1   **Bro1 directly stimulates Vps4 activity to promote Intralumenal Vesicle Formation during**
2   **Multivesicular Body biogenesis**
3

4   **Authors:** *Chun-che Tseng[1,2], *Shirley Dean[1,2,3], *Brian A. Davies[1], Ishara F. Azmi[1,2,4], Natalya
5   Pashkova[5], Johanna A. Payne[1], Jennifer Staffenhagen[6], Matt West[7], Robert C. Piper[5], Greg
6   Odorizzi[7], #David J. Katzmann[1,2]
7
1) Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55905
2) Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Graduate Program, Mayo Clinic Graduate School of
Biomedical Sciences, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, 55905
3) present address: Adjunct Chemistry Instructor, Cornerstone University, Grand Rapids, MI,
49525
4) present address: CRISPR Therapeutics, 610 Main St. North, Cambridge, MA, 02139
5) Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Carver College of Medicine, University
of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, 52242
6) present address: College of Saint Benedicts, St. Joseph, MN, 56374
7) Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of Colorado –
Boulder, Boulder, CO, 80309
*These authors contributed equally to these studies
#To whom correspondence should be addressed

Abstract:
Endosomal sorting complexes required for transport (ESCRT-0, -I, -II, -III) form intralumenal
vesicles (ILVs) during the conversion of endosomes to multivesicular bodies (MVBs). The
assembly and disassembly of an ESCRT-III polymer facilitates membrane remodeling and
scission during this process. The ESCRT-III-associated protein Bro1 (the yeast homolog of
mammalian proteins ALIX and HD-PTP) promotes ESCRT-III assembly and inhibits
disassembly of ESCRT-III filaments by impeding Vps4, a AAA-ATPase that dismantles
ESCRT-III polymers. Here we show that the evolutionarily conserved "V domain" of Bro1-
family proteins directly stimulate Vps4 ATPase activity and this activity is enhanced by
interaction with ubiquitin. Surprisingly, a carboxyl-terminal fragment of Bro1 containing the V
domain supports ILV formation but not sorting of cargo into ILVs, revealing that these two
processes can be uncoupled. These studies implicate Bro1 as a factor coordinating cargo sorting
with direct regulation of Vps4 to modulate ESCRT-III driven ILV formation during MVB
biogenesis.

Introduction:

The Endosomal Sorting Complexes Required for Transport (ESCRTs) are required for multivesicular body (MVB) sorting of ubiquitinated transmembrane protein cargoes [1,2]. There are two well-described functions of the ESCRTs during MVB sorting: 1) recognition of ubiquitinated cargoes via ubiquitin (Ub)-binding domains within ESCRT-0, -I, and -II; and 2) membrane deformation and scission during intralumenal vesicle (ILV) formation, performed by ESCRT-III and associated factors including the AAA-ATPase Vps4 [3-5]. In addition to MVB sorting, ESCRTs have been implicated in other cellular processes including abscission during cytokinesis [6], viral budding [7], extracellular vesicle biogenesis [8], and nuclear pore surveillance [9]. All of these events involve membrane remodeling facilitated by ESCRT-III and Vps4; thus, this core process represents the most evolutionarily conserved aspect of ESCRT function [10].

ESCRT-III subunits are monomeric in the cytosol and undergo ordered polymerization into structures on membranes — thought to be represented by filamentous spirals — that facilitate membrane budding and scission [11-15]. The AAA-ATPase Vps4 disassembles the ESCRT-III polymer, thereby recycling monomeric subunits back into the cytosol [5,16-18], but more recent work has suggested that Vps4 function is required for dynamic exchange of ESCRT-III subunits within filaments during ILV formation prior to their disassembly [19]. The relationship between this ESCRT-III assembly/remodeling/disassembly cycle and ILV formation remains ill-defined, with models ranging from Vps4 merely disassembling ESCRT-III subsequent to vesicle formation (analogous to the role of NSF in SNARE-mediated fusion) [20-22] to a more active role together with ESCRT-III during membrane remodeling and scission [19,23-27]. Insufficient understanding of both the relevant structures and compositions of ESCRT-III, as well as technical limitations, have precluded investigation into the mechanism(s) of ESCRT-III "remodeling" by Vps4, although studies have documented ultrastructural changes in model ESCRT-III polymers upon addition of Vps4 *in vitro* or expression of VPS4 dominant negative *in vivo* [20,28-32]. Regardless, a series of regulators, including ESCRT-III itself, the Vps4 cofactor Vta1, and the ESCRT-associated factor Bro1, serve to coordinate the activities of ESCRT-III and Vps4 to optimize ESCRT function during MVB sorting [23,26,33-52].

Bro1 proteins, including mammalian orthologs HD-PTP and ALIX, possess similar domain architecture — the Bro One Domain (BOD), V domain and Proline Rich Region (PRR) — allowing them to impact ESCRT function through interactions with: Ub/cargo [48,53-56], "early" ESCRT sub-complexes [46,48,57-60], and "late" ESCRT factors including ESCRT-III and de-ubiquitinating enzymes [26,45,49,61-69]. Here we provide evidence that the V domain of Bro1 family members directly modulates Vps4, conferring a level of regulation critical during ILV

biogenesis. We propose this novel mode of regulation is critical for the coordination of ESCRT

cargo sorting and ILV formation.

Results:

Separation of cargo sorting and ILV formation during MVB biogenesis

ESCRT-III and Vps4 serve as the core machinery driving a variety of topologically similar

membrane remodeling events. Bro1 family members serve critical roles in concert with ESCRTs

during MVB sorting, viral budding and cytokinesis. In the contexts of MVB sorting and viral

budding, Bro1 family members have been implicated as cargo adaptors capable of supporting

cargo recognition through binding Ub-modified cargo or YPxL-motifs within cargo [48,54,65,84,85].

Relevant to the membrane sculpting activity of ESCRTs, the BOD of Bro1 promotes ESCRT-III

polymerization through both facilitating Snf7 activation [86] and inhibiting Vps4-mediated

disassembly of ESCRT-III [26]. MVB sorting defects upon BOD overexpression [26] suggested this

truncation was defective due to loss of function normally provided by the V domain or Proline-

Rich Region (PRR). This idea was first tested via morphological analysis of MVBs in WT cells

expressing a Bro1 fragment lacking the BOD (a C-terminal fragment containing the V and PRR

domains; Figure 1A). Quantitation of ILV budding events revealed a reduction in the surface

area of the budding profiles and reduced ILV size, with a trend towards reduced budding

intermediates as compared to WT cells (Figure 1B, Figure 1 Supplement 1, Figure 1 Supplement

Movies 1, 2). As thisis consistent with accelerated ILV formation resulting from a stimulatory

activity within this C-terminal Bro1 fragment, complementation of *bro1Δ* was next examined.

While *bro1Δ* cells fail to generate ILVs and displayed multi-lamellar endosomal stacks

characteristic of "class E vps" endosomal compartments ([45] and Figure 1C, Figure 1 Supplement

Movie 3), cells over-expressing Bro1CTF (aa 370-844) as their sole form of Bro1 displayed

spherical endosomal structures containing ILVs (Figure 1C, Figure 1 Supplement Movie 4).

While morphologically comparable to WT MVBs, quantitative analyses of the endosomal

budding profiles and ILVs indicated that Bro1CTF expression resulted in smaller budding

profiles than WT cells, a trend towards reduced numbers of ILV budding intermediates per

MVB, and a slight increase in ILV size (Figure 1D, Figure 1 Supplement Movie 4). These results

indicate that Bro1CTF is sufficient for ILV formation in the absence of endogenous (full-length)

Bro1 and support the interpretation that this fragment enhances ILV budding and/or scission

kinetics.

FIGURE 1. C-terminal fragment of Bro1 is sufficient for ILV formation in vivo. *(A)* Domain

cartoon of Bro1 (amino acids 1-844). *(B)* WT (SEY6210) or WT cells overexpressing the C-

terminal fragment of Bro1 containing the V and PRR domains (Bro1CTF∆UBD) were analyzed
by electron tomography for ILV size, the frequency of incomplete ILV budding events per MVB,
as well as the average area of those budding events. A minimum of 19 MVBs and 337 ILVs were
*measured. **(C)** Three-dimensional models reconstructed from 200-nm-thick section electron*
tomograms of bro1∆ (GOY65) and bro1∆::TEF1p-Bro1CTF (CTY2) cells. The bro1∆ cells have
class E compartments, which are flattened stacks of endosomal membranes that generally lack
internal vesicles. Cisternal class E compartment stacks are shown in different colors to
differentiate individual membranes. The limiting membrane of the MVB is labeled yellow, and
the ILVs are highlighted in red, while the vacuole limiting membrane is labeled as red mesh.
*Scale bar = 100nm. **(D)** WT and bro1∆::TEF1p-Bro1CTF (CTY2) were analyzed by electron*
tomography for the average ILV size, frequency of incomplete ILV budding events and budding
profile area. A minimum of 19 MVBs and 337 ILVs from at least 5 cells were quantified. Error
*bars indicate mean ± S.E.M. Statistical significances are indicated (n.s., not significant; *, p =*
*0.0177; ****, p < 0.0001).*
122
Previous work revealed Bro1 requires interaction of the BOD with Snf7 for MVB cargo sorting
[26]; however, we observed ILV formation upon over-expression of Bro1CTF (lacking the BOD).
A variety of GFP-tagged MVB cargoes were analyzed to determine whether cargo sorting was
supported by Bro1CTF as the sole source of Bro1. In spite of supporting ILV formation,
Bro1CTF expressing cells exhibited defects in MVB cargo sorting with cargoes localizing to the
limiting membrane of the vacuole and the class E compartment (Figure 2). This analysis
included MVB cargoes from the endocytic (Ste2, Mup1) and biosynthetic pathways (Cps1, Ub-
Cps1, Cos1, Cos2, Cos5, Sna3) as well as a vacuolar limiting membrane protein, DPAP-B
(typically excluded from MVB sorting). The range of cargoes defective for sorting indicate a
general defect in MVB protein sorting. The ability of Bro1CTF to support ILV formation
without promoting MVB cargo sorting suggested: 1) Bro1CTF is able to activate ESCRT
function at the level of ESCRT-III/Vps4 membrane remodeling and scission activity *in vivo*, and
2) this activity is uncoupled from the process of cargo packaging in this context. Moreover, this
activity does not depend on the ability Bro1 to interact directly with ESCRT-III via the BOD.
137

FIGURE 2. Bro1CTF does not rescue MVB cargo sorting defect in bro1Δ cells. (A) *WT*

(SEY6210), bro1Δ (GOY65) or bro1Δ::TEF1p-Bro1CTF (CTY2) cells were transformed with the

indicated GFP-tagged cargo plasmid to assess MVB sorting using live cell fluorescence

microscopy. White dashed lines indicate cell boundaries. Scale bar = 5µm.

Bro1 V domain stimulates Vps4 function in vitro

The possibility that V domain mediates Bro1 stimulation of Vps4 was assessed using ATPase

assays with purified recombinant proteins as previously established [16,18]. Vps4 exhibits

concentration dependent increases in specific activity due to Vps4 oligomer formation with

maximal activity observed with 1.5µM Vps4[16,17]. Titration of Bro1V into ATPase assays with a

submaximal concentration of Vps4 (0.5µM) showed a concentration dependent stimulation of

activity with a maximum of 3-fold (Figure 3A). Stimulated activity of 0.5µM Vps4 did not

surpass the inherent maximal Vps4 specific activity observed with 1.5µM Vps4, and Bro1V was

unable to stimulate 1.5µM Vps4 specific activity (Figure 3A and data not shown). These

observations suggest that Bro1V stimulates Vps4 oligomerization without further enhancing

activity of the Vps4 oligomer. Furthermore, V domains from *S. castellii* Bro1 (residues 370-709)

and *human* HD-PTP (residues 364-695) stimulated Vps4 ATPase activity in a similar dose-

dependent manner (Figure 3B). Together, these results reveal that Bro1 V domain can enhance

Vps4 ATPase activity directly, and suggest this stimulatory activity is conserved among

homologs.

FIGURE 3. V domain stimulates Vps4 activity in vitro. (A) *Vps4 ATPase assays were*
conducted using the indicated conditions and resolved by thin layer chromatography for
quantitation and calculation of hydrolysis rates. An image of the TLC plate raw data for a single
time point (16min) is shown as an example of the data used for quantitation of Vps4-specific
activities. ATPase activities across the titration of Bro1V (10nM – 8µM) in the presence of
0.5µM Vps4. **(B)** *V domains of S. cerevisiae Bro1, S. castellii Bro1, and H. sapiens HD-PTP*
(0.5-4µM) were titrated against 0.5µM Vps4. Specific activity of Vps4 is expressed as ADP per
Vps4 molecule per minute. Error bars indicate mean ± S.E.M. Dashed lines indicate Vps4-
specific activity for 0.5µM or 1.5µM Vps4 alone, as indicated.

Rational generation and characterization of Bro1 V domain mutations defective for Vps4

stimulation

Since we demonstrated that *S. cerevisiae* and *S. castellii* Bro1 V domains and *H. sapiens* HD-

PTP V domain stimulate Vps4 and interaction between *H. sapiens* ALIX and VPS4B has been

observed [58], we hypothesized that the mode of stimulation would be conserved. Conserved

surface residues shared amongst Bro1, HD-PTP and ALIX were mutated to map regions

involved in Vps4 stimulation (Figure 4A). Vps4 stimulation was measured using ATPase

reactions with the addition of WT or mutant forms of Bro1V (at a concentration found to support

maximal Vps4 activity in Figure 3A). Under these conditions four mutants — **Bro1V(M4)**:

V505A, H508A, I512A; **Bro1V(M8)**: E686A, L691D; **Bro1V(M20)**: T587D; and **Bro1V(M24)**:

K481D — were defective for stimulation of Vps4 ATPase activity, while other Bro1V mutants

displayed stimulation indistinguishable from WT V domain (Figure 4B). *In vitro* interaction

studies revealed that Bro1V(M4), Bro1V(M8), Bro1V(M20), and Bro1V(M24) retained their

abilities to bind Vps4 (Figure 4C, Figure 4 Supplement 1). The ability of these mutants to

associate with Vps4 led us to perform titration experiments to assess the impact on Vps4 ATPase

activity. These mutants were able to stimulate Vps4 ATPase activity at lower concentrations

($\leq 1\mu M$), whereas higher concentrations exhibited reduced stimulation or inhibition of Vps4

(Figure 4D). This profile contrasted with the behavior of WT V domain that continued to

stimulate Vps4 ATPase activity even at the highest concentrations tested. Together these

analyses suggest that V domain stimulation of Vps4 relies on determinants beyond mere binding

and indicate that these mutants specifically impact the stimulatory aspect of Vps4 regulation.

FIGURE 4. Mutations in Bro1 V domain disrupt Vps4 stimulation in vitro without disrupting

binding. (A) *Model of the V domain based on the S. castelli Bro1 V domain crystal structure*

(Protein Data Bank ID: 4JIO, chain A) with amino acid substitution mutations impacting V

domain stimulation of Vps4 indicated in red. Conserved residues that when mutated did not

impact Vps4 stimulation in vitro or MVB sorting in vivo are indicated in blue. See Supplemental

Table 4 for individual mutations. **(B)** *Stimulation of Vps4 ATPase activity (0.5μM) by 4μM*

Bro1V(370-709) and Bro1V mutants represented as normalized Vps4 ATPase activity of at least

two experiments done in duplicate. Error bars represent mean ± S.D. Statistical differences with

the addition of Bro1V(M4), Bro1V(M8), Bro1V(M20)· and Bro1V(M24) are indicated as red bars

(*, p < 0.05). **(C)** *Immobilized His₆-Vps4 or Ni-NTA beads alone was incubated with Bro1V,*

Bro1V(M4), Bro1V(M8), Bro1V(M20)ʼ and Bro1V(M24). Bound material was visualized by both

EZBiolab Instant-Band Protein Stain and Western blotting with anti-Bro1 antiserum. **(D)**

ATPase activities for the titration of Bro1V, Bro1V(M4), Bro1V(M8), Bro1V(M20)ʼ and

Bro1V(M24) (0.25-5μM) in the presence of 0.5μM Vps4. Vps4specific activity is expressed as

ADP per Vps4 molecule per minute. Error bars indicate mean ± S.E.M.

The physiological significance of Vps4 stimulation by Bro1V was examined using cells

expressing the mutants forms of full-length *BRO1* to assess complementation of the *bro1Δ* MVB

sorting defect (Figure 5, Figure S3A, S3B). Three distinct model MVB cargoes were utilized for

this analysis: GFP-Cps1 for its strict dependence upon Ub modification for proper sorting, the

chimera Ub-GFP-Cps1 for its lack of dependence upon exogenous Ub modification, and Sna3-

GFP as a cargo that has been shown to access the MVB pathway both directly and via

association with other ubiquitinated cargoes [73,87-91]. Expression of Bro1(M4), Bro1(M8),

Bro1(M20), and Bro1(M24) in *bro1Δ* cells failed to complement the MVB sorting defects

observed with each cargo (Figure 5A) in spite of displaying protein levels similar to WT (Figure

5B, Figure S3C). The correlation between altered Vps4 stimulation and defects in MVB cargo

sorting observed with these mutants support the conclusion that V domain stimulation of Vps4 is

required for ESCRT-driven MVB cargo sorting *in vivo*.

FIGURE 5. Bro1 V domain mutations disrupting Vps4 stimulation in vitro also disrupt MVB
sorting in vivo. (A) *bro1Δ (GOY65) were transformed with empty plasmid (pRS414), or plasmid*
expressing BRO1, bro1(M4), bro1(M8), bro1(M20), or bro1(M24) together with model MVB
cargo GFP-Cps1, Ub-GFP-Cps1 and Sna3-GFP to assess MVB sorting in these mutant contexts
*using live cell fluorescence microscopy. **(B)** Representative Western blots showing mutant*
protein expression levels, probing against Bro1 and PGK, using lysates of GOY65 transformed
with empty plasmid (pRS414), or plasmid expressing BRO1, bro1(M4), bro1(M8), bro1(M20), or
bro1(M24).

Altered regulation of Vps4 activity by Bro1 perturbs ILV formation in vivo
To test whether disruption of V domain stimulation of Vps4 impacts ILV formation kinetics as
assessed by the number and/or size of budding events, *bro1Δ* cells expressing Bro1(M8) were
examined by dual axis electron tomography. Bro1(M8) cells displayed approximately twice as
many ILV budding intermediates per MVB as compared to WT as well as reduction in the
surface area of the budding profiles, while the ILV size was increased (Figure 6A, 6B, Figure 6
Supplement Movie 1). These observations suggest that disrupted Bro1 stimulation of Vps4
delays ILV budding and scission. Together, these results with Bro1(M8) (Figure 6) and

240   Bro1CTF (Figure 1) support a model in which the V domain of Bro1 stimulates Vps4 activity in

241   a manner that is critical for normal ILV formation kinetics.

FIGURE 6. Cells expressing full length Bro1(M8) display increased number of ILV budding

intermediates. (A) *Three-dimensional models reconstructed from 200-nm-thick section electron*

tomograms of bro1Δ (GOY65) expressing either BRO1, or bro1(M8). The limiting membrane of

the MVB is labeled yellow, the ILVs are highlighted in red and the budding intermediates are
colored in green. The vacuolar membrane is labeled as red mesh. Scale bar = 100nm. ***(B)***
Quantification of electron tomograms of GOY65 expressing either BRO1, or bro1(M8).
Representative reconstructed image for cells expressing BRO1 and bro1(M8) are shown in (A).
A minimum of 6 MVBs and 94 ILVs from at least 4 cells were measured. Error bars indicate
mean ± S.E.M. Statistical significances are indicated (**, p < 0.01; ****, p < 0.0001).
252
To determine if Bro1V stimulation of Vps4 influences ESCRT-III polymer dynamics, we tested
whether Bro1 mutants with altered Vps4 regulation also affected ESCRT-III disassembly.
Purified Bro1V domains (WT, M4, and M8) were titrated into *in vitro* Vps4-dependent ESCRT-
III disassembly reactions [16], and indistinguishable stimulation of Vps4 disassembly activity was
observed (Figure 7A). In addition, subcellular fractionation experiments showed WT levels of
the ESCRT-III subunit Snf7 on membranes for each of the Bro1 V mutations in the context of
full-length Bro1 (Figure 7B). These finding suggest that Bro1V-mediated Vps4 stimulation
impacts ESCRT-III function independent of ESCRT-III subunit disassembly/recycling.
261

FIGURE 7. Bro1V M4 and M8 are not defective in their ability to stimulate Vps4-dependent
263

ESCRT-III disassembly in vitro or in vivo. (A) *Bro1 V domains [Bro1V (black squares),*
264

Bro1V(M4) (dark red triangles), Bro1V(M8) (light red diamonds)] (50-1000nM) were titrated
into Vps4-dependent ESCRT-III disassembly assay [16], containing 100nM Vps4. Representative
blots of Snf7 distribution between the pellet (P) and soluble fractions (S) are shown (upper
panels). Quantification of three experiments with reactions performed in duplicates is designated
as "% Snf7 soluble" (lower graph). Error bars indicate mean ± S.E.M. **(B)** *Subcellular*
fractionation was performed in bro1∆ (GOY65) cells transformed with an empty vector or
plasmids expressing BRO1, bro1(M4), or bro1(M8). vps4∆ (MBY3) cells were used as a control
highlighting the distribution upon complete loss of Vps4 function. Representative Western blots
showing fractionation of Snf7, Bro1, and Vps4 are shown. Pep12 and Pgk1 were used as
membrane and soluble markers respectively. Quantification represents six experiments, error
bars indicate mean ± S.E.M. Statistical significance is indicated relative to bro1∆ (*, p < 0.05).
276
Ubiquitin binding enhances Bro1 V domain stimulation of Vps4 activity and ILV formation in
vivo
Bro1 binds Ub via a conserved motif at the amino-terminal portion of the V domain (Figure 8A)
[48]. While Bro1 has been implicated as a Ub-sorting receptor [48,86], how Ub-binding by Bro1
mediates cargo sorting and whether that binding helps integrate and regulate other aspects of ILV
formation is unclear. We hypothesized that Ub binding to the V domain impacts its stimulation
of Vps4. Addition of Ub to ATPase reactions increased Bro1V stimulation of Vps4 ATPase
activity *in vitro* (Figure 8B). In contrast, addition of Ub had no effect on Vps4 stimulation by a
Ub-binding defective V-domain mutant [Bro1V(I377R) "UBD-Mut"]. Similar results were
obtained using WT or Ub-binding deficient *S. castellii* V domain [Bro1V(I377R)[48]]. These
results indicate a conserved mode of Ub-dependent regulation of the V domain. We next tested
whether this Ub enhancement could occur in the Bro1V mutants that exhibit defective Vps4
stimulation. For this analysis, we used mutants Bro1V(M4) and Bro1V(M8) as these mutations
are spatially separated from the Ub-binding site (Figure 8C). Mutations that perturbed basal
stimulation of Vps4 also disrupted the ability of V domain to respond to the addition of Ub as the
slight increase in activity is not statistically significant (Figure 8D). Together these results 1)
demonstrate a conserved ability of Bro1 family V domains to stimulate Vps4 in a manner that
responds to Ub, and 2) suggest that Bro1 may serve as a Ub sensor to modulate ILV formation
via Vps4 *in vivo*.
296

A

```
370-VYEKESIYSEEKATLLRK   S.cerevisiae
      ••••••••••••  ••••
370-IYEKESIYSEEKAQLLRK   S.castelli
```

B

ADP/Vps4/min

0.5 µM Vps4	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
WT V domain	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
UBD-Mut V domain	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
50 µM mono-Ub	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+

S. cerevisiae Bro1V   *S. castellii* Bro1V

C

Ubiquitin

M8

M4

D

ADP/Vps4/Min

| 4µM V domain | - | WT | WT | M4 | M4 | M8 | M8 |
| 50µM Ubiquitin | | | + | | + | | + |

Figure 8. Ubiquitin is able to potentiate V domain-mediated stimulation of Vps4 ATPase

***activity. (A)** Sequence alignment of V domain amino acids 370-388 from S. castellii and S.*

cerevisiae. Conserved amino acids are indicated by black circles, and the isoleucine critical for
*ubiquitin binding is highlighted in red. **(B)** Analysis of stimulation of Vps4 ATPase activity*
(0.5μM) by 1μM S. cerevisiae Bro1V, S. cerevisiae Bro1V(I377R, UBD-Mut), S. castellii
Bro1V(370-708) and S. castellii Bro1V(I377R, UBD-Mut) with or without 50μM of mono-Ub.
Vps4-specific activity is expressed as ADP per Vps4 molecule per minute. Error bars indicate
mean ± S.E.M. Statistical differences with the addition of WT V domain, V domain with Ub-
binding mutation, or Ub are indicated (or #, p < 0.05). **(C)** Bro1 V domain mutations M4 and*
M8 (red) that disrupt V domain stimulation of Vps4 ATPase activity are spatially separated from
its Ub-binding site using S. castellii Bro1V crystal structure (Protein Data Bank ID: 4JIO, chain
*A). **(D)** Analysis of stimulation of Vps4 ATPase activity (0.5μM) by 4μM S. cerevisiae*
Bro1V(370-709), Bro1(M4) and Bro1V(M8) with or without 50μM of mono-Ub. Vps4-specific
activity is expressed as ADP per Vps4 molecule per minute. Error bars indicate mean ± S.E.M.
Statistically significant differences compared to 0.5μM Vps4 alone are indicated (# or *, p <
0.05). (##) indicate both statistically different from Ub stimulated WT (p < 0.0001) and
difference between basal state stimulation and Ub addition is not significant.
315
This model was tested in the context of Bro1CTF. Since cells expressing Bro1CTF as their sole
form of Bro1 generate ILVs but fail to sort MVB protein cargoes, we utilized the fluorescent
lipid analog NBD-PC as a rapid, quantifiable indicator of ILV formation (Figure 9A, Figure 9
Supplement 1). NBD-PC accumulates in the vacuole lumen of WT cells, while NBD-PC sorting
is disrupted in ESCRT mutants ([92-94], and Figure 9A, 9B). Expression level of Bro1 fragments
were comparable by Western blotting (Figure 9C), and over-expression of full-length Bro1 did
not disrupt NBD-PC sorting (Figure 9B). Cells expressing the Bro1CTF resulted in a higher
number of cells with lumenal NBD-PC labeling compared to *bro1Δ* cells (40% versus 7%), and
this sorting was dependent upon both Vps4 (*TEF1p*-Bro1CTF *vps4Δ*: 0%) and the Bro1 PRR
(*TEF1p*-Bro1V: 7%). While Bro1CTFΔUBD also enhanced the number of cells with lumenal
NBD-PC labeling compared to *bro1Δ* cells (20% versus 7%), this enhancement was significantly
reduced compared to Bro1CTF (20% versus 40%). These results both reveal the dependence of
Bro1CTF ILV formation upon ESCRT function and support the model that Bro1 Ub binding
promotes ILV formation via Vps4.
330

FIGURE 9. Bro1CTF supports sorting of NBD-PC into the vacuolar lumen, is dependent on

Vps4, and is enhanced by the ability to bind Ub. (A) *Sample micrographs of WT (SEY6210),*

bro1Δ (GOY65), and bro1Δ::TEF1p-Bro1CTF (CTY2) cells co-stained with NBD-PC and FM4-

*64 revealing endpoints of the observed phenotypes. Scale = 5μm. **(B)** NBD-PC and FM4-64*

stained WT, vps4Δ (MBY3), bro1Δ, bro1Δ::TEF1p-Bro1 (CTY1), bro1Δ::TEF1p-Bro1CTF

(CTY2), bro1Δ::TEF1p-Bro1CTFΔUBD (CTY3), bro1Δ::TEF1p-Bro1V (CTY4), and

vps4Δ::TRP1 bro1Δ::TEF1p-Bro1CTF (CTY5) cells were analyzed by live cell fluorescence

microscopy and quantified for the frequency of cells able to support NBD-PC trafficking to the

vacuolar lumen. Error bars indicate mean ± S.E.M. Statistical significances are indicated (n.s.,

*not significant; *, p = 0.0167; **, p = 0.0012; ****, p < 0.0001). **(C)** SEY6210, MBY3, GOY65,*

CTY1, CTY2, CTY3, CTY4, and CTY5 were analyzed by Western blotting using antibodies

against Bro1, Vps4 and PGK (as a loading control) expression levels.

Discussion:

Here we describe an additional Bro1 activity relevant to ESCRT-dependent membrane

remodeling whereby the V domain directly stimulates Vps4 ATPase activity. Our studies reveal

2 key findings with respect to Bro1 function in ESCRT-dependent ILV formation that warrant

additional consideration: 1) V domain stimulation of Vps4 contributes to ILV formation and

MVB sorting *in vivo*, and 2) Ub enhances V domain stimulation of Vps4 *in vitro* and appears to

contribute to ILV formation *in vivo*, suggesting a novel role for Ub in ESCRT-mediated MVB

biogenesis beyond cargo recognition. Additionally, this work indicates aberrant activation of

ESCRT membrane remodeling activity via Bro1 can lead to spurious vesicle formation. The

ability of the Bro1CTF to dissociate cargo sorting from ILV formation suggests that Bro1 plays a

role in "licensing" ESCRT-III and Vps4 to drive membrane remodeling.

A number of factors have been documented to impact Vps4 ATPase activity: Vps4 concentration

itself, its co-factor Vta1, and interactions with ESCRT-III subunits [17,41,42,51]. Our previous

analyses have revealed that perturbations leading to reductions in the stimulated maximal activity

of Vps4 correlate with defects in Vps4-dependent ESCRT-III disassembly *in vivo* and *in vitro*

and are consistent with a model wherein the relevant activity of Vps4 is to disassemble the

ESCRT-III polymer coincident with or subsequent to ILV formation. In contrast, the mutant

forms of Bro1 characterized in the present work display defective Vps4 ATPase stimulation *in*

vitro and MVB sorting phenotypes *in vivo*, however the nature of that defect does not appear to

be at the level of ESCRT-III disassembly. Previous work has revealed that Vta1 and ESCRT-III

subunits can impact both assembly (K_m) and the maximal activity (V_{max}) of the Vps4 oligomer

[42,51]. Our biochemical analysis suggests that V domain facilitates assembly of the Vps4 oligomer

(Figure 3). Based on these findings, we propose that factors capable of contributing to increased

maximal Vps4 activity contribute to ESCRT-III disassembly (e.g. Vta1, ESCRT-III subunits)

while factors that contribute solely to driving oligomerization (e.g. Bro1) contribute to earlier
events during ILV biogenesis.
372
The hypothesis that Bro1-mediated Vps4 oligomerization contributes to ILV expansion and
scission is supported by analysis of MVB morphology. Bro1(M8) is defective for Vps4
stimulation and displayed both increased numbers of endosomal budding profiles and decreased
surface area of the endosomal budding profiles. Overexpression of BOD or full-length Bro1 in
WT yeast also generated increased endosomal budding profile numbers, however the surface
area of the budding profiles was not impacted [26]. These effects with overexpression of BOD or
full-length Bro1 correlated with reduced Vps4-mediated disassembly of ESCRT-III *in vitro* and
in vivo, suggesting that decreased kinetics of ESCRT-III dynamics results in increased numbers
of endosomal budding profiles. The correlation of reduced Vps4 ATPase stimulation in the
context of Bro1V(M8) with increased, smaller budding profiles in *bro1(M8)* suggests that
stimulation of Vps4 by Bro1 contributes to an early stage of ILV biogenesis such as the
expansion of the nascent bud. Vps4 recruitment to the site of MVB sorting occurs in two phases:
an early, minimal recruitment of Vps4 oligomers associated with stable ESCRT-III membrane
association followed by a later recruitment of additional Vps4 oligomers implicated in ILV
formation and eventual ESCRT-III disassembly [19]. Our biochemical analysis indicating that V
domain facilitates Vps4 oligomerization (but not maximal activity) is consistent with Bro1
contributing to either early or late Vps4 recruitment. While ESCRT-III itself has been suggested
to facilitate Vps4 recruitment and stimulation [27,42], this study reveals Bro1 V domain
contributions to this process are required for normal MVB protein cargo sorting. One possibility
would be that an incomplete cohort of ESCRT-III subunits during the expansion phase maintains
dependence upon V domain contribution to Vps4 activity, whereas the accumulation of
additional ESCRT-III subunits completes the expansion phase and permits progression to
disassembly. Another possibility is that the disassembly phase exhibits reduced accumulation of
Bro1, as M4 and M8 mutants were not defective for ESCRT-III disassembly and low
concentrations of Bro1V(M4) and Bro1V(M8) exhibit similar stimulation of Vps4 ATPase
activity similar to WT. Consistent with this model, reduction of Bro1 during the disassembly
phase would relieve inhibition conferred by elevated Bro1 or BOD [26]. Further studies will be
needed to understand the dynamics and unique contributions of Bro1 in regulating Vps4 function
during MVB sorting.
402
Our results implicate Ub-binding to the V domain as a point of modulation during ILV formation
beyond cargo selection. Previous studies demonstrated a role for Ub in MVB sorting at the level
of cargo recognition [87,94,95] as well as the dependence of ILV formation on the presence of Ub-
cargo [95,96]. However, upstream effects on cargo recognition and early ESCRT membrane

recruitment precluded examination of the role of Ub in ILV formation itself. Our studies with
Bro1CTF suggest that Ub-binding also contributes to ILV formation as Bro1CTF exhibited
greater NBD-PC sorting into the vacuole lumen than Bro1CTFΔUBD. The abilities of the V
domain to stimulate Vps4 ATPase activity and bind to Ub afforded an opportunity to examine
whether Ub impacts this regulation. Our results suggest Ub regulation of V domain stimulation
of Vps4 is a conserved feature within the Bro1 family. ALIX also binds VPS4B and Ub,
however the association between ALIX and Ub appears distinct from the Bro1 V interaction with
Ub [53,55]. Thus, further studies are required to determine if Ub regulation of V domain stimulation
extends to ALIX as well. The source of Ub *in vivo* remains to be determined, but could result
from a variety of sources including Ub-cargo, ubiquitination of Bro1 itself [97], or free Ub
resulting from the recruitment of DUbs via Bro1 family members [45]. Our results suggest a role
for Bro1 Ub-binding in modulating ILV formation via Vps4 in addition to the previously
appreciated role in facilitating cargo recognition.
420
The general link between ILV formation and the delivery of protein cargo into the forming ILV
is poorly defined, but our studies suggest Bro1 helps couple these functions. While ESCRT-III
and Vps4 serve as the core ILV formation machinery, targeting chimeric deubiquitylating
constructs to the site of MVB sorting disrupted protein cargo sorting as well as ILV formation,
indicating that Ub-cargo itself contributes to ILV formation [96]. When expressed as the sole
source of Bro1, Bro1CTF creates a contrasting phenomenon wherein ILV formation is restored
without concomitant protein cargo sorting. This phenomenon has also been observed with
disruption of Ub-binding activities of ESCRT-I and -II in conjunction with disruption of
ESCRT-0 and -I interaction [94]. While the relationship between these two contexts is presently
unclear, these phenomena indicate that ILV formation can occur in the absence of protein cargo
sorting. Bro1 mutants defective for Vps4 stimulation also perturb protein cargo sorting while
ILV formation is retained, although with altered kinetics. Disrupted MVB protein cargo sorting
with Bro1CTF (with increased ILV formation kinetics), as well as with Bro1 mutants defective
for Vps4 stimulation (with delayed ILV formation kinetics), suggest a model in which Bro1
facilitates MVB cargo sorting through properly regulating Vps4 and ESCRT-III dynamics
driving ILV formation (Figure 10). We suggest that Bro1 serves to "license" ILV formation,
typically coordinated with cargo entry to enable efficient MVB sorting. Overexpression of ALIX
enhances the release of HIV particles as well as small extracellular vesicles [54,98], consistent with
ALIX being rate-limiting in these processes and potentially serving as a licensing factor for these
ESCRT-mediated events in human cells as well. Thus, we suggest that Bro1 family members —
with their various modes of interaction with Ub-cargo, deubiquitinating enzymes, and other
ESCRT subunits — serve to regulate Vps4 recruitment and ESCRT-III dynamics to facilitate
cargo transfer and ILV formation enabling MVB cargo sorting.

FIGURE 10. Model for Bro1 regulation of Vps4 and impact upon ESCRT-III dynamics. (1)
We propose that subsequent to cargo clustering via early ESCRTs (-0, -I, -II) and initiation of
ESCRT-III assembly, Bro1 stimulates Vps4 to coordinate cargo sorting into the budding ILV
such that the resulting ILV contains cargo. **(2)** *When Bro1CTF is the sole form of Bro1, the*
increase in V domain activity stimulating Vps4 uncouples ILV expansion and MVB cargo
sorting. **(3)** *When Bro1 V domain mutants (mBro1) that are defective for stimulating Vps4 are*
the sole form of Bro1, the lack of Vps4 stimulation delayed ESCRT-III disassembly, resulting in
delayed ILV formation and disrupted cargo sorting for the concentrated cargo microdomain
became diffuse.

Methods:

Plasmids and Strains

For a complete listing of plasmids utilized in this study see Supplemental Table 1. The sequence

encoding the V-domain of Bro1 (Bro1V, aa 370-709) was amplified from pGO216 [26] and cloned

into the *Bam*H1 and *Sal*I sites of pGST-parallel1 [70] to generate pSD1. *BRO1* was amplified from

pGO187 [71] and cloned into the *Spe*I and *Sal*I site of pRS414 [72] to generate pSD12. Mutagenesis

of Bro1V or *BRO1* encoding constructs (pSD2-9, pSD13-14, pCT2, pCT4-8, pCT10, pCT13-16,

pCT18, pCT20-24, pCT26, and pCT29-33 was performed using the GeneTailor Site-Direct

Mutagenesis System (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). The veracity of plasmids was confirmed

by sequencing. The sequence encoding Bro1^{BODV} (aa 1-709) was amplified from pGO216 and

cloned into *Bam*H1 and *Sal*I sites of pET28b (Novagen, Germany) and pGST-parallel1 for use

generating and purifying the anti-Bro1 sera, respectively (see *Bro1 antibody generation*).

Two silent mutations (D133D, D153D) were introduced into the *HIS3* cassette of pRS413

to remove undesired *Bgl*II sites by site-directed mutagenesis; the resulting plasmid was named

pCT34. The sequence encoding *HIS3* cassette was amplified from pCT34 by PCR to introduce

*Bam*HI and *Pac*I sites, and cloned into *Bgl*II and *Pac*I sites of pFA6a-HisMX6; the resulting

plasmid is named pCT35. The sequence encoding Bro1V(370-709) was amplified from

SEY6210 genomic DNA, and cloned into *Nco*I and *EcoR*I sites of pCT35; the resulting plasmid

is named pCT36. Subsequently, 500bp of *BRO1* 3'UTR was amplified from SEY6210 genomic

DNA and cloned into *EcoR*I and *Sac*I sites of pCT35; the resulting plasmid is named pCT37.

DPAP-B-GFP was cloned from pGO89 [73] into *Not*I and *Sal*I sites of pRS425; the resulting

plasmid is DPAP-B-GFP. For a list of primers used to generate pCT34, pCT35, pCT36 and

pCT37, please see Supplemental Table 2.

For a complete listing of strains used in this study see Supplemental Table 3. CTY1,

CTY2, and CTY3 were generated by transforming PCR product from pCT34 into SEY6210.1

while CTY4 was generated by transforming PCR product from pCT36; for a list of primers used,

please see Supplemental Table 2. Transformants were plated on Histidine drop-out synthetic

plates, and screened by Western blotting. The altered *bro1* allele was amplified and sequenced.

CTY5 and JPY403 was generated using standard yeast genetics.

Protein expression and purification

Protein expression was performed in the BL21-DE3 bacterial strain using 0.5 mM isopropyl β-d-

1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 16°C for 18-22hrs. GST-Bro1V fusion protein and mutants

thereof were purified using the Glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare, United Kingdom),

treated with AcTEV protease (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) overnight at room

temperature to remove the GST tag, incubated with ATP to dissociate chaperones, and subjected

to size-exclusion chromatography (Superdex200 HiLoad 16/60) in 25mM HEPES and 150mM

KCl. Purified proteins were tested for purity using SDS-Page and Coomassie staining and were

analyzed to exclude contaminating ATPase activity (data not shown). All Bro1V mutants

examined in this study exhibited equivalent expression levels in bacteria (data not shown) and

mutants expressed in yeast were at levels similar to WT (Figure 6b). GST-Vps4 was expressed

and purified as previously described for use in the ATPase assays [18]. His$_6$-Vps4 was purified as

previously described [43], except the His$_6$ fusion tag was not cleaved in order to use His$_6$-Vps4 in

the *In vitro* Binding Assays. His-Bro1^{BODV} purified from BL21-DE3 using Ni^{2+}-affinity

chromatography (5ml HiTrap Chelating FF column; GE Healthcare, United Kingdom). GST-

Bro1V(370-709) was purified using the Glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare, United

Kingdom) and eluted with reduced glutathione (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). V

domains of *S. castellii* Bro1 and human HD-PTP were produced in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) were

purified over TALON-Co^{2+} and size exclusion chromatography and cleaved from their 6xHis tag

with AcTEV protease [48]. Mono-Ub was expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) from pPL5293. The

protein was purified from bacterial cell lysate by precipitation with 5% Perchloric acid and CMC

cation exchange chromatography in 0.05M ammonium acetate buffer pH 4.5 followed by elution

with 0.1M ammonium acetate buffer pH 5.5 [74].

Antibody generation

Anti-Snf7 antiserum was generated against GST-Snf7. Antiserum was generated in a New

Zealand rabbit (Covance, Princeton, NJ). Bleeds were evaluated for detection of Snf7 (SEY6210

and *snf7Δ)*. The Snf7 antibody was used at 1:5,000. Anti-Bro1 antiserum was generated against

His_6-Bro1^{BODV}. Antiserum was generated in a New Zealand rabbit (Covance, Princeton, NJ).

Antibodies were purified using GST-Bro1^{BODV} immobilized on an AminoLink column (Thermo

Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and verified for sensitivity and specificity using yeast extracts

(SEY6210 and *bro1Δ*) and recombinant Bro1V. The purified Bro1 antisera was used at 1:1,000.

In vitro binding assays

Ni-NTA Agarose beads (Qiagen, Germany) +/-His_6-Vps4 (10μg) was incubated for 1 hr at 4°C

in NiA buffer (25mM NaH_2PO_4, 300mM NaCl, pH 7.5), washed with NiA buffer, and

equilibrated with ATPase buffer (see *ATPase assay*). Binding reactions were performed in

Handee Spin Columns (Pierce) with 6μg Bro1V or mutants in ATPase buffer + 0.02% Tween20

incubated for 1 hour at 30°C. Reactions were washed four times with NiA buffer with 10mM

imidazole (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), and the bound material was eluted with NiA buffer

with 200mM imidazole. Instant-Bands Protein Stain (EZBiolab, Parsippany, NJ) were used to

visualize input and eluted material on a Typhoon FLA 7000 (GE Healthcare, United Kingdom).

Samples were resolved via SDS-PAGE, and detected using Western blotting against Bro1.

Bro1V input and His_6-Vps4 were detected via SDS-PAGE followed by Coomassie staining (data

not shown). Three or more independent experiments were performed with each experiment

performed in replicates within the experiment and representatives are shown. Data was graphed

and statistical significance was assessed by T tests using Prism 5 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA).

ATPase assays

Measurement of Vps4 ATPase activity was performed in ATPase buffer (20 mM HEPES, 100

mM KOAc, 5mM MgOAc, pH 7.5) as previously described [16,17,42,43,47,51] All reactions were

incubated at 30°C for 30 minutes prior to initiation by ATP addition (4mM final concentration).

Images were captured using a Typhoon FLA 7000 (GE Healthcare, United Kingdom). Data

represent ATPase activities from a minimum of three independent experiments with each

experiment performed in replicates within the experiment. Data was graphed and statistical

significance was assessed by T tests using Prism 5 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). An example of

this analysis, including phosphorimager scans of the thin-layer chromatography (TLC) plates,

has been presented in Figure 3 [47]. The Vps4 concentration used was 0.5µM because this

concentration of Vps4 exhibits sub-maximal specific activity. ATPase assays in the presence of

Ub were performed with the minimal concentration (50µM) yielding maximal enhancement.

ESCRT-III disassembly assays

ESCRT-III disassembly assays were performed as previously described [16,51]. Briefly,

spheroplasted yeast (*vps4Δ pep4Δ bro1Δ*) were lysed with a Dounce homogenizer in 20mM

HEPES, 200mM Sorbitol, 50mM KOAc, 2mM EDTA, pH 6.8, and subjected to a 5 minute,

500xg clearing spin. The resulting supernatant was then spun 10 minutes at 13,000xg. Using

Disassembly Assay buffer (20mM HEPES, 100mM KOAc, 5mM MgOAc, 200mM Sorbitol, pH

7.4 with protease inhibitors), the pelleted membranes were washed and then resuspended at 50

OD_{600} equivalents/ml. Reactions were setup with 0.5 OD_{600} equivalents/ml, an ATP regeneration

system (1mM ATP) and various amounts of Vps4 and Bro1V. Vps4 and Bro1V were allowed to

preincubate prior to reaction initiation. The reactions were initiated by brief centrifugation

followed by incubation at 30°C for 10 min. Reactions were terminated by centrifugation at

13,000xg for 10 min. Western blotting analysis was used to assess the levels of Snf7 in the pellet

and soluble fractions. Snf7 was detected using anti-Snf7 polyclonal antibody (1:5000), HRP-

conjugated Goat anti- Rabbit IgG (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, 1:30,000) and the UVP

Autochemi System (Upland, CA) and quantified using ImageQuant software (GE Healthcare,

United Kingdom). Linearity of the signal was confirmed via image histograms. Disassembly

assay data represent three independent experiments with each experiment performed in replicates

within the experiment. Data was graphed and statistical significance was assessed by T tests

using Prism 5 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA). Data are graphed as the mean with the standard error

of the mean, and representative Western blots are shown.

Fluorescence microscopy

For live-cell imaging of cells expressing GFP-tagged cargoes yeasty were grown in minimal

media at 26°C to mid-log phase (0.5OD_{600}) for live-cell fluorescence microscopy. Mup1-GFP

induction was accomplished by adding 50µM copper sulfate/chloride, as previously described [75].

Images were captured using an Olympus IX70 fluorescence microscope (Center Valley, PA)

with an FITC filter and digital camera (Coolsnap HQ; Photometrics, Tucson, AZ). Images were

deconvolved using Delta Vision software (Applied Precision, Issaquah, WA) and visualized

using FiJi (version: 2.0.0-rc-43/1.50i, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) [76]. Images

were captured on three different days using two different sets of transformations. Verification of

Bro1 and mutant Bro1 expression in these cells was confirmed by Western blotting.

Co-labelling with FM4-64 and C6-NBD-PC (Avanti Polar Lipids, Alabaster, AL) was performed

by incubating log-phase cells in YPD or Synthetic Media containing 1µM C6-NBD-PC and

250nM FM4-64 for 30 minutes at 30°C. Cells were then washed and chased for 1 hr at 30C.

Images were captured using an Olympus IX70 fluorescence microscope (Center Valley, PA)

fitted with FITC and rhodamine filters and digital camera (Coolsnap HQ; Photometrics, Tucson,

AZ). Cells with vacuolar lumenal NBD-PC signal were scored manually. Data represent

quantification from a minimum of four independent labeling experiments with each experiment

quantified at least 100 cells. Statistical significance was assessed by T tests using Prism 5

(GraphPad, San Diego, CA).

Subcellular fractionations

Subcellular fractionations were performed by osmotic lysis as previously described [77], except the

cell extracts were centrifuged at 13,000xg for 10 min at 4°C to separate the soluble and pellet

fractions [51]. Samples (0.1 or 0.2 OD_{600} equivalents) were resolved via SDS-PAGE and Western

blotted for Snf7 (this study, 1:5,000), Vps4 ([18], 1:1000), Bro1 (this study, 1:1,000). Pgk1 (Life

Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, 1:10,000), and Pep12 (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, 1:1,000).

Western blots were developed using HRP-conjugated Goat anti-Rabbit (Life Technologies,

Carlsbad, CA, 1:30,000) or Goat anti-Mouse (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, 1:1,000),

SuperSignal West Pico and SuperSignal West Femto substrates (Thermo Fisher Scientific,

Waltham, MA), and the UVP Autochemi System (Upland, CA). Signal was quantified using

ImageQuant software (GE Healthcare, United Kingdom). Signal linearity was confirmed via

image histograms. Data represent three or more independent experiments (representative

Western blots are shown) and are graphed as mean with standard error of the mean. Statistical

significance was assessed by T tests using Prism 5 (GraphPad, San Diego, CA).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical significance was assessed by parametric unpaired two-tailed Student's T test.

Molecular modeling

Molecular graphics and analyses of the *S. castelli* Bro1 V domain (Protein Data Bank ID: 4JIO,

chain A) were performed with the UCSF Chimera package and PyMOL[78,79]. Chimera was

developed by the Resource for Biocomputing, Visualization, and Informatics at the University of

California, San Francisco (supported by NIGMS P41-GM103311).

Dual axis electron tomography

Yeast cells were high-pressure frozen (HPF) and freeze-substituted (FS) as previously described

[80,81]. Liquid cultures were harvested during log phase and filtered with 4.5-micron Millipore

paper, collected into 0.5 mm aluminum hats, high pressure frozen with a Wohlwend HPF
(Wohlwend, Switzerland), and transferred to FS media kept at liquid nitrogen temperature until
cryo-fixation. Cells were then freeze-substituted in an AFS (Automated Freeze-Substitution
machine, Leica Vienna, Austria) at -90°C in cryo media made from 0.1% uranyl acetate 0.25%
glutaraldehyde in anhydrous acetone, washed in pure acetone, and embedded at -60°C in
Lowicryl HM20 (Polysciences, Warrington, PA). Samples were polymerized at -60°C with and
warmed slowly over four days. Plastic blocks were trimmed and sections were cut in 80 nm thin
sections and 250 nm thick sections with a Leica UC6 ultra-microtome and placed on Rhodium-
plated copper slot grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) for thin section
transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and thick section electron tomography (ET). TEM of
hundreds of cells per strain is used to quality control freezing, embedding, and staining for
tomography [61]. Tomographic samples are en bloc stained with 0.1% uranyl acetate and 0.25%
glutaraldehyde only, with no additional post staining as before [82]. 100 cells were surveyed before
at least 4 representative cells were selected to gather the tomography.
650
Thick sections were labeled with 15 nm fiducial gold on both sides and mapped on a Phillips
CM10 (TEM; Mahwah, NJ) at 80-kv and tilt-imaged with a Tecnai 30 (300kV FEI; Beaverton,
OR) with dual tilt series images collected from +60 to -60 degrees with 1 degree increment using
a Gatan US4000 4k x 4k CCD camera. Tilt-series were shot at 19,000 times magnification with a
0.6534 nm working pixel (binning 2) and repeated at a 90 degree rotation for dual-axis
tomography. Tomograms and models were constructed using IMOD software package [83].
657
MVB membrane models from dual-axis electron tomograms are manually assigned from the
inner leaflet every 4 nm and calculated using Imodmesh (3DMOD). We designated budding
profiles (BPs) by their negative curvature since the majority of endosome limiting membrane
curvature is positive, or spherical in shape. BP models are drawn from the 0° rim at the outer
leaflet, measured, and sorted by surface area using only BPs that have more than 750 nm^2 or
approximately half of the mean ILV surface [26]. ILVs are spherical and measured using sphere-
fitting models from the vesicle's outer leaflet (the inner leaflet of the MVB limiting membrane)
and ILV diameters are measured using these sphere models. Movies were made using IMOD and
QuickTime (Apple, Cupertino, CA). Data were analyzed and graphed using Prism 5 (GraphPad,
San Diego, CA).

Acknowledgements:
We would like to acknowledge Drs. Gina Razidlo and Jason Tan (Mayo Clinic, Rochester) for
their inquiries and thoughtful discussions. SD, CT contributed to these studies as members of the
Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Graduate Program and received financial support from the
Mayo Clinic Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences.
673
Funding:
This research was supported, in whole or in part, by National Institutes of Health Grant R01
GM116826 (to D.J.K.), R01 GM065505 (to G.O.), R01 GM58202 (to R.C.P) and by a Fraternal
Order of the Eagles postdoctoral fellowship (to B.A.D.). SED was supported by the National
Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship under Grant No. (DGE-NSF-01-02). CT was
supported by the Mayo Clinic Sydney Luckman Family Predoctoral Fellowship. JS was
supported by the Mayo Clinic Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship. The authors declare
that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article. The content is solely the
responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National
Institutes of Health. Any opinion, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in
this material are those of the authors(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National
Science Foundation.
686
687 **Author Contributions:** BD, IA and DJK conceived of the study. SD, BD, CT, and DJK
688 designed and conducted the experiments along with JP, JS, NP, MW, and GO. DK, CT, BD and
689 SD wrote the manuscript. All authors reviewed the results and approved the final version of the
690 manuscript.
691

Supplemental Figures:

Figure 1 Supplement 1. Electron tomography of WT cells expressing Bro1CTFΔUBD. (A)

Representative electron micrograph of an MVB from WT cells expressing Bro1CTFΔUBD. **(B)**

3D reconstruction of the MVB from **(A)**. The MVB limiting membrane is labeled yellow and the

ILVs are highlighted in red. **(C)** 3D reconstruction of the MVB from **(A)**, without the ILVs. The

budding profile is highlighted in green. Scale bar = 100nm.

Figure 4 Supplement 1. Bro1 V domain mutants still bind Vps4. Immobilized His-Vps4 or
Ni-NTA beads alone were incubated with Bro1V or Bro1V mutants and bound material was
visualized by Western blotting with anti-Bro1 antiserum.

703
Figure 5 Supplement 1. Evaluating the expression and the impact on cargo sorting of Bro1
V domain mutants. (A) Western blot analysis of Bro1 and PGK expression level in *bro1Δ*

(GOY65) cells transformed with empty plasmid (vector), *BRO1*, or Bro1V mutants. **(B)** Live cell

fluorescence microcopy analysis of GFP-CPS sorting in GOY65 (*bro1Δ*) transformed with

empty plasmid (vector), *BRO1*, or Bro1V mutants. **(C)** Quantification of Western blot analysis of

Bro1 levels in *bro1Δ* cells transformed with empty plasmid (vector), *BRO1*, or mutants

bro1(M4), *bro1*(M8), *bro1*(M24). Error bars indicate mean ± S.E.M. Differences between WT

and mutant expression levels are not statistically significant.

712
Figure 9 Supplement 1. Representative images of cells stained with NBD-PC and FM4-64.
This figure complements Figure 3. **(A)** Selection criteria for scoring positive NBD-PC cells. A
usable cell is defined by having (1) a distinct FM4-64 ring, (2) a distinct NBD-PC signal, and (3)

a distinct vacuole. An unusable cell is defined by having (1) a fragmented vacuole, and (2) out of

focus. A positive cell is defined by a diffuse NBD-PC signal while lacking a distinct ring on the

limiting membrane of the vacuole; while a negative cell is defined by the colocalization of NBD-

PC and FM4-64 on the limiting membrane of the vacuole. Scale = 5μm. **(B)** Representative

micrographs of *bro1Δ::TEF1*p-Bro1CTF (CTY2) and *vps4Δ::TRP1 bro1Δ::TEF1*p-Bro1CTF

(CTY5) cells stained with NBD-PC to label ILVs and FM4-64 to label vacuoles. Scale = 5μm.

Figure 1 Supplement Movie 1. Tomogram of MVB in a WT cell. MVBs in WT yeast are

roughly spherical membrane structures that contain smaller membrane-bound vesicles. This

video shows two WT MVBs that are adjacent to the vacuole (red mesh). The limiting endosomal

membrane is shown in yellow, and the intralumenal vesicles are in red. Scale bar = 100nm.

Figure 1 Supplement Movie 2. Tomogram of MVB in a WT cell expressing

Bro1CTFΔUBD. MVBs in a WT cell expressing Bro1CTFΔUBD exhibits WT MVB

morphology. This video shows one MVB next to the vacuole (red mesh). The limiting membrane

of the MVB is colored yellow and the intralumenal vesicles are in red. Intermediate budding

profiles are highlighted in green. Scale bar = 100nm.

Figure 1 Supplement Movie 3. Tomogram of MVB in a *bro1Δ* cell. *bro1Δ* cell has class E

compartments, which are flattened stacks of endosomal membranes that generally lack internal

vesicles. Cisternal class E compartment stacks are shown in different colors to differentiate

individual membranes. The vacuolar limiting membrane is labeled red. Scale bar = 100nm.

Figure 1 Supplement Movie 4. Tomogram of MVB in a *bro1Δ::TEF1*p-Bro1CTF cell.

MVBs in a *bro1Δ::TEF1*p-Bro1CTF cell exhibits WT MVB morphology. This video shows nine

MVBs that are adjacent to the vacuole (red mesh), while the limiting membrane of the MVB is

colored yellow and the intralumenal vesicles are in red. Intermediate budding profiles are

highlighted in green. Scale bar = 100nm.

Figure 6 Supplement Movie 1. Tomogram of MVB in a *bro1Δ* cell expressing Bro1(M8).

This tomogram depicts a single MVB adjacent to a vacuole (red mesh) from a *bro1Δ* cell

expressing Bro1(M8). The single MVB contains three budding intermediates (green), while its

limiting membrane is indicated by yellow and the intralumenal vesicles are labeled. Scale bar =

100nm.

Supplemental Table 1. List of plasmids used in this study.

Name	Description	Reference
pSD1	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1 V domain (Bro1V, aa 370-709) in pGST-parallel1	This study
pSD2	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V L386R in pGST-parallel1 (M1)	This study
pSD3	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V S414A, D415A in pGST-parallel1 (M2)	This study
pSD4	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V S537A, L538A, L539A in pGST-parallel1 (M3)	This study
pSD5	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V V505A, H508A, I512A in pGST-parallel1 (M4)	This study
pSD6	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V F446A, E451A, F452A in pGST-parallel1 (M5)	This study
pSD7	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V D454A, E457A, K461A in pGST-parallel1 (M6)	This study
pSD8	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V V463A, L470A in pGST-parallel1 (M7)	This study
pSD9	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V E686A, L691D in pGST-parallel1 (M8)	This study
pSD12	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 in pRS414 (WT)	This study
pSD13	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 S537A, L538A, L539A in pRS414 (M4)	This study
pSD14	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 V463A, L470A in pRS414 (M8)	This study
pCT2	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V R570D in pGST-parallel1 (M18)	This study
pCT4	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V T587D in pGST-parallel1 (M20)	This study
pCT5	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V K611D in pGST-parallel1 (M21)	This study
pCT6	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V A494D in pGST-parallel1 (M22)	This study
pCT7	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V L501D in pGST-parallel1 (M23)	This study
pCT8	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V K481D in pGST-parallel1 (M24)	This study
pCT10	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V Y688D in pGST-parallel1 (M26)	This study
pCT13	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V D585R in pGST-parallel1 (M29)	This study
pCT14	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V D498R in pGST-parallel1 (M30)	This study
pCT15	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V F687R in pGST-parallel1 (M31)	This study
pCT16	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1V Y688R in pGST-parallel1 (M32)	This study

pCT18	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 R570D in pRS414 (M18)	This study
pCT20	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 T587D in pRS414 (M20)	This study
pCT21	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 K611D in pRS414 (M21)	This study
pCT22	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 A494D in pRS414 (M22)	This study
pCT23	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 L501D in pRS414 (M23)	This study
pCT24	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 K481D in pRS414 (M24)	This study
pCT26	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 Y688D in pRS414 (M26)	This study
pCT29	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 D585R in pRS414 (M29)	This study
pCT30	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 D498R in pRS414 (M30)	This study
pCT31	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 F687R in pRS414 (M31)	This study
pCT32	*BRO1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1 Y688R in pRS414 (M32)	This study
pCT33	*S. cerevisiae* Bro1 V I377R in pGST-parallel1 ("UBD-Mut")	This study
pCT34	pRS413 with two silent mutations (D133D, D153D) in *HIS3* cassette	This study
pCT35	pCT34 *HIS3* Cassette (D133D, D153D) in pFA6a-HisMX6 (*TEF1* promoter Template Plasmid)	This study
pCT36	*TEF1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1V (aa370-709) in pCT35	This study
pCT37	*TEF1* promoter driven *S. cerevisiae* Bro1V (aa370-709) and 500bp 3'UTR in pCT35	This study
pRS413	Low copy yeast shuttle plasmid containing HIS marker	[99]
pFA6a-His3MX6	*A. gossypii TEF1* promoter driven *S. pombe HIS3* in pFA plasmid	[100]

pRS414	Low copy yeast shuttle plasmid containing TRP marker	[72]
pGO216	*BRO1* promoter driven Bro1 in pRS426	[26]
pMB54	Vps4 in pGST-parallel1	[42]
pET28-Vps4	Vps4 in pET28a	[43]
pGO642	*BRO1* promoter driven Bro1 CTF (aa 388-844) in pRS426	[81]
pGO187	*BRO1* promoter driven Bro1 in pRS416	[71]
GFP-Ste2	*STE2* promoter driven GFP-Ste2 in pRS426	[73]
pPL4146	*CUP1* promoter driven Mup1-GFP in pR315	[95]
pGO45	*CPS* promoter driven CPS-GFP in pRS426	[73]
Ub-GFP-CPS	*CPS* promoter driven Ub-CPS-GFP in pRS426	[88]
pMA24	*TDH3* promoter driven Cos1-GFP in pGDP416	[75]
pMA25	*TDH3* promoter driven Cos2-GFP in pGDP416	[75]
pMA27	*TDH3* promoter driven Cos5-GFP in pGDP416	[75]
pRS416 Sna3-GFP	*SNA3* promoter driven Sna3-GFP in pRS416	[91]
pGO89	*DAP2* promoter driven DPAP B-GFP in pRS426	[73]
DPAP-B-GFP	*DAP2* promoter driven DPAP B-GFP in pRS425	This Study
pPL4443	*S. castellii* Bro1V (aa 370-708) in pET151	[48]
pPL4981	*S. castellii* Bro1V I377R (aa 370-708) in pET151 ("UBD-Mut")	[48]
pPL4672	Human HD-PTPV (aa 361-711) in pET151	[48]
pPL5293	T7 promoter driven WT mono-Ub in pET21a	This Study

 Supplemental Table 2. List of primers used in this study.

Name	Sequence	Notes	Reference
V5Prime370NcoI	caaccatggatgtctatgaaaaggaaagtatttac	generating pCT34	This study
V3Prime710EcoRI	atatgaattcctactcggtctgctttgcaac	generating pCT34	This study
413 BglII-1 Mut Frd	cactttccagagcggtggtagacctttcgaacag	generating pCT35	This study
413 BglII-1 Mut Rev	taccaccgctctggaaagtgcctcatccaaag	generating pCT35	This study
413 BglII-2 Mut Frd	caaagggagaaagtaggagacctctcttgcgagatg	generating pCT35	This study
413 BglII-2 Mut Rev	tcctactttctccctttgcaaaccaagttcga	generating pCT35	This study
413 BglII-Intr primer 5'	ggtggtagatctcgttttaagagcttggtgagcgc	generating pCT36	This study
413 PacI-Intr primer 3'	ggtggtttaattaacgcatagatccgtcgagttcaagag	generating pCT36	This study
BRO3UTR5PrimerEcoRI	atatgaattcgcatttcttatttcttaattttattgtagc	generating pCT37	This study
BRO3UTR3PrimerSacI	atatccgcggcgaggatgatgacgattgg	generating pCT37	This study
Tef1 target BRO1 5'UTR	tatgaggagaggcctttttttccaatccagcttctctgtgtgtcttttagctttaggtcaaataagtaaggtttcatggttgtttatgttcggatgtgatgtg	generating CTY1-4	This study
Tef1 target BRO1 3'-370	gtttcttcaacttgctttctcaacagcgtcgctttttcttctgagtaaatactttccttttcatagacatccatggttgtttatgttcggatgtgatgtg	generating CTY2	This study
Tef1 target BRO1 3'-388	ctgggtagatttgtaaattcgatgaaggaagaatattccaaatttgctgtctcagtttcttcaacttgcttcatggttgtttatgttcggatgtgatgtg	generating CTY3	This study
TEF1pBRO3UTRAmp3Prime	cggcgaggatgatgacgattgg	generating CTY4	This study

760 **Supplemental Table 3. List of yeast strains used in this study.**

Name	Description	Reference
SEY6210	MATα, *leu2-3,112 ura3-52 his3-Δ200 trp1-Δ901 lys2-801 suc2-Δ9*	101
SEY6210.1	MATa, *leu2-3,112 ura3-52 his3-Δ200 trp1-Δ901 lys2-801 suc2-Δ9*	101
MBY3	SEY6210; *vps4Δ::TRP1*	18
GOY65	SEY6210; *bro1Δ::HIS3*	71
MBY52	TVY615; *vps4Δ::TRP1 pep4Δ::LEU2 prb1Δ::LEU2*	88
EEY9	SEY6210; *snf7Δ::HIS3*	15
JPY403	SEY6210; *vps4Δ::TRP1 pep4Δ::LEU2 bro1Δ::HIS3*	This study
CTY1	SEY6210.1; *bro1Δ::HIS3-TEF1*p-*BRO1*	This study
CTY2	SEY6210.1; *bro1Δ::HIS3-TEF1*p-*bro1-Δ1* (encoding Bro1 aa370-844) "Bro1CTF"	This study
CTY3	SEY6210.1; *bro1Δ::HIS3-TEF1*p-*bro1-Δ2* (encoding Bro1 aa388-844) "Bro1CTFΔUBD"	This study
CTY4	SEY6210.1; *bro1Δ::HIS3-TEF1*p-*bro1-Δ3* (encoding Bro1 aa370-709) "Bro1V "	This study
CTY5	SEY6210.1; *vps4Δ::TRP1 bro1Δ::HIS3-TEF1*p-*bro1-Δ1* ("Bro1CTF")	This study

763 **Supplemental Table 4. List of mutations analyzed in this study.** Only mutations that were
764 expressed and exhibited defective ATPase stimulation or MVB cargo sorting were pursued.

ID	Mutation	ATPase Stimulation/MVB Cargo Sorting	Yeast Expression
M1	L386R	+	+
M2	S414A, D415A	+	+
M3	S537A, L538A, L539A	+	+
M4	V505A, H508A, I512A	-	+
M5	F446A, E451A, F452A	+	+
M6	D454A, E457A, K461A	+	+
M7	V463A, L470A	+	+
M8	E686A, L691D	-	+
M18	R570D	+	+
M20	T587D	-	+
M21	K611D	+	+
M22	A494D	-	-
M23	L501D	-	-
M24	K481D	-	+
M26	Y688D	+	+
M29	D585R	+	+
M30	D498R	+	+
M31	F687R	+	+
M32	Y688R	+	+
M33	I377R	+	+

765
766
767
768

References

1   Vietri, M., Radulovic, M. & Stenmark, H. The many functions of ESCRTs. *Nature*
Reviews Molecular Cell Biology **21**, 25-42, doi:10.1038/s41580-019-0177-4 (2020).
2   Hanson, P. I. & Cashikar, A. Multivesicular Body Morphogenesis. *Annual Review of Cell*
and Developmental Biology **28**, 337-362, doi:10.1146/annurev-cellbio-092910-154152
(2012).
3   Schmidt, O. & Teis, D. The ESCRT machinery. *Curr Biol* **22**, R116-120,
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2012.01.028 (2012).
4   Piper, R. C. & Lehner, P. J. Endosomal transport via ubiquitination. *Trends in Cell*
Biology **21**, 647-655, doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2011.08.007 (2011).
5   Adell, M. A. & Teis, D. Assembly and disassembly of the ESCRT-III membrane scission
complex. *FEBS Lett* **585**, 3191-3196, doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2011.09.001 (2011).
6   Alonso, Y. A. M., Migliano, S. M. & Teis, D. ESCRT-III and Vps4: a dynamic
multipurpose tool for membrane budding and scission. *FEBS J* **283**, 3288-3302,
doi:10.1111/febs.13688 (2016).
7   Votteler, J. & Sundquist, W. I. Virus Budding and the ESCRT Pathway. *Cell Host*
Microbe **14**, 232-241, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2013.08.012 (2013).
8   Christ, L., Raiborg, C., Wenzel, E. M., Campsteijn, C. & Stenmark, H. Cellular Functions
and Molecular Mechanisms of the ESCRT Membrane-Scission Machinery. *Trends*
Biochem.Sci. **42**, 42-56, doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2016.08.016 (2017).
9   Webster, Brant M., Colombi, P., Jäger, J. & Lusk, C. P. Surveillance of Nuclear Pore
Complex Assembly by ESCRT-III/Vps4. *Cell* **159**, 388-401,
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.09.012 (2014).
10   Hurley, J. H. ESCRTs are everywhere. *The EMBO Journal* **34**, 2398-2407,
doi:10.15252/embj.201592484 (2015).
11   Henne, W. M., Buchkovich, N. J., Zhao, Y. & Emr, S. D. The endosomal sorting
complex ESCRT-II mediates the assembly and architecture of ESCRT-III helices. *Cell*
151, 356-371, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.08.039 (2012).
12   Guizetti, J. *et al.* Cortical Constriction During Abscission Involves Helices of ESCRT-
III–Dependent Filaments. *Science* **331**, 1616, doi:10.1126/science.1201847 (2011).
13   Teis, D., Saksena, S. & Emr, S. D. Ordered Assembly of the ESCRT-III Complex on
Endosomes Is Required to Sequester Cargo during MVB Formation. *Developmental Cell*
15, 578-589, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2008.08.013 (2008).
14   Hanson, P. I., Roth, R., Lin, Y. & Heuser, J. E. Plasma membrane deformation by
circular arrays of ESCRT-III protein filaments. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **180**, 389-
402, doi:10.1083/jcb.200707031 (2008).

15   Babst, M., Katzmann, D. J., Estepa-Sabal, E. J., Meerloo, T. & Emr, S. D. Escrt-III: An
endosome-associated heterooligomeric protein complex required for mvb sorting.
Developmental Cell **3**, 271-282, doi:10.1016/S1534-5807(02)00220-4 (2002).
16   Davies, B. A. *et al.* Coordination of Substrate Binding and ATP Hydrolysis in Vps4-
Mediated ESCRT-III Disassembly. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **21**, 3396-3408,
doi:10.1091/mbc.e10-06-0512 (2010).
17   Babst, M., Wendland, B., Estepa, E. J. & Emr, S. D. The Vps4p AAA ATPase regulates
membrane association of a Vps protein complex required for normal endosome function.
The EMBO Journal **17**, 2982-2993, doi:10.1093/emboj/17.11.2982 (1998).
18   Babst, M., Sato, T. K., Banta, L. M. & Emr, S. D. Endosomal transport function in yeast
requires a novel AAA-type ATPase, Vps4p. *The EMBO Journal* **16**, 1820-1831,
doi:10.1093/emboj/16.8.1820 (1997).
19   Adell, M. A. Y. *et al.* Recruitment dynamics of ESCRT-III and Vps4 to endosomes and
implications for reverse membrane budding. *Elife* **6**, doi:10.7554/eLife.31652 (2017).
20   Muzioł, T. *et al.* Structural Basis for Budding by the ESCRT-III Factor CHMP3.
Developmental Cell **10**, 821-830, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2006.03.013 (2006).
21   Wollert, T. & Hurley, J. H. Molecular mechanism of multivesicular body biogenesis by
ESCRT complexes. *Nature* **464**, 864-869, doi:10.1038/nature08849 (2010).
22   Wollert, T., Wunder, C., Lippincott-Schwartz, J. & Hurley, J. H. Membrane scission by
the ESCRT-III complex. *Nature* **458**, 172-177, doi:10.1038/nature07836 (2009).
23   Nickerson, D. P., West, M., Henry, R. & Odorizzi, G. Regulators of Vps4 ATPase
Activity at Endosomes Differentially Influence the Size and Rate of Formation of
Intralumenal Vesicles. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **21**, 1023-1032,
doi:10.1091/mbc.e09-09-0776 (2010).
24   Nickerson, D. P., West, M. & Odorizzi, G. Did2 coordinates Vps4-mediated dissociation
of ESCRT-III from endosomes. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **175**, 715,
doi:10.1083/jcb.200606113 (2006).
25   Saksena, S., Wahlman, J., Teis, D., Johnson, A. E. & Emr, S. D. Functional reconstitution
of ESCRT-III assembly and disassembly. *Cell* **136**, 97-109,
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2008.11.013 (2009).
26   Wemmer, M. *et al.* Bro1 binding to Snf7 regulates ESCRT-III membrane scission
activity in yeast. *J Cell Biol* **192**, 295-306, doi:10.1083/jcb.201007018 (2011).
27   Adell, M. A. Y. *et al.* Coordinated binding of Vps4 to ESCRT-III drives membrane neck
constriction during MVB vesicle formation. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **205**, 33,
doi:10.1083/jcb.201310114 (2014).

28 Pfitzner, A.-K., Mercier, V. & Roux, A. Vps4 triggers sequential subunit exchange in
ESCRT-III polymers that drives membrane constriction and fission. *bioRxiv*, 718080,
doi:10.1101/718080 (2019).

29 Maity, S. *et al.* VPS4 triggers constriction and cleavage of ESCRT-III helical filaments.
Science Advances **5**, eaau7198, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aau7198 (2019).

30 Caillat, C. *et al.* Asymmetric ring structure of Vps4 required for ESCRT-III disassembly.
Nature Communications **6**, 8781, doi:10.1038/ncomms9781 (2015).

31 Ghazi-Tabatabai, S. *et al.* Structure and Disassembly of Filaments Formed by the
ESCRT-III Subunit Vps24. *Structure* **16**, 1345-1356, doi:10.1016/j.str.2008.06.010
(2008).

32 Lata, S. *et al.* Helical Structures of ESCRT-III Are Disassembled by VPS4. *Science* **321**,
1354, doi:10.1126/science.1161070 (2008).

33 Guo, E. Z. & Xu, Z. Distinct Mechanisms of Recognizing Endosomal Sorting Complex
Required for Transport III (ESCRT-III) Protein IST1 by Different Microtubule
Interacting and Trafficking (MIT) Domains. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **290**, 8396-
8408, doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.607903 (2015).

34 Kieffer, C. *et al.* Two Distinct Modes of ESCRT-III Recognition Are Required for VPS4
Functions in Lysosomal Protein Targeting and HIV-1 Budding. *Developmental Cell* **15**,
62-73, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2008.05.014 (2008).

35 Merrill, S. A. & Hanson, P. I. Activation of human VPS4A by ESCRT-III proteins
reveals ability of substrates to relieve enzyme autoinhibition. *J Biol Chem* **285**, 35428-
35438, doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.126318 (2010).

36 Obita, T. *et al.* Structural basis for selective recognition of ESCRT-III by the AAA
ATPase Vps4. *Nature* **449**, 735-739, doi:10.1038/nature06171 (2007).

37 Scott, A. *et al.* Structure and ESCRT-III protein interactions of the MIT domain of
human VPS4A. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **102**, 13813, doi:10.1073/pnas.0502165102
(2005).

38 Shim, S., Kimpler, L. A. & Hanson, P. I. Structure/function analysis of four core ESCRT-
III proteins reveals common regulatory role for extreme C-terminal domain. *Traffic* **8**,
1068-1079, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2007.00584.x (2007).

39 Stuchell-Brereton, M. D. *et al.* ESCRT-III recognition by VPS4 ATPases. *Nature* **449**,
740-744, doi:10.1038/nature06172 (2007).

40 Shestakova, A., Curtiss, M., Davies, B. A., Katzmann, D. J. & Babst, M. The Linker
Region Plays a Regulatory Role in Assembly and Activity of the Vps4 AAA ATPase.
Journal of Biological Chemistry **288**, 26810-26819, doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.497032
(2013).

41   Azmi, I. *et al.* Recycling of ESCRTs by the AAA-ATPase Vps4 is regulated by a
conserved VSL region in Vta1. *J Cell Biol* **172**, 705-717, doi:10.1083/jcb.200508166
(2006).
42   Azmi, I. F. *et al.* ESCRT-III Family Members Stimulate Vps4 ATPase Activity Directly
or via Vta1. *Developmental Cell* **14**, 50-61,
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2007.10.021 (2008).
43   Davies, B. A. *et al.* Vps4 stimulatory element of the cofactor Vta1 contacts the ATPase
Vps4 α7 and α9 to stimulate ATP hydrolysis. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **289**,
28707-28718, doi:10.1074/jbc.M114.580696 (2014).
44   Han, H. *et al.* Binding of Substrates to the Central Pore of the Vps4 ATPase Is
Autoinhibited by the Microtubule Interacting and Trafficking (MIT) Domain and
Activated by MIT Interacting Motifs (MIMs). *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **290**,
13490-13499, doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.642355 (2015).
45   Luhtala, N. & Odorizzi, G. Bro1 coordinates deubiquitination in the multivesicular body
pathway by recruiting Doa4 to endosomes. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **166**, 717,
doi:10.1083/jcb.200403139 (2004).
46   Nikko, E. & André, B. Split-Ubiquitin Two-Hybrid Assay To Analyze Protein-Protein
Interactions at the Endosome: Application to Saccharomyces cerevisiae Bro1 Interacting
with ESCRT Complexes, the Doa4 Ubiquitin Hydrolase, and the Rsp5 Ubiquitin Ligase.
Eukaryotic Cell **6**, 1266, doi:10.1128/EC.00024-07 (2007).
47   Norgan, A. P. *et al.* Relief of autoinhibition enhances Vta1 activation of Vps4 via the
Vps4 stimulatory element. *J Biol Chem* **288**, 26147-26156,
doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.494112 (2013).
48   Pashkova, N. *et al.* The Yeast Alix Homolog Bro1 Functions as a Ubiquitin Receptor for
Protein Sorting into Multivesicular Endosomes. *Developmental Cell* **25**, 520-533,
doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2013.04.007 (2013).
49   Richter, C., West, M. & Odorizzi, G. Dual mechanisms specify Doa4-mediated
deubiquitination at multivesicular bodies. *The EMBO Journal* **26**, 2454-2464,
doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601692 (2007).
50   Shestakova, A. *et al.* Assembly of the AAA ATPase Vps4 on ESCRT-III. *Mol Biol Cell*
21, 1059-1071, doi:10.1091/mbc.E09-07-0572 (2010).
51   Tan, J., Davies, B. A., Payne, J. A., Benson, L. M. & Katzmann, D. J. Conformational
Changes in the Endosomal Sorting Complex Required for the Transport III Subunit Ist1
Lead to Distinct Modes of ATPase Vps4 Regulation. *J Biol Chem* **290**, 30053-30065,
doi:10.1074/jbc.M115.665604 (2015).

52   Shim, S., Merrill, S. A. & Hanson, P. I. Novel interactions of ESCRT-III with LIP5 and
913   VPS4 and their implications for ESCRT-III disassembly. *Mol Biol Cell* **19**, 2661-2672,
914   doi:10.1091/mbc.E07-12-1263 (2008).

915   53   Dowlatshahi, Dara P. *et al.* ALIX Is a Lys63-Specific Polyubiquitin Binding Protein that
916   Functions in Retrovirus Budding. *Developmental Cell* **23**, 1247-1254,
917   doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2012.10.023 (2012).

918   54   Fisher, R. D. *et al.* Structural and Biochemical Studies of ALIX/AIP1 and Its Role in
919   Retrovirus Budding. *Cell* **128**, 841-852, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2007.01.035 (2007).

920   55   Keren-Kaplan, T. *et al.* Structure-based in silico identification of ubiquitin-binding
921   domains provides insights into the ALIX-V:ubiquitin complex and retrovirus budding.
922   *The EMBO journal* **32**, 538-551, doi:10.1038/emboj.2013.4 (2013).

923   56   Sun, S. *et al.* ALG-2 activates the MVB sorting function of ALIX through relieving its
924   intramolecular interaction. *Cell Discovery* **1**, 15018, doi:10.1038/celldisc.2015.18 (2015).

925   57   Ali, N. *et al.* Recruitment of UBPY and ESCRT Exchange Drive HD-PTP-Dependent
926   Sorting of EGFR to the MVB. *Current Biology* **23**, 453-461,
927   doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.02.033 (2013).

928   58   von Schwedler, U. K. *et al.* The Protein Network of HIV Budding. *Cell* **114**, 701-713,
929   doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00714-1 (2003).

930   59   Ichioka, F. *et al.* HD-PTP and Alix share some membrane-traffic related proteins that
931   interact with their Bro1 domains or proline-rich regions. *Archives of Biochemistry and*
932   *Biophysics* **457**, 142-149, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abb.2006.11.008 (2007).

933   60   Lee, J. *et al.* Structural Study of the HD-PTP Bro1 Domain in a Complex with the Core
934   Region of STAM2, a Subunit of ESCRT-0. *PLOS ONE* **11**, e0149113,
935   doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149113 (2016).

936   61   Richter, C. M., West, M. & Odorizzi, G. Doa4 function in ILV budding is restricted
937   through its interaction with the Vps20 subunit of ESCRT-III. *J Cell Sci* **126**, 1881-1890,
938   doi:10.1242/jcs.122499 (2013).

939   62   Strack, B., Calistri, A., Craig, S., Popova, E. & Göttlinger, H. G. AIP1/ALIX Is a
940   Binding Partner for HIV-1 p6 and EIAV p9 Functioning in Virus Budding. *Cell* **114**, 689-
941   699, doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(03)00653-6 (2003).

942   63   Bowers, K. *et al.* Protein–Protein Interactions of ESCRT Complexes in the Yeast
943   Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Traffic* **5**, 194-210, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2004.00169.x
944   (2004).

945   64   Katoh, K. *et al.* The ALG-2-interacting Protein Alix Associates with CHMP4b, a Human
946   Homologue of Yeast Snf7 That Is Involved in Multivesicular Body Sorting. *Journal of*
947   *Biological Chemistry* **278**, 39104-39113, doi:10.1074/jbc.M301604200 (2003).

65 Kim, J. *et al.* Structural Basis for Endosomal Targeting by the Bro1 Domain.
Developmental Cell **8**, 937-947, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2005.04.001 (2005).
66 McCullough, J., Fisher, R. D., Whitby, F. G., Sundquist, W. I. & Hill, C. P. ALIX-
CHMP4 interactions in the human ESCRT pathway. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences **105**, 7687, doi:10.1073/pnas.0801567105 (2008).
67 Parkinson, Michael D. J. *et al.* A non-canonical ESCRT pathway, including histidine
domain phosphotyrosine phosphatase (HD-PTP), is used for down-regulation of virally
ubiquitinated MHC class I. *Biochemical Journal* **471**, 79-88, doi:10.1042/BJ20150336
(2015).
68 Sette, P., Nagashima, K., Piper, R. C. & Bouamr, F. Ubiquitin conjugation to Gag is
essential for ESCRT-mediated HIV-1 budding. *Retrovirology* **10**, 79, doi:10.1186/1742-
4690-10-79 (2013).
69 Vajjhala, P. R., Catchpoole, E., Nguyen, C. H., Kistler, C. & Munn, A. L. Vps4 regulates
a subset of protein interactions at the multivesicular endosome. *The FEBS Journal* **274**,
1894-1907, doi:10.1111/j.1742-4658.2007.05736.x (2007).
70 Sheffield, P., Garrard, S. & Derewenda, Z. Overcoming expression and purification
problems of RhoGDI using a family of "parallel" expression vectors. *Protein Expr Purif*
15, 34-39, doi:10.1006/prep.1998.1003 (1999).
71 Odorizzi, G., Katzmann, D. J., Babst, M., Audhya, A. & Emr, S. D. Bro1 is an
endosome-associated protein that functions in the MVB pathway in Saccharomyces
cerevisiae. *J Cell Sci* **116**, 1893-1903, doi:10.1242/jcs.00395 (2003).
72 Simons, R. W., Houman, F. & Kleckner, N. Improved single and multicopy lac-based
cloning vectors for protein and operon fusions. *Gene* **53**, 85-96, doi:10.1016/0378-
1119(87)90095-3 (1987).
73 Odorizzi, G., Babst, M. & Emr, S. D. Fab1p PtdIns(3)P 5-Kinase Function Essential for
Protein Sorting in the Multivesicular Body. *Cell* **95**, 847-858, doi:10.1016/S0092-
8674(00)81707-9 (1998).
74 Sundd, M., Iverson, N., Ibarra-Molero, B., Sanchez-Ruiz, J. M. & Robertson, A. D.
Electrostatic interactions in ubiquitin: stabilization of carboxylates by lysine amino
groups. *Biochemistry* **41**, 7586-7596, doi:10.1021/bi025571d (2002).
75 MacDonald, C. *et al.* A family of tetraspans organizes cargo for sorting into
multivesicular bodies. *Dev Cell* **33**, 328-342, doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2015.03.007 (2015).
76 Schindelin, J. *et al.* Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature*
Methods **9**, 676-682, doi:10.1038/nmeth.2019 (2012).
77 Dimaano, C., Jones, C. B., Hanono, A., Curtiss, M. & Babst, M. Ist1 Regulates Vps4
Localization and Assembly. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **19**, 465-474,
doi:10.1091/mbc.e07-08-0747 (2007).

Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem* **25**, 1605-1612, doi:10.1002/jcc.20084 (2004).

Schrödinger, L. The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.0.

Buysse, D., Pfitzner, A.-K., West, M., Roux, A. & Odorizzi, G. The ubiquitin hydrolase Doa4 directly binds Snf7 to inhibit recruitment of ESCRT-III remodeling factors in S. cerevisiae. *Journal of Cell Science* **133**, jcs241455, doi:10.1242/jcs.241455 (2020).

Johnson, N., West, M. & Odorizzi, G. Regulation of yeast ESCRT-III membrane scission activity by the Doa4 ubiquitin hydrolase. *Mol Biol Cell* **28**, 661-672, doi:10.1091/mbc.E16-11-0761 (2017).

Giddings, T. H. Freeze-substitution protocols for improved visualization of membranes in high-pressure frozen samples. *Journal of Microscopy* **212**, 53-61, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2818.2003.01228.x (2003).

Kremer, J. R., Mastronarde, D. N. & McIntosh, J. R. Computer Visualization of Three-Dimensional Image Data Using IMOD. *Journal of Structural Biology* **116**, 71-76, doi:https://doi.org/10.1006/jsbi.1996.0013 (1996).

Kimura, Y. *et al.* Conserved Mode of Interaction between Yeast Bro1 Family V Domains and YP(X)nL Motif-Containing Target Proteins. *Eukaryot Cell* **14**, 976-982, doi:10.1128/ec.00091-15 (2015).

Lee, S., Joshi, A., Nagashima, K., Freed, E. O. & Hurley, J. H. Structural basis for viral late-domain binding to Alix. *Nature Structural &Amp; Molecular Biology* **14**, 194, doi:10.1038/nsmb1203 (2007).

Tang, S. *et al.* ESCRT-III activation by parallel action of ESCRT-I/II and ESCRT-0/Bro1 during MVB biogenesis. *eLife* **5**, e15507, doi:10.7554/eLife.15507 (2016).

Katzmann, D. J., Babst, M. & Emr, S. D. Ubiquitin-Dependent Sorting into the Multivesicular Body Pathway Requires the Function of a Conserved Endosomal Protein Sorting Complex, ESCRT-I. *Cell* **106**, 145-155, doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(01)00434-2 (2001).

Katzmann, D. J., Sarkar, S., Chu, T., Audhya, A. & Emr, S. D. Multivesicular Body Sorting: Ubiquitin Ligase Rsp5 Is Required for the Modification and Sorting of Carboxypeptidase S. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* **15**, 468-480, doi:10.1091/mbc.e03-07-0473 (2003).

MacDonald, C., Stringer, D. K. & Piper, R. C. Sna3 Is an Rsp5 Adaptor Protein that Relies on Ubiquitination for Its MVB Sorting. *Traffic* **13**, 586-598, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2011.01326.x (2012).

90 Oestreich, A. J., Davies, B. A., Payne, J. A. & Katzmann, D. J. Mvb12 Is a Novel
Member of ESCRT-I Involved in Cargo Selection by the Multivesicular Body Pathway.
Molecular Biology of the Cell **18**, 646-657, doi:10.1091/mbc.e06-07-0601 (2006).
91 Reggiori, F. & Pelham, H. R. B. Sorting of proteins into multivesicular bodies: ubiquitin-
dependent and -independent targeting. *The EMBO Journal* **20**, 5176-5186,
doi:10.1093/emboj/20.18.5176 (2001).
92 Bilodeau, P. S., Urbanowski, J. L., Winistorfer, S. C. & Piper, R. C. The Vps27p–Hse1p
complex binds ubiquitin and mediates endosomal protein sorting. *Nature Cell Biology* **4**,
534, doi:10.1038/ncb815 (2002).
93 Hanson, P. K., Grant, A. M. & Nichols, J. W. NBD-labeled phosphatidylcholine enters
the yeast vacuole via the pre-vacuolar compartment. *Journal of Cell Science* **115**, 2725
(2002).
94 Shields, S. B. *et al.* ESCRT ubiquitin-binding domains function cooperatively during
MVB cargo sorting. *The Journal of cell biology* **185**, 213-224,
doi:10.1083/jcb.200811130 (2009).
95 Stringer, D. K. & Piper, R. C. A single ubiquitin is sufficient for cargo protein entry into
MVBs in the absence of ESCRT ubiquitination. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **192**, 229,
doi:10.1083/jcb.201008121 (2011).
96 MacDonald, C., Buchkovich, N. J., Stringer, D. K., Emr, S. D. & Piper, R. C. Cargo
ubiquitination is essential for multivesicular body intralumenal vesicle formation. *EMBO*
reports **13**, 331, doi:10.1038/embor.2012.18 (2012).
97 Swaney, D. L. *et al.* Global analysis of phosphorylation and ubiquitylation cross-talk in
protein degradation. *Nature Methods* **10**, 676-682, doi:10.1038/nmeth.2519 (2013).
98 Larios, J., Mercier, V., Roux, A. & Gruenberg, J. ALIX- and ESCRT-III–dependent
sorting of tetraspanins to exosomes. *J. Cell Biol.* **219**, doi:10.1083/jcb.201904113 (2020).
99 Sikorski, R. S. & Hieter, P. A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed
for efficient manipulation of DNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics* **122**, 19-27
(1989).
100 Longtine, M. S. *et al.* Additional modules for versatile and economical PCR-based gene
deletion and modification in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Yeast* **14**, 953-961,
doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-0061(199807)14:10<953::AID-YEA293>3.0.CO;2-U (1998).
101 Robinson, J. S., Klionsky, D. J., Banta, L. M. & Emr, S. D. Protein sorting in
Saccharomyces cerevisiae: isolation of mutants defective in the delivery and processing
of multiple vacuolar hydrolases. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **8**, 4936,
doi:10.1128/MCB.8.11.4936 (1988).