharide adhesin called

the holdfast that is displayed at the tip of the stalked cell where it promotes attachment to surfaces

(Fig 1A) (7, 32). Holdfast production is the primary determinant of surface colonization in *C.*

crescentus, and its regulation is elaborate (26, 33). In addition to cell cycle cues(7, 34), nutrient

availability(35), light(36) and redox status(37), mechanical contact has been implicated as an

important activator of holdfast assembly (38). Recent evidence suggests that both flagella and T4P

can stimulate holdfast production in response to contact with a surface(39, 40), but conflicting

models have emerged for how these transenvelope machines survey and disseminate mechanical

information(34, 41).

Here, we used an unbiased phenotyping approach called adhesion profiling to show that a

diverse set of flagellar mutations induce a hyper-holdfast phenotype in *C. crescentus* and to identify

dozens of *flagellar signaling suppressor* (*fss*) genes that contribute to holdfast stimulation

downstream of the flagellum. *fss* mutations suppress the hyper-adhesive effects of flagellar

disruption through two distinct pathways. Select regulators of cell-cycle progression are involved

in stimulating adhesion upon flagellar disruption, while components of the stator subcomplexes

contribute to holdfast stimulation specifically in mutants that can assemble an inner membrane

rotor. We assigned two previously uncharacterized genes roles in the stator-dependent pathway

and demonstrated that they contribute to force generation by the flagellar motor by promoting

robust swimming. Our results indicate that the flagellum serves as a signaling hub by integrating

mechanical and developmental cues to coordinate surface colonization programs.

Results:

A complex gene network links the flagellum to holdfast production

We previously described a method called adhesion profiling by which a barcoded transposon

library is sequentially passaged in the presence of a cellulose-based substrate. Adhesive mutants

become depleted as they colonize the substrate, enriching for mutants with attachment defects in

the surrounding broth. By monitoring the mutant population over time, we quantified each gene's

contribution to adhesion at the genome scale (33). This initial study identified a set of hyper-

adhesive mutants that included genes involved in flagellar assembly, which suggested that certain

genetic perturbations to the flagellum stimulate holdfast production by mimicking surface contact

(Fig 1C). We modified our genetic selection to identify a broader range of adhesion-activating

mutations by using a defined medium in which holdfast production is almost entirely repressed in

wild-type *C. crescentus*(35). Under these conditions, dozens of genes displayed adhesion profiles

indicative of hyper-adhesion (Fig 1D and Table S1). Though numerous functional categories were represented in this gene set, the overwhelming majority of hyper-adhesive phenotypes were observed in mutants with predicted disruptions to flagellar assembly, chemotaxis or other flagellar processes.

The gain-of-function phenotypes identified in flagellar mutants provided an attractive opportunity to dissect the link between the flagellum and adhesion. A mutant (Δ*flgH*) lacking the gene for the flagellar L ring protein(42) displayed a hyper-holdfast phenotype under our selection conditions(34)(Fig 1C). We therefore constructed a barcoded Tn-*Himar1* library in a Δ*flgH* background and performed a second adhesion profiling experiment in order to identify mutations that suppress the hyper-holdfast phenotype. As in wild type, genes required for holdfast synthesis (*hfs*) were the strongest determinants of adhesion in the Δ*flgH* mutant. However, we also identified a few dozen genes (called *fss* for flagellar signaling suppressor) that contribute to adhesion specifically in the Δ*flgH* background (Fig 1E, Table S2). While, many of the *fss* genes are uncharacterized, insertions in genes known to participate in flagellar rotation, chemotaxis, cell cycle control and other physiological processes had *fss* phenotypes as well. Both the abundance and functional diversity of the suppressors point to a complex signaling network that links adhesion to flagellar motility.

Distinct adhesion patterns in flagellar assembly mutants

Two of the *fss* genes, *motB* and *pleD*, are known to regulate holdfast production under specific conditions. *motB,* which codes for one of the flagellar stator proteins, is required for rapid holdfast synthesis after surface contact in microfluidic chambers(40). *pleD,* which codes for a diguanylate cyclase that regulates morphogenesis during the swarmer-to-stalked transition(43), contributes to increased holdfast production in a flagellar hook mutant background through a process

independent of surface contact(34). These previous studies have led to conflicting models for how

surface contact, flagellar assembly and filament rotation modulate holdfast production. Identifying

mutations in both *pleD* and *motB* as suppressors of Δ*flgH* suggested that we could clarify the

signaling pathway that links flagellar perturbations to holdfast production.

We measured crystal violet (CV) staining of surface attached cells to examine how disrupting

pleD and *motB* affects adhesion to polystyrene in various flagellar mutant backgrounds. Disrupting

the early stages of flagellar assembly by deleting the class II genes *fliF* or *fliM* led to a hyper-adhesive

phenotype that was strongly suppressed by deletion of *pleD* but that was not affected by deletion of

motB. In contrast, when holdfast production was stimulated by deletion of the class III gene *flgH* or

disruption of flagellin secretion (Δ*flmA*)(44), the hyper-adhesive phenotype was suppressed by

introducing either a *pleD* or a *motB* deletion (Fig 2A). Thus, flagellar mutants stimulate adhesion

through different mechanisms. Mutants that disrupt the early stages of assembly activate holdfast

production through *pleD*, while mutants in which assembly is stalled at later stages stimulate

adhesion through both *pleD* and *motB.*

Two pathways modulate holdfast production downstream of the flagellum

The distinct suppression patterns in *pleD* and *motB* mutants indicated that multiple pathways

function downstream of the flagellum to influence adhesion. Indeed, combining the Δ*pleD* and

Δ*motB* mutations reduced CV staining to near un-detectable levels in both the wild-type and Δ*flgH*

backgrounds, supporting the model that *pleD* and *motB* control attachment through distinct

mechanisms (Fig 2B). The severe adhesion defect observed in cultures of the Δ*pleD* Δ*motB* double

mutant demonstrates that the *pleD*- and *motB*-dependent pathways do not operate exclusively in

the context of flagellar mutants. Either the *pleD* or *motB* pathway must be intact for *C. crescentus* to

colonize surfaces.

We quantified holdfast production by staining cells from a representative panel of mutants

with fluorescently-labelled wheat germ agglutinin (fWGA). The proportion of cells displaying a

holdfast was elevated in both early (Δ*fliF*) and late (Δ*flgH*) flagellar assembly mutants, and the

suppression patterns seen by CV staining were recapitulated with fWGA staining. Holdfast

production was elevated to similar levels in Δ*fliF* and Δ*fliF* Δ*motB* but nearly eliminated in Δ*fliF*

Δ*pleD.* Introducing either the Δ*pleD* or the Δ*motB* mutations reduced holdfast production in a Δ*flgH*

background, and holdfast production was nearly undetectable in Δ*pleD* Δ*motB* and Δ*flgH* Δ*pleD*

Δ*motB* cultures (Fig 2C and Table S3). We did identify modest discrepancies between the holdfast

production and polystyrene colonization measurements. Although surface attachment was

indistinguishable from wild type in Δ*motB* cultures, holdfast production in this mutant was

elevated. This agrees with our previous finding that non-motile strains display holdfast-

independent surface colonization defects(33). Separately, CV staining was higher in Δ*flgH* cultures

than in Δ*fliF* cultures, but the proportion of holdfast producing cells was higher in the Δ*fliF* mutant.

Because both strains are non-motile, the discrepancy is likely due to modulation of additional

holdfast-independent colonization factors such as type IV pilus dynamics(33, 45).

Finally, we examined expression of the *holdfast inhibitor A* (*hfiA*) gene, a key regulator that

inhibits adhesion by targeting a glycosyltransferase in the holdfast biosynthesis pathway (Fig

2D)(35). Expression of *hfiA* is finely tuned by a complex hierarchy of transcription factors such that

small changes in expression have significant impacts on holdfast production (33, 35, 37). Increased

holdfast production in the Δ*flgH* and Δ*motB* backgrounds is accompanied by a decrease in P_{hifA}-*lacZ*

reporter activity, but holdfast production is stimulated in the Δ*fliF* mutant without a reduction in

hfiA transcription (Fig 2D and Table S3). Introducing either the Δ*motB* or Δ*pleD* mutations into the

Δ*flgH* background restored P_{hifA} expression to wild-type levels. These measurements show that *pleD*

is required for downregulation of *hfiA* in the Δ*flgH* background, but do not clarify the role of *motB.*

It remains unclear how the Δ*motB* mutation reduces *hfiA* promoter activity in the wild-type

background but rescues the reduced expression in a Δ*flgH* mutant. Finally, transcription from the

hfiA promoter was elevated in the Δ*pleD* Δ*motB*, the Δ*flgH* Δ*pleD* Δ*motB* and the Δ*fliF* Δ*pleD* mutants,

indicating that activation of *hfiA* expression contributes to the severe holdfast production defect in

these three strains.

Parsing regulatory networks with epistasis analysis

The distinct activation profiles observed in early and late flagellar assembly mutants were used to

assign *fss* genes to either the *pleD*- or *motB*-dependent signaling pathways. We predicted that *pleD*

and other genes involved in morphogenesis make up a "developmental" signaling pathway and that

genes associated with signaling through the stators make up a second, "mechanical" pathway. This

model predicts that developmental pathway mutants should block holdfast stimulation in both

early and late flagellar assembly mutant backgrounds, while mechanical pathway mutants should

suppress hyper-adhesion specifically in late assembly mutants. Two additional *fss* genes, *shkA* and

dgcB, were used to test these predictions. *shkA* encodes a histidine kinase that regulates stalk

development(46), and *dgcB* codes for a diguanylate cyclase that physically associates with stator

subcomplexes(40). Deleting *shkA* suppressed the hyper-adhesive effects of both the Δ*fliF* (early)

and the Δ*flgH* (late) mutations, while deleting *dgcB* suppressed adhesion in the Δ*flgH* background

but had no effect in the Δ*fliF* background (Fig 3A). These results provide further support for a model

in which both a developmental pathway associated with stalked cell morphogenesis and a

mechanical pathway associated with stator activity function downstream of the flagellum to

activate adhesion.

We also used epistasis to place two uncharacterized genes identified as Δ*flgH* suppressors

into the mechanical signaling pathway. *fssA* (*CC_1064*; *CCNA_01117*) encodes a protein with a

Sec/SP1 secretion signal and no predicted functional domains. *fssB* (*CC_2058*; *CCNA_02137*) encodes

a protein with a Sec/SP1 secretion signal and a predicted tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) domain.

Deleting either *fssA* or *fssB* had little effect on adhesion in the wild-type or Δ*fliF* backgrounds but

suppressed the hyper-adhesive phenotype in Δ*flgH* (Fig 3A), demonstrating that *fssA* and *fssB*

contribute to holdfast stimulation through the stator-dependent, mechanical pathway.

New motility factors contribute to mechanical activation

To understand how *fssA* and *fssB* contribute to mechanical activation of holdfast synthesis, we

examined the motility phenotypes of the Δ*fssA* and Δ*fssB* deletions. Both mutants were severely

impaired in their ability to spread through soft agar. We then examined flagellar filament assembly

by introducing a flagellin allele (*fljK^{T103C}*) that codes for an FljK protein with a cysteine residue that

can be stained with maleimide-conjugated dyes(34). Flagellar filaments were evident in Δ*fssA* and

Δ*fssB*, indicating that the soft-agar motility defects in these mutants do not result from disruptions

to flagellar assembly. Examination of individual cells in liquid broth revealed that Δ*fssB* cells were

non-motile, while Δ*fssA* cells retained the ability to swim (Fig 3C). Thus, the Δ*fssB* mutant displays

a paralyzed flagellum phenotype analogous to a Δ*motB* mutant, but the motility defect of Δ*fssA* is

specific to soft agar, which is predicted to exert higher load on the flagellum. We conclude that *fssA*

and *fssB* affect the ability of the flagellar motor to drive filament rotation.

Separate mechanisms for activating c-di-GMP production

Despite the fact that the developmental and mechanical pathways can be separated genetically, they

ultimately converge to modulate holdfast production. Each pathway includes a diguanylate cyclase

predicted to synthesize bis-(3'-5')-cyclic diguanosine monophosphate (c-di-GMP), a second

messenger that promotes surface-associated behaviors in bacteria(47). In *C. crescentus,* c-di-GMP

binds numerous downstream effectors to activate stalk assembly(48), cell cycle progression(49, 50)

and holdfast synthesis (40, 51). To test the role of c-di-GMP synthesis in linking cues from the

flagellum to holdfast production, we examined catalytically inactive alleles of *pleD* and *dgcB.* In

contrast to wild-type alleles, *pleD^{E370Q}*(52) and *dgcB^{E261Q}*(40) failed to restore hyper-adhesion in the

Δ*flgH* Δ*pleD* and Δ*flgH* Δ*dgcB* backgrounds, respectively, confirming that c-di-GMP synthesis is

required to support flagellar signaling through both the mechanical and developmental pathways

(Fig 4A).

We further examined mechanisms by which the diguanylate cyclase activities of PleD and

DgcB are activated during flagellar signaling. PleD contains a receiver domain at its N-terminus that

includes a canonical aspartyl phosphorylation site(52, 53). Introducing the non-phosphrylatable

pleD^{D53N} allele failed to restore hyper-adhesion in the Δ*flgH* Δ*pleD* background. We conclude that

phosphorylation of the PleD receiver domain is required for flagellar perturbations to stimulate

holdfast production through the developmental pathway (Fig 4A). Proton translocation by MotAB

stators is used to generate torque for flagellar filament rotation. Introducing a *motB* allele that

prevents proton flux(40, 54) does not support flagellar signaling in the Δ*flgH* background (Fig 4A).

We conclude that proton translocation through MotB is required for flagellar perturbations to

stimulate holdfast production through the mechanical pathway. The finding that active proton

translocation is required for mechanical stimulation provides a possible explanation for the

disparate suppression patterns we observed in early and late flagellar assembly mutants. We

predicted that the mechanical pathway is inactive in early flagellar mutants because stator subunits

cannot engage with the motor when rotor assembly is incomplete. Indeed, Δ*fliF* was epistatic to

Δ*flgH* with respect to suppression by Δ*pleD* and Δ*motB* (Fig 4B). Adhesion in the Δ*fliF* Δ*flgH* mutant

was eliminated when *pleD* was deleted but remained unchanged when the Δ*motB* mutation was

introduced, supporting the model that inner membrane rotor assembly is required for activation of

the mechanical pathway.

Discussion:

Many bacteria alter their behavior upon contact with exogenous surfaces, and flagellar motility is a

key regulatory determinant of these responses(38, 55–58). However, efforts to dissect contact-

dependent signaling pathways have been confounded by contributions from multiple

mechanosensors(57, 59), a reliance on non-canonical signaling machinery(9, 40) and the

prevalence of transcription-independent responses(7, 60). In this study, we leveraged the hyper-

adhesive phenotype induced upon mutation of flagellar assembly genes to dissect the genetic basis

for adhesion control by the flagellum in *C. crescentus*. We used a high-throughput phenotyping

approach to identify mutations that stimulate adhesion and to classify a large group of genes called

flagellar signaling suppressors (*fss*) that contribute to increased holdfast production when flagellar

assembly is disrupted. The results have clarified important features of how the *C. crescentus*

flagellum regulates adhesion and provided a framework for disentangling signaling networks that

control bacterial behavior.

Two genes identified in the *fss* screen, *pleD* and *motB,* have been previously shown to link

flagellar function to adhesion, but conflicting models were proposed for how these genes regulate

holdfast production(34, 40). We found that *pleD* and *motB* participate in genetically distinct

pathways for activating adhesion. *pleD* and its downstream effector *shkA* contribute to increased

holdfast production when any stage of flagellar assembly is disrupted. *motB,* the gene for its

associated diguanylate cyclase, *dgcB*, and two previously uncharacterized motility genes contribute

to adhesion specifically in late flagellar mutants that retain the ability to assemble inner membrane

rotors. We conclude that a mechanical pathway and a developmental pathway operate in parallel to

link flagellar function to holdfast production.

Strains harboring deletions (Δ*motB,* Δ*fssA,* or Δ*fssB*) or mutant alleles (*motB*[D33N]) that disrupt

motility without affecting filament assembly cannot support activation of the mechanical pathway

(Figs 2A, 3A and 4A). Additionally, early flagellar assembly mutants display epistatic effects on late

assembly mutants by eliminating *motB*'s involvement in stimulating adhesion (Fig 4B). These

results suggest that blocking inner membrane rotor (MS- and C-ring) assembly subverts the

mechanical pathway by preventing stator engagement and help to explain the range of behavioral

effects often observed in flagellar assembly mutants(5, 40, 61). We conclude that intact motors

capable of generating torque are required for mechanical activation of the *C. cresecentus* flagellum.

Increased load on rotating filaments leads to the recruitment of additional stators to the motor in

enteric bacteria(62, 63), and a similar resistance sensing mechanism likely supports tactile sensing

in *C. crescentus*. Mutants in mechanical pathway genes such as *fssA* and *fssB* should prove useful in

describing the structural basis for how such changes in load are sensed by the flagellar motor.

Key features of the mechanical pathway mirror the tactile sensing event described by Hug

and colleagues(40), but certain conclusions must be reevaluated in light of our results. Both early

and late flagellar mutants display increased holdfast production in liquid medium (Fig 2C), arguing

against a hyper-sensitive surface response in mutants lacking outer parts of the flagellum. Instead,

tactile sensing pathways appear to be activated ectopically in late flagellar mutants (Fig 2).

Preventing stators from productively engaging with the rotor, either by disrupting stator-associated

proteins or by mutating early flagellar genes that code for rotor components, blocks mechanical

signaling in late assembly mutants (Fig 4B). This explains the perplexing epistasis of inner parts of

the flagellum over outer parts(64) and supports established models for tactile sensing through

increased load on the filament(55) rather than the motor acting as tetherless sensor(40). More

broadly, the distinct phenotypes identified here for stator, rotor and hook-basal body mutants

support an emerging pattern seen in other organisms and likely represent a conserved feature of

bacterial flagella(65, 66).

The identification of a second, developmental pathway downstream of the flagellum is

consistent with previous studies showing that late flagellar assembly mutants display contact-

independent increases in holdfast production(33, 34). In fact, disrupting flagellar assembly at any

stage stimulates adhesion (Fig 2A), and our analysis of this process highlights an overlooked role

for the flagellum in controlling the *C. crescentus* developmental program. We explicitly

characterized *pleD* and *shkA*, but other developmental regulators identified in the *fss* screen (*shpA*,

tacA(46), *spmX*(67), *spmY*(68), *zitP, cpaM*(69), *sciP*(70), *rpoN*(71)) likely also act downstream of

both early and late flagellar mutants to stimulate adhesion. Most of these genes themselves

influence flagellar assembly. For instance, *pleD* promotes flagellar disassembly by stimulating

proteolytic turnover of the MS-ring protein FliF(72), but our results indicate that PleD is also

stimulated through phosphorylation at D53 when flagellar assembly is disrupted (Fig 4A). Thus, cell

cycle regulators that control the timing of flagellar assembly also act downstream of the flagellum

in regulating holdfast production. This duality raises the intriguing possibility that specific

environmental cues activate flagellar disassembly as part of a positive feedback loop that reinforces

the commitment to differentiate into a stalked cell.

The developmental and mechanical pathways we identified each require a distinct

diguanylate cyclase, supporting a model in which flagellar signaling converges to stimulate

adhesion by modulating c-di-GMP levels (Fig 4C). Signaling through the mechanical pathway

requires stator subunits that can productively engage with the rotor, and we propose that increased

load on the flagellar filament induces conformational changes in the motor that activate the stator-

associated diguanylate cyclase DgcB. This mechanism differs from contact-dependent activation of

c-di-GMP synthesis by SadC in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which requires disengagement of the

MotCD stator(73). *P. aeruginosa* uses a MotAB stator system for swimming in liquid and a second,

MotCD stator for swarming on surfaces(74). Thus, the signaling competency of engaged stators in

C. crescentus could be an intrinsic feature of single-stator systems. Separately, c-di-GMP synthesis

by PleD is part of a multi-tiered system controlling the master cell-cycle regulator CtrA in *C.*

crescentus(48, 75), and our results suggest that the status of flagellar assembly feeds into this

developmental program by regulating PleD phosphorylation (Fig 4A). Whether the flagellum

controls PleD phosphorylation through the DivJ-PleC kinase-phosphatase pair(52) or through a

separate phosphorelay will require additional dissection of how *fss* genes link flagellar assembly to

cell cycle progression.

Using an unbiased screen to identify flagellar signaling genes has allowed us to propose a

unified model for the mechanism by which the flagellum regulates holdfast production in *C.*

crescentus. Intact flagellar motors respond to assembly defects in their associated filaments (Figs

2A and 4B), but perturbing the flagellum also influences the timing of holdfast production by

altering cell cycle signaling (34)(Figs 2A and 4A). Candidate approaches specifically targeting

developmental or tactile sensing phenomena have not accounted for presence of multiple pathways

downstream of the flagellum. Though two pathways can be distinguished genetically in flagellar

mutants, overlap between developmental, mechanical and other signaling pathways during actual

surface encounters has likely confounded interpretations of how the flagellum regulates holdfast

production. More broadly, our results underscore how bacterial behavior is not controlled by single,

linear signaling pathways. Flagellar cues represent only a subset of the stimuli known to influence

adhesion. Nutrient availability, redox homeostasis, chemotaxis and T4P dynamics all influence

whether *C. crescentus* produces a holdfast. Applying classical genetic principles in combination with

unbiased phenotyping approaches will continue to illuminate how the flagellar motility and other

behavioral process are integrated into broader developmental networks.

Materials and Methods:

Bacterial growth and genetic manipulation

Strains and plasmids used in the study are listed in Tables S4 and S5. Standard polymerase chain

reaction (PCR) and Gibson assembly(76) methods were used for developing plasmid constructs.

Strains, plasmids, primer sequences and details of construction are available upon request. *E. coli*

cultures were grown in LB medium at 37°C supplemented with 1.5% (w/v) agar and 50 µg/mL

kanamycin when necessary. Unless otherwise noted, *C. crescentus* cultures were grown at 30°C in

PYE medium supplemented with 1.5% (w/v) agar, 3% (w/v) sucrose and 25 µg/mL kanamycin

when necessary or in M2 defined medium supplemented with 0.15% (w/v) xylose (77). Plasmids

were introduced into *C. crescentus* by electroporation. Unmarked deletions were constructed using

sacB-based counterselection in sucrose.

Genetic complementation of mutants

Mutants were complemented by genomic integration of the appropriate gene as a single copy at a

neutral site (*xylX*) and under the gene's native promoter. Specifically, predicted open reading frames

were fused to their predicted promoter sequences and inserted into the NdeI/SacI site of pMT585

(pXGFPC-2)(78). Each promoter-gene cassette was inserted in reverse orientation to allow for

transcription in the opposite direction relative to the *xylX* promoter upstream of the cloning site.

Surface attachment and motility assays used to evaluate complementation are described below

(Figs S1 and S2).

Tn-Himar mutant library construction and mapping

Construction and mapping of the two barcoded transposon libraries was performed based on the

procedure developed by Wetmore et al. as described previously(33, 79). Cells from 25 mL cultures

of the APA_752 barcoded transposon pool that had been grown to mid-log phase in LB

supplemented with kanamycin and 300 μM diaminopimelic acid (DAP) and 25mL of either the *C.*

crescentus CB15 wild-type or Δ*flgH* strain that had been grown to mid-log phase in PYE were

collected by centrifugation, washed twice with PYE containing 300 μM DAP, mixed and spotted

together on a PYE agar plate containing 300 μM DAP. After incubating the plate overnight at room

temperature, the cells were scraped from the plate, resuspended in PYE medium, spread onto 20,

150mm PYE agar plates containing kanamycin and incubated at 30°C for three days. Colonies from

each plate were scraped into PYE medium and used to inoculate a 25mL PYE culture containing 5

μg/mL kanamycin. The culture was grown for three doublings, glycerol was added to 20%, and 1

mL aliquots were frozen at -80°C.

Library mapping was performed as described (79). Briefly, genomic DNA was isolated from

three 1mL aliquots of each library. The DNA was sheared and ~300bp fragments were selected

before end repair. A Y-adapter (Mod2_TS_Univ, Mod2_TruSeq) was ligated and used as a template

for transposon junction amplification with the primers Nspacer_BarSeq_pHIMAR and either

P7_mod_TS_index1 or P7_mod_TS_index2. 150-bp single end reads were collected on an Illumina

HiSeq 2500 in rapid run mode, and the genomic insertion positions were mapped and correlated to

a unique barcode using BLAT(80) and MapTnSeq.pl to generate a mapping file with

DesignRandomPool.pl. All code is available at https://bitbucket.org/berkeleylab/feba/. Features of

the barcoded transposon libraries can be found in Table S6.

Adhesion profiling of barcoded Tn-Himar mutant libraries

Adhesion profiling was performed as in Hershey et al. (33) with slight modifications. Cells from 1mL

aliquots of each barcoded transposon library were collected by centrifugation, resuspended in 1mL

of M2X medium, and 300 μL was inoculated into a well of a 12-well microtiter plate containing

1.5mL M2X medium with 6-8 ~1 x 1 cm layers of cheesecloth. Microtiter plates containing selections

were grown for 24hr at 30°C with shaking at 155rpm after which 150μL of the culture was passaged

by inoculating into a well with 1.65mL fresh M2X containing cheesecloth. Cells from an additional

500μL of depleted medium were harvested by centrifugation and stored at -20°C for BarSeq

analysis. Each passaging experiment was performed in triplicate, and passaging was performed

sequentially for a total of five rounds of selection. Identical cultures grown in a plate without

cheesecloth were used as a nonselective reference condition.

Cell pellets were used as PCR templates to amplify the barcodes in each sample using indexed

primers(79). Amplified products were purified and pooled for multiplexed sequencing. 50bp single

end reads were collected on an Illumina HiSeq4000. MultiCodes.pl, combineBarSeq.pl and FEBA.R

were used to determine fitness by comparing the $\log_2$ ratios of barcode counts in each sample over

the counts from a nonselective growth in M2X without cheesecloth. To evaluate mutant phenotypes

in each screen, the replicates were used to calculate a mean fitness score for each gene after each

passage. Mean fitness was summed across passages for each gene and ranked by either the lowest

(WT background) or highest (Δ*flgH* background) summed fitness score.

Surface attachment measurement by crystal violet (CV) staining

Overnight *C. crescentus* cultures grown in PYE were diluted to an OD_{660} of 0.5 with PYE, and 1.5 μL

from each diluted starter culture was inoculated into the wells of a 48-well microtiter plate

containing 450 μL M2X medium. The number of replicates for each experiment ranged from 5-8 and

is indicated in the relevant figure legend. Plates were grown at 30°C with shaking at 155rpm for 17

hours after which the cultures were discarded, and the wells were washed thoroughly under a

stream of tap water. Attached cells were stained by adding 500 μL of an aqueous solution containing

0.01% (w/v) crystal violet to each well and shaking the plates for 5 min. Excess dye was discarded,

the wells were again washed under a stream of tap water and the remaining dye was dissolved by

adding 500 μL of ethanol to each well. Staining was quantified by reading absorbance at 575nm

using a Tecan Spark microplate reader. Each reading was corrected by subtracting the absorbance

value for an uninoculated medium blank, the mean of the biological replicates for each strain was

calculated and normalized to the mean value measured for the wild type background. The collection

of strains shown in each figure was assayed together on at least four independent days and a

representative dataset is shown.

Holdfast staining with fluorescent wheat germ agglutinin (fWGA)

2mL of M2X medium was inoculated to achieve a starting OD_{660} of 0.001 using saturated starter

cultures grown in PYE. After growing to an OD_{660} of 0.07-0.1, 400 μL of each culture was added to a

fresh 1.5mL ependorf tube containing 1 μL of a 2mg/mL solution of WGA conjugated with Alexa594.

After a 5min incubation at room temperature in the dark, cells were harvested at 6k x g, washed

with distilled water, and resuspended in the residual liquid after centrifugation. 1 μL was spotted

onto a glass slide and a cover slip was placed on top. Imaging was performed with a Leica DM5000

microscope equipped with an HCX PL APO63X/1.4-numerical-aperture Ph3 objective. A red

fluorescent protein filter (Chroma set 41043) was used to visualize WGA foci. Cells with a holdfast

were counted manually on five separate days. A minimum of 95 cells were counted for each

biological replicate.

Soft-agar motility assay

Overnight cultures grown in PYE were diluted to OD_{660} = 0.5, and 1.5 µL was pipetted into a PYE

plate containing 0.3% agar. Plates were sealed with parafilm, incubated for 72 hours at 30°C and

photographed.

Flagellar filament staining

2mL of PYE medium was inoculated to a starting OD_{660} = 0.05 using saturated overnight starter

cultures and grown at 30°C to mid-log phase (OD_{660} = 0.3 – 0.4). 500 µL of each culture was mixed

with 0.5 µL of a 2mg/mL solution of Alexa488-maleimide in DMSO and incubated for 10min in the

dark. Cells were harvested by centrifuging at 6k x g for 1.5 min, washed with 500 µL PYE, re-

centrifuged and suspended in 500 µL PYE. 1 µL of the stained cell suspension was spotted on a pad

of PYE solidified with 1% agarose. Imaging was performed as above but with the use of green

fluorescent protein filter (Chroma set 41017) for flagellin visualization. Note that Alexa-488

maleimide cross-reacts with the holdfast.

Microscopic analysis of swimming behavior

2mL of PYE was inoculated to OD_{660} = 0.1 with saturated overnight starters and grown at 30°C to

OD_{660} = 0.4 – 0.5. A 2.69% (w/v) solution of 2.0 µm polystyrene spacer beads (Polysciences) was

diluted 1000-fold in 1mL PYE. Equal volumes of liquid culture and diluted spacer beads were mixed

and spotted on a slide. Dark field images were collected at 100ms intervals for 30s using a Leica 40X

PH2 objective. Maximum projections from each time series are presented.

β-galactosidase assay

Strains carrying a P*hfiA*-*lacZ* transcriptional reporter (35) were inoculated from colonies on PYE-

agar plates into 2 ml M2X medium and grown shaking at 200 RPM overnight at 30°C. Overnight

cultures were diluted in 2 ml of fresh M2X to an OD_{660} of 0.05 and grown for approximately 6 hours

to early exponential phase. These cultures were then diluted again into 2 ml fresh M2X to an OD_{660} of

0.001 and grown for 17 hours to a final OD660nm of 0.1. β -galactosidase activity was then was

measured colorimetrically as previously described(35). Briefly, 0.15 ml of cells were permeabilized

by vortexing with 50 ul of chloroform and 50 ul of PYE broth as an emulsifier. 600 ul of Z-buffer (60

mM Na_2HPO_4, 40 mM NaH_2PO_4, 10 mM KCl, 1 mM $MgSO_4$) was added, followed by 200 ul ONPG in

0.1 M KPO_4. After 5 minutes at room temperature, reactions were quenched with 1 ml of 1 M Na_2CO_3

and absorbance at 420nm was used to calculate β -galactosidase activity.

Data availability

Sequence data have been deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) with the following

project accessions. For wild-type *C. crescentus,* PRJNA640825 contains the sequence data used to

map the barcoded TnHimar library, and PRJNA640525 contains barcoded amplicon sequences

collected after passaging in cheesecloth. For the Δ*flgH* mutant, PRJNA640725 contains the

sequencing data used to map the barcoded TnHimar library, and PRJNA641033 contains barcoded

amplicon sequences collected after passaging in cheesecloth.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Crosson laboratory, Howard Shuman and Phoebe Rice for helpful

discussions and insight. This work was supported by NIH grant R01GM087353 to S.C. D.M.H. is

supported by the Helen Hay Whitney Foundation.

References

1.   Zobell CE. 1943. The Effect of Solid Surfaces upon Bacterial Activity. J Bacteriol 46:39–56.

2. Donlan RM. 2002. Biofilms: microbial life on surfaces. Emerg Infect Dis 8:881–890.

3. Chavez-Dozal A, Gorman C, Erken M, Steinberg PD, McDougald D, Nishiguchi MK. 2013.
Predation Response of *Vibrio fischeri* Biofilms to Bacterivorus Protists. Appl Environ
Microbiol 79:553–558.

4. Berne C, Ellison CK, Ducret A, Brun YV. 2018. Bacterial adhesion at the single-cell level. Nat Rev
Microbiol 16:616–627.

5. McCarter L, Hilmen M, Silverman M. 1988. Flagellar dynamometer controls swarmer cell
differentiation of *V. parahaemolyticus*. Cell 54:345–351.

6. Watnick PI, Kolter R. 1999. Steps in the development of a *Vibrio cholerae* El Tor biofilm. Mol
Microbiol 34:586–595.

7. Levi A, Jenal U. 2006. Holdfast Formation in Motile Swarmer Cells Optimizes Surface
Attachment during *Caulobacter crescentus* Development. J Bacteriol 188:5315–5318.

8. Mueller RS, McDougald D, Cusumano D, Sodhi N, Kjelleberg S, Azam F, Bartlett DH. 2007. *Vibrio
cholerae* Strains Possess Multiple Strategies for Abiotic and Biotic Surface Colonization. J
Bacteriol 189:5348–5360.

9. Petrova OE, Sauer K. 2011. SagS Contributes to the Motile-Sessile Switch and Acts in Concert
with BfiSR To Enable *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Biofilm Formation. J Bacteriol 193:6614–
6628.

10. Collier J. 2016. Cell cycle control in Alphaproteobacteria. Curr Opin Microbiol 30:107–113.

11. Haiko J, Westerlund-Wikström B. 2013. The Role of the Bacterial Flagellum in Adhesion and

Virulence. Biology 2:1242–1267.

12. Belas R. 2014. Biofilms, flagella, and mechanosensing of surfaces by bacteria. Trends Microbiol

22:517–527.

13. Aldridge P, Hughes KT. 2002. Regulation of flagellar assembly. Curr Opin Microbiol 5:160–165.

14. Ramakrishnan G, Zhao JL, Newton A. 1994. Multiple structural proteins are required for both

transcriptional activation and negative autoregulation of *Caulobacter crescentus* flagellar

genes. J Bacteriol 176:7587–7600.

15. Stephens C, Mohr C, Boyd C, Maddock J, Gober J, Shapiro L. 1997. Identification of the *fliI* and

fliJ components of the *Caulobacter flagellar* type III protein secretion system. J Bacteriol

179:5355–5365.

16. Ohta N, Chen L-S, Swanson E, Newton A. 1985. Transcriptional regulation of a periodically

controlled flagellar gene operon in *Caulobacter crescentus*. J Mol Biol 186:107–115.

17. Jenal U. 2000. Signal transduction mechanisms in *Caulobacter crescentus* development and cell

cycle control. FEMS Microbiol Rev 24:177–191.

18. Khan S, Dapice M, Reese TS. 1988. Effects of *mot* gene expression on the structure of the

flagellar motor. J Mol Biol 202:575–584.

19. Blair DF, Berg HC. 1990. The MotA protein of *E. coli* is a proton-conducting component of the

flagellar motor. Cell 60:439–449.

20. Henrichsen J. 1972. Bacterial surface translocation: a survey and a classification. Bacteriol Rev
36:478–503.

21. Schneider WR, Doetsch RN. 1974. Effect of Viscosity on Bacterial Motility. J Bacteriol 117:696–
701.

22. Berg HC, Tedesco PM. 1975. Transient response to chemotactic stimuli in *Escherichia coli*. Proc
Natl Acad Sci USA 72:3235–3239.

23. Wadhwa N, Phillips R, Berg HC. 2019. Torque-dependent remodeling of the bacterial flagellar
motor. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 201904577.

24. Pratt LA, Kolter R. 1998. Genetic analysis of *Escherichia coli* biofilm formation: roles of flagella,
motility, chemotaxis and type I pili. Mol Microbiol 30:285–293.

25. O'Toole GA, Kolter R. 1998. Flagellar and twitching motility are necessary for *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa biofilm development. Mol Microbiol 30:295–304.

26. Bodenmiller D, Toh E, Brun YV. 2004. Development of surface adhesion in *Caulobacter*
crescentus. J Bacteriol 186:1438–1447.

27. Lauga E. 2016. Bacterial Hydrodynamics. Annu Rev Fluid Mech 48:105–130.

28. Shapiro L, Agabian-Keshishian N, Bendis I. 1971. Bacterial differentiation. Science 173:884–
892.

29. Poindexter JS. 1964. Biological properties and classification of the *Caulobacter* group. Bacteriol
Rev 28:231–295.

30. Degnen ST, Newton A. 1972. Chromosome replication during development in *Caulobacter*

crescentus. J Mol Biol 64:671–680.

31. Schmidt JM, Stanier RY. 1966. THE DEVELOPMENT OF CELLULAR STALKS IN BACTERIA. J Cell

Biol 28:423–436.

32. Poindexter JS. 1981. The caulobacters: ubiquitous unusual bacteria. Microbiol Rev 45:123–

179.

33. Hershey DM, Fiebig A, Crosson S. 2019. A Genome-Wide Analysis of Adhesion in *Caulobacter*

crescentus Identifies New Regulatory and Biosynthetic Components for Holdfast Assembly.

mBio 10:a000414-18.

34. Berne C, Ellison CK, Agarwal R, Severin GB, Fiebig A, Morton III RI, Waters CM, Brun YV. 2018.

Feedback regulation of *Caulobacter crescentus* holdfast synthesis by flagellum assembly via

the holdfast inhibitor HfiA. Mol Microbiol 9:e1003744-20.

35. Fiebig A, Herrou J, Fumeaux C, Radhakrishnan SK, Viollier PH, Crosson S. 2014. A Cell Cycle and

Nutritional Checkpoint Controlling Bacterial Surface Adhesion. PLoS Genet 10:e1004101-14.

36. Purcell EB, Siegal-Gaskins D, Rawling DC, Fiebig A, Crosson S. 2007. A photosensory two-

component system regulates bacterial cell attachment. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:18241–

18246.

37. Reyes Ruiz LM, Fiebig A, Crosson S. 2019. Regulation of bacterial surface attachment by a

network of sensory transduction proteins. PLoS Genet 15:e1008022.

38. Li G, Brown PJB, Tang JX, Xu J, Quardokus EM, Fuqua C, Brun YV. 2011. Surface contact

stimulates the just-in-time deployment of bacterial adhesins. Mol Microbiol 83:41–51.

39. Ellison CK, Kan J, Dillard RS, Kysela DT, Ducret A, Berne C, Hampton CM, Ke Z, Wright ER, Biais

N, Dalia AB, Brun YV. 2017. Obstruction of pilus retraction stimulates bacterial surface

sensing. Science 358:535–538.

40. Hug I, Deshpande S, Sprecher KS, Pfohl T, Jenal U. 2017. Second messenger–mediated tactile

response by a bacterial rotary motor. Science 358:531–534.

41. Sangermani M, Hug I, Sauter N, Pfohl T, Jenal U. 2019. Tad Pili Play a Dynamic Role in

Caulobacter crescentus Surface Colonization. mBio 10:740–18.

42. Dingwall A, Gober JW, Shapiro L. 1990. Identification of a *Caulobacter* basal body structural

gene and a cis-acting site required for activation of transcription. J Bacteriol 172:6066–6076.

43. Paul R, Weiser S, Amiot NC, Chan C, Schirmer T, Giese B, Jenal U. 2004. Cell cycle-dependent

dynamic localization of a bacterial response regulator with a novel di-guanylate cyclase

output domain. Genes Dev 18:715–727.

44. Leclerc G, Wang SP, Ely B. 1998. A new class of *Caulobacter crescentus* flagellar genes. J

Bacteriol 180:5010–5019.

45. Ellison CK, Rusch DB, Brun YV. 2019. Flagellar Mutants Have Reduced Pilus Synthesis in

Caulobacter crescentus. J Bacteriol 201:e00031-19.

46. Biondi EG, Skerker JM, Arif M, Prasol MS, Perchuk BS, Laub MT. 2006. A phosphorelay system

controls stalk biogenesis during cell cycle progression in *Caulobacter crescentus*. Mol

Microbiol 59:386–401.

47. Wolfe AJ, Visick KL. 2008. Get the Message Out: Cyclic-Di-GMP Regulates Multiple Levels of

Flagellum-Based Motility. J Bacteriol 190:463–475.

48. Kaczmarczyk A, Hempel AM, Arx C, Böhm R, Dubey BN, Nesper J, Schirmer T, Hiller S, Jenal U.

2020. Precise timing of transcription by c-di-GMP coordinates cell cycle and morphogenesis

in Caulobacter. Nature Communications 1–16.

49. Duerig A, Abel S, Folcher M, Nicollier M, Schwede T, Amiot N, Giese B, Jenal U. 2009. Second

messenger-mediated spatiotemporal control of protein degradation regulates bacterial cell

cycle progression. Genes Dev 23:93–104.

50. Lori C, Ozaki S, Steiner S, Böhm R, Abel S, Dubey BN, Schirmer T, Hiller S, Jenal U. 2015. Cyclic

di-GMP acts as a cell cycle oscillator to drive chromosome replication. Nature 523:236–239.

51. Sprecher KS, Hug I, Nesper J, Potthoff E, Mahi M-A, Sangermani M, Kaever V, Schwede T,

Vorholt J, Jenal U. 2017. Cohesive Properties of the *Caulobacter crescentus* Holdfast Adhesin

Are Regulated by a Novel c-di-GMP Effector Protein. mBio 8:e00294-17–15.

52. Aldridge P, Paul R, Goymer P, Rainey P, Jenal U. 2003. Role of the GGDEF regulator PleD in

polar development of *Caulobacter crescentus*. Mol Microbiol 47:1695–1708.

53. Hecht GB, Newton A. 1995. Identification of a novel response regulator required for the

swarmer-to-stalked-cell transition in *Caulobacter crescentus*. J Bacteriol 177:6223–6229.

54. Zhou J, Sharp LL, Tang HL, Lloyd SA, Billings S, Braun TF, Blair DF. 1998. Function of

Protonatable Residues in the Flagellar Motor of *Escherichia coli*: a Critical Role for Asp 32 of

MotB. J Bacteriol 180:2729–2735.

55. Alberti L, Harshey RM. 1990. Differentiation of *Serratia marcescens* 274 into swimmer and

swarmer cells. J Bacteriol 172:4322–4328.

56. Kawagishi I, Imagawa M, Imae Y, McCarter L, Homma M. 1996. The sodium-driven polar

flagellar motor of marine *Vibrio* as the mechanosensor that regulates lateral flagellar

expression. Mol Microbiol 20:693–699.

57. Laventie B-J, Sangermani M, Estermann F, Manfredi P, Planes R, Hug I, Jaeger T, Meunier E,

Broz P, Jenal U. 2019. A Surface-Induced Asymmetric Program Promotes Tissue Colonization

by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Cell Host Microbe 25:140-152.e6.

58. Laganenka L, López ME, Colin R, Sourjik V. 2020. Flagellum-Mediated Mechanosensing and

RflP Control Motility State of Pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. mBio 11:e02269-19.

59. Güvener ZT, Harwood CS. 2007. Subcellular location characteristics of the *Pseudomonas*

aeruginosa GGDEF protein, WspR, indicate that it produces cyclic-di-GMP in response to

growth on surfaces. Mol Microbiol 66:1459–73.

60. Luo Y, Zhao K, Baker AE, Kuchma SL, Coggan KA, Wolfgang MC, Wong GCL, O'Toole GA. 2015. A

Hierarchical Cascade of Second Messengers Regulates *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Surface

Behaviors. mBio 6:73–11.

61. Belas R, Suvanasuthi R. 2005. The ability of *Proteus mirabilis* to sense surfaces and regulate

virulence gene expression involves FliL, a flagellar basal body protein. J Bacteriol 187:6789–

6803.

62. Tipping MJ, Delalez NJ, Lim R, Berry RM, Armitage JP. 2013. Load-dependent assembly of the

bacterial flagellar motor. mBio 4:1–6.

63. Partridge JD, Nieto V, Harshey RM. 2015. A New Player at the Flagellar Motor: FliL Controls

both Motor Output and Bias. mBio 6:77–11.

64. Hughes KT, Berg HC. 2017. The bacterium has landed. Science 358:446–447.

65. Wu DC, Zamorano-Sánchez D, Pagliai FA, Park JH, Floyd KA, Lee CK, Kitts G, Rose CB, Bilotta

EM, Wong GCL, Yildiz FH. 2020. Reciprocal c-di-GMP signaling: Incomplete flagellum

biogenesis triggers c-di-GMP signaling pathways that promote biofilm formation. PLoS Genet

16:e1008703.

66. Harrison JJ, Almblad H, Irie Y, Wolter DJ, Eggleston HC, Randall TE, Kitzman JO, Stackhouse B,

Emerson JC, Mcnamara S, Larsen TJ, Shendure J, Hoffman LR, Wozniak DJ, Parsek MR. 2020.

Elevated exopolysaccharide levels in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* flagellar mutants have

implications for biofilm growth and chronic infections. PLoS Genet 16:e1008848.

67. Radhakrishnan SK, Thanbichler M, Viollier PH. 2008. The dynamic interplay between a cell fate

determinant and a lysozyme homolog drives the asymmetric division cycle of *Caulobacter*

crescentus. Genes Dev 22:212–225.

68. Janakiraman B, Mignolet J, Narayanan S, Viollier PH, Radhakrishnan SK. 2016. In-phase

oscillation of global regulons is orchestrated by a pole-specific organizer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U

S A 113:12550–12555.

69. Mignolet J, Holden S, Bergé M, Panis G, Eroglu E, Théraulaz L, Manley S, Viollier PH. 2016.

Functional dichotomy and distinct nanoscale assemblies of a cell cycle-controlled bipolar

zinc-finger regulator. eLife 5:e20640-21.

70. Tan MH, Kozdon JB, Shen X, Shapiro L, McAdams HH. 2010. An essential transcription factor,

SciP, enhances robustness of *Caulobacter* cell cycle regulation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A

107:18985–18990.

71. Anderson DK, Ohta N, Wu J, Newton A. 1995. Regulation of the *Caulobacter crescentus rpoN*

gene and function of the purified σ54 in flagellar gene transcription. Mol Gen Genet 246:697–

706.

72. Aldridge P, Jenal U. 1999. Cell cycle-dependent degradation of a flagellar motor component

requires a novel-type response regulator. Mol Microbiol 32:379–391.

73. Baker AE, Webster SS, Diepold A, Kuchma SL, Bordeleau E, Armitage JP, O'Toole GA. 2019.

Flagellar Stators Stimulate c-di-GMP Production by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol

201:263–13.

74. Toutain CM, Zegans ME, O'Toole GA. 2005. Evidence for Two Flagellar Stators and Their Role

in the Motility of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Bacteriol 187:771–777.

75. Quon KC, Marczynski GT, Shapiro L. 1996. Cell Cycle Control by an Essential Bacterial Two-

Component Signal Transduction Protein. Cell 84:83–93.

76. Gibson DG, Young L, Chuang R-Y, Venter JC, Hutchison CA, Smith HO. 2009. Enzymatic

assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. Nat Methods 6:343–345.

77. Ely B. 1991. Genetics of Caulobacter crescentus. Meth Enzymol 204:372–384.

78. Thanbichler M, Iniesta AA, Shapiro L. 2007. A comprehensive set of plasmids for vanillate- and

xylose-inducible gene expression in *Caulobacter crescentus*. Nucleic Acids Res 35:e137–e137.

79. Wetmore KM, Price MN, Waters RJ, Lamson JS, He J, Hoover CA, Blow MJ, Bristow J, Butland G,

Arkin AP, Deutschbauer A. 2015. Rapid Quantification of Mutant Fitness in Diverse Bacteria

by Sequencing Randomly Bar-Coded Transposons. mBio 6:e00306-15–15.

80. Kent WJ. 2002. BLAT—The BLAST-Like Alignment Tool. Genome Res 12:656–64.

Figure 1 *Identifying genes that link the flagellum to holdfast production*

A) The asymmetric division cycle of *C. crescentus*. Sessile stalked cells divide to release a newborn swarmer cell that displays a flagellum and type IV pili. Quiescent swarmer cells undergo a morphological transition to become replication competent stalked cells. Transitioning swarmer cells can make an adhesin called the holdfast (red) that promotes surface attachment. B) Schematic of flagellar architecture. A central hook-basal body (HBB) complex (blue) spanning the cell envelope tethers a long extracellular filament (purple) to the surface of the cell. Multiple stator subunits (gold) that surround the inner membrane embedded rotor (teal) use ion translocation to turn the HBB and its associated filament. Outer membrane (OM), peptidoglycan (PG) and inner membrane (IM) layers of the envelope are shown. C) The Δ*flgH* mutant shows increased surface colonization (top), as measured by crystal violet (CV) staining, and a higher proportion of holdfast producing cells relative to wild type (bottom). Holdfasts were stained with Alexa 594-wheat germ agglutinin (fWGA). D) Hyper-adhesive mutants identified by adhesion profiling in defined medium. The 75 genes with the strongest hyper-adhesive profiles are plotted, and specific flagellar assembly genes

are highlighted. Colors correspond to the structural proteins depicted in panel B. The plotted genes

are listed in Table S1. E) The *flagellar signaling suppressor* (*fss*) genes identified by adhesion

profiling in the Δ*flgH* background. The 50 genes with the strongest contributions to adhesion in the

Δ*flgH* background are plotted. *hfsA*, a gene required for holdfast biosynthesis, is highlighted along

with two *fss* genes, *pleD* and *motB.* The plotted genes are listed in Table S2. For panels D and E, each

line represents the average fitness values for a single gene plotted as a function of time in the

sequential passaging experiment. Hyper-adhesive mutants are depleted more rapidly than neutral

mutants during selection in cheesecloth. Mutated genes (*fli*, *flg, flm,* etc.) that display increased

attachment to cheesecloth show steadily decreasing fitness scores as a function of passage number.

Mutants with reduced adhesion are enriched in broth when grown with cheesecloth. Mutated genes

that display decreased adhesion (*hfs* and *fss*) show steadily increasing fitness scores.

Figure 2 *Two distinct signaling pathways operate downstream of the flagellum to control adhesion*

A) Crystal violet-based attachment assay showing suppression of the hyper-adhesive phenotypes

by *pleD* and *motB* in early (Δ*fliF* and Δ*fliM*) and late (Δ*flgH* and Δ*flmA*) flagellar assembly mutants.

Mean values from six biological replicates are shown with error bars representing the associated

standard deviations. B) Crystal violet-based attachment assay showing the additive effects of Δ*motB*

and Δ*pleD* on adhesion in the WT and Δ*flgH* backgrounds. Mean values from five biological

replicates are shown with the associated standard deviations. C) Fraction of cells with a holdfast in

flagellar mutant and suppressor backgrounds. Holdfasts were stained and counted from log phase

cultures as described in Materials and Methods. D) *hfiA* transcription in flagellar mutant and

suppressor backgrounds as measured by beta-galactosidase activity from a P_{hfiA}-*lacZ* reporter. Mean

values from three biological replicates collected on two separate dates for a total of six replicates

715   are shown with the associated standard deviations. A statistical analysis of the CV staining, holdfast

716   count and LacZ activity measurements is reported in Table S3.

Figure 3 *Two novel motility genes contribute to activation of the mechanical pathway*

A) Crystal violet-based attachment assay showing suppression of early and late flagellar assembly mutants by individual *fss* genes. Mean values from six biological replicates are shown with error bars representing the associated standard deviations. B) Genomic context of the *fssA* and *fssB* genes. Orange S: secretion signal; blue bar: tetratricopeptide repeat region. C) Motility phenotypes of the Δ*fssA* and Δ*fssB* mutants. Left: soft-agar motility assay. Top right: flagellar filaments stained with Alexa488-maleimide after introduction of the *fljK*[T103C] allele into the indicated mutants. Note that the maleimide dye cross-reacts with holdfast. Scale bars represent 5μm. Bottom right: maximum projections from time-lapse microscopy. Cells appear in white, and tracks for motile cells appear as dotted lines. Scale bars represent 25μm.

Figure 4 *Convergence of flagellar signaling on cyclic-di-GMP*

A) Crystal violet-based attachment assay showing that inactive alleles of *fss* genes in the developmental (*pleD*) and mechanical (*dgcB* and *motB*) pathways do not support flagellar signaling. Each allele is expressed from the gene's native promoter and integrated as a single copy at the *xylX* locus. The genotype and promoter for each strain are indicated below the solid line. Mean values from seven biological replicates are shown with error bars representing the associated standard deviations. B) Crystal violet-based attachment assay showing that early flagellar mutants are epistatic to late flagellar mutants for activation of the mechanical pathway. The Δ*fliF* Δ*flgH* strain phenocopies the Δ*fliF* strain and demonstrates that the effect of *motB* on adhesion requires early stages of flagellar assembly. Mean values from five biological replicates are shown with the associated standard deviations. C) Two signaling pathways operate downstream of the flagellum in

C. crescentus. Disrupting the assembly of the flagellum at any stage stimulates adhesion by activating

a developmental pathway that requires PleD phosphorylation. Separately, mechanical signals are

transmitted through the motor to activate DgcB. Both PleD and DgcB stimulate holdfast production

by modulating c-di-GMP levels in response to flagellar perturbation.

Supplemental files

Table S1 – Mutated genes that produce a hyper-adhesive phenotype during adhesion profiling

Table S2 – Mutated genes that suppress hyper-adhesion in the ΔflgH background during adhesion

profiling

Table S3 – Statistical analysis of strain comparisons shown in Figure 2

Table S4 – Plasmids used in this study

Table S5 – Strains used in this study

Figure S1 – Genetic complementation of surface attachment and motility phenotypes for flagellar

assembly hierarchy mutants

Figure S2 -Genetic complementation of attachment and motility phenotypes of fss mutants

Table S6 – Features of the barcoded transposon libraries used in this study