# Spotting genome-wide pigmentation variation in

# a brown trout admixture context

**T. VALETTE[1*], M. LEITWEIN [1,4*], J.-M. LASCAUX[2], E. DESMARAIS[1],**

**P. BERREBI[1,3] & B. GUINAND[1#]**

[1] : UMR ISEM - CNRS IRD UM EPHE – Montpellier, France

[2] : ECOGEA – 352 Avenue Roger Tissandié - Muret, France

[3] : GENOME – Recherche et Diagnostic, 697 Avenue de Lunel – Saint Just, France

[4] : present address : Institut de Biologie Intégrative et des Systèmes (IBIS), Université Laval, Québec, Canada

\* : contributed equally

\# : correspondence: bruno.guinand@umontpellier.fr

**ABSTRACT**

Colour and pigmentation variation attracted fish biologists for a while, but high-throughput

genomic studies investigating the molecular basis of body pigmentation remain still limited to

few species and conservation biology issues ignored. Using 75,684 SNPs, we investigated the

genomic basis of pigmentation pattern variation among individuals of the Atlantic and

Mediterranean clades of the brown trout (*Salmo trutta*), a polytypic species in which Atlantic

hatchery individuals are commonly used to supplement local wild populations. Using

redundancy analyses and genome-wide association studies, a set of 384 "colour patterning

loci" (CPL) significantly correlated with pigmentation traits such as the number of red and

black spots on flanks, but also the presence of a large black stain on the opercular bone was

identified. CPLs map onto 35 out of 40 brown trout linkage groups indicating a polygenic

basis to pigmentation patterns. They are mostly located in coding regions (43.4%) of 223

candidate genes, and correspond to GO-terms known to be involved in pigmentation (e.g.

calcium and ion-binding, cell adhesion). Annotated genes especially include 24 candidates

with known pigmentation effects (e.g. *SOX10*, *PEML, SLC45A2*), but also the Gap-junction

Δ2 (*GJD2*) gene that was previously showed be differentially expressed in trout skin. Patterns

of admixture were found significantly distinct when using either the full SNP data set or the

set of CPLs, indicating that pigmentation patterns accessible to practitioners are not a reliable

proxy of genome-wide admixture. Consequences for management are discussed.

*Keywords:* ddRAD sequencing, admixture mapping, multi-trait phenotype, local ancestry,

salmonid, management

## 1 - INTRODUCTION

The study of pigmentation has a peculiar place in modern biology as colour and its patterning play important roles in natural, artificial and sexual selection (Cieslak et al. 2011; Cuthill et al. 2017). The biology and evolution of animal colouration largely benefited over the past few years in the development of high-throughput sequencing techniques (e.g. San José and Roulin 2017, Orteu and Jiggins 2020). While supergenes and large effect mutations have been shown to support many pigmentation patterns (Orteu and Jiggins 2020), genomic studies also generated a huge literature that underscored their polygenic and epistatic nature (e.g. skin and hair colour in human: Pavan and Sturm 2019; structural colour variation or eyespot numbers in butterflies: Brien et al. 2019; Rivera-Colón et al. 2020). Recent research showed that pigmentation variation result from effects propagated during development by numerous loci belonging to several regulatory networks to hub or key genes often sufficient to explain most of - but not all - the causal variation with colour expression and/or patterning (Arnould et al. 2013; Ding et al. 2020, Fogelholm et al. 2020; Orteu and Jiggins 2020).

If early genetic and molecular studies were interested in colour and pigmentation patterns in fish - notably as models for sex-linked colour variation (see Kottler and Schartl 2018) – the issue of body pigmentation principally gained interest with the establishment of zebrafish and medaka as model species (Parichy 2006; Takeda and Shimada 2010; Singh and Nüsslein-Völlard 2015; Nüsslein-Völlard and Singh 2017) and from comparisons with higher vertebrates (e.g. Kelsh et al. 2009). Mutational analyses and other approaches allowed for increased understanding in the complex mechanisms of pigment cell fates during development and their resulting distribution (Kelsh et al. 2004, 2009; Kimura et al. 2014; Eom et al. 2015; Nüsslein-Völlard and Singh 2017; Salis et al. 2018). Pigment cells (i.e. chromatophores, mainly melanophores, iridophores, leucophores and xanthophores) are distributed in the hypo-

and the epidermis and their migration pathways are differentially regulated and partly interact

(Kelsh et al. 2009; McMenamin et al. 2014; Parichy and Spiewak 2015; Singh and Nüsslein-

Völlard 2015). Studies now extend to other fish species (Maan and Sfec 2013; Irion and

Nüsslein-Völlard 2019) and to a large array of eco-evolutionary questions regarding the

involvement of genes or regulatory pathways in fish pigmentation, its epistatic and pleiotropic

nature, its modularity and its control, its role in speciation as well as the impact of whole-

genome duplication that promoted the diversification of pigment cell lineages (Hultman et al.

2007; Miller et al. 2007; Braasch et al. 2009; Roberts et al. 2009, 2017; Albertson et al. 2014;

Santos et al. 2014; Ceinos et al. 2015; Yong et al. 2015; Gu and Xia 2017; Kimura et al. 2017;

Sefc et al. 2017; Lorin et al. 2018; Kratochwil et al. 2018; Nagao et al. 2018; Cal et al. 2019;

Lewis et al. 2019; Kon et al. 2020; Liang et al. 2020). An increasing number of studies

engaged fish research in high-throughput genomic approach of pigmentation variation

(guppy: Tripathi et al. 2009; three-spine stickleback: Greenwood et al. 2011; Malek et al.

2012; cichlids: O'Quin et al. 2013; Henning et al. 2013, 2014; Albertson et al. 2014; Zhu et al.

2016; Roberts et al. 2017; koi carp: Xu et al. 2014; arowana: Bian et al. 2016; goldfish: Kon

et al. 2020). However, in spite of this impressive research, the genomics of pigmentation

variation remain poorly investigated in fish compared to other phenotypic traits (Peichel and

Marques 2017). Furthermore links between colour patterning and conservation genomics

remain virtually absent (but see Boulding et al. 2008) while they begin to be documented in,

e.g., birds, notably regarding the impacts of admixture (Toews et al. 2016; Hanna et al. 2018;

Billerman et al. 2019).

Salmonids represent a large fish family with a very diverse and complex body

pigmentation going from continuous colour to spotty, marbled, blotchy and striped patterns. If

whole body colouration or specific coloured elements have a genetic basis (Yamazaki 1974;

Blanc et al. 1982, 1994, 2006; Skaala and Jørstad 1988; Boulding et al. 2008; Colihueque

2010; Nilsson et al. 2016), another part is plastic (Westley et al. 2013; Jørgensen et al. 2018).

Colour patterns support differences in coping styles (Kittilsen et al. 2009; Brännäs et al. 2016)

or in the physiological adjustments necessary to avoid predation and match with

environmental variability (e.g. Miyamoto 2016; Jacquin et al. 2017; Zastavniouk et al. 2017).

In salmonids, pigmentation and colour are also known to interact with social hierarchies, to

influence mate choice and affect fitness (O'Connor et al. 2000; Wedekind et al. 2008; Marie-

Orleach et al. 2014; Watt et al. 2017, Auld et al. 2019). However, if proximate basis of colour

changes are known (Leclercq et al. 2010), the genomic basis of their pigmentation patterns

remains largely unexplored, with limited insights coming from gene expression studies (Sivka

et al. 2013; Djurdjevič et al. 2019). Furthermore, as pigmentation patterns may reflect

admixture in salmonids (Mezzera et al. 1997; Aparicio et al. 2005; Kirczuk and Domagała

2012, Kocabaş et al. 2018), it is necessary to improve knowledge on the genotype-phenotype

association supporting observed pigmentation patterns. Indeed, pigmentation patterns might

be used to characterize individuals of local origin and support management decisions

(Aparicio et al. 2005; Lorenzoni et al. 2019). It thus might be relevant to evaluate if loci

supporting phenotypic divergence for body patterning reflect genome-wide admixture.

In this study, we address these issues in the brown trout (*Salmo trutta*), a species

submitted to extensive hatchery supplementation within its native range. We take advantage

of former genomic knowledge established in this species using double digested restriction

site-associated DNA sequencing (ddRADseq) (Leitwein et al. 2018) to investigate the loci

responsible for variation in body pigmentation among populations of two divergent clades of

the brown trout (Atlantic and Mediterranean; Bernatchez 2001; Sanz 2018). Then we

investigate if those loci could be a relevant proxy to monitor hybridization and genome-wide

admixture among individuals of these two clades.

**2 - MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**2 - 1 - BROWN TROUT'S GENOMICS DATA**

Dd-RADseq data used in this study are issued from Leitwein et al. (2018). The full data set

consists in 75,684 genome-wide SNPs (representing 40,519 RAD-loci or haplotypes) for 112

trout of hatchery and wild caught origins. Eighty-two wild caught individuals were fished in

the headwaters of three small rivers of the Mediterranean Orb River catchment (France)

(Gravezon, Mare and Upper Orb rivers; Leitwein et al. 2018). This catchment has been seeded

by hatchery fish of both Atlantic and Mediterranean origins for decades, but stopped in 2004.

However, unintentional releases due to flooding of private hatcheries are documented

(Leitwein et al. 2016, 2018). Analyses have shown that these wild caught individuals

consisted in hatchery individuals of both Mediterranean and Atlantic origins, F1's, F2's,

backcrossed individuals, and 'pure' natural Mediterranean fish (i.e. genome-wide admixture ≤

10%; Leitwein et al. 2018). 'Early' and 'late' backcrossed individuals correspond to

Mediterranean wild-caught fish that contained a moderate number and few Atlantic ancestry

tracts in their genome, respectively. Thirty individuals of the domestic Atlantic ($N = 15$) and

Mediterranean ($N = 15$) strains were also included in the analysis. Mediterranean hatchery

fish have been randomly sampled in a local strain formerly established using mature adults

from the Gravezon River by the *Fédération de Pêche de l'Hérault* in 2004. Atlantic hatchery

fish originated from the Cauterets hatchery that maintains the Atlantic strain which is

distributed worldwide (e.g. Bohling et al. 2016).

In addition to SNP data, all individuals have been sexed using a microsatellite marker

targeting a salmonid sex-determining locus (Yano et al. 2013) and one microsatellite locus to

correct for possible false negatives. No sex effect was detected and sex will be not considered

further.

**2 – 2 - PHENOTYPIC DATA**

Acquisition of phenotypic data followed a protocol described in Lascaux (1996). Data were

recorded from individual photographs of the 112 fish considered for genomic analyses.

Photographs were taken at fishing on slightly anesthetized trout with eugenol. A camera

Canon® EOS 1000D was used, then fish were released in the wild or in hatchery tanks. The

full set of variables originally considered in this study is listed in Table S1 and illustrated on a

picture in Fig. S1. Quantitative variables ($N$ =19) and semi-quantitative variables ($N$ =11)

were initially recorded by visual examination of photographs of the left flank of each

individual considered in this study. Quantitative variables refer to punctuation patterns of

brown trout (e.g. number and diameter of spots) and are recognized important for this species

(Blanc et al. 1982, 1994). Semi-quantitative variables refer to 'ornamental' appearance

patterns (e.g. parr marks, fringes on caudal or adipose fins, white ring around spots) that are

often important to practitioners or anglers. Each quantitative punctuation variable was

measured independently. Ornamental variables have been coded by modalities, either

present/absent [1, 2] or, e.g., absent/partial/complete [1, 2, 3] (Tables 1 & S1). This set of

variables avoided focusing only on peculiar attributes of the pigmentation patterns. Other

pigmentation traits that can be also important for trout (e.g. background colour, brightness,

hue, or reflectance; Colihueque 2010) were not considered in this study as standardization

between wild and hatchery fish were not possible because of different weather and light

conditions at sampling that did not allow for accurate standardization. Eleven colour

patterning variables were found not significantly correlated and retained for subsequent

analysis (Table 1). The correlation matrix of variables is reported in Table S2.

**2 – 3 - GENOTYPE-PHENOTYPE ASSOCIATION**

The evaluation of the genotype-phenotype association between SNPs and pigmentation/colour

traits was performed using three distinct approaches. Hereafter, we coined a 'colour

patterning locus' (CPL) any SNP or RAD-locus found significantly associated with a colour

variable considered in this study. A CPL and its possible associated gene is then not a

pigmentation locus (gene) *per se*, but a locus involved in a complex set of features and

patterns of trout skin, including pigmentation and colour information.

*Redundancy analysis* - We first used redundancy analysis (RDA) to investigate any

association between genomic and colour trait data. RDA is a constrained (two-table)

ordination method in which only the variation of response variables explained by a set of

explanatory variables is displayed and analysed (Legendre and Legendre 2012). RDA was

performed with the remaining 11 uncorrelated colour variables as the explanatory and the

SNPs as the response variables. Missing genomic data were imputed by the most commonly

observed genotype. Missing phenotypic data were imputed by the mean of observed trait for

quantitative data, and the most commonly observed phenotype for semi-quantitative data. We

classified SNPs as showing statistically significant association with individual

pigmentation/ornamental traits when they loaded with more than 2.5 standard deviations

(S.D.) from the mean.

A forward model selection was used to select for the most relevant phenotypic

variables structuring the RDA (Blanchet et al. 2008). In constrained ordination methods like

RDA, increasing the number of explanatory variables becomes similar to unconstrained

ordination method (e.g. principal component analysis) as the percentage of variation

explained increases when considering more explanatory variables, while some of them add no

relevant information. Models were defined, first including the eleven uncorrelated response

variables, then reducing this number. The Aikake Information Criterion was computed in each

case to select the most appropriate model (i.e. minimizing deviance). Permutation tests ($N$ =

999) were performed by permuting individuals in each model. This procedure was first

established for the RDA itself, then for each successive RDA axis to investigate if observed

patterns carried significant association between relevant pigmentation traits and SNPs. All

analyses were performed with the *vegan* package (https://cran.r-

project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html). Once relevant colour variables were identified

in models, each significant SNP was associated to the pigmentation or ornamental trait it was

the most significantly correlated.

*Genome-wide association studies (GWAS)* – Despite probable limitations due to, e.g. reduced

sample size, single- and multi-trait GWAS were performed to further investigate candidate

colour-patterning genes in this species. A single-trait association was first considered by

fitting each colour variable with SNPs by linear regression. We used a penalized maximum-

likelihood least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) model to select for SNPs

implied in each trait association, then solving:

$$\min_{(\beta_0,\beta)\in\mathbb{R}^{p+1}} \left[ \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \beta_0 - x_i\ \beta)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\beta_j| \right]$$

208                                                                                                                    (1)

in which $Y \in \mathbb{R}$ represents the response colour variable, $X \in \mathbb{R}^p$ a vector of predictor variables

(i.e. SNPs), $\lambda$ the penalty parameter, $\beta_0$ the y-intercept of multiple linear regression, and $\beta \in$

$\mathbb{R}^p$ a vector of $\beta_j$ coefficients (Friedman et al. 2010). This vector of $\beta_j$ coefficients represents

the effect size $\beta_j$ of the $j$th SNP conditional on the effects of all other SNPs. The penalized

term $\lambda$ shrinks the regression coefficient towards zero, keeping only a small number of SNPs

with large effects in the model. A cyclical coordinate descent procedure was retained for

model selection (Friedman et al. 2010). The retained model was determined by cross-

validation and log($\lambda$) was estimated by minimizing the mean quadratic error. The number of

positive $\beta_j$ coefficients was estimated from log($\lambda$), with each $\beta_j$ coefficient associated to a suite

of SNPs considered as involved in the association. Analyses were performed with the *glmnet*

package (https://cran.r-project.org/package=glmnet).

220           A multi-trait GWAS was also implemented. We used the MultiPhen package

(O'Reilly et al. 2012) to test for the linear combination of phenotypes most associated with

the genotypes at each SNP. Such one approach may potentially capture effects hidden to

single phenotype GWAS. It performs a 'reversed regression', with multiple phenotype

predictors and genetic variant as outcome (i.e. *G* SNPs $X_{(i)} = \{X_{(i1)}, ..., X_{(iG)}\}$ are explained by

*K* pigmentation pattern variables $Y_{(i)} = \{Y_{(i1)}, ..., Y_{(iK)}\}$). SNPs are encoded by allele count

alleles ($X_{(ig)} \in \{0, 1, 2\}$). An ordinal logistic regression (or proportional odds logistic

regression) was considered to derive the probability than one SNP is associated to a multi-trait

phenotype (O'Reilly and Porter 2017). Permutation tests were performed to determine one

adjusted significance threshold to detect false positives (Dudbridge and Gusnanto 2008). A

probability $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ was retained to consider one SNP as being significantly implied in a

multi-trait pigmentation association. Only the variables selected by the forward selection

model implemented for the RDA were considered in single- and multi-trait GWAS.

233           In single- and multi-trait GWAS, we controlled for population stratification by using

nine distinct trout samples recognized by the length and number of ancestry tracts (Leitwein

et al. 2018). Local ancestry tracts may integrate linkage disequilibrium patterns which are

crucial in association studies (e.g. Shriner 2017; Li et al. 2018). The nine groups include the

two hatchery samples (Atlantic, Mediterranean), then seven distinct samples of wild-caught

trout. Following Leitwein et al. (2018), wild-caught trout were grouped as follows: F1's, F2's,

'early' and 'late' backcrossed individuals, then samples of 'pure' wild individuals assigned to

each of the three local populations. As some wild caught trout were identified as 'pure'

hatchery individuals (Leitwein et al. 2018), they have been grouped with individuals sampled

in the hatchery type they have been previously assigned.

**2 – 4 - MAPPING AND ANNOTATION**

CPLs found by RDA and the different GWAS have been mapped on the Atlantic salmon

genome (*Salmo salar*; Lien et al. 2016; Genbank assembly: GCA_000233375.4) and on the

high density linkage map of *S. trutta* (Leitwein et al. 2017). We searched for genes located in

a 25kb window upstream or downstream of each colour-associated marker (arbitrary range).

When one association with a gene was detected, markers were assigned to coding or non–

coding (intron, upstream or downstream sequences) regions of genes, or other specific entities

(transposable elements, pseudogenes). Using *S. salar* annotations as inputs, gene ontologies

(GO) for biological processes and molecular functions were derived for genes associated to

CPLs using QuickGO (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/). When annotations were unavailable

on the salmon genome, a research was launched on UniProtKB

(https://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/) for the protein sequence encoded by the gene. Only

annotations with similarity >90% were retained. All were found to match teleost species.

Because many genes implied in melanocyte development are also implied in

melanoma development when mutated (e.g. Patton et al. 2010; Uong and Zon 2010), we

further performed a literature search in order to know if genes detected within the 25kb

windows have been already mentioned in former studies. Queries were made using the gene

ID (or aliases taken from GeneCards, https://www.genecards.org/) and a specific keyword.

The retained keywords were: skin, melanocyte, melanophore, melanosome, melanoma

(squamous, cutaneous and uveal), pigment/-ation, keratinocyte, chromatophore, iridiophore,

follicle (hair or skin), nevus/-i, (epi)dermis, vitiligo, erythema/-tous, and sebocyte. This search

was updated until the beginning of June, 2020, each time using the Web of Science, PubMed

and Google Scholar.

**2 - 5 - GENOMIC DIFFERENTIATION**

Distributions of $F_{ST}$ values were established using all SNPs, then for the subset of CPLs found

significant in the RDA and GWAS. Single-locus $F_{ST}$ values were estimated considering five

distinct trout samples: the two samples of hatchery fish, then trout caught in each of the three

rivers within the Orb catchment.

**2 – 6 – COMPARISON OF INDIVIDUAL ASSIGNMENT**

In order to compare if individual assignment (probability of membership) ranked individuals

similarly or not using all loci or CPLs only, we first established the patterns of admixture

using independent CPLs for individuals used in this study. Individual admixture proportions

were computed with the LEA package (Frichot and François 2015), using $K = 2$ (Atlantic

and Mediterranean clades). We then used the genome-wide admixture established by

Leitwein et al. (2018) that were based on individuals used in this study, using the same set of

40,519 RAD-loci, also considering $K = 2$. A Wilcoxon signed-ranked test between each

assignment probability distribution was performed. Similar rankings of individuals in

assignment will indicate that CPLs may represent a proxy of genome-wide admixture, while

a significant difference will be indicative of the reverse.

**3 - RESULTS**

**3 – 1 - REDUNDANCY ANALYSIS**

Forward model selection showed that a model based on eight colour variables minimized the

deviance in RDA *(Macrost, N.PR.Tot, Zeb, Fr.An, L.Lat, Fr.P, Diam.PN, N.PN.Tot)* (Table

S3). Hereafter, results are reported for this model. Patterns of variation explained by the RDA

were found to significantly structure the association between SNPs and pigmentation

variables ($P < 0.001$). The first axis of RDA was found to represent 29.81% of the total inertia

of the data set (Fig. 1), and was also significant ($P < 0.001$). RDA axis 2 was found to explain

only a tiny fraction of observed variation for pigmentation variables (2.10%; Fig. 1), and be

only marginally significant ($P \approx 0.051$). As RDA is a constrained ordination method,

constrained inertia (the percentage of variation explained by the set of uncorrelated

pigmentation variables) was found to represent 35.50% of the total inertia. The remaining

portion of the variation might be considered as not explained by observed genomic variation.

Axis 1 represented approx. 84% (ratio: 29.81/35.50) of constrained inertia, while axis 2

explained only approx. 6% (2.10/35.50) of inertia.

Ordination results in RDA are represented as a triplot in which individuals are

positioned according to the relationship established between response and explanatory

variables (Fig. 1). The ornamental trait *Macrost* (hereby a large opercular black stain) and the

pigmentation trait *N.PR.Tot* (total number of red spots) explained 23.6% and 22.7%,

respectively, of the total loading score of phenotypic variables onto RDA axis 1. In Fig. 1A,

trout were labelled in reference to the nine clusters defined from Leitwein et al. (2018).

Results showed a clear distinction between colour patterns of Mediterranean and Atlantic

hatchery fish. Atlantic hatchery fish were preliminary characterized by the total number of red

spots, while the macrostigma spots, but also zebra marks (*Zeb*) and total number of black

spots (*N.PN.Tot*) characterized Mediterranean hatchery fish along the first axis of the RDA.

Results confirmed that few wild caught individuals from the Gravezon River were released

Atlantic hatchery fish, as showed by Leitwein et al. (2018). Other individuals from the

Gravezon River and 'late backcrossed' individual have colour patterns more characteristic

from hatchery Mediterranean fish (Fig. 1A; the hatchery was seeded by individuals caught in

this river [see Materials and Methods section]). Other wild caught individuals are distributed

between these extremes, with individuals identified as F1's presenting one intermediary

position between wild-caught and wild Mediterranean fish on one side, and Atlantic fish on

the other side (Fig. 1A). The position of the wild caught Orb River individuals referred to the

marginally significant second axis of the RDA and to specific variables (*L. Lat*, continuity of

the lateral line; *Fr.P.*, a coloured fringe on the pelvic fin) that were mostly unobserved in

other fish excepted some F2 and early backcrossed individuals (Fig. 1A). F2 individuals

presenting these characters were effectively fished in the Upper Orb River, suggesting they

possibly inherited colour characters present in this local population.

The ordination of SNPs by RDA is further detailed in Fig.1B. A total of 1,130 distinct

loci (1.49% of 75,684 SNPs) were found significantly associated to the first two RDA axes

(i.e. > 2.5 S.D. from the mean). Only 22 loci (0.03%) were significantly associated to each of

the two axes for distinct phenotypic variables. Different numbers of SNPs were found

associated with colour variables: 299 with *Fr.P*, 269 with *N.PR.Tot*, 225 with *N.PN.Tot*, and

213 with *Macrost*. *Macrost* showed significance for loci associated to both first and second

axis of RDA (yellow circles on Fig.1B), while some variables showed no association (*Fr.An*,

presence/absence of a fringe on the anal fin). In this latter case, this does not mean that no

association exists, but as loci were associated to a single phenotypic variable based on the

strength of the association, no loci appeared to be significantly associated to *Fr.An*. As axis 2

was found marginally significant and substantially affected by few individuals from mainly

one single population and few F2's, only SNPs associated to the first RDA axis will be

considered further. This discarded loci associated to *Fr.P* that explained most of the variation

for the second RDA axis. Three hundred and twenty SNPs were found associated to the main

RDA axis, all of them being associated to *Macrost* and *N.PR.Tot*, these variables being

negatively correlated (Fig. 1B).

As a complement to this analysis and because the rule of $\pm$ 2.5 S.D. from the mean is

somewhat arbitrary, we looked back at specific genes known to be involved in colour

patterning in vertebrates and fish and searched for their position within the distribution of loci

over the first axis of the RDA. We detected eight genes representing 30 SNPs for which

sequencing reads were available (Fig. S2). One SNP from the *Mitf1* (microphthalmia-

associated transcription factor 1) gene was close to the $\pm$2.5 S.D. threshold considered in this

study. The *Mitf1* gene is a master gene for melanocyte differentiation (e.g. Levy et al. 2006;

Cheli et al. 2010) and with a negative value on the first axis of the RDA, this SNP is

associated to *Macrost*, thus the expression of the black colour.

**3 – 2 - SINGLE AND MULTI-TRAIT GWAS**

Over the eight colour variables retained by forward selection for RDA, only two allowed for

relevant LASSO model construction after selection of the penalized term using the cyclical

descent procedure (Fig. S3). Single trait GWAS provided two variables with significant

association with some SNPs: *N.PN.Tot* (total number of black spots: 17 candidate SNPs) and

*N.PR.Tot* (total number of red spots: 9 candidate SNPs) (Table S4). These two variables were

found significant in RDA, and negatively correlated (Fig. 1). Candidate SNPs from single

GWAS models are reported in Fig. 2A.

Two multi-trait GWAS models were retained (Fig. 2). As the single-trait GWAS, the

first one jointly retained *N.PN.Tot* and *N.PR.Tot* as variables for which some SNPs were

found associated. The second selected model considered seven of the eight variables formerly

used in the RDA (except *Zeb*). Seven and thirty-one SNPs were considered as significant in

these multi-trait GWAS, respectively (Table S4).

Despite some similarities for the pigmentation and colour variables put forward by

the different genotype-phenotype associations, only one single SNP (RAD-locus) was found

in common between RDA and the single-trait GWAS for number of black spots (Fig. 2A). No

other SNP was commonly detected by distinct genotype-phenotype association methods, and

especially no SNP shared among GWAS models. It results in a total of 384 SNPs considered

as CPLs putatively implied in pigmentation variation in brown trout (~0.51% of the total

number of SNPs; 320 coming from RDA and 64 from the single- and multi-trait GWAS

models). These CPLs corresponds to 337 independent RAD-loci (0.83% of the total number

of RAD-loci).

**3 – 3 - GENOMIC DIFFERENTIATION**

The distributions of $F_{ST}$ values for the 40,519 RAD-loci and the 320 CPLs associated to axis 1

of the RDA are reported in Fig. 3. The mean $F_{ST}$ was estimated to $F_{ST} = 0.286$ [95% CI: 0.284,

0.287] for the full set of SNPs, while this estimate was $F_{ST} = 0.575$ [95% CI: 0.570-0.583] for

the 320 CPLs detected with the RDA. Observed mean $F_{ST}$ values were $F_{ST} = 0.176$ (min. 0.02

– max: 0.38) and $F_{ST} = 0.025$ (min.: 0.00; max. 0.20) for the single- and the multi-trait GWAS,

respectively. Min/max values are reported rather than 95% CI because the low number of

SNPs/CPLs associated to phenotypic variables in each GWAS. As distributions do not

overlap, the mean $F_{ST}$ value of the 384 CPLs has no real meaning, but its estimate is $F_{ST} =$

0.547 [95% CI: 0.538 - 0.555].

**3 – 4 - MAPPING ON *S. TRUTTA* LINKAGE GROUPS AND ANNOTATION**

We considered only the 337 independent CPLs as linked SNPs provide redundant

information. Three hundred independent CPLs were mapped onto 35 of the forty brown trout

LGs defined in Leitwein et al. (2017) (Fig. 4), while 37 of them could not be adequately

positioned on the high density linkage map of Leitwein et al. (2017). Their position relative to

other RAD-loci suffered ambiguity. The distribution of these 300 CPLs on each LG varied

from zero (LG13, LG14, LG15, LG20, LG39) to 86 (LG31). The 337 CPLs have been

mapped on the Atlantic salmon genome and their annotations are given in Table S4. Among

the 337 independent CPLs, ~75.9% were found located in coding (43.4%) or so-called

regulatory regions (32.5%; 17.8% upstream and 14.7% downstream genes) within the 25kb

window retained around genes. This represents 245 loci associated to one gene (223 distinct

genes; Table S4). Approximately 22.0% of the CPLs did not match any gene in the 25kb

window, and ~2% were found associated to pseudogenes or long non-coding (lnc) RNA (Fig.

S4). LncRNAs might be important in fish colour patterning (Luo et al. 2019), but will be not

considered further. Overall 89.86% (200 out of 223) of the genes in close vicinity of the CPLs

detected in this study have been mentioned in the literature dealing with colour, or affecting

integument patterning, differentiation or structure. Table S4 provides details on gene names,

their position and the location of associated CPL; references are reported.

Twenty-four of these 200 genes (12.0%) that suffer very few ambiguity for their

implication in pigmentation variation are given in Table 2. Among these genes, nine candidate

genes were detected using GWAS (four by single-, and five by multi-trait GWAS) (Table 2).

The RAD-locus detected by both RDA and single-trait GWAS for black spotting is located

≈21kb downstream of *GJD2* (Gap-junction protein Δ2 gene; located on LG9 of *S. trutta*;

Table S4). This gene was found differentially expressed in trout skin (marble *vs* fario/Atlantic

morph) by Djurdjevič et al. (2019).

**3 – 5 - GO TERMS**

GO terms for molecular functions and biological processes of the 337 CPLs are reported in

Fig. S5. Calcium and metal ion binding were found to be the most representative molecular

functions. GO-terms for biological functions highlighted cellular adhesion processes (Fig.

S5A), signal transduction and translation, and transmembrane transport (Fig. S5B).

**3 – 6 – WILCOXON SIGNED-RANKED TEST ON INDIVIDUAL ASSIGNMENT**

Individual rankings in probability of membership were found to significantly differ when

using the whole RAD-loci established in Leitwein et al. (2018) and the independent CPL ($N =$

337) data sets defined in this study (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, $P < 10^{-5}$). As CPLs were

mainly associated to red and black spots (*N.PN.Tot*, *N.PR.Tot, Macrost*) in the GWAS and the

RDA, this suggests that such patterns are not reliable indicators of genome-wide admixture,

while frequently, but intuitively used by managers.

**4 - DISCUSSION**

Because of the importance of visual information for mate choice, exchanges between

conspecifics, or camouflage, we aimed to investigate the genomic basis of body colour

patterning between trout from the Mediterranean and Atlantic clades recognized in this

species. The second one is heavily used for stocking practices and has been introduced

worldwide, including Mediterranean watersheds (Elliott 1989; Budy et al. 2013; Bohling et al.

2016). Based on findings by Leitwein et al. (2018), admixture patterns were included in our

association studies. As in other pigmentation studies (Shriver et al. 2003; Daya et al. 2014;

Brelsford et al. 2017), including fish studies (Malek et al. 2012), this information allowed to

retrieve CPLs associated to candidate genes formerly identified in pigmentation studies. CPLs

complement gene expression studies by Sivka et al. (2013) and Djurdjevič et al (2019) and

improve knowledge on the genomic basis of pigmentation in trout. While pigmentation

patterns are important to evaluate the impact of hatchery individuals into wild trout

populations (Aparicio et al. 2005), we showed that it is premature to rely on phenotypic

colour patterns as a proxy of genome-wide admixture to promote management decisions.

**4 – 1 - ASSOCIATIONS PROMOTE SPOTTING TRAITS…**

Genotype-phenotype associations used in this study principally put forward the numbers of

red and black spots as the main pigmentation variables that aimed to distinguish trout. This

was expected as these variables have been repeatedly shown to characterize pigmentation

differences between Atlantic or Mediterranean trout (Lascaux 1996; Aparicio et al. 2005), and

their heritability was sometimes estimated ($h^2 \approx 0.40$ and $h^2 \approx 0.70$ for black and red spotting;

Blanc et al. 1982, 1994, respectively). However, other variables than the red and black spots

emerged from this study (e.g. the black opercular stain), indicating these common traits are

not enough (Lascaux 1996). Some traits also found in this study have been sometimes

identified being important to distinguish local wild *vs* hatchery fish (zebras; Mezzera et al.

1997).

**4 – 2 - … BUT DISTINCT LOCI INVOLVED IN PIGMENTATION VARIATION**

If the different genotype-phenotype association methods used in our study retrieved the same

pigmentation variables, CPLs detected by RDA and GWAS appeared clearly distinct with

exception of *GJD2* formerly studied in trout (Djurdjevič et al. 2019). Indeed, the identity of

loci considered associated to a single and/or multi-trait phenotype, their number, and their

respective levels of genomic differentiation did not overlap. This is expected as the models

behind methods are different and may direct the analyses towards specific findings. For

example, we already mentioned that the shrinkage procedure in LASSO aimed to keep only

SNPs with large effects while discarding others. It is also widely known that results from

single-trait GWAS lack power when few individuals or SNPs are considered (e.g. Schielzeth

and Husby 2014), especially when considering wild populations (Kardos et al. 2018; Santure

and Garant 2018) and polygenic variation (Berg and Coop 2014). We do not expand further,

but a sub-section below is devoted to RDA which is potentially neglected in association

studies.

Nevertheless, if we adopt a pragmatic view, RDA and GWAS detected a large

proportion of markers that were formerly mentioned in the literature on skin and pigmentation.

Over the 337 independent CPLs retained overall, 245 loci mapped within a 25kb window

downstream or upstream 223 distinct genes. Two hundreds of them were formerly mentioned

in our literature survey regarding issues and processes dealing with colour patterning or skin-

related issues. The GO terms highlighted by our study for both molecular functions and

biological processes are known important for pigmentation patterning. Calcium-binding is

effectively known to be important for diverse processes during melanogenesis (Bush and

Simon 2007; Bellono and Oancea 2014; Jia et al. 2020). For example, calcium binding

regulates the *SLC45A2* gene detected in this study. *SLC45A2* encodes for a transporter protein

involved in the production and regulation of melanin (Ginger et al. 2008; Bellono and Oancea

2014). Melanin is also known to deeply interact with metal ions at various steps of

melanogenesis (Hong and Simon 2007). Metal ion binding is necessary in the integrin-

mediated signaling pathways whose importance in colour patterning is well-known (Kelsh et

al. 2009). Zinc binding activity is critical to control melanocyte migration to the epidermis

(Denecker et al. 2014) and microtubule activity for melanosome trafficking within

melanocytes (e.g. Aspengren et al. 2009). Other molecular functions such ATP- or DNA-

binding activities are also known be crucial in melanosome/melanocyte biology and

regulation (Levy et al. 2006; Heimerl et al. 2007). DNA-binding especially concerns

transcription factors that have been shown to promote growth, survival and differentiation of

melanocytes. The main biological functions highlighted in this study (cellular adhesion

processes, signal transduction and translation, transmembrane transport) are involved in

chromatophore or melanocyte development, interactions among chromatophores or between

melanocytes and other cell types (fibroblasts, keratinocytes) (Yamaguchi et al. 2007; Raposo

and Marks 2007; Kelsh et al. 2009; Singh and Nüsslein-Völlard 2015; Mahalwar et al. 2016;

Nüsslein-Völlard and Singh 2017). Cell adhesion processes might be illustrated by the

numerous calcium-dependent (proto)cadherin genes reported in this study (*CDH4*, *FAT1*, and

*PCDH* genes), as well as integrins (*ITGAV*) (Tables 2 and S4). Cadherins and protocadherins

like *PCDH10A* and *PCDHAC2* are essential to fish skin patterning (e.g. Kelsh et al. 2009,

Williams et al. 2018; Du et al. 2019). By various actions that originate in early development,

integrins are essential in epidermal growth and differentiation (Watt 2002), and repeatedly

reported as involved in the processes that drive skin pigmentation patterning in fish (Kelsh et

al. 2009; Klotz et al. 2018).

Each genotype-phenotype association method especially reported classical candidate

genes associated to pigmentation. The RDA reported the above-mentioned (proto)cadherins,

but also the *ZIC4* or *SLC7A11* genes. *ZIC4* was shown to participate the dorso-ventral

patterning of medaka (Ohtsuka et al. 2004). The observed trait association with *SLC7A11* – a

gene controlling pheomelanin production and red/yellow pigmentation in mammals (Chintala

et al. 2005) - is another report in fish after carp (*Cyprinus carpio*; Xu et al. 2014; Jiang et al.

2014) and the hybrid 'red' tilapia (Zhu et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2019)). The GWAS detected

some classical players of pigmentation and body colouration in vertebrates (e.g. *SOX10,*

*PEML, SLC45A2*), including fish (e.g. Schonthaler et al. 2005; Hou et al. 2006; Kelsh et al.

2009; Singh and Nüsslein-Vollard 2015). Indeed, *SOX10* is implied in neural crest

development and influences colour patterning during zebrafish development (e.g. Elworthy et

al. 2003; Greenhill et al. 2011; Nüsslein-Vollard and Singh 2017), and *SLC45A2* in proton-

transport and osmoregulation of melanosomes resulting in colour dilution as observed in

medaka (Fukamachi et al. 2001) and other organisms (tiger: Xu et al. 2013; human: Adhikari

et al. 2019; horse: Sevane et al. 2019). *PEML* (premelanosome protein) also contributes to

eumelanin deposition and colour dilution in tetrapods (Raposo and Marks 2007; Ishishita et

al. 2018). *PEML* was recently found to be involved in colouration in the koi carp (Luo et al.

2019) and the cichlid *Neolamprologus meeli* (Ahi and Sfec 2017).

Finally, few CPLs are associated to genes that are involved in background colour

adaptation (*GABRA2*, gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor subunit alpha-2-like; Bertolesi et al.

2016), or light-induced colour change (*MTRR*, methionine synthase reductase-like; Jones et

al. 2018; *FGFR1*, fibroblast growth factor receptor-like 1; Czyz 2019). *FGF* signaling is

essential to the developmental patterning of skin and scales in fish (Aman et al. 2018), but

also eyespots on butterfly wings (Özsu and Monteiro 2017). This raises interesting questions

regarding the conservation of mechanisms involved in spot formation across animals and in

different developmental contexts.

Overall, results established for the brown trout identified both key genes and an array

of far less-known genes involved in biological and molecular processes related to the

regulation of colour and skin pigmentation patterning. This is also observed in RNA

sequencing studies in which expression of some core pigmentation genes is found associated

to expression of "satellite genes" (Zhu et al. 2016).

**4 – 3 - AN APPARENT POLYGENIC ARCHITECTURE DESERVING MORE ATTENTION**

As in other studies (e.g. Pallares et al. 2014; Gauthier et al. 2020), we aimed at uncovering

possible variants of causal/adaptive variation for complex traits in – mostly - wild-caught

individuals. With 337 independent CPLs distributed over 35 LGs and a fraction of them with

well-established relationships in modulating colour and pigmentation patterns, a polygenic

architecture of body colour patterning is apparently satisfied in the case of brown trout. Our

results also showed that informative CPLs participating to genomic architecture of

pigmentation in trout are shared in both coding and regulatory regions. This partitioning is

now often reported in the literature (e.g. She and Jarosz 2018), but remains poorly

investigated in fish (Peichel and Marques 2017, but see Jones et al. 2012). Within

pigmentation studies, literature emphasizes both the relative roles of coding (Protas and Patel

2008; Uy et al. 2016) and regulatory variation to limit pleiotropic costs during colour pattern

establishment and evolution (Larter et al. 2018, Toomey et al. 2018). Orteu and Jiggins (2020)

proposed that coding changes may rather support colour changes while regulatory variation

would be more associated variation in colour patterning. Protas and Pratel (2008)

hypothesized that coding changes should be prevalent because pigmentation-related genes are

considered relatively free to alter biochemical function without large direct fitness

consequences on survival. More coding changes than loci dispersed in regions that might be

the basis of regulatory changes were detected in trout, but, due to the reduced representation

of the genome provided by ddRAD sequencing, it is difficult to appreciate the relevance of

this observation. It might be simply due to the choice of restriction enzymes that returned a

peculiar profile of the genome. Furthermore, we provided only a loose definition of upstream

or downstream regulatory regions (a 25kb window) that has to be refined.

A polygenic architecture may somewhat contrast with former studies that often

proposed character inheritance based on oligogenic models or few major quantitative trait loci

(QTLs) in trout and salmonids (Blanc et al. 1982, 1994; Boulding et al. 2008; Colihueque

2010). Oligogenic models or low numbers of pigmentation-related QTLs located on few LGs

per investigated trait were generally reported in genomic studies interested in fish

pigmentation ($n \leq 7$; Borowsky and Wilkens 2002; Miller et al. 2007; Magalhaes and

Seehausen 2010; Malek et al. 2012; O'Quin et al. 2013; Yong et al. 2015). However, the role

of few QTLs has been considered not sufficient to explain all causal variation for

pigmentation differences (Greenwood et al. 2011) and comparisons with our study carefully

considered. First, we did not use fish from controlled crossing experiments and our ability to

detect any QTL is null whatever the association method considered. Second, we did not focus

on a given body part (e.g. fin) or a pigmentation character (stripe, bars, belly spots) as done in

other studies (e.g. Ahi and Sfec 2017; Roberts et al. 2017), including trout (red spots in Blanc

et al. 1994). We explored at a genome-wide level the complexity in trout pigmentation in

order to detect the most important body pattern-related variables relevant to our samples. This

automatically increased the panel of loci involved in body colour pigmentation, and,

effectively, when more pigmentation traits were considered, the estimated number of

pigmentation-related QTLs was found far higher and distributed over more LGs than when

using a single trait approach ($n = 41$; Albertson et al. 2014). The observed distribution of

CPLs over the trout linkage map may illustrate an approximation of a sum of QTL effects

dedicated to each trait and/or the distribution of small effect loci that segregate in many genes

and translate a genomically diffuse information to specific phenotypes. Indeed, our mapping

aggregate CPLs detected by different methods with GWAS and RDA being certainly more

prone to detect large- *vs* combinations of small effect loci explaining trait variation,

respectively.

One increased genomic basis to pigmentation patterns was also reported when drift

was present (Protas et al. 2007). This last issue is fundamental in trout in which drift is very

likely because of small local effective population size (e.g. Palm et al. 2003; Charlier et al.

2012). Results obtained in this study might represent only a case study and body pigmentation

be influenced by local drift. However, because RDA contrasts hatchery trout of Atlantic and

Mediterranean origins and phenotypic variables relevant to anglers (Aparicio et al. 2005),

results reflects a real trend for body pigmentation between the two clades. This broad picture

might be completed by local variation in color patterning as exemplified by, e.g., the SNPs

associated to the second axis of the RDA.

Further studies in the genomics of pigmentation variation in polymorphic trout may

benefit from more standard association studies that, as for other traits, may allow to better

estimate variation in effect sizes among loci (e.g. Sinclair-Waters et al. 2020). Comparisons

using individuals from other natural populations, clades and/or subspecies within the *S. trutta*

complex are also necessary in order to better investigate and elucidate the evolutionary history

of trout regarding body colour as done in, e.g., humans (Crawford et al. 2018). As one

ornamental trait, gaining insights into the body pigmentation genomics in trout can also have

economically valuable consequences (Colihueque 2010).

**4 – 4 - RDA: A NEGLECTED METHOD TO SCREEN FOR GENOTYPE-PHENOTYPE ASSOCIATIONS**

Our findings illustrate the ability to investigate genotype-phenotype associations with a

constrained ordination method like RDA and accurate modeling of phenotypic variables. The

use of RDA to investigate such associations remains seldom (Talbot et al. 2017; Vangestel et

al. 2018; Carvalho et al. 2020), while it became a standard in genotype-environment

association studies (Forester et al. 2018), including trout (Bekkevold et al. 2019) and other

salmonids (e.g. Hecht et al. 2015). RDA allowed for distinguishing the part of variation

collectively explained by RAD-loci and eight independent pigmentation variables, and hereby

estimated to ~35% of variation. A large portion of observed pigmentation is then explained by

other variables or is environment-dependent as already suggested in salmon (Jørgensen et al.

2018) and trout (Westley et al. 2013). However, irrespective of this observation, this also

illustrate the potentiality of RDA to partition potentially adaptive and non-adaptive variation

with RDA axis 1 alone explaining >29% of trait variation. Another beneficial feature of RDA

is to possibly focus on SNPs that explain for causal variation for at least one phenotypic

variable and not using outlier loci with the highest observed $F_{ST}$ values as still traditionally

done when searching for adaptive variation (see Neethiraj et al. 2017 for colouration).

Abusive reliance on "outlier loci" for investigating adaptive variation is well known (e.g. De

Mita et al. 2013). This issue is especially crucial when admixture is observed (Gosset and

Bierne 2013). Gautier (2015) proposed a modified model based on Bayesian outlier detection

model that may use a color variable as a covariate, but it is limited so far to a single covariate

when model-based selection prior to RDA might consider several ones concurrently.

Jombart et al. (2009) already mentioned that RDA was not sufficiently used in

association studies despite now recognized desirable properties to limit false positives

(Capblancq et al. 2018; Forester et al. 2018) and robustness to recombination rate variation

(Lotterhos 2019). Furthermore, Porter and O'Reilly (2017) reported that canonical

correspondence analysis - another two-table ordination method – had similar performance and

power than multi-trait GWAS in association search as exemplified here by very similar model

selection.

**4 – 5 - IMPLICATIONS FOR MANAGEMENT**

Contrary to other organisms (e.g. birds: Hanna et al. 2018; Billerman et al. 2019; mammals:

Anderson et al. 2009; Fulgione et al. 2016), how differences in body pigmentation are related

to differentiation and admixture was poorly studied in fish and was not related to conservation

issues (Malek et al. 2012; Meier et al. 2018, but see Boulding et al. 2008). In trout, Aparicio

et al. (2005) also showed that black and red spots were significantly associated to Atlantic and

Mediterranean mitochondrial haplotypes and diagnostic allozymic markers. The number of

investigated markers did not allow for in depth analyses of admixture, but this study paved the

way to use pigmentation as a proxy of admixture for management purposes. Indeed, body

pigmentation patterns are often important to anglers and managers to characterize local trout

populations and their "integrity". If genetic monitoring is effective in trout, it remains

expensive and the evolutionary biology background about, e.g., drift or effective population

size might be obscure to practitioners (Taylor et al. 2017; Cook and Sgrò 2018, 2019). Heavy

reliance on the '*local is best*' paradigm (i.e. considering that local individuals are more

adapted to local conditions) might be harmful if its application reduces gene diversity

(Broadhurst et al. 2008; Kronenberger et al. 2018). This is already the case for local trout

populations investigated in this study: the local authority seeded rivers with individuals from a

locally-derived strain, resulting in lowered local gene diversity in some tributaries (Leitwein

et al. 2016). We expand on these previous observations.

RDA showed that 'late backcross' individuals clustered very close from the local

Mediterranean hatchery strain and wild individuals of the Gravezon River that were used to

seed this strain. This may suggest that the pigmentation pattern of these latter individuals

came back close to the local Mediterranean patterns and that counter selection of Atlantic

pigmentation alleles occurred. Counter selection of Atlantic alleles in the Orb River's trout

was also postulated by Poteaux et al. (1998) using allozymes. However, different rankings in

assignment between this study for CPLs and the full RAD-loci data set (Leitwein et al. 2018)

also suggest that this set of CPLs is not a proxy of genome-wide admixture in this catchment.

Managers could build a policy based on wild-caught individuals that exhibit the 'local

pigmentation' whereas they remain largely admixed with foreign alleles (conversely: to

discredit locally adapted individuals because of a foreign pattern and then to engage in one

inadequate management policy). If information carried by pigmentation alleles represents a

good proxy of alleles enhancing local fitness or if the mismatch between rankings is mainly

due to (near) neutral alleles, admixture would hopefully not constrain the adaptive potential of

populations. In such a case, investigating pigmentation differences at the phenotypic level

may contain enough information to drive management policies and limit the genotyping costs.

On the contrary, if a mismatch occurs and that CPLs do not mirror admixture at other locally-

adapted fitness effect loci, management using pigmentation as a proxy of local genetic

integrity alone might be at risk despite apparent counter-selection. Distinguishing between

these situations is a difficult task.

**4 – 6 - LIMITS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Some limits to this study have been already mentioned, including the fact that we considered

a genotype-phenotype association in few local populations certainly affected by drift in a

highly polytypic species. Increasing the scope of this study is mandatory regarding better

identification of genes involved in colour patterning and delineation of the genomic

architecture of body patterning in trout. DdRADseq is a reduced representation sequencing

technique targeting a tiny portion of the genome (~1%) that is considered either to

successfully target (Belsford et al. 2017) or miss important loci responsible for colour and

pigmentation patterns (Gauthier et al. 2020). RNA sequencing promoted by Djurdjevič et al.

(2019) and further DNA sequencing have to be performed together to better understand the

processes and the interactions controlling body pigmentation in trout. Some processes that

have been shown to explain trout body pigmentation, but they currently lack molecular basis

(Miyazawa et al. 2010; Djurdjevič et al. 2015).

It also appears interesting to broaden the scope of salmonid conservation genomics

to pigmentation issues that represent one outstanding case to investigate genotype-phenotype-

environment relationships. Increasing knowledge on such relationships is crucial in salmonid

conservation (Waples et al. 2020). We used some standard pigmentation variables that cover

the entire trout body and widely used in France by some private companies. However, doing

so, we discarded some phenotypic variables that are involved in body colour in trout,

including background colour, brightness, hue, reflectance and various contrasts or shapes

present on trout skin that might be important in many issues and need to be more thoroughly

investigated (Endler et al. 2018; Van Bellenghem et al. 2018; Chan et al. 2019). These

variables might be difficult to be correctly taken into account when pictures are coming from

multiple sources and field conditions. New image vision or deep learning systems may allow

for some progress in this area (Saberioon et al. 2016; Wishkerman et al. 2016; Miao et al.

2019). As thousands of trout pictures are taken each year, investigating new methods to

acquire phenotypic data may provide new opportunities to conservation phenogenomics

programmes involving scientists and practitioners.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work benefited from the Montpellier Bioinformatics Biodiversity (MBB) platform from

the LabEx CeMEB, an ANR "Investissements d'Avenir" program (ANR-10-LABX-04-01). J.

Pouzadoux and A. Jourdan are acknowledged for inputs at various steps of this project. ML

was partly supported by a grant from LabEx CeMEB.

702
**DATA ACCESSIBILITY**

Genomic data are from Leitwein et al. (2018) and available at NCBI Short Read Archive

under the study accession SRP136716. The final VCF and the PLINK files for *S. trutta* LGs

are available under the study accessions SRZ187687 and SRZ187688. Trout pictures and

phenotypic data will be deposited on Dryad.

**REFERENCES**

Adhikari K, Mendoza-Revilla J, Sohail A, et al. 2019. A GWAS in Latin Americans highlights the convergent
evolution of lighter skin pigmentation in Eurasia. *Nat. Commun*. **10(1):** 358. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-
08147-0

Ahi EP, Sefc KM. 2017. A gene expression study of dorso-ventrally restricted pigment pattern in adult fins of
*Neolamprologus meeli*, an African cichlid species. *PeerJ*. **5:** e2843. doi: 10.7717/peerj.2843

Anderson TM, vonHoldt BM, Candille SI, et al. 2009. Molecular and evolutionary history of melanism in North
American gray wolves. *Science* **323(5919):** 1339-1343. doi: 10.1126/science.1165448

Albertson RC, Powder KE, Hu Y, Coyle KP, Roberts RB, Parsons KJ. 2014. Genetic basis of continuous
variation in the levels and modular inheritance of pigmentation in cichlid fishes. *Mol Ecol*. **23(21):** 5135-
5150. doi: 10.1111/mec.12900

Aman AJ, Fulbright AN, Parichy DM. 2018. Wnt/β-catenin regulates an ancient signaling network during
zebrafish scale development. *Elife* **7:** e37001. doi: 10.7554/eLife.37001

Amsen EM, Pham N, Pak Y, Rotin D. 2006. The guanine nucleotide exchange factor CNrasGEF regulates
melanogenesis and cell survival in melanoma cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **281(1):** 121-128. doi:
10.1074/jbc.M507595200

Aparicio E., García-Berthou E., Araguas R.M. et al. 2005. Body pigmentation pattern to assess introgression by
hatchery stocks in native *Salmo trutta* from Mediterranean streams. *J. Fish Biol.* **67(4):** 937-949. doi:
10.1111/j.0022-1112.2005.00794.x

Arnoult L, Su KF, Manoel D et al. 2013. Emergence and diversification of fly pigmentation through evolution of
a gene regulatory module. *Science* **339(6126):** 1423-1426. doi: 10.1126/science.1233749

Aspengren S, Hedberg D, Sköld HN, Wallin M. 2009. New insights into melanosome transport in vertebrate
pigment cells. *Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol.* **272:** 245-302. doi: 10.1016/S1937-6448(08)01606-7

Auld HL, Noakes DLG., Banks MA. 2019. Advancing mate choice studies in salmonids. *Rev. Fish Biol.*
*Fisheries* **29:** 249–276. doi: 10.1007/s11160-019-09551-5

Beauvais A, Erickson CA, Goins T et al. 1995. Changes in the fibronectin-specific integrin expression pattern
modify the migratory behavior of sarcoma S180 cells in vitro and in the embryonic environment. *J. Cell*
*Biol.* **128(4):** 699-713. doi: 10.1083/jcb.128.4.699

Bekkevold D, Höjesjö J, Nielsen EE, et al. 2019. Northern European *Salmo trutta* (L.) populations are
genetically divergent across geographical regions and environmental gradients. *Evol. Appl.* **13(2):** 400-416.
doi: 10.1111/eva.12877

Bellono NW, Oancea EV. 2014. Ion transport in pigmentation. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys*. **563:** 35-41. doi:
10.1016/j.abb.2014.06.020

Berg JJ, Coop G. 2014. A population genetic signal of polygenic adaptation. *PLoS Genet*. **10(8):** e1004412. doi:
10.1371/journal.pgen.1004412

Bernatchez L. 2001. The evolutionary history of brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.) inferred from phylogeographic,
nested clade, and mismatch analyses of mitochondrial DNA variation. *Evolution* **55(2):** 351-379. doi:
10.1111/j.0014-3820.2001.tb01300.x

Bertolesi GE, Vazhappilly ST, Hehr CL, McFarlane S. 2016. Pharmacological induction of skin pigmentation
unveils the neuroendocrine circuit regulated by light. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res*. **29(2):** 186-198. doi:
10.1111/pcmr.12442

Blanc J.M., Poisson H., Vibert R. 1982. Variabilité génétique de la ponctuation noire sur la truitelle Fario (*Salmo*
*trutta* L.). *Ann. Génét. Sél. Anim*. **14:** 225-236

Blanc J.M., Chevassus B., Krieg F. 1994. Inheritance of the number of red spots on the skin of the brown trout.
*Aquat. Living Resour*. **7(2):** 133-136. doi: 10.1051/alr:1994016

Blanc JM, Poisson H, Quillet E. 2006. A blue variant in the rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum. *J.*
*Hered*. **97:** 89–93. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esj010

Bian C, Hu Y, Ravi V, et al. 2016. The Asian arowana (*Scleropages formosus*) genome provides new insights
into the evolution of an early lineage of teleosts. *Sci. Rep*. **6:** 24501. doi:10.1038/srep24501

Billerman SM, Cicero C, Bowie RCK, Carling MD. 2019. Phenotypic and genetic introgression across a moving
woodpecker hybrid zone. *Mol. Ecol*. **28(7):** 1692-1708. doi: 10.1111/mec.15043

Blanchet FG, Legendre P, Borcard D. 2008. Forward selection of explanatory variables. *Ecology* **89(9):** 2623-
2632. doi: 10.1890/07-0986.1

Bohling, J., Haffray, P., Berrebi, P. 2016. Genetic diversity and population structure of domestic brown trout
(*Salmo trutta*) in France. *Aquaculture* **462:** 1-9. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.04.013

Borowsky R, Wilkens H. 2002. Mapping a cave fish genome: polygenic systems and regressive evolution. *J*
*Hered*. **93(1):** 19-21. doi: 10.1093/jhered/93.1.19

Boulding EG, Culling M, Glebe B, Berg PR, Lien S, Moen T. 2008. Conservation genomics of Atlantic salmon:
SNPs associated with QTLs for adaptive traits in parr from four trans-Atlantic backcrosses. *Heredity* **101(4):**
381-391. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2008.67

Braasch I, Brunet F, Volff JN, Schartl M. 2009. Pigmentation pathway evolution after whole-genome duplication
in fish. *Genome Biol. Evol*. **1:** 479-493. doi: 10.1093/gbe/evp050

Brännäs E, Backström T, Nilsson J et al. 2016. Distinguishing Arctic charr with different stress coping styles by
visual screening of spottiness – reliability and consistency over time. *J. Zool*. **300(3):** 213-220. doi:
10.1111/jzo.12374

Brelsford A, Toews DPL, Irwin DE. 2017. Admixture mapping in a hybrid zone reveals loci associated with
avian feather coloration. *Proc. Biol. Sci*. **284(1866):** 20171106. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2017.1106

Brien MN, Enciso-Romero J, Parnell AJ, et al. 2019. Phenotypic variation in *Heliconius erato* crosses shows that
iridescent structural colour is sex-linked and controlled by multiple genes. *Interface Focus* **9(1):** 20180047.
doi:10.1098/rsfs.2018.0047

Broadhurst, L. M., Lowe, A., Coates, D. J., Cunningham, S. A., McDonald, M., Vesk, P. A., Yates, C. 2008.
Seed supply for broadscale restoration: maximizing evolutionary potential. *Evol. Applic*. **1(4):** 587–597. doi:
10.1111/j.1752-4571.2008.00045.x

Budy, P., Thiede G.P., Lobón-Cerviá, J., Gonzales, G., et al. 2013. Limitation and facilitation of one of the
world's most invasive fish: an intercontinental comparison. *Ecology* **94:** 356–367. doi: 10.2307/23435983

Bush WD, Simon JD. 2007. Quantification of $Ca^{2+}$ binding to melanin supports the hypothesis that melanosomes
serve a functional role in regulating calcium homeostasis. *Pigment Cell Res*. **20(2):** 134-139. doi:
10.1111/j.1600-0749.2007.00362.x

Cal L, Suarez-Bregua P, Braasch I, et al. 2019. Loss-of-function mutations in the melanocortin 1 receptor cause
disruption of dorso-ventral countershading in teleost fish. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res*. **32(6):** 817-828. doi:
10.1111/pcmr.12806

Capblancq T, Luu K, Blum MGB, Bazin E. 2018. Evaluation of redundancy analysis to identify signatures of
local adaptation. *Mol. Ecol. Resour*. **18(6):** 1223-1233. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.12906

Carvalho CS, Forester BR, Mitre SK, et al. 2020. Combining genotype, phenotype, and environmental data to
delineate site-adjusted provenance strategies for ecological restoration. *Mol. Ecol. Resour*. 10.1111/1755-
0998.13191. doi:10.1111/1755-0998.13191

Ceinos RM, Guillot R, Kelsh RN, Cerdá-Reverter JM, Rotllant J. 2015. Pigment patterns in adult fish result from
superimposition of two largely independent pigmentation mechanisms. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res*. **28(2):**
196-209. doi: 10.1111/pcmr.12335

Chan IZW, Stevens M., Tood P.A. 2019. PAT-GEOM: a software package for analysis of animal colour patterns.
*Meth. Ecol. Evol*. **10(4):** 591-600. doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.13131

Charlier J, Laikre L, Ryman N. 2012. Genetic monitoring reveals temporal stability over 30 years in a small,
lake-resident brown trout population. *Heredity* **109(4):** 246-253. doi:10.1038/hdy.2012.36

Cheli Y, Ohanna M, Ballotti R, Bertolotto C. 2010. Fifteen-year quest for microphthalmia-associated
transcription factor target genes. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res*. **23(1):** 27-40. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-
148X.2009.00653.x

Chintala S, Li W, Lamoreux ML, et al. 2005. *Slc7a11* gene controls production of pheomelanin pigment and
proliferation of cultured cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **102(31):** 10964-10969.
doi:10.1073/pnas.0502856102

Cichorek M, Wachulska M, Stasiewicz A, Tymińska A. 2013. Skin melanocytes: biology and development.
*Postepy Dermatol. Alergol*. **30(1):** 30-41. doi: 10.5114/pdia.2013.33376

Cieslak M, Reissmann M, Hofreiter M, Ludwig A. 2011. Colours of domestication. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc*.
**86(4):** 885-899. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00177.x

Colihueque N. 2010. Genetics of salmonid skin pigmentation: clues and prospects for improving the external
appearance of farmed salmonids. *Rev. Fish Biol. Fisheries* **20:** 71–86. doi: 10.1007/s11160-009-9121-6

Concha C, Wallbank RWR, Hanly JJ, et al. 2019. Interplay between developmental flexibility and determinism
in the evolution of mimetic *Heliconius* wing patterns. *Curr. Biol*. **29(23):** 3996-4009.e4. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2019.10.010

Cook CN, Sgrò CM. 2018. Understanding managers' and scientists' perspectives on opportunities to achieve
more evolutionarily enlightened management in conservation. *Evol. Appl*. **11(8):** 1371-1388.
doi:10.1111/eva.12631

Cook CN, Sgrò CM. 2019. Conservation practitioners' understanding of how to manage evolutionary processes.
*Conserv. Biol*. **33(5):** 993-1001. doi:10.1111/cobi.13306

Crawford NG, Kelly DE, Hansen MEB, et al. 2017. Loci associated with skin pigmentation identified in African
populations. *Science* **358(6365):** eaan8433. doi:10.1126/science.aan8433

Cuthill IC, Allen WL, Arbuckle K, et al. 2017. The biology of color. *Science* **357(6350):** ean0221.
doi:10.1126/science.aan0221

Czyz M. 2019. Fibroblast growth factor receptor signaling in skin cancers. *Cells* **8(6):** 540. doi:
10.3390/cells8060540

da Silva Romero AR, Siquera F, Santiago GG et al. 2018. Prospecting genes associated with navel length, coat
and scrotal circumference traits in Canchim cattle. *Livest. Sci*. **210:** 33-38. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2018.02.004

Daya M, van der Merwe L, Gignoux CR, van Helden PD, Möller M, Hoal EG. 2014. Using multi-way admixture
mapping to elucidate TB susceptibility in the South African Coloured population. *BMC Genomics* **15(1):**
1021. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-1021

De Mita S, Thuillet AC, Gay L  et al. 2013. Detecting selection along environmental gradients: analysis of eight
methods and their effectiveness for outbreeding and selfing populations. *Mol. Ecol*. **22(5):** 1383-1399. doi:
10.1111/mec.12182

Denecker G, Vandamme N, Akay O, et al. 2014. Identification of a *ZEB2-MITF-ZEB1* transcriptional network
that controls melanogenesis and melanoma progression. *Cell Death Differ*. **21(8):** 1250-1261.
doi:10.1038/cdd.2014.44

Ding B, Patterson EL, Holalu SV, et al. 2020. Two MYB proteins in a self-organizing activator-inhibitor system
produce spotted pigmentation patterns. *Curr. Biol*. **30(5):** 802-814.e8. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2019.12.067

Djurdjevič I, Furmanek T, Miyazawa S, Sušnik Bajec S. 2019; Comparative transcriptome analysis of trout skin
pigment cells. *BMC Genomics*. **20(1):** 359. doi:10.1186/s12864-019-5714-1

Djurdjevič I, Kreft ME, Sušnik Bajec S. 2015. Comparison of pigment cell ultrastructure and organisation in the
dermis of marble trout and brown trout, and first description of erythrophore ultrastructure in salmonids. *J*
*Anat*. 227(5): 583-595. doi: 10.1111/joa.12373

Du J, Chen X, Wang J, et al. 2019. Comparative skin transcriptome of two Oujiang color common carp
(*Cyprinus carpio* var. color) varieties. *Fish Physiol. Biochem.* **45(1):** 177-185. doi: 10.1007/s10695-018-
0551-8

Dudbridge F, Gusnanto A. 2008. Estimation of significance thresholds for genomewide association scans. *Genet;*
*Epidemiol*. **32(3):** 227-234. doi: 10.1002/gepi.20297

Elworthy S, Lister JA, Carney TJ, Raible DW, Kelsh RN. 2003; Transcriptional regulation of *mitfa* accounts for
the *sox10* requirement in zebrafish melanophore development. *Development* **130(12):** 2809-2818. doi:
10.1242/dev.00461

Elliott J.M. 1989. Wild brown trout *Salmo trutta*: an important national and international resource. *Freshwat.*
*Biol*. **21:** 1-5. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2427.1989.tb01343.x

Endler J.A., Cole G.L., Kranz A.M. 2018. Boundary strength analysis: Combining colour pattern geometry and
coloured patch visual properties for use in predicting behaviour and fitness. *Meth. Ecol. Evol*. **9:** 2334-2448.
doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.13073

Eom DS, Bain EJ, Patterson LB, Grout ME, Parichy DM. 2015. Long-distance communication by specialized
cellular projections during pigment pattern development and evolution. *Elife* **4:** e12401.
doi:10.7554/eLife.12401

Fogelholm J, Henriksen R, Höglund A, et al. 2020. *CREBBP* and *WDR 24* identified as candidate genes for
quantitative variation in red-brown plumage colouration in the chicken. *Sci. Rep*. **10(1):** 1161. doi:
10.1038/s41598-020-57710-7

Forester BR, Lasky JR, Wagner HH, Urban DL. 2018. Comparing methods for detecting multilocus adaptation
with multivariate genotype-environment associations. *Mol. Ecol*. **27(9):** 2215-2233. doi: 10.1111/mec.14584

Frichot E., Francois O. 2015. LEA: an R package for Landscape and Ecological Association studies. *Meth. Ecol.*
*Evol*. **6(8):** 925-929. doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12382

Friedman J, Hastie T, Tibshirani R. 2010. Regularization paths for generalized linear dodels via coordinate
descent. *J. Stat. Softw*. **33(1):** 1-22. PMID: 20808728

Fukamachi S, Shimada A, Shima A. 2001. Mutations in the gene encoding B, a novel transporter protein, reduce
melanin content in medaka. *Nat. Genet*. 28(4): 381-385. doi: 10.1038/ng584

Fulgione D, Rippa D, Buglione M, Trapanese M, Petrelli S, Maselli V. 2016. Unexpected but welcome.
Artificially selected traits may increase fitness in wild boar. *Evol. Appl*. *9(6):* 769-776. doi:
10.1111/eva.12383

Gallagher SJ, Rambow F, Kumasaka M et al. 2013. Beta-catenin inhibits melanocyte migration but induces
melanoma metastasis. *Oncogene* **32(17):** 2230-2238. doi: 10.1038/onc.2012.229

Gautier M. 2015. Genome-wide scan for adaptive divergence and association with population-specific
covariates. *Genetics* **201(4):** 1555-1579. doi: 10.1534/genetics.115.181453

Gauthier J, de Silva DL, Gompert Z, et al. 2020. Contrasting genomic and phenotypic outcomes of hybridization
between pairs of mimetic butterfly taxa across a suture zone. *Mol. Ecol*. **29(7):** 1328-1343. doi:
10.1111/mec.15403

Ginger RS, Askew SE, Ogborne RM, et al. 2008. *SLC24A5* encodes a trans-Golgi network protein with
potassium-dependent sodium-calcium exchange activity that regulates human epidermal melanogenesis. *J.*
*Biol. Chem*. **283(9):** 5486-5495. doi:10.1074/jbc.M707521200

Gosset CC, Bierne N. 2013. Differential introgression from a sister species explains high $F_{ST}$ outlier loci within a
mussel species. *J. Evol. Biol*. **26(1):** 14-26. doi:10.1111/jeb.12046

Gramann AK, Venkatesan AM, Guerin M, Ceol CJ. 2019. Regulation of zebrafish melanocyte development by
ligand-dependent BMP signaling. *Elife* **8:** e50047. doi: 10.7554/eLife.50047

Greenhill ER, Rocco A, Vibert L, Nikaido M, Kelsh RN. 2011. An iterative genetic and dynamical modelling
approach identifies novel features of the gene regulatory network underlying melanocyte development.
*PLoS Genet*. **7(9):** e1002265. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002265

Greenwood AK, Jones FC, Chan YF, et al. 2011. The genetic basis of divergent pigment patterns in juvenile
threespine sticklebacks. *Heredity* **107(2):** 155-166. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2011.1

Gu L, Xia C. 2017. Revelation of the genetic basis for convergent innovative anal fin pigmentation patterns in
cichlid fishes. *bioRxiv*; doi: 10.1101/165217.

Hanna ZR, Dumbacher JP, Bowie RCK, Henderson JB, Wall JD. 2018. Whole-genome analysis of introgression
between the spotted owl and barred owl (*Strix occidentalis* and *Strix varia*, respectively; Aves: Strigidae) in
western North America. *G3* **8(12):** 3945-3952. doi: 10.1534/g3.118.200754

Hecht BC, Matala AP, Hess JE, Narum SR. 2015. Environmental adaptation in Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus
tshawytscha*) throughout their North American range. *Mol. Ecol.* **24(22):** 5573-5595. doi:
10.1111/mec.13409

Heimerl S, Bosserhoff AK, Langmann T, Ecker J, Schmitz G. 2007. Mapping ATP-binding cassette transporter
gene expression profiles in melanocytes and melanoma cells. *Melanoma Res.* **17(5):** 265-273. doi:
10.1097/CMR.0b013e3282a7e0b9

Hellström AR, Watt B, Fard SS et al. 2011. Inactivation of *Pmel* alters melanosome shape but has only a subtle
effect on visible pigmentation. *PLoS Genet.* **7(9):** e1002285. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002285

Henning F, Jones JC, Franchini P, Meyer A. 2013. Transcriptomics of morphological color change in
polychromatic Midas cichlids. *BMC Genomics.* **14:** 171. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-171

Henning F, Lee HJ, Franchini P, Meyer A. 2014. Genetic mapping of horizontal stripes in Lake Victoria cichlid
fishes: benefits and pitfalls of using RAD markers for dense linkage mapping. *Mol. Ecol.* **23(21):** 5224-5240.
doi: 10.1111/mec.12860

Hirobe T. 2011. How are proliferation and differentiation of melanocytes regulated? *Pigment Cell Melanoma
Res.* **24(3):** 462-478. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-148X.2011.00845.x

Hong L, Simon JD. 2007. Current understanding of the binding sites, capacity, affinity, and biological
significance of metals in melanin. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* **111(28):** 7938-7947. doi: 10.1021/jp071439h

Hou L, Arnheiter H, Pavan WJ. 2006. Interspecies difference in the regulation of melanocyte development by
*SOX10* and *MITF. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **103(24):** 9081-9085. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0603114103

Huang JM, Hornyak TJ. 2015. Polycomb group proteins - epigenetic repressors with emerging roles in
melanocytes and melanoma. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* **28(3):** 330-339. doi: 10.1111/pcmr.12341

Hultman KA, Bahary N, Zon LI, Johnson SL. 2007. Gene duplication of the zebrafish *kit ligand* and partitioning
of melanocyte development functions to *kit ligand a. PLoS Genet.* **3(1):** e17. doi:
10.1371/journal.pgen.0030017

Irion U, Nüsslein-Volhard C. 2019. The identification of genes involved in the evolution of color patterns in fish.
*Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **57:** 31-38. doi: 10.1016/j.gde.2019.07.002

Ishishita S, Takahashi M, Yamaguchi K, et al. 2018. Nonsense mutation in *PMEL* is associated with yellowish
plumage colour phenotype in Japanese quail. *Sci. Rep.* **8(1):** 16732. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-34827-4

Jacquin L, Gauthey Z, Roussille V, Le Hénaff M, Tentelier C, Labonne J. 2017. Melanin in a changing world:
brown trout coloration reflects alternative reproductive strategies in variable environments. *Behav. Ecol.*
**28(6):** 1423–1434. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arx102

Jia Q, Hu S, Jiao D, Li X, Qi S, Fan R. 2020. Synaptotagmin-4 promotes dendrite extension and melanogenesis
in alpaca melanocytes by regulating $Ca^{2+}$ influx via *TRPM1* channels. *Cell Biochem. Funct.* **38(3):** 275-282.
doi: 10.1002/cbf.3465

Jiang Y, Zhang S, Xu J, et al. 2014. Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals the genetic basis of skin color
variation in common carp. *PLoS One* **9(9):** e108200. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0108200

Jin Y, Andersen G, Yorgov D et al. 2016. Genome-wide association studies of autoimmune vitiligo identify 23
new risk loci and highlight key pathways and regulatory variants. *Nat. Genet.* **48(11):** 1418-1424. doi:
10.1038/ng.3680

Jombart T, Pontier D, Dufour AB. 2009. Genetic markers in the playground of multivariate analysis. *Heredity*
**102(4):** 330-341. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2008.130

Jones FC, Grabherr MG, Chan YF, et al. 2012. The genomic basis of adaptive evolution in threespine
sticklebacks. *Nature* **484(7392):** 55-61. doi: 10.1038/nature10944

Jones P, Lucock M, Veysey M, Jablonski N, Chaplin G, Beckett E. 2018. Frequency of folate-related
polymorphisms varies by skin pigmentation. *Am. J. Hum. Biol*. **30(2):** 10.1002/ajhb.23079. doi:
10.1002/ajhb.23079

Jørgensen KM, Solberg MF, Besnier F, et al. 2018. Judging a salmon by its spots: environmental variation is the
primary determinant of spot patterns in *Salmo salar. BMC Ecol*. **18(1):** 14. doi: 10.1186/s12898-018-0170-3

Kang X, Liu G, Liu Y, Xu Q, Zhang M, Fang M. 2013. Transcriptome profile at different physiological stages
reveals potential mode for curly fleece in Chinese tan sheep. *PLoS One* 8(8): e71763. doi:
10.1371/journal.pone.0071763

Kardos M, Husby A, McFarlane SE, Qvarnström A, Ellegren H. 2016. Whole-genome resequencing of extreme
phenotypes in collared flycatchers highlights the difficulty of detecting quantitative trait loci in natural
populations. *Mol. Ecol. Resour*. **16(3):** 727-741. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12498

Kelsh RN, Inoue C, Momoi A, et al. 2004. The Tomita collection of medaka pigmentation mutants as a resource
for understanding neural crest cell development. *Mech. Dev*. **121(7-8):** 841-859. doi:
10.1016/j.mod.2004.01.004

Kelsh RN, Harris ML, Colanesi S, Erickson CA. 2009. Stripes and belly-spots -- a review of pigment cell
morphogenesis in vertebrates. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol*. **20(1):** 90-104. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2008.10.001

Kido K, Sumimoto H, Asada S et al. 2009. Simultaneous suppression of MITF and BRAF$^{V600E}$ enhanced
inhibition of melanoma cell proliferation. *Cancer Sci*. **100(10):** 1863-1869. doi: 10.1111/j.1349-
7006.2009.01266.x

Kimura T, Nagao Y, Hashimoto H, et al. 2014. Leucophores are similar to xanthophores in their specification
and differentiation processes in medaka. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **111(20):** 7343-7348. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1311254111

Kimura T, Takehana Y, Naruse K. 2017. *pnp4a* is the causal gene of the medaka iridophore
mutant *guanineless*. *G3* **7(4):** 1357-1363. doi:10.1534/g3.117.040675

Kirczuk L., Domagała J. 2012. Colouration of reciprocal hybrids of salmon (*Salmo salar* L., 1758) and sea trout
(*Salmo trutta m.* trutta L, 1758) aged 0+ and 1+ in the natural conditions. *EJPAU* **15(1):** #02. available
online: http://www.ejpau.media.pl/volume15/issue1/art-02.html

Kittilsen S, Schjolden J, Beitnes-Johansen I, Shaw JC, Pottinger TG, Sørensen C, Braastad BO, Bakken M,
Øverli Ø. 2009. Melanin-based skin spots reflect stress responsiveness in salmonid fish. *Hormon. Behav*.
**56:** 292–298. doi: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2009.06.006

Klotz B, Kneitz S, Regensburger M, et al. 2018. Expression signatures of early-stage and advanced medaka
melanomas. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. C Toxicol. Pharmacol*. **208:** 20-28. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpc.2017.11.005

Kocabaş M, Kutluyer F., Başçinar N. 2018. Phenotypic differentiation analysis: A case study in hybridizing
Çoruh trout (*Salmo coruhensis*), Rize trout (*Salmo rizeensis*) and brown trout (*Salmo trutta fario*). *Acta Zool*.
**99(3):** 274-280. doi: 10.1111/azo.12211

Kon T, Omori Y, Fukuta K, et al. 2020. The genetic basis of morphological diversity in domesticated goldfish.
*Curr Biol*. **30(12):** 2260-2274.e6. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.04.034

Kottler VA, Schartl M. 2018. The colorful sex chromosomes of teleost fish. *Genes (Basel)* **9(5):** 233. doi:
10.3390/genes9050233

Kratochwil CF, Liang Y, Gerwin J, et al. 2018. Agouti-related peptide 2 facilitates convergent evolution of stripe
patterns across cichlid fish radiations. *Science* **362(6413):** 457-460. doi: 10.1126/science.aao6809

Kronenberger JA, Gerberich JC, Fitzpatrick SW, Broder ED, Angeloni LM, Funk WC. 2018. An experimental
test of alternative population augmentation scenarios. *Conserv. Biol*. **32(4):** 838-848. doi:
10.1111/cobi.13076

Lamason RL, Mohideen MA, Mest JR, et al. 2005. *SLC24A5*, a putative cation exchanger, affects pigmentation
in zebrafish and humans. *Science* **310(5755):** 1782-1786. doi: 10.1126/science.1116238

Larter M, Dunbar-Wallis A, Berardi AE, Smith SD. 2018. Convergent evolution at the pathway level:
predictable regulatory changes during flower color transitions. *Mol. Biol. Evol*. **35(9):** 2159-2169. doi:
10.1093/molbev/msy117

Lascaux J.-M. 1996. *Analyse de la variabilité morphologique de la truite commune (Salmo trutta L.) dans les*
*cours d'eau du bassin pyrénéen méditerranéen.* PhD Thesis, Ecole Nationale Supérieure Agronomique de
Toulouse, Toulouse, France.

Leclercq E, Taylor JF, Migaud H (2010) Morphological skin colour changes in teleosts. *Fish Fish*. **11:** 159–193.
doi: 10.1111/j.1467-2979.2009.00346.x

Lee JL, Streuli CH. 2014. Integrins and epithelial cell polarity. *J. Cell Sci*. **127(Pt 15):** 3217-3225. doi:
10.1242/jcs.146142

Legendre P., Legendre L. 2012. *Numerical Ecology, 3rd Edition*. Elsevier.

Leitwein M., Gagnaire P.-A., Desmarais E., Guendouz S., Rohmer M., Berrebi P., Guinand B. 2016. Genome-
wide nucleotide diversity of hatchery-reared Atlantic and Mediterranean strains of brown trout *Salmo trutta*
compared to wild Mediterranean populations. *J. Fish Biol.* **89(6):** 2717-2734. doi: 10.1111/jfb.13131

Leitwein M, Guinand B, Pouzadoux J, Desmarais E, Berrebi P, Gagnaire PA. 2017. A dense brown trout (*Salmo*
*trutta*) linkage map reveals recent chromosomal rearrangements in the *Salmo* genus and the impact of
selection on linked neutral diversity. *G3* **7(4):** 1365-1376. doi:10.1534/g3.116.038497

Leitwein M, Gagnaire PA, Desmarais E, Berrebi P, Guinand B. 2018. Genomic consequences of a recent three-
way admixture in supplemented wild brown trout populations revealed by local ancestry tracts. *Mol. Ecol*.
27(17): 3466-3483. doi: 10.1111/mec.14816

Levy C, Khaled M, Fisher DE. 2006. *MITF*: master regulator of melanocyte development and melanoma
oncogene. *Trends Mol. Med*. **12(9):** 406-414. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2006.07.008

Lewis VM, Saunders LM, Larson TA, et al. 2019. Fate plasticity and reprogramming in genetically distinct
populations of *Danio* leucophores. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **116(24):** 11806-11811. doi:
10.1073/pnas.1901021116

Li Z, Kemppainen P, Rastas P, Merilä J. 2018. Linkage disequilibrium clustering-based approach for association
mapping with tightly linked genomewide data. *Mol. Ecol. Resour*. **18(4):** 809-824. doi: 10.1111/1755-
0998.12893

Liang Y, Gerwin J, Meyer A, Kratochwil CF. 2020 Developmental and cellular basis of vertical bar color
patterns in the East African cichlid fish *Haplochromis latifasciatus. Front. Cell Dev. Biol*. **8:** 62. doi:
10.3389/fcell.2020.00062

Lien S, Koop BF, Sandve SR, et al. 2016. The Atlantic salmon genome provides insights into rediploidization.
*Nature* **533(7602):** 200-205. doi: 10.1038/nature17164

Lorenzoni, M., Carosi, A., Giovannotti, M., La Porta, G., Splendiani, A., Caputo Barucchi, V. 2019.
Morphological survey as powerful detection tool of pure and local phenotypes in *Salmo trutta* complex.
*Knowl. Manag. Aquat. Ecosyst.* **420:** 48. doi: 10.1051/kmae/2019041

Lorin T, Brunet FG, Laudet V, Volff JN. 2018. Teleost fish-specific preferential retention of pigmentation gene-
containing families after whole genome duplications in vertebrates. *G3* **8(5):** 1795-1806. doi:
10.1534/g3.118.200201

Lotterhos KE. 2019. The effect of neutral recombination variation on genome scans for selection. *G3* **9(6):** 1851-
1867. doi: 10.1534/g3.119.400088

Luo M, Wang L, Yin H, Zhu W, Fu J, Dong Z. 2019. Integrated analysis of long non-coding RNA and mRNA
expression in different colored skin of koi carp. *BMC Genomics* **20(1):** 5 15. doi: 10.1186/s12864-019-
5894-8

Maan ME, Sefc KM. 2013. Colour variation in cichlid fish: developmental mechanisms, selective pressures and
evolutionary consequences. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol*. **24(6-7):** 516-528. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2013.05.003

Magalhaes IS, Seehausen O. 2010. Genetics of male nuptial colour divergence between sympatric sister species
of a Lake Victoria cichlid fish. *J. Evol. Biol*. **23(5):** 914-924. doi: 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.01960.x

Mahalwar P, Walderich B, Singh AP, Nüsslein-Volhard C. 2014. Local reorganization of xanthophores fine-
tunes and colors the striped pattern of zebrafish. *Science* **345(6202):** 1362-1364. doi:
10.1126/science.1254837

Mahalwar P, Singh AP, Fadeev A, Nüsslein-Volhard C, Irion U. 2016. Heterotypic interactions regulate cell
shape and density during color pattern formation in zebrafish. *Biol. Open* **5(11):** 1680-1690. doi:
10.1242/bio.022251

Malek TB, Boughman JW, Dworkin I, Peichel CL. 2012. Admixture mapping of male nuptial colour and body
shape in a recently formed hybrid population of threespine stickleback. *Mol. Ecol*. **21(21):** 5265-5279. doi:
10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05660.x

Marie-Orleach L, Roussel JM, Bugeon J, Tremblay J, Ombredane D. et al. 2014. Melanin-based coloration of
sneaker male Atlantic salmon is linked to viability and emergence timing of their offspring. *Biol. J. Linn.*
*Soc.* **111(1):** 126-135. doi: 10.1111/bij.12187

McMenamin SK, Bain EJ, McCann AE et al. 2014. Thyroid hormone-dependent adult pigment cell lineage and
pattern in zebrafish. *Science* **345(6202):** 1358-1361. doi: 10.1126/science.1256251

Meier JI, Marques DA, Wagner CE, Excoffier L, Seehausen O. 2018. Genomics of parallel ecological speciation
in Lake Victoria cichlids. *Mol. Biol. Evol*. **35(6):** 1489-1506. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy051

Mezzera M., Largiadèr C.R., Scholl A. 1997. Discrimination of native and introduced brown trout in the River
Doubs (Rhône drainage) by number and shape of parr marks. *J. Fish Biol*. **50:** 672-677. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-
8649.1997.tb01959.x

Miao Z, Gaynor KM, Wang J, et al. 2019. Insights and approaches using deep learning to classify wildlife. *Sci.*
*Rep*. **9(1):** 8137. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-44565-w

Miller CT, Beleza S, Pollen AA, et al. 2007. *Cis*-regulatory changes in *Kit ligand* expression and parallel
evolution of pigmentation in sticklebacks and humans. *Cell* **131(6):** 1179-1189. doi:
10.1016/j.cell.2007.10.055

Miyamoto, K. 2016. Effects of body color luminance and behavioral characteristics on predation risk in
salmonid fishes. *Hydrobiologia* **783:** 249–256. doi: 10.1007/s10750-015-2573-x

Miyazawa S, Okamoto M, Kondo S. 2010. Blending of animal colour patterns by hybridization. *Nat. Commun*.
**1(6):** 66. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1071

Nagao Y, Takada H, Miyadai M, et al. 2018. Distinct interactions of *Sox5* and *Sox10* in fate specification of
pigment cells in medaka and zebrafish. *PLoS Genet*. **14(4):** e1007260. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1007260

Nazari-Ghadikolaei A, Mehrabani-Yeganeh H, Miarei-Aashtiani SR, Staiger EA, Rashidi A, Huson HJ. 2018.
Genome-wide association studies identify candidate genes for coat color and mohair traits in the Iranian
Markhoz goat. *Front. Genet*. **9:** 105. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2018.00105

Neethiraj R, Hornett EA, Hill JA, Wheat CW. 2017. Investigating the genomic basis of discrete phenotypes
using a Pool-Seq-only approach: new insights into the genetics underlying colour variation in diverse taxa.
*Mol. Ecol*. **26(19):** 4990-5002. doi: 10.1111/mec.14205

Nilsson J., Backström T., Stien L.H. et al. 2016. Effects of age and rearing environment on genetic parameters of
growth and body weight and heritability of skin pigmentation in Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpinus* L.).
*Aquaculture* **453:** 67-72. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.11.035

Nüsslein-Volhard C, Singh AP. 2017. How fish color their skin: A paradigm for development and evolution of
adult patterns: multipotency, plasticity, and cell competition regulate proliferation and spreading of pigment
cells in zebrafish coloration. *Bioessays* **39(3):** 10.1002/bies.201600231. doi:10.1002/bies.201600231

O'Connor KI, Metcalfe NB, Taylor AC. 2000. Familiarity influences body darkening in territorial disputes
between juvenile salmon. *Anim. Behav*. **59(6):** 1095-1101. doi: 10.1006/anbe.2000.1401

O'Quin CT, Drilea AC, Conte MA, Kocher TD. 2013. Mapping of pigmentation QTL on an anchored genome
assembly of the cichlid fish, *Metriaclima zebra*. *BMC Genomics* **14:** 287. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-287

O'Reilly PF, Hoggart CJ, Pomyen Y, et al. 2012. MultiPhen: joint model of multiple phenotypes can increase
discovery in GWAS. *PLoS One* **7(5):** e34861. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0034861

Ohtsuka M, Kikuchi N, Yokoi H, et al. 2004. Possible roles of *zic1* and *zic4*, identified within the medaka
Double anal fin (Da) locus, in dorsoventral patterning of the trunk-tail region (related to phenotypes of the
Da mutant). *Mech. Dev*. **121(7-8):** 873-882. doi: 10.1016/j.mod.2004.04.006

Orteu A, Jiggins CD. 2020. The genomics of coloration provides insights into adaptive evolution. *Nat. Rev.*
*Genet*. 10.1038/s41576-020-0234-z. doi:10.1038/s41576-020-0234-z

Özsu N, Monteiro A. 2017. Wound healing, calcium signaling, and other novel pathways are associated with the
formation of butterfly eyespots. *BMC Genomics* **18(1):** 788. doi: 10.1186/s12864-017-4175-7

Pallares LF, Harr B, Turner LM, Tautz D. 2014. Use of a natural hybrid zone for genomewide association
mapping of craniofacial traits in the house mouse. *Mol. Ecol.* **23(23):** 5756-5770. doi: 10.1111/mec.12968

Palm S, Laikre L, Jorde PE, Ryman N. 2003. Effective population size and temporal genetic change in resident
brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.). *Conserv. Genet.* **4(3):** 249-264. doi: 10.1023/A:102406913094

Parichy DM. 2006. Evolution of danio pigment pattern development. *Heredity* **97(3):** 200-210. doi:
10.1038/sj.hdy.6800867

Parichy DM, Spiewak JE. 2015. Origins of adult pigmentation: diversity in pigment stem cell lineages and
implications for pattern evolution. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* **28(1):** 31-50. doi: 10.1111/pcmr.12332

Patton EE, Mitchell DL, Nairn RS. 2010. Genetic and environmental melanoma models in fish. *Pigment Cell*
*Melanoma Res.* **23(3):** 314-337. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-148X.2010.00693.x

Pavan WJ, Sturm RA. 2019. The genetics of human skin and hair pigmentation. *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum.*
*Genet.* **20:** 41-72. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genom-083118-015230

Peichel CL, Marques DA. 2017. The genetic and molecular architecture of phenotypic diversity in sticklebacks.
*Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **372(1713):** 20150486. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0486

Porter HF, O'Reilly PF. 2017. Multivariate simulation framework reveals performance of multi-trait GWAS
methods. *Sci. Rep.* **7:** 38837. doi: 10.1038/srep38837

Poteaux C., Bonhomme, F., Berrebi, P. 1998. Differences between nuclear and mitochondrial introgressions of
brown trout populations from a restocked main river and its unrestocked tributary. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **63:**
379–392. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1998.tb01524.x

Protas M, Conrad M, Gross JB, Tabin C, Borowsky R. 2007. Regressive evolution in the Mexican cave tetra,
*Astyanax mexicanus. Curr. Biol.* **17(5):** 452-454. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2007.01.05

Protas ME, Patel NH. 2008. Evolution of coloration patterns. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **24:** 425-446. doi:
10.1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175302

Rammah C, Gadin S., Cormier S., Souilhol C., Cohen-Tannoudji M., Panthier JJ, Aubin-Houzelstein G. 2009.
Implication of notchless and strawberry notch homolog 2 genes in melanocyte stem cells homeostasis.
*Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* **22:** 671. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-148X.2009.00612.x 652 a

Raposo G, Marks MS. 2007. Melanosomes - dark organelles enlighten endosomal membrane transport. *Nat. Rev.*
*Mol. Cell Biol.* **8(10):** 786-797. doi: 10.1038/nrm2258

Rivera-Colón AG, Westerman EL, Van Belleghem SM, Monteiro A, Papa R. 2020. Multiple loci control eyespot
number variation on the hindwings of *Bicyclus anynana* butterflies. *Genetics* **214(4):** 1059-1078. doi:
10.1534/genetics.120.303059

Roberts RB, Moore EC, Kocher TD. 2017. An allelic series at *pax7a* is associated with colour polymorphism
diversity in Lake Malawi cichlid fish. *Mol. Ecol.* **26(10):** 2625-2639. doi: 10.1111/mec.13975

Roberts RB, Ser JR, Kocher TD. 2009. Sexual conflict resolved by invasion of a novel sex determiner in Lake
Malawi cichlid fishes. *Science* **326(5955):** 998-1001. doi: 10.1126/science.1174705

Saberioon M., Gholizadeh, A., Cisar, P., Pautsina, A., Urban, J., 2016. Application of machine vision systems in
aquaculture with emphasis on fish: state-of-the-art and key issues. *Rev. Aquac.* **8:** 1–19. doi:
10.1111/raq.12143

Salis P, Roux N, Soulat O, Lecchini D, Laudet V, Frédérich B. 2018. Ontogenetic and phylogenetic
simplification during white stripe evolution in clownfishes. *BMC Biol.* **16(1):** 90. doi:10.1186/s12915-018-
0559-7

San-José LM, Roulin A. 2017. Genomics of coloration in natural animal populations. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc.*
*Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **372(1724):** 20160337. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0337

Santos ME, Braasch I, Boileau N, et al. 2014. The evolution of cichlid fish egg-spots is linked with a cis-
regulatory change. *Nat. Commun.* **5:** 5149. doi: 10.1038/ncomms6149

Santure AW, Garant D. 2018. Wild GWAS-association mapping in natural populations. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*
**18(4):** 729-738. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.12901

Sanz N. 2018. Phylogeographic History of Brown Trout: A Review. Pp. 17-63. In: *Brown Trout: Biology,*
*Ecology and Management* (J. Lobón-Cerviá & N. Sanz Eds.). Wiley Ltd, Oxford.

Schielzeth H, Husby A. 2014. Challenges and prospects in genome-wide quantitative trait loci mapping of
standing genetic variation in natural populations. *Ann. NY Acad. Sci.* **1320:** 35-57. doi: 10.1111/nyas.12397

Schonthaler HB, Lampert JM, von Lintig J, Schwarz H, Geisler R, Neuhauss SC. 2005. A mutation in the silver
gene leads to defects in melanosome biogenesis and alterations in the visual system in the zebrafish mutant
fading vision. *Dev. Biol.* **284(2):** 421-436. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.06.001

Sefc KM, Mattersdorfer K, Ziegelbecker A et al. 2017. Shifting barriers and phenotypic diversification by
hybridisation. *Ecol. Lett.* **20(5):** 651-662. doi: 10.1111/ele.12766

Sevane N, Sanz CR, Dunner S. 2019. Explicit evidence for a missense mutation in exon 4 of *SLC45A2* gene
causing the pearl coat dilution in horses. *Anim. Genet.* **50(3):** 275-278. doi: 10.1111/age.12784

She R, Jarosz DF. 2018. Mapping causal variants with single-nucleotide resolution reveals biochemical drivers
of phenotypic change. *Cell* **172(3):** 478-490.e15. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.12.015

Schouwey K, Beermann F. 2008. The Notch pathway: hair graying and pigment cell homeostasis. *Histol.*
*Histopathol.* **23(5):** 609-619. doi: 10.14670/HH-23.609

Shriner D. 2017. Overview of admixture mapping. *Curr. Protoc. Hum. Genet.* **94:** 1.23.1-1.23.8. doi:
10.1002/cphg.44

Shriver MD, Parra EJ, Dios S, et al. 2003. Skin pigmentation, biogeographical ancestry and admixture mapping.
*Hum. Genet.* **112(4):** 387-399. doi: 10.1007/s00439-002-0896-y

Sinclair-Waters M, Ødegård J, Korsvoll SA, et al. 2020. Beyond large-effect loci: large-scale GWAS reveals a
mixed large-effect and polygenic architecture for age at maturity of Atlantic salmon. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* **52(1):**
9 doi: 10.1186/s12711-020-0529-8

Singh AP, Nüsslein-Volhard C. 2015. Zebrafish stripes as a model for vertebrate colour pattern formation. *Curr.*
*Biol.* **25(2):** R81-R92. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.11.013

Singh SK, Abbas WA, Tobin DJ. 2012. Bone morphogenetic proteins differentially regulate pigmentation in
human skin cells. *J. Cell Sci.* **125(18):** 4306-4319. doi10.1242/jcs.102038

Sivka U, Snoj A, Palandačić A, Sušnik Bajec S. 2013. Identification of candidate genes involved in marble color
pattern formation in genus *Salmo*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. D Genom. Prot.* **8(3):** 244-249. doi:
10.1016/j.cbd.2013.06.003

Skaala Ø., Jørstad K.E. 1988. Inheritance of the fine-spotted pigmentation pattern of brown trout. *Pol. Archiv.*
*Hydrobiol.* **35:** 295–304.

Soong J, Scott G. 2013. *Plexin B1* inhibits MET through direct association and regulates *Shp2* expression in
melanocytes. *J. Cell Sci.* **126(Pt 2):** 688-695. doi: 10.1242/jcs.119487

Soong J, Chen Y, Shustef EM, Scott GA. 2012. *Sema4D*, the ligand for *Plexin B1*, suppresses c-Met activation
and migration and promotes melanocyte survival and growth. *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **132(4):** 1230-1238. doi:
10.1038/jid.2011.414

Talbot B, Chen TW, Zimmerman S, et al. 2017. Combining genotype, phenotype, and environment to infer
potential candidate genes. *J. Hered.* **108(2):** 207-216. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esw077

Takeda H, Shimada A. 2010. The art of medaka genetics and genomics: what makes them so unique? *Annu. Rev.*
*Genet.* **44:** 217-241. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-051710-151001

Taylor H.R., Dussex N., van Heezik Y. 2017. Bridging the conservation genetics gap by identifying barriers to
implementation for conservation practitioners. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* **10:** 231-242. doi:
10.1016/j.gecco.2017.04.001

Toews D.P.L., Taylor S.A., Vallender R., Brelsford A., Butcher B.G., Messer P.W., Lovette I.J. 2016. Plumage
genes and little else distinguish the genomes of hybridizing warblers. *Curr. Biol.* **26:** 2313-2318. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2016.06.034

Toomey MB, Marques CI, Andrade P, et al. 2018. A non-coding region near *Follistatin* controls head colour
polymorphism in the Gouldian finch. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **285(1888):** 20181788. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2018.1788

Tripathi N, Hoffmann M, Willing EM, Lanz C, Weigel D, Dreyer C. 2009. Genetic linkage map of the guppy,
Poecilia reticulata, and quantitative trait loci analysis of male size and colour variation. *Proc. Biol. Sci.*
276(1665): 2195-2208. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2008.1930

Uong A, Zon LI. 2010. Melanocytes in development and cancer. *J. Cell Physiol*. **222(1):** 38-41. doi:
10.1002/jcp.21935

Uy J.A., Cooper E.A., Cutie S., et al. 2016. Mutations in different pigmentation genes are associated with
parallel melanism in island flycatchers. *Proc. Biol. Sci*. **283(1834):** 20160731. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2016.0731

Van Belleghem SM, Papa R, Ortiz-Zuazaga H, et al. 2018. patternize: An R package for quantifying colour
pattern variation. *Meth. Ecol. Evol.* **9(2):** 390-398. doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12853

Vangestel, C., Eckert, A.J., Wegrzyn, J.L. *et al.* 2018. Linking phenotype, genotype and environment to unravel
genetic components underlying cold hardiness in coastal Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* var. *menziesii*).
*Tree Genet. Genomes* 14: 10. doi: 10.1007/s11295-017-1225-x

Wade N, Bryant NJ, Connolly LM et al. 2001. *Syntaxin 7* complexes with mouse *Vps10p tail interactor 1b*,
*syntaxin 6*, *vesicle-associated membrane protein (VAMP)8*, and *VAMP7* in b16 melanoma cells. *J. Biol.*
*Chem.* 276(23): 19820-19827. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M010838200

Wang LM, Bu HY, Song FB, Zhu WB, Fu JJ, Dong ZJ. 2019. Characterization and functional analysis of
*slc7a11* gene, involved in skin color differentiation in the red tilapia. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr.*
*Physiol*. 236: 110529. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2019.110529

Wang Z, Jinnin M, Kobayashi Y, et al. 2015. Mice overexpressing integrin $\alpha v$ in fibroblasts exhibit dermal
thinning of the skin. *J. Dermatol. Sci*. **79(3):** 268-278. doi: 10.1016/j.jdermsci.2015.06.008

Waples RS, Naish KA, Primmer CR. 2020. Conservation and management of salmon in the age of genomics.
*Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci*. **8:** 117-143. doi: 10.1146/annurev-animal-021419-083617

Watt FM. 2002. Role of integrins in regulating epidermal adhesion, growth and differentiation. *EMBO J*. **21(15):**
3919-3926. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdf399

Watt C., Swanson C., Miller D. et al. 2017. Social hierarchies override environmental conditions in determining
body coloration of brook trout. *J. Freshwat. Ecol*. **32(1):** 575-580. doi: 10.1080/02705060.2017.1360218

Wedekind C, Jacob A, Evanno G, Nusslé S, Müller R. 2008. Viability of brown trout embryos positively linked
to melanin-based but negatively to carotenoid-based colours of their fathers. *Proc. Biol. Sci*. **275(1644):**
1737-1744. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2008.0072

Westley PA, Stanley R, Fleming IA. 2013. Experimental tests for heritable morphological color plasticity in non-
native brown trout (Salmo trutta) populations. *PLoS One* **8(11):** e80401. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080401

Williams JS, Hsu JY, Rossi CC, Artinger KB. 2018. Requirement of zebrafish *pcdh10a* and *pcdh10b* in
melanocyte precursor migration. *Dev. Biol*. **444 (Suppl 1):** S274-S286. doi: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.03.022

Wishkerman A., Boglino A., Darias M.J., Andree K.B., Estevez A., Gisbert E. 2016. Image analysis-based
classification of pigmentation patterns in fish: a case study of pseudo-albinism in Senegalese sole.
*Aquaculture* **464:** 303-308. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.06.040

Xu P, Zhang X, Wang X, et al. 2014. Genome sequence and genetic diversity of the common carp, *Cyprinus*
*carpio*. *Nat. Genet*. **46(11):** 1212-1219. doi: 10.1038/ng.3098

Xu X, Dong GX, Hu XS, et al. 2013. The genetic basis of white tigers. *Curr. Biol*. **23(11):** 1031-1035. doi:
10.1016/j.cub.2013.04.054

Yamaguchi Y, Brenner M, Hearing VJ. 2007. The regulation of skin pigmentation. *J. Biol. Chem*. **282(38):**
27557-27561. doi: 10.1074/jbc.R700026200

Yamazaki F. 1974. On the so-called "cobalt" variant of rainbow trout. *Bull. Jpn. Soc. Sci. Fish*. **40:** 17–25. doi:
10.2331/suisan.40.17

Yano A, Nicol B, Jouanno E, et al. 2013. The sexually dimorphic on the Y-chromosome gene (*sdY*) is a
conserved male-specific Y-chromosome sequence in many salmonids. *Evol Appl*. **6(3):** 486-496. doi:
10.1111/eva.12032

Yong L, Peichel CL, McKinnon JS. 2015. Genetic architecture of conspicuous red ornaments in female
threespine stickleback. *G3* **6(3):** 579-588. doi: 10.1534/g3.115.024505

Zastavniouk C, Weir LK, Fraser DJ. 2017. The evolutionary consequences of habitat fragmentation: Body
morphology and coloration differentiation among brook trout populations of varying size. *Ecol. Evol.* **7(17):**
6850-6862. doi: 10.1002/ece3.3229

Zhu W, Wang L, Dong Z, et al. 2016. Comparative transcriptome analysis identifies candidate genes related to
skin color differentiation in red tilapia. *Sci. Rep.* **6:** 31347. doi: 10.1038/srep31347

1243

1244    **Captions of the Tables**

1245

1246    **Table 1:** Uncorrelated pigmentation variables retained to build the forward selection model in

1247    RDA, and also used as a basis to multi-GWAS modelling. The full list of variables is reported

1248    in Table S1; correlation among variables in Table S2.

1249

**Table 2:** List of the most indicative pigmentation- or colour-related genes associated to some

CPLs ($N$ = 24; 30 distinct loci) found in this study. The method of association that detected

them is reported, together with their locations in the Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) genome

(Lien et al. 2016) and brown trout (*S. trutta*) high density linkage map of Leitwein et al.

(2017). Their position in coding sequence or in a 25kb window downstream or upstream the

gene is reported. Significant GO-terms are reported. For each gene, relevant references with

link to colour and/or skin features and pigmentation are provided. The full list of CPLs is

given in Table S4.

**Captions of the Figures**

1259

**Fig. 1:** RDA triplots for significant or nearly significant canonical axes 1 and 2, respectively.

Pigmentation-related variables retained by forward modelling (Blanchet et al. 2008) are

represented by arrows; description of the retained model in Table S3. Length of arrows is

proportional to the strength of correlation of each variable with individual axis. Arrows

pointing to different direction indicate negatively correlated variables (*e.g. N.PR.Tot* and the

large opercular black stain *Macrost* for RDA axis 1). The percentage of variance associated to

each axis is reported, as well as the eigenvalue graph for constrained axis. **(A):** Individual

trout are positioned on the map with positioning of SNPs as a grey block to the center of the

factor map. Individuals have been coloured according to the nine clusters defined by Leitwein

et al. (2018) (top left); Clusters reflect the origin (hatchery *vs* wild) of trout, but also the

degree of admixture of each of them as measured by co-ancestry tracts. **(B):** Zoom on the

centre of the map to illustrate the position of SNPs. SNPs departing ± 2.5 standard deviates

from the mean either on RDA axis 1 or RDA axis 2 have been coloured; a colour being

associated to each pigmentation-related variable included in the model (insert). These SNPs

are coloured with the variables they have been found the most significantly associated in the

model. SNPs given as grey spots are within the ± 2.5 S.D. interval and not considered in this

study. Percentages of variation explained by each RDA axis are reported.

1277

**Figure 2**: Manhattan plots of multi-trait GWAS models selected using the MultiPhen package

(O'Reilly et al. 2012), plus results associated to the single-trait GWAS model used in this

study. The abscissa axis represents the forty brown trout LGs (Leitwein et al. 2017), the

ordinate axis reports values of $-log_{10}(P)$, with $P$ being the probability of one association

between a variable and a SNP (adjusted significance threshold based on permutation,

Dudbridge and Gusnanto 2008). The red line indicates the $5 \times 10^{-8}$ significance threshold

retained in this study. **A:** Results for the multi-trait GWAS for the model using two variables:

*N. PN.Tot* and *N.PR.Tot*. The seven SNPs found significant in this model are represented by

circles. The results from single trait GWAS have been added to this panel to provide a full

summary of results. In this case, approximate position of the RAD-loci associated to the *N.*

*PN.Tot* (17 loci) and *N.PR.Tot* (9 loci) variables are indicated by black and red arrows,

respectively. One asterisk indicates two loci to close of each other to be indicated by separate

arrows. The locus associated to the *GJD2* gene on LG9 and also detected by the RDA is

indicated by a longer arrow. **B:** Multi-trait GWAS based on the variables retained with the

RDA except *Zeb* (31 candidate SNPs). The significance with each variable of the model is

indicated by a different symbol. Each vertical line of symbols points to a single SNP. Some

overlapping is possible. The full list of candidate SNPs detected using single and multi-trait

GWAS are reported in Table S4. Variables labelled as in Table 1.

1296

**Figure 3:** Distribution of $F_{ST}$ values for the 40,519 RAD-loci (blue) and for CPLs found

significantly associated with RDA axis 1 ($N = 320$ loci, reported in red in the insert). For each

data set, mean $F_{ST}$ values and confidence intervals are provided as full and hatched lines,

respectively. Mean values for the single- and multi-trait GWAS approaches are illustrated by

the green and the orange lines, respectively. No confidence intervals are reported for GWAS

because of the low number of CPLs detected with these approaches. Details in the main text.

1303

**Figure 4:** Positioning of the 300 mapped CPLs detected in this study on the forty LGs of the

brown trout. Loci found associated at least one single phenotypic trait are indicted by green,

red and yellow circles when detected with the RDA, single- or multi-GWAS analyses,

respectively. Horizontal bars indicate the distribution of the full set of SNPs over LGs. The

number of CPLs detected on each LG is indicated. As numerous loci are close to each other,

circles may overlap.

1310

| Phenotypic variables | Description | Nature* (coding) |
|---|---|---|
| *N. PR. Tot* | Total number of red spots over flanks | Q |
| *N. PN. Tot.* | Total number of black spots over flanks | Q |
| *Diam. PN* | Mean diameter of black spots | Q |
| *Oc. PN* | White ring around black spots | SQ (1= no ring; 2 = attenuated/thin ring, 3 = marked/thick ring) |
| *Fr. D* | Fringe on the dorsal fin | SQ (1= no fringe; 2 = white fringe, 3 = black & white fringe) |
| *Fr. An* | Fringe on the anal fin | SQ (1= no fringe; 2 = white fringe, 3 = black & white fringe) |
| *Fr. P* | Fringe on the pelvic fins | SQ (1= no fringe; 2 = white fringe, 3 = black & white fringe) |
| *L. Lat* | Visibility of the lateral line | SQ (1 = not visible; 2 = partially visible; 3 = fully visible) |
| *Parr* | Presence of parr marks | SQ (1 = absence; 2 = presence) |
| *Macrost.* | Macrostigma spot (large black dot on the pre-opercular bone) | SQ (1 = absence; 2 = partially visible/diluted; 3 = fully visible) |
| *Zeb* | Zebras on flank | SQ (1 = absence; 2 = presence) |

[*] : Q = quantitative variable; SQ = semi-quantitative variable (coding in brackets)

| Method of Association | LG - S. trutta | LG - S. salar | Position on S. salar genome (pb) | Position of the 'color-associated' locus (coding vs upstream/downstream) and distance to the nearest gene (in bp). | Protein Name (or lncRNA or pseudogene) | Gene Id | GO terms - Molecular Functions | GO terms - Biological processes | Associated reference(s) | Note |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| RDA | 1 | 29 | 16654071 | Downstream 1942 bp | notchless protein homolog 1 | NLE1 | | | Schouwey and Beermann (2008) Rammah et al. (2009) | Notch signalling is essential in some pigmentation and epidermal differentiation (e.g. : ) |
| Single_GWAS_N.PR.Tot | 1 | 29 | 31985710 | coding | methionine synthase reductase-like | MTRR | FMN binding, oxidoreductase activity | oxidation-reduction process | Jones et al. (2018) | Folate-related genes (UV radiation sensitive) associated to skin pigmentation |
| MultiGWAS_7 | 1 | 29 | 34327580 | coding | netrin-G1-like | NTNG1 | | | Kang et al. (2013) da Silva Romero et al. (2018) | Coat color variation |
| MultiGWAS_2 | 4 | 20 | 17551935 | Downstream 2871 bp | P2Y purinoceptor 2-like | P2RY2 | signal transducer activity, G-protein coupled receptor activity | signal transduction, G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathway | Ganesan et al. (2008) Jin et al. (2014) | Impact melanogenesis |
| MultiGWAS_7 | 6 | 12 | 15186741 | Downstream 1234 bp | transcription factor SOX-10 | SOX10 | DNA binding | Upstream 4864 pb de UPF0193 protein EVG1 | Nüsslein-Vollard and Singh (2017) Mahalwar et al. (2014) Zhu et al. (2016) | Essential to pigmentation patterning in fish |
| Single_GWAS_N.PN.Tot | 9 | 1 | 17060287 | Upstream 24905 bp | absent in melanoma 1 protein-like | SLC45A2 | | | Bellono & Oancea (2014) Zhu et al. (2016) Sevane et al. (2019) | Ion transport in melanosomes |
| - | 9 | 1 | 17132468 | coding | - | SLC45A2 | | | - | |
| RDA | 9 | 1 | 29826721 | Upstream 12812 bp | Bone morphogenetic protein 4 | BMP4 | growth factor activity | regulation of signaling receptor activity | Singh et al. (2012) Gramann et al. (2019) | Melanin synthesis, melanocyte development (zebrafish) |
| RDA and Single_GWAS_N.PN.Tot | 9 | 1 | 30355164 | Upstream 21549 bp | Gap junction delta-2 protein | GJD2 | | action potential, synaptic transmission | Djurdjevic (2019) | Differentially expressed in trout skin |
| RDA | 10 | 13 | 98943518 | Upstream 14366 bp | semaphorin-4D | SEMA4D | | | Soong et al. (2012) | Melanocyte survival and growth |
| RDA | 11 | 5 | 33618944 | coding | zinc finger protein ZIC 4 | ZIC4 | nucleic acid binding, DNA binding, metal ion binding | regulation of transcription by RNA polymerase II | Ohtsuka et al. (2004) | Dorso-ventral patterning in medaka |
| RDA | 11 | 5 | 41442470 | coding | X-linked interleukin-1 receptor accessory protein-like 2 | IL1RAPL1 | | signal transduction | Jin et al. (2016) | Role in propagation of vitiligo and hypopigmentation |
| RDA | 16 | 1 | 114514991 | Upstream 2269 bp | vesicle-associated membrane protein 8-like | VAMP8 | | vesicle-mediated transport | Wade et al. (2001) | Regulate fusion events within the late endosomal pathway, critical role in melanogenesis |
| MultiGWAS_2 | 17 | 15 | 78637963 | coding | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase Itchy like | ITCH | ubiquitin-protein transferase activity, transferase activity, ligase activity, ubiquitin protein ligase activity | ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic process, protein ubiquitination | Raposo & Marks (2007) Nazari-Ghadikolaei et al. (2019) | Melanocyte-based coat colour variation |
| Single_GWAS_N.PR.Tot | 17 | 15 | 87874821 | Upstream 11038 bp | melanocyte protein PMEL-like | PMEL | | | Schonthaler et al. (2005) Raposo & Marks (2007) Hellström et al. (2011) Luo et al. (2019) | Color variation (silvering; dilution), including zebrafish and koi carp |
| RDA | 22 | 4 | 34326380 | coding | pro-neuregulin-2 membrane-bound isoform-like | NRG2 | | | Kido et al. (2009) Gallagher et al. (2013) | Regulated by MITF1 (a master pigmentation gene) and role in the migration of melanocytes |
| RDA | 24 | 21 | 53869138 | Downstream 11151 bp | integrin alpha-V | ITGAV | | cell adhesion, integrin-mediated signaling pathway | Beauvais et al. (1995) Wang et al. (2015) Lee and Streuli (2014) | Cell migratory behavior from neural crest cells from which melanophore originate, also dermal thickness and epithelial cell polarity |
| MultiGWAS_7 | 24 | 21 | 53857363 | coding | - | ITGAV | | - | - | - |
| RDA | 26 | 22 | 48336761 | Downstream 4503 bp | plexin-B1-like | PLXNB1 | semaphorin receptor activity | signal transduction, semaphorin-plexin signaling pathway | Soong et al. (2012) Soong and Scott (2013) | Survival, growth of melanocytes |
| RDA | 31 | 9 | 53901926 | Upstream 7837 bp | rap guanine nucleotide exchange factor 2-like | RAPGEF2 | guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity, GTPase activator activity, Rap guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity | signal transduction, small GTPase mediated signal transduction, regulation of cell proliferation, positive regulation of GTPase activity | Amsen et al. (2006) | Regulation of melanogenesis |
| RDA | 31 | 9 | 53902142 | Upstream 7621 bp | - | RAPGEF2 | - | - | - | - |
| RDA | 31 | 9 | 57854367 | coding | gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor subunit alpha-2-like | GABRA2 | transmembrane signaling receptor activity, GABA-A receptor activity, ion channel activity, extracellular ligand-gated ion channel activity | ion transport, chloride transport, gamma-aminobutyric acid signaling pathway, ion transmembrane transport | Bertolesi et al. (2016) | Implied in light-regulation of skin color (Xenopus ) |
| RDA | 31 | 9 | 57854882 | coding | - | GABRA2 | - | - | - | - |
| RDA | 31 | 9 | 58319392 | Downstream 6546 bp | polycomb group RING finger protein 1-like | PCGF1 | metal ion binding | transcription DNA-templated, regulation of transcription DNA-templated, aging, histone H2A-K119 monoubiquitination, developmental growth | Huang & Hornyak (2015) | Post-translational modification in melanocyte development and melanogenesis |
| RDA | 31 | 9 | 61830541 | Upstream 1170 bp | protocadherin-10 | PCDH10 | calcium ion binding | cell adhesion, homophilic cell adhesion via plasma membrane adhesion molecules | Williams et al. (2018) | Melanocyte migration from neural crest cells (zebrafish) |
| RDA | 31 | 9 | 61851844 | Downstream 9983 bp | - | PCDH10 | - | - | - | - |
| RDA | 31 | 9 | 61851990 | Downstream 10129 bp | protoca - dherin-10 | PCDH10 | - | - | - | - |
| RDA | 31 | 9 | 62603472 | Downstream 1358 bp | cystine/glutamate transporter-like | SLC7A11 | transmembrane transporter activity | transmembrane transport | Chintala et al. (2005) Xu et al. (2014) Wang et al. (2019) | Melanogenesis regulation and pheomelanin production, found in carp and tilapia |
| RDA | 31 | 9 | 66591417 | coding | protocadherin alpha-C2-like | PCDHAC2 | calcium ion binding | cell adhesion, homophilic cell adhesion via plasma membrane adhesion molecules | Djurdjevic (2019) | Differentially expressed in trout skin |
| RDA | 31 | 9 | 74389966 | coding | fibroblast growth factor receptor-like 1 | FGFR1 | nucleic acid binding | DNA integration | Hirobe (2011) Cichorek et al. (2013) Czyz (2019) Aman et al. (2018) | Participate to keratinocyte-melanocyte cooperation in melanogenesis (may result in melanoma in humans). FGF signalling is essential to scale patterning. |